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Supplementary Figure 1: Overview of the biosynthetic pathway towards erythromycin A. In cascade of Claisen condensations, the polyketide is assembled from propionyl-CoA as starter unit and methylmalonyl-CoA as extender units. The resulting $\beta$-keto thioester is in optional steps reduced by further catalytic domains. The primary product 6-Desoxyerythronolide B is cyclized and cleaved from the PKS multienzyme complex before being furnished with hydroxylations and glycosylations to yield erythromycin A. The Acyltransferase domain in module 6 which was investigated in this study is highlighted in red.


## I. Mutagenesis and Microbiological Methods

## 1. Acyltransferase Sequence Alignments

Domain boundaries were identified using the SBSPKS - server (NRPS - PKS Analysis) and extended by approximately 100 AA at the N -terminus and 50 AA at the C-terminus for theoretical analysis. ${ }^{1}$ Candidates for critical residues were identified using protein sequence alignments employing AlignX from the VectorNTI Advance 11.0 program package and the T-COFFEE server. ${ }^{2}$

Supplementary Figure 2: Identification of mutagenesis sites. A CLUSTAL W (1.83) multiple sequence alignment of AT domains from the Epothilon PKS (EpoCAT), the erythromycin PKS (EryAT), the Niddamycin PKS (NidAT), and the Rapamycin PKS (RapaAT) with different substrate specificities reveals a number of potentially influential positions in DEBS AT6, guided by the differences between EpoAT2 and EpoAT3 (highlighted in yellow). AT specificities are: EpoAT2: Malonyl-, EpoAT3: Malonyl- \& methylmalonyl-, EryAT: both methylmalonyl-, NidAT4: Methylmalonyl-, NidAT5: Methyl- \& ethylmalonyl-, RapAT2: Methylmalonyl-, RapAT4: Malonyl-CoA. Genbank numbers: EpoAT: AAF26921.1; Ery AT6: CAM00065.1 Region 2020-2291; Ery AT3: CAM00064.1 Region 562-832; NidAT4: AAC46026.1 Region 560-581; NidAT5: AAC46026.1 Region 2010-2306; RapaAT2: CAA60459.1 Region 2137-2418; RapaAT4: CAA60459.1 Region 5758-6022. Inspection of I-TASSER homology models of DEBS AT6 and the EpoAT2/EpoAT3 domains indicated further candidate residues, required for example by gap regions in the alignments. All candidate sites are highlighted in the model of DEBS AT6 and its sequence shown below. These go beyond the domain boundaries as predicted by the SBSPKS-server.
DEBS AT6 sites are highlighted in red.

| Epoat2 | --GQGAQTPGMGRGLCAAWPAFREAFDRCVALFDRELDRPLREVMWARA |
| :---: | :---: |
| Epoat3 | --GQGAQTPGMGRGLCAAWPAFREAFDRCVALFDRELDRPLREVMWARP |
| Eryat3 | LFDGQGSQWAGMGAELLSSSDVFAGKIRACDESMAPMQDWKVSDVLRQAD |
| EryAT6 | -FPGQGAQWEGMARGLLS-VPVFAESIAECDAVLSEVAGFSASEVLEQRP |
| NidAT3 | VFPGQGAQWAGMGAELLDSSPVFAAELARCEAALEPFVDWSLTDVLRGAP |
| NidAT5 | VFPGQGSQWAGMAEGLLERSGAFRSAADSCDAALRPYLGWSVLSVLRGEP |
| RapaAT2 | VFPGQGWQWLGMGSALRDSSIVFAERMAECAPALREFVDWDLFTVLD--- |
| RapaAT4 | VFPGQGSQRAGMGEELAAAFPVFARIHQQVW---------DLLD------ |
|  | *** **. * . ${ }^{\text {* }}$ |
| Epoat2 | GSAESLLLDQTAFTQPALFAVEYALTALNRSWGVEPELIVGHSIGELVAA |
| Epoat 3 | GSAESLLLDQTAFTQPALFTVEYALTALWRSWGVEPELVAGHSAGELVAA |
| Eryat 3 | GA---PGLDRVDVVQPVL FAVMVSLAELWRSYGVEPAAVVGHSQGEIAAA |
| EryAT6 | DA---PSLERVDVVQPVLFSVMVSLARLWGACGVSPSAVIGHSQGEIAAA |
| NidAT3 | GA---PGLDRVDVVQPVTFAVVVALAAMWRWLGVE PAAVVGHSQGEIAAA |
| NidAT5 | DA---PSLDRVDVVQPVLFTMMVSLAAVWRALGVEPAAVVGHSQGEIAAA |
| Rapant2 | DP---AVVDRVDVVQPASWRMMVSLAAVWQARGVRPDAVIGHSQGEIAAA |
| RapaAT4 | -VP-DLEVNETGYAQPALFALQVALEGLLESWGVRPDAVVGHSVGELAAG |
|  | ::.. .**. : : :* : ** * : *** **:. *. |
| Epoat2 | CVAGVESLEDGVRLVAARGRLM-QGLSAGGAMVSLGAPEAEVAAAVAPHA |
| Epoat3 | CVAGVESLEDGVRLVAARGRLM-QGLSAGGAMVSLGAPEAEVAAAVAPHA |
| EryAT3 | HVAGALTLEDAAKLVVGRSRLM-RSLSGEGGMAAVALGEAAVRERLRPWQ |
| EryAT6 | VVAGVLSLEDGVRVVALRAKAL-RALAGKGGMVSLAAPGERARALIAPWE |
| NidAT3 | HVAGVLSLEDAARVVALRSQLIARELAGRGSMASVALAAADVESRLAGAE |
| NidAT5 | HVAGALSLDDSARIVALRSRAW-LGLAGKGGMVAVPMPAEELRPRLVTWG |
| RapaAT2 | CVAGAVSMRDAARIVTLRSEATARGLAGRGAMASVALPAQDVELV- |
| RapaAT4 | YVSGLWSLEDACTLVSARARLM-QALPAGGVMVAVPVSEDEARAVL---G |
| Eport2 | --ASVSIAAVNGPEQVVIAGVEQAVQAIAAGFAARGARTKRLH-VSH |
| Epoat ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -ASVSIAAVMGPEQVVIAGVEQAVQAIAAGFAARGARTKRLL-VSH |
| EryAt3 | -----DRLSVAAVNGPRSVVVSGEPGALRAFSEDCAAEGIRVRDID-VDY |
| Eryat6 | -----DRISVAAVNSPSSVVVSGDPEALAELVARCEDEGVRAKTLP-VDY |
| NidNT 3 | acccvrdveinnvncpettvvccapcavdsilcviqcecvrvirid-vdy |
| NidAT5 | -----DRLAVAAVNSPGSCAVAGDPEALAELVALLTGEGVHARPIPGVDT |
| RapaAT2 | -----DGAWIAAHNGPASTVIAGTPEAVDHVLTAHEARGVRVRRIT-VDY |
| RapaAT4 | -EGVEIAAVNGPSSVVLSGDEAAVLQAAE---GLG-KWTRLA-TSH |
|  | :** .* . ..* *: : |
| Epoat2 | AFHSPLMEPMLEEFGRVAASVTYRRPSVSLVSNLSGKVVTDELSAPGYWV |
| Epoat 3 | ASHSPLMEPMLEEEGRVAASVTYRRPSVSLVSNLSGKVVADELSAPGYWV |
| Eryat 3 | ASHSPQIERVREELLETTGDIAPRPARVTFHSTVESRSMDGTELDARYWY |
| EryAT6 | ASHSRHVEEIRETILADLDGISARRAAIPLYSTLHGERRDGADMGPRYWY |
| NidAT3 | ASHSRHVEGIRDELAAVLAGLRPRAGRVPFYSTVEAEPLDGTALDAGYWY |
| NidAT5 | AGHSPQVDALRAHLLEVLAPVAPRPADIPFYSTVTGGLLDGTELDATYWY |
| RapaAT2 | ASHTPHVELIRDELLDITSDSSSQAPVVPWLSTVDGSWVDS-PLDVEYWY |
| RapaAT4 | AFHSARMEPMLEEFRAVAEGLTYRTPQVSMAA-------GDQLTTTEYWV |
|  | * : : : $\quad$ : ${ }^{\text {a }}$ : . |
| Epoat2 | RHVREAVRFADGVKALHEAGAGTEVEVGPKPTLLGLSPACLPEA--EPTL |
| Fpпat3 |  |
| Eryat3 | RNLRETVRFADAVTRLAESGYDAFIEVSPH---------------------- |
| Eryat 6 |  |
| NidAT3 | RNLRQRVRFESALRAMLADGVDAFVECSPHPVLTVPVRQTLEDAG---- |
| NidAT5 | RNMREPVEFERATRALIADGHDVFLETSPHPMLAVALEOTVTDAGTDAAV |
| RapaAT2 | RNLREPVGFHPAVGQLQAEGDTVFVEVSASPVLLQAMDDDV-------VT |
| RapaAT4 | RQVRDTVRFGEQVASYE---DAVFVELGADRSLARLVDGVAMLH- |
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Supplementary Figure 3: A CLUSTAL W (1.83) multiple sequence alignment of the methylmalonyl-CoA specific AT6 domain of the erythromycin PKS (Ery, from Saccharopolyspora erythraea, Genbank: CAM00065.1, region 2020..2291) and AT domains accepting larger malonyl-CoA derivatives using the T-COFFEE server. ${ }^{2}$

