

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

The carbon budget of terrestrial ecosystems in East Asia over the last two decades

S. Piao^{1,2}, A. Ito³, S. Li⁴, Y. Huang⁵, P. Ciais⁶, X. Wang¹, S. Peng¹, R. J. Andres⁷, J. Fang^{1,5}, S. Jeong⁸, J. Mao⁷, A. Mohammat⁹, H. Muraoka¹⁰, H. Nan¹, C. Peng^{11,12}, P. Peylin⁶, X. Shi⁷, S. Sitch¹³, S. Tao¹, H. Tian¹⁴, M. Xu⁴, G. Yu⁴, N. Zeng¹⁵, and B. Zhu¹⁶

¹College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China ²Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China ³Center for Global Environmental Research, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8506, Japan

⁴Institute of Geographic Science and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

⁵Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiangshan, Beijing 100093, China ⁶Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA CNRS UVSQ, 91191 Gif sur Yvette, France

⁷Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6290, USA

4025

⁸Climate Physics Laboratory, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea

⁹Xinjiang institute of ecology and geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China ¹⁰River Basin Research Center, Gifu University, Gifu 501-1193, Japan

¹¹Laboratory for Ecological Forecasting and Global Change, College of Forestry, Northwest A & F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China

¹²ECCOREV FR 3098, CNRS/Aix-Marseille Université, BP 80, 13545 Aix-en-Provence Cedex 4, France

¹³School of Geography, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QF, UK

¹⁴Ecosystem Dynamics and Global Ecology Laboratory, School of Forestry and Wildlife Sciences, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA

¹⁵Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20740, USA

¹⁶Department of Horticulture, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

Received: 27 February 2012 - Accepted: 14 March 2012 - Published: 29 March 2012

Correspondence to: S. Piao (slpiao@pku.edu.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Paper

Abstract

This REgional Carbon Cycle Assessment and Processes regional study provides a synthesis of the carbon balance of terrestrial ecosystems in East Asia, a region comprised of China, Japan, North- and South-Korea, and Mongolia. We estimate the cur-

- ⁵ rent terrestrial carbon balance of East Asia and its driving mechanisms during 1990– 2009 using three different approaches: inventories combined with satellite greenness measurements, terrestrial ecosystem carbon cycle models and atmospheric inversion models. The magnitudes of East Asia's natural carbon sink from these three approaches are comparable: $-0.264 \pm 0.033 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ from inventory-remote sensing model-data fusion approach, $-0.393 \pm 0.141 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (not considering biofuel emis-
- ¹⁰ model-data fusion approach, $-0.393 \pm 0.141 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (not considering biofuel emissions) or $-0.204 \pm 0.141 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (considering biofuel emissions) for carbon cycle models, and $-0.270 \pm 0.507 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ for atmospheric inverse models. The ensemble of ecosystem modeling based analyses further suggests that at the regional scale, climate change and rising atmospheric CO₂ together resulted in a carbon sink of
- $_{15}$ -0.289 ± 0.135 Pg C yr⁻¹, while land use change and nitrogen deposition had a contribution of -0.013 ± 0.029 Pg C yr⁻¹ and -0.107 ± 0.025 Pg C yr⁻¹, respectively. Although the magnitude of climate change effects on the carbon balance varies among different models, all models agree that in response to climate change alone, southern China experienced an increase in carbon storage from 1990 to 2009, while northern
- East Asia including Mongolia and north China showed a decrease in carbon storage. Overall, our results suggest that about 13–26% of East Asia's CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel burning have been offset by carbon accumulation in its terrestrial ecosystems over the period from 1990 to 2009. The underlying mechanisms of carbon sink over East Asia still remain largely uncertain, given the diversity and intensity of land
- management processes, and the regional conjunction of many drivers such as nutrient deposition, climate, atmospheric pollution and CO₂ changes, which cannot be considered as independent for their effects on carbon storage.

4027

1 Introduction

Quantifying the ability of regional terrestrial ecosystems to remove anthropogenic CO₂ emissions brings understanding of the global carbon cycle and provides option for policy (Gurney et al., 2009). The East Asia region in RECCAP (Canadell et al., 2011) includes China, Japan, North and South Korea, and Mongolia) located in the East

- Eurasian continent in the Northern Hemisphere. This region covers a land area of 12×10^{6} km² and a range of 49-degree latitude and 72-degree longitude amounting to 28% of the Asian continent land area. The population of East Asia has increased by 40.46 million (China, Japan and South Korea contributes 92.8%, 2.5% and 2.7%
- of total increase respectively) since 1980 (UN, 2008). East Asia has also been characterized by rapid economic development and fast GDP increase. According to data from World Bank, China alone accounted for approximately 11 % of the increase in total global GDP from 1980 to 2009, and Japan contributed another 8.6 % (World Bank, 2009). Fossil-fuel emissions of CO₂ in East-Asia is rising significantly with GDP, with
- ¹⁵ moderate gains in the carbon intensity (ratio of emissions-to-GDP) (Raupach et al., 2007). Based on the recent IEA statistics of CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion (International Energy Agency, 2011), East Asian fossil fuel CO₂ emissions observably increased by a factor of two between 1990 and 2009, to reach an average source of 1.5 Pg C yr⁻¹ to the atmosphere from 1990 to 2009 (Fig. 1). This regional emission rep-
- resents a fraction of about 23% of global fossil fuel CO₂ emissions during the same period, and this fraction increased from 18% in 1990 to 30% in 2009 (International Energy Agency, 2011). Such a rapid increase in Fossil-fuel emissions is the first important motivation for studying the carbon balance over East Asia.
- The second important motivation for studying the carbon balance over East Asia is the rapid land use change going on in this region. For example, the world's faster urbanization has occurred in East Asia since the 1990s. The World Urbanization Prospects (2009) shows that the percentage of urban population (the ratio of the urban population to the total population of a given region) in East Asia increased from 32 % in

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

1990 to 50 % in 2010 (UN, 2009; Sun et al., 2010), still growing. In 2025, Tokyo, Japan is likely to become the largest city in the world, with its population approaching 37.1 million. Shanghai, China is projected to be the 9th largest city, with a population of 15 million (UN, 2009). Besides urbanization trends, East Asia also experiences large af-

- ⁵ forestation over the last three decades. Based on the latest report of FAO on Global Forest Resources Assessment (FAO, 2010), the annual change in forest area of East Asia increased from 1.76 × 10⁶ ha in 1990–2000 to 2.78 × 10⁶ ha in 2000–2010. It is worth noting that East Asia has the highest yearly growth in forest area over 2000– 2010 (1.2% per year) among all countries (FAO, 2010). Such afforestation mainly oc-
- curs in China, whereas Japan and Korea are already highly forested countries (68% and 65% of forest cover in early 1990s, respectively) (FAO, 2010). The Chinese government developed several large-scale forest plantations programs (e.g. Three-North Protective Forest Program, Taihang Mountains Greening Project, South China Timber Program, the Pearl River Protective Forest Project, and the Yangtze River Protective
- ¹⁵ Forest Project) since the late 1970s (Shen, 1999), leading to an increase of forest area at $1.6 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ over the last two decades. The annual increasing rate of Chinese forest area increased from 1.99×10^6 ha per year during 1990–2000 to 2.99×10^6 ha per year during 2000–2010 (FAO, 2010). In Japan, forestation programs were developed after the World War II for providing timbers construction materials. Because of drastic
- shifts in life style and industrial structure, these young forests are harvested for less than their annual wood increment, resulting in carbon sequestration mainly in woody biomass (Fang et al., 2005).

The third important motivation for studying the carbon balance over East Asia concerns regional climate trends. As a sensitive region of the climate system (Fu et al.,

25 2006; Piao et al., 2010), East Asia experienced significant climate changes in the past decades. According to CRU climate data (Mitchell and Jones, 2005), mean annual temperature over East Asia has increased by 0.04 °C yr⁻¹ over the last three decades, a higher rate than the observed global land surface temperature trend (0.03 °C yr⁻¹) (Fig. 2). Associated with this warming, significant changes in precipitation pattern are

observed (Fig. 2). The drier northern China (except the northwest part) has been receiving less precipitation in summer and autumn, whereas the wetter southern China has seen more rainfall during summer and winter (Piao et al., 2010).

- The fourth important motivation for studying the carbon balance over East Asia is the rapid change in atmospheric composition caused by industrial and agricultural emissions from this region. The concentration of reactive nitrogen deposition has doubled worldwide and is five times higher than 1860 level in East Asia as a result of intensive fertilizer use, and fossil fuel burning (Galloway et al., 2004; Churkina et al., 2007). For instance, dry deposition of NO₂ in China rose by about 8 % from 1990 to 2003 (Lü and
- ¹⁰ Tian, 2007). Apart from nitrogen deposition, tropospheric ozone pollution also characterizes atmospheric composition changes over East Asia. Because East Asia, particularly China, is on the road of rapid economic development, the emissions of ozone precursors that were still at low concentrations in the 1970s dramatically increased in the past decade (Richter et al., 2005) and are larger than North American and Eu-
- ¹⁵ ropean emissions (Akimoto, 2003a). Some Chinese regions such as the North China Plain, the Yangtze River Delta and the Pearl River Delta are significantly affected by ozone pollution (Dufour et al., 2010). At the end of the last century, tropospheric ozone concentrations of these areas have reached a high level larger than any other areas of the northern mid-latitudes (Oltmans et al., 1998; Lee et al., 1998) and are projected to ²⁰ further increase in the future (Akimoto, 2003b).
- There is no doubt that the changes in regional economic and climatic drivers of ecosystem CO_2 fluxes mentioned above affect the carbon balance of East Asia. In comparison to other regions such as Europe (Janssens et al., 2003; Ciais et al., 2010) and North America (Pacala et al., 2001; Crevoisier et al., 2010), our knowledge on the
- carbon budget of terrestrial ecosystems in East Asia remains rather limited, because most studies focused mainly on national C budget estimates (Piao et al., 2010; Ichii et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2011). Recently, Piao et al. (2011) used three different terrestrial carbon cycle models to estimate changes in the carbon balance of East Asian ecosystems over the last century, but this study only considered climate and rising

atmospheric CO_2 forcing. The primary objective of this paper is to quantify the C balance of East Asia's terrestrial ecosystems over the last two decades as well as its drivers and uncertainties. To do so, we use three different approaches: a bottom-up approach derived from biomass and soil carbon inventory data, combined with satellite

observation of vegetation greenness index (NDVI: Normalized Difference Vegetation Index), terrestrial ecosystem carbon cycle models, and a top-down approach based on atmospheric CO₂ observation data and inversion of atmospheric transport.

