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## 1 Introduction

The toroidal compactification of eleven-dimensional supergravity [1] to various dimensions leads to hidden symmetries [2-6], which have influenced many important developments. Arguably, they have played an integral part in the set of ideas leading to U-dualities and the conjecture of M-theory [7, 8]. Furthermore, they continue to provide insights into a widerange of problems associated with string/M-theory. However, the role of these symmetries in the full eleven-dimensional theory remains unclear. While these symmetries only appear upon reduction, early seminal work $[9,10]$ found evidence that these symmetries are not merely artifacts of the reduction. They showed that eleven-dimensional supergravity can be reformulated in a way that makes the local symmetries associated with the global exceptional symmetries $E_{7}$ and $E_{8}$, respectively, manifest. Moreover, they were able to assemble some bosonic degrees of freedom into representations of the global symmetry groups. While eleven-dimensional supergravity does not admit the global symmetries, these work hint at structures in eleven dimensions that naturally encompass the duality structure that appears under reduction. Such a framework would provide a more direct understanding of these duality symmetries from an eleven dimensional perspective and possibly shed light on M-theory.

More recent attempts in trying to understand these duality symmetries have centred on generalised geometry [11-16] and related ideas of exceptional geometry [17, 18]. These ideas are based on the extension of the tangent space of a geometry to include $p$-form bundles, and in some cases, also an extension of the base space to include dependence on new coordinates that are seen as windings of branes. The extension of the space geometry
to include windings associated to the branes leads to the unification of gravity and the fields sourced by the branes in a single description [19-21]. In this approach, the dynamics of fields along the internal directions are formulated in terms of a generalised metric that is found from membrane duality arguments [22] or constructed from the duality coset. Thus rendering the description duality-manifest.

In the context of string theory, similar considerations have been made with respect to the T-duality group. In the double field theory [23-26] approach to closed string theory, motivated by string field theory, all fields are taken to depend on dual (winding) coordinates as well as spacetime coordinates. This naturally leads to a generalised geometric structure in which the extended diffeomorphism contains both spacetime diffeomorphism and the gauge symmetry of the NS-NS 2-form. The generalised diffeomorphism algebra closes on the assumption that generalised fields satisfy a differential constraint, known as the section condition, that reduces their dependence to a subset of coordinates. From a physical point of view, the section condition is the level matching condition in string theory. While this geometry does not admit some familiar notions of differential geometry, such as the usual concept of a connection, it does possess a structure [26-32] that in particular contains analogues of the Ricci tensor and scalar - the equation of motion and Lagrangian of the low-energy effective description of closed string theory. The generalised geometric descriptions of heterotic [33, 34] and type II theories [32, 35, 36] also exist.

The generalised geometries associated with the M-theory dualities admit similar, but richer structures given the existence of higher rank $p$-forms sourced by various branes [15]. As with double field theory, the generalised geometries in this context also contain notions of a generalised diffeomorphism algebra that unifies spacetime diffeomorphims and gauge symmetries and closes on a section condition [37-39], as well as other structures [40-43].

In [21], the $\operatorname{SL}(5), \operatorname{SO}(5,5) E_{6}$, and $E_{7}$ duality groups were considered and the dynamics of the corresponding internal fields were described by a non-linear realisation [44-47] of the respective groups seen as subgroups of $E_{11}$. The focus of this paper is the non-linear realisation of $E_{8}$. This is the duality group of maximally supersymmetric three-dimensional supergravity [48] that appears upon the toroidal reduction of eleven-dimensional supergravity [49].

As eluded to earlier, a reformulation of eleven-dimensional supergravity with respect to the $E_{8}$ duality group was first considered by Nicolai in [10] and elaborated on further in [17]. In particular, in [17], the authors provide evidence for a 'generalised vielbein' in the $248 \otimes 248$ of $E_{8}$ and the unification of spacetime and gauge symmetries in the internal directions. The study of supersymmetry transformations and the treatment of the 3 -form potential as an independent field is central to their argument and what emerges is a structure that can be viewed as belonging to the $E_{8}$ tensor product representation $36 \otimes 248$. From the perspective of this work, the failure of a generalisation of this structure to a $248 \otimes 248$ object there is due to the absence of dualisation of relevant fields. Therefore, to understand the significance of duality symmetries in the eleven-dimensional theory, it is necessary to have in mind a 'democratic formulation' in which the supergravity fields are supplemented by their duals, i.e. the 6 -form and the dual gravity field [6]. This is not so surprising when viewed from the perspective of the reduced theory and the necessity of
dualisations for the appearance of symmetries. Furthermore, dualisation is necessary in the local $\mathrm{SU}(8)[9]$ and $\mathrm{SO}(16)$ [10] invariant reformulations of eleven-dimensional supergravity.

Whereas the dualisation of form-fields is well-understood, the dualisation of the metric field is more intricate. The interpretation of the curvature tensor as a 2 -form field strength of the metric field allows for a natural generalisation of dualisation in this context [50-54]. In the linearised theory, this leads to actions for gauge fields in exotic representations of the Lorentz group first considered by Curtright [55]. It has been argued [56] that the extension of such an idea to the non-linear theory is not possible in a local and covariant manner, in general. Although, the existence of isometries is one way to circumvent this [53]. In such a setting, the dual gravity field is the dual of the graviphoton gauge field. The relevance of a dual gravity formulation in the context of M-theory dualities [57, 58], in particular the $E_{11}$ proposal, has been of much recent interest [59-63]. In these papers the possibility of introducing dual gravity fields transforming under the gauge symmetries of the matter fields of eleven-dimensional supergravity has been investigated. In particular, in [62] the dependence of the dual gravity field on the 3 -form gauge field and its dual has been predicted from $E_{11}$.

The $E_{8}$ duality group is particularly interesting from the point of view that the potential of the dual gravity field is expected to appear in the generalised metric for the first time. ${ }^{1}$ As has been observed in [38, 39], the presence of dual gravity poses difficulties for the formulation of an $E_{8}$ generalised geometry.

The goal of this paper is to construct the non-linear realisation of the $E_{8}$ group and compare it with what one would expect from the bosonic sector of eleven-dimensional supergravity. In section 2 , we begin by constructing the non-linear realisation of the $E_{8}$ motion group. The main steps in this construction are as follows.

- We ascertain the $E_{8}$ motion group, which is the semi-direct product of the $E_{8}$ group with that of its adjoint representation. The adjoint representation can be thought of as being generated by translations. This is analogous to the definition of the Poincaré group as the the semi-direct product of the Lorentz group with that of its vector representation, the elements of which are viewed as translation generators. The $E_{8}$ motion group is given in terms of an $\mathrm{SL}(8)$ decomposition of the $E_{8}$ algebra and its adjoint representation. This is because from an eleven-dimensional perspective, the $E_{8}$ duality group appears in the reduction to three dimensions on an 8 -torus. Thus, we would like the duality group to act on the eight spatial directions that would be associated with the torus under the reduction.
- We construct the generalised $E_{8}$ vielbein by conjugating the Maurer-Cartan form of an element of the adjoint representation with an element of the $E_{8}$ group. This is equivalent to calculating the Maurer-Cartan form of an element of the motion group and reading off the part that appears as a coefficient of the translation generators. Given its transformation properties, this object defines a vielbein.

[^0]- We formulate the $E_{8}$ invariant dynamics for the eight-dimensional space in a canonical approach. In such a description, the dynamics is given by a potential and a kinetic term. The strategy in this construction is to write down all $E_{8}$ invariant terms constructed from the generalised metric and fix their coefficients by requiring that the expression reduces to what one would expect for the gravitational sector. Once the coefficients are fixed the full expression with all fields turned on can be computed with the assumption that fields do not depend on the generalised coordinates.
The potential term that is obtained includes an Einstein-Hilbert term, which appears by construction; gauge-invariant field strengths of a 3 -form ( $C_{a b c}$ ) and a 6-form ( $C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{6}}$ ) potential and a term involving a potential with a mixed symmetric Young tableau diagram

$$
C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}=C_{\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{8}\right], b}
$$

Except for the term involving $C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}$, the potential is the same as that obtained in the $E_{7}$ non-linear realisation [21]:

$$
\begin{align*}
V=R(g)-\frac{1}{48} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{4}, b_{1} \ldots b_{4}} F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{4}}^{(4)} F_{b_{1} \ldots b_{4}}^{(4)} & -\frac{1}{8!} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}, b_{1} \ldots b_{7}} F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}}^{(7)} F_{b_{1} \ldots b_{7}}^{(7)} \\
& +\frac{1}{8(8!)} g^{a d} g^{b c} g^{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}} F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b} F_{c, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}, d}, \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R(g)$ is the Ricci scalar of metric $g$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{4}}^{(4)} & =4 \partial_{\left[a_{1}\right.} C_{\left.a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}\right]},  \tag{1.2}\\
F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}}^{(7)} & =7\left(\partial_{\left[a_{1}\right.} C_{\left.a_{2} \ldots a_{7}\right]}+20 C_{\left[a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\right.} \partial_{a_{4}} C_{\left.a_{5} a_{6} a_{7}\right]}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b} & =\partial_{a} C_{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b}-28 C_{\left[e_{1} \ldots e_{6} \mid\right.} \partial_{a} C_{\left.\mid e_{7} e_{8}\right] b}-\frac{560}{3} C_{b\left[e_{1} e_{2}\right.} C_{e_{3} e_{4} e_{5} \mid} \partial_{a} C_{\left.\mid e_{6} e_{7} e_{8}\right]} . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The antisymmetrisation over inverse metrics is defined as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{n}, b_{1} \ldots b_{n}}=\frac{1}{n!}\left(g^{a_{1} b_{1}} \ldots g^{a_{n} b_{n}}+\left(\text { remaining even permutations of } a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\left(\text { odd permutations of } a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

From an eleven-dimensional perspective the field strength $F^{(7)}$ is the Hodge dual of $F^{(4)}$, while the interpretation of $F_{a, b_{1} \ldots b_{8}, c}$ is unclear. Although the structure of the potential $C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}$ suggests a relation to the dual gravity field.

To establish such a relation, in section 3 , we dimensionally reduce the bosonic action of eleven-dimensional supergravity to three dimensions. The reduced theory is known to exhibit $E_{8}$ global symmetry. Indeed upon dualising the one-forms, the scalars of the theory parametrise the coset $E_{8} / \mathrm{SO}(16)$ and their action is written in terms of the generalised metric. Whereupon, we identify the 6 -form potential as the dual of the 3 -form. Furthermore, the potential $C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}$ is the dual of the graviphoton with field strength

$$
H_{i, a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}=\partial_{i} C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}-28 C_{\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{6} \mid\right.} \partial_{i} C_{\left.\mid a_{7} a_{8}\right] b}-\frac{560}{3} C_{b\left[a_{1} a_{2}\right.} C_{a_{3} a_{4} a_{5} \mid} \partial_{i} C_{\left.\mid a_{6} a_{7} a_{8}\right]}
$$

in the three-dimensional theory.
We discuss the possible implications of these results at the end of the paper.

## 2 Non-linear realisation of $\boldsymbol{E}_{8}$

In this section, we construct the non-linear realisation of the $E_{8}$ motion group. ${ }^{2}$ The dynamics obtained from this construction can then be compared with eleven-dimensional supergravity. Due to the many technicalities and long calculations involved in obtaining this result much of the details concerning the calculations have been explained in the appendices for ease of reading.

As emphasised before, the key ingredient in the construction of the $E_{8}$ invariant dynamics is the $E_{8}$ generalised metric, which is constructed using a non-linear realisation of the $E_{8}$ motion group. In [21], the non-linear realisation method was used to calculate the generalised metrics relevant for the $\mathrm{SL}(5), \mathrm{SO}(5,5), E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$ duality groups. In that paper, the duality groups were regarded as subgroups of $E_{11}$ and the generalised metrics were found by performing a non-linear realisation of $E_{11} \ltimes l_{1}$ decomposed to the appropriate duality subgroup. As was stressed in that paper the only difference in carrying out the non-linear realisation of $E_{11}$ truncated to the aforementioned mentioned duality groups as opposed to doing the non-linear realisation of the duality group itself is an overall factor of the determinant of the spatial metric to some power multiplying the generalised metric. The approach that we will take in this paper is to calculate the non-linear realisation of the $E_{8}$ duality group. We comment on the overall factor of the generalised metric later in this section.

The first step in constructing the non-linear realisation is to find the $E_{8}$ motion group, which is done in appendix A . In order to do this, first the $E_{8}$ algebra, which is usually written in terms of an $\mathrm{SL}(9)$ decomposition of $E_{8}$, needs to be rewritten in terms of an SL(8) decomposition. This is because in the $8+3$ splitting of the eleven-dimensional theory that we are considering here, the $E_{8}$ duality group acts only on the eight spatial directions. In an $\mathrm{SL}(9)$ representation, the $E_{8}$ algebra is given by the following three generators

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{\beta}}, V_{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\alpha \gamma}}, V_{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta \gamma}}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the underlined Greek indices are $\operatorname{SL}(9)$ indices that run from 1 to 9 . An $\operatorname{SL}(8)$ decomposition of these generators is simply of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, M^{\alpha}{ }_{9}, M^{9}{ }_{\beta}, V^{\alpha \beta \gamma}, V^{\alpha \beta 9}, V_{\alpha \beta \gamma}, V_{\alpha \beta 9}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where lowercase Greek indices run from 1 to 8. The above objects and the alternating tensor $\epsilon_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}}$ in eight dimensions can then be used to define the generators of the particular SL(8) decomposition of the $E_{8}$ algebra used here

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, R^{\alpha \beta \gamma}, R_{\alpha \beta \gamma}, R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}, R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The precise relation between these generators and those listed above is given in appendix A. Note that as emphasised there, the definition of these generators is one particular choice out of many possibilities and has been made with the efficient calculation of the non-linear

[^1]realisation in mind. Now the $E_{8}$ algebra reduces to a set of commutation relations involving the generators listed in (2.3) (see equations (A.15)-(A.34) in appendix A).

Given the $\mathrm{SL}(8)$ decomposition of the $E_{8}$ group, the next step is to define the translation generators. Consider the 248-dimensional fundamental (and adjoint) representation of $E_{8}$ given by generators

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{\beta}}, \bar{Z}^{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta \gamma}}, \bar{Z}_{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta \gamma}}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are of the same form as the $E_{8}$ generators. The $\mathrm{SL}(8)$ decomposition of the trace-free generator $P^{\underline{\alpha}} \underline{\underline{\beta}}$ gives the following generators

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\alpha}, W_{\beta}^{\alpha}, W, Z^{\alpha}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ is trace-free. A simple counting confirms that these generators have the same degrees of freedom as $P^{\underline{\alpha}} \underline{\beta}$. Similarly, the totally antisymmetric generators $\bar{Z}^{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta \gamma}}$ and $\bar{Z}_{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta \gamma}}$ are rewritten in terms of $\overline{\mathrm{SL}}(8)$ indices as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\alpha \beta \gamma}, Z^{\alpha \beta} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\alpha \beta \gamma}, W_{\alpha \beta} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The precise definition of the above generators is given in equations (A.44)(A.51) in appendix A. In addition, the necessary commutation relations and inner products involving the translation generators are given in appendix $A$.