HbmAI: Herbimycin PKS from Streptomyces hygroscopicus, Genbank: AAY28225, region 3706..4058, accepts Methoxymalonyl-CoA ${ }^{3}$
LeuA: Leupyrrin PKS from Sorangium cellulosum So ce690, Genbank: ADZ24995, region 1676..1974, accepts 2-carboxyl-5-methylhexanoyl-CoA ${ }^{4}$
Nid: Niddamycin PKS from Streptomyces caelestis, Genbank: AAC46026.1, region 2010 - 2306, accepts Ethylmalonyl-CoA ${ }^{5}$
Pks13: from Mycobacterium avium subsp. Paratuberculosis, Genbank: AAS02537, region 720..1020, accepts long chain acyl-CoA with a predilection for carboxylated substrates ${ }^{6}$
SalA: Salinosporamide PKS from Salinispora tropica, Genbank: ABP73645, region 1071..1365, accepts chlorethylmalonyl-CoA ${ }^{7}$
SamR: Stambomycin PKS from Streptomyces ambofaciens, Genbank: CAJ88184, region: 2601..2897, accepts Hexyl/Heptylmalonyl-CoA ${ }^{8}$

| Ery AT6 | EVAGFSASEVL |
| :---: | :---: |
| HbmAI AT2 | KTVFVFPGQGAQWAGMGAQLLDTSPVFATRLHECAKALAPYTDWSLIDVI |
| LeuA | ----LFSGFGSESVGMGRELYETEPAFREAMDRCADLLAPHLPRRLTDVL |
| Nid AT5 | ----VFPGQGSQWAGMAEGLLERSGAFRSAADSCDAALRPYLGWSVLSVL |
| Pks13 | --------FGAQHRKMGKNLYLRNEVFAEWIEKVDALIQDERGYSVLELI |
| SalA | -SGGGTHWAGMGRALMDWHAGFRASMHECDAVFRELIGWSVIDEL |
| SamR0477 AT13 | ----VLPGQGSQWPGMADRLLAESATFRNTLRTCAQALEEHLDWSVEDTL |
| Ery AT6 | EQRPDA-----PSLERVDVVQPVLFSVMVSLARLWGACGVSPSAVIGHSQ |
| HbmAI AT2 | TGAPDA-----PSLDRVDVLQPTTFAIMVSLAALWQANGIHPDAVIGHSQ |
| LeuA | YPARDAAGGAAASLGDLSYAQPALFALEYCLAELWKSWGITPSAVVGHSL |
| Nid AT5 | RGEPDA-----PSLDRVDVVQPVLFTMMVSLAAVWRALGVEPAAVVGHSQ |
| Pks13 | LDDSHE-----YGI---ETSNVVIFAIQIALGELLRHHGAKPAAVVGQSL |
| SalA | SLPAER-----SRLDATDIQQPVLFTLQVSLARLWMELGIEPEAFVGHSI |
| SamR0477 AT13 | RGLPGA-----GNMERAEVIQPVLFATMVALAALWREHGVEPEAVVGHSQ |
| Ery AT6 | GEIAAAVVAGVLSLEDGVRVVALRAKALR-ALAGKGG----------MVS |
| HbmAI AT2 | GEIAAACVAGHLTLTNAAKIVTLRSQTIAHHLTGHGA----------MMS |
| LeuA | GECVAACVAGVFSLEDALTLVAARGRLME-SLAGEGE----------TFL |
| Nid AT5 | GEIAAAHVAGALSLDDSARIVALRSRAWL-GLAGKGG----------MVA |
| Pks13 | GEPASAYFSGGLSLADATRVICSRSHLM-----GEGEAMLFGEYIRFMAL |
| SalA | GEVAAVCVAGGLSVRDAARVTIARSHLIQ-HRAAKAA----------MIA |
| SamR0477 AT13 | GEIAAAHLAGALSLEDAARVVTHRSRLLS-RVVGQGA----------VAS |
|  | ** . : . :* : : : . : *.: |
| Ery AT6 | LAAPGERARALIAPWEDRISVAAVNSPSSVVVSGDPEALAELVARCEDEG |
| HbmAI AT2 | VLASPTWVQETLAPWHGHLWIAAVNGPASVSVSGDPDALAEFGTTLSKAK |
| LeuA | VSADEATVRRVIA--SDPVSIGSINGPANIVISGAPAGVKSVVERLSQEG |
| Nid AT5 | VPMPAEELRPRLVTWGDRLAVAAVNSPGSCAVAGDPEALAELVALLTGEG |
| Pks13 | VEYSADELKTVFADFP-GLEVCVYAAPSQTVIGGPPEQIDAIVARAEAEG |
| SalA | VQAGDEEIIPFLAPYGGRVAIAALNSPTSSAVSGPPEEIRALEVALNRAG |
| SamR0477 AT13 | VSLPAQEALARLERWGDALSIAAVNGVSSVSVAGDEAPLDEFLAELETEG |
|  | : : : : . . .* : |
| Ery AT6 | VRAKTL-PVDYASHSRHVEEIRETILADLDGISARRAAIPLYSTLHGERR |
| HbmAI AT2 | VYRWQLPGVDFAGHSGHVDTIKDQLHNVLDGITATPGHTAWMSTVDADWA |
| LeuA | IEVKKLD-VRRAAHSPLMDPMLEAFGKVARSIRYARPTIDLVANLTGEVA |
| Nid AT5 | VHARPIPGVDTAGHSPQVDALRAHLLEVLAPVAPRPADIPFYSTVTGGLL |
| Pks13 | RFARKLQ-TKGAGHTSQMDPLLGEFSAELQGIKPMSPTVGIFSTVHEGTY |
| SalA | ISSRAVR-VDRPGHSPGMDPLLSPLREALTNIEPRAFWARFHSTALDGAV |
| SamR0477 AT13 | VRCRKLR-IKGAAHSAVVEPLREEALAVLAPVRPRASRIPFYSTVTGGLL |
|  | .*: : |

Ery AT6 ----DGADMGPRYWYDNLRSQVRFDEAVSAAV-ADGHATFVEMSPHPVL-
HbmAI AT2

| LeuA | -GEEIATPEYWCRQIRETVRMSACLRTLHDALGFEVFLELGPSPALV |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nid AT5 | ----DGTELDATYWYRNMREPVEFERATRALI-ADGHDVFLETSPHPMLA |
| Pks13 | IKPGSEPVHDVAYWVKGMRHSVYFTHGIRNAV-DSGHTTFLELAPNPVAL |
| SalA | -----DPVVNADYWAHNLRNQVRFAPTVAALA-DAGIDTFVEISPHGTLR |
| SamR0477 AT13 |  |
| Ery AT6 |  |
| HbmAI AT2 | TA-IQDTTESLPDTPTTITGTLRRDDGGPDRVLTSLAELSAAGIPVHWPT |
| LeuA | WNGMQCVPKR----SGAWIASLRP---------------------------- |
| Nid AT5 |  |
| Pks13 |  |
| SalA | GA-IEEITQAQGA-SVVVADSIRR- |
| SamR0477 AT13 | GA-VQETAEDAGASDPVLLASLR- |
| Ery AT6 | --T |
| HbmAI AT2 | AYAGTTPSQVPLPTYPFQHQHYWL |
| LeuA | -----G |
| Nid AT5 | -----R |
| Pks13 | --K |
| SalA | -------G |
| SamR0477 AT13 | ------R |

## 2. Bacterial strains and media

E. coli strain OmniMax (Invitrogen) was used for cloning, and strain ET12567/pUZ8002 was used to drive conjugative transfer of plasmid DNA from E. coli to $S$. erythraea and S. erythraea $\Delta$ AT6hyg ${ }^{\text {R }}$.
B. subtilis DSM10 was used as test culture for biological testing (zone-of-inhibition).
S. erythraea NRRL-B-24071, S. erythraea $\Delta$ AT6hyg $^{\text {R }}$ and S. erythraea AT6* were used for fermentation.

Cultivation of $E$. coli strains was performed using standard techniques ${ }^{9}$. S. erythraea strains were grown on $\mathrm{ABB} 13{ }^{10}$, $\mathrm{SY}^{11}$ or LB plates and cultivated in tryptone soy broth (TSB) ${ }^{12}$ and $\mathrm{SM} 3^{13}$ as fermentation medium. For selection of E. coli transformants and S. erythraea transconjugants the following antibiotics were used: Apramycin ( 50 or $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively), Hygromycin ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and Phosphomycin (100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ).

