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ABSTRACT

The existence and productivity of vegetation is the basis for food and energy supply in the Sahel. Past changes in climate and vegetation abundance have raised the question whether the region could become greener in the future as a result of higher CO₂ levels. By analyzing three Earth system models (ESMs) from phase 5 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) with dynamic vegetation, the authors demonstrate why an answer to this question remains elusive in contrast to more robust projections of vegetation cover in the extratropics. First, it depends on the location and the time scale whether vegetation expands or retreats. Until the end of the twenty-first century, the three models agree on a substantial greening in the central and eastern Sahel due to increased CO₂ levels. This trend is reversed thereafter, and vegetation retreats in particular in the western Sahel because the beneficial effect of CO₂ fertilization is short lived compared to climate change. Second, the vegetation cover changes are driven by different processes in different models (most importantly, precipitation change and CO₂ fertilization). As these processes tend to oppose each other, the greening and browning trends are not a reliable result despite the apparent model agreement. The authors also find that the effect of vegetation dynamics on the surface energy balance crucially depends on the location. In contrast to the results of many previous studies, the Sahel appears as a hotspot where the physiological effects of CO₂ can exert a cooling because vegetation structure and distribution overcompensate for the decreased stomatal conductance.

1. Introduction

The Sahel is a climatic transition region where the supply of water, food, and even energy crucially depends on climate. Poverty, low development, and political conflicts tend to enforce this dependency and may even aggravate it in a future climate (Scheffler et al. 2012). The large population of the Sahel is therefore very vulnerable to climate variability (as the drought of the 1970s–80s demonstrated) and to anthropogenic climate change.

Reconstructions of vegetation abundance and climate show that northern Africa was much wetter and greener at the beginning of the mid-Holocene (Jolly et al. 1998a,b; Prentice et al. 2000; Lézine et al. 2011). In this period, the different Earth orbit enhanced the summer insolation in the Northern Hemisphere and thus the West African summer monsoon (Kutzbach 1981). Petit-Maire (1990) therefore posed the question “Will greenhouse green the Sahara?” and outlined a future between the two historical cases of an expanded desert during the last ice age and the green Sahara during the early Holocene. However, such analogies are of limited use for predictions, as the spatial pattern and the rate of change are very different for natural and anthropogenic forcing...
(Petit-Maire 1990; Claussen et al. 2003). It is therefore unclear how natural vegetation in northern Africa will respond to the anthropogenic forcing scenarios of the next centuries. Trends in recent satellite observations are spatially inhomogeneous (de Jong et al. 2012), depend on the chosen time period (Anyamba and Tucker 2005; Fensholt and Rasmussen 2011), and are difficult to attribute (Fensholt and Rasmussen 2011).

Presumably, the most important drivers of future vegetation distribution in the Sahel are changes in land use, CO₂ concentration (because of its effect on vegetation physiology), and precipitation (caused by the radiative effect of CO₂). In this study, we only investigate the potential natural vegetation dynamics resulting from CO₂ concentration changes. These resulting changes in the vegetation composition and distribution are therefore not affected by any land-use change scenario but rather provide the precondition for future land use.

Changes in precipitation can occur for different reasons, which are difficult to separate in observations. First, a larger land–sea temperature contrast is expected to intensify the West African monsoon (WAM) (Monerie et al. 2012; Skinner et al. 2012). However, the effect of the radiative forcing of CO₂ over land on surface evaporation (Giannini 2010), atmospheric stability, and the distribution of moisture (Chou and Neelin 2004; Chou et al. 2009) must also be considered. Second, aerosols have been found to affect the monsoon system in model simulations (Ackerley et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2008). Third, there is evidence for an influence of SSTs in all major tropical and subtropical oceans (Giannini et al. 2003; Lu and Delworth 2005; Herceg et al. 2007; Cook 2008; Mohino et al. 2011; Patricola and Cook 2010). For example, in the Atlantic, an enhanced interhemispheric SST gradient with warmer water in the North Atlantic is believed to induce a northward shift of the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ), which then affects Sahelian rainfall (Hoerling et al. 2006; Cook 2008), presumably as a result of an intensified West African westerly jet (WAWJ) (Pu and Dickinson 2012). There is evidence that, in the twentieth century, changes in SSTs have been the dominating driver of the observed decadal variability in Sahelian rainfall (Joly et al. 2007; Biasutti et al. 2008). Vegetation dynamics have probably enhanced this decadal component (Zeng et al. 1999). However, the quantitative attribution to SSTs in different ocean basins and the superimposed global warming trend is unclear (Rodríguez-Fonseca et al. 2011). In the future, it is probable that changes in SSTs will be less important than in the twentieth century, because the radiative forcing over land increases (Patricola and Cook 2010, 2011; Monerie et al. 2012) and may even become the dominant driver of Sahelian rainfall changes (Haarsma et al. 2005; Biasutti et al. 2008; Giannini 2010).

For the productivity of vegetation, other aspects of the terrestrial moisture balance must also be considered. In particular, changes in evapotranspiration may offset precipitation trends. Furthermore, other environmental conditions will affect productivity and vegetation composition. In this regard, physiological effects of CO₂ become important, and these effects differ between the two relevant photosynthetic pathways: C3 and C4. First, higher levels of CO₂ tend to enhance the carboxylation efficiency of Rubisco in C3 plants. Second, elevated atmospheric CO₂ levels have been observed to decrease stomatal conductance (Field et al. 1995; Long et al. 2004; Ainsworth and Long 2005; Ainsworth and Rogers 2007; Leakey et al. 2009; Norby and Zak 2011). Therefore, they can result in decreased transpiration and increased soil moisture. This increased water-use efficiency can further enhance productivity in C3 as well as C4 plants (Long et al. 2004; Ainsworth and Rogers 2007; Leakey et al. 2009). The sum of both mechanisms is known as CO₂ fertilization. Consequently, the potential increase in net primary productivity (NPP) may allow an expansion of vegetation in arid regions (Mahowald 2007; Donohue et al. 2013). As we focus on land cover changes, we only refer to CO₂ fertilization because of its impact on vegetation distribution.