The $E_{8}$ non-linear realisation is constructed using the motion group element

$$
g=g_{l} g_{E}
$$

where
is an $E_{8}$ group element. This group element has been gauge-fixed so that it mostly contains generators corresponding to negative roots, i.e. generators of the Borel subalgebra. The only exception being the $K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ which contains generators corresponding to both positive and negative roots as well as Cartan subalgebra generators. This group element introduces the fields

$$
h_{\alpha}^{\beta}, C_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, C_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, C_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta} .
$$

The group element

$$
g_{l}=\mathrm{e}^{x^{\alpha} P_{\alpha}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} y_{\alpha \beta} Z^{\alpha \beta}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} w^{\alpha \beta \gamma} W_{\alpha \beta \gamma}} \mathrm{e}^{w_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta} W^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \sqrt{2} w W} \mathrm{e}^{4 \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} z_{\alpha \beta \gamma} Z^{\alpha \beta \gamma}} \mathrm{e}^{4 \sqrt{2} w^{\alpha \beta} W_{\alpha \beta}} \mathrm{e}^{8 z_{\alpha} Z^{\alpha}}
$$

is generated by the translation generators. The coefficient of each exponent in the group element has been chosen based on the normalisation of the translation generator, given in appendix A, so that the flat metric takes the canonical form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}= & \delta_{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{d} x^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x^{\beta}+\delta^{\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta} \mathrm{d} y_{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{d} y_{\gamma \delta}+\delta_{\alpha \beta \gamma, \delta \epsilon \zeta} \mathrm{d} w^{\alpha \beta \gamma} \mathrm{d} w^{\delta \epsilon \zeta}+\delta_{\alpha}^{\delta} \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} \mathrm{d} w^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \mathrm{d} w^{\gamma}{ }_{\delta} \\
& +\mathrm{d} w \mathrm{~d} w+\delta^{\alpha \beta \gamma, \delta \epsilon \zeta} \mathrm{d} z_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \mathrm{d} z_{\delta \epsilon \zeta}+\delta_{\alpha \beta, \gamma \delta} \mathrm{d} w^{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{d} w^{\gamma \delta}+\delta^{\alpha \beta} \mathrm{d} z_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} z_{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{n}, \beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{n}}=\frac{1}{n!}( & \delta^{\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}} \ldots \delta^{\alpha_{n} \beta_{n}}+\left(\text { remaining even permutations of } \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \\
& \left.-\left(\text { odd permutations of } \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The generalised vielbein is given by conjugating the Maurer-Cartan form of $g_{l}$ by $g_{E}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\Pi} \tilde{L}^{\Pi}{ }_{A} \mathrm{~d} Z^{A}=g_{E}^{-1}\left(g_{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g_{l}\right) g_{E} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper uppercase Greek letters denote generalised tangent space indices, while uppercase Latin indices denote generalised coordinate indices. ${ }^{3}$

Using Hadamard's Lemma

$$
\mathrm{e}^{X} Y \mathrm{e}^{-X}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left(\operatorname{ad}^{n} X\right) Y,
$$

where

$$
(\operatorname{ad} X) Y=[X, Y],
$$

and the commutation relations between $K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ and the translation generators, equations (A.52)-(A.77), we find $\tilde{L}^{\Pi}{ }_{A}$, the generalised vielbein. This is a $8 \times 8$ block, lower triangular matrix that is sextic in $C_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}$, cubic in $C_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}$ and quadratic in $C_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}$. The generalised metric is given by

$$
\tilde{M}_{A B}=\delta_{\Pi \Sigma} \tilde{L}^{\Pi}{ }_{A} \tilde{L}^{\Sigma}{ }_{B} .
$$

However, for calculating the action, it is much easier to use the following rewriting of the generalised metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}_{A B}=G_{C D} \tilde{L}^{C}{ }_{A} \tilde{L}^{D}{ }_{B}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$G_{A B}=\operatorname{diag}\left(g_{a b}, g^{d_{1} d_{2}, e_{1} e_{2}}, g_{g_{1} \ldots g_{3}, h_{1} \ldots h_{3}}, g^{j_{1} k_{1}} g_{j_{2} k_{2}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_{2}}^{j_{1}} \delta_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}}, 1, g^{m_{1} \ldots m_{3}, n_{1} \ldots n_{3}}, g_{q_{1} q_{2}, r_{1} r_{2}}, g^{x y}\right)$.
The index

$$
A=\left(a, d_{1} d_{2}, g_{1} \ldots g_{3}, j_{1} j_{2}, \varnothing, m_{1} \ldots m_{3}, q_{1} q_{2}, x\right)
$$

and similarly

$$
B=\left(b, e_{1} e_{2}, h_{1} \ldots h_{3}, k_{1} k_{2}, \varnothing, n_{1} \ldots n_{3}, r_{1} r_{2}, y\right),
$$

where $\varnothing$ denotes the fact that the corresponding object has no index. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{L}_{B}^{A}=e_{\Pi}{ }^{A} \tilde{L}^{\Pi}{ }_{B}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{\Pi}{ }^{A}=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{\alpha}{ }^{a}, e_{\left[d_{1}\right.}{ }^{\beta_{1}} e_{\left.d_{2}\right]}\right]^{\beta_{2}}, e_{\gamma_{1}}{ }^{\left[g_{1}\right.} \ldots e_{\gamma_{3}}{ }^{\left.g_{3}\right]}, \\
& \\
& \left.\quad e_{j_{1}}{ }^{\delta_{1}} e_{\delta_{2}}{ }^{j_{2}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} \delta_{\delta_{2}}^{\delta_{1}}, 1, e_{\left[m_{1}\right.}{ }^{\epsilon_{1}} \ldots e_{\left.m_{3}\right]^{\epsilon_{3}}}, e_{\zeta_{1}}{ }^{\left[q_{1}\right.} e_{\zeta_{2}}{ }^{\left.q_{2}\right]}, e_{x}{ }^{\eta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]$e_{a}{ }^{\alpha}$ is the spatial vielbein,
$$
g_{a b}=\delta_{\alpha \beta} e_{a}^{\alpha} e_{b}^{\beta}
$$
and $e_{\alpha}{ }^{a}$ is the inverse vielbein. The index
$$
\Pi=\left(\alpha, \beta_{1} \beta_{2}, \gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{3}, \delta_{1} \delta_{2}, \varnothing, \epsilon_{1} \ldots \epsilon_{3}, \zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}, \eta\right)
$$

By introducing $\tilde{L}^{A}{ }_{B}$, we have removed spatial vielbeine from the generalised vielbein and instead only work with the spatial metric. This is more convenient and it is the form of the generalised vielbein that will be used to calculate the action. Note that the generalised metric constructed from the $E_{8}$ motion group, $\tilde{M}$, is unit determinant. We will consider a rescaling of this generalised metric by the determinant of the spatial metric. As was explained in [21]-in particular appendix B - this can be thought of as considering $E_{8}$ as a subgroup of a larger group, $E_{11}$, for example. Or alternatively we can think of the $\mathrm{SL}(8)$ in $E_{8}$ as a subgroup of a larger special linear group, $\mathrm{SL}(11)$ for instance. This makes sense physically because the theory of course only makes sense in eleven-dimensions and we should always view the eight spatial coordinates we have here as being augmented by three other directions. The rescaled generalised vielbein that we use is

$$
L_{B}^{A}=g^{-1 / 2} \tilde{L}_{B}^{A}
$$

where $g$ is the determinant of the spatial metric. The generalised metric that we use to construct the dynamics is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{A B}=G_{C D} L_{A}^{C} L_{B}^{D}=g^{-1} \tilde{M}_{A B} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of $L^{A}{ }_{B}$ are given in appendix B .
We follow the canonical approach of [19] to formulate the dynamics. In this approach, there is a potential and kinetic term for the fields. In a duality-invariant description both of these are given as a scalar in terms of the generalised metric. In order to find this description, consider first the potential and write a combination of terms that reduces to the Ricci scalar when the fields are independent of the generalised coordinates:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V=\frac{1}{240} M^{M N} \partial_{M} M^{K L} \partial_{N} M_{K L}-\frac{1}{2} M^{M N} \partial_{N} M^{K L} \partial_{L} M_{M K}-\frac{1}{496} M^{K L} \partial_{M} M^{M N} \partial_{N} M_{K L} \\
+\frac{23}{15(248)^{2}} M^{M N}\left(M^{K L} \partial_{M} M_{K L}\right)\left(M^{R S} \partial_{N} M_{R S}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$M^{A B}$ is the inverse of the generalised metric. When the fields are taken to only depend on the eight usual directions this expression reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
V=R(g)-\frac{1}{48} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{4}, b_{1} \ldots b_{4}} F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{4}}^{(4)} F_{b_{1} \ldots b_{4}}^{(4)} & -\frac{1}{8!} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}, b_{1} \ldots b_{7}} F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}}^{(7)} F_{b_{1} \ldots b_{7}}^{(7)} \\
& +\frac{1}{8(8!)} g^{a d} g^{b c} g^{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}} F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b} F_{c, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}, d} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R(g)$ is the Ricci scalar of metric $g$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{4}}^{(4)} & =4 \partial_{\left[a_{1}\right.} C_{\left.a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}\right]},  \tag{2.14}\\
F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}}^{(7)} & =7\left(\partial_{\left[a_{1}\right.} C_{\left.a_{2} \ldots a_{7}\right]}+20 C_{\left[a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\right.} \partial_{a_{4}} C_{\left.a_{5} a_{6} a_{7}\right]}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b} & =\partial_{a} C_{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b}-28 C_{\left[e_{1} \ldots e_{6} \mid\right.} \partial_{a} C_{\left.\mid e_{7} e_{8}\right] b}-\frac{560}{3} C_{b\left[e_{1} e_{2}\right.} C_{e_{3} e_{4} e_{5} \mid} \partial_{a} C_{\left.\mid e_{6} e_{7} e_{8}\right]} . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The details of this calculation are in appendix C. The kinetic term can be evaluated similarly and contains the kinetic terms associated with the metric and the 3-form [19] and an analogous term for the 6 -form. Moreover, it contains a term quadratic in time-derivatives of $C_{a_{1}, \ldots a_{8}, b}$.

The interpretation of the appearance of a field with mixed indices, especially in the form above, in the dynamics is unclear. However, the structure of the potential is clearly reminiscent of a dual gravity field. In the next section, we show that the potential $C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}$ is the dual of the graviphoton in the dimensional reduction of eleven-dimensional supergravity to three dimensions. Thus, given the evidence for the relation between dualisation of fields before and after reduction [6, 64], from an eleven-dimensional perspective this potential is indeed to be interpreted as a dual gravity field.

## 3 Dimensional reduction of the bosonic sector of eleven-dimensional supergravity

In this section, we dimensionally reduce the bosonic part of eleven-dimensional supergravity [1] à la Cremmer-Julia [2] to three dimensions and relate $C_{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b}$ to the dual gravity field. This is the dimensional reduction in which the $E_{8}$ symmetry appears [49]. In particular the scalars of the reduced theory are described by an $E_{8} / \mathrm{SO}(16)$ coset, which we will demonstrate explicitly in this section.

The bosonic part of the action of eleven-dimensional supergravity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \sqrt{G}\left(R(G)-\frac{1}{48} F^{A B C D} F_{A B C D}-\frac{1}{12^{4}} \epsilon^{A_{1} \ldots A_{11}} F_{A_{1} \ldots A_{4}} F_{A_{5} \ldots A_{8}} C_{A_{6} A_{7} A_{8}}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $G$ is the eleven-dimensional metric, $C_{A B C}$ is the 3 -form of eleven-dimensional supergravity and

$$
F_{A B C D}=4 \partial_{[A} C_{B C D]} .
$$

The index notation used in this section is different to that used elsewhere. In this section uppercase Latin letters run from 0 to 10, lowercase letters from the start of the Latin alphabet, $a, b, c, \ldots$, denote internal indices, while those from the middle of the alphabet, $i, j, k, \ldots$, denote 3 -dimensional indices. The symbol $\epsilon$ in equation (3.1), as elsewhere in this paper, denotes an alternating tensor.

To perform the reduction, we take all fields to be independent of the internal directions. First, consider the gravitational part. We take the following ansatz for the elfbein

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g^{-1 / 2} e_{i}^{\mu} & B_{i}{ }^{a} \tilde{e}_{a}^{\alpha}  \tag{3.2}\\
0 & \tilde{e}_{a}^{\alpha}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $e_{i}{ }^{\mu}$ and $\tilde{e}_{a}{ }^{\alpha}$ are the dreibein and achtbein. In this section, lowercase Greek indices from the beginning and middle of the alphabet denote internal and 3-dimensional tangent space indices, respectively. We define the three-dimensional and eight-dimensional metrics as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{i j} & =e_{i}{ }^{\mu} e_{j}^{\nu} \eta_{\mu \nu}  \tag{3.3}\\
\text { and } \quad g_{a b} & =\tilde{e}_{a}{ }^{\alpha} \tilde{e}_{b}^{\beta} \delta_{\alpha \beta} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

so that $g$ in expression (3.2) denotes the determinant of metric $g_{a b}$. Given the vielbein ansatz (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{G} R(G)=\sqrt{\gamma}\left(R(\gamma)+\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{i j}\left(\partial_{i} g^{a b}\right)\left(\partial_{j} g_{a b}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{i j}\left(g^{a b} \partial_{i} g_{a b}\right)\left(g^{c d} \partial_{j} g_{c d}\right)-\frac{1}{4} g \gamma^{i k} \gamma^{j l} g_{a b} F_{i j}^{a} F_{k l}^{b}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F_{i j}^{a}=2 \partial_{[i} B_{j]}^{a}
$$

is the field strength of the graviphoton.
Under the reduction, the second term in the action, given in (3.1), becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{48} \sqrt{G} F^{A B C D} F_{A B C D}=\sqrt{\gamma}\left(-\frac{1}{12} g^{2} \tilde{F}^{i j k a} \tilde{F}_{i j k a}-\frac{1}{8} g \tilde{F}^{i j a b} \tilde{F}_{i j a b}-\frac{1}{12} F^{i a b c} F_{i a b c}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above expression the indices are raised with inverses of the metrics $\gamma$ and $g$ defined in equations (3.3) and (3.4), so for example

$$
\tilde{F}^{i j a b}=\gamma^{i k} \gamma^{j l} g^{a c} g^{b d} \tilde{F}_{k l c d} .
$$

Moreover, the field strengths

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{F}_{i j k c} & =3 \partial_{[i} C_{j k] c}-6\left(\partial_{[i} C_{j \mid b c}\right) B_{\mid k]}^{b}+3\left(\partial_{[i \mid} C_{a b c}\right) B_{\mid j}^{a} B_{k]}^{b}  \tag{3.7}\\
\tilde{F}_{i j b c} & =2 \partial_{[i} C_{j] b c}-2 \partial_{[i \mid} C_{a b c} B_{\mid j]}^{a}  \tag{3.8}\\
F_{i a b c} & =\partial_{i} C_{a b c} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

are defined so that they are invariant under coordinate transformations of the internal directions - see [2] for more details.