## 3. Construction of pKSSU96 for site-directed mutagenesis

An erythromycin-negative strain for analyzing the impact of mutations was obtained by insertional inactivation via homologous recombination using a temperature-sensitive replicon where the AT6 encoding gene of DEBS3 was replaced by an $\Delta h y g$ fragment encoding hygromycin resistance ${ }^{14}$. REDIRECT PCR-targeting technology ${ }^{15}$ was used for this purpose. The disruption cassette of the AT6 gene was generated by the following strategy: two fragments corresponding to the left and right chromosomal regions flanking AT6+100bp were PCR-amplified from plasmid pIB23 using oligonucleotides $1 \& 2$ (fragment A) and $3 \& 4$ (fragment B), respectively. The $\Delta h y g$ gene was amplified from plasmid pKShyg using oligonucleotides $5 \& 6$ (fragment C). PCR was carried out using $2 x$ Phusion PCR Master mix with 50-80 ng of template and betain as an additive in 20-40 $\mu$ l reaction volume in a Piko thermocycler (Finnzymes). ScaI endonuclease recognition sites were included into oligonucleotides $7 \& 8$ to be used further for mutagenesis of AT6 gene. PCR-product of fragment A was digested by NotI resulting in the $\mathrm{A}-150 \mathrm{bp}$ fragment, PCR-product of fragment B was digested by SalI resulting in the $\mathrm{B}-$ 100bp fragment. A-150bp, B-100bp and $\Delta$ hyg were gel-purified and used in overlap-extension PCR as a template with oligonucleotides $1 \& 3$. The generated cassette was introduced into E. coli BW25113 (pIJ790) harbouring the plasmid pKSSU89 ${ }^{16}$ and preinduced for $\lambda$ Red functions by the addition of arabinose, to obtain a target gene-disrupted version of the plasmid. The resulting recombinant plasmid pKSSU96 (pSET152_lacZ_LIC-Pact-DEBS3-hygScaI-2) was confirmed by restriction analysis and sequencing (oligonucleotides $7 \& 8$ ).

## 4. Generation of S. erythraea $\Delta \mathrm{AT} 6 \mathrm{hyg}^{\mathrm{R}}$

Generation of a $\Delta$ AT6 mutant of S. erythraea was done by sub-cloning the disruption cassette from pKSSU96 into an XbaI-HindIII-digested pKC1139 (shuttle vector containing an apramycin resistance gene and the temperature-sensitive Streptomyces origin of replication from pSG 5$)^{12}$ The resulting plasmid pKSSU129 was transformed into E. coli ET12567/pUZ8002 and introduced into S. erythraea NRRL-B24071 cells by conjugation. Transconjugants were selected on ABB13 medium overlaid with an Apramycin, Hygromycin and Phosphomycin-containing soft agar. Strains in which a single cross-over between pKSSU129 and the S. erythraea chromosome had occurred were selected by cultivation of a
$\operatorname{Hyg}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{Apr}^{\mathrm{R}}$ transconjugant at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (the non-permissive temperature for the pSG5 based replicon) in the presence of the two antibiotics. After 3 rounds of propagation in the absence of antibiotics at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (to allow for the second crossover) and $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (to exclude plasmid-backbone from the cells) $\mathrm{Hyg}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{Apr}^{\mathrm{S}}$ colonies with double crossover were identified by replica-plating of single clones onto on LB-agar plates, containing Hygromycin, with and without Apramycin ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). The loss of erythromycin production in the mutant strain was shown by analysis of fermentation broth via a zone of inhibition assay using B. subtilis as test-culture and ESI-MS analysis of fermentation extracts.

Supplementary Figure 4: erythromycin-deletion in S. erythraea. Biological testing for erythromycin-negative $S$. erythraea strains using an agar diffusion assay with B. subtilis DSM10 as indicator strain. (wild type $=$ S. erythraea NRRL-B-24071, Mutant $=S$. erythraea $\Delta$ AT6hyg ${ }^{R}$ )


Supplementary Figure 5: erythromycin-deletion in S. erythraea. HPLC/ESI-MS analysis of the crude ethyl acetate extracts of a cultivation of S.erythraea in SM3 medium. The chromatogram shows the production of erythromycin by the wild type and the non-functional $S$. erythraea variant lacking the ability to produce erythromycin.

S. erythraea delta AT6 hygR


## 5. Restoration of S. erythraea to produce erythromycin

The DESB3-encoding plasmid pKSSU89 (a non-replicative plasmid based on the integrative vector pSET152 derived from the bacteriophage $\varphi$ C31 which allows high efficiency integration into the chromosome of a recipient actinomycete bacterium into the $\varphi \mathrm{C} 31$ integration site and contains the DEBS3-encoding eryAIII under control of the strong actI-actIIorf4 promoter) was transformed into E. coli ET12567/pUZ8002 and conjugated into $S$. erythraea $\Delta A^{2} 6 h^{2}{ }^{R}$.

To quantify the effect of the mutasis procedure itself onto erythromycin production levels, 60 independent clones of transconjugants were selected and the erythromycin production levels detected and compared to the wildtype (see Supplementary Figure 6).

Supplementary Figure 6: Clone-to-clone variations in S. erythraea. LC/MS chromatograms of the ethyl acetate extracts of a cultivation of the restored wildtype (S. erythraea $\Delta \mathrm{AT} 6 \mathrm{hyg}^{\mathrm{R}} / \mathrm{pKSSU} 89$ ) in SM3 medium showing the boundaries of clone to clone variations. A) Low productivity levels at $\sim 10 \%$ of the wild type level. B) High productivity level at around $50 \%$ of the non-modified wild type.

B)


## 6. Site-directed mutagenesis of AT6

The alterations of the selected residues were accomplished by oligonucleotide-mediated mutagenesis and overlap-extension PCR. Briefly, mutagenesis was achieved by performing PCR with designed oligonucleotide primers that include the desired mutation in their sequence and flanking oligonucleotides 9 and 10 in 96-well PCR plates in an Eppendorf cycler with the following program: 3 min denaturation at $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5$ cycles of 20 s at $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, annealing for 15 s at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 30 s extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25$ cycles of 15 s $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension of 240 s at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The EcoRV digested plasmid pKSSU89 was used as template. The PCR products were DpnI digested, purified and precipitated using SureClean (Bioline, German) and redissolved in water. The two overlapping fragments were fused together in a subsequent extension reaction. The inclusion of outside primers 9 and 10 in the extension reaction allowed the amplification of the fused product by PCR: 3 min at $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25$ cycles of 20 s at $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 40 s of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 240 \mathrm{~s}$ of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The final PCR products were gel-purified and cloned into ScaI linerarized pKSSU96 via SLIC-MIX ${ }^{16}$. Insert-containing clones were identified by blue-white screening, colony PCR using oligonucleotides 1 and 2 , and analysis of isolated plasmids. Identity of the plasmids was confirmed by DNA sequencing. The DEBS3-encoding plasmids with a single amino acid exchange were transformed into E. coli ET12567/pUZ8002 and then conjugated into S. erythraea $\Delta$ AT6hyg ${ }^{\mathrm{R}}$.

Supplementary Table 1: Oligonucleotides used in this study.

| 1 | ATCACGGGCGCGGATGTGGCCGTGG |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | ACAGCCGCGCCAGCGACACC |
| 3 | TTCTCGACTGCGCTGGTCAGCGACTCC |
| 4 | CGGTCCCCGTCTTCGCCGAG |
| 5 | GCGGGTCGTCGGGCCCGGTGCTGCTGGGTTCGGTGAAGTACTAAATCCAGATCCTTGACCCGCAGTTGCAA <br> ACCCTCACTGATCCG |
| 6 | CGCCTTCCAGGTCCACCGGCGTGGTGGCCAGCGGTCGCCAGTACTACAAATTCTTCCAACTGATCTGCGCG <br> GATCGATCCTTGCCGAGCTG |
| 7 | TGGGTCGTTGCGTGACGG |
| 8 | AGGCGACGAACGCCTCGC |
| 9 | TTACGGCAAGTCGCGCGGGTCGTCGGGCCCGGTGCTGCTGGGTTCGGTG |
| 10 | AAGCCGCCTTCCAGGTCCACCGGCGTGGTGGCCAGCGGTCGCCAGTCG |

Supplementary Table 2: Mutagenic oligonucleotides for targeted mutagenesis of DEBS AT6. XXX denotes the position of point-mutations leading to amino acid exchanges in the target protein. In position of XXX are the codons for the 19 different amino acid residues, taking the codon usage of S. erythraea into account (see Supplementary Table 3).

| Pro94fwd | TCGCCGAGGGCGTTGCGGCTXXXGGGTGCCACCACCGGAAC |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pro94rev | TTCCGGTGGTGGCACCXXXAGGCGCAACGCCCTCGGCGACCGC |
| Gly95fwd | AGGGCGTTGCGGCTCCCXXXGCCACCACCGGAACCGCCTC |
| Gly95rev | AGGCGGTTCCGGTGGTGGCXXXGGGAGCCGCAACGCCCTCGGC |
| Glu154fwd | TTCTCGGCCTCCXXXGTGCTGGAGCAGCGTCCGGAC |
| Glu154rev | GTCCGGACGCTGCTCCAGCACXXXGGAGGCCGAGAACCCGGCC |
| Pro157fwd | AAGTGCTGGAGCAGCGTXXXGACGCGCCGTCGCTGGAGC |
| Pro157rev | GCTCCAGCGACGGCGCGTCXXXACGCTGCTCCAGCACTTCGGAG |
| Ser174fwd | AGCCGGTGTTGTTCXXXGTGATGGTGTCGCTGGCGCGGC |
| Ser174rev | AGCCGCGCCAGCGACACCATCACXXXGAACAACACCGGCTG |


