Ecosystem controlled soil-rock pCO$_2$ and carbonate weathering – Constraints by temperature and soil water content
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ABSTRACT

Carbonate dissolution in soil-groundwater systems depends dominantly on pH, temperature and the saturation state of the solution with respect to abundant minerals. The pH of the solution is, in general, controlled by partial pressure of CO$_2$ (pCO$_2$) produced by ecosystem respiration, which is controlled by temperature and water availability. In order to better understand the control of land temperature on carbonate weathering, a database of published spring water hydrogeochemistry was built and analysed. Assuming that spring water is in equilibrium with the soil-water-rock-atmosphere, the soil-rock pCO$_2$ can be back-calculated. Based on a database of spring water chemistry, the average soil-rock pCO$_2$ was calculated by an inverse model framework and a strong relationship with temperature was observed. The identified relationship suggests a temperature control on carbonate weathering as a result of variations in soil-rock pCO$_2$, which is itself controlled by ecosystem respiration processes. The findings are relevant for global scale analysis of carbonate weathering and carbon fluxes to the ocean, because concentration of weathering products from the soil-rock-system into the river system in humid, high temperature regions, are suggested to be larger than in low temperature regions. Furthermore, results suggest that, in specific spring samples, the hydrochemical evolution of rainwater percolating through the soil-rock complex can best be described by an open system with pCO$_2$ controlled by the ecosystem. Abundance of evaporites and pyrite sources influence significantly the chemistry of spring water and corrections must be taken into account in order to implement the inverse model framework presented in this study. Annual surface temperature and soil water content were identified as suitable variables to develop the parameterization of soil-rock pCO$_2$, mechanically consistent with soil respiration rate findings.

1. Introduction

The production of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) in soils depends mostly on microbial activity and root respiration which in turn are dependent on temperature and soil water content, parameters representing climate variability (Amundson and Davidson, 1990; Kuzyakov, 2006; Lellei-Kovács et al., 2016; Mielnick and Dugas, 2000). Soil respiration is a major component in the carbon cycle and is considered to be one of the largest biological CO$_2$ fluxes from land to the atmosphere (Raich and Potter, 1995). Nevertheless, soil CO$_2$ when dissolved in water, represents the major hydronium ion supply in the weathering system, and it is consumed through weathering reactions (Berner et al., 1983; Calmels et al., 2014; Walker et al., 1981).

Quantifying the weathering fluxes from land to the coastal zone is of interest to understand the atmospheric/soil CO$_2$ consumption potential through chemical weathering. Several authors have tried to constrain these fluxes studying the chemistry of large rivers (Gaillardet et al., 1999), using phenomenological models to determine global weathering fluxes for different types of lithological classes (Bluth and Kump, 1994; Goll et al., 2014; Hartmann, 2009; Hartmann et al., 2009; Hartmann et al., 2014; Suchet and Probst, 1993), or applying mechanistic models based on kinetic equations and hydrology to quantify the weathering fluxes from soil-rock system to the rivers (Beaulieu et al., 2012; Goddéris et al., 2013; Goddéris et al., 2006; Roelandt et al., 2010). Nevertheless, the mechanistic models require as an important input the soil partial pressure of CO$_2$ (pCO$_2$), which controls the saturation state with respect to minerals and therefore the amount of minerals that can be dissolved.

The weathering of carbonate rocks is a dynamic process and variations on timescales of hours are documented, as well as fast...
precipitation, mainly dependent on the concentration of CO₂ in the aqueous system (Calmels et al., 2014; Pu et al., 2013; Roland et al., 2013; Serrano-Ortiz et al., 2010). The fast calcite dissolution implies that equilibrium is easily reached with respect to a given pCO₂ (Dreybrodt et al., 1996; Reddy et al., 1981) without expecting fast calcite precipitation due CO₂ degassing in the spring water (Szramek and Walter, 2004). As a consequence, the alkalinity in spring water presents the spatio-temporal variation of CO₂ of its catchment source system (Calmels et al., 2014; Yoshimura et al., 2001). In that way, the concentration of chemical species resulting from carbonate weathering might be a good parameter to forecast the soil pCO₂ in a soil-carbonate rock-system.

