Grammar of Humburi Senni (Songhay of Hombori, Mali)

Jeffrey Heath University of Michigan

May 2014 version

Language Description Heritage Library

Max-Planck Insstitute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig

author's contact: schweinehaxen@hotmail.com

1	INTRO	DUCTION	1
	1.1 Son	nghay languages	1
	1.2 Ge	ography and Society	2
	1.2.1	Inventory of HS-speaking villages and towns	2
	1.2.2	Hombori (hùmbùrì)	8
	1.2.3	Other HS speaking villages around Mts. Hombori and Wari (Ouari)	9
	1.2.4	The Wami (Ouami) area	10
	1.2.5	North of Hombori	10
	1.2.6	South of the Hombori/Wari mountain complex	11
	1.2.7	HS-speaking outliers	11
	1.2.8	Other languages in the area	11
	1.3 For	mat of grammar	12
	1.4 Tra	nscriptional conventions	13
	1.5 Lite	erature review	16
	1.6 Ac	knowledgements	17
2	SKETO	CH OF GRAMMAR	18
_			
		sic Morphosyntactic Features	
	-	gmental phonology	
	2.3 To	nology	20
3	PHON	DLOGY	22
	3.1 Co	nsonants	22
	3.1.1	Velar $\{k \ g \ n\}$ versus palatal $\{c \ j \ n\}$ before front vowels	22
	3.1.2	Labial stop p	
	3.1.3	Nasalized semivowels w^n and y^n	23
	3.1.4	Glottal stop ?	25
	3.2 Ora	ıl vowels	25
	3.3 Dip	ohthongs	26
	3.3.1	Short-nucleus diphthongs.	26
	3.3.2	Long-nucleus diphthongs	27
	3.4 Na	salized vowels and word-final nasal consonants	
	3.4.1	Nasalized vowels	28
	3.4.2	Syllable-final nasal consonants	28
	3.5 Syl	labification	29
	3.5.1	General restrictions on particular consonants	29
	3.5.2	Syllabic shapes of pronouns and grammatical morphemes	30
	3.5.3	Syllabic shapes of monosyllabic stems	30
	3.5.4	Syllabic shapes of nonmonosyllabic stems and words	
	3.5.5	Final long vowels in nonmonosyllabic stems	32
	3.5.6	Long vowels in nonfinal syllables in stems	
	3.5.7	Allowed and disallowed medial consonant sequences	
	3.5.8	Stem-initial consonant clusters	33
	3.6 Co	nsonantal assimilations and deletions	34
	3.6.1	Nasal point-of-articulation assimilation	34
	3.6.2	Manner assimilation by liquids and nasals	34

3	.6.3	Semiv	owel assimilation	35
3	.6.4	Palata	lization before front vowels $(g \rightarrow j, k \rightarrow c, \eta \rightarrow p)$	35
3	.6.5		nesis	
3	.6.6	Syllab	le-final degemination	36
3.7	Voc	alic co	ntractions, deletions, and length modifications	36
3	.7.1	Contra	actions of vowels over word or morpheme boundaries	36
	3.7.	1.1 V	V-Fusion across word boundary	36
	3.7.	.2 Ti	reatments of VV sequences at stem-suffix boundaries	37
	3.7.	1.3 A	voidance of vowel sequences at stem-suffix boundary	38
	3.7.	1.4 L	exicalized prevocalic stem variants	38
	3.7.	.5 H	omorganic-Semivowel Insertion (Cuw-, Ciy-)	38
	3.7.	.6 V	V-Fusion within words	40
	3.7.	1.7 Po	ostvocalic V-Deletion	40
	3.7.	l.8 Pi	revocalic V-Deletion	41
	3.7.	l.9 In	tervocalic <i>y/w</i> -Insertion	42
3	.7.2	Contra	actions of vyv and vwv at suffix boundaries	44
	3.7.2	2.1 Pi	revocalic Diphthong-Deletion (ey and ow before suffix)	44
	3.7.2	2.2 C	ontractions involving nouns plus demonstrative $H + w\hat{o}$	46
3	.7.3		pe	
3	.7.4	Treatn	nent of stem-initial vowels	47
3	.7.5	V-Len	gthening and -Shortening processes	48
	3.7.	5.1 Pi	resuffixal Cv-Verb-Stem Lengthening	48
	3.7.	5.2 Id	liosyncratic Noun-Stem V-Lengthening	48
	3.7.	5.3 L	engthening of 3Sg à and 1Sg ì+H	48
	3.7.	5.4 N	o V-Shortening processes	50
3.8	Mir	or pho	nological alternations	50
3.9	Tor	ology .		51
3	.9.1	Lexica	al tones of syllables and stems	51
	3.9.	1.1 L	exical tones of unpossessed nouns	52
	3.9.	1.2 L	exical tones of adjectives	56
	3.9.	1.3 L	exical tones of numerals	57
	3.9.	1.4 L	exical tones of underived verb stems	58
	3.9.	l.5 Fl	loating H-tones	62
3	.9.2	Tone o	overwriting in derivational suffixation	69
3	.9.3	Presuf	fixal Cv: Tone-Raising (monosyllabic noun before suffix)	70
3	.9.4	Tonal	dissimilation processes	72
	3.9.4	1.1 To	onal Rhythm (possessed nouns, imperatives, VO verbs)	72
	3.9.4	1.2 A	djectival Tone-Raising	79
	3.9.4	1.3 Pi	ronominal-Clitic Upstep	80
	3.9.4		nticipatory HH-Dissimilation	
	3.9.4		hetorical versus phonological word-level pitch dropping	
3	.9.5		o-right spreading rules	
	3.9.		tonal Suffix Spreading	
	3.9.		ightward Floating-H Docking	
	3.9.	5.3 R	ightward H-Spreading (word or stem boundary)	89
	30	5.4 W	/ord-Internal <hi> → H+I</hi>	93

	3.9.5.5	Final <hl> Flattening (final/definite substantives)</hl>	94
	3.9.5.6	<hl> → H.L (final/definite forms of CvC nouns)</hl>	95
	3.9.5.7	Word-medial downstep	96
	3.9.5.8	Final-L Delinking	99
	3.9.5.9	Downstep at word boundary	101
	3.9.6 Rig	ht-to-left spreading processes	103
	3.9.6.1	Leftward Floating-H Docking (or Leftward Raising)	103
	3.9.6.2	$L.L. < HL > \rightarrow L.H.L$ (final/definite forms of trisyllabic nouns)	106
	3.9.6.3	<lh> → H Flattening</lh>	
		es in nominal compounds	
		cal phonological notes	
		ong vowel versus diphthong	
		flex η for * η ^w	
		eletion of intervocalic *g	
		flexes of *Cv:n verbs	
	3.10.5 Re	flexes of *rC clusters	112
4	NOUNS, PR	ONOUNS, AND NOMINAL DERIVATION	113
	4.1 Morphol	logy of unpossessed nouns	113
		ic morphological categories	
	4.1.1.1	Final/definite singular of nouns $(-o + H, -a: + H)$	
	4.1.1.2	Definite plural $(-ey + H)$ and indefinite plural $(-nog + H)$	
	4.1.1.3	Examples of the basic nominal categories.	
	4.1.2 Nor	nmonosyllabic noun stems not ending in a	
	4.1.2.1	Tones of nonfinal and final/definite forms of nonmonosyllabic nouns .	
	4.1.2.2	$\{HL\}/\{H\}$ noun stems and two $\{H\}/\{HL\}$ numerals ('1', '10')	
	4.1.2.3	$\{H\}/\{L\}$ and $\{HL\}/\{L\}$ noun stems	122
	4.1.2.4	Idiosyncratic vowel-lengthening before V-initial suffixes (hà:r-, fà:r-)	122
	4.1.2.5	Final short <i>u</i> deleted before final/definite suffixes	123
	4.1.2.6	Final short <i>i</i> deleted before final/definite suffixes	124
	4.1.2.7	Final short high vowel $\{i \ u\}$ and presuffixal $\{iy - uw -\}$	125
	4.1.2.8	Final long high vowel {i: u:} and presuffixal {iy- uw-}	126
	4.1.2.9	Final short {e o} deleted before final/definite suffixes	128
	4.1.2.10	Final diphthongs {ey ay ow} in nonmonosyllabic noun stems	129
	4.1.3 Nou	ın stems with final a	130
	4.1.3.1	Short final a deleted before final/definite suffixes	130
	4.1.3.2	Nouns with final/definite singular -a: +H	131
	4.1.3.3	Nonfinal and final/definite singular of diminutive -iya	135
	4.1.3.4	$k \grave{o} y r \grave{a} + H$, $c \grave{i} p p \grave{a} + H$, $c \acute{e} y d \acute{i} y \acute{a} + H$ and others with no $-\grave{a} : + H$ suffix	135
	4.1.3.5	Irregular nouns ? $iz\hat{e}$ and $k\hat{o} \sim k\hat{o}$ - $t\hat{i} \sim k\hat{o}$ - t - iy - a : $+ H$ 'child'	
		nosyllabic noun stems	
	4.1.4.1	CvC and Cv:C	
	4.1.4.2	Cv and Cv:	
	_	logy of possessed nouns	
		enable possession	
	4.2.1.1	Regular bisyllabic alienably possessed nouns (1Sg, 2Sg, and 3Sg)	142

4.2.	1.2 Trisyllabic alienably possessed nouns (1Sg, 2Sg, and 3Sg)	144
4.2.	1.3 a-final alienably possessed nouns (1Sg, 2Sg, and 3Sg)	145
4.2.	1.4 Monosyllabic alienably possessed nouns (1Sg, 2Sg, and 3Sg)	146
4.2.	1.5 Other third person alienable possessors (3Pl, 3FullSg, 3FullPl)	147
4.2.		
4.2.		
4.2.		
4.2.2		
4.2.	-	
4.2.	•	
4.2.		
4.2.		
4.2.		
4.2.		
4.2.		
4.2.3		
4.2.		
4.2.	, ,	
4.2.	•	
4.2.	1 /	
	sonal pronouns	
4.3.1	Person and number categories	
4.3.1	Plural pronominal categories	
4.3.3	Preference for plural over singular pronouns as possessors	
4.3.4	Independent and subject-object clitic pronominals	
4.3.	1	
4.3.	J J 1	
4.3.	J 1 1 E	
4.3.	v 1	
4.3.	3 1 3 3	
4.3.		
4.3.		
4.3.5	Pronouns as postpositional complements and as possessors	
4.3.6	Pronouns preceding or following $\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H$ 'with, and'	
4.3.	,	
4.3.		
	monstratives	
4.4.1	Demonstrative pronouns $(H + w\hat{o}, \text{ plural } H + w - \hat{e}y)$ and contracted $-\hat{o}$:	
4.4.2	Combinations of temporal noun plus demonstrative	
4.4.3	Spatial demonstrative adverbs	
4.4.4	Demonstrative expressions based on distal <i>yá:</i> 'there'	
4.4.5	Emphatic and approximative modifiers of demonstratives	
	minalizations and nominal inflection	
4.5.1	Verbal nouns in -poŋ+H	
4.5.2	Verbal nouns in $-r-o+H$ (nonfinal $-r-u \sim -r\hat{\imath}$)	
4.5.3	Deverbal nominals in -i and -ey	194

4.5.4	Zero-derived nominals and minor nominalizations (-réy, -ów, -mî)	196
4.5.5	Characteristic nominals (-kòynì, -kòm, kóy)	198
4.5.6	Participle $-\dot{a}nt-\dot{o}+H$ and ordinal $-\dot{a}nt-\dot{o}+H$	199
4.5.7	Agentive $-k-\hat{o}+H$ (nonfinal $-k\hat{o}w$)	201
4.6 Mor	phology of adjectives	202
4.6.1	Verbs of adjectival quality	202
4.6.2	Adjectives as noun modifiers	202
4.6.2	.1 {L}-toned with nonfinal $-\partial w$ (regular type)	202
4.6.2	.2 $\{L\}$ -toned with nonfinal $-\partial w$ (minor segmental irregularities)	204
4.6.2	.3 {L}-toned <i>bè:rì</i> 'big' and related forms	205
4.6.2	.4 {L}-toned quadrisyllabic iterations with final ey in the verb	205
4.6.2	.5 {HL}-toned reduplicated <i>Cý-Cŷ</i> adjectives (<i>kú-kû</i> , <i>bí-bî</i>)	205
4.6.2	.6 Unreduplicated {HL}-toned color adjectives (ká:rêy, círêy)	206
4.6.2	.7 Other color-related expressions	206
4.6.2	.8 Diminutive adjectives (<i>bór-yá</i> , <i>ká(t)-íyá</i> , etc.)	207
4.6.2	.9 'Empty'	207
4.6.2	.10 Adjectives or compound finals from action verbs	208
4.6.3	Absolute form of adjectives ($?i-+H$)	209
4.7 Nun	nerals and other quantifiers	210
4.7.1	Modifying and absolute forms of simple numerals	210
4.7.2	Decimal-digit composite numerals	212
4.7.3	Distributive iteration of numerals	212
4.7.4	Other quantificational modifiers	213
4.8 Non	ninal compounds	213
4.8.1	Tight and loose compounds	213
4.8.2	Agentive compounds	216
4.8.3	Bahuvrihi compounds	217
4.8.3	.1 Noun-numeral bahuvrihis	217
4.8.3	.2 Noun-adjective bahuvrihis	218
4.8.4	Tonal patterns in noun-noun tight compounds	219
4.8.5	'Mother' and 'child' as compound finals	220
4.8.6	'Male' and 'female' compounds	221
4.8.7	Nominals of essential nature (-tàrêy)	222
4.8.8	Compounds with -jèp-êy 'lack of'	222
4.8.9	Semi-segmentable and compound kin terms	223
4.8.10	Nominal compounds with verb stem as initial	223
4.8.11	Nominal compounds with verb stem as final	224
4.8.12	Reduced clauses or VPs functioning as compound nouns	224
4.9 Dim	inutives	224
4.9.1	{LHL}-toned with $-iy\dot{a} \sim -iy\dot{a} \sim -iy-\dot{a}$; nonfinal $-iy-\dot{o}w$)	224
4.9.2	{HL}- and {LHL}-toned diminutives after syncope of *-íyà	
4.9.3	{L}-toned diminutives with -iyà	227
4.9.4	Noun-adjective diminutive compounds containing a H-tone	227
4.9.5	Noun-adjective diminutive compounds with {L} tones	229
4.9.6	Compounds with possessor or PP plus diminutive noun	
4.9.7	Diminutive compounds ending in agentive nominal or verb	

	4.9.8	Intrinsically diminutive adjectives with -(i)y-ôw, -íyá ~ -ìyà	232
	4.9.9	Synchronically opaque diminutives	
	4.10 Ite	eration (full reduplication) of noun and adjective stems	234
5	NOMIN	NAL INFLECTION AND NP SYNTAX	235
	5.1 Ov	erview	235
		ssessives	
	5.2.1	Possession	
	5.2.		
	5.2.		
	5.2.2		
	5.3 Ad	jectives	238
	5.3.1	Syntax of simple adjectives	238
	5.3.2	Adjective plus numeral	239
	5.4 Nu	merals and other quantifiers	240
	5.4.1	Simple numeral phrases	240
	5.4.2	Existential quantification	240
	5.4.3	Universal quantification (kûl 'all, every, each')	240
	5.4.	3.1 Totalizing sense ('all')	241
	5.4.	3.2 Distributive sense ('each, every')	242
	5.4.4	Distributive iteration of numerals	243
	5.4.5	Complementary subsets	243
	5.4.6	Weak quantifiers ('many, much, few')	244
	5.4.7	Currency and time of day	245
	5.4.	7.1 Currency	245
	5.4.	7.2 Time	245
	5.4.8	Quantification over pronouns	247
	5.4.9	Quantification over events	
	5.4.10	Partitive-like constructions with quantifiers	248
	5.4.11	Indefinite plural -non+H	
		al/definite singular and definite plural	
	5.6 De	monstrative pronouns	250
	5.7 Ind	ependent definite particles	
	5.7.1	21-0-8 40	
		Adverbs with <i>dìn</i> or <i>dìn dì</i>	
		course-function (DF) markers	
	5.8.1	Focus particle (gâ)	
	5.8.2	Topic particles	
	5.8.3	Other DF particles (mô 'also, too', tán 'only', emphatics)	
		positions and case	
	5.9.1	Unmarked case versus adpositions	
	5.9.2	Dative sê	
	5.9.3	Possessive ŋónê	
	5.9.4	Locative gà: ~ gà.	
	5.9.5	bòŋ 'on'	
	5.9.6	dô 'chez, at the place of'	259

5.9.7	Simple and complex postpositions of spatial position	. 260
5.9.	7.1 Simple spatial postpositions ('behind', 'in front of', 'under')	. 260
5.9.	7.2 Complex spatial postpositions ('behind', 'inside', 'in front of', 'under')	. 261
5.9.8	zá: 'since' and hâl 'until'	. 263
5.9.9	'Without'	. 264
5.9.10	Temporal postpositions (hán-ê, wát-ê, bándé)	. 264
5.10 A	pposition	. 265
5.11 In	nstrumental, comitative, and conjoined NPs	. 265
5.11.1	Instrumental and comitative functions.	. 266
5.11.2	Extraction of complement of instrumental or comitative $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$. 267
5.11.3	Conjunction [A [ńdù+H B]]	. 267
5.11.4	Conjunction of two personal pronouns	. 268
5.11.5	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.11.6	1	
5.12 N	P disjunction (wàlà 'or')	. 269
5.13 S ₁	patiotemporal adverbs and phrases	. 270
5.13.1	Spatial adverbs	. 270
5.13.2	Temporal adverbs	. 271
5.14 V	ocatives	. 271
6 VERBA	AL VOICE (VALENCY) AND VERB DERIVATION	. 272
6.1 Sul	bcategorization for objects and adpositional phrases	. 272
6.1.1	Verbs, quasi-verbs, and the referentiality of subject NPs	
6.1.2	Underived simple intransitives	
6.1.3	Underived simple transitives.	. 273
6.1.4	Ditransitives and other verbs with dative complement	. 273
6.1.5	Verbs with nondative adverbial complements	. 274
6.1.6	Verbs with instrumental complement $(\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H)$. 274
6.1.7	VO verbs	. 275
6.1.8	Verbs taking unmarked postverbal NPs	. 277
6.1.9	Verbs of saying/telling (nê, cì, hárú)	. 277
6.2 De	rived voice (valency) forms	. 278
6.2.1	Zero derivation and derivation by tone shift	. 278
6.2.2	Causative -éyndí	. 279
6.2.3	Intransitivizing (resultative passive or unspecified object) -à	. 281
6.2.4	Potential passive -éyndí	. 282
6.2.5	Verb plus cliticized $= \dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$ or suffixed $-\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$ 'with'	. 283
6.3 Co	mpound verbs	. 285
6.3.1	N-V and verbalized N-N compounds	. 285
6.3.2	Verb-verb compounds with infinitival linker	. 287
6.3.3	Compound verbs with noun or adverb as final	
6.3.4	yèkà 'come back' and related forms	. 288
6.3.5	Frozen combinations of verb and negation (absent)	. 288
6.3.6	Verb-stem iteration and (partial) reduplication	. 289

7	VP STRUC	TURE	290
	7.1 Types o	f predicates	290
	7.1.1 Eq.	uational and identificational predicates	290
	7.1.1.1	Inchoative verb té: 'become' (VO) or 'be done' (intransitive)	
	7.1.1.2	Inchoative verb <i>tè</i> 'become' with adverbial complement	
	7.1.1.3	Existential <i>tê</i> 'happen, take place'	292
	7.1.1.4	Identificational 'it is (not) X' ($n\hat{o}\eta$, $m\hat{a}n t\hat{u} + H$)	293
	7.1.1.5	'It is (not) X' ($m an t u + H \sim m an t i$)	294
	7.1.2 Loc	cational 'be' (H+gò:, sí:, bárâ, cìndî)	295
	7.1.3 Ob	ligational kàl + H	298
	7.1.4 Pos	ssessive predicates	298
	7.1.5 Ve	rbless predicates with missing 'do' verb (absent)	300
	7.2 Mood-a	spect-negation (MAN) morphemes	300
	7.2.1 Pri	mary MAN morphemes and sequences	301
	7.2.1.1	Unmarked MAN morphemes	301
	7.2.1.2	Perfective negative $(m \hat{a} n + H \sim m \hat{a} \eta + H)$	301
	7.2.1.3	Imperfective negative $(s\hat{u} + H)$ and subjunctive negative $(\acute{m} s\grave{u} + H)$	302
	7.2.1.4	Subjunctive $(\hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta})$	302
	7.2.1.5	Imperfective positive $(g\hat{u} + H \sim \hat{w} + H)$	304
	7.2.2 Fur	nctions of basic perfective and imperfective aspects	305
	7.2.3 Pre	sentative constructions	307
	7.2.3.1	Presentative $(H+g\dot{o}:+H)$	307
	7.2.3.2	Presentative negative (as such) is absent	307
	7.2.3.3	<i>n</i> è: 'here' as presentative with NP	308
	7.2.4 Ma	rked imperfective categories	308
	7.2.4.1	Future $(n \grave{a} m + H \sim n \grave{a} \eta + H)$	308
	7.2.4.2	Extraction-indexing imperfective ($b\grave{o}$ $\grave{w} + H \sim b\grave{o}$: $+H$, $b\grave{o}$ $k\acute{u} = \hat{y}$)	309
	7.2.4.3	Progressive constructions with 'be' $(H+g\dot{o}:)$	311
	7.2.4.4	Progressive $(n \grave{o} \ \grave{w} + H, 3 \text{Sg object } n \grave{o} \ k \acute{u} \uparrow = \hat{y})$	
	7.2.4.5	Progressive ($n\grave{a}\ b\grave{o}\ \grave{w} + H$, 3Sg object $n\grave{a}\ b\grave{o}\ k\acute{u} \uparrow = \hat{y}$)	313
	7.2.4.6	Progressive negative ($si: +H$, 3Sg object $si: ku' \uparrow = \hat{y}$)	313
	7.2.5 Per	fect constructions	
	7.2.5.1	Perfect ($n \grave{a} \jmath$, 3Sg object $n \grave{a} \jmath$ $k \acute{u} \uparrow = \jmath$)	314
	7.2.5.2	Perfect negative (nàŋ sí: + H)	
		insitive (\hat{y}) , a bidirectional case-marker	
	_	ives	
		gular-subject imperative (-ŋ)	
		ral-subject imperative (wò)	
		gular singular subject imperatives	
	7.3.4 Ob	jects of imperative verbs	324
8	DISCOURS	SE-FUNCTIONAL CONSTRUCTIONS AND EXTRACTION	326
	8.1 Focus c	onstructions	326
	8.1.1 No	nsubject focus constructions	326
	8.1.1.1	Direct-object focalization	326

8.1.1.2 F	ocalization of adverbials	327
	ocalization of postpositional phrases	
	ocalization of instrumental phrases	
	ct focus constructions	
	ple clauses attached to one focalized constituent	
•	and answers	
*	questions and answers	
	juestions	
	Who?' (<i>méy</i>)	
	What?' $(m\hat{\imath}:\eta \sim m\lambda\hat{\imath}\eta)$	
	Where? $(m\acute{a}n \sim m\acute{a}\eta \sim m\acute{a}y^n \sim m\grave{e} h\acute{e}r\grave{e})$	
	How much, how many?' (mèrjè)	
	Which (one)?' (fô)	
	osite WH-interrogatives	
	How?' (mótê) and 'what kind?' (cíléy fô)	
	Why?' (<i>mí:n ⁴sê</i>)	
8.2.3.3	When?' (mè fô) and 'whereabouts?' (mè hérê)	338
	ed versus in situ WH-interrogatives	
	ions embedded under matrix verbs 'know', 'ask', etc	
-	tchamacallit?' (hày-ô:)	
8.3 Relativizar	tion	341
8.3.1 Relati	vization of subject NPs	343
8.3.2 Relati	vization of object NPs	344
8.3.3 Relati	vization of NP complements of postpositions	344
8.3.4 Relati	vization of NP complements of $\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$	345
8.3.5 Relati	vization of possessor NPs	346
8.3.6 Adver	rbial relatives without postpositions $(d \grave{e} y \not\mid k \hat{a}, m \grave{e} k \hat{a}, h \grave{a} \eta \not\mid k \hat{a})$	347
	ple relative clauses (conjoined or recursive)	
8.3.8 Relati	vization out of complex syntactic structures	348
	ve clauses separated from the head NP (right dislocation)	
8.3.10 Post	positions and DF morphemes operating on the head NP	350
8.3.11 Adv	erbial relatives with bare $g\hat{a}$ 'so, then'	351
8.4 Topic cons	structions	351
8.4.1 Topic	al NPs and clauses with mínê 'as for'	351
8.4.2 Synta	ctic distribution of independent pronouns	352
8.4.3 Weak	topic marker $k \grave{e} y + H \sim k \grave{a} y + H$	352
8.5 Emphatics	and similatives	353
8.5.1 Simpl	e emphatics	353
8.5.1.1 E	Emphatic of precision (dâ)	353
8.5.1.2 E	Emphatic of confirmation or exclusion (já:tîŋ)	354
8.5.1.3 C	Clause-final <i>ní</i> and related forms (<i>ní:</i> , - <i>ní:</i>)	354
8.5.2 Exclu	sivity particles	355
8.5.2.1 '	Only' (<i>táŋ</i> ~ <i>táŋ</i>)	355
8.5.2.2	Except' (kàl + H, hál màn tù + H)	356
8.5.2.3	Not except' = 'only, exclusively'	357
8.5.3 'Also	' (mô)	358

8.5.4	Similatives	359
8.5	4.1 'Like X' (<i>dàŋgà X</i>)	359
8.5	4.2 'X resemble Y' (hìmá †-ńdù + H)	359
8.5	4.3 'The likes of X' (<i>X cíl-ò</i>)	
8.5	4.4 'The (same) way that' (<i>mótê</i>)	
8.5.5		
8.5	5.1 Clause- or phrase-final <i>dèy</i> (admonition)	360
8.5	5.2 Clause-final emphatic <i>mê</i> or <i>sà</i>	
8.5	5.3 Clause-final emphatic <i>kòy</i>	361
8.5	5.4 Clause-final emphatic <i>láy</i>	361
8.5	5.5 Clause-final emphatic <i>yá</i>	362
8.5.6	Strong emphatics and oaths	362
8.5.7	'Even' (bâ:)	362
8.6 Co	occurrence of discourse-functional categories	363
8.6.1	Topic plus another DF morpheme on the same constituent	363
8.6.2	Emphatic plus focus	364
8.6.3	Topic plus focus	364
8.6.4	Multiple topics	364
8.6.5	Relativization and focus	364
8.6.6	Relativization and topicality	365
9 SENTI	ENCE-LEVEL SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS	366
9.1 Ob	ject NPs	266
9.1.1	Classification and ordering of nonsubject NPs and PPs	
9.1.2	Double-object constructions	
9.1.2	Biclausal instrumental constructions	
9.1.4	Double-dative constructions	
9.1.5	Restarting clauses with heavy preverbal objects	
	ensifiers	
	perators and scope	
9.3.1	Types of adverbs	
9.3.2	Negation	
9.3.2	Negation and quantifiers	
9.3.4	Equivalents of negative polarity items	
9.3.5		
9.3.6	Quantification over possessed nouns	
	rerview of complement clause types	
	ause conjunction and indicative complement clauses	
9.5.1	Conditionals (<i>hâl</i> , <i>bâ:</i>)	
,	1.1 Hypothetical conditionals	
	1.2 Counterfactual conditionals	
9.5		
	1.4 Willy-nilly conditionals	
9.5.2	Juxtaposed and conjoined clauses ('and', 'so')	
9.5.2	Indicative clauses attached to another clause	
9.5.3 9.5.4		
9.3.4	Clausal disjunctions (wàlà 'or')	೨०१

9.5.5	Adversative conjunctions (kâ:, ?àmmá:)	382
9.5.6	Temporal relations	382
9.5.0	5.1 'Again' (<i>kátìn</i> ~ <i>kásìn</i> , <i>kóynê</i>)	382
9.5.0	5.2 '(At) first, for now' (<i>jínáŋ</i>)	382
9.5.0	5.3 Clause-initial zá: 'since'	383
9.5.6	6.4 Clause-initial <i>hâl</i> 'until, to the point that'	383
9.5.0	6.5 Clause-initial dèy ('only then')	384
9.5.7	'Because' clauses (sàbù ~ sàbà etc.)	384
9.5.8	Indicative 'that' complements (gâ, kèy, dàngà)	385
9.5.8	8.1 gâ 'that'	385
9.5.8	8.2 $k \dot{e} y \sim c \dot{e} y$ and $h \hat{a} : \text{"} (say)$ that'	386
9.5.8	3.3 dàngà 'like; believing that'	386
9.5.9	Bare indicative factive complements	386
9.5.9	9.1 gàrù 'find, encounter (that)'	386
9.5.9	9.2 $s\acute{a}b\acute{a}-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H\sim s\acute{a}w\acute{a}-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ 'coincide (with)'	387
9.5.9		
9.5.9		
9.5.10	Right-edge marking in antecedents and background clauses	
9.5.11	Biclausal causatives with <i>kàtè</i> 'bring (about)'	
9.5.12	Particle <i>kàl+H</i> plus indicative clause (absent)	
9.6 Sub	junctive complements	
9.6.1	Subjunctive complements to matrix-clause verbs	
9.6.2	Subjunctive complements to obligational <i>kàl+H</i>	
9.6.3	Subjunctive clauses in jussive reported speech	
9.6.4	Subjunctive clauses with <i>hâl</i>	
9.6.5	Subjunctive clauses under the scope of a distant negative	
9.6.6	Purposive subjunctive clauses without complementizer	
9.6.7	Bare subjunctive clauses in isolation	
9.7 Infi	nitival VPs and serial verbs	
9.7.1	Infinitival VPs in event sequences or as purposives	
9.7.2	Inventory of control verbs.	
9.7.3	Modal serial verbs	
9.7.4	Temporal/aspectual control verbs	
9.7.5	Failure control verbs	
9.7.6	Motion and time-of-day verbs as serial verbs	
9.7.7	Comparative constructions	
9.7.	1	
	IORA, LOGOPHORICS, AND REPORTED SPEECH	
	eported speech and logophoric pronouns	
10.1.1	Reported speech and thoughts	
10.1.2	Logophorics and demonstrative shifts in reported speech	
10.1.3	Syntax of logophorics	
10.1.4	Discourse functions of logophorics and narrative fade-out	
10.2 Re	eflexives and reciprocals	
10.2.1	Compound reflexives with bòn 'head'	

Contents	xiv
----------	-----

INDEX OF	CATEGORIES AND TERMS	418	
ABBREVI	ATIONS AND SYMBOLS	415	
REFEREN	CES	414	
10.4.3	Sloppy coindexation in relative clauses	413	
10.4.2	8-1		
10.4.1	Sloppy coindexation in reflexives	412	
10.4 S	oppy (partial) coindexation		
10.3.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10.3.1			
10.3 G	eneric and indefinite reference		
10.2.7	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10.2.6			
	2.5.2 Reflexive subjects in relative clauses		
	2.5.1 Clause-internal syntax of reflexives		
10.2.5	Syntax of reflexives		
10.2.4			
10.2.3	• •		
10.2.2	3Full possessor pronouns in reflexive function	406	

1 Introduction

1.1 Songhay languages

The Songhay language family consists of some eleven or twelve languages, though dialect versus language classification (especially in the eastern area, i.e. in Niger and Benin) is not yet clear. In Mali there are five clearly distinct languages of the family: 1) Koyra Chiini (**KCh**) spoken along the Niger River from Timbuktu to Niafounké, along with the very distinctive but related Djenné Chiini (**DjCh**) spoken in the city of Djenné south of Mopti; 2) Koyraboro Senni (**KS**, aka Koroboro Senni, Koyra Senni, and other variants), spoken from just east of Timbuktu through Bamba, Gao, and Ansongo to around the Mali-Niger border, plus the **Fulankirya** dialects spoken by culturally Fulbe herders near Hombori and Douentza; 3) **Tadaksahak**, spoken by culturally Tuareg nomads in the far northeast on both sides of the Mali-Niger border; 4) Humburi Senni (**HS**), spoken in and around the town of Hombori between Gao and Mopti; and 5) Tondi Songway Kiini (**TSK**), spoken in and around the village of Kikara in the hills north of Douentza.

An informal classification of Songhay languages in **ecological-geographical and cultural** (not genetic) terms is (1).

- (1) Ecological divisions
 - a. riverine (on or near the Niger River)
 - b. northern (Saharan)
 - c. montane (HS and TSK)
 - d. southern urban enclaves (Djenne Chiini, urban Dendi)

The large-population varieties KCh and KS constitute a **riverine** Songhay cluster that continues down the Niger River into the Republic of Niger (RON) and the RON-Benin border (Songhay-Kaado, Zarma, riverine Dendi), though in Niger it also spreads out some distance north and south from the river. Tadaksahak belongs, again in geographical and cultural terms, to the **northern** Songhay group in the Sahara that also includes some languages spoken in and around the Air mountains of Niger (e.g. Tagdalt, Tasawak), plus the Kwarandzyey (Korandié) of the Tabelbala oasis of SW Algeria. HS and TSK constitute (geographically and culturally) the **montane** Songhay, in the inselbergs at the northern perimeter of Dogon country. On the edge of these main ecological groupings, the Fulankirya subdivision of KS is associated with cattle herders who intermingle with montane Songhay. There are also some **southern urban enclaves** of Songhay, including DjCh in Mali, and urban Dendi in Kandi and Diougou in central Benin.

The clearest **genetic** divisions are those in (2), updated from Nicolaï (1981).

(2) Genetic divisions

in Mali outside Mali

northern Tadaksahak Tagdalt, Tasawak, Kwarandzyey

western KCh (and DjCh) —

eastern KS, HS, TSK Zarma, Songhay-Kaado, Dendi

The northern languages are very heavily Berberized. Perhaps the most extreme case is Tadaksahak (Chistiansen-Bolli 2010), which retains from Songay only a simple inflectional apparatus and a skeletal core vocabulary of around 200 words, with the rest of the lexicon borrowed from Tuareg varieties. Northern Songhay constitutes one of the most remarkable stable language-contact situations in Africa. Souag (2012) has greatly clarified the early development of this group, and has presented evidence for an early genetic connection between northern and western (i.e. Timbuktu) Songhay.

Within eastern Songhay, HS is closer genetically to KS (on which see Heath 1998a,b, 1999b) than to other varieties. It is instructive to compare the two grammatical systems, with HS often presenting more archaic formations that underly the attested KS forms. However, since KS has lost the original Songhay tones, TSK (Heath 2005) with its archaic tone system has been crucial for understanding the HS tone system and its complex morphological implications. See Heath (2011) on the origin and development of the HS pronominal-possessor and postposition paradigms, which involve tonal as well as segmental morphology.

1.2 Geography and Society

1.2.1 Inventory of HS-speaking villages and towns

HS is spoken primarily in the town of Hombori (*hùmbùri*) and several villages in the area. A GPS reading taken in Hombori was N 15 16.670 by W 01 41.999. Other HS-speaking villages occur in the surrounding area, in all directions. Excluding outliers (Danka, Marense), the HS-speaking zone extends from Kobou in the south to Dimamou (and its satellites) to the north, and from Daka-Kouko (in the Wami area) in the east to Dimamou in the west.

An inventory of primarily HS-speaking communities known to me, with some comments, is (3). The list is alphabetized by HS name. Bearings (north and west) are given in degrees, minutes, and decimal fractions of minutes (.000 to .999), based on readings I took in a survey conducted in 2011. Parenthesized bearings in the list below are estimated from maps. Quarters of Hombori itself are covered in §1.2.2 below.

Although Hombori has long been the dominant HS speaking community, the oldest Songhay villages in the area are said to be Kelmi (*kélmî*), Wari (*wà:rì*), and Gonta (*gò:ntà*).

(3) HS-speaking villages

HS name standard name north west

bàngù bàndè Toundourou Bangou-Bande 15 14.794 01 42.366 village on lower slope of Mt Hombori, part of Toundourou cluster, between (Toundourou) Zemey-Koira and Toundourou Beri; name means 'behind/near the well/pond'; surname Maiga; farming and herding; doum-palm weaving (calabash covers)

bàrkùsí Barkoussi 15 15.862 01 43.440 village on ledge fairly high up on Mt Wari; originally settled from Hombori; surnames Maiga, Gassamba; farming and herding

bà:zí 'dá:kà: Bazi-Daka 15 16.281 01 33.559 small village in plains, part of Daka complex in Wami zone; surnames Gassamba, Maiga; farming and herding

bèrbèy Berbeye 15 16.417 01 44.858 village on ledge halfway up Mt Wari; originally came from Danka via Sababou Koire; surnames Guiteye, Ganaba; farming and herding

béríyà Beria 15 24.679 01 42.984 village on top of hill ridge, plus school and a few houses at base of hill on plains; surnames Meikouba, Gariko, Maiga, and Keba (all Songhay-HS), a few Dicko (Fulbe), one Guindo family; farming and herding

bìllántò Billanto ?? ?? small village in plains; surnames Maiga, Gariko, Traore; farming and herding; there is also a Bella section

búrgò Bourgo 15 15.300 01 34.629 village on main highway hosting the Wami market (Saturday); seasonal lake (dries up around April) extending from here to Sori-Koira and Wami-Bande; school for the Wami and Tara areas; farming and herding; one family of Bozo between Bourgo and Sori-Koira (with an oven to prepare dried fish)

dá:kà: Daka

name of several villages in Wami area east of Hombori; see dà:cíyà, dá:ká bìbò, bà:zí ¹dá:kà:, sè:dú ¹dá:kà:, dá:ká kùkò; also part of name of more distant villages near Dimamou that were settled from the Wami area, see dá:ká zìgíyà, fàrì-kòy dá:kà:

dá:ká ¹bíb-ó Daka-Bibo 15 16.526 01 33.403 village in plains, abutting Mokkey-Koira in Daka complex in Wami zone; cf. bíb-ó 'black'; surnames Maiga, Gariko, Cisse, Meida; farming and herding

dá:ká 'kúk-ó Daka-Kuko 15 14.719 01 33.313 village in plains near large seasonal swamps; cf. kúk-ó 'long'; surnames Maiga, Gariko, Gassamba, Meida, Sidibe, Diallo, Samassekou (blacksmiths); farming and herding; extensive gardens

dá:ká-zìgíyà Daka-Zigiya 15 26.790 01 50.502 village near Dimamou on a dune elevation overlooking a forested lowland, settled from Daka area near Hombori; surnames Meikouba and others; farming and herding

dà:cíyà Dakia 15 16.751 01 33.501 village in plains, part of Daka complex in Wami zone; name is diminutive of dá:kà: ; many surnames (Maiga, Traore, Garaiba, Meida, Gariko, Ganaba, Gassamba, Cisse); farming and herding

dàmsòsò Damsasso (15 21) (01 42.5) village on low hill

dáráwâl Daraoual (Darawal) 15 10.255 01 43.847 village in plains near large seasonal swamp; Songhay surnames Maiga, Meikouba, Meinanga, Gassamba; Fulbe surnames Diallo and a few Dicko; farming and herding; extensive gardens (doum palm, date palm, cassava, tobacco)

dímá:mù Dimamou 15 26.941 01 52.092 village on a dune elevation overlooking a forested lowland (sometimes frequented seasonally by elephants), with numerous smaller satellite villages and hamlets; surnames Maiga (Songhay majority), Dicko (Fulankiriya); farming and herding; school and medical center; see also Tabakara (Bella), fàrì-kòy dá:kà:, dá:ká-zìgíyà

dú:rì Kelmi-Douri 15 14.381 01 42.413 Lower Kelmi, village on the plains below the two Upper Kelmi villages on the slope of Mt Hombori; school; farming and herding

fàrì-kòy dá:kà: Fari-Koy-Daka 15 26.993 01 51.533 village near Dimamou on a dune elevation overlooking a forested lowland, settled from Daka area near Hombori; surnames Gariba, Meikouba, Gariko, Keba; farming and herding

Galou gàlù

> pair of abandoned villages on northern lower slope of Mt Hombori; for original settlement see Koubel

Galou-Beri gállù bé:rì

 $(15\ 15)$ $(01\ 36.5)$

one of two abandoned villages on northern slope of Mt Hombori; cf. bè:rì 'big'

gállú-sá:jè Galou-Sadie

(15 15) $(01\ 37)$

one of two abandoned villages on northern slope of Mt Hombori

gò:ntà Gonta

 $(15\ 21)$ $(01\ 40.5)$

village on hill; one of the three oldest Songhay-HS villages (others are Kelmi and Wari)

hùmbùrì Hombori

01 41.999 15 16.670

large town on highway; main village on shelf between two colossal inselbergs (Mt Hombori and Mt Wari); shops, the market, official buildings, and some new villas at base of rocky shelf on the highway; surname Maiga (Songhay); heartland of Humburi Senni (Songhay language) but many Fulfulde-speaking Fulbe; market on Tuesday; farming and herding; goldsmiths

kàlgàmè Kalgameye 15 15.523 01 36 120

hamlet in plains; originally settled from Galou; surname Meikouba; farming and herding

kàntàkìn Kantakine 15 16.888 01 44.012

village on ledge halfway up Mt Wari; originally came fromGuiweye; surnames Maiga, Gassamba; farming and herding

kélmî Kelmi

> pair of closely spaced villages (see kóncìrì, tà:) on ledges halfway up southern slope of Mt Hombori, plus Lower Kelmi (see dú:ri) on the plains below; surnames Gassamba and Meinanga (the two most common), also some Maiga, Ganaba, Meida, Gariko, Kiriko, Sidibe; see Kelmi-Kontjiri, Kelmi-Ta, and Kelmi-Douri

cé:rî 15 17.091

01 37.780 small Songhay section at eastern end of Kiri-Fulbe village; surname Ganaba;

farming and herding; date palms

Kissim kísîm

15 14.701 01 43.275

village mostly on lower slope of ridge connecting Mts Hombori and Wari, also some houses on plains at base; surnames Maiga, Toure, Gariko, Koura, Meinanga (kósèy caste); farming and herding; doum-palm weaving (calabash covers)

kóbù Kobou 15 07.508 01 41.990 village on summit of low rocky hill overlooking sandy plains; surname Gariko (village said to be the origin of this clan); farming and herding; near Dossou (Fulfulde speaking)

kóncìrì Kelmi-Kontjiri ?? ?? one of two Upper Kelmi villages on ledges halfway up southern slope of Mt Hombori; thought by Homborians to be full of sorcerors

kúbêl Koubel 15 14.527 01 40.290 village on lower slope of Mt Hombori; said to have been settled from Tera in Rep. of Niger, one brother coming to Koubel and the other to Galou (abandoned village on Mt. Hombori slope); surname Meikouba; well-known small mosque beside the village, said to have mysteriously appeared one day, now a destination for Muslims who make wishes there

mókk-èy kóyr-èy Mokkey-Koirey 15 16.708 01 33.342 village in plains, abutting Dakia and Daka-Bibo in Daka complex of Wami zone; inhabited by a well-known Muslim marabout and his followers; surname Cissé; farming and herding

nárkî Narki (15 21) (01 43.5) village on low rocky shelf

sè:dú dá:kà: Seydou-Daka 15 16.281 01 33.559 small village in plains, part of Daka complex in Wami zone; Seydou is a man's name; surnames Maiga, Gariko, Gassamba, Dicko; farming and herding

sórí kòyrà Sori-Koira 15 15.309 01 34.634 small village in plains in Wami zone, along the pond that stretches between the flanking villages Bourgo and Wami-Bande; Sori is a man's name; farming and herding; some gardens

tà: Kelmi-Ta ?? ??

one of two Upper Kelmi villages on ledges halfway up southern slope of Mt
Hombori; people of Kelmi-Taa considered by Homborians to be Dogon

tà:rà Tara 15 15.044 01 35.126 village in plains in Wami zone; surnames Meida, Meikouba; farming and herding

tùndùrù Toundourou cluster of three closely spaced villages on the lower southern slope of Mt Hombori, see zèm-èy kóyrà, bàngù bàndè, and tùndùrù bé:rì; date palms, school

tùndùrù bé:rì Toundourou Beri 15 14.799 01 42.445 village on lower slope of Mt Hombori, part of Toundourou complex; with bè:rì 'big'; surname Seiba (of Karakole ethnicity); farming and herding; doum-palm weaving (calabash covers)

úmâ Ouma 15 14.578 01 33.992
 village in plains, part of Wami zone; surnames Maiga, Garibou, Diallo, Dicko; farming and herding

wálàm Walam 15 13.815 01 45.751 satellite hamlet for Walam

wálàm Walam (~ Oualam) 15 14.556 01 44.702 village mostly on lower slope of Mt Wari, remainder on plains at base; surnames Maiga, Toure, Meikouba, Meinanga (kós-èy caste of leatherworkers, involved in circumcisions, also play drums at coronations), Sako (Sarakole ethnicity, locally called Marka)

wá:mî Wami (~ Ouami) general name for an area east of Hombori with numerous villages and hamlets, mostly speaking Songhay-HS; see Daka, Bourgo, Kalgame, Wami-Bande, Sori-Koira, Ouma), also some Fulankiriya (see Sokodie)

wá:mí bàndè Wami-Bande 15 15.158 01 34.792 small village in plains in Wami zone, along the pond that stretches out from Bourgo; farming and herding; some gardens

wà:rì Wari (~ Ouari) 15 16.456 01 43.702 village on ledge fairly high up on Mt Wari (elevation 1577 feet); one of the three oldest Songhay villages of the Hombori zone (others are Gonta and Kelmi); surnames Maiga, Meinanga (kósèy caste), and Guindo; people rather secretive about village history; Hombori people consider villagers of Wari to be Dogon (cf. Guindo surname); farming and herding

wàwásì Wawasi 15 17.327 01.43.968 small village on plains near Mt Wari, settled from Kantakin; surname Maiga; farming and herding

zèm-èy kóyrà Toundourou Zemey-Koira 15 14.689 01 42.219 village on lower slope of Mt Hombori, part of Toundourou complex; name means 'blacksmiths' village'; surnames Maiga, Gassamba, Meinanga, Gariko, plus blacksmiths with surnames Samassékou and Tiam [cam]; pottery, doum-palm weaving (calabash covers); farming and herding; date palms

The zone, about halfway between Gao and Mopti on the main highway, consists of broad expanses of rather flat land interrupted by inselbergs and (to the north)

separated into extended valleys by long stony ridges or stable sand dune ridges. There are no major mountains north of Mt. Hombori. Given recent (i.e. since 1970) declines in annual rainfall, millet farming is possible but difficult in the HS speaking area. Between this area and the Niger River to the north and northwest is a zone sparsely inhabited by herders (Tuaregs, Fulbe).

Around Hombori and to the south and west, on the other hand, the plains are regularly punctuated by spectacular inselberg cliffs, sometimes extended or connected to other inselbergs by low rocky shelves. The inselbergs provide crucial water resources, by trapping rainwater in depressions at their bases and in pockets on their summits and slopes, in some cases slowly released by springs. Along with wells, the inselbergs provide the year-round water needed for permanent human habitation.

The highest of these inselbergs (and the highest spot in West Africa) is Mount Hombori (hùmbùrì tónd-ò), a huge flat-topped cylinder that was not traditionally scaled by the natives, though nowadays it attracts international alpinists with elaborate climbing equipment. Less than 10 km to the west is an equally impressive formation split into four stubby "fingers" of which the tallest is Mount Wari (wà:rì tónd-ò). These two mountains flank Hombori village itself. Only 7 km farther west is the famous finger-shaped formation known as "la Main de Fatouma" (gármì tónd-ò) another favorite challenge for alpinists and a frequent subject for photographers.

1.2.2 Hombori (hùmbùrì)

Between Mount Hombori and Mount Wari there extends a flat, somewhat elevated rocky shelf on which is located Upper Hombori (hùmbùrì bé:né), the traditional village. On one side of the shelf, there is a fairly steep but easily climbable slope leading down to the plain (and the highway). At the base of the slope is "Lower Homburi" (hùmbùrì gándâ) where a major regional market is held on Tuesdays. Here there are also permanent shops, inns for travelers, schools, government offices, medical and veterinary facilities, and the gendarmerie. The main well supplying the town is at one end of Lower Hombori.

Upper Hombori (hùmbùrì bé:né) is divided into four residential quarters, as follows 1) mà:dù, the "royal" quarter (overlooking the market), including the chief's residence, the main mosque, and nowadays the medical center. 2) gísíntárêy, farther away from the slope, including the shops; 3) hútáwéy (overlooking the well), where the chief's sacred drums are kept; 4) gásúméy (farthest from the slope), featuring the goldsmiths and the so-called kóy-bánn-èy (citizens who control the sacred staff that gives them veto power over the chief's decisions).

Major authority figures in Hombori are a) the *kòkòy-ò* ("chief" or "king"); b) the elected mayor; c) the *délégué du gouvernement*; and d) the Islamic imam.

The chiefhood is a traditional office with an ancient tradition. Though not selected on the basis of primogeniture, each new chief is chosen by the Songhay chiefly or "royal" family from its own ranks, is enthroned with considerable pomp, and remains in office for life. From 1981 through 2003, i.e. when the primary fieldwork was done, the chief was the late Nouhou Bokari Maiga, a son of the previous chief (Bokari Sourgou); Nouhou's mother was from Timbuktu. From 2003 to 2010 the chief was

Hamma Ousmana Maiga. In 2011 Moussa Balobo was enthroned, but he was assassinated by Tuareg rebels in his palace in February 2012.

The chief wears a black turban over a white cap. By tradition (reflecting the Medieval rivalry between the kings of Hombori and the Askias or emperors of Gao), the chief of Hombori is forbidden to travel to Gao. The chief was once the major decision-maker, but even in the past his decisions could be overturned by the $k \acute{o} y - b \acute{a} p p - \grave{e} y$, the custodians of the sacred staff ($l \grave{o} l - \grave{o} \uparrow g \acute{o} b - \grave{o}$) that symbolized their veto power. The chief still has some administrative functions (chiefly tax-collecting), but he is now above all the leader of community rituals (nowadays revolving around the major holy days of the Muslim calendar).

Secular government is mainly in the hands of the locally elected mayor and the délégué. Prior to the year 2000 much authority was vested in the externally appointed commandant d'arrondissement, who reported to the commandant de cercle of Douentza, who in turn reported to the gouverneur of Mopti, all of them part of a military command structure appointed by the central government. With the nationwide administrative decentralization of 2000, the office of commandant ("Major") was replaced by the weaker one of délégué, and Hombori was reclassified from arrondissement (subdivision of cercle) to commune rurale. There is talk (since 2011) of a further high-level political arrangement involving the splitting of the Region of Mopti into two or more regions (provinces).

The imam, or Muslim leader, is not centrally involved in local politics, but does handle matters, such as inheritance, that relate closely to sharia (Islamic law). In recent decades, orthodox Islam has become dominant, relegating the remnants of animist ritual practice to the underground margins. The Muslim holy days are major community-wide events that punctuate the year. (Christian missionaries have made occasional exploratory visits to Hombori but do not seem to have made any conversions.)

The traditional economy of the area around Hombori revolves around farming (primarily millet, in moist areas also some sorghum) and herding (sheep and goats, cows). Annual rainfall was relatively abundant until about 1970, when climatic changes set in with a vengeance; rainfall in recent decades has averaged about half of its pre-1970 level. As a result, millet (which requires less rain than sorghum or corn) has become an unreliable crop. Even when rainfall is adequate, crop pests (birds, grasshoppers) cut into the harvest. In autumn 2004, the entire region was ravaged by locusts (*Schistocerca gregaria*) who consumed the entire grain crop a week before the harvest.

1.2.3 Other HS speaking villages around Mts. Hombori and Wari (Ouari)

Because Mt. Hombori is linked to Mt. Wari by a low rocky shelf, the entire complex forms an ovoid complex rising up from the surrounding plains. Hombori itself is on the low shelf facing north.

Ringing Mt. Wari (and its satellite peaks) are Barkoussi, Wari (wà:rì), Kantakin, and Berbey. These four villages are all located on ledges partway up the mountain

slopes. Kantakin has a satellite village on the plains below, called *wàwásì*. At the base of Mt. Wari, on the side away from Hombori, is Walam (*wálàm*) village.

On the southern base of the rocky shelf linking the two mountains, i.e. on the opposite side from Hombori, is the village of Kissim. At the southern base of Mt. Hombori are the village clusters of Toundourou and Kelmi. Toundourou contains three virtually adjacent villages at the base of an extension of the mountain; they are well-known for doum-palm weaving (calabash and pot covers), pottery, and blacksmithing. Kelmi consists of two villages on ledges high up on the mountainside and a more recent village on the plains at the base. The small village of Koubel is on the lower slope of the mountain a little to the east of Kelmi. Finally, on the north side of Mt. Hombori, high up the mountain side on ledges, are two abandoned villages known jointly as Galou.

1.2.4 The Wami (Ouami) area

Wami (wá:mî), also spelled Ouami, is the general term for a widely dispersed cluster of villages and hamlets (including Fulbe and Fulankiriya) east of Hombori. The area has large seasonal ponds and swamps. A subset of the villages in Wami are collectively called Daka. Other villages of Wami are Bourgo, Kalgame, Wami-Bande, Sori-Koira, and Ouma. Bourgo is on the main highway and hosts a weekly market on Saturday.

There are some Fulankiriya speaking hamlets in the area, and Fulankiriya herders mass there in large concentrations during the rainy season. There are also some Fulbe and a few Bella.

Kalgame village is between Wami and Mt. Hombori.

1.2.5 North of Hombori

There are quite a few villages, and clusters of hamlets, in a widespread zone north of Hombori. This area contains sandy plains divided into valleys by long (rock or sand dune). The larger villages are atop or at the base of the ridges. The hamlets are mostly occupied by herders (Fulankiriya, Fulbe, Bella).

Closest to Hombori, to its (north-)east, is Kiri (*cé:rî*). It is really just a small Songhay section of a majority-Fulbe concentration at the base of a sand dune ridge.

Farther away and roughly due north or Hombori, on low rocky hills, are Gonta, Damsasso, and Narki. Still farther north is Beria.

Far to the northeast of Hombori is Dimamou, along with some small villages settled from Daka in the Wami area, as their names (Daka-Zigiya, Fari-Koy-Daka) attest. The Dimamou area is a Songhay outlier, separated from Hombori by Fulbe, Fulankiriya, and Bella hamlets and villages (many of these Bella are involved in collecting firewood). The villages of the Dimamou area are located on elevated dune ridges. Dimamou proper is not much larger than these villages, but is surrounded by several hamlets. It overlooks a large forested plain that attracts elephants on their long treks between Burkina Faso and Mali. Dimamou is ethnically cosmopolitan for a

smallish village, with Songhay, Fulankiriya, and Bella. In the Dimamou zone overall, millet farmers are outnumbered by herders. Plans to develop a quarry near Dimamou for a major cement factory to be located in Hombori were put on hold by the Arab-Berber rebellion of 2011-12.

1.2.6 South of the Hombori/Wari mountain complex

The two villages well south of the main mountain complex that includes Mts. Hombori and Wari are Daraoual (near a large seasonal swamp) and Kobou (atop a low rocky hill). There are numerous Fulbe hamlets in the plains in this area. To the south is an infertile area with a few hills, sparsely populated by Jamsay-speaking Dogon.

1.2.7 HS-speaking outliers

Also said to be HS-speaking is the village of $d\acute{a}nk\^{a} \sim d\acute{a}g\acute{a}n\^{a}$, 45 km southwest of Hombori on the highway to Mopti.

Hombori itself has long had a good school system, and quite a few educated Homborians hold prominent positions in government and private industry in Douentza, Mopti, Bamako, and other cities and towns to the south, as well as in Gao to the north. The position of Hombori as a stop on the main highway from Mopti (and Bamako) to Gao has also encouraged Homborians to go into the trucking business and into commerce. As a result, there are many HS-speaking extended families in these cities, though I know of no extended Homborian residential quarters as such.

Nicolaï (1979) reports that the **Marense** people of the Dori area in northern Burkina Faso speak a Songhay variety that is close to HS. Nicolaï refers to HS and Marense jointly as "songhay central." The Marense are said to be weavers and dyers who migrated to Burkina from Hombori.

1.2.8 Other languages in the area

HS is genetically close to the Songhay of Gao (**Koyraboro Senni**, or KS). Homborians who deal with travelers (buses, trucks, private vehicles) going between Gao and the south easily pick up this language. Famously, Homborians understand KS, while Gao people have great difficulty understanding HS. In addition, some HS speakers have dealings with **Fulankiriya** herders (in the Wami area, and to the northwest of Hombori), who speak a dialect of Koyraboro Senni (closely related to the dialect of Bamba on the Niger River, but still not greatly different from the dialect of Gao).

Since HS and KS already share much basic vocabulary, there is relatively little lexical borrowing between them. On the other hand, a great many Fulfulde loanwords have become common in HS. Traditionally, the herds owned by Songhay were tended by **Fulbe** people, and there is now a significant **Fulfulde**-speaking community in

Hombori itself and throughout the region (Boni and Douentza, for example, are predominantly Fulfulde). These include freeborn Fulbe, Fulbe-Rimaibe (former slaves), and blacksmiths and goldsmiths.

There are some **Tuaregs** in the area, most of them having moved south from the Timbuktu-Goundam or Gourma Rharous areas near the river, as desertification in the far north has pushed Tuaregs southward. There are also some **Bellas**, former black slaves of the Tuareg, who are especially known for firewood collecting. **Tamashek** is the language spoken by Tuaregs and Bellas, and those Homborians who have dealings with them may learn some Tamashek.

Some Dogon from the Mt Tabi area near Boni, speakers of the Dogon language **Toro Tegu** (along with their Fulbe-Rimaibe slaves), were forcibly removed by the French colonists to the Hombori area in the mid-20th Century. Most returned to Tabi (villages of Tabi, Toupere, and Tega) when finally allowed to, but a few families are still in the Hombori area. There is a village named Goylel well north of Hombori (N 15 19.274 by W 01 55.553) that is populated by Dogon and Fulbe-Rimaibe (i.e. Fulfulde-speaking slaves) who did not return to the Tabi area. Except for the few ethnic Dogon in the Hombori area, and the few ethnic Songhay in the Tabi area (blacksmiths), there is little Dogon-HS bilingualism.

There are some Arab merchants in Hombori and other large towns in the zone, speaking **Hassaniya Arabic**.

As the dominant language of densely populated southern Mali, **Bambara** is rapidly spreading into northern Mali. Homborians are exposed to Bambara by national television and radio broadcasts, by travelers passing through Hombori (which is on the main highway linking southern to northern Mali), and by southerners posted to Hombori by the government (teachers, gendarmes, army). In addition, many individuals shuttle between Hombori and the major southern cities for work or education. In the long run, Bambara is the main potential threat to the vitality of HS.

1.3 Format of grammar

To the extent possible, this grammar follows the chapter and section structure (including the numbering system) of my three earlier grammars of Songhay languages (KCh/DjCh, KS, and TSK). This may explain why there are some very short subsections whose content is of the type "phenomenon X does not occur in HS." However, nominal morphology has exploded in HS in comparison to other Songhay languages, so this grammar is rather NP-heavy.

A brief sketch of highlights is in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 covers phonology, including tonology. The morphology of nouns, pronouns, and demonstratives is covered in Chapter 4, followed by Chapter 5 on NP structure. Verb morphology is treated in Chapter 6. VP structure, along with pre-VP inflectional MAN (mood-aspect-negation) particles, is the subject of Chapter 7. Chapter 8 then covers extraction phenomena (focalization, relativization), and various discourse-functional (DF) particles. Chapter 9 deals with higher-level syntax other than anaphora, including conditional constructions and various types of complements. Chapter 10 covers explicitly anaphoric elements (reflexives, logophorics, and reciprocals).

The division of labor among the chapters is somewhat artificial since morphology, syntax, and tonology interpenetrate considerably. An effort is made to avoid fragmentation by adding many cross-references from one section to another cutting across chapter divisions.

1.4 Transcriptional conventions

*... denotes a reconstructed form. #... denotes a form that is ungrammatical in HS. The only other notable conventions have to do with tones and tone sandhi.

Using x as a dummy, the tones are H[igh] \hat{x} , L[ow] \hat{x} , and <HL> \hat{x} . The falling tone is equivalent to <HL> and the latter representation is preferred since the H- and L-tone components may separate due to phonological rules. There is **no** <**LH> tone** \hat{x} in HS. Where a contraction or resyllabification process would be expected to produce <LH>, this is flattened to H-tone (§3.9.6.3). This distinguishes HS from other tonal Songhay languages. There is likewise **no bell-shaped** <**LHL> tone** \hat{x} : in heavy syllables in HS, unlike the case in (at least) TSK.

Angled brackets are used to indicate tones within a syllable, hence H.<HL> represents a bisyllabic stem or word with H-tone followed by <HL>-tone. Syllable-by-syllable tone sequences for multisyllabic words are given with internal periods at syllable boundaries, e.g. H.H.L or L.H.<HL>.

Certain morphemes, chiefly nominal suffixes and various proclitic function morphemes (pronominals, clausal inflections), behave as though they have an associated **floating H-tone** following them or, in a handful of cases, preceding them. Where relevant, for example in connection with phonological derivations, I transcribe these morphemes with a following +H, as in nam + H (future), or with a preceding H+, as in +di (strong definite, §5.7.1). In other contexts I usually omit the floating tone from the citation form of the morpheme. Whether a floating tone that docks on adjacent words is really an optimal solution is considered in §3.9.1.5.

Curly brackets $\{\}$ indicate stem- or word-level **tone melodies**, independent of the number of syllables or of the location of tone breaks. The melodies are $\{H\}$, $\{L\}$, $\{HL\}$, and $\{LHL\}$. In other contexts, curly brackets have their usual mathematical function enclosing the members of a set, separated by spaces, as in $\{x\ y\ z\}$ in the next paragraph.

Additional symbols are used where necessary to index the effects of tonal interactions among words in phrasal context, and to a lesser extent among morphemes within words. The most important symbols are $\{ ^t \ ^t \}$, which indicate variable, often partial lowering (**downstep**) and raising (**upstep**) of pitch, and $\{ \downarrow \ ^t \}$, which indicate categorical raising (to H) or lowering (to L) of phonological tone. The arrows are positioned at the edge of the word or morpheme that undergoes the tonal change, and specifically at the edge adjacent to the triggering word or morpheme. In the formulae in (4), variables $\{x \ y \ z\}$, with or without specified tones, represent adjacent syllables of stems or words that come together at a boundary. \acute{y} ... represents any stem beginning with a H-tone (including a <HL>-toned monosyllabic). \emph{J} and \emph{I} are word boundaries, = is a clitic boundary. The key symbol(s) in each configuration are in red.

(4) symbol

interpretation

...*x*] ['*y*...

rightward downstep ($\S 3.9.5.9$): underlying $/...\hat{x}$] [\dot{y} .../; the final <HL> tone on \hat{x} delinks its L, which is expressed audibly by a variable but frequently sharp drop in pitch on the following H-tone. If "y" begins lexically with, or consists entirely of, more than one H-toned syllable, the downstep resets the pitch of this entire sequence, but it does not extend to a following word. Thus in $k\dot{u}\uparrow = \dot{\eta}$ *háns-ó kárú 'to hit the dog', the pitch of both syllables of háns-ó 'the dog' undergoes downstep, but kárú 'hit' reverts to a higher pitch level. A downstepped H need not completely converge with L in fundamental frequency, and even when it does it may remain audibly distinct by retaining a less creaky phonation pattern, so I write '\(\' \) instead of \(\' \) or '\(\' \). Rightward downstep is very common because of the large number of stems that consist of or end in a $\langle HL \rangle$ -toned syllable (\hat{x}) , whose L-tone detaches except in prepausal position.

 $\dots x^{i}$] [y...

leftward downstep: the entire word ending in syllable "x" is variably pitch-dropped under the influence of an emphatically pronounced word beginning with syllable "y," especially $k\hat{u}l$ 'all' and interjection-like adjectival intensifiers, as in $\hat{n}j\hat{e}y^{i}$ $k\hat{u}l$ 'all of them' and $f\hat{u}mb\hat{u}^{i}$ $d\hat{u}s$ 'very rotten'. Leftward downstep is a device to make the emphatic word "y" more prominent, and is essentially intonational rather than tonal

...*x*¬] [*ý*...

weak leftward downstep: a more local, low-level tone dissimilation whereby (the coda of) a H-toned final syllable in the first word optionally drops slightly in pitch before a word with initial H-tone, the effect being to make the word boundary more clearly audible. This can happen whether the "y" syllable is lexically H-toned or has been raised by rightward raising. This feature is usually not indicated in transcriptions other than narrow phonetic transcriptions.

 $\dots x^{\dagger} = y \dots$

leftward upstep: a clitic-like L-toned monosyllabic element (3Sg \grave{a} , 2Pl imperative \grave{wo}) is variably pitch-raised before a word beginning in L-toned syllable "y." A few high-frequency $\^{Cv}$ morphemes ($\^{ne}$ 'say', dative $\^{se}$, postposition $\^{do}$ 'chez') are optionally pronounced with L-tone and in this case they too can trigger upstep of the preceding morpheme. (There is no rightward upstep.)

...x] [† \acute{y} ...

rightward raising: a single underlying L-toned syllable \dot{y} is raised to H-tone (indistinguishable from underlying H-tone) by the preceding element. The raising is local and does not extend to syllables farther to the right. It can be triggered by a floating H-tone (§3.9.1.5), by a finalsyllable H-tone, or by tonal dissimilation. Floating H is especially associated nominal/adjectival with the suffixes -o + H (singular) and -ey + H (plural), independently of the tone of the suffixal vowel. The final H-tone of words like kóy 'go' can also spread to the first syllable of the following word. Finally, the combination of {L}-toned noun plus {L}-toned adjective triggers a dissimilation rule raising the first syllable of the adjective. When the "y" syllable has been raised in this fashion, if the "x" syllable is also H-toned it undergoes optional weak leftward downstep.

...*x*[] [¹]*y*...

rightward raising plus rightward downstep: This is common when the conditions for rightward raising are met (see above), and where the final "x" syllable on the preceding word is $\langle HL \rangle$ -toned. This happens in combinations like $\langle z+H \rangle$ [$\hat{x}+H \rangle$ [$\hat{y}.../$, where we first get $\langle z \rangle$ [\hat{x}] [$\hat{y}.../$ by double application of rightward raising, then the falling-toned "x" syllable delinks its final L-toned segment, which causes downstep on "y." The effect is that a final LH tone sequence on "x" is shifted to the following word "y."

...*x*↑] [y...

leftward raising: a final underlying L-toned syllable $/\dot{x}/$ is raised to H-tone by the following morpheme, which we can represent with a preceding floating H-tone, hence $/...\dot{x}$] [H+y.../ becomes ... \dot{x}] [y.... This applies to {L}-toned words before strong definite H+di, demonstrative $H+w\hat{o}$, imperfective $H+\dot{w}$, and $H+g\hat{o}$: 'be (somewhere)'.

x↓] [ý...

leftward lowering: one of a small set of semantically light nouns x ('place', 'time', 'thing', 'person') with underlying H- or $\langle HL \rangle$ -tone is has its tones categorically lowered to $\{L\}$ -tone by a following relative $k\hat{a}$ or quantifier $k\hat{u}l$ 'all', underlying /... x $\acute{y}.../$ or /... x $\acute{y}.../$

...x] [$\uparrow \acute{y}_1$... $\acute{y}_2 \uparrow$] z... **raising on both flanks**: L-toned element $/\mathring{y}_1$... $\mathring{y}_2/$ has **both** of its peripheral syllables raised, due to the independent effects of the two flanking elements. This combines rightward raising with leftward raising. When the medial word has three syllables, it appears with H.L.H tone. When it has two syllables, as in $p \grave{o} n \uparrow w \acute{o} \uparrow y - \acute{o} \uparrow \uparrow d \acute{i}$ 'that

(same) woman of theirs' from $/n \circ \eta + H$] [woy- $\circ + H$] [H+di/, outputs are somewhat variable, but in theory the 'y- $\circ \uparrow$ syllable should be a downstepped H.

Readers are invited to practice converting my transcriptions into underlying forms that bring out the phonological and especially morphological structure. Examples are in (5).

(5)	transcription	underlying	gloss
	hà:r-ò †kâ	/hà:r-ò+H kà/	'The man came.'
	[hà:r-ó† dì] †kâ	$/h\dot{a}:r-\dot{o}+H H+d\dot{i}+H k\dot{a}/$	'That (same) man came.'
	hà:r-ò gá ⁴bú:	/hà:r-ò+H gâ bú:/	'the man who died'
	ì ∱nám ⁺∱kâ	/ì + H nàm + H kà/	'I will come.'
	á∱=ý=ý ⁴kárú	/à ŋ̂ ŋ̂ kárú/	'She will hit him.'
	wò⁺ kà	/wò kà/	'Come-2Pl!'
	háns-éy⁺ kûl	/háns-éy+H kûl/	'all the dogs'
	hàŋ↓ kâ	/hán kâ/	'(the day) when'
	háns-éy¬ kóy	/háns-éy+H kóy/	'The dogs went.'

Above all, remember that the full arrows $\{\uparrow \downarrow\}$ index changes in the phonological tone of at least one syllable, and this change is already indicated in the transcription. By contrast, the downstep and upstep diacritics do not alter the regular phonological tones, whose transcriptions are otherwise unaffected. It is not possible to automatically convert the transcriptions into complete underlying forms, even at word level. This is because floating H tones (notably after nominal/adjectival suffixes) have no audible effect when preceding an already H-toned morpheme, in which case there is no indication of their underlying presence in my transcriptions.

1.5 Literature review

Robert Nicolaï, the dean of Songhay scholars, undertook several weeks of survey work on Songhay dialects in Mali and Burkina Faso during the years in which he taught at what is now the university in Niamey, Republic of Niger.

His report (Nicolaï 1979) on "le songhay central" includes data from Hombori but also from the Marensé variety spoken in Burkina Faso. It therefore remains valuable for comparative Songhay. Most of the issues with his transcriptions involve tones; a short stay was nowhere near long enough to plumb the depths of the unique HS tone system, which I only sorted out after many months of fieldwork.

Nicolaï also made many tape recordings from Hombori and Marensé as part of a large compilation including many Songhay languages. This is potentially a valuable tape archive for future research.

1.6 Acknowledgements

The fieldwork on HS was done on the margins of fieldwork focused initially on the main riverine Songhay languages (KCh/DjCh and KS), and later on Tamashek and Dogon languages. The HS (and TSK) publications are therefore by-products of the following grants, along with periodic bridging support from the University of Michigan: NSF (National Science Foundation) BNS 9020409 "Timbuktu-Djenné Songhay" 1991-94, NEH (National Endowment for the Humanities) RT-21610-94 "Grammar/Text/Dictionary of Songhay" 1995-97, jointly funded NSF BCS-9816324 & NEH PA-23375 "Tamashek (Tuareg) language of Mali" 1999-2002, Fulbright Research grant (African Regional Program) 2000-01, NEH PA-50643 "Dogon languages of Mali" 2004-06, and NSF 0537435 and 0853364 "Dogon languages of Mali" 2006-2012.

Since 1989 I have made annual trips to Mali, minimally for 2-3 summer months, and on several occasions beginning in 1990 I found a week or two to work on HS. Under normal circumstances I would have finished the HS grammar and dictionary long ago. However, I ran into difficulties with the tonology whose resolution required a more substantial, unbroken period of time for follow-up fieldwork, and it was not until 2005 and 2006 that I found the time for this. The Linguistics Dept. of the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology (Bernard Comrie, Director) allowed me to work on the HS grammar in Leipzig for two months in 2001, joined for one month by my primary Hombori informant who came from Mali for the purpose. The final revision of the grammar, which required line by line, example by example rpewriting, was done mainly in 2011 with some retouching in 2014. 2011 was also the year when, equipped (at long last) with a vehicle, I was able to survey and photograph most of the HS-speaking villages.

In Mali, most linguistic and applied linguistic research on Songhay languages has focused on KS (Songhay of Gao), which has been chosen as the standard for Songhay literacy programs. Nonetheless, I again acknowledge the assistance and support from the Songhay unit of the former DNAFLA (now mostly in the Institut de Langues), including Mssrs. Maiga, Haidara, and Touré. I also acknowledge the support of the staff of CNRST, specifically Mssrs. Guindo and Maiga.

For assistance with logistics and lodging in Hombori I thank Mr. Hamidou Baillo Samassekou of Mopti (who arranged my first trip there), my hosts Mssrs. Boukari Baillo and Oumar Maiga (nickname Demal), my assistants Abdoulsalam Maiga, Abderrahman Maiga (aka Dourcy), and Boubacar Maiga, and several persons (who must remain anonymous) who participated in the tape recordings.

2 Sketch of Grammar

2.1 Basic Morphosyntactic Features

In some respects, HS fits into the broader typological profile of eastern Songhay. Some features of HS that are shared with several of the other languages are given in (6). **MAN** is the cover term for mood-aspect-negation morphemes.

- (6) Features shared with other Eastern Songhay languages
 - a. constituent order S-MAN-O-V-X for canonical transitives that involve impact or creation ('hit', 'cut', 'make'); these are also referred to as **OV verbs**
 - b. constituent order S-MAN-V-X (with O somewhere in X) for non-impact transitives ('see', 'obtain'); these are referred to as **VO verbs**; within the postverbal string (X), pronominals (including pronominal PP's) normally precede nonpronominal NPs and adverbs (arguably the pronominals are enclitics to the verb).
 - c. NP-internal order excluding pronominal possessors is: Possessor N Adj Num Dem, then 'all' and discourse-functional elements
 - d. adpositions postpositions after full NPs, except that 'with' is a preposition.
 - e. special 3Sg object (3SgO) suffix for VO verbs and for the 'with' preposition.
 - f. nonsubject NP or adverbial phrase is focalized by fronting.
 - g. clausal complements take the form of inflected indicative or subjunctive clauses, or infinitival VP's with no subject or MAN marking.
 - h. a range of serial verbs with infinitival VP complements.
 - i. MAN inflections are subjunctive, negative, and imperfective (in that linear order), allowing the sequences [subjunctive + negative] and [negative + imperfective], but not #[subjunctive + imperfective]; the combination [negative + imperfective] is expressed by a portmanteau.
 - j. the 'with' preposition is used in instrumental and comitive functions, and as an 'and' conjunction for NP's and adverbs, but is not used as a conjunction with VP's or clauses.
 - k. pronouns have distinct independent and clitic forms; the full independent 3Sg and 3Pl pronouns are also used, in logophoric function, in positions otherwise calling for third person clitics.
 - 1. analytic reciprocals of the literal type "...with friend.
 - m. no pronominal subject agreement in the verb (except imperatives).
 - n. verbal valency-changing derivational suffixation (causative, passive).
 - o. nominal suffixation for definiteness and plurality, added (once) at the end of the core NP (maximally noun-adjective-numeral) but before a demonstrative or other late-NP element.

p. all-purpose locative postposition, translatable in context variously as locative, allative, or ablative depending on verb semantics ('sit' versus 'arrive' versus 'depart'); directional nuances are specified by verbs.

The features of HS in (7) seem to be shared only with KS.

(7) Features shared with Koyraboro Senni (Songhay of Gao)

- a. an intransitivizing verbal suffix in -à with L-toned stem, used both for unspecified-object and for (medio-)passive.
- b. a clause-type consisting formally of (reconstructed) matrix verb *nàn plus infinitival VP, used in perfect contexts, including counterfactual conditional antecedents.
- c. use of the original definite suffix as a third person (in some cases just 3Sg) possessor suffix with kin terms (this is limited to a few kin terms in KS, but is widespread with kinship and body-part nouns in HS).

The features in (8) are more idiosyncratic, and in most cases they are unique to HS within eastern Songhay.

(8) Idiosyncratic Features of HS

- a. a new singular-subject **imperative** with suffix $-\eta$ (arguably $-\hat{\eta}$).
- b. two new pronominal possessor suffix paradigms, one for **alienable** nouns (with first or second person possessor) and one for **inalienable** nouns (with any possessor); the inalienable paradigm is closely related to an equally new pronominal suffix paradigm for postpositions.
- c. the quasi-verb 'be', and certain imperfective particles, are suppleted by alternative morphemes when a NP or adverb is extracted, i.e. focalized or relativized on; somewhat similar phenomena occur in TSK and KS but the details are different.
- d. the original definite singular form of a noun (with definite singular suffix *-ŏ: or allomorph) is now in many cases simply the (phrase-)final (and lexically basic) form of the noun, used in the absence of a following modifier, without regard to definiteness; for such nouns, the original unsuffixed indefinite stem may survive as a nonfinal form used before a modifier, but some nouns have new nonfinal forms in -u that have been back-formed from final-singular (originally definite singular) -o+H; some nouns, by contrast, still use the original unsuffixed stem in final as well as nonfinal position and make little or no use of a suffixed definite form.
- e. the original locative postposition *la or *ra found in other Songhay languages is lost, replaced by extending the range of postposition *gà*: (originally 'on, against').

- f. relativization and focalization (i.e. the two extraction processes) of direct objects require a place-holding invariable 3Sg resumptive pronominal in the original object position; this applies both to VO and OV verbs.
- g. a new future particle $n \ge m + H$.
- h. a new 3Pl pronominal clitic *pop* (with various tones).

2.2 Segmental phonology

HS has **five vowel qualities** (*i e a o u*). This is typical of Songhay, except for the varieties spoken on the southern flank of the language family (DjCh, TSK, and urban Dendi in central Benin), where seven-vowel systems occur.

The segmental phonology is very simple. There is no notable vowel harmony like that of TSK. Two diagnostic phonological features that place HS in Eastern Songhay are a) maintenance of the phonemic distinction between *z and *j (palatal stop), which merge as j in western Songhay; and b) a set of verbs of shape $C\acute{a}$: such as $b\acute{a}$: 'be numerous', with monophthongal vowel, which correspond to diphthongal pronunciations in western Songhay (KCh $bow < *b\acute{a}w$).

Of particular comparative importance is that fact that HS preserves the original distinction between **short-voweled** *Cv and **long-voweled** *Cv: noun and verb stems, e.g. *bà* 'want' versus *bá*: 'be many'. There are vestiges of this in TSK and in urban Dendi, but only HS seems to cleanly preserve the original vowel-length oppositions in monosyllabic stems.

2.3 Tonology

The driving force in HS phonology is its tonal system, which is exceptionally subtle and complex. The basic syllable-level tones are the simple tones H[igh], L[ow], and the contoured tone <HL>. By contrast, rising <LH> and bell-shaped <LHL>, known in nearby Tondi Songway Kiini and reconstructible for Proto-Eastern Songhay, do not occur in HS.

Verb, noun, and adjective stems are all subject to various tone rules, both word-internal and phrasal. The **word-internal** changes can be summarized in (9)

(9) a. verbs:

- 1. Valency-changing derivational suffixes (such as causative and potential passive) impose a stem-wide tone melody, {H} or {L} depending on the suffix.
- 2. Some verbs distinguish transitive from intransitive forms by tones.
- 3. Special tone patterns for singular-subject imperative verbs point to a possible underlying <HL>-toned suffix /-ŋ/ that is often realized only by tonal changes (**Tonal Rhythm**, §3.9.4.1).
- 4. The nasal in the suffix $-\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$ 'with' syllabifies with a preceding vowel, which must be or become H-toned since a rising tone is not allowed.

b. nouns

- 1. Since a syllable containing final/definite singular -o ~ -a: or definite plural -ey does not allow <HL> tone, while the nonfinal form does allow it, there are some regular alternations such as H.L-toned final/definite singular CvC-ò versus H.<HL>-toned nonfinal CvC-û.
- 2. aside from tonally regular nouns with a lexical {H}, {L}, {HL}, or {LHL} melody, there are some tonally aberrant nouns whose nonfinal melody is distinct from their final/definite melody, the types being {H}/{L}, {HL}/{H}, and {HL}/{L} (§4.1.2.3-4).
- 4. the innovated alienable and inalienable pronominal-possessor paradigms have complex tonal patterns, most of which can be handled by Tonal Rhythm.

c. adjectives

- 1. The tonal relationhips between nonfinal (NF) and final/definite singular (Fin/Def Sg), and between possessed and unpossessed, are generally like those for nouns.
- 2. In a noun-adjective sequence with both stems {L}-toned, the first syllable of the adjective becomes H-toned by Adjectival Tone-Raising (§3.9.4.2).
- 3. There is generally a related intransitive verb, often distinguished from the adjective by tone.

Further tonal processes occur when **words are combined** into phrases. Some words are followed by a **floating H-tone** that is usually realized as H-tone on the first syllable of the following word. A handful of grammatical morphemes have a similar preceding floating H-tone. Most other interword tonal processes involve left-to-right migration of tones. Very often a word-final <HL> syllable is realized as H-tone plus **delinked L-tone**, which in turn is realized as **downstep** on the following word (§3.9.5.9). For the transcriptional conventions used to indicate tonal modifications, see §1.4 above. For detailed coverage see §3.9 and references there to the relevant morphosyntax chapters.

3 Phonology

3.1 Consonants

The consonants, with marginal ones in parentheses and with IPA equivalents in square brackets, are the following. Superscripted ⁿ rather than tilde indicates nasalization

laryngeal

(10) Consonant phonemes

labial

 $\begin{array}{cccc} (p) & t & c & k \\ b & d & j = [\, \mathfrak{f}\,] & g \end{array}$

alveolar (alveo-)palatalvelar

There are many borrowings from Fulfulde. This language has a series of stops that are traditionally written as **implosives** $\{b \ d \ f \ d'\}$, but in the local dialect they are generally **preglottalized**. Non-Fulbe often pronounce them as simple voiced stops $\{b \ d \ j \ g\}$ when speaking L2 Fulfulde, for example in weekly markets or when interacting with Fulbe herders or milk-sellers. Such voiced stops typically occur in borrowings into HS. However, since many HS speakers also speak native-like Fulfulde, they sometimes pronounce these consonants as preglottalized voiced stops.

Of interest is the absence of phonemic **alveopalatal sibilants** $\check{s} = [f]$ and of $\check{z} = [g]$. Such sibilants are more common in KS. Even in the French spoken by students in Hombori there is usually no distinction between e.g. *casser* and *cacher*.

The status of certain consonants in native Songhay vocabulary is discussed in subsections below.

3.1.1 Velar $\{k \ g \ \eta\}$ versus palatal $\{c \ j \ n\}$ before front vowels

It is unclear whether, before an original front vowel $*\{i e\}$, Proto-Eastern Songhay phonemically distinguished velar stops, phonetic $*\{[k g]\}$, from palatal stops $*\{[c t]\}$ or even palatoalveolar affricates $*\{[t t]\}$. In HS there is considerable dialectal variation and speaker-internal fluctuation between phonetic [ki] and [ci], [gi] and [ji], and [ji] and [ji]. Likewise before e instead of i. Some speakers consistently use a (front) velar articulation with little affrication, others have a more palatal

articulation with noticeable affrication on the release. A further complication is in quasi-reduplicative words like *gígírí* 'shiver', where the palatal version is heard as [jígírí] with only the first syllable affected. See §3.6.4 on palatalization as a synchronic process.

Velars and palatals are clearly distinct phonemes before low and back vowels $\{a \ o \ u\}$ and word-finally.

3.1.2 Labial stop p

p occurs in loans from Fulfulde and French, among other languages, e.g. $p\acute{a}tii!$ 'nonsense!' (<Fulfulde) and $p\grave{o}s\acute{o}g-\grave{o}$ (and variants) 'poison' (<French). Some items are of unknown etymology. In cases like the verb $s\acute{o}pt\hat{e}$ '(re-)plaster (walls)' (<Fulfulde), we could write either pt or bt as there is no opposition between b and p before voiceless stops (there is also an assimilated variant $s\acute{o}tt\hat{e}$).

3.1.3 Nasalized semivowels w^n and y^n

 w^n (nasalized w) patterns as a marginal allophone of g. The latter occurs in various positions: $g\hat{a}$: 'eat', $b\hat{a}g\hat{a}$ 'hippo', $b\hat{a}g$ 'need (new) clothes'. For more on g see §3.4.2, below.

The three stems in (11) undergo irregular vocalic as well as consonantal changes before possessor suffixes. The stem vowel is raised from o to u, and this is followed by $w^n \sim \eta$ before back vowels $\{o \ a\}$ and by $w^n \sim \eta \sim \eta$ (with η favored) before front vowel e. On velar/palatal alternations see §3.1.1.

(11) Cases of w^n

```
gloss stem before back V before front V 

'head' b \partial y = b u w^n - \partial + H \sim b u y - \partial + H ('his/her')
b u w^n - a y + H \sim b u y - a y + H ('your-Sg')
b u y - a y + H \sim b u y - a y + H ('your-èy + H ('my')

'eye' m \partial x = m u w^n - u \wedge y + H ('his/her')
m u w^n - u \wedge y + H ('your-Sg')
m u y - u \wedge y + H \sim m u w^n - u \wedge y + H ('my')
```

```
'rice' mò: mùw<sup>n</sup>-ó-nòŋ ('your-Sg')
mùp-ê ('my')
```

There are two parallel cases where the stem-vowel (before suffixes) is i (raised from e), and where the presuffixal consonant is y^n (i.e. nasalized y) alternating with or p before a vowel (12).

```
(12) Cases of y^n

gloss stem before back V before front V

'mouth' m\hat{e} miy^n-\acute{o} \sim min-\acute{o} ('his/her')

miy^n-\hat{a}\eta \sim min-\hat{a}\eta ('your-Sg')

miy^n-\hat{e}y \sim min-\hat{e}y ('my')

'tooth' h\hat{e}:" hiy^n-\hat{o} \sim hin-\hat{o} ('his/her')

hiy^n-\hat{a}\eta + H \sim hin-\hat{a}\eta + H ('your-Sg')

hiy^n-\hat{e}y + H \sim hin-\hat{e}y + H ('my')
```

The stems in (11) and (12) are inalienable nouns, except for 'rice' in (11). 'Rice' is only occasionally possessed, and its possessed forms may have been influenced by those of the partial homonym 'eye'.

It is difficult to determine whether the cases of w^n and of y^n are conditioned phonologically or whether they are (also) lexically specific. If the conditioning is purely phonological, the lenition of $/\eta$ / to w^n and of $/\eta$ / to y^n requires very precise environments: $/\eta$ / in $/\eta$ 0 and $/\eta$ 0 optionally lenites to y^n , and $/\eta$ 1 in $/\eta$ 2 and $/\eta$ 3 optionally lenites to y^n 5. The lenition does not occur between y^n 5 or between y^n 6 as in y^n 6 or between y^n 7. The lenition does not occur between y^n 8 as in y^n 9 or between y^n 9. The lenition does not occur between y^n 9 or between y^n 9 o

Even to the extent that a purely phonological conditioning is possible, the strong association of this lenition with a handful of high-frequency inalienable nouns is suspicious. Moreover, these stems show other irregularities in their presuffixal forms, including the raising of mid-height vowels to high, and a shift in some cases from Cv(:) to presuffixal CvC-.

 $n\hat{a}$ 'mother' has a 3Sg possessed form $n\hat{o}$: 'his/her mother' rather than $\#n\hat{a}\eta$ - \hat{o} or the like, as might have been expected with regular phonology. Comparison with plural $n\hat{a}w$ - $\hat{e}y \sim n\hat{o}w$ - $\hat{e}y$ 'mothers' (note the w) suggests an earlier 3Sg * $n\hat{a}w$ - \hat{o} (via intermediate * $n\hat{o}w$ - \hat{o}), but it has contracted for most speakers to $n\hat{o}$: (phonetic [$n\hat{o}$:]) and is now structurally opaque. KS has $n\hat{a}\eta$ - $n\hat{o}$ 0 (dialectally also $n\hat{o}\eta$ - $n\hat{o}$ 0) 'his/her mother'.

Lenition of original * \mathfrak{g} to w^n or y^n is fairly common in TSK, where it applies in a number of environments. TSK is subject to Dogon influence, unlike HS; w^n and y^n are common in Dogon languages.

3.1.4 Glottal stop ?

Of marginal phonemic status, the glottal stop occurs at the beginning of otherwise V-initial stems like $2\acute{a}nz\acute{u}r\^{e}$ 'in-law', $2\grave{a}n-d\grave{a}:m-\grave{o}+H$ 'chameleon' and $2\grave{i}z\^{e}$ 'child'. The glottal stop can be heard after a consonant as well as after a vowel. However, as compound final $2\grave{i}z\^{e}$ often loses its glottal and vowels contract across the boundary: $b\grave{i}nn-\grave{i}:z\^{e}$ 'accursed one' from $b\acute{u}nn\acute{u}$ 'curse'.

Some of the most clearly native Songhay instances are the few beginning in 2i, which also include ?isâ 'river', ?ibérê 'enemy', the numerals ?iddû '6' and ?i:yê '7', and adjectives beginning with absolute prefix allomorph 2i + H (§4.6.3). There is also a core of native Songhay elements beginning in ?a, such as 1Sg independent pronoun ?ây, 3FullSg pronoun ?ángâ, and two quantifiers ('all' and '1') with absolute allomorph ?à- (§4.7.1). There are plenty of other nouns beginning with ?a. Most are borrowings from Arabic (though usually filtered through Fulfulde) or less often Tamashek. Some other ?a-initial nouns are ethnobiological terms like the aforementioned $\frac{\partial a}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial n} = 0$ 'chameleon' that begin with a marginally segmentable ?an- (perhaps an old noun-class prefix, also found here and there in other languages of the region, including Dogon). In any event, all of the stems mentioned (except sometimes the 1Sg pronoun) have a clearly audible glottal stop phrase-internally, as in $a \uparrow = \eta \ ? an-da:m-o bey 'he/she knows the chameleon'. The$ same treatment applies to the handful of loanwords (from Fulfulde) beginning in ? plus another vowel: ?éndê 'aim at', ?ó:ldê 'be yellow', ?ú:l-ò 'leguminous herb sp., Senna (=Cassia) obtusifolia'.

There are no cases of stem-internal glottal stop, except in unassimilated pronunciations of foreign (mostly Fulfulde) words, e.g. $sù?úll\hat{a}$ alongside the more common $s\grave{u}g\acute{u}ll\hat{a}$ 'worry [noun]'.

The simple 3Sg clitic morpheme \grave{a} (in some contexts lengthened to \grave{a} :), and 1Sg subject clitic \grave{i} , do not allow glottal stop. They therefore undergo phonological interactions with the preceding morpheme in a way not possible with glottal-initial morphemes. See, for example, the combinations of 1Sg \grave{i} and 3Sg \grave{a} with preceding topic marker $k\grave{e}y + H$ in §8.4.3.

3.2 Oral vowels

HS has a 5-vowel system, doubled to 10 if long vowels are counted, plus a few nasalized vowels (§3.4.1). The basic **5x2 system** is shared with the riverine western and eastern Songhay languages (KCh, KS, Songhay-Kaado, Zarma, and riverine Dendi). The northern Songhay languages also have a basic 5-vowel system, but it is supplemented by Tuareg-style short \check{a} and schwa. By contrast, there is a belt of southern Songhay languages/dialects with 7 vowel qualities (DjCh, TSK, and the urban pockets of Dendi in Benin). The historical situation is not entirely clear, but the most southerly Songhay languages have been in contact with languages that have seven vowel qualities. The HS oral vowels are in (13).

(13) HS oral vowels

short
$$i$$
 e a o u long i : e : a : o : u :

The unmarked articulation of mid-height vowels is open [ϵ] and [δ] rather than closed [ϵ] and [δ]. The open allophones are heard most clearly in prepausal position, and in words like $b\hat{o}:s-\hat{o}$ [$b\hat{o}:s\hat{o}$] 'tamarind' with two or more mid-height vowels in consecutive syllables. These vowels have distinctly closed allophones when adjacent to high glides and in upgliding diphthongs, hence $b\acute{e}y$ [$b\acute{e}$ j] 'know', $h\grave{e}w$ [$h\grave{e}w$] 'wind', $k\acute{o}y$ [$k\acute{o}$ j] 'go', and $w\^{o}w$ [$w\^{o}w$] 'insult [tr]'. The allophonic variation is therefore dramatic in adjectival alternations like indefinite $2\hat{i}-1/k\acute{o}:g-\grave{o}w$ [$2\hat{i}k\acute{o}:g\grave{o}w$] versus definite $2\hat{i}-1/k\acute{o}:g-\grave{o}w$ [$2\hat{i}k\acute{o}:g\grave{o}w$] 'dry'. In spite of the phonetic variation, there is no indication that the mid-height vowels are splitting into closed and open phonemes.

3.3 Diphthongs

3.3.1 Short-nucleus diphthongs

The attested diphthongs with short vowel as nucleus are those in (14).

(14) Short-nucleus diphthongs

In KS and KCh, ey and ay are allophones of a single diphthongal "phoneme," as are aw and ow. The a-variant occurs after h and morpheme-initially, while the other (more homorganic) variant occurs after consonants other than h. In HS, this analysis does not work since ey and ay are distinct, as are aw versus ow. The opposition is especially clear after h (see examples below) but also occurs in a few other cases.

After y or a palatal $\{c \ j \ p\}$ it is difficult to distinguish ow from ew. That is, a mid-height vowel is torn between the palatal (or palatoalveolar) position of the preceding consonant and the back rounded position of the following semivowel. For my main informant no consistent oppositions exist in this position, hence $c\acute{e}w \sim c\acute{o}w$ 'study, read', $j\grave{e}w \sim j\grave{o}w$ 'thirst', $y\grave{o}w$ 'stranger, guest'. However, some other speakers have $c\acute{e}w$ and $j\grave{e}w$ with more consistent e nucleus, versus $y\grave{o}w$ with o, indicating an opposition. For 'show' I have recorded both $c\grave{e}wr\grave{u}$ and $c\grave{o}wr\grave{u}$. Even for speakers who tend to pronounce the nucleus as [o], the fact that preceding stops are usually pronounced as palatals rather than velars might be used as an indirect clue that the nucleus is phonemically /e/.

After w there is likewise no consistent contrast between ey and oy. Again, the mid-height vowel is pulled in opposite directions by the flanking consonants. An example is $w \dot{e} y n - \dot{o} + H \sim w \dot{o} y n - \dot{o} + H \sim \eta \dot{o} y n - \dot{o} + H$ 'sun' etymologically something like * $\eta^w \dot{o} y n \dot{e}$ (TSK $w^n \dot{o} y n \dot{e}$, Zarma $w \dot{a} y n \dot{o}$). The transcriptional variation reflects a range of pronunciations, including those intermediate between w e y and w o y. However, the nominal plural suffix -e y is always pronounced with e rather than $e v \dot{e} y$ (plural of demonstrative $v \dot{e} u \dot{e} v \dot{e} u \dot{e} v \dot{e} u$), which is therefore distinguishable segmentally as well as tonally from $v \dot{e} u \dot{e$

A nonexhaustive list of stems illustrating all the attested diphthongs follows.

- ey: béy 'know', dêy 'buy', sèy 'scatter', hèyn- $\partial + H$ 'millet', hèyl- $\partial + H$ 'cat', hèrêy 'be hungry', also all definite plural forms of nouns (háns-éy + H 'the dogs'), and 1Sg possessed forms of inalienable nouns (bà:b-èy + H 'my father').
- ay: 1Sg independent pronoun $?\hat{a}y$, $c\hat{a}yk-\hat{o}+H$ 'balanzan tree', $d\hat{a}y-\hat{o}+H$ 'well [noun]', $h\hat{a}y$ 'give birth', $h\hat{a}y$ '(space) be wide open', intensifying particle $l\hat{a}y!$, $l\hat{a}yn-\hat{o}+H$ 'vine sp. (Ipomoea)', particle $s\hat{a}b\hat{a}y!$ (in greetings).
- oy: bòy '(finger-)nail', góy 'work', hòy- $\partial + H$ 'green sauce', kóy 'go', intensifier lóy! (denoting clean-shaven scalp), sòy- $\partial + H$ 'tree snake', sóy-là:l-à: + H 'grass sp. (Dactyloctenium)', dòy-tàs- $\partial + H$ (and variants) 'sand'.
- *uy*: *dúybê* 'go seek one's fortune' (<Fulfulde), *húy* 'shoo! (to a cow)', no other examples.
 - iw: fiw 'phooey!' (indicates distrust), no other examples.
- ew: $f\acute{e}w$ 'explode', $h\grave{e}w-\grave{o}+H$ 'wind [noun]', $l\acute{e}w\eta g\^{a}l$ 'light' (<Fulfulde), $l\acute{e}wr-\grave{o}+H$ 'large sore'.
- aw: bàw 'monitor lizard', dáwrí 'jump over', gâw 'toothache', gàwl-ò+H 'wild date', háw-ó+H 'cow', háw 'tie'.
 - ow: bów 'break' (*bágú), dów 'uproot' (*dógú), dìnòw 'forget'.

The most common diphthongs are those whose nucleus is open a or a mid-height vowel (especially one that shares front/back and rounding features with the final semivowel). Nonhomorganic ew and oy are moderately common, while iw and uy are rare.

TSK is better than HS in maintaining oppositions among diphthongs, even in syllables beginning with y or w.

3.3.2 Long-nucleus diphthongs

The nucleus in Cv:y/w stems is always a:. The cases known to me are these:

a:y: gâ:y 'stand up, stop', hâ:y 'spend the mid-day' (KS hoy), ?íbâ:y 'desire [noun]', mà:y 'be out of sight', tá:y 'get wet', wâ:y 'milk [verb]'.

a:w: hâ:w 'be ashamed'.

The nucleus is not shortened in syllable-final position as in KS, hence HS à hâ:w 'he/she was ashamed' (=KS a haw).

3.4 Nasalized vowels and word-final nasal consonants

3.4.1 Nasalized vowels

The nasalized vowel phonemes recognized are those in (15).

(15) HS nasalized vowels

short —
$$e^n$$
 a^n o^n — $\log i:^n$ $e:^n$ $a:^n$ $o:^n$ $u:^n$

Underlying nasalized vowels are recognized only in the following morphemes: with **short vowel** $c\acute{e}h\acute{e}^n$ 'be in a hurry' (causative $c\acute{e}h-\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ 'accelerate', not e.g. $\#c\acute{e}h\acute{e}\eta-\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$), $h\acute{e}^n$ 'be worthless', $s\acute{a}h\^{a}^n$ 'be strong'; with **long vowel** $f\^{i}$: 'blow nose' (onomatopoeic), $h\acute{a}$: 'ask (inquire)', $h\acute{e}$: 'weep' (nominal $h\acute{e}$: 'weeping'), $h\acute{e}$: 'tooth' (nonfinal form), $h\acute{o}$: 'here, take this!' (imperative), and $f\acute{u}$: 'fart' (onomatopoeic). In all cases the nasalized vowel is stem-final and is preceded by h or f. For 'today', both $h\grave{o}\eta$ and $h\grave{o}^n$ have been heard (cf. TSK $h\grave{o}\eta$, Zarma $h\grave{o}^n$).

There are other cases of surface nasalized vowels due to optional low-level lenitions of syllable-final η before a fricative, sibilant, or largyngeal in sequences like $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta} 'f \acute{\iota} : s \acute{\iota}$, which is often heard as $[\acute{a}^n f \ddot{\imath} : s \ddot{\imath}]$ 'he/she swept it'. This suggests that cases like phonetic $[k\acute{u}^n f \mathring{\imath}]$ 'be worried' with stem-internal nasalized vowel plus one of these conditioning consonants, could be phonemicized as having nasal-initial clusters. I will transcribe them accordingly, e.g. $k\acute{u}nf \mathring{\imath}$, but there is no direct empirical evidence against phonemic representations like $k\acute{u}^n f \mathring{\imath}$.

3.4.2 Syllable-final nasal consonants

Stem- or word-finally there is an opposition between n, η , and a few cases of (consistently) nasalized vowel: $b\grave{a}:n$ 'be soft', $b\grave{a}\eta$ 'be in need of (new) clothes', and $h\acute{a}:^n$ 'ask'. However, there is a tendency toward nasal lenition in this position. n tends to merge with η , which in turn tends to dissolve (prepausally and before a fricative, sibilant, or laryngeal) into vocalic nasalization. We can view this as an inprogress chain shift of e.g. $an > a\eta > a^n$.

There is substantial variation, some speakers clearly distinguishing e.g. an, aŋ, and a^n , while others allow coalescence at least in normal allegro speech. In most cases where a V-initial suffix is added, the "true" form of the nasal consonant (usually n) is obligatory. Examples: verb $d\hat{e}n \sim d\hat{e}n$ 'forge (metal)', cf. $d\hat{e}n$ -à 'be forged' and noun $d\hat{e}n\hat{a}$: 'forge' with invariant n, likewise verb $b\hat{a}$:n 'be soft' (pronounced $[b\hat{a}:n] \sim [b\hat{a}:n]$), adjective $2\hat{i}-1/\hat{b}\hat{a}$:n-ò 'soft'.

Before a stop, affricate, or nasal, stem-final η (and to a lesser extent n) is subject to point-of-articulation assimilation.

Final m is usually more resistant to merger with η , but the subjunctive morpheme \hat{m} is now pronounced $\hat{\eta}$ by many speakers (except in the sequence $\hat{m} = \hat{a}$: with 3Sg

object morpheme). The verb 'put (somewhere)', which ends in m or n in different Songhay languages, is usually dam but was recorded as dan for some speakers.

I know of no case of stem- or word-final p.

3.5 Syllabification

3.5.1 General restrictions on particular consonants

For p see §3.1.2. For nasalized semivowels w^n and y^n see §3.1.3. For glottal stop ? see §3.1.4.

For most consonants, word-initial and intervocalic position are equally comfortable. However, tap r, IPA [r], is not common in initial position; for aerodynamic reasons it is more at home in intervocalic position. There are, however, a number of borrowings from Fulfulde and French that give HS a few more r-initial stems than some other Songhay languages, e.g. $r\acute{a}d\acute{e}$ or (with Fulfulde "implosive" stop) $r\acute{a}d\acute{e}$ 'tie (calf) to its mother', $r\acute{a}yt\acute{e}$ 'have a nightmare, speak in one's sleep', $r\grave{a}j\acute{o}$: (and variants) 'radio'.

Voiceless stops and r (as well as the sonorants listed above), may occur finally in interjection-like **intensifiers** (§9.2) such as rók! 'hot (spicy)', búp! 'fall down', sút! 'be quiet', túk! 'die', and fár! 'know'. I know of no interjection with final p (an accidental gap, or a principled one?). There are no cases of final h.

In syllable-final position inside a stem, disregarding geminate clusters, the common consonants are the sonorants except p, hence $\{m \ n \ p \ l \ r \ w \ p\}$. Note that r is possible here though it has a very restricted occurrence in word-final position; examples are $m\acute{a}rj\acute{i}$ 'assemble' and $w\acute{a}rg\acute{a}$ 'become fat'. As first member of clusters, p assimilates to the point of articulation of a following consonant, so within a stem it could be analysed as an archiphoneme (underspecified nasal).

3.5.2 Syllabic shapes of pronouns and grammatical morphemes

Since short-voweled Cv is a valid shape for HS noun and verb stems (see §3.5.3 just below), there is no major difference between the allowed canonical shapes for pronouns and other grammatical morphemes on the one hand, and lexical stems such as nouns and verbs on the other.

Grammatical morphemes are often of Cv shape, e.g. dative postposition $s\hat{e}$ and 1Pl clitic $y\hat{o} + H$. There are some grammatical morphemes of the shape V, e.g. 3Sg clitic \hat{a} (lengthened in some positions to \hat{a} :), 1SgS clitic $\hat{i} + H$, and (with initial glottal) the absolute morpheme used with adjectives and some numerals (variably $2\hat{a} - 2\hat{i} + H$). Other syllabic shapes are illustrated by the 1Sg independent pronoun $2\hat{a}y$, instrumental (quasi-)preposition $\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$, locative postposition $g\hat{a}$:, and future $n\hat{a}m + H$.

3.5.3 Syllabic shapes of monosyllabic stems

Monosyllabic stems may be of the syllabic shape Cv, CvC, or CvC. The initial C may be omitted. HS is unique among Songhay languages in clearly preserving a contrast of original *Cv versus *Cv: stems, which have elsewhere merged, usually as Cv: Vestiges of the *Cv shape occur in TSK, but only in $n\hat{e}$ 'say', two quasiverbs, and a few serial verbs that have fused to infinitival morpheme $k\hat{a}$ (cognate to HS infinitival $k\hat{u} + H$). One nonriverine Dendi dialect (city of Djougou) has merged all *Cv and *Cv: verbs that have simple H or L tones into a Cv shape, versus Cv: for those with contoured tones (<LH> or <HL>); length in these stems is therefore predictable from tone contours. In Zarma and Kaado, verbs that appear in published dictionaries in "Cv" form are (I strongly suspect) pronounced as [Cv:], there being no opposition between Cv and Cv: stems. To my knowledge, only HS preserves intact the original system of length oppositions in monosyllable noun and verb stems.

Cv verbs with short vowel are: $b\hat{a}$ 'want', $b\hat{i}$ 'get dark at night', $c\hat{i} \sim k\hat{i}$ 'speak, gossip', $d\hat{u}$ 'get, find', $f\hat{e}$ 'proclaim', $k\hat{a}$ 'come', $n\hat{e}$ 'say', $t\hat{a}$ 'accept', $t\hat{e}$ 'happen, occur', $t\hat{e}$ 'become (adverb)', $t\hat{e}$ 'come!' (suppletive, in singular imperatives), $y\hat{e}$ 'return' (and related serial-verb $y\hat{o}$ 'repeat, do again'), and $z\hat{a}$ 'take'. Of these, $n\hat{e}$ requires independent subject pronominals (e.g. 1Sg $2\hat{a}y$) rather than the usual subject proclitics (e.g. 1Sg i+H); this is also true of $h\hat{e}^n$ 'be worthless' (§3.4.1). For the quotative verbs $c\hat{i} \sim k\hat{i}$ and $n\hat{e}$, see §6.1.9. The difference between H and <HL> tone on these Cv verbs is audible in isolation, and those with <HL>-tone ($b\hat{i}$, $f\hat{e}$, $n\hat{e}$, $t\hat{e}$, $y\hat{e}$) induce downstep on a following word that begins with a H-tone (§3.9.5.9), like $n\hat{o}n-d\hat{i}$ 'there', while $h\hat{e}^n$ with H tone does not. There is interspeaker variation as to the presence of the final nasal in $d\hat{i}n \sim d\hat{i}$ 'catch fire, be lit' and (with different tones) in $d\hat{i}n \sim d\hat{i}$ 'catch'.

Short-voweled Cv nouns are: $c\acute{e}$ 'time(s), instance(s)' (used only with a following quantifier), $h\hat{u}$ 'house', $m\hat{e}$ 'mouth', and $p\hat{a}$ 'mother'. The other Cv stems are interrogative $f\hat{o}$ 'which?' (§8.2.2.5) and a noun-like morpheme $m\grave{e}$ used in spatiotemporal interrogatives (§8.2.3.3).

Cv: verbs with long vowel are: $b\acute{a}$: 'be abundant', $b\acute{i}$: 'spin (cotton string)', $b\acute{u}$: 'die', $c\acute{e}$: 'call, summon', $d\acute{i}$: 'see', $f\grave{o}$: 'greet', (quasi-verb) $H+g\grave{o}$: 'be (somewhere)', $h\acute{e}$: "weep', $h\acute{i}$: $h\acute{i}$: 'lend' (borrowed from KS), $h\acute{o}$: 'hunt', $k\grave{a}$: 'take away, remove', $k\acute{u}$: 'be long, tall', $m\acute{a}$: 'hear' (VO verb), $m\acute{a}$: '(rains) be sufficient', $n\acute{o}$: 'give', $n\grave{a}$: 'eat', (quasi-verb) $s\acute{i}$: 'not be', $s\acute{i}$: 'test', $t\acute{a}$: 'sew', $t\acute{e}$: 'make, do', $t\acute{o}$: 'arrive', $w\acute{a}$: 'defecate', $w\acute{i}$: 'kill', $z\acute{e}$: 'swear an oath', $z\acute{i}$: 'swim', and $z\acute{u}$: 'sip'.

Cv: nouns are $b\hat{a}$: 'share, portion', $b\hat{e}$: 'borassus palm', $b\hat{i}$: 'shadow', $b\hat{i}$: 'wound', $b\hat{i}$: 'yesterday', $c\hat{e}$: 'foot', $d\hat{u}$: 'chaff', $g\hat{a}$: 'body', $g\hat{a}$: $\sim g\hat{a}$: 'temporary campground' (uncommon word), $h\hat{i}$: 'boat', $h\hat{i}$: 'rheumatism', $h\hat{u}$: 'blister beetle', $j\hat{i}$: 'butter, oil', $k\hat{u}$: 'baobab tree', $k\hat{u}$: 'yam', $k\hat{u}$: 'length, tallness', $m\hat{a}$: 'name', $m\hat{o}$: 'eye', $m\hat{o}$: 'rice', $t\hat{u}$: 'wooden bowl', and $z\hat{u}$: 'ribbon, strip'. Many of these stems occur more often in bisyllabic final/definite forms, e.g. $b\hat{i}y$ - \hat{o} +H 'shadow'. To these may be added the numerals $f\hat{o}$: '1' and $g\hat{u}$: '5', the adverb $n\hat{e}$: 'here', and the particle $z\hat{a}$: 'since'. For the few cases of Cv:" with nasalized vowel, see §3.4.1.

CvC with final sonorant is common in verbs and nouns. Among dozens of examples are bòŋ 'head' and bén 'end (verb)'. For intensifiers with other final consonants see §9.2.

Cv:C with final sonorant is a stable stem-shape in HS (unlike KS, where underlying Cv:C shortens to CvC except when resyllabified before a V-initial suffix). The stems with long diphthongs (a:y, a:w) were listed in §3.3.2. There are also several verbs ending in n. These are $b\hat{a}$:n 'be soft', $d\hat{o}$:n 'sing', $d\hat{o}$:n 'be lightweight', $g\hat{a}$:n 'dance', $k\hat{a}$:n 'be sweet', $k\hat{o}$:n 'bare, empty', $g\hat{a}$:n 'be knowledgeable about', and $z\hat{e}$:n 'get old'. For some speakers the n is realized as vocalic nasalization prepausally or before fricatives, sibilants, and h: $[b\hat{a}$:n], etc. However, even for these speakers the nasal consonant is always audible before a V-initial suffix or before a nasal or obstruent: $2\hat{a}$ - $2\hat$

3.5.4 Syllabic shapes of nonmonosyllabic stems and words

Longer stems and words are built up from Cv, Cv:, and CvC (rarely Cv:C) syllables. If the initial glottal stop ? is considered to be nonlexical, we could add cases like ?álfâ 'marabout' that could be considered to begin with a V-initial syllable. There are no cases of word-internal vocalic hiatus of the sort common in TSK.

 $?àdd\acute{a}h\^{a}:r$ 'banquet, feast' (<Arabic) is a rare example of a stem of more than one syllable with a final superheavy Cv:C syllable. The common Arabic exclamation 'God is great!' is pronounced in HS with a final short or long a(:), as $?\grave{a}ll\^{a}:wk\grave{u}b\^{a}(:)r$.

For initial *CCv* instead of *Cv*, see §3.5.8.