| Val193fwd | AGTCAGCCCCTCGGCCXXXATCGGCCATTCGCAGGGCGAG |
| :--- | :--- |
| Val193rev | TCGCCCTGCGAATGGCCGATXXXGGCCGAGGGGCTGACTCCG |
| Ile194fwd | AGCCCCTCGGCCGTCXXXGGCCATTCGCAGGGCGAG |
| Ile194rev | TCGCCCTGCGAATGGCCXXXGACGGCCGAGGGGCTGAC |
| Gln198fwd | TCGGCCGTCATCGGCCATTCGXXXGGCGAGATCGCCGCCGCGG |
| Gln198rev | ACCGCGGCGGCGATCTCGCCXXXCGAATGGCCGATGACGGCCG |
| Asn262fwd | TCCGTCGCGGCGGTCXXXTCCCCGTCCTCGGTCGTG |
| Asn262rev | ACGACCGAGGACGGGGAXXXGAACGCCGCGACGGAG |
| Ser263fwd | TCGCGGCGGTCAACXXXCCGTCCTCGGTCGTGGTCTC |
| Ser263rev | AGACCACGACCGAGGACGGXXXGTTGACCGCCGCGACGGAGA |
| Tyr297fwd | AAGACGCTCCCGGTGGACXXXGCCTCGCACTCCCGCCAC |
| Tyr297rev | TGGCGGGAGTGCGAGGCXXXGTCCACCGGGAGCGTC |
| Ser299fwd | ACGCTCCCGGTGGACTACGCCXXXCACTCCCGCCACGTCGAGGAG |
| Ser299rev | ATCTCCTCGACGTGGCGGGAGTXXXGGCGTAGTCCACCGGGAGCG |
| Arg336fwd | ACGCTGCACGGCGAACGGXXXGACGGCGCCGACATGGGTC |
| Arg336rev | ACCCATGTCGGCGCCGTCXXXCCGTTCGCCGTGCAGCG |
| Asp337fwd | ACGGCGAACGGCGCXXXGGCGCCGACATGGGTCCG |
| Asp337rev | ACCCATGTCGGCGCCXXXGCGCCGTTCGCCGTGCA |
| Val295Gly_fwd | CGCGCCAAGACGCTCCCGGGCGACTACGCCTCGCACTCC |
| Val295Gly_rev | GGAGTGCGAGGCGTAGTCGCCCGGGAGCGTCTTGGCGCG |
| Val295Ala_fwd | CGCGCCAAGACGCTCCCGGCCGACTACGCCTCGCACTCC |
| Val295Ala_rev | GGAGTGCGAGGCGTAGTCGGCCGGGAGCGTCTTGGCGCG |
| Val295Leu_fwd | CGCGCCAAGACGCTCCCGTTGGACTACGCCTCGCACTCC |
| Val295Leu_rev | GGAGTGCGAGGCGTAGTCCAACGGGAGCGTCTTGGCGCG |

Supplementary Table 3: Codons for the 20 proteinogenic amino acids used in the mutagenic oligonucleotides.

| Amino acid | fwd-codon | rev-codon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ala | gcc | ggc |
| Arg | cgc | gcg |
| Asn | aac | gtt |
| Asp | gac | gtc |
| Cys | tgc | gca |
| Gln | cag | ctg |
| Glu | gag | ctc |
| Gly | ggc | gcc |
| His | cac | gtg |
| Ile | atc | gat |
| Leu | ttg | caa |
| Lys | aag | gtt |
| Met | atg | cat |
| Phe | ttc | gaa |
| Pro | ccg | cgg |
| Ser | tcg | cga |
| Thr | acc | ggt |
| Trp | tgg | cca |
| Tyr | tac | gta |
| Val | gtc | gac |

## II. Fermentation experiments with Saccharopolyspora erythraea

## 1. General procedure

Cultivation of S. erythraea was carried out in the 24 -well plate system Duetz ${ }^{17}$. Pre-cultures were cultivated for 48 h in 2 ml TSB at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 180 \mathrm{rpm}$ in an orbital shaker with 5 cm deflection. The 3 ml main culture in SM3 medium was inoculated with $1 / 20$ pre-culture and cultivated for 5 days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 180 \mathrm{rpm} .4$ clones of each mutant were used. The S. erythraea wild type and the restored wild type were cultivated as control.

## 2. Feeding of 2-Propargylmalonyl-SNAC (compound 3) to the DEBS3 Variants

For feeding experiments, the main culture was supplemented with 10 mM 2-propargylmalonyl-SNAC. The S. erythraea wild type and the restored wild type were supplemented with the same concentration to serve as controls.

## 3. Analysis of fermentation products

For characterization via LC-ESI-MS the fermentation broth was extracted with 2 volumes of ethyl acetate overnight at $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent phase was evaporated and the residue re-dissolved in 0.5 ml methanol. $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were used for analysis.

HPLC/ESI-MS: The separations were carried out on an Accela HPLC-System (consisting of pump, autosampler, column oven and PDA detector) coupled online to an Orbitrap mass spectrometer equipped with a LTQ XL linear ion trap (Thermo Electron Corporation, Dreieich, Germany) using the standard electrospray ionization source. Parallel UV detection occurred at 210 and 254 nm . All solvents were LCMS grade (Chromasolv, Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany). $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each sample were injected via an autosampler ( $\mathrm{T}=10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) onto a CC12514 Nucleor C18 Gravity coloumn ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size; MachereyNagel Germany) using a flow rate $500 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$. A linear gradient starting with $80 \%$ Solvent A / 20\% Solvent B for one minute and increasing to $0 \%$ Solvent A / $100 \%$ Solvent B in 10min. After that the column was washed with $0 \%$ Solvent A / $100 \%$ solvent B for 5 min and re-equilibrated to starting conditions for additional 5 min (solvent A water containing $0.1 \%$ formic acid, solvent B acetonitril containing $0.1 \%$ formic acid). For mass spectrometric detection the electrospray ionization was carried out in positive (Erytromycin) ionization mode using a source voltage of 4 kV . The capillary voltage was set to 18 V , the capillary temperature to $275^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the tube lens voltage to 115 V . Spectra were acquired in full scan centroid mode with a mass-to-charge range from 200 to 2000.

Fragmentation for LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis was accomplished via collision induced dissociation (CID) at $35 \%$. The following masses were fragmented:

```
m/z=734 (erythromycin, C}\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{37}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{68}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{NO}}{13}{}\mp@subsup{}{}{+}\mathrm{ )
m/z=720 (2-desmethylerythromycin, C}\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{36}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{66}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{NO}}{13}{}\mp@subsup{}{}{+}\mathrm{ )
m/z= 758 (2-propargylerythromycin, C}\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{39}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{68}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{NO}}{13}{}\mp@subsup{}{}{+}\mathrm{ )
```

Supplementary Table 4：Residual wild－type activity as judged by LC－MS－detection of erythromycin A in the 266 individual AT6－variants．The averaged activity in \％ relative to the unmanipulated NRRL－wild－type strain is given．n．d．$=$ not determined

| Ala <br> Val <br> Met | Pro94 | Gly95 | Glu154 | Pro157 | Ser 174 | Val193 | Ile194 | Glu198 | Asn 262 | Ser263 | Tyr297 | Ser299 | Arg336 | Asp337 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 28 | 4 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 45 | 38 |
|  | 19 | 44 | 50 | 0 | 38 |  | 2 | 1 | 11 | 47 | 9 | 43 | 12 | 8 |
| ⿳亠二口欠刂 Met | 35 | 45 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 30 | 5 | 7 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n．d． |
| leu | 45 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 28 | ＜1 | ＜1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| $\sum \quad \text { Ile }$ | 39 | 22 | 1 | 14 | 48 | 20 |  | 0 | 4 | 22 | 5 | 28 | 49 | n．d． |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ Pro |  | 0 | 16 |  | 14 | 36 | 0.3 | 28 | 6 | 21 | 8 | 45 | 5 | 16 |
| O | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Phe | 1 | 8 | 0 | 41 | 25 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 26 | 16 | 65 | 26 | 3 |



| Tyr | 0 | 0 | 51 | 22 | 20 | ＜1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 |  | 0 | 38 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thr | ＜1 | 46 | 18 | 0 | 33 | 11 | 54 | 10 | 13 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gln | 20 | 41 | 35 | 24 | 25 | 42 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 30 | 0 |
| Gly | 23 |  | 35 | 43 | 23 | 8 | 0 | 43 | 49 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 |
| Ser | 0 | 7 | 48 | 50 |  | 0 | 19 | 25 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 17 |
| Cys | 2 | 32 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 40 | 6 | 0 | 25 | ＜1 |
| Asn | ＜1 | 13 | 6 | 39 | 41 | 24 | 0 | 0 |  | 27 | 0 | 29 | 20 | n．d． |


| Glu | 17 | 32 |  | 18 | 44 | 39 | 3 | 0.4 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 18 | 33 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asp | 23 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 24 | 2 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |

## 4. LC-ESI-MS analysis of the DEBS3-AT6 variants studied by MD-simulations





## Tyr297His




Gln 198Arg


## 5. Further Characterization of $\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{z}=720$ (2-desmethylerythromycin)

High resolution mass: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=720.45292, \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~N}=720.45287,0.07341 \mathrm{ppm}$
Supplementary Table 5: MS/MS-fragmentation of 2-desmethylerythromycin.

