REPLY TO LI ET AL.: Human societies began to play a significant role in global sediment transfer 4,000 years ago
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In a recent study (1), we conducted a global synthesis of long-term records of reconstructed sediment accumulation rates (SARs) in lakes and diagnosed that a dominant anthropogenic imprint on soil erosion rates started ~4,000 y ago. Our approach was based on the assumption that lake SARs are watershed integrators of terrestrial biogeochemical responses to atmospheric (climate) and land surface dynamics spanning several millennia (2–4). Our statistical analysis was based on SAR reconstructions for the last 12,000 y (1), global pollen records spanning the same period to infer past vegetation changes (5), and model-based climate reconstructions from transient Holocene simulation with MPI-ESM-1.2LR developed at the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology (6), which has been previously used for analysis of coupled climate–carbon–vegetation dynamics (7).

Li et al. (8) suggest that complex “human–vegetation–climate” interactions in the Late Holocene may have biased pollen-inferred climate reconstructions. Hence, these pollen records may not be appropriate to reconstruct climate variations in addition to land cover changes. Climate can be reconstructed from pollen records (see, e.g., ref. 9), but we have chosen not to apply those data because they were already used in our study for land cover reconstruction. In fact, to avoid circularity and standardize our approach, climate time series for the past 8,000 y (i.e., average, minimum and maximum of annual precipitation, surface air temperature, and wind) were instead collected for all sites from model simulations with the MPI-ESM-1.2LR, which does not include or assimilate the pollen records.

Li et al. (8) question the climate model selection in our study. Forcing (greenhouse gas vs. orbital) is minor; consequently, climate changes are also minor in all models. Other model reconstructions can be investigated, but, regardless of which climate reconstructions are used, the climate changes over the last 4,000 y are minor until the beginning of the 20th century. Thus, the anthropogenic factor (i.e., significant tree cover reduction synchronous to the increases in SAR trends) emerges as the dominant driver of SARs.

In our study, we show that pollen records from European and North American lakes have been affected by human activities during the Holocene, hence recording a combination of a climate and a human signal. Li et al. (8) suggest that pollen records from European and North American sites are less appropriate for climate reconstructions compared with records from remote areas. This discussion goes beyond the conclusions of our paper. The subset of sites in ref. 1 includes lake systems only, hence representing only a small fraction of all pollen archives stored in the pollen database, for example, not including bogs, ponds, swamps, soils, estuaries, or alluvial systems. Hence, our subset of pollen records is not representative of the total pollen records available, and it does not seem appropriate to discuss the climate reconstruction methodologies. Other papers discuss climate reconstruction methodologies from pollen records (9), but this was not within the scope of our paper.
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