There are, at least theoretically, two extreme models to describe carbonate dissolution. The “open system” corresponds to an infinite CO₂ reservoir that equilibrates with the dissolving solution (or sustained supply of CO₂). The “closed system” corresponds to the case where a given initial amount of CO₂ equilibrates with the solution and no further supply is provided. In both cases, CO₂ is consumed through the weathering reaction according to the chemical equations of the carbonate system until saturation with respect to calcite is reached. Although both cases should exist in reality, the soil-carbonate rock weathering system more likely operates as an open system because (i) an ongoing flux of CO₂ due to the ecosystem respiration and microbial activity, might be expected and (ii) the dissolution of calcium carbonate rocks is a relatively fast process.

The aim of this study is to back-calculate the soil-rock pCO₂ based on equilibrium equations and considering open and closed conditions for carbonate dominated lithologies, using spring water chemistry. In addition, a framework to retrieve a generalized soil-rock pCO₂ function for carbonate rock dominated areas based on land properties sensitive to climate variability is presented.

2. Methodology

2.1. Spring water chemistry and data filtering

A spring database for carbonate lithologies was constructed based on publications where carbonates rocks are considered an important lithological class in the catchment and information of the chemistry of the water was available (Fig. 1). The database is composed of 671 data points from 26 different publications and sampling locations are located approximately between latitudes 20° and 60° N. For each sample point an estimate of the coordinates was determined. δ13C data, when reported, was included in the database (Supplement information) because it can be used to determine the source of CO₂, degassing processes and to identify the influence of redox processes.

Some studies reported water chemistry data from hot or cold springs where CO₂ from deep sources and hydrothermal processes is likely (Chiodini et al., 1999; Herman and Lorah, 1987; Kohfahl et al., 2008; Yoshimura et al., 2004; Yoshimura et al., 2001). In this case, the pCO₂ in the soil-rock system is not representative of the soil CO₂ produced by the ecosystems. Therefore, filtering of data is needed in order to select samples with ideal conditions characteristic of the interactions between the Ca-carbonate rock, water and the ecosystem. In addition, sulfide oxidation and gypsum dissolution may change the alkalinity of the solution interacting with carbonate. If silicates are present in the catchment, they may add excess alkalinity. In order to minimize the influence of evaporites, sulfide oxidation processes or hydrothermal processes the following filtering criteria were applied:

(i) ((Ca²⁺) + (Mg²⁺))/alkalinity molar ratio < 0.9, in order to discard the extreme values shown in Fig. 2a, where these cations may be related to a source other than carbonate minerals by soil CO₂.
(ii) (Ca²⁺)/[SO₄²⁻] molar ratio should be > 10 (Fig. 2b), to discard samples affected by sulfide oxidation and sulfate mineral dissolution.
(iii) (Ca²⁺)/[Na⁺] molar ratios should be > 12, in order to minimize the effect silicate weathering (Fig. 2c), based on Gaillardet et al. (1999) relations and data analysis reported therein.
(iv) (Ca²⁺)/[Mg²⁺] molar ratio should be larger than 1, to minimize contribution of other carbonate minerals than calcite and silicate minerals (Fig. 2d), and to be able to apply equilibrium equations for calcite dissolution system.
(v) The charge balance error (CBE) should be < 10%, to exclude points with high uncertainty associated to the reported water chemistry data. CBE was calculated by the following equation:

\[
CBE = \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{n} C_{p} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{j}}{\sum_{p=1}^{n} C_{p} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{j}} \times 100
\]

where \( C_{p} \) and \( C_{j} \) represent the concentration in equivalent of cation \( p \) and anion \( j \), respectively. A more rigorous condition for CBE was not necessary to apply because the deviation due seasonality is larger.

Correction for rain water was not possible due to lack of information on the chemistry of rainwater at each sample site but given the low pH of rainwater, the input of alkalinity by rain water is negligible. Seasonality was not considered due to limitation on the dataset and because the applied data for land temperature and soil water content implemented was based on year average. The chemistry of the selected spring samples (164 in total) is dominated by \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) and alkalinity, an important condition to apply simple equilibrium calculations of the
system CaCO$_3$-H$_2$O-CO$_2$.