| fragments | $\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{z}$ <br> theoretically | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{m} / \mathbf{z} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{NO}_{13}{ }^{+}$ | 720.4529 | 720.45 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{NO}_{12}{ }^{+}$ | 702.4423 | 702.16 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{NO}_{11}{ }^{+}$ | 684.4317 | 684.37 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{NO}_{10}{ }^{+}$ | 562.3586 | 562.28 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NO}_{9}{ }^{+}$ | 544.3480 | 544.14 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{NO}_{8}{ }^{+}$ | 526.3374 | 526.11 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{NO}_{7}^{+}$ | 508.3269 | 508.23 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{6}^{+}$ | 450.2850 | 450.04 |

## 6. Analysis of Feeding-Studies - Val295Ala

RT: 0.00-10.00 SM: 7B

RT: 0.00-10.00 SM: 7B

RT: 0.00-10.00 SM: 7B


High resolution mass: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=758.46822, \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~N}=758.46852,-0.39578 \mathrm{ppm}$
Supplementary Table 6: MS/MS fragmentation of the new erythromycin A derivative 2-propargylerythromycin as produced by the AT6 Val295Ala variant upon being presented with 2-propargylmalonyl-SNAC.

| fragments | $\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{z}$ <br> theoretically | $\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{z}$ <br> found |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{NO}_{12}{ }^{+}$ | 740,4580 | 740,48 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{NO}_{11}{ }^{+}$ | 722,4474 | 722,34 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{NO}_{10}{ }^{+}$ | 600,3742 | 600,44 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{NO}_{9}{ }^{+}$ | 582,3637 | 582,29 |
| $5 \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NO}_{8}{ }^{+}$ | 564,3531 | 564,32 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{NO}_{7}{ }^{+}$ | 546,3425 | 546,34 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{6}{ }^{+}$ | 488,3007 | 488,40 |

Homology modeling of the acyltransferase domain of the sixth module of 6-deoxyerythronolide synthase (DEBS-AT6) was performed using the I-Tasser server. ${ }^{18}$ The acyltransferase domains of modules 3 and 5 of DEBS share a high sequence identity with the query sequence (> $40 \%$ of primary structure sequence identity) and therefore were considered as top templates. ${ }^{19,20}$ The resulting DEBS-AT6 model was used as starting point for docking the ( $2 S$ )-methylmalonylcoenzyme A (MMCoA) substrate into AT6. The docking procedure was performed using Glide ${ }^{21}$ as implemented in the Schrödinger molecular modeling platform. ${ }^{22}$ Protein coordinates were preprocessed for docking and protonation states of titratable residues were assigned using the Protein Preparation Wizard as provided in the Schrödinger Maestro environment. ${ }^{23}$ For the docking calculations the Extra-Precision (XP) rigid docking protocol was used and ten thousand poses were kept in the initial phase of the docking. A maximum of 100 conformations with the best binding energies was retained for the final analysis. The best resulting model was selected based on the predicted free binding energy and the conservation of key interactions that support the specificity of the enzyme. ${ }^{19}$

Next, the models for the wild type protein and selected mutants were solvated with a box of water of $80 \times 105 \times 85 \AA$ dimensions and the system's charge was neutralized using the VMD 1.9 software. ${ }^{24}$ The solvated systems were subjected to a 100 ps NVT molecular dynamics (MD) simulation with the MMCoA and protein atoms frozen. After that, a 100 ps NPT MD simulation was carried out with only the backbone atoms of the protein fixed. Finally, a 30 ns production MD simulation was performed. All MD simulations were done using the NAMD 2.7 program with the CHARMM 22 force field. ${ }^{25,26}$ The parameters for the MMCoA residue were generated using the Swissparam server ${ }^{27}$ and compared with the results obtained from the geometry optimization of MMCoA in gas phase (B3LYP/SVP level of theory). ${ }^{28,29}$ The bonds distances and angles obtained with both force field and quantum mechanical methods are in very good agreement and the distribution of the atomic charges shows similar trends in both cases. QM/MM optimizations of three randomly picked MD snapshots were performed with the program ChemShell v3.2 ${ }^{30,31}$ which is a modular package that allows the combination of several QM and MM codes. We used Turbomole $5.10^{32}$ for the QM calculations and DL_POLY ${ }^{33}$ as driver of the CHARMM22 force field. The QM part which includes all atoms of MM-CoA was described using the BP86 density functional with empirical dispersive energy correction (BP86D2 ${ }^{34,35}$ and the SVP basis set from the Turbomole basis set library. Open valencies at the QM/MM border were saturated using hydrogen link atoms. To avoid overpolarization of the QM region at the boundary, a charge shift scheme was applied. ${ }^{36,37}$ An electrostatic embedding scheme as implemented in Chemshell 3.2 was used. ${ }^{38}$ The optimization was performed with the HDLC optimizer. ${ }^{39}$ The active region was formed by the amino acids forming the binding pocket
(Pro111, Gly112, Gln113, Gln169, Ser197, Arg222, Leu226, Met235, Ser237, Asn262, Lys291, Val295, His377, Pro378, Val379, Leu380, Ala383, His398 and Arg399) except the mutated residues 198, 297 and 299. All atoms within the active region were allowed to move in each optimization step. The optimization was finished when the maximum gradient component was below 0.00045 a.u.

## IV. Analysis of the wild type system

For a better understanding, we classify the regions within the MMCoA residue as following (see Supplementary Information Table 7):
-Thioester region: includes all atoms from the carboxyl group of MMCoA to its thioester group (discussed in the main text).
-Isopropyl region or middle region: from the aliphatic carbon atom bonded to the sulfur atom of the thioester group to the isopropyl group.
-Phosphate region: from the phosphate groups of MMCoA to the adenine group.

## Isopropyl and phosphate regions

Few interactions are conserved between the isopropyl region of MMCoA and the AT6 binding pocket (Supplementary Information, Table S8). This can be related to the fact that coenzyme-A must leave the cavity of AT6 once the nucleophilic attack by Ser197 has taken place, to allow the proper transfer of the methylmalonyl residue to the ACT domain. Asn262, Gln112, His196 and Ser237 create a polar environment around the amide groups of the isopropyl region while the non-polar isopropyl moiety of MMCoA is located in a non-polar region formed by Pro111, Val379, Leu380 and Ala383 (Figure 3c, main text). Although the phosphate region of MMCoA is exposed to the solvent, its conformational flexibility is restricted by the interactions of Lys291 and $\operatorname{Arg} 399$ with the phosphate groups.

Supplementary Table 7: Relevant distances ( $\AA$ ) between MMCoA and the amino acids from the binding pocket (QM/MM optimizations and MD simulations). The general agreement between the QM/MM and MD distances corroborates the validity of the results from the MD simulations.


Isopropyl Region



| Wild type |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance ( A ) | MD Values | Snapshot 1 | Snapshot 2 | Snapshot 3 |
| Thioester Region (Carboxylate Group) |  |  |  |  |
| S299(HG)-O17 | $1.76 \pm 0.14$ | 1.63 | 1.73 | 1.61 |
| R222(HH11)-O17 | $1.87 \pm 0.21$ | 2.05 | 1.69 | 2.56 |
| R222(HH21)-O18 | $2.50 \pm 0.31$ | 2.53 | 2.16 | 2.77 |
| Q198(HE1)-O17 | $3.68 \pm 0.34$ | 3.49 | 3.46 | 4.01 |
| Q198(HE1)-O18 | $3.36 \pm 0.34$ | 3.65 | 2.39 | 3.33 |
| Q169(NE)-O18 | $3.37 \pm 0.52$ | 3.44 | 4.22 | 2.77 |
| Q113(NE)-O18 | $4.36 \pm 0.89$ | 5.47 | 5.11 | 3.2 |
| Y297(HH)-O18 | $1.72 \pm 0.14$ | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.85 |
| S197(HG)-O17 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Thioester Region (Methyl Group) |  |  |  |  |
| M235(CE)-C23 | $4.57 \pm 0.54$ | 5.51 | 4.27 | 4.25 |
| V295(CB)-C23 | $4.89 \pm 0.44$ | 4.87 | 4.83 | 5.28 |
| Thioester Region (Thioester Group) |  |  |  |  |
| Q198(HE2)-O16 | $1.98 \pm 0.23$ | 1.81 | 1.86 | 1.79 |
| S197(HG)-O16 | $3.53 \pm 0.57$ | 3.76 | 3.35 | 3.26 |
| S197(HG)-H300(NE2) | $2.13 \pm 0.36$ | 1.92 | 2.00 | 1.93 |
| S197(OG)-C21 | $3.69 \pm 0.34$ | 3.66 | 3.28 | 3.61 |
| S197(CA)-C21 | $5.86 \pm 0.32$ | 5.8 | 5.42 | 5.79 |
| Isopropyl Region |  |  |  |  |
| A383(CB)-C14 | $5.84 \pm 1.16$ | 5.86 | 6.08 | 8.65 |
| L380(CG)-C14 | $5.06 \pm 0.41$ | 5.15 | 4.86 | 5.93 |


| V379(CB)-C14 | $4.98 \pm 0.39$ | 5.16 | 5.19 | 6.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phosphate Region |  |  |  |  |
| K291(NZ)-P | $4.47 \pm 1.01$ | 4.06 | 3.74 | 3.91 |
| K291(NZ)-P1 | $3.96 \pm 0.85$ | 3.85 | 3.64 | 3.64 |
| R399(NH2)-P2 | $3.66 \pm 0.13$ | 3.70 | 3.67 | 3.65 |