3.5.5 Final long vowels in nonmonosyllabic stems

Excluding monosyllables, final long vowels are fairly uncommon in HS stems. The most common cases with final long vowels are final/definite singular forms in $-\hat{a}$; with nouns whose nonfinal form ends in a or ow, as in $?álf-\hat{a}: +H$ 'marabout'. The other final/definite singular allomorph -o+H (tone lexically variable) is short, but the singular demonstrative suffix (§4.4.1) is $-\hat{o}$: with long vowel, hence $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}+H$ '(the) dog' but $h\acute{a}ns-\^{o}$: 'this dog'.

The noun $?iz\hat{e}$ 'child' has the following possessed forms (interpreted as kin terms): $?iz-\hat{o}: +H$ 'his/her child', $p\hat{o}p$ $?iz-\hat{o}:$ 'their child', $?iz-\hat{o}:-n\hat{o}p$ 'your-Sg child', $?iz-\hat{e}:$ 'my child'. Note the long suffixal vowels and the initial H-tones. This paradigm is a **mix of inalienable (third person) and alienable (1st/2nd person)** possessive affixes. Nouns that have a final/definite singular form in $-\hat{a}: +H$ also take long-V possessive suffixes (final/definite singular $?ilf-\hat{a}:$, nonfinal $?ilf-\hat{a}:$ 'marabout', possessed $?ilf-\hat{e}:$ 'my ...'). Alienable nouns with final/definite singular -o + H and inalienables with third person possessor suffix -o, with the exception of $?iz\hat{e}$, have short vowels in possessive suffixes (inalienable $b\hat{a}:b-\hat{o}+H$ 'his/her father', alienable $b\hat{a}:b-\hat{o}+H$ 'his/her father', alienable $b\hat{a}:b-\hat{o}+H$ 'his/her father', alienable

Even in (frozen) iterations whose first part ends in a long vowel, the second part has a short vowel in the unsuffixed nonfinal form: fúnà:-fúnâ 'bush sp.', búlà:-búlâ 'water lily fruit'. There are many nouns borrowed from Arabic or Tamashek that have final short (not long) a in the unsuffixed nonfinal form (which in many cases is also used as the nonfinal form). Examples: ?àbájáddâ 'alphabet' (<Arabic), ?áddâ 'machete' (<Tamashek), ?ájâ 'waterbag in well' (<Tamashek), ?àmá:nâ '(sacred) agreement' (<Arabic), wúrâ 'gold' (<Tamashek).

In a few borrowed nouns it appears that a w has been added at the end to avoid a final long vowel. Possible examples are $b\acute{a}l\acute{a}:w-\grave{o}+H$ 'scourge, disaster' (<Arabic), $d\grave{a}b\acute{a}:w-\grave{o}+H$ 'type of hoe' (regional word), $f\acute{a}d\acute{a}:w-\grave{o}+H$ 'blessing rite for deceased' (<Arabic).

In compounds, if the second element is a *Cv:* noun it retains its length: *bé:né-hí:* 'airplane' ("sky-vehicle"). This does not apply to the frozen compound *cìrò:-bìyà* 'guinea-fowl' (originally "bird-black-Dimin," more transparently so in other Songhay languages), whose nonfinal form is either *cìrò:-bìyà* or *cìrò:-bî*.

The few nonborrowed, uncompounded nouns I know of that always have a final long vowel, even in nonfinal forms (i.e. before a numeral or adjective), are these: $d\acute{e}n\acute{a}$: 'forge' ($d\acute{e}n\acute{a}$: forge' ($d\acute{e}n\acute{a}$: forge'), $c\acute{e}r\acute{a}$: 'flank (at ribs)', the archaic noun $c\acute{e}$: 'small hatchet'. Perhaps $b\acute{a}$: $y-\grave{a}$: $y+\emph{H}$ 'nothing' belongs here, but I interpret it as ending in final/definite singular $y+\emph{h}$: $y+\emph{h}$: (it cannot be modified or possessed so the morphology is opaque). There is an interjection $y+\emph{h}$: 'yes!'.

A few borrowed nouns have come in with a final long vowel. These are ?àddúhá: 'late morning' (<Arabic), ?àttê: 'tea' (<dialectal Arabic), bàtô: 'large boat, steamer' (<French), and bírô: 'office' (<French), and perhaps a few other recent French loans. However, French cahier has come in as káyyê 'notebook' with short ê. There is a clause-initial particle ?àmmá: 'however, ...', ultimately from Arabic.

The long-V nouns, unlike short-V nouns, preserve their final vowel when a possessive suffix is added. This applies to native and borrowed nouns. -y- is inserted

as an epenthetic consonant (§3.7.1.9), hence *déná:-y-è* 'my forge', *?àtté:-y-è* 'my tea', *bàtó:-y-è* 'my boat' versus e.g. *háns-è* 'my dog' and *káyy-è* 'my notebook'. For 'flank (at ribs)', I have recorded both alienable *cérá:-y-è* and inalienable *cér-êy* 'my ...'.

Verbs are especially resistant to final long vowels. Stems borrowed from the productive French conjugation end in short \hat{e} , as in $z\acute{u}w\hat{e}$ 'play (a tape)' (<jouer). Such French verb borrowings fit into a pattern already established for Fulfulde verb borrowings, which also end in \hat{e} and have a ...H.<HL> tone pattern, e.g. $b\acute{o}r\hat{e}$ 'strip (tree)' (Fulfulde bor). $t\acute{a}mh\hat{a} \sim t\acute{a}mm\acute{a}h\hat{a}$ 'hope' ends in a short vowel.

3.5.6 Long vowels in nonfinal syllables in stems

Nonfinal Cv: syllables are common in nonfinal syllables. Superheavy Cv:C syllables or diphthongal counterparts (CvwC, CvyC) are rare. In nonborrowed forms, I can cite dw- \acute{a} :n- $d\grave{o}\eta$ as a variant of $d\acute{u}w$ - \acute{a} n- $d\grave{o}\eta$ 'at your-Pl place', a pronominal form of postposition $d\^{o}$ 'at the place of, chez' (§5.9.6). In the variant dw- \acute{a} :n- $d\grave{o}\eta$, the moraic value of the original u is transferred to the originally medial syllable.

3.5.7 Allowed and disallowed medial consonant sequences

All possible geminates except #hh and #?? are attested. All possible homorganic nasal-stop and nasal-affricate clusters are likewise attested.

rC is a common cluster type where C is an affricate or a nonhomorganic stop or nasal. Historical clusters of (tap) *r plus homorganic *{n t d} have disappeared except in archaic variants of some forms (§3.10.5).

There is also variation between pt (arguably /bt/) and geminated tt, hence $s\acute{o}pt\hat{e} \sim s\acute{o}tt\hat{e}$ '(re-)plaster (walls)'. The forms in question are probably all Fulfulde borrowings.

3.5.8 Stem-initial consonant clusters

Initial nasal-stop clusters are uncommon except in the high-frequency instrumental quasi-preposition ndu + H 'with; and'. HS has a number of flora-fauna terms beginning with 2an... (2an..., 2am...) plus stop, whose cognates in some other Songhay languages lack the initial 2a and therefore begin with a nasal-stop cluster. However, there is a noun (likely from Arabic) (m)bedd-a: 'paved road').

3.6 Consonantal assimilations and deletions

3.6.1 Nasal point-of-articulation assimilation

Velar nasal η regularly assimilates to the point of articulation of a following stop, affricate, liquid, or nasal. In addition to stems like $k\hat{a}\eta$ 'fall' and grammatical morphemes like 3Pl clitic $\eta o \eta$, this applies to the various monosegmental η morphemes, notably 2Sg clitic $\hat{\eta}$ (and variants), transitive $\hat{\eta}$ (inserted between subject and direct object NPs if nothing else intervenes), 3Sg object clitic $\hat{\eta}$, and for some speakers subjunctive $\hat{\eta}$ (variant of \hat{m}).

To make morpheme recognition easier for readers, the normal transcription used in this work and in texts does not reflect the assimilation. $n \delta \eta \hbar \hat{a}$, phonetic $[n \delta \eta \hat{a}]$ 'they came', $n \delta \eta \hbar \hat{a}$ $[n \delta \eta \hat{a}]$ 'they wanted', $n \delta \eta \hat{a}$ ($n \delta \eta \hat{a}$) 'they studied', and $n \delta \eta \hat{a}$ $(n \delta \eta \hat{a})$ 'they pounded (in mortar)' illustrate the transcriptional conventions used.

There is a tendency for stem-final n to fall together with η and therefore become subject to assimilation (§3.4.2).

Stem-final labial m is not normally assimilated. The subjunctive morpheme \hat{m} has shifted to $\hat{\eta}$ for some speakers, as previously noted, and $d\hat{a}m$ 'put' has a variant $d\hat{a}\eta$. However, these cases of *m $\rightarrow \eta$ are independent of the following consonant and are best considered to be lenitions rather than assimilations historically.

3.6.2 Manner assimilation by liquids and nasals

Although most historical cases of stem-internal clusters of *r plus {d t n} have been simplified to geminates (§3.10.5), when r comes together with such a consonant at a boundary there is no assimilation: $b \acute{o}r d\grave{a}:r-\grave{o}+H$ 'light-skinned (African) person'. However, one notices a low-level tendency for the morpheme-final r in $h\grave{a}r$ 'man' to shift to l. This is not limited to position before {d t n}, and indeed the one case where the l-pronunciation is widespread and arguably lexicalized is $h\grave{a}l \uparrow b\acute{e}:r-\grave{o}+H$ 'adult man, old man' (alongside the regular but uncommon $h\grave{a}r \uparrow b\acute{e}:r-\grave{o}+H$). There are few r-final stems besides $b\grave{o}r$ (final/definite singular $b\grave{o}r-\grave{o}+H$) 'person' and $h\grave{a}r$ 'man' for reasons explained in §3.5.1 above.

I does not normally assimilate to a following nasal or other sonorant. However, the common particle $h\hat{a}l$ 'if, when' often contracts idiosyncratically with a following 2Sg subject clitic $\hat{n} + H$ or 1Sg subject clitic $\hat{i} + H$. Thus $h\hat{a}l$ \hat{n} $k\hat{o}y$ 'if you-Sg go' may be pronounced $[h\hat{a}nk\bar{o}j] \sim [h\hat{a}nk\hat{o}j]$, and $h\hat{a}l$ \hat{i} $k\hat{o}y$ 'if I go' is often heard as $[h\hat{a}jk\bar{o}j] \sim [h\hat{a}jk\hat{o}j]$. The extended form of the particle $h\hat{a}l$ itself, namely $h\hat{a}l$ - $n\hat{i}z$, does not undergo assimilation.

3.6.3 Semivowel assimilation

y does not assimilate to a following palatal consonant (as it does in KCh): $b \partial r - \dot{e} y$ $\uparrow c i n^4 d \hat{i}$ 'the people remained'. Nor does w assimilate to a following labial consonant: $h \dot{a} w m \hat{e}$ 'fast (abstain)', $\dot{a} \dot{w} b \dot{e} y$ '3Sg knows'.

3.6.4 Palatalization before front vowels $(g \rightarrow j, k \rightarrow c, \eta \rightarrow p)$

Another consonant subject to palatalization is the remaining velar consonant g, which usually becomes g before front vowels: $k\hat{a}g$ 'fall', $k\hat{a}g$ -éyndí 'cause to fall'; $k\hat{o}g\hat{a}$ 'female slave', $k\hat{o}g$ -è 'my ...'. Palatalization does not affect alveolar g0 ($k\hat{a}g$: g0).

When there are two successive syllables of this type in an uncompounded stem, for some stems palatalization is most often limited to the first syllable, though variant pronunciations are recorded and there is some lexicalization: $cikiri \sim kikiri$ 'rub', $cigin-o \sim kigin-o \sim cijin-o$ 'night', $ceket-o \sim keket-o$ 'seed spike of sorghum', and $jigiri \sim gigiri$ 'shiver, tremble'. Stems that usually have palatal sequences include jeje 'threaten', je:je 'load (donkey)', jejebu 'put in peril', and ce:ci 'look for' (less often ke:ki). The situation can be summarized by saying that the phonemic distinctions, before front vowels, between c and k, and that between j and j0, are weak.

Before nonfront vowels including *a*, there is a clear phonemic difference: *cámpî* 'be kidding' versus *kàmbè* 'hand', *já:bê* 'reply (verb)' versus *gá:bì* 'strength'.

When $\{k\ g\ \eta\}$ occur before a stem-final vowel (or certain diphthongs) in a noun, adjective, or verb, they are subject to palatalization when a derivational suffix beginning in a front vowel is added. A nominal example is bug-o+H 'hut' (bug-u) fo: 'one hut', bug-o-no 'your-Sg hut'), but palatalized buj-e+H 'the huts' and buj-e+H 'my hut'. A verb example is warga 'get fat', causative warj-eynda 'make fat'. Speakers who prefer unpalatalized articulations before front vowels have bug-e+H, bug-e, and warg-e+ynda, respectively. An example with k is mak-o+H 'thigh' (nonfinal maka) in the 1Sg possessor form mak-e+H 'my thigh', which varies with mac-e+H. An example with n is n is n in the n is n in the n in n

w palatalizes to y for some but not all speakers between a preceding u and a following front vowel. An example is $h\acute{u}w-\acute{o}+H$ 'house', definite plural variably $h\acute{u}w-\acute{e}y+H\sim h\acute{u}y-\acute{e}y+H$.

Sibilants $\{s z\}$ do not noticeably palatalize before front vowels in HS.

Given that $\{k \ g \ \eta \ w\}$ have palatal articulations $\{c \ j \ n \ y\}$ before $\{e \ i\}$ for many speakers, what do these speakers do when a stem that ends in $\{c \ j \ n \ y\}$ plus $\{e \ i\}$ in

a lexically basic unsuffixed form also occurs in a suffixal derivation where (after Prevocalic V-Deletion) the relevant consonant is followed by a suffixal nonfront vowel? An example is the numeral $t\acute{a}:c\acute{i}$ '4', absolute $?\grave{i}-t\acute{a}:c\acute{i}$, but definite singular $?\grave{i}-t\acute{a}:k-\acute{o}+H$ 'the four', never $\#(?\grave{i}-)t\acute{a}:c-\acute{o}+H$. For most nouns this issue does not arise, since for them the suffixed form with -o after a stable consonant is the lexically basic final-singular form, as with $f\grave{e}:g-\grave{o}+H$ 'sheep', which is unlikely to be reshaped to $\#f\grave{e}:j-\grave{o}+H$ by analogy to its nonfinal $f\grave{e}:j\grave{i}\sim f\grave{e}:g\grave{i}$.

With verbs, the relevant suffixal derivations are those with intransitiving $-\hat{a}$ added to a canonical transitive (unspecified object, or resultative passive), those with 3Sg object suffix $-\hat{a} \sim -\hat{a}$ added to a VO transitive, and zero-derived nominalizations that can take final/definite singular suffix -o + H. From $d\hat{e}:\hat{j}$ 'hang up' we get intransitive $d\hat{e}:g-\hat{a}$ 'be hung up' and a zero-derived nominalization $d\hat{e}:g-\hat{o}+H$ 'hanging basket' (nonfinal $d\hat{e}:g-\hat{u}$), suggesting underlying $/d\hat{e}:g\hat{i}$ for the verb. A VO verb allowing third person object suffixes is $h\hat{i}:\hat{j}\hat{i}$ 'marry', 3Sg object $h\hat{i}:g-\hat{a}$ 'marry her', pointing to a lexical representation $/h\hat{i}:g\hat{i}/a$.

3.6.5 Metathesis

I know of no cases of synchronically recognizable metathesis. One possible historical case is *cémbúrú* 'be clever', cf. KS *cerem* and Zarma *cáràm*.

Since sibilants do not palatalize, HS stems like nonfinal $h\acute{a}ns\^{i}$ 'dog' (final/definite singular $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}+H$) do not show palatalization-spreading of the type seen in KCh and KS $hay^n\breve{s}i$.

3.6.6 Syllable-final degemination

There are few underlying medial $/C_xC_x$ C/ clusters (and no underlying word-final $/C_xC_x$ / clusters) that would call for a syllable-final degemination rule. However, the rule does apply in the rare cases where it is relevant: /waraŋ ńdù njêy/ appears as waraŋ = ndu njey 'you-Pl and they', phonetic [warandunjej] with /ŋn/ \rightarrow /nn/(§3.6.1) \rightarrow n (degemination of /nn/ in syllable-final position before d).

3.7 Vocalic contractions, deletions, and length modifications

3.7.1 Contractions of vowels over word or morpheme boundaries

3.7.1.1 VV-Fusion across word boundary

Because HS "V-initial" nouns actually begin with glottal stop ?, there is less contraction of adjacent vowels over a word boundary in HS than in KS or KCh. Likewise, 3FullSg pronominal ?áŋgâ behaves like a ?-initial noun and does not normally undergo Vocalic contraction except in rapid speech.

It is almost as though the morphological evolution of HS has been engineered to avoid Vocalic contractions across word boundaries.

However, HS 3Sg clitic \grave{a} does still contract with a preceding morpheme-final low or mid-height vowel (but usually not i or u) to form a phonetic long vowel with the quality of the second input vowel. This type of contraction, with no loss of moras, is here called VV-Fusion; for word-internal cases see §3.7.1.6. The most frequent combination involves a PP (or a possessed NP) beginning with 3Sg clitic \grave{a} , especially in the high-frequence combinations withs $n\hat{e}$ 'say' or $n\acute{o}$: 'give'.

(16)
$$2\hat{a}y$$
 $n\acute{e} = [\acute{a}$ ${}^{4}s\acute{e}]$
 1SgS $\text{say} = [3 \text{Sg}$ $\text{Dat}]$
'I said to $3 \text{Sg}, \dots$ ' (phonetic [?ajná:sē])

In "elicition-ese" and similar slow-motion styles, informants do not always contract even in these maximally favorable combinations, hence [ìnéàsê] is a possible pronunciation.

VV-Fusion across word boundaries is therefore a marginal phenomenon in HS, typical of allegro speech and for the most part limited to the 3Sg clitic.

3.7.1.2 Treatments of VV sequences at stem-suffix boundaries

There are many V-initial suffixes that can be added to V-final stems. The most common cases involve a morpheme-final (e.g. stem-final) short vowel in a bisyllabic or longer stem, followed by a suffix-initial short vowel. The usual result is that the morpheme-final vowel is deleted with no lengthening of the suffix-initial vowel. For these cases, and some exceptions to this generalization, see §3.7.1.6-8 below.

The final stem vowel does not disappear if either a) the stem is monosyllabic, or b) the stem is nonmonosyllabic but the final vowel is long. Case (b) applies to a few noun stems like *?àttê:* 'tea'. These stems avoid contraction with a following V-initial

suffix by inserting a semivowel; see Intervocalic y/w-Insertion, §3.7.1.9 below. The stem-final vowel in such stems is usually a low or mid-height vowel; the only example with a high vowel is $k\hat{u}$: 'yam', discussed below.

3.7.1.3 Avoidance of vowel sequences at stem-suffix boundary

A V-final noun may avoid an otherwise inevitable VV sequence at a suffix boundary either by simply shunning the suffixes in question, or by activating an alternative C-final stem shape before V-initial suffixes.

3.7.1.4 Lexicalized prevocalic stem variants

For verbs, if the stem happens to have a lexically specified alternative prevocalic stem-shape such as Cv:C- or CvC-, the alternative shape is used before V-initial suffixes. This is the case with $b\hat{a}$ 'want', 3Sg object $b\hat{a}:g-\hat{a}$ 'want it'.

A few monosyllabic nouns also have idiosyncratic CvC- variants before V-initial suffixes. The inalienable stem $m\grave{o}$: 'eye' has a prevocalic variant $m\grave{u}w^n$ - (also $m\grave{u}\eta$ - $m\grave{u}\eta$ -). Another inalienable, $c\grave{e}$: 'foot', has prevocalic $c\grave{i}y$ - as in $c\grave{i}y$ - $\grave{e}y$ +H 'my foot'. In both cases, the mid-height vowel of the unpossessed form is raised to a high vowel.

The numeral $f\acute{o}$: '1' has dialectally variable prevocalic forms: definite singular $f\acute{v}$ - \grave{a} : $+H \sim f\acute{u}w$ - \grave{a} : +H.

The existence of such lexicalized prevocalic stem variants raises the question whether the seemingly regular alternations of Cu(:) with prevocalic Cuw-, and of Ci(:) with prevocalic Ciy-, discussed in the next section, should be considered as idiosyncratic (lexicalized) rather than phonological.

3.7.1.5 Homorganic-Semivowel Insertion (*Cuw-, Ciy-*)

When a stem ends in a long high vowel, one way to deal with a phonologically problematic VV cluster at a suffixal boundary is to convert the high vowel into a homorganic vowel-semivowel sequence. This is regular when the stem-final high vowel is long, but there are also some cases involving a short high vowel. Many of the examples are nouns, because of the productivity of V-initial suffixes, notably

final/definite singular -o + H and definite plural -ey + H. However, verbs also have some V-initial suffixal derivations.

(17) **Homorganic-Semivowel Insertion** (before V-initial suffix)

a. obligatory for monosyllabic and longer stems

$$u: \rightarrow uw$$
 $i: \rightarrow iy$

b. obligatory for monosyllabics, rare and lexicalized for longer stems

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u & \rightarrow & uw-\\ i & \rightarrow & iy- \end{array}$$

c. uncommon and lexicalized, monosyllabics only

$$\begin{array}{cccc} o: & \rightarrow & uw-\\ e: & \rightarrow & iy-\\ o & \rightarrow & uw-\\ e & \rightarrow & iy- \end{array}$$

In the productive pattern (17a), the input ends in a long high vowel. Noun examples are $t\hat{u}$: 'wooden bowl', final/definite singular $t\hat{u}w-\hat{o}+H$, and $s\hat{u}$:- $s\hat{u}$: 'light rain', final/definite singular $s\hat{u}$:- $s\hat{u}w-\hat{o}+H$. See §4.1.2.8 for a full list. For verbs, I can cite only two stems: a) $d\hat{i}$: 'see' with 3Sg object $d\hat{i}y-\hat{a}$ 'see 3Sg' and and potential passive $d\hat{i}y-\hat{e}ynd\hat{i}$ 'be visible', and b) $w\hat{i}$: 'kill', unspecified-object $w\hat{i}y-\hat{a}$ 'kill, do a killing'.

The pattern (17b) involves stem-final short high vowels. There is only one relevant Cv noun stem but it does fit this pattern: $h\hat{u}$ 'house' with final/definite singular $h\hat{u}w-\hat{o}+H$. The pattern is uncommon and lexicalized for nonmonosyllabic nouns; see §4.1.2.7 for a list. Turning to verbs, the only case is $d\hat{u}$ 'get, find' with 3Sg object $d\hat{u}w-\hat{a}$ 'get him/her/it' and potential passive $d\hat{u}w-\hat{e}ynd\hat{i}$ 'be available, obtainable'.

(17c) shows mid-height vowels being forced into patterns modeled on (17a) and (17b). There are two nouns with long vowel: $c\dot{e}$: 'foot' with possessed forms like $c\dot{v}y-\dot{o}+H$ 'his/her foot' and $c\dot{v}y-\dot{e}y+H$ 'my foot' (§3.7.1.5), and $h\dot{e}$:" 'tooth' with possessed forms like $h\dot{v}y^n-\dot{e}y+H$ 'my tooth', cf. (12) above.

Verbs with long vowel: *cé*: 'call, summon' with unspecified-object *cìy-à* 'call (out)', and *té*: 'do' with resultative passive *tìy-à* 'be done'. There is one relevant verb with a short vowel: *té* 'be' with suffixed 3Sg object form *tíy-à* 'be it'.

There is one noun of this type that has a short vowel: $m\hat{e}$ 'mouth' with possessed forms like miy^n - $\acute{e}y + H$ 'my mouth' (§4.2.4.1). I know of no verbs of this type.

The noun whose nonfinal form is $d\hat{e}y$ 'place' has an idiosyncratic prevocalic shape $d\hat{u}w$ - (final/definite singular $d\hat{u}w$ - δ +H, etc.). The etymologically related postposition $d\hat{o}$ 'chez, at the place of' (§5.9.6) has a pronominal paradigm with similar stem alternations.

Given the variable productivity of the alternations in (17a-c) in different contexts (noun versus verb, monosyllabic versus nonmonosyllabic stem), phonological analysis is difficult. In (17a) it looks as though the second mora of i: and u: desyllabifies before a V-initial suffix, automatically producing a homorganic semivowel. In (17b), by contrast, it looks as though an epenthetic semivowel is inserted between a stem-final vowel and a suffixal vowel. The cases in (17b) could perhaps be combined with another set of data that I treat under the rubric of Intervocalic y/w-Insertion (§3.7.1.9). The cases in (17c) are even less transparent and smack of secondary analogies to those in (17a-b).

3.7.1.6 VV-Fusion within words

The fusion of two short vowels into a long vowel, aligning quality features while preserving moras (**VV-Fusion**), is rare within words. For VV-Fusion involving 3Sg à and a preceding word, see §3.7.1.1.

 $p\hat{a}$ 'mother' has a suffixed 3Sg possessor form $p\hat{o}$: +H 'his/her mother'. The common 3Sg possessor suffix for inalienables is -o + H, so $p\hat{o}$: reflects fusion of the vowels in / $p\hat{a}$ -o/. The historical prototype was either * $p\hat{a}$ p-o0: or * $p\hat{a}$ p-o0:

 $h\acute{o}$:- $m\acute{i}y$ - \grave{o} +H 'doorway' is a contraction from *húw- \acute{o} míy- \grave{o} 'house's mouth', but it is not clear how transparent the segmentation is synchronically to native speakers. Elsewhere $h\acute{u}w$ - \acute{o} +H 'house' does not contract.

In compounds, -?izê 'child (offspring)' usually contracts with a preceding vowel, as in ?àlhàràm-i:zê 'son of a bastard' from ?àlhárám-ò+H 'bastard'. This compound type is so common that the form -i:zê may have become lexicalized as such, rather than being derived by VV-Fusion.

One very important, but analytically difficult, combination is that of a final short \hat{a} in a noun stem (appearing as such in the nonfinal form) with the final/definite singular suffix. The result is $-\hat{a}$: +H (§4.1.3.2). The issue is whether to take this as the phonological output of $/\hat{a}$ -o+H/, i.e., with the final/definite singular suffix -o+H that is regular with nouns that do not end in a or a:. If we recognize this as the input, then we would have an important case of VV-Fusion applicable to dozens of noun stems. However, for these nouns the final/definite singular form is arguably now lexically basic.

3.7.1.7 Postvocalic V-Deletion

When two short vowels with different quality features combine to form a single long vowel, either the first vowel is deleted (Prevocalic V-Deletion) or the second vowel is deleted (Postvocalic V-Deletion). There are no cases in HS where the output vowel is intermediate in quality features.

Postvocalic V-Deletion occurs when a monosyllabic *Cv*: stem is followed by a suffixal vowel. Prevocalic V-Deletion occurs mainly at the end of nonmonosyllabic stems. The effect is that the vowel of a monosyllabic is protected, while the final vowel of a nonmonosyllabic is sacrificed to protect the suffixal vowel.

Postvocalic V-Deletion happens when a monosyllabic Ca: stem combines with inalienable possessor suffixes 1 Sg - ey + H and $2 \text{Sg} - a\eta + H$, or with the 1Pl and 2Pl possessor suffix complexes that are built on them (§4.2.2.2). The stems in question are ba: 'share' (ba:-y + H, ba:- $\eta + H$), ga: 'body' (ga:-y + H, ga:- $\eta + H$) and ma: 'name' $(ma\hat{a}:-y, ma\hat{a}:-\eta)$. All these stems "happen" to have an a-vowel.

(18) **Postvocalic V-Deletion**

$$a: + 2Sg - a\eta \rightarrow a: \eta$$

 $a: + 1Sg - ey \rightarrow a: \gamma$

Of the two relevant possessor suffixes, one (2Sg -aŋ) begins with a, and the other (1Sg -ey) begins with e that was historically *a (cf. 1Sg independent pronoun ?ay). Perhaps the contractions in these combinations were originally due to a general rule reducing homorganic /a-a/ or /a:-a/ to surface a:. I know of no contradicting cases; for example, intransitivizing -a or 3Sg object -a is never added directly to an a-final verb stem. However, the fact that 1Sg -ey begins synchronically with e (e.g. ba:b-e) e0 e1 e1 e2 e3 e4 e6 e6 e9 begins synchronically with e8 e9 e9 begins synchronically as a morphophonological rule.

3.7.1.8 Prevocalic V-Deletion

When a nonmonosyllabic stem ending in a short vowel (e.g. CvCv, Cv:Cv, CvCvCv) is followed by a V-initial suffix, the stem-final vowel is deleted. This does not apply to monosyllabic stems, and it usually does not apply to any stems that end in a long vowel.

The rule operates when a verb stem is followed by causative or potential passive -éyndí, by resultative passive or unspecified-object -à, or (in the case of VO transitives) by 3Sg object -a. Examples are causative dir-éyndí 'send away' from dirà 'walk, travel', resultative passive kàr-à 'be hit' from káru´ 'hit', and há:j-à 'need it' from há:je´ 'need'. Also affected are minor deverbal nominalizing suffixes -i and -ey (§4.5.3), and participial suffix -ant-ò +H (§4.5.6).

To the extent that definite plural -ey + H and final-singular $-o + H \sim -a$: +H (and its morphological counterpart with inalienable nouns) are added to V-final noun stems (the bare stem, or the stem plus nonfinal -u), the same process applies. An example is nonfinal $h\acute{a}ns\^{i}$ 'dog', final/definite singular $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o} + H$. Additional nominal examples are diminutives in $-iy\grave{a}$ and its variants (§4.9).

The vast majority of V-final nonmonosyllabic stems end in a short vowel. (For contracting *ey* and *ow* diphthongs, see §4.1.2.10, §4.5.4, and §4.6.2.1-2). There are, however, a few nonmonosyllabic nouns ending in a long vowel. Those whose final vowel is other than *a:*, and alienables ending in *a:*, undergo Intervocalic *y/w*-Insertion (§3.7.1.9). However, nonmonosyllabic inalienable noun stems ending in *a:* do delete this vowel before V-initial possessor suffixes, namely 2Sg *-ap* and

1Sg -ey, and before definite plural -ey. Example: ?áŋkórá: 'hip', definite plural ?áŋkór-éy + H, possessed ?áŋkór-êy 'my hip' and ?áŋkór-âŋ 'your hip'.

(19) **Prevocalic V-Deletion**

The stem-final vowel of nonmonosyllabic stem is deleted before a V-initial suffix.

The tone of the deleted stem-final vowel may affect the tone of the surviving suffixal vowel. This is moot in the suffixal derivations of verbs, since the relevant suffixes impose a melody on the entire derived stem, erasing lexical tones of the stem. However, in nominal morphology the tone of the deleted vowel does survive under some conditions. For example, 1Sg inalienable possessor $-\grave{e}y + H$ appears with different tones in $h\acute{a}s-\grave{e}y$ 'my uncle' and $b\grave{a}.b-\grave{e}y + H$ 'my father'. If the underlying tone of the deleted vowel was <HL>, it is merged with L-tone in final/definite singular and definite plural nouns, the H-tone element being suppressed unless it can move to the preceding syllable (which is possible in trisyllabics); see §3.9.6.2 and §3.9.5.5-6.

3.7.1.9 Intervocalic y/w-Insertion

When a stem-final long vowel preceding a suffixal vowel has not been handled by one of the preceding methods, the default solution is to insert an epenthetic semivowel to separate a final long vowel in a nonmonosyllabic stem from a suffixal vowel. There is some dialectal or idiolectal variation in the choice of -y- or -w- at least for nouns.

In verbal morphology, all examples known to me have y, but only certain combinations are attested, so I cannot determine whether w might have occurred in some contexts. The relevant stem-final long vowels $\{a: o: e:\}$ occur in monosyllabic stems only, and the only relevant suffix is causative or resultative passive -éyndi. Examples are $n\hat{a}$: 'eat' with potential passive $n\hat{a}$:-y- $e\hat{y}$ - $e\hat{y}$ -e

In nouns (for present purposes including adjectives and numerals), I can cite stem-final $\{a: o: e:\}$ in nonmonosyllabic stems, plus one monosyllabic each with e: and u:, and suffixes with initial $\{e: o\}$, viz., definite plural -ey+H and related possessor suffixes, and final/definite singular $-o+H \sim -a: +H$ and related possessor suffixes. Based on relatively uncommon forms that are elicited with difficulty, it appears that -y- is usual between $\{e: a:\}$ and suffixal e, -w- is usual between o: and suffixal o, and that either semivowel is possible in the remaining combinations, i.e. o: plus e, and $\{e: a:\}$ plus o. In other words, the tendency is for the semivowel to harmonize with the flanking vowels.

(20) Intervocalic *y/w*-Insertion for noun (and related) stems

```
suffixed form
   gloss
                   stem
a. final e:
  Cv:
    'palm'
                   bè: (§4.2.3.2)
                                          à bé: ↑-w-ò 'his/her'
                                              ~ à bé:-y-ò
                                              (or unsuffixed à bè:)
  nonmonosyllabic
    'tea'
                   ?àttê: (FinSg)
                                          à† ?àtté:-w-ò 'his/her'
                                               ~ à† ?àtté:y-ò
                                          ?àtté:y-è 'my'
b. final o:
    'office'
                                          bir\acute{o}:-w-\grave{e}y+H(\mathrm{DefPl})
                   bírô: (FinSg)
                                              ~ bíró:-y-èy+H
                                          (possessed stem bir\hat{o}\eta - \sim bir\hat{o}:-y-)
    'boat'
                   bàtô: (FinSg)
                                          b\grave{a}t\acute{o}:-w-\grave{e}y+H(\mathrm{DefPl})
                                              ~ bàtó:-y-èy+H
                                          (possessed stem bàtó:-y-)
c. final a:
  Cv: (inalienable)
    'name'
                   mâ: (unposs.)
                                          m\acute{a}:-y-\grave{e}y + H (DefPl)
    'share'
                   bà: (unposs.)
                                          b\acute{a}: \uparrow-y-\grave{e}y + H (DefPl)
    'body'
                   gá: (unposs.)
                                          g\acute{a}:-y-\grave{e}y + H (DefPl)
  alienable nonmonosyllabic
                                          à† ?àddúhá:-w-ò 'his/her'
    'late A.M'
                   ?àddúhá: (FinSg)
                                              ~ à† ?àddúhá:-y-ò
                                              (or unsuffixed à† ?àddúhá:)
    'forge'
                   déná:
                                          déná:-y-è 'my'
  inalienable nonmonosyllabic (with occasional alienable forms)
    'flank'
                   cérá:
                                          cérá:-y-è 'my' (alienable)
                                          ?áŋkórá:-w-ò 'his' (alienable)
    'hip'
                   ?áŋkórá:
                                          ?áŋkórá:-y-è 'my' (alienable)
d. final u:
                                          à kú:-w-ò 'his/her'
    'yam'
                   kû:
                                          kú:-w-è 'my'
                                              ~ kú:-y-è
```

The rule is summarized as (21).

(21) Intervocalic y/w-Insertion

between a stem-final long vowel and a suffix-initial vowel...

a. if the two vowels **harmonize** in rounding, insert -y- between unrounded vowels, i.e. between $\{a: e:\}$ and e, and insert -w- between rounded vowels, i.e. between $\{o: u:\}$ and o.

b. if the vowels are **disharmonic** in rounding, insert -y- or -w- (dialectal choice) for nouns, i.e. between o: and e or between $\{a: e:\}$ and o, and insert -y- for verbs, i.e. between o: and e.

3.7.2 Contractions of vyv and vwv at suffix boundaries

3.7.2.1 Prevocalic Diphthong-Deletion (ey and ow before suffix)

Most **nonmonosyllabic** stems whose nonfinal or unsuffixed form ends in a diphthong *ey* or *ow* (i.e. mid-height nucleus plus **harmonic** semivowel) delete this diphthong (as though it were a short vowel) before a V-initial suffix. For verbs, usually only *ey* is affected.

Monosyllabic stems do not contract: $b\acute{e}y$ 'know', causative $b\acute{e}y$ - $\acute{e}yndi$ 'inform, cause to know'; $z\grave{e}y$ - $\grave{o}+H$ 'thief' (nonfinal $z\grave{e}y$); $g\acute{o}w$ - $\grave{o}+H$ 'aromatic sedge' (nonfinal $g\^{o}w$). Historically, however, the now irregular 3Sg possessor form of $n\^{a}$ 'mother', namely $n\acute{o}:+H$, was from a *náw- \acute{o} that did contract.

The largest group of nonmonosyllabic stems that are subject to Prevocalic Diphthong-Deletion is the set of modifying (i.e. attributive) adjectives. These typically have an **adjectival suffix** -ow, which is heard as -ow or rarely -ow in the nonfinal form depending on tones of the stem. The corresponding suffixed forms show no trace of -ow before final/definite singular -o + H and definite plural -ow or the related alienable possessor suffixes (e.g. PossSg -ow, PossPl -ow). For example, 'ripe, cooked' is nonfinal ow, final/definite singular ow, and definite plural ow have ow ow ow for an extensive list.

In one analysis of cases like these, the semivowel is first deleted by a rule that could be called Stem-Final Semivowel-Deletion. The resulting vowel cluster could then feed into Prevocalic V-Deletion, which would delete the stem-final vowel (§3.7.1.8), completing the annihilation of the stem-final diphthong. This two-step analysis is made problematic by diminutive forms, as shown below.

There are some nonmonosyllabic **nouns** that end in *ow* or *ey* in nonfinal forms for some or all speakers, with no trace of the diphthong in final/definite singular or definite plural suffixed forms. However, the trend among younger speakers is to replace the diphthong-final unsuffixed stems with new ones that are parasitic on the final/definite singular forms, the usual result being a noun with nonfinal -u and with final/definite singular -o + H. This trend is stronger for nouns than for adjectives. Examples of nouns (many of them with a derivational suffix) that still often have a diphthong in the nonfinal or unsuffixed form are in (22).

(22) Nouns with contracting diphthong

```
Fin/Def Sg
                         Nonfinal (NF)
    gloss
a. ...ow
  Agentive -kôw (§4.5.7)
    'brawler'
                         zòw-kôw ~ zòw-k-ù
                                                       z \partial w - k - \partial + H
  not obviously segmentable
    'corpse'
                         bùkôw ~ bùk-ù
                                                       bù k - \partial + H
    'bird'
                         cir\hat{o}w \sim cir-\hat{u} (etc.)
                                                       cír-ò+H
    'blind person'
                         dànòw
                                                       dan-o+H
                             [cf. verb dànà 'become blind']
b. ...ey
derivational suffix -ey (§4.5.3), -rey (§4.5.4)
                                                       dir-\emptyset-\dot{o}+H
    'trip'
                         dìr-êy
    'craziness'
                         hól-év
                                                       h \acute{o} l - \varnothing - \acute{o} + H
                         béy-réy ~ béy-r-ú
    'knowledge'
                                                       b\acute{e}y-r-\acute{o} + H
  unsegmentable
    'hunger'
                         hèrêy ~ hèr-ù
                                                       h er- o + H
    "...-hood
                                                       -tar-o+H
                         -tàrêy
    'conversation'
                         fóká:réy
                                                       fóká:r-ó+H
```

Nonmonosyllabic **verbs** ending in *ey* show similar contractions before V-initial suffixes like causative or potential passive *-éyndí*. Examples: *hèrêy* 'be hungry' with causative *hér-éyndí* 'make (sb) hungry'; *hájéy* 'sift' with potential passive *háj-éyndí* 'be sifted'.

It is difficult to determine whether a parallel contraction applies to stem-final ow in verbs. The only nonmonosyllabic verbs ending in this diphthong are two apparently reduplicative stems dò-dôw 'remove weeds around growing millet plants' and its partial homophone $d\hat{o}$ - $d\hat{o}w \sim d\hat{o}$ - $d\hat{o}y$ 'float', plus the somewhat irregular VO verb dìnòw 'forget'. For 'float' I elicited an uncontracted causative dódów-éyndí 'make float'. I was unable to elicit a form of dò-dôw 'remove weeds' with V-initial suffix (the underived stem can also be used intransitively, which effectively blocks a suffixal passive). dinòw 'forget' takes a lexicalized prevocalic form dipp- in 3Sg object dipp-â 'forget him/her/it'; my assistant rejected causatives (a different expression like lákkál-ò †kâ: 'remove his/her mind' is used to translate 'cause to forget'). He indicated that, if forced to produce a morphological causative, he preferred dínn-éyndí over #dín-éyndí or #dínów-éyndí. Accordingly, there is (weak) evidence that ow, unlike ey, does not contract before suffixes. A curious fact is that hìnèn 'be clean' has variable presuffixal shapes of the sort we were looking for from ow-final stems: participle 2i-1/hiniw-ànt- $o+H \sim 2i-1/h$ inw-ànt-o+H 'the clean one', causative híníw-éyndí varying with hínw-éyndí 'clean (sth)'. However, other speakers produced contracted variants ?ì-\textit{hín-ànt-\delta} + H and hín-\text{éyndí}.

The biggest problem for the analysis is a (nominal and adjectival) diminutive derivation with nonfinal $-iy-\partial w$ and final/definite singular $-iy-\partial z + H$ (definite

plural $-iy-\dot{e}y+H$), as in nonfinal $h\dot{a}ns-iy-\dot{o}w$ and final/definite singular $h\dot{a}ns-iy-\dot{a}:+H$ 'puppy'. See §4.9.1 for more examples. If we analyse $-iy-\dot{a}:+H$ as the result of adding the final/definite singular suffix to nonfinal $-iy-\dot{o}w$, this should come out as $\#-iy-\dot{o}-\dot{o}+H$, since the nominal and adjectival nonfinal suffix -ow has a final/definite singular form -o+H rather than -a:+H, as seen in the nouns in (22a) above and in all relevant adjectives. The actual diminutive final/definite singular form $-iy-\dot{a}:+H$ can only be explained by assuming that the entire diphthong $-\dot{o}w$ is deleted before contractions occur. In this way the final/definite singular suffix is added directly to the diminutive morpheme $-iy\dot{a}-$ (or $-iy\dot{a}-$), whose final a-vowel makes a final/definite singular output with final $-\dot{a}:+H$ possible. This one-step analysis will also work for the other cases described above.

(23) Prevocalic Diphthong-Deletion

- a. (nouns, adjectives, verbs) $ey \rightarrow \emptyset$ before a suffix-initial vowel in nonmonosyllabic stems
- b. (nouns, adjectives—but generally not verbs) $ow \rightarrow \emptyset$ before a suffix-initial vowel in nonmonosyllabic stems

3.7.2.2 Contractions involving nouns plus demonstrative $H + w\hat{o}$

Most nouns, adjectives, and numerals that are semantically compatible with demonstratives can take a singular demonstrative suffix $-\hat{o}$: as an alternative to a final/definite singular form followed by a separate demonstrative $H+w\hat{o}$ (§4.4.1), thus $h\hat{a}r-\hat{o}$: 'this water' instead of the fuller $h\hat{a}r-\hat{o}$ wo. For stems ending in a long vowel, there is no audible difference between the two-word and suffixal options.

Because the final vowel is lost, is not always obvious whether demonstrative singular (DemSg) $-\hat{o}$: is suffixed to the nonfinal form or to the final/definite singular form of the noun. The final/definite singular is more plausible, since when the demonstrative appears as a separate word $H+w\hat{o}$, it is preceded by a suffixed final/definite singular form (if the noun has one). In any event, nouns that have final/definite singular -o+H and that have a final short vowel in the nonfinal form allow the contracted demonstrative. Examples: final/definite singular $h\hat{a}ns-\hat{o}+H$ 'dog' (nonfinal $h\hat{a}ns\hat{i}$) and demonstrative singular $h\hat{a}ns-\hat{o}$: 'this dog'; unsuffixed final/definite singular $c\hat{i}nn\hat{a}+H$ 'rain' with demonstrative singular $c\hat{i}nn-\hat{o}$: 'this rain'; and unpossessed inalienable $k\hat{a}mb\hat{c}$ 'hand' with demonstrative singular $k\hat{a}mb-\hat{o}$: 'this hand'.

The demonstrative plural form in $-\hat{e}y$ has similar phonology: $h\acute{a}ns-\hat{e}y$ 'these dogs' (tonally distinct from definite plural $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{e}y+H$ 'the dogs').

The majority of a-final nouns show uncontracted $H + w\hat{o}$ after the final/definite singular form with $-\hat{a}: +H:$, as in nonfinal $?\hat{a}If\hat{a}$ 'marabout', final/definite singular $?\hat{a}If-\hat{a}: +H$, demonstrative singular $?\hat{a}If-\hat{a}: w\hat{o}$. The corresponding plural $?\hat{a}If-\hat{e}y$ $w-\hat{e}y$ (not $\#?\hat{a}If-\hat{a}:-w-\hat{e}y$) shows that the demonstrative is postposed rather than

suffixal. This is also the case with the few nonmonosyllabic stems ending in other long vowels, e.g. ?àttê: 'tea', demonstrative singular ?àtté: 'wô 'this tea'.

A low-toned noun like $s\dot{u}b-\dot{o}+H$ 'grass' keeps its initial L-tone in the suffixed demonstrative form: $s\dot{u}b-\hat{o}$: 'this grass'. If a floating H-tone docks on the left, we get $\uparrow s\dot{u}b-\hat{o}$:, as in \grave{a} \grave{w} $\uparrow s\dot{u}b-\hat{o}$: $\eta \grave{a}$: 'it eats this grass' with imperfective \grave{w} . I do not hear any downstep on the second syllable.

3.7.3 Syncope

There is no productive **Syncope** rule. However, two stems show archaic syncopation of the second short vowel in *CvCvC*- before V-initial suffixes.

The verb $k\acute{o}r\acute{o}g$ 'get hot' (*k\acute{o}r\acute{o}n) takes the shape konn- (archaic korn-, §3.10.5) before V-initial suffixes, see (210b) in §4.3 and (332b) in §6.2.2. This involves Syncope of the second /o/, followed (for most speakers) by the consonantal assimilation /rn/ \rightarrow /nn/ (r-Assimilation). Neither Syncope nor r-Assimilation is synchronically productive, and it might be better to simply specify that $k\acute{o}r\acute{o}g$ has an idiosyncratic prevocalic stem shape konn- (archaic korn-).

If $s\acute{e}nn-\acute{i}$ 'language' is synchronically derived from the verb $s\grave{e}l\grave{e}\eta$ 'speak', a similar case of Syncope followed by consonantal assimilation is called for. In this case the derivation /seleŋ-i/ \rightarrow /selŋ-i/ \rightarrow $s\acute{e}nn-\acute{i}$ would require a double assimilation, with the alveolar position of l spreading forward to l while the nasality of l spreads backward to l.

These alternations ('hot', 'language') are old and are found with the cognate stems in KS and Zarma, for example.

3.7.4 Treatment of stem-initial vowels

As noted in §3.1.4 and elsewhere, most "V-initial" noun stems actually begin with glottal stop ? and so have no special phonological interaction with the preceding material. Thus ?álf-à: +H 'marabout', à ?álf-à: 'his/her marabout', (?)á $y = \hat{n}d\hat{u}$?álf-à: 'I and the marabout'.

The stem $2iz\hat{e}$ 'child' (cf. possessed $2iz-\hat{o}: +H$ 'his/her child' with different tones and vowel length), has a glottal stop in most contexts, but drops it when used as compound final. There it contracts with a preceding vowel at the end of the compound initial: $cipn-i:z-\hat{o}+H$ 'velvet mite' ("child of rain," cf. $cipn\hat{a}+H$ 'rain'), $g\hat{a}wl-i:z-\hat{o}+H$ 'wild date' ("child of wild date tree," from $g\hat{a}wl-\hat{o}+H$), $h\hat{e}yl-i:z-\hat{o}+H$ 'kitten' ("child of cat," from $h\hat{e}yl-\hat{o}+H$). The nonfinal forms have $-i:z\hat{e}$.

3.7.5 V-Lengthening and -Shortening processes

3.7.5.1 Presuffixal Cv-Verb-Stem Lengthening

A short-voweled Cv verb with nonhigh vowel is lengthened before derivational suffixes other than verbal noun -non. Thus $k\grave{a}$ 'come' but comitative $k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u}+H$ 'come with, bring', verbal noun $k\grave{a}:-r\grave{o}+H$ 'arrival' (alongside $k\grave{a}-n\grave{o}\eta+H$); $b\grave{a}$ 'want', potential passive $b\acute{a}:-y-\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ 'be desirable', but unlengthened verbal noun $b\grave{a}-n\grave{o}\eta+H$. The 3Sg object form $b\acute{a}:g-\grave{a}$ 'want 3Sg' has an irregular prevocalic stem-shape, though it does lengthen the stem vowel.

(24) Presuffixal Cv-Verb-Stem Lengthening

```
[Cv \rightarrow [Cv:-before derivational suffix (excluding VblN -po<math>\eta + H) note: here "[" indicates the left edge of the stem
```

3.7.5.2 Idiosyncratic Noun-Stem V-Lengthening

Two high-frequency nouns differing only in initial consonant, $h \ ar$ 'man' and $f \ ar$ '(cultivated) field', show length alternations: $h \ ar$ sí: 'there is no man' (illustrates indefinite function), $h \ ar$ fó: 'one man' (illustrates nonfinal form), $h \ ar$ $h \ ar$ some men' (indefinite plural), but long-voweled final/definite (mostly definite) singular $h \ ar$: $h \ ar$:

(25) Idiosyncratic Noun-Stem V-Lengthening

```
har' man' \rightarrow ha:r- before V-initial suffix far' field' \rightarrow fa:r- "
```

See §4.1.2.4 for further morphological details and for other stems of similar shape that do not lengthen.

3.7.5.3 Lengthening of 3Sg \hat{a} and 1Sg $\hat{i} + H$

3Sg clitic \hat{a} (subject, preverbal object, complement of postposition) in an open syllable (i.e. not combined with a following clitic like transitive \hat{g}) is subject to lengthening to \hat{a} : under the influence of a preceding CvC or C morpheme. It does not apply to \hat{a} as possessor of a following noun.

The triggering environments for the lengthening are in (26).

- (26) a. 3Sg subject à after...

 hâl 'if' (and other senses)

 mán 'where?'
 - b. 3Sg PP beginning with à after...

 dàm 'put'

 kóy 'go'
 - c. 3Sg object à after...
 Subjunctive m̂
 Perfective negative màn + H
 Future nàm + H

Lengthening is systematic in connection with (26c), since the morphemes in question fuse into clitic clusters with fixed pronunciation. It also seems to be fairly consistent after *hâl*. After the *CvC* verbs, lengthening is fairly common but not obligatory.

Examples are in (27).

- (27) a. hál à: kà (kûl) if 3SgS come (all) 'if he/she comes'
 - b. $i / = \acute{\eta}$ 'hár- \acute{o} / dám [à: gà] 1 SgS = Tr water-Fin/DefSg put [3Sg in] 'I put (some) water in it.'
 - c. i kóy [†á: bàndè] 1SgS go [3Sg behind] 'I went (following) after him/her.'
 - d. $n\hat{o}$ $n\hat{e}$ $[i=m=\hat{a}:$ $h\acute{a}w]$ 3PIS say [1SgSubju=Subju=3SgO tie]
 'They told me to tie it.'
 - e. bòr-èy /mán='â: jìsì nè:
 person-DefPl PerfNeg=3SgO put.down here
 'The people didn't put it down here.'
 - f. à nàm = †á: 'kárú'
 3SgS Fut=3SgO hit
 'He/She will hit it.'
 - g. $m\acute{a}n = \acute{a}$: 'bárâ where=3SgS X.be 'Where is he/she/it?'

Lengthening is not usual when the $3\text{Sg } \hat{a}$ is at the beginning of a possessed NP. In this case, in careful speech a glottal stop is inserted before it to prevent it from syllabifying with the preceding consonant.

The other pronominal consisting of just a short vowel is 1Sg subject i+H. In this form it occurs in a much narrower range of environments than 3Sg a. It is difficult to determine whether the 1Sg subject morpheme is lengthened after $h\hat{a}l$, since this combination is regularly contracted to $h\hat{a}=\hat{y}$ or $h\hat{a}=\uparrow\hat{y}+L$. However, where contraction does not occur I do hear lengthening: $h\hat{a}l$ i: $\uparrow k\hat{a}$ 'if I come'. A better example is with $m\hat{a}n$ 'where?', which contracts less often: $m\hat{a}n=\uparrow i$: 'bárâ 'where am I?' alongside contracted $m\hat{a}=\uparrow\hat{y}^n$ 'bárâ. I conclude that the lengthening process applies to both 1Sg and 3Sg forms, these being the two subject clitics consisting of a short vowel.

3.7.5.4 No V-Shortening processes

There are no regular processes that shorten long vowels or long diphthongs. In HS, unlike KS, *Cv:C* stems keep their long vowel even when word-final or preconsonantal.

In one analysis of *Cu:* and *Ci:* stems that become *Cuw-* and *Ciy-* before V-initial suffixes, an ad hoc shortening rule applies in conjunction with Homorganic Semivowel Insertion, e.g. *Cu:-w-* becomes *Cu-w-* (§3.7.1.2, §3.7.1.5). However, there are alternative analyses that do not require a shortening rule.

3.8 Minor phonological alternations

In the present work, in contrast to earlier Songhay grammars, I have handled some morphologically specific phonological alternations in the relevant morphology chapters instead of, or in addition to, this phonology chapter. Among others the following alternations may be noted:

- allomorphs of personal pronominals (§4.3.4);
- final/definite singular and definite plural of nouns (§4.1);
- irregular stem-changes before causative -éyndí, notably zúm-éyndí 'take down' from zùmbù 'go down' (§6.2.2);
- truncated forms of semantically "light" nouns such as 'place' and 'thing', see (432) in §8.2.5;
- irregular forms of VO verbs before 3Sg object -à (§6.1.7);

• compounds involving ?izê 'child' as second member (§4.8.5).

3.9 Tonology

3.9.1 Lexical tones of syllables and stems

The basic stem-level melodies, which can be realized on stems or words of variable syllable count, are {H}, {L}, {HL}, and {LHL}.

The only syllable-level tones are H, L, and <HL> (i.e. falling). Each of these occurs in both light and heavy word-final syllables, as shown in (29). Word-final <HL> is realized as such prepausally, but when phrased with a following word it most often appears as H plus a delinked floating L that downsteps a following H-tone (§3.9.5.9) or disappears before a following L-tone. This is strong evidence that the falling tone is in fact analysable as a contoured <HL>, i.e. a combination of atomic H and L tones. In nonfinal syllables, only H and L occur, since tone breaks in {HL} and {LHL} melodies are bunched at the right edge of the word.

(29) Basic tones by syllable type

	light (Cv)	heavy (CvC, Cv:, Cv:C)
Н	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
<hl></hl>	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
<lh></lh>		
L	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$
<lhl></lhl>	_	
<hlh></hlh>	_	_

Strikingly, **<LH>** (i.e. rising) tone is absent. This is a major difference between HS and other Songhay languages, and it has had far-reaching consequences for the (morpho-)phonology. Likewise, nonmonosyllabic stems may not end in a rising tone melody in their regular form (as spoken in isolation). That is, the stem/word-level melodies {LH} and {LLH} are disallowed in any form. However, because the initial and the final in a compound have separate tone melodies, {L}-{H} compounds are possible.

Rising tones are absent because HS underwent a **tone-flattening** process that applied within stems and words. This process simplified *...L.H on the two final syllables, and *...<LH> on a single syllable, to ...L. In some morphological contexts (but not for verb stems), an original final-syllable *<LH> is L-toned, but preserves the original H as a floating tone (transcribed as +H). It is expressed, if at all, as raising of the tone of the first syllable (or mora) of a following word that would otherwise be L-toned.

To be clear, a bisyllabic or longer word can appear with final ...L.H tones on the final two syllables due to interactions with a following word or clitic. For example, a lexically L.<HL> word, i.e. a bisyllabic with {LHL} melody, can delink the final L-

tone as indicated above, resulting in a final L.H sequence. In addition, when a clitic consisting of a H-toned nasal consonant, or the morpheme $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ 'with', is cliticized to a V-final word otherwise ending in two L-toned $C\grave{v}$ syllables, the combination produces a pre-surface $/C\grave{v}C\grave{v}=\acute{N}/$ or $/C\grave{v}C\grave{v}=\acute{n}d\grave{u}/$, whose <LH>-toned CvN syllable is flattened (this time upward) to H-tone (§3.9.6.3). In other words, the constraint against {LH} melodies applies only at word level, before tone sandhi at word or clitic boundaries.

Although {HL} tone melodies have not suffered the same fate as original *{LH} melodies, and remain viable in HS, most stems originally ending in *H.L are realized as H.<HL>, with the H-tone crossing over into the onset of the final syllable. On verbs, {HL} contour is always realized as H.<HL> rather than as H.L. The major exception is final/definite forms of nouns, which do not allow a final <HL> tone and therefore very often show final H.L syllable sequences, as in $cir-\dot{o}+H$ 'bird'. But aside from this class of exceptions, final H.L is rare; I can cite only $ga:\dot{b}$ 'strength' and $id\dot{u}+H$ 'with'.

3.9.1.1 Lexical tones of unpossessed nouns

To make a long story short, the regular tone melodies for unpossessed nouns are {H}, {L}, {HL}, and {LHL}. Rising melody {LH} is not found as an identifiable synchronic type, but a few inalienable nouns have traces of it in some suffixal inflections with pronominal possessor (see below). Most nouns respect their lexical melody in all unpossessed forms: nonfinal, final/definite singular, and definite plural. The location of the tone break in {HL} and {LHL} is slightly relocated in the final/definite forms but the word-level melody is still intact. In bisyllabic L.<HL> stems with final diphthong, the H-tone is lost without trace in final/definite forms due to contraction with a suffixal vowel.

Analyses of nominal tones are complicated by the difficulty of determining which form of a noun is lexically basic. As described more fully in §4.1.1.1, the original bare stem *X contrasted with suffixed forms including definite singular *X-ŏ: and definite plural *X-ŏy. This markedness relationship has been inverted in HS for many common nouns because of changes in the morphosyntactic distributions of the original bare and definite singular forms. Instead of unmarked (including indefinite) versus suffixed definite, for some stems the "final/definite" form has generalized as the phrase-final word within a core NP (maximally noun-adjective-numeral), and it may be but need not be semantically definite. For such stems, the phrase-final form is the lexically basic citation form, and it now contrasts with a resideual nonfinal (linking) form used only before an adjective, a numeral, or the indefinite plural suffix.

This morphological rearrangement is complete for some nouns but not others (on which see §4.2.3.4). It is irrelevant to the identification of lexical tone melodies for the many nouns whose final/definite and nonfinal forms have the same melody. The nouns in (30a) are clearly **{H}-toned**, those in (30b) clearly **{L}-toned**. (For monosyllabic stems see §4.1.4.1-2.)

(30) Noun stems with lexical $\{H\}$ and $\{L\}$ melodies

gloss	Fin/Def Sg	Nonfinal
a. {H}-toned nouns	{H}	{H}
'dispute' 'water' 'cloud' 'piece'	cít-ó + H hár-ó + H búr-ó + H céps-ó + H	cít-ú hárí ~ hár-ú búr-ú ceps-ú
'Muslim New Year' b. {L}-toned nouns	dádáb-ó+H {L}	dádáb-ú {L}
'salt' 'supper' 'mortar'	cìr-ò + H hàwr-ò + H hùmbùr-ò + H	cìrì ~ cìr-ù hàwr-èy ~ hàwr-ù hùmbùr-ù
mortal	$\Pi u \Pi U u \Pi = U + \Pi$	แนนแบนเ-น

The definite plural (with suffix -ey + H) is tonally parallel to the final/definite singular form shown in (30), e.g. $cit-\acute{e}y + H$, $cir-\grave{e}y + H$.

Nouns of **{HL}** lexical melody are illustrated in (31). The melody is audible in both the final/definite forms and in the nonfinal form. However, the location of the tone break differs from the final/definite singular to the nonfinal form, because final/definite suffixes do not allow the contoured tone **<HL>** while nonfinal forms have this tone. (In practice, the nonfinal form, which is by definition phrased with a following word or indefinite plural suffix, will delink its final L-tone and the stemfinal syllable will appear with a surface H-tone.)

(31) Nouns with lexical {HL} melody

gloss	Fin/Def Sg {HL}	Nonfinal {HL}	
a. final â borrowings (< Arab	hic)		
'usefulness'	·	náfâ	
'marabout'	11a1-a. + 11 12a1f-à: + H	lara Pálfâ	
b. others			
probable or certain	borrowings		
'merchandise'	já:g-ò+H	já:g-û	
'fonio (grain)'	sérémb-ò+H	sérémb-û ~ sérémbê	
not obviously borrowed			
'Bozo person'	sórk-ò+H	sórk-û	
'stem fibers'	fént-ò + H	fént-û	
'planted field'	hé:r-ò+H	hé:rî∼ hé:r-û	

1eech'	nálg-ò + H	nálg-û
brick'	fér-ò + H	férê∼ fér-û
'bird'	cír-ò+H	c ír \hat{o} $w \sim c$ ír $\hat{i} \sim c$ îr $\sim c$ ír- $\hat{u} \sim c$ ír \hat{a}
'seedstock'	sóηkón-ò+H	sónkón-û

gá:bì 'strength, force' is unusual in having a L-toned, rather than <HL>-toned, final syllable. The noun is most common in the PP ńdù gá:bì 'by force'.

The remaining regular lexical melody is {LHL}. Two subtypes can be distinguished: trisyllabic and longer stems with H-toned penult, and bi- and trisyllabic stems with L-toned penult and <HL>-toned ultimate. The first type, illustrated in (32), behaves like the {HL} type in (31) with the addition of one or two extra syllables at the beginning. Quadrisyllabics (other than borrowings) are probably always treated prosodically as compounds even when the initial and final do not occur elsewhere. Quadrisyllabic nouns with {LHL} melody can be realized syllabically as L.H.H.L or as L.L.H.L in the final/definite singular, with L.L.H.L typical of stems with long vowels in the penult.

(32) Trisyllabic and longer {LHL} nouns with H-toned penult

gloss	Fin/Def Sg	Nonfinal
	{LHL}	{LHL}
a. trisyllabic		
'custom'	?àlá:d-à: + H	?àlá:dâ
'writing'	hàntúm-ò+H	hàntûm
'vehicle'	móbíl-ò+H	móbîl
'pigeon sp.'	gùntás-ò+H	gùntás-û
'ball, lump'	gùŋgún-ò+H	gùŋgún-û ~ gùŋgûn
b. quadrisyllabic		
'Thursday'	?àlkàmí:s-à:+H	?àlkàmí:sâ
'pre-dawn'	?àlfázár-ò + H	?àlfázâr
'vulture sp.'	dìlìmdá:l-ò + H	_

The situation is trickier with bi- and trisyllabic {LHL} stems with L-toned penult in the nonfinal form. A $(C\hat{v})C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C$ stem with final nondeleting sonorant (nasal, liquid) has a final/definite singular form $(C\hat{v})C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C-\hat{o}+H$ that still reflects the entire {LHL} melody. I can also cite one noun-like numeral of this type (33a). Trisyllabic stems with nonfinal form $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C\hat{v}$ also manage to preserve the full {LHL} melody in the final/definite singular, by relocating the H-tone onto the penult, resulting in final/definite singular $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C-\hat{o}+H$ (33b). However, bisyllabic {LHL} stems with nonfinal $C\hat{v}(C)C\hat{v}$ (33c), or with nonfinal $C\hat{v}(C)C\hat{v}y/w$ whose final diphthong is removed before a V-initial suffix by Prevocalic Diphthong Deletion (§3.7.2.1) (33d), have final/definite singular forms of the type $C\hat{v}(C)C-\hat{o}+H$, with no trace of the H in the lexical melody {LHL}. Because there are good phonological reasons for the reduction of {LHL} in these bisyllabic stems to {LL},

i.e. to a flat $\{L\}$ melody, in the final/definite singular form, there is no reason to consider them to be of a lexically mixed tonal type. They are lexically $\{LHL\}$, but lose the H-tone under specifiable conditions.

(33) Bisyllabic nouns with lexical {LHL} melody

gloss Fin/Def Sg Nonfinal {LHL}

- a. $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C$ in nonfinal with noncontracting final consonant 'thousand' $z\hat{e}mb\hat{e}r \cdot \hat{o} + H(\text{DefSg})$ $z\hat{e}mb\hat{e}r$ (NF, FinSg)
- b. $C\dot{v}C\dot{v}C\dot{v}$ in nonfinal, for the tone shift see §3.9.6.2. 'fatigue' $z\dot{a}r\dot{a}b-\dot{o}+H$ $z\dot{a}r\dot{a}b\hat{i}$
- c. $C\dot{v}(C)C\dot{v}$ in nonfinal (suffix indicates third person possessor) 'paternal aunt' $h\dot{a}w-\dot{o}+H$ 'his/her' $h\dot{a}w\hat{e}$ (3PossSg $h\dot{a}w\hat{a}$) 'belly' $g\dot{u}nd-\dot{o}+H$ 'his/her' $g\dot{u}nd\hat{e}$
- d. $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}y/w$ in nonfinal with final contracting diphthong

'hunger' $h \dot{e}r - \dot{o} + H$ $h \dot{e}r - \dot{e}y$ ($\sim h \dot{e}r - \dot{u}$)
'corpse' $b \dot{u}k - \dot{o} + H$ $b \dot{u}k - \hat{o}w$ ($\sim b \dot{u}k - \dot{u}$)

{LH} melody is generally not allowed in noun stems. Compounds and iterations (full-stem reduplications) like $z \hat{u} r b \hat{u} - [z \hat{a} r b - \hat{o} + H]$ 'rags' are apparent exceptions. In fact, they consist of a {L}-toned stem plus an attached and tonally independent {H}-toned stem.

I can, however, cite the Arabic borrowing *?àddúhá:* 'mid-morning', which is used both phrase-finally and nonfinally in core NPs. This stem seems to have the tone pattern of e.g. 'Thursday' in (32b) above, but there is no (audible) final L-toned syllable, except in possessed forms. The first syllable is from the Arabic definite prefix *al*-, and it may be that this prefix is treated as external to the domain of the basic lexical tone melody.

More interestingly, four {L}-toned inalienable nouns that derive from protoforms with bisyllabic *L.H tone pattern still preserve traces of the original melody in possessed forms with 1st/2nd person possessor. For example, from {L}-toned $p \dot{a} n d \dot{e} \sim w \dot{a} n d \dot{e}$ 'wife' we would expect a {L}-toned 1Sg possessor form $p \dot{a} d \dot{e} + p \dot{a} d \dot{e} + p \dot{e} d \dot{e} + p \dot{e} d \dot{e} + p \dot{e} d \dot{e} d \dot{e} d \dot{e} + p \dot{e} d \dot{e$

In addition to the stable, consistent {H}, {L}, {LH}, and {LHL} lexical melodies described so far, there are also some **mixed tone-classes** whose nonfinal and final/definite singular (or, for inalienables, unpossessed and possessed) forms diverge tonally in ways that cannot be accounted for by reasonable phonological

rules. These are the $\{HL\}/\{H\}$ class which spreads the initial H-tone to the right edge in final/definite forms, the numerals $f\acute{o}$: '1' and $w\acute{o}y$ '10' that have the opposite $\{H\}/\{HL\}$ pattern ($\S4.1.2.2$), and the $\{H\}/\{L\}$ and $\{HL\}/\{L\}$ classes that drop tones to $\{L\}$ in the final/definite forms ($\S4.1.2.3$).

3.9.1.2 Lexical tones of adjectives

For the major class of descriptive adjectives, a full list is given in §4.2.6.1. A few examples not involving diminutive-like forms are repeated here as (34). The related verbs are shown in the column on the left. It is easy to see that the verb's tones are not transferred to the associated adjective. As analysed here, most ordinary adjectives (and all participles) have {L} tone melodies (34a-d); the minority that do not are listed in (34e-f) or covered below.

(34) Adjectives

húsú

gloss Adj (Nonfinal) Adj (Fin/Def Sg) verb a. typical {L}-toned adjectives with final $-\delta w$ (productive, §4.6.2.1) 'be lukewarm' dùŋgù dùng-òw dung-o+H'be bitter' hóttó hòtt-òw $h \grave{o} t t - \grave{o} + H$ reduplicated, irregular 'be many/much' bò:-b-òw *bò:-b-ò+H* b. {L}-toned deverbal participles and ordinals (§4.5.6)

hùs-àntè

hùs-ànt-ò+H

c. {L}-toned with final i (§4.6.2.3), only example $b\acute{e}:r\grave{i}$ 'be big, grow' $b\grave{e}:r\grave{i}$ $b\grave{e}:r-\grave{o}+H$

'be unkempt'

- d. {L}-toned quadrisyllabic iterations with final ey (§4.6.2.4) $m\acute{o}t\acute{u}-m\acute{o}t\acute{e}y$ 'be soggy' $m\acute{o}t\grave{u}-m\acute{o}t-\acute{o}w$ $m\acute{o}t\grave{u}-m\acute{o}t-\acute{o}+H$
- e. {HL}-toned color adjectives with $\hat{e}y$ (§4.6.2.6), all known examples cirey 'be red' cirey cireó + H

 kà:rèv 'be white' ká:rêy ká:r-ó + H
- f. {HL}-toned reduplicative $C\acute{v}$ - $C\acute{v}$ adjectives (§4.6.2.5), all known exx. $k\acute{u}$:, $k\acute{u}$ - $k\acute{u}$ 'be long/tall' $k\acute{u}$ - $k\acute{u}$ $k\acute{u}$ - $k\acute{u}$ -k

As (34) suggests, most nondiminutive adjectives are lexically $\{L\}$ -toned. However, very often the first syllable of a $\{L\}$ -toned adjective acquires a secondary H-tone, due to any of the following: a) Rightward H-Spreading when the preceding noun ends in a H-tone; b) the tonal effect of absolute prefix n + H, which is used in the

absence of a preceding overt noun; or c) Adjectival Tone-Raising, a dissimilatory process that applies when a {L}-toned noun combines with a {L}-toned adjective. For example, $b\grave{o}:-b-\grave{o}+H$ 'many, much' (final/definite singular form) appears in the form $\uparrow b\acute{o}:-b-\grave{o}+H$ in $h\acute{a}r\acute{a}$ $\uparrow b\acute{o}:-b-\grave{o}+H$ 'a lot of water' (Rightward H-Spreading), $2\grave{i}-\uparrow b\acute{o}:-b-\grave{o}+H$ 'a lot' (with absolute prefix), and $w\grave{a}: \uparrow b\acute{o}:-b-\grave{o}+H$ 'a lot of milk' (Adjectival Tone-Raising). We therefore actually hear the underlying L-tone only after a modified noun ending in underlying <HL>-tone, as in $h\acute{a}m$ $b\grave{o}:-b-\grave{o}+H$ 'a lot of meat' ($h\^{a}m$).

There are a few adjectives with a diminutive-like form. They have -(i)y-ôw in the nonfinal form, after a {L}-toned stem. The {H}-toned bare stem ending in -(i)yá functions syntactically like the final/definite singular form of most nouns and adjectives, though it is unsuffixed. The final/definite form raises the tone of a following L-toned syllable, so I represent it with floating H, but the raising could also be attributed to Rightward H-Spreading (§3.9.5.3). The corresponding verb is {L}-toned; it may be segmentally identical to the final/definite singular form, or it may lack the final ya syllable. Two examples are in (35).

(35) Diminutive adjectives

	verb	gloss	adjective	
			Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg
a.	kàtìyà	'be small/young'	kà(t)t-íy-ôw	kát-íyá + H
b.	màrì	'be slender'	màr-(ì)y-ôw	már-(í)yá + H

For fuller information see §4.6.2.8 and §4.9.8. Noun-adjective compounds with final diminutive-like variants of otherwise nondiminutive adjectives (§4.9.4-5) show other tonal patterns.

3.9.1.3 Lexical tones of numerals

Cardinal numerals behave syntactically much like adjectives, but the primary numerals '1' to '10' have special morphosyntactic features. Tone melodies of numerals from '1' to '10' are either {H} ($f\acute{o}$: '1', $h\acute{n}k\acute{a}$ '2', $t\acute{a}$: $c\acute{i}$ '4', $g\acute{u}$: '5', $w\acute{o}y$ '10') or {HL} ($h\acute{n}nz\^{a}$ '3', $?\acute{i}dd\^{u}$ '6', $?\acute{i}$: $y\^{e}$ '7', $y\acute{a}$: $h\^{a}$ '8', $y\acute{a}gg\^{a}$ '9'). Since all of these begin with a H-toned syllable, the floating H associated with absolute prefix $?\^{i}$ -tH has no tonal effect on the stem. (The transcription $?\^{i}$ -tH is based on its behavior with modifying adjectives.)

For '20' and '30' (irregularly related to 'two' and 'three', respectively) I heard w ar an k a '20' and w ar an k a '30', both with {LHL} melody. Among the noun-like stems for larger numbers, we do get {L} in z an k a n k a 'hundred', while 'thousand' has a {LHL} melody: z an k a n k a 'thou has a {LHL} melody: z an k a n k a 'thousand' has a {LHL} melo

All numerals can occur finally within NPs in the unsuffixed forms shown above. If there is no overt noun, the absolute prefix is added to numerals from '1' to '10'

but not to higher numerals. Numerals also have a suffixally marked definite singular form with the same suffix as in the final/definite singular of many nouns). With numerals, the suffix is reserved for clearly definite contexts, as in $2i-hi\eta k-\delta+H$ 'the [same] two'). The tones of the definite singular forms obey regular nominal/adjectival tonology rules for final/definite singular suffixation, except that $w \acute{o} y$ '10' and $f \acute{o} :$ '1' have an unexpected {HL} melody in definite $w \acute{o} y - \grave{o} + H$ 'the ten' and $f \acute{i} y - \grave{a} : + H \sim f \acute{u} w - \grave{a} : + H$ 'the one'. They appear to be **the only {H}**/**{HL}** stems among nouns, numerals, or adjectives.

3 9 1 4 Lexical tones of underived verb stems

The full set of underived Cv, Cv:, CvC, and Cv:C verbs known to me is given in (36), organized under each of these headings by tone pattern.

(36) Verb-stem tones (monosyllabics)

a. Cv (complete list)

- H $h\acute{e}^n$ 'be worthless' (origin unknown, irregular), $t\acute{e}$ 'become'
- <HL> bî '(day) break' (variant bî:), dî 'catch fire' (variant dîn), fê 'announce', nê 'say', tê 'come!' (imperative only), tê '(e.g. season) happen', yê 'return' (and related serial-verb yô 'do again')
- L bà 'want', cì or kì 'speak, gossip', dù 'get, find', kà come', tà 'accept', zà 'take', dì 'take' (variant dìn), zà 'receive'

b. Cv: (complete list)

- H bá: 'be abundant', bí: 'spin (string)', bú: 'die', cé: 'call, summon', dí: 'see', fú:" 'fart', há:" 'ask (inquire)', hé:" 'weep', hí: 'lend' (also <HL>), hó: 'hunt', hó:" 'take!' (imperative only), kú: 'be long, tall', má: 'hear' (VO verb), má: '(e.g. rains) be abundant', nó: 'give', sí: 'not be' (quasi-verb), tá: 'sew', té: 'make, do', tó: 'arrive', tó: 'be full', wá: 'defecate', wí: 'kill', zé: 'swear an oath', zí: 'swim' or 'kick', zú: 'sip'
- <HL> $fi:^n$ 'blow nose', hi: 'lend' (also H), si: 'test', wa: '(God) preserve (sb)'
- L $f \hat{o}$: 'greet', $H + g \hat{o}$: 'be (somewhere)' (quasi-verb), $k \hat{a}$: 'take away, remove', $\eta \hat{a}$: 'eat'

c. CvC (complete list)

H bén 'end', béy 'know', bów 'break', cén '(water) spring out', cín 'build', ców or céw 'study, read', dów 'uproot, pull out' (<*dógú), féw 'explode', féy 'separate, divorce', góy 'work', háw 'tie', háy 'give birth', hín 'be able', hín 'cook', jín 'be first', kóm 'take away (from sb)', kóy 'go', kún 'get pregnant', mán 'approach', mún 'pour, dump', nín 'be ripe, cooked', sán

- 'slap', *táw* 'be new', *tóŋ* 'roast', *yéy* 'get cool', *zów* 'look back' (*zógú)
- <HL> bâŋ 'need (new) clothes', bôy 'lead (animals), cîm 'be right', dâŋ 'strangle', dêŋ or dên 'cross', dên 'forge', dêy 'buy', dîn (or dî) 'be lit', fûn 'make a hole in', gôn 'swallow', gûm 'cover, turn over', hây 'be open (wide)', jên ~ jêŋ 'be tired, fail', kâŋ 'fall', kôn 'hate', lêm 'make string', nân 'press, push on', nêŋ 'precede, beat (to a goal)', tîn 'be heavy'
- L cèy 'weave', dàm 'put (somewhere), dìn (or dì) 'catch', dùm 'walk (sb) to the door', dùm 'suck', hàŋ 'drink', hùn 'leave, go from', jèy 'spend a long time', jòw ~ jèw 'be thirsty', kòm '(milk) thicken', mèy 'possess', nàŋ 'leave, abandon', sèy 'disperse', tàŋ 'push', tùn 'get up', zèy '(plant) sprout)', zèy 'steal', zòw 'fight'

d. Cv:C (complete list)

- H ná:n 'know, be expert in', tá:y 'get wet', zé:n 'get old'
- <HL> dô:n 'sing' (cognates are L-toned), gâ:n 'dance', gâ:y 'stand, stop', hâ:w 'be ashamed', hâ:y 'spend middle of day', kâ:n 'be sweet, good; be sharp', wâ:y 'milk (e.g. cow)'
- L bà:n 'be soft' and 'be in good health', dò:n 'be lightweight', mà:y 'be out of sight'

The H-toned stems in HS correspond to H-toned stems in other tonal Songhay languages (Zarma, TSK, Kaado, Dendi). Likewise, $\langle HL \rangle$ -toned stems correspond to cognates with $\langle HL \rangle$. The alternation of $h\hat{i}$: and $h\hat{i}$: 'lend' probably reflects borrowing of this stem from KS, which is nontonal. There are a few other historical problems involving H and $\langle HL \rangle$ monosyllables (including $d\hat{o}$:n 'sing') but they need not detain us here.

The L-toned stems in (36) reflect a mix of L and $\langle LH \rangle$ proto-forms, along with a smattering of others. Those from original $\langle LH \rangle$ tone are $f\grave{o}$: 'greet', $H+g\grave{o}$: 'be', $k\grave{a}$: 'take away, remove', $n\grave{a}$: 'eat', $h\grave{a}n$ 'drink', $s\grave{e}y$ 'disperse', and possibly (to judge by Zarma) $t\grave{u}n$ 'get up'. On the other hand, $b\grave{a}$ 'want' appears to be from *b\^{a}, and cognate sets for $c\grave{i}$ 'speak', $b\grave{i}$: 'do at night', and $n\grave{a}n$ 'leave' include some cases with $\langle HL \rangle$ or H tone.

I now present representative data (not exhaustive unless so indicated) showing the attested tone patterns of bisyllabic HS verbs (37). In addition to the unproblematic H.H and L.L, other well-attested sequences are H.<HL> (often from *HL) and L.<HL>, while L.H does not occur at word-level.

(37) Verb-stem tones (bisyllabics)

a. CvCv (examples)

H.H dúrú 'pound (in mortar), túrú 'braid (hair of)'

H.<HL> *bí-bî* 'be black', *férê* 'go into exile', *fórô* 'wail', *húrâ* 'enter', *kú-kû* 'be long'

L.H — L.<HL> —

L.L dìrà 'walk, go away', gòrò 'sit', jìsì 'put down, set', tàbà 'taste', tùrù 'reply', yèkà 'come back', zùrù 'run'

b. Cv:Cv (examples)

H.H há:rú 'laugh', fó:rú 'skin and butcher', má:ní 'be fatty', ná:sú 'be plump', nú:sí 'be inserted'

H.<HL> *bé:rî* 'grow up', *dá:bû* 'shut', *fó:mâ* (also L.<HL>) 'be a show-off', *hó:lê* 'trust', *má:tê* 'sense', *mó:rû* 'be or go far', *né:rê* 'sell', *só:sê* 'suspect'

L.H —

L.<HL> bò:nô 'be nearly ripe', fà:jî 'miss (nostalgically)', fò:mâ (also H.<HL>) 'be a show-off', gò:rô 'snore', là:lâ 'be bad', wù:rû 'shout'

L.L mò:rù 'caress', ŋà:sù 'scratch', tò:sù 'urinate'

c. CvCCv (examples)

H.H fánsí 'dig', fáttá 'exit', fíllá 'repeat', fúnsú 'be swollen, hóttó 'be bitter', kúngú 'be sated'

H.
H.
gársî 'grind (with stones)', fírsî 'spit (jet)', háwrû 'eat supper', hénsê 'do on purpose' or 'do very much', séllê 'be in good health', sínjî 'implant', sóndû 'castrate', súndû 'be absorbed', téyfâ 'be an intermediary', wéltê 'be happy', wúnjî 'refuse', zúkkê 'fine'

L.H —

L.<HL> *cìndî* 'remain', *dòntô* 'be sent (on a mission)', *hàrsî* 'clear throat by coughing', *jìrbî* 'sleep', *kòttô* 'rip', *kùmsî* 'wrap in end of garment'

L.L hàngà 'follow', hènsè 'fix', hòngù 'remember', wàngù 'combat', yèddà 'consent'

d. CvCvC (examples)

H.H kóróŋ 'be hot', zápéy 'be hurt'

H.<HL> kúfâl 'lock', márêy 'be wounded', nínêy 'bathe', túfêy 'spit'

L.H —

L.<HL> hèrêy 'be hungry', kùbêy 'get married', tìsôw 'sneeze'

L.L bùyày 'converse (at night)', dìnòw 'forget', fàhàm 'understand', cìrèy 'be red', kùbèy 'meet', sèlèŋ 'speak', sùkùm 'have trouble breathing'

e. CvCCvC (complete list)

H.H dánjéy 'be quiet', dénkén 'tiptoe', gúngúm 'lean over', hámnéy 'offer help', héngén 'listen', húnzám 'rest', kánkám 'squeeze'

```
H.<HL> jéllêl '(horse) rear', síntîn 'begin', sónkôm 'squat'
   L.H
   L.<HL>
             hàntûm 'write', zìnzîm 'gnaw', zònkôm 'peck'
             dèndèn 'imitate', fòrsèy 'fade, lose color', fùnfàn 'search
   L.L
             through', gùrjèy 'fight, wrestle', tòntòn 'add', wòymèy 'go in
             afternoon', zànkàm 'stride, swagger'
f. Cv:CvC
   H.H
             dé:béy 'reach up', cí:néy 'gossip about', dó:néy 'be
             accustomed', ná:néy 'trust', ná:réy 'beg, implore'
   H < HI >
   L.H
   L.<HL>
   L.L
             gà:rèy 'chase away', hà:mèy 'snatch', kà:rèy 'be white',
             sò:lòm 'get ready (to go)', zà:ràm 'leave around noon'
```

The H. \langle HL \rangle stems include many Fulfulde borrowings ending in \hat{e} .

The L.<HL> stems of shapes ending in simple *Cv* reflect either <LH>.L or L.<HL> stems, which sometimes shift back and forth even in other Songhay languages. The HS preference for the L.<HL> pattern is consistent with this language's stronger tendency to bunch tone breaks as close as possible to the right edge of the word, favoring contoured <HL> tone on the final syllable. TSK and to some extent Zarma tend to keep original <LH>.L patterns with the contoured tone on the first syllable. Compare HS L.<HL> patterns in *dòntô* 'be sent (on a mission)' (37c), and its dialectal variant *dèntê*, with Zarma *dŏntòn* and TSK *dĕntè* with their <LH>.L pattern.

Trisyllabic verb stems are illustrated in (38), exemplifying with CvCvCv shape.

(38) Verb-stem tones (trisyllabics)

```
CvCvCv (examples)
H.H.H bátálá 'be cheap', jígírî tremble', cíkírí 'rub', ménéné 'melt, dissolve', sósóbú 'remove bran from (millet)'
H.H.
HL.
Kúkúrê 'roast on fire', téférê 'hobble (tie legs of)', súbúrî 'stay calm', tílásû 'be necessary'
L.L.
HL.
H.
```

H.H.H, H.H.</hd>
(from *H.H.L), and L.L.L are unproblematic. Of interest is the distinction between L.L.
HL> and L.H.L. The latter is not attuned to normal HS tone patterning, given that HS elsewhere tends strongly to favor a final contoured tone, so that original *L.H.L should normally appear in HS as L.H.
HL> rather than

L.H.L. Thus HS $h \grave{a} s \grave{a} r \grave{a}$ 'be ruined' corresponds to TSK $h \grave{a} s \acute{r} r \grave{a}$ and Zarma $h \grave{a} s \acute{a} r \grave{a}$ (all ultimately from Arabic). Of the two L.H.L verbs, $k \grave{o} g \acute{o} t \grave{o}$ is a variant of the more regular $k \grave{o} g \grave{o} t \^{o}$ (and is arguably onomatopoeic), and this variant may have been influenced by the noun $k \grave{o} g \acute{o} t - \grave{o} + H$ '(a/the) cough', a morphological form that does not allow a final-syllable contoured tone.

3.9.1.5 Floating H-tones

Floating H is a pervasive presence in HS in the analysis presented here, though other interpretations are possible. It is associated with numerous proclitics and suffixes, and with one prefix (absolute prefix on adjectives). Most unpossessed and some possessed core NPs (maximally noun-adjective-numeral) end in a floating H. In the analysis here, a floating H is stipulated for particular morphemes, but there are other analytic possibilities that could replace this stipulation with more general principles (see discussion later in this section).

A floating H associated with a preceding word **docks on** (=attaches itself to) the first syllable of the word or morpheme to its right; for the phonology see Rightward Floating-H Docking §3.9.5.2. It is audible only when the morpheme to the right would otherwise begin in a L-tone. Therefore a floating H is vacuous when the following morpheme already begins in a H-tone, or when there is no following morpheme (prepausally). Some morphemes resist docking of a floating H from another morpheme to their left. A subset of these resistant morphemes have their own lexically associated floating H, but in this case positioned to their left, so the floating H in this case docks on the final syllable of the preceding word, see Leftward Floating-H Docking §3.9.6.1. Phrasal bracketing is also a factor, for example in sequences like [A+H [B C]]. Here B is closely phrased with C and may disregard the preceding floating H.

Floating L-tones also occur, but they are always the result of delinking the L-tone of a word- or morpheme-final <HL> syllable. Prepausally, the syllable in question has the full <HL> tone. By contrast, when a word ending in floating H occurs prepausally, the H simply disappears.

The morphemes and words that are **followed by floating H** are those in (39). Note that they themselves are either L-toned, or atonal. Atonal morphemes, included in (39a) and (39d), surface as H- or L-toned depending on the tones of the stem. In the case of final H-tone, it is most whether a floating H must be stipulated since Rightward H-Spreading would have the same phonological effects.

(39) Morphemes followed by floating H

category form

a. unpossessed nouns

atonal, with final L- (or H-)tone spread from the noun stem Final/definite singular (unpossessed) $-o+H \sim -a:+H$ Definite plural (unpossessed) -ey+H

with final L- (or H-)tone (arguably with nouns with zero Fin/Def Sg marking:	kòyrà + H 'village'
	<i>céydíyá +H</i> 'rainy season'
generic human noun	bòr+H'(some)one'
the only $\{L\}$ -toned numeral	$z a \eta g u + H$ 'hundred'
b. possessed nouns	
with final L- or H-tone spread from the	
3Sg possessor of Sg inalienable	-o+H ~ -a:+H
3Sg possessor of Pl inalienable	-ey + H
with final L-tone spread from the noun s	
1st/2nd person inalienable possessor	$1\operatorname{Sg}-\grave{e}y+H$
	1P1 <i>-èy-ndì + H</i>
	2Sg <i>-àŋ + H</i>
	2P1 - an - don + H
c. pronominal clitics	
L-toned subject pronominals (first/secor	nd persons)
1Sg subject	i+H
2Sg subject	$\dot{\eta} + H$
1PI subject	yò+H
2Pl subject	wò+H
L-toned 3Pl pronominals (subject and of	ther categories)
3Pl subject	ρλη + H
3Pl preverbal object	<u> </u>
3Pl before postposition	ŋòŋ+H
d. inflectional particles preceding VP	
L-toned	
Imperfective	$g\dot{u} + H \sim \dot{w} + H$
Future	$n\grave{a}m + H \sim n\grave{a}g + H$
(Perfective) negative	$m an + H \sim m an + H$
Imperfective negative	$s\grave{u} + H$
Infinitival	$k\dot{u} + H \sim \dot{w} + H$
e. other	
atonal, with final L- or H-tone spread fro	om the stem
Verbal noun	-poŋ + H
Indefinite plural	-noŋ + H
with final L-tone	
Reciprocal (allomorph)	cèr + H
'with' preposition	ńdù + H
'only' or 'must'	kàl+H
Weak topic	kày+H
weak topic	кау + П

Examples of unsuffixed final/definite singular nouns, cf. (39a) above, are given in (40a-c). The first two examples involve unsuffixed {L}-toned nouns, $k \grave{o} y r \grave{a} + H$ and $c \grave{i} p p \grave{a} + H$, that raise the tone of the first syllable of the verb. In (40c), the {H}-toned noun $c \acute{e} y d \acute{u} y \acute{a} + H$ has a similar effect on the verb; this could be attributed either to a floating H or to Rightward H-Spreading.

- (40) a. kòyrà †dírà village walk 'The (whole) village has traveled.'
 - b. cippà †kâ
 rain(-Fin/DefSg) come
 'It rained.'
 [for younger speakers also, with suffixed noun, cipp-ò †kâ]
 - c. céydíyá / máŋ 'húrâ rainy.season PerfNeg enter 'The rainy season hasn't come in (=begun).'

There are two primary historical sources for floating H. One is the delinking of the final H-tone from an earlier *<LH>-toned syllable (no syllable-level rising tone survives as such in HS). This is the case with $-o + H \sim -a$: +H and -ey + H in (39a), which reflect definite singular *-ŏ: and definite plural *-ĕy. It is also probably the case with topic morpheme kay + H (cf. TSK kay). The second source is the rightward jumping of an original H-tone from a morpheme, which then drops to L-tone. Several of the HS morphemes that bear a floating H correspond to H-toned morphemes in TSK. This is the case for the 1st/2nd person subject pronominals in (39c), the imperfective negative and infinitival morphemes in (39d), ndu + H with', and the -pon + H morphemes in (39c,e).

Because the floating H is so widespread in HS, one should consider alternatives to an analysis that simply stipulates its lexical association with specific morphemes. One possible reanalysis is morphosyntactic, another is phonological. Both would dispense with the stipulated floating H as such, and would posit rules directly raising the tone at the left edge of the following word under specified conditions.

To determine whether the floating-H effect is predictable, we must supplement the list of floating-H bearing morphemes in (39) above) with a complementary list of morphemes and words that are **clearly not followed by floating H** (41). Since words ending in a H-tone have the same phonological effect on the following word as words ending in floating H, only morphemes and words that end (or can end) in other than a H-tone are included.

(41) Morphemes not followed by floating H

category	form
a. unpossessed nouns with final <hl>-tone</hl>	
nouns with zero Fin/Def Sg	e.g. bònê 'trouble', -jenêy 'lack of'
b. possessed nouns	
with final <hl>- or L-tone (after To</hl>	nal Rhythm)
PossSg	-ô ~ -ò ~ -à:
PossPl	$-\hat{e}y \sim -\hat{e}y$
1st/2nd person alienable, Sg noun	$1 \operatorname{Sg} - \hat{e} \sim -\hat{e}$ $1 \operatorname{Pl} - \hat{e}y - nd\hat{i}y - \hat{a}\hat{i} \sim -\hat{e}y - nd\hat{i}y - \hat{a}\hat{i}$
	2Sg - <i>ó</i> - <i>nòη</i> ~ - <i>ò</i> - <i>nôη</i>
	2Pl - <i>ó-ndòŋ</i> ∼ <i>-ò-ndôŋ</i>
1st/2nd person alienable, Pl noun	1P1 -éy-ndìy-êy: ~ -èy-ndíy-èy
•	2Sg -éy-nòŋ ~ -èy-nôŋ
	2Pl -éy-ndòŋ ∼ -èy-ndôŋ
nouns with zero PossSg marking	e.g. <i>X kòyrâ</i> 'X's village'
	cf. <i>X kòyr-êy</i> 'X's villages'
c. pronouns and pronominal clitics	
pronouns with final <hl>- tone</hl>	
1Sg (independent)	?ây
1Pl (independent and object)	yérî
2Sg (independent)	nî
2Pl (independent and object)	wàrâŋ
3FullSg	?áŋgâ
3FullPl	'njêy
pronouns with final L-tone	
1Sg preverbal object	nèy
2Sg preverbal object	nàŋ
3Sg subject	à
3Sg preverbal object (allomorph)	à
3Sg before postposition	à
2Pl imperative	wò
with final <hl>- or L-tone (after To</hl>	•
3Sg VO object	-à ~ -â
3Sg preverbal object (allomorph)	\hat{y}
d. inflectional particles preceding VP	
L-toned	
Perfect	nàŋ
XImpf	bò

```
Progressive
                                            nò
  <HL>-toned
    Subjunctive
                                            \hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta}
    Transitive
                                            η̂
e. other
  with final L-toned syllable
    verb stems
                                            e.g. kà 'come'
                                            e.g. bòŋ 'on', gà(:) 'on'
    postpositions
    Reciprocal (postverbal)
                                            cèrè
    Strong definite
                                            H+di
    'be'
                                            H+g\delta:
    'here'
                                            nè:
  with final <HL>-toned syllable
    verb stems
                                            e.g. húrâ 'go in'
                                            e.g. dative sê, dô 'chez'
    postpositions
                                            e.g. hínzâ '3'
    numerals
                                            hérê
    'around'
                                            тô
    'also'
    Emphatic
                                            dâ
    demonstratives
                                            H + w\hat{o}, plural H + w - \hat{e}y
    Relative or focus
                                            gâ
     'what?'
                                            mî:η
    'there' or 'it is'
                                            nôη
```

The first possible reanalysis would argue that tone-raising is predictable from **morphosyntactic configurations**. The starting observation is that most unpossessed (and some possessed) nouns and noun-adjective combinations end in floating H, see (39a-b) and the indefinite plural in (39b). Likewise, most pre-VP inflectional morphemes end in floating H (39d). On the other hand, most verbs, postpositions, and late-NP particles (such as discourse-functional morphemes) lack a floating H.

The fact that even unsuffixed nouns (e.g. $k \dot{o} y r \dot{a} + H$ 'village' and place names like $h \dot{u} m b \dot{u} r \dot{i} + H$) end in floating H is particularly telling. The only simple NPs that do not have floating H are possessed alienables, inalienables with 3PossSg or 3PossPl (but not regular 3Sg) suffixes, and inalienables with 1Sg or 2Sg possessor suffix that end in <HL>-tone due to tone-spreading from the stem.

Likewise, (39b-c) include the majority of pronominal-subject and inflectional particles that precede VPs. Since pronominal subjects function as NPs, one could combine them with the NPs just described. By contrast, verbs, postpositions, and NP-final particles do not have floating tones. The generalization would therefore be that tone-raising applies to the left edge of a word that follows either a core NP or a preverbal inflectional morpheme.

However, there are several exceptions to this generalization that make a purely morphosyntactic reanalysis impossible synchronically, though one can imagine a future version of the language where these exceptions have been leveled out. Among pronominal-subject morphemes, 3Sg à, 3FullSg ?áŋgâ, and 3FullPl njêy do

not have a floating H. There is no floating H after preverbal pronominal-object morphemes (except 3Pl $p \circ p + H$), or after the 3Sg object suffix -a on VO verbs. Among nonpronominal pre-VP inflectional morphemes, there is no floating H after subjunctive $\hat{m} \sim \hat{y}$, transitive \hat{y} , or perfect $n \circ p$, for example. So while a simple reanalysis, replacing stipulated (lexical) floating H's with a morphosyntactically controlled tone-raising rule focusing on NPs, would account for a majority of the data, it would have to recognize a significant number of exceptions.

The other possible reanalysis is **phonological**. It would argue that the tone-raising is not due to a floating H, nor to morphosyntactic categories, rather to **tone dissimilation**. The idea would be that a {L}-toned word following a word that ends in a L-tone raises the tone of its first syllable (or first mora if the word is monosyllabic). This could be interpreted as motivated **rhythmically**, and/or as a device to mark word boundaries prosodically.

In this analysis, floating H's stipulated for words ending in a H-toned syllable are dispensed with, since they can be accounted for by an independently justified process of Rightward H-Spreading (§3.9.5.3). This includes all nouns and numerals ending (after word-internal tone processes) in final/definite singular (or 3Sg inalienable possessor) $-\delta$, the plural counterpart $-\epsilon y$, and indefinite plural $-p\delta y$, as well as {H}-toned verbs with verbal noun $-p\delta y$.

This takes care of words/morphemes ending in H-tone. Since there are no <LH> tones at syllable level in HS, there remain only words ending in <HL>- and L-toned syllables. The phonological reanalysis of the "floating-H" effect would be that words/morphemes ending in a L-toned syllable, but not a <HL>-toned syllable, raise the tone of a following L-toned syllable to H.

This solves the basic problem with the morphosyntactic analysis, since many of the exceptions to tone-raising in the latter analysis involve words (including inflectional particles) that "happen to" end in a <HL>-toned syllable. The phonological reanalysis is also supported by the existence of an independently justified tonal dissimilation of the same type that occurs in noun-adjective sequences, see Adjectival Tone-Raising (§3.9.4.2).

However, it is not the case that all words ending in a L-toned syllable are triggers for tone-raising in the following word. (42) contains some words and morphemes ending in L-tone that have no tonal effect on a following word. For example, 1Sg object $n \ge b$ has no tonal effect on $b \ge a$ in (42a), and postposition $b \ge a$ has no tonal effect on $b \ge a$: in (42b).

- (42) a. $b\acute{a}:=\acute{\eta}/\uparrow=\acute{\eta}$ $n\grave{e}y$ $b\grave{a}n\grave{a}$, \grave{i} $s\acute{u}/\uparrow=\acute{\eta}=\acute{\eta}$ 'té: even=2SgS=Tr 1SgO pay, 1SgS ImpfNeg=Tr=3SgO do 'Even if you-Sg paid me, I wouldn't do it.' ($b\^{a}$:)
 - b. i † dú [?àtté: jín-èy] † [á bòŋ] bì:

 1SgS get [tea gear-DefPl] [3Sg on] yesterday

 'Yesterday I got (=found) the tea gear on it.'

A further problem with the phonological reanalysis is that some L-toned $C\dot{v}$ function morphemes fail to raise their tones after a floating H. These include infinitival $k\dot{u} + H$ (43a) and imperfective negative $s\dot{u} + H$ (43b).

(43) a.
$$k\grave{a}l$$
 $k\grave{u}$ $f s\acute{e}l\grave{e}\eta$ $[k\grave{o}-k\grave{o}y-\grave{o}$ $s\^{e}]$
 $f s\acute{e}l\grave{e}\eta$ $[k\grave{o}-k\grave{o}y-\grave{o}+H$ $s\grave{e}]/f$

except Infin speak $[f s\acute{e}l]/f$

except speaking to the chief

So neither the morphosyntactic nor the phonological reanalysis works cleanly. A combination of the two would come closer to getting the facts right. For example, one could limit the tonal dissimilation to core NPs (up to and including a numeral) and to pre-VP inflectional morphemes. However, even such a combined reanalysis would leave a number of exceptions. This is because some morphemes of the supposedly favorable morphosyntactic and phonological types do not have a floating-H effect: some pronominal clitics (3Sg \grave{a} , 2Pl imperative \grave{wo}), some possessed nouns, some pre-VP inflectional morphemes (e.g. perfect $n\grave{a}\eta$).

So our choice is either to stipulate a large number of floating H's, or to go for a combined morphosyntactic/phonological analysis and still have to list a fair number of exceptions. A case can be made for either approach. The practical advantage of the stipulative approach is that users of the grammar and dictionary can see at a glance which morphemes and words have a floating-H effect on following words, rather than having to do complex calculations.

However, there is one robust phonological generalization that can be stated as a constraint (44).

(44) No floating H after word-final <HL> syllable

No floating H occurs after a word which in isolation ends in a <HL>-toned syllable (after word-internal phonology).

This is particularly relevant to 1Sg and 2Sg inalienable possessor forms, which appear (after tone-spreading from the stem) either as L-toned $-\dot{e}y + H$ and $-\dot{a}\eta + H$ (with floating H) or as <HL>-toned $-\dot{e}y$ and $-\hat{a}\eta$ (without floating H). One can therefore represent these suffixes as /-èy + H/ and /-à η + H/, and have (44) delete the floating H in e.g. $h\acute{a}s$ - $\acute{e}y$ 'my (maternal) uncle' and $h\acute{a}s$ - $\acute{a}\eta$ 'your-Sg uncle'.

(44) refers to the form of the word before any inter-word tone processes apply. In particular, a $C\hat{v}C + H$ morpheme such as future $n\hat{a}m + H$ does not lose its floating H when it is itself affected by a preceding floating H. Hence $/\hat{i} + H$ n\ham + H d\ham d\ham is realized, via $/\hat{i}$ n\ham d\ham d\ham i\ham d\ham is floating-H Docking), as $\hat{i} / n\hat{a}m / d\hat{i}r\hat{a}$ is will walk'. The fact that intermediate $n\hat{a}m$ is <HL>-tone does not result in the

deletion of the floating H that follows it. This floating H appears as a H-tone on the first syllable of the verb *dìrà*, even though this H-tone is then downstepped due to delinking of the L-tone in intermediate /nâm/.

(44) is also relevant to combinations involving a word otherwise ending in a $\langle HL \rangle$ tone plus a demonstrative $H+w\hat{o}$ or plural $H+w-\hat{e}y$. Consider (45), where final/definite singular $s\hat{u}b-\hat{o}+H$ 'grass' and possessed \hat{a} $s\hat{u}b-\hat{o}$ 'his/her/its grass' are followed by $H+w\hat{o}$.

(45) a.
$$sùb-\acute{o}\uparrow$$
 $w\^{o}$
 $/sùb-\acute{o}+H$ $H+w\^{o}/$
 $grass-Fin/DefSg$ Dem
'this/that grass'

I hear downstepped ' $w\hat{o}$ in (45b) but not in (45a). If this is correct, it suggests that the floating H in /H+ $w\hat{o}$ / in (45b) is deleted, then (as usual) a final <HL>-toned syllable in a nonfinal word becomes H+L, with the delinked L-tone realized as downstep on the following word (§3.9.5.9). In (45a), on the other hand, the floating H docks on the left, replacing the L-tone of the second syllable of 'grass', and there is no reason to downstep. However, this phonetically subtle distinction needs further verification.

In the high-frequency cliticized combination $n\acute{e}: \uparrow = w\grave{o}$ 'here', for theoretical $n\acute{e}: \uparrow = {}^{t}w\^{o}$, $w\^{o}$ is usually pronounced with L-tone.

3.9.2 Tone overwriting in derivational suffixation

Derivational verbal suffixes impose either $\{H\}$ or $\{L\}$ tone melody on the preceding stem. In the endocentric derivations, the same tone extends to the end of the suffix itself. The relevant suffixes are in (46). Both *-éyndí* and *-à* are multifunctional.

(46) Verbal derivational suffixes

category	suffix	stem melody	reference
a. endocentric (verb-to-v	verb)		
Causative	-éyndí	{H}	§6.2.2
Potential passive	"	"	§6.2.4
Resultative passive	-à	{L}	§6.2.3
Unspecified object	**	"	"

b. nominalizing Agentive
$$-k\hat{o}w$$
 {L} §4.5.7, §4.8.2

The {L}- or {H}-toned derived verb stem is subject to further modifications of the sorts also applicable to underived verbs or nouns. Within the word, the singular-subject imperative suffix $-\eta$ (arguably $-\eta$) imposes tone changes on the final two syllables of a verb. There are also various tone-sandhi rules applying across word-boundaries, such as Rightward H-Spreading (see below). Nouns, including agentives, are subject to various tone rules if they are possessed.

There are also a number of **nominal derivational** endings that impose $\{L\}$ melody on the preceding stem. The suffix itself may have a tone distinct from the flat $\{L\}$ of the stem.

(47) Nominal derivational suffixes or compound finals

category	suffix	preceding stem	reference
'-hood'	-tàrêy	{L}	§4.8.7
'owner of'	-kòynì	{L}	§4.5.5
Diminutive	-íy-òw	{L}	§4.9.1

For example, *gùndê* 'belly' ends in a <HL>-toned syllable, but becomes {L} in the derivation *gùndè-kòynì* 'pregnant woman' (lit. "one who has a belly").

3.9.3 Presuffixal Cv: Tone-Raising (monosyllabic noun before suffix)

L-toned stems of the shape $C\dot{v}$: or $C\dot{v}$ (i.e. V-final monosyllabic stems) are sometimes raised to H-tone in suffixal contexts.

For verbs, the only clear example of tone-raising is $b\acute{a}:g-\grave{a}$ 'want it', the 3Sg object form of VO verbs $b\grave{a}$ 'want'. The form $b\acute{a}:g-\grave{a}$ is archaic and isolated in HS. Other examples that may sound tone-raised are, in my current understanding, variably upstepped rather than raised to H-tone. This is the case with $g\grave{o}:^{t}=n\grave{e}$ 'be here' and $g\grave{o}:^{t}=n\grave{o}$ 'be there', with cliticized demonstrative adverbs.

For nouns, the relevant stems are $C\hat{a}$: or $C\hat{e}$: monosyllables in their (usually infrequent) combinations with a final/definite suffix. Such nouns avoid the (singular) final/definite singular suffix when unpossessed, but allow it when possessed. They may also accept definite plural -ey + H. I observed shifts to H-toned stem in these combinations.

(48)		gloss	nonfinal	'his/her X'	Definite plural
	a.	'campground'	gà: ~ gá:	à gá:-w-ò ~ à† gà: ~ à gá:	gá:-w-éy+H ~ gá:-y-éy+H
	b.	'milk'	wà:	à wá:↑-y-ò ~ à† wà:	wá:↑-y-èy+H
		'share'	bà:	à† bà:	<i>bá:↑-y-èy+H</i>
	c.	'borassus palm'	bè:	à bé:-w-ò ~ à bé:-y-ò ~ à bè:	bé:-y-èy (PossPl)

'Campground' (48a), an uncommon noun that some speakers do not know, fluctuates between $g\hat{a}$: and $g\hat{a}$: as lexically basic shapes, even when unsuffixed, so its suffixed forms with H-toned $g\hat{a}$: could simply be based on the H-toned variant. This is clearly the case in definite plural $g\hat{a}$:-w- $\hat{e}y$ +H $\sim g\hat{a}$:-y- $\hat{e}y$ +H, where the suffix as well as the stem is H-toned. So I focus on (48b-c).

In the 3Sg possessor column ('his/her ...'), we see that 'milk' (48b) and 'borassus palm' (48c) remain L-toned when no suffix is added, but that they become H-toned when there is such a suffix. These alienably possessed forms are subject to Tonal Rhythm (see below), and one could argue that the tone-raising is a device to satisfy the requirement that the syllables differ tonally. However, given the lexical L-tone, the straightforward way to satisfy this constraint would have been by shifting the -o or -ey suffix to $\langle HL \rangle$ -tone (e.g. $\# a^{\dagger} \ w a : -y - \hat{o}$ 'his/her milk'), in the fashion of lexically L-toned bisyllabic nouns ($a^{\dagger} \ s u b - \hat{o}$ 'his/her grass'). Therefore a morphologically specialized tone-raising rule must be posited.

(49) Presuffixal Cv: Tone-Raising

A $C\dot{v}$: noun stem appears as $C\dot{v}$:- before final/definite suffixes, and before the closely related alienable-possessor suffixes

For speakers who pronounce the plural of 'milk' as $w\acute{a}:\uparrow -y-\grave{e}y+H$ with H.L tone pattern, the tone-raising rule affects only the first syllable. Compare $g\acute{a}:-w-\acute{e}y+H$ 'campgrounds', with H.H pattern, which suggests that the stem variant $g\acute{a}$: (rather than variant $g\grave{a}$: plus Presuffixal Cv: Tone-Raising) is at hand. In alienably possessed forms with -o or -ey, a {HL} melody is required by the Tonal Rhythm rule (see below), given that the stem vowel is H-toned.

My current view is that Presuffixal Cv: Tone-Raising does not apply as such to inalienably possessed forms such as $b\grave{a}:-y-nd\grave{i}+H$ 'our share' (stem $b\grave{a}:$), whose surface pitch pattern seems to me to differ subtly from that of $m\acute{a}:-y-nd\grave{i}+H$ 'our name' (stem $m\^{a}:$). The phonetic difference is not great and it may be that Presuffixal Cv: Tone-Raising does apply at least variably to $b\grave{a}:-y-nd\grave{i}+H$. The same issue arises with pronominally conjugated forms of postposition $g\grave{a}:-y$ as such as $g\grave{a}:-y-nd\grave{i}$

'on us' (§5.9.4), since postpositions are closely related to inalienably possessed nouns.

3.9.4 Tonal dissimilation processes

3.9.4.1 Tonal Rhythm (possessed nouns, imperatives, VO verbs)

Tonal Rhythm operates within the final two syllables (penult and final) of alienably possessed nouns, some third-person possessor forms of inalienably possessed nouns (those based on 3PossSg or 3PossPl), singular-subject imperative verbs, and VO verbs with 3Sg object suffix. The penultimate tone is a key factor, but only the last syllable is modified.

For the possessed nouns, if the suffix complex (disregarding the stem) has more than one syllable, the domain of Tonal Rhythm begins with the last presuffixal syllable internal to the stem and contains all suffixes, resulting in a domain of three or four syllables. The exception is that indefinite plural -non, which can follow the 1Sg alienable possessor suffix, is excluded from the domain (this is not surprising since this suffix has only minimal phonological interaction with the preceding stem).

Examples of **inputs** to Tonal Rhythm are in (50). The input syllables constituting the domain of the rule (which has not yet applied) are in brackets; any syllables to their left are disregarded. For example, in a trisyllabic $\{LHL\}$ -toned noun like $d\hat{a}[b\acute{a}r-\grave{o}]+H$ 'strategem', we can disregard the L-toned antepenult and apply Tonal Rhythm to the $\{HL\}$ -toned remainder.

