## **Supplementary Information**

## SI 1. Nitrogen adsorption measurements



Table 6: BET isotherms of mesoporous carbon samples investigated by XPS

## SI 2. Cl impurities and their evolution during heat and vapor treatment

Experiment		Drocass stan	Total Cl
Experiment		Tibless step	content [%]
	MC 1	RT	0.4
		80°C	0.2
Heating in years and	MC_1	130°C	0.2
subsequent addition of		130°C <sub>vapor</sub>	0.1
0.1 mbar vapor	MC_2	RT	0.2
		80°C	0.1
		130°C	0.1
		130°C <sub>vapor</sub>	0.1
		RT <sub>vapor</sub>	0.3
	MC_1	80°C <sub>vapor</sub>	0.3
Heating in 0.1 mbar		130°C <sub>vapor</sub>	0.2
vapor pressure		RT <sub>vapor</sub>	0.2
	MC_2	80°C <sub>vapor</sub>	0.2
		130°C <sub>vapor</sub>	0.3

Table 7: Quantification of Cl species of MC\_1 and MC\_2 during in-situ XPS

The acidic pH during the oxidation treatment in hydrogen peroxide is achieved by the addition of hydrochloric acid to the reaction mixture. As observed in the survey spectra, part of the hydrochloric acid reacted with the carbon material and formed chloro-functionalized surface species on the material. In order to give a complete comparison of the two samples MC\_1 and MC\_2 the Cl2p peaks have been examined in detail. The main feature of the Cl2p is located at ~200.4 eV. This can be related to chlorinated polymers like polyethylene or chlorinated benzene-like molecules [Moulder J, Stickle W, Sobol P. Handbook of X Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy; 1992]. Sample MC\_2 exhibits a smaller amount of Cl than sample MC\_1 at room temperature (Table 7) and only MC\_1 shows a decrease of the Cl signal with increasing T under vacuum conditions. This effect proceeds also under water exposure at 130°C, where the intensity of MC\_1 reaches values comparable to the average Cl signal of MC\_2. The addition of vapor during

the heat treatment seems to stabilize the Cl-functional groups in both samples. Minor differences were detected both for the different samples MC\_1 and MC\_2, as well as for the different temperature steps.

Although the total amount of chlorine functional groups is with < 0.5% small in comparison to 12% oxygen content, an effect on the catalytic performance of the two materials cannot be excluded.



Figure 9: Cl 2p spectra for MC\_1 and MC\_2 during heating in vacuum



Figure 10: Cl 2p spectra for MC\_1 and MC\_2 during heating in vapor

## SI 3. Additional information on evaluation of C1s and O1s signals

Sample	530.5 eV	531.2 eV	531.9 eV	532.7 eV	533.5 eV	534.2 eV	Total oxygen
							content
MC_0	0.3	0.5	0.8	1.6	1.9	0.2	5.3
MC_1	0.4	1.5	1.4	3.0	4.0	0.8	11.1
MC_2	0.4	1.8	1.6	3.4	4.4	0.5	12.1

Table 8: Quantification of oxygen species of MC\_0, MC\_1 and MC\_2 by ex-situ XPS [%]

Table 9: Quantification of carbon species in the C1s pectra of MC\_0, MC\_1 and MC\_2 obtained by ex-situ XPS [%]

Sample	284.4	284.7	285.2	285.9	286.6	287.9	288.5	289.1	Total carbon
	eV	content							
MC_0	40.0	27.7	4.7	2.4	2.9	1.8	5.9	1.2	94.8
MC_1	37.0	26.7	4.9	3.0	4.0	2.4	0.6	1.8	88.6
MC_2	43.0	15.7	5.1	2.9	3.4	2.3	0.5	1.1	87.7



Figure 11: Insitu XPS spectra of sample MC1 (left) and MC2 (right). The line in the figure corresponds to the main intense 533.4 eV peak. The arrow points to a new feature developing while water exposure.



Figure 12: Fits for O1s spectra of MC\_1 and MC\_2 during heating in vacuum



Figure 13: Fits for C1s spectra of MC\_1 and MC\_2 during heating in vacuum



Figure 14: Fits for O1s spectra of MC\_1 and MC\_2 during heating in 0.1 mbar vapor



Figure 15: Fits for C1s spectra of MC\_1 and MC\_2 during heating in 0.1 mbar vapor