| Q198R |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance ( $\AA$ ) | MD Values | Snapshot 1 | Snapshot 2 | Snapshot 3 |
| Thioester Region (Carboxylate Group) |  |  |  |  |
| S299(HG)-O17 | $1.79 \pm 0.15$ | 1.82 | 1.62 | 1.86 |
| R222(HH11)-O17 | $1.95 \pm 0.26$ | 2.05 | 2.04 | 2.00 |
| R222(HH21)-O18 | $2.49 \pm 0.27$ | 2.60 | 2.50 | 2.61 |
| Q198(HE1)-O17 | $\begin{gathered} 3.87 \pm 0.14 \\ [\mathrm{R} 198(\mathrm{HH} 12)-\mathrm{O} 17)] \end{gathered}$ | 3.83 | 3.79 | 3.79 |
| Q198(HE1)-O18 | $\begin{gathered} 1.76 \pm 0.12 \\ [\mathrm{R} 198(\mathrm{HH} 12)-\mathrm{O} 18)] \end{gathered}$ | 1.61 | 1.74 | 1.69 |
| Q169(NE)-O18 | $3.63 \pm 0.42$ | 3.68 | 3.95 | 3.94 |
| Q113(NE)-O18 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Y297(HH)-O18 | $1.78 \pm 0.24$ | 1.70 | 1.71 | 1.62 |
| S197(HG)-O17 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Thioester Region (Methyl Group) |  |  |  |  |
| M235(CE)-C23 | $4.94 \pm 0.57$ | 5.19 | 4.96 | 5.11 |
| V295(CB)-C23 | $4.87 \pm 0.34$ | 4.36 | 5.41 | 4.31 |
| Thioester Region (Thioester Group) |  |  |  |  |
| Q198(HE2)-O16 | $\begin{gathered} 3.86 \pm 0.30 \\ [\mathrm{R} 198(\mathrm{HH} 22)-\mathrm{O} 16)] \end{gathered}$ | 3.46 | 3.55 | 3.33 |
| S197(HG)-O16 | $3.70 \pm 0.43$ | 3.86 | 3.56 | 3.85 |
| S197(HG)-H300(NE2) | $1.98 \pm 0.19$ | 1.88 | 1.88 | 1.86 |
| S197(OG)-C21 | $3.89 \pm 0.30$ | 3.8 | 3.36 | 3.43 |
| S197(CA)-C21 | $5.90 \pm 0.30$ | 6.20 | 5.75 | 5.78 |
| Isopropyl Region |  |  |  |  |
| A383(CB)-C14 | $7.55 \pm 1.04$ | 8.61 | 8.56 | 8.02 |
| L380(CG)-C14 | $5.13 \pm 0.87$ | 4.70 | 4.75 | 5.11 |
| V379(CB)-C14 | $4.89 \pm 0.57$ | 4.96 | 5.14 | 5.36 |
| Phosphate Region |  |  |  |  |
| K291(NZ)-P | $4.00 \pm 0.67$ | 3.94 | 4.00 | 3.62 |
| K291(NZ)-P1 | $4.28 \pm 1.01$ | 3.63 | 3.92 | 3.57 |
| R399(NH2)-P2 | $3.72 \pm 0.27$ | 3.70 | 3.72 | 3.80 |


| Q198K |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance (A) | MD Values |  | Snapshot 1 | Snapshot 2 | Snapshot 3


| S197(HG)-O17 | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thioester Region (Methyl Group) |  |  |  |  |
| M235(CE)-C23 | $5.11 \pm 0.56$ | 4.50 | 4.67 | 5.10 |
| V295(CB)-C23 | $5.18 \pm 0.47$ | 6.99 | 4.66 | 4.84 |
|  | Thioester Region (Thioester Group) |  |  |  |
| $2.11 \pm 0.31$ |  | 4.62 | 3.00 | 2.87 |
| Q198(HE2)-O16 | [K198(HZ1)-O16] |  |  |  |
| S197(HG)-O16 | $3.77 \pm 0.63$ | 2.80 | 4.22 | 5.09 |
| S197(HG)-H300(NE2) | $2.17 \pm 0.57$ | 2.18 | 1.88 | 1.88 |
| S197(OG)-C21 | $3.87 \pm 0.38$ | 4.05 | 3.89 | 4.55 |
| S197(CA)-C21 | $6.12 \pm 0.36$ | 5.93 | 6.30 | 6.89 |
| Isopropyl Region |  |  |  |  |
| A383(CB)-C14 | $6.59 \pm 0.67$ | 6.28 |  |  |
| L380(CG)-C14 | $5.59 \pm 0.49$ | 6.05 | 6.85 | 6.17 |
| V379(CB)-C14 | $4.52 \pm 0.40$ | 4.45 | 4.55 | 5.52 |
| Phosphate Region |  |  |  |  |
| K291(NZ)-P | $3.86 \pm 0.18$ | 3.98 |  |  |
| K291(NZ)-P1 | $3.79 \pm 0.17$ | 3.93 | 3.57 | 3.71 |
| R399(NH2)-P2 | $3.62 \pm 0.12$ | 3.80 | 3.61 | 3.66 |


| Y297H |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance (A) |  |  |  |  |
|  | MD Values |  | Snapshot 1 | Snapshot 2 | Snapshot 3


| S299V |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance ( $\AA$ ) | MD Values | Snapshot 1 | Snapshot 2 | Snapshot 3 |
| Thioester Region (Carboxylate Group) |  |  |  |  |
| S299(HG)-O17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { N/A } \\ {[\mathrm{V} 299-\mathrm{O} 17]} \end{gathered}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| R222(HH11)-O17 | $1.72 \pm 0.11$ | 1.63 | 1.64 | 1.75 |
| R222(HH21)-O18 | $1.71 \pm 0.10$ | 1.63 | 1.72 | 1.68 |
| Q198(HE1)-O17 | $3.45 \pm 0.25$ | 3.28 | 3.33 | 3.36 |
| Q198(HE1)-O18 | $2.02 \pm 0.23$ | 1.76 | 1.98 | 1.65 |
| Q169(NE)-O18 | $4.44 \pm 0.45$ | 4.31 | 4.22 | 4.28 |
| Q113(NE)-O18 | N/A | 5.45 | N/A | N/A |
| Y297(HH)-O18 | $4.70 \pm 0.62$ | 4.19 | 4.27 | 4.28 |
| S197(HG)-O17 | $1.81 \pm 0.36$ | 1.73 | 1.86 | 1.70 |
| Thioester Region (Methyl Group) |  |  |  |  |
| M235(CE)-C23 | $4.84 \pm 0.71$ | 4.25 | 4.26 | 4.32 |
| V295(CB)-C23 | $6.31 \pm 0.65$ | 6.01 | 6.31 | 5.90 |
| Thioester Region (Thioester Group) |  |  |  |  |
| Q198(HE2)-O16 | $2.98 \pm 0.34$ | 2.92 | 2.75 | 2.75 |
| S197(HG)-O16 | $3.44 \pm 0.32$ | 3.58 | 2.84 | 3.42 |
| S197(HG)-H300(NE2) | $4.00 \pm 0.34$ | 4.14 | 3.94 | 3.88 |
| S197(OG)-C21 | $3.64 \pm 0.25$ | 3.62 | 3.56 | 3.67 |
| S197(CA)-C21 | $6.00 \pm 0.28$ | 6.11 | 6.05 | 6.12 |
| Isopropyl Region |  |  |  |  |
| A383(CB)-C14 | $7.98 \pm 1.33$ | 7.54 | 10.60 | 10.42 |
| L380(CG)-C14 | $6.49 \pm 1.25$ | 7.17 | 8.50 | 8.97 |
| V379(CB)-C14 | $4.67 \pm 0.60$ | 4.63 | 4.95 | 5.12 |
| Phosphate Region |  |  |  |  |
| K291(NZ)-P | $3.85 \pm 0.24$ | 3.84 | 3.67 | 3.83 |
| K291(NZ)-P1 | $3.80 \pm 0.27$ | 3.51 | 3.78 | 3.86 |
| R399(NH2)-P2 | $3.69 \pm 0.14$ | 3.66 | 3.60 | 3.61 |