2 Methods

2.1 Inventory and satellite based estimation

- East Asia contains almost all major forest types of the Northern Hemisphere including tropical rain forest, subtropical evergreen broadleaf forest, deciduous broadleaf forest, broadleaf and needleleaf mixed forest and deciduous needleleaf forest from south to north. According to the latest report of FAO on Global Forest Resources Assessment (FAO, 2010), the total forest area of East Asia is about 2.54 × 10⁸ ha in 2010, account-
- ¹⁵ ing for about 6 % of global total forest area. In this study, forest biomass carbon sink is assessed based on FAO repots (FAO, 2010) and previous published estimates. We only selected literature data that used national forest inventories to calculate forest biomass change. The relatively systematic and spatially extensive forest inventory data provide one of the key sources for estimating the basic elements of forest C stock and stock
- ²⁰ change at the country scale, although there are large uncertainties associated to allometry, non-measured soil C pools, and sampling of disturbed forest (Phillips et al., 2000; Pan et al., 2004, 2011).

Grassland is a widespread vegetation type in East Asia. Temperate grasslands are distributed in arid and semi-arid regions, while cold alpine grassland spread mainly

over the Tibetan Plateau, and some high elevation mountainous area. Here, we estimate grassland biomass change using satellite NDVI observations, and the empirical

approach developed by Piao et al. (2007). The NDVI data used are from the Global Inventory Monitoring and Modeling Studies (GIMMS) group derived from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (NOAA/AVHRR) Land data set, at a spatial resolution of $8 \times 8 \text{ km}^2$ and 15-day inter-

- val, for the period January 1982 to December 2009 (Tucker et al., 2005). Information on the fractional coverage of grassland in China was derived from the Map of Grassland Resources in China at 1: 4 000 000 scale (Commission for Integrated Survey of Natural Resources, 1996), and information in other regions is from the UMD Global Land Cover Classification at 8 × 8 km² resolution (DeFries et al., 1998).
- Shrublands in East Asia mainly distributed in China (DeFries et al., 1998), with an area approximating 2.1 × 10⁷ ha (Commission for Integrated Survey of Natural Resources, 1996) mainly dispersed over mountainous area, in particular southwestern, southern and northeastern China. Similar to the estimation of grassland biomass change, a satellite-based empirical approach (Piao et al., 2009) was applied to estimate biomass change for shrubland in East Asia.
 - Carbon accumulated in wood products must be considered in the estimation of regional carbon balance (Ciais et al., 2008). In Europe, wood products represent a C sink of $-0.024 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (Ciais et al., 2008). Based on FAO data (http://www.fao.org/ waicent/portal/statistics_en.asp), the wood products in East Asia are about 43% of
- those in Europe. As distinguishing long-lived and short-lived wood products requires detailed wood product statistics in categories, which are not accessible for all East Asian countries, we apply the ratio of wood production to carbon storage change in wood products estimated in Europe (Kohlmaier et al., 2007; Ciais et al., 2008) to estimate the C sink of wood products in East Asia.
- Soils are the largest source of uncertainty in the terrestrial ecosystem carbon balance at regional and country scales, as one lacks data from repeated inventories (Huang et al., 2010). Here, change in soil carbon storage of natural ecosystems (forest, shrubland, and grassland) over East Asia is estimated using biomass change estimated for each biome as specified above, and the ratio of soil-to-biomass carbon storage

Paper | Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

change in China reported in previous studies (Piao et al., 2009; Tian et al., 2011). For cropland, soil organic carbon (SOC) changes and uncertainties are provided through a synthesis of literature data (Huang et al., 2010).

It has been suggested that riverine export of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and particulate organic carbon (POC) makes a considerable contribution to the budget of carbon stock (Meybeck et al., 2006; Ciais et al., 2006). For major catchments, the amounts of riverine DOC and POC export are derived from a global synthesis by Meybeck et al. (2006).

2.2 Ecosystem models

- Process-based terrestrial ecosystem models have been applied to assess the dynam-10 ics of the terrestrial carbon cycle (Morales et al., 2005). Ecosystem model results, however, generally depend to an unknown extent on model parameter values (Mitchell et al., 2009), climate and soil forcing data (Zhao et al., 2011), initial conditions (Carvalhais et al., 2008), and on model structure (Lin et al., 2011), although data assimilation
- techniques are developing and may enable us to determine optimal parameter values in an objective manner (Santaren et al., 2007). Accordingly, analyses with an ensemble of independent models are preferable to assess the uncertainties due to model structure and parameter choices (Friedlingstein et al., 2006; Sitch et al., 2008). In this study, we estimated the carbon balance of terrestrial ecosystems in East Asia using 10
- ecosystem models, HyLand (Levy et al., 2004), Lund-Potsdam-Jena DGVM (Sitch et 20 al., 2003), ORCHIDEE (Krinner et al., 2005), Sheffield-DGVM (Woodward et al., 1995; Woodward and Lomas, 2004), TRIFFID (Cox, 2001), LPJ_GUESS (Smith et al., 2001), NCAR_CLM4CN (Oleson et al., 2010; Lawrence et al., 2010), OCN (Zaehle and Friend, 2010), VEGAS (Zeng, 2003; Zeng et al., 2005), and VISIT (Ito, 2008). Detailed descrip-
- tion of the surface fluxes of CO₂, water and the dynamics of water and carbon pools in response to environmental change in each model could be found in corresponding literatures. Previous studies (e.g. Tao and Zhang, 2010; Tan et al., 2010) have applied

4033

some of these models in estimating vegetation and carbon dynamics over different parts of the East Asia.

Following the historical climate carbon cycle model intercomparison project (Trendy) protocol (http://dgvm.ceh.ac.uk/system/files/Trendy_protocol%20_Nov2011_0.

- pdf), each model was run from its equilibrium (assumed at the beginning of the 1900s) to 2009. All the models consider change in climate and rising atmospheric CO₂ concentration (simulation S2), while 9 of 10 models run a factorial simulation considering only rising atmospheric CO₂ (simulation S1). Only three models account for N-limitation on vegetation productivity (Sheffield-DGVM, NCAR_CLM4CN and OCN). The spatial resolution of each simulation differs among models (Table 1).
- The historical changes in atmospheric CO₂ for the period 1901-2009 are derived from ice core records and atmospheric observations (Keeling and Whorf, 2005). For the climate forcing datasets, monthly climate data for the period 1901-2009 from CRU-NCEP datasets with a spatial resolution 0.5° × 0.5° (http://dods.extra.cea.fr/data/ p529viov/cruncep/) were used in all models. Information on atmospheric nitrogen de-
- position for NCAR_CLM4CN and OCN was taken from Dentener et al. (2006).

2.3 Atmospheric inversion models

The spatio-temporal characterization of atmospheric CO₂ concentration between different stations provides integrated constraints to the net land-atmosphere CO₂ ex-

- change. Inverse models, referred to as the "top-down" approach, infer spatial patterns of land-atmosphere CO₂ flux and its variability using atmospheric CO₂ concentration measurements made at a surface network of about 100 stations, atmospheric transport modelling, and prior information on the land and ocean fluxes, and on fossil fuel CO₂ emissions in the case of Bayesian synthesis inversions (Enting et al.,
- 1995; Gurney et al., 2002; Peylin et al., 2005). There are large uncertainties in inversions estimates of regional CO₂ fluxes, particularly for a region like East Asia where the surface network is sparse (9 stations over North Asia). Inversion results are also sensitive to biases in transport-models and to biases in the assumed magnitude and

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

distribution of fossil-fuel emissions (Peylin et al., 2005; Gurney et al., 2005). One advantage, however, of inversions is that they provide an estimation encompassing all surface sources and sinks of CO_2 , in principle with an uncertainty which propagates random error on prior fluxes, and on atmospheric measurements and models (Enting

- et al., 1995). By contrast with the top-down approach of inversions, there is a risk of bias in omitting important processes or ecosystems (e.g. wetlands and urban ecosystems) in inventories and ecosystem carbon cycle modeling (bottom-up approaches) described above. Here, we provide carbon balance estimates from seven inversions, carried out by the TRANSCOM (Baker et al., 2006) modelers, and made available for
- the RECCAP project. The inversions giving CO₂ flux estimates for at least 10 yr during 1990–2009 are adopted in our study (Peylin et al., 2011). They are: C13_CCAM, C13_MATCH, JENA_S96, JMA_2010, NICAM, NIES, and PYVAR. In addition, the net CO₂ land-atmosphere flux estimated by the CarbonTracker (CTRACKER_US, Peters et al., 2007) in 2000–2009 are also considered. The study period of each inverse model simulation is provided in Table 2.
 - In atmospheric inversions, uncertainties treated as Gaussian purely random errors formally account for uncertain prior fluxes, uncertain atmospheric measurements and uncertain capabilities of transport models to represent these measurements (see Ciais et al., 2010 for instance for an overview). In the RECCAP inversions used in this study
- over East Asia, however, the optimized flux does not account, for prior flux uncertainties in fossil fuel combustion CO₂ emissions. In other words, each inversion prescribes to the atmospheric transport model fossil fuel emissions assumed of perfectly known global magnitude and spatio-temporal distribution. To minimize the influence of intermodel differences in assumed fossil fuel emission in estimating land-atmospheric CO₂
- flux, adjustments have been made by adding posterior land-atmospheric flux of each model with the difference between assumed fossil fuel emissions by the model and the common fossil fuel emissions (EDGAR3.2 Fast Track 2000 emission database, Olivier et al., 2001). In order to account for regional fossil fuel CO₂ emissions uncertainty, which are particularly large in the case of a fast developing economy such as China