'strategem'

```
(50) input gloss
```

```
\{L\}-toned

[bùg-o]+H 'hut'

hùm[bùr-o]+H 'mortar'

\{H\}-toned

[d\acute{e}\eta g-\acute{o}]+H 'charcoal'

[?\acute{a}lf-\grave{a}:]+H 'marabout'

\{HL\}-toned

[c\acute{i}r-o]+H 'bird'

[k\acute{a}:r-o]+H 'bus'
```

a. alienable noun, final/definite singular form

b. inalienable noun, 3Sg possessor form

{LHL}-toned dà[bár-ò]+H

```
\{L\}-toned

[b\grave{a}nd-\grave{o}]+H '(his/her) back'

\{H\}-toned

[m\acute{y}^n-\acute{o}]+H '(his/her) mouth'
```

```
hám[búr-ó]+H
                                    '(his/her) hair'
  {HL}-toned
  {LHL}-toned
   ze[kér-ò]+H
                                    '(his/her) buttock'
   g\hat{a}-[g\hat{a}b-\hat{o}]+H
                                    '(his/her) jaw'
c. unaffixed verb stem
  \{L\}-toned
                                    'sit'
   [gòrò]
   bè-[bèrè]
                                    'take a walk'
                                    'braid'
   [tùrù]
  {H}-toned
                                    'reply'
   [túrú]
   bé[n-éyndí]
                                    'bring to an end'
  {HL}-toned
   [húrâ]
                                    'go in'
   [nínêy]
                                    'bathe'
   té[férê]
                                    'hobble'
  {LHL}-toned, trisyllabic or CvCCv
   k \delta [g \delta t \delta] \sim k \delta [g \delta t \delta]
                                    'cough'
                                    'sleep'
   [jìrbî]
  {LHL}-toned, CvCvC
   [tìsôw]
                                    'sneeze'
d. VO transitive verbs
  {L}-toned
   [dù]
                                    'get'
   [dìnòw]
                                    'forget'
  {H}-toned
                                    'see'
   [dí:]
   [ŋá:n]
                                    'know'
  {HL}-toned
   [mân] ~ [mân]
                                    'approach'
```

After any relevant affixation, Tonal Rhythm converts inputs based on the stems in (50) into outputs like those in (51). There are three main points to note. First, all forms affected by Tonal Rhythm **end in a L-tone element**, i.e. in either a L- or $\langle HL \rangle$ -toned syllable. Second, throughout the Tonal Rhythm domain, **no two adjacent syllables have the same tone**. Since nonfinal syllables cannot be contoured, this entails an up-and-down rhythm. Third, with the exception of imperatives of $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C$ verbs, the tone of the **leftmost syllable in the domain** determines the tones of all following syllables. If this leftmost syllable is H-toned, we get H.L, H.L. $\langle HL \rangle$, or H.L.H.L depending on the number of following syllables. If the leftmost syllable is L-toned, we get L. $\langle HL \rangle$, L.H.L, or L.H.L. $\langle HL \rangle$.

The irregularity in $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C$ verbs is that the imperative comes out as $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C(-\eta)$ instead of the expected $\#C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C(-\eta)$. It may be relevant that since the nasal suffix is usually inaudible after a consonant, the $\#C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C(-\eta)$ output would have been homophonous (both tonally and segmentally) to the unsuffixed form of the stem. All other verbs have clearly audible distinctions between unsuffixed and imperative forms; this applies even to $C\hat{v}CC\hat{v}$, which ends in a vowel so that the nasal suffix is audible.

In (51), italicized subdivision labels like "{L}-toned" refer to the lexical tone of the stem (for nouns, the final/definite singular, not shown).

```
(51) a. alienable noun, all possessed forms
```

```
PossSg(X's)
                  1Pl possessor ('our')
                                           gloss
\{L\}-toned
                  [bùg-éy-ndìy-â:]
                                           'X's/our hut'
 X [bùg-\hat{o}]
 X hùm[bùr-\hat{o}]
                  hùm[bùr-éy-ndìy-â:]
                                           'X's/our mortar'
{H}-toned
 X [déŋg-ò]
                  [déng-èy-ndíy-à:]
                                           'X's/our charcoal'
{HL}-toned
 X [?álf-à:]
                  [?álf-èy-ndíy-à:]
                                           'X's/our marabout'
 X [cír-ò]
                  [cír-èy-ndíy-à:]
                                           'X's/our bird'
                                           'X's/our bus'
 X [ká:r-ò]
                  [ká:r-èy-ndíy-à:]
{LHL}-toned
                  dà[bár-èy-ndíy-à:]
 X dà[bár-ò]
                                           'X's/our strategem'
```

b. inalienable noun in 3PossSg/Pl form, illustrated with 3FullSg *?áŋgâ* possessing a singular noun in 3PossSg form

```
{L}-toned
   Pángá [bànd-ô]
                                 'his/her (own) back'
  {H}-toned
   ?áŋgá ⁴hám[búr-ò]
                                 'his/her (own) hair'
   ?ángá [ míy^n - o] + H
                                 'his/her (own) mouth'
  {HL}-toned
  {LHL}-toned
                                 'his/her (own)buttock'
   ?áŋgá zè[kér-ò] + H
   ?áŋgá gà-[gáb-ò]+H
                                 'his/her (own) jaw'
c. Imperative verb, singular subject
  \{L\}-toned, ...L.L to ...L.<HL>
   [gòrô-ŋ]
                                 'sit!'
   bè-[bèrê-ŋ]
                                 'take a walk!'
   [tùrû-ŋ]
                                 'reply!'
  \{H\}-toned, ...H.H to ...H.L
                                 'braid!'
   [túrù-ŋ]
                                 'bring to an end'
   bé[n-éyndì-ŋ]
  \{HL\}-toned, ...H.<HL> to H.L
   [húrà-ŋ]
                                 'go in!'
```

```
'bathe!'
   [piney(-\eta)]
                                   'hobble!'
   té[férè-ŋ]
  {LHL}-toned, trisyllabic or CvCCv, ...L.<HL> unchanged
   kò[gòtô-ŋ]
                                   'cough!'
                                   'sleep!'
   [jìrbî-n]
  \{LHL\}-toned, C\hat{v}(C)C\hat{v}C, ...L.\langle HL \rangle to ...H.L
                                   'sneeze!'
   [tísòw(-ŋ)]
d. VO verb with 3Sg object suffix
  \{L\}-toned
   [dùw-â]
                                   'got him/her/it'
                                   'forgot him/her/it'
   [dìnn-â]
  {H}-toned
                                   'saw him/her/it'
   [díy-à]
                                   'knew him/her/it'
   [ηá:n-à]
  {HL}-toned
   [mán-à]
                                   'approached him/her/it'
```

For nouns (51a-b), Tonal Rhythm (along with deletion of the final floating H-tone) without further affixation suffices to **convert unpossessed to possessed** forms, e.g. $b\dot{u}g-\dot{o}+H$ 'hut' to its basic possessed form $b\dot{u}g-\dot{o}$. For the verbs (51c-d), Tonal Rhythm is an adjunct to a suffix. The imperative suffix is $-\eta$ (arguably $-\hat{\eta}$), but when the suffixal nasal is deleted (after a stem-final consonant), only Tonal Rhythm marks the word form as imperative. This is seen in (52), which shows the most common pronunciation of the imperative with no audible nasal suffix. The presurface forms on the far right reflect the operation of Tonal Rhythm but not the later deletion of the suffixal nasal.

```
(52)
             gloss
                                stem
                                                  singular imperative
         a. lexically {H} or {HL}
            {H}
             'bathe'
                                                 niney-\emptyset (< niney-\eta/)
                                nínêv
            \{LH\}
             'be quiet'
                                                  dánjèy-Ø (< /dánjèy-η/)
                                dánjéy
         b. lexically {L} or {LHL}
            \{L\}
             'forget'
                                dìnòw
                                                  din\hat{o}w-\emptyset (</din\hat{o}w-\eta/)
            {LHL}
             'sneeze'
                                                  tisow-\emptyset (< /tisow-\(\eta\))
                                tìsôw
```

Historically, it is likely that Tonal Rhythm **originated by leftward spreading of a final <HL> tone**. This is clearest in the singular imperative, where suffix $-\eta$ (perhaps specifically $-\hat{\eta}$) is a contraction from 2Sg pronoun $n\hat{\imath}$. The possessed forms subject to Tonal Rhythm also originated in combinations of nouns with encliticized

<HL>-toned pronouns 1Sg $2\hat{a}y$ and 2Sg $n\hat{i}$, but subsequent analogical processes have obscured this (Heath 2011).

The role of the leftmost syllable in the relevant domain can be focused on by comparing $\{H\}$ -toned $h\acute{e}b$ - $\acute{o}+H$ 'market' with $\{L\}$ -toned $s\grave{u}b$ - $\grave{o}+H$ 'grass' with various possessors (53). The syllable-by-syllable surface tones are shown by H's and L's underneath, disregarding the tones of the proclitic 3Sg and 3Pl pronouns in the last two examples. Observe how the same suffixes and suffix complexes have different tones with these two nouns.

(53)		'market' (H)	'grass' {L}
	'my'	<i>héb-</i> è H.L	sùb-ê L. <hl></hl>
	'our'	<i>héb-èy-ndí-y-à:</i> H.L.H.L	sùb-éy-ndì-y-â: L.H.L. <hl></hl>
	'your-Sg'	héb-ò-n-ôŋ H.L. <hl></hl>	sùb-ó-n-òŋ L.H.L
	'your-Pl'	héb-ò-n-d-ôŋ H.L. <hl></hl>	sùb-ó-n-d-òŋ L.H.L
	'his/her'	<i>à héb-ò</i> H.L	a† sùb-ô L. <hl></hl>
	'their' (<i>ɲòŋ + H</i>)	<i>ŋòŋ héb-ò</i> H.L	nòn ∱sú⁴b-ô H.<⁴HL> (due to floating H)

In 'their grass' in (53), Tonal Rhythm applying at word-level produces $sùb-\hat{o}$. This L.<HL> sequence is complicated at the phrasal level by the docking of the floating H associated with the 3Pl possessor $p\hat{o}\eta + H$, which initially produces <HL>.<HL>. Since <HL> is not allowed in a nonfinal syllable, this is theoretically realized as H.< 4 HL> with a downstepped falling tone on the final syllable (§3.9.5.7). In practice I usually hear bisyllabics in this construction as $p\hat{o}\eta$ $\uparrow s\hat{u}b-\hat{o}$ with final L-toned syllable; this deserves further study.

Combinations of 3Pl possessor $p \delta n + H$ with **trisyllabic** nouns of various lexical tone melodies are illustrated in (54). In $p \delta n / h \delta m [b u r - \delta]$ 'their mortar' (54a), the medial L-toned syllable of the trisyllabic noun serves as a buffer, so that Rightward Floating-H Docking on the first syllable does not interfere with Tonal Rhythm (which accounts for the $H \to H$ -tone on the ultimate). However, the $H \to H$ -toned stems end up in the 3Pl form with $H \to H$ -tones, from $H \to H$ -tones, from $H \to H$ -toned Floating-H Docking.

```
(54)
           gloss
                           stem
                                              'their ...'
       a. {L}-toned
           'mortar'
                           hùm[bùr-ò]+H
                                              nòn [húm[bùr-ô]
       b. {H}- and {HL}-toned stems
         {H}-toned
                          ci[gin-\acute{o}]+H
           'night'
                                              nòn cí[gín-ò]
         {HL}-toned
           'hobbles'
                           té[fér-ò]+H
                                              pòŋ té[fér-ò]
       c. {LHL}-toned stems
           'stratagem'
                           dà[bár-ò]
                                              nòn ∱dá[⁴bár-ò]
           'pigeon sp.'
                          gùn[tás-ò]+H
                                              nòn ↑gún[¹tás-ò]
```

If the word is of a morphological category that Tonal Rhythm should apply to, but the word is **monosyllabic**, we might expect Tonal Rhythm to be inapplicable. This expectation is validated for possessed nouns, though the only evidence is from a handful of *Ca:* nouns, since such inalienables remain monosyllabic when possessed. So in (55a) below, the 3PossSg forms simply preserve the lexical tone of the noun. Tonal Rhythm does not apply to these forms.

The other grammatical category that provides evidence on this matter is the imperative of verbs. Here we find that all monosyllabic stems that take imperative suffix -ŋ have their lexical tones overridden by a {HL} melody. This is consistent with Tonal Rhythm, which requires a final {HL} sequence within its domain (55b).

(55) Monosyllabic words potentially subject to Tonal Rhythm

a. inalienable noun, 3Sg possessor form, illustrated with 3PossSg verdict: no Tonal Rhythm

stem	3PossSg (with 3FullSg ?áŋgâ)	gloss
{L}-toned bà:	?áŋgá bà:	'share'
{H}-toned gá:	?áŋgá ⁺gá:	'body'
{HL}-toned mâ:	?áŋgá ⁺mâ:	'name'

b. singular-subject imperative verb

verdict: Tonal Rhythm applies

stemImperativegloss $\{L\}$ -toned $\hat{\eta}$ a: $\hat{\eta}$ a:- $\hat{\eta}$ 'eat' \hat{t} a \hat{t} a'receive, accept'

The rule can be formulated, making specific reference to rhythms (alternation of H's and L's) as (56).

(56) Tonal Rhythm (possessed nouns, imperatives, VO verbs)

a. categories

- i. all alienably possessed nouns
- ii. inalienably possessed nouns with nonmonosyllabic 3Poss singular or plural morphology, excluding regular 3Sg possessor and excluding 3Poss forms of monosyllabic *Ca:* stems
- iii. singular-subject imperative of verbs
- iv. VO transitive verb with 3Sg object -a

b. phonological domain

- i. the indefinite plural suffix $-po\eta + H$ is excluded, otherwise...
- ii. mono- and bisyllabic words: entire word
- iii. trisyllabic and longer words: minimally the two rightmost syllables, extending leftward (if necessary) to the rightmost syllable that is internal to the stem

c. process

- i. the imperative of $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C$ verbs is irregularly $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C(-\eta)$, otherwise...
- ii. if the word is bisyllabic or longer: starting with the input tone of the leftmost syllable in the domain, alternate H- and L-toned syllables to the right edge of the word, but the final syllable must end in a L-toned coda if not L-toned; in other words, ...

```
...H.H, ...H.<HL>, and ...H.L \rightarrow ...H.L ...L.L and ...L.<HL> \rightarrow ...L.<HL>
```

iii. if the word is monosyllabic, disregard lexical tone and make the word <HL>-toned.

As indicated earlier in this section, the historical origin of these Tonal Rhythms forms is likely to have been encliticization (and eventual suffixation) of a <HL>toned morpheme $*C\hat{v}(C)$ to the stem. Would a similar phonological analysis work synchronically? If so, it would have to involve leftward spreading of tone features.

The most favorable case for this is the imperative. If we take the suffix to be /- $\hat{\eta}$ /, we would have the input-output relationships in (57), excluding the irregular treatment of $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}C$ stems mentioned earlier.

In this interpretation, in (57a) the L-tone component of the <HL>-toned suffix **pushes left**, occupying the entire final syllable. In (57b), on the other hand, the entire suffixal <HL> contour is realized on the final syllable. The same output occurs in (57c), where however we cannot determine whether the <HL> on the final input syllable may have contributed to the output <HL>.

A similar model could be used for the 3Sg object form of VO verbs; we would posit underlying /-â/. The possessed nouns forms are more difficult, but we might posit a possessed (**Poss**) morpheme consisting of a segmentally unaffiliated <HL> tone that then fuses with the final/definite singular form of the noun, generating the 3PossSg form of inalienables and the PossSg form of alienables, and (with some further morphology) the 1Sg and 2Sg possessor forms for alienables.

If we choose this alternative analysis, there is clearly a **leftward push** of tone elements from a suffix, but this push goes father than what would be needed to satisfy word-level constraints. For example, in (57a) an output #H.<H-ŋ>, where the suffixal <HL> simply merges with the initial H-tone of the final syllable to surface as <HL>, would have been an acceptable word-level output. But it would not have been rhythmic, and instead we get the rhythmic H.<L-ŋ> with its tone break at the syllable boundary.

Above all, a mechanical tonological analysis starting with posited <HL> tones on suffixes would not account for the more complex possessed forms, where the domain of Tonal Rhythm encompasses as many as four syllables, as in hùm[bùr-éy-ndìy-â:] 'our mortar' versus [?álf-èy-ndíy-à:] 'our marabout' (51a). To get such forms to come out right, we would have to posit a <HL>-tone on -ey- and another on -a:, and show how these inputs produce the outputs, using a mix of conflicting left-to-right and right-to-left cross-currents. I prefer a rhythmic analysis along the lines of (56).

3.9.4.2 Adjectival Tone-Raising

When a noun ending in L-tone (bisyllabic L.L, monosyllabic L, etc.) is followed by a L-toned adjective (L, L.L, etc.), the first syllable of the adjective is raised to H. If there is only one syllable in the adjective, its first mora is raised to H, so the result is falling $\langle HL \rangle$ -tone. Since most nouns and adjectives are bisyllabic (including nonfinal suffix -u on nouns, and final/definite suffixes on adjectives), the most common pattern is underlying [L.L][LL] becoming [L.L][$\uparrow H.L$]. The process is highly visible, since most adjectives are underlyingly L-toned.

Historically, this is probably a vestige of a process still observable in TSK, where the key process is tone-raising in the final syllable of the noun (final mora if monosyllabic), resulting initially in [L.H][L.L]. Rightward H-Spreading then spreads the H to the first syllable of the adjective. This is followed in turn by Anticipatory Dissimilation which slightly lowers the first of two H's separated by a word boundary. So the derivation in TSK is [L.L][L.L] \rightarrow [L.H][L.L] \rightarrow [L.H][\uparrow H.L] \rightarrow [L.H \neg] [\uparrow H.L], where \neg indicates a slight dissimilatory pitch drop. In HS, by contrast, there is no audible trace of the original raising of the nounstem-final syllable (or mora).

Among many L-toned adjectives in HS are $b\grave{e}:r\grave{i}$ 'big' and $b\grave{o}:-b-\grave{o}w$ 'many, much'. Adjectival Tone-Raising applies to them after L-toned nouns like $s\grave{u}b-\grave{o}+H$ 'grass' (nonfinal $s\grave{u}b-\grave{u}$) and $s\grave{w}b-\grave{o}+H$ 'woman' (nonfinal $s\grave{v}b$). Examples are in (58), and the rule is given as (59).

- (58) a. $sù b-\grave{u}$ $\uparrow b\acute{o}:-b-\grave{o}w$ grass-NF much-Adj 'a lot of grass'
 - b. wòy / bé:r-ò+H woman big-Fin/DefSg 'a/the big woman'
- (59) Adjectival Tone-Raising

Raise the first syllable of a L-toned adjective (first mora if monosyllabic) when it follows a noun ending in L-tone:

$$[...L]_N [L.L]_{Adj} \rightarrow [...L]_N [H.L]_{Adj}$$

It is difficult to find an example of a monosyllabic adjective combined with a L-toned noun. One can concoct such an example by adding $h \grave{a} y - \grave{o} w$ 'wide, spacious' in its nonfinal variant $h \grave{a} y$ to a {L}-toned noun like $g \grave{a} n d \grave{e}$ 'chest (of body)', resulting in $g \grave{a} n d \grave{e}$ 'h $\hat{a} y$ 'wide chest', as long as a second modifier follows.

3.9.4.3 Pronominal-Clitic Upstep

A L-toned $(C)\hat{v}$ proclitic is upstepped variably to a somewhat higher pitch than it would otherwise have before a word beginning in a L-toned syllable. The process applies to 3Sg \hat{a} (subject, object, postpositional complement) and to plural-subject imperative $w\hat{o}$.

(60) a. à' gòrò 3SgS sit 'He/she sat down.'

- b. à' jìsî-ŋ
 3SgO put.down-ImprtSgS
 'Put-2Sg it down!'
- c. i / = i j $fg\acute{a}:s-\acute{o}$ $fg\acute{a}:s-\acute{o}$
- d. wò† bìsà
 2PlImprtS go.past
 'Keep-2PlS going!'

Some nominally $C\hat{v}$ morphemes ($n\hat{e}$ 'say', dative $s\hat{e}$, postposition $d\hat{\sigma}$ 'at, chez') are optionally pronounced as L-toned, in which case they are compatible with upstep on preceding \hat{a} . Thus \hat{a} $n\hat{e} \sim \hat{a}^{\dagger}$ $n\hat{e}$ 'he/she said', 3Sg dative \hat{a} $s\hat{e} \sim \hat{a}^{\dagger}$ $s\hat{e}$ 'to/for him/her'.

It is more difficult to determine under what conditions 3Sg object (as opposed to subject) \hat{a} is also subject to upstep. 3Sg object is expressed by a distinct allomorph $\hat{\eta}$ in several environments, so the relevant combinations with \hat{a} are these: 3Sg object \hat{a} in imperatives of OV transitives, and lengthened 3Sg object $=\hat{a}$: following certain pre-VP inflectional morphemes (subjunctive \hat{m} , perfective negative $m\hat{a}n + H$, and future $n\hat{a}m + H$). Examples of these combinations before a verb with initial L-toned syllable are in (61).

- (61) a. à † jìsî-ŋ
 3SgO put.down-Imprt
 'Put-2Sg it down!'
 - b. $w = \hat{a}$: ' jîsî 2PlS.Imprt=3SgO put.down 'Put-2Pl it down!' (from /wò à .../)
 - c. $i = m = \lambda$; jisi1SgSubju=Subju=3SgO put.down 'that I might put it down'
 - d. à màn = fá: jìsì

 3SgS PerfNeg=3SgO put.down
 'He/She did not put it down.'
 - e. \grave{a}^{\dagger} $n\grave{a}m = \uparrow \acute{a}:$ $jis\grave{a}$ 3SgS Fut=3SgO put.down 'He/She will put it down.'

Upstep clearly can occur in the imperatives (61a-b). After perfective negative $m \grave{a} n + H$ and future $n \grave{a} m + H$, the issue is most since the floating H suffices to account for the raised tone of the 3Sg object clitic (61d-e). However, the subjunctive morpheme \hat{m} does allow the following \grave{a} : to be upstepped before a L-tone. The

phonology of this combination, underlying $/\hat{m}$ à/, is perhaps complicated by delinking of the L-tone segment of \hat{m} . I am assuming that the delinked L simply merges with the underlying L-tone of the 3Sg object clitic, which is subsequently upstepped with no reference to the tone of \hat{m} . But if upstep precedes delinking, the delinked L could in theory downstep the upstep, if that makes any sense.

3.9.4.4 Anticipatory HH-Dissimilation

While Tonal Rhythm and Adjectival-Tone Raising are basic phonological rules that operate at a more or less phonemic level, the next rule is a low-level phonetic process. When two H-toned syllables come together across a word-boundary, the one on the left tends to "blink" and lowers its pitch slightly. The effect is to provide a subtle prosodic cue for the word boundary. The process has no effect when the two H-tones are already differentiated, viz., when one or the other is in a domain that has undergone Downstep. Moreover, as a low-level process, Anticipatory HH-Dissimilation may be overridden (defeased) by other factors that affect pitch, including the gamut of "rhetorical" factors (emphasis, focus, stem versus particle).

There are fewer combinations resulting in ...H][H... clashes than one might think. For example, pronominal (subject, object, possessor) and MAN proclitics are either L- or <HL>-toned, both of which guarantee pitch differentiation vis-à-vis a following word with H-tone onset. (Contrast TSK, which has many H-toned proclitics.) It is also hard to juxtapose H-toned nouns, since subject and object in transitives are always separated by another word or clitic.

However, we can get ...H][H... clashes in the following combinations, among others: a) {H}-toned verb followed by a H-initial noun (such as the object of a VO verb or the complement of a postposition) or by a H-initial adverb; b) H-initial verb preceded by an {H}-toned word that is not downstepped (intransitive subject in perfective positive aspect, direct object of an imperative); c) two H-toned nouns in a loose compound; and d) H-toned noun before H-initial postposition (especially if the latter is noun-like). Examples are in (62), where the slight lowering of the first H is marked by the symbol ¬. Elsewhere I generally omit this symbol in text transcriptions.

- (62) a. à kóy¬ gá:wó 3SgS go Gao 'He/She went to Gao.'
 - b. $g\acute{a}b-\acute{o}$ $w\^{i}:-\mathscr{D}$ hawk-Fin/DefSg kill-Imprt 'Kill-Sg the hawk!'
 - c. [tónd-ó¬ gám-ò] / gâ [stone-Fin/DefSg middle-PossSg] on 'in the middle of the stone (=mountain)'

When the second of two clashing H's is at the beginning of a prosodically and/or rhetorically weak element, such as a simple postposition (e.g. dative $s\hat{e}$), Anticipatory HH-Dissimilation rarely applies. Instead, the weak element usually lowers its own pitch level.

As formulated here, Anticipatory HH-Dissimilation is a subtle phonetic effect. If the affected syllable is CvC, the pitch lowering actually occurs on the coda of the syllable. For example, if we pluralize 'stone' in (62c), we get $[t\'ond-\'ey \neg g\'am-\`o] \uparrow g\^a$ 'in the middle of the stones (=mountains)', where the syllable 'ey is realized with a slightly falling pitch (from high to semi-high), just enough to give a prosodic signature to the word boundary.

(63) Anticipatory HH-Dissimilation

When two H-tones come together at a word-boundary, other things being equal, the first H tends to undergo a slight dip in the pitch of its final mora

3.9.4.5 Rhetorical versus phonological word-level pitch dropping

A more extreme pitch drop, word-level rather than syllable- or mora-level, occurs when a word X precedes a rhetorically high-octane word Y that is articulated forcefully. There are two recurrent combinations of this type: a) a noun, a fuller NP, or a clause (such as a conditional antecedent) ending with $k\hat{u}l$ 'all', b) a verb or phrase followed by an interjection-like intensifier.

In the case of $k\hat{u}l$, the lowering actually does operate at the **phonological level** in the case of a few high-frequency combinations in the sense 'each/every' (§5.4.3.2): $h \hat{a} \eta \downarrow k \hat{u} l \ldots$ 'every/any day (that ...)', $d \hat{e} y \downarrow k \hat{u} l \ldots$ 'every/any place (that ...)', and $b \hat{o} r \downarrow k \hat{u} l \ldots$ 'everyone/anyone (who ...)'. The stems are $h \hat{a} n$ 'day' (final/definite singular $h \hat{a} n \cdot \hat{o} + H$), $d \hat{e} y$ 'place' (irregular final/definite singular $d \hat{u} w \cdot \hat{o} + H$), and $b \hat{o} r$ 'person' (final/definite singular $b \hat{o} r \cdot \hat{o} + H$). The tone-lowering is marked by the ℓ arrow at the end of the affected word. It also applies when relative $k \hat{a}$ is added to the same nouns, with 'each/every' understood, e.g. $b \hat{o} r \not k \hat{a} \ldots$ 'any person who' (§8.2.5).

In other, less lexicalized combinations involving $k\hat{u}l$, and in all combinations ending in an intensifier, a somewhat similar word-level pitch lowering applies to the preceding word. I regard this as rhetorical (intonational) and phonetically variable rather than categorically phonological (tonal) in nature, though this conclusion can be debated, and I indicate it (if at all) by the downstep symbol t at the end of the affected word. If this word already ends in a L-toned syllable, the t is not needed.

(64) a.
$$i$$
 dí: [háns-éy⁴ kûl]

1SgS see [dog-DefPl all]

'I saw all the dogs.'

```
b. [[\hat{y}] \uparrow k\hat{a}] [\hat{y}] \uparrow m\hat{a}n \uparrow k\hat{a}]^{\perp} k\hat{u}l]

[[2SgS come] [2SgS PerfNeg come] all]

'whether you-Sg come or not' (cf. §9.5.1.4)
```

```
c. à hóttó¹ rók!

3SgS be.bitter bitter.Intens
'It is very bitter.'
```

In (64c), for example, the pitch of *hóttó* may actually be lower than that of the preceding à.

3.9.5 Left-to-right spreading rules

A general trend in HS phonology is for a H-tone to spread or shift to the right. The H in question may be a nonfinal tone within a word, a word-final H that spreads into the next word, or a floating H-tone that docks on the word to its right. There are also some atonal suffixes that acquire their tones by spreading from the preceding stem. L-tones do not move or spread rightward of their own volition, but the rightward movement or spreading of a H-tone may push a L-tone rightward.

Leftward movement of tones is more limited, and is motivated by specific constraints, such as that against <LH> tones in syllables, and that against <HL> in syllables whose rime is a final/definite suffix; see §3.9.6.1-3 below.

Lexical tone patterns for bisyllabic and longer stems already reflect a tendency to bunch tone breaks at the right edge of the stem. For example, ${}^*\text{C}\text{v}\text{C}\text{v}$ is generally reflected as HS CvCv (i.e., ${}^*\text{HL}$ reflected as H.<HL>, with the H-tone pushing into the final syllable), and trisyllabic L.L.<HL> is much more common than L.H.L (except in final/definite forms of nouns). Original ${}^*\text{C}\text{v}\text{C}\text{v}$ is likewise reflected as HS CvCv with the original final H erased (or in some cases surviving only as a following floating H). So even before synchronically recognizable rightward tone movement and spreading, lexical representations have already done some of the work. See especially the section on lexical tones of underived verbs (§3.9.1.4).

3.9.5.1 Atonal Suffix Spreading

The atonal suffixes are those in (65). Arguably the indefinite plural and verbal noun suffixes are morphemically identical (or related).

```
(65) category form

a. C-initial
-pon + H Indefinite plural
-pon + H Verbal noun
-ndi + H 1Pl possessor (inalienable)
```

```
b. V-initial with floating H

-o+H \sim -a: +H Final-singular (alienable)

-o+H \sim -a: +H 3Sg possessor (inalienable)

-ey+H Definite plural
```

The C-initial suffixes (65a) are more straightforward since they do not contract with stem-final vowels. The final tone of the preceding stem is copied onto the suffix. In the most transparent situation, the presuffixal syllable has a flat H- or L-tone that extends into the final suffix. If the presuffixal syllable is $\langle HL \rangle$ -toned, its L-tone component is delinked and realized on the final syllable. This could be formulated as a tone-jumping rule, but it can also be analysed as a two-part process, viz., spreading from $\langle HL \rangle$ -X to $\langle HL \rangle$ -L, followed by Word-Internal $\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow H+L$ (§3.9.5.4).

The indefinite plural is illustrated in (66), and the (homophonous) verbal noun suffix in (67).

The TSK cognate suffix is H-toned -yów in both grammatical functions.

In inalienably possessed nouns, 1Pl possessor -ndi+H always follows 1Sg possessor $-\grave{e}y+H$. The underlying L-tone on the latter spreads to -ndi+H, which is therefore always L-toned, while the final tone from the stem spreads into $-\grave{e}y$ itself: $b\grave{a}:b-\grave{e}y-nd\grave{i}+H$ 'our father', $h\acute{a}s-\acute{e}y-nd\grave{i}+H$ 'our maternal uncle' $(b\grave{a}:b\grave{e})$, $h\acute{a}s\acute{e}$).

The two remaining atonal suffixes (65b) consist of rimes in syllabic terms. Both are associated with following floating H-tones, which (in my opinion) have no tonal effect on the words in question (except in phrasal contexts requiring Leftward Floating-H Docking). One of these suffixes is $-o + H \sim -a$: +H, which for alienable nouns is final-singular (or in some cases definite singular), and for inalienables is the 3Sg possessor form. The other is definite plural -ey + H. When these suffixes are added to a stem-final consonant, they get their tones by spreading from the preceding syllable, subject to adjustments. When they are added to a stem-final vowel (or to nonfinal suffix -u-), the stem and suffixal vowels fuse into a short vowel whose quality features are generally those of the suffix but whose tone is that of the stem-final vowel. An unusual feature of $-o + H \sim -a$: +H and -ey + H is that they do not allow <HL> tone in their syllables, so the suffixal tone must be flattened when they fuse with a <HL>-toned stem-final (or nonfinal suffix) vowel (§3.9.5.6). Examples are in (68). The nonfinal form reveals the lexically basic tone. The

suffixed forms of 'bird' and 'acacia pod' have L-toned suffixes, though the nonfinal forms end in a <HL>-tone.

(68) 'water' 'stick' 'bird' 'acacia pod' H.H L.L H.
$$<$$
HL> h.H. $<$ HL> nonfinal hárí bùnd-ù círôw cíngíl-û Fin/DefSg hár- \acute{o} +H bùnd- \acute{o} +H cír- \acute{o} +H cíngíl- \acute{o} +H DefPl hár- \acute{e} y+H bùnd- \acute{e} y+H cír- \acute{e} y+H cíngíl- \acute{e} y+H

The process may be summarized as (69).

(69) Atonal-Suffix Spreading

- a. A C-initial atonal suffix copies the final preceding tone.
- b. A V-initial atonal suffix gets its tone by spreading from the preceding syllable (C-final stem) or from the preceding vowel (V-final stem).

For the subsequent adjustments, see Word-Internal $\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow H+L$ (§3.9.5.4), L.L. $\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow L.H.L$ (§3.9.6.2), Final $\langle HL \rangle$ Flattening (§3.9.5.5), and for C-final nouns $\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow H.L$ (§3.9.5.6).

The alternative analysis for the $-o+H \sim -a:+H$ and -ey+H suffixes is that the H-tone is initially on the suffixal vowel. If the stem is $\{H\}$ -toned, we could just represent the outputs as $-ó \sim -\acute{a}:$ and $-\acute{e}y,$ and attribute tone-raising on the following word to Rightward H-Spreading (rather than to Rightward Floating-H Docking). If the stem ends in a L-tone, i.e. if the stem is $\{L\}$ - or $\{LHL\}$ -toned, the idea would be that the underlying suffixal H-tone would be forced to delink since a final rising tone, or a word-level melody ending in rising $\{...LH\}$, are not allowed in HS.

This would be feasible technically, and may well have been historically true. However, the floating H is no longer confined to nouns with final/definite suffixes; it also applies to defective nouns like $k \hat{o} yr \hat{a} + H$ 'village' and $w \hat{a} : + H$ 'milk' that do not allow the final/definite singular suffix. In other words, the floating H is now a more abstract feature associated with the relevant grammatical categories.

I have excluded the inalienable possessor forms $1\text{Sg} - \grave{e}y + H$ and $2\text{Sg} - \grave{a}\eta + H$ from the list of atonal suffixes, on the theory that they are basically L-toned. They do, however, allow spreading of a preceding H-tone into the syllabic nucleus, confining the L-tone to the coda $(-\grave{e}y, - \hat{a}\eta)$. Since most inalienable noun stems end in a $\langle \text{HL} \rangle$ - or L-toned syllable, in most cases the output could also be correctly produced by taking the suffixes to be atonal and applying Atonal-Suffix Spreading through to the end of the word. However, there are a handful of $\{\text{H}\}$ -toned inalienables like $2\acute{a}\eta k\acute{o}r\acute{a}$: 'hip' and $2\acute{a}$: 'body', and the final falling tone in e.g. $2\acute{a}\eta k\acute{o}r-\grave{e}y$ 'my hip' (4.2.2.2) requires positing a L-toned suffix.

3.9.5.2 Rightward Floating-H Docking

In the analysis that I generally follow here, a **floating H** is lexically or grammatically associated with the stem or morpheme to its left, but it normally **docks on** the word or morpheme to its right. For a list of the relevant morphemes, and discussion of alternative (morphosyntactic and phonological) interpretations of the phenomena, see §3.9.1.5.

Certain L-toned morphemes resist being raised by a preceding floating H.

(70) Morphemes that do not raise their tone after floating H

morpheme	gloss
sù+H	Imperfective negative
$H+d\hat{\imath}$	Strong definite
H+g∂:	'be'
kù + H	Infinitival

 $h\grave{a}:r-\grave{o}+H$ 'man' induces raising on a following {L}-toned verb like $d\grave{i}r\grave{a}$ 'walk, travel' (71a) and on a following {L}-toned inflectional morpheme like perfective negative $m\grave{a}n+H$ (71b). However, imperfective negative $s\grave{u}+H$ (71c), strong definite $H+d\grave{i}$ (71d), and $H+g\grave{o}:$ 'be' (71e) remain L-toned. This is also true of infinitival $k\grave{u}+H$; since this syllabic allomorph is mainly postconsonantal, I use $b\grave{a}:b-\grave{a}+n+H$ 'your-Sg father' in (71f).

- (71) a. hà:r-ò fdírà man-Fin/DefSg walk 'The man walked/traveled.'
 - b. hà:r-ò /mân /dírà man-Fin/DefSg PerfNeg walk 'The man did not walk/travel.'
 - c. hà:r-ò sù †dírà man-Fin/DefSg Impf.Neg walk 'The man does not walk.'
 - d. hà:r-ó / dì man-Fin/DefSg StDef 'that (aforementioned) man'

```
f. i \int se^{t}leg f = [indu] \int ba:b-ag] [ku ben]

1SgS speak=[with father-2SgP [Infin finish]

'I finished speaking with the man.'
```

So far as I can determine, the morphemes in (70) likewise fail to raise after a {H}-toned word by Rightward H-Spreading, a process closely related to Rightward Floating-H Docking. This is certainly the case with infinitival $k\hat{u} + H$.

Strong definite $H+d\hat{\imath}$ and $H+g\hat{\delta}$: 'be' throw the floating tone back onto the rightmost syllable of the preceding word; see Leftward Floating-H Docking §3.9.6.1.

When the floating H successfully docks to the right on a {L}- or {LHL}-toned word, the resulting tones (prior to any further tonal interactions with another following word) are as shown in (72).

```
(72)
           lexical
                                 after floating H
        a. monosyllabic
           L
                                 <HL>
        b. bisyllabic
           L.L
                                 H.L
           L.<HL>
                                 H. \(^{\text{HL}}\) (not easily distinguished from H.L)
        c. trisyllabic
           L.L.L
                                 H.L.L
           L.L.<HL>
                                 H.L.<HL>
```

For example, $k\grave{a}$ 'come', $\eta\grave{a}$: 'eat', $g\grave{o}r\grave{o}$ 'sit', $t\grave{i}s\^{o}w$ 'sneeze', $b\grave{e}-b\grave{e}r\grave{e}$ 'walk around', and $z\grave{a}r\grave{a}b\^{i}$ 'become tired' combine with infinitival $k\grave{u}+H$ as $k\grave{u}$ $\uparrow k\^{a}$, $k\grave{u}$ $\uparrow p\^{a}$:, $k\grave{u}$ $\uparrow g\acute{o}r\grave{o}$, $k\grave{u}$ $\uparrow t\ifmathingtilde{t}\ifmathing{t}\ifm$

The monosyllabic type (72a) shows that Rightward Floating-H Docking does not fully obliterate the following L-tone. However, when the relevant monosyllabic word is itself followed by another word, the <HL> tone is generally realized as H plus floating L (realized as downstep on the following word).

In the bisyllabic and trisyllabic cases, it would similarly be possible to have the floating H initially dock on just the onset of the first syllable, then spread to the end of the syllable boundary, see Word-Internal $\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow H+L$ (§3.9.5.4).

(73) Rightward Floating-H Docking

A floating H associated with a preceding morpheme is realized on the onset of the first syllable of the following L-initial particle or word.

exceptions: does not apply to the morphemes in (70) above.

Some further examples are in (74).

```
(74) a. bòr-èy / fá: 'r-ô
person-DefPl field-3
'the people's field' (bòr-èy+H, fà:r-ô)
```

```
b. \hat{i} s\hat{u} \int b\hat{a} [\hat{w} \int g\hat{o}r\hat{o}]

1SgS ImpfNeg want [Infin sit]

'I don't like to sit.' (\hat{i} + H, s\hat{u} + H, b\hat{a}, \hat{w} + H, g\hat{o}r\hat{o})

(s\hat{u} + H) is one of the morphemes that does not undergo raising)
```

In examples throughout the grammar, if f appears at the left edge of a word, that word has either undergone Rightward Floating-H Docking or Rightward H-Spreading, which of course are closely related processes. If the preceding word ends in a H-tone, either process could have been at work. If the preceding word ends in a L-toned syllable, as in (74a-b), Rightward Floating-H Docking must be at work.

3.9.5.3 Rightward H-Spreading (word or stem boundary)

This rule involves spreading of a morpheme- or word-final H (not a floating H) into the first syllable of the word to the right, with the same phonological effect on that word as with Rightward Floating-H Docking (preceding section). Of course it would be possible to combine the two into a more general rule.

The process is very common in TSK and must have been important in Proto-Eastern-Songhay. However, I noted in the preceding section that a number of formerly H- and $\langle LH \rangle$ -toned proclitics, particles, and nominal suffixes have evolved in HS into L-toned morphemes associated with a following floating H. In the proto-language, the phonological process was of the type [...H] plus [L.L...] \rightarrow [...H][H.L...], but in these cases the synchronic HS process is [...L]+H plus [L.L...] \rightarrow [...L][H.L...].

However, the original process also remains alive and well in HS with words that have not themselves dropped from H to L tone. In some combinations within a clause, a word or stem ending in a H-tone spreads this tone into the first syllable of a following {L}-toned word. As a clearly independent phenomenon, this is best seen with {H}-toned verbs. This is because many nouns, adjectives, and numerals, including those that are themselves {L} or {HL}-toned, have an associated floating H (in the analysis used here). If we ascribe the same floating H to {H}-toned nouns and other stems, there is no need for a phonological spreading rule other than that involving the floating H. This is not an issue for verbs, since there is no evidence for floating H's associated with them (i.e. there are no {L}-toned verbs that raise the tone of a following word).

 $\{H\}$ -toned verbs that induce an initial H-tone in a following $\{L\}$ -toned word are exemplified in (75).

```
b. à dí: †fă:r-èy
3SgS see field-DefPl
'He/she saw the fields.' (fà:r-èy + H)
```

- c. i zígí [†só:r- \dot{o} bôŋ]
 1SgS go [upstairs on]
 'I went upstairs (onto the roof terrace).' (sò:r- \dot{o} + H)
- d. à ŵ ŋá:néy [ħwó⁴y-óħ dì]
 3SgS Impf trust [woman-Fin/DefSg StDef]
 'He/she trusts that woman.'
- e. $\acute{a}=\acute{\eta}$ $\grave{ne}\emph{y}$ $k\acute{a}\emph{r}\acute{u}$ [$\uparrow k\acute{a}\emph{m}\emph{b}-\grave{e}\emph{y}$ $\uparrow g\^{a}$] 3SgS=Tr 1SgO hit [hand-1SgP on] 'He/She hit me on the hand.'

Rightward H-Spreading would also work for $k \acute{o} y$ 'go' when followed by a verb (or other VP-initial word), as opposed to a noun denoting the destination as in (75a). However, $k \acute{o} y$ is unusual in that the infinitival $k \grave{u} + H$ that we would expect to follow it is generally absent, unlike the case with other verbs. We must therefore consider the possibility that infinitival $k \grave{u} + H$ is virtually present. i.e., it is segmentally deleted but leaves behind its floating H.

It was mentioned briefly above that $\{H\}$ -toned nouns could be analysed as having a floating H in their final/definite forms. However, Rightward H-Spreading would have exactly the same tonal effect on the following word. For example, if we combine $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}+H$ 'dog' with a following $\{L\}$ -toned word like $d\grave{i}r\grave{a}$ 'walk', the floating H will produce the attested output $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}$ † $d\acute{i}r\grave{a}$ 'the dog walked'. However, we would get the same result without the floating H, i.e. /háns- \acute{o} † $d\acute{i}r\grave{a}$ by Rightward H-Spreading.

Indeed, there is one situation in NPs where no floating H can be reasonably ascribed. This is the combination of a $\{H\}$ -toned nonfinal (NF) form of a noun with a following $\{L\}$ -toned adjective or numeral. As seen in (77a-c), the first syllable of the modifier is raised to H-tone.

```
b. góy /bé:rì
work[noun] big
'a big job' (bè:rì)
```

c. dór-ú †záŋgù disease-NF hundred 'a hundred diseases' (zàŋgù + H)

So the main limitation of Rightward H-Spreading is jurisdictional. The more floating H's we posit, the fewer cases of Rightward H-Spreading across word/stem boundaries we need to recognize.

With basic numerals the situation is more interesting, since some of them are $\{H\}$ -toned (hinka '2') and others are $\{HL\}$ -toned (hinza '3'). The latter have no raising effect on a following word, so there is no basis for assuming that floating H is regular with numerals. The $\{H\}$ -toned numerals do have a tone-raising effect on the following word, and a case can be made that this should be attributed to Rightward H-Spreading. However, the one $\{L\}$ -toned numeral, 'hundred', also raises the tone. The verb ka raises its first tone ($\hbar ka$) in (78b-c) but not in (78a). Examples (78b-c) also illustrate the fact that a monosyllabic L-toned stem like 'come' becomes $\{HL\}$ -toned when affected by spreading.

```
(78) a. [wòy hínzá] kà
[woman three] come
'Three women came.'
```

'A hundred women came.'

The few forms other than verb stems that have a final H-tone and therefore trigger Rightward H-Spreading are in (79).

Many apparent exceptions to Rightward H-Spreading that might be found in transcriptions are actually cases where the verb ends in a <HL>-toned syllable, whose L-tone delinks. For example, /húrâ bùg-ò +H/ surfaces as húrá bùg-ò 'entered the hut', but this is not a true exception to Rightward H-Spreading.

There are two types of authentic exception. One is that a few grammatical morphemes refuse to raise their L-tone to H even when following a H-tone. We saw this with Rightward Floating-H Docking (§3.9.5.2), where imperfective negative $s\dot{u}+H$, strong definite $H+d\dot{i}$, $H+g\dot{o}$: 'be', and infinitival $k\dot{u}+H$ resist raising due to a preceding floating H (their tones can be raised by a following H-toned clitic). The first three of these are constrained to follow NPs, but infinitival $k\dot{u}+H$ can follow verbs. The fact that it fails to raise its tone after a {H}-toned verb (80) suggests that the morphemes resistant to Rightward Floating-H Docking also resist Rightward H-Spreading.

As we might expect, Rightward H-Spreading also fails when the two adjacent words in question belong to different prosodic phrasing units, or for that matter when a speaker pauses in mid-sentence. One might argue that this is what is really going on in (80) above, though I doubt it (control verbs like hin 'be able' are tightly phrased with the following infinitival VP). I do think this is why danga 'like', which (along with anything to its right that is grouped with it) does not seem to allow itself to raise to fdánga.

A PP can sometimes behave in this fashion, though there is probably some variation in this respect (compare French liaison). In (81), the relevant phrasal boundary is marked by %.

```
(81) a. nòŋ ħímà [w hénjéŋ %[[nòŋ ħbóŋ] 'sê]]

3Pl ought [Infin listen %[[3Pl head] Dat]]

'They ought to listen to themselves.' (also ... [ǹjéy bòŋ sê])
```

```
b. i dí: nón %[cèr †bándè]
1SgS see 3PlO %[Recip behind]
'I saw them together (=with each other).'
```

The process can be formulated as (82). It really should be consolidated with Rightward Floating-H Docking, but keeping them apart might make the data more transparent to readers.

(82) **Rightward H-Spreading** (word boundary)

Given [...H] [L...] at a word-boundary the H-tone spreads rightward into the onset of the first syllable of the second word, with the same phonological effects as Rightward Floating-H Docking.

exceptions: as for Rightward Floating-H Docking

As with Rightward Floating-H Docking, the foothold gained by the spreading H in the first mora of the second word can then be expanded by a further spread to the end of the first syllable, as described in the next section.

3.9.5.4 Word-Internal $\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow H+L$

In a nonfinal syllable within a word, a <HL>-tone (i.e. <HL>) is unstable, and the H-tone component spreads to the end (i.e. coda) of the syllable. The L-tone component is delinked from this syllable; either it is realized on the following syllable (if atonal), or it merges with a preexisting L-tone on that syllable.

This process is a reflection of **word-level constraints** that also apply to underived stems, insofar as contrasts between L and H tone components within a stem are concentrated at the word's right edge. However, there is a difference between the constraints affecting stems and the present phonological process. As we have seen, underived stems overwhelmingly favor $C\acute{v}C\acute{v}$ with H.<HL> pattern over $C\acute{v}C\grave{v}$ with H.L. Historically, $C\acute{v}C\acute{v}$ stems generally reflect Proto-Eastern Songhay *C $\acute{v}C\grave{v}$, whereby the H-tone has spread into the onset of the final syllable. In Word-Internal <HL> \rightarrow H+L, on the other hand, something like $/C\^{v}CC\grave{v}/$ is realized as $C\acute{v}CC\grave{v}$, so the rightward spreading of the H does not go beyond its own syllable.

There are a number of morphological contexts that show a nonfinal <HL>-tone at some point in a derivation. For example, when an **atonal syllabic suffix** follows a <HL>-toned syllable, we first get ...<HL>.L] by Atonal Suffix Spreading (§3.9.5.1). Then the <HL> simplifies to H, resulting in ...H.L]. Example: cirôw 'bird' plus indefinite plural -pon + H first becomes /cirôw-pion + H/ as the atonal suffix gets its tone from the left, then cirów-pion + H as the medial <HL>-tone is simplified.

Word-Internal $\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow H+L$ is also at work when either Rightward Floating-H Docking or Rightward H-Spreading introduces a H-tone into the onset of a nonmonosyllabic word with initial heavy syllable. For example, L.L-toned verb $t\hat{o}:s\hat{u}$ 'urinate' appears as $\hbar t\hat{o}:s\hat{u}$ (not $\#t\hat{o}:s\hat{u}$) as the result of a floating H from the left, as in $\hbar + H$ to: $\hbar s\hat{u} \rightarrow \hbar t\hat{o}:s\hat{u}$ 'I urinated', and as the result of Rightward H-Spreading, as in the verb-verb sequence $\hbar s\hat{v} \rightarrow t\hat{o}:s\hat{u}$ 'go to urinate'.

(83) Word-Internal $\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow H+L$

The H component of a <HL>-toned syllable shifts to the right edge (=coda) of the syllable before a L-toned or atonal syllable within the word.

3.9.5.5 Final <HL> Flattening (final/definite substantives)

Final/definite singular and definite plural suffixes may appear with H- or L-tone but never with contoured <HL>-tone in unpossessed nouns. (Segmentally related suffixes in alienably possessed nouns do allow contoured tones.) With some exceptions, nonmonosyllabic nouns and numerals that end lexically in a contoured <HL>-toned *Cv*, *Cey*, or *Cow* syllable flatten this syllable to L-tone in final/definite forms while leaving nonfinal-syllable tones unaffected. The underlying (lexical) <HL>-tone is inferred from the nonfinal form of the stem, which for some nouns can also occur NP-finally, especially in indefinite function. In the case of inalienables, including kin and body-part terms, the morphologically "definite" forms function as third person possessor forms ('his/her').

The situation is simplest for lexically {HL} and {LHL} bisyllabic nouns (and compound finals), which take H.<HL> and L.<HL> form in the absence of a definite suffix (84).

(84) Final <HL> Flattening (bisyllabics)

```
NF (or unpossessed)
                                  Fin/Def Sg
                                                               gloss
a. L.<HL> \rightarrow L.L
  nominalizations with -\hat{e}y or -m\hat{i} after \{L\}-toned stem
    hìn-êy
                                  hìn-ò+H
                                                                '(the) means'
                                                                'farming'
    fàr-mî
                                  f ar-m-o+H
  abstractives with -tàrêy after \{L\}-toned stem
                                  bàrè-tàr-ò+H
                                                                'blacksmith-hood'
    bàrè-tàrêy
  nouns not obviously derived
    bònê
                                  b \partial n - \partial + H
                                                               'trouble'
                                                                'corpse'
    bùk\hat{o}w (\sim bùk-\dot{u})
                                  bù k-\dot{o}+H
    cèrê
                                  c\dot{e}r-\dot{o}+H ('his/her')
                                                                'friend'
    gùndê
                                  gùnd-\dot{o}+H ('his/her')
                                                               'belly'
    hàwê
                                  h\grave{a}w-\grave{o}+H(\text{'his/her'})
                                                                'paternal aunt'
    hèrêy (~ hèr-ù)
                                  h er- o + H
                                                                'hunger'
    k \hat{o} w r \hat{i} (\sim k \hat{o} w r - \hat{u})
                                  kòwr-ò + H
                                                                'stem'
    tù:rî ~ tù:r-û
                                  tù:r-\dot{o}+H
                                                                'tree'
b. H.<HL> \rightarrow H.L
    círôw ~ cír-û ~ cirî
                                                               'bird'
                                  cír-ò+H
    dá:1-û
                                  d\acute{a}: l-\grave{o} + H
                                                                'scratch marks'
    hé:rî ~ hé:r-û
                                                                'planted portion of field'
                                  h\acute{e}:r-\grave{o}+H
                                                               '3'
    hínzâ
                                  hínz-\delta + H
    kúmb-û
                                  kúmb-ò+H
                                                               'Dogon (person)'
    sé:g-û
                                  s\acute{e}:g-\grave{o}+H
                                                               'shrub sp. (Calotropis)'
                                  tin-\dot{o} + H
                                                                'profit'
    tín-û ~ tínô
    tíl-û
                                  til-\dot{o}+H
                                                                'amulet'
```

In (84a), the lexical H-tone element is lost without a trace in the final/definite. In (84b), in effect the tone break between H and L is merely repositioned leftward.

The same pattern is found with {HL}-toned trisyllabics, which shift from nonfinal H.H.<HL> to final/definite H.H.L (85a). {LHL}-toned trisyllabics whose nonfinal form is L.H.L (instead of L.L.<HL>) can also be fit without problem into this pattern (85b).

(85) Final <HL> Flattening ({HL}-toned trisyllabics)

	NF (or unpossessed)	Fin/Def Sg	gloss
a.	H.H. <hl> → H.H.L</hl>		
	kúŋkún-û	kúŋkún-ò + H	'fist'
	sérémb-û	sérémb-ò+H	'fonio (grain)'
b.	H.H. <hl> → H.H.L</hl>		
	gùntás-û	gùntás-ò + H	'speckled pigeon'
	gùŋgún-û ~ gùŋgûn	gùŋgún-ò+H	'ball, lump'

However, trisyllabics of the type L.L.<HL> can salvage the lexical H-tone by shifting it to the left in definite forms of the type L.H.L, as in $z a r a b \hat{i}$ 'fatigue', definite z a r a b - a b + H. See L.L.<HL> \rightarrow L.H.L (§3.9.6.2). Nevertheless, these cases are not counterexamples to the generalization that final/definite suffixes must be noncontoured H- or L-toned.

For a long list of nouns with final/definite $-\hat{a}$: +H corresponding to nonfinal \hat{a} , see §4.1.3.2. Disregarding the length alternation, we see that the tones are in conformity with Final <HL> Flattening.

Also consistent with the constraint against final/definite contour-toned suffixes, but achieving it in an irregular fashion, are a small set of stems that are $\{HL\}$ -toned in the nonfinal form but appear as all $\{H\}$ -toned in the final/definite forms, as in $h\acute{a}s\acute{e}$ 'maternal uncle', $h\acute{a}s-\acute{o}+H$ 'his/her maternal uncle'. See $\{4.1.2.2\}$ for an inventory of these $\{HL\}/\{H\}$ nouns.

Agentives with $-k\hat{o}w$ (after a tone-dropped stem) have L-toned final/definite form $-k-\hat{o}+H$ (§4.5.7). These stems do not undergo the shift L.L.<HL> \rightarrow L.H.L described in §3.9.6.2.

For the splitting of final-syllable <HL> into H.L in suffixed forms of nouns with final *Cv(:)C* syllable, see §3.9.5.6.

3.9.5.6 $\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow H.L$ (final/definite forms of ... CvC nouns)

The preceding section pointed out that unpossessed nouns do not allow contoured $\langle HL \rangle$ tones on final/definite suffixes, which are intrinsically atonal but must appear as either H- or L-toned by spreading from the stem (§3.9.5.5). If the input stem is C-final, i.e. if it ends in ... $C\hat{v}C$, the suffix has its own syllable. The output is therefore ... $C\hat{v}C - \hat{o} + H$ or plural $C\hat{v}C - \hat{e}y + H$. That is, the final L-tone element of the stem

jumps to the suffixal syllable. Additional syllables to the left, if any, are unaffected. The process is akin to Final-L Delinking at stem and word boundaries (§3.9.5.8). Examples are in (86).

(86) Tones in nonfinal forms versus final/definite singular forms of nouns

Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg	gloss
Cv(:)C-final		
ká:r-û ∼ kâ:r	ká:r-ò + H	'bus' (French car)
kùsûw	kùsúw-ò+H	'dust'
bisyllabic V-final		
tín-û ∼ tínô	tín-ò+H	'profit'
tíl-û	tíl-ò+H	'amulet'
sé:g-û	sé:g-ò+H	'shrub sp. (Calotropis)'
hé:rî ~ hé:r-û	hé:r-ò+H	'planted portion of field'
kúmb-û	kúmb-ò + H	'Dogon (person)'
tri- and quadrisyllabic	V-final	
{HL}		
kúŋkún-û	kúŋkún-ò+H	'fist'
{LHL}		
gùntás-û	gùntás-ò+H	'speckled pigeon'
kùnù-kún-û	kùnù-kún-ò+H	'fog'
kùsù-kús-û	kùsù-kús-ò + H	'couscous'
tín-û ~ tínô tíl-û sé:g-û hé:rî ~ hé:r-û kúmb-û tri- and quadrisyllabic {HL} kúŋkún-û {LHL} gùntás-û kùnù-kún-û	tíl-ò + H sé:g-ò + H hé:r-ò + H kúmb-ò + H V-final kúŋkún-ò + H gùntás-ò + H kùnù-kún-ò + H	'amulet' 'shrub sp. (Calotropis)' 'planted portion of field 'Dogon (person)' 'fist' 'speckled pigeon' 'fog'

3.9.5.7 Word-medial downstep

A more complex situation occurs when the word into which an initial H has intruded from the left (due to docking or spreading) is underlyingly of the type $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}...$, $C\hat{v}:C\hat{v}...$, or $C\hat{v}CC\hat{v}...$, i.e. with an initial L-toned syllable (light or heavy) followed by a <HL>-toned syllable (or any syllable beginning with a H-tone component). In TSK, for example, the light-syllabled type $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}...$ would not allow a H-tone to intrude into the first syllable by spreading or docking, but the heavy-syllabled patterns can allow an intrusive H to occupy the initial mora of the first syllable, hence $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}...$ and $C\hat{v}CC\hat{v}...$ In TSK, the principle is that the underlying initial L-tone autosegment cannot be totally erased by an intrusive tone; if the initial syllable is light (i.e. monomoraic) and is followed by a H-tone, intrusion from the left is blocked.

In HS, my data suggest that an intrusive H coming from the left is not blocked by the constraints that operate in TSK. Indeed, whether the initial syllable is heavy or light, in HS the intrusive H spreads to the end of the first syllable, pushing the original L-tone out of that syllable. In my interpretation of a phonetically tricky issue, the L-tone survives in the form of downstep of the following syllable. Schematically, floating H plus $C\hat{v}(:)C\hat{v}$ is realized as $\int C\hat{v}(:)^{L}C\hat{v}$ and floating H plus

the uncommon $C\dot{v}(:)C\dot{v}C\dot{v}$ is realized as $\int C\dot{v}(:)^4C\dot{v}C\dot{v}$. Actual pronunciations of such words in sentence context are influenced by other factors, so the "downstep" is in comparison with what the word would otherwise sound like.

The most important of these other phonetic factors is that the pitch of a H-toned syllable tends to be pushed up a notch when it is immediately followed by a L-toned syllable (**HL-boundary factor**), so in $C\acute{v}C\acute{v}C\grave{v}$ the second syllable often has slightly higher pitch than the first although both are H-toned. In $\uparrow C\acute{v}(:)$ $^{4}C\acute{v}C\grave{v}$, the middle syllable is caught in the crossfire between downstep (which tries to push down its pitch) and the HL-boundary factor (which tries to push its pitch up in anticipation of the next tone break). There are also other phonetic factors at work, including pitch **downdrift** near the end of long intonation groups. Anyone doing serious research on HS, including carefully listening to tapes, should be aware that tonal transcriptions like $\uparrow C\acute{v}(:)$ $^{4}C\acute{v}C\grave{v}$ are normalized idealizations.

In (87a), the verb is of type $C\dot{v}C\dot{v}C$, syllabified as $C\dot{v}.C\dot{v}C$, with initial light (monomoraic) syllable. In (87b), the (alienably possessed) noun would otherwise be of the type $C\dot{v}C\dot{v}$. In both cases, the intrusive H pushes the initial-syllable L tone rightwards, where it is realized as downstep. The immediately pre-surface form of the stem is shown in parentheses after the free translation.

In (88a-c), we have similar cases with L.<HL> tone pattern, except that the initial syllable is heavy. We might expect this to combine with a floating H on the left as <HL>.<HL>, since the bimoraic first syllable could accommodate a contour tone. But in HS this is not what happens. Contoured tones are not allowed except for word-final <HL>-toned syllables, and even these are regularly flattened to H-tone (plus floating L) when another word follows. So floating H plus L.<HL> is realized as H. \(^4 < HL>\).

Prepausally, the <HL> contour in a downstepped syllable is hard to hear, especially in a Cv syllable as in (88b-c). It is usually flattened into a mid to low level tone in prepausal position, and I have had difficulty distinguishing it from a L-tone. However, when the word in question is followed by another within the intonation group, the L in <HL> usually delinks. Especially before a {L}-toned word, the downstepped H is often heard with a mid-range pitch, lower than that of the first syllable, but higher than that of a following L-toned syllable. Compare (89) with (88a) above, focusing on the final syllable of 'remain'.

(89) nòn fcín'dí nè:
3PIS remain here
'They stayed here.'
phonetic [nòntʃíndīnè]

The conflicting phonetic factors mentioned above apply here as well; in some cases the pitch of the second syllable of 'remain' can actually be higher than that of the first syllable.

Another situation where a H. H word emerges is when a H.L-toned bisyllabic word is followed by one of the morphemes that are preceded by a floating H that docks to the left: $H+g\dot{o}$: 'be', strong definite $H+d\dot{i}$, or a demonstrative pronoun (see Leftward Floating-H Docking, §3.9.6.1). {HL}-toned $c\dot{i}r-\dot{o}+H$ 'bird' (90a), and {L}-toned $s\dot{u}b-\dot{o}+H$ 'grass' that has combined with a floating H on its left edge to become H.L $fs\dot{u}b-\dot{o}+H$ at word level (90b), can illustrate.

(90) a. cf⁴r-ó∱ dì bird-Fin/DefSg StDef 'that (same/aforementioned) bird'

The same HL-toned bisyllabics also undergo internal downstep when a following particle consisting of just a sonorant consonant cliticizes to its final syllable. An example is (369) in §7.2.1.5.

Again, the downstep is not always audible. Since the relevant morphemes to the right are L-toned, the preceding H-tone (even though downstepped) can be upped a notch by the HL-boundary effect, and the actual relative pitch of the two syllables in the affected word is variable.

Another relevant situation is when a L.H.L trisyllabic, a type found only in suffixed forms of {LHL}-toned nouns (including diminutives), combines with a floating H on the left. This is realized as H. 4 H.L, with the same caveats as before. The forms in (91) are from guntas-o+H 'speckled pigeon', zarab-o+H 'fatigue', and diminutive bat-iy-a:+H 'small box'.

- (91) a. nòn / gún tás-ò
 3PIS speckled.pigeon-PossSg
 'their speckled pigeon'
 - b. nòn /zá¹ráb-ò
 3PlS fatigue-PossSg
 'their fatigue'
 - c. nòn / bá+t-íy-à:
 3PlS box-Dimin-PossSg
 'their little box'

Consider also what happens when an initial NC cluster resyllabifies, with the nasal becoming part of the preceding syllable. Suppose that the nasal itself is L-toned (\hat{n}) , that the first full syllable of the word is H- or <HL>-toned $(\hat{p}\hat{e}y)$, and that the final syllable of the preceding word is H- or <HL>-toned $(k\hat{a})$. When the nasal resyllabifies, its syllable is initially <HL>-toned $(k\hat{a}n)$, but the L may delink $(k\hat{a}n + L)$ and induce downstep on the first full syllable of the word (92).

(92)
$$k\acute{a} = / \acute{n} \acute{t} j \acute{e} y$$
 $f \acute{u} : n\acute{t} [[p \grave{o} \eta \quad k \acute{u} n - \grave{e} y] \quad g \grave{a}]$ /kâ njêy f $\acute{u} : n\acute{t} [[p \grave{o} \eta + H \quad k \acute{u} n - \grave{e} y] \quad g \grave{a}]$ / when=3FullPl search [[3PlP inside-PossPl] in] 'when they searched inside them' (92.01a)

The analysis outlined above can be formulated as (93).

(93) Word-Medial Downstep

When a <HL>.H sequence occurs (after tonological rules) in a bisyllabic sequence within a word, the result is realized as H. ⁴H.

3.9.5.8 Final-L Delinking

HS has many lexical stems and particles ending in a <HL>-toned syllable, and additional words of this type are produced by word-internal phonological processes, such as Atonal Suffix Spreading (§3.9.5.1), as well as by spreading or docking of a H-tone in the initial mora of a heavy monosyllable.

When such a <HL>-final word is followed by another word (as opposed to being prepausal), the <HL>-tone normally surfaces as H-tone. The <HL> tone divides into a H-tone component that spreads to the end of the syllable, and a delinked L-tone that is pushed off into inter-word space.

As an example, consider /ì+H ŋà:/ 'I ate', which appears in isolation (i.e. prepausally) as $i / n\hat{a}$: If this is followed by $n\hat{e}$: 'here', the <HL>-tone of $/ n\hat{a}$: becomes H, and the delinked L merges with the L of $n\hat{e}$:, as in $i / n\hat{a}$: 'I ate here'. Likewise, the lexically <HL>-toned verb $k\hat{a}n$ 'fall' occurs (prepausally) in $i k\hat{a}n$ 'I

fell', and before $n\grave{e}$: 'here' with H-tone as \grave{i} káŋ $n\grave{e}$: 'I fell here'. The rule also affects <HL>-toned monomoraic stems or morphemes, such as $h\hat{u}$ 'house' in /hû bè:rì/, realized as $h\acute{u}$ bè:rì 'a big house'.

(94) Final-L Delinking

A word-final <HL>-toned syllable (i.e. <HL>) (usually) delinks its L when followed by another word; the delinked L initially floats between the two words.

This floating L will either merge into another L-tone that is already there, or force downstep on a following H-tone.)

For purposes of Final-L Delinking, a morpheme consisting solely of a consonant (e.g. w or η) may function as the "word-final" <HL>-toned syllable in (94). This is seen in (95a), where the transitive (Tr) morpheme consisting of a nasal is syllabified as the coda to the last syllable of 'dog'. Likewise, if a morpheme begins with a nasal-stop cluster, its nasal may constitute the coda of the final syllable of the preceding word. In (95b), /pò η +H/, transitive / $\hat{\eta}$ /, and the first syllable / \hat{n} / of the 3FullPl pronoun constitute a single surface syllable [pó η]. The derivation is /pò η +H $\hat{\eta}$ \hat{n} / \rightarrow /pŏ η +L/ by Final-L Delinking \rightarrow /pó η +L/ by <LH> \rightarrow H Flattening (§3.9.6.3).

(95) a.
$$h \acute{a} ns-\acute{o}=\acute{g}$$
 $h \grave{a} : r-\grave{o}$ $n \acute{a} m \grave{a}$ $h \acute{a} : r-\grave{o}+H$ $n \grave{a} m \grave{a}$ $h \acute{a} : r-\grave{o}+H$ $n \grave{a} m \grave{a}$ $h \acute{a} : r-\grave{o}+H$ $n \grave{a} m \grave{a}$ bite' 'The dog bit the man.'

b.
$$n \circ \eta \uparrow = \eta$$
 $n' j \circ y$ $k \circ u' j \circ u' j \circ y$ $k \circ u' j \circ u' j \circ y$ $k \circ u' j \circ u'$

Final-L Delinking involves inter-word tone/pitch relationships and is not completely obligatory. It is subject to rhetorical factors, such as the prosodic salience of the following word. For example, $n\hat{j}\hat{e}y$ $k\hat{u}l$ 'all of them' looks like a good candidate for Final-L Delinking, so we might expect ?# $n\hat{j}\hat{e}y$ ' $k\hat{u}l$ with downstepped $k\hat{u}l$. However, $k\hat{u}l$ 'all' is nearly always rhetorically "stressed." The pitch of the preceding word is lowered to frame the high-pitched quantifier. The result is $n\hat{j}\hat{e}y^{i}$ $k\hat{u}l$, where the initial tone of $k\hat{u}l$ is higher than that of the (downstepped) pronoun. In cases like this, when downstep is not observed I transcribe the first word with final <HL>toned syllable, though phonetically the relevant syllable may have a flattish mid or lower-mid pitch.

3.9.5.9 Downstep at word boundary

A floating L-tone, delinked from a word-final <HL>-toned syllable, is realized as a pitch drop on a following word that begins with a H-tone. A floating L has no audible effect on a word beginning with a L-tone.

Downstep is common because final-syllable falling tones are very common in HS. There are many stems with H.<HL> syllable sequences (generally reflecting *HL), many possessed noun forms ending in <HL> tones, and many particles and function words such as demonstrative $H + w\hat{o}$, transitive $\hat{\eta}$, and subjunctive $\hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta}$.

The pitch decline is often rather sharp, and it is not clear to what extent a downstepped H-tone is audibly distinct from a L-tone in the same position. "Tone" in HS, as in other languages, is articulatorily complex. The difference between H and L probably involves phonation type as well as f_0 frequency. Certainly a long L-toned vowel as in $k\grave{a}$: $r-\grave{o}+H$ 'crocodile' sounds creaky when the word is pronounced in isolation. It is quite possible that phonation and other cues are audible even when a $\{H\}$ -toned word is sharply downstepped. Informants are also able to distinguish e.g. downstepped H.H ' $C\acute{v}C\acute{v}$ from downstepped HL ' $C\acute{v}C\grave{v}$, at least in careful style.

The **domain** affected by downstep is, in theory, a H-toned sequence within a (compact) word, e.g. $C\acute{v}$, $C\acute{v}C\acute{v}$, and $C\acute{v}C\acute{v}C\acute{v}$. We can perhaps include a following L-tone within the domain, as in $C\acute{v}C\acute{v}$, insofar as the pitch of the whole word is lowered. The pitch within such idealized domains is flat before a pause. However, when the downstepped domain is medial within a prosodically connected phrase, the following element may affect the right edge. This is especially true of trisyllabic as opposed to shorter domains. This is another way of saying that the downstepped domain is not always sharply defined beyond the first syllable.

Consider {H}-toned verb kárú 'hit' and H.<HL>-toned verb húrâ 'enter'.

b.
$$m$$
 'húrâ /m húrâ/)
2SgSubju enter
'You-Sg must come in!'

In (96a), ${}^{t}k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ is heard with variably (sometimes sharply) lowered pitch, making its {H}-tone melody difficult to distinguish from the already {L}-toned melody of

(96c) by pitch alone. Subtle phonation differences may distinguish the tones of ${}^{t}k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ from those of $g\grave{o}r\grave{o}$ even when their pitch as such converges, since ${}^{t}k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ still sounds more "sung" than $g\grave{o}r\grave{o}$. In addition, the two syllables of ${}^{t}k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ have exactly the same pitch, while $g\grave{o}r\grave{o}$ is more flexible in this respect.

In (96b), it is possible for the speaker to lower the pitch of the final <HL>-toned syllable of 'húrâ beyond that of the already downstepped first syllable. So it is at least marginally possible to distinguish all word-level melodies in spite of downstep.

In (97), there is again a downstepped $\{HL\}$ noun, but this time it is trisyllabic H.H.L. In at least some realizations, the medial syllable $s\acute{e}y$ is slightly higher-pitched than the initial syllable $h\acute{a}$, which makes it easier to hear the word-internal tone break. In this case, the downstep is sharpest on the first syllable, then loses steam.

HS downstep on a word X does not reset the pitch ceiling for subsequent words in the phrase or clause. There is undoubtedly a tendency for phrasal groups to gradually decline from start to finish (downdrift), but listening to HS gives one the impression of a piston-like up and down pitch pattern among adjoining words. So, in (98) the downstep on 'dog' does not prevent the following {H}-toned word from resuming something close to the clause-initial pitch.

(98)
$$i / = \hat{y}$$
 'háns-ó kárú
 $/\hat{i} + H$ \hat{j} háns-ó + H kárú/
 1SgS Tr dog-Fin/DefSg hit
'I hit-Past the dog.'

The process is summarized informally in (99).

(99) **Downstep**

A preceding floating L-tone induces a pitch drop (sometimes sharp) on a following word-initial H-tone autosegment, which may extend over one or more syllables within its word.

Rhetorical factors may diminish or impede downstep. Such words as $k\hat{u}l$ 'all' and adjectival intensifiers like $d\hat{u}s!$ 'very rotten' are almost always pronounced forcefully, and simply brush off any preceding floating L-tones.

For word-medial downstep, reflecting the intrusion of external H-tones from the left and/or from the right, see §3.9.5.7 above.

3.9.6 Right-to-left spreading processes

The majority of processes that spread or reposition tones move from left to right, as detailed above. However, there are three processes parallel to those except that they shift or spread a H-tone from right to left. First, there are a few grammatical morphemes that behave as though they had an associated floating H-tone to their left (not their right), and this H-tone can dock on the right edge of the preceding word (§3.9.6.1). Second, when a V-final trisyllabic noun whose basic tone pattern is L.L.<HL> combines with a final/definite suffix -o + H or -ey + H that merges into the final syllable, a prohibition on surface L-tone for the suffixal syllable forces the lexical H-tone onto the medial syllable, resulting in L.H.L (plus floating H) (§3.9.6.2). Finally, a presurface <LH>-toned syllable that results from cliticization of a H-toned nasal morpheme to a L-toned final syllable is flattened and raised to H-tone (§3.9.6.3).

In addition to the right-to-left processes that are officially recognized here, there is an alternative analysis of Tonal Rhythm (§3.9.4.1) that involves leftward pushing of a suffixal tone as the suffix fuses with the stem-final syllable.

3.9.6.1 Leftward Floating-H Docking (or Leftward Raising)

Four morphemes induce a H-tone on the final syllable of a preceding {L}-toned stem under some conditions.

```
(100) form gloss

a. H+d\hat{\imath} Strong definite

b. H+w\hat{\imath} Demonstrative ('this/that')
H+w-\hat{\imath} (plural)

c. H+g\hat{\imath}: 'be'
```

Consider the combinations of the L-toned morphemes $d\hat{i}$ and $g\hat{o}$: with immediately preceding {L}-toned final/definite singular forms $s\hat{u}b-\hat{o}+H$ 'grass' and $k\hat{o}yr\hat{a}+H$ 'village' and definite plural forms $s\hat{u}b-\hat{e}y+H$ and $k\hat{o}yr-\hat{e}y+H$ (101). The final syllable of the noun is raised to H-tone before $H+d\hat{i}$ and $H+g\hat{o}$:. The symbol \uparrow at the right edge of the word indicates that the final H-tone is due to docking of floating H from the right, but as usual this symbol adds no phonetic information.

```
(101) gloss final/definite Strong Def Dem 'be'

a. 'grass'

Sg sùb-ò+H sùb-ó↑ dì sùb-ó↑ wô sùb-ó↑ gò:
Pl sùb-èy+H sùb-éy↑ dì sùb-éy↑ w-êy sùb-éy↑ gò:
```

```
    b. 'village'
    Sg kòyrà+H kòyrᆠdì kòyrᆠwô kòyrᆠgò:
    Pl kòyr-èy+H kòyr-éy† dì kòyr-éy† w-êy kòyr-éy† gò:
```

If the immediately preceding word is $\{H\}$ -toned, there is no audible tone change, as with $h\acute{a}w-\acute{o}+H$ 'cow' (102a). A H.L-toned bisyllabic noun like $c\acute{n}r-\acute{o}+H$ 'bird' theoretically combines with a following H-tone as H. H (with downstep), though it is difficult to hear the distinction between H. H and H.L (102b). For stems with final <HL>-toned syllable see (108) below.

(102)		gloss	final/definite	Strong Def	Dem
	a.	'cow' Sg Pl	háw-ó+H háw-éy+H	háw-ó dì háw-éy dì	háw-ó wô háw-éy wô
	b.	ʻbird' Sg Pl	cír-ò + H cír-èy + H	cír-⁴ó∱ dì cír-⁴éy∱ dì	cír-⁴ó∱ wô cír-⁴éy∱ wô

There is an analytical issue in (101a-b) and (102b). Since the noun has its own floating H, if we attribute a preceding floating H to $d\hat{i}$ and company, the underlying sequences will be of the type /NOUN+H H+d \hat{i} /, with not one but two floating H's in the same no-man's-land between the two words. In one possible derivation, the postnominal H is unable to dock to its right, so it is deleted, allowing the H preceding $d\hat{i}$ to dock to its left (103a). In another possible derivation, the postnominal H is blocked from docking to its right for one reason or another, so it is forced to dock to its left (103b).

If underlying representations like /NOUN + H H + di/ are recognized, the first floating H must either be canceled or fused with the second floating H.

The only way to decide between the two models (103a) and (103b) is to position one of the morphemes in (100) after a $\{L\}$ -toned word that is not associated with its own floating L. This can be done with $H+d\hat{\imath}$, in its function as a right-edge marker in relative clauses. I do frequently, but not always, hear a final L-tone raised to H before this morpheme. My interpretation of the data is that the raising is regular, but that it is sometimes inaudible because the sequence occurs at the end of a long clause and is therefore subject to phonetic **downdrift**. Examples are in (104). (104b)

is especially difficult phonetically since the first syllable of 'sit' is raised by a preceding floating H, resulting in word-medial downstep ($\S 3.9.5.7$). If my analysis is correct, at least as a mental ideal for native speakers, it follows that $H+d\hat{i}$ does have an associated preceding floating H that docks to the left, and this is shown in the underlying representations under the output forms.

(104)	a.	mè	ká	à	gòró†	dì
		/mé	kâ	à	gòrò	H + di/
		time	Rel	3SgS	sit	StDef
		'(at) the	time wh	nen he/she	sat'	

b.	mè	ká	ì	∱gó⁴ró∱	dì
	/mé	kâ	i + H	gòrò	H + di/
	time	Rel	1SgS	sit	StDef
	'(at) the t	ime when	n I sat'		

c. mè ká à bè-bèré \(dì \)
/mé kâ à bè-bèrè
$$H + di$$
/
time Rel 3SgS take.walk $StDef$
'(at) the time when he/she took a walk'

d.
$$m\grave{e}$$
 $k\acute{a}$ \grave{i} $\hbar\acute{b}\acute{e}-\grave{b}\grave{e}r\acute{e}\hbar$ $\hbar\acute{a}$ $\hbar\acute{e}$ \acute{e} \acute

'(at) the time when I took a walk'

Demonstrative $H+w\hat{o}$ can also occur at the end of a relative clause, and has a similar effect: the final syllable of $b\hat{e}-b\hat{e}r\hat{e}$ is raised to H-tone in (105) as in (104d) above.

With some difficulty I was able to concoct a similar example (admittedly artificial) involving $H + g\delta$: 'be' (106).

Examples (105-6) justify the representations $H+w\hat{o}$ and $H+g\hat{o}$: with preceding floating H. I therefore formulate the rule as (107), cf. (103a) above.

(107) Leftward Floating-H Docking $(H+d\hat{i}, H+w\hat{o}, H+w-\hat{e}y, H+g\hat{o})$

If a morpheme is associated with a preceding floating H

- a) an immediately preceding floating H (if any) is canceled
- b) the floating H docks at the right edge of the preceding word

Consider now a combination involving a noun that, after word-level morphophonology, ends in a <HL>-toned syllable, such as PossSg $s\hat{a}f\hat{a}r\hat{o}$ in \hat{a}^{\dagger} $s\hat{a}f\hat{a}r-\hat{o}$ 'his/her medicine' from unpossessed $s\hat{a}f\hat{a}r-\hat{o}+H$ 'medicine'. If \hat{a}^{\dagger} $s\hat{a}f\hat{a}r-\hat{o}$ is followed by $H+g\hat{o}$: 'be', the floating H has no audible effect on the noun. This is because the final <HL>-tone will become H-toned anyway as the L-tone element delinks and is either lost or merges with the L-tone of $g\hat{o}$: (108a). If we replace L-toned $H+g\hat{o}$: by <HL>-toned demonstrative H+w \hat{o} , the latter is downstepped in (108b), again as it would be without the floating H-tone. If the transcriptions are correct, nouns with final <HL>-toned as well as final H-toned syllables show not effect of the floating H.

It is very possible that the alternations I have ascribed to a preceding floating H have been morphologized. If so, instead of a floating H-tone we should consider a tonomorphological analysis by which the morphemes in (100) directly induce tone changes in the adjacent word.

3.9.6.2 L.L. $\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow L.H.L$ (final/definite forms of trisyllabic nouns)

Trisyllabic nouns, including a few archaic verbal nouns, that have L..L<HL> tones in the nonfinal form avoid Final <HL> Flattening (to L.L.L) in the final/definite forms (§3.9.5.5) by shifting the H-tone element to the penult. In (109), we see L.L.<HL> in the left column but L.H.L in the middle column.

(109) L.L.<HL> nouns

Nonfinal Fin/Def Sg gloss

a. verbal nouns

zùmbù-rî ~ zùmbùr-û zùmbú-r-ò+H 'going down'

fà:bà-rî	fà:bá-r-ò+H	'assistance'
b. other		
zàràbî	zàráb-ò + H	'fatigue'
dàbàrî	dàbár-ò + H	'stratagem'
hùmbàrû	hùmbár-ò + H	'waterskin'
kògòtû	kògót-ò+H	'cough'

The tone alternations are captured in (110).

(110)
$$L.L. \rightarrow L.H.L$$

The global {LHL} melody realized as L.L.<HL> in a trisyllabic nonfinal form is shifted to L.H.L in the suffixed final/definite forms.

The effect is that the stem-wide {LHL} melody is audible throughout the paradigm, though the H-tone appears in different positions. Trisyllabics therefore faithfully preserve the full lexical {LHL} melody, while also satisfying the constraint against contoured <HL> in the suffixal syllable of a final/definite form. {LHL}-toned bisyllabics, by contrast, must choose between faithfulness and the final-syllable constraint, and in fact sacrifice the H-tone, showing that the final-syllable constraint is stronger (§3.9.5.6).

3.9.6.3 <LH> \rightarrow H Flattening

HS has no underlying or surface <LH>-toned syllables. However, there are several situations where such a rising tone accidentally develops on a syllable in the middle stages of a derivation. This happens when the initial consonant (perhaps the only segment) of one morpheme syllabifies with the final vowel of a preceding word or morpheme. These pre-surface rising tones are then realized as H-toned; that is, <LH> is flattened and raised to H.

Some consonantal morphemes, and CC-initial morphemes, that can provide consonantal codas when encliticized to a preceding word, are in (111).

(111) form gloss

a.
$$\hat{y}$$
 Transitive (bidirectional case-marker, §7.2.6)
 $\hat{m} \sim \hat{y}$ Subjunctive (§7.2.1.4)

b. $\hat{w} + H$ Imperfective plus floating H from left (§7.2.1.5)

c. $\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$ 'with, and' (§5.11)

A <HL>-tone on a monosyllabic morpheme, lexical in (111a) and due to Rightward Floating-H Docking from an element on the left in (111b), is normally realized as

H-tone plus floating L-tone in morphemes like these that are always phrased with other words on the right. For present purposes we can treat these morphemes as H-toned. When the morphemes in (111) cliticize to a preceding word (such as a subject pronominal), if the latter ends in a L- or <HL>-toned syllable, the result (if not repaired) would be a disallowed <LH> or <HLH> syllable. The way out is to flatten and raise the tone of the entire syllable to H. In transcriptions, this raising is indexed by the arrow placed at the right edge of the relevant word or morpheme.

In (112), the bolded interlinear gloss tags the affected morpheme, whose basic form is shown in parentheses.

(112) a.
$$\acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{y}$$
 'háns- \acute{o} wí:
 \acute{a} $\^{n}$ háns- $\acute{o} + H$ wí:/
 $3SgS$ Tr dog-Fin/DefSg kill
'He/She killed the dog.' (3SgS \grave{a})

b.
$$\grave{a}$$
 \grave{w} $h\acute{n}$ $[k\acute{u}\uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ 'dúmbú]/
 \grave{a} $\grave{w} + H$ $h\acute{n}$ $[k\grave{u} + H$ $\^{\eta}$ dúmbú]/
3SgS Impf be.able [Infin=3SgO cut]
'He/She is able to cut it.' (infinitive $k\grave{u} + H$)

The relevant imperfective cases are those where the morpheme preceding the imperfective allomorph $\dot{w} + H$ is L-toned but has an associated following floating H that effectively pushes out the L-tone on \dot{w} . For example, 1Pl $y \grave{o} + H$ combines with imperfective $\dot{w} + H$ as shown in (113).

(113) 'we do/will sit' (markup: 1PIS Impf sit)

derivation phonological process

/yò+H ẁ+H gòrò/

/yò
$$\uparrow \hat{w}$$
 $\uparrow górò$ /

/yò $= \uparrow \hat{w}$ $\uparrow górò$ /

/yó $= \uparrow \hat{w}$ $\uparrow górò$ /

/yó $= \uparrow \hat{w}$ $\downarrow f górò$ /

/yó $= \uparrow \hat{w}$ $\downarrow f górò$ /

Nightward Floating-H docking

Cliticization

 \rightarrow H Flattening and L-Delinking

yó $= \uparrow \hat{w}$ $\downarrow f górò$

Downstep (surface form)

Imperfective allomorph $\grave{w}+H$ is segmentally zeroed after a consonant, but its presence can be indexed by $\langle LH \rangle \rightarrow H$ Flattening if the preceding word (i.e. the subject NP or pronoun) would otherwise end in a L- or $\langle HL \rangle$ -toned syllable. For example, perfective $\grave{bor}-\grave{ey} \uparrow \acute{dir} \grave{a}$ '(the) people traveled' differs only tonally from $\grave{bor}-\acute{ey} \uparrow \varnothing \uparrow \acute{dir} \grave{a}$ '(the) people do/will travel'. The latter is derived from $/\acute{bor}-\grave{ey} + H$ $\grave{w}+H$ dìrà/.

 $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ is a versatile morpheme with basically comitative or instrumental function. When it cliticizes to a preceding word or stem ending in a L-toned syllable, the \acute{n} of $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ becomes the coda of that syllable, creating another disallowed <LH> that must be flattened to H. Cliticization is obligatory when $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ functions as a derivational morpheme, converting intransitive verbs to (VO) transitive verbs (§6.2.5). Note the tones in the relevant syllables in (114). The arrow after a verb stem indicates that the tone has been raised to H.

As an instrumental or comitative preposition, $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ 'with' need not be phrased with any preceding material, so phonological cliticization is not automatic. However, when it functions as an 'and' conjunction, if the two conjuncts are phrased together, with $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ in between, the effect is to cliticize $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ to the left conjunct. If the latter ends in a L-toned syllable, we need to flatten the <LH> to H. This happens in (115).

The rule is (116).

(116) $\langle LH \rangle \rightarrow H$ Flattening

A rising tone <LH> within a syllable is flattened to H

When a <HLH> syllable is created in a derivation, due to cliticization, <LH> \rightarrow H Flattening applies, and the resulting <HH> is automatically simplified to H. For example, in (117) the <HL>-toned 1Sg pronoun $2\hat{a}y$ is syllabified with the H-toned n of $nd\hat{u} + H$. This produces <HLH>, which is realized as H.

(117)
$$2\acute{a}y / = \acute{n}d\grave{u}$$
 $n\^{i}$
1Sg=with 2Sg
'I and you-Sg'

<HLH> is also flattened to H in combinations involving a subject (NP or pronoun) ending in a <HL> tone plus transitive \hat{y} or subjunctive $\hat{m} \sim \hat{y}$, whose own <HL> tone simplifies to H after Final-L Delinking.

Indeed, since the sequence of transitive and 3Sg object clitics can also follow (and syllabify with) a preceding subject (NP or pronoun) ending in a <HL>-toned syllable, like 3FullSg $2\acute{a}ng\^{a}$, we can actually get a theoretical <HLHLH> syllable in the course of a derivation, which flattens to H. That is, <LH $> \rightarrow$ H Flattening and the automatic simplification of <HH> to H can apply twice within the same syllable (118).

3.9.7 Tones in nominal compounds

As explained in detail and exemplified in §4.8.1, tight compounds are those whose initial has an invariant, uninflectable form, while loose compounds involve NP-like initials that freely include final/definite marking (singular or plural). Loose compounds are generally treated morphologically and tonally as alienably possessed nouns. In tight compounds, often the tonal output is predictable from the lexical tones of the initial and final, but there are some tonally quirky cases.

3.10 Historical phonological notes

Aside from historical tonology, not considered here, the historical phonology of basic vowels and consonants of HS is not very difficult. Assuming that Proto-Songhay had five (not seven) vowel qualities, as in most mainstream Songhay languages, there are no systematic vowel shifts.

3.10.1 Long vowel versus diphthong

TSK diverges from the other eastern (and western) Songhay languages in showing diphthongs with w after short vowel in a number of stems where the other languages have a long vowel. Thus TSK zěwrè 'garment, rag' corresponding to HS zà:r-ò, KS za:ri, and other cognates with long a:, and TSK fɔwnɔ 'monkey' versus HS fò:n-ò, Zarma fo:no, etc. Souag (2012:196) has shown that the TSK w in these stems reflects a proto-Songhay *y in most cases.

3.10.2 Reflex η for * η ^w

Consider (119).

(119)		gloss	HS	TSK	Zarma	other
	a.	'eat'	ŋà:	w ⁿ ă:	ŋ ^w ă	KCh=KS ŋà: Kaado ηà
		'beg'	ŋá:réy	w ⁿ á:néy	ŋ ^w á:ráy	KCh=KS ŋa:rey
	b.	'know'	ŋá:n	w ⁿ á:n	wá:ní	KS wan KCh waani
		Poss	ŋónê	w ⁿ ɔ́nɛ̀	wánè	KS wane, wone KCh wane
		'sb else'	ŋóní	w ⁿ á:ní	wà:ní	KCh waani
	c.	'sun'	ŋòyn-ò + H ~ wòyn-ò + I ~ wèyn-ò + I	Н	wáynò	Kaado wéynòw KS woynow KCh woyne
		'wife'	ŋàndè ∼ wàndè	w ⁿ àndé	wàndé	KCh=KS wande

Since the stems in (119a) do not include a medial nasal (except in TSK 'beg', which is probably secondary), I take them as diagnostic of a HS correspondence η for TSK w^n and Zarma η^w (also urban Dendi dialectal η^w and ηm , not shown), reflecting * η^w .

In the remaining sets, TSK w^n corresponds to w in Zarma, KCh, KS, etc., in stems that have a medial nasal. HS has η (119b), or $\eta \sim w$ (119c). One possibility is that HS and TSK reflect *wⁿ secondarily nasalized from *w under the influence of the medial nasal. The other possibility is that the other languages reflect denasalization of * η^w to *w under dissimilation to the subsequent nasal. (Similar issues arise in comparisons among Dogon languages.)

3.10.3 Deletion of intervocalic *g

As in some other Songhay languages, there are a few cases where intervocalic *g has been zeroed between back vowels $\{a \ o \ u\}$.

A verb 'break [tr]' (perhaps *bágú) appears as HS bów. Cognates include KS bagu, Zarma bágú, Kaado bágá, and KCh and DjCh ba:. HS verb dów 'uproot' (*dógó or *dógú) has these cognates: KS dogu, KCh dogo, DjCh do:, Zarma dágú, Kaado dó:gò, TSK dów. Another HS verb, zów 'look back (over shoulder)', from *zógú, corresponds to Zarma zágú, KS zogu, TSK zów, and KCh jo:.

As in all mainstream Songhay languages except DjCh (which has tuguri), the word for 'tree' (*tùgúri) has lost its *g in HS $tù:r-\grave{o}+H$ (nonfinal $t\grave{u}:r\hat{i}$).

However, many cases of intervocalic *g are not zeroed or lenited even in similar vocalic environments: HS sògò 'lock [tr]', ló:gú 'lick', etc.

3.10.4 Reflexes of *Cv:n verbs

As in other eastern Songhay languages, *Cv:n verbs with a long high vowel normally lose the nasal (compare western Songhay Cvn). The clear case is *bú:n 'die' which becomes HS $b\acute{u}$:, compare the etymologically related (though synchronically dissociated) HS adjective $b\grave{u}$: $n-\grave{o}+H$ 'inactive, lethargic'. A more complex case is the set *dî:n 'be lit' and *dì:n 'catch', which appear in HS both with and without the nasal, hence $d\hat{n}n \sim d\hat{n}n \sim d\hat{n}$

3.10.5 Reflexes of *rC clusters

4 Nouns, pronouns, and nominal derivation

4.1 Morphology of unpossessed nouns

4.1.1 Basic morphological categories

The original Songhay system, preserved in KS and TSK among others, had a simple nominal inflectional morphology based on the intersection of [±definite] and [±plural]. The most common suffix allomorphs were those in (120).

(120)		indefinite	definite	
	singular	(unmarked)	*-ŏ:	
	plural	*-yon (or similar)	*-ěv	

The definite suffixes were added at the end of a core NP consisting maximally of noun-adjective-numeral, but preceding late-NP elements such as strong definite di, demonstratives, and the universal quantitifer $k\hat{u}l$ 'all'. Indefinite plural was not added to numerals (with exceptions for 'one' under specific conditions), so it could only be added to a noun or a noun-adjective combination. As a result, the definite suffixes had two functions, indicating definiteness and also marking the right edge of the core NP (noun-adjective-numeral).

In HS, for many nouns the old definite singular has generalized as an edge marker, extending to indefinite as well as definite contexts. The upshot is that for these nouns the old [+definite] distinction has been neutralized in the singular. For such nouns, there is now a right-edge marking form which I call **final-singular**, which often differs in form from a **nonfinal** (i.e. linking) form that is used before an adjective or a numeral.

Other nouns have kept their old unsuffixed form in NP-final indefinite function, and may even extend it partially into definite function. However, most of these nouns still require the old definite singular suffix before a strong definite particle or a demonstrative. I therefore often refer to the reflex of definite singular *-ŏ: as **final/definite singular** (abbreviation Fin/Def Sg), especially in morphological contexts, recognizing its variable function with different nouns.

Defective nouns that do not allow final/definite marking are discussed in §4.2.3. In the plural, the old [+definite] distinction is maintained.

For the treatment of a head noun in a relative clause, before relative $g\hat{a} \sim k\hat{a}$, see §8.3.

4.1.1.1 Final/definite singular of nouns (-o + H, -a: + H)

The suffixed **final/definite singular** form ends in a: +H if the stem otherwise ends in a, otherwise it ends in o+H. The H is a floating tone that is heard, if at all, on a following L-toned syllable (for example, of a verb). Disregarding the floating tone,

my transcription treats the vowels as suffixal, i.e. hyphenating them as -o and -a:. This implies a VV-Contraction rule, e.g. /u-o/ or /i-o/ \rightarrow -o and, perhaps, /a-o/ \rightarrow -a: if we want to unify the underlying representation of the suffix. The "suffixes" could alternatively be regarded as mutations performed on stem-final short vowels, typically /u/ or /i/ \rightarrow o and /a/ \rightarrow a:.

The tone of the "suffix" is carried over from the underlying stem-final vowel, or if needed from a preceding syllable. However, the suffix can appear only in H- or L-tone: -\(\delta\) or -\(\delta\). (rare) or -\(\delta\). Where we might expect a <HL>-toned definite suffix, the H-tone segment is retracted to the penult in some trisyllabics (\§3.9.6.2), otherwise the expected <HL> is flattened to a L-tone (\§3.9.5.5). Some nouns have irregular tonal shifts from nonfinal to final/definite forms, see \§4.1.2.2-3.

Some nouns make little or no use of a final/definite suffix, using the bare stem instead (§4.2.3).

For inalienable nouns, the morphological "final/definite" suffix including the floating H functions as a **3Sg possessor** form (as in 'his/her father' rather than 'the father'), see §4.2.2.5. A related but distinct suffix, with the same segments but different tones determined by the Tonal Rhythm rule (§3.9.4.1) and without the floating H, is used as a more general third person possessor with alienable nouns, see §4.2.1-4.

4.1.1.2 Definite plural (-ey + H) and indefinite plural $(-po\eta + H)$

The **definite plural** (DefPl) suffix is -ey + H with a floating H-tone like that for the final/definite singular suffix. The suffix, disregarding the H, appears as $-\acute{e}y$ or $-\grave{e}y$, the tone being determined in the same way as with singular -o and -a; i.e. not allowing a falling <HL> tone. After stem-final a; suffix -ey often fails to contract and an epenthetic -w- is inserted between the two vowels.

Definite plural -ey+H occurs on the final word of a core NP (maximally nounadjective-numeral) that denotes a nonsingular entity that has been introduced in the discourse or is otherwise definite. Generic sets ('dogs' as opposed to 'some dogs') are normally expressed with the definite plural (háns-éy+H 'dogs' as in 'I don't like dogs'). In this respect HS treats generic plurals in the style of Romance languages ($los\ perros$, $les\ chiens$) rather than English. After nonsingular numerals, "singular" - $o+H\sim -a:+H$ rather than plural -ey+H marks definiteness, as in 2i-hink-o+H 'the two' (§4.7.1). When definite plural -ey+H combines with a numeral, it generally denotes subsets with the indicated cardinality of a contextually definite set, especially '1' which can occur in parallel constructions ('some [of them]..., some [others]...'), see §4.7.1.

The phonological parallelism of final/definite singular -o+H and definite plural -ey+H is illustrated in (121). Both suffixes are atonal. Their tones for any given stem are generally consistent with those of the corresponding nonfinal form. Any irregularities are shared by the final/definite singular and the definite plural.

(121) Final/definite singular and definite plural

gloss	Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg	DefPl
'dog'	hánsî	háns-ó + H	háns-éy + H
'person'	bôr	bòr-ò+H	bòr-èy+H
'house'	hû	húw-ó+H	húw-éy+H
'man'	hàr	hà:r-ò + H	hà:r-èy+H
'marabout'	<i>?álfâ</i>	?álf-à:+H	?álf-èy + H

Because the *e* of -ey + H is a front vowel, an underlying velar $\{k \ g \ \eta\}$ optionally palatalizes to $\{c \ j \ n\}$ respectively, before it (§3.1.1, §3.6.4). Thus $2\lambda m\lambda d\dot{e}:k-\dot{o}+H$ 'angel', definite plural $2\lambda m\lambda d\dot{e}:k-\dot{o}+H$ or palatalized $2\lambda dm\lambda d\dot{e}:c-\dot{o}+H$.

The **indefinite plural** (IndefPl) suffix is $-no\eta + H$. Leaving aside its floating H, the suffix is realised tonally as $-no\eta$ or $-no\eta$ with the final tone of the stem carried over into the suffix. Unlike the final/definite suffixes, the indefinite plural suffix is added to the nonfinal form of the stem, which often ends in -u (i.e. either -u, -u, or -u depending on the lexical tones of the noun). A few examples are in (122).

(122) Indefinite plural

gloss	Nonfinal	IndefPl
'work' 'woman' 'dog'	góy wòy hánsî	góy-nóŋ + H wòy-nòŋ + H hánsí-pòŋ + H

The indefinite plural category is used chiefly when a nonsingular but nongeneric referent is introduced into the discourse. It is added after a noun or after a nounadjective combination. It is not normally added to nonsingular numerals, but can be added to '1' to denote a newly introduced subset, as in $?a-fó:-nón+H \sim ?i-fó:-nón+H$ 'some, a few' (§4.7.1). For its use in associative plurals (X-non+H 'X and company'), see §5.4.11.

The indefinite plural suffix is identical in form, including tonal behavior, to the productive **verbal noun** suffix -non + H added to verb stems (§4.5.1). This is also true of cognates like TSK -yów and KS -yan. In both functions, the reference is abstract, in one case to a set (not overtly bounded) of entities, in the other to an abstract type of eventuality. However, the indefinite plural is a true plural, and is later referred to by a plural pronoun, while the verbal noun is syntactically singular.

A few nouns, particularly monosyllabics of shape Ca: and long-V-final loanwords like $b\acute{i}r\^{o}$: 'office', do not allow final/definite singular -o + H (extending the bare stem from nonfinal to final position), but do allow definite plural -ey + H (§4.2.1.4). A few defective nouns, including $b\grave{e}$: 'borassus palm' and $k\^{u}$: 'yam', allow neither final/definite singular -o + H nor definite plural -ey + H, making their plural instead with indefinite plural suffix -pop + H ($k\^{u}$:-pop + H, $b\grave{e}$:-pop + H) (§4.2.3.2).

4.1.1.3 Examples of the basic nominal categories.

Although there are many lexical irregularities, the most common nominal paradigm in HS is (123). The nonfinal (NF) form reflects, in theory, the original unsuffixed form of the noun stem, which could end in a consonant or in any vowel. However, many HS nouns have generalized a final u-vowel, which is now arguably segmentable as a **nonfinal** suffix contrasting with the final/definite singular suffix. The indefinite plural suffix is added to this form.

(123) Nonfinal
$$-\acute{u} \sim -\grave{u} \sim -\^{u}$$
 IndefPl $-\acute{u}$ - $\rlap/n\acute{o}\emph{n}$ + $H \sim -\grave{u}$ - $\rlap/n\acute{o}\emph{n}$ + $H \sim -\acute{u}$ - $\rlap/n\acute{o}$ + $H \sim -\acute{u}$ - $\rlap/n\acute{o}$ + $H \sim -\acute{u}$ - $\rlap/n\acute{o}$ + $H \sim -\acute{u}$ + $H \sim -\acute{u}$ - $\rlap/n\acute{o}$ + $H \sim -\acute{u}$ + $H \sim -$

An example is the noun $b\dot{u}g$ - \dot{o} +H'hut' (124). With nouns of this type, i.e. where the final/definite singular form is used in both definite and indefinite contexts, the final/definite singular is the citation form.

(124) Sample HS noun paradigm ('hut')

	category	form	gloss
a.	Nonfinal IndefPl	[bùg-ù] bùg-ù-nòŋ + H	' hut(s)' (with Adj or Num) '(some) huts'
b.	FinSg DefPl	bùg-ò+H bùg-èy+H	'(a/the) hut' 'the huts'

This noun reflects Proto-Eastern Songhay *bùgú 'hut', to judge by cognates like TSK $b\check{u}$: and dialectal Dendi $b\grave{u}g\acute{u}$. In this case the final u-vowel in the nonfinal form is etymologically correct. As usual, HS has merged original {LH}-toned stems with {L}-toned stems.

The floating H-tone is audible when a noun ending in a final/definite singular or definite plural suffix is followed by a lexically $\{L\}$ -toned verb. Note the falling $\langle HL \rangle$ tone on the verb in (125). Definite plural $bug-\dot{e}y$ '(the) huts' can be substituted for singular $bug-\dot{e}y$ here.

(125) underlying:
$$/\hat{i}$$
 $\hat{\eta}$ bùg- \hat{o} + H zèy/
surface: $i/\uparrow = \hat{\eta}$ bùg- \hat{o} $/\uparrow$ zêy
mark-up 1SgS Tr hut-Fin/DefSg steal
translation 'I stole a/the hut.'

4.1.2 Nonmonosyllabic noun stems not ending in a

Noun stems ending in a are treated separately in §4.1.3 below. Monosyllabic noun stems are treated in §4.1.4.

4.1.2.1 Tones of nonfinal and final/definite forms of nonmonosyllabic nouns

Nominal suffixes in HS have no intrinsic tones (aside from the following floating H-tone). Instead, the final tone of the noun stem spreads into the suffix. (126) illustrates the tonal consistency between nonfinal and final/definite singular forms of the same noun. Monosyllabics are omitted since they have some special features.

```
(126)
            Nonfinal
                             Fin/Def Sg
                                               melody
                                                             gloss
         a. {L}-toned stem
           bisyllabic
            bùg-ù
                             b\dot{u}g-\dot{o} + H
                                               {L}
                                                             'hut'
           trisyllabic
            sàfàr-ù
                             sàfàr-ò+H
                                               {L}
                                                             'medication'
         b. {H}-toned stem
           bisyllabic (regular pattern)
                                                             'millet beer'
            dór-ú
                             d \acute{o} r - \acute{o} + H
                                               {H}
           trisyllabic (regular pattern)
                                                             '(Muslim) New Year'
            dádáb-ú
                             dádáb-ó+H
                                               {L}
           irregular {H}/{L} pattern
            sénn-ú
                             sènn-\hat{o} + H
                                                             'language' (§4.1.2.3)
                                               {H}/{L}
        c. {HL}-toned stem
           bisyllabic H.<HL> (three subtypes)
            kós-û
                             k \acute{o} s - \grave{o} + H
                                                {HL}
                                                             'chewstick'
            tónd-û
                                                             'stone' (§4.1.2.2)
                             tónd-ó + H
                                                \{HL\}/\{H\}
            bôr
                             b \partial r - \partial + H
                                               \{HL\}/\{L\}
                                                             'person' (§4.1.2.3)
           trisyllabic H.H.<HL>
            sérémb-û
                             s\acute{e}r\acute{e}mb-\grave{o} + H
                                                             'fonio (grain)'
                                               {HL}
         d. {LHL}-toned stem
           trisyllabic L.L.<HL> or L.H.L
            zàràbî
                             zàráb-ò + H
                                               {LHL}
                                                             'fatigue'
                             dàggád-ò+H
                                                             'fetishist'
            dàggád-û
                                               {LHL}
```

In all cases, the definite plural shows the same tones as the corresponding final/definite singular, e.g. $b\dot{u}g-\dot{e}y+H$ 'huts', $t\acute{o}nd-\acute{e}y+H$ 'stones'.

The lexically {L}-toned stems in (126a) are unproblematic. So are most lexically {H}-toned stems in (126b); see §3.9.1.1 for these regular types. However, there is a

small set of nouns that drops from {H} in the nonfinal form to {L} in the definite forms, illustrated by 'language' in (126b); see §4.1.2.3 below for the inventory.

{HL}- and {LHL}-toned stems (126c-d) normally end in a <HL>-toned syllable in the nonfinal form. The final/definite singular and definite plural suffixes, however, do not accept a contoured tone. The regular tonal treatment of these forms is that the expected <HL>-toned definite suffix is realized with L-tone. See §3.9.5.5 for the phonology and more examples. The preceding syllables do not change, except that a {LHL}-toned trisyllabic realized in the nonfinal form as L.L.<HL> shifts the H-tone to the penult in the definite form (§3.9.6.2), as with 'fatigue' in (126d).

There are two phonologically irregular patterns. One, exemplified by 'stone' in (126c), is that the {HL} stem unexpectedly becomes all {H}-toned in the definite form. See §4.1.2.2 below for the inventory of such nouns in HS.

The second irregular pattern for {HL} stems, found only with 'person' (126c), is that {HL} drops to all {L}-toned in the definite forms, as with 'language' in (126b); see §4.1.2.3.

4.1.2.2 $\{HL\}/\{H\}$ noun stems and two $\{H\}/\{HL\}$ numerals ('1', '10')

Some bisyllabic and *CvC* noun stems have a {HL} melody in the nonfinal form, which I take to indicate the lexical melody, and an all {H}-toned melody in the final/definite forms.

This $\{HL\}/\{H\}$ tone class was probably productive in Proto-Eastern Songhay; in any case, it is the productive pattern to this day in TSK. In the proto-language, the rule was simple as in TSK: the final tone element in a tonally nonuniform noun stem, i.e. with a lexical melody like $\{HL\}$ or $\{LH\}$, was deleted when a definite suffix is added. This merges $\{HL\}$ with $\{H\}$ and $\{LH\}$ with $\{L\}$ in definite forms. The HS cases are vestiges of the merger of $\{HL\}$ with $\{H\}$. There are no synchronic cases in HS of lexical $\{LH\}$ merging with $\{L\}$ in the final/definite, since there are no lexical $\{LH\}$ stems left.

The cases of $\{HL\}/\{H\}$ stems in HS are listed in (127). A significant percentage of CvC and Cv noun stems are of this type, but otherwise it is clearly vestigial. Definite plural forms (not shown), e.g. $k\delta y - \epsilon y + H$ 'owners', follow the tone patterns of the final/definite singular forms. For inalienables, the final/definite singular form functions as the 3Sg possessor form ('his/her'), and the form in the nonfinal column is the unpossessed form.

(127) {HL}/{H} tonal class of nouns (all known examples)

gloss	Fin/Def Sg	Nonfinal
	{H}-toned	{HL}-toned
a. Cv in nonfinal		
'mouth'	miy^n - $\acute{o} + H$ ('his/her')	mê (unpossessed)
'house'	húw-ó+H	hû
'mother'	<i>nó: +H</i> ('his/her')	лâ

```
b. CvCv in Fin/Def Sg, CvC(v) in nonfinal
  CvC in nonfinal with final sonorant
    'meat'
                       hám-ó+H
                                                 hâm
                       kóm-ó+H
    'termitary'
                                                 kôm
                                       (alternatively: kóm-ú)
    'cow'
                       háw-ó+H
                                                 hâw
                       dúw-ó+H
                                                 d\hat{e}y \sim d\hat{o}y
    'place'
    'owner'
                       k \acute{o} y - \acute{o} + H
                                                 kôy
  CvC(v) in nonfinal with final/medial sonorant
    'year'
                                                 jîr (∼ jírî )
                      jír-ó+H
                      jér-ó+H
    'part, portion'
                                                 jêr (∼ jérê)
    'djinn'
                       zín-\acute{o} + H
                                                 zîn (~ zín-ú ~ zíni)
  CvCv in nonfinal with medial obstruent
    'uncle (MoBr)' hás-\acute{o}+H 'his/her'
                                                 hásê (unpossessed)
    'tree sp.'
                       hás-ó+H
                                                 hás-û
    'liver'
                       t\acute{a}s-\acute{o}+H 'his/her'
                                                 t\acute{a}s\^{a} \sim t\acute{a}s\^{e} \sim t\acute{a}s-\^{u} (unpossessed)
c. CvCCv
  CvNCv
    'snake'
                       gónd-ó+H
                                                 gónd-û
    'stone'
                       tónd-ó+H
                                                 tóndî ∼ tónd-û
    'neighborhood' f \acute{o}nt - \acute{o} + H
                                                 fónt-û
    'knife'
                       s\acute{e}mb-\acute{o}+H
                                                 sémb-û
    'charcoal'
                       d\acute{e}\eta g-\acute{o} + H
                                                 déŋg-û ~ déŋgî
    'dog'
                       háns-ó+H
                                                 háns-û ~ hánsî
  CvCCv with geminated stop
    'stomach'
                       fútt-\delta + H
                                                 fútt-û
d. Cv:Cv
    'time'
                       w\acute{a}:t-\acute{o}+H
                                                 wá:tî (< Arabic)
e. CvCvC in nonfinal with final diphthong
    'courtyard'
                       tár-ó + H
                                                 tárôw ~ tár-û ~ târ
f. like (b) but with extra initial syllable
    'night'
                       cígín-ó+H
                                                 cígîn
    'windpipe'
                       kólmól-ó + H
                                                 kólmôl
    'goat'
                       ?álmán-ó+H
                                                 ?álmân ~ ?álmán-û
    'day(-time)'
                       zá:níy-ó+H
                                                 zá:nî
```

The shapes CvC(v) with medial sonorant, and CvNCv with medial nasal-obstruent cluster, are well-represented in (127). It is therefore worth asking whether the $\{HL\}/\{H\}$ tone class might be considered regular for those shapes.

For CvC(v) with medial sonorant, there is a fairly even split between $\{HL\}/\{H\}$ and consistent $\{H\}$ classes. The latter are illustrated in (128a-b). Nonfinal Ci: is included since it arguably reflects /Ciy/.