| S299F |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance (A) | MD Values | Snapshot 1 | Snapshot 2 | Snapshot 3 | MD Values <br> (MCoA) |
| Thioester Region (Carboxylate Group) |  |  |  |  |  |
| S299(HG)-O17 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| R222(HH11)-O17 | $1.69 \pm 0.13$ | 1.60 | 1.69 | 1.67 | $1.99 \pm 0.64$ |
| R222(HH21)-O18 | $1.76 \pm 0.18$ | 1.71 | 1.62 | 1.90 | $1.87 \pm 0.25$ |
| Q198(HE1)-O17 | N/A | 3.25 | 3.13 | N/A | N/A |
| Q198(HE1)-O18 | N/A | 2.30 | 1.90 | N/A | N/A |
| Q169(NE)-O18 | $4.77 \pm 0.86$ | 3.76 | 5.70 | 5.50 | $3.52 \pm 0.54$ |
| Q113(NE)-O18 | $5.70 \pm 0.92$ | 6.12 | 6.08 | 5.65 | N/A |
| Y297(HH)-O18 | $1.76 \pm 0.14$ | 3.71 | 3.78 | 1.76 | $1.80 \pm 0.51$ |
| S197(HG)-O17 | $1.69 \pm 0.14$ | 1.56 | 1.60 | 1.64 | $1.70 \pm 0.20$ |
| Thioester Region (Methyl Group) |  |  |  |  |  |
| M235(CE)-C23 | $4.15 \pm 0.48$ | 4.21 | 4.13 | 3.70 | N/A |
| V295(CB)-C23 | $6.12 \pm 0.94$ | 7.74 | 7.07 | 5.54 | N/A |
| Thioester Region (Thioester Group) |  |  |  |  |  |
| N/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q198(HE2)-O16 | 2.52 | 2.68 | N/A | N/A |  |
| S197(HG)-O16 | $3.36 \pm 0.39$ | 3.82 | 3.51 | 2.67 | $3.28 \pm 0.50$ |
| S197(HG)-H300(NE2) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| S197(OG)-C21 | $3.76 \pm 0.27$ | 4.14 | 3.74 | 3.32 | $3.68 \pm 0.32$ |


| S197(CA)-C21 | $6.08 \pm 0.31$ | 6.49 | 5.87 | 5.81 | $6.07 \pm 0.29$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isopropyl Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| A383(CB)-C14 | $7.81 \pm 2.08$ | 8.01 | 8.05 | 7.71 | $7.48 \pm 0.59$ |
| L380(CG)-C14 | $6.60 \pm 1.76$ | 4.72 | 4.82 | 10.07 | $7.06 \pm 0.47$ |
| V379(CB)-C14 | $4.32 \pm 0.58$ | 3.91 | 4.31 | 6.06 | $4.12 \pm 0.28$ |
| Phosphate Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| K291(NZ)-P | $3.80 \pm 0.22$ | 3.71 | 3.70 | 4.05 | $3.85 \pm 0.19$ |
| K291(NZ)-P1 | $4.95 \pm 0.63$ | 5.07 | 5.45 | 5.88 | $3.79 \pm 0.20$ |
| R399(NH2)-P2 | $3.69 \pm 0.12$ | 3.74 | 3.73 | 3.72 | $3.74 \pm 0.38$ |


| S299Y |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance ( A ) | MD Values | Snapshot 1 | Snapshot 2 | Snapshot 3 |
| Thioester Region (Carboxylate Group) |  |  |  |  |
| S299(HG)-O17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { N/A } \\ {[\mathrm{Y} 299-\mathrm{O} 17]} \end{gathered}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| R222(HH11)-O17 | $2.14 \pm 0.50$ | 2.59 | 1.63 | 2.44 |
| R222(HH21)-O18 | $3.76 \pm 0.40$ | 3.92 | 4.45 | 3.76 |
| Q198(HE1)-O17 | $2.99 \pm 0.30$ | 2.80 | 2.93 | 2.55 |
| Q198(HE1)-O18 | $3.87 \pm 0.32$ | 4.16 | 4.46 | 4.12 |
| Q169(NE)-O18 | $5.95 \pm 1.07$ | 7.17 | 7.1 | 8.09 |
| Q113(NE)-O18 | $5.14 \pm 1.31$ | 5.39 | 4.78 | 5.20 |
| Y297(HH)-O18 | $2.55 \pm 1.30$ | N/A | 3.17 | 4.1 |
| S197(HG)-O17 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Thioester Region (Methyl Group) |  |  |  |  |
| M235(CE)-C23 | $3.91 \pm 0.27$ | 3.84 | 4.22 | 3.67 |
| V295(CB)-C23 | $7.79 \pm 0.72$ | 6.48 | 9.16 | 7.28 |
| Thioester Region (Thioester Group) |  |  |  |  |
| Q198(HE2)-O16 | $2.02 \pm 0.30$ | 1.88 | 1.90 | 1.74 |
| S197(HG)-O16 | $4.16 \pm 0.69$ | 4.56 | 5.23 | 4.42 |
| S197(HG)-H300(NE2) | $2.26 \pm 0.52$ | 2.22 | 1.86 | 2.36 |
| S197(OG)-C21 | $4.05 \pm 0.44$ | 4.29 | 4.77 | 4.38 |
| S197(CA)-C21 | $5.86 \pm 0.39$ | 5.98 | 5.75 | 5.95 |
| Isopropyl Region |  |  |  |  |
| A383(CB)-C14 | $7.78 \pm 0.83$ | 7.43 | 7.03 | 8.18 |
| L380(CG)-C14 | $6.87 \pm 0.61$ | 7.26 | 7.35 | 7.34 |
| V379(CB)-C14 | $4.05 \pm 0.30$ | 4.25 | 5.47 | 3.79 |
| Phosphate Region |  |  |  |  |
| K291(NZ)-P | $4.17 \pm 0.97$ | 3.87 | 4.99 | 4.86 |
| K291(NZ)-P1 | $4.05 \pm 0.88$ | 3.82 | 4.06 | 3.95 |
| R399(NH2)-P2 | $3.68 \pm 0.16$ | 3.70 | 3.64 | 3.76 |

## V. Analysis of AT6-variants

## 1. Glutamine 198

Mutation of Gln 198 for arginine leads to a mutant (Q198R) that retains biological activity. Mutation of the same residue for lysine results in a mutant (Q198K) with no biological activity. Our MD simulations show that in both cases the interaction patterns between the binding pocket and MMCoA are similar to the wild type, except for three interactions involving the thioester region of MMCoA ( Supplementary Information Table 7). We discussed previously that Gln 198 is placed below the plane defined by the carboxylate group of MMCoA. In the case of the substitution by arginine the large rigid lateral chain of this amino acid makes this arrangement impossible and a side by side interaction is preferred (Supplementary Information Figure 7). The more flexible lateral chain of lysine allows this residue to be accommodated below the plane defined by the carboxylate group of MMCoA. This behavior is reflected by the $\mathrm{HE} 2_{198} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{O} 16$ distance $(1.98 \pm 0.23 \AA$ for Gln, $3.86 \pm 0.30 \AA$ for Arg and $2.11 \pm 0.31 \AA$ for Lys) that takes similar values in the wild type and in Q198K but it is larger for Q198R. Also the interactions with the carboxylate group of MM-CoA are affected: for the wild type the distance $\mathrm{HE} 2_{198} \bullet \cdots \mathrm{O} 18$ is $3.36 \pm 0.34 \AA$ but for the mutants the values are $1.76 \pm 0.12 \AA$ for Q 198 R and $1.75 \pm 0.12 \AA$ for Q 198 K . Moreover, the $\mathrm{CA}_{197} \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{C} 21_{\mathrm{MM}-\mathrm{CoA}}$ distance (Figure 3B) seems to be sensitive to the mutation of Gln 198 by lysine which can be related to the loss of biological activity in Q198K ( $\mathrm{CA}_{197} \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{C} 21_{\mathrm{MM}-\mathrm{CoA}}$ distances for the wild type, Q198R and Q198K: $5.86 \pm$ $0.32,5.90 \pm 0.30$ and $6.12 \pm 0.36 \AA$ respectively).

Supplementary Figure 7: Comparison of mutations at position 198. Relative positions of S197 and R222 with respect to Q198 (WT): the mutated systems R198 and K198 are shown in blue and red, respectively


## 2. Tyrosine 297

The effect of the loss of the hydroxyl group of Tyr and the reduction of the size of the lateral chain in the Y297H mutation (Supplementary Information Figure 8) is discussed in the main text. The remaining interaction pattern in the binding pocket of Y297H is basically the same than in the wild type model. For example, the $\mathrm{HE} 2_{198} \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{O} 16_{\mathrm{MMCoA}}$ distance is $2.01 \pm 0.22 \AA$ and the $\mathrm{HG}_{197} \bullet \bullet \mathrm{NE} 2_{300}$ distance is $2.06 \pm 0.24 \AA$ which allow a proper activation of Ser 197 and MMCoA. The methyl group of MMCoA is surrounded by Met235 and Val295 while the isopropyl group is accommodated in the cavity formed by Val379, Leu380 and Ala383. In the phosphate region of MMCoA the Lys291 and Arg399 residues interact with the phosphates groups of MMCoA.

Supplementary Figure 8: Effect of the mutagenesis Y297H. H297 is placed between Val295 and Leu226.


## 3. Serine 299

As mentioned before, Ser299 was mutated for the Val, Phe and Tyr amino acids. Mutants containing Val and Phe keep some degree of biological activity. In the case of the S299F mutation the substrate specificity is diminished and the protein is able to accept MMCoA and malonyl-coenzyme A (MCoA). The mutation S299Y inactivates the enzyme. The correlation between loss of activity and the size of the amino acid size is discussed in the main text. We found that the relative position of Gln 198 with respect to MMCoA was also affected. For the mutant S299V the $\mathrm{HE} 2_{198} \bullet \bullet \cdot \mathrm{O} 16_{\mathrm{MMCoA}}$ distance is $2.98 \pm 0.34 \AA$, in the wild type the value is $1.98 \pm 0.23 \AA$. The MD simulations of mutation S299F which lowers the specificity of the protein have shown a reduction of the space available to accommodate the MMCoA inside the binding pocket. For instance, the distance between the methyl group of MMCoA and Met235 is reduced from $4.57 \pm 0.54 \AA$ in the wild type to $4.15 \pm 0.48 \AA$ in S299F. Also the orientation of the carboxylate group of MMCoA is quite altered in variant S299Y. For variants S299V and S299F values for distances $\mathrm{HH} 11_{222} \cdots \cdots \mathrm{O} 17$ and $\mathrm{HH} 21_{222} \cdots \cdot \mathrm{O} 18$ are similar to the wild type but for S 299 Y values are larger ( $2.14 \pm 0.50 \AA$ and $3.76 \pm 0.40 \AA$ ).