Similarly, in terms of the gauge-invariant field strengths defined above, the ChernSimons term of the action reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{2}{12^{3}} \sqrt{\gamma} \sqrt{g} \epsilon^{i j k} \epsilon^{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}}\left(3 \tilde{F}_{i j a_{1} a_{2}} F_{k a_{3} a_{4} a_{5}}-F_{i a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} C_{a_{4} a_{5} b} F_{j k}^{b}\right) C_{a_{6} a_{7} a_{8}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In obtaining the above result we have integrated by parts twice and used

$$
F_{i\left[a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \mid\right.} F_{j \mid a_{4} a_{5} a_{6}} C_{\left.a_{7} a_{8} a_{9}\right]}=0
$$

Putting together equations (3.5), (3.6) and (3.10), we obtain the action for the reduced theory

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{(3)}=\int \sqrt{\gamma}( & R(\gamma)+\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{i j}\left(\partial_{i} g^{a b}\right)\left(\partial_{j} g_{a b}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{i j}\left(g^{a b} \partial_{i} g_{a b}\right)\left(g^{c d} \partial_{j} g_{c d}\right)-\frac{1}{4} g \gamma^{i k} \gamma^{j l} g_{a b} F_{i j}{ }^{a} F_{k l}^{b} \\
& -\frac{1}{12} g^{2} \tilde{F}^{i j k a} \tilde{F}_{i j k a}-\frac{1}{8} g \tilde{F}^{i j a b} \tilde{F}_{i j a b}-\frac{1}{12} F^{i a b c} F_{i a b c}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left.-\frac{2}{12^{3}} \sqrt{g} \epsilon^{i j k} \epsilon^{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}}\left(3 \tilde{F}_{i j a_{1} a_{2}} F_{k a_{3} a_{4} a_{5}}-F_{i a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} C_{a_{4} a_{5} b} F_{j k}{ }^{b}\right) C_{a_{6} a_{7} a_{8}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We are interested in the scalars of the reduced theory because it is these that parametrise the $E_{8} / \mathrm{SO}(16)$ coset. From the above action we can see that the scalars of the theory are $36 g_{a b}, 56 C_{a b c}$. Furthermore, since we are in three dimensions, one-forms are dual to scalars so we have $28+8$ scalars from dualising the one-forms $A_{i a b}$ and $B_{i}{ }^{a}$. Therefore, in all we have

$$
128=248-120=\operatorname{dim}\left(E_{8}\right)-\operatorname{dim}(\mathrm{SO}(16))
$$

scalars. We concentrate on the action of the scalars and one-forms and augment the action by a Lagrange multiplier that imposes the closedness of the one-form field strengths.

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{\prime(3)}=\int \sqrt{\gamma}\{ & \frac{1}{4} \gamma^{i j}\left(\partial_{i} g^{a b}\right)\left(\partial_{j} g_{a b}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{i j}\left(g^{a b} \partial_{i} g_{a b}\right)\left(g^{c d} \partial_{j} g_{c d}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4} g \gamma^{i k} \gamma^{j l} g_{a b} F_{i j}{ }^{a} F_{k l}{ }^{b}-\frac{1}{8} g \tilde{F}^{i j a b} \tilde{F}_{i j a b}-\frac{1}{12} F^{i a b c} F_{i a b c} \\
& -\frac{2}{12^{3}} \sqrt{g} \epsilon^{i j k} \epsilon^{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}}\left(3 \tilde{F}_{i j a_{1} a_{2}} F_{k a_{3} a_{4} a_{5}}-F_{i a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} C_{a_{4} a_{5} b} F_{j k}{ }^{b}\right) C_{a_{6} a_{7} a_{8}} \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{4} \varphi_{a} \epsilon^{i j k} \partial_{i} F_{j k}{ }^{a}+\frac{1}{8} \psi^{a b} \epsilon^{i j k}\left(\partial_{i} \tilde{F}_{j k a b}-2 F_{i a b c} F_{j k}{ }^{c}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

On a three-dimensional manifold with trivial homology, integrating out $\varphi_{a}$ gives

$$
F_{i j}^{a}=2 \partial_{[i} B_{j]}{ }^{a}
$$

for some $B$. While the equation of motion for the second Lagrange multiplier, $\psi$, gives that

$$
\tilde{F}_{j k a b}+2 F_{j a b c} B_{k}^{c}
$$

is closed, from which we recover equation (3.8). Therefore, this first-order formulation is, at least classically, equivalent to the action for the scalars and one-form of the original reduced action $S^{(3)}$. Hence, $\tilde{F}_{i k a b}$ and $F_{i j}{ }^{a}$ are independent fields not given in terms of potential forms. By integrating out these fields we dualise the one-forms of the original action, $B_{i}^{a}$ and $C_{i a b}$, into scalars $\varphi_{a}$ and $\psi^{a b}$. In fact, this is the reason why these duality symmetries are sometimes called hidden symmetries. The symmetry is only manifest after dualisation of some of the fields. The new action that we obtain is

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\text {scalars }}^{(3)}=\int \sqrt{\gamma} & \left(\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{i j}\left(\partial_{i} g^{a b}\right)\left(\partial_{j} g_{a b}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{i j}\left(g^{a b} \partial_{i} g_{a b}\right)\left(g^{c d} \partial_{j} g_{c d}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{12} \gamma^{i j} g^{a b c, d e f} \partial_{i} C_{a b c} \partial_{j} C_{d e f}-\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{i j} g^{a b} G_{i a} G_{j b}-\frac{1}{16} \gamma^{i j} g_{a b, c d} G_{i}{ }^{a b} G_{j}{ }^{c d}\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{n}, b_{1} \ldots b_{n}}$ is defined as in equation (1.5). $g_{a_{1} \ldots a_{n}, b_{1} \ldots b_{n}}$ is defined analogously. The new fields in the action are

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{i}^{a b} & =g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{i} \psi^{a b}-\frac{1}{36} \epsilon^{a b c_{1} \ldots c_{6}} C_{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}} \partial_{i} C_{c_{4} c_{5} c_{6}}  \tag{3.14}\\
G_{i a} & =g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{i} \varphi_{a}-1 / 2 g^{-1 / 2} \psi^{b c} \partial_{i} C_{a b c}-\frac{1}{216} \epsilon^{b_{1} \ldots b_{8}} C_{a b_{1} b_{2}} C_{b_{3} b_{4} b_{5}} \partial_{i} C_{b_{6} b_{7} b_{8}} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{6}} & =\frac{1}{2} g^{-1 / 2} \epsilon_{a_{1} \ldots a_{6} b c} \psi^{b c}  \tag{3.16}\\
C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b} & =g^{-1 / 2} \epsilon_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}} \varphi_{b} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

we can identify these fields with the dual of the 3 -form and the dual gravity field. With this notation for the fields the action of the scalars in three dimensions can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\text {scalars }}^{(3)}=\int \sqrt{\gamma}\left(\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{i j}\left(\partial_{i} g^{a b}\right)\left(\partial_{j} g_{a b}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \gamma^{i j}\left(g^{a b} \partial_{i} g_{a b}\right)\left(g^{c d} \partial_{j} g_{c d}\right)\right. \\
&-\frac{1}{12} \gamma^{i j} g^{a b c, d e f} \partial_{i} C_{a b c} \partial_{j} C_{d e f}-\frac{1}{8(6!)} \gamma^{i j} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{6}, b_{1} \ldots b_{6}} H_{i, a_{1} \ldots a_{6}} H_{j, a_{1} \ldots a_{6}} \\
&\left.-\frac{1}{8(8!)} \gamma^{i j} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b_{1} \ldots b_{8}} g^{c d} H_{i, a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, c} H_{j, a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, c}\right), \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{i, a_{1} \ldots a_{6}}=\partial_{i} C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{6}}-20 C_{\left[a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \mid\right.} \partial_{i} C_{\left.\mid a_{4} a_{5} a_{6}\right]}  \tag{3.19}\\
H_{i, a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}=\partial_{i} C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}-28 C_{\left[a_{1} \ldots a_{6} \mid\right.} \partial_{i} C_{\left.\mid a_{7} a_{8}\right] b}-\frac{560}{3} C_{b\left[a_{1} a_{2}\right.} C_{a_{3} a_{4} a_{5} \mid} \partial_{i} C_{\left.\mid a_{6} a_{7} a_{8}\right]} \tag{3.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

As expected, since the scalars in the reduction parametrise the $E_{8} / \mathrm{SO}(16)$ coset, action (3.18) can be written in terms of the $E_{8}$ generalised metric, (2.12), in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {scalars }}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{240} \gamma^{i j} \partial_{i} M^{K L} \partial_{j} M_{K L}+\frac{31}{30(248)^{2}} \gamma^{i j}\left(M^{K L} \partial_{i} M_{K L}\right)\left(M^{R S} \partial_{j} M_{R S}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the uppercase Latin indices in the above equation run from 1 to 248 as in section 2. Note that the calculation of the above terms is identical to the calculation of the potential in appendix C .

Comparing equations (3.20) and (2.16), we can see that it is the dual gravity field that appears in the potential in section 2. This is in contrast to the $E_{6}$ case considered in reference [21] where the 6 -form field could have appeared in the potential but didn't because there was an antisymmetrisation over 7 indices. In the potential given in equation (2.13) there is no antisymmetrisation over the first 9 indices of $F_{a, b_{1} \ldots b_{8}, c}$ so the dual gravity field appears. From a technical viewpoint, this is because $C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}$ has mixed indices. Note that, in contrast to the field strength $F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b}$ defined in equation (2.16), the gauge invariance of $H_{i, a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}$ from a three-dimensional point-of-view is very clear to see. This is because in the reduced theory, the fields $C_{a b c}, C_{a_{1}, \ldots a_{6}}$ and $C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}$ are scalars.

## 4 Discussion

In this paper, we formulated a non-linear realisation of the $E_{8}$ group and found that the dynamics includes a new field $C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8, b}}$ with mixed Young tableaux indices with field strength $F_{a, b_{1} \ldots b_{8}, c}$. While the gauge-invariance properties of $F_{a, b_{1} \ldots b_{8, c}}$ are not clear, we show tantalising links with dual gravity. Our difficulty in establishing the gauge-invariance of the field strength is related to the difficulty in formulating a generalised geometry for $E_{8}[38,39]$. In both cases, knowledge of the transformation of $C_{a_{1} \ldots a 8, b}$ under gauge transformations is a requisite.

In reference [39], the authors were unable to write down a generalised Lie derivative, even though they showed that the gauge structure leads to the correct counting of the degrees of freedom. While, as in [39], we cannot determine the gauge transformations of $C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}$, we unambiguously show that if the field strength is to be gauge-invariant, the new field must transform under 3 -form and 6 -form gauge transformations. This result establishes the possible dependence of the dual gravity field on the eleven-dimensional matter fields, namely the 3 -form gauge field and its dual and may provide a basis for evading the no-go theorems of [56,59]. In reference [59], it was shown that even a linearised dual gravity formulation is not possible in the presence of matter unless covariance or locality is abandoned. ${ }^{4}$ It is possible that $C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}$ is dual to a particular component of the elevendimensional metric, which is consistent with the reduced theory perspective. We leave a precise description of such a possibility for future work.
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## A $\quad E_{8}$ motion group from Cartan's representation

In this appendix, we find the algebra of the $E_{8}$ motion group, where the translation generators of the motion group form the 248 -dimensional representation of $E_{8}$. In particular, the algebra of the $E_{8}$ motion group decomposed to $\mathrm{SL}(8)$ is found.

The $E_{8}$ [65] group is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\underline{\underline{\beta}}}^{\underline{\alpha}}, V^{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta \gamma}}, V_{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta} \gamma}, \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]where underlined Greek indices run from 1 to 9 . In terms of these generators the $E_{8}$ algebra is as follows [65]:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[M \underline{\underline{\beta}}_{\underline{\beta}}, M \underline{\underline{\gamma}}_{\underline{\delta}}\right]=\delta_{\underline{\beta}}^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma}} \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\underline{\delta}}^{\underline{\alpha}}-\delta_{\underline{\delta}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}} M \underline{\underline{\gamma}}_{\underline{\beta}},}  \tag{A.2}\\
& {\left[M \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\underline{\beta}}, V \underline{\gamma}_{1} \cdots \underline{\gamma}_{3}\right]=3 \delta_{\underline{\beta}}^{\left[\underline{\gamma}_{1}\right.} V \underline{\gamma}_{2} \underline{\gamma}_{3} \underline{\underline{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{3} \delta \underline{\beta} \underline{\beta}^{\alpha} V \underline{\gamma}_{1} \cdots \underline{\gamma}_{3},}  \tag{A.3}\\
& {\left[M \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{\underline{\beta}}, V_{\underline{\gamma}_{1} \cdots \underline{\gamma}_{3}}\right]=-3 \delta_{\left[\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{1}\right.}^{\left.\underline{\alpha} V_{\underline{\gamma}_{2}} \underline{\gamma}_{3}\right] \underline{\beta}}+\frac{1}{3} \delta_{\underline{\beta}} V_{\underline{\gamma}_{1} \ldots \underline{\gamma}_{3}},}  \tag{A.4}\\
& \left.\left[V \underline{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \underline{\alpha}_{3}, V_{\underline{\beta}_{1} \ldots \underline{\beta}_{3}}\right]=18 \delta_{\left[\underline{\beta}_{1} \underline{\beta}_{2}\right.}^{\left[\underline{\alpha}_{1} \underline{\alpha}_{2}\right.} M^{\left.\underline{\alpha}_{3}\right]} \underline{\beta}_{3}\right], \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[V_{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \underline{\alpha}_{3}}, V_{\underline{\beta}_{1} \ldots \underline{\beta}_{3}}\right]=\frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{\alpha_{1} \underline{\alpha}_{2} \underline{\alpha}_{3} \underline{\beta}_{1} \underline{\beta}_{2} \underline{\beta}_{3} \underline{\gamma}_{1} \underline{\gamma}_{2} \underline{\gamma}_{3}} V \underline{\underline{\gamma}}_{1} \cdots \underline{\gamma}_{3},} \tag{A.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is the Kronecker delta symbol and $\epsilon_{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \underline{\alpha}_{9}}$ is the alternating tensor in nine dimensions. Furthermore,

$$
\epsilon^{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \cdots \underline{\alpha}_{9}} \epsilon_{\underline{\beta}_{1} \ldots \underline{\beta}_{9}}=9!\delta_{\underline{\beta}_{1} \cdots \underline{\beta}_{9}}^{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \cdots \underline{\alpha}_{9}} .
$$