2.2. Model description

A numerical code was constructed to calculate the $p$CO$_2$ at equilibrium with spring samples considering the open system calcite-CO$_2$-water, composed of the following chemical reactions:

$$2\text{H}_2\text{O}_l \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}^+_aq + \text{OH}^-_aq \quad (2)$$

$$\text{CO}_2(g) \leftrightarrow \text{CO}_2^+_aq \quad (3)$$

$$\text{CO}_2^+_aq + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}_l \leftrightarrow \text{HCO}_3^-_aq + \text{H}_2\text{O}^+_aq \quad (4)$$

$$\text{HCO}_3^-_aq + \text{H}_2\text{O}_l \leftrightarrow \text{CO}_3^{2-}_aq + \text{H}_2\text{O}^+_aq \quad (5)$$

$$\text{CaCO}_3(s) \leftrightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+}_aq + \text{CO}_3^{2-}_aq \quad (6)$$

where CO$_2(aq)$ is the sum of species CO$_2(aq)$ and H$_2$CO$_3(aq)$. The standard equilibrium constants (K) at 25°C of each chemical equation are reported in Table 1. The temperature dependency of the equilibrium constants and the Henry’s constant was calculated using the van’t Hoff equation (Eq. (7)), given by:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{K_k}{K_l} \right) = \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T_k} - \frac{1}{T_l} \right)
\]

where $T$ represents the absolute temperature in Kelvin, $\Delta H^\circ$ the standard enthalpy of reaction and $R$ the gas constant. Subscripts $k$ and $l$ indicate the different temperatures for each equilibrium constants.

The activity coefficient of each chemical species $i$ ($\gamma_i$) was calculated using Davies Equation (Eq. (8)):

Table 1
Solubility product constants at 25°C (K) and standard enthalpy ($\Delta H^\circ$) for the reactions considered in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction number</th>
<th>Constant (K)</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (2)</td>
<td>$10^{-14}$</td>
<td>55.9066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (3)</td>
<td>$10^{-1.468}$</td>
<td>$-19.983$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (4)</td>
<td>$10^{-6.352}$</td>
<td>9.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (5)</td>
<td>$10^{-10.329}$</td>
<td>14.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eq. (6)</td>
<td>$10^{-8.48}$</td>
<td>$-9.61$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thermodynamic data was taken from phreeqc.dat (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999).

* Henry’s constant for dissolution of gas CO$_2$ in water.
\[
\log \gamma = -A_{z}(\frac{\sqrt{T}}{1 + \sqrt{T}} - 0.3I)
\]

where \(A\) is a temperature related constant, \(z\) is the charge of the chemical species and \(I\) is the ionic strength of the solution calculated using major compounds reported for each sample.

This model calculates the \(pCO_2\) required to produce the alkalinity values reported in each sample at the determined temperature assuming equilibrium with calcite. Alkalinity is considered to be \([HCO_3^-] + 2[CO_3^{2-}] + [OH^-] - [H^+]\), because no other chemical species with significant contribution to alkalinity were reported in the applied dataset. The construction of this model is based on three assumptions: (i) alkalinity values in spring waters dominated by weathering of carbonate lithologies depend, mainly, on the soil-rock \(pCO_2\); (ii) the system is at equilibrium with calcite (saturation index = 0); and (iii) ionic balance between chemical species \([H^+]\), \([Ca^{2+}]\), \([OH^-]\), \([HCO_3^-]\) and \([CO_3^{2-}]\) was considered for all samples.

Furthermore, a closed-system calculation was carried out to determine the \(pCO_2\) required to produce the alkalinity values reported in the spring samples. In this case, the initial dissolved \(CO_2\) concentration, here as \([CO_2(aq)]_{initial}\), was calculated as the sum of the \(CO_2\) at equilibrium in the closed system calcite-water-\(CO_2\) plus the amount of \(CO_2\) which reacted with the calcite, which is equivalent to the calcium concentration, \([Ca^{2+}]_{eq}\).

\[
[CO_2(aq)]_{initial} = [Ca^{2+}]_{eq} + [CO_2(aq)]_{eq}
\]

The \(pCO_2\) at equilibrium with the water (water \(pCO_2\)) was calculated using PHREEQC software and "phreeqc.dat" database (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999) and compared with the \(pCO_2\) estimated applying the inverse method presented in this study.