(128) $\{H\}$ -toned CvC(v) nouns with medial sonorant

Fin/Def Sg {H}-toned	Nonfinal {HL}-toned
jíy-ó+H	jí:
góy-ó+H	góy
gám-ó+H	gám(-ú)
hán-ó+H	hán
gúr-ó+H	gúr(-ú)
	{H}-toned jíy-ó+H góy-ó+H gám-ó+H hán-ó+H

On the other hand, there are also a fair number of $\{HL\}$ stems of this shape, although several are borrowings.

(129) $\{HL\}$ -toned CvC(v) nouns with medial sonorant

gloss	Final Singular	Nonfinal
	{HL}-toned	{HL}-toned
a. nonfinal CvCv		
nonfinal -û		
'scab'	díl-ò+H	díl-û
'wild cat sp.'	tál-ò+H	_
'amulet'	tíl-ò+H	tíl-û
nonfinal often with fin	al {e o a}	
'profit'	tín-ò+H	tín-û ∼ tínô
'sieve'	tém-ò+H	témê
'accomplishment'	wún-ò+H	wúnê
'piece, portion'	fér-ò + H	férê
'builder'	bár-ò + H	bárê
'roof beam'	pál-ò + H	pálê
'tomtom'	gúl-ò+H	gúl-û ~ gúlâ
b. nonfinal CvC		
'aromatic sedge'	gów-ò+H	$g\hat{o}w$
'bridge'	póm-ò+H	pôm

The upshot is that the $\{HL\}/\{H\}$ class in (127) above is not really dominant in this prosodic category. The same is true of the other syllabic shape where $\{HL\}/\{H\}$ is well-represented, namely CvNCv. Stable $\{H\}$ -toned stems of this shape are in (130). This pattern is particularly common with medial ηg .

(130) {H}-toned noun stems of CvNCv shape

gloss	Final Singular {H}-toned	Nonfinal {H}-toned
a. with ng 'stone oven' 'forked stick' 'mound' 'basket' 'sweat' 'wrap (garment)'	gáŋg-ó + H gáŋg-ó + H gúŋg-ó + H síŋg-ó + H súŋg-ó + H túŋg-ó + H	gáŋg-ú gáŋg-ú ~ gáŋgí gúŋg-ú síŋg-ú ~ síŋgí súŋg-ú túŋg-ú
b. other 'tinder' 'bell' 'dune' 'swelling'	dámb-ó + H dónd-ó + H hónd-ó + H fúns-ó + H	dámb-ú dónd-ú hónd-ú fúns-ú

Stable {HL}-toned noun stems of this type are in (131).

(131) {HL}-toned noun stems of *CvNCv* shape

gloss	Final-singular {HL}-toned	Nonfinal {HL}-toned
a. with ηg [none]		
b. other		
kúmb-û	kúmb-∂+H	'Dogon (person)'
bánd-û	bánd-ò+H	'tape' (French bande)
múnd-û	múnd-ò+H	'tears (weeping)'
fént-û	fént-ò+H	'stem fibers'
pónt-û ∼ póntî	pónt-ò+H	'nail, spike' (French pointe)

In view of these data, the hybrid $\{HL\}/\{H\}$ type in (127) appears to be archaic and no longer productive. It includes several high-frequency noun stems, especially monosyllabics. However, among bisyllabics, it is limited to certain stem shapes, and it has no monopoly on these shapes. It includes very few trisyllabic stems. The stable $\{H\}$ and stable $\{HL\}$ types are more in tune with trends in the language. They include stems of a much wider range of shapes.

The {HL}/{H} type has a mirror image type {H}/{HL} consisting of two numerals whose definite forms are unexpectely {HL}-toned. One of them is $f\acute{o}$: '1', definite $f\acute{v}$: \dot{a} : \dot{b} : \dot{b} : \dot{b} : The other is \dot{b} : \dot

§4.7.1. This should not be confused with *wòy* 'woman', final/definite singular $w \dot{o} y - \dot{o} + H$.

4.1.2.3 $\{H\}/\{L\}$ and $\{HL\}/\{L\}$ noun stems

A small set of noun stems have $\{H\}$ or in one case $\{HL\}$ tone in the nonfinal form, but **drop to all \{L\}-tone** in final/definite forms. The known cases of this type are listed in (132). The definite plural forms have the same tones, e.g. $s \approx nn - ey + H$ 'languages' and $b \approx n - ey + H$ 'people'.

(132) $\{H\}/\{L\}$ and $\{HL\}/\{L\}$ noun stems

Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg	gloss
a. {H}/{L}		
sénn-í (~ sénn-ú)	sènn-ò+H	'language'
fárká	fàrk-ò+H	'donkey'
ká:n-í	kà:n-ò + H	'sweetness'
hé:n-í	hè:n-ò+H	'weeping'
b. {HL}/{L}		
bôr	bòr-ò+H	'person'
[cf. impersonal	<i>bòr+H</i> 'someone	e', §10.3.1]

See also 'mosquito' in §4.1.3.3 and §4.9.3.

Most of the possessed forms are based (tonally and otherwise) on the final/definite singular forms. 1Sg possessed forms include $sen-\hat{e}$ 'my language (words)', $fark-\hat{e}$ 'my donkey', and $bor-\hat{e}$ 'my person', whose initial syllables show that the possessed forms are based on $\{L\}$ -toned stems as in the final/definite singular. However, I did record $ka:-\hat{e}$ 'my sweetness', which is based on $ka:-\hat{e}$, and further study may show more variation than I have picked up to date.

The tone alternations in (132a) are much more common in TSK. The HS cases are probably vestiges of a once more productive pattern.

4.1.2.4 Idiosyncratic vowel-lengthening before V-initial suffixes (hà:r-, fà:r-)

Two high-frequency nouns of shape Car, namely har 'man' and far 'field', **lengthen** the stem vowel before final/definite suffixes and other V-initial suffixes. This is a lexical quirk of these two stems; see Idiosyncratic Noun-Stem V-Lengthening (§3.7.5.2). Final/definite forms are shown in (133).i

(133)	'gloss'	Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg	DefPl
	'man'	hàr	hà:r-ò + H	hà:r-èy + H
	'field'	fàr	<i>fà:r-ò</i> + <i>H</i>	fà:r-èy+H

This lengthening is also found in possessed forms, which are morphologically based on the final/definite forms shown. An example is $f\grave{a}:r-\hat{e}$ 'my field'. Uncompounded diminutives are also lengthened: $h\grave{a}:r-iy-\grave{o}w$ 'a little man' and its definite $h\grave{a}:r-iy-\grave{o}w$ 'a little field'.

Contrast final/definite singular $s \grave{a} r - \grave{o} + H$ 'whip' (minimal vowel-length pair with $s \grave{a} : r - \grave{o} + H$ 'tomb'), $w \grave{a} r - \grave{o} + H$ 'board game', $h \acute{a} r - \acute{o} + H$ 'water', $g \acute{a} r - \acute{o} + H$ 'credit (loan)', and $t \acute{a} r - \acute{o} + H$ 'courtyard'. These nouns do not lengthen their stem-vowels.

When 'man' or 'field' is a compound final, lengthening does not always occur. When 'man' as compound final functions semantically as an adjective 'male', it does lengthen, but diminutive -har-iya as compound final does not lengthen. See §4.8.6 for examples. 'Field' fails to lengthen as compound final in kurgu-[far-o+H] 'small field'.

I know of no comparative evidence that either 'man' or 'field' had a long stemvowel historically.

4.1.2.5 Final short *u* deleted before final/definite suffixes

There is some variation in the phonological treatment of various stem-final vowels and diphthongs before final/definite suffixes, which begin with a vowel. There are three phonological treatments. First, a stem-final short vowel or diphthong may be deleted before the suffixal vowel, see Prevocalic V-Deletion ($\S 3.7.1.8$). Second, an epenthetic semivowel may be inserted between the stem-final and suffixal vowels, see Intervocalic y/w-Insertion ($\S 3.7.1.9$). Third, a stem-final high vowel may develop a homorganic semivowel y or w, either as an extension to a short high vowel or by desyllabification of the second mora of a long high vowel, see Homorganic-Semivowel Insertion ($\S 3.7.1.5$). The boundary between the latter two processes is blurry, except that if the inserted semivowel is not homorganic to the preceding vowel it is clearly attributable to Intervocalic y/w-Insertion.

Stem-final /a/ is covered separately in §4.1.3 below.

The issue of stem-final vowels and diphthongs is complicated by the fact that many nouns no longer have an unsuffixed stem in NP-final position, as the original definite singular has generalized in this position (§4.1.1). For nouns that have completed this generalization, the only position in which an unsuffixed stem can occur is nonfinal position (before an adjective, a numeral, or indefinite plural -pon + H). However, there has been a partial generalization of stem-final u in nonfinal position, raising the possibility that this u is now interpreted as a segmentable nonfinal (or "linker") suffix -u. Nonetheless, some nouns do preserve an original stem-final vowel other than u, or a diphthong, in nonfinal position, at least as an option. There are also some nouns that limit the occurrence of the final/definite

singular suffix to syntactically marked contexts ($\S4.2.3.4$), notably before strong definite particle $H + d\hat{i}$.

I begin with stem-final short u (whether or not segmentable as nonfinal suffix). In the great majority of cases, the u is absent when an overt final/definite suffix is added. An example is final/definite singular $b\dot{u}g-\dot{o}+H$ 'hut', definite plural $b\dot{u}g-\dot{e}y+H$, nonfinal form $b\dot{u}g-\dot{u}$. If we segment $b\dot{u}g-\dot{u}$, we might argue that nonfinal suffix $-\dot{u}$ is **replaced by** the relevant final/definite suffix, in which case there is no derivational stage /buguo+H/ or plural /buguey+H/ and therefore no phonological deletion of the second /u/ occurs. Alternatively, one could argue in favor of such a derivational stage, in which case the "nonfinal" suffix is really just the stem-final vowel. This latter analysis would increase the difference between underlying and surface forms, but would have the advantage of accounting directly for the transfer of the stem-final tone to the underlyingly atonal final/definite suffix.

There are a handful of nouns whose stem-final u plus suffix -o + H is expressed as uncontracted uw-o+H, see §4.1.2.7 below.

4.1.2.6 Final short *i* deleted before final/definite suffixes

In nonmonosyllabic nouns, stem-final i is usually deleted before final/definite singular -o + H. Some examples are in (134). For the definite plural, replace -o by -ey while keeping the same tones. Unlike cases with a final u that is arguably a nonfinal suffix as discussed in the preceding section, the examples in (134) clearly involve a lexical stem-final /i/ that is deleted before a final/definite suffix. The final/definite forms therefore have clearly undergone Prevocalic V-Deletion (§3.7.1.8).

1

(134) Final short *i* deleted before nominal suffixes

NT C 1

Nontinal	Fin/Def Sg	gloss
a. simple nouns original	ly ending in *i	
fè:gì∼ fè:g-ù	fè:g-ò+H	'sheep'
hánsî	háns-ó + H	'dog'
hárí	hár-ó + H	'water'
hàmnì ~ hàmn-ù	hàmn- $\partial + H$	'flour, powder'
hámní ~ hámn-ú	hámn-ó + H	'fly'
sì:nì ~ sì:n-ù	$si:n-\grave{o}+H$	'razor'
sì:nì ~ sì:n-ù	$si:n-\grave{o}+H$	'indigo bush'
sírrî	sírr-ò+H	'secret' (< Arabic)
jírí ~ jír	jír-ó+H	'year'
dúŋgúrí ~ dúŋgúr-ú	dúŋgúr-ó+H	'cow-pea (bean)'
gársî ~ gárs-û	gárs-ò+H	'crushed millet'
déŋgî ∼ déŋg-û	déηg-ó+H	'charcoal'
bìsì∼ bìs-ù	bìs-ò+H	'acacia sp. (A. tortilis)'

E. /D CC

```
b. derived nominals with suffix -i (§4.5.3)
    dór-í ∼ dór-ú
                            d \acute{o} r - \acute{o} + H
                                               'sickness' (dórú 'be sick')
c. nouns zero-derived from (or related to) verbs ending in i (§4.5.4)
                                               'knot' (gùrì 'tie a knot')
   gùrì ~ gùr-ù
                            gùr-\dot{o}+H
   má:-gísí ~ -gís-ú
                                               'name-giving' (jìsì 'put down')
                            má:-gís-ó+H
                                               'spitting cobra' (fírsî 'spit in jet')
    fìrsì ~ fìrs-ù
                            fìrs-ò+H
    tólí ~ tól-ú
                            tól-ó+H
                                               'drop' (verb tólí 'drip')
    tòlmì ~ tòlm-ù
                            t \partial lm - \partial + H
                                               'collateral' (verb tòlmì 'mortgage')
```

Some of these nouns have a variant nonfinal form with -u. This is increasingly typical among younger speakers, consistent with the developing reorganization of nominal morphology described above. Some other stems not shown formerly ended in *i but have now gone over entirely (in my data) to the type with nonfinal -u. An example is final/definite singular $g\acute{o}nd-\acute{o}+H$ and nonfinal $g\acute{o}nd-\^{u}$ 'snake' (*g\acute{o}ndi).

Verbal nouns in final/definite singular form -r-o+H are conflated historically from distinct *-ri and *-rey prototypes (§4.5.2). An example of the former is final/definite singular $b \acute{o} w - r - \acute{o} + H$ '(the) breaking' with nonfinal $b \acute{o} w - r - \acute{u} \sim b \acute{o} w - r \acute{i}$.

Some abstractive nominals with suffix -i (§4.5.3) avoid final/definite suffixes, except when coerced to take -o+H in definite singular function (§4.2.3.4) by a following strong definite particle $H+d\hat{\imath}$. These include $b\hat{\imath}:n-\hat{\imath}$ 'peace, well-being' with uncommon definite singular $b\hat{\imath}:n-\hat{\imath}+H$, and $h\hat{\imath}:w-\hat{\imath}$ 'shame, embarrassment' with uncommon definite singular $h\hat{\imath}:w-\hat{\imath}+H$. As indicated in (134b), this does not apply to 'sickness', which is readily pluralized and in general less abstractive than 'peace' and 'shame'.

4.1.2.7 Final short high vowel $\{i \ u\}$ and presuffixal $\{iy - uw -\}$

A small number of noun stems do not allow Prevocalic V-Deletion to remove a stemfinal short high vowel before a V-initial suffix in the manner illustrated in §4.1.2.5-6 above. Instead, *i* becomes *iy*- and *u* becomes *uw*- before the suffixes, which form their own surface syllables. I assign these alternations to Homorganic Semivowel Insertion (§3.7.1.5). For short-voweled **monosyllabic** *Ci*- and *Cu*- the alternation is obligatory, though only two nouns of this subminimal shape are known (there are also a handful of verbs with this shape). For **nonmonosyllabic** nouns the alternation is uncommon and lexicalized.

Since the stem-final vowel is short, these alternations suggest that a homorganic semivocalic extension is added before the suffixal vowel, a kind of epenthesis to protect the vowels from contraction. This makes it difficult to distinguish these alternations from Intervocalic *y/w*-Insertion §3.7.1.9.

All known examples are in (135). There is one relevant numeral ('six') in addition to true nouns.

(135) Final alternations $\{i \ u\}$ with $\{iy - uw - \}$ in noun stems (all known cases)

```
Nonfinal
                  Fin/Def Sg
                                          gloss
a. with short i (becoming iy-)
 monosyllabic with lexical i
      [none attested]
 monosyllabic with lexical e shifted to i (§3.7.1.5)
      тê
                  miy^n-\acute{o}+H ('his/her') 'mouth' (§4.2.2.7)
 longer
                                          'totem' (variant kábíyà)
      kábî
                  kábíy-ò + H
      síbî
                  sibiy-ò+H
                                           'pants'
      zìfî
                  zìfíy-ò+H
                                           'pocket' (< Arabic)
b. with short u (becoming uw-)
 monosyllabic
      hû
                  húw-ó+H
                                          'house'
 longer
      lá:lû
                  lá:lúw-ò+H
                                           'meanness'
      ?íddû
                  ?íddúw-ò+H
                                          'six' (§4.7.1)
```

For the few monosyllabic stems, this phonological alternation is functionally motivated, since to delete the stem-final vowel would reduce the stem to its initial consonant. For the nonmonosyllabics, where the alternation is restricted to a small set of nouns and where the negative consequences of deleting the stem-final vowel are less drastic, the alternation has no compelling motivation. After all, most bisyllabic nouns do sacrifice the stem-final vowel before a V-initial suffix. One possibility would be to argue for underlying forms like /zìfîy/ and /lá:lûw/ with final semivowel for the nonmonosyllabics. This would account for the suffixed forms. However, it would require a suspicious rule deleting the final semivowel when word-final, without lengthening the homorganic short vowel. So no clean phonological solution is available.

Most of the examples in (135) involve stem-final falling <HL>-toned syllables. It is unclear whether this is phonologically significant.

4.1.2.8 Final long high vowel {i: u:} and presuffixal {iy-uw-}

A final long high vowel $\{i: u:\}$ is realized as $\{iy-uw-\}$, respectively, before a V-initial suffix such as final/definite singular or definite plural. This applies both to monosyllabic and longer stems. Considered within nominal morphology, this looks like a simple desyllabification of the second mora of the long high vowel. However, as indicated in the preceding section, there are some cases where a final short $\{i\ u\}$ has a similar alternation. The phonology is not transparent, see discussion of Homorganic Semivowel Insertion (§3.7.1.5).

Examples are in (136. Definite plural forms, as usual, have the same tonal and segmental phonology as the final/definite singular, e.g. $biy-\dot{e}y+H$ 'wounds'.

(136) Final long high vowel before nominal suffix

```
Nonfinal
                     Fin/Def Sg
                                            gloss
a. with i:
 monosyllabic with i:
      bì:
                                            'wound'
                     biy-\dot{o}+H
      hì:
                     biy-\dot{o}+H
                                            'shadow, shade'
      hì:
                     hiy-\dot{o}+H
                                            'boat'
      hì:
                     hiy-\dot{o}+H
                                            'rheumatism'
                     ziy-\dot{o}+H
                                            'field near village'
      zì:
      jí:
                    jíy-ó+H
                                            '(cooking) oil'
 monosyllabic with e: shifting to iy (§3.7.1.5)
      cè:
                     ciy-\dot{o}+H ('his/her')
                                            'foot' (§4.2.2.1)
      hè:n
                     hiy^n - \dot{o} + H (")
                                            'tooth' (§4.2.2.1)
                     \sim hìn-ò+H
 longer (Arabic borrowings, suffixed form is definite)
                                            'prayer beads' (< Arabic)
      tàsìbî:
                     tàsìbíy-ò+H
      (?àl)wàlî:
                     (?àl)wàlíy-ò+H
                                            'Muslim saint' (< Arabic)
b. with u:
 monosyllabic
                                            'chaff'
      dù:
                     dùw-ò+H
      hù:
                     hùw-ò+H
                                            'blister beetle'
      kù:
                     k\dot{u}w-\dot{o}+H
                                            'baobab'
                                            'wooden bowl'
      tù:
                     tùw-ò+H
                     yùw-ò+H
                                            'honey'
      уù:
                     gúw-ó+H
                                            'five' (§4.7.1)
      gú:
                     gúw-ó+H
                                            'stallion'
      gú:
      zú:
                     zúw-ó+H
                                            'strip (of cloth)'
 longer
                                            'light rain'
      sú:-sû:
                     sú:-súw-ò+H
      tú:-tû:
                     tú:-túw-ò+H
                                            'shrub sp. (Ricinus)'
      lú:-lû:
                     lú:-lúw-ò+H
                                            'glass (material)'
```

The monosyllabic examples are {H} or {L} toned. The nonmonosyllabics have a final <HL>-tone, as in the parallel alternations with stem-final short vowel (preceding section). The nonmonosyllabics are mostly either frozen reduplications ($C\acute{u}$:- $C\acute{u}$:), or trisyllabics that are treated phonologically as compounds ($C\grave{v}C\grave{v}$ - $C\^{i}$:, $C\grave{v}C\grave{v}$ - $C\^{u}$:). Given these segmentations, the long vowel in the nonfinal form is likely due to the monosyllabicity of the final element.

While $k\hat{u}$: 'baobab' (136b) is included here, $k\hat{u}$: 'yam (*Dioscorea*)' is not, see Intervocalic y/w-Insertion (§3.7.1.9).

In nonfinal $d\hat{e}y \sim d\hat{o}y$ 'place', with final/definite singular $d\hat{u}w - \hat{o} + H$, the alternation y/w and the vocalic shift are irregular and isolated (§4.1.4.1).

4.1.2.9 Final short {e o} deleted before final/definite suffixes

The focus on this section is the treatment of stem-final $\{e\ o\}$ in **nonmonosyllabic** noun stems before final/definite suffixes. For monosyllabic Ce, Ce:, Co, and Co: stems with prevocalic Ciy- and Cuw-, see $\S4.1.2.7-8$ above, especially the data tables. For presuffixal forms of $b\dot{e}$: 'borassus palm', see Intervocalic y/w-Insertion $(\S3.7.1.9)$.

A number of nonmonosyllabic noun stems formerly ending in short *e or *o still preserve nonfinal forms with these vowels, at least as variants. If we take these as lexically basic, the synchronic stem-final $\{e\ o\}$ is deleted by Prevocalic V-Deletion (§3.7.1.8). Representative data are in (137). For the inalienables ('belly', 'uncle', 'grandchild', 'navel'), the form in -o+H marks third person possessor. Monosyllabic stems are considered in §4.1.4.

(137) Final $\{e \ o\}$ in noun stems

```
Nonfinal
                           Fin/Def Sg
                                                         gloss
a. with e
  inalienables (kin, body parts); more exx. in §4.2.2.1
       hùmè
                           h \dot{u} m - \dot{o} + H ('his/her')
                                                         'navel'
                           gùnd-o+H ('his/her')
                                                         'belly'
       gùndê
              (gùnd-ù- as initial in some compounds)
       bà:bè
                                                         'father'
                           ba:b-o+H ('his/her')
       hásê
                           h\acute{a}s-\grave{o}+H ('his/her')
                                                         'uncle'
       há:mê
                           h\acute{a}:m-\grave{o}+H ('his/her')
                                                         'grandchild'
       ?ìzê
                           ?íz-ó: + H ('his/her')
                                                         'child' (§4.1.3.5)
  alienables
       férê ∼ fér-û
                           f\acute{e}r-\grave{o}+H
                                                         'brick'
       sérémbê
                           sérémb-ò+H
                                                         'fonio (grain)'
       (∼ sérémb-û)
b. with o
                                                         'kola nut'
       gó:rô
                           gó:r-ò+H
       wótórô
                           wótór-ò + H
                                                         'push-cart'
```

Participles (§4.5.6) with nonfinal - $ant\dot{e}$ and final/definite singular - $ant-\dot{o}+H$ can be added to this list.

Nonfinal $f \approx r - u$, final/definite singular $f \approx r - u$ (cailcédrat tree (*Khaya*)' is one of many noun stems that have now shifted to nonfinal -u, leaving no trace of the original stem-final *e.

Stems preserving final e in the nonfinal form are more common than those with final o. This is partly because e is still a favorite stem-final vowel for unsuffixed forms of kin terms, and to some extent body-part terms, see especially §4.2.2.5. It is also partly because stem-final *o would have been readily reanalysed as definite singular (later often final-singular) *-ŏ:, resulting in the analogical creation of a nonfinal form with *-u. For example, the term for 'succulent bush sp. (Desmidorchis)' is nonfinal bòl-ù and final/definite singular bòl-ò+H. The etymon was *bòllò with final *o to judge by KS bollo and KCh abollo. Another example is 'millet beer', nonfinal $dór-\acute{u}$ and final/definite singular $dór-\acute{o}+H$, from *dóló or *dóró.

4.1.2.10 Final diphthongs {ey ay ow} in nonmonosyllabic noun stems

Monosyllabic {*Cvy Cvw*} stems do not contract with final/definite suffixes. Examples are in (138).

(138) Final diphthongs in monosyllabic noun stems

Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg	gloss
a. Cay, Cey		
háy	háy-ó + H	'price'
zèy	zèy-ò+H	'thief'
b. Caw, Cow		
háw	háw-ó+H	'cow'
gôw	gów-ò+H	'aromatic sedge tuber'
c. Coy		
góy	góy-ó+H	'work [noun]'
d. Cew		
hèw	$h\grave{e}w-\grave{o}+H$	'wind [noun]'

However, in **nonmonosyllabics**, a final harmonic diphthong with mid-height nucleus usually does disappear before the final/definite suffixes, being treated like final short nonlow vowels $\{i\ e\ o\ u\}$. See Prevocalic Diphthong-Deletion §3.7.2.1 for the phonology. The contracting examples involve $\{ey\ ow\}$, i.e. with a harmonic combination of mid-height vowel and high semivowel. In many cases the diphthong in the nonfinal form is a derivational suffix -ey or -ow, or part of one (-rey, -kow). I know of no nonmonosyllabic stems that regularly end in -aw. $b\acute{a}l\^{a}w \sim b\acute{a}l\^{a}w$ 'scourge', a loanword, has variable vowel length and only $b\acute{a}l\acute{a}:w-\grave{o}+H$ is recorded for the final/definite singular. So it seems likely that final diphthongs in nonmonosyllabic nouns must have mid-height nuclei and that only the harmonic ones are subject to Prevocalic Diphthong-Deletion.

If the diphthong has falling <HL> tone in isolation, it is flattened to either H or L tone when it contracts with a final/definite suffix, since these suffixes do not allow surface contoured tones. The regular outcome is L-tone, see §3.9.5.5. The unexpected H.H pattern in $t\acute{a}r-\acute{o}+H$ from $t\acute{a}r\acute{o}w$ (139b) indicates that this stem belongs to the small set of bisyllabic {HL} stems that irregularly become all {H}-toned in the final/definite (§4.1.2.2).

(139) Final {ey ow} in nonmonosyllabic noun stems

```
Nonfinal
                               Fin/Def Sg
                                                gloss
a. final ev
 L.<HL> in nonfinal becoming L.L
      hèrêy ∼ hèr-ù
                                                'hunger'
                               h er - \delta + H
      X-tàrêy
                               X-tàr-\dot{o} + H
                                                'X-hood' (§4.8.7)
 fixed {H} or {L} melody
      béy-réy ∼ béy-r-ú
                               b\acute{e}v-r-\acute{o} + H
                                                'knowledge'
                                                'supper'
      hàwr-èy ~ hàwr-ù
                               hàwr-ò + H
      cìrgòs-èy
                               cìrgòs-ò + H
                                                'lunch'
b. final ow
 H.<HL> in nonfinal becoming H.H
      tárôw ∼ tár-û ∼ târ
                               tár-ó + H
                                                'courtyard' (§4.1.2.2)
 fixed {H} or {L} melody
      dìyòw
                               div-\dot{a}: +H
                                                'emissary'
```

In a number of these cases, the final-diphthong form can also in final position within a core NP, in which case the morphological "final/definite singular" functions specifically as a marked definite singular. This is particularly the case with abstractives (which do not lend themselves easily to an indefinite/definite distinction), and terms for meals. This helps explain the persistance of the final diphthongs in these stems.

4.1.3 Noun stems with final a

4.1.3.1 Short final *a* deleted before final/definite suffixes

Some nouns whose nonfinal form (at least) ends in short a behave like the nouns described above (§4.1.2.5-6, §4.1.2.9) that end in nonlow short vowels. That is, stemfinal short a is deleted by Prevocalic V-Deletion (§3.7.1.8) before final/definite singular -o + H and definite plural -ey + H. This is also the pattern for numerals ending in a. Examples are in (140). The definite plural forms (in common use for nouns but not numerals) have the same tones as the final/definite singular form shown, e.g. $b\acute{a}t-\grave{e}y+H$ 'boxes'.

(140) Stem-final a deleted before final/definite suffixes

Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg	gloss
a. simple nouns		
Arabic loans		
<i>?àlfíntâ</i>	?àlfínt-ò+H	'(rice) cake (< Arabic)
?àlbárkâ	?àlbárk-ò + H	'benefit' (< Arabic)
?àlkámâ ∼ ?àlkâm	?àlkám-ò + H	'wheat' (< Arabic)
other		
bìtà∼ bìt-ù	bìt-ò+H	'porridge'
bátâ∼ bát-û	bát-ò + H	'box'
tásâ ~ tásê ~ tás-û	tás-ò+H	'liver' (*tásà)
màkà ∼ màk-ù	màk-ò + H	'thigh'
wòynà	wòyn-ò+H	'sun'
[variants wèynà, i	ŋòynà]	
?áŋ-kákâ ∼ ?áŋ-kák-û	?áŋ-kák-ò + H	'stone hearth'
zìmmà ~ zìmm-ù	zìmm-ò+H	'cult leader' (< Zarma ?)
zùrbù-zárbá	zùrbù-zárb-ó+H	'rags'
b. numerals		
híŋká	híŋk-ó+H	'two'
hínzâ	hínz-ò+H	'three'
yággâ	yágg-ò+H	'nine'
yá:hâ	yá:h-ò + H	'eight'

Several of the non-Arabic nouns have variants with -u, reflecting the reorganization of nominal morphology described earlier. Other nouns that originally had final *a have gone over entirely. An example of this is *tílà 'amulet', in HS final/definite singular $til-\hat{o}+H$ 'amulet' and nonfinal $til-\hat{u}$.

4.1.3.2 Nouns with final/definite singular -a: +H

The majority of nouns ending in an a-vowel in the nonfinal form have a final/definite singular suffix -a: +H with long vowel. The overwhelmingly dominant type is with short <HL>-toned vowel (after a H-tone on the preceding syllable) in the nonfinal. For these stems, the final/definite singular regularly has a L-toned suffixal syllable, by Final <HL> Flattening (§3.9.5.5), see (141c) below. There are a few nouns with final long \acute{a} : in the nonfinal form (141a); informants had some difficulties with their morphology (especially possessed forms). The only example with final short L-toned \grave{a} in the nonfinal form is a somewhat opaque compound (141b).

(141) final (or definite) singular -a: +H

Nonfinal Fin/Def Sg gloss a. Final long a: in nonfinal form {H}-toned búrá: búrá: + H 'giraffe' cérá: à cérá: :+H['his/her'] 'flank' Páŋkórá: à ?áŋkórá: :+H["] 'hip' {LH}-toned ?àddúhá: ?àddúhá: + H 'mid-morning' (< Arabic) {HL}-toned cé:rà: cé:r-à: + H 'small hatchet' hírà: hírà: + H 'buffalo, beast' b. L-toned short vowel hínán-dùw-à: + H hínán-dùwà 'blood kinship' [cf. hínéŋ 'suckle']

c. <HL>-toned short vowel

nasalized vowel sáhâⁿ $s\acute{a}h-\grave{a}:^{n}+H$ 'strength, power' simple nouns ?àdá:z-à: + H 'bundle of branches' ?àdá:zâ *?álgúrâ* ?álgúr-à: + H 'joint slaughter' ?àngúwâ ?àngúw-à:+H 'unripe water lily fruit' ?á:râ ?á:r-à: + H 'stick game' bállâ báll-à: + H 'roller (bird)' 'fishhook' délbâ délb-à: + H dúmâ dúm-à: + H 'kidney' fáddâ fádd-à: + H 'heavy basket' fándâ (~ fóndâ) fánd-à: + H 'road' fúrs-à: + H 'bereavement period' fúrsâ gáfâ gáf-à: + H 'horse bag' 'udder' gán-à: + H gánâ gárâ gár-à:+H 'dye, indigo' gándâ gánd-à: + H 'land, country' gát-à: + H 'hobbles (rope)' gátâ géynâ géyn-à: + H 'ratel (mammal)' 'unshaven head' ngúfâ $\eta g u f - a : + H$?ísâ ?ís-à: + H 'river' kándâ kánd-à: + H 'slender mongoose' kárâ kár-à: + H 'wooden bed' kásárâ kásár-à: + H 'agama lizard' $c\acute{e}$:b- \grave{a} :+Hcé:bâ 'inserted stone' kó:mâ kó:m-à: + H 'sickle'

```
kórâ
                    kór-à: + H
                                          'shield'
                                          'saltlick'
 ló:gâ
                    ló:g-à:+H
                                          'handful'
 ló:mâ
                    ló:m-à: + H
 sàkúwâ
                    sàkúw-à: + H
                                          'furry waterskin'
 sá:râ
                                          'bunch (unit of sale)'
                    sá:r-à: + H
                                          'child's tomtom'
 zágálâ
                    zágál-à: + H
                    zúŋg-à: + H
                                          'hump'
 zúŋgâ
reduplicative or iterated
 kú-kúbâ
                    kú-kúb-à: + H
                                          'fuzz (flowers) on millet'
                                          'a fever'
 fì-fí:tâ
                    fì-fí:t-à: +H
 gà:-gánâ
                    gà:-gán-à:+H
                                          'hooded vulture'
 fúnà:-fúnâ
                    fúnà:-fún-à: + H
                                          'bush sp. (Pergularia)'
 búlà:-búlâ
                     búlà:-búl-à: + H
                                          'mature water lily fruit'
compounds or compound-like heavy stems
 jìrbì-kúŋgâ
                    jìrbì-kúŋg-à: + H
                                          'insomnia'
                                          'colon (intestine)'
 kòròwángâ
                    kòròwáng-à: + H
 pù:sùlá:gâ
                    pù:sùlá:g-à: + H
                                          'large tomato can'
 sóy-lá:lâ
                    sóy-lá:l-à: + H
                                          'grass sp. (Dactyloctenium)'
regional words or possible Fulfulde/Bambara loans
 báttâ
                    bátt-à: + H
                                          'lackey' (regional)
                                          'cows' sleeping area'
 dírgâ
                    dirg-a: + H
 círâ
                    cír-à: + H
                                          'curiosity'
                    fédd-à: + H
                                          'club, association' (< Ful.)
 féddâ
                                          'kohl (mascara)'
 fínâ
                    fín-à: + H
 fórbâ
                    fórb-à: + H
                                          'community property' (regional)
                                          'plastic (bag)' (regional)
 mánâ
                    mán-à: + H
                    sàtáll-à: + H
                                          'plastic kettle'
 sàtállâ
 sírâ
                    sír-à: + H
                                          'snuff' (regional)
                                          'painful regret'
 súnâ
                    sún-à: + H
 tá:sâ
                     tá:s-à: + H
                                          'bowl' (regional)
                                          'transaction fee' (regional)
 téyfâ
                    téyf-à: + H
English and French loans
 dórbâ
                                          'driver' (variant)
                    dórb-à: + H
 kónbâ
                    kónt-à: + H
                                          'responsibility, account'
                    lámb-à:+H
 lámbâ
                                          'mark' (<number)
                                          'lamp'
 lámpâ
                     lámp-à: + H
Tamashek loans
 ?áddâ
                     ?ádd-à: + H
                                          'machete blade'
 ?ájâ
                     ?áj-à: + H
                                          'well apparatus'
 wúrâ
                                          'gold'
                     wúr-à: + H
Arabic loans
                     ?àlàmbí:n-à: + H
 ?àlàmbí:nâ
                                          '(an) outrage'
 ?àlà:rúbâ
                     ?àlà:rúb-à: + H
                                          'Wednesday'
                     ?àlbánn-à: + H
 ?àlbánnâ
                                          'builder, mason'
 ?álfâ
                     ?álf-à: + H
                                          'Muslim marabout'
 ?álféydâ
                     ?álféyd-à: + H
                                          'advantage'
```

```
?àlgùrá:nâ
                    ?àlgúrá:n-à: + H
                                         'Koran'
?àlkàmí:sâ
                    ?àlkàmí:s-à: + H
                                         'Thursday'
                                         '2 PM prayer'
?àlú:lâ
                    ?àlú:1-à: + H
                                         'Abdim's stork'
?àlwà:líyâ
                    ?àlwà:líy-à: + H
?àlwálâ
                    ?àlwál-à: + H
                                         'ablutions'
?àlzúmâ
                    ?àlzúm-à: + H
                                         'Friday'
?àná:nâ
                    ?àná:n-à: + H
                                         'mint'
?àmá:nâ
                    ?àmá:n-à: + H
                                         '(sacred) agreement'
Pàndúppâ
                    ?àndúpp-à: + H
                                         'world (of the living)'
?ánníyâ
                    ?ánníy-à: + H
                                         'intention, plan'
?ànnú:râ
                    ?ànnú:r-à: + H
                                         'brightness'
?àrcíllâ
                    ?àrcíll-à: + H
                                         'mosquito net'
?àtàlá:tâ
                    ?àtàlá:t-à: + H
                                         'Tuesday'
dá:bbâ
                    dá:bb-à:+H
                                         'animal'
dáwâ
                   dáw-à: + H
                                         'soluble ink'
dáwlâ
                   dáwl-à: + H
                                         'prestige'
jàhánnámâ
                   jàhánnám-à: + H
                                         'hell'
                                         'crowd'
jámâ
                   jám-à: + H
jífâ
                   jíf-à: + H
                                         'carrion'
hí:sâ
                   hí:s-à: + H
                                         'total, sum'
má:ndâ
                   má:nd-à: + H
                                         'meaning'
                   màsí:b-à: + H
                                         'quarrel, trouble'
màsí:bâ
(m)bédda
                   (\dot{m})b\dot{e}dd-\dot{a}: + H
                                         'highway'
                   sár-à: + H
                                         'charity, alms'
sárâ
sàríyâ
                   sàríy-à:+H
                                         'Islamic law'
                   sif-à: +H
                                         'description'
sífâ
                   sùgúll-à: + H
                                         'anxiety'
sùgúllâ
súnnâ
                   súnn-à: + H
                                         'Islamic principles'
                                         'wooden tablet'
wálhâ
                    wálh-à: + H
wá:zâ
                    wá:z-à: + H
                                         'counsel'
```

 $b\acute{a}:y-\grave{a}:+H$ 'nothing, (not) anything' belongs to this type, except that no nonfinal form occurs.

There are two nouns whose nonfinal form has \hat{e} rather than \hat{a} corresponding to final/definite singular $-\hat{a}$: +H(142).

```
(142) Nonfinal Fin/Def Sg gloss

yáránde yáránd-à: +H '50 XF worth of tea and sugar'
tókórê tókór-à: +H 'namesake, person with the same name'
```

For definite singular $fiy-\grave{a}: +H \sim fiw-\grave{a}: +H$ 'one' from numeral fio: '1', see §4.7.1.

4.1.3.3 Nonfinal and final/definite singular of diminutive -iya

The diminutive suffix $-iya-\sim -ya-$ is covered in more detail in §4.9. Here I focus on the relationship between nonfinal and final/definite singular forms. This is tricky since final/definite and nonfinal forms are not always clearly distinguished for diminutive nouns. In the following discussion I omit the final floating H on final/definite forms.

The primary type, with H-tone on the suffixal i and word-level {LHL} melody (§4.9.1), typically has nonfinal (and sometimes NP-final) forms $-iy-\grave{o}w$ or $-iy\grave{a}\sim -iy\^{a}$, and final/definite singular $-iy-\grave{a}:+H$ competing with the same $-iy\grave{a}\sim -iy\^{a}$. The combination $-iy-\grave{a}:+H$ is phonologically regular provided if we assume that it is based on $-iy\^{a}\sim -iy\^{a}$ rather than on $-iy-\grave{o}w$. The syncopated diminutives covered in §4.9.2 have similar final/definite suffixation.

The less common {L}-toned type with $-iy\hat{a}$ (§4.9.3) tends to be invariant. That is, nonfinal $-iy-\hat{o}w$ and final/definite singular $-iy-\hat{a}:+H$ are not in common use even in morphosyntactic contexts that favor them. Before strong definite $H+d\hat{i}$ and demonstratives, the environments that elsewhere require $-iy-\hat{a}:+H$, these nouns simply raise the tones of $-iy\hat{a}$. Example: $k\hat{o}f-iy\hat{a}$ 'grasshopper', strong definite $k\hat{o}f-iy\hat{a}$ d\hat{i} 'that (same) grasshopper', and demonstrative $k\hat{o}f-iy\hat{a}$ w\hat{i} 'this/that grasshopper'. Forms like $k\hat{o}f-iy\hat{a}$ d\hat{i} might be traces of an older {LHL} melody (*k\hat{o}f-iy\hat{a}).

{H}-toned adjectival diminutives like $k\acute{a}t$ - $\acute{i}y\acute{a}$ 'small' and $d\acute{u}ng\acute{u}r$ - $\acute{i}y\acute{a}$ 'short' (§4.6.2.8) and {H}-toned adjectival compound finals like $-k\acute{i}r$ - $(\acute{i})y\acute{a}$ 'red' in $[c\grave{e}\eta$ - $\mathring{u}]$ - $[k\acute{i}r$ - $(\acute{i})y\acute{a}]$ 'gerbil' (§4.9.4), likewise add strong definite $H+d\grave{i}$ with no overt change in the noun/adjective: $k\acute{a}t$ - $\acute{i}y\acute{a}$ $d\grave{i}$, $d\acute{u}ng\acute{u}r$ - $\acute{i}y\acute{a}$ $d\grave{i}$, $[c\grave{e}\eta$ - $\mathring{u}]$ - $[k\acute{i}r$ - $(\acute{i})y\acute{a}]$ $d\grave{i}$. For the unusual segmental and tonal alternations in diminutive adjectives like 'small' and 'short', e.g. nonfinal $k\grave{a}t(t)$ - $\acute{i}y$ - $\acute{o}w$ versus final/definite $k\acute{a}t(t)$ - $\acute{i}y\acute{a}+H$ 'small', see §4.6.2.8.

No special tone-raising applies to $\{L\}$ -toned noun-adjective compounds of the type $b \partial \eta - [k \partial r - (i) y \partial a]$ (male) agama lizard' (§4.9.5). The strong definite $b \partial \eta - [k \partial r - (i) y \partial a]$ di shows only the phonologically regular raising of the final suffixal syllable H + di, due to the latter's floating H.

The noun $z\hat{a}-z\hat{a}b-iy\hat{a}$ 'mosquito' is unusual in having a variant nonfinal form (perhaps archaic, and in any case not widely used) $z\hat{a}-z\hat{a}b\hat{i}$ alongside the fuller form. A similar truncated nonfinal form has not (yet?) been recorded for the other noun with a similar reduplicated shape, namely $d\hat{a}-d\hat{a}b-iy\hat{a}$ '(insectivorous) bat'.

4.1.3.4 $k \partial y r \partial a + H$, $c \partial y \partial a + H$, $c \partial y \partial a \partial a + H$ and others with no $-\partial a \partial a \partial a \partial a \partial a \partial a \partial a$

The a-final nouns in (143) do not take the $-\dot{a}$: + H final/definite singular suffix. In the case of 'rain', younger speakers have started to add final/definite singular $-\dot{o}$ + H.

```
(143) noun gloss
k \partial y r \partial x + H \qquad \text{`village, town'}
c \dot{e} y d \dot{y} \dot{q} \dot{q} \dot{q} H \qquad \text{`rainy season'}
```

```
cinnà + H 'rain' (Fin/Def Sg cinn-ò + H for some speakers)

binna + H 'warthog' 'omasum (third stomach of ruminants)'
```

The floating H that is elsewhere associated with final/definite suffixes does occur with these nouns, so they might be analysed as covertly "definite." (144a-c) show the floating H raising the tone of a following L-toned syllable. Although only a few nouns are involved, the tonal treatment shows that the final floating H is no longer confined to nouns with a segmentally audible final/definite singular suffix.

```
(144)
       a. kòyrà
                        1 dírà
           /kòyrà+H
                       dìrà/
           village
                        walk
           'The (while) village has traveled.'
                                         ⁴húrâ
        b. céydíyá
                             1 mán
           /céydíyá + H
                             man + H
                                         húrâ/
           rainy.season
                             PerfNeg
                                         enter
           'The rainy season hasn't come in (=begun).'
        c. cìnnà
                                1kâ
                                           (older speakers)
                                1 kâ
           cìnn-ò
                                           (younger speakers)
           rain(-Fin/DefSg)
                                come
           'It (has) rained.' (</kà/)
```

These nouns occur in both indefinite or definite contexts. They may combine, without further suffixation, with strong definite $H + d\hat{i}$ or with a demonstrative, as in $\hat{k}\hat{o}\hat{y}r\hat{a}\uparrow d\hat{i}$ 'the (same) village' and $\hat{k}\hat{o}\hat{y}r\hat{a}\uparrow \hat{w}\hat{o} \sim \hat{k}\hat{o}\hat{y}r-\hat{o}$: 'this/that village'.

 $k \grave{o} y r \grave{a} + H$ can be treated as inalienable or alienable in possessive contexts: inalienable $k \grave{o} y r - \grave{e} y + H$ 'my village' (i.e. where I grew up or now live), $k \grave{o} y r - \hat{e}$ 'my village' (i.e. that I own).

4.1.3.5 Irregular nouns ?ìzê and $k\hat{o} \sim k\hat{o}$ - $t\hat{i} \sim k\hat{o}$ -t-iy- \hat{a} : + H 'child'

 $2iz\hat{e}$ '(someone's) child, offspring, offshoot' is usually either possessed (as a kin term) or preceded by a compound initial in a range of senses. $2iz\hat{e}$ is irregular, both tonally and in final-syllable vowel length (final/definite singular $-2iz-\hat{o}+H$ in compounds). As a possessed kin term with long vowel, it takes alienable-type forms for 1st/2nd person possessors ($2iz-\hat{e}$: 'my child'), but usually inalienable-type forms for 3rd person possessors ($2iz-\hat{o}$: +H 'his/her child').

 $k\grave{o}$ -t-iy-a: +H means 'child' in the non-kinship sense (young human being). The diminutive ending -iy-a: +H is clearly audible with its long vowel. The very common plural is $k\grave{o}$ -t-iy-ey: +H '(the) children'.

A reduced variant $k\hat{o}$ is found in some compounds and before numerals and adjectives. Examples are $k\acute{o}$ $\sqrt{f\acute{o}}$: 'one child', $k\acute{o}$ $b\grave{e}$: $r\grave{i}$ '(a) big child', phrasal

compound fauna term $k\acute{o}$ -dàm-gànjì (lit. "child-put-outback") 'finch-lark sp. (*Eremopterix*)', $k\grave{o}$ -sò:g-ò+H 'young man', $k\grave{o}$ -tàrêy 'childhood', $k\acute{o}$ tà:n-ò+H 'baby'.

An intermediate form is $k\grave{o}$ - $t\hat{i}$ in $[k\grave{o}$ - $t\hat{i}]$ - $[w\grave{o}y$ - \grave{o} +H] 'girl' and its antonym $[k\grave{o}$ - $t\hat{i}]$ - $[h\grave{a}$:r- \grave{o} +H] 'boy'. These end with the nouns meaning 'woman' and 'man', respectively.

4.1.4 Monosyllabic noun stems

4.1.4.1 *CvC* and *Cv:C*

There are several CvC noun stems, and a few Cv:C. The final consonant is a sonorant. Especially in the case of final r, there many be a variant nonfinal form Cvrv. The phonology of final/definite forms (for inalienables, the 3Sg possessed forms) is relatively straightforward. However, many CvC stems with {H}-toned final/definite singular CvC-o+H (and definite plural CvC-o+H) have {HL} CvC in the nonfinal form, apparently allowing the sonority difference between nucleus and coda to be reflected in tones. On the other hand, 'person' is {HL} in the nonfinal form and {L} in the final/definite forms, see the end of (145a).

(145) CvC and Cv:C stems

	Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg	DefPl
a. CvC			
no final/definit	te singular f	orm	
'namesake'	môy	_	-(§4.2.3.1)
$\{L\}$ -toned			
'thief'	zèy	zèy-ò+H	zèy-èy+H
'well'	dày	dày-ò+H	dày-èy+H
'gallbladder'	tày	tày-ò+H	tày-èy+H
'fingernail'	bòy	bòy-ò+H	bòy-èy+H
'woman'	wòy	wòy-ò+H	wòy-èy+H
'tree snake'	sòy	sòy-ò+H	sòy-èy+H
'head'	bòŋ	$b\dot{u}w^n$ - \dot{o} + H ('his/her')	$b u w^n - e y + H$
'guest'	yòw	yòw -ò+H	yòw-èy+H
'wind'	hèw	hèw -ò+H	hèw-èy+H
'goldsmith'	zèm(-ù)	hèw -ò+H	hèw-èy+H
{H}-toned			
'iron, metal'	gúr(-ú)	gúr-ó+H	gúr-éy+H
'middle'	gám(-ú)	gám-ó+H	gám-éy + H
'work'	góy	góy-ó+H	góy-éy+H
'day'	hán	hán-ó+H	hán-éy + H
{HL}/{H}-tone	ed		
'year'	jîr ~ jíri)	jír-ó+H	jír-éy+H
'gazelle'	jêr ~ jérî	jér-ó+H	jér-éy+H

'cow'	hâw	háw-ó+H	háw-éy+H
'meat'	hâm	hám-ó + H	_
'owner'	kôy	kóy-ó+H	kóy-éy+H
'place'	dêy∼ dôy	dúw-ó+H	dúw-éy+H
$\{HL\}/\{L\}$ -tone	ed [only exan	nple]	
'person'	bôr	bòr-ò+H	bòr-èy+H
b. <i>Cv:C</i>			
{HL}-toned			
'boubou'	kâ:y	ká:y-ò+H	ká:y-èy + H
'fever'	sâ:y∼ sây	sá:y-ò+H	sá:y-èy+H
'bus'	kâ:r	ká:r-ò+H	ká:r-èy + H
$\{L\}$ -toned			
'butcher'	wà:y	wà:y-ò+H	wà:y-èy+H

For Ca:C see also adjectives $t\grave{a}:y-\grave{o}+H$ 'wet', $ka:n-\grave{o}+H$ 'sweet', etc.

4.1.4.2 *Cv* and *Cv*:

Some nouns of this shape are inalienable and treated more fully in §4.2.2, below. Nouns that have nonfinal forms Cv or Cv: are in (146). There are only a handful of Cv nouns with short vowel in the nonfinal form ('house', 'mother', 'mouth'), cf. also the variant $k\hat{o}$ 'child' in §4.1.3.5.

Nonfinal Fin/Def Sg

DefPl

(146) Final/definite forms of Cv and Cv: stems

. Cv and Cv: with l	nigh vow	el, prevocalic <i>Cvw</i> -	or <i>Cvy</i> -
inalienable or alie	nable	_	
'house'	hû	húw-ó+H	húw-éy+H
'shadow'	bì:	bìy-ò+H	bìy-èy+H
alienable			
'chaff'	dù:	dùw-ò+H	_
'skin disease'	dù:	dùw-ò+H	_
'wound'	bì:	bìy-ò+H	bìy-èy+H
'boat'	hì:	hìy-ò+H	hìy-èy+H
'rheumatism'	hì:	hìy-ò+H	hìy-èy+H
'oil, butter'	jí:	jíy-ó+H	jíy-éy+H
'blister beetle'	hù:	hùw-ò+H	hùw-èy+H
'baobab tree'	kù:	kùw-ò+H	kùw-èy+H
'wooden bowl'	tù:	tùw-ò +H	tùw-èy+H
'ribbon'	zú:	zúw-ó+H	zúw-éy+H

```
alienable (defective)
    'yesterday'
                           bì:
    'yam'
                           kû:
    'height, length'
                           kú:
b. Ca and Ca:
  inalienable
    'mother'
                                       n\acute{o}: + H ('his/her')
                                                                   náw-éy+H
                           лâ
                                                                    ~ nów-éy+H
  mixed (3Sg possessor has "alienable" form)
    'share'
                           bà:
                                        à bà: ('his/her')
                                                                    bá:↑-yèy+H
    'name'
                           mâ:
                                        à mâ: ('his/her')
                                                                    m\acute{a}:-y-\grave{e}y+H
    'body'
                                        à gá: ('his/her')
                                                                    g\acute{a}:-y-\grave{e}y + H
                           gá:
  alienable
    'milk'
                            wà:
                                                                    w\acute{a}: \uparrow-y\grave{e}y + H
    'okra'
                           lâ:
                                        l\acute{a}:-y-\grave{o}+H
    'campground'
                           gà: ~ gá: —
                                                                    g\acute{a}:-w-\grave{e}y+H
                                                                    \sim g\acute{a}:-y-\grave{e}y + H
  alienable (defective)
    'respect'
                           bà:
c. Cv and Cv: with mid-height vowel
  inalienable (medial variants y^n \sim n \sim \eta omitted)
    'tooth'
                           hè:n
                                        hiy^n - \dot{o} + H ('his/her')
    'mouth'
                           тê
                                        miy^n - \acute{o} + H
    'foot'
                                        ciy-\dot{o}+H
                           cè:
    'eye'
                                        m \dot{u} w^n - \dot{o} + H
                           mò:
  alienable
                                        m u w^n - \partial + H
    'rice'
                           mò:
  alienable (defective, §4.2.1.4)
    'borassus palm'
```

The suffixed forms of the Cu(:) and Ci: nouns in (146a) treat them like underlying /Ciy/and /Cuw/. Their forms can be compared directly to those of CvC stems, see the preceding section.

The Ca(:) stems in (146b) are phonologically problematic for HS speakers. 'Mother', the only short-voweled stem in this group, is irregular. The long-voweled Ca: inalienables dodge the problem of how to add 3Sg inalienable possessor -o+H by pressing the corresponding alienable form into service, resulting in mixed paradigms, e.g. $m\hat{a}:-y$ 'my name' (inalienable morphology) but \hat{a} $m\hat{a}$: 'his/her name' (alienable morphology). However, they do have definite plural forms in -ey+H. All of the definite plural forms in (146b) are $C\dot{v}:-y-\dot{e}y+H$ (or $C\dot{v}:-w-\dot{e}y+H$ with a different epenthetic semivowel), i.e. with {HL} melody, regardless of the melody of the nonfinal form. I posit a rule of **Presuffixal** Cv: **Tone-Raising** (§3.9.3) to account for this, and for possessed forms described later.

Most of the *Ce(:)* and *Co(:)* nouns in (146c) are treated as *Ciy-* and *Cuw-*, respectively, in suffixed forms (§3.7.1.5). This is not true of 'borassus palm' (§4.2.3.2).

4.2 Morphology of possessed nouns

A unique feature of HS among Songhay languages is its innovation of both alienable and inalienable pronominal possessor paradigms. For the history, see Heath (2011).

Most **kin terms and partonyms** are morphologically inalienable, as are a few other words like $b\hat{a}$: 'share' and $m\hat{a}$: 'name'. $k\hat{o}yr\hat{a}+H$ 'village' and $h\hat{u}$ 'house' can be either alienable or inalienable.

Alienable and inalienable morphologies are distinct for all 1st/2nd person possessors and for 3Sg possessor. They are **merged for other third person possessors** (3Pl, 3FullSg, 3FullPl). Postpositions have paradigms related to the inalienable type for 1st/2nd persons, and to the alienable type for 3Sg (other third person categories are indeterminate), see §5.9. *Ca:* inalienable nouns such as $m\hat{a}$: 'name' have an "alienable" 3Sg form (§4.2.2.4).

Representative paradigms for 'dog' and 'uncle' are in (147), allowing an initial comparison of regular alienable and inalienable paradigms. Only forms for singular possessed noun are shown here. The lexically basic nonfinal forms are hánsî 'dog' and hásê 'uncle'.

(147)		possessor	'dog' (alienable)'	'maternal uncle' (inalienable)
	a.	1Sg 1Pl	háns-è háns-èy-ndíy-à:	hás-èy+H hás-éy-ndì+H
	b.	2Sg 2Pl	háns-ò-nôŋ háns-ò-ndôŋ	hás-àŋ + H hás-án-dòŋ + H
	c.	3Sg	à háns-ò	hás-ó + H
	d.	3Pl 3FullSg 3FullPl	ŋòŋ háns-ò ?áŋgá ⁴háns-ò ǹjéy ⁴háns-ò	ŋòŋ hás-ò ?áŋgá ⁴hás-ò ǹjéy ⁴hás-ò

In the alienable paradigm, a nonpronominal possessor requires the same form of the possessed noun as with third person pronominal possessor ($?\acute{a}:m\grave{a}d\grave{u}$ $h\acute{a}ns-\grave{o}$ 'Amadou's dog'). In the inalienable paradigm, a nonpronominal possessor requires the same form that occurs with 3Pl, 3FullSg, and 3FullPl (but not regular 3Sg) pronominal possessors ($?\acute{a}:m\grave{a}d\grave{u}$ $h\acute{a}s-\grave{o}$ 'Amadou's uncle'). However, when a nonpronominal NP possessor often ends in a floating H-tone, an initial L-toned syllable in a following possessed noun is raised to H-tone. In the case of bisyllabics, what would otherwise appear as L.<HL> is realized as H.L. Thus $s\grave{u}b-\^{o}$ 'grass (possessed)' and $h\grave{a}:r-\grave{o}+H$ 'man' combine as $h\grave{a}:r-\grave{o}$ ' $fsub-\grave{o}$ 'the man's grass'.

One key initial observation is that the 1Pl is built on the 1Sg, and the 2Pl is built on the 2Sg. Another is that an $-o \sim -a$: suffix occurs in third person inalienable forms, and in both second and third person alienable forms. This suffix is arguably also present in first person alienable forms, but if so it is always deleted by phonological rule before the e of the pronominal suffix. We will see later that $-o \sim -a$: is used for singular possessed noun, and is replaced in most combinations by -ey for plural possessed noun.

My greatest difficulty in HS fieldwork, though not the only one, was my failure to realize early on that there are actually three functionally distinct singular $-o \sim -a$: suffixes and three plural -ey suffixes. Two of the functions are phonologically indistinguishable; they are a) unpossessed alienable final/definite singular and b) **3Sg inalienable possessor.** Suffixes of types (a) and (b) are atonal, acquiring a surface H- or L-tone (but not <HL>-tone) by spreading from the stem. These suffixes are followed by a floating H-tone. Morphophonologically, (a) and (b) are identical, differing only in function, one function for alienable nouns and another for inalienables. The third type is c) general third person possessor for inalienables with any third person possessor except regular 3Sg, and for alienables with any third person possessor (including regular 3Sg). Suffix type (c) is, for almost all nouns, segmentally identical to suffix types (a) and (b), but it differs tonally (final <HL> tones are welcome). It is not followed by a floating H, i.e., it has no tonal effect on the following word. To repeat, all three types (a-c) are segmentally identical. In fact, they are also etymologically cognate, reflecting old definite suffixes. They have diverged functionally, and (c) has also diverged tonally, probably by borrowing rhythmic patterns from 1st/2nd person alienable possessor forms. If this three-way isn't complicated enough for you, the three singular suffixes of the shape $-o \sim -a$: are also cognate to the suffix -u in third person possessor forms of a few singular kin terms ($p \partial \eta \uparrow b \acute{a} : b - \grave{u}$ 'their father'), see (171c) in §4.2.2.5. So we have no fewer than four reflexes of a single original definite singular morpheme, none of which functions primarily as a definiteness marker.

4.2.1 Alienable possession

For alienables, there is one basic form for a singular possessed noun with a third person possessor. This form is also the morphological basis for the 2Sg possessor combination, and arguably (if we recognize a phonological contraction of two vowels) also for the 1Sg possessor combination. I therefore call this basic possessed form **PossSg**, omitting any reference to the person of the possessor. (By contrast, a "3PossSg" form in inalienable possessor morphology is confined to third person possessor.) A further layer of morphology converts 1Sg to 1Pl possessor, and 2Sg to 2Pl. The entire paradigm for singular possessed noun is parallel to another full paradigm for plural possessed nouns.

Rather than presenting a multi-page array of the complete paradigms for different types of noun, I prefer to show how the more complex forms are built up from simpler forms. I begin with detailed consideration of 1Sg, 2Sg, and 3Sg possessor forms for various singular alienable noun types (§4.2.1.1-4). Then the remaining third

person possessors (§4.2.1.5) and the 1Pl and 2Pl possessor forms (§4.2.1.6) are covered, again for singular possessed nouns. Finally, the equivalents of all of the above for plural possessed nouns are described (§4.2.1.7-8).

First, a brief overview. The form of a singular alienably possessed noun, i.e. the PossSg form, is **derived from the unpossessed final/definite singular** -o + H or -a: +H. Except as noted below, the segments are unchanged from (unpossessed) final/definite singular to the PossSg form. There is no further pronominal affix within the noun for third person possessor categories. Instead, these possessor categories are expressed by third-person pronominals proclitic to the possessed noun. The 2Sg and (arguably) 1Sg possessor forms are built on the PossSg form of the noun by adding one additional pronominal suffix each.

While the segmental form is derived from that of the final/definite singular, the tones are different. All alienably possessed nouns **drop the final floating H-tone** of the final/definite singular. In addition, the final two syllables are subject to the **Tonal Rhythm** rule, and therefore surface as either L.<HL> (when the penult is L-toned) or as H.L (when the penult is H-toned). Tones of earlier syllables (e.g. antepenult) are not affected.

When the **possessed noun is plural**, the morphology is parallel to that just outlined for singular possessed nouns. The PossSg $-o \sim -a$: suffix is replaced in most combinations by **PossPl** -ey. Just as PossSg $-o \sim -a$: is identical segmentally but not tonally to the final/definite singular $-o + H \sim -a$: +H, PossPl -ey is identical segmentally but not tonally to the definite plural. Since the (unpossessed) final/definite singular and definite plural forms are tonally parallel to each other, the possessed singular and possessed definite forms are also parallel to each other.

Bisyllabic stems are the most straightforward, so I begin with them.

4.2.1.1 Regular bisyllabic alienably possessed nouns (1Sg, 2Sg, and 3Sg)

Consider the partial alienable paradigms in (148). The inputs are the final/definite singular forms, which can have {H}, {HL}, or {L} melodies. There are no nouns with {LH} melody. Bisyllabic nouns with L.<HL> tones in the nonfinal form are flattened to L.L in the suffixed final/definite forms, so these can be treated as having {L} melody for purposes of calculating possessive tonology.

(148)		possessor	{H} 'dog'	{HL} 'bird'	{L} 'grass'
		Fin/Def Sg	háns-ó+H	cír-ò+H	sùb-ò+H
	a.	1Sg	háns-è	cír-è	sùb-ê
	b.	2Sg	háns-ò-nôŋ	cír-ò-nôŋ	sùb-ó-nòŋ
	c.	3Sg	à háns-ò	à cír-ò	à† sùb-ô

The tones of the possessor suffixes are determined by the tone of the last nonsuffixal syllable. Therefore {H}-toned 'dog' and {HL}-toned 'bird' are merged into a single tonal type in the possessed forms. By Tonal Rhythm (§3.9.4.1), the first suffixal syllable for 'dog' and 'bird' is L-toned. The 2Sg form has a second suffixal syllable which, again by Tonal Rhythm, is <HL>-toned. 'Grass', on the other hand, has a L-toned nonsuffixal syllable, so by Tonal Rhythm the suffix or suffix complex is {HL}-toned, whether monosyllabic (1Sg, 3Sg) or bisyllabic (2Sg).

The PossSg form seen mostly clearly in the 3Sg possessor form is segmentally identical to the unpossessed final/definite singular form, but the two are distinct tonally. A partial exceptions is the {HL}-type 'bird', but even for such nouns the absence of the floating H after the possessed noun distinguishes it from the unpossessed form. Importantly, alienably possessed nouns are never tonally flat, i.e. they cannot have word-level {H} or {L} melody, rather they must be contoured {HL} or {LHL}.

The 2Sg form is transparently based on the 3Sg form, with an additional syllable *-noŋ*. This suggests the possibility that the 1Sg form might also be based on the 3Sg form, which could then be reanalysed as an all-purpose alienable PossSg form that combines with zero affixation for third person and with nonzero suffixes for 1Sg and 2Sg possessor. Let us therefore look more closely at the 1Sg forms.

We observe that the -o suffix in the 2Sg and 3Sg is replaced by -e in the 1Sg. One could therefore imagine an underlying 1Sg form of the type /X-o-e/, contracting to X-e by Prevocalic V-Deletion (§3.7.1.8). We will see below that 3Sg -a: likewise becomes 1Sg -e: for most a-final stems (§4.2.1.3), and this too could be handled by a version of the deletion rule that preserves the first vowel's length. This analysis is attractive insofar as 1Sg /X-o-e/ would be structurally parallel to 2Sg X-o-noŋ. It is also undoubtedly correct historically, since 1Sg -e is derived from a cliticized version of 1Sg independent pronoun ?ây (Heath 2011).

However, synchronically this analysis is not a slam dunk. A first objection is the absence of independent evidence for a phonological contraction /oe/ to e. Inconveniently, the adposition $d\hat{o}$ 'chez, at the place of' combines with 1Sg -ey as $d\hat{u}w$ - $\hat{e}y$ (§5.9.6), though this could be finessed by basing the suffixed forms of the postposition on the final/definite singular $d\hat{u}w$ - \hat{o} +H 'place'. Second, while a contraction /a:e/ to e: would work for the majority of a-final nouns, the small subset of such stems with invariable final long a: have 1Sg possessor forms of the type a:y-e, with epenthetic -y-, rather than the expected #-e: (§4.2.1.3). Third, when the

possessed noun is plural we do not get an output derived from underlying 1Sg #/-ey-e/ parallel to 2Sg /-ey-noŋ/. Instead, we find a completely different 1Sg possessor sequence $-e-n \hat{o}n + H$ with the indefinite plural suffix added to the singular counterpart: $h \hat{a}ns-\hat{e}$ 'my dog', $h \hat{a}ns-\hat{e}-n \hat{o}n + H$ 'my dogs' (§4.2.1.8).

These considerations suggest that the 1Sg possessor form has evolved into a morphologically quirky state. I am inclined to favor a synchronic derivation of the 1Sg form from the 3Sg form by **mutation** (to vowel quality *e*), i.e. a kind of **suffixal ablaut**.

Because alienably possessed forms are based on the final/definite singular rather than on the nonfinal form, nouns with monosyllabic nonfinal forms but bisyllabic final/definite singular forms are treated as bisyllabic, and are handled by the same rules just described. For example, 'house' (nonfinal $h\hat{u}$, final/definite singular $h\hat{u}w-\hat{o}+H$), has alienably possessed forms like 1Sg $h\hat{u}w-\hat{e}$ 'my house', exactly parallel to $h\hat{a}ns-\hat{e}$ 'my dog'. ('House' also can take inalienable possessors in a slightly different sense.)

4.2.1.2 Trisyllabic alienably possessed nouns (1Sg, 2Sg, and 3Sg)

Trisyllabic nouns can be {H}, {HL}, {LHL}, or {L} (but not {LH}). Stems exemplifying these types and their forms with singular possessors are in (149). The full glosses for the first and last are 'small stones (inserted among larger stones in walls)' and 'mortar (for pounding grain with a pestle)'. 'Strategem' has nonfinal form dabari with L.L.<HL> pattern, but becomes L.H.L dabar-o+H by the L.L.<HL> L.H.L rule for final/definite forms (§3.9.6.2). This L.H.L pattern is the basis for the possessed forms.

The tone melody of the first two syllables of the final/definite form (excluding suffixes) is respected in the possessed forms. By Tonal Rhythm (§3.9.4.1), the first suffixal syllable is L-toned after a H-toned syllable ('stones', 'cart', 'strategem'), then for 2Sg possessor the second suffixal syllable is <HL>-toned. For {L}-toned 'mortar', the suffix complex has a {HL} melody, whether expressed on one or two syllables. The suffixal tones are therefore consistent with what we saw in the preceding section for bisyllabics.

4.2.1.3 *a*-final alienably possessed nouns (1Sg, 2Sg, and 3Sg)

A substantial minority of bisyllabic noun stems have a final a-vowel. The productive subtype ends in ...H.

HL> in the nonfinal form, and in ...H.L with final long vowel in the final/definite singular form, e.g. nonfinal ?álfâ with final/definite singular ?álf-à: + H 'marabout' (§4.1.3.2). As with other nouns, only the penult and ultimate syllables of the final/definite singular are relevant input to the Tonal Rhythm rule that determines the tones of the alienably possessed form (most transparently, for third person possessor). Therefore the suffixal tones of possessed forms corresponding to unpossessed trisyllabic or longer ...L.H.<HL> or ...H.H.<HL> will follow the pattern of those for the {HL} stem 'marabout' in (150) below, while the lexical tone of the antepenult and any earlier syllables will be unaffected.

There are a handful of nonmonosyllabic nouns with a final long a: that occurs in both final/definite and nonfinal forms, e.g. $búr\acute{a}$: 'giraffe' and $c\acute{e}$: $r\grave{a}$: 'small hatchet' (§4.1.3.2). In these stems the a: happens to be either H- or L-toned rather than contoured <HL>, so there is no tonal difference between the nonfinal form and the final/definite singular (except for the floating H in the latter). Excluding a couple of inalienables like $?\acute{a}\eta k\acute{o}r\acute{a}$: 'hip', these nouns are rarely possessed, and informants struggle to produce alienably possessed forms. It was possible, however, to elicit possessed forms for $?\grave{a}dd\acute{u}h\acute{a}$: 'mid-morning' (Arabic loan). The outputs indicate that the final long vowel does not contract with the suffixal vowel. Instead, an epenthetic semivowel is inserted, see Intervocalic y/w-Insertion (§3.7.1.9). By contrast, inalienables ending in a long a: avoid adding the usual third person possessor suffixes, such as 3Sg - o + H (§4.2.2.1, §4.2.2.4).

(150)		possessor	NFa (short) 'marabout'	NFa: (long) 'mid-morning'
		nonfinal Fin/Def Sg	?álfâ ?álf-à:+H	?àddúhá: ?àddúhá: + H
;	a.	1Sg	?álf-è:	?àddúhá:-y-è
1	b.	2Sg	?álf-à:-nôŋ	?àddúhá:-y-ò-nôŋ ~ ?àddúhá:-w-ò-nôŋ
(c.	3Sg	à ?álf-à:	à† ?àddúhá:-y-ò ∼ à† ?àddúhá:-w-ò

1Sg possessor ?álf-è: is derived from 3Sg possessor ?álf-à: + H by, in effect, **mutating** the vowel quality of the 3Sg suffix. This would also work for ?àddúhá:-y-è. Alternatively, we could postulate a contraction rule converting /a:e/ into e:, preserving the length of the first vowel but the quality of the second vowel. This would account for ?álf-è: from ?álf-à: + H, but it would incorrectly predict 1Sg #?àddúh-é: (or #?àddúh-è: by Tonal Rhythm) instead of the correct ?àddúhá:-y-è.

The dominant lexically final-short-a type represented by 'marabout' reinforces the view that the PossSg form of the noun, as seen especially in the 3Sg and 2Sg possessor forms, is derived from the corresponding final/definite singular form by a tonal change. This issue, however, is moot for the small set of stems like 'midmorning' whose nonfinal and final/definite singular forms are identical.

4.2.1.4 Monosyllabic alienably possessed nouns (1Sg, 2Sg, and 3Sg)

Since alienably possessed forms are based on the final/definite singular form of the noun, those with monosyllabic nonfinal forms (CvC, Ci:, Cu:, etc.) but bisyllabic final/definite forms (CvC-o+H) can be disregarded here. I therefore focus on Cv and Cv: stems with nonhigh vowels that do not have bisyllabic CvC-o+H final/definite singulars. The known alienable stems of this type (§4.1.4.2) are presented in (151).

(151) Alienable Cv: stems (unpossessed)

	Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg	DefPl
a. Ca: stems			
'milk'	wà:	wà:+H	wá:∱-y-èy+H
'okra'	lâ:	lá:-y-ò+H	
'campground'	gà: ~ gá:	gà: + H ~ gá: + H	gá:-w-èy+H
			~ gá:-y-èy+H
'respect'	bà:	<i>bà:+H</i>	_
b. Ce: stem			
'borassus palm'	bè:	_	_

'Borassus palm' has no final/definite forms ($\S4.2.3.2$). Though the data are spotty, we can identify two phonological characteristics for the alienable Ca: stems in (151a). First, an epenthetic semivowel is inserted before the V-initial suffix, so there is no contraction of two vowels; see Intervocalic y/w-Insertion ($\S3.7.1.9$). Second, the lexical tones of the various stems are merged as H, resulting in a uniform H.L tone sequence in the overtly suffixed forms. For the L-toned stems ('milk', 'respect') this requires Presuffixal Cv: Tone-Raising ($\S3.9.3$).

These phonological features are also usually observed in the corresponding possessed forms. Indeed, informants produce possessed forms that are "based on" unelicitable final/definite singular forms. Even 'borassus palm' has elicitable possessed forms.

For 'milk' and 'borassus palm', the 3Sg possessor form can also be unsuffixed, with no inserted semivowel, hence the variants a^{\dagger} wa: and a^{\dagger} ba:. For 'milk' there is also a parallel 2Sg variant wa: f-na. Since the alienable nouns 'milk', 'okra', and 'borassus palm' are not frequently possessed, I suspect that their short 3Sg and 2Sg possessor variants reflect the analogical influence of inalienable ba: 'share, portion' and ma: 'name' (3Sg a^{\dagger} ba: 'his/her share' and a ma: 'his/her name', §4.1.4.2). However, this influence does not seem to have affected the 1Sg possessor forms (contrast alienable wa: f-y-a 'my milk' with a:a: 'hy share').

4.2.1.5 Other third person alienable possessors (3Pl, 3FullSg, 3FullPl)

The third person pronominal possessor morphemes, all proclitic, are added to the same tonal PossSg form illustrated in preceding sections with 3Sg proclitic à. (153) illustrates one noun with {H}-toned final/definite singular ('dog'), and another with {L}-toned final/definite singular ('grass'). The unpossessed final/definite singular forms provide the segmental shape of the PossSg, but the latter undergo tonal changes.

(153) Third person alienable possessor proclitics

category	proclitic	'dog'	'grass'
Fin/Def Sg	_	háns-ó + H	sùb-ò+H
3Sg 3Pl 3FullSg 3FullPl	à nòn + H ?áŋgâ ṅjêy	à háns-ò nòŋ háns-ò ?áŋgá ⁺ háns-ò ǹjéy ⁺ háns-ò	à† sùb-ô nòn ∱sú⁴b-ô ?áŋgá sùb-ô ùjéy sùb-ô

Final/definite singular $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}+H$ and $s\grave{u}b-\acute{o}+H$ are converted into the PossSg forms $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}$ and $s\grave{u}b-\acute{o}$ by allowing Tonal Rhythm (§3.9.4.1) to apply and by deleting the floating H. The 3Sg combination with \grave{a} is the most transparent phonologically. The proclitic is optionally upstepped (\grave{a}) before a L-toned syllable.

 \hat{o} , the theoretically correct output is # $p\hat{o}\eta / s\hat{u}^{\dagger}b - \hat{o}$ with a downstepped < $^{\dagger}HL$ > on the ultimate syllable, but this is not easily distinguished from $p\hat{o}\eta / s\hat{u}b - \hat{o}$.

3FullSg ?áŋgâ and **3FullPl** njêy end in <HL>-toned syllables. As proclitics, they are generally pronounced with final H-tone, since their final L-toned element readily delinks, to be realized as downstep on a following H-tone. In combinations that include audible downstep, e.g. ?áŋgá ¹háns-ò 'his/her (own) dog', the pitch drop is rather abrupt, making the distinction between the H and L tones on ¹háns-ò ' difficult to hear. However, in this case careful listening does reveal a tonal difference, especially when phrased with another following morpheme or word.

Although the 3Pl, 3FullSg, and 3FullPl proclitics induce tonal changes on the possessed noun, these changes are phonologically regular and do not point to any tonomorphological processes. All of these combinations use the same PossSg form seen more clearly in the 3Sg possessor combination.

4.2.1.6 First/second person plural possessors of singular alienable nouns

The 1Sg possessor form has been described in detail above. The **1Pl possessor form** is based on the 1Sg form. The data in (154) show surface tone sequences under the transcriptions, revealing the up-and-down rhythm due to the Tonal Rhythm rule, much more obvious in the 1Pl than in the 1Sg form

(154) First person singular and plural alienable possessor

	1Sg possessor	1Pl possessor	gloss
a.	sùb-ê L. <hl></hl>	sùb-éy-ndìy-â: L.H.L. <hl></hl>	'grass'
b.	<i>háns-è</i> H.L	háns-èy-ndíy-à: H.L.H.L	'dog'
c.	<i>?álf-è:</i> H.L	<i>?álf-èy-ndíy-à:</i> H.L.H.L	'marabout'

First, the 1Sg -e (or -è:) is extended as -ey-, while preserving the input tones. Since the noun is not plural, there is no reason to interpret this -ey- as the definite plural nominal suffix of the same segmental form. Rather, -ey- here must be taken as an allomorph of the 1Sg suffix, as in inalienably possessed nouns like ba:b-ey+H 'my father'. This is followed by a further suffix complex -ndiy-a:, which contains a 1Pl suffix -ndi (as in inalienably possessed ba:b-ey-ndi+H 'our father'), plus a functionally obscure ending that resembles final/definite suffix allomorph -a:+H. Tonal Rhythm takes care of the tones, and we are done with the derivation. Since 'grass' has a L-toned presuffixal syllable while 'dog' and 'marabout' have H-toned presuffixal syllables, all of the suffixal tones for 'grass' differ from those on the same suffixes in the other two.

The **2Pl possessor** form is likewise built on the 2Sg (155).

(155) Second person singular and plural alienable possessor

	2Sg possessor	2Pl possessor	gloss
a.	sùb-ó-nòŋ L.H.L	sùb-ó-ndòŋ L.H.L	'grass'
b.	háns-ò-nôŋ H.L. <hl></hl>	háns-ò-ndôŋ: H.L. <hl></hl>	'dog'
c.	?álf-à:-nôŋ H.L. <hl></hl>	?álf-à:-ndôŋ H.L. <hl></hl>	'marabout'

If we compare the 2Sg and 2Pl forms, it appears synchronically that the 2Pl inserts a d between the n and o of the $-n\hat{o}n$ suffix. In general, 1Pl $-ndiy-\hat{a}$: and 2Pl $-nd\hat{o}n$ are obscure synchronically. Etymologically, they are rather chewed-up contractions of sequences including proto-forms for the current independent pronouns 1Pl $y\hat{e}r\hat{i}$ and 2Pl $w\hat{a}r\hat{a}n$, respectively (with *r becoming d).

4.2.1.7 Alienably possessed plural nouns (2nd/3rd person possessor)

The data in preceding sections have all involved singular possessed nouns and therefore the PossSg form of the noun with suffix $-o \sim -\grave{a}$: I have shown that the PossSg in alienable possession is a tonal variant of the corresponding unpossessed final/definite singular stem, with suffix $-o + H \sim -\grave{a}$: +H.

In unpossessed nouns, singular $-o+H \sim -ai:+H$ is paired with definite plural -ey+H. The two suffixes are closely related, occurring after the same form of any given noun stem. Just as the PossSg is a tonal variant of the (unpossessed) final/definite singular, the **PossPl** with suffix -ey is a tonal variant of the (unpossessed) definite plural -ey+H. However, first person possessor combinations are not based on the PossPl noun. For these combinations, e.g. 'my dogs', see the following section (§4.2.1.8).

For third person possessor, representative forms are in (156). The possessed forms of singular 'dog' and 'grass' are repeated from preceding sections to bring out the parallels with possessed forms of plural 'dogs' and 'grasses'.

(156) Third person alienable possessor of singular and plural nouns

		'dog'	'dogs'	'grass'	'grasses'
I	Fin/Def	háns-ó+H	háns-éy+H	sùb-ò+H	sùb-èy+H
pos	sessor				
1 3	3Sg	à háns-ò	à háns-èy	à† sùb-ô	à† sùb-êy
3	3Pl	nòŋ háns-ò	nòŋ háns-èy	nòŋ ∱sú⁴b-ô	nòn ∱sú⁴b-êy
3	3FullSg	?áŋgá ⁴háns-ò	?áŋgá ⁺háns-èy	?áŋgá sùb-ô	Páŋgá sùb-êy
3	3FullPl	<i>ǹjéy ⁴háns-ò</i>	<i>ǹjéy ⁴háns-èy</i>	ǹjéy sùb-ô	njéy sùb-êy

The singular and plural possessed forms of the nouns have exactly the same tones, reflecting Tonal Rhythm.

For second person possessor, PossSg -o- is again replaced by PossPl -ey- (157). The second person possessor suffixes, 2Sg -noŋ and 2Pl -ndoŋ, have the same forms as with singular possessed nouns. The tones are also the same as for singular nouns, with the up-and-down rhythm due to Tonal Rhythm.

(157) Second person alienable possessor of singular and plural nouns

	'dog'	'dogs'	'grass'	'grasses'
FinDef	<i>háns-ó+H</i>	<i>háns-éy + H</i>	sùb-ò+H	sùb-èy+H
	H.H	H.H	L.L	L.L
possessor	háns-ò-nôŋ	háns-èy-nôŋ	sùb-ó-nòŋ	sùb-éy-nòŋ
2Sg	H.L. <hl></hl>	H.L. <hl></hl>	L.H.L	L.H.L
2P1	<i>háns-ò-ndôŋ</i>	<i>háns-èy-ndôŋ</i>	<i>sùb-ó-ndòŋ</i>	<i>sùb-éy-ndòŋ</i>
	H.L. <hl></hl>	H.L. <hl></hl>	L.H.L	L.H.L

4.2.1.8 Alienably possessed plural nouns (first person possessor)

The simple substitition of -ey- for -o- in the slot following the noun stem would not work smoothly for first person possessors of plural nouns. Recall that the basic 1Sg possessor morpheme is -e, and that it has an allomorph -ey- in (alienable) 1Pl possessor -ey-ndiy-a: and in inalienably possessed nouns like ba:b-ey+H 'my father'. This -ey- is homophonous with the nominal plural ending -ey(-) used in second and third person possessor forms of plural nouns. Therefore to base the alienably possessed form for plural nouns with first person possesor (e.g. 'my dogs') on a noun stem plus an inner suffix -ey- would be asking for trouble. To avoid this, HS has opportunistically appropriated another morphological devices to distinguish singular from plural possessed nouns for 1Sg possessor.

This combination ('my dogs') is in fact based on its singular counterpart ('my dog'), plus what is elsewhere the indefinite plural morpheme -non + H. That is, instead of 'dog-Pl-my' we get 'dog-my-Pl'. Since the 1Sg possessor ending is -e rather than -ey in this context, there is no danger of confusion with definite plural -ey. Indefinite plural -non + H is excluded from the domain of Tonal Rhythm. For example, háns-e-hon + H 'my dogs' consists of háns-e-hon + H 'my dog' (which is subject to the rhythmic rule) plus atonal -non + H, which (here as elsewhere) gets its tone by spreading from the preceding syllable rather than from a tonal dissimilation to that syllable.

We have seen that the combination of 1Pl possessor for singular noun has the form NOUN-1Sg-1Pl, as in $h\acute{a}ns-\grave{e}y-nd\acute{i}y-\grave{a}$: 'our dog'. To make the noun plural, the final -a: is changed to its plural counterpart -ey, hence $h\acute{a}ns-\grave{e}y-nd\acute{i}y-\grave{e}y$ 'our dogs'. This mimics, and is presumably modeled on, the opposition of final/definite singular - \grave{a} : +H and definite plural - $\grave{e}y$ +H for a-final unpossessed noun stems (§4.1.3.2). Tonal Rhythm applies to - $\grave{e}y$ - $nd\acute{i}y$ - $\grave{e}y$ as to - $\grave{e}y$ - $nd\acute{i}y$ - \grave{a} : Examples with both singular and plural nouns, possessed by 1Sg and 1Pl, are in (158).

(158) First person alienable possessor of singular and plural nouns

poss.	'dog'	'dogs'	'grass'	'grasses'
final/de	finite forms			
	háns-ó+H	háns-éy + H	$sùb$ - \grave{o} + H	sùb-èy+H
	Н.Н	Н.Н	L.L	L.L
1Sg	háns-è	háns-è-nòŋ + H	sùb-ê	sùb-é-nòŋ+H
	H.L	H.L.L	L. <hl></hl>	L.H.L
1Pl	háns-èy-ndíy-à:		sùb-éy-ndìy-â:	
		háns-èy-ndíy-èy		sùb-éy-ndìy-êy
	H.L.H.L	H.L.H.L	L.H.L. <hl></hl>	> L.H.L. <hl></hl>

4.2.2 Inalienable possession

Most partonyms (body-part terms) and kin terms have inalienable morphology, as do a few other relational nouns. Inalienable nouns are usually possessed, but (in spite of the label) they also have **unpossessed forms**, used in contexts like in 'I don't have a {nephew/foot}'. The form with suffix -o+H or -a:+H, which for alienable nouns functions as final/definite singular, functions primarily as the **3Sg possessor form** for inalienables. For example, forms that should mean 'the father' and 'the nose' actually mean 'his/her father' and 'his/her nose'. Other third person possessors (3Pl, 3FullSg, 3FullPl, nonpronominal NP) require a tonally distinct version of the same suffix, without the floating H. The 1st/2nd person possessor suffixes resemble, but in most cases are not identical to, those used for alienable possession.

Inalienably possessed nouns are not systematically subject to Tonal Rhythm. Most of the forms therefore lack the rhythmic up-and-down of alienables, and they do not prohibit fully {H}-toned or {L}-toned words. However, combinations involving plural inalienably possessed noun and 1Pl, 2Sg, or 2Pl possessor have "alienable" form and do obey Tonal Rhythm.

In §4.2.2.1 the inventory of inalienables is given. The presentation and analysis of the paradigms begins with §4.2.2.2.

4.2.2.1 Inventory of inalienable nouns

Uncompounded inalienable partonyms denoting physical **body parts** are in (159). The frequency of final e in the unpossessed form of bisyllabics is notable; one could consider segmenting it as a morpheme. Also interesting is the preponderance of $\{L\}$ -toned stems, except among stems with final long a:

(159) Inalienable partonyms

gloss	unpossessed	3Sg possessor	cognate		
a. bisyllabic with final <i>e</i>					
•	l in unpossessed form				
'ear'	hànê	hàp-ò+H	TSK $h \grave{a} y^n \hat{\varepsilon}$		
'belly'	gùndê	gùnd-ò+H	TSK gùndê		
-	unpossessed form	guna o i m	1511 gunde		
'hand'	kàmbè	kàmb-ò + H	TSK kàmbè		
'back'		bànd-ò+H	TSK bàndê		
'neck'	jìndè	jìnd-ò+H	TSK gìndê		
'shoulder'	3	jès-ò+H	TSK gèsè		
'heart'	bìnè	bìn-ò+H	TSK bìnê		
'knee'	kànjè	kàŋg-ò+H	TSK kàngè		
'nose'	nì:nè	nì:n-ò+H	TSK nì:nê		
			- 2		
b. bi- or trisyll	abic with other vowel				
-	owel, {H}-toned unpos.	sessed form			
'hair'	hámbúrí ~ hámbúr-ú		TSK hámbírí		
final short vowel, {HL}-toned unpossessed form					
'liver'	tásâ ~ tásê	tás-ó+H	Zarma <i>tásà</i>		
final short vowel, $\{L\}$ -toned unpossessed form					
'thigh'	màkà ~ màk-ù		TSK mákà		
'bone'	bìrì	bìr-ò+H	TSK bìrí		
final long á:, see (165d) in §4.2.2.2, {H}-toned unpossessed form					
'hip'	?áŋkórá:	à ?áŋkórá:	TSK háŋkórú		
ʻflank'	•	à cérá:	TSK kérè		

c. bi- or trisyllabic with nonfinal -u, occasional Fin/Def Sg -o+H bisyllabic, {L}-toned unpossessed form

```
'horn'
                   hìl-\dot{u}. -\dot{o}+H
                                               hìl-\dot{o}+H
                                                                       TSK hìlí
    'lung'
                   k umb-u, -o+H
                                               k umb-o+H
                                                                       TSK kùmpú
                                                                       TSK béndè
    'penis'
                   bènd-ù, -\dot{o} + H
                                               b \dot{e} n d - \dot{o} + H
    'testicles'
                   m\grave{a}:m-\grave{u}, -\grave{o}+H
                                               m\grave{a}:m-\grave{o}+H
                                                                       TSK mà:mè
    'vagina'
                   bù t - \dot{u}, - \dot{o} + H
                                               bùt-\dot{o}+H
                                                                       TSK bùtè
    'skin'
                   k u r - u \sim k u r, - o + H
                                               k u r - \delta + H
                                                                       TSK kùrù
  trisyllabic, {H}-toned unpossessed form
    'nape'
                   k \acute{o} \eta - k \acute{o} n d - \acute{u}, - \grave{o} + H
                                               kóŋ-kónd-ó+H
                                                                       TSK köppè
  trisyllabic, {LHL}-toned unpossessed form
    'jaw'
                   g\grave{a}-g\acute{a}b-\hat{u}, -\grave{o}+H
                                               gà-gáb-ò+H
  trisyllabic, {L}-toned unpossessed form
    'tail'
                   ?ànzùf-ù, -ò + H
                                               ?ànzùf-ò + H
d. C-final
  {L}-toned unpossessed form
    'head'
                                               b\dot{u}w^n-\dot{o}+H
                                                                       TSK bòn
                   bòη
                                               \sim b \dot{u} \eta - \dot{o} + H
  {LHL}-toned unpossessed form
    'buttock'
                   zèkêr
                                               zèkér-ò+H
e. Cv
  {HL}-toned unpossessed form
    'mouth'
                   тê
                                               miy^n-\acute{o} + H \sim min-\acute{o} + H
                                                                       TSK míy<sup>n</sup>è
f. Cv: with mid-height vowel (for the alternations see §3.7.1.5)
  {L}-toned unpossessed form
    'foot'
                   cè:
                                               civ-\dot{o}+H
                                                                       TSK kê:
    'tooth'
                   hè:n
                                               hiy^n-\partial + H \sim hip-\partial + H
                                                                        TSK hìy<sup>n</sup>è
                                               m\grave{u}w^n-\grave{o}+H\sim m\grave{u}\eta-\grave{o}+H
    'eye'
                   mò:
                                                                       TSK mà:
g. final long á:, {H}-toned unpossessed form
    'body'
                                               à gá: (§4.2.2.4)
                                                                       TSK gá:
```

Some of these nouns can shift between alienable and inalienable, with full paradigms of each type (including distinct 1st/2nd person possessor forms), depending on nuances of meaning (think of the senses of 'my horn'). Other nouns, notably those with final a:, have defective inalienable paradigms, whereby 1st/2nd person possessors are expressed with inalienable morphology, while third person possessors are expressed by morphologically alienable forms. This suggests that HS speakers have had difficulty adding -o+H to such stems, probably because the long final a: already looks like a 3Sg possessor suffix.

 $b \grave{o} y - \grave{o} + H$ '(finger-/toe-)nail' is recorded only with alienable morphology: $b \grave{o} y - \hat{e}$ 'my nail'.

Inalienable **kin/relationship terms** are in (160). Even more than with partonyms, the frequency of final e in unpossessed bisyllabic stems is striking. There are, however, no strong preferences for particular tone melodies, except that stem-wide $\{H\}$ is not represented.

(160) Inalienable kin and relationship terms

```
gloss
                        unpossessed 3Sg possessor cognate
a. bisyllabic with final e
  three kin terms that have 3PossSg -u (bà:b-ù, bà:s-ù, kà:g-ù)
    'father'
                        bà:bè
                                       ba:b-ò+H
                                                         TSK bèbè
    '(cross-)cousin'
                                       ba:s-o+H
                                                         TSK bà:sèv
                        bà:sè
    'grandparent'
                                                         TSK kà:
                        kà:jè
                                       k\grave{a}:g-\grave{o}+H
  senior/junior sibling terms, cf. bè:rì 'big', cèynà 'a little while'
                        bé:rê
                                                         TSK bé:rè
    'elder sibling'
                                       b\acute{e}:r-\acute{o}+H
    'junior sibling'
                        céynê
                                       céyn-ó+H
                                                         TSK káynè
  sibling terms with 'man'/'woman' plus -mè
    'woman's brother' hàr-mè
                                       har-m-o+H
                                                         TSK hàrmè
    'man's sister'
                        wòy-mè
                                       w \grave{o} y - m - \grave{o} + H
                                                         TSK wàymè
  \{HL\}/\{L\} tone class (§4.1.2.3)
    'friend'
                                                         TSK kèré
                        cèrê
                                       c e r - o + H
  other
    'maternal uncle'
                                       hás-ó+H
                        hásê
                                                         TSK hásêy
    'paternal aunt'
                        hàwê
                                       haw-o+H
                                                         TSK hàwî
    'husband'
                        kúrnê
                                       kúrn-ó+H
                                                         TSK kúppè
    'wife'
                                       \eta and - \partial + H
                                                         TSK wàndé
                        nàndè
                        ~ wàndè
                                       \sim wànd-\partial + H
b. trisyllabic with final e
    'affine'
                        ?ánzúrê
                                       ?ánzúr-ó + H
                                                         TSK hàngírêy
c. Cv
    'mother'
                                       n\acute{o}: +H \sim n\acute{o}w-\acute{o}+H
                       лâ
      [different forms as cpd final, §4.8.5]
                                                         TSK v<sup>n</sup>â:
```

For the defective noun $m\hat{o}y$ 'namesake, person with the same name', see §4.2.3.1.

?izê 'child' (kin and non-kin senses, irregular tones and vowel-length), túbê 'nephew, niece', and há:mê 'grandchild' are recorded only with alienable possessors, except that ?izê is usually treated as inalienable with third person possessor (?iz-ó:+H 'his/her child'). Interestingly, these three kin categories are all in descending generations. 1Sg possessor forms are ?iz-è: 'my child', túb-è 'my nephew/niece', and há:m-è 'my grandchild'.

Among non-kin relationship terms, only $c\dot{e}r\dot{e}$ 'friend' is inalienable (160a). A near-synonym $b\dot{a}:-k-\dot{o}+H$ 'friend' is alienable ($b\dot{a}:-k-\dot{e}$ 'my friend'). So is the antonym $2ib\dot{e}r\dot{e}$ 'enemy' ($2ib\dot{e}r-\dot{e}$ 'my'). So are the various compounds ending in $-s\dot{n}\dot{e}$

(*-kasine) denoting various relationships: hàr-sìnè '(man's) rival in love' (hàr-sìn-ê 'my'), wòy-sìnè '(woman's) co-wife', hàn-sìnè 'comrade, colleague' (< hàngà 'follow, hang out with'). The nonfinal form of -sìnè is -sîn.

A few other nouns are, or can be, inalienable (161).

(161) Other inalienables

gloss	unpossessed	3Sg possessor	cognate
a. nonphysical "par	tonyms"		
long a:			
'name'	mâ:	à mâ:	TSK mã:
'share, portion'	bà:	<i>à bà:+H</i>	TSK bà:
other			
'shadow, shade'	bì:	bìy-ò+H	TSK bì:
'soul'	hùndê	hùnd-ò+H	TSK hùndî
'mind'	lákkâl	lákkál-ò+H	(< Arabic)
b. places and constr	ructions		
'(one's) home'	hû	à hû	TSK hû:
'(one's) village'	kòyrà+H	à kòyrâ	TSK <i>kóyré</i>

'Shadow, shade' can be alienable or inalienable, as the double gloss suggests. 'Home' is a special inalienable use of the otherwise alienable noun $h\acute{u}w-\acute{o}+H$ 'house'. $k\grave{o}yr\grave{a}+H$ 'village' can likewise be alienable (suggesting ownership) or inalienable (implying residence).

An instructive case is the alienable noun 'place' with nonfinal $d\hat{e}y \sim d\hat{o}y$, final/definite singular $d\hat{u}w$ - \hat{o} +H 'place'. The corresponding inalienable form is $d\hat{o}$, which often functions as a postposition 'in the presence of, chez' (§5.9.6). Postpositional paradigms are of the inalienable type for 1st/2nd persons (see the following section). Compare alienable $d\hat{u}w$ - \hat{e} 'my place' with postposition $d\hat{u}w$ - $\hat{e}y$ 'in my presence, chez moi'.

4.2.2.2 First and second person inalienable possessor

1st/2nd person inalienable possessor suffixes are morphologically identical to endings found with pronominally inflected **postpositions** (§5.9). Underlying L-tones can be posited for several of the pronominal morphemes, though they are subject to modification by regular tonal rules. The forms in (162) that are preceded by the symbol Ω (not an exotic click!) are **identical to corresponding alienable** possessor forms, and get their tones by Tonal Rhythm. Plural -non + H is atonal but always follows a L-tone in possessed forms and therefore appears as -non + H in these paradigms.

(162) First/second person inalienable possessors

possessor	suffix (Sg noun)	suffix (Pl noun)
a. First person		
1Sg	-èy+H	-èy-nòŋ + H
1P1	-èy-ndì +H	Ω -ey-ndiy-ey
b. Second person	n	
2Sg	-àŋ + H	Ω -ey-noŋ
2P1	-àn-dòŋ + H	Ω -ey-ndoŋ
	(< /-àŋ-ndòŋ + H/)	

In earlier versions of this grammar, and in Heath (1911), I did not recognize the floating H after the specifically inalienable 1st/2nd person suffixes in (162). 1Sg -èy and 2Sg -àŋ themselves can be realized with H (rarely), L, or <HL> tone reflecting tonal interactions with the preceding stem. I have heard floating-H effects on following words when these suffixes are H- or L-toned but not when they are <HL>toned. Recently elicited examples follow. Future $n \grave{a} m + H$ has its tone raised due to a preceding floating tone in (163a-b) after L-toned possessor suffix, as does XImpf $b \grave{o} :$ in (163c) after H-toned possessor suffix (rare for 1Sg/2Sg inalienable possessor). However, these morphemes remain L-toned in (163d-e) after a <HL>-toned possessor suffix whose L-tone is delinked. For the unusual tones of $g \grave{a} n d - g m$

- - c. gá:-y †bô: zánéy /gá:-y+H bò:+H zánéy/ body-1SgP XImpf.Infin hurt 'My body hurts.'
 - d. ŋànd-áŋ nàm ʃnáŋ 'kárú/
 /ŋànd-âŋ nàm+H nàŋ kárú/
 wife-1SgP Fut 2SgO hit
 'My wife will hit you-Sg.'

e. gùnd-éy bò: zánéy /gùnd-êy bò: + H zánéy/ belly-1SgP XImpf.Infin hurt 'My belly hurts.'

For further discussion of floating H see §3.9.1.5.

All of the first person forms begin with $-\dot{e}y + H$, which resembles its etymological source, the 1Sg pronoun $2\hat{a}y$. The 1Pl adds $-nd\hat{i}$ to this, as in the alienable paradigm.

When the possessed noun is plural, 1Sg -èy- simply adds indefinite plural -non + H, which is structurally parallel to the corresponding alienable form -e-non + H. 1Pl -èy-ndi + H adds what looks like the definite plural -ey + H (minus the latter's floating H) to produce -ey-ndiy-ey. The latter is identical to the corresponding alienable form, which however is more clearly delineated structurally by its opposition to -ey-ndiy-a: for singular possessed noun.

The second person forms for singular nouns have a similar structure, with $-\grave{a}\eta + H$ as the foundational morpheme. For 2Pl, $-\grave{do}\eta$ is added. The corresponding forms for plural possessed nouns begin with -ey- (compare definite plural -ey + H) directly to the noun, followed by 2Sg and 2Pl endings. These plural forms are shared with the alienable paradigm.

Since all 1st/2nd person inalienable suffixes (and suffix complexes) begin with vowels, Prevocalic V-Deletion applies in the usual case where the stem ends in a vowel. In most cases, the stem-final vowel (even long a:) is deleted, so the surface forms begin with $-\dot{e}y$ or $-\dot{a}\eta$ following the final consonant of the stem (164b-c). However, monosyllabic Ca: nouns preserve their vowel, so the vowel of $-\dot{e}y$ or $-\dot{a}\eta$ is deleted; this is clearest in the case of $-\dot{e}y$ (164d); see VV-Fusion within words (§3.7.1.6). The only short-voweled Cv stem eligible for contraction is $n\hat{a}$ 'mother'. It combines with $-\dot{e}y$ and $-\dot{a}\eta$ to form a long a: (164d). There are no other Cv: stems (e.g. no Ce: or Cu: stems) that contract with suffixal vowels, so we cannot flesh out the full set of outputs for vowel-sequence contraction involving these suffixes.

(164) Vocalic contraction patterns with 1Sg and 2Sg inalienable suffixes

gloss unpossessed 1Sg 2Sg a. C-final noun, no contraction 'mind' lákkâl lákkál-ey+H lákkál-an+Hb. nonmonosyllabic, final short V undergoes Prevocalic V-Deletion 'father' bà:bè ba:b-ey+Hba:b-an+H'bone' *bìrì* (nonfinal) $bir-\dot{e}y+H$ $bìr-a\eta + H$ c. nonmonosyllabic, final a: undergoes Prevocalic V-Deletion 'hip' ?áŋkórá: ?áŋkór-êy ?áŋkór-âŋ d. Ca:, suffixal vowel undergoes Postvocalic V-Deletion

má:-ỳ

má:-'n

'name'

mâ:

'body' $g\acute{a}$: $g\acute{a}$:- \acute{y} +H $g\acute{a}$:- $\acute{\eta}$ +H 'share' $b\grave{a}$:- \mathring{y} +H $b\grave{a}$:- \mathring{y} +H

e. Ca, contracts with suffixal vowel as long a: by VV-Fusion 'mother' $p\hat{a}$ $p\hat{a}:-\hat{\gamma}$ $p\hat{a}:-\hat{\gamma}$

Tonal patterns show that the 1st/2nd person inalienable possessor forms are **derived directly from the unpossessed stem**, rather than from any third person inalienable possessor form. Consider the partial paradigms in (165). "3PossSg" is the form of the singular inalienable noun when the possessor is 3Pl, 3FullSg, 3FullPl, or a nonpronominal NP.

(165) Tones of singular inalienable possessors of singular nouns

gloss unposs. 3PossSg 3Sg 1Sg 2Sg a. unpossessed has ...H.<HL> 'uncle' hásê hás-ò hás-ó+H hás-êy hás-âŋ 'liver' tásâ tás-ò tás-ó+H tás-êy tás-âŋ H.<HL>H.L H.H H.<HL>H.<HL> b. unpossessed has ...L.<HL> 'soul' hùndê hùnd-ô $h \dot{u} n d - \dot{o} + H$ $h \dot{u} n d - \hat{e} y$ hùnd-ân 'aunt' hàwê $h\grave{a}w-\grave{o}+H$ hàwâ hàw-êy hàw-âŋ L.<HL>L.<HL> L.L L.<HL> L.<HL> c. unpossessed has ...L.L (for irregular stems see §4.2.2.3 below) i. productive pattern 'nose' nì:nè nì:n-ò+H $ni:n-\dot{e}y+H$ $ni:n-\dot{a}\eta+H$ nì:n-ô L.L L.<HL> L.L L.L L.L ii. 3 kin terms with 3PossSg -u 'cousin' bà:sè bà:s-ù $b\grave{a}$:s- \grave{o} + Hba:s-ev+H ba:s-an+HLL LL LL LL L.L d. unpossessed (or nonfinal) is {H}-toned (all known examples) unpossessed with nonfinal -ú 'hair' hámbúr-ú (NF) hámbúr-ó + H hámbúr-âŋ hámbúr-ò hámbúr-êy nonmonosyllabic with final long a: 'hip' Pánkórá: Pánkórà: à Pánkórá: Páŋkór-êy ?áŋkór-âŋ 'flank' cérá: à cérá: cér-êy cérà: cér-âŋ ...Н.Н ...H.<HL> ...H.<HL> ...H.L ...Н.Н e. Ca: gá: 'body' gá: à gá: gá:-y+H gá:-ŋ+H

'name'

'share'

mâ:

bà:

mâ:

bà:

à mâ:

à† bà:

mâ:-y

ba:-y+H

mâ:-η

 $ba:-\eta+H$

There is no systematic correlation between the tones of 1Sg/2Sg and those of 3Sg inalienable possessors. They differ tonally in (165a,b,d), converging only in (165c,e). There is likewise only an imperfect correlation of tones of 1Sg/2Sg with those of the 3PossSg form, which are subject to Tonal Rhythm, except for the -ù 3PossSg forms in (165c.ii) and the monosyllabics in (165e). So there is no evidence that 1Sg/2Sg are directly based on any third person possessor form.

The tones of the 1Sg and 2Sg forms can be correctly derived by attributing a **final L-tone** to the suffix, and adding this suffix directly to the unpossessed form (for 'hair', to the nonfinal form). The L-tone is erased after monosyllabic H-toned *Cá*: (the only example being *gá*: 'body'). The suffixal L-tone is audible after an otherwise {H}-toned nonmonosyllabic stem, and in a few former *L.H stems (see the following section). The suffixal L-tone is not audible after stems already ending in a L-tone, i.e. {L}, {HL}, and {LHL}. There are only a handful of nonmonosyllabic {H}-toned inalienable nouns; all are shown in (165d).

We can therefore specify the simple suffixes tonally as $1 \text{Sg } - \grave{e}y + H$ and $2 \text{Sg } - \grave{a}\eta + H$. When contracted with a H- or <HL>-toned stem-final vowel, they surface as $- \hat{e}y$ and $- \hat{a}\eta$ (without floating H). The L-tone is dropped after the only monosyllabic H-toned inalienable stem, $g\acute{a}$: 'body' ($g\acute{a}$:- $\acute{\gamma}$ +H, $g\acute{a}$:- $\acute{\eta}$ +H).

 $1Pl -nd\hat{i} + H$ and $2Pl -nd\hat{o}\eta + H$ are also L-toned, but when added to a plural possessed noun their tones are overridden by Tonal Rhythm. Further examples involving $1Pl -nd\hat{i}$ are in (166). Note especially that the forms for plural nouns ('our fathers/aunts/uncles/hairs') show rhythmical tone alternations that are not found with the singular nouns.

```
(166)
           gloss
                          1Pl inalienable possessor ('our ...')
        a. {L}-toned bà:bè 'father'
           'our father'
                          ba:b-ey-ndi+H
                                                  (cf. bà:b-èy 'my father')
           'our fathers'
                          bà:b-éy-ndìy-êy
        b. {LHL}-toned hàwê '(paternal) aunt'
           'our aunt'
                          hàw-éy-ndì + H
                                                  (cf. hàw-êy 'my aunt')
           'our aunts'
                          hàw-éy-ndìy-êy
        c. {HL}-toned hásê '(maternal) uncle'
           'our uncle'
                          hás-éy-ndì + H
                                                  (cf. hás-êy 'my uncle')
           'out uncles'
                          hás-èy-ndí-y-èy
        d. {H}-toned hámbúr-ú (nonfinal form) 'hair'
           'our hair'
                          hámbúr-éy-ndì + H
                                                  (cf. hámbúr-êy 'my hair')
           'our hairs'
                          hámbúr-èy-ndí-y-èy
```

Further examples with 2Pl -ndòŋ after singular or plural noun, and with 2Sg -nòŋ after plural noun, are in (167). Tonal Rhythm applies to the plural noun forms with either 2Sg or 2Pl possessor.

```
(167)
           gloss
                               2Pl inalienable possessor ('your-Pl ...')
       a. {L}-toned bà:bè 'father'
           'your-Pl father'
                               ba:b-an-don+H
                                                    (bà:b-àŋ 'your-Sg father')
         subject to Tonal Rhythm
           'your-Sg fathers'
                               bà:b-éy-nòn
                               bà:b-éy-ndòn
           'your-Pl fathers'
        b. {LHL}-toned hàwê '(paternal) aunt'
           'your-Pl aunt'
                               hàw-án-dòŋ + H
                                                    (hàw-âŋ 'your-Sg aunt')
         subject to Tonal Rhythm
           'your-Sg aunts'
                               hàw-éy-nòn
           'your-Pl aunts'
                               hàw-éy-ndòŋ
        c. {HL}-toned hásê '(maternal) uncle'
           'your-Pl uncle'
                               hás-án-dòn + H
                                                    (hás-âŋ 'your-Sg uncle')
         subject to Tonal Rhythm
           'your-Sg uncles'
                               hás-èy-nôŋ
           'your-Pl uncles'
                               hás-èy-ndôŋ
        d. {H}-toned hámbúr-ú (nonfinal form) 'hair'
           'your-Pl hair'
                               hámbúr-án-dòn + H (hámbúr-ân 'your-Sg hair')
         subject to Tonal Rhythm
           'your-Sg hairs'
                               hámbúr-èy-nôŋ
           'your-Pl hairs'
                               hámbúr-èy-ndôŋ
```

Examples showing the effects of the floating H after $-nd\hat{\imath}$ and $-nd\hat{o}\eta$ are (168a-b). The verb is $f\hat{a}:b\hat{a}$ 'help'. For the reflexive forms with 'head' see §10.2.1.

```
(168) a. y \circ = \mathfrak{h} bù\mathfrak{p}-èy-ndì \uparrow fá:bà 1PIS=Tr head-1SgP-1PIP help 'We helped ourselves.'
```

b. $w \acute{o} = \acute{\eta}$ $b \grave{u} w^n - \grave{a} n - d \grave{o} \eta$ $\uparrow f \acute{a} : b \grave{a}$ 1 PIS = Tr head -2 SgP - 2 PIP help 'You helped yourselves.'

4.2.2.3 1st/2nd person inalienable possessor with original *L.H stem

There are four known bisyllabic inalienable nouns whose unpossessed forms have a flat {L} melody, but that differ from ordinary {L}-toned stems in showing an extra H-toned element in the second syllable of the 1Sg and 2Sg possessor forms (and others derived from them), which therefore end in L.<HL> sequence instead of L.L. The stems in question, shown in (169a), are inalienables with reconstructible *L.H tone pattern. The regular {LHL}-toned 'belly' and 'friend' (169b) have the same

tones in possessed forms but not in the unpossessed form. The regular $\{L\}$ -toned 'nose' (169c) has the same tones in the unpossessed form and in the 3Sg possessor form, but not in the 1Sg and 2Sg possessor forms.

(169)	gloss	unpossessed	1Sg	2Sg	3Sg
	a. {L} unposs	essed but aberr	ant L. <hl></hl>	oossessed for	ms
	'wife'	ŋàndè	ŋànd-êy	ŋànd-âŋ	ŋànd-ò+H
		[also wàndè,	etc.]		
	'horn'	hìl-ò+H	hìl-êy	hìl-âŋ	hìl-ò+H
		[nonfinal hìlà	à ~ hìlì ~ hìl-ù	i]	
	'bone'	bìr-ò+H	bìr-êy	bìr-âŋ	bìr-ò+H
	'lung'	kùmb-ò+H	kùmb-êy	kùmb-âŋ	kùmb-ò+H
	b. regular {LI	HL} stem			
	'belly'	gùndê	gùnd-êy	gùnd-âŋ	gùnd-ò+H
	'friend'	cèrê	cèr-êy	cèr-âŋ	cèr-ò+H
	c. regular {L}	stem			
	'nose'		$nì:n-\grave{e}y+H$	nì:n-àŋ+H	nì:n-ò+H

The four tonally irregular stems in (169a) reflect proto-forms with rising {LH} melody: *ŋwàndé 'wife' (TSK wnàndé, Zarma wàndé), *hìl(l)í 'horn' (TSK hìlí, Zarma hìllí), *bìrí 'bone' (TSK bìrí), and *kùmbú or *kùmpú 'lung(s)' (TSK kùmpú, riverine Dendi kùfú).

