Corrigendum: CERESMIP: a climate modeling protocol to investigate recent trends in the Earth’s Energy Imbalance
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A corrigendum on
CERESMIP: a climate modeling protocol to investigate recent trends in the Earth’s Energy Imbalance


In the published article, there was an error in affiliation 17. Instead of “Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Environment, Aspendale, VIC, Australia”, it should be “Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Environment, Aspendale, VIC, Australia”. Additionally, author David Paynter had the incorrect affiliation specified, rather than 4 “NASA Langley Research Center”, it is 5 “NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory”. 
In the published article, there was an error in the Funding statement. The support of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science was omitted and a part of the funding statement was incorrectly included in the Acknowledgments. The correct Funding and Acknowledgments statements appear below.
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The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.
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