(Gregg et al., 2008), we added to the inversions uncertainties from the RECCAP-East Asia study the estimated standard error (one σ) of fossil fuel emissions of each East Asian countries (8.9% for China and North Korea, 2.0% for Japan, 7.6% for Mongolia and 6.2% for South Korea, R. J. Andres et al., personal communicationm, 2011). The inter-model errors and fossil fuel emissions errors are propagated assuming that they

are independent.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Inventory and satellite based estimation

3.1.1 Forest biomass accumulation

- ¹⁰ In East Asia, forest area is significantly increased from 2.09×10^{6} km² in 1990 to 2.55×10^{6} km² in 2010, most of this increase being in China. According to the latest report of FAO on Global Forest Resources Assessment (FAO, 2010), Chinese forest increased by 2.49×10^{4} km² per year during the period of 1990–2010. Partly in response to expanding forest area, forest biomass in China is estimated to have increased from
- 4.4 PgC in 1990 to 6.2 PgC in 2010, resulting in a net sink of -0.09 PgCyr⁻¹ over the last two decades (FAO, 2010). This estimation based on FAO data is very close to the recent synthesis of Pan et al. (2011) who inferred a biomass carbon sink of -0.06 PgCyr⁻¹ during the 1990s, and that of -0.115 PgCyr⁻¹ during the period of 2000-2007 (average of -0.084 PgCyr⁻¹ over the past two decades). Owing primar-
- ²⁰ ily to the growth of relatively young stands (age of 40–60 yr) Japanese forests are estimated to be a net C sink in the range -0.024--0.019 Pg C yr⁻¹ over the last two decades (FAO, 2010; Pan et al., 2011). In contrast, due to the decrease in forest area in Mongolia (loss of 8.19 × 10² km² per year) and North Korea (loss of 1.27 × 10³ km² per year) during 1990s and 2000s, forest biomass in these two countries has decreased
- $_{25}$ (most likely transformed as CO₂ emitted to the atmosphere) at a rate of 0.004 Pg C yr⁻¹

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

and $0.003 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$, respectively. In South Korea, despite the fact that the area of forest shrunk from $6.37 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ in 1990 to $6.22 \times 10^4 \text{ km}^2$ to 2010, their biomass increased by $0.008-0.009 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (FAO, 2010; Pan et al., 2011), which is related to re-growth of young forests established in the early 1970s (Choi et al., 2004). Over-

all, based on national forest inventory data compiled by FAO, we obtain an average net forest biomass C sink of -0.11 ± 0.006 Pg C yr⁻¹ over East Asia during the period 1990–2009 (Fig. 3). This represents about 9% of the total northern forest C sink (Pan et al., 2011). Compared with Europe and the United States, East Asia's forest biomass C sink was close to those of Europe (-0.14–-0.08 Pg C yr⁻¹) and the United States to the United States (-0.13–-0.12 Pg C yr⁻¹) (FAO, 2010, Pan et al., 2011; Luyssaert et al., 2010).

3.1.2 Shrublands biomass change

Although shrubland ecosystems are widespread in China (Wu, 1980; IGCAS, 1996), there is very limited data available to pertain C stock changes. In North America, the expansion of shrubland ecosystems in arid regions, called "woody encroachment",

- ¹⁵ was estimated to be a sink of -0.12 Pg C yr⁻¹, accounting for 18–34% of the total coterminous US carbon sink (Pacala et al., 2001). In China, changes in energy production systems in rural areas and movement of rural population to cities have likely diminished the collection of fuel wood, and thus accelerated the recovery of shrubland and woodland vegetation. Shrubland were estimated to increase their biomass by
- $_{20}$ 0.022 ± 0.01 Pg C yr⁻¹ over the last two decades of the 20th century (Piao et al., 2009), using an indirect estimation approach based upon site-level data and NDVI trends. Extending the approach of Piao et al. (2009) based on NDVI-biomass regressions between sites extrapolated in time to shrublands over the entire East Asia, we estimate that shrubland biomass C stocks over East Asia have increased with an average sink of
- $_{25}$ -0.024 ± 0.011 Pg C yr⁻¹ and an uncertainty of about 50 % (estimated from the scatter around the linear regression line between NDVI and shrubland biomass at 34 available sites) (Fig. 3).

4037

3.1.3 Grasslands biomass change

Grassland ecosystems in the world may contribute as much as 20% of total terrestrial production and could be a potential C sink (Scurlock and Hall 1998), but the direct evidence is very limited. In China, several studies have suggested that grassland biomass

- significantly increased from early 1980s to late 1990s (Piao et al., 2007), followed by a decreasing trend over the last decade due to an increase in drought driven by reduced summer precipitation and rising temperature and to over grazing by livestock (Jeong et al., 2011; Piao et al., 2011; Peng et al., 2012). Consequently, our NDVI-biomass regression approach (see Methods section) in this study indicates that grassland biomass in
- East Asia is relatively stable with a slight decline $0.001 \pm 0.001 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). It should be noted that the uncertainties of this estimation may be underestimated, since we did not consider the uncertainties of grassland inventory data, satellite time series dataset, and belowground carbon stocks estimation approach (Fan et al., 2008).

3.1.4 Soil carbon changes

- Soils in East Asia contain large carbon stocks, and may play an important role in the regional carbon balance. The increased biomass in forest and shrubland over the last two decades implies that soils may accumulate carbon through increased litterfall during the same period, but there are no observational data from repeated inventories to support this speculation at the regional and country scales. Using the
- ²⁰ ratio of soil-to-biomass carbon sink calculated for forest (0.05–0.2), shrubland (0.35– 1.8), and grassland (0.85–4.4) in China by Piao et al., 2009 and Tian et al., 2011, in combination with biomass stock changes derived in this study, we estimated soil carbon storage change over East Asia of $0.014 \pm 0.009 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ for forests (range from 0.005 to $0.022 \text{ Pg}_{2}^{2} \text{ cyr}^{-1}$), of $0.022 \pm 0.028 \text{ Pg} \text{ Cyr}^{-1}$ for shrublands (range from
- ²⁵ 0.005 to 0.063 Pg C yr⁻¹), and of -0.003 ± 0.004 Pg C yr⁻¹ for grasslands (range from -0.009 to -0.002 Pg C yr⁻¹), respectively (Fig. 3). Compared with natural ecosystems, changes in agricultural practices play a dominant role in controlling cropland soil carbon

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

storage. Several meta-analyses of cropland soil carbon inventory data suggest that the average rate of SOC sequestration in Chinese cropland (area of 130 M ha) was 21.7 ± 4.3 Tg C yr⁻¹ between 1980 and 2000 (Huang et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2010). Due to lack of informa-

tion on change in cropland SOC in China after 2000, we used this value to extrapolate the soil carbon increase for the period of 1990–2010 (Fig. 3). Change in cropland soil carbon storage for the other four countries is not taken into account in this study because of lack of available information, but this may not significantly influence our final results of the magnitude of carbon budget in East Asia due to the relatively small cropleader to the relatively small cropDiscussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

land area in these four countries (9.69 × 10⁴ km² compared to 1.43 × 10⁶ km² in China, Ramankutty et al., 2010).

3.1.5 Wood products change and carbon exported from the land to the ocean

In addition to change in biomass and soil carbon storage, one must account for the carbon accumulated in wood products, a component not included in forest invento-

- ries, but that should be considered in regional estimates of C storage (Pacala et al., 2001). Based on FAO data, we estimated that wood products in China are a sink of -0.010 Pg C yr⁻¹, which is comparable with the current estimate of -0.008 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Kohlmaier et al., 2007) and -0.007 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Pan et al., 2011). The sum of the carbon sink of wood products for the other four counties in East Asia is about -0.005 Pg C yr⁻¹, suggesting that the carbon accumulated into wood products over
- $_{20}$ = 0.005 Pg C yr⁻¹, suggesting that the carbon accumulated into wood products East Asia is = 0.013 ± 0.002 Pg C yr⁻¹.

As a result of leaching and water erosion, a substantial amount of soil organic carbon is exported from the land to the ocean (Meybeck et al., 2006). Since refined regional estimate is not available, we estimated riverine export of $9.8 \,\mathrm{Tg}\,\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ by DOC and $9.4 \,\mathrm{Tg}\,\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ by POC over East Asia from the global synthesis (Meybeck et al.,

2006), the uncertainties of which is not yet quantified. Due to the lack of information on the age of exported carbon (i.e. does it contribute to the current land-atmosphere C flux) amount of organic carbon that is trapped and decomposed along the way of river

4039

channels and dams, carbon accumulated in sediments of reservoirs and rivers are not included in our study.

Since 1990, carbon accumulated in dead wood over China, Japan and South Korea is increased by about 0.023, 0.007, and 0.002 $PgCyr^{-1}$, respectively (Pan et al., 2011).

In addition, C storage in the China's forest litter is increased with the magnitude of $0.012 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$. Overall, our inventory and satellite based estimation suggests that the East Asian territory annually net accumulates $0.264 \pm 0.033 \text{ Pg}$ of carbon (range from c sink of -0.208 to $-0.338 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$) from the atmosphere over the last two decades (Fig. 3).