Following these stems, suffix complexes that add a further morpheme to the 1Sg or 2Sg possessor morpheme, and that are not subject to Tonal Rhythm, have tones that are unproblematically derived from those of the 1Sg and 2Sg, including the extra second-syllable H-tone. $\eta and-ey-n oy+H$ ($\sim wand-ey-n oy+H$) 'my wives', i.e. indefinite plural -noy+H added to $\eta and-ey-n oy+H$ is unproblematic phonologically (and, in a Muslim country, socially). More dubious socially, but marginally elicitable, are $\eta and-ey-n oy+H$ 'our wife' and $\eta and-ey-n oy+H$ wife'. The forms subject to Tonal Rhythm override the preexisting suffixal tones, so as we would expect there is no audible trace of the extra H-tone in 'wife', e.g. $\eta and-ey-n oy+H$ 'your-Sg wives', with the tones determined by the L-tone of the initial stem syllable.

4.2.2.4 Inalienable stems with unsuffixed 3Sg-possessor forms

Certain inalienable nouns do not have the usual inalienable 3Sg form in -o+H or -a:+H. These nouns have unmistakably inalienable 1st/2nd person suffixes, e.g. 1Sg -ey. However, the 3Sg possessor form is 3Sg \grave{a} plus unsuffixed stem. This resembles the 3Sg alienable possessor type, but there is no -o+H suffix.

(170)	gloss	unpossessed	1Sg	3Sg
	a. stem ending in lo	ong a:		
	'name'	mô:	môt tr	à mâ:
		mâ:	mâ:-y	a IIIa.
	'body'	gá:	gá:-y+H	à gá:+H
	'share, portion'	bà:	<i>bà:-y+H</i>	à† bà:
	nonmonosyllabic		•	
	'rib(s)'	cérá:	cér-êy	à cérá:+H
	'hip'	?áŋkórá:	?áŋkór-èy	à ?áŋkórá:+H
	b. other			
	'home'	hû	húw-éy	à hû
	[co	ntrast alienable	Fin/Def Sg húw-	ó+H 'house']
	'village'	kòyrà + H	kòyr-èy+H	à† kòyrâ

This is the only attested treatment for inalienable stems with final long a: (170a). By contrast, alienable stems ending in long a: have suffixed possessed forms with epenthetic semivowel, e.g. à wá:†-yò 'his/her milk' from wà: (§4.2.1.4), à† ?àddúhá:-y-ò 'his/her mid-morning' from ?àddúhá: (§4.2.1.3).

dà: 'character, nature (of sb)' is found in a few expressions but an informant had difficulty producing overtly possessed forms.

The pattern in (170), whereby 1st/2nd person morphemes are suffixes while third person morphemes (including 3Sg) are proclitics, is exactly the same as with postpositions (§5.9).

4.2.2.5 3PossSg (general third person inalienable possessor), singular noun

It is necessary to distinguish a generalized form for third person inalienable possessor, which I label **3PossSg**, from the specifically 3Sg possessor form. 3PossSg is the form found after 3Pl, 3FullSg, and 3FullPl pronominal possessors, and after nonpronominal NP possessors, subject only to normal phonological sandhi. The more divergent 3Sg possessor form is discussed in the following section; one can argue that it is based on the more general 3PossSg form with some morphophonological twists. 3PossSg and its plural counterpart 3PossPl (§4.2.2.6 below) are specifically inalienable, whereas "PossSg" and "PossPl" are labels used in alienable morphology for forms of the possessed noun that are not limited to third person possessors.

A good sample of 3PossSg forms is given in (171), along with the corresponding unpossessed forms. A key initial observation is that all nonmonosyllabic 3PossSg forms are subject to **Tonal Rhythm** (§3.9.4.1). In particular, the suffixal syllable is L-toned if the preceding syllable is H-toned, and <HL>-toned if the preceding syllable is L-toned. The productive types have an -o morpheme (171d-e).

(171)3PossSg (third person inalienable possessor)

3PossSg gloss unpossessed a. final short \hat{a} (both known examples) kin terms 'aunt' hàwê hàwâ 'mother' лâ лâ b. final long a: partonyms 'hip' ?áŋkórá: ?áŋkórà: 'flank' cérà: cérá: 'body' gá: gá: others 'name' mâ: mâ: 'share' bà: bà:

c. 3PossSg with final $-\dot{u}$ (all three known examples)

kin terms

'father' bà:bè bà:b-ù 'cousin' bà:sè bà:s-ù kà:jè 'grandparent' kà:g-ù

d. 3PossSg with final -ò after H-tone in stem (partial list)

kin terms

'affine' ?ánzúrê ?ánzúr-ò bé:r-ò 'elder sib' bé:rê 'uncle' hásê hás-ò 'husband' kúrnê kúrn-ò partonyms (nonmonosyllabic) kóŋ-kónd-ú (NF) 'nape' kóŋ-kónd-ò 'liver' tásâ tás-ò

'jaw' gà-gáb-û (NF) gà-gáb-ò 'hair' hámbúr-ú (NF) hámbúr-ò partonyms (monosyllabic unpossessed form)

'mouth' miy^n - $\grave{o} \sim min$ - \grave{o}

e. 3PossSg with final -ô after L-tone in stem (partial list)

kin terms

'brother' hàr-mè hàr-m-ô 'sister' wòy-mè wòy-m-ô 'wife' ηàndè wànd-ô [also wàndè, etc.]

partonyms (with nonmonosyllabic unpossessed form)

'ear' hànê hàn-ô 'belly' gùndê gùnd-ô

'tongue'	dè:nè	dè:n-ô
'hand'	kàmbè	kàmb-ô
partonyms (w	ith monosyllabic u	inpossesed form)
'shadow'	bì:	bìy-ô
'tooth'	hè:n	hiy^n - $\hat{o} \sim hin$ - \hat{o}
'foot'	cè:	cìy-ô
'eye'	mò:	$m\grave{u}w^n$ - $\hat{o}\sim m\grave{u}\eta$ - \hat{o}
other		
'soul'	hùndê	hùnd-ô

For the majority type (171d-e), the 3PossSg is formed by adding -o and allowing Tonal Rhythm to determine its tone, which therefore appears as $-\hat{o}$ after H-tone and $-\hat{o}$ after L-tone. Nouns with monosyllabic unpossessed (and nonfinal) forms, except $p\hat{a}$ 'mother', have their usual prevocalic CvC- shapes ('mouth', 'shadow', 'tooth', 'foot', 'eye') before -o. Importantly, there is **no floating H-tone** after the 3PossSg form.

A few inalienable nouns do not show the -o morpheme, and have an unsuffixed 3PossSg form. Two kin terms with unpossessed forms ending in short a are included in this set (171a). '(Paternal) aunt' also has unpossessed form hàwê, reflecting the predominance of stem-final e in bisyllabic inalienables, and perhaps the specific influence of the quasi-antonym hásê '(maternal) uncle'. The 3PossSg form is always hàwâ

Also lacking suffix -o are the complete set of nonmonosyllabic inalienables ending in long a: (171b). The nonmonosyllabic stems ('hip', 'flank') in (171b) simply apply Tonal Rhythm directly to the unpossessed stem.

The third set of inalienable stems that avoid suffix -o are the three kin terms that instead have a suffix -u confined to the 3PossSg, versus final e in the unpossessed stem. Comparison with KS (e.g. X baab-oo 'X's father') shows that this -u is etymologically identical to 3PossSg -o, but synchronically there is no clear connection in HS.

4.2.2.6 General third person inalienable possessor, plural noun (3PossPl)

When a plural inalienable noun is possessed by a third person (e.g. 'his/her ears', 'their ears'), it is expressed by adding a **3PossPl** suffix which is segmentally identical to the **definite plural** suffix (-ey + H) but tonally distinct. Depending on the tone of the presuffixal syllable, Tonal Rhythm combines with 3PossPl -ey to produce -ey or -ey, and there is no following floating H.

For the three Ca: inalienables ('body', 'name', 'share'), this suffix is separated from the stem by an epenthetic semivowel attributable to Intervocalic y/w-Insertion (§3.7.1.9), as illustrated in (172). The stem tone is raised to H if not already H-toned in the 3PossPl, see Presuffixal Cv: Tone-Raising (§3.9.3). Therefore, after Tonal Rhythm, the 3PossPl form always has tone pattern H.L for these stems.

```
(172)
       gloss(X) stem
                          'his/her Xs'
                                            'their Xs' (with 3FullPl)
       'body'
                          à gá:-y-éy+H
                                            njéy ¹gá:-y-èy
                 gá:
        'name'
                 mâ:
                          à má:-y-èy+H
                                            njéy ⁴má:-y-èy
        'share'
                          à bá:1-y-èy+H
                                           niév ¹bá:1-y-èy
                 bà:
```

For all other regular inalienable noun stems, i.e. those whose suffixed forms are at least bisyllabic, the plural of the inalienably possessed noun is expressed by replacing the final -o, -a:, or (for three kin terms) -u in the corresponding possessed singular by -ey. Tonal Rhythm applies regularly, and there is no floating H. Some examples are in (173).

```
(173)
                                    'his/her Xs'
                                                     'their Xs' (with 3FullPl)
            gloss(X)
                          stem
        a. stems with 3PossSg -o
            'belly'
                          gùndê
                                                     njév gùnd-êv
                                    gùnd-ey+H
            'hand'
                          kàmbè
                                    k amb - ey + H
                                                     njéy kàmb-êy
            'mother'
                                    náw-éy+H
                                                     njéy ¹náw-èy
                         рâ
                                    ~ nów-éy+H
                                                     ~ njéy ¹nów-èy
            'uncle'
                          hásê
                                    hás-éy+H
                                                     njéy ⁴hás-èy
            'wife'
                                    \etaànd-èy + H
                                                     njéy nànd-êy
                          nàndè
                                    b\dot{u}w^n-\dot{e}v+H
            'head'
                          bòŋ
                                                     njéy bùw<sup>n</sup>-êy
                                                     ~ niéy bùn<sup>n</sup>-êy
                                    \sim b u n - e y + H
        b. final long a:
            'hip'
                          ?ánkórá: ?ánkór-éy + H njéy ¹?ánkór-èy
        c. kin terms with singular 3PossSg -ù
                          bà:bè
                                    b\grave{a}:b-\grave{e}y+H
            'father'
                                                     njéy bà:b-êy
            'cousin'
                          bà:sè
                                    ba:s-ey+H
                                                     njéy bà:s-êy
```

Sample paradigms with 3Pl, 3FullSg, and nonpronominal possessors are in (174). The 3Full proclitic pronouns are $2\acute{a}ng\^{a}$ (3FullSg) and $\grave{n}j\^{e}y$ (3FullPl), which appear before L-initial words (or downstepped H-initial words) as $2\acute{a}ng\acute{a}...$ and $\grave{n}j\acute{e}y...$ The 3Pl possessor proclitic is $n\grave{o}n + H$. Most unpossessed nonpronominal NPs also end in a floating H, e.g. $h\grave{a}:r-\grave{o}+H$ '(a/the) man'. The floating H, when present, docks on the left edge of the possessed noun.

```
(174)
                                        'aunt'
                                                   'father'
                                                               'uncle'
                                                                        'knee'
                                        hàwê
                                                   bà:bè
                                                               hásê
                                                                       k a \eta g - \delta + H
                      possessor
        a. singular noun
          possessor with final <HL> tone, no floating H
            3FullSg ?áŋgá...
                                        hàwâ
                                                   bà:b-ù
                                                               ⁴hás-ò
                                                                        kàŋg-ô
            3FullPl njéy...
```

```
possessor with floating H
   3P1
                             ∱há⁴wâ
                                      ↑bá:b-ù
            лòŋ...
                                                hás-ò
                                                          ↑káŋg-ò
   'man's'
            hà:r-ò...
b. plural noun
 possessor with final <HL> tone, no floating H
                            hàw-êy bà:b-êy
   3FullSg ?áŋgá...
   3FullPl njéy...
 possessor with floating H
            nòŋ... | ↑há⁴w-êy ↑bá:⁴b-êy hás-èy | | | | | | | |
   3P1
   'man's'
```

As with alienables, it is difficult to determine the phonologically correct tone of a bisyllabic 3PossSg or 3PossPl stem that elsewhere appears with L.<HL> tone pattern, when it follows 3Pl p o p + H. For example, with 3PossSg h a w a 'aunt', I hear p o p h a w a 'their aunt', but theoretically this is p o p h a w a with a downstepped <HL> syllable. Because downstep is quite sharp in HS, the phonetic distinction (if any) is subtle.

4.2.2.7 3Sg inalienable possessor, singular and plural noun

In alienable possession, all-purpose PossSg and PossPl stem shapes are used by all third person possessors, including 3Sg possessor with proclitic à. These all-purpose forms are also the basis for second and (arguably) first person possessor forms. By contrast, in the inalienable paradigm, there is no connection between third person and 1st/2nd persons, and within third person there is a clear distinction between a dedicated 3Sg possessor form (usually with no proclitic à) and the residual 3PossSg and 3PossPl stem forms used with all other third person possessors (full NP, 3Pl, 3FullSg, 3FullPl).

The divergence between the 3Sg possessor form on the one hand and all other third person possessor forms on the other can be interpreted in two ways. One is that the 3Sg possessor form is truly unique within the inalienable paradigm, redeploying the unpossessed final/definite singular form ('the father') as the 3Sg form ('his/her father'). In this view, the residual 3PossSg form is limited to the remaining third person categories (full NP, 3Pl, 3FullSg, 3FullPl).

This analysis makes sense in firstly in that the 3Sg possessor form in fact diverges tonally from the 3PossSg form in other combinations. Second, the 3Sg possessor form often ends in o, versus a distinct vowel in the 3PossSg forms. Third, the 3Sg form strikingly omits the usual 3Sg proclitic à that is regular in alienable possession, while the remaining forms require an overt possessor.

The alternative analysis is that all third person possessors, including 3Sg, require the 3PossSg form of the stem. In this version, the 3Sg form **adds** a suffix -o + H, which contracts with the final vowel of the 3PossSg form in the same way that final/definite -o + H contracts with the last vowel of an unpossessed noun stem. The advantage of this analysis would be a simplification in the morphological distribution

of the 3PossSg form, which in this analysis would occur with all third person possessors.

The data in (175) bring out the relationship between the 3PossSg form, the 3Sg possessor form with -o + H suffix, and the unpossessed form.

(175) Third person singular inalienable possessor of singular noun

```
3PossSg
                                        3Sg possessor
                                                              unpossessed
    gloss
a. final ...\hat{a} (kin)
  3Sg form \{L\}-toned from \{L\}
    'aunt'
                    hàwâ
                                        haw-o+H
                                                              hàwê
  3Sg form {H}-toned from {HL}
    'mother'
                                       n\acute{o}: + H(*páw-\acute{o}) n\^{a}
                    пâ
                                        [still occasionally p \delta w - \delta + H]
b. final \hat{u} (kin)
  3Sg form \{L\}-toned from \{L\}
    'father'
                    bà:b-ù
                                        ba:b-ò+H
                                                              bà:bè
    'cousin'
                     bà:s-ù
                                        b\grave{a}:s-\grave{o}+H
                                                              bà:sè
    'grandparent' kà:g-ù
                                        k\grave{a}:g-\grave{o}+H
                                                              kà:gè
c. final -ò (kin)
  3Sg form {H}-toned from {HL}
    'affine'
                     ?ánzúr-ò
                                                              ?ánzúrê
                                        ?ánzúr-ó+H
    'elder sib'
                     bé:r-ò
                                        b\acute{e}:r-\acute{o} + H
                                                              bé:rê
    'junior sib'
                     céyn-ò
                                        céyn-ó+H
                                                              céynê
    'uncle'
                    hás-ò
                                        hás-ó+H
                                                              hásê
    'husband'
                     kúrn-ò
                                        kúrn-ó + H
                                                              kúrnê
c'. final -ò (partonyms)
  3Sg form \{H\}-toned from \{H\}
    'nape'
                     kóη-kónd-ò
                                        kóŋ-kónd-ó+H
                                                              kóŋ-kónd-ó+H
  3Sg form {H}-toned from {HL}
    'liver'
                     tás-ò
                                        tás-ó + H
                                                              tás-ó+H
                                                              ~ tásâ ~ tásê
  3Sg form {LH(L)}-toned from {LHL}
                                        gà-gáb-ò+H
    'jaw'
                    gà-gáb-ò
                                            (3Sg alienable \hat{a}^{\dagger} g \hat{a} - g \hat{a} b - \hat{o})
c". final -ò after monosyllabic stem (partonym)
  3Sg form {H}-toned from {HL}
                    miy^n-\partial + H
                                        miy^n-\acute{o} + H
    'mouth'
                                                              тê
                    \sim mín-\grave{o}+H
                                        ~ mín-ó+H
```

```
d. final -ô (kin)
  3Sg form \{L\}-toned from \{L\}
    'brother'
                                                                   hàr-mè
                      hàr-m-ô
                                           har-m-o+H
    'sister'
                      wòy-m-ô
                                           w \dot{o} y - m - \dot{o} + H
                                                                   wòy-mè
    'wife'
                      ηànd-ô
                                           \eta and - \partial + H
                                                                   wàndè
                         [also wànd-ô, etc.]
d'. final -\hat{o} (partonyms)
  3Sg form \{L\}-toned from \{LHL\}
    'soul'
                      hùnd-ô
                                           hùnd-ò+H
                                                                   hùndê
    'ear'
                      hàn-ô
                                           han-o+H
                                                                   hànê
                      gùnd-ô
    'belly'
                                           gùnd-ò+H
                                                                   gùndê
  3Sg form \{L\}-toned from \{L\}
    'tongue'
                                           d\grave{e}:n-\grave{o} + H
                                                                   dè:nè
                      dè:n-ô
    'hand'
                      kàmb-ô
                                           kàmb-ò+H
                                                                   kàmbè
    'back'
                                           band-o+H
                                                                   bàndè
                      bànd-ô
                      jìnd-ô
                                                                   jìndè
    'neck'
                                           jind-\dot{o} + H
    'shoulder'
                      jès-ô
                                           jès-ò + H
                                                                   jèsè
    'heart'
                      bìn-ô
                                           bìn-ò+H
                                                                   bìnè
    'navel'
                      hùm-ô
                                           h um - o + H
                                                                   hùmè
    'knee'
                      kàng-ô
                                                                   kàniè
                                           k a \eta g - \delta + H
    'bone'
                      bìr-ô
                                           bir-\dot{o}+H
                                                                   bir-\dot{o}+H
    'lung'
                      kùmb-ô
                                           k umb-o+H
                                                                   k umb-o+H
                      bènd-ô
                                           b \dot{e} n d - \dot{o} + H
                                                                   b \dot{e} n d - \dot{o} + H
    'penis'
    'testicles'
                      mà:m-ô
                                           m\grave{a}:m-\grave{o}+H
                                                                   m\grave{a}:m-\grave{o}+H
                                           kùr-ò+H
                                                                   kùr-ò+H
    'skin'
                      kùr-ô
    'vagina'
                      bùt-ô
                                           bù t-\dot{o}+H
                                                                   bù t-\dot{o}+H
d". final -ô after monosyllabic stem (partonyms)
  3Sg form \{L\}-toned from \{L\}
    'head'
                      bùw<sup>n</sup>-ô
                                           b u w^n - \delta + H
                                                                   bòŋ
                      ~ bùŋ-ô
                                           \sim b \dot{u} \eta - \dot{o} + H
    'tooth'
                      hìv<sup>n</sup>-ô
                                           hiy^n - \dot{o} + H
                                                                   hè:n
                      ~ hìn-ô
                                           \sim h i n - \hat{o} + H
                      bìy-ô
    'shadow'
                                           biy-\dot{o}+H
                                                                   bì:
    'foot'
                      cìv-ô
                                           ciy-ò+H
                                                                   cè:
                      mùw<sup>n</sup>-ô
                                           m\dot{u}w^n-\dot{o}+H
    'eye'
                                                                   mò:
                                           \sim m \dot{u} \eta - \dot{o} + H
                      ~ mùŋ-ô
```

If the possessed noun is plural, 3Sg possessor replaces -o + H by -ey + H, cf. definite plural -ey + H (unpossessed), and the other third person possessor categories require the **3PossPl** form of the noun, which replaces -o by -ey. The suffixal tones are the same as for singular possessed nouns. 3Sg possessor examples are k amb - ey + H 'his/her hands' and ba:b-ey + H 'his/her fathers' (i.e. including father's brothers). However, for a 3Sg possessor specifically it is also possible to adopt "alienable" morphology, as in a amb - ey 'his/her hands' and a amb - ey 'his/her fathers'. This

helpfully allows an overt distinction between 'his Xs' and 'my X' (e.. k amb - ey + H 'my hand', ba:b-ey+H 'my father').

4.2.3 Morphologically defective nouns

4.2.3.1 *môy* 'namesake' (no definite or possessive suffixation)

The noun $m\hat{o}y$ 'person with the same name' (local French homonyme) is intrinsically relational, but is not treated morphologically like kin terms. It is primarily used as a compound final in names, especially nicknames with an initial that means 'father' or 'mother': $b\hat{a}:-m\hat{o}y$ 'namesake of (my) father' and $n\hat{a}:-m\hat{o}y$ 'namesake of (my) mother'. Such appelations allow the speaker to to refer to a nonkinsman without disrespectfully pronouncing the name of the speaker's own actual father or mother. $m\hat{o}y$ can be used without the compound initial, as in 'my namesake', although the Fulfulde borrowing $t\hat{o}k\hat{o}r\hat{e}$ (and variants) is regular in this sense.

 $m\hat{o}y$ does not allow final/definite suffixes, so its only suffixal form is indefinite plural $m\hat{o}y$ - $p\hat{o}\eta + H$, which for this noun is used in definite as well as indefinite discourse contexts. Pronominally possessed forms are expressed by preposed pronominals, but $m\hat{o}y$ itself takes no possessor suffixation. The possessor pronominals are **independent pronouns** for first/second persons (e.g. 1Sg $\hat{a}y$), but alienable pronominal-possessor proclitics for third person categories (notably 3Sg \hat{a} and 3Pl $p\hat{o}\eta + H$).

(176)	a.	?áy	⁴môy	'my homonym'		
		ní	⁴môy	'your-Sg homonym'		
	b.	à	môy	'his/her homonym'		
		ŋòŋ	môy	'their homonym'		
		?áŋgá	⁴môy	'his/her (logophoric) homonym'		

 $m\hat{o}y$ may be compared with the verb $n\hat{e}$ 'say' with respect to its morphosyntax. $n\hat{e}$ is "conjugated" by means of third person subject proclitics or by first/second person independent pronouns: $2\hat{a}y$ ' $n\hat{e}$ ' I said', \hat{a} $n\hat{e}$ 'he/she said', etc. (§6.1.9).

4.2.3.2 Nouns with possessor but without definite suffixation ($b\dot{e}$:, $k\hat{u}$:, etc.)

A few nouns do not co-occur with final/definite singular $-o + H \sim -o + H$ or definite plural -ey + H, even before strong definite H + di. They can take indefinite plural -non + H if it makes sense semantically (i.e. for count nouns). These nouns are infrequently possessed, but suffixal possessed forms are elicitable at least as variants.

```
(177)
            stem IndefPl
                                     'my'
                                                 'his/her'
                                                               gloss
        a. Cv: stems
            bè:
                   b\dot{e}:-\eta\dot{o}\eta + H
                                     bé: 1-y-è
                                                 à† bè:
                                                               'borassus palm'
                                                 ~ à bé: 1-y-ò
            kû:
                   kú:-ŋòη + H
                                     kú:-w-è
                                                               'yam' (§3.7.1.9)
                                                 à kú:-w-ò
                                     kú:-y-è
        b. minor color terms
            bákâ báká-nòn+H
                                                               'blue dye'
                                    bák-è:
                                                 à bák-à:
            túrî
                   túrí-nòn+H
                                     túr-è:
                                                 à túr-à:
                                                                'yellow thing'
```

4.2.3.3 'Daybreak' ($m \dot{u} w^n \dot{o} \sim m \dot{o}$: $\sim m \dot{o} m$ plus $b \dot{o} w \sim b \dot{o}$:)

A special case is the noun in the noun-verb collocation meaning 'day break(s)'. Both verb and noun are attested in variant pronunciations, making parsing and semantic compositionality difficult. The verb is pronounced either as $b \dot{o} w$ (elsewhere 'shatter, burst') or $b \dot{o}$: (unique form). The noun is variably pronounced $m \dot{u} w^n \dot{o} \sim m \dot{u} y \dot{o} \sim m \dot{u} w \dot{o} \sim m \dot{o} \omega \dot{o} \sim m$

4.2.3.4 Nouns resistant to or restricting -o + H suffix

As noted in $\S4.1.1$ and elsewhere, most nouns can take a final/definite suffix -o + H, and for many such nouns this form has generalized in NP-final position. That is, it occurs at the end of a core NP sequence that is maximally noun-adjective-numeral without regard to definiteness.

However, there are still many nouns that do not normally occur with -o + H in NP-final position. Many of these nouns are abstractives, derived or underived, which are not normally possessed, determined, or quantified over. In the ancestor of HS and KS, these nouns did not commonly occur in definite form, and there was no reason for an old definite form to generalize. However, these nouns can be coerced into definite form by imposing a possessor or by adding strong definite particle $H + d\hat{i}$.

Most such stems that have resisted generalization of -o + H to nonfinal position belong to one of the types in (178).

- (178) a. nouns whose original definite and possessed forms were uncommon, including semantically abstract nouns
 - b. inalienable nouns (body parts, kinship), for which -o + H is primarily or uniquely limited to the 3Poss and 3Sg possessor suffixes
 - c. poorly integrated borrowings, e.g. from Fulfulde or Bambara

Consider, for example, the abstract noun $c\acute{m}$ -i 'truth', related to the verb $c\^{im}$ 'tell the truth'. A definite singular form $c\acute{im}$ -i 'that (same) truth (we were talking about)'. In practice, it nearly always occurs in the form $c\acute{im}$ -i, both in final position (179a-b) and before a modifier (179c-d). Even the strong definite form is also attested as $c\acute{im}$ -i $d\^{i}$.

```
(179) a. cim-i n\hat{o}^n truth it.is 'It's the truth.' (i.e. 'It's true')
```

- b. $a \uparrow = f$ 'čím-í hárú 3SgS=Tr truth say 'He/She told the truth.'
- c. *cím-í hínzâ* truth three 'three truths'
- d. cím-í †bé:rì truth big 'a big truth'

Further examples of nouns (some of which are borrowings) are in (180). The definite singulars are rare, but are usually elicitable in a possessive or strong-definite combination.

(180) Nouns that make infrequent use of final/definite suffixes

```
NF/final DefSg -o + H gloss
```

a. semantically abstract nouns (underived)

bònêbòn-ò+H'misfortune, hardship'bá:sî[unattested]'trouble' (< Arabic)

b. semantically abstract nouns (suffixally derived)

ba:n-iba:n-o+H'well-being, peace'hól-éyhól-ó+H'craziness'hin-eyhin-o+H'means, wherewithal'

c. meals (suffixally derived), $-\dot{o} + H$ forms rare

cìrgòs-èy cìrgòs-Ø-ò 'lunch' (variant cùrgòs-èy) hàwr-èy hàwr-Ø-ò 'supper'

While 'lunch' and 'supper' may seem to diverge semantically from the abstractives, they are not usually determined or quantified. They occur most often in expressions

like *cìrgòs-èy kà* 'lunch has come', i.e. 'lunch is served'. Note that the English translations have undetermined 'lunch'.

The stems that put restrictions on -o+H generally treat definite plural -ey+H the same way; that is, if it occurs at all it has a strongly definite sense. The stems prefer the indefinite plural form: $b\acute{o}n\acute{e}-p\grave{o}\eta+H$ '(some) misfortunes', $c\acute{i}m\acute{i}-p\acute{o}\eta+H$ '(some) truths'.

Inalienable nouns (kin terms, body parts) generally restrict -o + H to 3Sg possessor function: $t\acute{a}s-\acute{o}+H$ 'his/her liver', $b\grave{a}:b-\grave{o}+H$ 'his/her father'. Most inalienables therefore do have an unsuffixed form in NP-final position in unpossessed indefinite function, e.g. $t\acute{a}s\grave{a} \sim t\acute{a}s\grave{e}$ 'liver' as in 'I don't have a liver/father': $i s\acute{t}:=nd\grave{u}$ $t\acute{a}s\grave{a}$ ($\sim t\acute{a}s\grave{e}$) / $b\grave{a}:b\grave{e}$. See §4.2.2.1 for lists of such nouns.

However, some inalienables (especially nonmonosyllabic partonyms) follow the regular alienable-noun pattern with a generalized final-singular suffix. These include bisyllabic $bir-\dot{o}+H$ 'bone' (nonfinal $bir\dot{i}$), $k\dot{u}mb-\dot{o}+H$ 'lung', $k\dot{u}r-\dot{o}+H$ 'skin', $b\dot{o}y-\dot{o}+H$ 'nail', $k\dot{u}r-\dot{o}+H$ 'blood' (nonfinal $k\dot{u}r\dot{i}$), $f\dot{u}tt-\dot{o}+H$ 'stomach', $t\dot{e}:l-\dot{o}+H$ 'intestine', $b\dot{e}nd-\dot{o}+H$ 'penis', and $m\dot{a}:m-\dot{o}+H$ 'testicles'. Another noun, $b\dot{u}t-\dot{o}+H$ 'vagina', also belongs here, but archaic $b\dot{u}t\dot{e}$ is preserved in the insulting expression $p\dot{a}:-b\dot{u}t\dot{e}$ '(your) mother's vagina!'. Trisyllabic examples with generalized final-singular -o+H are $g\dot{a}-g\dot{a}b-\dot{o}+H$ 'jaw', $d\dot{e}:m\acute{a}l-\dot{o}+H$ 'spleen', and $k\acute{o}g-k\acute{o}nd-\acute{o}+H$ 'nape'.

4.3 Personal pronouns

Personal pronouns can function syntactically as NPs. Except when affixed (as possessors, or as complements of postpositions), they occur in the same linear position as a full NP would (e.g. subject, object). They may be followed by a demonstrative pronoun agreeing in number, by a DF particle, or by a postposition. The forms are given in §4.3.4, below.

4.3.1 Person and number categories

Categories are 1Sg, 1Pl, 2Sg, 2Pl, 3Sg, 3Pl, 3FullSg, and 3FullPl. The **full** (Fu) forms of the third person are used in independent (i.e. nonclitic) position where simple 3Sg and 3Pl are not permitted. The full forms are also used in logophoric and reflexive function in positions where 3Sg or 3Pl could also occur (with a difference in reference). There is no true generic pronoun like French *on*, but the noun *bòr* 'person' can be used (in bare form) in this impersonal sense.

4.3.2 Plural pronominal categories

The typologically usual algebraic formulae apply: 1Sg+2Sg or $1Sg+3Sg \rightarrow 1Pl$, $2Sg+3Sg \rightarrow 2Pl$, and $3Sg+3Sg \rightarrow 3Pl$. That is, first person is the "dominant gene"

that determines the output when combined with anything else, and second person is dominant over third person.

The combination 3FullSg+3Sg (i.e. logophoric/reflexive plus plain third person) becomes 3FullPl. In (181), the logophoric 3FullPl pronominal in the quotation denotes a plurality consisting of the quoted speaker (logophoric) and one or more other third persons (nonlogophoric); see §10.4.2.

4.3.3 Preference for plural over singular pronouns as possessors

As in other Malian languages, there is a pragmatic preference for choosing a maximally inclusive possessor with respect to one's home and family. In the case of e.g. 'I'm going home (French: *chez moi*)', the possessor can be omitted entirely, the **plural** noun 'houses, apartments, rooms' denoting a larger residential aggregation (the family house or compound, local French *concession*) and implying collective ownership (182). Or the plural possessor may be overt (183).

```
(182) ì / nám 'kóy húy-éy
1Sg Fut go house-DefPl
'I am going home.' [lit. "to the houses"]
```

```
(183) ì †nám 'kóy húy-éy-ndì
1Sg Fut go house-1SgP-1PlP
'I am going home.' [lit. "to our house"]
```

4.3.4 Independent and subject-object clitic pronominals

4.3.4.1 Independent and clitic pronominals

Independent personal pronouns are shown in (184). In the third person, only 3FullSg and 3FullPl forms are possible in independent position. Syntactic positions requiring independent (full) pronouns are summarized in §8.4.2.

(184) Independent personal pronouns

```
category independent form

1Sg ?ây
2Sg nî
```

```
1Pl yérî
2Pl wàrâŋ
3FullSg ?áŋgâ ~ ŋ́gâ ~ ?áŋâ ~ ŋâ
3FullPl njêy
```

The glottal stops (1Sg, 3FullSg) are heard in careful pronunciation (especially after a vowel) but may be elided.

The final syllables are **HL>-toned** in all cases. Except when prepausal, the L component is delinked, and is audible (if at all) as downstep on the following word. Example: /ʔây mô/ becomes ?áy 'mô 'me too'. Historically, the HL>-tone is etymologically correct for 1Pl, 2Sg, 3FullSg, 3FullPl, and perhaps 2Pl. The phonological history of the 1Sg form is probably complex. TSK has LH>-toned $\check{a}y$ (independent and clitic). In KS (which lacks tones) the independent form is bisyllabic agey (versus clitic $ay \sim ey$).

4.3.4.2 Subject and object pronominal clitics

The **subject and object clitics** in (185) are used when the pronominal is bare (not modified by a demonstrative, a DF morpheme, or an appositional NP, and not focalized). Whether an object is pre- or postverbal depends on the verb (OV or VO type), and there is only one object per clause. The subject forms shown occur as such in the zero (=perfective) aspect positive.

(185) Personal pronominal clitics (S = subject, O = object)

	S	preverbal O	postverbal O
1Sg	ì + H	nèy	?ây
2Sg	ŋ̀ + H	nàŋ	nî
1Pl	yò+H	yêr ~ yérî	yérî
2Pl	wò+H	wàrâŋ	wàrâŋ
3Sg 3Pl	à ŋòŋ+H	$=\hat{\eta} \sim =\hat{a}: \sim \hat{a} \ (\$4.3.4.6)$ $\hat{\eta} \hat{o} \hat{\eta} + H$	$-\grave{a}\sim -\hat{a}~(\S4.3.4.7)$ $p\acute{o}g+H$
_	?áŋgâ (etc.)	?áŋgâ (etc.)	7áŋgâ
	ǹjêy	ǹjêy	ìjêy

For 3FullSg and 3FullPl, the forms used are identical to the corresponding independent forms. The contracted form $\eta g \hat{a}$ of the 3FullSg is most common in preverbal object function, and is not used in postverbal object position.

For the first and second person categories, the postverbal object series is identical to the independent series. For 1Pl and 2Pl, the preverbal object series is also identical

to the independent series, except that the 1Pl often contracts to *yêr*. In the subject series, the 1st/2nd person categories have forms that are historically related to, but quite different from, the independent forms.

The 1st/2nd person subject pronominals are themselves L-toned, but each has a **floating H-tone** that is realized audibly on a following morpheme that otherwise has an initial L-tone (except imperfective negative $s\dot{u}$, which was historically H-toned). This floating H-tone was originally part of the pronominal (the equivalent TSK clitics are H- or <LH>-toned), but have become delinked in HS. Example: $i \uparrow g \acute{o} r \acute{o}$ 'I sat' $(g \acute{o} r \acute{o}$ 'sit').

In preverbal O function, **1Sg and 2Sg** have innovative forms beginning with n, which derives from the bidirectional case-marking morpheme *na that is separately preserved in the form $\hat{\eta}$, and that I label noncommitally as "transitive" (§7.2.6). Thus 1Sg $n \grave{e} y < *na$ $\hat{a} y$, and 2Sg $n \grave{a} y < *na$ \hat{n} . In synchronic HS, however, $n \grave{e} y$ and $n \grave{a} y$ (like other preverbal objects) follow transitive morpheme $\hat{\eta}$ when not separated by any other inflectional morpheme ($\hat{a} \uparrow = \hat{\eta} n \grave{e} y k \acute{a} r \acute{u}$ 'he/she hit me'). They likewise follow nonzero MAN morphemes like imperfective negative $s \grave{u}$ ($\grave{a} s \grave{u} \uparrow n \acute{e} y {k} \acute{a} r \acute{u}$ 'he/she does not hit me'). The 1Sg object clitic can occur clause-initially in imperatives ($n \grave{e} y n \^{o} :- \eta$ 'give [it] to me!'). The separation of the initial n in 1Sg $n \grave{e} y$ and 2Sg $n \grave{a} \eta$ from transitive $\hat{\eta}$ is complete.

In the 3Sg, we have subject \hat{a} and postverbal object $-\hat{a}$ or $-\hat{a}$ (depending on the tone of the verb). The 3Sg preverbal object form is \hat{g} in the indicative, \hat{a} before an imperative verb, $=\hat{a}$: after the subjunctive morpheme \hat{m} (they combine as $\hat{m}=\hat{a}$:), and $= \uparrow \hat{a}$: after inflectional particles that end in a floating H-tone. All of the forms with a-vowel have parallels in other Eastern Songhay languages. The \hat{g} preverbal object allomorph reflects *na, which occurs widely in Eastern Songhay as a transitive (i.e. bidirectional case-marking) morpheme (Heath 2007), but which has already acquired 3Sg object marking status in dialectal KS (Bamba, Fulankiriya). The HS combination of transitive \hat{g} and 3Sg object \hat{g} is transcribed $\hat{g}=\hat{g}$ but is heard segmentally as $[\mathfrak{g}]$, i.e. as a single ungeminated nasal. Since it is always followed immediately by the verb, it is actually heard in phrasal context as H-toned $[\hat{g}]$ (followed by L-tone or by a downstepped H) after tone rules apply. There is even a combination $\hat{g}/\hat{g}=\hat{g}=\hat{g}$ (2Sg subject, transitive, 3Sg object) that is phonologically indistinguishable from simple \hat{g} and from $\hat{g}=\hat{g}$.

The entire **3Pl** series with *noŋ* (tone variable) is a HS innovation. Other Songhay languages have 3Pl *i* (subject or preverbal object), and various 3Pl suffixes for postverbal object function (e.g. KS -ey). However, in HS the old 1Sg *ăy monophthongized to *i* + H in subject function, which probably led to an unacceptable homophony of 1Sg and 3Pl, forcing the language to create a new 3Pl clitic series. This was done by "reanalysis" of the indefinite plural suffix *-yóŋ (KS -yaŋ, atonal HS -noŋ, TSK -yów) as a preverbal clitic. Thus, in (186) the relevant protocombination had a subject NP ending in *-yóŋ. The "reanalysis" is rather clunky, and presumably driven more by the need for formal renewal of the 3Pl subject or object category than by a perceptually natural resegmentation.

(186) *[NOUN-yóŋ] VERB \rightarrow (NOUN) non = VERB

Once this mutation had occurred in subject clitic function, it presumably spread to preverbal and postverbal O functions. The L-tone of the subject and preverbal object clitic $p \partial p + H$ is probably secondary, following the pattern of 1st/2nd person proclitics which also lost their original H-tones, and the original H-tone of * $p \partial p$ is preserved in postverbal O function and elsewhere indirectly by the associated floating H.

Examples of **subject clitics** (perfective positive) with $zù r\dot{u}$ 'run' are $i \not\uparrow z\dot{u}r\dot{u}$ 'I ran', $y\dot{o} \not\uparrow z\dot{u}r\dot{u}$ 'we ran', $\dot{\eta} \not\uparrow z\dot{u}r\dot{u}$ 'you-Sg ran', $w\dot{o} \not\uparrow z\dot{u}r\dot{u}$ 'you-Pl ran', $\dot{a}^{\dagger} z\dot{u}r\dot{u}$ 'he/she/it ran', 3Pl $\dot{n}\dot{o}\dot{\eta} \not\uparrow z\dot{u}r\dot{u}$ 'they ran', 3FullSg $\dot{r}\dot{u}\dot{u}\dot{u}$ '(he_x said that) he_x ran', $\dot{n}\dot{r}\dot{e}\dot{v}$ $\dot{v}\dot{u}\dot{u}$ '(they_x said that) they_x ran'.

Examples of **preverbal O clitics** with 3Sg subject \grave{a} , transitive morpheme \hat{g} , and simple transitive verb $k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ 'hit', are these: $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ $n\grave{e}y$ $k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ 'he/she hit me', $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ 'yérî $k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ '... hit us', $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ $n\grave{a}\eta$ $k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ '... hit you-Sg',, $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ $w\grave{a}r\acute{a}\eta$ 'kár \acute{u} '... hit you-Pl', $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}$ 'kár \acute{u} '... hit him/her/it', $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ $n\grave{e}\eta$ $n\grave{e}\eta$ kár \acute{u} '... hit them', $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ '?áŋgâ kár \acute{u} often contracted to $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta} \acute{g}\acute{a}$ 'kár \acute{u} '(he_x said that) ... hit him_x', and $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ \acute{n} 'jêy kár \acute{u} '(they_x said that) ... hit them_x'.

Examples of **postverbal O pronominals** except (regular) 3Sg, with VO verb di: 'see', are \grave{a} di: $?\^{a}y$ 'he/she saw me', \grave{a} di: $y\acute{e}r\^{i}$ '... saw us', \grave{a} di: $n\^{i}$ '... saw you-Sg', \grave{a} di: $w\grave{a}r\^{a}y$ '... saw you-Pl', \grave{a} di: $n\acute{o}y$ '... saw them', \grave{a} di: $n\acute{a}y$ '(hex said that) ... saw himx', and \grave{a} di: $n\acute{i}p\acute{e}y$ '(theyx said that) ... saw themx'. The 3Sg O suffix is - $n\acute{a}$ after a H-tone, and - $n\acute{a}$ after a L-tone. In either case it produces slight segmental phonological changes in the stem. Examples: $n\acute{a}y$ 'get, acquire' > $n\acute{a}y$ 'get him/her/it', $n\acute{a}y$: 'see' > $n\acute{a}y$ 'get

There are some (morpho-)phonological interactions between clitic subject pronouns, functional morphemes (MAN, transitive), and clitic object pronouns, which occur in that linear order. I consider first combinations of subject clitics plus functional morphemes, then those of functional morphemes plus object clitics.

4.3.4.3 Subject pronominal clitic plus imperfective $g\dot{u} + H \sim \dot{w} + H$

The **imperfective** (positive) morpheme is $g\hat{u}+H$ after a consonant (except occasionally after a sonorant) and before transitive \hat{y} (always). In other contexts (i.e. after a vowel, in the absence of the transitive morpheme), $\hat{w}+H$ is regular. The variant $g\hat{u}+H$ is also possible but uncommon in these other contexts, occurring mostly in slow speech or in broken clauses. The semivowel in $\hat{w}+H$ cliticizes to a preceding word.

The combinations of subject pronoun and imperfective are shown in (187), which is organized by the phonological form of the subject pronoun (C- or V-final, tone of final syllable of the pronoun, presence or absence of a following floating H). Notably, the V-final first and second person subject pronominals, which are elsewhere L-toned with a following floating H (1Sg, 1Pl, 2Pl), become H-toned with floating H (187a-b) before the nonsyllabic allomorph $\dot{w} + H$. The mechanism for this is phonological: the floating H associated with the pronominal docks on the w. The resulting $/C\dot{v}$ $\dot{w}/$ merges as one syllable, and the prohibition on <LH>-toned syllables forces a flattening to H-tone, see <LH $> \rightarrow$ H Flattening (§3.9.6.3).

```
(187)
               category
                                  with g\hat{u} + H
                                                              with \hat{w} + H
           a. V-final pronoun, H-toned plus floating H before \dot{w} + H allomorph
                                                              i \uparrow = \uparrow \acute{w} + L + H \sim i \uparrow = \uparrow \acute{i} + L + H
                1Sg
                                  i \uparrow g \acute{u} + L + H
                1P1
                                  yò ∱gú+L+H
                                                              y \circ \uparrow = \uparrow \dot{w} + L + H
                2P1
                                  w \partial \int g u + L + H
                                                              w \circ \uparrow = \uparrow \dot{w} + L + H
           b. C-final pronoun, L-toned plus floating H (\acute{\eta} \uparrow + H, n\acute{o} \eta \uparrow + H)
                2Sg
                                  \hat{\eta} / g\hat{u} + H
                                                              [not applicable]
                3P1
                                 nòn ∱gû+H
                                                              [not applicable]
           c. V-final pronoun, L tone, no floating H (à)
                                  \hat{a} g \hat{u} + H
                                                              \grave{a} = \grave{w} + H
                3Sg
           d. V-final pronoun, final <HL> tone, no floating H (?ángâ)
                3FullSg
                                  ?ángá gù + H
                                                              ?ángá = \grave{w} + H
           e. C-final pronoun, final \langle HL \rangle tone, no floating H (\hat{n}j\hat{e}y)
                3FullPl
                                  njéy gù + H
                                                              [not applicable]
```

When $g\hat{u}+H$ or $\hat{w}+H$ is preceded by another floating H-tone, as in $3Pl/p\hat{o}\eta+H$ g $\hat{u}+H/$, the floating H docks on the imperfective morpheme, producing $3Pl/p\hat{o}\eta+H/$. The H tone on the imperfective morpheme at this stage is then simplified by delinking the L-tone element, since it is always followed by (and phrased with) a nonnull VP. This results in $3Pl/p\hat{o}\eta+L+H/$ and $1Pl/p\hat{o}\eta+L+H/$.

The floating L+H sequence that ends up following the imperfective morpheme in some of these combinations is realized as a downstepped H-tone on the following word. If that word begins with a L-tone, the floating H docks on it, raising it to H, so the following word always begins with a H-tone. The floating L then downsteps this H-toned word (or syllable).

4.3.4.4 Subject pronominal clitic plus transitive clitic $\hat{\eta}$

Transitive enclitic \hat{y} is required (except in plural-subject imperatives) when the subject and object of a canonical (i.e. OV) transitive verb are both nonzero and would otherwise be adjacent (§7.2.6). It cliticizes to the preceding morpheme, and some following pronominal objects join in the clitic cluster.

 $\hat{\eta}$ has falling tone. Since it is always followed by an object (NP or pronoun) and a verb, it is never prepausal. It therefore undergoes Final-L Delinking to $\hat{\eta} + L$. The floating L is realized, if at all, as downstep of a following H-tone.

 $\hat{\eta}$ cliticizes to the preceding subject (NP or pronoun). When it follows a consonant other than a semivowel $\{w \ y\}$, it is segmentally inaudible, but even then it usually leaves a tonal effect on the preceding and/or following word(s). In particular, L-toned subject proclitics are coerced into H-tone when they fuse into one syllable with $=\hat{\eta}$,

since surface $\langle LH \rangle$ -toned syllables are disallowed. (188) shows the combinations of subject pronouns with an immediately following transitive $\hat{\eta}$, i.e. in the (positive) perfective.

```
(188)
                category
                                   with \hat{\eta}
           a. V-final pronoun, L-tone, plus floating H (i+H, y + i) + H, y + i + H
                                   i \uparrow = \eta + L
                 1Sg
                 1P1
                                   y \circ \uparrow = \eta + L
                2P1
                                   w \acute{o} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} + L
           b. V-final pronoun, L tone, no floating H (à)
                3Sg

\acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{\eta} + L

           c. V-final pronoun, final <HL> tone, no floating H (?áŋgâ)
                3FullSg
                                   ? \acute{a} \eta g \acute{a} = \acute{\eta} + L
           d. C-final pronoun, L-toned, plus floating H (\dot{\eta} + H, p \dot{\eta} + H)
                2Sg
                                   \eta \uparrow = \eta + L
                                                                 pronounced syllabic [\u00e1] oe [\u00fa]
                3P1
                                   n \acute{o} \eta \uparrow = \acute{\eta} + L
                                                                 pronounced [nón]
           e. C-final pronoun, final <HL> tone, no floating H (njêy)
                3FullPl
                                   \grave{n}i\acute{e}v\uparrow = \acute{n} + L
```

After cliticization, in all cases the syllable containing \hat{y} (which becomes $= \acute{y} + L$) is H-toned. Those combinations that include a lexically L-toned subject pronoun (188a-b,d) require <LH $> \rightarrow$ H Flattening (§3.9.6.3). Something similar happens with the final <HL> tones in (188c,e), but here it is <HLH> that flattens to H, by <LH $> \rightarrow$ H Flattening plus automatic <HH> to H (§3.9.6.3).

Transitive $\hat{\eta}$ is not subject to resyllabification with a following V-initial object. Nouns that appear to begin with a vowel actually have an initial glottal stop that prevents resyllabification with preceding consonants. 3Sg does not have its usual vocalic allomorph \hat{a} after transitive $\hat{\eta}$. Instead, it takes its consonantal form $\hat{\eta}$, which fuses with the transitive morpheme. (This combination too is always followed by a C-initial verb.) When the object is a noun with preposed 3Sg possessor \hat{a} , a glottal stop is inserted (I do not always transcribe it), with with 'her field' in (189).

(189)
$$h\grave{a}:r-\acute{e}y\uparrow=\acute{p}$$
 [$?\grave{a}$ $f\grave{a}:r-\acute{o}$] $``n\acute{e}:r\grave{e}$ man-DefPl=Tr [3SgP field-3PossSg] sell 'The men sold her field.'

4.3.4.5 Subject pronominal clitic plus subjunctive $\hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta}$

A subject pronominal (like any subject NP) may be directly followed by **subjunctive** $\hat{m} \sim \hat{y}$. The velar variant is used by some speakers, but only preconsonantally. Most

of the combinations are phonologically parallel to combinations of the same subject pronominals with transitive $\hat{\eta}$ as described in the preceding section.

The forms are shown in (190), organized by phonological form. The delinked L-tone is heard, if at all, as downstep on a following H-tone.

(190) Pronominal subject plus subjunctive

```
category
                          m variant
                                                           \hat{\eta} variant
a. V-final pronoun, L-tone (y \grave{o} + H, w \grave{o} + H, \grave{a})
      1P1
                          y \circ \uparrow = m + L
                                                          y \circ \uparrow = \eta + L
     2P1
                          w \acute{o} \uparrow = \acute{m} + L
                                                           w \acute{o} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} + L
     3Sg
                          \acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{m} + L
                                                           \acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{\eta} + L
b. V-final pronoun, final <HL>-tone (?ángâ, njêy)
                          ?ángá = m + L
     3FullSg
                                                           7ángá = \eta + L
     3FullPl
                          \hat{n}j\acute{e}y = \acute{m} + L
                                                           \hat{n}j\acute{e}y = \acute{\eta} + L
c. nasal-final pronoun, L-tone (2Sg \dot{\eta} + H, 3Pl p\dot{o} + H)
                          \emptyset = \acute{m} + L
                                                           \emptyset = \acute{\eta} + L
     2Sg
                  pronounced [m] \sim [\eta]
     3P1
                         n\acute{o} = \acute{m} + L
                                                          p\acute{o}\eta = \acute{\eta} + L
                  pronounced [nóm] ~ [nón]
d. irregular portmanteau
                                       i+L
      1Sg
```

Because $\hat{m} \sim \hat{y}$ already begins with a H-tone component, the floating H-tones associated with some subject pronominals have no audible effect. Except in the irregular 1Sg form (190d), $\hat{m} \sim \hat{y}$ splits into $\hat{m} + L$ or $\hat{y} + L$ by Final-L Delinking. The \hat{m} or \hat{y} cliticizes to the pronominal and syllabifies with the latter's final syllable. Because <LH>-toned syllables are not allowed in HS, <LH> \rightarrow H Flattening applies in (190a-c).

The underlying $/\eta m/\sim/\eta \eta/$ clusters straddling the pronoun-clitic boundary in the 2Sg and 3Pl forms (190c) simplify to $m\sim\eta$, suggesting that the pronoun-final nasal is deleted before the clitic nasal when they are tautosyllabic. Historically, the 2Sg pronominal *nî was simply omitted by a special morphophonemic rule before subjunctive *mà (cf. KS 2Sg subjunctive *ma*), but HS speakers may well interpret the synchronic deletion as phonological, given the parallel in the 3Pl form.

The irregular 1Sg subjunctive portmanteau \hat{i} , heard as $\hat{i} + L$ after L-Delinking, has the tones appropriate to the expected regular form $\#\hat{i} - m + L$ from $/\hat{i} + H$ $\hat{m}/$, reflecting L-Delinking and <LH $> \rightarrow$ H Flattening. In effect, subjunctive morpheme $*\hat{m}$ has disappeared segmentally after the 1Sg pronominal (except before 3Sg object clitic as in $\hat{i} = m = \hat{a}$; see end of §4.3.4.6), but it has left behind its tonal imprint. However, the irregularity of the 1Sg subjunctive form is ancient (cf. KS ya and KCh ye, without subjunctive ma).

4.3.4.6 3Sg preverbal object clitic allomorphs ($=\hat{\eta}$, $=\hat{a}$:, \hat{a})

The distribution of the three allomorphs of the preverbal 3Sg object clitic, used with canonical (OV) transitives, is summarized in (191).

(191) 3Sg object clitics (preverbal)

```
form
                     distribution
                                                                               combination
                                                                               simple
                                                                                                after +H
a à
                    clause-initial (imperatives)
                                                                               à
b. = \dot{a}:
                    after...
                                                                               \acute{m} = \grave{a}:
                     1) Subjunctive \hat{m}
                     2) Perfective negative m \hat{a} \eta + H
                                                                               m \hat{a} n = \hat{a}: \uparrow m \hat{a} n = {}^{t} \hat{a}:
                     3) Future n \hat{a} m + H
                                                                               n\grave{a}m = \hat{a}: \uparrow n\acute{a}m = {}^{\downarrow}\hat{a}:
c. \hat{\eta}
                     after...
                     1) Transitive \hat{\eta}

\dot{\eta} = \dot{\eta} + L

                     2) Infinitival k\hat{u} + H
                                                                               k \acute{u} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} + L
                     3) Imperfective g\hat{u} + H
                                                                               g u \uparrow = \eta + L
                    4) Imperfective negative s\hat{u} + H
                                                                              s\acute{u}\uparrow = \acute{\eta} + L
```

Allomorph à (191a) is identical to the 3Sg subject proclitic, and (aside from tonal modification due to Tonal Rhythm) the 3Sg object suffix $-\hat{a} \sim -\hat{a}$ on VO transitive verbs. As preverbal object marker, à occurs only in singular-subject imperatives: à $\hat{t}\hat{u}r\hat{u}-\hat{\eta}$ 'get away-2Sg from it!', à ' $\hat{j}\hat{i}\hat{s}\hat{i}-\hat{\eta}$ 'put-2Sg it down!'. The latter example shows that à can be upstepped before a L-toned word, as is also true of the 3Sg subject clitic à

Except in singular-subject imperatives, the 3Sg object marker is never clause-initial. In general, it appears as clitic $=\hat{\eta}$ after a vowel, and as clitic $=\hat{a}$: after a consonant. The exception is that $=\hat{\eta}$ also occurs after transitive $\hat{\eta}$. The two then fuse into $\hat{\eta} = \hat{\eta} + L$, pronounced $[\hat{\eta}]$ plus (if applicable) downstep. Since we can hear only one nasal consonant, one could argue that transitive $\hat{\eta}$ and 3Sg object $\hat{\eta}$ are replaced by a portmanteau $\hat{\eta}$, or equivalently that one of them is deleted when adjacent to the other. In fact, KS has phonetic [na] instead of the expected #[na:] from /na a/ (transitive na plus 3Sg object a), so the contraction of the two morphemes is ancient.

Examples of $= \hat{a}$: (191b) are subjunctive $\hat{a} \uparrow = m = \hat{a}$: \hat{k} \hat{a} \hat{m} that he/she may hit him/her' and perfective negative \hat{a} \hat{m} \hat{a} \hat{n} \hat{n} suggesting that the initial syllabic grouping is \hat{n} \hat{n}

Examples of $=\hat{g}$ (191c) are $\acute{a}\uparrow=\acute{g}=\acute{g}$ 'kárú 'he/she hit him/her', infinitive $k\acute{u}\uparrow=\acute{g}$ 'kárú 'to hit him/her', imperfective à $g\acute{u}\uparrow=\acute{g}$ 'kárú 'he/she does/will hit him/her', and imperfective negative à $s\acute{u}\uparrow=\acute{g}$ 'kárú 'he/she does/will not hit him/her'. 3Sg object $=\hat{g}$, alone among pronominal object markers, requires V-final allomorphs of all preceding morphemes that have such an allomorph (transitive $\hat{\eta}$ is

the only such morpheme that lacks a V-final allomorph). Therefore the imperfective negative must have $g\dot{u} + H$ (not allomorph $\dot{w} + H$), and the infinitive must have $k\dot{u} + H$ (not allomorph $\dot{w} + H$).

In §4.3.4.5 above it was noted that the 1Sg subject marker (elsewhere i+H) fuses irregularly with the subjunctive morpheme (elsewhere \hat{m}) as $\hat{\imath}$. This gets its segments from i+H, but its tones from the nonexistent regular combination $\#i\uparrow = \hat{m}$, parallel to actually occurring forms like 1Pl subjunctive $y\acute{o}\uparrow = \hat{m}$. While $\#i\uparrow = \hat{m}$ does not occur as such, we do get it as part of the $1Sg \rightarrow 3Sg$ combination $i=m=\grave{a}:$, as in $i=m=\grave{a}:$ $k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ 'that I may hit him/her'.

4.3.4.7 3Sg postverbal object suffix allomorphs $(-\hat{a} \sim -\hat{a})$ and Tonal Rhythm

VO transitive verbs, which do not involve physical impact, have a suffixed 3Sg object suffix -a. Other pronominal VO objects, e.g. 1Sg ?ây, have their independent pronoun forms.

The tone of 3Sg object -a is determined by the Tonal Rhythm rule (§3.9.4.1). If (after whatever segmental contractions occur) the preceding syllable is H-toned, we get - \hat{a} . If the preceding syllable is L-toned, we get - \hat{a} . So $d\hat{i}$: 'see' has $d\hat{i}y$ - \hat{a} 'saw him/her', but $d\hat{u}$ 'get' has $d\hat{u}w$ - \hat{a} .

For a fuller list of the forms, see §6.1.7.

4.3.5 Pronouns as postpositional complements and as possessors

HS differs from other Songhay languages in that it has developed pronominal paradigms for postpositions and for possessed nouns. We have seen that there are two distinct pronominal possessor paradigms, one for alienables (§4.2.1) and another for inalienables (§4.2.2). The tones are either spread from the noun into the suffixes, or produced by Tonal Rhythm taking as starting point the tones of the stem (§3.9.4.1).

Suffixes $-o \sim -a$: (singular) and -ey (plural) mark the grammatical number of the possessed noun and are therefore not pronominal. However, in some other combinations a homophonous suffix -ey marks 1Sg possessor. Furthermore, $-o \sim -a$: has spawned offshoots that now function as third person possessor morphemes.

3Poss (3PossSg and 3PossPl) is the form of an inalienably possessed noun that combines with preceding 3Pl, 3FullSg, and 3FullPl (but not 3Sg) pronouns in possessor function, and with preceding nonpronominal NP possessors. A similar form, labeled Poss (PossSg, PossPl) is that of an alienably possessed noun with any third person possessor; with additional suffixes the Poss form is also used in second person (and, arguably) in first person alienable possessor combinations. 1st/2nd person possessors are always marked by suffixes or suffix complexes. Third person pronominal possessors are expressed by a preposed (proclitic) pronoun: 3Sg \hat{a} , 3Pl $p\hat{o}p + H$, 3FullSg $2\hat{a}ng\hat{a}$, 3FullPl $n\hat{j}\hat{e}y$. However, 3Sg \hat{a} is omitted with most inalienable nouns ("inalienable 1"), since these nouns have a uniquely 3Sg possessor form. A few inalienables ("inalienable 2") that end in long a: do not have this 3Sg

possessor form for phonological reasons, and so they do make use of 3Sg \grave{a} as proclitic (§4.2.2.4).

(192) summarizes the basic morphological structures described in more detail in preceding sections. Tones are omitted, but they can distinguish segmentally identical alienable and inalienable forms, except where "[= alienable]" is explicitly indicated. N = possessed noun, X = third person possessor proclitic. Inalienable 1 and 2 merge for 1st/2nd person possessors; they are distinct for most third person possessors, with inalienable 2 adopting some alienable features.

(192) Morphology of pronominally possessed nouns (N)

	category	alienable	inalienat	ole 1	inalienable 2
a.	singular nour	1			
	1Sg	N-e		N-ey	
	1Pl	N-ey-ndiy-a:		N-ey-ndi	
	2Sg	N-o-noŋ		N-aŋ	
	2P1	N-o-ndoŋ		N-an-doŋ	
	3Sg	à N-o	N-o + H		à N
	(3)Poss	X N-o	X N-o		XN
b.	plural noun				
	1Sg	N-e-non		N-ey-non	
	1Pl	N-ey-ndiy-ey		[= alienabl	le]
	2Sg	N-ey-noŋ		[= alienabl	le]
	2P1	N-ey-ndoŋ		[= alienabl	le]
	3Sg	à N-ey	N-ey+ F	I	à N-ey
	(3)Poss	X N-ey		X N-ey	

The minority inalienable 2 paradigm matches the pronominal paradigm of adpositions, which follow NPs and third person pronouns but which take 1st/2nd person suffixes. I usually call them "postpositions" but the morphology is more complex than this suggests. In other Songhay languages they are strictly postpositions.

It makes sense historically that the HS inalienable 1 paradigm did not develop for adpositions. This is because the feature that distinguishes inalienable 1 from inalienable 2 is that the former has a special 3Sg possessor form, historically identical to the definite singular and definite plural forms of the possessed noun. Proto-Songhay postpositions never had definite forms. So the HS adpositions have retained the original (Proto-Eastern Songhay) structure for third person pronoun complements, i.e. with proclitic pronouns, while joining with (all) inalienables in developing a new suffixal paradigm for 1st/2nd persons. HS adpositional paradigms are of inalienable rather than alienable type, where this was morphologically possible. This may be

partly for chronological reasons: the inalienable possessor paradigm is more ancient than the alienable one (there are incipient inalienable paradigms in KS, for a few kin terms). More importantly, adpositions are intrinsically "inalienable" in the sense that they require a complement, and several HS adpositions are adaptations of body-part and other relational nouns ('head', 'home', 'body', 'back', etc.).

Paradigms for the most common HS adpositions are in (193).

(193) Adpositional paradigms

	sê	dô	gà:	bòŋ
	'to, for'	'at, chez'	'in'	'on'
1Sg	s-êy	dúw-êy	gà:-y	bùŋ-èy
1P1	s-éy-ndì	dúw-éy-ndì	gà:y-ndì	bùn-èy-ndì
2Sg	s-âŋ	dúw-âŋ	gà:-ŋ	bùw ⁿ -àŋ
2Pl	s-án-dòŋ	dúw-án-dòŋ	gà:-n-dòŋ	bùw ⁿ -àn-dòŋ
3Sg	à sê	à đô	à† gà(:)	à† bòŋ
	~ à† sè	$\sim \grave{a}^{\dagger}d\grave{o}$		V
3P1	pòŋ sê	nòŋ dô	ɲὸŋ †gâ(:)	nòn ∱bôn
3FullSg		?áŋgá ⁴dô	Páŋgá gà(:)	
	~ ?áŋgá sè	~ ?áŋgá dò		
3FullPl	<i>ìjéy ⁴sê</i>	<i>ìjéy ⁴dô</i>	ǹjéy gà(:)	njéy bòŋ
	~ <i>ìjéy sè</i>	~ ǹjéy dò		

4.3.6 Pronouns preceding or following $\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$ 'with, and'

4.3.6.1 Pronouns after $\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$ 'with, and'

If Y is an NP, $nd\hat{u} + H$ Y is the usual instrumental-comitative phrase 'with Y', in context translatable variously as 'by means of Y' (instrumental) or 'along with' (comitative). Here I disregard cases where a cliticized $nd\hat{u} + H$ functions like a

derivational suffix on verbs (§6.2.5). The combinations of $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ plus pronominal are shown in (194).

after $\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$

(194) $nd\hat{u} + H$ 'with' followed by pronominal

category

category	artor nau / 11
a. suffixed/clitic	44 S
3Sg	ńd-à
3P1	ńdù nóŋ
b. independent pro	onoun
1Sg	ńdù ?ây
1Pl	ńdù yérî
2Sg	ńdù nî
2P1	ńdù wàrâŋ
3FullSg	ńdù ?áŋgâ
3FullPl	ńdù njêy
JI UHI I	nuu nicy

There is a tonal contrast between $\hat{\eta} \uparrow d\hat{u}^{\dagger} ?\hat{a}y [\hat{n}d\hat{u}^{\dagger}?\hat{a}j]$ 'you-Sg got me' (VO verb $d\hat{u}$ 'get') and $\hat{n}d\hat{u}$? $\hat{a}y [\hat{n}d\hat{u}?\hat{a}j]$ 'with me'.

These pronominal forms are identical to those used for postverbal direct object, see the right-hand column of (185) in §4.3.4.2. For regular third person, the form of the pronoun is a suffix (3Sg) or an enclitic (3Pl) (194a). For the other pronominal categories, a form identical to the independent pronoun is used (194b).

4.3.6.2 Pronouns before $nd\hat{u} + H$ 'and'

Conjunctions are formed by placing a left conjunct (NP or pronominal) to the left of an ndu + H prepositional phrase. The structure is formally asymmetrical: X [with Y], though often glossable in English as the more symmetrical 'X and Y'. The **left conjunct takes the form of an independent pronoun**. In particular, a third person left conjunct must take the 3Full form (so the clitic forms 3Sg a and 3Pl non + H are not permitted). Examples of pronoun-pronoun conjunctions are in (195), the glosses suggesting the HS structure.

(195) Conjunctions of two pronouns

```
a. ?áy = ńdù nî 'I [with you-Sg]'
b. ní = ńd-à 'you-Sg [with him/her/it]'
c. ?áŋgá = ńdù ŋóŋ 'he/she/it [with them]' (not #á † = ńdù ŋóŋ)
```

d.
$$nj\acute{e}y = ndu n\acute{o}\eta$$
 'they [with them]' (not $\# n\acute{o}\eta \uparrow = ndu n\acute{o}\eta$)

There is a broad preference for linearizing on the basis of a hierarchy 1st > 2nd > 3rd. However, this preference is less strict in HS than in some dialects of KS, and $n\hat{i} = \hat{n}d\hat{u}$ $2\hat{a}y$ 'you-Sg [with me]' can be used as an alternative to (195a).

4.4 Demonstratives

4.4.1 Demonstrative pronouns $(H + w\hat{o}, \text{ plural } H + w - \hat{e}y)$ and contracted $-\hat{o}$:

The independent demonstrative pronoun, used as a self-standing NP or as a modifier at the end of a NP, is $H+w\hat{o}$, plural $H+w-\hat{e}y$. The diphthong of the plural is slightly distinct phonetically from that of $w\hat{o}y$ 'woman' and that of the numeral $w\hat{o}y$ '10' (§3.3.1); all three are homophonous in some dialects of KS. $H+w\hat{o}$ may contract with the latter's final vowel to form a long $-\hat{o}z$, which I transcribe as a suffix. There is no contracted form of $H+w-\hat{e}y$.

There is a striking similarity between singular demonstrative $H+w\hat{o}$ and nominal final/definite singular $-o+H\sim -a:+H$, especially the first allomorph, and between plural demonstrative $H+w-\hat{e}y$ and nominal definite plural -ey+H. However, they have different tones, since the demonstratives are <HL>-toned while the nominal suffixes are either H- or L-toned (depending on the tones of the stem). In addition, demonstrative $H+w\hat{o}$ and plural $H+w-\hat{e}y$ have an associated preceding H-tone than can be audibly expressed on an otherwise L-toned preceding syllable, as with 'grass' in (196). 'Dog' already has a final H-toned syllable, so it is not audibly affected by the gloating H.

(196)		'dog'	'grass'
	a. singular noun Fin/Def Sg Dem (two words) Dem (contracted)	háns-ó + H háns-ó wô háns-ô:	sùb-ò+H sùb-ó↑ wô sùb-ô: sùb-ô: wô
	Dem (doubled)	háns-ô: wô	sub-o. wo
	b. plural noun		
	DefPl	háns-éy + H	sùb-èy + H
	Dem (two words)	háns-éy w-êy	sùb-éy∱ w-êy
	Dem (contracted)	_	_

Contraction to $-\hat{o}$: can even occur with nouns ending in short a, as in $k\hat{o}yr\hat{a} + H$ 'village', $k\hat{o}yr\hat{a}$ $w\hat{o}$ or $k\hat{o}yr-\hat{o}$: 'this/that village'. However, the many nouns with long-voweled final/definite singular $-\hat{a}$:, and the handful of nouns with stem-final long a: (e.g. $?\hat{a}dd\hat{u}h\hat{a}$: 'mid-morning'), do not contract. V-final monosyllabic stems like $k\hat{u}$: 'yam' and $b\hat{e}$: 'borassus palm' likewise do not contract.

For comments on semantics and usage see §5.6.

4.4.2 Combinations of temporal noun plus demonstrative

Some of the more common combinations denoting the current ('this') time unit are given in (197). The contracted demonstrative type is productive and can be added to other time-of-day nouns such as those denoting one or another of the five daily Muslim prayers.

(197) Temporal adverbial phrases with demonstrative suffix

	form	free gloss	composition
a. suffixe	d		
	hànd-ô: sù-súb-ô: cígín-ô:	'this month' 'this morning' 'tonight'	month-DemSg morning-DemSg night-DemSg
b. phrasal	l <i>hóⁿ∱-wô</i>	'today'	day-DemSg

The form $h\delta^n f - w\hat{o}$ 'today' is rather frozen and I write it as one word. It is distantly related to $h\delta n - \delta + H$ 'day', but the contraction is ancient (e.g. KCh ho:"). The simple form $h\delta^n$ (L-toned) occurs in $h\delta l$ $h\delta^n$ 'until today'.

4.4.3 Spatial demonstrative adverbs

The major spatial demonstrative adverbs are those in (198).

(198) Spatial demonstrative adverbs and adverbial phrases

	form	gloss	comment
a.	né: †-wò	'here'	or <i>né:∱-⁴wô</i>
	nè:	'here'	
	$=n\grave{e}$	'here'	clitic
b.	nón-dì	'there' (definite)	
	nón-dí∱ ⁴wô	'there' (definite)	
	$= n\hat{o} \sim = n\hat{o}\eta \sim = n\hat{o}$	'there' (definite)	clitic
c.	héndî	'over there' (deictic)	
	héndí ⁴wô	'over there' (deictic)	

The combination #nè: héndí was rejected.

Some of the forms in (198) include demonstrative $w\hat{o}$ 'this/that'. $n\acute{o}n-d\acute{l}\uparrow$ ' $w\acute{o}$ and $h\acute{e}nd\acute{l}$ ' $w\acute{o}$ are less common than simple $n\acute{o}n-d\grave{l}$ and $h\acute{e}nd\acute{l}$. The $H+w\acute{o}$ in $d\acute{o}r\acute{l}-y\acute{a}$: ' $w\acute{o}$ is more tightly fused, since simple $\#d\acute{o}r\acute{l}-y\acute{a}$: is not in use. $n\acute{e}:\uparrow-w\acute{o}$ is the common nonclitic form for 'here', and I write it as one hyphenated word.

The forms in (198d) are not used by all HS speakers, but were common among my younger informants from Hombori itself. They may be related to $d\acute{u}w-\acute{o}+H$ 'place' (nonfinal $d\acute{e}y \sim d\acute{o}y$), and specifically to a 1Pl alienably possessed form $d\acute{u}w-\grave{e}y-nd\acute{i}y-\grave{a}$: 'our place'.

The clitics for 'here' and 'there (definite)' are especially common with locational-existential 'be' quasi-verbs (199), but can also follow true verbs and other predicates. $b\acute{a}r = n\grave{o}$ and $b\acute{a}r$ - $n\grave{e}$ are usually pronounced [bán: \grave{o}], [bán: \grave{e}] (§3.10.5). $H+g\grave{o}$: 'be' is upstepped when it combines with the clitics.

(199) quasi-verb gloss with 'there' with 'here'

a.
$$H+g\dot{o}$$
: 'be' $H+g\dot{o}$: $^{\dagger}=n\dot{o}$ $H+g\dot{o}$: $^{\dagger}=n\dot{e}$ bárâ 'X.be' bár=n \dot{o} bár-n \dot{e}

b. si : 'not be' si := $n\dot{o}$ si := $n\dot{e}$

Spatial adverbs can be combined with a juxtaposed more specific locational phrase, as in (200).

The basically nominal syntactic status of spatiotemporal adverbs is brought out by examples like (201), where 'here' functions as postverbal object of a VO verb 'see'.

(201)
$$\dot{\eta}$$
 dí: nè:
2Sg see here
'Did you-Sg see here (=this place)?"

A nuanced semantic distinction between $n\acute{e}$: \uparrow - $w\grave{o}$ and cliticized $=n\grave{e}$ is suggested by the contrast between (202a) and (202b). The temporal inflexibility of $=n\grave{e}$ suggests a tighter link to the here-and-now, as in presentatives with $n\grave{e}$: (§7.2.3.3). For $t\^{e}$ 'happen, take place, occur' see §7.1.1.3.

b. hàrg-ò té = nè
cold[noun]-Fin/DefSg happen/exist=here
'Cold weather is happening (now).'

4.4.4 Demonstrative expressions based on distal *yá*: 'there'

In contexts involving trajectories or vague location ('it ran this way', 'he will sit somewhere around here'), the demonstrative adverb system tends to reduce to a binary distinction between proximal *nè*: and distal *yá*:. (203) illustrates with approximative *hérê* 'around, through, along, in the general area of'.

(203) Spatial demonstrative adverbs with approximative hérê

form gloss comment

a. *nè: hérê* 'along here, around here' also *né: \(\eta \)*-wò hérê

b. *yá: hérê* 'along there, around there'

yá: but not nè: also occurs with cíné '(the) likes (of sth)' in the common manner adverbial expression yá:-cíné '(in) this manner'. A near-synonym is yá:-wò 'thus, like this/that', and yá:-cíné is perhaps best considered a reduction from yá:-wò cíné, which is also attested. yá: also occurs in yá:-dìn 'in that (same) way'. In (204b) yá:-wò functions as a noun.

- (204) a. yá:-cíné gá à bò: †hímà ŵ †tíy-à this.way Focus 3Sg XImpf.Infin ought Infin do-ResultPass 'This is the way it should be done.'
 - b. [yá:-wò cíl-ò] sù ká:n 's-êy [like.this image.PossSg] ImpfNeg be sweet to me '(Behavior) like this doesn't please me.'
 - c. [yá:-dìn dá] 'tê:-ŋ
 [like.that Emph] do-Imprt
 'Do like that.' (e.g., 'Keep doing what you've been doing.)

4.4.5 Emphatic and approximative modifiers of demonstratives

Emphatics of the type 'right here' can be expressed by adding emphatic $d\hat{a}$ or the all-purpose emphatic $j\hat{a}:t\hat{n}$ (<Fulfulde) after the basic adverbial expression. For 'right here' the forms with $d\hat{a}$ are $n\acute{e}:\uparrow$ -wò $d\hat{a}$ alongside $n\grave{e}:d\hat{a}$. For 'right there' we get $n\acute{o}n$ - $d\grave{n}$ $d\hat{a}$ (discourse-definite) or $n\acute{e}nd\hat{n}$ $d\hat{a}$ (deictic).

The most common form of the 'now' adverb is $m\grave{a}$ -sán-dâ (§5.13.2). A slightly more emphatic form is $m\grave{a}$ -sán-dá sà:tíyò. I have no other attestations of sà:tíyò, which may have originated as a diminutive of the noun sá:tê 'time, hour' (ultimately < Arabic).

Approximative *hérê* 'around (here/there)' is added to a spatial expression (NP or demonstrative adverb) to indicate either vague location or a trajectory through a space. Examples with demonstratives are in (203) in §4.4.4 above.

4.5 Nominalizations and nominal inflection

4.5.1 Verbal nouns in $-po\eta + H$

There are two productive verbal noun formations. The fully productive one (cf. English -ing) is that with suffix -non + H added to the verb stem, forming simple verbal nouns like those in English -ing. A homophonous suffix -non + H is used with nouns as an indefinite plural suffix (§5.4.11), but verbal nouns with -non + H take singular agreement. The floating H has audible effect in the verbal noun in (205), where it docks on the imperfective morpheme.

Examples of verbal noun -pon + H are in (206). The word-level phonology is regular. The suffix gets its tone by spreading from the final tone of the stem. If the stem-final syllable has <HL>-tone, the L is realized on the suffix and the preceding syllable is H-toned. The distinction between Cv and Cv: monosyllabics is preserved, unlike the case with -r-o + H verbal nouns.

(206) Verbal nouns in -pon

gloss	verb	VblN
a. bisyllabic		
{H}-toned		
'fall on buttocks'	dókó	dókó-ɲóŋ + H
'hit'	kárú	$k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ - $n\acute{o}\eta$ + H (see below)
$\{L\}$ -toned		
'walk'	dìrà	dìrà-nòŋ + H
'turn over'	bèrè	bèrè-nòŋ + H
{HL}-toned		
'eat breakfast'	fúmmê	fúmmé-nòŋ+H
'go in'	húrâ	húrá-ŋòŋ + H

```
b. CvC
  {H}-toned
                                                           f\acute{e}y-\eta\acute{o}\eta + H
    'separate'
                                     féy
  {L}-toned
    'scatter'
                                                           s \dot{e} y - n \dot{o} \eta + H
                                     sèv
   {HL}-toned
    'buy'
                                                           d\acute{e}y-n\grave{o}\eta + H
                                     dêy
c. Cv, Cv: (vowel-length distinction preserved)
  {H}-toned
     'arrive'
                                     tó:
                                                           t\acute{o}:-p\acute{o}\eta + H
  {L}-toned
    'want'
                                     bà
                                                           ba-non+H
    'come'
                                     kà
                                                           k\dot{a}-p\dot{o}\eta + H
    'take out'
                                     kà:
                                                           k\grave{a}:-p\grave{o}\eta + H
   {HL}-toned
    'happen'
                                     tê
                                                           t\acute{e}-n\grave{o}\eta + H
    'say'
                                                          n\acute{e}-n\grave{o}\eta + H
                                     пê
    'test'
                                     sî:
                                                           si:-n \partial \eta + H
d. trisyllabic
  {H}-toned
    'tell a lie'
                                     tángárí
                                                           tángárí-nón + H
   {L}-toned
    'treat (medically)'
                                     sàfàrì
                                                          sàfàrì-nòn + H
   {HL}-toned
    'calm down'
                                     súbúrî
                                                           súbúrí-nòn + H
  {LHL}-toned
     'get tired'
                                                          zàràbí-nòn + H
                                     zàràbî
```

KS $-ya\eta \sim -yan$ and H-toned TSK -yów are cognates of HS $-no\eta + H$, used in both verbal noun and indefinite plural function. Whereas KS allows $-ya\eta \sim -yan$ to take a definite singular suffix (the combination appearing as -yan-oo), in HS no suffixes can be added to $-no\eta + H$.

There is no -non + H verbal noun for the quasi-verbs $H + g \delta$: 'be' (in a location), its negation si: 'not be', $n \delta n$ 'it is ...' (identificational), copula ci 'be', or $t \delta n$: 'become'. For another quasi-verb, $b \delta n \delta n$ (X.be', which replaces $H + g \delta n$: in extraction constructions, $b \delta n \delta n \delta n$ (marginally) elicitable but seems rare.

In the case of transitive verbs that have an intransitive derivative with suffix $-\dot{a}$, the usual verbal noun is based on the intransitive derivative. It may be difficult to determine whether the derivative has unspecified object or resultative passive sense, though the semantic context may point to one or the other. In the absence of a context favoring a passive reading ('being hit'), the unspecified object reading ('hitting [things]') is preferred. Examples of the two senses are $k\dot{a}r-\dot{a}-p\dot{o}\eta+H$ in (207a-b). If there is an overt direct object, nominal or pronominal, the verbal noun is based directly on the transitive, as in (207c-d) with $k\dot{a}r\dot{u}-p\dot{o}\eta+H$.

- (207) a. k ar a n o g g = g = g f =
 - b. kàr-à-nòŋ [kàl gá:bì]
 hit-UnspecO-VblN [must strength]
 'Hitting (e.g., hammering) requires strength.'
 - c. gúr-ó kárú-nóŋ iron-Fin/DefSg hit-VblN 'tapping (=hammering) iron.'
 - d. *nèy kárú-nóŋ*1SgO hit-VblN
 'hitting me'
 - e. à [†] zàràbí dìrà-nòŋ 3Sg be tired walk-VblN 'He's tired from walking.'

Example (207a) shows that the verbal noun can function as a clausal argument, in this case subject. The -non+H verbal noun can be used as a citation form, as when an interlocutor queries a speaker metalinguistically as to the identity of a verb. However, more common in citation function is the bare infinitival form beginning with $k\hat{u}$, as in intransitive $k\hat{u} \uparrow d\hat{r}a$ 'to walk' and transitive (with nonreferential 3Sg object proclitic) $k\hat{u} \uparrow = \hat{y} \, ^t k \hat{a} r \hat{u}$ 'to hit'.

4.5.2 Verbal nouns in -r-o + H (nonfinal $-r-u \sim -ri$)

Almost as productive as -pon + H is a verbal noun suffix whose final singular form is -r-o+H. The nonfinal counterpart is usually -r-u, with a few cases of archaic <HL>-toned nonfinal $-r\hat{i}$ and one of nonfinal $-r\hat{e}$ after lexically $\{L\}$ -toned stem, see below. Both -r-u and -r-o+H are atonal, and acquire their tones by copying from the final tone of the stem. Unlike -pon + H, -r-o + H lengthens a preceding Cv stem to Cv:- (208c). Like -pon + H, -r-o + H is added to intransitivized forms of transitives, provided that the stem has an -a suffixed derivative (passive or unspecified-object) and that no object noun is overtly present.

(208) Verbal nouns in -r-o+H

gloss	verb	VblN	VblN gloss
a. bisyllabic			
$\{H\}$ -toned			
'be rotten'	fúmbú	fúmbú-r-ó+H	'rottenness'
'be bitter'	hóttó	hóttó-r-ó + H	'bitterness (taste)'
'be dry'	kó:gú	kó:gú-r-ó+H	'dryness'
'be expensive'	séndí	séndí-r-ó + H	'inflation, high cost'
$\{L\}$ -toned			
'pay (sb)'	bànà	bànà-r-ò+H	'(sb's) pay, wages'
'turn over'	bèrè	bèrè-r-ò + H	'overturning'
'walk'	dìrà	dìrà-r-ò + H	'departure, traveling'
'plant (crop)'	dùmà	dùmà-r-ò+H	'sowing, planting'
'cut-UnspecO'	dùmb-à	dùmb-à-r-ò+H	'cutting; destiny'
'shut-UnspecO'	dà:b-à	<i>dà:b-à-r-ò + H</i>	'lid, cover'
'be nasty'	fùtù	fùtù-r-ò+H	'nastiness'
	[c	ompare <i>fùtúr-ò+</i>	H'twilight']
'hit-UnspecO'	kàr-à	kàr-à-r-ò + H	'hitting'
'be angry'	zàhà	zàhà-r-ò+H	'anger'
{HL}-toned			
'be in seclusion'	dámbê	dámbé-r-ò+H	'prenuptial seclusion'
'eat breakfast'	fúmmê	fúmmé-r-ò+H	'breakfast'
'go far away'	mó:rû	mó:rú-r-ò+H	'distance'
'witness'	sé:dê	sé:dé-r-ò+H	'testimony'
b. CvC stems			
$\{H\}$ -toned			
'separate'	féy	féy-r-ó+H	'separation'
{HL}-toned			
'buy'	dêy	déy-r-ò+H	'purchase'
$\{L\}$ -toned			
'disperse'	sèy	sèy-r-ò+H	'dispersion, migration'
c. Cv, Cv: stems			
{H}-toned			
'arrive'	tó:	tó:-r-ó+H	'arrival'
{HL}-toned			
'test'	sî:	sí:-r-ò+H	'testing'
$\{L\}$ -toned			
'come'	kà	kà:-r-ò+H	'arrival (here)'
'take'	zà	<i>zà:-r-ò+H</i>	'taking'

fúmmê 'eat breakfast' also has a related noun *fúmmá:rî* 'breakfast', directly borrowed (like *fúmmê* itself) from Fulfulde.

The known cases of archaic nonfinal $-r\hat{\imath}$, compatible only with {L}-toned stems, are given in (209). Some have rather lexicalized senses. The final/definite singular form is still $-r-\hat{o}+H$, since the final/definite singular suffix cannot take a contoured tone, but in the {LHL} cases we hear the H-tone on the penult of the final/definite singular form, see §3.9.6.2.

(209) Verbal nouns with nonfinal -rî

Nonfinal $-r\hat{e}$ occurs in final/definite singular $d\hat{u}$:-r- \hat{o} +H, nonfinal $d\hat{u}$:- $r\hat{e}$ (alongside $d\hat{u}$:-r- \hat{u}) 'acquiring, getting' from $d\hat{u}$ 'get'.

(3Poss sòpt-á-r-ò)

(also sòtt-à etc.)

If a verb has a well-established lexicalized nominal in -i or -ey with roughly verbal-noun sense (next section), the -r-o+H form is not always elicitable. For example, from $d\acute{o}r\acute{u}$ 'be sick' the usual noun is $d\acute{o}r-\acute{i}$ (definite singular $d\acute{o}r-\acute{o}$), and $d\acute{o}r\acute{u}-r-\acute{o}$ is elicitable but apparently not in use. For the majority of verbs, though, it is possible to elicit a -r-o+H form.

The semantic difference between -nog + H and -r-o + H may be slight, but the former is always a pure, atemporal abstractive verbal noun while the latter tends to denote an event or a temporally located or bounded process. A few -r-o + H forms show further semantic specialization as **instrumental** nouns (e.g. 'close, shut' plus -r-o + H gives $d\hat{a}:b-\hat{a}-r-\hat{o}+H$ 'lid, cover', parallel to English *covering*).

4.5.3 Deverbal nominals in -i and -ey

A number of verbs have a related nominal in suffix -*i* or -*ey* instead of, or in addition to, the productive verbal noun with -*r*-*o*. There are some lexical idiosyncracies in the tonal relationships between the verb and the nominal.

The known examples of -i are in (210). The tones of the nominal are sometimes, but not always, related to those of the associated verb. For the -i nominals in (210a), the suffix -o + H is elicitated, e.g. before strong definite $H + d\hat{i}$, but is not in common use. The nominals in (210b) tend to restrict -i to nonfinal (NF) position, making it easier for nonfinal suffix -u to replace -i. When this replacement occurs, they merge with zero-derived nominals (§4.5.4).

(210) Nominals in -i

gloss

gloss

verb

verb

a. -i often used finally, -o + H limited to definite contexts

nominal

gloss

gloss

```
NF/FinSg DefSg
{L}-toned noun and verb
 'be healthy' bà:n
                           bà:n-ì
                                          b\dot{a}:n-\varnothing-\dot{o}+H 'peace, wellbeing'
                                          b \grave{o} : r - \varnothing - \grave{o} + H 'goodness, beauty'
 'be good' bòrì
                           bò:r-ì
 'add'
                                                              'addition'
               tòn-tòn tòn-tòn-ì
{H}/{L}-toned noun from {H} or {HL}-toned verb
 'weep'
               hé:n
                           hé:n-í
                                          h\grave{e}: n-\varnothing-\grave{o}+H 'weeping'
tone shift from \{H\} to \{L\} in (final-)definite singular (\{4.1.2.3\})
                           ká:n-í
 'be sweet' kâ:n
                                          k\grave{a}:n-\varnothing-\grave{o}+H 'sweetness'
 'speak'
                           sénn-í
                                          s \approx nn - \emptyset - \delta + H 'language, speech'
               sèlèn
```

b. -o + H often used finally, $-i \sim -u$ generally nonfinal only

```
Fin/Def Sg
                           NF
{H}-toned noun from {H}- or {HL}-toned verb
                            d\acute{o}r-\acute{i} \sim -\acute{u} \quad d\acute{o}r-\varnothing-\acute{o} + H
                                                              'disease, ailment'
  'be sick'
               dórú
as above but segment added, as in adjective (yèyn-\hat{o}+H 'cold')
  'be cold' vév
                            y\acute{e}yn-\acute{i} \sim -\acute{u} y\acute{e}yn-\varnothing-\acute{o}+H 'cold, cold weather'
as above but syncope and *rn \rightarrow nn, as in adj. konn-o + H 'hot'
                                           k\acute{o}nn-\varnothing-\acute{o}+H 'heat, hot weather'
  'be hot'
                kórón
                            kónn-ú
\{H\}-toned noun, semantically divergent from \{L\}-toned verb
  'plant crops'
                            dùmà
                                           dúm-í
                                                               d\acute{u}m-\varnothing-\acute{o}+H 'sowing,
                                                               planting;
                                                               race (ethnicity)'
{L}-toned noun from {H}- or {HL}-toned verb
  'be dirty' zí:bî
                           zì:b-ì
                                           zi:b-\emptyset-\dot{o}+H
                                                               'dirtiness'
```

nominal

```
'sing' d\hat{o}:n d\hat{o}:n-\hat{i} \sim -\hat{u} d\hat{o}:n-\mathcal{O}-\hat{o}+H 'song' 'dance' g\hat{a}:n g\hat{a}:n-\hat{i} \sim -\hat{u} g\hat{a}:n-\mathcal{O}-\hat{o}+H '(a) dance' as above but segment added, as in adjective (b\hat{u}:n-\hat{o}+H 'lethargic') 'die' b\hat{u}: b\hat{u}:n-\hat{u} b\hat{u}:n-\mathcal{O}-\hat{o}+H 'death'
```

 $-\emptyset$ - in the definite forms indexes the zeroing of the underlying -i. Elsewhere I do not usually include a $-\emptyset$ - of this type in transcriptions. Compare 'death' in the list above with the compound $g\acute{a}:-[b\acute{u}:n-\acute{i}]$ 'laziness', which consists of $g\acute{a}$: 'body' and a {H-toned verbal noun of $b\acute{u}$: 'die' (*b\acute{u}:n).

The known examples of -ey nominals are in (211). Note the predominant form $C\hat{v}C$ - $\hat{e}y$ with L.<HL> tones. When a final/definite singular or definite plural suffix is added, the nominal suffix (including the diphthong -ey) is segmentally obliterated, and the H-tone element in the <HL>-toned suffix is lost. The forms in -ey without further suffixation are in common use as abstract nouns, while the suffixed -o+H forms are mostly found before strong definite $H+d\hat{i}$ (and not always there). There are some additional nouns and compound finals of this shape that cannot be decomposed in the absence of an associated verb, e.g. $s\hat{a}$: $r\hat{e}y$ 'grave, tomb' (nonfinal form), $-t\hat{a}r\hat{e}y$ '-hood' (§4.8.7).

(211) Nominals in -ey

```
verb
                                        nominal
    gloss
                                                                      gloss
                                  NF/FinSg DefSg
a. \langle HL \rangle-toned -\hat{e}y
  after \{L\}-toned stem, regardless of tone of verb
                                                                      'respect, honor'
    'be big'
                       bé:rì
                                  bè:r-êy
                                                b\grave{e}:r-\varnothing-\grave{o}+H
    'walk'
                       dìrà
                                                 dìr-Ø-ò+H
                                                                      '(a) trip, travel'
                                  dìr-êy
    'be able'
                       hín
                                                hìn-\emptyset-\dot{o}+H
                                                                      '(the) means'
                                  hìn-êy
    'be difficult'
                                                                      'hardship'
                       séndí
                                  sènd-êy
                                                s \grave{e} n d - \emptyset - \grave{o} + H
    'become old'
                       zé:n
                                  zè:n-êy
                                                                      'old age'
    'be tired'
                                  -jèn-êy
                                                 -j \dot{e} \eta - \emptyset - \dot{o} + H
                                                                      'lack of ...' (§4.8.8)
                       jêη
  after {H}-toned stem
                                                hi:g-\emptyset-\grave{o}+H
    'marry'
                       hí:jî
                                  hí:j-êy
                                                                      'marriage'
                            (for j \sim g see §3.6.4)
b. L-toned -èy after {L}-toned stem, {HL}-toned verb, meals
    'eat lunch'
                       círgósû cirgòs-èy cirgòs-Ø-ò+H 'lunch'
                                                h\grave{a}wr-\varnothing-\grave{o}+H
    'eat supper'
                       háwrû
                                  hàwr-èy
                                                                      'supper'
c. H-toned -éy
    'go crazy'
                                                h \acute{o} l - \varnothing - \acute{o} + H
                       hóló
                                  hól-éy
                                                                      'craziness'
```

Again, $-\emptyset$ - in the definite forms indexes the zeroing of the underlying -ey.

A few additional examples of -i and -ey occur only in **compounds**. Several consist of 'heart' ($bin\dot{e}$) or 'head' ($bon\dot{e}$) as compound initial plus an abstractive nominal.

verb

bòŋ-[háw-éy] 'quandary'

There is often an associated compound adjective, and the abstractives could be considered deadjectival.

(212)Compounds

```
gloss
                               nominal
                                                      gloss
                          NF/FinSg DefSg
a. synonymous -i and -ey
                                     "heart-[be.sweet-Nom]"
   bìn-[ká:n-éy] 'joy'
   bìn-[ká:n-í]
   bòŋ-[ká:n-éy] 'good fortune'
                                     "head-[be.sweet-Nom]"
   bòŋ-[ká:n-í]
b. -ey
   bìn-[hásár-éy] 'disappointment'
                                     "heart-[ruin-Nom]"
   bòn-[cèyr-èy] 'foolishness'
                                     "head-[break-Nom]"
                                     "head-[open-Nom]"
                 'culture'
   bòη-[fér-éy]
   bòŋ-[fút-éy]
                 'bad luck'
                                     "head-[be.bad-Nom]"
```

In isolation, when the final is {H}-toned, as in $bin-[k\acute{a}:n-\acute{e}y]$ and $b\grave{o}\eta-[f\acute{u}t-\acute{e}y]$, I often hear the initial as likewise H-toned. However, with 3Sg possessor the initial's L-tone is clear: \hat{a}^{\dagger} bìn-ká:n- \hat{o} 'his joy'.

"head-[tie-Nom]"

'Fever' is bòŋ-[sàr-ò+H], lit. "head-jumping," cf. sárú 'jump'. The nonfinal form is bòn-[sàr-û] or (archaic) bòn-[sàrî]. The forms suggest a possible origin as a haplologically reduced form of *bon-[sarù-rî], rather than as a derivative in -í.

'Fear [noun]' is expressed as the compound hámbúrú-kúbéy, cf. verb hámbúrú 'be afraid'. For the compound final cf. $k\dot{u}b$ - $\acute{o}+H$ 'darkness'. The existence of a noun $h\acute{a}mb\acute{u}r-\acute{o}+H$ 'head hair' may have motivated the development of a compound.

4.5.4 Zero-derived nominals and minor nominalizations (-réy, -ów, -mî)

In (213a), the verb and the associated noun are identically tonally and segmentally. Final/definite singular -o + H is strictly definite in function and is not widely used. Because the stems end in ey, it is also possible that the nouns have the -ey suffix described in the preceding section, contracting with the stem-final ey. The example in (213b) has {H}-toned verb and {L}-toned noun, compare the meal terms 'lunch' and 'supper' in (211b) in the preceding section.

(213) Zero-derived verbal nouns

```
verb
                                   nominal
                                                        nominal gloss
   gloss
                              NF/FinSg DefSg
a. verb and noun {H}-toned
   'trust'
                  ná:néy
                              ná:néy
                                          [not used]
                                                         'trust [noun]'
   'converse'
                  fóká:réy
                              fóká:réy
                                          f\acute{o}k\acute{a}:r-\acute{o}+H 'conversation'
b. verb {H}-toned, noun {L}-toned
   'get clothes' báŋká:réy bàŋkà:rèy bàŋkà:r-ò+H 'clothing'
```

There are also some examples of more or less zero-derived nominals whose -o + H suffixed form is now lexically basic. For example, verb $t\hat{u}f\hat{e}y$ 'spit' is associated with the noun $t\hat{u}f-\hat{o}+H$ '(gob of) spit'. The nonfinal form is either $t\hat{u}f-\hat{u}$ or (probably archaic) $t\hat{u}f\hat{e}y$, the latter variant revealing the zero derivation.

There are only a handful of minor nominal suffixes (with verbal-noun-like sense) that remain to be accounted for. The cases in (214) are abstractive, and the forms in *-réy* and *-ów* are regularly used in NP-final as well as nonfinal position. The definite forms are occasionally used before a demonstrative or strong definite morpheme.

(214) Minor nominal types (-réy, -ów)

gloss	verb	nom NF/Final		gloss
aréy 'know' 'possess'	béy mèy		•	'knowledge, wisdom' 'taking possession'
bów 'malfunction'	hàsàrâ	hásár-ów	_	'damage, malfunctioning'

Regarding (214b), there is a transitive verb *hásár-ów* 'cause damage', but it may be a secondary denominative from the verbal noun *hásár-ów*.

There are a few nominals in $-m-\dot{o}+H$, with an archaic nonfinal form $-m\hat{i}$. Only 'farming' is currently in wide use (215).

(215) Nominals in $-m-\dot{o}+H$, nonfinal $-m\hat{i}$

gloss	verb	nominal		gloss	
		Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg		
'weave'	cèy	cèy-mî ~ -m-ù	cèy-m-ò+H	'sth woven' [rare]	
'buv'	dêv	dèv-mî ∼ -m-ù	dèv-m-ò+H	'(a) purchase' [rare]	

```
'farm' f \grave{a} r \grave{u} f \grave{a} r - m \hat{\iota} \sim -m - \hat{u} f \grave{a} r - m - \hat{o} + H 'farming, agriculture' 
'sit' g \grave{o} r \grave{o} g \grave{o} r - m \hat{\iota} \sim -m - \hat{u} g \grave{o} r - m - \hat{o} + H 'sitting' [rare]
```

dèy-mì was recognized by a young informant only in the compound mè:-[dèy-m-ò] (lit. "mouth-purchase"), which denotes a traditional prestation by a young man to his betrothed prior to the wedding. The same speaker recognized cèy-mì only in [túŋg-ú]-[cèy-m-ò] 'woven wrap (woman's garment)', traditionally made by local weavers.

An unusual form is $m\acute{e}$ -[fér-méy] 'prayer at the time of breaking one's fast (in Ramadan). It is based on $m\acute{e}$ 'mouth' and férí 'untie' (compare the antonym háw-mê 'fast' from háw 'tie' and $m\acute{e}$ 'mouth').

There is no productive instrumental nominalization, but note the archaic survival in (216), cf. KS verb *ha:bu* 'sweep' and instrumental nominal *ha:bi-rji* 'broom'.

(216) Archaic instrument nominal

gloss verb nominal gloss Nonfinal Fin/Def Sg 'sweep'
$$fi:s\hat{\imath}$$
 $fi:s\hat{\imath}gi \sim fi:s\hat{\imath}g-\hat{\imath}$ $fi:s\hat{\imath}g-\hat{\imath}+H$ 'broom'

4.5.5 Characteristic nominals (-kòynì, -kòm, kóy)

The derivational suffixes (or specialized compound finals) in this section can be loosely called **characteristic** (abbreviation Char) since the resulting nominal denotes a fixed attribute of a person.

The known cases of derivational suffix $-k \grave{o}yn \grave{i}$ are in (217). The suffix is added directly to a noun stem, as in a tight compound, and may be glossed 'possessor of X', especially where X denotes a stable, socially significant attributes. The final/definite singular form is $-k \grave{o}yn - \grave{o} + H$ and is generally restricted to definite function. The **stem drops tones** to $\{L\}$, so the entire word is $\{L\}$ -toned.

In a few cases like 'sleeping person' (217c), one can argue that the compound initial is really a verb (the derivative then being agentive-like), but we could also consider the possibility of a zero-derived nominal.

(217) Characteristic nominals in -kòynì

gloss	noun	Characteristic	gloss
a. L-toned noun			
'peace, health'	bà:n-ì	[bà:n-ì]-kòynì	'sb with no cares'
'head'	bòŋ	bòŋ-kòynì	'chief'
'thing; wealth'	hàyà	hàyà-kòynì	'wealthy person'
b. H-toned noun			
'knowledge'	béy-réy	[bèy-rèy]-kòynì	'sage, expert'

```
'disease'
                       d\acute{o}r-\varnothing-\acute{o}+H [d\acute{o}r-\grave{u}]-k\acute{o}yn\grave{i}
                                                             'sick person'
                                                             'fetish owner'
    'idol (fetish)'
                       t\acute{o}:r-\acute{o} + H
                                       [tò:r-ù]-kòynì
c. ...<HL>-toned (or HL) noun
    'sleep [verb]'
                      jìrbî
                                       jìrbì-kòynì
                                                             'sleeping person'
    'strength'
                                                             'powerful person'
                       gá:bì
                                       gà:bì-kòynì
    'belly'
                                       gùndè-kòynì
                                                             'pregnant woman'
                       gùndê
    'hunger'
                       hèrêy
                                       hèrèy-kòynì
                                                             'malnourished one'
    'house'
                       húw-ó+H
                                       hù-kòynì
                                                             'head of family'
                  [nonfinal form hû]
    'livestock
                       jáwdî
                                       jàwdì-kòynì
                                                             'wealthy person'
    'day; success'
                       zá:nî
                                       zà:nì-kòynì
                                                             'successful person'
```

Suffix $-k \delta m$ (final/definite singular $-k \delta m - \delta + H$) is attested in $h \delta l - k \delta m - \delta + H$ 'crazy person; person in trance (spirit possession)', cf. $h \delta l \delta$ 'become crazy'.

Where a person is defined by reference to a dwelling, a vehicle, a workplace, working gear, or a similar object, a possessive construction ending in $k \acute{o} y - \acute{o} + H$ (nonfinal $k \acute{o} y$) 'owner of' can be used. Here $k \acute{o} y - \acute{o} + H$ takes its regular PossSg (alienably possessed) form $k \acute{o} y - \acute{o}$ without floating H. Examples are in (218).

(218) Characteristic nominals with kóy-ò

gloss	Fin/Def Sg	Characteristic	gloss
'store, shop' 'country, land' 'house'	gánd-à: +H	bítíg-ò kóy-ò gánd-à: kóy-ò húw-ó kóy-ò	'shopkeeper' 'landowner' 'homeowner'
'vehicle, truck' 'table, selling stand'	$m\grave{o}b\emph{i}l-\grave{o}+H$	mòbíl-ò kóy-ò	'truck owner' 'pedlar with stand'

The nonfinal form kôy is seen in bítígí 'kôy fó: 'one shopkeeper' (bítígî).

Unpossessed, uncompounded $k \acute{o} y - \acute{o} + H$ is used in discourse as an informal anaphoric expression denoting someone who has been introduced into the discourse earlier (generally without a name). Rough English equivalents are forms like *the guy*, as in "if somebody_x comes and nobody is here, I'll leave a note so the guy can find me." Local French *l'intéressé(e)* is also used like this. $k\acute{o} y - \acute{o} + H$ may also be related to the noun $k\acute{o} - k\acute{o} y - \acute{o} + H$ 'chief, headman, king'.

4.5.6 Participle $-\dot{a}nt-\dot{o}+H$ and ordinal $-\dot{a}nt-\dot{o}+H$

The **participial** suffix -ànt-ò can be added to many (but not all) verbs to create an adjective. The participle denotes characteristic or at least relatively stable attributes ('disgusting', 'living', 'clean') of the entity in question, often focusing on behavioral patterns with a tinge of moral evaluation. It is not elicitable with verbs denoting atelic processes ($\#g\grave{a}:n-\grave{a}nt-\grave{o}+H$ 'dancing') or e.g. with stance verbs in their core sense

(#gòr-ànt-ò+H 'sitting'). The entire word is {L}-toned, erasing lexical tones of the verb stem. The suffix reflects *-ante (unsuffixed form). Some speakers still have -àntè as nonfinal form (i.e. before another modifier), but many use the productive nonfinal suffix -u, hence -ànt-ù, in this position. The final/definite singular form -ànt-ò+H will be the citation form.

(219) Examples of participial $-\dot{a}nt-\dot{o}+H$

gloss	verb	Participial	gloss	
'be disgusting'	dá:yê	dà:y-ànt-ò+H	'disgusting'	
'stand, stop'	gâ:y	gà:y-ànt-ò+H	'straight'	
'be alive'	húná	hùn-ànt-ò + H	'living, alive'	
'be unkempt'	húsú	hùs-ànt-ò+H	'unkempt'	
'be rude'	hínsî	hìns-ànt-ò+H	'rude'	
'be last'	kò-kòrù-bándé		'last (in order)'	
		kò-kòrù-bànd-ànt-ò+	H	
'do poorly'	làgàrè	làgàr-ant-ò+H	'fragile'	
'be wet'	tá:y	tà:y-ànt-ò+H	'wet'	
irregular				
'be clean'	hìnèŋ	hìnw-ànt-ò+H	'clean'	

For irregular 'clean' in (219), there is a variant participle hin-ant-an

Cv and Cv: monosyllabic stems lack participles, probably because of phonological difficulties (avoidance of #C-àntò +H with the stem reduced to a consonant). Informants rejected participles for e.g. $t\acute{o}$: 'be full' and $t\acute{a}$: 'sew', where the semantics would seem to be favorable.

Ordinal $-ànt-\grave{o}+H$ is not distinguishable formally from participial $-\grave{a}nt-\grave{o}+H$ (in some other Songhay languages they are distinct at least in the final/definite singular form). Examples including the numerals '2-10' are in (220). Numerals from '2' to '5', along with their ordinals, take absolute prefix $?\grave{i}-H$ when not modifying a preceding noun, hence $?\grave{i}-h\acute{i}\eta k\acute{a}$ 'two (of them)', ordinal $?\grave{i}-h\acute{i}\eta k-\grave{a}nt-\grave{o}$ 'the second one'.

(220) Examples of ordinal $-\dot{a}nt-\dot{o}+H$

gloss	numeral	ordinal	gloss
'two' 'three' 'four' 'five' 'six' 'seven' 'eight'	híŋká hínzâ tá:cí gú: ?íddû ?íyyê yá:hâ	hingk-ant-o+H $hinz-ant-o+H$ $ta:k-ant-o+H$ $guw-ant-o+H$ $idduw-ant-o+H$ $iyy-ant-o+H$ $ya:h-ant-o+H$	'second' 'third' 'fourth' 'fifth' 'sixth' 'seventh' 'eighth'

```
'nine' yágg\hat{a} y\grave{a}gg-\grave{a}nt-\grave{o}+H 'ninth' 
'ten' w\acute{o}y w\grave{o}y-\grave{a}nt-\grave{o}+H 'tenth' 
'twenty' w\grave{a}r\acute{a}nk\grave{a} w\grave{a}r\grave{a}nk-\grave{o}+H 'twentieth' 
'thirty' w\grave{a}r\acute{a}nz\grave{a} w\grave{a}r\grave{a}nz-\grave{a}nt-\grave{o}+H 'thirtieth'
```

There is a suppletive ordinal j(in-o+H 'first', cf. f(i): 'one' and its definite singular f(iy-a): +H $\sim f$ (iw-a): +H. However, for complex numerals ending in f(i): an ordinal ending in f(iy-a): +H is used: i0 f0: 'eleven', i1 f0: 'eleven', i2 f1 f3: an ordinal ending in f3: f3: f4: f4: and 'formula f3: and 'formula f4: and 'f

4.5.7 Agentive $-k-\hat{o}+H$ (nonfinal $-k\hat{o}w$)

The agentive is productive. It can be used to denote someone currently engaged in an activity, but it is especially common in terms for occupations or other enduring statuses defined by reference to an activity. The verb is tone-dropped to $\{L\}$. The suffix itself has a $\langle HL \rangle$ -toned nonfinal form $-k \hat{o} w$, with a diphthong reminiscent of that of adjectives. The final/definite singular suffix complex is L-toned $-k - \hat{o} + H$, and the definite plural is $-k - \hat{e} v \sim -c - \hat{e} v$. Both $-k - \hat{o} + H$ and $-k \hat{o} w$ can be used NP-finally.

(221) Examples of agentive $-k\hat{o} + H$

gloss	verb	agentive	gloss
'walk, travel'	dìrà	dìrà-k-ò+H	'traveler'
'announce'	fê	fè:-k-ò+H	'town crier'
'look'	gùnà	gùnà-k-ò+H	'seer (e.g. fortune-teller)'
'ask'	há:n	$h\grave{a}:^{n}-k-\grave{o}+H$	'asker, suitor'
'hunt'	hó:	hò:-k-ò+H	'hunter'
'shout'	ká:tí	kà:tì-k-ò + H	'muezzin (calls to prayer)'
'sew'	tá:	<i>tà:-k-ò+H</i>	'tailor'
'eat'	ŋà:	ŋà:-k-ò + H	'eater'

For transitive verbs that have an $-\dot{a}$ derivative, the simple uncompounded agentive is based on this derivative (probably in unspecified-object function, §6.2.3). Alternatively, agentives from transitive verbs can incorporate an object noun, which precedes the verb in a tight compound. See §4.8.2 for examples and discussion.

4.6 Morphology of adjectives

4.6.1 Verbs of adjectival quality

Most prototypical adjectival senses are represented in HS by word families comprising an **inchoative verb** ('X become big, grow up'), a causative verb with **factitive** sense ('make X big, cause X to increase'), and a modifying **adjective** ('big'). In addition to the standard verbal nouns in -pon+H and/or -r-o+H (§4.5.1-2), there may be other nominalizations with abstractive or more specialized senses (see §4.5.3-4).

Since e.g. inchoative 'it became red' normally implies 'it is red', the perfective (aspectually unmarked) verb form is used where English would use the present tense to denote a stable state: \hat{a}^{\dagger} bòrì 'it became (and is) good'. The inchoative verb is therefore the basic predicative form, and morphologically it can be taken as the core of its word family, the other forms being derived by affixation. However, there is considerable lexicalization of the adjectival forms (next section). Examples of verbs of adjectival quality are bòrì 'be good, be pretty', $k\hat{a}$:n 'be sweet; (blade) be sharp', $s\acute{e}nd\acute{i}$ 'be hard (solid), difficult, expensive', and $t\acute{a}$:y 'be wet'. The word-family revolving around $k\hat{a}$:n includes causative $k\acute{a}$:n- $\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ 'sweeten; sharpen (blade)', adjective $k\grave{a}$:n- \grave{o} w 'sweet; sharp', and noun $k\grave{a}$:n- $\^{i}$ 'sweetness; sharpness' (§4.5.3).

4.6.2 Adjectives as noun modifiers

Adjectives are used as modifiers, generally postnominal. The absolute prefix ?i-+H is used when no noun is present. When a $\{L\}$ -toned noun precedes a $\{L\}$ -toned adjective, the first syllable of the adjective is raised to H-tone, as in $h \grave{e}yn-\grave{u} \uparrow b \acute{a}:n-\grave{o}w$ 'soft (=well-pounded) millet grain'; see Adjectival Tone-Raising (§3.9.4.2). The same adjective occurs with $\{L\}$ melody in $g\acute{u}r-\acute{u} b\grave{a}:n-\grave{o}w$ 'soft metal'. In $h\acute{u} 'k\acute{a}:r\acute{e}y$ 'a white house', a $\{H\}$ -toned adjective is downstepped as the L-tone of $/h\^{u}/$ 'house' is delinked

4.6.2.1 {L}-toned with nonfinal $-\partial w$ (regular type)

Many verbs of adjectival quality have a related postnominal adjective, which follows a noun in nonfinal (NF) form. If no noun is present, the adjective can function absolutely ('the big one') but in this case requires absolute prefix 2i - H (see the next section).