In contrast, we more generally refer to “physiological effects” to address any climatic changes arising from stomatal closure, not restricted to that caused by CO₂ fertilization. Model results show that the reduced transpiration and reduced low-level cloud cover due to CO₂-induced stomatal closure tend to warm the surface, especially over tropical forests (Doutriaux-Boucher et al. 2009; Andrews et al. 2011). This “physiological forcing” has been found to contribute more than 10% to the CO₂-induced warming over land (Sellers et al. 1996; Cox et al. 1999; Boucher et al. 2009; O’ishi et al. 2009; Cao et al. 2010). The physiological forcing generally refers to changes in stomatal closure assuming a fixed leaf area. However, increases in leaf area index (LAI) may occur as a result of the increased productivity and an altered vegetation distribution and composition. These changes can enhance precipitation because of the low albedo of vegetation (Otterman 1974; Charney 1975; Charney et al. 1975; Claussen 1997) and organic soils (Claussen 2009; Vamborg et al. 2011). Also, the expansion of vegetation into desert areas tends to increase evapotranspiration (Charney et al. 1977; Claussen 1997). It has been argued that such structural changes (LAI and vegetation distribution) could overcompensate for the effect of stomatal conductance change (Betts et al. 1997; Leipprandt and Gerten 2006). Among other important environmental conditions besides CO₂ are temperature and nutrient
availability, although changes in the latter are not considered in the simulations we analyze.

With regard to these interactions, it seems most appropriate to incorporate all substantial drivers of vegetation changes as well as the important interactions between vegetation and climate in order to assess the possible future vegetation distribution. In this study, we follow such an integrated view by analyzing results from three Earth system models (ESMs) from phase 5 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) that include a dynamic vegetation model. However, it is not our intention to aim at a robust projection of Sahelian vegetation cover. In contrast, we address the main caveats that prevent a reliable projection, even if more models and simulations were available. These caveats involve the dependency of the different processes on location and the time horizon, as well as the large process and modeling uncertainty. As we will show, the three models provide a useful set in order to demonstrate these caveats.

2. Models and experiments

a. Models

In this study we analyze simulations performed by the ESMs MPI-ESM-LR (Giorgetta et al. 2013), HadGEM2-ES (Collins et al. 2011; Martin et al. 2011), and MIROC-ESM (Watanabe et al. 2011). These models (expansions and summaries for the CMIP5 models used in this study are provided in Table 1) include comprehensive descriptions of atmosphere and ocean circulation as well as terrestrial vegetation processes on time scales from minutes (stomatal conductance and photosynthesis) to centuries (changes in vegetation distribution). We do not analyze output from other CMIP5 models with dynamic vegetation because either the experiments we analyze have not been performed with them or they were applied with prescribed vegetation cover fractions.

The Max Planck Institute for Meteorology (MPI) ESM in low resolution (MPI-ESM-LR) includes the general circulation models ECHAM6 (Stevens et al. 2013; Roeckner et al. 2003) for the atmosphere with a horizontal resolution of T63 (approximately 1.8°); the MPI Ocean Model (MPI-OM) (Jungclaus et al. 2013) for the ocean and sea ice (approximately 1.5°); and the Hamburg Model of the Ocean Carbon Cycle, version 5 (HAMOCC5) ocean biogeochemistry model (Ilyina et al. 2013; Maier-Reimer et al. 2005). Land–atmosphere exchange is represented by the Jena Scheme for Biosphere–Atmosphere Coupling in Hamburg (JSBACH) terrestrial vegetation model (Raddatz et al. 2007). Its photosynthesis schemes are based on Farquhar et al. (1980) for C3 plants and on Collatz et al. (1992) for C4 plants. In the experiments analyzed in this study, eight natural and four anthropogenic plant functional types (PFTs) are distinguished by JSBACH. A dynamic vegetation scheme (Brovkin et al. 2009) determines their cover fractions as well as the area fraction of total vegetation cover. The distribution of woody versus grass cover is determined by light competition and disturbances caused by fire and windthrow. Competition between different tree or grass types is based on the productivity of the individual types. Similarly, vegetation can establish wherever the environmental conditions allow a positive productivity (Reick et al. 2013). The preindustrial global vegetation distribution compares reasonably to the Vegetation Continuous Fields dataset (Hansen et al. 2007) derived from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (Fig. 1; see also Figs. 1 and 2 in Brovkin et al. 2013a). North Africa is covered by too much vegetation, especially in its western part. However, the LAI of this vegetation is small (less than 1) as a result of the dry
climate. MPI-ESM-LR shows the strongest land carbon-concentration feedback (impact of CO$_2$ concentration on land uptake) of all CMIP5 ESMs compared in Arora et al. (2013). The direct effect of CO$_2$ on vegetation dynamics is therefore also expected to be large.

HadGEM2-ES comprises atmosphere, ocean and sea ice dynamics, terrestrial hydrology, and a terrestrial and marine carbon cycle. The horizontal resolution is approximately 1.25° latitude × 1.875° longitude. The interaction between land surface and atmosphere is calculated by the Met Office Surface Exchange Scheme, version 2 (MOSES2) (Essery et al. 2003). Leaf-level photosynthesis is based on Collatz’s models for C3 (Collatz et al. 1991) and C4 plants (Collatz et al. 1992). Vegetation distribution and composition are calculated by the Top-Down Representation of Interactive Foliage and Flora Including Dynamics (TRIFFID) dynamic vegetation model (Cox 2001), which distinguishes five different plant types. Competition is based on NPP and height. The produced biomass is first used to increase the local carbon pools; in case of sufficient productivity, it is invested in the expansion of cover fractions. The deficiencies in the tropical vegetation distribution are too much forest cover as well as a too-southern Sahara–Sahel boundary (Fig. 1; see also Figs. 11 and 15 in Collins et al. 2011). HadGEM2-ES shows an above-average land carbon-concentration feedback when compared to other ESMs (Arora et al. 2013).