3.2 Model attribution of net biome productivity over East Asia

3.2.1 Climate change and rising atmospheric CO₂ concentration

To evaluate the effects of climate change on C balance, we use the difference between net ecosystem exchange (NEE) between terrestrial ecosystems and atmosphere in S1 and NEE in S2 as the contribution of climate change alone to C balance over

- East Asia. Among the nine models (CLM4CN, HYL, LPJ, LPJ_GUESS, OCN, OR-CHIDEE, SDGVM, TRI, VEGAS) providing both S1 and S2 simulation, four models (CLM4CN, HYL, SDGVM and HYL) suggest that climate change alone causes a carbon sink in terrestrial ecosystems over East Asia. The average of the nine models attribute the fraction of NEE driven by climate change to be 0.033±0.062 PgCyr⁻¹,
- with a range going from a net carbon source of $0.174 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1}$ (LPJ-GUESS) to a net sink of $-0.027 \text{ PgC yr}^{-1}$ (HYL). The relatively small magnitude of NEE attributed to climate change results from opposite changes in carbon storage in the southern and northern regions of East Asia (Fig. 4), according to models. Although the magnitude of climate change-attributed NEE varies among different models, all models agree
- that in response to climate change alone, southern China experienced an increase in carbon storage from 1990 to 2009, while northern East Asia including Mongolia and north China showed a decrease in carbon storage (Fig. 4), likely due to drought. In

particular since the late 1990s, northern East Asia, except northwest China, suffered from drought driven by both decreasing precipitation and rising temperature (Park et al., 2010; Piao et al., 2010). Such an increase in drought further caused a decrease in satellite-observed vegetation growth in northern East Asia (Jeong et al., 2011; Piao

et al., 2011). In southern East Asia where precipitation is abundant, enhanced vegeta-5 tion productivity driven by current global warming may partly explain climate changeinduced net carbon accumulation (Piao et al., 2004).

Since plant photosynthesis is not saturated at current atmospheric CO₂ concentration, previous modeling studies suggested that global vegetation productivity in-

- creased significantly in response to current rising atmospheric CO₂ concentration which further caused an increase in net carbon uptake of terrestrial ecosystems (Sitch et al., 2007). By considering this CO₂ fertilization effect in addition to climate change (simulation S2), all ecosystem carbon cycle models suggest that at the regional scale, terrestrial ecosystems in East Asia act as a carbon sink with the aver-
- age NEE of $-0.289 \pm 0.135 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (ranging from $-0.051 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ for VEGAS to 15 -0.497 Pg C yr⁻¹ for VISIT) during 1990-2009. As shown in Fig. 5, it is very likely that most of this carbon sink attributed to climate change and rising CO2 is mainly distributed in southern and eastern China (except LPJ_GUESS).

3.2.2 Nitrogen deposition

- ²⁰ It is generally accepted that nitrogen deposition enhances carbon sink strength through two mechanisms: (1) stimulated vegetation productivity resulting in increased vegetation biomass (Churkina et al., 2007) and maybe (2) reduced soil organic matter decomposition rates leading to increased soil organic C storage (Pregitzer et al., 2008; Janssens et al., 2010). However, there is an intense debate about the magnitude and
- possible saturation of the nitrogen induced carbon sink (Janssens et al., 2010). In Europe, Churkina et al. (2010) estimated that nitrogen deposition-induced carbon sink is $-0.037--0.030 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$, while in China, Tian et al. (2011) showed that net carbon accumulation due to nitrogen deposition is larger than that caused by elevated

4041

atmospheric CO₂. This is inconsistent with the result of the OCN model (Zaehle et al., 2010). Based on the simulation by Tian et al. (2011) using DLEM and TEM model, we estimate annually a sink of about -0.125 Pg of carbon realized in China's terrestrial ecosystems in response to nitrogen deposition during the period of 1990-2005.

This N-deposition induced carbon sink is larger than estimated by the CLM4CN model which predicts that nitrogen deposition enhanced carbon sink over East Asia is about $-0.089 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ from 1990 to 2009 (Mao et al., 2012). These two simulations derived by different models further suggest that there is a large uncertainty in the estimation of nitrogen deposition caused carbon sink over East Asia. Here, we took the average of these two studies $(-0.107 \pm 0.025 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1})$ (Fig. 6).

3.2.3 Land use and land use change

Land use change is one of the important disturbances that alter terrestrial carbon pools and net fluxes at regional and global scales (Houghton, 2003). However, it is extremely challenging to accurately estimate the carbon balance change associated with land

- use change because of current lack of information on the amount and spatial pattern 15 of deforestation and biomass and soil C stocks (Houghton, 2007; Piao et al., 2009). For instance, Houghton et al. (2003) estimated that land use change in China led to net carbon emission of 0.03 Pg C yr⁻¹ during the 1990s, while Jian and Yang (2005) found oppositely that land use change in China resulted in net carbon accumulation
- of $-0.03 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (Jian and Yang, 2005), which is very close to the estimation based on forest area changes from inventory data (-0.02 Pg C yr⁻¹, Fang et al., 2001) and from the DLEM and TEM model (-0.03 Pg Cyr⁻¹, Tian et al., 2011). Based on these results, we estimated that land use change, dominated by afforestation, caused a net carbon accumulation in East Asia of $0.013 \pm 0.029 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (ranging from a source of
- $0.03 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ to a sink of $-0.03 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$) (Fig. 6).

3.2.4 Atmospheric ozone pollution and other disturbances

In addition to these factors (i.e. climate change, rising CO_2 , nitrogen deposition and land use change), previous studies have suggested that atmospheric O_3 pollution has also caused a decrease in carbon storage in China by 0.02 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Tian et al., 2011),

- while intensive agricultural practices and their changes, such as nitrogen fertilization and decreasing removal of crop residues, have been thought to lead to an increase in carbon sequestration by $0.022 \pm 0.004 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (Huang et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2011). Wildfires may also play an important role in the regional carbon balance. Satellite data of burned area incorporated in the CASA terrestrial biosphere model estimated
- mean annual carbon emission from ecosystem fire in East Asia from 1997 to 2009 of $0.018 \pm 0.010 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (Van der Werf et al., 2010). Most of carbon emission from wildfires occurred in China (56.9%) and Mongolia (37.5%) which contain a vast area of dry forests, shrub lands, and grasslands (Van der Werf et al., 2010).
- Finally, carbon emissions from the biofuels, such as woodfuel and agricultural ¹⁵ residues, are not taken into account for the most of carbon cycle models. Currently, Wang et al. (2012) estimated the biofuel emissions in China is about $0.189 \pm 0.010 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$, a very large flux compared to natural C sinks. If this biofuel harvest is exactly compensated by a vegetation regrowth sink that can not be detected by the inventory, the biofuel emissions should not be included in the carbon balance
- estimation based on the carbon cycle model approach. Otherwise, if the harvest of biofuel carbon stock is not compensated by vegetation regrowth, the biofuel carbon emissions should be included. Since we don't have information on the biofuel harvests compensated by the vegetation regrowth sink, we consider both situations resulting in two estimates of carbon balance either fully considering biofuel emission or not.
- Overall, based on process-based ecosystem models and considering the carbon sinks/sources caused by all these different factors estimated in this and previous studies, we estimate that the carbon balance over East Asia is $-0.204 \pm 0.141 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ considering the biofuel emissions, or $-0.393 \pm 0.141 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ not considering

biofuel emissions (ranging from carbon source of $0.119 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ to carbon sink of $-0.660 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$) (Fig. 6).

3.3 Atmospheric inverse models estimates

- Over the whole East Asia, the average of eight inverse models give a net sink of atmospheric CO_2 of $-0.380 \pm 0.497 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$, but the eight models do not agree with each other. Six models estimate a net CO_2 uptake over East Asia, but two models show a net CO_2 source. The C13 MATCH model estimated the highest net carbon uptake rate of $-0.997 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$, while the C13 CCAM model showed the largest net carbon emission of 0.416 Pg C yr^{-1} (Fig. 7), indicating that inversion fluxes over East Asia are
- rather poorly constrained by a regionally scarce atmospheric observation network. If we further consider propagating uncertainty of fossil fuel emissions over East Asia $(0.098 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1})$, the uncertainty of net CO₂ exchange estimated by inverse models increase to 0.507 Pg C yr⁻¹.
- The inverse model derived net land-atmosphere CO₂ exchange is not directly com-¹⁵ parable with the carbon sink estimated by the bottom-up approaches. In order to reconcile the two approaches, CO₂ fluxes out and in the atmosphere from food and wood products trade, non-CO₂ gases emissions, and from the emission of C pools not counted in fossil fuel emissions (e.g. peat use) must be considered to adjust the inverse model estimate (Ciais et al., 2008). The emission of CO₂ to the atmosphere from
- ²⁰ consumption of imported (exported) food and wood should be added to (removed from) the regional inverse estimates for making a comparison with bottom-up C accounting approaches. We estimated the carbon emission of imported food and wood by analyzing FAO statistics of international trade (FAO, 2010). The imported crop biomass into East Asia was converted into carbon using crop-specific conversion factor (Goudriaan)
- et al., 2001), and imported wood was transformed to carbon following the method of Ciais et al. (2008). Thus we estimated that the imported wood and food consumption was 0.04 Pg C yr⁻¹ during 1990–2009. The non-CO₂ compounds such as CO, CH₄

4044

Discussion Paper

| Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

and BVOC emitted by ecosystems, which were also not captured by atmospheric CO_2 observation, should be subtracted from the inverse estimate when assessing the total carbon balance. Using atmospheric chemistry database (Folberth et al., 2005), we estimated that the biogenic non- CO_2 emission was $0.15 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$. Due to the lack of information, the removal of fossil C not counted in fossil fuel emissions (e.g. peat use) are not considered in our study. By adjusting the inversed estimates using these lateral fluxes, the top-down approach estimated that the terrestrial ecosystems of East

Asia functioned as a net carbon sink of $-0.270 \pm 0.507 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ during 1990–2009, with the range from net carbon emission of $0.526 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ to net carbon sink of $-0.887 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ (Fig. 7).