### 2.3. Global datasets

The calculated \(pCO_2\) was estimated by identifying suitable functional relationships using land surface temperature and soil volumetric water content, parameters often used for modelling the soil respiration (Cartwright and Hui, 2014; Epron et al., 1999; Gaumont-Guyot et al., 2006; Mielnick and Dugas, 2000). Soil volumetric water content values were taken from the European Space Agency Climate Change Initiative data portal (ESA, http://www.esa-soilmoisture-cci.org/). This data, with a spatial resolution of 0.25°, represents the daily surficial water content of soils (depth < 5 cm) in volumetric units (m³ m⁻³) calculated from the degree of saturation and soil porosity, and was developed by remote sensing methods which correlate the dataset with in-situ measurement stations (Dorigo et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2012). Mean annual land surface temperature values with a spatial resolution of 1 km² were extracted from Hijmans et al. (2005). The calculated \(pCO_2\) was estimated using the annual mean soil volumetric water content (θ) and the global surface temperature by non-linear methods applying the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm (functional relationships are discussed below).

### 3. Results

The compiled dataset is composed of 671 sample points representing different regions of the world where carbonate rocks dominate the catchment. Surface temperature and soil volumetric water content for the 164 selected spring samples range from 0.4 °C to 22.0 °C and from 0.17 m³ m⁻³ to 0.37 m³ m⁻³, respectively. Subsequently, 164 sample points were selected based on the filtering method described in Section 2.1, centered on ideal carbonate dissolution signatures. The selected samples have calcite saturation indices (SI) between −0.4 to 1, with a mean value of 0.2. These samples present a moderate positive linear correlation between alkalinity and surface temperature (\(r^2 = 0.45\), Fig. 3). This relationship is lost if the data selection is not applied, highlighting the difficulty of predicting and understanding complex systems, where water chemistry is influenced by anthropogenic input and evaporite, sulfide or silicate mineral dissolution.

#### 3.1. Stable carbon isotopes

Understanding the behaviour of stable carbon isotopes in spring water samples is useful to obtain information regarding the source of \(CO_2\) and possible processes occurring in the spring water system. From 671 total spring samples only 118 samples reported δ¹³C values, ranging from −20.6‰ to −0.3‰. Consequently, this variable was not used as imperative criteria for filtering the data. Nevertheless, the relationship between δ¹³C and alkalinity and pH allowed to distinguish at least two different groups (Fig. 4): a first group with relatively low values of δ¹³C (less than −4‰) and low alkalinity (<8000μeq L⁻¹) and a second group with elevated values of δ¹³C (higher than −5‰) and alkalinity values larger than 8000μeq L⁻¹.

Spring samples with high δ¹³C and alkalinity may be the result of the dissolution of calcite under the influence of \(CO_2\) from deep sources, or reaction of calcite with strong acids (Li et al., 2008; Yoshimura et al., 2004; Yoshimura et al., 2001), as implied by a generally lower pH values in Fig. 4b. Therefore, the δ¹³C was used as a minor condition to exclude samples possibly influenced by deep \(CO_2\) or hydrothermal processes. The resulting selected spring water samples present a good relationship between δ¹³C and alkalinity (Fig. 4a), where high values of δ¹³C are related to the lowest values of alkalinity reported in these spring samples. This may imply that the isotopic composition of soil \(CO_2\) was controlled by biological respiration and microbial oxidation of organic matter (δ¹³C approximately −25‰ for C3 plants), and atmospheric \(CO_2\) (δ¹³C between −9 and −8‰), as suggested by Cerling et al. (1991) where low respiration rate leads to higher δ¹³C for soil \(CO_2\). Moreover, the high values of δ¹³C in spring samples also may imply a significant degassing of \(CO_2\) from the springs before sampling (Deines et al., 1974).