The $E_{8}$ algebra is expressed in terms of an $\operatorname{SL}(9)$ decomposition of $E_{8}$. In this paper, we are considering the action of the $E_{8}$ duality group along eight dimensions. Hence we require an $\operatorname{SL}(8)$ decomposition of the algebra. This is easily done by defining $E_{8}$ generators in SL(8) representations as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{\beta}^{\alpha} & =M_{\beta}^{\alpha}+\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{8} M_{\gamma}^{\gamma}  \tag{A.8}\\
R^{\alpha \beta \gamma} & =V^{\alpha \beta \gamma}  \tag{A.9}\\
R_{\alpha \beta \gamma} & =V_{\alpha \beta \gamma},  \tag{A.10}\\
R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}} & =-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6} \beta \gamma} V_{\beta \gamma 9},  \tag{A.11}\\
R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}} & =\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6} \beta \gamma} V^{\beta \gamma 9},  \tag{A.12}\\
R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta} & =\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} M_{9}^{\beta},  \tag{A.13}\\
R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta} & =\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} M_{\beta}^{9}, \tag{A.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where Greek indices are SL(8) indices. The alternating tensor in eight dimensions is induced from the nine-dimensional one in the following way:

$$
\epsilon_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}}=\epsilon_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8} 9} \quad \text { and } \quad \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}}=\epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8} 9}
$$

Using equations (A.2)-(A.7) and the above equations, we find the $E_{8}$ algebra given in terms
of an $\mathrm{SL}(8)$ decomposition. The commutation relations of the GL(8) generator are

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, K^{\gamma}{ }_{\delta}\right] } & =\delta_{\beta}^{\gamma} K_{\delta}^{\alpha}-\delta_{\delta}^{\alpha} K_{\beta}^{\gamma}  \tag{A.15}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, R^{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{3}}\right] } & =3 \delta_{\beta}^{\left[\gamma_{1}\right.} R^{\left.|\alpha| \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}\right]}  \tag{A.16}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, R_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{3}}\right] } & =-3 \delta_{\left[\gamma_{1}\right.}^{\alpha} R_{\left.|\beta| \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}\right]}  \tag{A.17}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, R^{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{6}}\right] } & =6 \delta_{\beta}^{\left[\gamma_{1}\right.} R^{\left.|\alpha| \gamma_{2} \ldots \gamma_{6}\right]}  \tag{A.18}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, R_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{6}}\right] } & =-6 \delta_{\left[\gamma_{1}\right.}^{\alpha} R_{\left.|\beta| \gamma_{2} \ldots \gamma_{6}\right]}  \tag{A.19}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, R^{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{8}, \delta}\right] } & =8 \delta_{\beta}^{\left[\gamma_{1}\right.} R^{\left.|\alpha| \gamma_{2} \ldots \gamma_{8}\right], \delta}+\delta_{\beta}^{\delta} R^{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{8}, \alpha}  \tag{A.20}\\
{\left[K_{\beta}^{\alpha}, R_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{8}, \delta}\right] } & =-8 \delta_{\left[\gamma_{1}\right.}^{\alpha} R_{\left.|\beta| \gamma_{2} \ldots \gamma_{8}\right], \delta}-\delta_{\delta}^{\alpha} R_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{8}, \beta} \tag{A.21}
\end{align*}
$$

These are the expected commutation relations of the $\mathrm{GL}(8)$ generator $K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ with the other generators. The generator $K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ has been shifted by $\sum_{\gamma} M^{\gamma}{ }_{\gamma}$ in such a way that its commutation relations with the $R$ generators do not contain any $\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$. Other choices can be made, but this choice is more convenient and makes the non-linear realisation calculation easier. Furthermore, with this choice the trace of $K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$,

$$
K=\sum_{\gamma} K_{\gamma}^{\gamma}
$$

counts the index of the GL(8) representations

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[K, R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}\right] } & =3 R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}} \\
{\left[K, R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}\right] } & =-3 R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}} \\
{\left[K, R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}\right] } & =6 R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}} \\
{\left[K, R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}\right] } & =-6 R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}} \\
{\left[K, R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}\right] } & =9 R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta} \\
{\left[K, R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}\right] } & =-9 R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest of the commutations relations in the $\mathrm{SL}(8)$ decomposition are

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, R^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] } & =2 R^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{3}},  \tag{A.22}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, R^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{6}}\right] } & =-3 R^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{6}\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right]},  \tag{A.23}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, R_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] } & =18 \delta^{\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right.}{ }_{\left[\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right.} K^{\left.\alpha_{3}\right]}{ }_{\beta 3]}-2 \delta_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}} K,  \tag{A.24}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, R_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{6}}\right] } & =60 \delta_{\left[\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}\right.}^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}} R_{\left.\beta_{4} \ldots \beta_{6}\right]},  \tag{A.25}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, R_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{8}, \gamma}\right] } & =112\left(\delta_{\left[\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}\right.}^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}} R_{\left.\beta_{4} \ldots \beta_{8}\right] \gamma}-\delta_{\gamma\left[\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right.}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}} R_{\left.\beta_{3} \ldots \beta_{8}\right]}\right)  \tag{A.26}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, R_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] } & =-60 \delta_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}^{\left[\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}\right.} R^{\left.\alpha_{4} \ldots \alpha_{6}\right]},  \tag{A.27}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, R_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{6}}\right] } & =-9(5!) \delta_{\left[\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{5}\right.}^{\left[\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{5}\right.} K^{\left.\alpha_{6}\right]}{ }_{\beta 6]}+5!\delta_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{6}}^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}} K,  \tag{A.28}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, R_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{8}, \gamma}\right] } & =\frac{2}{3} 7!\left(\delta_{\gamma\left[\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{5}\right.}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{6}} R_{\left.\beta_{6} \ldots \beta_{8}\right]}-\delta_{\left[\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{6}\right.}^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}} R_{\left.\beta_{7} \beta_{8}\right] \gamma}\right)  \tag{A.29}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}, R_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{3}}\right] } & =-11\left(\delta_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{3}}^{\left[\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}\right.} R^{\left.\alpha_{4} \ldots \alpha_{8}\right] \beta}-\delta_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}}^{\beta\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right.} R^{\left.\alpha_{3} \ldots \alpha_{8}\right]}\right), \tag{A.30}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}, R_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{6}}\right] } & =-\frac{2}{3} 7!\left(\delta_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \ldots \gamma_{6}}^{\beta\left[\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{5}\right.} R^{\left.\alpha_{6} \ldots \alpha_{8}\right]}-\delta_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{6}}^{\left[\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}\right.} R^{\left.\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8}\right] \beta}\right),  \tag{A.31}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}, R_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{8}, \delta}\right] } & =\frac{8!}{4} \delta_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{8}}^{\alpha_{1}, \ldots 8} K^{\beta}{ }_{\delta},  \tag{A.32}\\
{\left[R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, R_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] } & =2 R_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{3}},  \tag{A.33}\\
{\left[R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, R_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{6}}\right] } & =-3 R_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{6}\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right] .} . \tag{A.34}
\end{align*}
$$

All other commutation relations vanish.
Since we are interested in the motion group of $E_{8}$ with the translation generators in the adjoint representation of $E_{8}$, it is straightforward to find the algebra of the motion group from the $E_{8}$ algebra. Define translation generators

$$
P_{\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{\beta}}, \bar{Z}^{\underline{\alpha} \beta \gamma}, \bar{Z}_{\underline{\alpha} \underline{\beta \gamma}} .
$$

Note that these are of the same form as $E_{8}$ generators, (A.1), and they satisfy analogous commutation relations with the $E_{8}$ generators

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[M^{\underline{\alpha}}{ }_{\underline{\beta}}, P_{\underline{\underline{\gamma}}}^{\underline{\delta}}\right]=\delta_{\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{\gamma}} P_{\underline{\delta}}^{\underline{\alpha}}-\delta_{\underline{\delta}}^{\alpha} P_{\underline{\underline{\beta}}},}  \tag{A.35}\\
& \left.\left[M^{\underline{\alpha}} \underline{\underline{\beta}}, \bar{Z}^{\gamma_{1} \cdots \underline{\gamma}_{3}}\right]=3 \delta_{\underline{\underline{\beta}}}^{\underline{\gamma_{1}}} \bar{Z}^{\gamma_{2}} \underline{\gamma}_{3}\right] \underline{\underline{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{3} \delta_{\underline{\underline{\beta}}}^{\underline{\alpha}} \bar{Z}^{\gamma_{1} \cdots \underline{\gamma}_{3}},  \tag{A.36}\\
& {\left[M \underline{\underline{\alpha}} \underline{\underline{\beta}}, \bar{Z}_{\underline{\gamma}_{1} \cdots \underline{\gamma}_{3}}\right]=-3 \delta_{\left[\underline{\underline{\gamma}}_{1}\right.}^{\alpha} \bar{Z}_{\left.\underline{\gamma}_{2} \underline{\gamma}_{3}\right] \underline{\beta}}+\frac{1}{3} \delta_{\underline{\underline{B}}} \bar{Z}_{\underline{\gamma}_{1} \cdots \underline{\gamma}_{3}},}  \tag{A.37}\\
& {\left[V^{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \underline{\alpha}_{3}}, P \underline{\underline{\gamma}}_{\underline{\gamma}}\right]=-3 \delta_{\underline{\underline{1}}}^{\left[\alpha_{1}\right.} \bar{Z}^{\left.\underline{\alpha}_{2} \underline{\alpha}_{3}\right] \underline{\beta}}+\frac{1}{3} \delta \delta_{\underline{\gamma}}^{\underline{\beta}} \bar{Z}^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \underline{\alpha}_{3}},}  \tag{A.38}\\
& {\left[V^{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \cdots \underline{\alpha}_{3}}, \bar{Z}^{\underline{\beta}_{1} \cdots \underline{\beta}_{3}}\right]=-\frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \underline{\alpha}_{2} \underline{\alpha}_{3} \underline{\underline{\beta}}_{1} \underline{\beta}_{2} \underline{\beta}_{3} \underline{\underline{\gamma}}_{1} \underline{\gamma}_{2} \underline{\gamma}_{3}} \bar{Z}_{\underline{\gamma}_{1} \cdots \underline{\gamma}_{3}},}  \tag{A.39}\\
& {\left[V^{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \cdots \underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{3}}, \bar{Z}_{\underline{\beta}_{1} \ldots \underline{\beta}_{3}}\right]=18 \delta_{\left[\underline{\beta}_{1} \underline{\beta}_{2}\right.}^{\underline{\underline{\alpha}}_{2}} P^{\left.\underline{\alpha}_{3}\right]}{ }_{\underline{\beta}_{3}},}  \tag{A.40}\\
& {\left[V_{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \underline{\alpha}_{3}}, P{ }_{\underline{\underline{\beta}}}\right]=3 \delta_{\left[\underline{\alpha}_{1}\right.}^{\beta} \bar{Z}_{\left.\underline{\alpha}_{2} \underline{\alpha}_{3}\right] \underline{\gamma}}-\frac{1}{3} \delta_{\underline{\underline{\gamma}}}^{\beta} \bar{Z}_{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \underline{\alpha}_{3}},}  \tag{A.41}\\
& {\left[V_{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \underline{\alpha}_{3}}, \bar{Z}^{\left.\underline{\beta}_{1} \cdots \underline{\beta}_{3}\right]}=-18 \delta_{\left[\underline{\alpha}_{1} \underline{\alpha}_{2}\right.}^{\left[\underline{\beta}_{2} \underline{\beta}_{2}\right.} P^{\beta_{3}}{ }_{\left.\underline{\alpha_{3}}\right]},\right.}  \tag{A.42}\\
& {\left[V_{\underline{\alpha}_{1} \ldots \underline{\alpha}_{3}}, \bar{Z}_{\underline{\beta}_{1} \ldots \underline{\beta}_{3}}\right]=\frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{\alpha_{1}} \underline{\alpha}_{2} \underline{\alpha}_{3} \underline{\beta}_{1} \underline{\beta}_{2} \underline{\beta}_{3} \underline{\gamma}_{1} \underline{\gamma}_{2} \underline{\gamma}_{3} \bar{Z}_{1} \cdots \underline{\underline{\gamma}}_{3},} \tag{A.43}
\end{align*}
$$

We similarly decompose the translation generators into an $\mathrm{SL}(8)$ decomposition:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\alpha} & =P^{9}{ }_{\alpha},  \tag{A.44}\\
Z^{\alpha \beta} & =-\bar{Z}^{\alpha \beta 9},  \tag{A.45}\\
W_{\alpha \beta \gamma} & =-\bar{Z}_{\alpha \beta \gamma},  \tag{A.46}\\
W^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} & =-P^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}+\frac{1}{8} \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \sum_{\gamma} P^{\gamma}{ }_{\gamma},  \tag{A.47}\\
W & =-\frac{3}{8} P^{\gamma}{ }_{\gamma},  \tag{A.48}\\
Z^{\alpha \beta \gamma} & =\frac{1}{8} \bar{Z}^{\alpha \beta \gamma},  \tag{A.49}\\
W_{\alpha \beta} & =\frac{1}{8} \bar{Z}_{\alpha \beta 9},  \tag{A.50}\\
Z^{\alpha} & =\frac{1}{8} P^{\alpha}{ }_{9} . \tag{A.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Other normalisations can be chosen for the translation generators. However, the above choice of normalisation for the generators is made in order to make contact with the generators found when decomposing the $l_{1}$ generators of $E_{11}$ into GL(3) $\times E_{8}$ [14]

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
P_{\alpha}, \quad Z^{\alpha \beta}, Z^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{5}} & =\frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} W_{\alpha_{6} \ldots \alpha_{8}}, & \\
Z^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{7}, \beta} & =\frac{1}{7!} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} W^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha_{8}}, & Z^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}}=\frac{1}{8!} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} W, \\
Z^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta \gamma \delta} & =\epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} Z^{\beta \gamma \delta}, & Z^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{6}} & =\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} \epsilon^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{8}} W_{\beta_{7} \beta_{8}} \\
Z^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{8}, \gamma} & =\epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} \epsilon^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{8}} Z^{\gamma} . &
\end{array}
$$

The generator $Z^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{7}, \beta}$ satisfies

$$
Z^{\left[\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{7}, \beta\right]}=0
$$

because $W^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ is traceless. The $l_{1}$ representation of $E_{11}$ is the highest weight representation where the highest weight corresponds to the $P_{1}$ translation generator. Recall that the roots of an algebra correspond to the group generators, while the weights of a representation correspond to the translation generators, which generate a particular representation. In [21], the truncation of $E_{11} \ltimes l_{1}$ to the $\mathrm{SL}(5), E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$ motion groups was shown to lead to correct duality-invariant dynamics.