4 Conclusions

In summary, based on the average carbon sink from three approaches presented in this study, we estimate that East Asia's terrestrial ecosystems during 1990s and 2000s were a net carbon sink of $-0.204 - 0.393 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$, accounting for 13-26% of the carbon sink over the Northern Hemisphere (Stephens et al., 2007). During the same

- ¹⁵ carbon sink over the Northern Hemisphere (Stephens et al., 2007). During the same period, fossil fuel burning in East Asia produced a cumulated emission of 1.5 Pg C yr⁻¹ to the atmosphere (IEA, 2011; Fig. 1), suggesting that about 13–26% of East Asia's CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel burning are offset by carbon accumulation in its terrestrial ecosystems. Although the average carbon sink estimated by three different
- ²⁰ approaches was found to be comparable, there are still large uncertainties in each approach. For the inventory and satellite data based approach, the largest uncertainty lies in the estimation of soil organic carbon storage change, while for the terrestrial ecosystem modeling approach, carbon balance associated with land use change and nitrogen deposition was inconsistent among different models. Promoting regional model
- intercomparison project for East Asia, like VEMAP project for United States, will help constrain the uncertainties associated with process representation and parameters in the models. There are also large variations in the estimated carbon balance among

4045

different inverse models. To enable more precise assessments of East Asia's carbon cycle, there is an urgent need to increase the sampling of forest and grassland soils, and to deploy more atmospheric CO_2 stations. On the analogy of meteorology, such an integration system will allow us to establish a "re-analysis" dataset of the global and regional carbon cycles.

Acknowledgements. This study is part of the REgional Carbon Cycle Assessment and Processes (RECCAP), Global Carbon Project. We thank Trendy modelers to provide ecosystem model results and atmospheric inversion modelers to provide atmospheric CO_2 inversion results. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

(grant 41125004 and 30970511), National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2010CB950601 and Grant No. 2010CB833501), Foundation for Sino-EU research cooperation of Ministry of Science and Technology of China (1003) and CARBONES EU FP7 foundation (242316).

References

- ¹⁵ Akimoto, H.: Global air quality and pollution, Science, 302, 1716–1719, doi:10.1126/science.1092666, 2003.
- Baker, D. F., Law, R. M., Gurney, K. R., Rayner, P., Peylin, P., Denning, A. S., Bousquet, P., Bruhwiler, L., Chen, Y. H., Ciais, P., Fung, I. Y., Heimann, M., John, J., Maki, T., Maksyutov, S.,Masarie, K., Prather, M., Pak, B., Taguchi, S., and Zhu, Z.,: TransCom 3 inversionintercomparison: Impact of transport model errors on the interannual variability of regional CO₂ fluxes.

parison: Impact of transport model errors on the interannual variability of regional CO₂ fluxes, 1988–2003, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 20, GB1002, doi:10.1029/2004GB002439, 2006.
Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Gurney, K., Le Quéré, C., Piao, S., Raupach, M. R., and Sabine, C. L.: An international effort to quantify regional carbon fluxes, EOS, 92, 81–82, 2011.

Carvalhais, N., Reichstein, M., Seixas, J., Collatz, G. J., Pereira, J. S., Berbigier, P., Carrara, A., Granier, A., Montagnani, L., Papale, D., Rambal, S., Sanz, M. J., and Valentini, R.: Implications of the carbon cycle steady state assumption for biogeochemical modeling performance and inverse parameter retrieval, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 22, Gb2007, doi:10.1029/2007gb003033, 2008.

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

- Chevallier, F., Fisher, M., Peylin, P., Serrar, S., Bousquet, P., Bréon, F. M., Chédin, A., and Ciais, P.: Inferring CO₂ sources and sinks from satellite observations: Method and application to TOVS data, J.Geophys. Res., 110, D24309, doi:10.1029/2005jd006390, 2005.
- Choi, S. D. and Chang, Y. S.: Factors affecting the distribution of the rate of carbon uptake by forests in South Korea, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 484–488, doi:10.1021/es034533u, 2004.
- Churkina, G., Trusilova, K., Vetter, M., and Dentener, F.: Contributions of nitrogen deposition and forest regrowth to terrestrial carbon uptake, Carbon Balance Manage, 2, 5, doi:10.1186/1750-0680-2-5, 2007.
- Churkina, G., Zaehle, S., Hughes, J., Viovy, N., Chen, Y., Jung, M., Heumann, B. W., Ra mankutty, N., Heimann, M., and Jones, C.: Interactions between nitrogen deposition, land
 cover conversion, and climate change determine the contemporary carbon balance of Europe, Biogeosciences, 7, 2749–2764, doi:10.5194/bg-7-2749-2010, 2010.
 - Ciais, P., Borges, A. V., Abril, G., Meybeck, M., Folberth, G., Hauglustaine, D., and Janssens, I. A.: The impact of lateral carbon fluxes on the European carbon balance, Biogeosciences, 5, 1259–1271, doi:10.5194/bg-5-1259-2008, 2008.
- Ciais, P., Canadell, J. G., Luyssaert, S., Chevallier, F., Shvidenko, A., Poussi, Z., Jonas, M., Peylin, P., King, A. W., Schulze, E. D., Piao, S. L., Rodenbeck, C., Peters, W., and Breon, F. M.: Can we reconcile atmospheric estimates of the Northern terrestrial carbon sink with land-based accounting?, Curr. Opin. Env. Sust., 2, 225–230, doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2010.06.008, 2010.
 - Crevoisier, C., Sweeney, C., Gloor, M., Sarmiento, J. L., and Tans, P. P.: Regional US carbon sinks from three-dimensional atmospheric CO₂ sampling, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 18348–18353, doi:10.1073/pnas.0900062107, 2010.

Commission for Integrated Survey of Natural Resources, ChineseAcademy of Sciences, 1:4 000 000 Vegetation Map of China, Chinese Map Press, Beijing, 1996.

Cox, P. M.: Description of the TRIFFID dynamic globalvegetation model, Tech. Note 24, Hadley Centre, Met Office, 16 pp., 2001.

DeFries, R. S., Hansen, M., Townshend, J. R. G., and Sohlberg, R.: Global land cover classifications at 8 km spatial resolution: the use of training data derived from Landsat imagery in decision tree classifiers, Int. J. Remote Sens., 19, 3141–3168, doi:10.1080/014311698214235,

- cision tree classifiers, Int. J. Remote Sens., 19, 3141–3168, doi:10.1080/014311698214235, 1998.
 Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Ellingsen, K., van Noije, T., Schultz, M., Amann, M., Atherton, C.,
 - Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Ellingsen, K., van Noije, I., Schultz, M., Amann, M., Atherton, C., Bell, N., Bergmann, D., Bey, I., Bouwman, L., Butler, T., Cofala, J., Collins, B., Drevet, J.,

Doherty, R., Eickhout, B., Eskes, H., Fiore, A., Gauss, M., Hauglustaine, D., Horowitz, L., Isaksen, I. S. A., Josse, B., Lawrence, M., Krol, M., Lamarque, J. F., Montanaro, V., Muller, J. F., Peuch, V. H., Pitari, G., Pyle, J., Rast, S., Rodriguez, J., Sanderson, M., Savage, N. H., Shindell, D., Strahan, S., Szopa, S., Sudo, K., Van Dingenen, R., Wild, O., and Zeng, G.: The global atmospheric environment for the next generation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40,

- 3586–3594, doi:10.1021/es0523845, 2006. Dufour, G., Eremenko, M., Orphal, J., and Flaud, J.-M.: IASI observations of seasonal and dayto-day variations of tropospheric ozone over three highly populated areas of China: Beijing,
- Shanghai, and Hong Kong, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3787–3801, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3787-2010, 2010.

- Enting, I. G., Trudinger, C. M., and Francey, R. J.: A Synthesisinversion of the concentration and DELTA-C-13 of atmospheric CO₂, Tellus B, 47, 35–52, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.47.issue1.5.x, 1995.
- Fan, J., Zhong, H., Harris, W., Yu, G., Wang, S., Hu, Z., and Yue, Y.: Carbon storage in the grasslands of China based on field measurements of above- and below-ground biomass,
 - Clim. Change, 86, 375–396, doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9316-6, 2008. Fang, J. Y. and Chen, A. P.: Dynamic forest biomass carbon pools in China and their significance, Acta Bot. Sin., 43, 967–973, 2001.
- Fang, J. Y., Oikawa, T., Kato, T., Mo, W. H., and Wang, Z. H.: Biomass carbon accumulation by Japan's forests from1947 to 1995, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB2004, 1–10, doi:10.1029/2004GB002253, 2005.
 - FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization: Global Forest Resources Assessment, FAO, Rome, Italy, 2010.
- Folberth, G. A., Hauglustaine, D. A., Lathière, J., and Brocheton, F.: Impact of biogenic hydrocarbons on tropospheric chemistry: results from a global chemistry-climate model, Atmos.
- Chem. Phys. Discuss., 5, 10517–10612, doi:10.5194/acpd-5-10517-2005, 2005.
 Friedlingstein, P., Cox, P., Betts, R., Bopp, L., Von Bloh, W., Brovkin, V., Cadule, P., Doney, S., Eby, M., Fung, I., Bala, G., John, J., Jones, C., Joos, F., Kato, T., Kawamiya, M., Knorr, W., Lindsay, K., Matthews, H. D., Raddatz, T., Rayner, P., Reick, C., Roeckner, E., Schnitzler, K.
- G., Schnur, R., Strassmann, K., Weaver, A. J., Yoshikawa, C., and Zeng, N.: Climate-carbon cycle feedback analysis: Results from the C(4)MIP model intercomparison, J. Climate, 19, 3337–3353, doi:10.1175/jcli3800.1, 2006.

sion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Paper

- Fu, G. B., Chen, S. L., Liu, C. M., and Shepard, D.: Hydro-climatic trends of the Yellow River basin for the last 50 years, Clim.Change, 65, 149–178, doi:10.1023/B:CLIM.0000037491.95395.bb, 2004.
- Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S.
 P., Asner, G. P., Cleveland, C. C., Green, P. A., Holland, E. A., Karl, D. M., Michaels, A. F., Porter, J. H., Townsend, A. R., and Vorosmarty, C. J.: Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future, Biogeochemistry, 70, 153–226, doi:10.1007/s10533-004-0370-0, 2004.
 - Gregg, J. S., Andres, R. J., and Marland, G.: China: Emissions pattern of the world leader in CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel consumption and cement production, Geophys. Res.Lett., 35, L08806, doi:10.1029/2007gl032887, 2008.
- Goudriaan, J., Groot, J. R., and Uithol, P. W. J.: Productivity of Agro-ecosystems, in: Terrestrial Global Productivity, Academic Press, 301–303, 2001.