The regular nonfinal form for adjectives ends in $-\partial w$. For systematic tonal variant $-\partial w$ see §4.6.2.5 and §4.2.6.8. (I have occasionally heard $-\partial w$ in the adjectives covered here.) $-\partial w$ as a suffix is mainly adjectival, though some underived and derived nouns also end in this diphthong, see (139b) in §4.1.2.10, §4.5.4, and §4.5.7. In the final/definite singular form, the suffix $-\partial + H$ is arguably added to $-\partial w$, which is then segmentally deleted, arguably by contraction of $/-\partial w$ -o/ to $-\partial$, see Prevocalic

Diphthong-Deletion (§3.7.2). The morphophonology is not synchronically transparent.

Adjectival suffix -ow is still sometimes heard in NP-final position, but as with nouns, the tendency in HS is to generalize -o + H in NP-final position. Final/definite singular -o + H in nouns is frequently associated with nonfinal -u, and there is a weaker tendency for -u to replace nonfinal -ow in adjectives as well.

(222) Regular {L}-toned adjectives

verb gloss		adjective		
		Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg	
a. CvC verb				
verb {H}-tone	ed			
nín	'be ripe; be cooked'	nìn-òw	nìn-ò+H	
verb {HL}-ton	ned			
hây	'be (wide) open'	hày-òw	hày-ò+H	
mân	'be near, approach'	màn-òw	màn-ò+H	
tîn	'be heavy'	tìn-òw	tìn-ò+H	
b. Cv:C verb				
verb {H}-tone	ed			
tá:y	'be wet, moist'	tà:y-òw	<i>tà:y-ò+H</i>	
zé:n	'be old'	zè:n-òw	zè:n-ò+H	
verb {HL}-ton	ned			
kâ:n	'be sweet, sharp'	kà:n-òw	kà:n-ò + H	
verb {L}-tone	d			
bà:n	'be soft'	bà:n-òw	bà:n-ò+H	
dò:n	'be easy, lightweight'	dò:n-òw	dò:n-ò+H	
c. V-final bisyll	abic verb			
verb {HL}-ton	ned			
fúmbú	'be rotten'	fùmb-òw	$f \grave{u} m b - \grave{o} + H$	
gání	'be unripe, raw, fresh'	gàn-òw	gàn-ò+H	
kású	'be coarse'	kàs-òw	kàs-ò+H	
kó:gú	'be dry, harden'	kò:g-òw	k∂:g-∂+H	
hóttó	'be bitter'	hòtt-òw	$h \partial t t - \partial + H$	
mórú	'be sour'	mòr-òw	mòr-ò + H	
séndí	'be hard, expensive'	sènd-òw	sènd-ò+H	
yúltú	'be smooth'	yùlt-òw	yùlt-ò+H	

verb {HL}-	toned		
léptê	'be flat'	lèpt-òw	lèpt-ò + H
[als	so <i>léttê</i> , etc.]		
mó:rû	'be/go far away'	mò:r-òw	mò:r-ò+H
zí:bî	'be dirty'	zì:b-òw	z ì: b - \grave{o} + H
verb {L}-to	ned		
tèfèy	'(land) be flat, broad'	tèf-òw	tèf-ò + H
verb {LHL}	-toned		
là:lâ	'be bad'	là:1-òw	<i>là:1-ò + H</i>

For $h\hat{a}y$ 'be open', some speakers reject the adjectival forms in favor of relativeclause equivalents (... $g\hat{a}$ $h\hat{a}y$ - \hat{a} 'which is open'). The noun-adjective phrase $w\hat{o}y$ $h\hat{a}y$ - \hat{o} + H 'woman who has given birth' involves a different verb $h\hat{a}y$ 'give birth' and is not comparable to adjectival constructions (§4.6.2.10).

Some adjectival forms described below compete with deverbal participles of similar meaning (§4.5.6), e.g. $nin-\dot{o}+H$ alongside $nin-\dot{a}nt-\dot{o}+H$ 'ripe, cooked'. In this case, using meat as an example, a speaker explained that $nin-\dot{o}+H$ indicates that the meat is 'done' (fully cooked), while $nin-\dot{a}nt-\dot{o}+H$ can mean either 'done' or 'nearly done, in the process of becoming fully cooked'.

4.6.2.2 {L}-toned with nonfinal $-\partial w$ (minor segmental irregularities)

The adjectives in (223), like those in the preceding section, are $\{L\}$ -toned, but there are segmental discrepancies between verb and adjective. In (223a), the verb is C_Iv ; while the adjective is based on C_Iv : C_I - with a reduplicated appearance. For the historical background of $b\acute{a}$: 'be many, much' see §3.10.1. In (223b), the adjective is based on a version of the verb with an extra n (at least for 'die', the n is etymologically accurate, having been lost in the verb, §3.10.4). In (223c), the verb $k\acute{o}r\acute{o}n$ undergoes syncope (§3.7.3) of the second vowel, then (except in archaic pronunciations) assimilation of /rn/ to nn (§3.10.5).

(223) Segmentally irregular {L}-toned adjectives

	verb	gloss	adjective	
			Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg
a.	bá:	'be many, much'	bò:-b-òw	<i>bò:-b-ò+H</i>
b.	bú:	'die, be dead' [adjective means '	<i>bù:n-òw</i> lethargic, feeble	<i>bù:n-ò+H</i> ', not 'dead']
	yéy	'be cold'	yèyn-òw	yéyn-ó+H
c.	kórón	'be hot'	kònn-òw ∼ kòrn-òw	kònn-ò+H ∼ kòrn-ò+H

See also the phonologically similar suffixally derived factitive (causative) verbs in (332) in §6.2.2.

4.6.2.3 {L}-toned *bè:rì* 'big' and related forms

'Big' is unusual in lacking even an optional nonfinal variant in -ow. Instead, the original form $b\hat{e}:r\hat{\imath}$ 'big' is still widely used, even in final position (224). Also belonging to this word family is the kin term $b\hat{e}:r\hat{e}$ 'elder same-sex sibling', whose antonym $c\hat{e}yn\hat{e}$ 'younger same-sex sibling' was once similarly parallel to an adjective meaning 'small' (KCh ciina, KS keyna) that has been lost in HS.

(224) 'Big' (no final singular suffix)

verb	gloss	adjective
bé:rî	'be big, grow'	<i>bè:rì</i> (nonfinal; final-singular) <i>bè:r-ò + H</i> (definite singular)

4.6.2.4 {L}-toned quadrisyllabic iterations with final ey in the verb

For the iterated (fully reduplicated) adjectives in (225), whose verb ends in a diphthong, I was able to elicit an *-ow* form with some difficulty.

(225) Quadrisyllabic stem-iterated adjectives

verb	gloss	adjective	
		Nonfinal	FinSg
mótú-mótéy	'be soggy'	mòtù-mòt-òw	mòtù-mòt-ò+H
pótú-pótéy	'be slimy'	pòtù-pòt-òw	pòtù-pòt-ò+H
bítí-bítí	'be soiled'	[unattest	ed]

4.6.2.5 {HL}-toned reduplicated $C\acute{v}$ - $C\acute{v}$ adjectives ($k\acute{u}$ - $k\^{u}$, $b\acute{i}$ - $b\acute{i}$)

There are also a small number of adjectives with lexical {HL} melody in the nonfinal form. The suffixed final/definite singular form is {H}-toned, so these adjectives belong to the {HL}/{H} type. See the following section for nonreduplicative adjectives of this type.

The reduplicated adjectives are in (226).

(226) Bisyllabic reduplicated adjectives with {HL} melody

	verb	gloss	Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg
a.	bí-bî	'be black'	bí-bî ∼ bí-b-ôw	bí-b-ó+H
b.	kú: ~ kú-kû	'be long, tall'	kú-kû	kú-kû ∼ kú-k-ó+H

'Black' has a bisyllabic reduplicated shape similar to that of 'long'. A nonfinal variant with $-\partial w$ is attested alongside bi-bi. Whereas 'long' has both unreduplicated and reduplicative verb variants, no unreduplicated verb meaning 'be black' is attested. However, with the semantics stretched a little, note unreduplicated verb $bi \sim bi$: 'get dark (at night)' and unreduplicated noun $biy-\partial + H$ 'shade, shadow' (nonfinal bi:). For unreduplicated diminutive -b-iya in two bird names see (254a) in §4.9.5.

In nonfinal $k\acute{u}$ - $k\^{u}$, I am reluctant to segment the final vowel as a nonfinal suffix $(k\acute{u}$ -k- \hat{u}), since $k\acute{u}$ - $k\^{u}$ is identical to the reduplicated variant of the verb. The transcription $k\acute{u}$ - $k\^{u}$ is also parallel to $b\acute{i}$ - $b\^{i}$. The nonfinal form $k\acute{u}$ - $k\^{u}$ is also sometimes found in final position.

4.6.2.6 Unreduplicated {HL}-toned color adjectives (ká:rêy, círêy)

The other {HL}/{H}-type adjectives are the two primary color terms other than 'black' (which is treated in the preceding section). 'Red' and 'white' (227) differ from 'black' (and from 'long, tall') in having no reduplicative features. They also avoid the -ow suffix in the nonfinal form. The associated verbs are {L}-toned.

(227) Primary color adjectives, {HL}-toned

	verb	gloss	Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg
a.	cìrèy	'be red'	círêy	cír-ó+H
b.	kà:rèy	'be white'	ká:rêy	ká:r-ó+H

4.6.2.7 Other color-related expressions

For the record, other color-related stems are:

- a) The defective noun *túrî* 'yellow thing' (no final/definite suffix allowed) is used as a compound final: *háw-¹túrî* 'a/the yellow cow', plural *háw-¹túrí-pòŋ+H* 'a/the yellow cows', there is also a verb *?ó:ldê* 'become yellow' from Fulfulde.
- b) 'blue' has a defective noun bákâ 'blue dye', as in háw-'bákâ 'a/the blue cow'. It is treated grammatically like túrî 'yellow'. Another word for 'blue' is bùlà-búlà. It is common in languages of the region, and seems to derive from a commercial detergent brand name.
 - c) gà:rúr-à: is a noun-like adjective or compound final 'green'.

d) $b\acute{o}:s-\acute{o}+H$ 'ashes' can be used to mean 'ash-colored, grey'.

Other color-related terms include the verb *tósê* 'be spotted' (< Fulfulde) and the adjective *cí:fí-cá:fá* 'multicolored'.

4.6.2.8 Diminutive adjectives (*bór-yá*, *ká(t)-íyá*, etc.)

A few adjectives consistently have diminutive morphology, consistent with senses denoting below-norm measures on scales. Even the corresponding verbs end in (i)ya or i that might be segmented as a diminutive allomorph, otherwise absent from verbal morphology. These word families are analysed in more depth in §4.9.8. The basic forms (omitting some variants) are repeated here without commentary (228).

(228) Adjectives with diminutive morphology

verb	gloss	adjective	
		Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg
a. verb {L}-tor	ned with <i>ìyà</i> , NF {LH	IL}-toned, Fin/D	Oef Sg {H}-toned
dùccìyà	'be short'	dùcc-ìy-ôw	dúcc-íyá + H
dùŋgùryà	'be short'	dùŋgùr-y-ôw	dúŋgúr-íyá + H
kàtìyà	'be small, young'	kàt(t)-íy-ôw	kát(t)-íyá + H
b. verb {L}-tor	ned with i		
màrì	'be slender'	màr-y-ôw	már-yá + H
bòrì	'be good, pretty'	bòr-y-ôw	bór-yá + H

màrì and related forms can also mean 'insignificant, petty'.

The NP-final and definite forms in (228) are shown with floating H that is expressed on a following word, as in (229). The tonal effect could alternatively be attributed to Rightward H-Spreading (§3.9.5.3).

```
(229) [wòy bór-yá] †dírà
/[wòy bór-yá+H] dìrà/
[woman good/pretty-Dimin] walk/travel
'A/The pretty woman traveled.'
```

4.6.2.9 'Empty'

'Empty' is often expressed by a circumlocution like 'X that nothing is in' (230a). For the semantic range 'empty', 'bare', 'naked', 'unaccompanied', there is also a word-family including a noun $k \delta : n - \hat{o} + H$ (dialectally also $k \delta : n \hat{a}$). This has the form of an alienably possessed noun, but it is preceded by an independent (e.g. final/definite singular) noun as in (230b) or by an independent pronoun (e.g. $2 \acute{a} y k \grave{o} : n - \hat{o}$ 'I alone'). More common is a predicative construction of the type 'X that is its (own)

emptiness', with 3FullSg ?áŋgâ as possessor in reflexive sense. The simple predicative construction is seen in (230c), and a relative-clause version in (230d).

- (230) a. kùs-ò gâ bá:y-à: sí: [à† gà] waterjar-Fin/DefSg Rel nothing not.be [3Sg in] 'a/the waterjar that nothing is in it'

 - c. kùs-ò gá
 waterjar-Fin/DefSg Rel
 [[?áŋgá kò:n-ó] 'nôŋ] dì
 [[3ReflSgP emptiness-PossSg] be] StDef
 'the waterjar (or: clay pot) that is empty" (lie. "that is its emptiness")
 - d. [kùs-é dì] [ʔáŋá kò:n-ó] ¹nôŋ
 [waterjar-1SgP StDef] [3ReflSgP emptiness.3PossSg] be
 'my waterjar (or: clay pot) is empty'.

kò:nô (dialectally kò:nâ) can also be used as a verb. In this case I do not hyphenate it, as it makes no sense to analyse it as a possessed noun. As a verb, it can co-occur with the usual pre-VP inflectional particles.

(231) à' màn /kó: 'nô 3SgS PerfNeg be.empty 'It did not get emptied.'

The unsuffixed form $k\acute{o}$:ⁿ is also used in the same contexts, i.e. as noun or verb, instead of $k\grave{o}$: $n-\^{o}$.

(232)[[[húw-ó gà:] kó:ŋ] kún-ò] [[[house-3Sg.Inal bare interior-Sg] in] 1górò] gá à bárá ſŵ Focus 3Sg X.be [Infin sit] 'He just sits inside the house' (lit. "inside the house alone [focus] ...")

4.6.2.10 Adjectives or compound finals from action verbs

Adjectives generally correspond to verbs of adjectival quality like 'be(come) red'. However, there are also scattered compound-like expressions whose final appears to

be an adjectivalized action verb. The compound (or noun-adjective) denotes an individual in the resulting state. Two examples from the dictionary:

```
(233)
            compound
                             gloss
                                                     related verb(s)
       a. non-bahuvrihi
            wòy bér-ó+H
                             'transformed woman'
                                                     béré 'be transformed'
                                                     bèrè 'flip, change (sth)'
            wòy háy-ó+H
                              'woman who has given birth' háy 'give birth'
            wòy hí:g-ó+H
                              'married woman'
                                                     hí:jî 'get married'
                                                     fàrù 'work a millet field'
            hàr fàr-ò + H
                              '(male) farmer'
       b. bahuvrihi
                             'fool' ("broken head") céyrí 'become broken'
            bòn cèyr-ò + H
                                                     cèyrì 'break (sth)'
```

4.6.3 Absolute form of adjectives (?i-+H)

The adjectives from the preceding section, along with participles and ordinals (and some numerals, §4.7.1 below), can be used either as modifiers of a preceding overt noun, or "absolutely" as heads of NPs. In the latter case, an **absolute** prefix ?i - H is required, as in $i \uparrow du$ $?i - \uparrow ka:n - o$ 'I got the sweet one'. The floating H tone spreads to the onset of a L-toned adjectival stem, but it is phonetically vacuous for the few adjectives that already begin with a H-tone. Examples are in (234).

(234) Absolute adjectives, participles, and ordinals (Fin/Def Sg forms)

```
as modifier
                                           absolute
    gloss
a. regular adjectives
  \{L\}-toned
                                            ?ì- | ká:n-ò + H
    'sweet, sharp'
                       k\grave{a}:n-\grave{o}+H
  {HL}/{H}-toned
    'red'
                       cír-ó + H
                                            ?ì-cír-ó + H
    'long, tall'
                       kúk-\acute{o}+H
                                            ?ì-kúk-ó+H
b. participles, all {L}-toned
    'living'
                       hù n-ant-o + H
                                            ?ì- | hún-ànt-ò + H
c. ordinals, all {L}-toned
    'second'
                       h i \eta k - a n t - o + H
                                            2i-hink-ant-o+H
```

4.7 Numerals and other quantifiers

4.7.1 Modifying and absolute forms of simple numerals

Numerals may follow a noun, which takes its nonfinal form and is not marked for plurality. Numerals also follow adjectives, but precede demonstratives (§5.1). The unsuffixed basic form of the numeral is used both nonfinally and finally within a core NP when definiteness is not marked. Definiteness ('the two') can be marked by adding suffix $-o + H \sim -a: +H$.

In the absence of an overt noun, the numeral takes **absolute** form. This form is also used in counting up ('1, 2, 3, ...'). Some numerals are like adjectives in having an overt absolute prefix. While all adjectives have 2i-H, numerals (and other quantifiers) divide into three groups. '1' (optionally) and 'all' take absolute allomorph 2i-H, '1' (optionally), '2' to '5', and '10' take absolute allomorph 2i-H, and the remaining numerals are unmarked for absoluteness.

There is no evidence for the floating tone in $2\hat{a} + H$ and $2\hat{i} + H$ for numerals, since the numerals that can follow either of these allomorphs already happen to begin with a H-tone. I include the floating H in transcriptions since $2\hat{i} + H$ is also used with adjectives, where the evidence is clear.

The forms of the basic numerals and of $k\hat{u}l$ 'all' are shown in (235). The tonal alternations in '1' and '10', with {H}-toned basic form and {HL}-toned definite, are unique. '1' is also irregular in other respects.

(235) Numerals (modifying and absolute forms) and 'all'

	value	basic	definite	Absolute prefix
a.	ʻall'	kûl	_	?à-
b.	1	fő: {	fíy-à: + H fúw-à: + H	?à- ~ ?ì- + H ?à- ~ ?ì- + H
c.	'2' to '1	0'		
C	absolute	suffix ?ì-+H		
	2	híŋká	híŋk-ó+H	?ì-+H
	3	hínzâ	hínz-ò+H	?ì-+H
	4	tá:cí	tá:k-ó+H	?ì-+H
	5	gú:	gúw-ó+H	?ì-+H
1	io absol	lute suffix, stem l	begins with 2í or yá	
	6	?íddû	?íddúw-ò+H	_
	7	?í:yê	?í:y-ò+H	_
	8	yá:hâ	yá:h-ò+H	_
	9	yággâ	yágg-ò+H	_
C	absolute	suffix ?ì-+H		
	10	wóy	wóy-ò+H	?ì-+H

```
d. decimal units
  wàrá plus final segments of single-digit numeral
   20
           wàráŋkà
                            wàráŋk-ò + H
   30
           wàránzà
                            wàránz-ò+H
  wóy- '10' plus single-digit numeral
   40
           wóv-tá:cí
                            w \acute{o} y - t \acute{a} : k - \grave{o} + H
   50
           wóy-gú:
                            wóy-gúw-ò+H
   60
                            wóy-?íddúw-ò+H
           wóy-?íddû
   70
           wóy-?í:yê
                            wóy-?í:y-ò+H
   80
           wóy-yá:hâ
                            wóy-yá:h-ò+H
   90
           wóy-yággâ
                            wóy-yágg-ò+H
e. noun-like numerals
   100
           zàŋgù + H
                            zà\eta g-\delta + H
   1000
           zèmbér ¹fó:
                            zèmbér ⁴fíy-à: + H
           (stem zèmbêr)
```

HS allows pluralization of '1' and of the terms for 'hundred' and 'thousand', but I could not elicit plurals for other numerals like '2' or '30'. The indefinite plural of '1', namely $?\hat{a}-f\acute{o}:-p\acute{o}\eta+H\sim ?\hat{i}-f\acute{o}:-p\acute{o}\eta+H$ 'some, a few' (postnominal $f\acute{o}:-p\acute{o}\eta+H$), can introduce a new nonsingular discourse referent. By contrast, the definite forms of '1', singular $?\hat{a}-f\acute{i}y-\hat{a}:+H$ and plural $?\hat{a}-f\acute{i}y-\hat{e}y+H$ (and their variants), can function as **restricted indefinites**. That is, they can distinguish an individual or a subset of an already introduced set from (the) other individuals or subsets. The resulting partition of the full set is often expressed parallelistically, as in 'one $(?\hat{a}-f\acute{i}y-\hat{a}:+H)$ went and (the other) one $(?\hat{a}-f\acute{i}y-\hat{a}:+H)$ stayed behind', or 'some/certain ones $(?\hat{a}-f\acute{i}y-\hat{e}y+H)$ went and some/the others $(?\hat{a}-f\acute{i}y-\hat{e}y+H)$ stayed behind'.

Associated with '1' are the adjectives follon darkappa darkappa

Although '10' by itself takes the absolute prefix in the absence of a noun, decimal compounds beginning with '10' ('40' through '90') do not.

HS distinguishes $f\acute{o}$: '1' from interrogative adjective $f\acute{o}$ 'which?' (note the short vowel and the <HL>-tone), hence $w\grave{o}y$ $f\acute{o}$: 'one woman' versus $w\grave{o}y$ $f\acute{o}$ 'which woman?'. TSK likewise distinguishes $f\acute{o}$: '1' from $f\acute{o}$ 'which?'. In KS, the two merge as foo.

zèmbêr 'thousand' is generally not used alone in the sense '1000'; instead, it is followed by the numeral 'one': zèmbér 'fó:. For '100', either zàngù + H by itself or zàngù fó: can be used. Other multiples like '2000' and '300' consist of zèmbêr or zàngù + H followed by the relevant simple numeral, e.g. zàngù gú: '500'.

 $z \grave{a} n g \grave{u} + H$ 'hundred', the only $\{L\}$ -toned numeral, is subject to Adjectival Tone-Raising following a L-toned noun: $w \grave{o} y \uparrow z \acute{a} n g \grave{u} + H$ 'one hundred women'. Contrast $w \grave{o} y z \grave{e} m b \acute{e} r {}^{t} f \acute{o}$: 'one thousand women'.

Larger numerals are based on the French terms *million* and *milliard*.

When a noun-numeral NP is possessed, the numeral (as the final lexical stem in the NP) takes the usual alienable pronominal possessor suffixes: *hàr tá:k-ò-nôŋ* 'your-Sg four men'.

For currency amounts, see §5.4.7.1. For ordinal adjectivess, see §4.5.6.

4.7.2 Decimal-digit composite numerals

Numerals '1' to '9' can be added to any term for a decimal unit (multiple of 10), with a linking element cindu between the two (cf. verb $cind\hat{i}$ 'remain'). Examples: $w\acute{o}y-g\acute{u}$: $\uparrow c\acute{i}ndu$ $t\acute{a}:c\acute{i}$ '54', literally 'ten-five remain 4', $w\acute{o}y-?\acute{i}ddu$ cindu $f\acute{o}$: '61'. In allegro speech, cindu can be reduced to cind. Numerals from '11' to '19' are of course based on $w\acute{o}y$ '10'. In these combinations as elsewhere, $w\acute{o}y$ takes absolute ?i-H when the noun is covert: $?i-w\acute{o}y$ $\uparrow cindu$ $t\acute{a}:c\acute{i}$ '14'.

4.7.3 Distributive iteration of numerals

The distributive ($\S5.4.4$), e.g. 'ten by ten', is formed by iteration (full reduplication) of the numeral. In absolute (NP-initial or citation) position, numerals that require the absolute prefix take it just once. The forms may also be used without the absolute prefix after a noun. Multiples of '10' that begin in $w\acute{o}y$ - ('40' to '90') repeat only the final element. Likewise, compound numerals consisting of '10' or a multiple of it plus a single-digit term repeat only the final element. The numeral for '1' is omitted in '100' and '1000'. Examples in (236).

(236) Distributive iteration of numerals

value	simple form	reduplication
a. single d	ligits to '10'	
1	?à-fó:	?à-fó:-fó:
2	?ì-híŋká	?ì-híŋká-híŋká
3	?ì-hínzâ	?ì-hínzá-⁴hínzâ
4	?ì-tá:cí	?ì-tá:cí-tá:cí
5	?ì-gú:	?ì-gú:-gú:
6	?íddû	?íddú-⁺?íddû
7	?í:yê	?í:yé- [‡] ?í:yê
8	yá:hâ	yá:há-⁴yá:hâ
9	yággâ	yággá-¹yággà
10	?ì-wóy	?ì-wóy-wóy
b. decima	l units	
20	wàráŋka	wàráŋkà-wàráŋkà
50	wóy-gú:	wóy gú:-gú:

c. decimal plus single digit

12 ?ì-wóy cìndù híŋká wóy †cíndù híŋká-híŋká

d. noun-like large numbers

100 zàngù + H zàngù-zángù 1000 zèmbér †fó: zèmbêr-zém†bêr

4.7.4 Other quantificational modifiers

For existential predication see §7.1.2.

The universal quantifier is $k\hat{u}l$ 'all' (ultimately of Arabic origin), absolute $2\hat{a}-k\hat{u}l$, no suffixed definite or plural forms. For its uses see §5.4.3 and §9.5.10.

For weak quantifiers like 'many' see §5.4.6.

4.8 Nominal compounds

4.8.1 Tight and loose compounds

In a **tight compound**, the compound initial is reduced to its nonfinal form (or some other bare or contracted form) and is invariable. It is not independently determined or pluralized. Definite and plural suffixes follow the compound final, which is the semantic and syntactic head. Descriptive modifiers and quantifiers may follow the entire compound.

In a **loose compound**, the compound initial is independently inflected and can be modified. The initial and final usually agree in definiteness. In one type of loose compound, the final has alienably possessed form (PossSg or PossPl). However, the PossSg and PossPl forms are distinguished mainly by tones, which may be complicated by the floating H associated with the initial but which is expressed on the final.

In (237a) the PossSg tone contour on the final is clearly heard. In (237b) the floating H dominates the tones of the final, but the semantics point to alienable possession. (237c) has the same tonal pattern, but the semantics are not possessive in the usual sense.

(237) a. gándá hàwr-ô 'prostrate herb sp. (*Xysmalobium*)' lit. "ground's millet" (hàwr-ò + H 'millet cakes')

b. ?àlwà:líy-à: /héyn-ò 'wild lily sp. (Pancratium)'

```
/ʔàlwà:líy-à: + H hèyn-ò + H/ lit. "stork's millet" (hèyn-ò + H 'millet')

c. ʔàlbázár-ò / má:f-ò 'onion sauce' lit. "onion's sauce" (mà:f-ò + H 'sauce')
```

Sometimes tight and loose compounds of the same sense co-exist, especially when the initial is inalienable and the final denotes a part or subtype. In this case, a possessor can be expressed either on the initial or on the whole compound (overtly on the final): čè:-bóy-è 'my foot-nail' or čìy-èy †bóy-ò 'my foot's nail', in both cases more freely 'my toenail'.

The phonology of tight compound initials can be tricky (for the tones see §4.8.4). For most nouns, the compound-initial form is identical to the nonfinal (NF) form used before a numeral or adjective. However, there are some apparently archaic compounds that show irregular compound initial forms. In some cases the archaic compound-initial form competes with a synchronically more regular nonfinal form. The stems known to me that have an irregular form in at least one tight compound, excluding simple contractions (on which see below), are listed in (238).

(238) Compound initials with irregular forms (noncontracting)

```
gloss Fin/Def Sg or Nonfinal tight cpd initial 3Sg poss. (inal.) regular irregular
```

a. Cv stem (in nonfinal form) sometimes lengthened to Cv:no change in vowel quality

```
'mother'
             nó: +H
                                                       nâ:-~ nà:-
                                 пâ
                                              nâ-
             miy^n - \acute{o} + H
 'mouth'
                                 тê
                                                        mé:-
                                              mê-
change in vowel quality
 'house'
             húw-ó+H
                                 hû
                                              hú-
                                                        hó:-
```

b. Cv: stem sometimes shortened to Cv-

'foot'
$$ciy-\dot{o}+H$$
 $c\dot{e}$: $c\dot{e}$: $c\dot{e}$: $c\hat{e}$ - $c\hat{e}$ -

c. kin term with e/u alternation (FinSg $b\grave{a}:b\grave{e}$, 3Poss $b\grave{a}:b-\grave{u}$) 'father' $b\grave{a}:b-\grave{o}+H$ $b\grave{a}:b\grave{e}$ $b\grave{a}:b\grave{e}-b\grave{a}:b-\grave{u}-$, $b\grave{a}:-b-\grave{u}-$, $b\grave{a}:-b-\grave{u}-$

Some relevant compounds with these initials are in (239).

```
(239) a. initial is 'mother' (n\hat{a})

regular

p\hat{a}-[{}^{4}\hat{z}-\hat{c}:]+H 'half-sibling (same mother)'

lengthened, H-toned

p\hat{a}:^{4}m\hat{o}y 'mother's homonym' (as name)
```

```
μά:-[bóŋ-ó]+H
                           'bride's godmother'
  lengthened, L-toned
   nà:-bùtè
                           'mother's vagina' (insult)
   nà:-fìnà
                           (vulgarity, originally 'mother's anus')
b. initial is 'mouth' (mê), for bahuvrihis see (244a) in §4.8.3.2
  regular
   mé-[sèmb-íyà]
                           'millet grains' ("mouth-[knife-Dimin]")
  lengthened, H-toned
                           'magical incantation' ("mouth-whisper")
   mé:-cí:néy
  lengthened, L-toned (includes bahuvrihis, §4.8.3)
   m\dot{e}:-[b\dot{e}:r-\dot{o}]+H
                           'blowhard, braggart' ("big-mouthed")
   m\grave{e}:-[d\grave{a}:b\grave{u}-r-\grave{o}]+H 'lid' ("mouth-covering")
                           'foul-mouthed speaker' ("mouth-nasty")
   m\dot{e}:-[fùt-\dot{o}] + H
   m\dot{e}:-[m\dot{u}rg-\dot{o}]+H
                           'one with twisted mouth'
                           'one with gaping mouth'
   m\grave{e}:-[z\grave{e}:t-\grave{o}]+H
   m\dot{e}:-[d\dot{e}y-m-\dot{o}]+H
                           'offering to fiancée' ("mouth-purchase")
c. initial is 'house' (h\hat{u})
  regular
   hú-cèrê
                           'neighbor' ("house-friend")
  irregular (lengthened, vowel-quality change)
                           'house snake' (two spp.)
   húw-[gónd-ó] + H
                           'doorway' ("house-mouth")
   h\acute{o}:-[m\acute{i}y-\grave{o}]+H
         [contracted from cpd *húw-ó míy-ò + H, cf. KS huw-o min-oo]
d. initial is 'foot' (cè:)
  regular
                           'lines formed by water on feet'
   cè:-[bó:l-ò] + H
   cè:-[gàŋg-ò]+H
                           'bowlegged person'
    cè:-[jìnd-ò]+H
                           'ankle' ("foot-neck")
    c\dot{e}:-[k\dot{o}b-[i:z-\dot{o}]]+H 'toenail'
                           'fleshy bottom of heel'
    cè:-[kòr-ò]+H
    c\dot{e}:-[m\dot{u}w^n-\dot{o}]+H
                           'anklebone' ("foot-eye")
                           'person with twisted leg'
    cè:-[sì:r-ò]+H
    cè:-[tà:m-ò]+H
                           'sole'
  irregular (cê-)
    cé-[bè:r-ò] + H
                           'elephant' ("big-footed")
                           'hoof'
    cé-[kòps-ò]+H
e. initial is 'father' (bà:bè)
  regular (from final form)
   bà:bè-hû
                           'collateral agnatic line'
  regular (from 3Poss)
   [bà:b-ù]-ká
                           'grasshopper sp.' (Heteracris)
```

```
{H}-toned

[bá:b-ú]-[bóŋ-ó] + H 'bridegroom's godfather'

irregular, contracted

bà:-lúkkíyá 'grasshopper sp.' (Heteracris)

bà:-môy 'father's homonym (with the same name)'
```

Bisyllabic nouns can clip off a final vowel as compound initials. In some cases the short version also occurs elsewhere as a nonfinal form (i.e. before an adjective or numeral).

(240) Compound initials with irregular forms (contracting)

gloss	3Sg (inal) FinSg (other)		tight cpd initial
a. CvCv stem v	vith CvC nonfina	ıl variant	
'heart'	$bìn$ - \grave{o} + H	bìnì ∼ bìn	bìn-
'bird'	cír-ò+H	círû ~ círôw	cír-
		~ círî ~ cîr	
b. diminutive <i>C</i>	CvCCv stem with	CvC nonfinal var	riant
'male slave	' báṇṇâ	bìnì ∼ bìn	bàn-

Examples are $bin-[k\acute{a}:n-\acute{e}y]+H$ 'joy' from "heart-sweetness," $c\acute{n}-g\acute{a}b-\grave{o}+H$ 'hawk', and $b\grave{a}n-\check{c}\grave{e}yn-\grave{o}+H$ 'slave boy'. $b\grave{a}pp\grave{a}$ 'male slave' is probably a frozen diminutive, and it is possible that the compound derives historically from a nondiminutive prototype.

4.8.2 Agentive compounds

The deverbal agentive nominal has suffix $-k-\hat{o}$ (nonfinal $-k\hat{o}w$) after a {L}-toned form of the stem (§4.5.7). A noun stem denoting the object type can be added as a compound initial. It is part of the domain of the overlaid {L} melody. Examples are in (241). Verbs are $k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ 'hit, beat', also 'play (instrument)' and 'mold (bricks)', $h\grave{a}s\grave{a}r\^{a}$ 'ruin, waste', $t\acute{e}$: 'do, make', and $k\acute{u}$:n (and variants) 'collect (firewood)'.

(241)	compound	gloss	initial
	bàt-[kàr-[k-ò] + H]	'tomtom beater'	<i>bát-ò+H</i> 'calabash tomtom'
	[gùl-ù]-[kàr-k-ò] + H	'tomtom beater'	$gúl$ - \dot{o} + H 'tomtom'
	[là:t-ù]-[kàr-k-ò] + H	'flute player, flautist'	<i>lá:t-ó+H</i> 'flute'
	fèr(è)-[kàr-k-ò] + H	'brickmaker'	$f\acute{e}r$ - \grave{o} + H 'brick'
	gòmnì-[hàsàrà-k-ò]+H	'ungrateful person'	<i>gòmnì</i> 'gift, good
		[lit. "good.deed-ruiner	''] deed'
	gò:rò-[kò:mà-k-ò]+H	'kola chewer' (bird)'	<i>gó:rô</i> 'kola nut'

```
g \dot{o} y - [t \dot{e} : -k - \dot{o}] + H 'work-doer, worker' g \dot{o} y - \dot{o} + H 'work' h \dot{a} m - [\eta \dot{a} : -k - \dot{o}] + H 'meat-eater' h \dot{a} m - \dot{o} + H 'meat' s \dot{a} r i \gamma \dot{a} - [t \dot{e} : -k - \dot{o}] + H 'judge' s \dot{a} r i \gamma \dot{a} 'judgement' t \dot{u} : r - \dot{o} + H 'tree'
```

A number of descriptive ornithological terms take the form of similar object-incorporating agentives, but the agentive suffix takes a diminutive form -c-íyà. Examples are ?àlhìn(î)-[hàw-c-íyà] 'black-faced dioch' (lit. "henna-[tie-Agent-Dimin]") and [hèb-ù]-[dàn-c-íyà] 'rock bunting' (lit. "market-[put-Agent-Dimin]").

4.8.3 Bahuvrihi compounds

In a bahuvrihi compound, a noun (often a partonym) is followed by an adjective or numeral. The compound denotes a person or other object thus characterized. For example, [eye-one] could mean 'one-eyed person', and [mouth-big] could mean 'person who talks too much'. Bahuvrihis can also be used like modifying adjectives, following a noun, as in [woman [eye-one]] meaning 'one-eyed woman'.

4.8.3.1 Noun-numeral bahuvrihis

Bahuvrihis with numerals are less common than those with adjectives. Examples are in (242). The numeral '1' can either take its basic form $f \delta : (242a)$ or its adjectival form $f \delta :$

(242) Noun-numeral bahuvrihi compounds

compound	composition	free gloss
a. with <i>fó:</i> '1'		
lákkál-⁴fó:	mind-one	'halfwit, retarded person' (uncommon)
mò:-fó:	eye-one	'one-eyed'
[kàmb-ù]-fó:	hand-one	'one-handed'
b. with <i>fòllòŋk-ò+H</i> '1	,	
mò:-[fòllòŋk-ò]+H	eye-single	'one-eyed'
c. with <i>híŋká</i> '2'		
bòŋ-híŋká	'head-two'	'two-headed'

```
d. with t\dot{a}: ci '4'

final \{L\}-toned

mò:-[t\dot{a}:k-\dot{o}] + H eye-four 'sorceror' (euphemism)

final shortened

bòn-[t\dot{a}k-\dot{o}] + H head-four 'grass sp.' (Dactyloctenium)
```

4.8.3.2 Noun-adjective bahuvrihis

Bahuvrihis consisting of a noun and an adjective are characterized morphophonologically by the absence of Adjectival Tone-Raising. This is only detectable when both noun and adjective are {L}-toned. Fortunately, this combination is very common, since most adjectives are {L}-toned and since several of the partonyms that are widely used in bahuvrihis are also {L}-toned.

The bahuvrihis whose initial is $b \dot{o} \dot{g}$ 'head' or $m \dot{o}$: 'eye' in (243) are representative. The initials are already L-toned. When followed by a {L}-toned adjective, there is no tone-raising. For example, $b \dot{o} \dot{g} - [f \dot{u} \dot{t} - \dot{o}] + H$ 'bringer of bad luck' is completely {L}-toned, while the underlying noun-adjective sequence $b \dot{o} \dot{g} f \dot{u} \dot{t} - \dot{o} + H$ 'bad head' shows tone-raising.

(243) Noun-adjective bahuvrihi compounds with 'head' and 'eye'

```
free gloss
    compound
                              composition
a. with bòn 'head'
  adjective contains a {H}-tone
    b \partial \eta - [k \phi: n - \phi] + H
                              head-empty
                                                     'unimaginative person'
    bòm-bî:
                              head-black
                                                     'witch doctor'
    ~ bòŋò-bî: ~ bùŋò-bî:
  adjective {L}-toned
                                                     'fool, idiot'
    bòm-[bòw-ò]+H
                              head-shattered
                              head-feeble
                                                     'deadbeat'
    bòm-[bù:n-ò]+H
                              head-broken
                                                     'fool'
    b \partial n - [c \partial yr - \partial] + H
    b \partial \eta - [f \hat{u}t - \hat{o}] + H
                              head-bad
                                                     'bringer of bad luck'
                              head-sweet
                                                     'blessed (fortunate) person'
    b \partial \eta - [k \hat{a} : n - \hat{o}] + H
    b \partial n - [l \partial t t - \partial] + H
                              head-flat
                                                     'stupid person'
                                                     'disobedient person'
    bòn-[sènd-ò] + H
                              head-difficult
b. with mò: 'eye'
  adjective contains a {H}-tone
    mò:-fêl
                              eye-forked
                                                     'cross-eyed person'
  adjective {L}-toned
    m\grave{o}:-[g\grave{a}l-g\grave{a}l-\grave{o}]+H eye-wide.open
                                                     'wide-eyed person'
                                                     'ill-mannered person'
    m\grave{o}:-[k\grave{o}:g-\grave{o}]+H
                              eye-dry/hard
```

The nouns $m\hat{e}$ 'mouth' and $g\hat{a}$: +H 'body' are among the few partonyms containing a H-tone element, see §4.2.2.1. The tendency is for these initials to drop to L-toned form, both in bahuvrihi and other compounds. Their bahuvrihis are in (244). $m\hat{e}$ usually lengthens its vowel as compound initial, cf. (239b) in §4.8.1.

(244) Noun-adjective bahuvrihi compounds with 'mouth' and 'body'

```
composition
                                                  free gloss
    compound
a. with mê 'mouth' (cf. §4.8.1)
  lengthened, L-toned initial
    m\dot{e}:-[b\dot{e}:r-\dot{o}]+H
                             mouth-big
                                                  'blowhard, braggart'
    m\dot{e}:-[fùt-\dot{o}] + H
                            mouth-bad
                                                  'foul-mouthed speaker'
    m\dot{e}:-[m\dot{u}rg-\dot{o}]+H
                             mouth-twisted
                                                  'one with twisted mouth'
    m\grave{e}:-[z\grave{e}:t-\grave{o}]+H
                             mouth-misshapen 'one with protruding lower lip'
b. with g\acute{a}: +H 'body'
  L-toned initial, final with initial H-tone
    gà:-[bú:n-ò]+H
                             body-feeble
                                                  'sluggish person'
                                                  'naked person'
   gà:-kó:n
                             body-empty
              [also g\grave{a}:-k\acute{o}:n-\grave{o}+H etc.]
    gà:-[kónn-ò]+H
                             body-hot
                                                  'person with a fever'
   g\grave{a}:-[z\acute{e}:n-\grave{o}]+H
                             body-old
                                                   'widow or divorcée'
```

A number of additional bahuvrihis, especially denoting natural species (compare English bird names like *silverbill* and *thick-knee*) can be found in the sections on diminutive compounds, see (253) in §4.9.2.

While the majority of bahuvrihis have a partonym as initial, there are other possibilities. For example, $[t\grave{a}:-m\grave{u}]-[b\grave{e}:r-\grave{o}]+H$ 'gendarme' is literally "shoe-big," referring to the conspicuous boots worn by gendarmes.

A $\{L\}$ -toned bahuvrihi used adjectivally after a noun is subject to Adjectival Tone-Raising: $har \uparrow b\acute{o}\eta -fut-\grave{o} + H$ 'a/the man who brings bad luck'.

4.8.4 Tonal patterns in noun-noun tight compounds

In most tight noun-noun compounds, the initial has the tonally and segmentally regular form of the corresponding noun, and the final has its regular form (inflected for final/definite and number value). Regular phonological rules can apply at the boundary.

However, there are some compounds that idiosyncratically require tone-dropping to $\{L\}$ of the initial. Two examples from the dictionary are in (245).

```
(245) nonfinal gloss compound gloss

**Pàlà:rúbâ* 'Wednesday' ?àlà:rùbà-kárí 'last Wednesday of the month'

**hámní 'fly' hàmnì-[kùng-ò+H] 'humming insect sp.
```

Tone-dropping of nominal initials is systematic in -tàrêy compounds of essential nature (§4.8.7), characteristic nominals in -kòynì (§4.5.5), and diminutives with suffix -íyà and variants (§4.9.1). Tone-dropping likewise applies to verb stems in some derivatives, such as those with intransitivizing suffix -à (§6.2.3), agentive -k-ò+H(§4.5.7), and participial -ànt-ò+H(§4.5.6).

4.8.5 'Mother' and 'child' as compound finals

 $n\hat{a}$ 'mother' is common as a compound final denoting entire plants and trees, in the frequent case where an uncompounded term properly denotes a fruit or other part. Examples: $b\hat{e}$: $n\hat{a}$ 'borassus palm tree', $b\hat{a}$: $n-\hat{o}$ $n\hat{a}$ 'acacia tree' (Vachellia [=Acacia] nilotica). Here $b\hat{e}$: or $b\hat{a}$: $n-\hat{o}+H$ without $n\hat{a}$ could be taken as denoting the palm's fruits (eaten by livestock) or the acacia's pods (collected and sold in markets for medicinal and hide-tanning uses). However, the uncompounded terms are used flexibly. In contexts not calling for fine distinctions they can denote the tree or plant as a whole (especially in a collective sense). $t\hat{u}$: $r-\hat{o}+H$ 'tree' or 'wood, firewood' combines with 'mother' as $t\hat{u}$: $r-\hat{o}$ $n\hat{a}$ 'tree'.

As compound final, $-n\hat{a}$ does not take the (inalienable) possessive endings that $n\hat{a}$ 'mother' takes as a kin term. Contrast the suffixes in $n\hat{a}$:-y 'my mother' and in $b\hat{e}$: $n\hat{a}$ -w- \hat{e} 'my borassus palm', the latter showing ordinary (alienable) possessive ending, with epenthetic -w- inserted before a V-initial suffix.

For examples of $p\hat{a}$ as compound initial, see (239a) in §4.8.1 above.

The irregular noun 'child (especially son/daughter)' is nonfinal $2iz\hat{e}$, inalienably possessed 3PossSg $2iz-\delta: +H$. As compound final it contracts with a preceding vowel as final/definite singular $-i:z-\delta+H$ (with no lengthening of the o) and nonfinal $-i:z\hat{e}$, without the glottal stop. The compound may denote an individual grain, fruit, or other small unit that (in some sense) is produced by a larger whole denoted by the noun used as compound initial. It may likewise denote the offspring of any animal, or the figurative offspring of e.g. a village or rain. For humans, it may denote a young member of a category, or in some cases simply an individual member (regardless of age). The compound may also function as a simple diminutive ('small X') added to a noun denoting an object, construction, etc.

The initial is normally dropped to $\{L\}$ -tone.

```
(246)
                             gloss
                                           compound
                                                                gloss
            noun
        a. plants
            m ang \acute{o}r - \acute{o} + H 'mango(es)' m ang \acute{o}r - \acute{i}z - \acute{o} + H
                                                                '(a) mango (fruit)'
            búbúr-ó+H
                            'tree sp.'
                                           bùbùr-í:z-ò+H
                                                                 'fruit of tree sp.'
                                                       (Combretum aculeatum)
        b. animals
            fàrk-ò+H
                             'donkey'
                                           fàrk-í:z-ò + H
                                                                 'baby donkey'
            háw-ó+H
                             'cow'
                                           hàw-í:z-ò+H
                                                                 'calf'
            háns-ó + H
                             'dog'
                                           hàns-í:z-ò + H
                                                                 'puppy'
            cé-bè:r-ò+H
                            'elephant'
                                           cè-bè:r-í:z-ò + H
                                                                 'baby elephant'
            cìnnà + H
                             'rain'
                                           cìnp-i:z-ò+H
                                                                  'velvet mite' (emerges
                                                                  after rain)
        c. people
            gàrgás-ò+H
                            (a caste)
                                           gàrgàs-í:z-ò+H
                                                                  (young caste member)
            ká:d-ò + H
                             'Dogon'
                                           kà:d-í:z-ò + H
                                                                  'young Dogon'
            kòyrà+H
                             'village'
                                           k \grave{o} yr - i : z - \grave{o} + H
                                                                  'villager'
                                                                  (or 'small village')
        d. inanimate
            g\acute{a}:s-\acute{o} + H
                                           g\grave{a}:s-i:z-\grave{o}+H
                                                                 'small calabash'
                             'calabash'
            húw-ó+H
                             'house'
                                           hùw-í:z-ò + H
                                                                 'apartment'
            hámbúr-ó+H 'head hair'
                                           hàmbùr-í:z-ò + H
                                                                '(a) head hair'
        e. frozen (initial not otherwise in use)
                                           kòb-í:z-ò + H
                                                                 'finger'
```

Another less transparent compound of this type is $k \partial b - iz - \partial + H$ 'finger or toe'. That the initial is historically a wayward variant of $k \partial b \partial e$ 'hand' is suggested by cognates: TSK $k \partial b \partial e \partial e$ 'finger', KS $k \partial e \partial e$ 'finger', and KCh dialectal variants for 'finger' (kobe and kobo-jje).

When it is not necessary to repeat the term denoting the whole, an uncompounded ?ìzê can be used for almost any small unit (excluding currency). A common question in the weekly market is ?ìzé mèrjè 'how many (units)?', referring for example to mangoes or batteries.

4.8.6 'Male' and 'female' compounds

The nouns hàr 'man, male' and wòy 'woman, female' can be added as adjectives to a noun stem that denotes an animal species or the like, to specify sex: cé-[bè:r-ù] hàr 'male elephant' and cé-[bè:r-ù] wòy 'female elephant'. The idiosyncratic lengthening of the stem-vowel of hàr before V-initial suffixes such as final/definite suffixes

($\S4.1.2.4$, $\S3.7.5.2$) also applies in this case: $c\acute{e}$ -[$b\grave{e}$:r- \grave{u}] $b\grave{a}$:r- \grave{o} +H 'the male elephant'.

In addition to this transparent use, there is a more subtle "male/female" opposition in terms for pairs of similar botanical species. In the three cases I know of, the implicitly "female" term is unmarked (perhaps simply because it denotes the more familar species), while the "male" term is a compound ending in (archaic) diminutive form -har-iya or (with final/definite singular suffix) -har-iy-a: Examples are bol-o+H 'Desmidorchis (=Caralluma) acutangula' and bol-hariya 'Caralluma adscendens', two closely related cactus-like succulents with multiple erect stems. The stems of C. adscendens are more slender, and this is what suggests "maleness."

In the case of $d \approx j - \delta + H$ 'Senegalia (=Acacia) laeta' and $[d \approx j - \delta - I + I + I]$, the salient difference suggested by an informant is that the latter does not bear fruits (it is sterile, like men). Another pair involving herbs whose foliage can be cooked for sauces is $h \approx b - \delta + H$ 'Cleome gynandra' and $[h \approx b - \delta - I + I]$, which denotes two Amaranthus spp. and, dialectally, also Cleome viscosa. The "gender" difference may relate to edibility (C. gynanda is preferred in sauces), but more likely it refers to the more erect aspect of the "male" species.

The "gender" difference in botanical taxonomy is better developed in TSK. It has parallels in other regional languages, including Dogon.

4.8.7 Nominals of essential nature (-tàrêy)

The independent noun $t\acute{a}r\acute{e}y$ means '(the) outside; the area around (a house)'. It is doubtful that this has any historical connection to the important compound final $-t\grave{a}r\grave{e}y$. Its compounds denote the essential nature of some social category (or species), and sometimes by extension the collectivity in question. The tone of the compound initial is neutralized to {L}. Final/definite $-t\grave{a}r-\grave{o}+H$ is elicitable but uncommon except in discourse-anaphoric sense, see §4.1.2.10.

Examples are $h \dot{a}r - t \dot{a}r \dot{e}y$ 'manliness', $h \dot{a}ns \dot{i} - t \dot{a}r \dot{e}y$ 'dogginess, acting like a dog' (used e.g. in scolding a child for misbehavior), $f \dot{u} \dot{a}n - t \dot{a}r \dot{e}y$ 'being Fulbe (ethnic group); the Fula people (collective)', $z \dot{e}m - t \dot{a}r \dot{e}y$ 'being a goldsmith', $t \dot{a}lk \dot{a} - t \dot{a}r \dot{e}y$ 'poverty, being indigent', and $[k \dot{o} - k \dot{o}y] - t \dot{a}r \dot{e}y$ 'chiefhood, being the chief'. Input nouns here are $h \dot{a}r - \dot{o} + H$ 'man', $h \dot{a}ns - \dot{o} + H$ 'dog' (nonfinal $h \dot{a}ns \dot{i}$), $f \dot{u} \dot{a}n - \dot{o} + H$ 'Fulbe person', $z \dot{e}m - \dot{o} + H$ 'goldsmith', $t \dot{a}lk \dot{a}$ 'pauper', and $k \dot{o} - k \dot{o}y - \dot{o} + H$ 'chief'.

4.8.8 Compounds with -jèn-êy 'lack of'

Compounds with this final normally have no further suffixes, but definite singular -jen-o+H is elicitable. Strictly speaking, it should be transcribed as -jen-o+H, recognizing that the derivational -en-o+H morpheme has been zeroed by phonological contractions. The palatalization of *n to n in -jen-en-o+H is due to the following front vowel. The compound final is itself a nominal derived from verb jen-en-o+H be tired; fail', though the semantic connection is less clear than formerly. The tone melody $C\hat{v}C-en-en-o+H$ is elicitable. Strictly speaking, it should be transcribed as -jen-o+H, recognizing that the derivation of *n to n in -jen-en-o+H is due to the following front vowel. The compound final is itself a nominal derived from verb jen-o+H to the melody $C\hat{v}C-en-en-o+H$ is elicitable. Strictly speaking, it should be transcribed as -jen-o+H, recognizing that the derivational -en-o+H is elicitable. Strictly speaking, it should be transcribed as -jen-o+H, recognizing that the derivational -en-o+H is elicitable. Strictly speaking, it should be transcribed as -jen-o+H, recognizing that the derivational -en-o+H is elicitable. Strictly speaking, it should be transcribed as -jen-o+H, recognizing that the derivational -en-o+H is elicitable. Strictly speaking, it should be transcribed as -jen-o+H, recognizing that the derivational -en-o+H is elicitable. Strictly speaking, it should be transcribed as -jen-o+H, recognizing that the derivational -en-o+H is elicitable. Strictly speaking, it should be transcribed as -jen-o+H.

Compounds with this final, denoting lack or insufficiency of a physical or abstract commodity, are usually tight compounds, but loose compounds are attested. Some cases cannot be classified, as when the initial is an abstractive nominal that can occur nonfinally or NP-finally. $h\acute{a}r-\acute{o}$ $\uparrow [j\acute{e}^{i}p-\acute{e}y]$ 'lack of water, drought' is a loose compound. $[n\grave{a}:-r\acute{i}]-[j\grave{e}-n\grave{e}y]$ 'lack of food' and $h\acute{u}-[j\grave{e}p-\grave{e}y]$ 'lack of housing' are tight compounds. $l\acute{a}kk\acute{a}l-[j\grave{e}-n\grave{e}y]$ 'unimaginativeness, lack of intelligence', and $n\acute{a}:n\acute{e}y-\uparrow [j\acute{e}-ip\grave{e}y]$ 'lack of trust' could be either.

4.8.9 Semi-segmentable and compound kin terms

For 'father's brother' a distinction is made between elder and junior, using adjectives meaning 'big' and 'small'. $b\grave{a}:b-\grave{e}y$ $\uparrow b\acute{e}:r-\grave{o}$ means 'my father's elder brother', consisting of inalienably possessed $b\grave{a}:b-\grave{e}y+H$ 'my father' and the adjective 'big'. Likewise e.g. $n\acute{a}:-y$ ' $c\acute{e}yn-\grave{o}$ 'my mother's younger sister'.

The all-purpose affinal term is $2 \acute{a}nz \acute{u}r \acute{e}$, which can denote a parent-, sibling-, or child-in-law. Its inalienably possessed forms can be followed by gender-specifying adjectives (§4.8.6), as in $2 \acute{a}nz \acute{u}r - \acute{a}n + i \acute{e}$ your male in-law', see §5.2.1.

'(A woman's) co-wife' is wòy-sìnè (nonfinal -sîn), a frozen compound beginning with wòy 'woman'. In bigamous households where a man has two or more wives, the women tend to regard each other (and especially their respective children) as rivals. There is a parallel formation hàr-sìnè (including hàr- 'man') meaning '(a man's) male rival', denoting another man who is in love with the same woman. See also §4.2.2.1, just before (161)

Another pair of archaic compounds is wòy-mè 'sister' and hàr-mè 'brother', again beginning with wòy- 'woman' and hàr- 'man'. These terms are regularly used for cross-sex relationships, while bé:rê 'elder sibling' and céynê 'younger sibling' are normal for same-sex relationships.

'Stepmother' or 'mother's co-wife' is usually just $h \grave{a} w \hat{e}$, a semantic extension from 'aunt'. HS does not use expressions with $f \grave{u} m b - \grave{o} + H$ 'rotten' in this sense.

4.8.10 Nominal compounds with verb stem as initial

A few compounds that function as nouns syntactically appear to contain a verb stem as initial. Of course it is always possible that the initial was originally a noun related to the verb, but in some cases this noun does not occur elsewhere in HS.

bìnn-ì:zê 'accursed person' consists of the verb bínní 'curse' and ?ìzê 'child'. The antonym is gà:rà-?ìzê 'blessed child' including the verb gà:rà 'bless'

'Comrade, companion' is *hàn-sìnè*, no longer transparently segmentable (the reconstruction is *hanga-kasine with *hanga 'follow, serve, tag along with'). The two other HS compounds with *-kasine are *wòy-sìnè* '(woman's) co-wife' and *hàr-sìnè* '(man's) male rival', discussed in §4.8.9 above.

Most compounds whose initial denotes an event, activity, or state are loose compounds with a regular nominal related to the verb. For 'place of (activity)' we get e.g. $g \acute{o} y - \acute{o} d \acute{u} w - \acute{o}$ 'workplace', a transparent loose compound of noun $g \acute{o} y - \acute{o} + H$

'work' (zero-derived verbal noun) and a possessed form (PossSg) of noun $d\acute{u}w-\acute{o}+H$ 'place'. For 'sitting place' the form elicited was $g\grave{o}r-m-\grave{o}$ $d\acute{u}w-\grave{o}$, the initial being an archaic nominal from verb $g\grave{o}r\grave{o}$ 'sit'.

4.8.11 Nominal compounds with verb stem as final

In a handful of compounds the final appears to be a verb or a nominalized form thereof that is not attested outside of compounds.

```
(247) compound gloss related verb

a. [ŋòyn-ù]-[káŋ-ó] 'west' ("sun-fall[en]") kâŋ 'fall'

b. bàrì-dàm 'horse race' ("horse-put") dàm 'put'
```

4.8.12 Reduced clauses or VPs functioning as compound nouns

In addition to the diminutive cases that involve clause-like structures, mentioned at the end of the preceding section, I can cite one [[kà:g-ò]-né:ré]-[w-déy-[?ànzùf-ò]] 'whydah, bird sp. with extravagantly long tail', lit. "[grandparent-his]-sell-[Infin-buy-[tail-Fin/DefSg]]." The idea is that the bird sold his grandmother to get money to buy a fancy tail with. Roughly similar expressions for long-tailed birds occur in other Songhay languages. Another phrasal compound is [hàr-bón]-sù-[gáwr-ú]-jérè 'grasshopper with pointed head' (Acrida bicolor), lit. "[man-head]-ImpfNeg-[jar]-carry.on.head"). A pointed head would make it impossible for a person to carry a heavy earthenware water jar, African-style, on top of his or her head.

4.9 Diminutives

4.9.1 {LHL}-toned with
$$-iy\dot{a} \sim -iy\dot{a} \sim -iy-\dot{a}$$
;, nonfinal $-iy-\dot{o}w$)

The productive nominal diminutive has $\{L\}$ tone overlay on the stem plus a diminutive suffix. The form of the suffix is somewhat variable, but it is often heard as $-iy\hat{a}$ or $-iy\hat{a}$. The i of the suffix replaces a stem-final short vowel. Under some conditions the i of the suffix is deleted by syncope (see below). A nonfinal form $-iy-\hat{o}w$ is attested in some but not all cases. The final/definite singular is $-iy-\hat{a}:+H$ and the definite plural is $-iy-\hat{e}y+H$. Phonologically, these final/definite forms appear to be based directly on $-iy\hat{a} \sim -iy\hat{a}$ rather than on nonfinal $-iy-\hat{o}w$, see §3.7.2.1 and §4.1.3.3.

This is a classic diminutive with affective overtones. It is distinct from another type, more strictly related to size (and parental relations), involving -ìzê 'child' as compound final, see §4.8.5.

(248) shows that some rather basic nouns allow this suffixal diminutive. These transparent, productive diminutives generally allow the nonfinal form $-iy-\partial w$, unlike some other diminutives to be covered below. An alternative nonfinal form $-iy\hat{a} \sim -iy\hat{a}$ (or even $-iy\hat{a}$) may also occur but is not shown.

(248) Suffixed diminutive nouns

gloss	noun	Dimin	
		Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg
a. human			
'man'	hà:r-ò+H	hà:r-íy-òw	hà:r-íy-à: + H
	(NF hàr, for stem vowel length see §3.7.5.2)		
b. animate			,
'dog'	háns-ó+H	hàns-íy-òw	hàns-íy-à:+H
c. inanimate			
'stick'	bùnd-ò+H	bùnd-íy-òw	bùnd-íy-à:+H
'knife'	sémb-ó+H	sèmb-íy-òw	sèmb-íy-à: + H
'box'	bát-ò+H	bàt-íy-òw	bàt-íy-à:+H
'shorts'	bènt-ò+H	bènt-íy-òw	bènt-íy-à: + H
'small granary'	bò:n-ò+H	bò:n-íy-òw	bò:n-íy-à:+H

Uncompounded nouns, chiefly flora-fauna terms, that occur only in the diminutive form are given in (249). The unreduplicated nouns of this type generally do not have the nonfinal form with $-\delta w$. Instead, the nonfinal form ends in $-iy\hat{a} \sim -iy\hat{a} \sim -iy\hat{a}$. I normalize this variation as $-iy\hat{a}$ in the nonfinal column of (249) for unsyncopated diminutives.

(249) Invariant diminutive nouns

gloss

81000	TTOMING	i magarag
a. fauna syncopated and tonally re	eshaped of (250)	helow
'ground squirrel' unsyncopated	kúy-yâ	kúy-y-à: +H
'hare'	tàb-íyà	tàb-íy-à:+H
'Gambian sun squirrel'	kàsàl-íyà	kàsàl-íy-à:+H
'winged termite'	kòmbà:n-íyà	kòmbà:n-íy-à:+H
'silverbill'	mìs-íyà	mìs-íy-à:+H
'namaqua dove'	lòŋk-íyà	lòŋk-íy-à: + H
'finch, small birds'	tìkr-íyà ~ cìkr-íyâ	tìkr-íy-à: + H

Nonfinal

Fin/Def Sg

b. other reduplicated 'reed flute' bòl-bòl-íyòw bòl-bòl-íy-à:

'Hedgehog' is either $2 a \eta - k \hat{u} : n - \hat{o} + H$ or its diminutive $2 a \eta - k \hat{u} : n - i y \hat{a}$, with no real difference in meaning.

jèr-fènd-iy-a: +H is intelligible structurally as a diminutive of jèr-fènd-o+H 'head-cushion', cf. fènd-o+H 'calabash cover, fan'. However, it denotes 'francolin (quail-like bird)'.

4.9.2 {HL}- and {LHL}-toned diminutives after syncope of *-íyà

One might expect a syncopated *CvC-íy-òw to surface as #CvCy-òw, but since HS does not allow <LH> tones on surface syllables, it is flattened to H-tone. The result is CvCy-òw (with various treatments of the medial Cy cluster). See <LH> \rightarrow H Flattening (§3.9.6.3). The exception is kon-na from kon 'female slave', where the H-tone of *í in *kon-na migrated rightward rather than leftward.

(250) Syncopated and tonally flattened diminutives

gloss	noun	Dimin	
		Nonfinal	Fin/Def Sg
a. with y			
'woman'	wòy	wóy∱-y-òw	wóy∱-y-à:+H
b. with <i>p</i>			
'head'	$b\grave{u}w^n$ - $\grave{o}+H(NF\ b\grave{o}\eta)$	bún∱-n-òw	búɲ↑-ɲ-à:+H
'female slave'	kóŋâ	kòn-nâ	kòn-n-à + H

 $h\acute{a}yy\grave{o}w$ 'a little' (strong definite $h\acute{a}yy-\grave{a}:+H$), used adverbially or as a noun, probably originated as diminutive *hay-íy-ow, cf. $h\grave{a}y\grave{a}$ 'thing'.

Certain nouns ending in ppa resemble the diminutives with pp in (250b), but do not appear to be true diminutives. For example, panding p

4.9.3 {L}-toned diminutives with -iyà

Another nominal type is entirely $\{L\}$ -toned, with $-iy\hat{a}$ as the ending. The stems tend to be invariant in this form when the referent is singular (so nonfinal and final/definite singular are not reliably distinguished). A definite singular form $-iy-\hat{a}:+H$ is sporadically but inconsistently elicitable before strong definite $H+d\hat{a}$. Plural suffixes may be added, however. See §4.1.3.3 for more on the inflectional suffix morphology of these forms.

Many of the stems do not occur without $-iy\dot{a}$, so segmentation is not transparent. I do segment wherever this seems reasonable, based on the meaning of the noun and the frequency of diminutives in flora-fauna terminology. The type is especially common with noun-adjective compounds (§4.9.5).

(251) $\{L\}$ -toned -*iyà*

gloss

	stem	gloss	comment
a.	kòf-ìyà	'grasshopper'	
b.	hònd-ìyà	'young woman'	NF also <i>hòndìy-òw</i>
c.	zà-zàb-ìyà dà-dàb-ìyà	'mosquito' 'insectivorous bat'	NF zà-zàbî ~ zà-zàb-ìyà

Before strong definite $H + d\hat{i}$, I hear both syllables of $-\hat{i}y\hat{a}$ with H-tone as in $k\hat{o}f - \hat{i}y\hat{a}\uparrow d\hat{i}$ 'that (same) grasshopper'; see §4.1.3.3.

For compounds ending in a {L}-toned diminutive, see §4.9.5, below.

4.9.4 Noun-adjective diminutive compounds containing a H-tone

There are a number of flora-fauna terms of the **non-bahuvrihi** compound type. In this type, e.g. [mouse red-Dimin] means 'little red mouse', i.e. a type of mouse that is further specified by the adjective. The adjectives are diminutive in form but have no hypocoristic flavor.

compound

(252) Diminutive noun-adjective non-bahuvrihi compounds

a. fauna		
syncopated and tonall	y reshaped	
'gerbil'	[cèŋ-ù]-[kír-(í)yá]	"mouse red-Dimin"
'patas monkey'	[fò:n-ù]-[kír-(í)yá]	"monkey red-Dimin"

composition

```
unsyncopated and tonally reshaped

'Egyptian vulture' [gàb-ù]-[ká:r-íyá] "hawk white-Dimin"

'zorilla' [hèyl-ù]-[fúmb-íyá] "cat rotten-Dimin"

'darkling beetle (Vieta)' [gàŋ-gàm]-[kó:g-íyá] "beetle hard-Dimin"
```

b. flora

```
unsyncopated, {LHL}-toned final

Caralluma adscendens bòl-[hàr-íyà] "Desmidorchis acutangula male-Dimin"
```

 $k\acute{r}$ -y \acute{a} (variant $c\acute{r}$ -y \acute{a}) from $c\acute{r}$ e \acute{r} e \acute{r} e' is irregularly {H}-toned in these examples, not {HL} # $k\acute{r}$ -y \acute{a} as we might expect after syncope. It can also be pronounced $k\acute{r}$ - \acute{r} y \acute{a} . But see the {L}-toned compounds including 'red-Dimin' in §4.9.5 below, and comments on 'red' and 'black' there.

Adjectival Tone-Raising, i.e. [L.L] [L.L] \rightarrow [L.L] [H.L] (§3.9.4.2), is inapplicable when the final is entirely {H}-toned, as in $h \dot{e} y l - \dot{u} f u m b - i y \dot{a}$ 'zorilla' (252a). The example $[g \dot{a} b - \dot{u}] - [k \dot{a} : r - i y \dot{a}]$ 'Egyptian vulture' (252a), whose initial is {H}-toned $g \dot{a} b - \dot{o} + H$ 'large scavenging hawk', suggests that the initial is tone-dropped in combination with a diminutive final.

The initial in $d \delta \eta$ - $[k \acute{a}:r-\acute{i}y \acute{a}] \sim d \delta$ - $[k \acute{a}:r-\acute{i}y \acute{a}] \sim d \delta$:- $[k \acute{a}:r-\acute{i}y \acute{a}]$ 'grasshopper (*Diabolocatantops*)' ("?-white-Dimin") is synchronically opaque, but it corresponds to cognates meaning 'grasshopper'. So this compound too originally belonged to the type in (252).

Bahuvrihi compounds (§4.8.3) ending in a diminutive adjective are distinct semantically from the examples in (252) above, which have intersective adjectives. However, there is no tonal difference between the two types. The diminutive bahuvrihis in (253) therefore show the same global {LHL} melody as in (252), and again 'red' and 'white' have their special {H}-toned diminutive forms.

(253) Diminutive noun-adjective bahuvrihi compounds

```
gloss
                            compound
                                                 composition
a. fauna
 Dimin adjective syncopated and tonally reshaped
   'cutthroat finch'
                            gìndì-[kír-(í)yá]
                                                 "throat-red-Dimin"
 unsyncopated and tonally reshaped
   'vinaceous dove'
                            [fàt(-ù)]-[ká:r-íyá]
                                                 "wing-white-Dimin"
   'herb sp.'
                                                 "head white-Dimin"
                             bòη-[ká:r-íyá]
 unsyncopated, {LHL}-toned final
   'knob-billed duck'
                            [nì:n-ù]-[gùnj-íyà]
                                                 "nose-curve-Dimin"
                                                 "body-rough-Dimin"
   'viper (Echis)'
                            gà:-[kàs-íyà]
   'lapwing'
                            círím-[cè:-[kò:j-íyà]]"bird?-[foot-hard-Dimin]"
```

b. flora

```
unsyncopated 'herb sp. (Polycarpaea)' bòη-[ká:r-íyà] ''head-white-Dimin''
```

```
'herb sp. (Blumea)' [bùnd-ù]-[hèw-[kà:n-íyà]]
"stick-[wind-[sweet-Dimin]]," i.e.
sweet-smelling (fragrant)
```

4.9.5 Noun-adjective diminutive compounds with {L} tones

A minority of flora-fauna noun-adjective compounds have a global {L} tone melody. The known examples involve diminutive forms of 'black', 'red', and 'male'. Non-bahuvrihi examples are in (254).

(254) {L}-toned diminutive noun-adjective non-bahuvrihi compounds

```
compound
                                            composition
   gloss
a. fauna
  'black-Dimin', cf. §4.6.2.5
   'guinea-fowl'
                     cìrò:-[b-ìyà]
                                            "bird-[black-Dimin],"
                                                                     variant
                                            círó:-bî
   'rock pigeon'
                      [kòŋg-ù]-[b-ìyà]
                                            "[dove-NF]-[black-Dimin]"
  'red-Dimin'
                                            "[dove-NF]-[red-Dimin]"
   'laughing dove'
                      [kòŋg-ù]-[kìr-ìyà]
   'small red ant'
                      [?àn-tànd-ù]-[kìr-yà] "ant red-Dimin"
```

b. flora

'male-Dimin'

Cleome viscosa [hùb-ù]-[hàr-ìyà] "Cleome-[male-Dimin]"

The 'pigeon' and 'dove' examples in (254) are semantically puzzling. $k \delta \eta g - \delta + H$ denotes the fronds (leaves) of the doum palm, which cut into strips and woven into mats and fans. So structurally one could imagine a bahuvrihi reading of the type 'having a black/red palm frond', but this would make little sense. Etymologically, $[k \delta \eta g - u]$ - in the bird compounds is probably unrelated to the 'palm frond' noun. The etymologically correct comparision is with TSK $k \delta \eta g \delta y$ 'dove, pigeon' rather than with TSK $k \delta \eta g \delta y$ 'palm frond'.

There are also a few bahuvrihis with the same morphological and tonal form (255).

(255) {L}-toned diminutive noun-adject bahuvrihi compounds

gloss	compound	composition
fauna		
bòŋ-[kìr(-ì)yà]	'male agama lizard'	"head-[red-Dimin]"
mè:-[kìr-(ì)yà]	'oxpecker (bird)'	"mouth-[red-Dimin]"

The frequency of 'red' and 'black' in these {L}-toned compounds suggests the possibility that there may have been some lexical blending. As diminutive of 'red', both {H}-toned -[kir-yá] and {L}-toned -[kìr-iyà] are found in different compounds. The confusion here might be with $cir-\delta+H$ 'bird' (wide range of nonfinal and compound initial forms: $cir-\hat{u} \sim cir\hat{o}w \sim cir\hat{i} \sim c\hat{i}r \sim cir\hat{a}$), and its diminutive(s), as in $k\hat{o}$ -ti-y-èy cir-iy-à: +H 'barn owl' ("children's bird") (§4.9.6). There is also a related noun, in diminutive form and vaguely reduplicative, with variants cikir-iyà and tikr-iyà, collectively denoting various small birds (sparrows, finches). In [[hár-o]-bón]-[kìr-yà] 'jacana (aquatic bird)', I take [kìr-yà] to mean 'bird' rather than 'red', the compound as a whole then reading literally as "bird-Dimin on water" (§4.9.6).

For 'black' (nonfinal bi- $b\hat{i}$, final/definite singular $b\hat{i}$ -b- \acute{o} +H), where both b- $\acute{i}y\hat{a}$ and {L}-toned b- $\grave{i}y\hat{a}$ are attested as diminutives, the potential confusion is with $b\grave{i}y$ - \grave{o} +H 'shadow, shade'. The latter occurs in diminutive form in $k\grave{o}t\acute{i}y$ - $\grave{e}y$ $b\acute{i}y$ -y- \grave{a} : 'skink' ("children's shadow-Dimin").

For the tones of $b \partial \eta - [k \partial r(-i)y \acute{a} di]$ with strong definite H + di, see §4.1.3.3.

4.9.6 Compounds with possessor or PP plus diminutive noun

A number of flora-fauna compounds consist of a possessor plus a possessed diminutive noun (256). The possessor is normally in the final/definite singular form with -o + H or the definite plural form with -ey + H. The floating H-tone appears, if at all, on the first syllable of the possessed noun. Possession usually implies definiteness, and these compounds are most readily elicited in the final/definite singular form with $-iy-\hat{a}: +H$.

(256) Possessor plus diminutive noun

```
gloss
                   compound
                                              composition
a. fauna
noun syncopated and tonally reshaped
                                            "children's shadow-Dimin"
   'skink'
                   kòtíy-èy bíy-y-à:
   'scolopender'
                  [wòy hí:j-éy] †?ân-dép-p-à
                                  "[married women's] scorpion-Dimin"
 other
   'snake sp.'
                                               "goats' snake-Dimin"
                   ?álmán-éy †gônd-íy-à:
   'barn owl'
                   kòtíy-èy cìr-íy-à:
                                              "children's bird-Dimin"
                                              "stone's chicken-Dimin"
   'stone partridge' tónd-ó / gônj-íy-à:
   'sparrow'
                                              "Bellas' sparrow-Dimin"
                   bèl-èy cìkír-y-à:
         [variant bèl-èy cìkìr-íy-à:]
b. flora
noun syncopated and tonally reshaped
                                              "mice's milk-Dimin" (wà:
   Euphorbia sp. čèñ-èy wá-yy-à:
                                              'milk')
```

```
other
Cleome viscosa fúlán-èy hùb-íy-à: "Fulbe's Cleome-Dimin"
```

tàbèy [hà:r-íyà] 'succulent sp. (Caralluma adscendens)', a variant from Kelmi village, is "hare ?-Dimin." However, the identification of the final, and therefore the structure of the compound, is unclear.

 $bùnd-iy\acute{a}$ $bìs-iy-\grave{a}$: denotes Cassia mimosoides, a slender erect herb. Both initial and final are diminutive in form: $b\grave{u}nd-iy-\grave{a}$: +H 'small stick, twig, baton', and diminutive of $b\grave{i}s-\grave{o}+H$ 'acacia sp. (Vachellia (=Acacia) tortilis subsp. raddiana)'. If read as a possessive, this comes out as "twig's acacia."

Still other compounds involve a **locative PP** (postposition $b \delta \eta$ 'on') followed by a diminutive (257).

(257) PP plus diminutive noun

```
gloss compound composition

fauna

'cricket' [kúbéy-bón]-[sùtùr-íyà]

"[darkness-on]-protection-Dimin" (??)

'grasshopper sp.' [?ánzél-bòŋ]-[wàrg-íyà]

"[spindle-on]-[fat-Dimin]"

'jacana (bird)' [[hár-ó]-bóŋ]-[kìr-(i)yà]

"[water-on]-[bird-Dimin]"
```

The initials are locative PPs with an archaic variant of $k\dot{u}b$ - \dot{o} +H 'darkness', $2\dot{a}nz\dot{e}l$ - \dot{o} +H 'spindle', and $h\dot{a}r$ - \dot{o} +H 'water'. Crickets are nocturnal insects that invade houses. The grasshopper in question (Acorypha spp.) has a robust body and femur. Jacanas are water birds, often seen standing on water lily leaves.

4.9.7 Diminutive compounds ending in agentive nominal or verb

A number of fauna terms have the structure [noun-[verb-Agentive-Dimin]], ending with an agentive nominal. The agentive suffix is nonfinal $-k\hat{o}w$, becoming final/definite singular $-k-\hat{o}+H$ (§4.5.7). The diminutive form is $-k-\hat{i}y\hat{a}$ with the usual tonal variants. The verbs are $h\hat{a}w$ 'tie', $d\hat{a}\eta$ and variants 'put', and $k\hat{a}r\hat{u}$ 'hit, strike, play (instrument)'.

(258) Diminutive agentive compounds

```
gloss compound composition.

a. fauna
'Quelea (bird)' ?àlhìn(ì)-[hàw-k-íyà] "henna-tie-Agent-Dimin"
'bunting' [hèb-ù]-[dàŋ-k-íyà] "market-put-Agent-Dimin"
```

'warbler sp.' [là:t-ù]-[kàr-k-íyà] "flute-[hit(=play)-Agent-Dimin"

b. flora [none attested]

The male of *Quelea quelea* (black-faced dioch, a millet-eating bird) has a mostly black head, hence the connection with henna, a dark substance applied by women to their exposed skin. The bunting in question, *Emberiza tahapisi*, is so-called because it is active on the ground in the morning (as weekly markets are starting to fill up).

There are also some difficult compounds where the diminutive suffix appears to be added directly to the verb, without an agentive suffix. However, it is always possible that the diminutive suffix is "really" added to a zero-derived nominal related to the verb, rather than directly to the verb itself.

(259) Compounds with apparent diminutive verb

gloss compound composition

'Hibiscus sp.' [hàr-[mà:m-ù]]-[kà:j-íyà] "[man-scrotum]-[scratch-Dimin]" cf. kà:jè 'grandparent'

'dung beetle' wùrì-[jìŋgìr-íyà] "shit-[shape.into.ball-Dimin]"

Hibiscus longisepalus has tiny bristly hairs that embed themselves in one's skin and cause scratching. Dung beetles (scarabs) shape bits of animal droppings into small balls and roll them along.

The best case for a verbal diminutive is k ar - m u - h a r - i y a 'shrub sp. (Capparis corymbosa)', which appears to contain a form of 2Sg subjunctive m before the verb m a r u 'laugh'. Identifying m a r u with verb m u u u 'hit', the compound is interpretable as "[hit (and) you may laugh]-Dimin." Presumably this refers to the small thorns on this shrub, which may tickle a person.

4.9.8 Intrinsically diminutive adjectives with $-(i)y-\hat{o}w$, $-iy\hat{a} \sim -iy\hat{a}$

Adjectives meaning 'small', 'short', 'thin', and 'pretty' are, not surprisingly, diminutive-like in form. To analyse them it is necessary to compare the adjectives with their related inchoative verbs ('be[come] small/short').

For 'small' the data are in (260).

(260) 'small'

a. verb kàt-ìyà 'be(come) small'
b. adjective kát-íyá ~ kátt-íyá ~ kátt-yá 'small', Fin/Def Sg kàt-íy-ôw ~ kàtt-íy-ôw ~ kàtt-y-ôw 'small', nonfinal

There are no other forms in this word family. Syncopated kátt-vá etc. suggests a protoform for KS kacca 'small'.

There is a suggestive similarity to, but no direct connection with, the noun 'child' in its various forms: $k\hat{o}$ -t-t/ $y\hat{a}$, short forms $k\hat{o}$ and $k\hat{o}$ -t-t before some modifiers and compound finals, see §4.1.3.5.

There are two distinct adjectives meaning 'short'. That in (261) has cognates in several other Songhay languages, while that in (262) seems to be a HS innovation.

```
(261)
        'short' (1)
        a. verb
                        dùŋgùr-ìyà
                                                                'be(come) short'
        b. adjective dúngúr-íyá
                                                                'short', Fin/Def Sg
                        dùŋgùr-íy-ôw ~ dùŋgùr-y-ôw
                                                                'short', nonfinal
        'short' (2)
(262)
        a. verb
                        dùcc-ìyà
                                                                'be(come) short'
        b. adjective dúcc-íyá ~ dúcc-yá
                                                                'short', Fin/Def Sg
                        d\hat{u}cc-\hat{i}y-\hat{o}w \sim d\hat{u}cc-y-\hat{o}w
                                                                'short', nonfinal
```

Of the two 'short' adjectives, dúcc-íyá is the more affectively laden (hypocoristic).

[also variants with kk, e.g. dúkk-íyá]

Comparing 'small' and the two 'short' adjectives, we observe the following: a) the verb and adjective contain -iya or syncopated -ya; b) the nonfinal form of the adjective has the {LHL} melody observed with many nominal diminutives; c) the final/definite singular form of the adjective is {H}-toned.

For 'thin, slender' and 'pretty, good', the verb is of the shape Cvri (without yà). The adjectives have Cvry-, perhaps syncopated from Cvriy-.

(263) 'thin, slender'

	a. verb	màrì	'be(come) thin'
	b. adjective	már-yá màr-y-ôw	'short', Fin/Def Sg 'short', nonfinal
(264)	'pretty, good'	' (cf. also noun bò:r-ì 'beauty')	
	a. verb	bòrì	'be(come) pretty'
	b. adjective	bór-yá bòr-y-ôw	'short', Fin/Def Sg 'short', nonfinal

4.9.9 Synchronically opaque diminutives

In all languages with productive compounding processes there are bound to be some compounds whose initial and/or final are synchronically obscure, or whose components are identifiable but whose overall meaning is difficult to connect with the referent. Some HS examples are in (265).

(265) Obscure compounds

```
compound gloss composition
```

a. grasshopper terms with initial hà:f-ù-

```
[hà:f-ù]-[gùnd-íyà] Kraussaria angulifera "?-[belly-Dimin]" [hà:f-ù]-lúkkíyá Chrotogonus senegalensis
```

b. other fauna compounds

```
kíl-[lò:r-íyà] 'hornbill' "bird-[?-Dimin]"
bì:-[b-íy-à:] 'long-tailed starling' cf. bí-bî 'black' (NF)
gà:-[fàl-íyà] 'hawk (various spp.)' "body-[?-Dimin]"
```

In (265a), the initial is not otherwise attested. $l\acute{u}kk\acute{y}\acute{a}$ may be a variant of $d\acute{u}cc-\acute{t}y\acute{a} \sim d\acute{u}kk-\acute{t}y\acute{a}$ 'short', in which case the "compound" is really just a noun-adjective sequence $h\grave{a}.f-\grave{u}$ $l\acute{u}kk-\acute{t}y\acute{a}$. Chrotogonus ('toad grasshopper') is the smallest among the common grasshoppers in the zone. In $k\acute{l}l-l\grave{o}.r-\acute{t}y\grave{a}$, the initial is probably a variant of $c\acute{t}r-\grave{o}+H$ 'bird', but the final is opaque. Both parts of $b\grave{i}.b-\acute{t}y-\grave{a}$: look like variants of $b\acute{t}b\^{i}$ 'black' and/or $b\grave{u}y-\grave{o}+H$ 'shadow'. $g\grave{a}.-[f\grave{a}l-\acute{t}y\grave{a}]$ 'hawk' closely resembles the more intelligible $g\grave{a}.-[k\grave{a}s-\acute{t}y\grave{a}]$ 'viper' (with $k\grave{a}s-\grave{o}+H$ 'rough, coarse'), but its final is obscure.

4.10 Iteration (full reduplication) of noun and adjective stems

Among the more than four hundred natural-species terms elicited, none consists of an iterated (fully reduplicated) version of a common noun or verb stem of the type observed in riverine Songhay.

An adverb *bándé-bándé* '(going) backward' is derived from a word-family including the simple adverb *bándé* 'behind, in the rear' (cf. noun *bàndè* 'back [of body]' and postposition *bàndè* 'after, following'.

5 Nominal inflection and NP syntax

5.1 Overview

This chapter covers the structure of NPs and PPs.

The noun is the lexical head of the NP. An unpossessed NP consists of either a personal pronoun or a full (i.e. noun-headed) NP whose maximal composition is noun-adjective(s)-numeral-demonstrative, in that order, plus any terminal elements such as the strong definite particle or the universal quantifier 'all'. The **core NP** is maximally noun-adjective(s)-numeral. It is the unit to which plural, final/definite, and pronominal alienable possessor suffixation is added. However, possessor suffixes can be added directly to inalienable nouns even when nonfinal in the core NP; see §4.8.9.

A nonpronominal possessor NP or third person pronominal possessor precedes the possessed NP, except that the regular 3Sg pronominal proclitic \hat{a} is omitted before inalienable nouns. There is also some morphological marking of the status of being possessed, alienably or inalienably, on the possessed noun, or more accurately on the final word in the core NP. 1st/2nd person pronominal possessors are marked by suffixes on the final word of the core NP, again distinguishing alienable from inalienable. HS therefore differs from all other Songhay languages in its extensive head-marking on possessed nouns. If the possessed noun is omitted, its place is filled by the default possessed noun $\eta \delta n\hat{e}$ (§5.2.1.2).

If there is no head noun, an adjective or a numeral may function as head. The adjective or numeral in question must then take **absolute** form, which for normal adjectives and for most numerals (but not '6-9' or terms for multiples of ten from '20' to '90') requires an overt absolute prefix (§4.6.3, §4.7.1).

The core NP may be followed by a demonstrative $H+w\hat{o}$ 'this/that', plural $H+w-\hat{e}y$ (§4.4.1), agreeing in number with the core NP. This combination has an appositional appearance, but the singular demonstrative can also contract with a preceding noun. The terminal elements that may follow the demonstrative are strong definite $d\hat{i}$ (§5.7.1), DF (discourse-functional) particles (chapter 8), and the universal quantifier $k\hat{u}l$ 'all' (§5.4.3), in that order. Examples of core NPs plus at least one further element are in (266).

- (266) a. bòr-éy↑ w-êy⁴ kûl person-DefPl DemPl all 'all those people'
 - b. ?à-fíy-à: dí ...
 Absol-one-DefSg StDef ...
 'the same one; the other one' (for the tones, see §5.7.1)
 - c. háw bè:rì híŋk-ó mô
 cow big two-DefSg too
 'the two big cows too'

A NP may be followed by a postposition (§5.9), or preceded by an instrumental or comitative preposition $\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$ (§5.11), to form a PP.

5.2 Possessives

5.2.1 Possession

Alienable and inalienable possession are distinguished. For **alienable** possession, the **final word of the possessed core NP** (maximally noun-adjective-numeral) is morphologically marked for a specific 1st/2nd person possessor category. With third person possessors, the possessed core NP is simply marked morphologically as being possessed. In this case, the possessor, which may be a full (noun-headed) NP or a third person pronominal category, is preposed to the possessed core NP. The morphology of alienable possession is covered in §4.2.1 above.

That the final word in the core NP takes possessor suffixes for alienables is shown by (267c) below, where the PossSg suffix is added to the numeral; another example is (516) in §9.3.6 below.

Inalienable possession applies to most kin and body-part terms and a few other nouns like 'name' and 'share, portion'. The morphosyntax is partially parallel to that of alienable possession. However, a different set of 1st/2nd person possessor suffixes is used. There is also a special form for regular 3Sg possessor (morphologically the same as the unpossessed final/definite form of alienable nouns). For other third person possessor categories and for nonpronominal NP possessors, the morphosyntax is the same as for alienable possession. The morphology of inalienable possession is described in detail in §4.2.2 above.

When an inalienable like 'uncle' or 'ear' is followed by an adjective and/or a numeral, the possessed noun cannot be pluralized but it does take the correct pronominal inalienable possessor suffixes. The final word in the core NP has (alienably!) possessed form but no other possessor marking. If this final word is an adjective, it can be singular or plural depending on the referent.

Typical inalienably possessed nouns with no further modifier are $2 \acute{a} nz \acute{u}r - \hat{a}\eta$ 'your male in-law', $h\grave{a}s - \hat{e}y$ 'my uncle', and $h\grave{a}p - \hat{e}y$ 'my ear'. Examples with a modifying adjective are singular $2 \acute{a} nz \acute{u}r - \acute{a}\eta$ $h\grave{a}:r - \acute{o}$ 'your male in-law' (§4.8.9) and plural $h\grave{a}s - \acute{e}y$ $l\grave{a}:l - \hat{e}y$ 'my evil uncles'. $h\grave{a}:r - \acute{o}$ is in PossSg form, and $l\grave{a}:l - \hat{e}y$ is in PossPl form, there being no plural marking on the noun. With a final numeral we have $h\grave{a}s - \acute{e}y$ $h\grave{n}nz - \acute{o}$ 'my three uncles' and $h\grave{a}p - \acute{e}y$ ' $k\acute{u} - k\acute{u}$ $h\acute{n}pk - \acute{o}$ 'my three long ears'.

5.2.1.1 Direct juxtaposition of possessor NP and possessed noun

A nonpronominal possessor NP is directly preposed to the possessed NP. There is no intervening genitive morpheme or possessor-possessed linker. A full-NP possessor has its regular form, and may contain modifiers, plural marking, and/or a strong definite morpheme. The form taken by the possessed noun depends on its own number (singular or plural) and on whether the possessive construction is alienable or

inalienable. After a nonpronominal possessor, alienables take PossSg or PossPl form. These forms are also used with other third person possessors, and (with additional suffixes) with second person possessors (§4.2.1.5-7). If the possessor NP ends in a floating H, this can dock on the first syllable of the possessed NP (267d).

- (267) a. tà:-k-ò húw-èy sew-Agent-Fin/DefSg house-PossPl 'the tailor's houses'
 - b. [wòy híŋk-ó:] kòyrâ
 [woman two-Dem] village.3PossSg
 'the village of these two women' (contracted demonstrative /-ô:/)
 - c. [wòy-ó\) dì] húw 'híŋk-ò [woman-Fin/DefSg StDef] house two-PossSg 'the two houses of that (aforementioned) woman'
 - d. háw-éy /sú⁴b-ô cow-DefPl grass-PossSg 'the cows' grass' (3PossSg sùb-ô)

Inalienably possessed nouns have a generally parallel morphosyntax. Again third person possessors, including nonpronominal NPs, are preposed with no genitive or linking morpheme. An inalienably possessed noun takes a 3PossSg or 3PossPl form that is restricted to third person possessors (§4.2.2.5-6).

5.2.1.2 *ŋónê* in possessed NPs and possessive predicates

In HS, $\eta \acute{o}n \acute{e}$ is the default possessed noun, used when the common noun denoting the nominal type ('dog', 'house', etc.) is absent. A suitable gloss is 'property' or 'possession'. It is regular in **predications of possession** (268a), see §7.1.4. The construction in this case is $A \eta \acute{o}n \acute{e}$ with A a noun-phrase or independent pronoun; the $A \eta \acute{o}n \acute{e}$ may precede or follow the "subject" NP. In (alienably) possessed form, $\eta \acute{o}n \acute{e}$ can also be used to fill the slot left vacant by a possessed noun in an argument position that is omitted because contextually understood (268b).

- (268) a. háns-ô: [ní 'ŋónê] (or: [ní 'ŋónê] háns-ô:) dog-this [2Sg property] 'This dog belongs to you-Sg.'
 - b. [bé:r-éy 'ŋón-ò] dìrà
 [elder sib-1Sg property-PossSg] walk
 'My brother's (possession, e.g. his dog) has gone away.'

 $\eta \acute{o}n \acute{e}$ is not present between the possessor NP and the possessed noun within a NP: $\#[[A \ \eta \acute{o}n \acute{e}] \ B]$. In this respect HS differs from some other Songhay languages, where the cognate morpheme patterns more like a genitive postposition.

Combinations of *ŋónê* with a pronominal ('mine', 'yours', etc.) are shown in (269). The morphology is identical to that of alienably possessed nouns.

(269) Possessive adposition with pronominals

	1st	2nd	3rd	3Full
Sg	ŋón-è	ŋón-ò-nôŋ	à ŋón-ò	?áŋgá ⁴ŋón-ò
Pl	ŋón-èy-ndíy-à:	ŋón-òn-dôŋ	nòŋ ŋón-ò	ìjéy ⁴ŋón-ò

When $\eta \acute{o}n\^{e}$ itself is pluralized, it again follows the morphology of alienably possessed nouns: $\eta \acute{o}n-\grave{e}-\eta \grave{o}\eta + H$ 'mine (plural)', etc. In addition, $\eta \acute{o}n\^{e}$ may be followed by a numeral, in which case the possessor suffixes attach to the numeral: $\eta \acute{o}n\acute{e}$ 'híŋk- $\grave{o}-n\^{o}\eta$ ' your two, the two (possessions) of yours'.

Since $\eta \acute{o}n\hat{e}$ is really a noun, it can be pluralized if semantically appropriate in nonpredicative function. Hence $b\grave{o}r-\grave{e}y$ $\eta \acute{o}n-\grave{e}y$ 'the ones belonging to the people'. However, in predications of possession with plural subject, e.g. 'these dogs belong to you'), $\eta \acute{o}n\hat{e}$ fails to agree in number (or definiteness) with the subject.

HS has no counterpart to KS *kone*, a "custodial" postposition indicating temporary possession or control.

5.2.2 Recursive possession

A possessed NP of the type [Y [Z]] with a nonpronominal possessor Y can be further expanded. Y may have its own possessor, resulting in a bracketing [[[X]Y]Z], as in $[[[ba:b-ey] \uparrow ce^tra] hans-oj$ '[[[my father's] friend's] dog]'. Other examples point to the bracketing [X[Y[Z]]], but in most such cases the Y-Z sequence acts rather like a compound (cf. English my dog-house).

5.3 Adjectives

5.3.1 Syntax of simple adjectives

Most descriptive adjectives have a corresponding verb of adjectival quality based on the same stem (give or take a segment). The verbs are arguably the most basic forms of the relevant word-families, since they are unaffixed whereas many adjectives have a suffix (usually *-ow* in the nonfinal form). However, the relationship between verb and adjective is sometimes irregular. See §4.6.1 for the verbs, and §4.6.2 for lists of adjectives.

The verb (not the adjective) is used in predicative function ('X reds', not #'X is red'). The adjective is therefore limited to postnominal position within NPs.

Modifying adjectives immediately follow the head noun of the NP. The noun takes its nonfinal (NF) form without separate inflection for definiteness or plurality (§4.1.1). For many nouns whose final/definite singular form ends in -o+H or variant, the nonfinal form ends in -u. Any NP-level inflectional suffixes that are present (final/definite, indefinite plural, alienable pronominal possessor affixes), are attached to the final word in the core NP (maximally noun-adjective-numeral), hence to the adjective in a simple noun-adjective sequence (270a-c). If the head noun is omitted, absolute prefix ?i-H is added to the adjective that immediately follows the empy noun slot. One might take the prefix to be a dummy place-holder for the noun, roughly like English *one* in *the red one* or *two red ones*. The absolute prefix is used in this case even if a possessor is present (270b).

- (270) a. háw bè:r-èy cow big-DefPl 'the big cows'
 - b. wòy-ò / ?î-cír-ò
 woman-Fin/DefSg Absol-red-3PossSg
 'the woman's red one'
 - c. ?i-bé:r-è
 Absol-big-1SgP
 'my big one'

5.3.2 Adjective plus numeral

If both a descriptive adjective and an **ordinal** adjective (§4.5.6) are present, the ordinal follows the modifying adjective (271). Any suffixes to the core NP are added to the ordinal.

(271) wòy †zé:nì †híŋk-ànt-ò+H woman old two-Ord-Fin/DefSg 'the second old woman'

If a **cardinal** numeral is added to an adjective, the numeral follows the adjective. If the whole NP is definite, a final/definite singular suffix is added to the numeral (272a). There is no morphological plural marking if a nonsingular numeral is present. In elicitation I have occasionally recorded an alternative construction in which the adjective and the numeral are separately inflected for definite plural, i.e. an appositional syntax (272b). I do not recall observing this in natural speech.

(272) a. wòy †zé:n-ù híŋk-ó+H woman old-NF two-DefSg 'the two tall women'

b. ?wòy /zé:n-èy híŋk-éy + H ?woman long-DefPl two-DefPl [=(a)] (rare, not verified in natural speech)

5.4 Numerals and other quantifiers

5.4.1 Simple numeral phrases

The forms of numerals are presented and analysed in §4.7.1-3.

When combined with a following numeral, a noun occurs in its **nonfinal (NF)** form (§4.1.1). Plural marking is not present, but a noun-numeral combination may take a definite singular suffix on the numeral if contextually appropriate. Numerals follow adjectives, resulting in a linear order noun-adjective-numeral, with definite singular marking allowed on the numeral. If a numeral directly follows an unfilled noun slot, the numeral takes its absolute form (§4.7.1); this form is also used in counting recitation. Some numerals (including '6-9' and all terms for multiples of ten from '20' to '30') have no formal distinction between absolute and postnominal forms. Other numerals have absolute prefix allomorph 2i + H, except that -f6: '1' has absolute allomorph 2i + H, as does the universal quantifier $k\hat{u}l$ 'all'.

5.4.2 Existential quantification

Existential 'there is/are X' and locational 'X is present (somewhere)' predications expressed by quasi-verb $H+g\hat{o}$: 'be (in a place), be present', following the subject. This morpheme can induce a H-tone on the preceding syllable, and does not itself accept a floating H from the left. An example is (277) in §5.4.5. $H+g\hat{o}$: is replaced by $c\hat{i}nd\hat{i}$ for past time reference (this verb can also mean 'remain, stay' in any inflectional context), by $b\hat{a}r\hat{a}$ in the presence of a focalized constituent, and by negative $s\hat{i}$: 'not be (in a place), be absent', see §7.1.2 for examples. A locational phrase often follows these verbs, with $n\hat{o}\eta \sim n\hat{o}$ 'there' as a high-frequency default. This combination is usually heard as $g\hat{o}$: $\dot{i} = n\hat{o}(\eta)$ 'be there, be present, exist (somewhere)', where the cliticized adverb drops its tone from <HL> to L (like postpositions $s\hat{e}$ and $d\hat{o}$) and $g\hat{o}$: is then upstepped. However, this may now be lexicalized as unsegmentable $g\hat{o}$: $n\hat{o}$.

A more purely existential construction, without spatial location, is clause-initial wôŋ 'there is', borrowed from Fulfulde. An example is wóŋ [bòrò-nòŋ gá dìrà] 'there are some people who went'.

5.4.3 Universal quantification (*kûl* 'all, every, each')

kûl 'all' (totalizing) or 'each' (distributive) is of Arabic origin, but it is present in a number of Songhay languages. Like other <HL>-toned forms, its L-tone may be delinked and expressed as downstep on a following word.

In both totalizing and distributive senses, $k\hat{u}l$ is pronounced with emphasis. To further highlight $k\hat{u}l$, the preceding word is normally lowered in pitch. In most combinations I regard this pitch drop as intonational framing rather than phonological. I therefore transcribe the word preceding $k\hat{u}l$ with its normal tones, though I may add a downstep mark at its right edge, as in $\hat{n}j\hat{e}y^{i}$ $k\hat{u}l$ 'all of them'. However, there are some high-frequency combinations where this appears to have become phonologized, as in $\hat{b}\hat{o}r \downarrow k\hat{u}l$ 'everybody' from bôr. In such combinations I mark the relevant tones as low and add \downarrow at the right edge to index the contextual lowering process (§5.4.3.2).

In addition to its usual senses within NPs ('all', 'each/every'), $k\hat{u}l$ can be used as a **right-edge marker** in conditional antecedents (which may contain more than one clause). For discussion and references to examples, see the end of §9.5.10.

5.4.3.1 Totalizing sense ('all')

In its totalizing sense, a NP ending in $k\hat{u}l$ denotes the totality either of a set of two or more countable entities like 'child', or of a mass (e.g. 'water'). In the case of a set of two it can be translated 'both'.

 $k\hat{u}l$ occurs at the very end of the **entire NP**, after any demonstrative pronoun and/or any discourse-functional particle that may be present. By contrast, numerals precede demonstratives and discourse-functional particles (§5.1), so there is no all-purpose quantifier slot. Another difference is that whereas a numeral requires the preceding noun to take uninflected nonfinal form, in its ordinary universalizing sense ('all, both') $k\hat{u}l$ is added to an NP that already has its regular suffixes, including plurality. $k\hat{u}l$ may also follow an independent personal pronoun (e.g. 'we all', 'all of us'). Since $k\hat{u}l$ is the final element in an NP, a postposition taking this NP as its complement immediately follows $k\hat{u}l$. If the noun is omitted and there is no adjective or numeral, $k\hat{u}l$ takes its absolute form $2\hat{a}-k\hat{u}l$. Examples are in (273).

- (273) a. [wòy-èy kúl] dìrà [woman-DefPl all] walk 'All of the women left'.
 - b. [zá:nî⁴ kúl] [zàŋgù híŋká] [day every] [100 2] '200 (riyals) every day (=per day)'
 - c. [yêr¹ kúl] zàràbî
 [1Pl all] be tired
 'We all got tired (=all of us got tired).'
 - d. $\hat{i} = \hat{y} = \hat{y}$ 'hárú [[kò-t-íy-èy kúl] 'sê] 1Sg=Tr=3SgO say [[child-Dimin-DefPl all] Dat] 'I said it to (=I told) all of the children.'

- e. ?à-kúl kòm-à s-êy
 Absol-all escape 1Sg-Dat
 'I have lost everything.' (lit. "everything has escaped for me")
- f. $[h\acute{a}w-\acute{o} di\uparrow]=\acute{\eta}$ $[h\grave{e}yn-\acute{o}\uparrow]$ di] $k\acute{u}l$ $n\grave{a}i$ [cow-Fin/DefSg StDef]=Tr [millet-Fin/DefSg StDef] all eat 'The cow ate all the millet.'

5.4.3.2 Distributive sense ('each, every')

In distributive sense ('each', 'every'), $k\hat{u}l$ occurs with the uninflected **nonfinal** form of the noun. This construction is used when each individual is associated with another quantified entity (274a) or with a negation (274b).

- †hímà (274)a. ∫kò-tî⁴ 1 dú [tè:n-ù kúl] gù híŋká] [child each] Impf ought Infin get [date-NF two 'Each child is supposed to get two dates (fruit).'
 - b. [wòy kúl] màŋ †kâ
 [woman all] PerfNeg come
 'No woman came.' (lit. "every woman did not come")

The sense of (274b) can be emphasized by replacing wòy kûl with bá: [wòy fó:] 'even one woman' (bâ: 'even'), hence literally "[even one woman] did not come."

The high-frequency combinations in (275) are essentially distributive, and are mainly used with a following relative $g\hat{a}$, as in $b\hat{o}r k\acute{u}l \, {}^{t}g\hat{a} \dots$ 'everyone (=any person) who ...', likewise in 'everything that ...', 'everywhere that ...', and 'every time (when) ...'. The nouns are in nonfinal form, but drop to L-tone before $k\hat{u}l$ if not already L-toned.

(275)gloss Fin/Def Sg Nonfinal with kûl gloss a. already L-toned 'thing' hàyà hà: hà: kûl 'every thing' b. audibly drop to L-tone 'person' $b \partial r - \partial + H$ bòr↓ kûl 'every person' bôr 'place' dúw-ó+H $d\hat{e}v \sim d\hat{o}v$ dèy↓ kûl 'every place' 'day' 'every time' hán-ó + H hán hàŋ↓ kûl c. suppletive 'time' wàkkát-ò+H wàkkátî mè kûl 'every time' (and variants)

hà: kûl can also mean 'everything, all' in the totalizing sense. Totalizing 'everyone' is expressed as bòr-èy kûl 'all the people', with the noun in definite plural form.

The nouns in (275) have similar reduced and L-toned forms when directly followed by the relative morpheme (elsewhere $g\hat{a}$), which then takes the form $k\hat{a}$ (e.g. $b\hat{o}r$ $k\hat{a}$ 'a person who ...'), see (432) in §8.2.5.

5.4.4 Distributive iteration of numerals

The forms are given in §4.7.3. Distributives are used when a fixed quantity of entities in one set is associated with each member of another set. They can also be used to associate subsets (having the same cardinality) with distinct space-time coordinates in connection with a shared predication type. The distributive may be part of a NP that has a case role in the clause (276a), or it may be added at the end of the clause as an adverbial (276b).

```
(276) a. [zàŋgù híŋká-híŋká] ì † bó: ¹/dúw-à [100 2-2] 1SgS Impf get-3SgO '200 (riyals)[focus] is what I get (=earn) (each day).'
```

```
b. nòŋ †ká ?ì-híŋká-híŋká
3PlS come Absol-2-2
'They came two by two (=two at a time).'
```

5.4.5 Complementary subsets

When a group (set of entities) has been already introduced into the discourse, and is then divided into complementary subsets (contrasting with respect to some predication), the usual construction is a parallelistic one with $j\acute{e}r-\acute{e}y+H...$, $j\acute{e}r-\acute{e}y+H...$ This is the definite plural of $j\acute{e}r-\acute{o}+H$ 'part, portion'. An example is (277).

```
[[†kóyrà kún-ò]
(277)
       [kò-tí
               bò:-b-ó↑7
                                gò:
                                                                       1gà],
        [child
                many-DefSg]
                                be
                                        [[town
                                                  inside-3PossSg]
                                                                       in],
       jér-éy
                                  ¹kóy
                                             lékkôl,
                        ∱gú
       part-DefPl
                                             school,
                        Impf
                                  go
       jér-éy
                        1gú
                                  ⁴kóy
                                             1 fá:r-èy
                                             field-DefPl
       part-DefPl
                        Impf
                                  go
        'There are many children in (this) town. Some go to school, (while) others go
        to the fields (=do farm work)."
```

The noun denoting the group, if not previously introduced, can be included in the parallel construction by compounding it to the first occurrence of $j\acute{e}r-\acute{e}y+H$ (278).

(278)1 bámàkò, *[wòy* jér-éy] 1 nám ⁴kóy Fut Bamako, woman part-DefPl] go jér-éy 1 nám ¹/cín¹dí [kòyrà 1 gâ] part-DefPl Fut remain [town in] 'Some women go to Bamako; others stay in town.'

In the case of a single entity or mass, final/definite singular $j\acute{e}r-\acute{o}+H$ 'the part' is used in both of the parallel clauses (279).

(279)hâw gá ¹iér-ó 1bô: bí-bî. cow Rel part-Fin/DefSg Impf be.black, ŵ jér-ó 1ká:rèy part-Fin/DefSg **Impf** be white 'A cow of which one part is black and the other part is white.' (='a black-and-white cow')

The relevant form of noun $cind-\dot{o}+H$ 'remainder' (e.g. definite plural $cind-\dot{e}y+H$) can also be used in the second of the parallel clauses in the constructions above. For example, (278) above would have $j\acute{e}r-\acute{e}y+H$..., $cind-\grave{e}y+H$...

In examples similar to those of (278-9) above, if each subset consists of a single individual, we get forms of the numeral '1': first indefinite ('one'), then definite singular ('the other') (280).

(280) ?à-fó: tó:,
Absol-one arrive,
?à-fíy-à: ↑gó: [fànd-à: ↑bóŋ] [kù ↑kâ]
Absol-one-DefSg be [road-Fin/DefSg on] [Infin come]
'One (of them) has arrived; the other is still on the way here.'

5.4.6 Weak quantifiers ('many, much, few')

Weak quantifiers (those which are compatible with indefinites on first introduction) include the adjective $b\dot{o}$:-b- $\dot{o}w$ 'many, much' (§4.6.2.1), distantly related to the verb $b\dot{a}$: 'be much, many; abound'.

There is no adjective exactly glossed 'few, not many, not much', i.e. below a normative mean, though adjectives or verbs meaning '(be) small' can be used (\$4.4.2). 'Not many, not much' is usually expressed by negating a clause containing $b\partial:-b-\partial w$ or $b\acute{a}$: It can also be expressed by using a diminutive (281) or an adjective meaning 'small'.

(281) nò:r-íy-à: gá 'bâr s-êy money-Dimin-DefSg Rel be Dat-1Sg 'what little money I had (on me)'

For adverbial '(do) a lot' or '(do) five times', quantifying over instances of an event type, see §5.4.9.

5.4.7 Currency and time of day

5.4.7.1 Currency

As in other Malian languages, but not in local French, currency amounts are calculated in the riyal (real), now equivalent to 5 francs CFA.

The riyal (under various names) was the most valuable coin of the colonial era, but relentless inflation along with currency reforms have reduced it to the least valuable coin of the present era. Before 1992 the exchange rate was 50 francs CFA = 1 French franc. After the devaluation of 1992 the rate became 100 francs CFA = 1 French franc. As of 2014 it was pegged at about 650 francs CFA to the euro, so 5 francs CFA is worth about 1 U.S. cent at the time of writing.

The HS term for 'riyal' is $k\grave{a}:t\acute{b}\ifmmode{a}\ifmode{a}\ifmmode{a}\ifmmode{a}\ifmmode{a}\ifmmode{a}\ifmmode{a}\ifmmode{a}\ifmmode{a}\ifmmode$

Definite plural $k\grave{a}:t\acute{a}b-\grave{e}y+H$ means 'money', though $n\grave{o}:r-\grave{o}+H$ 'money' is also in use (cf. KS *noor-oo*).

5.4.7.2 Time

For time durations, French is commonly used when the units are hours or minutes: trois heures de temps 'three hours'. jîrbî 'day (as unit of time)' plus a quantifier is used to denote periods of one or more days (especially two or more); it may be related to the verb jîrbî 'sleep'. Compare hán 'day', used in time-locating expressions like 'that day' and relative 'the day that ...', and zá:ní 'day, daytime (versus night)'. zá:ní fó: 'one day' also competes with jîrbî fó: 'one day' as a measure of duration.

Modern absolute clock times are also expressed in French if the speaker knows the language: *trois heures et demi*, etc. The traditional native time-of-day expressions are a mix of terms primarily denoting solar positions and others denoting the five daily Muslim prayers. The expressions in (282), arranged in approximate temporal order, are the primary ones. Forms of nouns shown are final/definite singular.

(282) Times of night and day

expression	type	gloss
a. times of day		
cíjín-ó + H	noun	'night' (8 PM to dawn)
zàkù-[bín-ò] + H	cpd noun	'in the middle of the night'
?àlfázár-ò + H	noun	'wee hours before dawn'
mò: bów	noun+verb	'day has broken'
[noun has varia	ants $m\dot{u}w^n\dot{o}\sim m$	ùŋò ~ mòm]
sù-súb-ò+H	noun	'early morning' (6-9 AM)
wòyn-ù dùnj-íyà	noun+adj	'when the sun is getting warm'
		(7 AM)
?àddúh-á: + H	noun	'mid-morning' (9-10 AM)
zá:níy-ó+H	noun	'mid-day' (11 AM-3 PM)
zá:níy-ó bín-ò	loose cpd	'middle of the day' (c. 12:30 PM)
b. Muslim prayers		
sàlá:tû	noun	'pre-dawn prayer' (c. 4 or 5 AM)
?àlú:lâ	noun	'prayer around 2 PM'
?àlà:sárâ	noun	'prayer around 4 PM'
?àlmá:r-ó	noun	'late afternoon' (4-6 PM)
?àlmá:rí bù:n-íyà	noun + adj	'end of afternoon
		(when the sun is about to set)'
fùtúr-ò + H	noun	'early evening' (6-7 PM)
fùtúr-ò jíŋgár-ò	loose cpd	'twilight prayer' (c. 7-7:30 PM)
sá:f-ó+H	noun	'evening prayer' (c. 8 PM)

Any of the nouns can be used as the subject of a clause with verb tó: 'arrive', as in ?àlà:sárá 'tó: 'the mid-afternoon prayer has arrived' (i.e., 'it's around 4 PM'). This clause kernel can be used in adverbials like hál ?àlà:sárá 'tó: 'when the 4 PM prayer has/had arrived' and zá: ?àlà:sárá màn tó: 'since (the time when) the 4 PM prayer had not arrived' (='before the 4 PM prayer'). mò: bów 'day has broken' is already clausal and can be directly combined with hâl 'when ...' or zá: ... màn ...'.