The δ¹³C of selected spring samples behaves as predicted by models of calcite dissolution under open and closed system conditions if degassing is considered (Deines et al., 1974). However, in order to differentiate between closed and open system conditions the isotopic composition of the initial \(CO_2\) (soil \(CO_2\)) has to be known because it represents the initial condition in the calculations.

#### 3.2. Calculated \(pCO_2\)

The soil-rock \(pCO_2\) obtained by applying the inverse method
Section 2.2 is different from the spring water pCO2 (Fig. 5), calculated with PHREEQC using ‘Phreeqc.dat’ database and the equilibrium method (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999). Spring water pCO2 represents the pCO2 at equilibrium with the pH and alkalinity of the spring water at the time the water sample was taken. The soil-rock pCO2 considering an open system condition is similar to the spring water pCO2 values (Fig. 5a). Oversaturated samples show higher soil-rock pCO2 values than spring water pCO2, and undersaturated solutions plot on the 1:1 line (Fig. 5a) because the saturation index with respect calcite (SI) is near 0. When a closed system condition is considered, the soil-rock pCO2 values are much higher than the spring water pCO2 (Fig. 5b), but again oversaturated samples show on average elevated pCO2 values. These differences, based on the calcite saturation index (SI), are the result of considering equilibrium with calcite (SI of 0) in the soil-rock system with the inverse method (see method in Section 2.2). The available δ13C data may imply that degassing of CO2 in spring water is relevant in some cases (see discussion in Section 3.1). If degassing takes place, the calculated equilibrium pCO2 for the spring water decreases while increasing the saturation with respect to calcite. However, precipitation of calcite may not occur always immediately because the degassing rate of CO2 is significantly higher than the precipitation rate of calcite (Dreybrodt et al., 1996). Hence, the soil-rock pCO2 should be calculated applying the inverse method presented in this study (considering SI = 0) using the chemical composition of spring samples rather than calculating the pCO2 at equilibrium with the spring water.

The soil-rock pCO2 under closed conditions ranges from 0.014 atm to 0.18 atm, and is thus clearly higher than open system conditions which range from 0.00049 atm to 0.059 atm (Fig. 6). The soil-rock pCO2 considering an open system fits in the range proposed by Yoshimura et al. (2001) for soil pCO2 for average Japanese soils (Fig. 6), unlike the closed system pCO2, which shows even higher values than would be expected for humus soil conditions (Yoshimura et al., 2001). The closed condition presents high values of pCO2 at low temperature (near 3°C); where less soil respiration is expected due to a decrease in biological activity. This implies that the underground production of CO2 related to CaCO3-H2O-CO2 system should lead to a partial pressure of approximately 0.01 atm, if a closed system is considered. However, the minimum value of pCO2 calculated considering an open system (0.00049 atm) is close to atmospheric pCO2, which is comparable to results from respiration models (Cerling et al., 1991; Frisia et al., 2011; Lellei-Kovács et al., 2016; Suseela et al., 2012).

To further investigate the role of controlling variables on the calculated soil-rock pCO2, a function (Eq. (10)) depending on temperature and soil volumetric water content (θ), variables commonly used in soil respiration models to explain soil respiration rates (Banerjee et al., 2016; Mielnick and Dugas, 2000), is fitted with the objective to
introduce another dimension on the controls of soil-rock pCO₂ for open system conditions. The dependency of soil respiration on soil volumetric water content is commonly represented as a polynomial function, presenting low respiration fluxes for both low and high values of soil volumetric water content. Soil respiration, generally, has maximum rates for soil volumetric water contents between 0.2 and 0.4 m³m⁻³ (Banerjee et al., 2016; Ilstedt et al., 2000). In addition, temperature controls biological activity and thus influences soil pCO₂. Soil respiration is often described as being directly proportional to temperature (Lellei-Kovács et al., 2016; Lloyd and Taylor, 1994). Therefore, the function developed to calculate the logarithm of the partial pressure of CO₂ in the soil (log₁₀ pCO₂) is represented by the following equation and based on findings from Fig. 7:

\[
\log_{10} p_{CO_2} = \frac{e^{(b_1 \theta + b_3)} \cdot (1 + e^{b_2 T})}{b_4} + \log_{10} p_{CO_2_{atm}}
\]