10

15

- Gurney, K., Law, R. M., Denning, A. S., and Rayner, P. J.: Towards more robustestimates of CO₂fluxes: control results from theTransCom3 inversion intercomparison, Nature, 415, 626–630, 2002.
- Gurney, K. R., Chen, Y. H., Maki, T., Kawa, S. R., Andrews, A., and Zhu, Z. X.: Sensitivity of atmospheric CO₂ inversions to seasonal and interannual variations in fossil fuel emissions, J. Geophys.-Res. Atmos., 110, D10308, doi:10.1029/2004jd005373, 2005.
- Gurney, A., Ahammad, H., and Ford, M.: The economics of greenhouse gas mitigation: Insights from illustrative global abatement scenarios modelling, Energy Econ., 31, S174–S186,
 - doi:10.1016/j.eneco.2009.08.016, 2009.
 Houghton, R. A.: Revised estimates of the annual net flux of carbon to the atmosphere from changes in land use and land management 1850–2000, Tellus B, 55, 378–390, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.2003.01450.x, 2003.
- Houghton, R. A.: Balancing the global carbon budget, in: Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Annu.Rev. Earth Pl. Sc., 313–347, 2007.
 - Huang, Y. and Sun, W.: Changes in topsoil organic carbon of croplands in mainland China over the last two decades, Chinese Sci. Bull., 51, 1785–1803, doi:10.1007/s11434-006-2056-6, 2006.
- Huang, Y., Sun, W., Zhang, W., and Yu, Y.: Changes in soil organic carbon of terrestrial ecosystems in China: A mini-review, Science China-Life Sciences, 53, 766–775, doi:10.1007/s11427-010-4022-4, 2010.

- Ichii, K., Suzuki, T., Kato, T., Ito, A., Hajima, T., Ueyama, M., Sasai, T., Hirata, R., Saigusa, N., Ohtani, Y., and Takagi, K.: Multi-model analysis of terrestrial carbon cycles in Japan: limitations and implications of model calibration using eddy flux observations, Biogeosciences, 7, 2061–2080, doi:10.5194/bg-7-2061-2010, 2010.
- IGCAS,Institute of Geography, ChineseAcademy of Sciences: Digitized vegetation map of China. Beijing: NationalLaboratory for GIS and Remote Sensing, 1996.
 - International Energy Agency (IEA): CO Emissions from Fuel Combustion 2011 Edition, IEA, Paris, 134 pp., 2011.
 - Ito, A.: The regional carbon budget of East Asia simulated with a terrestrial ecosys-
- tem model and validated using AsiaFlux data, Ag. Forest Meteorol., 148, 738–747, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2007.12.007, 2008.
 Janssens, I. A., Freibauer, A., Ciais, P., Smith, P., Nabuurs, G. J., Folberth, G., Schlamadinger,
 - B., Hutjes, R. W. A., Ceulemans, R., Schulze, E. D., Valentini, R., and Dolman, A. J.: Europe's terrestrial biosphere absorbs 7 to 12 % of European anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, Science, 300, 1538–1542, doi:10.1126/science.1083592, 2003.
- Janssens, I. A., Dieleman, W., Luyssaert, S., Subke, J. A., Reichstein, M., Ceulemans, R., Ciais, P., Dolman, A. J., Grace, J., Matteucci, G., Papale, D., Piao, S. L., Schulze, E. D., Tang, J., and Law, B. E.: Reduction of forest soil respiration in response to nitrogen deposition, Nat. Geosci., 3, 315–322, doi:10.1038/ngeo844, 2010.
- Jeong, S. J., Ho, C. H., Brown, M. E., Kug, J. S., and Piao, S. L.: Browning in desert boundaries in Asia in recent decades, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 116, D02103, doi:10.1029/2010jd014633, 2011.
- Jain, A. K. and Yang, X. J.: Modeling the effects of two different land cover change data sets on the carbon stocks of plants and soils in concert with CO₂ and climate change, Global
 Biogeochem. Cv., 19, GB2015, doi:10.1029/2004gb002349, 2005.
- Keeling, C. D. and Whorf, T. P.: Atmospheric CO₂ Records from Sites in the SIO AirSampling Network, in Trends: a Compendium of Data on Global Change, CarbonDioxide Inf. Anal. Cent.Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., Oak Ridge, Tenn, 2005.
- Kohlmaier, G., Kohlmaier, L., Fries, E., and Jaeschke, W.: Application of the stock change and the production approach to Harvested Wood Products in the EU-15 countries: a comparative analysis, Eur. J. For. Res., 126, 209–223, doi:10.1007/s10342-006-0130-x, 2007.
- Krinner, G., Viovy, N., de Noblet-Ducoudre, N., Ogee, J., Polcher, J., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Sitch, S., and Prentice, I. C.: A dynamic global vegetation model for stud-

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

ies of the coupled atmosphere-biosphere system, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB2015, doi:10.1029/2003gb002199, 2005.

- Law, R. M., Kowalczyk, E. A., and Wangs, Y.-P.: Using atmospheric CO₂ data to assess a simplified carbon-climate simulation for the 20th century, Tellus B, 58, 427–437, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2006.00198.x, 2006.
- Lawrence, D., Oleson, K. W., and Flanner, M. G.: ParameterizationImprovements and Functional and Structural Advances in Version 4 of the Community Land Model, J. Adv. Model. EarthSyst., 3, M03001, doi:10.1029/2011MS000045, 2011.
- Lee, S., Akimoto, H., Nakane, H., Kurnosenko, S., and Kinjo, Y.: Lower tropospheric ozone trend observed in 1989-1997 at Okinawa, Japan, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 1637–1640, doi:10.1029/98gl01224, 1998.
 - Levy, P. E., Cannell, M. G. R., and Friend, A. D.: Modelling the impact of future changes in climate, CO₂ concentration and land use on natural ecosystems and the terrestrial carbon sink, Global Environ. Change-Human Policy Dimens., 14, 21–30, doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2003.10.005, 2004.
 - Lin, J. C., Pejam, M. R., Chan, E., Wofsy, S. C., Gottlieb, E. W., Margolis, H. A., and McCaughey, J. H.: Attributing uncertainties in simulated biospheric carbon fluxes to different error sources, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 25, Gb2018, doi:10.1029/2010gb003884, 2011.

Lu, C. Q. and Tian, H. Q.: Spatial and temporal patterns of nitrogen deposition in China: Synthesis of observational data, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D22S05,

doi:10.1029/2006jd007990, 2007.

15

- Lu, F., Wang, X., Han, B., Ouyang, Z., Duan, X., Zheng, H., and Miao, H.: Soil carbon sequestrations by nitrogen fertilizer application, straw return and no-tillage in China's cropland, Global Change Biol., 15, 281–305, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01743.x, 2009.
- Luyssaert, S., Ciais, P., Piao, S. L., Schulze, E. D., Jung, M., Zaehle, S., Schelhaas, M. J., Reichstein, M., Churkina, G., Papale, D., Abril, G., Beer, C., Grace, J., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Magnani, F., Nabuurs, G. J., Verbeeck, H., Sulkava, M., van der Werf, G. R., Janssens, I. A., and Team, C.-I. S.: The European carbon balance. Part 3: forests, Global Change Biol., 16, 1429–1450, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02056.x, 2010.
- Mao, J. F., Shi, X. Y., Thornton, P. E., Piao, S. L., and Wang, X. H.: Causes of spring vegetation growth trends in the northern mid–high latitudes from 1982 to 2004, Environ. Res. Lett., 7, 014010, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/1/014010, 2012.