There are also some verbs that denote leaving or returning home at a certain time of day. The main ones are: bíyá 'go in the early morning' (e.g. 5-6 AM), zà:ràm 'go in the middle of the day', wòymèy 'go in late afternoon' (after 4 PM), já:jé 'return home in the (late) afternoon'. These are often used as serial verbs with following kóy 'go' or other motion verb: à bíyá [ŵ kóy] 'he went in the early morning'. There are some other verbs that denote passing a good part of the day (in a place, or engaged in a particular activity): hâ:y 'spend the mid-day', wé:tê 'spend the morning (up to noon)' (<Fulfulde), hírtê 'spend the (early) evening' (<Fulfulde), hánná 'spend the night, (do) all night'.

Greetings are also sensitive to time of day. Some of the standard greetings are $k\acute{a}:nd\grave{i}$ 'good morning' (unsegmentable), $m\acute{o}t\acute{e}$ $\grave{\eta}$ $k\acute{a}n\acute{i}$ 'good morning' (lit. "how did you-Sg sleep?"), $w\acute{e}:t\acute{e}$ $b\grave{a}:n-\grave{i}$ 'good morning' (lit. "morning peace," uttered after 10

AM), há:y bà:n-ì 'good afternoon', móté ỳ hâ:y 'good afternoon, good evening' (lit. "how did you-Sg spend the mid-day?"), wò há:y-ò 'good afternoon' (plural addressee), and hí:ré bà:n-ì 'good evening' (hí:rê and a related form hírtê 'spend the early evening' are borrowings from Fulfulde).

Days of the week are expressed with Arabic terms. Beginning with 'Friday' (the Muslim sabbath) they are $?àlz\acute{u}m-\grave{a}:+H$, $?às\acute{a}bd-\acute{o}+H$, $?\grave{a}lh\acute{a}dd-\acute{o}+H$, $?\grave{a}t\grave{n}n-\grave{o}+H$, $?\grave{a}t\grave{a}i:t-\grave{a}:+H$, $?\grave{a}l\grave{a}:r\acute{u}b-\grave{a}:+H$, $?\grave{a}lk\grave{a}mi:s-\grave{a}:+H$.

While French terms are used for dates of the month, the stages of the lunar month are also widely used for time reference ('I'll go to Bamako early in the new lunar month'). $h \dot{a} n d - \dot{o} + H$ 'moon; month' is the subject of clauses like $h \dot{a} n d - \dot{o}$ gâ:y 'the moon has stood' (=new moon has appeared) and $h \dot{a} n d - \dot{o}$ bú: 'the moon has died' (=lunar month has ended). The verbal nouns are $h \dot{a} n d - \dot{o}$ gá:y-r- \dot{o} 'the standing of the (new) moon' and $h \dot{a} n d - \dot{o}$ bú:n- \dot{o} 'the death of the moon (=month)'. More abstract expressions like $h \dot{a} n d - \dot{o}$ síntím- \dot{o} 'the beginning of the month' could refer either to lunar months or to European months.

Some people know HS terms for the twelve Muslim lunar months, but many speakers do not know or use them.

Absolute dates and years (e.g. '1980') are expressed in French. The traditional native way of locating distant events in time was to relate them to an event ('the year Hamidou got married'), to the reign of a former chief of Hombori, or to the reign of a Malian head of state (Modibo, Mousa, etc.). Example: $m\acute{u}:s\acute{a}$ 'z\acute{a}:níy-ò 'the time (=reign) of Mousa (Traoré)'.

5.4.8 Quantification over pronouns

 $k\hat{u}l$ may be added to any independent pronoun. For third person, the 3Full pronouns are used. Thus $y\acute{e}r\hat{i}^{\iota}$ $k\hat{u}l$ 'all of us', $w\grave{a}r\hat{a}\eta^{\iota}$ $k\hat{u}l$ 'all of you', $n\grave{j}\acute{e}y^{\iota}$ $k\hat{u}l$ 'all of them'. As elsewhere, $k\hat{u}l$ is pronounced emphatically, so the pronoun is (intonationally) pitch-lowered.

When a pronoun is quantified over by a cardinal numeral, the noun 'person' is added, as in *yérí* ['bôr tá:k-ò] 'the four of us'. This phrase contains the nonfinal form bôr 'person' and a definite and {HL}-toned form of the numeral. These details suggest that 'four people' is treated morphologically as possessed, perhaps as 'our four persons'. tá:cí '4' and other basic numerals ('1' to '10') are lexically {H}- or {HL}-toned and so have {HL}-toned 3PossSg forms.

5.4.9 Quantification over events

To indicate the precise cardinality of an event type, HS speakers attach an adverbial to the predication, with $c\dot{e}$ 'time' followed by a quantifier: $c\dot{e}$ \(\frac{\psi}{m\dec{e}rj\dec{e}} \) 'how many times?', $c\dot{e}$ \(for \text{for 'once'}, $c\dot{e}$ \(guite \text{ifive times'}.

Most adverbial phrases meaning 'a great deal, a lot, very' begin with *hâl* 'until' (i.e., 'to the point that'): *hál à: bá:* (lit. "until it be.much"), *hál 'sánné* ("until extremely"), and *hál màn tù móssó* ("until Neg be softly"). For the lengthening of 3Sg à to à: after *hâl*, see §3.7.5.3. Another, less common way to say 'a great deal' is to add the particle *zá:rí* clause-finally, without *hâl*.

5.4.10 Partitive-like constructions with quantifiers

A locative phrase can be added to specify the larger set from which the specified individuals are drawn (283a-b).

- (283) a. [mángóró 'hínká] fúmbú [à gà] [mango two] be rotten [3Sg in] 'two of the mangoes in it (=set) have gotten rotten'
 - b. [háns-èy-nóŋ gà:] ?ì-fó: = ý nèy nàmà [dog-PossPl-2SgP in] Absol-one=Tr 1SgO bite 'One of your dogs bit me.' (lit. "in your dogs, one bit me")

5.4.11 Indefinite plural -non + H

The suffix -non + H can be added to a noun stem or to a noun-adjective sequence to produce an indefinite plural ('dogs', 'men', 'stones'). The tone of the suffix is assimilated from the stem, as with the homophonous verbal noun suffix -non + H (§4.3.1). With the few nouns that do not allow definite affixation, the form in -non + H is the only plural, and in this case it may be used even in syntactic contexts normally calling for a marked definite form (§4.2.3.2). In (284), the final/definite singular is the form used in contexts like 'I have no ...'. The stem-shape used before -non + H is the nonfinal form of the noun.

(284) Indefinite plurals

gloss	citation	Nonfinal	IndefPl
a. citation is fi	nal/definite sing	gular	
'language'	sénn-í	sénn-í	sénn-í-nóŋ + H
'grass'	sùb-ò+H	sùb-ù	sùb-ù-nòŋ + H
'month'	hànd-ò+H	hànd-ù	hànd-ù-nòŋ + H
'cow'	háw-ó+H	hâw	háw-nòŋ + H

'disease'	dór-ó + H	dór-í	dór-í-nóŋ + H
'man'	hà:r-ò + H	hàr	hàr-nòŋ + H
'house'	húw-ó+H	hû	hú-nòŋ + H
'marabout'	?álf-à:+H	?álfâ	?álfá-ŋòŋ + H
b. inalienables:	citation is unp	ossessed	
'father'	bà:bè	bà:bè	bà:bè-μòη+H
'mother'	றâ	றâ	pá-pòŋ+H
'aunt'	hàwê	hàwê	hàwé-nòŋ + H
'ear'	hànê	hànê	háné-nòn + H
'heart'	bìnè	bìnè	bìnè-nòŋ + H
'foot'	cè:	cè:	cè:-nòŋ + H
'mouth'	mê	mê	mé-nòŋ + H
'hand'	kàmbè	kàmbè	kàmbè-nòŋ+H
c. inalienable:	citation is 3Sg	possessor for	rm
'liver'	tás-ó+H	tásâ	tásá-nòŋ + H

Pl -nog + H is used with interrogative nouns: $m\acute{e}y-n\grave{o}g + H$ 'who?-Pl', $m\grave{i}h\acute{i}n-n\grave{o}g + H$ $\sim m\check{i}n-n\grave{o}g + H$ 'what?-Pl'. These explicitly plural forms are not common, since most 'who?' and 'what?' interrogatives are open-ended, allowing singular as well as plural responses. Plural -nog + H is not attested after relative $g\^{a}$, since plurality is expressed directly on the head NP.

-non+H can be added to a noun with definite singular reference, such as a personal name or a suffixed final/definite singular noun like $k\grave{o}-k\grave{o}y-\grave{o}+H$ 'the chief', to form an **associative plural**. This denotes a collectivity consisting of that referent plus his or her family, hang-out group, or other associates (rough English parallels are *the Joneses*, *the Capone gang*, and *X and company*):

From final/definite singular $k \hat{o} - k \hat{o} y - \hat{o} + H$ 'the chief' we likewise get $k \hat{o} - k \hat{o} y - \hat{o} - p \hat{o} \eta + H$ 'the chief and family (or associates)'.

5.5 Final/definite singular and definite plural

As explained in §4.1.1, many nouns and adjectives have generalized the originally definite singular suffix $-o+H \sim -a:+H$ to final position within the phrasal unit consisting maximally of noun, adjective, and numeral. For other nouns, the $-o+H \sim -a:+H$ ending is more restricted, for example being coerced only by a following strong definite particle H+di, in which case it can still be labeled definite singular (§4.2.3.4). Almost all nouns denoting countable entities continue to distinguish the definite plural -ev+H from the indefinite plural -pon+H.

5.6 Demonstrative pronouns

The usual demonstrative pronoun is singular $H+w\hat{o}$ (with short vowel) 'this/that', plural $H+w-\hat{e}y$. After a noun, the singular demonstrative may be reduced to a suffix \hat{o} : (with long vowel), and in this case a further $H+w\hat{o}$ may be added. See §4.4.1 for more on the forms.

A preceding {L}-toned word within the NP **raises the tone** of its final syllable before these demonstratives (§3.9.6.1). Examples: $b\dot{e}$: 'borassus palm', $b\dot{e}$: \uparrow $w\hat{o}$ 'this/that borassus palm', $w\dot{o}y-\dot{o}+H$ 'woman', $w\dot{o}y-\dot{o}\uparrow$ $w\hat{o}$ 'this/that woman', $w\dot{o}y-\dot{e}y+H$ 'the women', $w\dot{o}y-\dot{e}y\uparrow$ $w-\dot{e}y$ 'these/those women', $k\dot{o}yr\dot{a}+H$ 'village', $k\dot{o}yr\dot{a}\uparrow$ $w\hat{o}$ 'this/that village'. A similar tone-raising occurs before strong definite $H+d\dot{a}$ (§5.7.1) and before $H+g\dot{o}$: 'be (somewhere)' (§7.1.2).

An independent personal pronoun counts as a core NP, so a demonstrative can be added to it: ni ' $w\hat{o}$ 'you-Sg there' ($n\hat{i}$ 'you-Sg'), $w\hat{a}r\hat{a}n$ 'w- $\hat{e}y$ 'you-Pl there', $2\hat{a}y$ ' $w\hat{o}$ 'me here', $y\hat{e}r\hat{i}$ ' $w\hat{o}$ 'we here', $2\hat{a}ng\hat{a}$ ' $w\hat{o}$ 'him/her there', $n\hat{i}\hat{e}y$ ' $w\hat{o}$ 'them there'. These combinations are often found in topicalizing function, preposed to a clause. Especially in $n\hat{i}$ ' $w\hat{o}$, ' $w\hat{o}$ is heard as L-toned $w\hat{o}$, as is the case with some other monomoraic <HL>-toned functional elements such as postpositions ($s\hat{e}$, $d\hat{o}$) when they are phrased with a preceding word.

To make it explicit that discourse-anaphoric rather than ostensive reference is involved, strong definite $H+d\hat{\imath}$ (§5.7.1) **follows** the demonstrative: $w\acute{o}$ $d\hat{\imath}$ 'that one (of whom we were talking)'. To specify nonproximate deictic location more precisely, a deictic adverb may be added (§4.4.3). No adverb is needed for proximal reference since a manual gesture suffices to specify exact location in this case. A putative $\#w\acute{o}$ $n\grave{e}$: 'this here' was therefore rejected. However, $w\acute{o}$ 'hénd $\hat{\imath}$ ' that over there' is common. A demonstrative can also be followed by $k\hat{\imath}$ 'all' ($w\acute{o}$ ' $k\hat{\imath}$ 'all this/that'), and/or by discourse-functional morphemes ($w\acute{o}$ ' $m\acute{o}$ 'this/that too').

Locative adverb $n\grave{e}$: 'here' is often expanded as $n\acute{e}$: \uparrow - $w\grave{o}$. Likewise, the usual form for 'today' is $h\acute{o}^n\uparrow$ - $w\^{o}$ or perhaps $h\acute{o}^n\uparrow$ - $w\grave{o}$, cf. $h\acute{a}l$ $h\grave{o}^n$ 'up to today/now, still'.

5.7 Independent definite particles

5.7.1 Strong definite H + di (or H + di + H)

This morpheme (abbreviation StDef) is a marker of discourse definiteness. It may follow pronouns, demonstratives, and noun-headed NPs. Almost all nouns, adjectives, and numerals take the suffixed final/definite singular in $-o + H \sim -a$: +H or the suffixed definite plural -ey + H before H + di. However, some nouns resist suffixal definite marking, especially in the singular, and they can be directly followed by H + di in appropriate discourse contexts. This would apply to some abstractive nouns, nouns (including some diminutives) that idiosyncratically do not allow final/definite marking, and to personal names, for example.

 $H+d\hat{i}$ raising of a preceding final syllable in {L}-toned words to H-tone. This process also applies before demonstrative pronoun $H+w\hat{o}$ (plural $H+w-\hat{e}y$) and before $H+g\hat{o}$: 'be'.

Examples of H + di with nouns are in (286). Note especially the final-syllable tone-raising in (286d).

(286)	gloss	without $H + d\hat{\imath}$	with $H + d\hat{\imath}$
	a. {H}-toned 'cow' 'cows' 'courtyard' 'his/her hair'	háw-ó + H háw-éy + H tár-ó + H hámbúr-ó + H	háw-ó dì háw-éy dì tár-ó dì hámbúr-ó dì
	b. {HL}-toned 'bird' 'macari spice' 'his/her best'	cír-ò+H má:r-ò+H à zák-ò+H	cír-ò dì má:r-ò dì à zák-ò dì
	c. {LHL}-toned 'fatigue' 'pigeon sp.' 'his mortar'	zàráb-ò + H gùntás-ò + H ?áŋgá húmbùr-ô	
	d. {L}-toned 'borassus palm' 'man' 'woman' 'women' 'millet' 'mortar' 'village'	bè: hà:r-ò + H wòy-ò + H wòy-èy + H hèyn-ò + H hùmbùr-ò + H kòyrà + H	bé: di hà:r-ó di wòy-ó di wòy-éy di hèyn-ó di hùmbùr-ó di kòyrá di

'rain' cịnnà + H cịnná † dì 'Hombori' hùmbùrì hùmbùrí đì

The tones in these combinations can vary and may depend on the following word. Especially after nouns ending in final/definite singular variant $-\hat{a}: +H$, I have also heard pronunciations with the H-tone realized on $d\hat{i}$ (becoming $d\hat{i}$) before a L-toned word, as in (287).

(287) hál [kòtíy-à: dí] kà táŋ until [child-Fin/DefSg StDef] come only 'as soon as the child came/comes'

I do not ascribe a **following** floating H to $H+d\hat{i}$, which combined with the preceding floating H would require a representation $H+d\hat{i}+H$ with a unique flanking-H pattern. However, further study is needed. In (288a), for example, I did not hear a H-tone on $m\hat{a}n$. However, in some other cases my transcription did point to a second floating H after $H+d\hat{i}$. For example, the first syllable of /dirà/ 'walk' in (288b) was raised, and this has no other explanation. I will generally represent the strong definite morpheme as $H+d\hat{i}$ but a variant $H+d\hat{i}+H$ may also turn out to be jusified.

- (288) a. [wòy-ó↑ dì] màn ↑dírà
 /[wòy-ò+H H+dì] màn+H dìrà/
 [woman-Fin/DefSg StDef] PerfNeg walk
 'That (same) woman did not walk/travel.'
 - b. [bòr-ó dì] †dírà /[bòr-ò+H H+dì+H] dìrà/ 'That (same) person walked/traveled.'

 $H+d\hat{\imath}$ is combinable with pronouns (uncommon), demonstrative pronouns (common), and spatiotemporal adverbs (289).

(289)without $H + d\hat{\imath}$ with H + digloss comment a. pronoun ní dì 'you (there)' 2Sg пî b. demonstrative pronoun 'this/that' H+wó dì $H + w\hat{o}$ 'that (same) one' 'these/those' $H + w - \hat{e}y$ H+w-éy dì 'those (same) ones' c. locative adverb 'here' nè: né:† dì uncommon 'over there' héndî héndí dì 'there (def.)' nón-dì nón-⁴dí† dì [clitic form $= n\hat{o}\eta$ etc.]

In (289c), $h\acute{e}nd\hat{\imath}$ (perhaps) and $n\acute{o}n-d\hat{\imath}$ (definitely) already contain a more or less fused $H+d\hat{\imath}$ (or variant). The fact that another $H+d\hat{\imath}$ can be added shows that $h\acute{e}nd\hat{\imath}$ and to some extent $n\acute{o}n-d\hat{\imath}$ are no longer transparently segmentable.

The sense of the particle is rather fluid but it has a strong discourse-definite function 'that (same) X', more like KS strong definite *din* than like KCh simple definite *di*.

 $H+d\hat{i}$ also occurs at the end of relative clauses (§8.3) and factive clauses ('the fact that', §9.5.9). In these constructions, it functions (in part) as a **right-edge marker** (§9.5.10), specifying the right boundary of the subordinated clause(s). In this function it often directly follows a verb.

5.7.2 Adverbs with din or din di

There are also three adverbial expressions that contain a morpheme din. This can be directly compared to KS strong definite din (cf. also KCh definite di). For the (historical) phonology, note HS $din \sim di$ 'catch' and $din \sim di$ 'be ignited'.

The three HS combinations with din in (290) are rather frozen, and (another) strong definite di is usually present in the temporal adverbials (290a), though not in the manner adverbial (290b). The fact that din does not raise its tones after a floating H (suffix -o + H) or after a H-toned word ($y\acute{a}$:) suggests a continuing synchronic connection with strong definite H + di, which likewise fails to raise its tone.

(290) Combinations with -din (all known examples)

gloss	without din	with din	gloss
a. temporal 'day' 'moment'	hán-ó+H wá:t-ó+H	hán-ó dín∱ dì wótí dín∱ dì [for other variants so	'at that time'
b. manner 'that, there'	yá:-	yá:-dìn (dì)	'in that way'

5.8 Discourse-function (DF) markers

The discourse-function particles in the following subsections come at the end of the NP, after a demonstrative pronoun if present. Within a full NP only $k\hat{u}l$ 'all' can follow these particles, e.g. [wòy-èy $m\hat{o}^{\iota}$ kúl] kà 'all the women too came' with particle $m\hat{o}$ 'too' preceding $k\hat{u}l$.

5.8.1 Focus particle $(g\hat{a})$

One of the NP constituents of a clause, including adverbial phrases, is often singled out for focal status. It is placed at the beginning of the clause (the movement is vacuous in the case of the subject NP), and is followed by focus particle $g\hat{a}$. This particle is used for subject and nonsubject focalization (many Songhay languages have distinct particles for subject and nonsubject focus). The examples in (291) suffice for now; the syntax is treated in §8.1. There is a partial convergence in HS between focalization and relativization, these being the two major **extraction** processes. In particular, the focus particle $g\hat{a}$ is homophonous to the usual relative particle $g\hat{a}$. Both constructions require a special extraction-indexing form of the imperfective positive inflection (XImpf), see §7.2.4.2. Subject and object focus are exemplified in (291a-b), respectively.

- (291) a. wòy-ò gá bò: †kâ woman-Fin/DefSg Focus XImpf.Infin come 'It's the woman [focus] who will come.'
 - b. hám-ó gá ì ∱bô: bá:g-à meat-Fin/DefSg Focus 1SgS XImpf.Infin want-3SgO 'Meat [focus] is what I like/want.'

5.8.2 Topic particles

The topic particles are in (291). For examples and discussion see the sections cross-referenced.

(292) Topic particles

a.
$$min\hat{e}$$
 (§8.4.1)
b. $k\hat{e}y + H \sim k\hat{a}y + H$ (§8.4.3)

There is interspeaker variation between $k \grave{e} y + H$ and $k \grave{a} y + H$. That $k \grave{a} y + H$ might be the older form is suggested by the fact that the velar in $k \grave{e} y + H$ is not ordinarily palatalized; contrast the verb $c \grave{e} y$ 'weave' and cf. §3.6.4.

5.8.3 Other DF particles (mô 'also, too', tán 'only', emphatics)

The remaining DF particles are listed in (293). All are NP- or clause-final. Examples and discussion are in the respective sections of §8.5.

(293) Other discourse-functional particles

	form	label or gloss	comments
a.	тô	'also, too'	§8.5.3
b.	tán	'only'	< Fulfulde, §8.5.2.1
c.	yá dâ dèy kòy láy mê	Emphatic Emphatic Emphatic Emphatic Emphatic Emphatic	insistence (challenging listener's doubt), §8.5.5.5 precise identity, §8.5.1.1 admonition, §8.5.5.1 propositional confirmation, §8.5.5.3 propositional emphasis, §8.5.5.4 insistent command, §8.5.5.2
	sà já:tîŋ	Emphatic Emphatic	insistent command, §8.5.5.2 confirmation ('exactly'), §8.5.1.2

Tonal behavior with preceding H, L, and <HL> tones is shown in (294). Illustrated are the nouns $h\acute{a}w-\acute{o}+H$ 'the cow' and $w\grave{o}y-\grave{o}+H$ 'the woman', and the demonstrative $H+w\^{o}$ 'this/that'. There is no raising of a L-tone in the final syllable of a preceding {L}-toned word before any of these DF particles. Compare e.g. $w\grave{o}y-\grave{o}$ $m\^{o}$ 'the woman too' with demonstrative $w\grave{o}y-\acute{o}/\hbar$ $w\^{o}$ 'this/that woman'.

(294) Tonal behavior of DF particles

after H tone	after L tone	after <hl> tone</hl>
háw-ó mô	wòy-ò mô	wó ¹mô
háw-ó dâ háw-ó yá	wòy-ò dâ wòy-ò yá	wó ⁴dâ wó ⁴yá
háw-ó tán	wòy-ò tán	wó ⁴tán
háw-ó já:tîŋ	wòy-ò já:tîŋ	wô já:tîŋ

5.9 Adpositions and case

5.9.1 Unmarked case versus adpositions

I distinguish the following grammatical relations not expressed by adpositions: subject, preverbal direct object (of ordinary transitives), postverbal direct object (of VO verb), predicate of copula verb, and complement of identificational $n\hat{o}n$ 'it is ...'. In comprehension, these categories can be determined by constituent order and the nature of the predicators in the clause. Subjects and direct objects can be focalized. A "topicalized" NP is often preposed to the clause and if so it is outside the framework of clause-internal grammatical relations. However, under some conditions a NP marked with a topic particle can function within the clause, usually as subject.

As in many languages, common temporal and spatial adverbs like 'yesterday' and 'here', as well as place names, can be considered specialized nouns that can function adverbially without the adpositions that other nouns need to acquire adverbial function.

I now consider in turn the basic postpositions beginning in §5.9.2. The instrumental-comitative preposition $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ is covered in §5.11.

All adpositional phrases normally follow the verb except when focalized (or topicalized). If there are two or more postverbal constituents, their order is flexible. However, combinations of an adposition with a pronominal normally cliticize to the verb, preceding other elements.

Adpositions have pronominal paradigms, since 1st/2nd pronominal suffixes (like those used to express inalienable possession with nouns) can be added directly to them (third person counterparts are expressed as proclitics). When a pronominal postpositional phrase is focalized, it is fronted to clause-initial position and cannot take its postverbal clitic form. Instead, we get an independent pronominal form followed by the postposition. This is illustrated in the following section for the dative, but is equally true for other postpositions.

5.9.2 Dative sê

The dative is used for the recipient of an asymmetrical transaction ('give', 'send', 'show'), for the addressee of a speech event, for an indirect beneficiary, and for a motivation or goal. Though phonologically $\langle HL \rangle$ -toned, it is frequently realized with L-tone, as with $d\hat{o}$ 'chez' and the verb $n\hat{e}$ 'say'. A preceding 3Sg \hat{a} can be upstepped before any of these elements in their L-toned realizations, hence \hat{a} $s\hat{e}$ varying with \hat{a}^{\dagger} $s\hat{e}$ 'to/for him/her'. Examples are in (295).

- (295) a. $i \uparrow = j = j$ wi: $[k \acute{a}: n-i]$ $s \acute{e}]$ 1SgS=Tr=3SgO kill [pleasure Dat] 'I killed it for pleasure.'
 - b. $2\hat{a}y$ $n\hat{e}$ $[\hat{a}^{\dagger}$ $s\hat{e}]$ $[\hat{a}\uparrow = \hat{m}$ $k\hat{a}]$ 1SgS say [3Sg Dat] [3SgS=Subju come] 'I said to him that he should come.' (='I told him to come.')
 - c. i /nám '/nó:r-ò /sámbà s-âŋ
 1SgS Fut money-Fin/DefSg send 2Sg-Dat
 'I will send the money to you-Sg.'

The pronominal paradigm is shown in (296). These are the forms used in postverbal position, immediately following the verb, and they are very common. As with other inflected postpositions, the morphology is identical to that of those inalienably possessed nouns that do not have a special suffixal 3Sg possessor form for phonological reasons (e.g. gá: 'body'). That is, we get suffixes for 1st/2nd person categories but preposed third person pronouns, including 3Sg à.

(296) Dative pronominals

1st	2nd	3rd	3Full
 s-êy	s-âŋ	à sê∼ à† sè	?áŋgá ⁴sê
s-éy-ndì	s-án-dòŋ	ŋòŋ sê	ǹjéy ⁴sê

When a dative pronominal is focalized (and therefore fronted to clause-initial position), the independent pronominal forms must be used (1st/2nd or 3Full). It is followed in all cases by the postposition. The simple clause (297a) therefore corresponds to the focalized clause (297b). See §8.1.1.3 for the syntax.

(297) a.
$$a = \hat{\eta} = \hat{\eta}$$
 thárú s-êy 3SgS=Tr=3SgO say 1Sg-Dat 'He/She told it to me.'

b.
$$[7\acute{a}\emph{y} \quad \lq \emph{s\^{e}}] \quad g\acute{a} \quad \acute{a}\rlap{\uparrow} = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta} \quad \lq \acute{h}\acute{a}\emph{r\'u}$$

 $[\textbf{1Sg} \quad \textbf{Dat}] \quad \text{Focus} \quad 3\text{SgS=Tr=3SgO} \quad \text{say}$
'It was to me [focus] that he told it.'

Note also mi:n $s\grave{e} \sim mi:n$ $s\grave{e}$ 'why?' (lit. "for what?" §8.2.3.2) and the common clause-initial $w\acute{o}$ $s\grave{e}$ $g\grave{a}$... 'that is why ...' (with focalized "for that").

5.9.3 Possessive ŋónê

In HS $\eta \acute{o}n\acute{e}$ is not used as a possessive marker in a construction [X $\eta \acute{o}n\acute{e}$] Y] 'the Y of X', where it could have been analysed as a kind of postposition. Instead, $\eta \acute{o}n\acute{e}$ is used when the possessed noun is absent (§5.2.1.2), and in predicates of possession (§7.1.4).

5.9.4 Locative gà: ~ gà

The most general locative postposition is $g\hat{a}$: $\sim g\hat{a}$, which is perhaps distantly related to $g\hat{a}$: 'body'. HS has no trace of *ra or *la (still common in KS and KCh).

Prepausally the postposition is usually heard with a short vowel. Since PPs are often clause-final, this pronunciation is common. The long-voweled form, which is probably lexically basic, is heard when the postposition is closely followed by another word.

Often the best translation is 'in', but the sense 'inside, in the interior of' can be more explicitly expressed by the complex postposition $[X \ k\acute{u}n-\grave{o}] \ g\grave{a}$ (§5.9.7.2). Moreover, since verbs normally carry the load of expressing container boundary crossing (entering, departing), clauses containing $g\grave{a}$: $\sim g\grave{a}$ (or any other spatial adverbial) can be glossed 'into' (allative) or 'out of' (ablative) as well as 'in'

(locational) depending on the verb. Notice how 'sit', 'enter', and 'exit' affect the free translations in (298).

(298) a.
$$\grave{a}^{\dagger}$$
 $\grave{g}\grave{o}r\grave{o}$ $[\acute{h}\acute{a}r-\acute{o}$ $\mathring{f}g\^{a}]$ 3SgS sit [water-Fin/DefSg in] 'He/She sat in the water.' (locative)

- b. à húrá ['húw-ó / gâ]
 3SgS enter [house-Fin/DefSg in]
 'He/She went into the house.' (allative)
- c. à fáttá [húw-ó / gâ]
 3SgS exit [house-Fin/DefSg in]
 'He/She went out of the house.' (ablative)

More abstract uses of the postposition are exemplified in (299).

The pronominal paradigm is given in (300). As with most postpositions, the morphology is akin to that of inalienable possession, subtype with preposed $3\text{Sg } \hat{a}$.

(300) Locative pronominals

	1st	2nd	3rd	3Full
Sg	gà:-y	gà:-ŋ	à† gà(:)	?áŋgá gà(:)
Pl	gà:-y-ndì	gà:-n-dòŋ	ɲòŋ gâ(:)	ǹjéy gà(:)

Because of their prosodic heaviness, $g\hat{a}:-y-nd\hat{i}$ and $g\hat{a}:-n-d\hat{o}\eta$ in particular have some phonetic stress on their first syllables that can sound like H-tones. However, in my current interpretation they have the same (low) tones as in $b\hat{a}:-y-nd\hat{i}+H$ 'our share', rather than the H-tone of $m\hat{a}:y-nd\hat{i}+H$ 'our name'. If this is correct, tone-raising of $C\hat{v}$: stems to $C\hat{v}$:- before syllabic suffixes (§3.9.3) applies systematically only to final/definite forms of unpossessed nouns, and to the closely related alienably possessed forms of these nouns. It does not apply systematically to inalienably possessed forms (such as $b\hat{a}:-y-nd\hat{i}+H$). Postpositional paradigms are morphologically related to inalienably (rather than alienably) possessed nouns. However, in texts it is difficult to distinguish L- from H-tones in the first syllables of $g\hat{a}:-y-nd\hat{i}$ and $m\hat{a}:y-nd\hat{i}+H$, for example. Further study is needed.

5.9.5 *bòŋ* 'on'

The postposition $b \delta y$ 'on, on top of' is historically related to $b \delta y$ 'head'. Examples are in (301).

The pronominal paradigm is (302).

(302) Pronominal paradigm of bòn 'on'

	1st	2nd	3rd	3Full
Sg	bùɲ-èy	bùw ⁿ -àŋ ∼ bw-à:ŋ	à⁺ bòŋ	?áŋgá bòŋ
Pl	bùŋ-èy-ndì	bùw ⁿ -àn-dòŋ ∼ bw-à:n-dòŋ	ŋòŋ †bôŋ	ǹjéy bòŋ

For the 1st/2nd persons the postpositional forms in (302) are identical to the (inalienable) possessive forms of 'head', e.g. $b\hat{u}p-\hat{e}y+H$ 'my head' or 'on me', except that I have not observed a systematic floating H in the adpositional case. In the third person, the postposition is still just $b\hat{o}\eta$ while the (inalienably) possessed noun has a suffix and the 3Sg proclitic \hat{a} is not used ($b\hat{u}w^n-\hat{o}+H$ 'his head').

In the second person forms (both as postposition and as possessed noun), pronunciations approaching $bw-\grave{a}:\eta$ and $bw-\grave{a}:n-d\hat{o}\eta$ are fairly common (note the compensatory lengthening).

5.9.6 dô 'chez, at the place of'

Postposition $d\hat{o}$ denotes location in a space defined by the (normally human) referent, usually the person's home but sometimes just the person's presence (as defined contextually, e.g. while walking around in the market). There is an intrinsic social element in the locational sense, and in some examples location as such is less significant than socially recognized presence. The postposition is historically related to the noun 'place' (nonfinal $d\hat{e}y \sim d\hat{o}y$, final/definite singular $d\hat{u}w - \hat{o} + H$), cf. the KS cognate do: 'place' (definite singular dog-o:). Examples are in (303).

- (303) a. [wòy-m-èy dó] ì †bô: †zúmbù [sister-1SgP chez] 1SgS XImpf.Infin go down 'At my sister's home [focus] is where I lodge (=am staying).'
 - b. [bór 'fó:] †ká [w †sélèŋ dúw-êy]
 [person one] come [Infin speak chez-1Sg]
 'Somebody came up to speak with me.'
 - c. i †nám '†nó:r-ò há:n [†bá:b-èy dô] 1SgS Fut money-Fin/DefSg ask [father-1Sg chez] 'I will ask for money from my father.'

The pronominal paradigm is (304).

(304) Pronominal paradigm of dô 'chez'

In its tendence to convert segmental duwV to dwV: in the first and second person forms, $d\hat{o}$ resembles postposition $b\hat{o}\eta$ 'on'. Tonally, $d\hat{o}$ is parallel to dative $s\hat{e}$. Unsuffixed $d\hat{o}$ in 3Sg \hat{a} $d\hat{o}$ is optionally pronounced as L-toned, allowing variable upstep on the 3Sg morpheme (\hat{a}^{\dagger} $d\hat{o}$).

5.9.7 Simple and complex postpositions of spatial position

5.9.7.1 Simple spatial postpositions ('behind', 'in front of', 'under')

For 'behind, after' and 'in front of, before' (in spatial or temporal senses), there are postpositions that are identical to bare stems of semantically related orientational partonyms (305).

(305) Uncompounded orientational postpositions

noun	gloss	postposition	gloss
bàndè	'back (of body)'	bàndè	'behind; following; after'
jìnè	'front'	jìnè	'in front of; before'

The postposition for 'under' is $cir\dot{e}$, but it has no nominal counterpart. It can also mean 'at the base of (a hill)', which is very relevant to the mountainous local topography. To translate 'underside', forms of the noun $k\partial r - \partial + H$ 'rear, bottom' are used.

The pronominal forms of these simple postpositions are given in (306).

(306) Pronominal paradigms of 'behind', 'in front of', and 'under'

	1st	2nd	3rd	3Full
a. 'behi Sg Pl	nd, after' bànd-èy bànd-èy-ndì	bànd-àŋ bànd-àn-dòŋ	à† bàndè _pòn_†bándè	?áŋgá bàndè njéy bàndè
b. 'in fr Sg Pl	ont of' jìn-èy jìn-èy-ndì	jìn-àŋ jìn-àn-dòŋ	à† jìnè ɲòŋ ∱jínè	lángá jìnè njéy jìnè
c. 'unde Sg Pl	er' cìr-èy cìr-èy-ndì	cìr-àŋ cìr-àn-dòŋ	à⁺ cìrè ɲòŋ ∱círè	?áŋgá cìrè njéy cìrè

For 'behind' and 'in front of' (306a-b), the 1st/2nd forms of the postposition are identical to the (inalienably) possessed forms of the corresponding noun. 'Under' has similar morphology.

In the same word-family as *bàndè* both as noun 'back' and postposition 'behind; following; after' are the spatiotemporal adverb *bándé* 'behind, in the rear; afterwards', see (322c) in §5.13.1, and the temporal postposition *bándé* 'after'.

There is no postposition for 'facing A' (French *en face de A*). Instead, circumlocations including a verb like *gándé* 'face, be broadside to' are used.

To say 'above X, over X' one can used the noun or adverb *bé:né* 'top; above' after a dative phrase denoting the landmark (307).

5.9.7.2 Complex spatial postpositions ('behind', 'inside', 'in front of', 'under')

Complex (or compound) postpositions are of the type 'at [the mouth of A]', with an orientational partonym or the like ('side') that itself has a spatial postposition ($g\grave{a}$: or $b\grave{o}g$). The referent A functions grammatically as the "possessor" of the noun. Although the nouns that form part of the complex postposition, like 'mouth', are elsewhere inalienable, in this construction they can have **alienable morphosyntax**.

The base nouns (shown in 3PossSg form) and the corresponding complex postpositions, as used with nonpronominal or preposed third person pronominal complements, are shown in (308). A and B are NPs.

(308) Complex orientational postpositions with -o suffix

noun (3Poss)	gloss	postposition	gloss
jér-ò míy ⁿ -ò kún-ò bìn-ô gám-ò	'part, half' 'mouth' 'interior' 'heart' 'middle'	A jér-ò bòŋ A míy ⁿ -ò gà(:) A kún-ò gà(:) A bìn-ó gà(:) A&B gám-ò gà(:) A gám-ò gà(:)	'at the side of A' 'at the entrance to A' 'inside A' 'in the center/midst of A' 'between A and B' 'in the middle of A'

{L}-toned $bin\dot{e}$ 'heart' has a 3Sg possessor form as body-part term: $bin-\dot{o}+H$ 'his/her heart'. In this sense it can be easily pluralized, as in $n\dot{o}\eta$ $\uparrow bi'^4n-\dot{e}y$ 'their hearts', usually heard as $n\dot{o}\eta$ $\uparrow bin-\dot{e}y$ because of the sharpness of downstep. However, the complex spatial postposition is based on alienable morphology: $a\dot{b}in-\dot{o}g\dot{a}$ 'in the center/midst of it', contrast this with the literal $bin-\dot{o}\uparrow g\dot{a}$ 'in his/her heart'. In the complex postposition, the noun also does not pluralize when the complement is plural: $n\dot{o}\eta\uparrow bi'n-\hat{o}g\dot{a}$ 'in the midst of them'. 1st/2nd person inflected forms likewise have alienable morphology, e.g. $bin-\acute{e}y-ndi-y\acute{a}$: $g\dot{a}$ 'in the midst of us', contrast this with literal $bin-\dot{e}y-ndi$ $\uparrow g\dot{a}$ 'in our heart' or its plural-noun form $bin-\acute{e}y-ndi-y\acute{e}y$ $g\dot{a}$ 'in our hearts'.

Except for the restriction to singular form in the complex postposition, the distinction between alienable and inalienable morphology ('heart' versus 'midst') is neutralized when the complement is a nonpronominal NP, or a third person pronoun other than regular $3\text{Sg } \hat{a}$, among other categories. This reflects the overall merger of alienable and inalienable for these possessors.

Except for 'between' and 'in the midst of', which normally denote a single location or area even when the noun is plural ('between/in the midst of the houses'), the postpositions in (308) can denote **multiple locations** when the referent NP (A) is nonsingular. If multiple locations are denoted, the noun in the complex postposition takes **plural** form: $h\acute{u}w-\acute{e}y~k\acute{u}n-\grave{e}y~g\grave{a}(:)$ 'inside the houses' (lit. "in the interiors of the houses"). Given that the noun and the possessor are both plural, there is effectively no distinction between alienable and inalienable here (even 1Pl and 2Pl possessors fail to make this distinction for a plural possessed noun).

An alternative to the form X $g\acute{a}m-\grave{o}$ $g\grave{a}(:)$ in the sense 'between X' is to omit the final postposition $g\grave{a}(:)$ and use the 3PossPl form $g\acute{a}m-\grave{e}y$ 'middles' as a postposition. This is optional with nonpronominal complements, as in $[b\grave{o}r-\acute{e}y\uparrow = \acute{n}d\grave{u} \uparrow c\^{e}r]$ $g\acute{a}m-\grave{e}y$ 'among the people'. 1Pl and 2Pl complements require a version of this construction, but with the singular form of the possessed noun: $g\acute{a}m-\acute{e}y-nd\grave{i}$ 'among/between us' (lit. "our middle"), $g\acute{a}m-\acute{a}n-d\grave{o}y$ 'among/between you-Pl'.

Another complex postposition, not involving suffix -o on the medial noun, is 'beside, next to' (309). Possible sources are *cérá*: 'flank of body (at ribs)' and/or *cèrê*

'friend, companion'. The 1st/2nd person forms are clearly based on inalienable possessive morphology. The third person forms can be alienable or inalienable (the distinction is overt in the 3Sg possessor form, and both possibilities occur). A is any NP.

(309) 'Beside, next to'

a. 1st/2nd persons

```
1Sg cèr-éy gà(:)
1Pl cèr-éy-ndì gà(:)
2Sg cèr-áŋ gà(:)
2Pl cèr-áη-dòŋ gà(:)
```

b. Third persons

```
3Sg \hat{a}^{\dagger} \stackrel{?}{cer} \uparrow g \hat{a}(:) \sim c \stackrel{?}{er} - \hat{o} \uparrow g \hat{a}
3Pl p \stackrel{?}{on} c \stackrel{?}{er} g \hat{a}(:)
NP A \stackrel{?}{cer} \uparrow g \hat{a}
```

5.9.8 zá: 'since' and hâl 'until'

The particles $z\acute{a}$: 'since' and $h\^{a}l$ 'until' are used in a range of functions. Both occur in most other Songhay languages, and $h\^{a}l$ (or variant) is common in non-Songhay languages of Mali. They are often clause-initial temporal-adverbial subordinators ('when ...', 'since ...'), see §9.5.6. As (apparent) prepositions with following NPs as complements, they presuppose a temporal and/or spatial trajectory with defined boundaries. $z\acute{a}$: takes as complement a NP denoting a starting point ('since X, starting from X'), while $h\^{a}l$ takes as complement a NP denoting an endpoint ('until X, all the way to X'). Either may be used separately, or the two may be combined into a parallelistic bookend sequence specifying both starting and ending points.

In spatial trajectories, a starting point is regularly indicated in a different way, with a verb h u n 'leave, go from'. Therefore z a i n is not common in spatial contexts, except with deictics like 'here' as in 'from here (to over there)'. It is much more common with temporal expressions ('since yesterday'), where it has no competition.

In spatial contexts, *hâl* is rather emphatic: 'all the way to A'. Again, it is quite common with temporal expressions ('until next year'). The distinction between temporal and spatial trajectories is somewhat artificial, since in context many spatial trajectories refer to motion events. Examples in (310).

- (310) a. [zá: /né:] ì /bô: /zúrù [hál 'húw-éy] [from here] 1SgS XImpf.Infin run [until house-DefPl] 'I will run all the way from here to home.'
 - b. [zá: †bí:] 'gâ ì sí: séllê [hál 'hónţ-wô] [since yesterday] Focus 1SgS ProgrNeg be well [until today] 'I have been sick since yesterday [focus] right up to today.'

5.9.9 'Without'

HS has no counterpart to KS preposition *bila:* 'without', which is borrowed from Arabic. Circumlocutions including a negated clause are used as functional equivalents, as in (311).

5.9.10 Temporal postpositions (hán-ê, wát-ê, bándé)

From the noun hán 'day' we get a derivative hán-ê that is used as a postposition with a preceding expression that denotes a day or an event associated with a particular day. The phrase in (312a) is particularly common, since there is one market day a week in Hombori (Tuesday). hán-ê may also be used, rather redundantly, after a day-of-theweek term (312b).

These PPs are normally adverbial, but they occasionally function as NPs and can take a further postposition in this case, as in héb-ó hán-é bàndè 'after (the next) market day', though this is more often expressed more simply as héb-ó †bándè.

Parallel to hán-ê is wát-ê 'at the time (season, era) of'. This is related to a set of forms used in languages of the region derived from Arabic waqt- 'time', of which HS has more than one (?àlwàkkát-ò seems most common). Examples are kónn-ó wát-ê 'in the time of heat (=hot season)' and [kò-kòy]-[tàr-ò] wát-ê 'the era of the (tribal) kings'.

Postposition *bándé* 'after' differs tonally and in part semantically from the spatial or temporal postposition *bàndè* 'behind; following; after', cf. noun *bàndè* 'back (body part)' and spatial or temporal adverb *bándé* 'in the rear; afterwards'.

5.10 Apposition

Since HS, unlike other Songhay languages, has special suffixes for 1st/2nd person pronominal possessors, there is no ambiguity between e.g. possessive 'our chiefs' (313a) and appositional 'we (the) chiefs' (313b). The appositional construction consists of an independent personal pronoun followed by the relevant definite noun.

However, there may be ambiguity in specific constructions involving the noun 'person' and a quantifier, e.g. 'our four people' versus 'we four', since the latter is structured as 'we person four' (§5.4.8).

5.11 Instrumental, comitative, and conjoined NPs

This section treats various constructions involving $\hat{n}d\hat{u}+H$ 'with' (or 'and'). It is a preposition, not a postposition. The following complement may be a nonpronominal NP or a pronoun.

For suffixed $-\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$ transitivizing certain intransitive verbs, especially motion verbs, see §6.1.6.

With a pronominal complement, the endings are identical to those of VO verbs (§6.1.7, cf. §4.3.6.1). The forms are therefore those in (314).

(314) Pronominal paradigm of instrumental-comitative $\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$ 'with; and'

1st	2nd	3rd	3Full
Sg <i>ńdù ʔây</i>	ńdù nî	ńd-à	ńdù ?áŋgâ
Pl <i>ńdù yérî</i>	ńdù ∱wá⁴râŋ	ńdù póŋ	ńdù 'njêy

 $nd\hat{u} + H$ is a rare example of a nasal-cluster onset. The n is syllabic after a pause. After a vowel, and in most cases after word-final consonants (i.e. sonorants), the n syllabifies with the final syllable of the preceding word. In such examples it is transcribed as a clitic $= nd\hat{u} + H$. This cliticization is low-level (not syntactic), and I bracket $nd\hat{u} + H$ with the following complement.

When this resyllabification occurs, the tonal outputs are summarized in (315). A preceding floating H-tone has no effect since \acute{n} is already H-toned.

(315) preceding word with $\lceil \hat{n}d\hat{u} + HX \rceil$ gloss

a. preceding H-tone merges with H-tone of \acute{n} $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}+H$ $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H\ X]$ 'a/the dog and/with ...'

```
b. preceding L-tone creates illegal <LH> that is leveled to H
 penult of preceding word is L-toned
    sùb-\dot{o}+H
                      sùb-\acute{o}\uparrow = [\acute{n}d\grave{u} + HX]
                                                       'a/the grass and/with ...'
 penult of preceding word is H-toned (result is two adjacent H-tones)
    cír-ò+H
                      cir-\acute{o}\uparrow = [\acute{n}d\grave{u} + HX]
                                                        'a/the bird and/with ...'
                      à háns-\acute{o}\uparrow = [\acute{n}d\grave{u} + HX] 'his/her dog and/with ...'
    à háns-ò
c. preceding <HL>-tone creates illegal <HLH> that is leveled to H
 penult of preceding word is L-toned
    à sùb-ô
                      \hat{a} s\hat{u}b - \hat{o} = [\hat{n}d\hat{u} + HX]
                                                       'his/her grass and/with ...'
 penult of preceding word is H-toned (result is two adjacent H-tones)
                      b\acute{e}:r-\acute{e}y=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+HX]
                                                       'my elder sib and/with ...'
```

 \uparrow in (315b) indicates that the final syllable has shifted from L to H rather than being an underlying H. In cases like $c\acute{n}r-\acute{o}\uparrow=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H~X]$ and \grave{a} $\acute{h}\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}\uparrow=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H~X]$, the result is two adjacent, but phonologically unrelated, H-tones. Such H-tone sequences do not necessarily form flat pitch terraces in the fashion of lexical H.H sequences analysable as a single H spread over two syllables, as in $\acute{h}\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H~X]$ in (315a).

5.11.1 Instrumental and comitative functions.

The basic sense of $[\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H \ A]$ is 'with A', including instrumental ('by means of') and comitative ('along with, in the company of') senses. It is a preposition in a language that otherwise favors postpositions. The morpheme is also used in nominal conjunctions of the type $[B \ [\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H \ A]]$ 'B and A' (lit. "B with A"). It is not used in VP or clause coordination. In interlinears I will gloss it noncommitally as 'with'.

In instrumental function, $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ has no real competition from other constructions; there is no high-frequency alternative to $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ in expressions like $\if{f}=\acute{\eta}=\acute{\eta}\if{i}{\dot{\psi}}\if{i}{\dot{\eta}}\end{figure}$ if hit it with a stick $\if{i}{\dot{\psi}}\if{i}{\dot{\psi}}\end{figure}$.

The $\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$ construction is also common in comitative sense, as in (316).

(316)
$$i$$
 su fba $[w]$ $gay = nd-a]$
1SgS ImpfNeg want [Infin work=with-3SgO]
'I don't want (or like) to work with him/her.'

There are also other ways to express the comitative. For example, one can conjoin the two referents: (see §5.11.3 below). An example would be 'I don't want that [I and he/she] work together'. One can also phrase 'in the presence of X' with a postposition bàndè 'after, behind' (suggesting subordination) or jìnè 'beside, next to'.

 $id\hat{u} + H$ is compatible with preceding $b\hat{a}$: 'even': $b\hat{a}$: $= [id\hat{u} \ s\acute{e}mb - \acute{o}] + H$ 'even with a knife'.

5.11.2 Extraction of complement of instrumental or comitative $\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H$

When the complement of ndu + H is extracted, the preposition is stranded in postverbal (usually clause-final) position, with 3Sg resumptive pronominal regardless of number or pronominal category. The fixed 3Sg resumptive pronoun strategy is typical of HS extraction phenomena (focalization, relativization). So 3Sg nd-a is coindexed with a fronted (extracted) plural NP in (317).

```
(317)
          bá:
                       ⁴sémb-éy
                                          gá
                       knife-DefPl
                                          Focus
          even
          yò
                                  híη
                                           \lceil k \acute{u} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}
                                                                   ¹dúmbú = ńd-à]
          1PlS
                    ImpfNeg can
                                           [Infin=Tr=3SgO cut=with-3SgO]
          'Even with knives [focus] we can't cut it'
          (lit. "Even knives<sub>x</sub> we can't cut it with it<sub>x</sub>")
```

Because $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ remains in postverbal position in the 3Sg form $\acute{n}d-\grave{a}$ when its complement is fronted, it is difficult to distinguish cases bracketed as VERB $[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H\ X]$ from cases where $-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ has fused to the verb as a derivational suffix, i.e. $VERB-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H\ X$. In some of the clearest cases of fusion, e.g. in $k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u}+H$ 'bring', the meaning focuses on conveyance (of an object or person) rather than accompaniment (§6.2.5). Further doubts about the integrity of $[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H\ X]$ as a constituent on a par with NP, PP, or infinitival VP are raised by the inability of instrumental $[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H\ X]$ to occur after an 'except' expression like $k\grave{a}l+H$. In §8.5.2.2 I show that a logical $k\grave{a}l$ $[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H\ X]$ is realized as $[k\grave{a}l+H\ X]$.

For manner adverbials of the type $\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H$ plus noun, see §5.11.6.

5.11.3 Conjunction [A [ńdù+H B]]

True NP conjunctions of the type $[A [\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H B]]$ 'A and B' function as syntactic units. A and B may be nonpronominal NPs, pronouns, or one of each. If B (right conjunct) is a pronoun, it has the same form as it has after $\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H$ in instrumental and comitative functions, see the paradigm in §5.11.1 above.

```
(318) a. wòy-éy∱ = ńdù ∱há:r-èy
woman-DefPl=and man-DefPl
'(the) women and (the) men'
```

```
 b. ?á:mádú † = ńdù séydû
 'Amadou and Seydou' (?á:mádù, séydû)
```

When an NP A is conjoined to a pronominal, the latter appears preferentially (but not obligatorily) as left conjunct: $2\acute{a}y = [\acute{n}d\grave{u} \uparrow k\acute{o}-k\grave{o}y-\grave{o}] + H$ 'I/me and the chief'.

If the left conjunct A is a pronoun, it takes its **independent pronominal form**. In particular, if the left conjunct is a 3Sg or 3Pl pronominal it must appear as 3FullSg $2\acute{a}ng\^{a}$ or 3FullPl $\grave{n}j\^{e}y$, never as a proclitic 3Sg \grave{a} or 3Pl $n\grave{o}n + H$. This rule must be

slightly qualified for 1Pl, whose independent form $y\acute{e}r\^{i}$ can contract with $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$, by Syncope $(y\acute{e}r)$ and loss of /r/ before n $(y\acute{e})$ plus minor tonal adjustments. So the full form $y\acute{e}r\acute{i}=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ B] contracts to $y\acute{e}=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ B] or even (with rounding assimilation to the u) $y\acute{o}=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ B]. It is possible that these contracted variants are subject to reinterpretation as the 1Pl clitic (specifically, subject proclitic) $y\acute{o}+H$, which would make the transcription $y\acute{o}/=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ B] with an arrow to indicate tone raising. For 2Pl, however, independent pronoun $w\grave{a}r\^{a}\jmath$ is regular in $w\grave{a}r\^{a}\jmath=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ B], heard after minor phonological adjustments as $w\grave{a}r\acute{a}=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ B]. This is clearly distinct from subject proclitic $w\acute{o}+H$ in the incorrect $\#w\acute{o}/=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ B].

```
(319) a. 2\acute{a}y = \acute{n}d\grave{u}   [\uparrow \acute{h}\acute{a}r f \`{a}r - \acute{o}]

1Sg=with   [man farming-Fin/DefSg]

'I and the farmer'

b. w \`{a}r\acute{a}\eta = \acute{n}d\grave{u}   \eta \`{a}nd - \acute{e}y - nd \`{o}\eta

2Pl=with   wife-DefPl-2PlP

'you-Pl and your wives'
```

In (320a) we see a simple VO transitive 'I saw 3Sg'. (320b) elaborates this by adding a syntactically separate, basically adverbial 'with' phrase ('him with his dog'), hence literally 'I saw 3Sg [3Sg with 3Sg's dog]', to express something like 'I saw him along with his dog' or 'I saw him while he was with his dog'. (320c) has a pure conjoined NP and is translatable as 'I saw him and (I saw) his dog', though it takes the literal form 'I saw [3FullSg with 3Sg's dog]'. (320b) is more idiomatic. Because 3Sg \grave{a} is phrased with the following possessed noun, it does not contract with $\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H$ into $\acute{n}d-\grave{a}$ in either example.

```
(320) a. i
                         díy-à
           1SgS
                         see-3SgO
           'I saw him/her.'
        b. ì
                       dív-à
                                      [?ángá = [ńdù
                                                                    háns-ò]]]
                                                           Γà
           1Sg
                      see-3SgO
                                      [3FullSg=[with
                                                           [3SgP
                                                                    dog-3PossSg]]]
           'I saw him along with his dog.'
                       dí:
                                      [?ángá = [ńdù]
        c. ì
                                                                    háns-ò]]]
                                                           Γà
                                      [3FullSg=[with
           1S<sub>g</sub>
                      see
                                                           [3SgP
                                                                    dog-3PossSg]]]
```

5.11.4 Conjunction of two personal pronouns

'I saw him and his dog.'

When both conjuncts are pronominal, the preference is to linearize according to a hierarchy 1st>2nd>3rd persons, thus $2\acute{a}y = [\acute{n}d\grave{u}\ n\^{i}]$ 'I and you-Sg', $n\acute{i} = [\acute{n}d-\grave{a}]$ 'you-Sg and he/she'. However, this is not a hard-and-fast rule.

The left conjunct does not express the summed-up number category of the conjoined set ('I and 3Sg' expressed as "we and 3Sg"). The effect is that singular left conjuncts are quite acceptable. In general, pronominal conjunction is more transparent and regular than in KS. $y\acute{e}(r) = \acute{n}d\grave{u} \uparrow w\acute{a}^{t}r\hat{a}\eta$ means 'we and you-Pl', not 'I and you-Pl'. For the reduction of 1Pl left conjunct $y\acute{e}r\hat{i}$ to $y\acute{e}(r)$ -, see the preceding section.

For e.g. 'we (by) ourselves' expressed as a conjunction 'we and [our heads]', with possessed 'head' as in reflexive objects, see §10.2.1.

5.11.5 Comparative $\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$ 'than'

See (573c) in §9.7.7.1 for the use of $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ as a 'than' conjunction in certain types of comparatives.

5.11.6 Idioms and adverbial phrases with and without $\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$

 $nd\hat{u} + H$ is not quite so common in spatial adverbials as is its KS cognate, and it is generally absent from such adverbials as $g\acute{a}nd\acute{a}$ 'down below', $j\acute{n}a\acute{n}$ 'forward, ahead', and $b\acute{a}nd\acute{e}$ 'in the rear; afterwards'. However, where there is an instrumental sense we do get $n\acute{d}\acute{u} + H$ in high-frequency adverbials, as in $n\acute{d}\acute{u}$ $g\acute{a}:b\grave{i}$ 'by force, forcibly'.

 $g\grave{a}$:- $k\acute{o}$:-n or $g\grave{a}$:- $[k\acute{o}$:- $n\grave{o}]$ + H 'body naked' is used as an adverbial "small clause" without $\acute{n}d\grave{u}$ + H, as in \grave{a} $j\grave{i}$ r $b\acute{i}$ $g\grave{a}$:- $k\acute{o}$:n 'he/she slept naked'. $g\acute{a}$: 'body' becomes L-toned $g\grave{a}$: in this construction. With first or second person subject, $g\grave{a}$: optionally takes possessed form in this construction: \grave{i} \ifliy j \ifliy j

5.12 NP disjunction (wàlà 'or')

Like most other languages of the zone, HS has an 'or' disjunction based on Arabic (cf. Maghrebi *awlla* 'or'). It is inserted between the two disjuncts.

In (321a), *wàlà* is clearly grouped prosodically with the following NP. In (321b), it occurs between two NPs; if uttered fluently there is no obvious prosodic grouping with left or right disjunct, but in deliberate speech style *wàlà* is grouped with the right disjunct.

wàlà is also used at the end of a clause (or a reduced form thereof) as a yes-no interrogative particle. In this function its final vowel is often prolonged and/or raised in pitch. It has the flavor of a tag question, cf. German *oder?* in this function. The merger of 'or' with polar interrogative marker is common in Malian languages of the zone (e.g. ma in several Dogon languages).

5.13 Spatiotemporal adverbs and phrases

5.13.1 Spatial adverbs

Since directionality ('to', 'from') is expressed by verbs, the only nominal formations needed are all-purpose locative expressions that denote a location or zone. The prototypical locational phrase is a postpositional phrase of the type [NP in] with one of the spatial postpositions, often locative $g\hat{a}$:. However, place names and many high-frequency locational expressions generally occur without an overt postposition, as in \hat{i} $d\hat{i}y$ - \hat{a} $h\hat{u}mb\hat{u}r\hat{i}$ 'I saw him/her in Hombori'. HS does not follow KS in frequently adding a redundant locative postposition to e.g. deictic adverbials ('here') and temporal adverbs ('then').

For the deictic adverbials, see the subsections of §4.4. Some other single-word locationals are given in (322). They are primarily spatial, but those in (322c) also have temporal senses. Note the {H} tone melodies in (322c), contrasting with the {L} melody of the related nouns.

(322) Spatial adverbs

form	gloss	related noun
a. gándâ bé:né	'down below; on the ground' 'up above, on top; in the sky'	gándâ 'ground' bé:né 'top; sky'
b. <i>táréy</i>	'outside'	tár-ó + H 'courtyand'
c. jínáŋ bándé	'forward, ahead; previously' 'behind; afterwards'	<i>jìnè</i> 'forehead' <i>bàndè</i> 'back (of body)'

Postpositional phrases are normal in the cases of $k \partial y r \hat{a} / g \hat{a}$ 'in (the) town', $h \acute{e} b - \acute{o} / g \hat{a}$ 'at the market', and $g \grave{a} \eta - \grave{o} / g \hat{a}$ 'in the bush (wilderness)'.

5.13.2 Temporal adverbs

Some basic single-word temporal adverbs are in (323).

(323) Temporal adverbs

```
form
                           gloss
a. mà-sán-dâ
                           'now' (most common form)
   ~ màr-sán-dâ
      mà-sán-dá sà:tíyò
                           'right now' (§4.4.5)
                           'now' (less common than mà-sán †kây)
      mà-sáŋ
                           'now' (with topic morpheme)
      mà-sáŋ †kây
                           'now' (only in hál 'sáŋ 'until now, to this day')
   sáŋ
b. hó¹¹1-wô
                           'today; nowadays' (cf. hán 'day')
      h\grave{o}^n \sim h\grave{o}\eta
                           'now' (in hál hò'' 'until now, to this day')
   bì:
                           'yesterday'
      bì:-fò
                           'the day before yesterday; the other day, a couple
                           of days ago'
   súbâ
                           'tomorrow' (< Arabic via Fulfulde)
      súbá-¹sí:
                           'the day after tomorrow; in a couple of days'
                           'this year'
c. hàràn
                           'last year'
   mánná
      mánná-fò
                           'the year before last; a couple of years ago'
                           'next year'
   yé:síη
```

Another common temporal has several variants including wótí dín \uparrow dì, wótí dìn, wótín dìn, wótín dìn, wótín dìn, the meaning is 'at that time, then'. It is one of the HS forms ultimately from Arabic waqt- 'time'. For dìn, an archaic variant of strong definite H + dì (which now follows it in some of the variants), see §5.7.2.

5.14 Vocatives

Variant kin terms used chiefly in direct address are ?áyyâ 'mom!' and ?ábbâ 'dad!' These can also be used with appropriate endings as referential kin terms (?áyy-è: 'my mom', ?áyy-à:-nôŋ 'your-Sg mom'), but this is not typical of adult speech. Other kin terms take the appropriate possessed form even when used as vocatives: bé:r-êy 'my elder brother!'.

6 Verbal voice (valency) and verb derivation

6.1 Subcategorization for objects and adpositional phrases

6.1.1 Verbs, quasi-verbs, and the referentiality of subject NPs

In this section I pull together from other sections in the grammar a number of possible counterexamples to the generalization that a clause minimally has a referential subject and a verb. Since the MAN (mood-aspect-negation) complex intervening between subject and verb may be zero (interpreted as perfective indicative positive), and since intransitive verbs require no further complements, the subject and verb are the only candidates for obligatory status.

As in other Songhay languages, it is possible to interpret the existential-locational quasi-verb $H+g\dot{o}$: 'be (somewhere)' and its negation $s\dot{i}$: 'be absent, not be (somewhere)' as special cases of the corresponding imperfective morphemes in the MAN (mood-aspect-negation) complex, with the following verb slot empty (see §7.1.2). This is probably a sound analysis but I will use 'be' and 'not be' or the like in interlinear glosses for practical reasons.

The construction $[X \ n\hat{o}\eta]$ 'it is X', with identificational quasi-verb $n\hat{o}\eta$ (or variant), is a nonverbal construction and does not permit MAN marking between the NP (X) and the quasi-verb. Moreover, since the construction presupposes a topical referent ('it' in 'it is X'), it is questionable whether X in $X \ n\hat{o}\eta$ is a syntactic subject. For more on this construction see §7.1.1.

In sequences of the type 'he doesn't sing, he doesn't dance, (in fact) he doesn't (do) anything', I have not recorded HS examples with the 'do' verb omitted in the final part, and an informant rejected proposed examples. While KS allows such omission, HS examples either complete the last phrase with $t\acute{e}$: 'do' or use a postpositional phrase with $g\grave{a}(:)$ 'in' (324a-b).

One candidate for a subject-less predicator is $k \hat{a}l + H$ 'must' (§9.6.2), which is used like French *il faut* with a subordinated subjunctive clause: $k \hat{a} \uparrow = [\hat{m} \ 'k \acute{o}y]$ 'you-Sg must go'. Since $k \hat{a}l + H$ appears to constitute a matrix clause by itself, it can be analysed as a subjectless predicator that takes a clausal complement.

'It rained' is cinnà †kâ or cinn-ò †kâ 'the rain came' (i.e. 'it rained'), with referential subject. Other terms for weather, time of day, and other ambient circumstances likewise have referential (rather than expletive or "dummy") subjects.

Like its cognates in KS and other Songhay languages, $g \hat{a} r \hat{u}$ 'find' can be used abstractly with 3Sg subject (of dubious referentiality) and a clausal complement, often in the form $\hat{a}^{\dagger} k \hat{a} \hat{w} / g \hat{a} r \hat{u}$ [...]' it happened (to be the case that) [...]', literally 'it came and found (that) [...]'. The complement may be any normal indicative clause. One could argue that the 3Sg subject is not a true expletive, rather that it coindexes the situation as left be the preceding discouse.

Another case where a 3Sg subject of questionable referentiality may occur with a verb and a following complement is with verb *cìndî* 'remain', as in (325).

```
(325) à cìndí 's-êy [zàŋgù híŋká]
3SgS remain Dat-1Sg [hundred two]
'I have 200 (riyals) left.' (lit. "it remains for me 200")
```

Such examples were elicitable, but they coexist with more straightforward constructions with the amount NP in subject position ("200 remain for me").

6.1.2 Underived simple intransitives

Examples are motion verbs ($k\acute{o}y$ 'go'), stance verbs ($g\grave{o}r\grave{o}$ 'sit'), verbs of adjectival quality (see the list in §4.6.1), and experiential verbs ($h\grave{e}r\grave{e}y$ 'be hungry'). For verbs used either intransitively or transitively without overt derivational affixation, see §6.2.1. Derived intransitives from transitive bases are resultative passive and unspecified object - \grave{a} , and potential passive - $\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ (§6.2.3-4). - $\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ also derives causatives, especially from intransitive but sometimes also from transitive input verbs.

6.1.3 Underived simple transitives

The majority of transitive verbs are preceded by their direct object, the sequence being [subject + MAN + object + verb + ...], with transitive $\hat{\eta}$ inserted if the MAN sequence would otherwise be phonologically null. These canonical transitives are here called **OV verbs**. They include verbs that impact the entity denoted by the direct object: $d\hat{u}mb\hat{u}$ 'cut', $k\hat{a}r\hat{u}$ 'hit', $t\hat{u}r\hat{u}$ 'braid (the hair of)', $t\hat{e}$: 'do, make', and $\eta\hat{a}$: 'eat'. Contrast the VO verbs (§6.1.7) which do not involve physical impact, and are followed by their direct objects.

Derived transitives are those in causative -éyndí (§6.2.2).

6.1.4 Ditransitives and other verbs with dative complement

While a dative postpositional phrase is optionally added to any clause denoting a purposeful activity, for example to indicate an indirect beneficiary, a few verbs require a dative as part of their basic case frame. The ditransitives $n\acute{o}$: 'give', $c\grave{o}wr\grave{u}$ 'show', and $h\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ 'tell, say' all occur in constructions of the type [subject + MAN +

object + verb + dative]. For alternative constructions applicable to 'give' and 'show' see $\S 9.1.2$. The 'say' verb used with a quotative clausal (rather than NP) complement is $n\hat{e}$, which therefore occurs in a construction [subject + MAN + verb + dative + quotation].

'Bless (someone)' is expressed with the verb $d\acute{u}w\^{e}$ (<Arabic, perhaps via Fulfulde) or $g\grave{a}:r\grave{a}$ (old Songhay item), plus a dative PP: \grave{i} $d\acute{u}w\acute{e}$ [\grave{a}^{\dagger} $s\grave{e}$] 'I blessed him'. 'Pardon, forgive' is $y\grave{a}:f\grave{a}$ (<Arabic) plus dative: \grave{a}^{\dagger} $y\grave{a}:f\grave{a}$ $s-\grave{e}y$ 'he/she pardoned me'. $f\grave{a}h\grave{a}m$ 'understand' is often intransitive, but when it has a complement the latter is expressed as a dative (or locative) postpositional phrase: \grave{a} \grave{w} $f\acute{a}h\grave{a}m$ $s-\grave{e}y$ 'he/she understands me' (dative; substitute $g\grave{a}:-y$ for $s-\grave{e}y$ to get the locative version). The dative version is used to indicate comprehension of what someone has said, while the locative version indicates a more abstract understanding. Examples involving emotional responses are $z\grave{a}h\grave{a}$ 'get angry' (\grave{a}^{\dagger} $z\grave{a}h\grave{a}$ $s-\grave{e}y$ 'he got angry at me') and $h\acute{u}y\^{e}$ 'be happy, joyful' (\grave{i} $h\acute{u}y\acute{e}$ [\grave{a} $s\^{e}$] 'I am happy with him'). $k\^{a}:n$ 'be sweet, good' is an intransitive, but can take a dative complement in the sense 'be pleasing to', hence \grave{a} \grave{w} $k\acute{a}:n$ ' $s-\grave{e}y$ 'it pleases me' (='I like it'). 'A lose B' is expressed as 'B be lost [to A]' with $d\acute{e}r\acute{e}$ 'become lost' plus dative, as '[A's B] be lost' with a possessive, or combining these as '[A's B] be lost [to A]'. The last construction is exemplified by $f\grave{e}:j-\acute{e}$ 'déré $s-\grave{e}y$ 'I lost a (lit. "my") sheep', with $f\grave{e}:j-\^{e}$ 'my sheep-Sg'.

6.1.5 Verbs with nondative adverbial complements

 $d\hat{a}m$ 'put (in something)' normally takes a locational phrase as well as a direct object, though it can be elicited without the locational. An example with a locational is $i / = \hat{\eta} = \hat{\eta} d\hat{a}m / \hat{f}\hat{o}: 1-\hat{o} / \hat{g}\hat{a}$ 'I put it [in the sack]'.

A number of intransitive verbs take optional locational complements. bìsà 'go past, pass (by)': à' bìsà gà:-y 'he went past me'; cénsé 'be jealous': à w cénsé gà:-y 'he is jealous of me'. Both of these involve postposition gà: 'on'.

6.1.6 Verbs with instrumental complement ($\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H$)

It is difficult to distinguish between verbs that commonly take an instrumental complement, as in VERB [$\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ NP], and cases where $-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ has come to function as a derivational suffix, the derivative behaving syntactically like a VO verb: $VERB-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ NP, see §6.2.5 for examples. The only way to test for syntactic structure is to see whether other material, such as postverbal enclitic pronominal PPs, can intervene between the verb and $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$.

(326a) shows an example where such intervening material is possible. If a pronominal dative \grave{a} $s\hat{e}$ 'for him/her' is added, it can appear either at the tail end or inserted between $b\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ and the instrumental phrase. Phonologically, $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ usually cliticizes to the preceding word (symbol =), as the \acute{n} syllabifies with the preceding syllable, but in more deliberate speech style $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ can be pronounced separately in examples like these.

- (326) a. i / = j m ang or o b ar u = [i du] f t e: n-ey]1Sg=Tr mango-Fin/DefSg exchange=[with date-DefPl]

 'I exchanged a mango for some dates.'
 - b. $i \uparrow = \hat{y}$ màngór-ò bárú [à sé]=[ídù \uparrow té:n-èy] 1Sg=Tr mango-Fin/DefSg exchange [3Sg Dat]=[with date-DefPl] 'I exchanged a mango with him/her for some dates.'
 - c. $i \uparrow = \hat{y}$ màngór-ò bárú = [ídù †té:n-èy] [à sê] 1Sg=Tr mango-Fin/DefSg exchange=[with date-DefPl] [3Sg Dat] [=(b)]

6.1.7 VO verbs

These are a small number of low-transitivity, non-impact transitive verbs whose direct object follows rather than precedes them. More accurately, the direct object is part of the postverbal complex, and if it is a nonpronominal NP it is possible to have e.g. a dative pronominal between verb and object. To distinguish VO verbs from other verbs that may be followed by an NP that is not a direct object (**unmarked postverbal NP**, §6.1.8), the formal criterion for identifying a stem as a VO verb is its ability to take the 3Sg object suffix -a (which gets its tones from Tonal Rhythm, §3.9.4.1) and 3Pl object pon (cf. §4.3.4.2). A fairly complete list is (327). The 3Pl clitic has no phonological effect on the stem, e.g. à hámbúrú pon 'he was afraid of them'.

(327) VO verbs

gloss	stem	3Sg object	comment
a. monosyllabic			
{H}-toned			
'divorce'	féy	féy-à	
'be stronger than'	hín	hín-à	
'hate'	kôn	kón-à	
'know'	ŋá:n	ŋá:n-à	
'be older than'	zé:n	zé:n-à	
{HL}-toned			
'approach'	mân	mán-à	
$\{L\}$ -toned			
'be better than'	bà:	bà:-Ø	
b. bisyllabic or longer			
bisyllabic, {H}-toned			
'be used to'	dó:néy	dó:n-à	
'learn'	gó:rú	gó:r-à	

```
'touch'
                         lábú
                                     láb-à
   'trust'
                         ná:néy
                                     ná:n-à
  bisyllabic, {L}-toned
   'surpass'
                         bìsà
                                     bìs-â
   'forget'
                         dìnòw
                                     dìnn-â
   'follow'
                                     hàηg-â
                         hàngà
   'remember'
                                     hòng-â
                         hòngù
   'have seen'
                         kò:rù
                                     kò:r-â
  bisyllabic, {HL}-toned
                                                   < Fulfulde
   'accomplish'
                                     gáyn-à
                         gáynê
   'need'
                         há:jê
                                     há:j-à
                                                   < Fulfulde
   'marry, wed'
                         hí:jî
                                     hí:g-à
   'trust'
                         hó:lê
                                     hó:1-à
                                                   < Fulfulde
   'be worth less than' já:sê
                                     já:s-à
   'go far from'
                         mó:rû
                                     mó:r-à
   'feel like'
                                                   < Fulfulde
                         mú:nê
                                     mú:n-à
   'waste, lose'
                         múrsû
                                     múrs-à
                                                   < Fulfulde (?)
   'refuse'
                         wúnjî
                                     wúnj-à
  trisyllabic, {H}-toned
   'fear'
                         hámbúrú
                                     hámbúr-à
c. Cv and Cv:
 high vowel adding a homorganic semivowel
   'see'
                         dí:
                                     díy-à
   'get'
                         dù
                                     dùw-â
  e shifting to i and adding a homorganic semivowel
   'become (adverb)'
                         tè
   'become (noun)'
                                     tíy-à
                         té:
  a or o, with irregular extended presuffixal Cv:C-form
   'want'
                         bà
                                     bá:g-à
   'hear'
                         má:
                                     má:r-à
   'reach, arrive'
                         tó:
                                     tó:r-à
  a with irregular extended presuffixal Cvŋ-form
   'receipt, accept'
                                     tàη-â
d. invariant in form, no distinct 3Sg object form or imperative
                                                   Imprt kàtè
   'bring'
                         kàtè
                                     kàtè
                                                   3PlO kàtè nón
                                                   (no VblN \#k\grave{a}t\grave{e}-n\grave{o}\eta+H)
```

The contractions of stem-final vowel or diphthong ow before 3Sg -a (327b) are regular. So is the phonological treatment of monosyllabic Cu 'get' and Ci: 'see' in (327c). The alternation of $t\acute{e}$: and $t\acute{i}y$ - \grave{a} 'become' has parallels in monosyllabic inalienable nouns, e.g. $m\^{e}$ 'mouth', $m\acute{i}y$ - \acute{o} + H 'his/her mouth'. See §3.7.1.5 for the phonology.

The prevocalic *Cv:C*- stem allomorphs for 'want', 'hear', and 'reach, arrive' in (327c) are archaic; compare KS *bag-aa*, *maar-aa*, *toor-aa*.

kàtè 'bring' (327d) is irregular in not having an overt 3Sg object suffix.

6.1.8 Verbs taking unmarked postverbal NPs

Unmarked postverbal NPs are NPs other than obvious adverbials that occur without adpositions in the postverbal complex. They can be mistaken for NPs functioning as direct objects of VO verbs. The key difference is that only VO objects can be pronominalized as 3Sg object suffix -a on the verb. In addition, 3Pl pronominal object clitic $p\acute{o}g$ can follow a VO verb (as direct object) but cannot occur as an unmarked postverbal NP.

Unmarked postverbal NPs occur with the verb 'be full' and causative 'fill' (328).

- (328) a. hùmbár-ò tó: pét! hár-ó waterskin-Fin/DefSg be.full Intens water-Fin/DefSg 'The waterskin is full of water.'
 - b. $i \uparrow = \hat{y}$ $h \hat{u} m b \hat{a} r \hat{o}$ $t \hat{o} : -y \hat{e} y n d \hat{i}$ $h \hat{a} r \hat{o}$ 1 Sg = Tr waterskin-Fin/DefSg be.full-Caus water-Fin/DefSg 'I filled the waterskin with water.'

When such a NP is extracted, as in WH-question formation, an alternative syntax must be used in order to give the extracted NP a genuine syntactic position, normally as an instrumental (329). One could use this to argue that the unmarked postverbal NPs in (328) above are covert instrumentals.

(329) mi:n ' $g\acute{a}=\acute{n}=\acute{n}$ hùmbár-ò $t\acute{o}:-y-\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}=[\acute{n}d-\grave{a}]$ what? Focus=2SgS=Tr waterbag-Fin/DefSg be.full-Caus=[with-3SgO] 'What did you fill the waterbag with?'

With 'give' and 'show', in the alternative construction where the recipient appears as preverbal direct object (instead of as postverbal dative), if the theme NP appears on the surface it is no longer direct object and so must appear as an unmarked postverbal NP (examples in §9.1.2). Extraction of the theme NP can always be done but this must be based on the unmarked construction with preverbal direct object and postverbal dative.

6.1.9 Verbs of saying/telling (nê, cì, hárú)

 $h\acute{a}r\acute{u}$ 'say (something), tell (something, to someone)' is a transitive verb used with a NP or more often 3Sg pronominal complement: $\acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{y} = \acute{y}$ 'hár \acute{u} ' 'he/she said it'. It is not used with a quotative clause as complement.

cì is a morphologically regular intransitive verb 'say, speak'. It can occur by itself or it can be juxtaposed to a quotation.

 $n\hat{e}$ is a defective verb-like element which always accompanies a quotation. It has no verbal noun or other suffixal derivatives, and it is not used in the imperative where $c\hat{i}$ is required. It is "conjugated" by either a third person subject proclitic such as 3Sg \hat{a} or, oddly, by an immediately preceding first or second person **independent** (not subject proclitic) pronoun (1Sg \hat{i} 2 \hat{a} y, 2Sg \hat{n} 1, 1Pl \hat{y} 2 \hat{e} 1, 2Pl \hat{w} 2 \hat{a} 2 \hat{n} 2. Thus \hat{a} 1 \hat{n} 6 'he/she said' and \hat{i} 2 \hat{a} 4 ' \hat{n} 6 'I said' (not \hat{i} 1 \hat{n} 6). Morphosyntactically, one can compare the defective verb \hat{n} 6 with the defective noun \hat{m} 6 \hat{i} 9 'namesake, person with the same name', which has a similar split between third and first/second person possessors (§4.2.3.1). If a MAN morpheme intervenes between a subject pronominal and \hat{n} 6, the subject pronominal takes its regular proclitic form: \hat{i} 1 \hat{i} 1 \hat{i} 1 will say ...'.

If not followed by a pause, $n\hat{e}$ 'say' is often treated as L-toned. A consequence of this is that 3Sg subject \hat{a} $n\hat{e}$... 'he/she said...' is often heard as $[\bar{a}n\hat{e}...]$ with higher pitch on the 3Sg clitic than on the verb by Pronominal-Clitic Upstep (§3.9.4.3).

All saying/telling verbs combine readily with dative PPs denoting the recipient: $a \uparrow = g = g' + h a r u'$ [à s = s = g' + h u'] 'he told it to her', l u' = g' + h u' [à l u' = g' + h u'] 'he told it to her', l u' = g' + h u' [à l u' = g' + h u'] 'he told it to her', l u' = g' + h u'] 'I said to him: ...'. l u' = g' + h u'] 'I said to him: ...'

6.2 Derived voice (valency) forms

6.2.1 Zero derivation and derivation by tone shift

Some verbs may be used either transitively or intransitively without overt derivational modification. The most open-ended case is that of verbs that are basically transitive but that can omit an explicit direct object, e.g. $\eta \hat{a}$: 'eat' and $b \acute{e} y$ 'know'. Many other verb stems take an overt unspecified-object derivational suffix $-\hat{a}$ in this context, but not all verbs can take this suffix (§6.2.3).

In other cases a verb may be used as a transitive or as a middle intransitive (cf. English *I broke it* and *it broke*). Again, many verbs mark a similar voice shift with overt derivational suffixes, having either a) an unmarked transitive and marked passive derivatives, or b) an unmarked intransitive and a marked causative (see subsections below). Examples of verbs that do not require overt derivational marking, with the transitive gloss preceding the intransitive, are *hàsárà* 'ruin' and 'be ruined, malfunction'.

HS, perhaps uniquely among Songhay languages, also has a number of bisyllabic verbs ending in a high vowel that distinguish the transitive and intransitive functions by tone shift. All cases known to me are in (330).

gloss

intrans.

(330) Tone shift in intransitive/transitive pairs (all known examples)

a. {L}-toned intransitive, {H}-toned transitive

fèrì 'be untied' férí 'untie, open'

zùmtì 'nod (head)' zúmtí 'put on its head'

[zùmtì versus zúmtí from one speaker, not confirmed by others]

transitive

gloss

b. {H}-toned transitive, {L}-toned transitive héré 'go around; be changed' bèrè 'flip, transform (it)' cé:cí 'conduct a search' 'look for (it)' céyrí 'become broken' cèyrì 'break (it)' 'go across' dáwrí dàwrì 'cross (it)' fúnsú 'swell up' fùnsù 'inflate (it)' né:sí 'be measured, weighed' nè:sì 'measure, weigh' wársí 'burst' wàrsì 'shatter (it)' 'take sth for oneself' yá:rí yà:rì 'take (it) for oneself'

The forms shown can be modified by the usual tone sandhi rules. The underlying tone distinction can be seen, for example, in differences in the surface tones of the imperative singular: $n\acute{e}:s\grave{i}-\eta$ 'be measured!', \grave{a}^{\dagger} $n\grave{e}:s\^{i}-\eta$ 'measure/weigh it!'.

6.2.2 Causative -éyndí

The suffix -éyndí, here labeled "causative" (Caus), is used to make factitive transitives from stative intransitive verbs or their associated adjectives, and ordinary causatives from active intransitive verbs. When added to an already transitive stem, -éyndí intransitivized it as a potential passive (§6.2.4).

A final vowel of the input stem is lost before this V-initial suffix, unless the stem is monosyllabic. A final diphthong *ey* (but not *ow*) is also lost under the same conditions. The word-level **{H}** tone overlay erases the lexical tones. Examples of factitive and causative function are in (331).

(331) Causatives

	simple stemgloss		causative	gloss
a.	dî(n) dìrà mân tísôw tùfêy zígí	'(fire) be lit' 'walk, travel' 'approach' 'sneeze' 'spit' 'go up'	dín-éyndí dír-éyndí mán-éyndí tísów-éyndí túf-éyndí zíg-éyndí	'light (fire) 'send away' 'bring near' 'cause to sneeze' 'cause to spit' 'take up'

b.	ŋà: tó:	'eat' 'become full'	ŋá:-y-éyndí tó:-y-éyndí	'feed' 'fill'
	zé:	'swear'	zé:-y-éyndí	'cause to swear'
c.	hàŋ	'drink'	háŋ-éyndí	'give drink to'
d.	zùmbù	'go down'	zúm-éyndí	'take down'
e.	hìnèŋ	'be clean'	hín-éyndí ~ hínw-éyndí	'clean'
	hínéŋ	'suckle'	hínw-éyndí	'let suckle'

The cases in (331a) are phonologically unproblematic. In (331b), a mid or low vowel adds an epenthetic -y- to separate the stem vowel from the suffix-initial vowel (§3.7.1.9). No *Ci:* or *Cu:* stem happens to have a causative form. An informant gave $b\dot{u}:-y-\dot{e}yndi$ 'cause to die' as the optimal pronunciation of a putative causative of $b\dot{u}$: 'die', but this should be taken with salt. In (331c) a stem-final velar nasal is palatalized before the e vowel of the suffix. However, palatalization of k to c and of g to j (IPA [j]) is not consistently applied before $-\dot{e}yndi$ (as other examples in the list show). (331d) shows that $z\dot{u}mb\dot{u}$ 'go down' irregularly loses its b in the derivation. This verb has irregular causatives in several Songhay languages, but the irregularities do not always match. The two verbs in (331e), which may have interacted with each other, allow a w-final stem allomorph, cf. participle hinw-ant

For the factitives, it is frequently impossible to determine whether the input stem is the verb of adjectival quality or the associated adjective itself: $k\acute{a}:n-\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ 'sweeten' could be from the verb $k\^{a}:n$ 'become sweet' or the adjective $k\^{a}:n-\grave{o}w$. This is because the adjective and the verb are usually differentiated formally by tone and by presence/absence of adjectival suffix -ow, both of which are obliterated in the $-\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ derivative. In the examples in (332), the verb and adjective are distinguished by consonantism (§4.6.2.2), and it could be argued that the $-\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ form is added to the adjective (not the verb). However, one could alternatively claim that the verb is basic, but has a special allomorph used before any V-initial suffix (adjectival -ow or causative $-\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$). This argument might not account for 'lengthen', since here the adjective does not have -ow.

(332) Factitives added to a special presuffixal form of verb

verb	gloss	adjective	factitive	gloss
a. reduplica	ative			
bá:	'be numerous'	bò:-b-òw	bó:-b-éyndí	'make numerous'
kú:, kú-	kû 'be long'	kú-kû	kú-k-éyndí	'lengthen'
b. syncopat	ting			
kóróŋ	'get hot'	kònn-òw	kónn-éyndí	'make hot'

```
c. stem-final n added
             'be cool'
                             yèyn-òw
                                         yéyn-éyndí
                                                        'make cool'
   yéy
d. diminutive (§4.9.8)
   bòrì
              'be pretty'
                              bòr-y-ôw
                                          bóry-éyndí
                                                        'make pretty'
              'be slender'
                                                        'make slender'
   màrì
                              màr-v-ôw
                                         máry-éyndí
```

For "adjectives" that are in fact adverbial nouns (usually borrowed from Fulfulde), it is difficult to elicit -éyndí factitives. A factitive phrase can be constructed using té: 'do, make' with the "adjective" as an unmarked postverbal NP. The inchoative can likewise be expressed with tè 'become (adverbial)' Thus à tè túrî 'it became yellow', factitive i / = j = j 'té: túrî 'I made it yellow'. For tè 'become (adverb)' and té: 'do, make' or 'become (noun)' see §7.1.1.1.

Since causatives (including factitives) are normally from intransitive bases, while potential passive $-\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ is from transitive bases, there is little risk of confusion between causative and passive. However, a few verbs like $\eta \grave{a}$: 'eat' allow both derivatives: causative $i \uparrow = \acute{\eta} \ n\grave{o}\eta \ n\acute{a}$:-y- $\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ 'I had them eat, I fed them', potential passive \grave{a} $s\grave{u}$ $\eta \acute{a}$:-y- $\acute{e}ynd\acute{i}$ 'it is not edible'.

6.2.3 Intransitivizing (resultative passive or unspecified object) -à

Many transitive verbs, including a fairly large number of bisyllabics ending in a high vowel, have a derivative in suffix $-\hat{a}$ with word-level {L} tone overlay. The derivation is rarely possible with Cv(:) verbs, except that two Ce(:) verbs adopt the prevocalic form Ciy- and can take V-initial suffixes.

For most verbs, this derivative can be used either as a **resultative passive**, with the agent omitted, or as an **unspecified-object** antipassive, the theme NP (patient) being omitted. The same derivational forms occur in KS (-a), and in the Wogo varieties of riverine Kaado dialects of Niger. Since the Wogo people represent a relatively late migration from the KS-speaking area, it appears that the -a suffix(es) were originally confined to KS and HS, and are possible evidence for a genetic subgrouping. Preliminary data on Wogo indicate a possible tonal difference between resultative and unspecified-object functions, but in HS there is no tonal difference (KS is nontonal). ("Resultative passive" is a terminological revision of "mediopassive" in my writings on KS.)

Some HS examples of -à are in (333).

(333) Resultative passive and unspecified object derivatives

```
gloss stem -à form gloss (ResultPass) gloss (UnspecO)

a. Cv(:) stems (all known cases); see §3.7.1.5

'call' cé: cìy-à — 'call'

'do, make' té: tìy-à 'be done' —
```

b.	longer	stems	(sample)		
	,	•	01 1	m	٠.

'sweep'	fí:sí	fì:s-à	'be swept'	'sweep'
'hit'	kárú	kàr-à	'be hit'	'hit'
'build'	cín	cìn-à	'be built'	'build'

Resultative passives denote a state resulting from the completion of the activity denoted by the transitive verb: 'the courtyard has (already) been swept'; 'the gold bracelet has (already) been hit (=hammered into shape by the metalsmith)', 'the house has (already) been built'. Unspecified-object derivatives focus on the activity itself, either because the theme is obvious (e.g. part of a daily routine) or because the theme is indeterminate or multiple: 'I am sweeping', 'the rain hit (=it rained)', 'I plan to build (sc. a home) in Hombori'.

Agentive nominals in $-k-\partial + H$ (§4.5.7) from these transitive verbs are normally built on the intransitive $-\hat{a}$ derivative, most likely in unspecified-object function. However, if a theme (direct object) is included in the agentive as a compound initial, the transitive form is used: $k\hat{a}r-\hat{a}-k-\partial + H$ 'hitter, one prone to hitting', $b\hat{a}t-k\hat{a}r-k-\partial + H$ '[(calabash-)tomtom]-beater'.

While KS does not allow -a to be added to causatives, this combination is common in HS, the result being of the form L-toned stem plus -ànd-à. However, some -ànd-à forms seem to be lexicalized. A particularly common combination is bèn-ànd-à '(sb) be finished (with sth or with an activity)', cf. bén-éyndí 'finish (sth), cause to end', itself from bén '(sth) end, come to an end'. Another, more lexicalized, is bàt-ànd-à 'wait, be waiting', which functions as a kind of unspecified-object form of transitive bàtù 'wait for (sb)', and is not semantically based on causative bát-éyndí 'cause to wait' (or potential passive 'be waited for').

The intransitivitizing $-\hat{a}$ suffix(es) should not be confused with **3Sg object** $-\hat{a}$, which is suffixed to VO verbs like $d\hat{i}$: 'see' (§6.1.7). The tonal behavior of VO verbs with 3Sg object $-\hat{a}$ is different from that of the intransitivitizing $-\hat{a}$ derivatives. To my knowledge, no VO verb allows the intransitivizing $-\hat{a}$ derivation so there is no real ambiguity.

6.2.4 Potential passive -éyndí

The potential passive (in earlier writings on KS called "mediopassive" and therefore not terminologically differentiated from what I now call the "resultative passive") is expressed by adding suffix -éyndí to a transitive base. The suffix is phonologically identical to the causative (§6.2.2), and one could therefore think of -éyndí as a valency-polarizing derivation. However, for a few verbs like 'eat' that can be used either intransitively or transitively, both a causative ('feed') and a homophonous potential passive ('be eaten, be edible') can be formed.

The most common sense of the potential passive is 'be VERB-able' or 'be habitually VERB-ed', indicating a special propensity to be the object of the activity. However, a more general passive function is also possible. Some examples are in (334).

(334) Potential passive forms

	stem	gloss	potential passive	gloss
a.	hárú	'say, tell'	hár-éyndí	'be sayable'
	hây	'open'	háy-éyndí	'be openable'
	zèmnà	'share'	zémn-éyndí	'be shared'
b.	dù:	'get'	dúw-éyndí	'be available'
	dí:	'see'	díy-éyndí	'be visible, seen'
	nó:	'give'	nó:-y-éyndí	'(e.g. gifts) be given'

The phonological (including tonal) behavior is the same as for the causatives.

6.2.5 Verb plus cliticized = $nd\hat{u} + H$ or suffixed $-nd\hat{u} + H$ 'with'

In a number of cases $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ 'with' appears not as a postverbal preposition but as something like a verbal suffix. In either case, there is a complement NP (such as 3Sg object suffix -a) that follows. The usual test for prepositional versus suffixal status is whether any intervening material can occur, such as the pronominal dative enclitic \grave{a} $s\hat{e}$ 'for 3Sg', e.g. [VERB [\grave{a} $s\hat{e}$] [\acute{n} d \grave{u} + H NP]] versus [VERB- \acute{n} d \grave{u} + H [\grave{a} $s\hat{e}$] NP].

The n of -ndu + H syllabifies with the preceding stem-final syllable. There being no rising tones in HS, the syllable in question is flattened to H-tone, except in ka:-ndu + H 'bring', on which see below. The suffix-like cases are those in (335).

(335) Verbs with suffix $-\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$

```
-\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H derivative gloss
   stem
             gloss
a. existential, locational
   H+g\dot{o}: 'be'
                              H + g\delta: \uparrow-ndu + H
                                                   'have'
             'X.be' (\S7.1.2) bá-ńdù + H
                                                   'X.have' (</bar-ńdù + H/)
   bárâ
   sí:
             'not be'
                              sí:-ńdù+H
                                                   'not have, lack'
   cìndî
             'remain; was'
                              cìndí-ńdù + H
                                                   'used to have'
b. motion
                                                   'convey, go with'
   kóv
             'go'
                              kóy-ńdù + H
   yé 'kóy 'go back'
                              yé ⁴kóy-ńdù + H
                                                   'take back'
   yê
                              yé-ńdù + H
                                                   'take back'
             'return'
                              yèká ↑-ńdù + H
                                                   'bring back'
   yèkà
             'come back'
  irregular (no H-tone, vowel lengthened)
   kà
             'come'
                              kà:-ndù + H
                                                   'bring' (3SgO kà:-nd-â)
```

```
c. abstract
   sábâ
            'coincide'
                            sábá-ńdù + H
                                                 'coincide with' (§9.5.9.2)
   ~ sáwâ
                            ~ sáwá-ńdù + H
   féy
            'be separate'
                            féy-ńdù + H
                                                 'separate from'
                                                 'go long time with(out), not
            'be long time'
                            jéy∱-ńdù+H
   jèy
                                                see for a long time'
                                                 'resemble'
   hìmà
            'be similar'
                            hìmá∱-ńdù + H
```

The one clearly suffixal case is $k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u}+H$ 'bring, come with', which also differs from all the others phonologically. The vowel of $k\grave{a}$ 'come' is lengthened, and there is no H-tone. The 3Sg object form is $k\grave{a}:-nd-\hat{a}$. Imperative $-\eta$ cannot be added directly to $k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u}+H$, but it can be added to $k\grave{a}:-nd-\hat{a}$. So the functionally imperative $k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u}+H$ 'bring the tea!' ($?\grave{a}tt\hat{e}:$) has no imperative suffix, but the pronominal-object imperative form is $k\grave{a}:-nd-\hat{a}-\eta$ 'bring it!'.

 $k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u}+H$ 'bring' differs from the combination of $k\grave{a}$ 'come' and instrumental-comitative $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ by vowel-length. In (336a), $\acute{n}d\grave{u}$ $k\acute{a}:r-\grave{o}+H$ 'with the bus' is a separate constituent. Because $\acute{n}d\grave{u}$ begins with a nasal, it cliticizes to $k\grave{a}$ as it would with any verb, and $k\grave{a}$ must therefore raise its tone to H to avoid a rising-toned syllable (§3.9.6.3). The vowel is not lengthened. The corresponding imperative is with $t\^{e}$, the regular suppletive imperative of $k\grave{a}$, hence $t\acute{e}=\acute{n}d\grave{u}$ $k\acute{a}:r-\grave{o}$ 'come with the bus!' In (336b), $k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u}+H$ behaves like a VO transitive verb. The vowel of the first syllable is lengthened, but there is no sign of a H-tone element. The imperative is unsuffixed $k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u}+H$, as in $k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u}$ $1?\acute{a}$ 'ttê: 'bring (some/the) tea!'. The verbal noun is $k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u}-p\grave{o}\eta+H$.

```
(336) a. \grave{a} k\acute{a} \uparrow = [\acute{n}d\grave{u} k\acute{a}:r-\grave{o}] 3SgS come=[with bus-Fin/DefSg] 'He/She came with (=on) the bus.' b. \grave{a} k\grave{a}:-nd\grave{u} \uparrow ?\acute{a} ttê: 3SgS come-with tea
```

'He/She brought some tea.'

For instrumental-comitative $k \hat{a} [\hat{n} d\hat{u} + H X]$, see also (598a-b) in §10.4.3.

In all the other cases in (335) above, the suffixal status of $-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ is less clear. I have not been able to elicit any verbal derivational suffix (e.g. causative, passive) after $-\acute{n}d\grave{u}$, including verbal noun $-\jmath no\jmath + H$. Imperative $-\jmath$ is not allowed even after the 3Sg object form $-\acute{n}d-\grave{a}$, as seen in e.g. $k\acute{o}y-\acute{n}d-\grave{a}$ 'take it (there)!'. (One cannot tell whether imperative $-\jmath$ follows the verb stem, since the two nasals would fuse and since the H-tone in $-\acute{n}d\grave{u}$ would erase the tonal effects of the imperative suffix. So we have to rely on syntax to distinguish [VERB- $\acute{n}d\grave{u}$ X] from [VERB [$\acute{n}d\grave{u}$ X]].

Extraction tests (relativization, focalization) come to mind, but they do not help in this case, since even clearly prepositional $\hat{n}d\hat{u}$ is stranded when its complement is extracted. However, there is some evidence from linear order that the cases in (335) involve some kind of fusion between $\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$ and the verb. If a pronominal postposition like 3Sg dative \hat{a} sê (variant \hat{a}^{t} sè) is added, the combinations in (335)

allow (but do not require) it to intervene between $\hat{n}d\hat{u}$ and its NP complement NP (337), following the general tendency for pronominal PPs to occur in immediate postverbal position. This separation is not possible when $\hat{n}d\hat{u}+H$ X is a distinct (instrumental-comititavie) constituent.

Based on this, I regard cases like kóy-ńdù 'take (there), deliver, convey' as suffixal.

The 'than X' part of certain comparative constructions may also be a case of suffixal $-\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$, see (573c) in §9.7.7.1, but for semantic reasons it is difficult to insert e.g. dative pronominals to test whether a suffix or a preposition is at hand.

 $h\acute{a}$: n [$nd\grave{u}$ X] 'ask about X' seems to be a prepositional rather than a suffixal case in HS.

6.3 Compound verbs

6.3.1 N-V and verbalized N-N compounds

A number of mostly frozen compound verbs appear to include an "incorporated" noun stem.

```
(338)
             compound
                                                                  related forms
                                  gloss
         a. partonym initial
             háppá-zó:rú
                                  'sit up on one's elbow'
                                                                   hànê ~ hànâ 'ear',
             ~ hánné-zó:rú
                                                                   zó:rú 'clear (field)'
                                                                   "hand-take," VblN
             [kàmb-ù]- †zâ
                                  'give assistance to'
                                                                   \lceil k \grave{a} m b - \grave{u} \rceil - \lceil z \acute{a} : -r - \grave{o} \rceil + H
                                                                   bòn 'head', gûm 'cover'
             bóŋ-gúm
                                  '(woman) veil herself'
         b. 'wind, air' (hèw) as initial
             héw-gúfâ
                                  'smother; be smothered'
                                                                  gúfâ 'be hairy'
                     [also '(bad smell) be disgusting to (sb)']
             hèw-dâm
                                  'rest, relax'
                                                                   dàm 'put'
         c. other
             má:-gísí (~ -jísí) 'baptise (give name to)'
                                                                   mâ: 'name', gìsì ~ jìsì 'put'
                                   '(boy) chase girls'
             [só:g-ú]-méy
                                                                   s \grave{o} : g - \grave{o} + H 'young man',
                                                                   mèy 'own'
```

Prosodically, háppé-zó:rú (or variant) is two words, though it functions as a single stem. In (339b), the downstep on háppé- is conspicuous, but it has no effect on -zó:rú.

 $h\acute{a}pp\acute{e}$ - and variant $h\acute{a}pp\acute{a}$ - look like slight variations on the unpossessed and nonfinal form of 'ear' $(h\grave{a}p\^{e} \sim h\grave{a}p\^{a})$, but the tones as well as the geminate nasal are irregular.

(339) a. i /nô: hánné-zó:rú
1SgS Progr.Infin
'I am sitting up on my elbow.'

b. *ì* / máŋ 'hánné-zó:rú 1SgS PerfNeg 'I didn't sit up on my elbow.'

[$k \grave{a} mb - \grave{u}$]- $z \grave{a}$ is similar. $k \grave{a} mb - \grave{u}$ 'hand' is here in a nonfinal form and cannot be modified. The verb $z \grave{a}$ 'take' has an unexplained falling tone. $k \grave{a} mb - \grave{u}$ does, however, behave as the direct object, so we get the transitive morpheme in (340a).

(340) a. $i \uparrow = j$ [kàmb-ù]-zá [à * sè] 1SgS=Tr give.assistance [3Sg Dat] 'I gave him/her some assistance.'

b. i /máŋ [kàmb-ù]-zá [nòŋ sê]
1SgS PerfNeg give.assistance [3Pl Dat]
'I didn't give them any assistance.'

 $b\acute{o}\eta$ - $g\acute{u}m$ is a compound of $b\grave{o}\eta$ 'head' (here unpossessed and unmodifiable). It has a specific sense (341a), in comparison to the more variable sense of the non-compound counterpart (341b). The associated noun is $b\acute{o}\eta$ - $g\acute{u}m$ - \acute{o} + H 'veil'.

(341) a. à bóŋ-gúm
3SgS cover.head
'She veiled herself (with a veil covering head and chest).'

b. $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ '?áŋgâ bùw¹-ò gûm 3SgS=Tr 3ReflSgP head-3PossSg cover 'She covered her head (with anything, e.g. a shawl).'

hèw-gùfâ 'smother' takes a separate direct object, so hèw 'wind' (nonfinal form) is not treated as an object. It can also mean '(bad smell) bother (sb)'. It can also be intransitive (342b)

(342) a. a / = f n = hew hew-gufa 3SgS=Tr 1SgO smother 'He/She smothered me.' or 'It (=bad smell) was disgusting to me.'

b. à héw-gúfâ
 3SgS be.smothered
 'He was smothered.' or 'He was disgusted (e.g. by a bad smell).'

 $h \grave{e}w - d \^{a}m$ is somewhat obscure and is not in current use among my informants. In the sense 'rest' it differs from a more transparent collocation of $h \grave{e}w$ 'wind' (as object) and $d \grave{a}m$ 'put', with two quite distinct senses. The collocation in (343a) is itself irregular insofar as $h \grave{e}w - \grave{o} + H$ 'wind' takes its nonfinal form $h \grave{e}w$. (343b) is fully regular, with $h \grave{e}w - \grave{o} + H$ in the sense 'odor'.

- (343) a. $\acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{p}$ $\acute{h}\grave{e}w$ $\uparrow d\^{a}m$ 3SgS=Tr wind put 'He/She raced (ran fast).'
 - b. $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{y}$ $\acute{h}\grave{e}w\grave{-}\grave{o}$ $\ifmmode \uparrow d\^{a}m$ 3SgS=Tr wind-Fin/DefSg put 'It smelled bad' ('It put out a (bad) smell.')

Example (343a) with hèw †dâm has an antonym hèw †kâ 'slow down (in a race)' with the same syntax. It can also mean 'break wind, fart'.

'Baptise, christen, bestow a name on (week-old newborn)' is illustrated in (344a), along with an uncompounded version of the same elements (344b). There is also a semantically unusual passive *má:-gís-éyndí* 'be baptised'.

(344) a.
$$n \circ \eta \uparrow = \eta$$
 $n \circ y$ $m \circ a : -g \circ s \circ i$ 3PIS=Tr 1SgS baptise 'They baptised me.'

For compounds with noun (denoting a generic object NP) plus agentive nominal, see §4.8.2.

6.3.2 Verb-verb compounds with infinitival linker

HS readily combines two verbs with infinitival $k\hat{u} + H \sim \hat{w} + H$ as the linker. Examples of idiomatic combinations are in (345).

- (345) a. à www fnón dêy [kù né:rê] 3SgS Impf 3PlO buy [Infin sell] 'He/She buys and sells them (=deals in them).'
 - b. à kání [w gûm]
 3SgS lie.down [Infin cover]
 'He/She lay down on his/her belly.'

c. \acute{a}^{\dagger} \acute{w} \acute{u} : $r\acute{u}$ $[\grave{w}$ $\acute{k}\acute{a}$: $t\acute{t}]$ 3SgS scream [Infin shout] 'He/She screamed and hollered.' $(\acute{w}\grave{u}$: $r\^{u})$

Idiomatic verb-verb compounds like these often have their own verbal nouns: $k\acute{a}n\acute{l}$ [\grave{w} $g\acute{u}m$]- $p\grave{o}\eta+H$ 'lying on one's belly', $w\grave{u}:r\acute{u}$ [\grave{w} $k\acute{a}:t\acute{l}$]- $p\acute{o}\eta+H$ 'screaming and hollering'.

6.3.3 Compound verbs with noun or adverb as final

 $h\acute{a}w-m\acute{e}$ 'fast, be fasting' contains verb $h\acute{a}w$ 'tie (up)' and noun $m\acute{e}$ 'mouth'. The antonym is a regular transitive object-verb construction $m\acute{t}y^n-\acute{o}$ $f\acute{e}r\acute{t}$, lit. "untie one's mouth" = 'break one's fast'. The isolated status of $h\acute{a}w-m\acute{e}$, and the existence of a verbal noun $h\acute{a}w-m\acute{e}-p\grave{o}\eta+H$, suggest that native speakers treat it as a unit, similar to many verbs borrowed from Fulfulde (bisyllabic, H.<HL> tones, final e).

góy-[fút-éy] 'mistreat' contains the noun or verb góy 'work' plus a nominalization of adjective fùtù 'bad, nasty'. Similar ingredients occur in the noun-adjective combination góy †fútù 'lousy work' and in the bahuvrihi gòy-[fùt-òw] 'non-good worker'.

A verb stem consisting of apparent stem-iteration of a noun is *gàndè-gándè* '(e.g. fighters) confront each other, square off' from *gàndè* 'chest (of body)'.

 $[k\grave{o}-k\grave{o}r\grave{u}]$ -bándé 'come/arrive in last position, bring up the rear' has an initial from the word-family containing adjective $k\grave{o}-k\grave{o}r-\grave{o}+H$ 'last, final' and verb $k\grave{o}-k\grave{o}r\grave{u}$ 'be last'. The final is related to adverb $b\acute{a}nd\acute{e}$ 'behind, in the rear; afterwards', temporal postposition $b\acute{a}nd\acute{e}$ 'after', spatial postposition $b\grave{a}nd\grave{e}$ '(following) behind', and the noun $b\grave{a}nd\acute{e}$ 'back (of body)'.

A related final occurs in [gàr-èy]-bàndè 'go defecate (at the edge of the village)'. It is based on a putative PP gàr-èy †bándè 'behind the houses'.

6.3.4 yèkà 'come back' and related forms

There is no centripetal suffix in HS. For 'come back' the common form is $y \grave{e} k \grave{a}$. This looks like a tonally irregular compound of $y \grave{e}$ 'go back, return' and $k \grave{a}$ 'come'. Some other Songhay languages have forms like KCh y e e - k a t e 'come back' with a centripetal suffix - k a t e, but in HS $y \acute{e} k \grave{a} t \grave{e}$ is just the combination of $y \acute{e}$ 'go back' and transitive $k \grave{a} t \grave{e}$ 'bring', hence 'bring (sth) back' rather than 'come back'.

Other related HS forms are $yo \ [w ...]$ 'do again' (from *ye but now divergent), and transitive ye:ti 'give back, return (sth borrowed)'.

6.3.5 Frozen combinations of verb and negation (absent)

No examples of this pattern (attested in KS) have turned up in HS.

6.3.6 Verb-stem iteration and (partial) reduplication

Verbs can be iterated (fully reduplicated) to indicate distributivity ('here and there', 'from time to time'). If the stem is {L}-toned, the first syllable of the second half of the reduplication is raised to H. Examples: dìrà-dírà 'walk around (a little, from time to time)', ŋà:-ŋâ: 'eat (a little) from time to time', and sèlèŋ-sélèŋ 'speak from time to time', from verbs dìrà, ŋà:, and sèlèŋ. No tonal changes occur with other tone melodies: {LHL} wù:rû in wù:rú-wù:rû 'go around shouting', {HL} fíttî in fíttí-'fíttî 'jump around', and {H} in béré-béré 'turn (from time to time)', by extension 'speak hesitantly and evasively'.

There is also a more archaic stratum consisting of a handful of C_1v - C_1v C $_2v$ forms that may have evolved out of earlier full verb-stem iterations but that are synchronically single-syllable reduplications: $b\dot{e}$ - $b\dot{e}r\dot{e}$ 'take a walk' (compare $b\acute{e}r\acute{e}$ 'go around') and $m\acute{t}$ - $m\acute{t}$ s \acute{t} 'drizzle'.

7 VP structure

This chapter describes the structure of ordinary inflected VPs, i.e. what remains of a clause when the subject NP is removed. I begin with discussion of predicators other than ordinary intransitive, transitive, and ditransitive verbs. I then describe the inflectional system for main and subjunctive clauses, focusing on MAN (mood-aspect-negation) morphemes and their interactions with adjoining pronominal clitics. The chapter concludes with a section on imperatives.

For subjectless infinitival VPs beginning with infinitive morpheme $k\hat{u} + H \sim \hat{w} + H$, used chiefly as complements of other verbs, see §9.7.

7.1 Types of predicates

- 7.1.1 Equational and identificational predicates
- 7.1.1.1 Inchoative verb *té*: 'become' (VO) or 'be done' (intransitive)

Transitive $t\acute{e}$: 'X become Y', or intransitive stative 'X be Y' or inchoative 'Y be done' (X and Y being NPs), is related to transitive $t\acute{e}$: 'Z do/make Y' but not to suppletive imperative $t\acute{e}$ 'come!' (§7.3.3). $t\acute{e}$: has no clear relationships to existential $t\acute{e}$ '(Y) happen, exist' (§7.1.1.2 below) or to $t\acute{e}$ '(X) become (ADV)' with adverbs such as adjectival intensifiers (§7.1.1.3 below).

In HS, $t\acute{e}$: can be glossed contextually as inchoative ('Y become X') or as a simple equational copula ('Y be X'), where X in both cases is a NP. The inchoative sense is primary. The simple equational sense is often expressed by equational-identificational $n\^{o}\eta$ (§7.1.1.4), but in this case the "subject" is topic-like and is often omitted. $t\acute{e}$: is used in equational constructions ("Y is X") where both NPs are overt and clause internal. Both $n\^{o}\eta$ and $t\acute{e}$: are illustrated in (418a-b) in §8.2.2.1.