Like the other two models, MIROC-ESM includes general circulation models of atmosphere (resolution: T42; approximately 2.8°) and ocean. It also includes an ocean ecosystem model and the spatially explicit individual-based Dynamic Global Vegetation Model (SEIB-DGVM) terrestrial ecosystem model (Sato et al. 2007). SEIB calculates interactions between individual trees of a sample plot at each grid point. Its parameters have been tuned to match observations of forest structure and dynamics. A uniform grass layer is assumed to exist under the tree canopy. Vegetation is classified into 13 PFTs (11 trees and 2 grasses). Photosynthesis is calculated daily from temperature and the availability of light, CO$_2$, humidity, and soil moisture based on empirical relationships. Stomatal conductance is calculated following Ball et al. (1987) and Leuning (1995). Like MPI-ESM-LR and HadGEM2-ES, MIROC-ESM distinguishes different plant functional types, but, in contrast to the other two models, MIROC-ESM does not apply a tiling approach to describe the effect of vegetation dynamics. Instead, a representative patch with individual trees and an underlying grass cover is calculated and extrapolated to the gridbox size (Sato et al. 2007). The global distribution of trees partly depends on empirical relations with climate (e.g., because of establishment processes). A desert fraction is not directly defined within the model but classified as the area of natural vegetation.

![Fig. 1. Preindustrial distribution of vegetation in the three Earth system models (in % surface coverage) and present-day distribution in the VCF dataset from MODIS observations. The MODIS data have been converted to the resolution of MPI-ESM-LR. As the original data have a much higher resolution, small islands appear upscaled to the larger gridbox size.](image)
with an annual maximum LAI less than 0.2 (Sato et al. 2007) or with an annual NPP of 0 (T. Hajima 2013, personal communication). Although the CMIP5 vegetation cover fraction is therefore not exactly the same property as in the other two models, it is well comparable at the desert margins, where changes in the quantities of LAI, vegetation cover, and productivity are closely related. This is due to the common concept in all three models that an increased productivity drives the expansion of vegetation into a desert. Because of wet biases in MIROC-ESM, the global preindustrial distribution of deserts differs substantially from observations in the Northern Hemisphere, where no deserts other than the Sahara exist (Fig. 1). However, the position of the Sahara’s southern margin is captured reasonably. MIROC-ESM has a comparatively small land carbon–concentration feedback (Hajima et al. 2012; Arora et al. 2013).

Since the models differ in the location and movement of the transition region between the Sahara and the tropical African forest, we do not show multimodel averages. Instead, we will follow a model-based definition of the Sahel as this transition region and define the desert boundary as the 80% vegetation cover line in each model.

### b. Experiments

All simulations we analyze in this study (summaries and expansions of the CMIP5 experiments analyzed in this study are provided in Table 2) have been conducted within the latest Coupled Model Intercomparison Project and follow the setup described in Taylor et al. (2012). The models were forced by the radiative effects, biogeochemical effects, or the combination of both effects of increasing atmospheric CO₂ concentration. To this end, CO₂ concentrations are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMIP5 experiment</th>
<th>Expansion</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Forcings</th>
<th>Vegetation treatment</th>
<th>Number of realizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RCP8.5</td>
<td>Representative concentration pathway 8.5</td>
<td>MPI-ESM-LR: 2006–2300 HadGEM2-ES: 2006–2299</td>
<td>prescribed CO₂ change and land-use change until 2100, no change in land use between 2100 and 2300</td>
<td>dynamic, except in areas of land use</td>
<td>MPI-ESM-LR: 1 HadGEM2-ES: 1 MIROC-ESM: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2A8.5</td>
<td>XXXXX</td>
<td>MPI-ESM-LR and MIROC-ESM: 2006–2100 HadGEM2-ES: 2006–99</td>
<td>CO₂ change according to RCP8.5; land use fixed at year 2006 conditions</td>
<td>dynamic, except in areas of land use</td>
<td>MPI-ESM-LR: 2 HadGEM2-ES: 4 MIROC-ESM: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piControl</td>
<td>Preindustrial control</td>
<td>MPI-ESM-LR: 1000 yr HadGEM2-ES: 576 yr MIROC-ESM: 630 yr</td>
<td>no change in anthropogenic forcings</td>
<td>dynamic</td>
<td>All: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1petCO2 (RADPHYS)</td>
<td>1 percent per year CO₂</td>
<td>140 yr</td>
<td>1% CO₂ increase per year, radiative and physiological effects active; no land-use change</td>
<td>dynamic</td>
<td>All: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esmFdbk1 (RAD)</td>
<td>ESM feedback 1</td>
<td>140 yr</td>
<td>1% CO₂ increase per year, radiative effect only; no land-use change</td>
<td>dynamic</td>
<td>All: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esmFixClim1 (PHYS)</td>
<td>ESM fixed climate 1</td>
<td>140 yr</td>
<td>1% CO₂ increase per year, physiological effect only; no land-use change</td>
<td>dynamic</td>
<td>All: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sstClim</td>
<td>Control SST climatology</td>
<td>30 yr</td>
<td>no change in anthropogenic forcings, SSTs and sea ice prescribed from piControl</td>
<td>vegetation distribution fixed, LAI interactive</td>
<td>MPI-ESM-LR: 1 HadGEM2-A: 1 MIROC-ESM: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sstClim4xCO₂</td>
<td>SST climatology with 4 × CO₂ forcing</td>
<td>30 yr</td>
<td>as sstClim, but with instantaneously quadrupled CO₂</td>
<td>vegetation distribution fixed, LAI interactive</td>
<td>MPI-ESM-LR: 1 HadGEM2-A: 1 MIROC-ESM: 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In RCP8.5, the CO2 concentration shows an accelerating increase until the year 2100 (when a radiative forcing of approximately 8.5 W m\(^{-2}\)) is reached, followed by a stabilization period with a decelerating increase. In the year 2250, the CO2 concentration reaches its final level of almost 2000 ppm. CO2 concentration and radiative forcing both remain constant after the year 2250 (see Meinshausen et al. 2011, Figs. 4 and 5). In RCP8.5 we analyze the period 2100–2300 (extended RCP8.5) where the fractions of managed land were kept constant at year 2100 values. Before 2100, we analyze simulations with the identical CO2 forcing where land use was fixed to the year 2006. These simulations are called L2A8.5 and were conducted within the Land-Use and Climate, Identification of Robust Impacts (LUCID) project (Pitman et al. 2009; Brovkin et al. 2013b). For MIROC-ESM, the extended RCP8.5 scenario was not available. Our combination of L2A8.5 and RCP8.5 and the fact that we do not analyze the differences between them allows us to exclude any anthropogenic land-use changes (which occur in RCP8.5 before 2100).