The commutation relations of generators (A.44)-(A.51) with the $E_{8}$ group generators are found by inserting the $\operatorname{SL}(8)$ decomposition of the motion group generators into (A.35)(A.43). Here, we list the commutation relations that are required for the non-linear realisation of the $E_{8}$ motion group. The commutation relations of the GL(8) generator with the translations generators is

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, P_{\gamma}\right] } & =-\delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} P_{\beta}-\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} P_{\gamma},  \tag{A.52}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, Z^{\gamma \delta}\right] } & =2 \delta_{\beta}^{[\gamma} Z^{|\alpha| \delta]}-\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} Z^{\gamma \delta},  \tag{A.53}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, W_{\gamma \delta \epsilon}\right] } & =-3 \delta_{[\gamma}^{\alpha} W_{\delta \epsilon] \beta},  \tag{A.54}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, W^{\gamma}{ }_{\delta}\right] } & =\delta_{\beta}^{\gamma} W^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta}-\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta} W_{\beta}^{\gamma},  \tag{A.55}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, W\right] } & =0,  \tag{A.56}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, Z^{\gamma \delta \epsilon}\right] } & =3 \delta_{\beta}^{[\gamma} Z^{\delta \epsilon] \alpha},  \tag{A.57}\\
{\left[K^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, W_{\gamma \delta}\right] } & =-2 \delta_{[\gamma}^{\alpha} W_{|\beta| \delta]}+\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} W_{\gamma \delta},  \tag{A.58}\\
{\left[K_{\beta}^{\alpha}, Z^{\gamma}\right] } & =\delta_{\beta}^{\gamma} Z^{\alpha}+\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} Z^{\gamma}, \tag{A.59}
\end{align*}
$$

These commutation relations are needed in order to find the dependence of the generalised metric on the 8 -dimensional metric. To find the dependence of the generalised metric on
the 3 -form and 6 -form fields the following commutation relations are required

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, P_{\beta}\right] } & =3 \delta_{\beta}^{\left[\alpha_{1}\right.} Z^{\left.\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right]},  \tag{A.60}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, Z^{\beta \gamma}\right] } & =\frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3} \beta \gamma \delta_{1} \ldots \delta_{3}} W_{\delta_{1} \ldots \delta_{3}},  \tag{A.61}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, W_{\left.\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}\right]}\right] } & =18 \delta_{\left[\beta 1 \beta_{2}\right.}^{\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right.} W^{\left.\alpha_{3}\right]}{ }_{\left.\beta_{3}\right]},  \tag{A.62}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, W^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma}\right] } & =24 \delta_{\gamma}^{\left[\alpha_{1}\right.} Z^{\left.\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right] \beta}-3 \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} Z^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}},  \tag{A.63}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, W\right] } & =Z^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}},  \tag{A.64}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, Z^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] } & =-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3} \beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3} \gamma \delta} W_{\gamma \delta},  \tag{A.65}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, W_{\beta \gamma}\right] } & =6 \delta_{\beta \gamma}^{\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right.} Z^{\left.\alpha_{3}\right]},  \tag{A.66}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, P_{\beta}\right] } & =\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} W_{\alpha_{7} \alpha_{8} \beta},  \tag{A.67}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, Z^{\beta \gamma}\right] } & =\epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}[\beta \mid \delta} W^{\mid \gamma]}-\epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6} \beta \gamma} W,  \tag{A.68}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, W_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] } & =480 \delta_{\left[\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}\right.}^{\left[\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}\right.} Z^{\left.\alpha_{4} \ldots \alpha_{6}\right]},  \tag{A.69}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, W^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma}\right] } & =4 \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6} \beta \delta} W_{\gamma \delta}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6} \delta \epsilon} W_{\delta \epsilon},  \tag{A.70}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, W\right] } & =-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6} \beta \gamma} W_{\beta \gamma},  \tag{A.71}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, Z^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] } & =\frac{3}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}\left[\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right.} Z^{\left.\beta_{3}\right]} . \tag{A.72}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, as the generalised metric is found by conjugating the translation generators by the $E_{8}$ generators corresponding to the positive roots, we also need

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}, P_{\gamma}\right] } & =-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} W_{\gamma}^{\beta}-\frac{3}{2} \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} W,  \tag{A.73}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}, Z^{\gamma \delta}\right] } & =-4 \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} Z^{\beta \gamma \delta}  \tag{А.74}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}, W_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{3}}\right] } & =12 \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} \delta_{\left[\gamma_{1}\right.}^{\beta} W_{\left.\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3}\right]},  \tag{A.75}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}, W_{\delta}^{\gamma}\right] } & =4 \delta_{\delta}^{\beta} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} Z^{\gamma}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\delta}^{\gamma} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} Z^{\beta}  \tag{A.76}\\
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}, W\right] } & =\frac{3}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}} Z^{\beta} . \tag{А.77}
\end{align*}
$$

Some of the commutation relations involving the generators corresponding to negative roots are listed below:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, Z^{\beta \gamma}\right] } & =6 \delta_{\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right.}^{\beta \gamma} P_{\left.\alpha_{3}\right]},  \tag{A.78}\\
{\left[R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, W_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] } & =\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3} \beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3} \gamma \delta} Z^{\gamma \delta},  \tag{А.79}\\
{\left[R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, W^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma}\right] } & =3 \delta_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right.}^{\beta} W_{\left.\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right] \gamma}-\frac{3}{8} \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} W_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}},  \tag{A.80}\\
{\left[R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, W\right] } & =\frac{1}{8} W_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}},  \tag{A.81}\\
{\left[R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, Z^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] } & =\frac{9}{4} \delta_{\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right.}^{\left[\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right.} W^{\left.\beta_{3}\right]}{ }_{\left.\alpha_{3}\right]}+\frac{3}{4} \delta_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}} W, \tag{A.82}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[R^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, W_{\beta \gamma}\right] } & =-\frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3} \beta \gamma \delta_{1} \ldots \delta_{3}} Z^{\delta_{1} \ldots \delta_{3}},  \tag{A.83}\\
{\left[R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, Z^{\beta}\right] } & =3 \delta_{\left[\alpha_{1}\right.}^{\beta} W_{\left.\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right]} . \tag{A.84}
\end{align*}
$$

We take the translation generators to mutually-commute.
The normalisations of these generators are needed in the calculation of the generalised metric using non-linear realisation. Denoting the Cartan involution of a generator $X$ by $X^{*}$, we can define an inner product on the representation space generated by the translation generators [21]

$$
\left(A, B^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R},
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are translation generators. The inner product is $E_{8}$ invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left([X, A], B^{*}\right)=-\left(A,\left[X, B^{*}\right]\right), \tag{A.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is an $E_{8}$ generator.
The Cartan involution interchanges negative and positive roots. Therefore,

$$
K^{* \alpha}{ }_{\beta} \sim K^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}, \quad R^{* \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}} \sim R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, \quad R^{* \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}} \sim R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}, \quad R^{* \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta} \sim R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta} .
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{* \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}=-R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}} . \tag{A.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative signs of the Cartan involution of the other generators is fixed by the above relation and consistency with the $E_{8}$ algebra. For example, the Cartan involution of equation (A.24)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[R^{* \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, R^{*}{ }_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] } & =18 \delta^{\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right.}{ }_{\left[\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right.} K^{\left.* \alpha_{3}\right]}{ }_{\beta 3]}-2 \delta_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}} K^{*}, \\
\Longrightarrow \quad\left[\quad R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, R^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right] & =18 \delta^{\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right.}{ }_{\left[\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right.} K^{\left.* \alpha_{3}\right]}{ }_{\beta 3]}-2 \delta_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}^{\alpha_{3}} K^{*}, \\
\Longrightarrow \quad-\left[R^{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}, R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}\right] & =18 \delta^{\left[\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right.}{ }_{\left[\beta_{1} \beta_{2}\right.} K^{\left.* \alpha_{3}\right]}{ }_{\beta 33}-2 \delta_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}^{\alpha_{3}} K^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

which from equation (A.24) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{* \alpha}{ }_{\beta}=-K^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} . \tag{A.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the Cartan involution of the rest of the generators are

$$
\begin{gather*}
R^{* \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}}=R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{6}},  \tag{A.88}\\
R^{* \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta}=-R_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{8}, \beta} . \tag{A.89}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now to find the normalisation of the generators, we first define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{\alpha}, P^{* \beta}\right)=\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} . \tag{A.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalisation of all other translation generators are now fixed. For example, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(Z^{\alpha \beta}, Z_{\gamma \delta}^{*}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(Z^{\alpha \beta}, Z_{[\gamma \delta}^{*}\right) \delta_{\eta]}^{\eta}, \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(Z^{\alpha \beta},-\frac{1}{3}\left[R_{\gamma \delta \eta}, P^{* \eta]}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

from the Cartan involution of equation (A.60). The $E_{8}$ invariance of the inner product, (A.85), allows us to write the inner product in terms of equation (A.78), hence giving

$$
\left(Z^{\alpha \beta}, Z^{*}{ }_{\gamma \delta}\right)=2 \delta_{\gamma \delta}^{\alpha \beta}
$$

Similarly, from equations (A.61)-(A.66) and equations (A.79)-(A.84), the inner product of the rest of the translation generators is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(W_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, W^{* \beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right) & =6 \delta_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}^{\beta_{1}},  \tag{A.91}\\
\left(W^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, W_{\gamma}^{*}{ }_{\gamma}{ }^{\delta}\right) & =\delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha} \delta_{\beta}^{\delta}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \delta_{\gamma}^{\delta}  \tag{A.92}\\
\left(W, W^{*}\right) & =\frac{1}{8}  \tag{A.93}\\
\left(Z^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}, Z^{*}{ }_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}\right) & =\frac{3}{32} \delta_{\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{3}}^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{3}}  \tag{А.94}\\
\left(W_{\alpha \beta}, W^{* \gamma \delta}\right) & =\frac{1}{32} \delta_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma \delta}  \tag{A.95}\\
\left(Z^{\alpha}, Z^{*}{ }_{\beta}\right) & =\frac{1}{64} \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \tag{A.96}
\end{align*}
$$

## B The generalised vielbein

In this appendix we give the components of $L^{A}{ }_{B}$, which is related to the generalised vielbein by equation (2.11). The components of $L^{A}{ }_{B}$, see figure 1 , are

