

Comment on
"Carbon farming dry coastal areas"

M. Heimann

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Earth System Dynamics (ESD). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ESD if available.

Comment on "Carbon farming in hot, dry coastal areas: an option for climate change mitigation" by Becker et al. (2013)

M. Heimann

Max-Planck-Institute for Biogeochemistry, Hans-Knoell-Str. 10, 07745 Jena, Germany

Received: 17 August 2013 – Accepted: 20 August 2013 – Published: 21 August 2013

Correspondence to: M. Heimann (martin.heimann@bgc-jena.mpg.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

Becker et al. (2013) argue that an afforestation of $0.73 \cdot 10^9$ ha with *Jatropha curcas* plants would generate an additional terrestrial carbon sink of 4.3 PgC yr^{-1} , enough to stabilise the atmospheric mixing ratio of carbon dioxide (CO_2) at current levels. However, this is not consistent with the dynamics of the global carbon cycle. Using a well established global carbon cycle model, the effect of adding such a hypothetical sink leads to a reduction of atmospheric CO_2 levels in the year 2030 by 25 ppm compared to a reference scenario. However, the stabilisation of the atmospheric CO_2 concentration requires a much larger additional sink or corresponding reduction of anthropogenic emissions.

1 Introduction

In their paper entitled “Carbon farming in hot, dry coastal areas: an option for climate change mitigation” by Becker et al. (2013), the authors argue that a hypothetical afforestation of an area of $0.73 \cdot 10^9$ ha with *Jatropha curcas* plants would be enough to stabilise the atmospheric mixing ratio of carbon dioxide (CO_2) at current levels. Given the uptake rate of $2.16 \text{ kg CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ as estimated by Becker et al. (2013) from measurements, such an area would sequester 4.3 PgC yr^{-1} during the growing phase of the plants. This corresponds to 2 ppm yr^{-1} distributed over the entire atmosphere. While 2 ppm yr^{-1} correspond indeed to a typical growth rate of atmospheric CO_2 over the last decades, introducing such a sink, as explained below, would not stabilise the atmospheric CO_2 concentration.

2 Elementary carbon cycle dynamics

The current atmospheric carbon budget is not only controlled by anthropogenic sources, but also by substantial sinks in the ocean and in the land biosphere, which,

ESDD

4, 869–873, 2013

Comment on “Carbon farming dry coastal areas”

M. Heimann

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Comment on
"Carbon farming dry
coastal areas"**

M. Heimann

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



over the last decades, remove almost 50 % of the total anthropogenic emissions from fossil fuel burning, cement production and changes in land use (Ballantyne et al., 2012). Since these sinks depend on the growth rate of atmospheric CO₂, any reduction of the latter reduces also the strength of these sinks. Hence adding a sink of 4.3 PgC yr⁻¹ would reduce the atmospheric growth rate but would by no means stabilise atmospheric CO₂ levels. Indeed, stabilising atmospheric CO₂ levels requires a much larger additional sink or corresponding large emission reductions as already noted long time ago (e.g. Siegenthaler and Oeschger, 1978).

The effect is illustrated using a simple global carbon cycle model as used by the International Panel for Climate Change for the calculation of global warming potentials (Forster et al., 2007). The model is driven by the historical anthropogenic emissions reaching 9.3 PgC yr⁻¹ during the recent decade (Le Quéré et al., 2013). Figure 1 shows the effect of abruptly adding a sink of 4.3 PgC yr⁻¹ in the year 2010 (green line), while increasing the anthropogenic emissions according to a reference emission scenario (red line) (Riahi et al., 2007). The addition of the extra sink reduces the atmospheric growth rate temporarily, but does not lead to a stabilisation. By the year 2030 atmospheric CO₂ levels are only 25 ppm lower than in the reference case. The lower panel shows the corresponding emissions. For comparison, also the emissions are shown that are needed to stabilise the atmospheric CO₂ at the current level (390 ppm) (blue lines). Indeed, according to this model, a reduction of the total emissions until the year 2030 by 75 % with respect to 2010 is needed for stabilisation.

3 Conclusions

The simple dynamics of the global carbon cycle described here are robust and known since a long time (e.g. Watson et al., 1990). Clearly, a much larger carbon sequestration sink is needed to significantly impact the global growth of CO₂ than assumed in Becker et al. (2013).

References

- Ballantyne, A. P., Alden, C. B., Miller, J. B., Tans, P. P., and White, J. W. C.: Increase in observed net carbon dioxide uptake by land and oceans during the past 50 years, *Nature*, 488, 70–72, 2012. 871
- 5 Becker, K., Wulfmeyer, V., Berger, T., Gebel, J., and Münch, W.: Carbon farming in hot, dry coastal areas: an option for climate change mitigation, *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 4, 237–251, doi:10.5194/esd-4-237-2013, 2013. 870, 871
- Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and Van Dorland, R.:
10 Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing, in: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, D. W., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2007. 871
- 15 Le Quéré, C., Andres, R. J., Boden, T., Conway, T., Houghton, R. A., House, J. I., Marland, G., Peters, G. P., van der Werf, G. R., Ahlström, A., Andrew, R. M., Bopp, L., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Doney, S. C., Enright, C., Friedlingstein, P., Huntingford, C., Jain, A. K., Jourdain, C., Kato, E., Keeling, R. F., Klein Goldewijk, K., Levis, S., Levy, P., Lomas, M., Poulter, B., Raupach, M. R., Schwinger, J., Sitch, S., Stocker, B. D., Viovy, N., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.:
20 The global carbon budget 1959–2011, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 5, 165–185, doi:10.5194/essd-5-165-2013, 2013. 871
- Riahi, K., Grübler, A., and Nakicenovic, N.: Scenarios of long-term socio-economic and environmental development under climate stabilization, *Technol. Forecast. Social Change*, 74, 887–935, 2007. 871, 873
- 25 Siegenthaler, U. and Oeschger, H.: Predicting Future Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Levels, *Science*, 199, 388–395, 1978. 871
- Watson, R., Rodhe, H., Oeschger, H., and Siegenthaler, U.: Greenhouse gases and aerosols, in: *Climate change, The IPCC Scientific Assessment*, edited by: Houghton, J. T., Jenkins, G. J., and Ephraums, J. J., Cambridge University Press, 1–40, 1990. 871

**Comment on
"Carbon farming dry
coastal areas"**

M. Heimann

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Comment on
"Carbon farming dry
coastal areas"**M. Heimann


[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Fig. 1. Global carbon cycle model simulations with the BERN model. Upper panel: atmospheric mixing ratio, lower panel: corresponding total anthropogenic emissions. Red lines: RCP 8.5 reference scenario (Riahi et al., 2007); green lines: effect of adding an additional sink of 4.3 PgC yr^{-1} in the year 2010; blue lines: a scenario with an assumed stabilisation at an atmospheric CO_2 level of 390 ppm after the year 2010. The black dots show the atmospheric observations.