(10)

where \(\theta\) represents the mean annual volumetric water content (v/v); \(T\) is the mean annual surface temperature in degrees Celsius, \(\log_{10} p_{CO_2_{atm}}\) is the logarithm of partial pressure of CO₂ in the atmosphere (a value of \(-3.4\) was considered in this work); \(b_1, b_2, b_3\) and \(b_4\) are fitted constants with average values of \(-3.0\pm0.7, -0.25\pm0.04, 0.09\pm0.03\) and \(-0.34\pm0.05\), respectively. A random distribution of residuals is observed, indicating that the regression is not biased by the distribution of applied variables (Fig. 8). The standard deviation of the function calculated by residuals is \(\pm0.3\) (log₁₀ pCO₂), resulting in an interval of \(\pm0.6\) (log₁₀ pCO₂) for the 90% confidence level of the fitted function. The \(R^2\) between calculated soil-rock pCO₂ by the inverse method and the estimated pCO₂ by the fitted function is 0.67, and thus significantly higher than the linear correlation between alkalinity and surface temperature with \(r^2=0.45\), which does not consider soil volumetric water content.

The lower limit in Eq. (10) is considered to be the pCO₂ concentration in the atmosphere, where soil respiration is low and atmospheric CO₂ diffuses from the atmosphere into the soil (Dörr and Münnich, 1980). Furthermore, a maximum threshold for soil pCO₂ is established based on the spring water chemistry, which may represent the limit of biological activity. However, it is not possible to predict pCO₂ at higher land temperatures (\(>20°C\)) due to the absence of data. Therefore, global applications might be limited by the range of temperature (3 to 19°C) and soil volumetric water content (from 0.17 to 0.37 m³m⁻³) in the applied dataset for the development of the function. The function may also be only applicable for catchments dominated by carbonate rocks, until further studies confirm the identified pattern.
4. Discussion

This work shows that spring water samples can be used to estimate an average soil-rock $p$CO$_2$, considering open system conditions. The proposed model-framework can be used to estimate alkalinity fluxes out of the soil-rock system using climate relevant parameters like temperature and soil water content, which are forcing factors on soil respiration rates and thus CO$_2$ production. With this it is possible to link alkalinity exported from a soil-rock system into a river system with climate forcing. This framework can be improved if more data from climate regions are included, for which now a gap exist.

Data selection was an imperative step in order to apply the presented inverse soil-rock $p$CO$_2$ calculation method. For instance, relevant dissolution of evaporite, sulfide and silicate minerals would result into different values as estimated based on an ideal calcium carbonate system with respect to alkalinity concentrations and CO$_2$-consumption. In a system at equilibrium with gypsum, calcite, water and CO$_2$ the alkalinity concentrations are approximately 40% lower than in a pure calcite-water-CO$_2$ system (Fig. 9), because of the common ion effect, where Ca$^{2+}$ concentration depends on dissolution of both minerals. The presented analysis framework can, however, be enhanced by further relevant processes or above named mineral groups, which influence the alkalinity production, if sufficient constraints can be implemented. But this demands that the sources of sulfate (gypsum versus sulfide) need to be known to account for the different effects of both mineral groups (sulfur isotopes are one measure to achieve this). In addition, $^{813}$C isotope data can be employed to constrain processes like degassing, contribution of deep CO$_2$ sources, or the role of ecosystem composition and its contribution to the CO$_2$-budget.

The spring water chemistry in karst regions may be developed under different system conditions (open, closed and semi-open conditions) and with influence of different CO$_2$ sources (Fig. 4). However, results
based on the filtered data suggest a dominance of open system conditions (Fig. 6). However, previous studies have found spring water samples from karstic areas which might have developed under semi-open system conditions (Calmels et al., 2014; Geldern et al., 2015).