In the idealized CMIP5 scenarios called 1pctCO2 (in the following: RADPHYS, to be consistent with similar studies before CMIP5), esmFdbk1 (RAD), and FixClim1 (PHYS), CO2 is increased by 1% each year until CO2 concentration has quadrupled after 140 years. In RAD, the CO2 change only affects radiation, while the terrestrial vegetation sees preindustrial CO2. In PHYS, the physiological effects of CO2 are considered, but radiation is calculated from preindustrial CO2. In RADPHYS, both effects of CO2 are active. These experiments have been used to analyze feedbacks in the carbon cycle and their nonlinearity (Arora et al. 2013) but less so for the analysis of biogeophysical effects.

Finally, we analyze a preindustrial control simulation with climatological SSTs and sea ice (sstClim), and a similar simulation with quadrupled CO2. In these experiments, both effects of CO2, radiative and physiological, are active. However, because of the fixed ocean state, the forcings do not affect SSTs.
3. Results

a. Comparison of vegetation cover changes

Figure 2 shows the changes of vegetation cover fraction for the three ESMs in response to the L2A8.5 and RCP8.5 concentration scenarios. In general, HadGEM2-ES and MPI-ESM-LR agree that there is a greening in the northern extratropics. In these areas, the increases in precipitation, warming, and CO₂ fertilization all tend to enhance the establishment of vegetation. Therefore, this extratropical greening agrees with expectations. MIROC-ESM shows no further greening in the extratropics (apart from outer Greenland) because the vegetation cover for present day is already 100% in these areas. As in the other two models, the LAI increases on most parts of the extratropical land.

Compared to the greening in the extratropics, vegetation changes are less robust and inhomogeneous in the tropics. However, MIROC-ESM and MPI-ESM-LR agree that there is a greening of the Sahel in response to increased atmospheric CO₂ levels until 2100. A greening also occurs in parts of the central Sahel in HadGEM2-ES but not in the west. Interestingly, this zonal contrast also occurs in MPI-ESM-LR, but after 2100: In case of the very high CO₂ concentration in RCP8.5 toward the year 2300, the initial greening continues in the east but reverses in the western Sahel and Sahara. In contrast, HadGEM2-ES shows a southward expansion of the desert at all longitudes after 2100. In MIROC and HadGEM2-ES the vegetation changes can mostly be attributed to C₄ grass, which grows near the desert margin; in MPI-ESM-LR, C₃ trees and C₄ grass types are both affected because of the more heterogeneous vegetation composition (Fig. 3).

To attribute the obtained changes to the influences of CO₂ fertilization and precipitation, we investigate how the evolution of precipitation compares to the evolution of vegetation cover in different areas. We thereby distinguish the western and central to eastern Sahel as indicated by the black rectangles in Fig. 2. As preindustrial vegetation cover in MPI-ESM-LR already extends more to the north, the largest changes also occur in more northern areas, as in HadGEM2-ES, where they are confined to

---

**Fig. 3.** Preindustrial composition of vegetation in terms of land cover fractions (in %) of C₃ plants, C₄ plants, woody plants (trees and shrubs), and nonwoody plants (natural grass, pasture and crops) in the three Earth system models.
a comparatively thin latitudinal band. We therefore consider the two northern boxes for MPI-ESM-LR and MIROC-ESM and the two southern boxes for HadGEM2-ES to create time series of the spatial and annual means (Fig. 4). In addition, we analyze idealized experiments with separated forcings in section 3b.

MPI-ESM-LR and HadGEM2-ES show a similar pattern of precipitation change, most importantly a
progressive drying in the west and a wetting in the southeast of northern Africa. In the long term, vegetation cover roughly follows the trend in precipitation (Fig. 4). However, in MPI-ESM-LR, vegetation cover in the western Sahel increases until somewhat after 2100 in RCP8.5, whereas precipitation decreases. In this initial phase, CO$_2$ fertilization appears to be the driver of the Sahel greening (also apparent in the northeastern box until 2230). Another exception occurs in HadGEM2-ES in the southeastern box where vegetation cover decreases despite the increase in precipitation (and CO$_2$) after 2100 as a result of the high temperature at certain grid points (an effect to be discussed in section 3b).

MPI-ESM-LR and HadGEM2-ES agree on the trends and their geographical pattern but disagree on the timing because CO$_2$ fertilization is stronger in MPI-ESM-LR. In contrast, the greening in MIROC-ESM very closely follows annual-mean precipitation, which increases substantially over northern Africa. As explained in section 2a, the dynamics of vegetation cover directly result from LAI changes in MIROC-ESM, while a much longer time scale is involved in the other two models. Therefore, the interannual variability is much larger in MIROC-ESM.