$$
\begin{align*}
& (L 11)^{a}{ }_{b}=\delta_{b}^{a},  \tag{B.1}\\
& (L 21)_{d_{1} d_{2} b}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C_{d_{1} d_{2} b},  \tag{B.2}\\
& (L 31)^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}{ }_{b}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} \delta_{b}^{\left[g_{1}\right.} U^{\left.g_{2} g_{3}\right]}-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{6}} X^{g_{1} \cdots g_{3}}{ }_{b},  \tag{B.3}\\
& (L 41)_{j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2}}{ }_{b}=\frac{1}{24} X^{u_{1} u_{2} j_{2}}{ }_{j_{1}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b}+\frac{1}{2} C_{u j_{1} b} U^{u j_{2}}-\frac{1}{16} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b} U^{u_{1} u_{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{b}^{j_{2}} Y_{j_{1}}-\frac{1}{16} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} Y_{b},  \tag{B.4}\\
& (L 51)_{b}=\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{2}} Y_{b}-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b} U^{u_{1} u_{2}},  \tag{B.5}\\
& (L 61)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} b}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} C_{b\left[m_{1} m_{2}\right.} Y_{\left.m_{3}\right]}+\frac{1}{16 \sqrt{6}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} \\
& +\frac{1}{48 \sqrt{6}} X^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{b} C_{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}-\frac{1}{32 \sqrt{6}} C_{u_{1}\left[m_{1} m_{2}\right.} C_{\left.m_{3}\right] u_{2} u_{3}} X^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{b},  \tag{B.6}\\
& (L 71)^{q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{b}=\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{2}} \delta_{b}^{[u} U^{\left.q_{1} q_{2}\right]} Y_{u}+\frac{1}{8 \sqrt{2}} X^{q_{1} q_{2} u}{ }_{b} Y_{u}-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b} U^{u_{1} q_{1}} U^{u_{2} q_{2}} \\
& +\frac{1}{24 \sqrt{2}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}} X^{u_{1} u_{2}\left[q_{1}\right.}{ }_{b} U^{\left.q_{2}\right] u_{3}}+\frac{1}{960 \sqrt{2}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}} X^{u_{1} q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{t} X^{t u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{b},  \tag{B.7}\\
& (L 81)_{x b}=-\frac{1}{4} Y_{x} Y_{b}-\frac{1}{4} C_{u_{1} x b} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} Y_{u_{2}}+\frac{1}{8} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} Y_{x}-\frac{1}{48} C_{x u_{1} u_{2}} X^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{b} Y_{u_{3}} \\
& +\frac{1}{192} C_{x b u_{1} \ldots u_{4}} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} U^{u_{3} u_{4}}-\frac{1}{384} X^{u_{1} \ldots u_{3}}{ }_{b} U^{u_{4} u_{5}} C_{u_{1} \ldots u_{5} x} \\
& +\frac{1}{128} C_{u_{1}\left[t_{1} t_{2}\right.} C_{x] u_{2} u_{3}} X^{u_{1} \ldots u_{3}}{ }_{b} U^{t_{1} t_{2}}-\frac{1}{16(6!)} C_{u_{1} u_{2} t_{1}} C_{u_{3} t_{2} t_{3}} X^{u_{1} \ldots u_{3}}{ }_{x} X^{t_{1} \ldots t_{3}}{ }_{b},  \tag{B.8}\\
& (L 22)_{d_{1} d_{2}}{ }^{e_{1} e_{2}}=\delta_{d_{1} d_{2}}^{e_{1} e_{2}},  \tag{B.9}\\
& (L 32)^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} e_{1} e_{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} V^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} e_{1} e_{2}},  \tag{B.10}\\
& (L 42)_{j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2} e_{1} e_{2}}=-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2}} X^{j_{2} e_{1} e_{2}}{ }_{j_{1}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} U^{j_{2}\left[e_{1}\right.} \delta_{j_{1}}^{\left.e_{2}\right]}+\frac{1}{8 \sqrt{2}} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} U^{e_{1} e_{2}}, \tag{B.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (L 52)^{e_{1} e_{2}}=\frac{1}{4} U^{e_{1} e_{2}},  \tag{B.12}\\
& (L 62)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}^{e_{1} e_{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} Y_{\left[m_{1}\right.} \delta_{\left.m_{2} m_{3}\right]}^{e_{1} e_{2}}-\frac{1}{24 \sqrt{3}} V^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} e_{1} e_{2}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}} \\
& +\frac{1}{8 \sqrt{3}} C_{u\left[m_{1} m_{2}\right.} X^{u e_{1} e_{2}}{ }_{\left.m_{3}\right]},  \tag{B.13}\\
& (L 72)^{q_{1} q_{2} e_{1} e_{2}}=-\frac{1}{4} V^{q_{1} q_{2} e_{1} e_{2} u} Y_{u}+\frac{1}{4} U^{\left[q_{1} \mid e_{1}\right.} U^{\left.\mid q_{2}\right] e_{2}}-\frac{1}{8} X^{e_{1} e_{2}\left[q_{1}\right.}{ }_{u} U^{\left.q_{2}\right] u}-\frac{1}{192} X^{q_{1} q_{2} u}{ }_{t} X^{e_{1} e_{2} t}{ }_{u},  \tag{B.14}\\
& (L 82)_{x}{ }^{e_{1} e_{2}}=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} U^{u\left[e_{1}\right.} Y_{u} \delta_{x}^{\left.e_{2}\right]}+\frac{1}{8 \sqrt{2}} X^{e_{1} e_{2} u}{ }_{x} Y_{u}-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2}} U^{e_{1} e_{2}} Y_{x} \\
& +\frac{1}{96 \sqrt{2}} V^{e_{1} e_{2} u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}} U^{u_{4} u_{5}} C_{u_{1} \ldots u_{5} x}-\frac{1}{16 \sqrt{2}} C_{t\left[u_{1} u_{2}\right.} X^{t e_{1} e_{2}}{ }_{x]} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} \\
& +\frac{1}{960 \sqrt{2}} C_{t u_{1} u_{2}} X^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{x} X^{e_{1} e_{2} t}{ }_{u_{3}}  \tag{B.15}\\
& (L 33)^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}{ }_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=g^{-1 / 2} \delta_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}},  \tag{B.16}\\
& (L 43)_{j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2}}{ }_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} g^{-1 / 2}\left(C_{j_{1}\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right.} \delta_{\left.h_{3}\right]}^{j_{2}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}\right),  \tag{B.17}\\
& (L 53){ }_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{3}} g^{-1 / 2} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}},  \tag{B.18}\\
& (L 63)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=\frac{1}{12} g^{-1 / 2}\left(C_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}-C_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}+9 C_{\left[m_{1} m_{2} \mid\left[\mid h_{1}\right.\right.} C_{\left.\left.h_{2} h_{3} \mid\right] \mid m_{3}\right]}\right),  \tag{B.19}\\
& (L 73)^{q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} g^{-1 / 2}\left(Y_{\left[h_{1}\right.} \delta_{\left.h_{2} h_{3}\right]}^{q_{1} q_{2}}+C_{u\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right.} U^{u\left[q_{1}\right.} \delta_{\left.h_{3}\right]}^{\left.q_{2}\right]}+\frac{1}{6} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}} U^{q_{1} q_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{12} X^{u q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{\left[h_{1}\right.} C_{\left.h_{2} h_{3}\right] u}\right),  \tag{B.20}\\
& (L 83)_{x h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} g^{-1 / 2}\left(C_{x\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right.} Y_{\left.h_{3}\right]}+\frac{1}{24} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3} x u_{1} u_{2}}+\frac{1}{12} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}} C_{x u_{1} u_{2}} U^{u_{1} u_{2}}\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{4} C_{u_{1} u_{2}\left[h_{1}\right.} C_{\left.h_{2} h_{3}\right] x} U^{u_{1} u_{2}}-\frac{1}{2} C_{x u_{1}\left[h_{1}\right.} C_{\left.h_{2} h_{3}\right] u_{2}} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{48} X^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{x} C_{u_{1} u_{2}\left[h_{1}\right.} C_{\left.h_{2} h_{3}\right] u_{3}}\right),  \tag{B.21}\\
& (L 44)_{j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2} k_{1}}{ }_{k_{2}}=g^{-1 / 2}\left(\delta_{k_{2}}^{j_{2}} \delta_{j_{1}}^{k_{1}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} \delta_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}}\right),  \tag{B.22}\\
& (L 54)^{k_{1}}{ }_{k_{2}}=0 \text {, }  \tag{B.23}\\
& (L 64)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}^{k_{1}}{ }_{k_{2}}=-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} g^{-1 / 2}\left(C_{k_{2}\left[m_{1} m_{2}\right.} \delta_{\left.m_{3}\right]}^{k_{1}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}} C_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}\right),  \tag{B.24}\\
& (L 74)^{q_{1} q_{2} k_{1}}{ }_{k_{2}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g^{-1 / 2}\left(U^{k_{1}\left[q_{1}\right.} \delta_{k_{2}}^{\left.q_{2}\right]}+\frac{1}{8} \delta_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}} U^{q_{1} q_{2}}+\frac{1}{4} X^{k_{1} q_{1} q_{2}} k_{k_{2}}\right),  \tag{B.25}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{12} X^{k_{1} u_{1} u_{2}}{ }_{k_{2}} C_{x u_{1} u_{2}}\right),  \tag{B.26}\\
& (L 66)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}{ }^{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}=g^{-1 / 2} \delta_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}^{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}},  \tag{B.31}\\
& (L 76)^{q_{1} q_{2} n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} g^{-1 / 2} V^{q_{1} q_{2} n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}},  \tag{B.32}\\
& (L 86)_{x}{ }^{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} g^{-1 / 2}\left(U^{\left[n_{1} n_{2}\right.} \delta_{x}^{\left.n_{3}\right]}-\frac{1}{6} X^{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}} x\right),  \tag{B.33}\\
& (L 77)^{q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{r_{1} r_{2}}=g^{-1} \delta_{r_{1} r_{2}}^{q_{1} q_{2}}, \tag{B.34}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
(L 87)_{x r_{1} r_{2}} & =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g^{-1} C_{x r_{1} r_{2}},  \tag{B.35}\\
(L 88)_{x}{ }^{y} & =g^{-1} \delta_{x}^{y} . \tag{B.36}
\end{align*}
$$

All of the lowercase Latin letters denote $\mathrm{SL}(8)$ indices. In the above expressions $g$ is the determinant of the spatial metric,

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{a_{1} \ldots a_{5}} & =\frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}} C_{a_{6} \ldots a_{8}}  \tag{B.37}\\
X^{a_{1} \ldots a_{3}} b & =V^{a_{1} \ldots a_{5}} C_{a_{4} a_{5} b}  \tag{B.38}\\
W^{a_{1} a_{2}} & =\frac{1}{6!} \epsilon^{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}} C_{a_{3} \ldots a_{8}}  \tag{B.39}\\
Y_{b} & =\frac{1}{8!} \epsilon^{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}} C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b} \tag{B.40}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\epsilon$ tensor is the alternating tensor in eight dimensions.
Similarly, the components of the inverse generalised vielbein $E^{A}{ }_{B}$, see figure 2 , are

$$
\begin{align*}
& (E 11)^{a}{ }_{b}=\delta_{b}^{a}, \\
& (E 21)_{d_{1} d_{2} b}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C_{d_{1} d_{2} b},  \tag{B.42}\\
& (E 31)^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}{ }_{b}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} g^{1 / 2}\left(\delta_{b}^{\left[g_{1}\right.} U^{\left.g_{2} g_{3}\right]}-\frac{1}{6} X^{g_{1} \ldots g_{3}}{ }_{b}\right),  \tag{B.43}\\
& (E 41){ }_{j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2}}{ }_{b}=-\frac{1}{24} g^{1 / 2}\left(X^{u_{1} u_{2} j_{2}}{ }_{j_{1}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b}-12 C_{u j_{1} b} U^{u j_{2}}-6 \delta_{b}^{j_{2}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} j_{1}} U^{u_{1} u_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{9}{4} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b} U^{u_{1} u_{2}}+12 \delta_{b}^{j_{2}} Y_{j_{1}}-\frac{3}{2} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} Y_{b}\right),  \tag{B.44}\\
& (E 51)_{b}=-\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{2}} g^{1 / 2}\left(Y_{b}-\frac{1}{6} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b} U^{u_{1} u_{2}}\right),  \tag{B.45}\\
& (E 61)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} b}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} g^{1 / 2}\left(C_{b\left[m_{1} m_{2}\right.} Y_{\left.m_{3}\right]}-\frac{1}{24} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}} U^{u_{1} u_{2}}\right. \\
& +\frac{5}{6} C_{\left[b u_{1} u_{2}\right.} C_{\left.m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}\right]} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{48} C_{u_{1}\left[m_{1} m_{2}\right.} C_{\left.m_{3}\right] u_{2} u_{3}} X^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{b}\right),  \tag{B.46}\\
& (E 71)^{q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{b}=\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{2}} g\left(\delta_{b}^{[u} U^{\left.q_{1} q_{2}\right]} Y_{u}-\frac{1}{6} X^{q_{1} q_{2} u}{ }_{b} Y_{u}+\frac{1}{72} V^{q_{1} q_{2} u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}} C_{b u_{1} \ldots u_{5}} U^{u_{4} u_{5}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{12} C_{u_{1}\left[u_{2} u_{3}\right.} X^{u_{1} q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{b}\right] U^{u_{2} u_{3}}-\frac{1}{720} C_{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}} X^{u_{1} q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{t} X^{t u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{b}\right),  \tag{B.47}\\
& (E 81)_{x b}=-\frac{1}{4} g\left(Y_{x} Y_{b}-C_{u_{1} x b} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} Y_{u_{2}}-\frac{1}{2} C_{x u_{1} u_{2}} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} Y_{b}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{12} C_{x u_{1} u_{2}} X^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{b} Y_{u_{3}}+\frac{1}{48} C_{x b u_{1} \ldots u_{4}} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} U^{u_{3} u_{4}} \\
& +\frac{1}{96} X^{u_{1} \ldots u_{3}}{ }_{x} U^{u_{4} u_{5}} C_{u_{1} \ldots u_{5} b}-\frac{1}{32} C_{u_{1}\left[t_{1} t_{2}\right.} C_{b] u_{2} u_{3}} X^{u_{1} \ldots u_{3}}{ }_{x} U^{t_{1} t_{2}} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4(6!)} C_{u_{1} u_{2} t_{1}} C_{u_{3} t_{2} t_{3}} X^{u_{1} \ldots u_{3}}{ }_{x} X^{t_{1} \ldots t_{3}}{ }_{b}\right),  \tag{B.48}\\
& (E 22)_{d_{1} d_{2}}{ }^{e_{1} e_{2}}=\delta_{d_{1} d_{2}}^{e_{1} e_{2}}, \tag{B.49}
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& (E 32)^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} e_{1} e_{2}}=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} g^{1 / 2} V^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} e_{1} e_{2}},  \tag{B.50}\\
& (E 42)_{j_{1}}{ }_{2}{ }_{2} e_{1} e_{2}=-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{2}} g^{1 / 2}\left(X^{j_{2} e_{1} e_{2}}{ }_{j_{1}}+4 U^{j_{2}\left[e_{1}\right.} \delta_{j_{1}}^{\left.e_{2}\right]}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} U^{e_{1} e_{2}}\right),  \tag{B.51}\\
& (E 52)^{e_{1} e_{2}}=-\frac{1}{4} g^{1 / 2} U^{e_{1} e_{2}},  \tag{B.52}\\
& (E 62)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}^{e_{1} e_{2}}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} g^{1 / 2}\left(Y_{\left[m_{1}\right.} \delta_{\left.m_{2} m_{3}\right]}^{e_{1} e_{2}}+C_{u\left[m_{1} m_{2}\right.} U^{u\left[e_{1}\right.} \delta_{\left.m_{3}\right]}^{\left.e_{2}\right]}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{6} C_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}} U^{e_{1} e_{2}}+\frac{1}{12} C_{u\left[m_{1} m_{2}\right.} X^{u e_{1} e_{2}}{ }_{\left.m_{3}\right]}\right),  \tag{B.53}\\
& (E 72)^{q_{1} q_{2} e_{1} e_{2}}=-\frac{1}{4} g\left(V^{q_{1} q_{2} e_{1} e_{2} u} Y_{u}-U^{\left[q_{1} \mid e_{1}\right.} U^{\left.\mid q_{2}\right] e_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} X^{q_{1} q_{2}\left[e_{1}\right.}{ }_{u} U^{\left.e_{2}\right] u}+\frac{1}{48} X^{q_{1} q_{2} u}{ }_{t} X^{e_{1} e_{2} t}{ }_{u}\right),  \tag{B.54}\\
& (E 82)_{x}{ }^{e_{1} e_{2}}=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} g\left(U^{u\left[e_{1}\right.} Y_{u} \delta_{x}^{\left.e_{2}\right]}+\frac{1}{4} X^{e_{1} e_{2} u}{ }_{x} Y_{u}+\frac{1}{2} U^{e_{1} e_{2}} Y_{x}\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2} C_{u_{1} u_{2} x} U^{e_{1} u_{1}} U^{e_{2} u_{2}}+\frac{1}{12} C_{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}} X^{u_{1} u_{2}\left[e_{1}\right.}{ }_{x} U^{\left.e_{2}\right] u_{3}} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{480} C_{t u_{1} u_{2}} X^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{x} X^{e_{1} e_{2} t}{ }_{u_{3}}\right),  \tag{B.55}\\
& (E 33)^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}{ }_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=g^{1 / 2} \delta_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}},  \tag{B.56}\\
& (E 43)_{j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2}}{ }_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} g^{1 / 2}\left(C_{j_{1}\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right.} \delta_{\left.h_{3}\right]}^{j_{2}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}\right),  \tag{B.57}\\
& (E 53){ }_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{3}} g^{1 / 2} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}},  \tag{B.58}\\
& (E 63)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=-\frac{1}{12} g^{1 / 2}\left(C_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}+C_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}-9 C_{\left[m_{1} m_{2} \mid\left[\mid h_{1}\right.\right.} C_{\left.\left.h_{2} h_{3} \mid\right] \mid m_{3}\right]}\right),  \tag{B.59}\\
& (E 73)^{q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} g\left(Y_{\left[h_{1}\right.} \delta_{\left.h_{2} h_{3}\right]}^{q_{1} q_{2}}-\frac{1}{36} V^{q_{1} q_{2} u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}} C_{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{12} X^{u q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{\left[h_{1}\right.} C_{\left.h_{2} h_{3}\right] u}\right),  \tag{B.60}\\
& (E 83)_{x h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} g\left(C_{x\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right.} Y_{\left.h_{3}\right]}+\frac{1}{24} U^{u_{1} u_{2}} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3} x u_{1} u_{2}}-\frac{1}{72} X^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{x} C_{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{48} X^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}}{ }_{x} C_{u_{1} u_{2}\left[h_{1}\right.} C_{\left.h_{2} h_{3}\right] u_{3}}\right),  \tag{B.61}\\
& (E 44)_{j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2}{ }_{k_{1}}}{ }_{k_{2}}=g^{1 / 2}\left(\delta_{k_{2}}^{j_{2}} \delta_{j_{1}}^{k_{1}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} \delta_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}}\right),  \tag{B.62}\\
& (E 54)^{k_{1}}{ }_{k_{2}}=0,  \tag{B.63}\\
& (E 64)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}{ }^{k_{1}}{ }_{k_{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} g^{1 / 2}\left(C_{k_{2}\left[m_{1} m_{2}\right.} \delta_{\left.m_{3}\right]}^{k_{1}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}} C_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}\right),  \tag{B.64}\\
& (E 74)^{q_{1} q_{2} k_{1}}{ }_{k_{2}}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g\left(U^{k_{1}\left[q_{1}\right.} \delta_{k_{2}}^{\left.q_{2}\right]}+\frac{1}{8} \delta_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}} U^{q_{1} q_{2}}-\frac{1}{4} X^{k_{1} q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{k_{2}}\right), \tag{B.65}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
(E 84)_{x}{ }_{k_{k_{2}}}^{k_{1}} & =\frac{1}{2} g\left(Y_{k_{2}} \delta_{x}^{k_{1}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}} Y_{x}+C_{x u k_{2}} U^{u k_{1}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}} C_{x u_{1} u_{2}} U^{u_{1} u_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{12} X^{k_{1} u_{1} u_{2}}{ }_{k_{2}} C_{x u_{1} u_{2}}\right),  \tag{B.66}\\
E 55 & =g^{1 / 2},  \tag{B.67}\\
(E 65)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}} & =\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{3}} g^{1 / 2} C_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}},  \tag{B.68}\\
(E 75)^{q_{1} q_{2}} & =-\frac{1}{4} g U^{q_{1} q_{2}},  \tag{B.69}\\
(E 85)_{x} & =\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{2}} g\left(Y_{x}-\frac{1}{3} C_{x u_{1} u_{2}} U^{u_{1} u_{2}}\right),  \tag{B.70}\\
(E 66)_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}{ }_{1} n_{1} n_{2} n_{3} & =g^{1 / 2} \delta_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}^{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}},  \tag{B.71}\\
(E 76)^{q_{1} q_{2}} n_{1} n_{2} n_{3} & =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} g V^{q_{1} q_{2} n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}},  \tag{B.72}\\
(E 86)_{x}^{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}} & =\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} g\left(U^{\left[n_{1} n_{2}\right.} \delta_{x}^{\left.n_{3}\right]}+\frac{1}{6} X^{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}{ }_{x}\right),  \tag{B.73}\\
(E 77)^{q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{r_{1} r_{2}} & =g \delta_{r_{1} r_{2}}^{q_{1} q_{2}},  \tag{B.74}\\
(E 87)_{x} r_{1} r_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g C_{x r_{1} r_{2}},  \tag{B.75}\\
(E 88)_{x}^{y} & =g \delta_{x}^{y} . \tag{B.76}
\end{align*}
$$