- Maksyutov, S., Patra, P. K., Onishi, R., Saeki, T., and Nakazawa, T.: NIES/FRCGC global atmospheric tracer transport model: description,validation, and surface sources and sinks inversion, J. Earth Simulator, 9, 3–18, 2008.
- Meybeck, M., Durr, H. H., and Vorosmarty, C. J.: Global coastal segmentation and its river catchment contributors: A new look at land-ocean linkage, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 20, D22S05, doi:10.1029/2005gb002540, 2006.
 - Mitchell, T. D. and Jones, P. D.: An improved method of constructing a database of monthly climate observations and associated high-resolution grids, Int. J. Climatol., 25, 693–712, doi:10.1002/joc.1181, 2005.
- Mitchell, S., Beven, K., and Freer, J.: Multiple sources of predictive uncertainty in modeled estimates of net ecosystem CO₂ exchange, Ecol. Model, 220, 3259–3270, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.08.021, 2009.
 - Morales, P., Sykes, M. T., Prentice, I. C., Smith, P., Smith, B., Bugmann, H., Zierl, B., Friedlingstein, P., Viovy, N., Sabate, S., Sanchez, A., Pla, E., Gracia, C. A., Sitch, S., Arneth, A., and
- ¹⁵ Ogee, J.: Comparing and evaluating process-based ecosystem model predictions of carbon and water fluxes in major European forest biomes, Global Change Biol., 11, 2211–2233, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01036.x, 2005.
 - Oleson, K. W., Bonan, G. B., and Feddema, J.: Effects of white roofs on urban temperature in a global climate model, Geophys. Res.Lett., 37, L03701, doi:10.1029/2009gl042194, 2010.
- Olivier, J. G. J. and Berdowski, J. J. M.: Global emissions sources and sinks. in: The Climate System, edited by: Berdowski, J., Guicherit, R., and Heij, B. J., A.A. Balkema Publishers/Swets&Zeitlinger Publishers, Lisse, The Netherlands, 33–78, 2001.
- Oltmans, S. J., Lefohn, A. S., Scheel, H. E., Harris, J. M., Levy, H., Galbally, I. E., Brunke, E. G., Meyer, C. P., Lathrop, J. A., Johnson, B. J., Shadwick, D. S., Cuevas, E., Schmidlin, F. J., Tarasick, D. W., Claude, H., Kerr, J. B., Uchino, O., and Mohnen, V.: Trends of ozone in the
- Tarasick, D. W., Claude, H., Kerr, J. B., Uchino, O., and Mohnen, V.: Trends of ozone in the troposphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 139–142, doi:10.1029/97gl03505, 1998.
 - Pacala, S. W., Hurtt, G. C., Baker, D., Peylin, P., Houghton, R. A., Birdsey, R. A., Heath, L., Sundquist, E. T., Stallard, R. F., Ciais, P., Moorcroft, P., Caspersen, J. P., Shevliakova, E., Moore, B., Kohlmaier, G., Holland, E., Gloor, M., Harmon, M. E., Fan, S. M., Sarmiento, J. L., Goodale, C. L., Schimel, D., and Field, C. B.: Consistent land- and atmosphere-based US
- L., Goodale, C. L., Schimel, D., and Field, C. B.: Consistent land- and atmosphere-based US carbon sink estimates, Science, 292, 2316–2320, doi:10.1126/science.1057320, 2001.

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

- Pan, Y. D., Luo, T. X., Birdsey, R., Hom, J., and Melillo, J.: New estimates of carbon storage and sequestration in China's forests: Effects of age-class and method on inventory-based carbon estimation, Climatic Change, 67, 211–236, doi:10.1007/s10584-004-2799-5, 2004.
- Pan, G., Xu, X., Smith, P., Pan, W., and Lal, R.: An increase in topsoil SOC stock of China's croplands between 1985 and 2006 revealed by soil monitoring, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 136, 133–138, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2009.12.011, 2010.
- Pan, Y. D., Birdsey, R. A., Fang, J. Y., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P. E., Kurz, W. A., Phillips, O. L., Shvidenko, A., Lewis, S. L., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Pacala, S. W., McGuire, A. D., Piao, S. L., Rautiainen, A., Sitch, S., and Hayes, D.: A Large and Persistent Carbon
- Sink in the World's Forests, Science, 333, 988–993, doi:10.1126/science.1201609, 2011.
 Park, H. S. and Sohn, B. J.: Recent trends in changes of vegetation over East Asia coupled with temperature and rainfall variations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 115, D14101, doi:10.1029/2009jd012752, 2010.
- Peng, S. S., Chen, A. P., Xu, L., Cao, C. X., Fang, J. Y., Myneni, R. B., Pinzon, J. E., Tucker, C.
 J., and Piao, S. L.: Recent Change of Vegetation Growth Trend in China, Environ. Res. Lett.,
 6, 044027, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/044027, 2011.
- Peters, W., Jacobson, A. R., Sweeney, C., Andrews, A. E., Conway, T. J., Masarie, K., Miller, J. B., Bruhwiler, L. M. P., Petron, G., Hirsch, A. I., Worthy, D. E. J., van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Wennberg, P. O., Krol, M. C., and Tans, P. P.: An atmospheric perspective
- on North American carbon dioxide exchange: CarbonTracker, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 104, 18925–18930, doi:10.1073/pnas.0708986104, 2007.
 - Peylin, P., Rayner, P. J., Bousquet, P., Carouge, C., Hourdin, F., Heinrich, P., Ciais, P., and AEROCARB contributors: Daily CO₂ flux estimates over Europe from continuous atmospheric measurements: 1, inverse methodology, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 3173–3186, doi:10.5194/acp-5-3173-2005, 2005.

25

- Peylin, P., Law, R., Gurney, K., Zhang, X. Poussi, Z. and model participants: Atmospheric Inversion results, available at: http://www.globalcarbonproject.org/global/pdf/Atmospheric_Inversions.pdf, last access: December 2011.
- Phillips, D. L., Brown, S. L., Schroeder, P. E., and Birdsey, R. A.: Toward error analysis of large-scale forest carbon budgets, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 9, 305–313, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.2000.00197.x, 2000.
 - Piao, S. L., Fang, J. Y., Ji, W., Guo, Q. H., Ke, J. H., and Tao, S.: Variation in a satellite-based vegetation index in relation to climate in China, J. Veg. Sci., 15, 219–226, 2004.

- Piao, S. L., Fang, J. Y., Zhou, L. M., Tan, K., and Tao, S.: Changes in biomass carbon stocks in China's grasslands between 1982 and 1999, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, GB2002, doi:10.1029/2005gb002634, 2007.
- Piao, S. L., Fang, J. Y., Ciais, P., Peylin, P., Huang, Y., Sitch, S., and Wang, T.: The carbon balance of terrestrial ecosystems in China, Nature, 458, 1009–U1082, doi:10.1038/nature07944, 2009.
 - Piao, S. L., Ciais, P., Huang, Y., Shen, Z. H., Peng, S. S., Li, J. S., Zhou, L. P., Liu, H. Y., Ma, Y. C., Ding, Y. H., Friedlingstein, P., Liu, C. Z., Tan, K., Yu, Y. Q., Zhang, T. Y., and Fang, J. Y.: The impacts of climate change on water resources and agriculture in China, Nature, 467, 43–51, doi:10.1038/nature09364, 2010.
- Piao, S. L., Ciais, P., Lomas, M., Beer, C., Liu, H. Y., Fang, J. Y., Friedlingstein, P., Huang, Y., Muraoka, H., Son, Y. H., and Woodward, I.: Contribution of climate change and rising CO₂ to terrestrial carbon balance in East Asia: A multi-model analysis, Global Planet. Change, 75, 133–142, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2010.10.014, 2011.
- Pregitzer, K. S., Burton, A. J., Zak, D. R., and Talhelm, A. F.: Simulated chronic nitrogen deposition increases carbon storage in Northern Temperate forests, Global Change Biol., 14, 142–153, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01465.x, 2008.
 - Ramankutty, N., Evan, A. T., Monfreda, C., and Foley, J. A.: Farming the planet: 1. Geographic distribution of global agricultural lands in the year 2000, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 22, Gb1003, doi:10.1029/2007gb002952, 2008.
- Rasch, P. J., Mahowald, N. M., and Eaton, B. E.: Representations of transport, convection, and the hydrologic cycle in chemical transport models: Implications for the modeling of short-lived and soluble species, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 28127–28138, doi:10.1029/97jd02087, 1997.
- Raupach, M. R., Marland, G., Ciais, P., Le Quere, C., Canadell, J. G., Klepper, G., and Field, C.
 B.: Global and regional drivers of accelerating CO₂ emissions, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 104, 10288–10293, doi:10.1073/pnas.0700609104, 2007.
 - Richter, A., Burrows, J. P., Nuss, H., Granier, C., and Niemeier, U.: Increase in tropospheric nitrogen dioxide over China observed from space, Nature, 437, 129–132, doi:10.1038/nature04092, 2005.
- Rödenbeck, C., Houweling, S., Gloor, M., and Heimann, M.: CO₂ flux history 1982–2001 inferred from atmospheric data using a global inversion of atmospheric transport, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1919–1964, doi:10.5194/acp-3-1919-2003, 2003.

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Paper

- Santaren, D., Peylin, P., Viovy, N., and Ciais, P.: Optimizing a process-based ecosystem model with eddy-covariance flux measurements: A pine forest in southern France, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, GB2013, doi:10.1029/2006gb002834, 2007.
- Satoh, M., Matsuno, T., Tomita, H., Miura, H., Nasuno, T., and Iga, S.: Nonhydrostatic icosahedral atmospheric model (NICAM) for global cloud resolving simulations, J. Comput. Phys., 227, 3486–3514, doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2007.02.006, 2008.

Scurlock, J. M. O. and Hall, D. O.: The global carbon sink: a grassland perspective, Global Change Biol., 4, 229–233, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.1998.00151.x, 1998.

Shen, W. X.: Property rights and reform of forest economic system, Forest Econ. Issues, 5, 15–19, 1999.