As changes in precipitation are such an important driver of vegetation dynamics in the Sahel, it is necessary to expand the view to results from other models at this point. Compared to the ensemble of CMIP5 and also previous CMIP3 models, the tendency of a drying in the western and a wetting of the more eastern Sahel like in HadGEM2-ES and MPI-ESM-LR can also be seen in other models. The reason for these tendencies is a shift in the seasonal distribution of rain: The majority of the coupled climate models show a delayed rainy season, which leads to a drying in spring (Biasutti and Sobel 2009). In the western Sahel, the spring drying dominates the change in annual rainfall, while in the center and east it is overcompensated for by a wetter autumn (see Figs. 2b and 5 in Biasutti 2013). Consequently, Fontaine et al. (2011) identified a northern shift of the area of moisture flux convergence in the east and center of the African monsoon region in most CMIP3 models under the A1B scenario and no shift or a southern shift in the west. Monerie et al. (2012) attributed the changes in the eastern parts to an intensification of the low-level monsoon flow and the western drying to increased subsidence and moisture flux divergence in higher atmospheric levels. However, as the relevant regional physical processes are not well understood and very crudely represented in global models (Cook 2008; Patricola and Cook 2010; Druyan 2011; Ruti et al. 2011; Fasullo 2012; Knutti and Sedlacek 2013; Roehrig et al. 2013), the overall response of Sahelian rainfall to greenhouse forcing nonetheless remains highly uncertain. Although the large increase in North African precipitation in MIROC is exceptional, it should therefore not automatically be regarded as less realistic (Cook 2008). Starting with the next section, we will also examine another source of uncertainties: the effect of CO$_2$ on physiological processes.

b. Separation of radiative and physiological effects of CO$_2$

To isolate the impacts of physiological effects and radiative forcing on vegetation distribution, we analyze the idealized scenarios RADPHYS, RAD, and PHYS (Table 2). The analysis follows the logic of a factor separation (Stein and Alpert 1993) with physiological effects and radiative forcing as two (conceptually) independent factors. Figure 5 shows the differences in African vegetation cover compared to the preindustrial simulation for each of the three experiments as well as the synergy of the effects. The synergy represents the nonlinearity of the system. In case of vegetation cover, it can be interpreted as the effect of CO$_2$ fertilization on the climate change impact or, alternatively, the effect of climate change on CO$_2$ fertilization (Claussen et al. 2013). It becomes obvious that radiative and physiological effects tend to oppose each other in their impact on vegetation cover in the dry subtropical areas. This contrast is most apparent in MPI-ESM-LR (Fig. 5, top). While a decrease in precipitation in all subtropical areas of the region leads to a decreased vegetation cover in RAD, the CO$_2$ fertilization acts to green the Sahel and the northwestern Sahara as well as the Arabian Peninsula and the Middle East. In the Sahel, the CO$_2$ fertilization is the dominating effect, as can be seen in RADPHYS. The vegetation expansion in PHYS consists of C3 as well as C4 plants, as their photosynthesis is modeled in a very similar way and because climate change in PHYS is small.

A similar contrast between RAD and PHYS is obtained in HadGEM2-ES (Fig. 5, middle), although the changes in vegetation cover are not as uniform and the net effect depends on the region. In the western Sahel, the climate change dominates the vegetation response and leads to a retreat of vegetation. In the rest of the Sahel, the CO$_2$ fertilization dominates and causes a greening. The distribution of C3 plants thereby expands, and the belt of C4 plants (Fig. 3) shifts farther north while soil moisture increases south of 13°N. Although precipitation increases in most parts of the central Sahel, climate change acts to decrease vegetation cover, with the exception of a small region to the east of Lake Chad. The reason for this vegetation retreat is probably the very high temperatures (around 33°C), which tend to decrease productivity. Figure 6d illustrates that the vegetation retreat in
areas with increased precipitation is largest where the temperature increase is large and where temperature was already high in the preindustrial climate. Although climate and vegetation are not in equilibrium with each other and with CO₂ at the end of RAD, it is suggestive that the changes cluster in different quadrants in Fig. 6. This effect also explains the vegetation retreat in the RCP8.5 simulation (Fig. 4; HadGEM2-ES, SE). Changes in the seasonal distribution of precipitation could play an additional role.

Hence, MPI-ESM-LR and HadGEM2-ES agree that radiative forcing and CO₂ fertilization are similarly important on the time scale of 100 yr and that a fast increase in CO₂ would green large parts of the Sahel because of an enhanced productivity. However, the longer the climate system can respond to the radiative forcing, the more likely it is that the climate change will counteract this greening, as we showed in section 3a. It is also striking that the synergy of the effects is of a similar magnitude to the individual effects. In MPI-ESM-LR and HadGEM2-ES, a north–south pattern appears with negative values in the north and positive values in the south. As vegetation cover is limited to values between 0% and 100%, the dependence of vegetation cover on environmental conditions is inevitably nonlinear. Therefore, the synergy as a measure of nonlinearity is potentially large in all areas where vegetation cover comes close to these limits. In particular, the desert regions under preindustrial forcings cannot lose any vegetation when exposed to drying. However, if they become greener as a result of fertilization, the negative climate impact can take effect. At the other end of the scale, where vegetation cover is close to 100%, fertilization can only take little effect, whereas a concurrent drying will allow the fertilization mechanism to become active by keeping vegetation cover high. Therefore, the synergy pattern only reflects the initial vegetation distribution at the desert boundaries.
The results presented so far are not confirmed by the third model under analysis: Fig. 5 (bottom) demonstrates that the greening in MIROC-ESM is almost completely due to climate change: namely, the large increase in precipitation by up to 250 mm over northern Africa. In contrast, the impact of CO₂ fertilization is hardly distinguishable from the pattern of natural variability. Therefore, it becomes obvious that the three models yield similar results because of very different reasons. Figure 6 illustrates these model differences: In MPI-ESM-LR and MIROC-ESM, vegetation cover changes in RAD are both driven by precipitation changes but of different signs. In HadGEM2-ES, the vegetation decrease in RAD cannot be explained with mean precipitation changes alone (Figs. 6c,d). A hypothetical climate model with a climate response to radiative forcing similar to MPI-ESM-LR or HadGEM2-ES but a response to CO₂ fertilization as weak as MIROC-ESM would show a reduced vegetation growth rather than a greening. In this regard, the multimodel result can hide potential uncertainties. As the processes in the three models are represented so differently, the future development of natural vegetation in the Sahel remains highly uncertain.