## C Calculation of potential

The potential of the canonical formulation of eleven-dimensional supergravity is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
V=\frac{1}{240} M^{M N} \partial_{M} M^{K L} \partial_{N} M_{K L}-\frac{1}{2} M^{M N} \partial_{N} M^{K L} \partial_{L} M_{M K}-\frac{1}{496} M^{K L} \partial_{M} M^{M N} \partial_{N} M_{K L} \\
+\frac{23}{15(248)^{2}} M^{M N}\left(M^{K L} \partial_{M} M_{K L}\right)\left(M^{R S} \partial_{N} M_{R S}\right) \tag{C.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $M_{A B}$ is the generalised metric, (2.12), found from the non-realisation of the $E_{8}$ motion group and $M^{M N}$ is its inverse. The indices run from 1 to 248 and represent the adjoint representation of $E_{8}$. In the decomposition of this representation by $\mathrm{SL}(8)$ irreducible representations,

$$
\mathbf{2 4 8}=\mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{2 8} \oplus \mathbf{5 6} \oplus \mathbf{6 3} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{5 6} \oplus \mathbf{2 8} \oplus \mathbf{8}
$$

we find the eight usual spatial directions along which the duality is acting along with 240 other directions that correspond to winding modes of branes. To produce a usual supergravity description from the duality-invariant description, from now on we take all the supergravity fields $g_{a b}, C_{a b c}, C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{6}}, C_{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}, b}$ to be independent of the winding coordinates. Lowercase Latin indices are spatial coordinates and run from 1 to 8.

The coefficients in equation (C.1) are fixed by requiring usual diffeomorphism invariance. Equivalently, they are fixed by requiring that when the gauge fields are zero the
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potential reduces to the Ricci scalar of metric $g$. We now find what the potential is in terms of the supergravity fields.

Since $M^{A B}$ is the matrix inverse of the generalised metric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{A B}=G^{C D} E^{A}{ }_{C} E^{B}{ }_{D}, \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E^{A}{ }_{B}$ is the inverse of the generalised vielbein,

$$
E^{A}{ }_{B} L^{B}{ }_{C}=\delta_{C}^{A}=L^{A}{ }_{B} E^{B}{ }_{C}
$$

and
$G^{A B}=\operatorname{diag}\left(g^{a b}, g_{d_{1} d_{2}, e_{1} e_{2}}, g^{g_{1} \ldots g_{3}, h_{1} \ldots h_{3}}, g_{j_{1} k_{1}} g^{j_{2} k_{2}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} \delta_{k_{1}}^{k_{2}}, 1, g_{m_{1} \ldots m_{3}, n_{1} \ldots n_{3}}, g^{q_{1} q_{2}, r_{1} r_{2}}, g_{x y}\right)$.
is the inverse of
$G_{A B}=\operatorname{diag}\left(g_{a b}, g^{d_{1} d_{2}, e_{1} e_{2}}, g_{g_{1} \ldots g_{3}, h_{1} \ldots h_{3}}, g^{j_{1} k_{1}} g_{j_{2} k_{2}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_{2}}^{j_{1}} \delta_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}}, 1, g^{m_{1} \ldots m_{3}, n_{1} \ldots n_{3}}, g_{q_{1} q_{2}, r_{1} r_{2}}, g^{x y}\right)$.
Using the equation (2.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{A B}=G^{C D} E^{A}{ }_{C} E^{B}{ }_{D}, \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equation (C.2) it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{M N} \partial_{M} M^{K L} \partial_{N} M_{K L}= & 4 g^{a b}\left(\partial_{a} E^{K}{ }_{C}\right) G^{C E} L^{F}{ }_{K}\left(\partial_{b} G_{E F}\right)+2 g^{a b}\left(\partial_{a} E^{K}{ }_{C}\right)\left(\partial_{b} L^{C}{ }_{K}\right) \\
& -2 g^{a b} G^{C D} G_{F G} L^{F}{ }_{K} L^{G}{ }_{L}\left(\partial_{a} E^{K}{ }_{C}\right)\left(\partial_{b} E^{L}{ }_{D}\right) \\
& +g^{a b}\left(\partial_{a} G^{E F}\right)\left(\partial_{b} G_{E F}\right) \tag{C.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{M N} \partial_{N} M^{K L} \partial_{L} M_{M K}=-g^{a b} g^{c d} G_{K L} L^{K}{ }_{N} L^{L}{ }_{M}\left(\partial_{b} E^{N}{ }_{c}\right)\left(\partial_{d} E^{M}{ }_{a}\right)+g^{a b}\left(\partial_{b} g^{c d}\right)\left(\partial_{d} g_{a c}\right) \tag{C.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
M^{C D} \partial_{a} M_{C D}=-248 g^{c d} \partial_{a} g_{c d},
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{K L} \partial_{M} M^{M N} \partial_{N} M_{K L}=-248\left(\partial_{a} g^{a b}\right)\left(g^{c d} \partial_{b} g_{c d}\right) \tag{C.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{M N}\left(M^{K L} \partial_{M} M_{K L}\right)\left(M^{R S} \partial_{N} M_{R S}\right)=(248)^{2} g^{a b}\left(g^{c d} \partial_{a} g_{c d}\right)\left(g^{e f} \partial_{b} g_{e f}\right) \tag{C.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple calculation using the components of $G^{A B}$ and $G_{A B}$, equations (C.3) and (C.4), and the components of $L^{A}{ }_{B}$ and $E^{A}{ }_{B}$ given in appendix B shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{a b}\left(\partial_{a} E^{K}{ }_{C}\right) G^{C E} L^{F}{ }_{K}\left(\partial_{b} G_{E F}\right) & =6 g^{a b}\left(g^{c d} \partial_{a} g_{c d}\right)\left(g^{e f} \partial_{b} g_{e f}\right),  \tag{C.10}\\
g^{a b}\left(\partial_{a} E^{K}{ }_{C}\right)\left(\partial_{b} L^{C}{ }_{K}\right) & =-80 g^{a b}\left(g^{c d} \partial_{a} g_{c d}\right)\left(g^{e f} \partial_{b} g_{e f}\right),  \tag{C.11}\\
g^{a b}\left(\partial_{a} G^{E F}\right)\left(\partial_{b} G_{E F}\right) & =60 g^{a b}\left(\partial_{a} g^{c d}\right)\left(\partial_{b} g_{c d}\right)-12 g^{a b}\left(g^{c d} \partial_{a} g_{c d}\right)\left(g^{e f} \partial_{b} g_{e f}\right) . \tag{C.12}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, note that these are independent of the form fields and only depend on the metric $g$. This is because $G_{A B}$ and $G^{A B}$ only depend on $g_{a b}$. Moreover, $G_{A B}$ and $G^{A B}$ are diagonal and $L$ and $E$ are lower triangular so

$$
\left(\partial_{a} E^{K}{ }_{C}\right) G^{C F} L^{E}{ }_{K}\left(\partial_{b} G_{E F}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\partial_{a} E^{K}{ }_{C}\right)\left(\partial_{b} L^{C}{ }_{K}\right)
$$

only depend on the diagonal elements of $L$ and $E$ which are proportional to determinant of $g_{a b}$.

To calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{a b} G^{C D} G_{F G} L^{F}{ }_{K} L^{G}{ }_{L}\left(\partial_{a} E^{K}{ }_{C}\right)\left(\partial_{b} E^{L}{ }_{D}\right) \tag{C.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in equation (C.6) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{a b} g^{c d} G_{K L} L^{K}{ }_{M} L^{L}{ }_{N}\left(\partial_{b} E^{M}{ }_{c}\right)\left(\partial_{d} E^{N}{ }_{a}\right) \tag{C.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in equation (C.7), we note that the building block of both these terms is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{u}{ }^{A}{ }_{B}=L^{A}{ }_{C}\left(\partial_{u} E^{C}{ }_{B}\right) . \tag{C.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of $D$, see figure 3 , are given at the end of this appendix.
The evaluation of the components of $D$ requires use of identities such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{a_{1} a_{2} b} V^{b c_{1} \ldots c_{4}}=2 X^{\left[c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}\right.}{ }_{\left[a_{1}\right.} \sigma_{\left.a_{2}\right]}^{\left.c_{4}\right]}, \tag{C.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is defined in equation (B.38). This identity is proved by using equation (B.37) to write $C$ as a Hodge dual of $V$ in the expression above and $V$ in terms of $C$. Then the two epsilon tensors are contracted to give a Kronecker delta. Finally using

$$
C_{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} V^{c_{1} c_{2} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}=\frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{c_{1} c_{2} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}} C_{\left[a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\right.} C_{\left.b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}\right]}=0,
$$

we find the relation given above, equation (C.16). Other useful identities are

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{-1 / 2} C_{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} V^{c_{1} c_{2} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}\right) & =-V^{c_{1} c_{2} b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}} \partial_{u} C_{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}},  \tag{C.17}\\
g^{-1 / 2} C_{a b c_{1} \ldots a_{4}} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} U^{a b}\right) & =V^{a b} \partial_{u} C_{a b c_{1} \ldots c_{4}},  \tag{C.18}\\
g^{-1 / 2} C_{a_{1} a_{2} b} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} V^{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3} a_{1} a_{2}}\right) & =V^{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3} u_{1} u_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{u_{1} u_{2} b}-V^{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}\left[c_{1} c_{2}\right.} \delta_{b}^{\left.c_{3}\right]} \partial_{u} C_{u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}} . \tag{C.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $g^{1 / 2} \epsilon^{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}}$ is the alternating symbol

$$
\eta^{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for }\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{8}\right)=\text { positive permutations of }(12345678) \\ -1 & \text { for }\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{8}\right)=\text { negative permutations of }(12345678) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

hence

$$
\partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} \epsilon^{a_{1} \ldots a_{8}}\right)=0 .
$$

Furthermore, our convention for the contraction of two epsilon tensors is

$$
\epsilon^{a_{1} \ldots a_{i} b_{i+1} \ldots b_{8}} \epsilon_{c_{1} \ldots c_{i} b_{i+1} \ldots b_{8}}=i!(8-i)!\delta_{c_{1} \ldots c_{i}}^{a_{1} \ldots a_{i}}
$$

As an example, consider the evaluation of D31,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(D 31)_{u}{ }^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}{ }_{b}=(L 31)^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}{ }_{c} \partial_{u}(E 11)^{c}{ }_{b}+(L 32)^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} f_{1} f_{2}} \partial_{u}(E 21)_{f_{1} f_{2} b} \\
&+(L 33)^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}{ }_{i 1} i_{2} i_{3}} \partial_{u}(E 31)^{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}}{ }_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that since $L$ is lower triangular there are only three terms contributing to $D 31$. The components of $L$ and $E$ can be read from appendix B and inserted into the expression above ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(D 31)_{u}{ }^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}{ }_{b}= & \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{6}} V^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} f_{1} f_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{f_{1} f_{2} b}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} \delta_{b}^{\left[g_{1}\right.} U^{\left.g_{2} g_{3}\right]}-\frac{1}{6} g^{1 / 2} X^{g_{1} \ldots g_{3}}{ }_{b}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{6}} V^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} f_{1} f_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{f_{1} f_{2} b}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} \delta_{b}^{\left[g_{1}\right.} U^{\left.g_{2} g_{3}\right]}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{6}} g^{-1 / 2} C_{f_{1} f_{2} b} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} V^{g_{1} \ldots g_{3} f_{1} f_{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{6}} V^{g_{1} \ldots g_{3} f_{1} f_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{f_{1} f_{2} b},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the definition of $X$ given in equation (B.38). Now, upon using identity (C.19), this reduces to

$$
(D 31)_{u}{ }^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}{ }_{b}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} \delta_{b}^{\left[g_{1}\right.} U^{\left.g_{2} g_{3}\right]}\right)+\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{6}} V^{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}\left[g_{1} g_{2}\right.} \delta_{b}^{\left.g_{3}\right]} \partial_{u} C_{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}} .
$$

With the exception of $D 61, D 71, D 81$ and $D 72$, the other components can be simply derived using identities (C.16)-(C.19).