Supplementary Figure 9: Mutation S299Y. a) The binding pocket becomes wide and unstructured, b) and c) overlays between the wild type (blue) and S299Y.


## VI. Synthesis of Malonic acid derivatives for feeding studies

## 1. General methods

Unless otherwise noted, materials for chemical synthesis were obtained from commercial suppliers (Sigma-Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, Fluka, Acros) in the highest purity available and used without further purification. Dry solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, stored over molecular sieves and used as supplied. Solvents used for extraction and chromatography were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Flash chromatography was carried out using Acros silica gel 60 (35-70 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ mesh). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on aluminiumbacked, precoated silica gel ( $60 \mathrm{~F}-245$ ) from Merck with Cyclohexane/EtOAc or $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ mixtures as mobile phases. Spots were detected by staining with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ staining solution ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KMnO}_{4}, 33 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{5} \mathrm{\%}$ aqueous NaOH in $500 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) and subsequent heat treatment.
NMR spectra were recorded using a Varian Mercury $400\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} ; 100 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) spectrometer and calibrated using residual undeuterated solvent as an internal reference. Highresolution mass spectra were recorded at LTQ Orbtitrap with Accela HPLC-System (column Hypersil Gold, length 50 mm , inside diameter 1 mm , particle size $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ionization method: Electrospray Ionization). Products were characterized by NMR $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and HRMS.

## 2. Synthetic procedures



Supplementary Scheme 1: Synthesis of 2-Propargylmalonic acid-SNAC ester 3. Reagents and conditions: a) LDA, Propargylbromide, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 43 \%$; b) SNAC, CDI, THF, RT, $82 \%$; c) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$, DCM, qant.; LDA= Lithium diisopropylamide; THF= Tetrahydrofurane; SNAC= $N$-Acetylcysteamine; CDI= Carbonyldiimidazole; RT= room temperature; DCM= Dichloromethane

## Synthesis of Lithiumdiisopropylamine (LDA)

2 ml ( 14.23 mmol ) diisopropylamine (freshly distilled over NaH ) were mixed with 5 ml abs. THF under argon protection. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then $9.78 \mathrm{ml}(15.65 \mathrm{mmol}) n-$ butyllithium ( 1.5 M solution in hexane) were added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred for 40 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 40 min the white suspension was allowed to reach room temperature before immediately using the resulting clear solution.

## Synthesis of $\boldsymbol{N}$-acetylcysteamin (SNAC)

$11.4 \mathrm{~g}(100 \mathrm{mmol})$ cysteamine hydrochloride, $25.2 \mathrm{~g}(300 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and $5.6 \mathrm{~g}(100 \mathrm{mmol})$ KOH were added to 500 ml of bidest. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After everything was dissolved $9.5 \mathrm{ml}(100 \mathrm{mmol})$ acetic anhydride were added dropwise. After stirring at room temperature for 2 h , the light rose solution was quenched to $\mathrm{pH}=4$ with conc. HCl and extracted three times with 100 ml EtOAc . The combined organic layers were washed with $100 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{sat} . \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-solution and 100 ml sat. NaCl -solution and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to obtain $7.56 \mathrm{~g}(61 \%)$ of the desired product as clear oil. ${ }^{40}$
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}: 0.42$ (DCM/MeOH 9:1); HRMS: calculated for: $120.04776\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ONS}$; found: $120.04730\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ONS} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}:\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{d}_{1}\right) \delta=1.34-1.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $)$, $1.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.60-2.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.36-3.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.33(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{d}_{1}\right) \delta=23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 170.4(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{AcNHR})$

## Synthesis of 2-(butoxycarbonyl)pent-4-ynoic acid (5)

3 g ( 18.73 mmol ) 3-(t'Butoxy)-3-oxopropanoic acid (freshly purified by column chromatography before use) was dissolved in 20 ml abs. THF under argon. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Slowly, 39.33 mmol of freshly prepared LDA were added and stirred for 15 min and 2.813 g $(2.099 \mathrm{ml}, 18.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ Propargylbromide were added dropwise. After the addition of propargylbromide in THF, the slightly yellow reaction mixture was allowed to reach RT over 18 h . The brown reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with 5 ml saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution before the evaporation of the organic solvent. The resulting slurry was diluted with 50 ml saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-solution and washed with 50 ml of EtOAc. The organic layer was extracted twice with 50 ml of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-solution. The combined water phase was acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=1$ with conc. HCl and extracted three times with 50 ml EtOAc, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (Cyclohexane/EtOAc $95: 5)$ to obtain $1.605 \mathrm{~g}(43 \%)$ of the desired product as slightly yellow oil.
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}: 0.25$ (EtOAc/Cyclohexane 8:2, two times development); HRMS: calculated for: 221.07843 $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$; found: $221.07845 \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{4},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ : ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{d}_{1}$ ) $\delta=1.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 2.04(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.17-2.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {Propargyl }}\right), 2.94-2.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ Propargyl $) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}:\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{d}_{1}\right) \delta=23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ Propargyl$), 28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 57.2(\mathrm{CH}), 72.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Propargyl }}\right), 78.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} \text { Propargyl }}\right), 83.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 167.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{COO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}, 174.4\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{COOH}\right)$

## Synthesis of tbutyl 2-(((2-acetamidoethyl)thio)carbonyl)pent-4-ynoate (6)

3.368 g ( 17.00 mmol ) 5 was dissolved in 30 ml abs. THF under argon. Subsequently, 3.031 g $(18.7 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{CDI}$ and $622 \mathrm{mg}(5.10 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{DMAP}$ were added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture stirred for 60 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before $2.633 \mathrm{~g}(22.09 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{SNAC}$ were added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then for 18 h at RT. The solvent was removed in vacuo
and the residue was suspended in 50 ml 1 M HCl . The suspension was extracted three times with 50 ml of EtOAc. The combined organic layers were collected, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1$ ) to obtain 4.163 g ( $82 \%$ ) of the desired product as slightly yellow oil.
$\boldsymbol{R}_{f}: 0.69$ (DCM/MeOH 9:1); HRMS: calculated for: $300.12641 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NS}$, $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$, $322.10835 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NSNa},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right], 317.15295 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$; found: $300.12664 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NS}$, $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right], 322.10861 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NSNa},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right], 317.15325 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}:$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{d}_{1}$ ) $\delta=1.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 1.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.01-3.03(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ Propargyl), 2.74-2.76 (ddd, J=7.6, 2.7, $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { Propargyl }}$ ), 3.09-3.12 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ SNAC), 3.43$3.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{sNAC}\right), 3.69-3.73(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.87(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ : (101MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{d}_{1}\right) \delta=18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ Propargyl $), 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 29.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SNAC}\right), 39.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { SNAC }}\right)$, $59.6(\mathrm{CH}), 70.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\text {Propargyl }}\right)$, $79.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} \text { Propargyl }}\right)$, $83.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 166.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right), 170.5$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{CONHR}\right), 194.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{COS}_{\mathrm{NAC}}\right)$

Synthesis of 2-(((2-acetamidoethyl)thio)carbonyl)pent-4-ynoic acid (3)
$349 \mathrm{mg}(1.17 \mathrm{mmol}) 6$ was dissolved in 30 ml abs. DCM under argon. At $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 442 \mathrm{mg}(255 \mu \mathrm{l}$, $2.34 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ was added dropwise. The dark brown reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then for another 6 h at room temperature. After 6 h (DC-control) the reaction mixture was quenched with 12 ml of the sodium carbonate-based feeding buffer at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to reach a calculated final concentration of 0.1 M of product. DCM was evaporated at RT and the remaining white slurry was transferred to 50 ml polypropylene tubes. After centrifugation for 4 min to remove $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ the resulting clear solution was sterile filtered and used directly for feeding experiments.
$\boldsymbol{R}_{f}: 0.18(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM} 1: 9)$; HRMS: calculated for: $244.06381 \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NS},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$; found: $244.06402 \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NS},\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}:\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{d}_{2}\right) \delta=1.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ; 2.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2 \text { Propargyl }}$ ); 2.75-2.76 (m 1H, CH); 3.02-3.06 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SNAC}$ ), 3.29-3.35 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ SNAC), 3.71-3.75 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 1H, CH Propargyl)

## Preparation of the feeding buffer

5 g Glucose, 50 g Glucidex IT29 (Roquette), $83.83 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 186 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ were dissolved in 11 of Millipore water to obtain 1 M carbonate feeding buffer at $\mathrm{pH}=8$.
3. NMR-Spectra of the compounds



${ }^{1}$ HNMR- and 13C-NMR spectra of SNAC in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ - $\mathrm{d}_{1}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of $\mathbf{5}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{d}_{1}$

$\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{d}_{1}$




| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 230 | 220 | 210 | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{d}_{2}$
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