c. Impacts of physiological effects on climate

So far, we have discussed climatic and physiological effects separately. This view neglects that there is also a climatic change in the experiment without radiative
forcing (PHYS) because of decreased stomatal conductance and increases in LAI and vegetation cover. PHYS therefore allows us to investigate the potential effects of desert greening on climate in MPI-ESM-LR and HadGEM2-ES. We do not analyze results from MIROC-ESM further because the physiological effect on climate in this model is indiscernible from the natural variability. Compared to the preindustrial simulation, the last 30 yr of PHYS show a global warming of 0.25 K in MPI-ESM-LR and 0.65 K in HadGEM2-ES and reduced evapotranspiration, relative humidity, and cloud cover over land. Globally, this hydrological response is in line with some previous studies (Cox et al. 1999; Betts et al. 2007; Boucher et al. 2009; Cao et al. 2010; Pu and Dickinson 2012).

However, there are regional exceptions to these global changes. First, despite the decrease in stomatal conductance, the vegetation enhances precipitation in the African subtropics, especially in western Africa. Applying the factor separation method to precipitation changes reveals that this effect is of discernible magnitude when compared to the effect of radiative forcing (Fig. 7): While there are locations with significantly positive, significantly negative, and insignificant precipitation changes in the experiment with combined effects, the contributions of the pure radiative and physiological effects alone are much clearer. While radiative forcing tends to dry the complete Sahel in RAD, physiological effects significantly increase precipitation.

Second, there is a very pronounced tendency of the Sahel not to warm like the rest of the continent (Fig. 8, bottom). To our knowledge, no previous experiment on physiological impacts of CO₂ on climate resulted in a temperature pattern as pronounced as in MPI-ESM-LR and HadGEM2-ES (information and model expansions for previous studies are provided in Table 3): Betts et al. (1997) and Bounoua et al. (2010) obtained substantial effects only in the extratropics, while in Kergoat et al. (2002), Bala et al. (2006), Notaro et al. (2007), and O’ishi et al. (2009) the evapotranspiration change did not dominate the temperature response in the Sahel. In contrast, by forcing the Lund–Potsdam–Jena (LPJ)
FIG. 8. Impact of the physiological effect on climate in MPI-ESM-LR and HadGEM2-ES. All maps show the last 30 yr in PHYS minus preindustrial mean conditions, as in Figs. 5 and 7. Shown are the surface fluxes of latent heat, net shortwave radiation, their difference, and surface air temperature. LH is defined positive when directed upward. For MPI-ESM-LR, the black rectangle encloses the area analyzed in Fig. 9. Changes at white grid points are not statistically significant at the 5% level.
TABLE 3. Previous studies on physiological effects of CO$_2$ with implications for the Sahel climate. Specified are the models used in these studies, whether these models allowed for interactive changes in LAI and vegetation cover, and the resulting effects on the Sahel climate compared to the rest of the world.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>PHYS scenario</th>
<th>LAI change</th>
<th>Cover change</th>
<th>Effect on Sahel climate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bala et al. 2006</td>
<td>Integrated Climate and Carbon model (INCCA) [Community Climate Model, version 3.2 (CCM3.2)/Parallel Ocean Program (POP)/Integrated Biosphere Simulator (IBIS)]</td>
<td>SRES A2, prolonged to 4 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Albedo decrease warms Sahel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betts et al. 1997</td>
<td>Hadley Centre general circulation model with Sheffield University vegetation model</td>
<td>2 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Only small changes in tropics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bounoua et al. 2010</td>
<td>Colorado State University General Circulation Model (GCM)/Simple Biosphere Model, version 2 (SiB2)</td>
<td>2 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Only small changes in Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kergoat et al. 2002</td>
<td>Unnamed vegetation model, forced with Action de Recherche Petite Echelle Grande Echelle (ARPEGE)</td>
<td>2 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Effects on ET cancel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leipprand and Gerten 2006</td>
<td>Lund–Potsdam–Jena (LPJ) (driven by observed climate)</td>
<td>2 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Structural effect increases transpiration in arid regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notaro et al. 2007</td>
<td>Fast Ocean Atmosphere Model (FOAM)/LPJ</td>
<td>1% increase per year to 4 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>In dry regions, ET does not decrease as elsewhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’ishi et al. 2009</td>
<td>MIROC-LPJ</td>
<td>4 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Albedo decrease warms semiarid tropics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cao et al. 2010</td>
<td>NCAR (CCSM3)</td>
<td>2 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>More soil evaporation cools eastern Sahel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gopalakrishnan et al. 2011</td>
<td>NCAR [Community Land Model (CLM) 3.5 driven by observed climate]</td>
<td>various fixed levels of CO$_2$</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Warming, as everywhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu and Dickinson 2012</td>
<td>NCAR (CCSM4)</td>
<td>2 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>yes/no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Sahel remains cool because of increased ET, especially with LAI increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews et al. 2011</td>
<td>Hadley Centre Coupled Model, version 3, low resolution with carbon cycle (HadCM3LC)</td>
<td>4 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>not specified</td>
<td>not specified</td>
<td>Warming, as everywhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boucher et al. 2009</td>
<td>HadCM3</td>
<td>IS92a</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>No exception in Sahel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doutriaux-Boucher et al. 2009</td>
<td>HadCM3LC</td>
<td>2 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>not specified</td>
<td>not specified</td>
<td>Warming, as everywhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshi and Gregory 2008</td>
<td>Hadley Centre Slab Climate Model, version 3 (HadSM3)</td>
<td>2 × CO$_2$</td>
<td>not specified</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Colder Sahel when normalized with zonal mean ocean temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
vegetation model with observed atmospheric data, Leipprand and Gerten (2006) obtain an increased evapotranspiration (although no maps are shown in their study).