Showing that $D 61, D 71, D 81$ and $D 72$, vanish is not straightforward and involves the repeated use of identities (C.16)-(C.19). Expanding the D61 component we find thirteen terms of the form

$$
C^{(6)} V \partial C^{(3)}, C^{(6)} C^{(3)} \partial V, U C^{(3)} \partial C^{(3)}, C^{(3)} C^{(3)} V \partial C^{(3)} \text { and } C^{(3)} C^{(3)} C^{(3)} \partial V,
$$

where $C^{(3)}$ and $C^{(6)}$ denote the 3 and 6 -form, respectively. Expressing $C^{(6)} V \partial C^{(3)}$ and $C^{(6)} C^{(3)} \partial V$ as terms of the form $U C^{(3)} \partial C^{(3)}$, it is easy to see that terms involving the 6 -form cancel among each other. Further, writing terms of the form $C^{(3)} C^{(3)} V \partial C^{(3)}$ and $C^{(3)} C^{(3)} C^{(3)} \partial V$ as the epsilon tensor multiplied by terms of the form $C^{(3)} V V \partial C^{(3)}$ we find that

$$
(D 61)_{u m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} b}=-\frac{7}{16(5!) \sqrt{6}} \epsilon_{c_{1} \ldots c m_{1} m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}} C_{a_{1} a_{2} b} V^{\left[c_{1} \ldots c_{5}\right.} V^{\left.d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} a_{1}\right] a_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}} .
$$

This vanishes because an antisymmetrisation over nine indices in eight dimensions is zero. Similarly, $D 71, D 81$ and $D 72$, also vanish upon repeated use of identities (C.16)-(C.19).

[^4]Given the components of $D$ it is now straightforward to evaluate expressions (C.13) and (C.14). In terms of the supergravity fields these terms are

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{a b} G^{C D} G_{F G} L^{F}{ }_{K} L^{G}{ }_{L}\left(\partial_{a} E^{K}{ }_{C}\right)\left(\partial_{b} E^{L}{ }_{D}\right)  \tag{C.20}\\
& =g^{a b} G^{C D} G_{F G} D_{a}{ }^{F}{ }_{C} D_{b}{ }^{G} D_{D} \\
& = \\
& 80 g^{a b}\left(g^{c d} \partial_{a} g_{c d}\right)\left(g^{e f} \partial_{b} g_{e f}\right)+10 g^{a b} g^{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}, d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}\left(\partial_{a} C_{c_{1} \ldots c_{3}}\right)\left(\partial_{b} C_{d_{1} \ldots d_{3}}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{48} g^{a b} g^{c_{1} \ldots c_{6}, d_{1} \ldots d_{6}}\left(\partial_{a} C_{c_{1} \ldots c_{6}}-20 C_{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}} \partial_{a} C_{c_{4} c_{5} c_{6}}\right)\left(\partial_{b} C_{d_{1} \ldots d_{6}}-20 C_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}} \partial_{b} C_{d_{4} d_{5} d_{6}}\right)  \tag{C.21}\\
& \quad \quad+\frac{15}{8!} g^{a b} g^{c d} g^{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}} F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, c} F_{b, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}, d},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b}=\partial_{a} C_{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b}-28 C_{\left[e_{1} \ldots e_{6} \mid\right.} \partial_{a} C_{\left.\mid e_{7} e_{8}\right] b}-\frac{560}{3} C_{b\left[e_{1} e_{2}\right.} C_{e_{3} e_{4} e_{5} \mid} \partial_{a} C_{\left.\mid e_{6} e_{7} e_{8}\right]} \tag{C.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{a b} g^{c d} G_{K L} L^{K}{ }_{M} L^{L}{ }_{N}\left(\partial_{b} E^{M}{ }_{c}\right)\left(\partial_{d} E^{N}{ }_{a}\right) \\
& =g^{a b} g^{c d} G_{K L} D_{b}{ }_{C}{ }_{c} D_{d}{ }^{L}{ }_{a} \\
& = \\
& \frac{1}{2} g^{a d_{1}} g^{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}, b d_{2} d_{3}}\left(\partial_{a} C_{c_{1} \ldots c_{3}}\right)\left(\partial_{b} C_{d_{1} \ldots d_{3}}\right)-\frac{2}{8!} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}, b_{1} \ldots b_{7}} F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}}^{(7)} F_{b_{1} \ldots b_{7}}^{(7)} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4(6!)} g^{a b} g^{c_{1} \ldots c_{6}, d_{1} \ldots d_{6}}\left(\partial_{a} C_{c_{1} \ldots c_{6}}-20 C_{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}} \partial_{a} C_{C_{4} c_{5} c_{6}}\right)\left(\partial_{b} C_{d_{1} \ldots d_{6}}-20 C_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}} \partial_{b} C_{d_{4} d_{5} d_{6}}\right)  \tag{C.23}\\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4(8!)} g^{a b} g^{c d} g^{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}} F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, c} F_{b, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}, d}+\frac{1}{4(8!)} g^{a d} g^{b c} g^{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}} F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, c} F_{b, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}, d},
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, b}$ is as in equation (C.22) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}}^{(7)}=7\left(\partial_{\left[a_{1}\right.} C_{\left.a_{2} \ldots a_{7}\right]}+20 C_{\left[a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\right.} \partial_{a_{4}} C_{\left.a_{5} a_{6} a_{7}\right]}\right) \tag{C.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, using equations (C.6), (C.10)-(C.12) and (C.21),

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{M N} \partial_{M} M^{K L} \partial_{N} M_{K L} \\
& =60 g^{a b} \partial_{a} g^{c d} \partial_{b} g_{c d}-308 g^{a b}\left(g^{c d} \partial_{a} g_{c d}\right)\left(g^{e f} \partial_{b} g_{e f}\right)-20 g^{a b} g^{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}, d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}\left(\partial_{a} C_{c_{1} \ldots c_{3}}\right)\left(\partial_{b} C_{d_{1} \ldots d_{3}}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{24} g^{a b} g^{c_{1} \ldots c_{6}, d_{1} \ldots d_{6}}\left(\partial_{a} C_{c_{1} \ldots c_{6}}-20 C_{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}} \partial_{a} C_{c_{4} c_{5} c_{6}}\right)\left(\partial_{b} C_{d_{1} \ldots d_{6}}-20 C_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}} \partial_{b} C_{d_{4} d_{5} d_{6}}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{30}{8!} g^{a b} g^{c d} g^{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}} F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, c} F_{b, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}, d}, \tag{C.25}
\end{align*}
$$

and from equations (C.7) and (C.23)

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{M N} \partial_{N} M^{K L} \partial_{L} M_{M K} \\
& =g^{a b}\left(\partial_{b} g^{c d}\right)\left(\partial_{d} g_{a c}\right)-\frac{1}{2} g^{a d_{1}} g^{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}, b d_{2} d_{3}}\left(\partial_{a} C_{c_{1} \ldots c_{3}}\right)\left(\partial_{b} C_{d_{1} \ldots d_{3}}\right)+\frac{2}{8!} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}, b_{1} \ldots b_{7}} F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}}^{(7)} F_{b_{1} \ldots b_{7}}^{(7)} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4(6!)} g^{a b} g^{c_{1} \ldots c_{6}, d_{1} \ldots d_{6}}\left(\partial_{a} C_{c_{1} \ldots c_{6}}-20 C_{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}} \partial_{a} C_{c_{4} c_{5} c_{6}}\right)\left(\partial_{b} C_{d_{1} \ldots d_{6}}-20 C_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}} \partial_{b} C_{d_{4} d_{5} d_{6}}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4(8!)} g^{a b} g^{c d} g^{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}} F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, c} F_{b, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}, d}-\frac{1}{4(8!)} g^{a d} g^{b c} g^{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}} F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, c} F_{b, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}, d} \tag{C.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, putting together equations (C.8), (C.9), (C.25), (C.26), in terms of the supergravity fields the potential, (C.1), is

$$
\begin{align*}
V= & \frac{1}{4} g^{a b} \partial_{a} g^{c d} \partial_{b} g_{c d}-\frac{1}{2} g^{a b} \partial_{b} g^{c d} \partial_{d} g_{a c}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{a} g^{a b}\right)\left(g^{c d} \partial_{b} g_{c d}\right)+\frac{1}{4} g^{a b}\left(g^{c d} \partial_{a} g_{c d}\right)\left(g^{e f} \partial_{b} g_{e f}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{12} g^{a b} g^{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}, d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}} \partial_{a} C_{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}}\left(\partial_{b} C_{d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}}-3 \partial_{d_{1}} C_{b d_{2} d_{3}}\right)-\frac{1}{8!} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}, b_{1} \ldots b_{7}} F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}}^{(7)} F_{b_{1} \ldots b_{7}}^{(7)} \\
& +\frac{1}{8(8!)} g^{a d} g^{b c} g^{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}} F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, c} F_{b, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}, d} . \tag{C.27}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term is the Ricci scalar of metric $g$, up to integration by parts. This expected because the coefficients of the terms in $V$, equation (C.1), were fixed so that the Ricci scalar would be recovered when all other fields are zero. However, the potential also gives the dynamics of the other fields as well. Defining

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{4}}^{(4)} & =4 \partial_{\left[a_{1}\right.} C_{\left.a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}\right]}, \\
V & =R(g)-\frac{1}{48} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{4}, b_{1} \ldots b_{4}} F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{4}}^{(4)} F_{b_{1} \ldots b_{4}}^{(4)}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{8!} g^{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}, b_{1} \ldots b_{7}} F_{a_{1} \ldots a_{7}}^{(7)} F_{b_{1} \ldots b_{7}}^{(7)} \\
& +\frac{1}{8(8!)} g^{a d} g^{b c} g^{e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}} F_{a, e_{1} \ldots e_{8}, c} F_{b, f_{1} \ldots f_{8}, d} \tag{C.28}
\end{align*}
$$

## C. 1 Components of $D_{u}{ }^{A}{ }_{B}$

The components of

$$
D_{u}{ }^{A}{ }_{B}=L^{A}{ }_{C} \partial_{u} E^{C}{ }_{B}
$$

are given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
&(D 11)_{u}{ }^{a}{ }_{b}=0,  \tag{C.29}\\
&(D 21)_{u d_{1} d_{2}}{ }_{b}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{u} C_{d_{1} d_{2} b},  \tag{C.30}\\
&(D 31)_{u}{ }^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}{ }_{b}= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \sqrt{2}} g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} \delta_{b}^{\left[g_{1}\right.} U^{\left.g_{2} g_{3}\right]}\right)+\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{6}} V^{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}\left[g_{1} g_{2}\right.} \delta_{b}^{\left.g_{3}\right]} \partial_{u} C_{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}},  \tag{C.31}\\
&(D 41)_{u j_{1}{ }_{1}{ }^{j_{2}}{ }_{b}=}=-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{b}^{j_{2}}\left(g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} Y_{j_{1}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} U^{t_{1} t_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{j_{1} t_{1} t_{2}}+\frac{1}{36} X^{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}{ }_{j_{1}} \partial_{u} C_{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{16} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}}\left(g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} Y_{b}\right)-\frac{1}{2} U^{t_{1} t_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{b t_{1} t_{2}}+\frac{1}{36} X^{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}{ }_{b} \partial_{u} C_{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}\right),  \tag{C.32}\\
&(D 51)_{u \quad b}=-\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{2}}\left(g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} Y_{b}\right)-\frac{1}{2} U^{t_{1} t_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{b t_{1} t_{2}}+\frac{1}{36} X^{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}{ }_{b} \partial_{u} C_{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}\right), \tag{C.33}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
(D 61)_{u m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} b} & =0  \tag{C.34}\\
(D 71)_{u} q_{1} q_{2} & =0  \tag{C.35}\\
(D 81)_{u x b} & =0  \tag{C.36}\\
(D 22)_{u d_{1} d_{2}} e_{1} e_{2} & =0  \tag{C.37}\\
(D 32)_{u}{ }^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} e_{1} e_{2}} & =-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} V^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3} e_{1} e_{2}}\right), \tag{C.38}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& (D 42)_{u j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2} e_{1} e_{2}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \delta_{j_{1}}^{\left[e_{2}\right.}\left(g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} U^{\left.e_{1}\right] j_{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{6} V^{\left.e_{1}\right] j_{2} t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}} \partial_{u} C_{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{8 \sqrt{2}} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}}\left(g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} U^{e_{1} e_{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{6} V^{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3} e_{1} e_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}\right),  \tag{C.39}\\
& (D 52)_{u}{ }^{e_{1} e_{2}}=-\frac{1}{24} V^{e_{1} e_{2} t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}} \partial_{u} C_{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}-\frac{1}{4} g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} U^{e_{1} e_{2}}\right),  \tag{C.40}\\
& (D 62)_{u m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}{ }^{e_{1} e_{2}}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \delta_{\left[m_{1} m_{2} \mid\right.}^{e_{1} e_{2}}\left(g^{-1 / 2} \partial_{u}\left(g^{1 / 2} Y_{\left.\mid m_{3}\right]}\right)-\frac{1}{2} U^{t_{1} t_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{\left.\mid m_{3}\right] t_{1} t_{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{36} X^{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}{ }_{\left.\mid m_{3}\right]} \partial_{u} C_{t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}}\right),  \tag{C.41}\\
& (D 72)_{u}{ }^{q_{1} q_{2} e_{1} e_{2}}=0,  \tag{C.42}\\
& (D 33)_{u}{ }^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}{ }_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}^{g_{1} g_{2} g_{3}}\left(g^{-1} \partial_{u} g\right),  \tag{C.43}\\
& (D 43)_{u j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2}}{ }_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\partial_{u} C_{j_{1}\left[h_{1} h_{2}\right.} \delta_{\left.h_{3}\right]}^{j_{2}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} \partial_{u} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}\right),  \tag{C.44}\\
& (D 53)_{u h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{3}} \partial_{u} C_{h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}},  \tag{C.45}\\
& (D 63)_{u m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}=-\frac{1}{12} \partial_{u} C_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}}+\frac{5}{3} C_{\left[m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} \mid\right.} \partial_{u} C_{\left.\mid h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}\right]},  \tag{C.46}\\
& (D 44)_{u j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2} k_{1}}{ }_{k_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{k_{2}}^{j_{2}} \delta_{j_{1}}^{k_{1}}-\frac{1}{8} \delta_{j_{1}}^{j_{2}} k_{k_{2}}^{k_{1}}\right)\left(g^{-1} \partial_{u} g\right),  \tag{C.47}\\
& (D 54)_{u}{ }^{k_{1}}{ }_{k_{2}}=0,  \tag{C.48}\\
& D 55=\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{-1} \partial_{u} g\right),  \tag{C.49}\\
& (D 66)_{u m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}{ }^{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}^{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}}\left(g^{-1} \partial_{u} g\right),  \tag{C.50}\\
& (D 77)_{u}{ }^{q_{1} q_{2}}{ }_{r_{1} r_{2}}=\delta_{r_{1} r_{2}}^{q_{1} q_{2}}\left(g^{-1} \partial_{u} g\right),  \tag{C.51}\\
& (D 88)_{u x}{ }^{y}=\delta_{x}^{y}\left(g^{-1} \partial_{u} g\right) . \tag{C.52}
\end{align*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Winding coordinates that can be interpreted as those of a Kaluza-Klein monopole do appear in the $E_{7}$ algebra, but the potential associated with these coordinates does not appear in the generalised metric.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See appendix A for a review of the $E_{8}$ algebra.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Note that in [21], opposite conventions were used for uppercase Greek and Latin indices.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ We thank Axel Kleinschmidt for discussions on this point.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ This can either be done by hand or using the computer algebra software Cadabra [66].