4.1. Parameterization for estimating soil-rock $pCO_2$

The dissolution of soil-rock $CO_2$ into water was considered to be the only hydronium ion contributor for carbonate weathering reactions in the presented analysis framework, without taking into account the contribution of organic acids to the acidification of soils, relevant in organic-rich soils (Thorley et al., 2015). However, soil biological activity promotes $CO_2$ respiration and hence chemical weathering caused by carbonic acid. In addition, in the soil system, without considering organic acids, the rate-determining process of carbonate weathering is the dissolution of $CO_2$ in water, which is regulated by the action of the carbonic anhydrase (CA) enzyme in soils (Liu et al., 2005). Furthermore, carbonate weathering can be enhanced by reacting with sulfuric acid. This acid may be produced naturally by the oxidation of sulfide minerals, or related to anthropogenic sources (Beaulieu et al., 2011; Li et al., 2008; Torres et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015).

Temperature and soil volumetric water content are parameters characteristic of climate conditions, because they play an important role in the budgets of land energy and water balance (Seneviratne et al., 2010). Additionally, the increase in the volumetric water content directly affects microbial activity in the soil, while reducing gaseous and liquid diffusion rates and limiting the supply of oxygen and other gases (Banerjee et al., 2016; Blagodatsky and Smith, 2012). On the other hand, lower values of soil volumetric water content limit the growth rate of plants and the biological activity in the soil (Istedt et al., 2000). These conditions explain the shape of the estimated soil-rock $pCO_2$ by using land surface temperature and soil water content as predictors for open system conditions (Fig. 7), exhibiting a maximum $pCO_2$ value in soils with volumetric water content between 0.2 and 0.4 $m^3 m^{-3}$. The relatively good correlation of soil-rock $pCO_2$ solely with temperature (Fig. 6) demonstrates that soil-rock $pCO_2$ is highly sensitive to climate variability. Thus, it is possible to estimate the soil-rock $pCO_2$ only by temperature, when water availability is not a limiting variable, as found by Schwendenmann and Veldkamp (2006) in tropical forests, where the $CO_2$ was highly correlated with temperature. The compilation used in this work misses values for low and high water contents and high annual land temperatures (higher than 20°C), and it remains therefore unclear if with new data the $pCO_2$ function based on water content and temperature would result in different $pCO_2$ values than calculated here.

The soil-rock $pCO_2$ calculated in this work is representative of depths where weathering reactions occur in carbonate lithologies, because it was calculated after applying a data filtering in order to exclude spring samples under the influence of $CO_2$ from deep sources and hydrothermal processes. Therefore, the soil-rock $pCO_2$ functions presented in this work represent the $pCO_2$ of interest for weathering reactions comparable to other functions such as the one reported by Brook et al. (1983), in which the soil $CO_2$ at different depths was correlated with actual annual evapotranspiration (AET) and atmospheric $pCO_2$ ($pCO_2 atm$) by the following equation:

$$\log_{10}(pCO_2) = \log_{10}(pCO_2 atm) + 2.09(1 - e^{-0.00172AET})$$

(11)

As there exist not many approaches to estimate global patterns of soil $pCO_2$, the values derived from this work based on Eq. (10) are compared for a plausibility test with results obtained using the equation presented by Brook et al. (1983). Global data for AET and annual water content was taken from the Global Land Evaporation Amsterdam Model (GLEAMv3.0). This model consists of a set of algorithms that calculate the different components of terrestrial hydrological water cycle based on satellite observations (Martens et al., 2016; Miralles et al., 2011). Results obtained applying Eq. (10) based on this work shows the imprint of temperature and soil water content. For cold regions, low soil $pCO_2$ are predicted while some humid areas close to the equator show highest soil $pCO_2$ values (Fig. 10a). Soil $pCO_2$ in arid areas are lower than in humid tropical areas due to low water content values. The soil $pCO_2$ map obtained using Eq. (11) after Brook et al. (1983) with AET as predictor (Fig. 10b) shows a similar spatial pattern as results from Eq. (10). However, differences are observed in low temperature regions and deserts. The Brook et al. (1983) function was created comparing mean annual values of actual annual evapotranspiration with soil $CO_2$ measured during the growing seasons. This implies that in low temperature regions Eq. (11) may overestimate the real mean annual values. Frisia et al. (2011) found that soil $pCO_2$ from the Grotta di Ernesto region, classified as mesothermic-humid climate, can decrease significantly during the winter season (< 5°C) reaching values close to atmospheric $pCO_2$. This behaviour is not represented by Eq. (11). Despite the general good agreement in the patterns and ranges of $pCO_2$ at the global scale, the formulation of Eq. (10) may be a better approach for parameterization of the mean annual soil $CO_2$ in cold regions, and it can be applied not only to represent mean annual values but to represent seasonal changes, because it will follow temperature changes and water content changes. The simple formulation for a soil $pCO_2$ function allows constraining the $pCO_2$ by climate variables without the need of a complex ecosystem model, which has advantages for the study of changes at the global scale over longer time scales for which such a function is representative.