10

- Sitch, S., Cox, P. M., Collins, W. J., and Huntingford, C.: Indirect radiative forcing of climate change through ozone effects on the land-carbon sink, Nature, 448, 791–U794, doi:10.1038/nature06059, 2007.
- Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., and Sykes, M. T.: Representation of vegetation dynamics in the
 modelling of terrestrial ecosystems: comparing two contrasting approaches within European
 climate space, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 10, 621–637, doi:10.1046/j.1466-822X.2001.t01-1 00256.x, 2001.
 - Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J. O., Levis, S., Lucht, W., Sykes, M. T., Thonicke, K., and Venevsky, S.: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics,
- ²⁰ plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model, Global Change Biol., 9, 161–185, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00569.x, 2003.
 - Sitch, S., Huntingford, C., Gedney, N., Levy, P. E., Lomas, M., Piao, S. L., Betts, R., Ciais, P., Cox, P., Friedlingstein, P., Jones, C. D., Prentice, I. C., and Woodward, F. I.: Evaluation of the terrestrial carbon cycle, future plant geography and climate-carbon cycle feedbacks using five Dynamic Global Vegetation Models (DGVMs), Global Change Biol., 14, 2015–
- using five Dynamic Global Vegetation Models (DGVMs), Global Change Biol., 14, 2015–2039, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01626.x, 2008.
 Stephens, B. B., Gurney, K. R., Tans, P. P., Sweeney, C., Peters, W., Bruhwiler, L., Ciais, P., Ramonet, M., Bousquet, P., Nakazawa, T., Aoki, S., Machida, T., Inoue, G., Vinnichenko, N.,
- ¹¹ Lloyd, J., Jordan, A., Heimann, M., Shibistova, O., Langenfelds, R. L., Steele, L. P., Francey,
 ³⁰ R. J., and Denning, A. S.: Weak northern and strong tropical land carbon uptake from vertical profiles of atmospheric CO₂, Science, 316, 1732–1735, doi:10.1126/science.1137004, 2007

4055

- Sun, S. H.: Urban expansion in contemporary China: What can we learn from a small town?, Land Use Policy, 27, 780–787, doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2009.10.010, 2010a.
- Sun, W., Huang, Y., Zhang, W., and Yu, Y.: Carbon sequestration and its potential in agricultural soils of China, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 24, GB3001, doi:10.1029/2009gb003484, 2010b.
- ⁵ Taguchi, S.: A three-dimensional model of atmospheric CO₂ transport based on analyzed winds: Model description and simulation results for TRANSCOM, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 15099–15109, doi:10.1029/96jd00504, 1996.
 - Tian, H. Q., Melillo, J., Lu, C. Q., Kicklighter, D., Liu, M. L., Ren, W., Xu, X. F., Chen, G. S., Zhang, C., Pan, S. F., Liu, J. Y., and Running, S.: China's terrestrial carbon balance: Contributions from multiple global change factors, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 25, GB1007,
- doi:10.1029/2010gb003838, 2011.
 Tucker, C. J., Pinzon, J. E., Brown, M. E., Slayback, D. A., Pak, E. W., Mahoney, R., Vermote, E. F., and El Saleous, N.: An extended AVHRR 8-km NDVI dataset compatible with MODIS and SPOT vegetation NDVI data, Int. J. Remote Sens., 26, 4485–4498, doi:10.1080/01431160500168686, 2005.
- UN Population Division: World population prospects: the 2008 revision, UN, United Nations, New York, 2009.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997–2009), Atmos. Chem.

Phys., 10, 11707–11735, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010, 2010. Woodward, F. I. and Lomas, M. R.: Vegetation dynamics – simulating responses to climatic

change, Biol. Rev., 79, 643–670, doi:10.1017/s1464793103006419, 2004.

Woodward, F. I., Smith, T. M., and Emanuel, W. R.: A global land primary productivity and phytogeography model, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 9, 471–490, doi:10.1029/95gb02432, 1995.

Wu, Z. Y.: China vegetation, Science Press., Beijing, 1980 (in Chinese).
Yu, Y., Guo, Z., Wu, H., Kahmann, J. A., and Oldfield, F.: Spatial changes in soil organic carbon density and storage of cultivated soils in China from 1980 to 2000, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 23, GB2021, doi:10.1029/2008gb003428, 2009.

- 30 World Bank, Global Economic Prospects, World Bank, Washington DC, 141–171, 2009.
- Zaehle, S. and Friend, A. D.: Carbon and nitrogen cycle dynamics in the O-CN land surface model: 1. Model description, site-scale evaluation, and sensitivity to parameter estimates, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 24, GB1005, doi:10.1029/2009gb003521, 2010.

Zeng, N.: Glacial-interglacial atmospheric CO₂ change - The glacial burial hypothesis, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 20, 677–693, doi:10.1007/bf02915395, 2003.

- Zhao, Y., Ciais, P., Peylin, P., Viovy, N., Longdoz, B., Bonnefond, J. M., Rambal, S., Klumpp, K., Olioso, A., Cellier, P., Maignan, F., Eglin, T., and Calvet, J. C.: How errors on meteo-
- rological variables impact simulated ecosystem fluxes: a case study for six French sites, Biogeosciences Discuss., 8, 2467–2522, doi:10.5194/bgd-8-2467-2011, 2011.

4057

Table 1. Characteristics of land carbon cycle models used in this study (Trendy models).

Model name (abbreviation)	Spatial resolution	Simulation experiments*		References
		S1	S2	
Community Land Model 4CN (CLM4CN)	0.5°×0.5°	Yes	Yes	Oleson et al., 2010; Lawrence et al., 2010
Hyland (HYL)	3.75° × 2.5°	Yes	Yes	Friend et al., 1997; Levy et al., 2004
Lund-Postdam-Jena (LPJ)	3.75° × 2.5°	Yes	Yes	Stich et al., 2003
LPJ_GUESS	0.5°×0.5°	Yes	Yes	Smith et al., 2001
ORCHIDEE-CN (OCN)	3.75° × 2.5°	Yes	Yes	Zaehle and Friend, 2010; Zaehle et al., 2010
ORCHIDEE (ORC)	0.5°×0.5°	Yes	Yes	Krinner et al., 2005
Sheffield-DGVM (SDGVM)	3.75°×2.5°	Yes	Yes	Woodward et al., 1995
TRIFFID (TRI)	3.75° × 2.5°	Yes	Yes	Cox, 2001
VEGAS	2.5° × 2.5°	Yes	Yes	Zeng et al., 2005
VISIT	0.5°×0.5°	No	Yes	Ito, 2008

 * Two model simulation experiments, noted by S1 and S2, are set in Trendy protocol. In S1 experiment, models are forced with rising atmospheric CO₂, recycling climate of the early 20th century and constant land use; In S2 experiment, models are forced with rising atmospheric CO₂, observed climate, and constant land use.

Name	Study Period	Reference
C13_CCAM C13_MATCH JENA_S96 JMA_2010 NICAM NIES PYVAR	1992–2008 1992–2008 1996–2009 1985–2008 1988–2007 1993–2007 1988–2008	Law et al. (2006) Rasch et al. (1997) Rödenbeck et al. (2003) Taguchi (1996) Satoh et al. (2008) Maksyutov et al. (2008) Chevallier et al. (2005)
CTRACKER_US	2000–2009	Peters et al. (2007)

Table 2. Characteristics of atmospheric inversion models used in this study.





Fig. 1. Change in fossil fuel CO_2 emission (FF CO_2 emission) over East Asia and its relative contribution to global fossil fuel CO_2 emission, from 1950 to 2007. Data acquired from IEA statistics (IEA, 2011). Grey area shows 1-sigma standard error of the fossil fuel CO_2 emission estimates.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

| Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper



Fig. 2. Climate change in East Asia. **(a)** Spatial pattern of trend in mean annual temperature (MAT) from 1970 to 2009. **(b)** Spatial pattern of trend in mean annual precipitation (MAP) from 1970 to 2009. Inset figure shows interannual variability of MAT and MAP averaged over the whole region.

4061



Fig. 3. Inventory and satellite based approach estimated carbon sink of terrestrial ecosystems in East Asia and its components over the last two decades. Negative value indicates net carbon sink.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper



Fig. 4. Nine ecosystem models simulated spatial patterns of net ecosystem exchange (NEE) attributed to climate change (obtained from the difference between simulation S2 and S1) during the period 1990–2009. (a) average NEE from seven models, (b) standard deviations of seven models derived NEE, and (c-h) NEE estimated by each model. Negative value indicates net carbon sink.





Fig. 5. Ten ecosystem models simulated spatial patterns of net ecosystem exchange (NEE) in response to climate change and rising atmospheric CO_2 (Simulation S2) during the period 1990–2009. (a) average NEE from ten models, (b) standard deviations of ten models derived NEE, and (c-h) NEE estimated by each model. Negative value indicates net carbon sink.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper



Fig. 6. Different factors (climate, climate + CO_2 , nitrogen deposition, land use change, O_3 pollution, agricultural management, fire and biofuels) contribution on the carbon balance of terrestrial ecosystem in East Asia. Negative value indicates net carbon sink. Grey bar show ranges in the estimates by different models or sources. Biofuel emission is fully accounted when assuming the harvested carbon stock is not compensated by vegetation regrowth, and it is not accounted when assuming the harvested carbon stock is fully compensated by vegetation regrowth. Potential interactions among different factors are not considered. Potential interactions among different factors are not considered. The contributions of atmospheric O_3 pollution and agriculture management on the carbon balance are estimated over China rather than over the entire East Asia due to lack of information for other countries (see Sect. 3.2.4).



Fig. 7. Atmospheric inversion model estimated carbon balance in East Asia through considering lateral carbon fluxes. The same fossil fuel CO_2 emission estimate has been removed from each inversion to obtain the land-atmosphere CO_2 flux (NBP). The average of seven inverse models estimation (atmospheric signal) is corrected by two lateral fluxes. (1) atmospheric inversion result is added by CO_2 emission due to imported wood and food product ($0.04 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$), resulting in AA; (2) the carbon sink in AA is reduced by carbon fixed by photosynthesis but released to the atmosphere by non- CO_2 compounds ($0.15 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$), including CO, CH_4 and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), resulting in AB, which should be comparable to estimate by inventory and satellite approach. Grey bar show ranges in the estimates by different inverse models.

4065

Discussion Paper