When comparing the CMIP5 temperature anomalies to the changes of vegetation cover in Figs. 2 and 5, it is apparent that the cool stripe of the Sahel coincides with the preindustrial border of vegetation cover. South of this transition region, the decrease of stomatal conductance leads to a warming. In previously bare regions north of the cool belt, the lower albedo of the surface increases temperature because of more absorbed shortwave radiation. As the latent heat flux (LH) and net shortwave radiation (SW_{net}) are the terms with the largest changes, their superposition coincides well with the pattern of temperature anomalies (Fig. 8).

In the case of MPI-ESM-LR, the physiological effects of CO₂ on the surface energy balance in different regions becomes obvious in scatter diagrams because the transition region is wider than in HadGEM2-ES. Considering all grid points in the four boxes of Fig. 2 where the preindustrial vegetation cover is above 80%, the anomalies of temperature and latent heat flux are closely related (Fig. 9a). No such relation exists between temperature and absorbed shortwave radiation. When only considering grid points where preindustrial vegetation cover is below 80%, the opposite is true. Figures 9e–h reveal why this occurs by showing changes in LAI on the horizontal axis. In the vegetated areas with small changes in LAI, the reduced stomatal conductance dominates and decreases LH. However, the larger the increase in LAI, the more positive the anomaly becomes. As the bare ground fraction in these initially vegetated areas is low, an increased LAI has comparatively little influence on the surface albedo and thus SW_{net}. In the areas with an initially substantial fraction of desert, the expansion of vegetation into these bare regions causes an albedo decrease. Although LH also tends to increase with increasing LAI in these areas, the absorbed shortwave radiation dominates the surface energy balance.

However, the latent heat-induced cooling in the Sahel can be caused not only by the local vegetation cover change, but also by remote effects. For example, the climate change in the extratropics can lead to a shift in circulation and thus a precipitation increase in the Sahel. Even without any change in local LAI, the increase in precipitation may lead to latent heat cooling. This effect may explain the results by Cao et al. (2010) and Pu and Dickinson (2012), who applied different versions of the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Community Climate System Model (CCSM) where no LAI change was permitted (Table 3). Consequently, an offline experiment with prescribed atmospheric conditions with the NCAR land surface model CLM3.5 does not show any increase in evapotranspiration (Gopalakrishnan et al. 2011).

The mechanism of precipitation-induced cooling also becomes apparent in HadGEM2 (called HadGEM2-A in this setup) in two sstClim experiments where both effects of CO₂, radiative and physiological, are active (Table 2). As the temperature contrast between land and ocean is artificially increased in sstClim4xCO₂ because of the fixed SSTs, there is a massive increase in precipitation over sub-Saharan Africa (Fig. 10). This precipitation increase causes regionally very different responses in latent heat flux: Where forest coverage is high, the stomatal response causes a decrease in LH. In the subtropics, where LH is most limited by precipitation, an increase occurs that is large enough to decrease surface air temperature in some locations despite the very large longwave heating. A similar effect on Sahel hydrology is obtained by Andrews et al. (2012), who show that a 2 × CO₂ experiment with fixed SSTs leads to an exceptional increase in relative humidity in the Sahel (see their Fig. 4e). In HadGEM2, the evaporative cooling occurs despite negligible changes in the vegetation’s condition: All plant functional types are fixed to the same distribution in sstClim4xCO₂ and sstClim, and LAI shows only very small differences. In MPI-ESM-LR, a large LAI increase occurs between the equator and approximately 17°N, as the phenology of raingreen plant types in JSBACH is sensitive to soil moisture and NPP. Therefore, the remote and local causes of the evaporative cooling in sstClim4xCO₂ cannot be strictly separated in MPI-ESM-LR.

Although an attribution of the cool Sahel to the local vegetation change in the PHYS experiment is also not strictly possible, we argue that this local effect is probably more important than remotely induced changes. In the case of HadGEM2-ES, Boucher et al. (2009) obtained a warming that was largest over the forest areas but not a particularly cool Sahel when they prescribed LAI in a previous model version. A similar response was obtained by Doutriaux-Boucher et al. (2009) and Andrews et al. (2011), who only analyze the first 5 yr after an instantaneous CO₂ increase. Assuming that the differences to the CMIP5 results presented in Fig. 8 and the latter three studies are not due to model differences other than the effect of changes in LAI or vegetation cover, the CMIP5 results suggest that vegetation dynamics are of particular importance in the Sahel. However, the northward shift of the ITCZ over the Atlantic in PHYS implies that vegetation dynamics in other regions may also have an effect. Whether an increase in precipitation at a particular grid point is induced by the local vegetation or by the vegetation cover changes elsewhere is therefore hard to separate.
FIG. 9. Relation between anomalies in latent heat flux, surface net shortwave energy, and (a)–(d) surface air temperature and (e)–(h) leaf area index in PHYS (difference from preindustrial conditions) in MPI-ESM-LR. Each cross represents a land grid point within the black rectangle in Fig. 8 (identical to the four boxes in Fig. 2), but separated into areas where preindustrial vegetation cover is (left) above 80% and (right) below 80%. Red crosses mark the spatial means of the changes (mean of black crosses); zero lines are indicated in red.
in HadGEM2-ES. In case of MPI-ESM-LR, it is obvious from Figs. 5, 8, and 9 that latent cooling is strongest in regions where vegetation cover change is largest (under the precondition that initial vegetation cover is already large). Finally, as we know that CO₂ fertilization is large in MPI-ESM-LR and, as precipitation changes are stronger over land than over the ocean, we expect the vegetation expansion to be caused by the local effect rather than by teleconnections. We therefore conclude that vegetation dynamics and structural changes are of particular importance in the Sahel in MPI-ESM-LR.