4.2. Global applications

The difference in carbonate rock-soil $pCO_2$ is mainly influenced by

![Fig. 10. Comparison of global soil $pCO_2$ maps using two different equations: (a) this study, using Eq. (10) based on temperature and soil water content for open system conditions, and (b) Eq. (11) based on actual annual evapotranspiration (Brook et al., 1983). Colour bar shows log$_{10}$log$_{10}$ values. Value ranges of applied data for deriving functions for predicted soil-rock $pCO_2$ should limit the application area. Appendix A shows the areas which have value ranges for land surface temperature and soil volumetric water content within the ranges of the dataset used in Eq. (10).](image-url)
the biological activity in the soil system, which in turn depends on the temperature and water availability. As a result, the pCO\textsubscript{2} estimation framework developed in this work may be a good predictor for soil-rock pCO\textsubscript{2} at a global scale for carbonate rock systems, and possible for other systems in general. Nevertheless, the available data should be enhanced by data from karst areas in high and low temperature regions, and regions with extreme conditions for soil volumetric water content, to ascertain a valid global application (Appendix A, Fig. A.1). If the framework holds for non-carbonate rock conditions, it might be applicable to a much wider range of land areas in general. It should also be considered to enhance the model framework by further factors, which are relevant for weathering products from carbonate sedimentary rocks in general, namely evaporite, sulfide and silicate minerals.

The present framework produces a soil-rock pCO\textsubscript{2}, which is comparable in its dependency of temperature and soil water content as implemented in soil respiration flux models, and mechanistically consistent with complex ecosystem functioning. An implementation into global weathering models would allow to represent ecosystem functioning, but would not demand the computational power of incorporating explicit results from complex ecosystem models.

In this way, it might be possible to improve current phenomenological global weathering models in order to consider the soil-rock partial pressure of CO\textsubscript{2} as an important constraint, because it represents a major source of acidity to the water-soil-rock system and its concentration depends on climate conditions. In parallel to the implementation of the new parameterization for soil-rock pCO\textsubscript{2} into global weathering models, results should be compared with measurements of CO\textsubscript{2} in the soil-rock system under a wide range of temperature and soil water content conditions.

5. Conclusions

The chemistry of spring waters in areas dominated by carbonate rocks retains a climate and ecosystem signature, suggesting dissolution of minerals under open system conditions with respect to soil-rock CO\textsubscript{2}. Therefore, the chemistry of spring waters from catchments dominated by calcium carbonate rocks can be used to estimate the soil-rock pCO\textsubscript{2}.

The parameterization for a soil-rock pCO\textsubscript{2} represents an averaged condition of the catchment of the spring waters, and it is centered on model behaviour for soil respiration fluxes. The approach can be employed in studies aimed to quantify calcite weathering fluxes from the terrestrial system into the fluvial system. The presented framework could be enhanced for global scale application to reproduce common settings including dolomite, evaporite and sulfide weathering processes, if additional data sources are compiled and available. As current phenomenological models for prediction of global carbonate weathering do not consider soil pCO\textsubscript{2} as a constraining variable, new approaches may consider soil-rock pCO\textsubscript{2} forced by climate sensitive variables to better understand the control of climate change on carbonate weathering.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.01.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.01.030).
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Appendix A. Temperature and soil water content ranges

Fig. A.1. Distribution of areas within the range of land temperature (0.4 to 22.0 °C) and soil water content (0.17 to 0.37 m\textsuperscript{-3} m\textsuperscript{-3}) of the 164 selected spring samples. Blue indicates the regions with the same range and in gray are the regions with different land temperature or soil water content.
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