4. Conclusions

We have shown that three CMIP5 ESMs with dynamic vegetation indicate a Sahel greening due to increased CO₂ until 2100. However, the reason for this change differs among the models. In MIROC-ESM, the greening is the result of an increased precipitation in North Africa. In MPI-ESM-LR, it is the result of CO₂ fertilization. In HadGEM2-ES, there is only little vegetation cover change in the first decades, while a subsequent decrease in rainfall and the large temperatures
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initiate a retreat of vegetation in case of the very high CO₂ levels of the RCP8.5 scenario. In MPI-ESM-LR and HadGEM2-ES, there is a tendency of a vegetation retreat in the west of North Africa. In MPI-ESM-LR this retreat does not start until 2100, when climate change proceeds while the fertilization effect levels off because of the stabilized CO₂ concentration. The dependency of vegetation anomalies on the time horizon would probably be even more problematic in a scenario with a CO₂ concentration that is reduced after a large peak (implying very low emissions or even anthropogenic carbon removal activities). Under such conditions, the fertilization effect would decrease with the concentrations, while the committed climate change from the high-emission period would also act to reduce the vegetation’s productivity. The impact of CO₂ would then tend to resemble the RAD scenario.

As there are several important drivers of vegetation changes in the Sahel that can oppose each other and are insufficiently understood and modeled, the three models only represent three trajectories that do not represent the full spectrum of possibilities. In particular, improved parameterizations of surface and boundary layer processes, tropical convection, and mesoscale systems are crucial to better represent Sahelian rainfall in climate models (Pohl and Douville 2011; Ruti et al. 2011; Fasullo 2012; Rowell 2012; Roehrig et al. 2013). Considering the large spatial gradients and the importance of small-scale features and land–atmosphere coupling of the Sahel region, in combination with the scarcity of observations, progress may remain slow, and the application of regional models may be of particular benefit (Cook 2008; Patricola and Cook 2010).

As many global models project rather small changes in annual rainfall (Biasutti 2013), it seems likely that physiological effects of CO₂ will be of particular importance and a further source of uncertainty considering the low level of understanding of these effects on long time scales (Field et al. 1995; Long et al. 2004; Norby and Zak 2011; Reich and Hobbie 2013). The idealized factor separation experiments of CMIP5 indicate that CO₂ fertilization is one of the dominating drivers of vegetation cover changes in MPI-ESM-LR and HadGEM2-ES, which is similar to earlier results performed with FOAM-LPJ by Notaro et al. (2007).

It also seems clear that such structural vegetation changes affect the Sahelian climate, although the relative importance of changes in albedo and evapotranspiration is inconsistent among models. As several studies showed similar effects on both aspects of the energy balance, we consider it likely that previous experiments could not reveal the spatial separation of mechanisms because of their lower resolution. However, the balance of effects depends on the physical properties of plants, represented as constant parameters of plant functional types (PFTs) in current models. Also, the translation of changes of productivity on a leaf level and short time scales into the dynamics of vegetation distribution and composition over centuries remains a major uncertainty. To isolate the climatic effect of local vegetation changes from teleconnections, it may be of value in the future to perform additional simulations with an offline land model where precipitation is prescribed (similar to Gopalakrishnan et al. 2011), to compare simulations with and without structural vegetation changes (as, e.g., in Betts et al. 1997), or to allow dynamic vegetation only in some regions of the world but not in others.

The CMIP5 results also have implications for previously used concepts of analysis: First, it is a common practice to analyze the difference between RADPHYS and RAD experiments to determine the physiological effects (Andrews et al. 2011; Betts et al. 1997; Bounoua et al. 2010; Levis et al. 1999, 2000; Doutriaux-Boucher et al. 2009; Joshi and Gregory 2008; O’ishi et al. 2009). The substantial synergy effect implies that this difference is not identical to the pure PHYS effect. While the chosen approach may make no difference for fast effects such as stomata closure, it leads to differences whenever large changes in vegetation cover occur. Differences will appear mainly in those quantities that are much affected by vegetation cover. Of course, the question of which approach is more appropriate depends on the aim of the analysis. To determine the additional contribution of physiology on climate change, analyzing RADPHYS – RAD appears to be an appropriate approach.

Second, the concept of climate forcings (in terms of W m⁻²) and feedbacks (in terms of W m⁻² K⁻¹) is questioned when physiological effects are important. The fast processes of nonradiative forcing (stomatal closure and subsequent changes in cloud cover) are usually added to radiatively induced adjustments: for example, adjustments of stratospheric temperature, lapse rate, and cloud cover (Andrews and Forster 2008; Andrews et al. 2012). However, the slow structural responses of vegetation to enhanced CO₂ are not related to temperature-driven feedbacks (Bony et al. 2006) and must conceptually also be regarded as forcing adjustments that are so slow that they cannot be separated from climate feedbacks. As CO₂ contributes most to the anthropogenic radiative forcing and, as climate change and CO₂-driven vegetation dynamics evolve on similar time scales, it can be argued that CO₂-induced vegetation cover changes can conceptually be formulated as a climate feedback (Cao et al. 2010). However, the CMIP5 results indicate the limits of this assumption, as changes in LAI and vegetation distribution are faster than the warming of the deep ocean.
Third, it has been speculated whether land–atmosphere feedbacks in North Africa can cause abrupt transitions (Claussen et al. 1999; deMenocal et al. 2000) or even multiple equilibria (Browkin et al. 1998). Although the feedback appears to be too small to allow for such non-linear effects in current ESMs, CO2 fertilization may make the region more susceptible to precipitation changes than in the past.
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