In **inchoative** (as well as copular) sense, *té*: is used before a noun-headed NP, generally indefinite if there is a formal difference between (independent) definite and indefinite forms. Most adjectival inchoatives are expressed directly by verbs of adjectival quality (§4.6.1) rather than by a *té*: construction. However, a few "adjectives" like 'green' are syntactically nouns and do not have a corresponding verb form, so as predicates ('be green') they require *té*: (346a) is a canonical case of inchoative use with following noun, and (346b) involves an "adjective" that is formally a noun and lacks a correlated verb.

- (346) a. à té: hánsî
 3SgS become dog
 'He/She became (=turned into) a dog.'
 - b. à té: [sùb-ù /tá:y-ò]
 3SgS become [grass-NF fresh-Fin/DefSg]
 'It became (=turned) green.'

The imperative is *tê:-ŋ*, as in *tê:-ŋ hàrù* 'be a man!'.

Formally, $t\acute{e}$: is a VO verb, although example (346c) stretches the usual syntax of this verb category. In (346a-b), the "predicate nominal" following $t\acute{e}$: has the same syntactic status as the postverbal direct object of other VO verbs like $d\acute{e}$: 'see'. The key diagnostic for status as VO verb is ability to take 3Sg object suffix $-\grave{a}$. With $t\acute{e}$:, the relevant form is $t\acute{e}$ 'be it', which in practice occurs in cases involving extraction (focalization, relativization), as in (347). For the vocalic alternation see §3.7.1.5.

```
(347) mí:ŋ 'gâ à tíy-à what? Focus 3SgS become-3SgO 'What did he/she become?'
```

This tiy-à differs in tone from $\{L\}$ -toned tiy-à, resultative passive of transitive $t\acute{e}$: 'do, make'.

In the sense 'become', $t\acute{e}$: has normal verb-like properties. A verbal noun $t\acute{e}$:- $p\acute{o}\eta + H$ was elicitable (though unidiomatic), as in $t\acute{e}$:- $p\acute{o}\eta$ $h\acute{a}ns\^{i}$ 'becoming a dog'. There is no causative, but this is because transitive $t\acute{e}$: 'make' as in $i/\uparrow = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}$ ' $t\acute{e}$: $h\acute{a}ns\^{i}$ 'I made him/her into a dog' already expresses the relevant sense.

té: in the sense 'become' can be preceded by any of the regular MAN particles: imperfective, negative, subjunctive. An imperfective positive example is (475) in §8.5.4.1. The perfective negative is *màn té*: *X* 'did not become X'. However, the great majority of examples heard in everyday discourse are perfective positive and therefore unmarked.

In **copular** (noninchoative) sense, X té: Y 'X be Y' is required in certain contexts where Y $n\hat{o}g$ 'it is Y' is disallowed, viz., when the X constituent is overtly focalized, as in WH-interrogatives (348). A focalized constituent must be an integral part of the clause, which is doubtfully true of topical X functioning as "subject" of Y $n\hat{o}g$. In X té: Y, the X is clearly part of the clause (rather than being a preclausal topic) and it can be focalized.

```
(348) mí:ŋ ¹gâ té: ʔánníy-à:-nôŋ
what? Focus be intention-PossSg-2SgP
'What is your-Sg intention?' (copular)
```

As (348) shows, focus morpheme $g\hat{a}$ can be used. However, it is often omitted before $t\acute{e}$: (unlike other perfective verbs), especially after a WH-word $m\hat{i}$: η 'what?' or $m\acute{e}y$ 'who?'; see example (418b) in §8.2.2.1.

In copular function, *té*: is stative and **does not allow the usual range of MAN** preverbal particles. *té*: has present (or timeless) reference without an imperfective marker. It would therefore be misleading to take its clause as aspectually perfective, even though it occurs in the unmarked MAN form that for other verbs is perfective positive. See, for example, 'what is your-Sg name?', example (425) in §8.2.3.1, which has timeless reference. To specify past time reference ('what was your intention?'), the verb *cìndî* 'be-Past' (elsewhere also 'remain') can replace *té*: in

(348), the result being mi:n ' $g\hat{a}$ cindi [\hat{w} $t\acute{e}$: $?\acute{a}nniy-\grave{a}:-n\hat{o}n$] 'what was your intention?' $cind\hat{i}$ 'be-Past' also supplies a past-tense form for $H+g\hat{o}$: 'be'.

It is difficult to say whether copular $t\acute{e}$: (as opposed to inchoative $t\acute{e}$:) can occur in the subjunctive mood. In examples like subjunctive $i \uparrow n\^{o}$: $\uparrow b\^{a} [\acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{m} 't\acute{e}$: $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}$] 'I want [that he be a dog]', the sense is probably inchoative, the distance between the currently real and the desired modal worlds suggesting a transitional event. A similar point might be made about infinitival complements, as in $i \uparrow n\^{o}$: $\uparrow b\acute{a} [\grave{w} t\acute{e}$: $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}$] 'I want [to be a dog]', but if the serial verb coerces a durative (and therefore copular rather than inchoative) reading we do get copular $t\acute{e}$:, as in \grave{a} $h\acute{a}n\acute{a}n\acute{a}$ $[\grave{w} t\acute{e}$: $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{o}$] 'he/she spent the night being a dog'.

In equational/copular function, the irregular perfective negative construction $m an t \hat{u} + H X'(it)$ is not X' is used, replacing both $t e: and n on (\S7.1.1.5 below)$.

7.1.1.2 Inchoative verb *tè* 'become' with adverbial complement

tè occurs instead of *té*: 'become' in inchoative predicates based on adjectival intensifiers and similar adverbial elements, as opposed to NPs (which require *té*:).

- (349) a. à[†] tè fás!

 3SgS become clean[intensifier]

 'It became sparkling (clean).'
 - b. à[†] tè dús!

 3SgS become rotten[intensifier]

 'It became putrid (very rotten).'
 - c. à tè rók!
 3SgS become bitter[intensifier]
 'It became (very) bitter.'
 - d. à tè nám!
 3SgS become sweet[intensifier]
 'It became scrumptious.'

tè may be an archaic form related to $t\hat{u} \sim t\hat{i}$ in the irregular combination $m\hat{a}n\ t\hat{u} + H \sim m\hat{a}n\ t\hat{i} + H$ 'not be' (§7.1.1.5).

7.1.1.3 Existential *tê* 'happen, take place'

This intransitive verb usually functions as a one-word predicate, though it can be elaborated by adding a spatiotemporal adverbial. The subject may denote a punctual or extended event (weekly market, wedding, accident, death), or an ambient situation (season, cold or hot weather, famine). The unmarked perfective can be interpreted as referring only to past time, or to a situation continuing into the present.

- (350) a. *màsí:bâ tê* trouble happen 'There is (or: has been) trouble.'
 - b. $d\acute{e}y$ - \grave{o} f $m\^{a}n$ $t\^{e}$ purchase[noun]-Fin/DefSg PerfNeg happen 'There was (or: has been) no buying (e.g. at a market).'
 - c. hàrg-ò té kòy
 cold[noun]-Fin/DefSg happen Emph
 'It (=weather) sure is cold.'

Other nouns attested as subjects of $t\hat{e}$ include $b\hat{o}n\hat{e}$ 'misfortune', $k\hat{o}nn-\hat{o}+H$ 'hot weather', $w\hat{o}yn-\hat{o}+H$ 'sun' (i.e. oppressive heat), $b\hat{u}$: 'dying, (a) death', $j\hat{n}g\hat{a}r-\hat{o}+H$ 'holy day', $h\hat{e}b-\hat{o}+H$ '(weekly) market', $h\hat{i}:g-\hat{o}+H$ '(religious) marriage', $z\hat{u}r-\hat{o}+H$ '(a) race', $h\hat{a}s\hat{a}r\hat{a}-r-\hat{o}+H$ 'damage', $s\hat{e}nd-\hat{e}y$ 'difficulty, expensiveness, inflation', and $b\hat{o}\eta-[k\hat{a}:n-\hat{e}y]$ 'good fortune'.

This verb is not used in spatial existential sense in contexts like 'there is some sugar (in the box)'. Such predications require locational $H+g\delta$: (§7.1.2).

7.1.1.4 Identificational 'it is (not) X' ($n\hat{o}\eta$, $m\hat{a}n$ $t\hat{u} + H$)

A simple clause can be formed by simply adding $n\hat{o}g$ 'it is' to a single NP. I refer to $n\hat{o}g$ in this function as the **identificational quasi-verb**, and to the obligatory NP as its "complement." $n\hat{o}g$ is historically identical to the 'there' enclitic of the same form (§4.4.3). There is an overt or covert topical NP, about whom the predication is made. The topic is covert in (351a), but a specific referent is understood and may have been established in previous discourse or by ostension. In cases like (351b) the topical NP appears to be preposed to the identificational clause.

- (351) a. hánsí 'nôŋ dog it.is 'it is a dog' (alternatively: háns-ó nôŋ)
 - b. dòktór-ò, [wòy nóŋ] [wàlà hàr nôŋ] doctor-Fin/DefSg, [woman it.is] [or man it.is] '(As for) the doctor, is it a woman or a man?'

The complement NP may be relativized on (352a); see also (436h-i) in §8.3.1. The complement may be interrogated, but there is no overt focus particle (352b), so the construction is not formally distinct from the ordinary $Y n \hat{o} y$. When the topical NP or

some other nonpredicative constituen is focalized, *nôŋ* is replaced by intransitive *té*: 'become, be' (352c), contrast (352d) with a different structure.

- b. mí:ŋ 'nôŋ
 what? it.is
 'What is it?' (also 'What's the matter?', etc.)
- c. méy té: hánsî who? become dog 'Who [focus] is (or: has become) a dog?'
- d. [méy háns-ò] nôŋ [who? dog-PossSg] it.is 'It is whose dog?'

Identificational $n \hat{o} g$ does not co-occur with nonzero MAN marking (imperfective, negative, subjunctive). It cannot take verbal suffixes (VblN, causative), cannot be the basis of an infinitival VP, and in general has no morphosyntactic verb-like properties. If the complement is a pronoun, it takes independent form: $n i + n \hat{o} g$ 'it is you-Sg', $l \hat{a} g \hat{a} + n \hat{o} g$ 'it is he/she'.

A presentative counterpart ('here is ...') with $n\grave{e}$: 'here' instead of $n\^{o}\eta$ is also possible; see §7.2.3.3.

7.1.1.5 'It is (not) X' ($m an t \hat{u} + H \sim m an t \hat{i}$)

The negative counterpart of Y $n\hat{o}g$ 'it is Y' and copular X $t\hat{e}$: Y 'X be Y' is $m\hat{a}n$ $t\hat{u} + H$ Y, with optional preposed topic NP. There is an archaic variant $m\hat{a}n$ $t\hat{i} + H$ Y. Here $m\hat{a}n$ is clearly the perfective negative morpheme. $t\hat{u} \sim t\hat{i}$ is obscure, but is arguably irregularly related to the pair $t\hat{e}$: 'become (noun)' and $t\hat{e}$ 'become (adverb)'. (KS still has $t\hat{i}$ as a positive copula.) $m\hat{a}n$ $t\hat{u} + H$ is now a highly fused combination.

This construction is distinct from the regular (perfective) negative of $t\acute{e}$: 'become', as in \grave{a} $m\grave{a}n$ $t\acute{e}$: $h\acute{a}ns\^{i}$ 'he/she did not become a dog'. However, under extraction, negative copula $m\grave{a}n$ $t\grave{u} + H$ 'is not' and negative inchoative $m\grave{a}n$ $t\acute{e}$: 'did not become' fall together as $m\grave{a}n$ $t\acute{t}y$ - \grave{a} (with 3Sg object suffix), as in (353).

(353) mí:n 'gâ à màn tíy-à
what? Focus 3SgS PerfNeg become-3SgO
'What is he/she not?'
'What did he/she not become?'

màn $t\hat{u} + H$ is also commonly used, with no overt subject, as a **higher-level negation** taking a following complete sentence (usually but not necessarily positive in form) in its scope: $m\hat{a}n \ t\hat{u} + H \ [...]$ 'it is not (the case that) [...]' (§9.3.2). Any clause can be embedded in this contruction, but of particular relevance here is the case where the embedded clause is a $[Y \ n\hat{o}\eta]$ identificational predication (354). This is the only way to negate such a predication, and is quite common. The floating H is seen in action in (354a), raising the tone of 'sheep'.

```
(354)
        a. màn
                        tù
                                  [1fé:g-ò
                                                         nôŋ]
                                  [sheep-Fin/DefSg
            PerfNeg be
                                                         it.is]
             'It isn't a sheep.' (f \grave{e} : g - \grave{o} + H)
        b. màn
                        tù
                                  [háns-ó
                                                         nôŋ]
            PerfNeg be
                                  [dog-Fin/DefSg
                                                         it.is]
```

'It isn't a dog.' (lit. "it is not (that) [it is a dog]")

In practice, $m an t \dot{u} + H [Y n \hat{o} \eta]$ 'it's not that [it is Y]' and simple $m an t \dot{u} + H Y$ 'it's not Y' have more or less merged into a construction $m an t \dot{u} + H Y (n \hat{o} \eta)$ 'it's not Y' with optional $n \hat{o} \eta$.

7.1.2 Locational 'be' (H+go):, si:, bára, cindi)

The construction for 'X is (in) LP', where LP is some **locational phrase** (§5.13.1), is $X H + g \delta$: LP. The negative counterpart, 'X is not (in) LP', is expressed as X si: LP. This negative construction is distinct from one where si: functions as progressive negative (§7.2.4.6). I treat $H + g \delta$: and si: as **stative quasi-verbs** since they do not take normal MAN inflections.

The LP can be omitted, for example when the location is undefined or obvious (cf. English *Is your mother in?*, said after a child answers the door). The type with empty LP slot sometimes puts so little emphasis on the location that it can be glossed as an **existential** predication ('there is some X'). The difference between locational and existential is particularly tenuous in the negative case, since absence is generally predicated of a broader space than presence.

As in some other Songhay languages, the locational quasi-verbs can be interpreted as special, stressed forms of the imperfective (positive) morpheme $g\hat{u} + H \sim \hat{w} + H$, reconstruction *g\dangle or *g\dangle) and of the imperfective negative morpheme $s\hat{u} + H$ (reconstruction *s\dangle). If this is accepted, $XH+g\partial$: LP can be represented syntactically as $XIMPF \oslash LP$ where \oslash is an unfilled verb position (or a phonologically zero 'be' verb). This accounts directly for the fact that the locational quasi-verbs are not compatible with (other) overt MAN morphemes. They are intrinsically imperfective and so are not subject to a further aspectual split. For example, $H+g\partial$: does not cooccur with (perfective) negative $m\hat{a}n + H$. They also notably fail to co-occur with subjunctive \hat{m} . Cues like 'I don't want him to be here' are rendered by informants with another verb like $g\hat{a}r-\hat{e}ynd\hat{i}$ 'be found'. The analysis also accounts for the absence of verbal derivatives (causative, verbal noun) based on $H+g\partial$: or $s\hat{i}$:

On the other hand, HS does not use $H+g\delta$: and si: clause-finally in truncated 'yes' and 'no' answers to polar questions. Such answers do occur in the riverine Songhay languages KCh and KS, where they are further evidence for the equation of these quasi-verbs with imperfective MAN morphemes. This piece of evidence is lacking in HS, but one can still make a good argument for the analysis based on other evidence. Nevertheless, as a practical matter, in interlinears I will gloss $H+g\delta$: simply as 'be', not as "Impf," and will not indicate the putative \emptyset verb slot.

Predications with $H+g\hat{o}$: or $s\hat{i}$: can be used for either present or past time contexts. However, $c\hat{i}nd\hat{i}$ 'remain' (or its negation $m\hat{a}n \hbar c\hat{i}n^{4}d\hat{i}$) can be used to specify past time 'was/were' (355c).

- (355) a. à gò:[†]-nè: 3SgS be-here 'It is/was here.'
 - b. hár-ó sí: water-Fin/DefSg not.be 'There is/was no water.'
 - c. *ì* † cín'dí bàmàkò 1SgS remain Bamako 'I was (or: used to be) in Bamako.'

Prepausally or before an ordinary locational expression, $H+g\dot{o}$: raises the tone of the final syllable of a preceding $\{L\}$ -toned word. This applies to L-toned subject pronominals other than 3Sg \dot{a} , which does not rise to (phonological) H-tone though it is variably upstepped by a low-level process before L-toned $g\dot{o}$: (when the latter is not itself upstepped). When $H+g\dot{o}$: follows a $\{...HL\}$ -toned noun like 'bird' in (356b), I hear no tone-raising on the final syllable of the noun, so the raising appears to be limited to $\{L\}$ -toned words (and subject pronominals).

(356) a.
$$i / n \sin / i / i$$
 gò: bàmàkò $1 Sg / 3 Pl / 2 Sg / 3 Sg$ be B 'He/She is in Bamako (city).'

b. $sùb-\acute{o}\uparrow$ / $c\acute{i}r-\grave{o}$ gò: $f\grave{a}:r-\grave{e}y$ grass-Fin/DefSg / bird-Fin/DefSg be field-DefPl 'The grass/The bird is in the fields.' $(s\grave{u}b-\grave{o}+H)$

The combinations of $H+g\dot{o}$: with cliticized (clitic boundary symbol =) $n\dot{e}(:)$ 'here' and $n\hat{o}\eta$ 'there', are pronounced $H+g\dot{o}$: $^{\dagger}=n\dot{e}(:)$, $H+g\dot{o}$: $^{\dagger}=n\dot{o}(\eta)$, $H+g\dot{o}$: $^{\dagger}=n\dot{e}/n\dot{o}(\eta)$, with the second element cliticized (and usually reduced and tone-dropped) and with $g\dot{o}$: upstepped. A preceding {L}-toned word shows the same tone-raising as before. Because of the upstepped $g\dot{o}$: † , a preceding 3Sg subject \dot{a} is not upstepped.

(357) a.
$$i / n \hat{n} / \hat{n} / \hat{n} / \hat{n}$$
 $g \hat{o} : \hat{f} = n \hat{e} / = n \hat{o}$
 $1 \text{Sg} / 3 \text{Pl} / 2 \text{Sg} / 3 \text{Sg}$ be = here / = there
'I am/they are (etc.) here/there.' $(\hat{i} + H, n \hat{o} + H, \hat{n} + H, \hat{a})$

b. $sùb-\acute{o}\uparrow$ / $c\acute{n}r-\grave{o}$ $g\grave{o}:^{\dagger}$ = $n\grave{e}$ / = $n\grave{o}$ grass-Fin/DefSg / bird-Fin/DefSg be = here / = there 'The grass/the bird is here/there.' ($s\grave{u}b-\grave{o}+H, c\acute{n}r-\grave{o}+H$)

In $H+g\acute{o}$: $^{\dagger}=\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ 'be with', i.e. 'have', the H-toned nasal of $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ forces $H+g\acute{o}$: to raise to H-tone to avoid an illicit rising tone. For $H+g\acute{o}$: $^{\dagger}-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ 'have' see §6.2.5.

A fundamental syntactic fact about $H+g\dot{o}$: (unlike si:) is that it **cannot occur in a clause that has undergone extraction** (whether focalization or relativization), regardless of whether the clause subject or the locational has been extracted. Instead, $H+g\dot{o}$: is systematically replaced by $b\acute{a}r\^{a}$, which I therefore gloss in interlinears as **X.be** (i.e. extraction 'be'). The latter often reduces to $b\^{a}r$ when nonfinal in a phrase. In the high-frequency combinations with following $n\^{o}n$ 'there' and $n\grave{e}$: 'here', the r often assimilates to the n. (358a) is a subject relative, (358b) is a locational relative, (358c) shows subject focalization, and (358d) shows locational focalization. (358e), parallel to (358b), shows that $s\acute{e}$: is permitted in extraction constructions.

- (358) a. hà:r-ò gá 'bâr [fà:r-ò †gâ] man-Fin/DefSg Rel X.be [field-Fin/DefSg in] 'the man who is in the field'
 - b. kòyrà gá ['kún-ó /gâ] ì bárâ town Rel [interior-Fin/DefSg in] 1SgS X.be 'the town in which I am'
 - c. méy bár [kòyrà †gâ] who? X.be [town in] 'Who is in town?'
 - d. mán nòn bárâ where? 3PIS X.be 'Where are they?'
 - e. kòyrà gá ['kún-ò | fgâ] ì sí: town Rel [inside-3PossSg in] 1SgS not.be 'the town in which I am not'

In KS, by contrast, the replacement of go: by bara is limited to subject focalization, and it is part of larger complex of phenomena specifically affecting focalized imperfective positive clauses. KS allows go: in relative clauses and in clauses with nonsubject focalization.

bárâ does not show up in HS in other functions familiar from KS and other Songhay languages: a) as an independent 'exist' verb, b) in narrative in clauses with singsong intonation specifying prolongation of a backgrounded activity, or c) in oaths following wàllá:hì 'by God'.

The substitution of these other verbs for $H+g\dot{o}$: also applies to $H+g\dot{o}$: \uparrow - $id\dot{u}+H$ 'have', which is replaced by $b\dot{a}$ - $id\dot{u}+H$ 'Xhave' if extraction has occurred), by $c\dot{n}d\dot{i}$ - $id\dot{u}+H$ 'had, used to have' for past time, and $s\dot{i}$:- $id\dot{u}+H$ 'lack' for negation, see 6.2.5.

For $H+g\dot{o}$:, $b\acute{a}r\^{a}$, $c\grave{i}nd\^{i}$, and $s\acute{i}$: in progressive constructions of the type 'we are at work (=working)', see §7.2.4.3. For $H+g\dot{o}$: in presentatives, see §7.2.3.1.

7.1.3 Obligational $k \grave{a} l + H$

 $k\grave{a}l+H$ occurs as an impersonal obligational predicator (cf. French *il faut*, Spanish *hay que*), with a following embedded subjunctive clause. It is another defective stative quasi-verb. It does not allow suffixal derivation (e.g. causative, verbal noun). It has no overt subject NP (and therefore cannot be imperative), and it allows no overt MAN marking. However, it does function as a predicator.

 $k\grave{a}l+H$ in obligational sense is followed by a subjunctive complement (§9.6.2). A following 2Sg or 1Sg subjunctive subject pronominal cliticizes to $k\grave{a}l+H$, whose l disappears, initially producing 2Sg /kà-m̂/ and 1Sg /kà-ı̂/. These initially become $k\acute{a}\uparrow=m$ and $k\acute{a}\uparrow=p$ since rising tones are not allowed within a syllable. Then the final L-tone usually delinks to yield $k\acute{a}\uparrow=m+L$ (variant $k\acute{a}\uparrow=m+L$) and $k\acute{a}\uparrow=p+L$, respectively, and the floating L downsteps a following H- or <HL>-tone.

- (359) a. $súb\hat{a}$ $k\acute{a}\uparrow = [\acute{m}$ $t\grave{u}n$ $t\grave{a}mb\grave{a}]$ tomorrow must=[2SgSubju arise early] 'Tomorrow, you-Sg must get up early.' (2SgSubju \acute{m})
 - b. $s\acute{u}b\^{a}$ $k\acute{a}\uparrow = [\acute{y}$ $t\grave{u}n$ $t\grave{a}mb\grave{a}]$ tomorrow must=[1SgSubju arise early] 'Tomorrow, I must get up early.' (1SgSubju \hat{i})
 - c. $súb\acute{a}$ $k\grave{a}l$ $[n\acute{o}\uparrow = \acute{m}$ $t\grave{u}n$ $t\grave{a}mb\grave{a}]$ tomorrow must [3Pl=Subju arise early] 'Tomorrow, they must get up early.'

7.1.4 Possessive predicates

For ordinary possessor plus possessum sequences functioning as NPs, see §5.2.

There are several possessive predication types. First we take the possessum Y as topical, and predicate a possessor or owner of it. One construction is with a possessed form of $\eta \acute{o}n\acute{e}$ 'property, possession' with X as possessor, followed by $n\acute{o}\eta$ 'it is',

while Y is omitted or appears as a preposed topical NP. This construction is maximally of the type 'Y (or: it) is [X's possession]' (360).

- - b. húw-ô: [†tá:-k-ò ŋón-ò] nôŋ house-Dem [sew-Agent-Fin/DefSg Poss-3PossSg] it.is 'This house belongs to the tailor.'
 - c. húw-ô: màn tù
 house-Dem PerfNeg be
 [/tá:-k-ò yón-ò] nôŋ
 [sew-Agent-Fin/DefSg Poss-3PossSg] it.is
 'This house doesn't belong to the tailor.'

A variation on this is to omit $n\hat{o}y$. In this case, $y\hat{o}n\hat{e}$ is not suffixally marked, and even a pronominal possessor is preposed to it. This construction occurs when the possessor is focalized (361).

- (361) a. húw-ô: [/tá:-k-ò ŋónê]
 house-Dem [sew-Agent-Fin/DefSg Poss]
 'This house is the tailor's [focus].'
 - b. màn từ [ní 'ŋónê] ŋà:-r-ô:
 PerfNeg be [2SgS Poss] eat-VblN-Dem
 'This food is not yours-Sg [focus].'
 - c. [méy ŋóné] kà:tíb-ô: [who? Poss] riyal-this 'This money belongs to whom [focus]?'
 - d. háns-ó [?áy 'ŋónê]
 dog-Fin/DefSg [1Sg Poss]
 'The dog is mine [focus].'

Another construction uses $t\acute{e}$: 'be' in copular function instead of $n\^{o}\jmath$. This is the construction when the topical (possessed) NP, or some other nonpredicative constituent, is focalized (362).

(362) a. [hú 'fô] gá 'té: ŋón-ò-nôŋ [house which?] Focus be Poss-PossSg-2SgP 'Which house [focus] is yours-Sg?'

b. zá: [/mé 'fó] gá húw-ô: té: ŋón-ò-nôŋ since [when] Focus house-Dem be Poss-PossSg-2SgP 'Since when [focus] is this house yours-Sg?'

Another construction is of the type 'Y be [for X]' with a locational-existential 'be' verb (§7.1.2 above) plus a dative phrase. The 'be' verb is H+go: 'be' (imperfective, usually present or timeless), $b\acute{a}r\^{a}$ 'be' (replacing H+go: if extraction has occurred), $cind\^{a}$ 'was, used to be' (replacing H+go: to specify past time), or negative $s\acute{a}$: 'not be'. This type of construction is used when the possessed NP is **indefinite** (363), so that the emphasis is on its existence.

- (363) a. hár-ó sí: [nòŋ sê] water-Fin/DefSg not.be [3Pl Dat] 'They don't have any water.'
 - b. háns-ó fcín⁴dî s-êy dog-Fin/DefSg remain Dat-1Sg 'I used to have a dog.'

When the possessor X is the subject, a 'have' verb, consisting of a locational 'be' vers (see above) plus suffixed $-\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$ 'with', is used. The unmarked verb is $H + g \hat{o}$: $\uparrow - \hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$ 'have' or its negative counterpart $\hat{s}i$: $\uparrow - \hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$ 'not have, lack' (§6.2.5). As usual, $H + g \hat{o}$: is replaced by $\hat{b}\hat{a}\hat{r}\hat{a}$ when extraction (focalization or relativization) has occurred, and by $\hat{c}\hat{n}\hat{d}\hat{u}$ when past time reference is specified, hence $\hat{b}\hat{a}-\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$ and $\hat{c}\hat{i}\hat{n}\hat{d}\hat{u}$. An example is (364).

Other verbs in the same general semantic area are the very common VO verb $d\hat{u}$ 'get, obtain' and the transitive $m\hat{e}y$ 'own, possess (land, slaves, cattle)'.

7.1.5 Verbless predicates with missing 'do' verb (absent)

KS-type constructions of this KS type do not occur in HS.

7.2 Mood-aspect-negation (MAN) morphemes

MAN morphemes follow the subject NP and precede the VP (which begins with a direct object NP if present, otherwise with a verb). A zero MAN slot is interpreted as positive perfective indicative. Other values for the respective categories have nonzero markers: negative, imperfective, and subjunctive. The combination of imperfective and negative is expressed syncretically by a portmanteau. There is no aspect marking

within the subjunctive. There are also "strong" versions of some MAN categories. Focalization has an effect on imperfective positive forms. There are some special presentative forms within the imperfective positive subsystem (§7.2.3). If there is a preverbal direct object and the MAN slot would otherwise be empty (positive perfective indicative), a transitive (Tr) morpheme, really a bidirectional case-marker, is inserted between the subject and object NPs (§7.2.6). There are some special progressive constructions that fall outside of the core MAN system (§7.2.4.-6). The imperative (positive) has special features and is considered separately (§7.3).

7.2.1 Primary MAN morphemes and sequences

7.2.1.1 Unmarked MAN morphemes

For each of the categories mood (indicative-subjunctive), aspect (perfective-imperfective), and polarity (positive-negative), there is an unmarked and a marked value. The marked values are subjunctive, imperfective, and negative. In isolation (i.e. when combined with unmarked values of other categories), the relevant morphemes are those in (365).

(365) Unmarked MAN morphemes

MAN morpheme	category	abbreviation
$\hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta}$ $g\hat{u} + H \sim \hat{w} + H$ $m\hat{a}n + H \sim m\hat{a}\eta + H$	subjunctive imperfective (perfective) negative	Subju Impf PerfNeg

The subjunctive mood does not make aspectual distinctions, so there is no combination of imperfective and subjunctive categories.

7.2.1.2 Perfective negative $(m \dot{a} n + H \sim m \dot{a} \eta + H)$

It is possible to interpret $m \grave{a} n + H \sim m \grave{a} \eta + H$ as a straight negative morpheme, but since there is a special imperfective negative portmanteau $s \grave{u} + H$, in practice $m \grave{a} n + H \sim m \grave{a} \eta + H$ is always perfective negative.

The segmental form is always $m \grave{a} n$ before (lengthened) 3Sg object allomorph $= \grave{a} :$, resulting in $m \grave{a} n = \uparrow \hat{a} :$, as in $\grave{a}^{\dagger} m \grave{a} n = \uparrow \acute{a} :$ ' $4 \acute{a} n \acute{a} n \acute{a} = \uparrow \acute{a} :$ ' $4 \acute{a} n \acute{a} n$

 $m an \sim m an$ is associated with a floating H-tone that is realized (if at all) on a following L-toned morpheme or word, as in the example just given. A further

2Sg subject $\hat{\eta} + H$ is usually omitted segmentally before $m \hat{a} n + H$, but its tonal effect, raising a following L-tone, remains: $\emptyset / m \hat{a} n / 2 \hat{u} \hat{u}$ 'you-Sg did not run'. If not lost, η assimilates and we hear a long [m:], as in $\hat{m} / m \hat{a} n / 2 \hat{u} \hat{u}$. 3Pl subject $\hat{n} \hat{o} \eta + H$ likewise loses its final nasal before the initial nasal of $\hat{m} \hat{a} n + H$, as in $\hat{n} \hat{o} n / 2 \hat{u} \hat{u} \hat{u}$ 'you-Sg did not run'.

7.2.1.3 Imperfective negative $(s\hat{u} + H)$ and subjunctive negative $(\acute{m} s\hat{u} + H)$

The two combinations of marked MAN categories are negative plus either imperfective or subjunctive. The forms are shown in (366).

(366) Negative plus imperfective or subjunctive

```
a. s\hat{u} + H Imperfective negative (portmanteau)
b. m + \hat{s}\hat{u} + H \sim \hat{\eta} + \hat{s}\hat{u} + H Subjunctive negative
```

Imperfective negative portmanteau $s\hat{u} + H$ has no irregular interactions with adjoining pronominals, except that a preceding floating H-tone has no effect on $s\hat{u} + H$. Examples are $i^{\dagger} s\hat{u} \uparrow z u \hat{t}$ if do not run' $(z u \hat{t} u \hat{t} u \hat{t})$, $\hat{a}^{\dagger} s\hat{u} \uparrow n \hat{e} y \hat{t} k \hat{a} r \hat{u}$ '3Sg does not hit me', and $\hat{n} s u \uparrow \hat{t} \hat{t} \hat{t} \hat{t} \hat{t}$ (you-Sg don't hit him/her'.

Irregularly, $s\hat{u} + H$ is unaffected by a preceding floating H. For example, in \hat{i} sû $k\hat{a}$ 'I don't/won't come' from $\hat{i} + H$ sù + H kà/, the floating H after 1Sg \hat{i} has no effect.

The subjunctive negative is transparently composed of subjunctive $\hat{m} \sim \hat{y}$ plus this $s\dot{u} + H$ morpheme. The combination will be glossed Subju plus Neg (not ImpfNeg) in interlinears. Thus $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{m} s\dot{u} \uparrow k\hat{a}$ or variant $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} s\dot{u} \uparrow k\hat{a}$ 'that he/she not come'.

7.2.1.4 Subjunctive $(\hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta})$

Subjunctive clauses denote event types whose actual realization is not asserted or presupposed. Representative contexts are 'I want [3SgS Subjunctive go]', '3Sg say [2SgS Subjunctive come]' (='he/she told you to come'), and the construction with impersonal obligational *kâl* (§7.1.3). Sometimes a subjunctive clause is used with no overt framing device, but some kind of irrealis frame is presupposed. For a fuller discussion of the syntax and semantics see §9.6. Here I focus on the morphophonology.

The subjunctive (abbreviation Subju) morpheme phonologically cliticizes to the preceding subject NP or pronoun. In the usual situation where it is followed by a

C-initial word or clitic, it is variably \hat{m} or $\hat{\eta}$, normally pronounced $\hat{m} + L \sim \hat{\eta} + L$ with the delinked L realized, if at all, as downstep on a following H-tone. Of the two variants, \hat{m} is archaic, cf. ma (e.g. TSK $m\grave{a}$) in several other Songhay languages. The lax articulation $\hat{\eta}$ (itself often reduced to vowel nasalization) is favored by many younger speakers, although it can lead to confusion with transitive $\hat{\eta}$. The one situation where the subjunctive morpheme precedes a vowel (with no intervening glottal stop) is the combination $\hat{m} = \hat{a}$: (never $\#\hat{\eta} = \hat{a}$:) with 3Sg object allomorph \hat{a} :. For the long vowel see §3.7.5.3.

For the (morpho-)phonology of combinations of $\hat{m} \sim \hat{y}$ with preceding subject pronouns, including the irregular 1Sg subjunctive portmanteau i without a nasal consonant, see §4.3.4.5. For more on the combination $\hat{m} = \hat{a}$: with following 3Sg object clitic \hat{a} :, see §4.3.4.6.

After a nonpronominal NP, we get the same $\hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta}$ before a consonant and $\hat{m} = \hat{a}$: with the 3Sg object clitic. The preconsonantal $\hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta}$ variant is again realized as $\hat{m} + L \sim \hat{\eta} + L$ after delinking of the L-tone element. The nasal in preconsonantal $\hat{m} + L \sim \hat{\eta} + L$ generally resyllabifies, becoming the coda of the final syllable of the preceding word or morpheme. After this resyllabification, the syllable in question becomes H-toned if not already so, since rising <LH> and <HLH> syllables are not allowed in HS (§3.9.6.3).

Examples illustrating the phonology are in (367).

- (367) a. $a \uparrow = m = a$: $k \acute{a} r \acute{u}$ 3SgS=Subju=3SgO hit 'that she (might) hit him'
 - b. $n \circ f = m / \hat{\eta}$ nèy gàrù 3PIS=Subju 1SgO meet 'that they (might) encounter me'
 - c. $h\grave{a}:r-\acute{e}y \uparrow = \acute{m}/\acute{\eta}$ $w\grave{o}y-\grave{e}y$ $\uparrow s\acute{a}f\grave{a}r\grave{i}$ man-DefPl=Subju woman-DefPl treat 'that the men (might) medically treat the women' ($h\grave{a}:r-\grave{e}y+H$)
 - d. bà:b-éy∱ = m/ŋ gòrò né:∱-wò father-1SgP=Subju sit here 'that my father (might) sit here' (bà:b-èy)
 - e. *í* kà 1SgSubju come 'that I (might) come'

The dialectal variation between segmental \hat{m} and $\hat{\eta}$, as well as the tendency to merge future $n \hat{a} m + H \sim n \hat{a} \eta + H$ with perfect $n \hat{a} \eta$, seems to have led to an interesting mutation in the speech of at least one younger informant. For him, 2Sg object pronominal $n \hat{a} \eta$ has grown a subjunctive form $n \hat{a} m$, as in \hat{i} $n \hat{a} m$ $k \hat{a} r \hat{u}$ 'that I (might) hit you-Sg' for the usual \hat{i} $n \hat{a} \eta$ $k \hat{a} r \hat{u}$. In effect, a subjunctive element m is added here

after the object pronominal instead of before it. However, i is already marked as 1Sg subjunctive.

7.2.1.5 Imperfective positive $(g\hat{u} + H \sim \hat{w} + H)$

The imperfective (Impf) morpheme used in ordinary main clauses not containing a focused constituent has two basic allomorphs, $g\dot{u}+H$ and $\dot{w}+H$. $g\dot{u}+H$ is obligatory, regardless of what precedes it, before 3Sg object clitic $=\hat{\eta}$, the pronunciation being $g\dot{u}\uparrow=\dot{\eta}+L$ (where L is realized, if at all, as downstep on a following H-tone). Before any other element (a verb, an object NP or pronoun), we generally get $\dot{w}+H$ after a vowel (pronounced as a w-final syllable), and $g\dot{u}+H$ after a consonant. However, $\dot{w}+H$ is occasionally used after a final sonorant if the subject is a nonpronominal NP. In this case the w may still be audible (e.g. after an ey diphthong), or it may disappear segmentally (e.g. after a nasal) but leave a tonal trace. This is generally limited to allegro speech and it is often rejected by informants in elicitation. Conversely, $g\dot{u}+H$ is acceptable (though uncommon) after a vowel.

The allomorph $\dot{w} + H$ is homophonous with the $\dot{w} + H$ allomorph of the infinitival morpheme (whose other variant is $k\dot{u} + H$).

The morphophonology of combinations involving preceding pronominal subjects is described in §4.3.4.3. Many subject NPs and pronouns end in a floating H that docks on the imperfective morpheme (§3.9.5.2), leading to delinking of the latter's L-tone, which is heard, if at all, as downstep (§3.9.5.8). In this case, the imperfective morpheme appears as $\hbar \psi + L + H \sim \hbar g \psi + L + H$. The combination of floating L+H is realized as a downstepped H-tone on the following syllable. Moreover, in $\hbar \psi + L + H$ the semivowel is phonologically cliticized to the preceding word, creating a syllable that must be flattened to H-tone if not already H-toned (§3.9.6.3). So we get tonologically complex outputs like $y \circ \hbar = \hbar \psi + \hbar \psi + \hbar \psi + \mu \psi + \mu$

Some examples to show the forms are in (368).

- (368) a. bòr-èy †gú '†dírà person-DefPl Impf walk 'The people travel/walk.' (bòr-èy + H)

 - c. $\dot{\eta}$ / $fg\dot{u}$ / $fd\dot{r}\dot{a}$ 2SgS Impf walk 'You-Sg travel/walk.' ($\dot{\eta}$ + H)

- e. $h\grave{a}:r-\grave{o}$ $fg\acute{u}$ ' $fw\acute{o}y-\grave{o}$ $c\acute{e}:$ $h\grave{a}:r-\acute{o}f$ $=f\acute{w}$ " " man-Fin/DefSg Impf woman-Fin/DefSg call 'The man calls the woman.' $(h\grave{a}:r-\grave{o}+H)$
- f. háw-éy †gú '†héyn-ò †ŋâ: cow-DefPl Impf millet-Fin/DefSg eat 'The cows eat the millet.' (háw-éy + H)
- g. $h\acute{a}w-\acute{e}y$ $fg\acute{u}=\acute{\eta}$ $g\grave{a}$: cow-DefPl Impf=3SgO eat 'The cows eat it.' ($h\acute{a}w-\acute{e}y+H$)
- h. [w-éy 'gâ né [n)jéy gù \frac{1}{ká]} màn \frac{1}{kâ} [Dem-Pl Rel say [LogoPlS **Impf** come] PerfNeg come 'Those who said they were coming didn't come.' (n)jêy)

In (369), a HL-toned bisyllabic noun ($c\hat{r}-\hat{o}+H$ 'bird') becomes H. H when imperfective $\hbar\hat{w}$ cliticizes to it. As elsewhere, it is difficult to distinguish H. H from H.L within a word. I do not hear downstep on the following word ('fly').

(369)
$$ci^4r-oi^{\dagger} = \hat{w}$$
 $fi:ri$
bird-Fin/DefSg=**Impf** fly
'(A/The) bird will fly away.'

The imperfective morpheme is historically identical to $H+g\dot{o}$: 'be', a locational quasi-verb generally that is often combined with a locational phrase. $H+g\dot{o}$: could be analysed even synchronically as being the form taken by the imperfective morpheme when the following verb slot is empty, though this analysis is less compelling for HS than for KS (§7.1.2).

Just as $H+g\dot{o}$: 'be' is replaced by $b\acute{a}r\^{a}$ 'be' in clauses containing a focused constituent, and in relative clauses, imperfective $g\grave{u}+H\sim\grave{w}+H$ is replaced in these contexts by **extraction-indexing imperfective** $b\grave{o}$ $\grave{w}+H\sim b\grave{o}:+H$ (3Sg object $b\grave{o}$ $k\acute{u}=\mathring{g}$), see §7.2.4.2.

7.2.2 Functions of basic perfective and imperfective aspects

The unmarked perfective is used for bounded narrative events. It is usual in the antecedent ('if') clause of a conditional ('if he comes, I'll ...'), except where imperfectivity is specified ('if he is working, ...'). With verbs of adjectival quality,

the perfective can occur in predications ('it is black'), arguably because the HS predication (unlike the translation) denotes the underlying inchoative event ('it became black') and merely implies the continuous state. However, the imperfective is also possible in this case.

The forms of the imperfective morpheme are discussed in the preceding section. The imperfective (including the elaborated variants described in later sections) is regularly used with verbs in continuous and habitual contexts, with reference to the present or to some other specified time point. After subtracting out the marked forms, the simple imperfective in $g \dot{u} + H \sim \dot{w} + H$ is most common with statives (including verbs of adjectival quality), habituals, and impending future events. In later sections I cover more specialized marked forms for future (§7.2.4.1), presentative (§7.2.3), and progressive (§7.2.4.3-6), which can be thought of as subtypes of the imperfective. For imperfective forms in clauses involving extraction, see §7.2.4.2. Some examples of the simple imperfective positive are given in (370).

- (370) a. \grave{a} \grave{w} $k\acute{a}:n$'s- $\hat{e}y$ 3SgS Impf be.sweet Dat-1Sg 'It pleases me (=I like it).' $(k\hat{a}:n)$

 - c. $i \uparrow = \uparrow i$ 'sá:bú yórkòy
 1Sg-Impf praise God
 'I praise God.' (pragmatic translation: 'I am in good health')
 - d. cìnn-ó \(\text{tw} \) \(\

More examples of the imperfective negative portmanteau $s\dot{u} + H$ (§7.2.1.3) are in (371).

- (371) a. à sù †ká 'hón't-wô 3SgS ImpfNeg come today 'He/She isn't coming today.'
 - b. à $s\dot{u}$ $\uparrow t\acute{a}$ $[^4y\acute{o}\uparrow = \hat{\eta}$ $k\acute{o}y$ $[?\acute{a}ng\acute{a}$ $^4d\^{o}]]$ 3SgS ImpfNeg accept [1PIS=Subju go [3FullSg chez]] 'He_x doesn't allow us to go to his_x place.'

7.2.3 Presentative constructions

7.2.3.1 Presentative $(H + g\dot{o}: + H)$

A variant of the imperfective is formed by using presentative (Presv) $H+g\dot{o}:+H$ instead of the usual imperfective $g\dot{u}+H\sim\dot{w}+H$). The $H+g\dot{o}:+H$ morpheme can be equated with the locational quasi-verb $H+g\dot{o}:$ 'be' (§7.1.2). In either case, $H+g\dot{o}:+H$ is arguably a syntactically conditioned allomorph of the simple imperfective morpheme. Presentative examples are in (372).

- (372) a. \hat{a}^{\dagger} $g\hat{o}$: $\int k\hat{a}$ 3SgS Presv come 'Here 3Sg comes!'
 - b. 1\(\frac{1}{2}\) gò: \(\frac{1}{2}k\hat{a}\)
 1SgS Presv come
 'Here I come!' (= 'I'm coming!' in answer to a summons)
 - c. wòy-éy / gò: /téŋgèr-èy dá:rú woman-DefPl Presv mat-DefPl lay.out 'There are the woman laying out (the beds).'

The presentative is intrinsically progressive or stative. It is also normally positive.

7.2.3.2 Presentative negative (as such) is absent

Given such positive/negative oppositions as imperfective $g\acute{u}+H \sim \acute{w}+H$ versus imperfective negative $s\grave{u}+H$, and locational quasi-verb $H+g\grave{o}$: versus its negation $s\acute{i}$:, one would expect that presentative (positive) $H+g\grave{o}$: +H might have a presentative negative counterpart $s\acute{i}$: +H before a verb. This form does exist, but it does not function as a presentative negative. Rather, it functions as the primary negation of progressive $n\grave{o}+H$ (and variants), see §7.2.4.6.

To be sure, an explicit presentative negative would make little sense. Compare positive 'here he comes!' with negative #?'here he isn't coming!' However, one can construct a rough negative equivalent of the presentative using a biclausal construction, beginning with a simple [X H + go:] and ending with a negative clause that shares the same subject; an example is (373a). Biclausal constructions can be used in a wider variety of contexts, e.g. (373b) with perfective negative.

7.2.3.3 *nè*: 'here' as presentative with NP

A presentative counterpart of identificational predicates with $n\hat{o}g$ (§7.1.1.4) is formed by using $n\hat{e}$: 'here' instead of $n\hat{o}g$ (whose original sense 'there' is preserved in other syntactic environments). The construction is therefore NP $n\hat{e}$: 'here is NP', as in (374). Cf. also (488) in §8.6.5 and (202ab) in §4.4.3. The presentative construction does differ syntactically from the identificational one, since the latter but not the former allows the complement NP to be relativized.

7.2.4 Marked imperfective categories

7.2.4.1 Future $(n\grave{a}m + H \sim n\grave{a}\eta + H)$

The combinations with pronominal subjects are shown in (375).

(375) Subject pronominal plus future

category	subject pronoun	with future morpeme			
		<i>m</i> -variant	<i>ŋ</i> -variant		
1SgS	ì+H	ì ∱nâm+H	ì ∱nâŋ+H		
1PIS	yò+H	yò ∱nâm+H	yò ∱nâŋ+H		
2SgS	$\dot{\eta} + H$	(ὴ) ∱nâm + H	(ŋ̀) ∱nâŋ + H		
2PIS	wò+H	wò ∱nâm+H	wò ∱nâŋ+H		
3SgS	à	à⁺ nàm + H	à⁺ nàŋ + H		
3PIS	$p \delta \eta + H$	ŋò ∱naâm+H	nò ∱nâŋ+H		
3FullSgS	?áŋgá	?áŋgá nàm+H	?áŋgá nàŋ+H		
3FullPlS	<i>njéy</i>	ǹjéy nàm + H	ǹjéy nàŋ+H		

The future morpheme acquires an initial H-tone from the subject pronominals that are associated with a floating H. 2SgS $\hat{\eta}$ usually disappears segmentally before the future marker, hence $\emptyset \uparrow n\hat{a}m$ rather than $\#\hat{\eta} \uparrow n\hat{a}m$, but the initial H-tone of $\uparrow n\hat{a}m$ serves as a surface cue for the missing pronominal. As usual, $3\text{Pl } n\hat{o}\eta + H$ reduces to $n\hat{o} + H$ before another MAN morpheme beginning with a nasal. $\uparrow n\hat{a}m \sim \uparrow n\hat{a}\eta$ normally delink their L-tone, which is realized as downstep on a following H-toned syllable.

The future is exemplified in (376). In (376b), we see how the future can spill into gnomic usage, denoting habitual or regularly repeated events.

The usual negative counterpart of the future is an imperfective negative with $s\hat{u} + H$, e.g. $\hat{i} s\hat{u} k \delta y$ 'I do/will not go'.

7.2.4.2 Extraction-indexing imperfective ($b\grave{o}$ $\grave{w} + H \sim b\grave{o}$: +H, $b\grave{o}$ $k\acute{u} = \hat{\eta}$)

A positive imperfective (in a broad sense including future) must be restructured if any NP in the clause is extracted focalized or relativized). The regular imperfective or future MAN morpheme is replaced by a form involving $b\dot{o}$, which I call the **extraction-indexing** imperfective (abbreviation XImpf). It corresponds in form (but not in precise functions) to what I called the strong imperfective in KS.

With a 3Sg object pronominal, the output is $b \delta k u / = \hat{y}$, with infinitival allomorph k u + H and 3Sg object \hat{y} . Elsewhere the output is $b \delta w + H$ with infinitival allomorph

 $\dot{w} + H$, often contracted to $b\dot{o}$: +H. If the preceding subject NP or pronoun has a floating H, we get $\uparrow b\dot{o}$ $\dot{w} + H$ or contracted $\uparrow b\dot{o}$: +H, and 3Sg object combination $\uparrow b\dot{o}$ ${}^{4}k\acute{u}\uparrow = \mathring{\eta}$.

The forms suggest that $b\grave{o}$ was originally a serial verb, followed by infinitive allomorph $k\grave{u}+H\sim \grave{w}+H$. HS has a verb $b\grave{a}$ 'want' that could well be the source of $b\grave{o}$, and the phonetic difference between certain extraction-indexing imperfectives and 'want' constructions can be slight $(b\grave{o} \grave{w}+H)$ versus $b\grave{a} \grave{w}+H$). However, the historical development must be considered in the light of parallel phenomena involving locational quasi-verbs, where the unmarked main-clause positive form $H+g\grave{o}$: 'be' is replaced by $b\acute{a}r\^{a}$ 'be' in clauses involving extraction. In extraction contexts I gloss $b\acute{a}r\^{a}$ as 'X.be' (§7.1.2). The phonetic similarity between $b\acute{a}r\^{a}$ and $b\grave{o}$, both with initial b, has undoubtedly played a role in this morphosyntactic association, but it probably reflects secondary convergence of historically unrelated verbs 'want' and 'be'. In KS, the subjunctive morpheme ma, which also has an initial labial, has also become entangled in this subsystem, but I see no sign of this in HS.

Some examples of the extraction-indexing imperfective are in (377).

(377) a.
$$mi: y = y$$
 ' $t \neq b$ ' $[ku \uparrow = y]$ ' $t \neq j$ ' what?=2SgS XImpf [Infin=3SgO do] 'What are you-Sg doing?' phonetic [mí:mbōkúntē...]

- b. $m\acute{a}n = \acute{y}$ ' $\uparrow b\acute{o}$ [\grave{w} kóy] where?= 2SgS XImpf [Infin go] 'Where are you-Sg going?'
- c. mán à:† bò [w kóy] where? 3SgS XImpf [Infin go] 'Where is 3Sg going?'
- d. méy †bó [ẁ †dírà] who? XImpf [Infin walk] 'Who is going away?'
- e. [mè fó]= ý † † † bò [w † dírà] [where]?=SgS XImpf [Infin walk['When are you going away?'

The overt focus morpheme $g\hat{a}$ (homophonous to the relative morpheme) is redundant in the positive imperfective, since the extraction is already cued by the XImpf morpheme. $g\hat{a}$ can be added after the fronted focalized constituent in the examples above, but this is unidiomatic. (In MAN categories other than positive imperfective, $g\hat{a}$ is used more systematically.)

The combinations with subject pronominals, as pronounced in isolation, are given in (378). 3Sg \dot{a} can be upstepped to \dot{a}^{t} before $b\dot{o}(:)$. What I write as η in the 2Sg and 3Pl pronominals is assimilated phonetically to [m] before b.

1	(378)) Subi	ect:	pronominal	nlus	extraction.	-ind	exing	im	nerfective (XImi	ηf)
١,	510	, Buoj	CCi	pronominar	prus	CAH action.	·mu	CAIIIE	1111	perrective	ZXIIII	JIJ

category	subject pronoun	with XImpf					
		full form	contracted				
1SgS	ì+H	ì ∱bó ẁ+H	ì ∱bô:+H				
1PIS	yò+H	yò ∱bó ẁ+H	yò ∱bô:+H				
2SgS	ŋ̀ + Η	ŋ̀ ∱bó ẁ+H	ὴ ∱bô:+H				
2PIS	wò+H	wò ∱bó ẁ+H	wò ∱bô:+H				
3SgS	à	$\hat{a}^{\dagger}b\hat{o}\hat{w}+H$	à⁺ bò:+H				
3PIS	றல் $ extit{j} + H$	ŋòŋ ∱bó ẁ+H	nòn ∱bô:+H				
3FullSgS	?áŋgâ	?áŋgá bò ẁ+H	?áŋgá bò+H				
3FullPIS	'njêy	njéy bò ŵ+H	njéy bò+H				

For $n\grave{a}$ $b\grave{o}$ $\grave{w} + H$ see §7.2.4.5 below.

There is no negative counterpart to the (positive) XImpf. For example, a WH-interrogative like $mi:\eta$ ' $g\hat{a}=\dot{\eta}$ sù $b\acute{a}:g-\grave{a}$ 'what do you-Sg not like?' is a straightforward focalization (with focus morpheme $g\hat{a}$) and the usual imperfective negative $s\grave{u}+H$.

7.2.4.3 Progressive constructions with 'be' $(H+g\dot{o}:)$

A classic periphrastic progressive construction of the type found in many languages can be put together by combining locational quasi-verb $H+g\dot{o}$: 'be' with a locative postpositional phrase containing a verbal noun or other process-denoting nominal. Examples are in (379). In (379b), 'meat cooking' is a loose compound, not a possessive.

(379) a.
$$i \uparrow g \hat{o}$$
: $[g \acute{o} y - \acute{o} f g \hat{a}]$
1SgS be [work-Fin/DefSg in]
'I am working (=at work)'.

In this transparent construction, the usual replacements for $H+g\delta$: 'be' are also used: $cind\hat{i}$ for past time reference, $b\acute{a}r\acute{a}$ in positive clauses to index extraction, and negative si: (§7.1.2).

7.2.4.4 Progressive ($n \hat{o} \hat{w} + H$, 3Sg object $n \hat{o} k \hat{u} \uparrow = \hat{\eta}$)

However, HS also has a more direct expression of the progressive (sometimes habitual), without leaving the basic MAN system. The MAN morpheme in question is $n\dot{o}$, here labeled progressive (Progr). Its "paradigm" is parallel to that of future $n\dot{a}m + H \sim n\dot{a}\eta + H$ (§7.2.4.1), including the fact that 2Sg $\eta \uparrow$ is usually dropped and that 3Pl $p\dot{o}\eta + H$ loses its final η . Particle $n\dot{o}$ combines with a following 3Sg object as $n\dot{o}$ $k\acute{u}\uparrow = \hat{\eta}$, which again suggests an origin as a serial verb. Elsewhere, the progressive is expressed as $n\dot{o}$ $\dot{w} + H$ (preserving the infinitival structure). Infinitival allomorph \dot{w} is syllabified with a preceding syllable and is transcribed as a clitic. The combinations with pronominal subjects are as in (380).

(380) Subject pronominal plus progressive

category	subject pronour	with Progresive	
		full form	contracted
1SgS	ì+H	ì ∱nó ẁ+H	ì ∱nô:+H
1PIS	yò+H	yò ∱nó ẁ+H	yò ∱nô:+H
2SgS	<i>ỳ</i> + H	(ỳ) ∱nó ẁ +H	(ŋ̀) ∱nô:+H
2PIS	wò+H	wò ∱nó ẁ+H	wò ∱nô:+H
3SgS	à	$\hat{a}^{\dagger} n \hat{o} \hat{w} + H$	à† nò:+Η
3PIS	лòŋ+H	ŋò ↑nó ẁ+H	ŋò ∱nô:+H
3FullSgS 3FullPlS	láŋgâ njêy	?áŋgá nò ẁ+H ǹjéy nò ẁ+H	7áŋgá nò:+H ǹjéy nò+H

Examples of the progressive are (381a-b). Note that the time frame may be past or present.

(381) a.
$$[m\grave{e} \quad k\acute{a} \quad \grave{i} \quad f k\^{a}] \quad \grave{a}^{\dagger} \quad n\grave{o} = [\grave{w} \quad g\acute{o}y]$$
 [time Rel 1SgS come] 3SgS Progr=[Infin work] 'When I came, he/she was working.'

The progressive in $n \circ \hat{w} + H$ can be thought of as a marked subtype of the ordinary imperfective. When extraction has occurred, progressive $n \circ \hat{w} + H$ (like ordinary imperfective $g \circ u + H$) is replaced by XImpf $b \circ \hat{w} + H$ (§7.2.4.2), as in (382), which may be progressive or may have a generalized present sense.

(382) méy †bô: †fí:s-à who? XImpf.Infin sweep-UnspecO 'Who is sweeping?' or 'Who sweeps (regularly)?'

7.2.4.5 Progressive (nà bò $\dot{w} + H$, 3Sg object nà bò $k\dot{u} \uparrow = \hat{\eta}$)

In addition to $n \delta \hat{w} + H$ (and variants), there is also a longer form $n \delta \delta \delta \hat{w} + H$. It combines with 3Sg object as $n \delta \delta \delta k u = \eta$. This allomorph shows that $\hat{w} + H$ is the infinitive morpheme. $n \delta \delta \delta \hat{w} + H$ appears to have about the same progressive sense as simple $n \delta \hat{w}$. A variant pronunciation $n \delta m \delta \hat{w} + H$ is used by some speakers; such variation suggests that the morphemic structure is somewhat opaque to native speakers. An example is (383), showing the usual segmental (but not tonal) deletion of $2 \log \eta + H$ before a nasal-initial MAN morpheme.

(383) †ná bò [ẁ †ná: [kù †bórì] húw-án-dòn]
2SgS-Progr XImpf [Infin eat [Infin be.good] house-2SgP-2PIP]
'You-Sg eat well at your-Pl place (=at home)'

It seems likely that the $n\grave{a}$ $b\grave{o}$ $\grave{w}+H\sim n\grave{a}$ $m\grave{o}$ $\grave{w}+H)$ combination is directly related to the KS strong imperfective (mma, mba, na-mba), a possible reconstruction being *nàŋ bà (plus infinitival morpheme). In KS, there has been a partial formal conflation of this with subjunctive ma (this conflation does not appear in HS).

In KS, the strong imperfective functions as a VP focalizer, as in answers to 'what is X doing?' and the like. The HS progressive in $n\hat{a}$ is often used in contexts where the VP is in focus, but focalization as such is not the basic function of the HS forms.

7.2.4.6 Progressive negative (si: +H, 3Sg object $si: k\acute{u}\uparrow = \hat{\eta}$)

Progressive $n \circ \hat{w} + H$ is generally negated by a special progressive negative form si: +H, as in (384a-b). An archaic variant $si: \hat{w} + H$ is attested, and this variant brings out the probable original structure, with si: 'not be' followed by infinitival \hat{w} . Even speakers who have just si: +H, without so much as a tonal trace of the * \hat{w} , reveal the original infinitival structure in $si: ku / = \hat{y}$ with 3Sg object \hat{y} . It may be that for some speakers the basic form is si: +H rather than si: +H.

The progressive negative may be used to deny ongoing action, or to deny a near-future eventuality (cf. English *I'm not going there*).

In (385a), the regular imperfective negative $s\dot{u} + H$ suggests a more general dispreference or inability, while in (385b) progressive negative $s\dot{i}$: +H denotes a current state or intention.

b. à sí: †zúrù
3SgS ProgrNeg run
'3Sg isn't running; 3Sg isn't going to run.'

The progressive negative is usual in the high-frequency expression sí: séllê 'not be healthy' = 'be sick', as in (310b) and (546). Another example of the progressive negative is (582b).

7.2.5 Perfect constructions

For experiential perfect 'have (n)ever VPed', see (566b) in §9.7.2, §9.7.4, and example (560). The current section deals with a more general perfect category.

7.2.5.1 Perfect ($n \grave{a} \eta$, 3Sg object $n \grave{a} \eta \, k \acute{u} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$)

The negative perfect is $n\grave{a}$ $m\grave{a}n+H\sim n\grave{a}$ $m\grave{a}\eta+H$, which includes perfective (!) negative morpheme $m\grave{a}n+H\sim m\grave{a}\eta+H$ (386c). $n\grave{a}\eta$ loses its final η before the nasalinitial negative.

- à† ¹kárú. (386)a. hàl-ní: nàŋ nèy 3SgS Prfct 1SgO supposing hit, ì \uparrow nám = $^{\iota}\uparrow$ â: wí: Fut=3SgO 1SgS kill 'If 3Sg had struck me, I would have killed 3Sg.'
 - b. hàl-ní: ì †náŋ 'háns-ó kárú, ...
 supposing 1SgS Prfct dog-Fin/DefSg hit, ...
 'If I had struck the dog, ...'

Perfects can also be used outside of conditional constructions. However, the context usually still involves a relationship between the event denoted and some other event later in time. An example of this classic perfect function is (387a). However, the perfect can also be used as a **VP-focalizer**. (387b) was elicited as a sample response to a question 'what did you-Sg do?' ($mi: \eta \ ^t g a = \eta = \eta \ t e$:), which puts the focus squarely on the VP. However, perfectives without nag can also occur in this context, so the correlation with VP-focus is not clean.

When followed by 3Sg object \hat{y} , the perfect morpheme is complemented by infinitival $k\hat{u} + H$, as in (388), resulting in $n \hat{a} \hat{\eta} k \hat{u} \uparrow = \hat{\eta}$.

(388)
$$h \grave{a} l - n \acute{t}$$
: \grave{i} $\rlap{n} \acute{a} n \acute{a} g$ $[{}^{4} k \acute{u} \rlap{n} / = \acute{g} k \acute{a} r \acute{u}], ...$ if 1SgS Pfct $[Infin=3 \text{SgO hit}], ...$ 'If I had struck him/her, ...'

Some further examples are (539a), (544), and (556).

The forms of perfect nàn with pronominal subject marker are in (389).

(389) Subject pronoun plus perfect

category	subject pronoun	with perfect
1SgS	ì + H	ì ∱nâŋ
1PIS	yò + H	yò ∱nâŋ
2SgS	ŋ̀ + H	(ŋ̀) ∱nâŋ
2PIS	wò + H	wò ∱nâŋ

3SgS	à	à⁺ nàŋ
3PlS	ŋòŋ+Н	ɲò ∱nâŋ
3FullSgS	?áŋgâ	7áŋgá nàŋ
3FullPIS	njéy	njéy nàŋ

Unlike future $n \grave{a} m \sim n \grave{a} \eta$, the perfect morpheme does not have a floating H-tone that can dock on a following L-toned stem (for object pronominals see below). With $d \grave{r} \grave{a}$ 'walk', the perfect is therefore 3SgS \grave{a} $n \grave{a} n q$ $d \grave{r} \grave{a}$, 1SgS \grave{i} $n \acute{a} n q$ $d \acute{r} \grave{a}$, etc., versus future 3SgS \grave{a} $n \grave{a} m$ $d \acute{r} \acute{a} \sim a$ $n \grave{a} n q$ $d \acute{r} \acute{a}$, 1Sg \grave{i} $n \acute{a} m$ $d \acute{r} \acute{a} \sim a$ $d \acute{r} \acute{a}$, etc. If there is a L-toned direct object noun such as $d \acute{r} \acute{a} \sim a$ $d \acute{r} \acute{a} \sim a$ $d \acute{r} \sim a$ d

KS has similar perfect forms (I called them strong perfectives), generally with a no-longer segmentable strong perfective morpheme ηka , though fuller forms $na-\eta ka$ and (before a velar) $na\eta$ -ha occur dialectally. Since $ka \sim ha$ is the KS infinitive morpheme (cf. HS $k\dot{u}+H\sim\dot{w}+H$), the KS forms are directly comparable to the extended HS form $n\dot{a}\eta$ $k\dot{u}+H$. In KS, the forms are used in counterfactual conditionals, and can be used in classic perfect function, but they are best analysed as VP focalizers. I am not aware of other Songhay languages with historically related constructions.

7.2.5.2 Perfect negative ($n \dot{a} \eta s i: + H$)

The composite $n \grave{a} y \mathrel{si:} + H \mathrel{(3SgS)} \grave{a}^{\dagger} n \grave{a} y \mathrel{si:} + H, \mathrel{1SgS} \mathrel{if} \uparrow n \acute{a} y \mathrel{'si:} + H,$ etc.) is morphologically a perfect $n \grave{a} y$ combined with progressive negative s i : + H. The sense 'have/had not VP-ed' lends itself to a (perfect) progressive negative reading 'is/was not VP-ing' or 'had not been VP-ing'. Consider the parallel positive and negative examples in (390a-b). (390c) shows the form with 3Sg object.

```
(i) † náŋ 'sí: kóy [à hû]
(2SgS) Prfct ProgrNeg go [3Sg house]
'He got angry with you-Sg because you were (regularly) not (or: had not been) going to his home.'
```

```
c. ... sàbù (ŷ) †náŋ 'sí: [kú†=ý fà:bà ... because (2SgS) Prfct ProgrNeg [Infin=3SgO help] '...because you had not been helping him'
```

7.2.6 Transitive $(\hat{\eta})$, a bidirectional case-marker

In a nonimperative transitive construction with subject, preverbal direct object NP, and verb, if the MAN sequence would otherwise be phonologically null (interpreted as positive imperfective indicative), so that nonzero subject and nonzero object would otherwise be adjacent, the **transitive** (Tr) morpheme \hat{y} is inserted between subject and object. The transitive morpheme is required before object pronominals as well as full NPs, although in the case of 3Sg object \hat{y} the resulting combination $\hat{y} = \hat{y}$ is realized phonetically as a single ungeminated $[\hat{\eta}]$ (plus downstep).

The "transitive" morpheme is really a **bidirectional case marker** (Heath 2007). It is inserted between a nonzero subject and a nonzero object if they would otherwise be directly adjacent. It is therefore limited to OV transitives, and cannot occur in intransitive and VO transitive clauses. It is incompatible with any nonzero inflectional (MAN) morpheme that intervenes between subject and object. This leaves the perfective positive of an OV transitive clause as the only category that allows the transitive morpheme, and in this combination it is obligatory (though it may fuse phonologically with another morpheme, see below). It does not occur in infinitival VPs since they have no overt subject. It does not occur in singular-subject imperatives, which have no pronominal subject preceding the VP.

The only possible problem for the bidirectional-case interpretation of the morpheme is that it does not occur in plural-subject imperatives, which do have a nonzero proclitic wo. However, wo does not match the usual 2Pl subject proclitic, which is wo + H including a floating H-tone: compare wo + dira 'walk!-2Pl' with wo + dira 'you-Pl walked'. It is therefore not clear that imperative "plural-subject" wo + dira is a true syntactic subject. There is considerable morphological and syntactic evidence in Songhay and other West African languages that imperatives lack true referential subjects. If we do grant that 2Pl imperative wo + dira is a real subject, this would simply require us to add one additional stipulation to the bidirectional case-marker status of the transitive morpheme. Any alternative gloss, such as "perfective" or "transitive," would not come close to characterizing its distribution in HS or other related Songhay languages. "Perfective" is out since $\hat{\eta}$ cannot occur in transitive or VO perfective clauses. "Transitive" is out (except as a term of convenience) since $\hat{\eta}$ cannot occur in VO transitives, or in OV transitives that are imperfective and/or negative.

Similar bidirectional case morphemes occur in Bambara and some other Mande languages.

Phonetically, $\hat{\eta}$ assimilates in point of articulation to a following coronal or labial consonant, with the velar articulation retained before h and l (glottal stop) as well as before velars. $\hat{\eta}$ syllabifies with the preceding syllable and is therefore transcribed as a clitic $=\hat{\eta}$. If the preceding syllable would otherwise be L- or <HL>-toned, its syllabification with $=\hat{\eta}$, requires flattening to H-tone, since rising <LH>-tone is not allowed at syllabic level (§3.9.6.3). The combinations with preceding subject pronominal are shown in (391).

(391) Transitive $\hat{\eta}$ with subject pronominals

category	subject pronoun	subject plus transitive $\hat{\eta}$
1Sg	ì+H	$i \uparrow = \hat{y}$
1Pl	yò+H	$y \circ \uparrow = \hat{y}$
2Sg	ὴ+H	$j \uparrow = \hat{y} \sim \hat{y}$ (see comment below)
2Pl	wò+H	$w \circ \uparrow = \hat{y}$
3Sg 3Pl	à ɲòŋ+H	$ \begin{array}{l} \hat{a} \uparrow = \hat{y} \\ \hat{p} & \hat{y} \uparrow = \hat{y} \end{array} $
3FullSg	?áŋgâ	$7 \acute{a} ng \acute{a} = \hat{\eta}$
3FullPl	njêy	$\grave{n} j \acute{e} y = \hat{\eta}$

Since transitive \hat{y} is always tightly phrased with a following word, it normally surfaces as H-toned [\hat{y}] plus a floating L that downsteps a following H-toned word.

There is no phonetic difference in the 2Sg between theoretical variants $\hat{\eta} = \hat{\eta}$ and $\hat{\eta}$, since they reduce to a single ungeminated nasal $[\hat{\eta}]$. The same is true of the triple combination 2Sg subject - transitive - 3Sg object, i.e. underlying $/\hat{\eta} + H \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta}/$, realized as $[\hat{\eta}]$, as in (392b) below. When syllabic, this $[\hat{\eta}]$ is often rounded phonetically, being heard as something in the zone of syllabic $[\hat{\eta}^w]$ and $[\hat{u}]$. The nasal may also assimilate in position to a following labial or coronal, e.g. syllabic $[m^w]$ before a labial.

Below are examples with pronominal (392a-b) and nonpronominal (392c-d) subjects. In (392b), note that the combination of 2Sg subject acting on 3Sg object ends up with **three** consecutive \hat{y} morphemes. This string is phonetically reduced to a single $[\hat{\eta}]$.

b.
$$\hat{y} = \hat{y} = \hat{y}$$
 \hat{y} à:
 2SgS=Tr=3SgO eat
'You-Sg ate it.'
 $(\text{phonetic } [\hat{y}^w \hat{\eta} \hat{a}:] \sim [\tilde{u}(\hat{\eta}) \hat{\eta} \hat{a}:])$

c. $h\acute{a}w-\acute{e}y \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ $h\grave{e}yn-\grave{o}$ $\uparrow \eta \hat{a}:$ cows-DefPl=Tr millet-Fin/DefSg eat 'The cows ate the millet.'

d. $w \partial y - \acute{e} y \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ 'hám-ó $\uparrow \eta \hat{a}$:

woman-DefPl=Tr meat-Fin/DefSg eat

'The women ate the meat.'

In (392c-d), transitive \hat{y} ends up sandwiched between two consonants. The nasal may be inaudible in this case after a semivowel, and is inaudible after a nasal or l. The most important such combinations are those with definite plural -ey or an inalienable pronominal suffix (1Sg -ey, 2Sg -ay). However, the tones of \hat{y} survive even when it is segmentally deleted (the preceding syllable shifts to H, and the following syllable is L or downstepped H). The subject nouns in (393c-d) are pronounced $h\hat{a}w-\hat{e}y+H$ and $w\hat{o}y-\hat{e}y+H$ in isolation, and the object nouns are $h\hat{e}yn-\hat{o}+H$ and $h\hat{a}m-\hat{o}+H$, so there are useful tonal clues in both cases as to the presence of \hat{y} even when the latter is not segmentally audible. Without such cues, such noun-noun sequences might be initially mis-heard as possessor-possessed sequences. (393a-b) show that the tonal patterns of possessive phrases differ from those of subject-object sequences in (392c-d) above, both because of the floating H associated with definite plural -ey+H and because a possessor requires a tonally characterized 3Poss suffix on the possessed noun.

- (393) a. háw-éy †héy¹n-ô
 cow-DefPl millet-3PossSg
 'the cows' millet'
 - b. wòy-èy / hám-ò woman-DefPl meat-3PossSg 'the woman's meat'

7.3 Imperatives

Apparently alone among Songhay languages, HS has a suffixal singular-subject imperative. It shares with the other languages a 2PlS imperative expressed by the regular verb stem (or transitive VP) preceded by a special allomorph of the 2PlS clitic. The basic forms are in (394).

(394) Imperative morphemes

- a. Singular-subject imperative suffix $-\hat{y}$ (the nasal is zeroed after a consonant); Tonal Rhythm (§3.9.4.1) applies to the final two syllables.
- b. Plural-subject imperative $w\dot{o}$ (without floating H) in the subject slot preceding the VP; often upstepped to $w\dot{o}^{\dagger}$ before a L-toned syllable.

gloss

7.3.1 Singular-subject imperative $(-\eta)$

The singular-subject imperative suffix $-\eta$ (arguably $-\hat{\eta}$, see below) is doubly audible, in the form of the suffixal nasal consonant (always audible after a vowel), and in the form of tonal effects on the final two syllables of the verb, which are audible in all imperatives. The suffixal η is deleted after another nasal consonant, and is optionally deleted after a semivowel.

The last two syllables of a nonmonosyllabic imperative are subject to Tonal Rhythm. If the penult is lexically H-toned, the imperative is ... $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}(C)$ - η . If the penult is lexically L-toned, the imperative is ... $C\hat{v}C\hat{v}(C)$ - η . The tone melody of the imperative is almost always distinct from the lexical tone for nonmonosyllabic stems. This is because the lexical forms of verb stems normally have two final syllables with H.H, H.<HL>, or L.L tone sequences, which differ from the H.L or L.<HL> of the imperative. However, there are a few lexically (L.)L.<HL> verb stems, and for them the imperative happens to have the same tone melody as the regular stem.

Examples of vowel-final bisyllabic stems are in (395). The imperatives are audibly marked both by the nasal suffix and by a tone change, except that the {LHL} stems do not change their tones.

2SgS imperative

(395) Singular-subject imperative of V-final bisyllabic stems

stem

a. 2SgS imperative ends in H.L syllable sequence lexical {H} melody 'hit' kárú kárù-ŋ fáttá 'exit' fáttà-η lexical {HL} melody 'sell' né:rê né:rè-ŋ háwrù-ŋ háwrû 'eat supper' b. 2SgS imperative ends in L.<HL> syllable sequence lexical {L} melody 'go down' zùmbù zùmbû-ŋ 'reply' tùrù tùrû-ŋ

lexical {LHL} melody, CvCCv

'remain' cìndî cìndî-ŋ

'send' dòntô dòntô-ŋ

'sleep' jîrbî jîrbî-ŋ

Trisyllabic verbs show the same tonal changes on the final two syllables.

(396) Singular-subject imperative of V-final trisyllabic stems

gloss stem 2SgS imperative

a. 2SgS imperative ends in H.L syllable sequence

lexically {H}-toned

'melt' ménéné ménénè-ŋ

lexically {HL}-toned

'calm down' súbúrî súbúrì-ŋ

b. 2SgS imperative ends in L.<HL> syllable sequence

lexically {L}-toned

'treat' sàfàrì sàfàrî-ŋ

lexically {LHL}-toned

'cough' kògòtô ~ kògótò kògòtô-ŋ

Verb stems may end in a consonant, nearly always a nasal or y, rarely l or w. After a semivowel $\{y \ w\}$, the suffix $-\eta$ is inconsistently audible. After a nasal or l it is always deleted. The tonal changes due to Tonal Rhythm are nonetheless applicable to imperatives of C-final stems (397).

(397) Singular-subject imperative of C-final bi- and trisyllabic stems

gloss stem 2SgS imperative

a. final nasal or *I*, suffixal nasal inaudible

'lock'	kúfâl	kúfàl-∅
'understand'	fàhàm	fàhâm-∅
'speak'	sèlèŋ	sèlêŋ-Ø
'begin'	síntîn	síntìn-Ø
{LHL}-toned [n	ote tones!]	
'gnaw on'	zìnzîŋ	zín z ìŋ- $arnothing$
'peck at'	zòŋkôm	zóŋkòm-Ø
'write'	hàntûm	hàntûm-∅

b. final $\{y \ w\}$, suffixal nasal only sporadically audible

{HL}-toned 'bathe' nínèy-Ø ~ nínèy-ŋ pínêy {*H*}-toned 'be quiet' dánjèy-Ø ~ dánjèy-ŋ dánjéy {LHL}-toned [note tones!] 'sneeze' tìsôw tísòw-Ø ~ tísòw-ŋ 'massage' mùsêy músèy-Ø ~ músèy-ŋ 'wed' kùbêy kúbèy-Ø∼ kúbèy-ŋ

There is a notable difference in the tonal treatment of V-final and C-final {LHL}-toned stems in the singular-subject imperative. The V-final ones have imperatives ending in or consisting of $C\dot{v}CC\dot{v}$ - η , while the C-final bisyllabics (except 'write') have $C\dot{v}(C)C\dot{v}C$ - (η) , compare the {LHL}-toned forms in (397b) with those in (395b) and (396b). This is not because of any general constraint against L.<HL> outputs for C-final verbs; the imperatives $f\grave{a}h\hat{a}m$ - \mathcal{D} and $s\grave{e}l\hat{e}\eta$ - \mathcal{D} (from {L}-toned stems with final nasal) show this (397a). The $C\dot{v}C\dot{v}C$ - (η) imperative is found with $C\dot{v}C\dot{v}y/w$ stems, i.e. with unclustered medial consonant and final semivowel. There may be a phonological reason for the unexpected $C\dot{v}C\dot{v}C$ - (η) imperative, but it escapes me. I suspect that it is an opportunistic device to make an audible distinction between the imperatives, whose "regular" form would be $C\dot{v}C\dot{v}y/w(-\eta)$, and the unsuffixed stems of the same verbs.

The exceptional verb is 'write', for which I recorded $h \`ant \^u m$ as the stem and $h \`ant \^u m-\varnothing$ as the homophonous imperative. I had some difficulty with the transcription of the stem, perhaps reflecting informant fluctuation. Cognates like Zarma $h \`ant \'u m$ and TSK $h \`ant \'u m$ should correspond to $\{L\}$ -toned h 'ant 'u m in HS, and perhaps this is predominant form of the HS stem, in which case imperative $h \`ant \'u m-\varnothing$ is regular.

Monosyllabic verb stems have <HL>-toned singular-subject imperatives regardless of lexical tone (398). The only cases where the imperative is always homophonous to the regular stem are <HL>-toned nasal-final stems like 'fall' in (398b).

(398) Singular-subject imperatives of monosyllabic verbs

glo	oss	stem	2SgS imperative
a. {H	}-toned		
'gi	ve'	nó:	nô:-ŋ
kn	iow'	béy	$b\hat{e}y$ - $\eta \sim b\hat{e}y$ - \varnothing
ʻsla	ap'	sáŋ	sâŋ-Ø
b. {H	L}-toned		
nasa	ıl-final		
'fa	11'	kâŋ	kâŋ-Ø
not i	nasal-final		
'bl	ow nose'	fî:n	fî:-ŋ
'pr	oclaim'	fê	fê-ŋ
ʻbu	ıy'	dêy	$d\hat{e}y$ - $\eta \sim d\hat{e}y$ - \varnothing
ʻin	sult'	wôw	\hat{wow} - $\eta \sim \hat{wow}$ - \emptyset
c. {L	}-toned		
'ea	t'	ŋà:	ŋâ:-ŋ
'gr	eet'	fò:	fô:-ŋ
'tal	ke'	zà	zâ-ŋ

'steal'	zèy	z êy- η ~ z êy- \varnothing
'drink'	hàη	hâη-Ø

The singular-subject imperative can readily be formed from a suffixally derived verb. For example, transitive fi:si 'sweep' occurs in tar-o $fi:si-\eta$ 'sweep the courtyard!', while its $\{L\}$ -toned unspecified-object form fi:s-a 'do (some) sweeping' occurs in $fi:s-a-\eta$ 'sweep!' (note the difference in tone melody).

A VO verb with a suffixed 3Sg object suffix -a can be made imperative: $m\hat{a}n$ 'approach', $m\hat{a}n$ - \hat{a} 'approach him/her', imperative $m\hat{a}n$ - \hat{a} - η 'approach him/her/it!'. Likewise $d\hat{\eta}\eta\eta$ - \hat{a} - η 'forget him/her/it!' and $h\hat{o}\eta g$ - \hat{a} - η 'remember him/her!'. Other pronominal objects are external to the verb and follow the imperative suffix: $m\hat{a}n$ - \mathcal{O} ' $2\hat{a}y$ 'approach me!, $d\hat{u}n\hat{o}w$ - \mathcal{O} ' $2\hat{v}$ - $2\hat{v}$ -

I have analysed the tone melodies of these imperatives in terms of Tonal Rhythm, which calculates the final-syllable tone from the lexical tone of the penult. An alternative approach would be to attribute a <HL>-tone to the imperative suffix, and allow the H-tone component to migrate leftward, so that lexical ...H.<HL> and lexical ...H.H become imperative ...H.L- η , and lexical ...L.<HL> (if vowel-final) and lexical ...L.L become imperative ...L.<HL>- η . It would be rather tricky to formalize this analysis. Since Tonal Rhythm is well-supported in nominal morphophonology, I prefer to make use of it here. However, attributing <HL> tone to suffix - $\hat{\eta}$ is correct etymologically, since it is an encliticized and phonetically reduced form of 2Sg pronoun $n\hat{\imath}$.

7.3.2 Plural-subject imperative (wò)

The plural-subject imperative (2PlSImprt) is expressed by a preverbal particle $w\dot{o}$ followed by the verb phrase. The verb occurs in its unsuffixed lexical form (without -ŋ). $w\dot{o}$ has no associated floating H, in contrast to the normal 2Pl subject clitic $w\dot{o} + H$ in nonimperative clauses. Plural-subject imperative $w\dot{o}$, like 3SgS \dot{a} in indicative clauses, has its pitch upstepped when it is followed by a L-tone, hence $w\dot{o}^{\dagger}$ $g\dot{o}r\dot{o}$ 'you-Pl sit!' like \dot{a}^{\dagger} $g\dot{o}r\dot{o}$ 'he/she sat'; contrast nonimperative 2Pl subject $w\dot{o} + H$ in $w\dot{o}$ $fg\dot{o}r\dot{o}$ 'you-Pl sat'.

Plural-subject imperatives of transitive OV verbs with preverbal pronominal objects are sometimes replaced by **subjunctives**. For example, $w = \grave{a} : ^{\dagger} j \hat{i} \hat{s} \hat{i}$ 'put-2Pl it down!', (61b) in §3.9.4.2, can be replaced by subjunctive $w \acute{o} \uparrow = m = \grave{a} : j \hat{i} \hat{s} \hat{i}$ in more or less the same imperative context.

7.3.3 Irregular singular subject imperatives

There are no imperatives for **locational** quasi-verbs $H+g\hat{o}$: 'be (somewhere)' and its negation $s\hat{i}$:, which are closely related to imperfective MAN morphemes. There is likewise no imperative for **identificational** quasi-verb $n\hat{o}\eta$, which is derived from a 'there' demonstrative adverb.

Verbs with irregular singular-subject imperatives are in (399). The plural-subject counterparts are regular.

(399) Irregular singular-subject imperatives

gloss	stem imperative		e
		2SgS	2PIS
a. identical to lexical	form of stem	, no tone chang	e or -ŋ suffix
ʻgoʻ	kóy	kóy	wò kóy
'take, convey'	kàtè	kàtè	wò† kàtè
b. suppletive 'come'	kà	tê	<i>wò⁺ kà</i> [wōkà]
c. lexical merger	nê	_	_
'say, speak'	cì	cí ~ cî-ŋ	wò† cì
d. imperative only		1. £.n	1. <i>4. 4. 1</i> .
'take, receive'		hó: ⁿ	wò hó: ⁿ

The irregularity in (399a) is simply that no $-\eta$ suffix is present. In (399b), we have suppletion. $t\hat{e}$ 'come!' is accidentally homophonous with $t\hat{e}$ 'become (adverb)'. In (399c), the irregular quotative quasi-verb $n\hat{e}$ has no imperative, so recourse is had to the synonym $c\hat{i}$.

With $h\delta$:ⁿ (399d), which translates as 'here!' in the sense 'take this!' (French *tiens!*), the object handed over is usually in view and need not be mentioned overtly. However, it is possible to add the term for the object as an unmarked postverbal NP: $h\delta$:ⁿ $h\delta$ m- δ +H 'here, (take) the meat!'. The semantically closest nonimperative verb is $t\hat{a}$ 'receive'.

7.3.4 Objects of imperative verbs

A canonical transitive verb will have its usual preverbal object NP or pronominal in all contexts, including imperatives. In imperatives, transitive morpheme $\hat{\eta}$ is not used before the direct object. This is true not only in singular-subject imperatives, which have no preverbal subject and therefore fail one requirement for transitive $\hat{\eta}$, but also in singular-subject imperatives where 2Pl allomorph $w\hat{o}$ overtly occupies subject position. Thus compare the imperatives (400a-b) with the indicative clauses (400c-d), only the latter showing transitive $\hat{\eta}$.

- b. wò háns-ó kárú 2PlSImprt dog-Fin/DefSg hit 'Hit-2Pl the dog!'
- d. $w \circ \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ 'háns- $\acute{\phi}$ kár \acute{u} 2PIS=Tr dog-Fin/DefSg hit
 'You-Pl hit-Past the dog.'

The preverbal object can also be a pronominal. The singular-subject imperative is the only construction in which an unfocalized object pronominal occurs clause-initially. If the object is third person, there is some risk of initially mis-parsing such combinations as beginning with a subject pronominal. On the other hand, the preverbal 1Sg object and 1Pl object pronouns are easily distinguished from the corresponding subject clitics. Using the verb $\eta \hat{a}$: 'eat' (singular-subject imperative $\eta \hat{a}$:- η), which can be either intransitive or transitive, note the similarities between perfective intransitive forms and transitive singular-subject imperatives in (401).

(401) Transitive imperative versus perfective intransitive

category	perfective intransitive	2SgS imperative
1Sg 1Pl	<i>ì ∱ŋâ:</i> 'I ate' yò ∱ŋâ: 'we ate'	nèy ŋâ:-ŋ 'eat me!' yérí 'ŋâ:-ŋ 'eat us!'
3Sg 3Pl	à ŋà: '3Sg ate' nòŋ ∱ŋâ: 'they ate'	à ŋâ:-ŋ 'eat 3Sg!' nòŋ ŋâ:-ŋ 'eat them!'
3FullSg 3FullPl	?áŋgá ŋà: '3FullSg ate' njéy ŋà: '3FullPl ate'	?áŋgá ¹ŋâ:-ŋ 'eat 3FullSg!' njéy ¹ŋâ:-ŋ 'eat 3FullPl!'

8 Discourse-functional constructions and extraction

8.1 Focus constructions

One nonpredicative constituent (NP in a broad sense, including adverbials) may be singled out for overt focalization. Semantically, focalization emphasizes the choice of a denotatum over other denotata that could have occurred in the same slot. WH-words in interrogatives are normally focalized, but focalization is very common and not limited to interrogatives. The focalized constituent, if it is not the subject and therefore would otherwise be noninitial in the clause, is fronted to clause-initial position to the left of the subject. If the subject itself is focalized, the same shift arguably applies but is string-vacuous (no observable effect). In addition to the reordering, focalization is indicated by addition of focus particle $g\hat{a}$ directly after the focalized constituent.

The particle is often omitted in positive imperfective clauses, which have a more reliable way to index focalization. Here the operation of an extraction rule is indexed by replacing the usual imperfective morpheme $g\hat{u} + H \sim \hat{w} + H$ by a special extraction-indexing imperfective (XImpf) $b\hat{o}$ (or variant), see §7.2.4.2. Moreover, locational quasi-verb $H + g\hat{o}$: 'be', which is related historically to the imperfective morpheme, is replaced by $b\hat{a}r\hat{a}$ 'X.be' in the same extraction contexts (§7.1.2). In such cases, focus $g\hat{a}$ (now redundant) is normally omitted.

In HS, focalization and relativization are not merely similar (as they are in most Songhay languages). They are so close in form that usually one cannot tell them apart out of discourse context. However, the two constructions are clearly distinct when extraction applies to postpositional phrases (PPs).

A further respect in which HS differs from other Songhay languages is in lacking a distinctive subject-focus marker. Instead, the same focus morpheme $g\hat{a}$ is used in both subject and nonsubject focalizations.

8.1.1 Nonsubject focus constructions

8.1.1.1 Direct-object focalization

I begin with **VO transitive verbs**, whose structure is more transparent than canonical transitives under focalization. Consider (402).

c.
$$ni$$
 ' $g\hat{a}$ [i $diy-\hat{a}$]
2Sg Focus [1SgS see-3SgO]
'It was you-Sg [focus] that I saw.'

The structure is X gâ [Y $VERB-3SgO_x$...]. The most striking feature is the **invariant** 3Sg object suffix on the verb. It appears to be a resumptive pronoun, coindexed with the head NP, but it fails to agree with that NP in number or person features. It does, however, helpfully mark the grammatical relation corresponding to the fronted focalized constituent.

Consider now (403), with a canonical **OV transitive verb**, i.e. one that takes preverbal direct objects.

- (403) a. $háns-\acute{o}$ $g\acute{a}$ $['\acute{1}']=\acute{\eta}=\acute{\eta}$ sàfàrì] dog-Fin/DefSg Focus [1SgS=Tr=3SgO treat] 'It was the dog [focus] that I treated (medically).'
 - b. háns-éy gá [¹i=ŋ́=ŋ́ sàfàri] dog-DefPl Focus 1SgS=Tr=3SgO treat 'It was the dogs [focus] that I treated.'
 - c. ni ' $g\hat{a}$ [$\hat{i} = \hat{y} = \hat{y}$ sàfàrì] 2Sg Focus 11SgS=Tr=3SgO treat 'It was you-Sg [focus] that I treated.'

If they are correctly analysed here, the examples in (403) have the same extraction syntax as do the VO cases in (402). Both show invariant 3Sg object as resumptive pronoun. Actually, one could object that $\hat{\eta} = \hat{\eta}$ in (403a-c) is always preconsonantal and therefore always reduced phonetically to ungeminated $[\hat{\eta}]$, so there is a whiff of doubt as to whether there is really a 3Sg object morpheme following transitive $\hat{\eta}$. But comparison to the VO cases in (402), and to instrumental focalizations (§8.1.1.4, below) demonstrate the productivity of the invariant-3Sg rule for resumptives. Moreover, if the preverbal object slot in (403a-c) were empty, one might ask why the Tr morpheme is present. In all constructions not involving extraction, Tr $\hat{\eta}$ is used only before a nonnull direct object.

8.1.1.2 Focalization of adverbials

In (404) I give examples of nouns generally used (without an adposition) as adverbials (i.e. as postverbal NPs outside of the core argument structure of the verb).

Note that there is no postverbal resumptive pronoun. Nouns like 'today' are regularly used as adverbs, so indexing the original syntactic function of the relativized NP by including a place-holding 3Sg pronominal would rarely have any disambiguating power.

The $g\hat{a}$ in (404a) is only optional, since focalization is already cued by the XImpf morpheme $b\hat{o}$:.

Temporal adverbials like 'today' and 'yesterday' can also be positioned at the beginning of the clause without being focalized. This construction is best analysed as having a presentential adverb, orienting the following sentence in spatial or temporal setting, as in (405).

8.1.1.3 Focalization of postpositional phrases

Postpositions like dative $s\hat{e}$ and locative $g\hat{a}(:)$ are included in the focalized constituent and therefore precede focus morpheme $g\hat{a}$. In other words, the PP as a whole (not just the NP under the scope of the postposition) is fronted under focalization (contrast this with relativization, §8.3.3 below). There is no resumptive element after the verb.

Dative examples are in (406).

(406) a.
$$[m\acute{e}y \quad s\acute{e}] \quad {}^{\prime}g\acute{a}=\acute{g}=\acute{g}=\acute{g} \quad h\acute{a}r\acute{u}$$
 [who? Dat] Focus=2SgS=Tr=3SgO say 'To whom [focus] did you-Sg say it?'

b. [
$$?$$
áy t sê] g á = á = $\hat{\eta}$ = $\hat{\eta}$ t hárú [1 Sg Dat] Focus= 3 SgS= T r= 3 SgO say 'It was to me [focus] that he said it.

Where a 1st/2nd person pronominal is involved, the focalized form of the PP differs from the postverbal form. In postverbal (i.e. cliticized) position, the 1st/2nd person pronominal is expressed by a suffix on the "postposition" (which thereby becomes a preposition), e.g. 1Sg dative s- $\hat{e}y$ and 2Sg dative s- $\hat{a}y$. When such PPs are fronted, we get the independent form of the pronoun, followed by the postposition, hence $2\hat{a}y$ * $s\hat{e}$ 'to me' in (406b),

A dative phrase meaning 'on account of, because of' can be focalized like other datives, taking focus $g\hat{a}$ (407). However, such causal phrases seem to constitute an outer syntactic layer, and in (407b) we notice that imperfective $g\hat{u} + H$ is not replaced

by XImpf $b\dot{o}$ as is required when an argument from the core of the predication is focalized.

- (407) a. [wo' sê] ga=a=f ney karu' [Dem Dat] Focus=3SgS=Tr 1SgO hit 'That's why [focus] 3Sg hit me.'
 - b. [wó 'sá:b-ò sé] 'gâ ì /gú '/wâ: /hâŋ
 [Dem reason-3PossSg Dat] Focus 1SgS Impf milk drink
 'It's for that reason [focus] that I drink milk.'

8.1.1.4 Focalization of instrumental phrases

Postverbal instrumental phrases of the shape $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ X where X is some NP does not move to preverbal focal position as a whole. Instead, X is focalized, leaving $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ behind, in the form $\acute{n}d-\grave{a}$ with a fixed 3Sg resumptive pronominal. (408) shows what happens when the noun in $[\acute{n}d\grave{u} \uparrow g\acute{o}^{4}b-\^{o}.]$ 'by means of this stick' is focalized.

(408)
$$g \partial b - \delta$$
: ${}^{4}g \hat{a}$ $i \uparrow = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}$ ${}^{4}k \acute{a}r \acute{u} = [\acute{n}d - \grave{a}]$ stick-this Focus 1SgS=Tr=3SgO hit=[with-3Sg] 'It was this stick [focus] that I hit him with.'

For 'how?' interrogatives see §8.2.3.1.

8.1.2 Subject focus constructions

Most Songhay languages have different focus morphemes depending on whether the focalized constituent is the subject or a nonsubject constituent. HS, on the other hand, uses $g\hat{a}$ for all types of focalization (as well as for relativization). Examples of subject relatives are in (409).

- (409) a. háns-ó gá = ý 'hám-ó $fn\hat{a}$: dog-Fin/DefSg Focus=Tr meat-Fin/DefSg eat 'It was the dog [focus] that ate the meat.'
 - b. *bìt-ò fbô: ká:n 's-êy* porridge-Fin/DefSg XImpf be.sweet Dat-1Sg 'It's porridge [focus] that pleases me.'

8.1.3 Multiple clauses attached to one focalized constituent

One cannot extract a focalized constituent simultaneously from two conjoined clauses, since the closest functional equivalent to clause "conjunction" in HS is an

asymmetrical subordinated construction where the second predication is expressed as an infinitival VP. In (410), infinitival $k\acute{u}\uparrow = \acute{\eta} \ \eta \grave{a}:J$ 'to eat it' at the end is subordinated to rather than conjoined to 'slaughter it'.

8.2 Questions and answers

8.2.1 Polar questions and answers

Simple polar questions can take the same form as a statement, with no overt interrogative element (411a).

(411)
$$\dot{\eta}$$
 $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{$

However, a question marker *wàlà* can be added, usually as a tag at the end, with or without a slight pause. Its final vowel can be prolonged and pitch-raised intonationally.

wàlà is also used as an 'or' conjunction, and (412) can be interpreted as a broken-off combination of positive and negative alternative for the addressee to choose from ('3Sg went, or 3Sg didn't go?'). German uses *oder?* 'or?' as a tag in the same way.

yélà is used as a 'whether' particle, generally in subordinated interrogatives after a matrix verb like béy 'know' (413).

This can be extended (redundantly) by adding the logically implied disjunctive phrase: ... $wala a^{\dagger} man ka^{\dagger} ka^{\dagger} ...$, or he/she hadn't come.'

The functional equivalent of an English tag question, seeking to elicit assent from an addressee, is $\hat{\eta}$ béy, phonetic [mbéj], literally 'you-Sg knew'.

The clause-initial particle $d\acute{e}t\^{a} \sim d\acute{e}t\^{e}$, of fairly low text-frequency, can be used at the beginning of what can be translated as a polar question. One could equally well gloss it as 'hopefully', since it suggests the speaker's wish that the following proposition be true (or be fulfilled later). On hearing that an accident has just taken place, a speaker might utter (415), which would translate pragmatically as half-question ('was anybody hurt?'), half-statement ('I hope nobody was hurt').

Likewise, when strangers or distant neighbors arrive unexpectedly at a dwelling, the first order of business is to inquire whether they have come "in peace" (i.e. as a social call or to deliver good news), or in connection with a problem or dispute. A typical utterance in this context is (416).

Replies to polar questions can be of the "grunt" type, phonetic [mhm] for 'yes!' and [m?m] for 'no!'. The alternative is to re-use the raw material from the question, including the verb, with the appropriate positive or negative polarity. (417) illustrates the sequence.

8.2.2 WH-questions

WH-interrogative words are normally clause-initial focalized constituents. For the syntax of focalization see §8.1. Since focalization is an extraction process, the

examples in subsections below contain many instances of extraction-indexing imperfectives (XImpf, §7.2.4.2), and of extraction-indexing $b\acute{a}r\^{a}$ 'X.be' replacing $H+g\grave{o}$: 'be' as locational quasi-verb (§7.1.2). In §8.2.2 I consider simple (uncompounded) WH-words, and in §8.2.3 I turn to (mostly) composite ones.

8.2.2.1 'Who?' (méy)

méy 'who?' has an occasional plural méy-nón+H, used when plurality is specified and is not obvious from context. The simple form méy is usual not only in the common situation where number is unspecified, but also when plurality is obvious or is specified by another constituent in the sentence, as in méy té: $\hbar wá^t rân$ 'who are you-Pl?'

Some examples are in (418), with a few comments and section references. The conjunction $m\acute{e}y = \acute{n}d\grave{u}$ $m\acute{e}y$ - $p\acute{o}\eta$, literally 'who and who-Pl', is idiomatic (418k).

- (418) a. méy nôn who? it.is 'Who is it?' (identificational quasi-verb, §7.1.1.4)
 - b. méy té: †cé¹r-âŋ (also: méy gá ¹té: ...) who? be friend-2Sg 'Who is your-Sg friend?' (copula, §7.1.1.1)
 - c. méy bár nè:
 who? X.be here
 'Who [focus] is here?' (bárà, locational quasi-verb, §7.1.2)
 - d. méy gá = ý wà: † hâŋ who? Focus=Tr milk drink
 'Who [focus] drank the milk?' (subject focalization, §8.1.2)
 - e. méy †bô: †wâ: †hâŋ who? XImpf.Infin milk drink 'Who [focus] is drinking the milk?' (XImpf, §7.2.4.2)
 - f. $m\acute{e}y$ $g\acute{a} = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}$ 'kárú who? Focus=2Sg=Tr=3SgO hit 'Who(m) [focus] did you-Sg hit?' (object focalization, §8.1.1.1)
 - g. [méy fánd-à:] †nê: [who? road-3PossSg] here 'Whose road is this?' (possessive, §5.2.1)

- h. [méy-nóŋ fánd-à:] nôŋ
 [who-Pl road-3PossSg] it.is
 'Whose-Pl road is it?' (like preceding, but plurality specified)
- i. $[m\acute{e}y \quad s\acute{e}] \quad \acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}$ thárú [who? Dat] 3SgS=Tr=3SgO say 'To whom did he/she tell it?'
- j. $[ni = [ndu \ mey]]$ ga ka $[2Sg=[with \ who?]]$ Focus come 'You-Sg and who [focus] came?' = 'Who(m) did you-Sg come with?' (§5.11.2)
- k. $w \circ \uparrow = \dot{w}$ ['méy = nídù méy-non] \uparrow cé:cì 2PIS=Impf [who?=with who-IndefPl] look.for 'Who (plural) are you-Pl looking for?'

(418f), 'who did you-Sg hit?', is pronounced [méjgáŋkārū]. In normal allegro speech, it is not distinguishable from $m\acute{e}y~g\acute{a}=\acute{\eta}=\acute{\eta}$ 'kárú' 'who hit him/her?'.

8.2.2.2 'What?' $(m\hat{\imath}:\eta \sim m\hat{\imath}h\hat{\imath}\eta)$

The nonhuman counterpart of $m\acute{e}y$ 'who?' is $m\^{i}.\eta$ 'what?', also pronounced $m\grave{i}h\^{i}\eta$ in careful speech style. The plural $m\acute{i}.\eta-n\grave{o}\eta+H\sim m\grave{i}h\acute{i}\eta-n\grave{o}\eta+H$ is rare but grammatical (419d). The syntax is the same as for $m\acute{e}y$.

- (419) a. mí:ŋ 'nôŋ
 what? it.is
 'What is it?' (identificational, §7.1.1.4)
 - b. mi:n ${}^{4}ga = \hat{y}$ $diy-\hat{a}$ what? Focus=2SgS see-3SgO 'What [focus] did you-Sg see?'
 - c. $mi: \eta = \acute{\eta}$ ' $\uparrow b\acute{o}$ [$k\acute{u} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ ' $t\acute{e}:$] what?=2SgS XImpf [Infin= 3SgO do] 'What [focus] are you-Sg making?' (XImpf, §7.2.4.2)
 - d. mìhíŋ-nòŋ gá 'bâr [zòlòŋfònt-ó-nòŋ kún-ò] gà]]
 what-Pl Focus be [bag-your interior-3PossSg] in]]
 'What things [focus] are in your-Sg bag?'

For dative *mí:ŋ 'sê* 'why', see §8.2.3.2. The combination of *mî:ŋ* plus *té:* 'do, make' (419c) means 'make (=produce) what?'

English what? can be translated by *mótê* 'how?' when manner is involved, as in 'do what?' and 'what is your name?' (cf. French *comment faire?*, *comment s'appeler?*), see (425-6) in §8.2.3.1.

8.2.2.3 'Where?' ($m\acute{a}n \sim m\acute{a}\eta \sim m\acute{a}y^n \sim m\grave{e} h\acute{e}r\grave{e}$)

The 'where?' interrogative is $m\acute{a}n + H$, ordinarily without a postposition. With following 3Sg \grave{a} the combination is always $m\acute{a}n \uparrow \hat{a}$:. In other positions $m\acute{a}n$ is prepausal or preconsonantal and in these environments it has variants $m\acute{a}g + H$ and $m\acute{a}g'' + H$. Because verbs distinguish locative (stative), allative, and ablative, the simple form $m\acute{a}n$ can be used in all these senses. Focus morpheme $g\^{a}$ is occasionally omitted in perfectives (420b), but in the positive imperfective XImpf $b\grave{o}$ is regular (420d).

- (420) a. mán gá nôn bú: where? Focus 3PIS die 'Where [focus] did they die?'
 - b. mán /nón 'kóy where? 3PIS go 'Where did they go?'
 - c. $m\acute{a}n = 1\acute{a}i$ 'bárâ where?= 3SgS X.be 'Where [focus] is he/she?' (bárâ, §7.1.2)
 - d. $m\acute{a}n = \acute{y}$ '†b\acute{o} [ẁ †hûn] where?=2SgS XImpf [Infin leave] 'Where [focus] do you come from?' (lit. "where do you leave?") (phonetic [mámbōwhûn])
 - e. $m\acute{a}n = \acute{y}$ 'bárâ hùmbùrì where?=2SgS X.be Hombori 'Where [focus] are you-Sg (=where do you live) in Hombori?'

The phrase $m\acute{a}n$ $h\acute{e}r\acute{e}$ (variant $m\acute{a}y^n$ $h\acute{e}r\acute{e}$) 'whereabouts?', i.e. 'around where?' asks for a less pinpointed location.

A common variant of this is *mè hérê*, with a form *mè* that is elsewhere found as part of temporal interrogative *mè fô* 'when?' (§8.2.3.3).

8.2.2.4 'How much, how many?' (mèrjè)

Some examples are in (421).

- (421) a. m erj e g = f = f f d u w = a how.much? Focus=2SgS get-3SgO 'How much/how many [focus] did you-Sg get?'
 - b. $m \approx r = r$ f = b f =
 - c. [fè:g-ù /mérjè] nôŋ [sheep-NF how.many?] it.is 'How many sheep are there?'
 - d. ?izé mèrjè
 child how.many?
 'how many?' (units, nonhuman type, e.g. fruits)
 - e. gùr-ù /mérjè
 unit how.many?
 'how many?' (units, human or nonhuman type)
 - f. fè:j-éy-ndìy-éy [gùr /mérjè] gá 'kóy sheep-DefPl-our [unit how.many?] Focus go 'Of our sheep, how many head [focus] went away?'
 - g. wàráŋ ['bôr /mérjè]
 you-Pl [person how.many?]
 'how many of you?' (with bôr as unit term, §5.4.8)
 - h. mèrjè- mérjè à bò = [w né:r-éyndí = nd-à] how.much-Rdp 3SgS XImpf=[Infin see-PotPass=with-3SgO] 'At how much (per unit) is it sold?' (instrumental focalization, §8.1.1.4)

mèrjè can function as an independent NP (421a-b). It can also function as an adjective, following a noun in nonfinal form. If the noun is $\{L\}$ -toned, mèrjè becomes \uparrow mérjè by Adjectival Tone-Raising. Pizê 'child' (used especially for fruits and other parts of a larger whole) and gùr-ù 'unit' (all-purpose term) are common default nouns when a more precise noun for the unit type is omitted (421d-e); $b\hat{o}r$ 'person' is also common for human reference. If the nominal quantified over is a possessed or (otherwise) definite NP (421f), or a personal pronoun (421g), merjè is attached to a unit term following this NP. The distributive iteration merjè- \uparrow mérjè is used to denote a quantity (usually a price) that is correlated with each member of a set (\S 5.4.4); in (421h) it is used in an instrumental construction with ndu + H.

8.2.2.5 'Which (one)?' (*fô*)

'Which one?' is $?\hat{a}-f\hat{o} \sim ?\hat{i}-f\hat{o}$, with plural $?\hat{a}-f-\hat{e}y \sim ?\hat{i}-f-\hat{e}y$ 'which ones?'. The $?\hat{a}$ - or $?\hat{i}$ - here is the absolute morpheme and is omitted when a preceding overt noun or NP is quantified over. The examples in (422) show $f\hat{o}$ with nominals. The syntactic possibilities are absolute $?\hat{i}-f\hat{o}$ following a fully-formed locative PP in partitive sense (422a), or $NOUN\ f\hat{o}$ with the interrogative directly attached to an uninflected noun (422b). In either case, $f-\hat{e}y$ is used when plurality is presupposed, as in (422c).

- - b. [hàr fàr fó] 'gâ dìrà [man farming[adj] which?] Focus walk 'Which farmer [focus] went away?'
 - c. [hàr fàr f-éy] 'gâ dìrà [man farming which?-Pl] Focus walk 'Which farmers [focus] went away?'

The 'which?' adjective should not be confused with numeral *fó:* '1' (§4.7.1), which differs in V-length and in tonal effect on a following word (note the downstepped following words in the examples above). 'Which?', like other WH-interrogatives, occurs chiefly in focalized constructions or in isolation, while '1' is usually not focalized. The plurals are also different.

8.2.3 Composite WH-interrogatives

8.2.3.1 'How?' (mótê) and 'what kind?' (cíléy fô)

The 'how?' expression is theoretically $\acute{n}d\grave{u}$ $m\acute{o}t\^{e}$ consisting of instrumental preposition $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ 'with' and an interrogative noun that could be glossed 'what manner?' $m\acute{o}t\^{e}$ itself is normally focalized, so the output is $m\acute{o}t\acute{e}$... $\acute{n}d-\grave{a}$ with invariant resumptive 3Sg object pronominal suffix $-\grave{a}$ (§8.1.1.4). $\acute{n}d-\grave{a}$ in this construction is usually cliticized to the verb. Focus morpheme $g\^{a}$ is optional.

- (423) a. $m o t e = y \uparrow f b o [w g o y = n d a]$ how?=1SgS XImpf [Infin work=with-3SgO] 'How will I work?'
 - b. $m\acute{o}t\acute{e}$ $g\acute{a}=\acute{\eta}$ ' $/ g\acute{u}$ ' $\acute{h}\acute{a}m-\acute{o}$ $d\acute{u}mb\acute{u}=\acute{n}d-\grave{a}$ $m\acute{o}t\acute{e}$ = $/ \acute{\eta}$ ' $/ g\acute{u}$ $\acute{h}\acute{a}m-\acute{o}$ " how? (Focus)=2SgS Impf meat-Fin/DefSg cut=with-3Sg 'How do you-Sg cut the meat?'

Without $\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H$, $\acute{m}o\acute{t}e$ is used in greeting expressions. The common forms are linked to times of day (424a-b), though there is a default form (424c).

```
(424)
         a. m \acute{o} t \acute{e} = \acute{\eta}
                                    ¹kání
             how?=2SgS
                                   go.to.sleep
              'Good morning!' (lit. "how did you-Sg sleep?")
         b. m ot e = \eta
                                          ¹hâ:y
             how?=2SgS
                                         spend.day
              'Good evening!' (lit. "how did you-Sg spend the day?")
         c. m \acute{o} t \acute{e} = 1 \acute{\eta}
                                          ⁴bárâ
             how?=2SgS
                                         X.be
              'How are you?'
```

 $m \delta t \hat{e}$ is also used instead of $m \hat{i} : \eta$ 'what?' (§8.2.2.2) with reference to naming, as in (425), where focus $g \hat{a}$ is often omitted. Since $t \hat{e}$: 'be' in "perfective" form is stative in copular sense (as opposed to inchoative 'become' sense), there is no shift to extraction-indexing imperfective in (425). $m \delta t \hat{e}$ can also be used as direct object of transitive $t \hat{e}$: 'do' when the emphasis is on the manner of acting rather than the product (426).

'What kind (of X)?' expressions are based on the noun ciley 'sort, type, the likes of', definite singular $cil-\delta+H$. Combined with $f\hat{o}$ 'which?' (§8.2.2.5) we get ciley $f\hat{o} \sim cil-\hat{u}$ $f\hat{o}$ 'what kind?'. A noun in apposition can be added, in nonfinal form (i.e. as a compound initial or modified noun): $t\hat{e}:n-\hat{u}$ ciley $f\hat{o}$ 'what kind of date(s) (fruit)?'. An alternative is $t\hat{e}:n-\hat{u}$ mode ' $cil-\hat{o}$ 'what kind of date(s)'.

8.2.3.2 'Why?' (*mí:n ¹sê*)

mi:n 'sê 'why?' is the dative of mi:n 'what?'. It occurs in the usual clause-initial position of WH-interrogatives, with or without the focus morpheme $g\hat{a}$ (427a-b). It may also precede, with or without its own $g\hat{a}$, a clause that already has a focalized constituent. In (427c), there are two occurrences of $g\hat{a}$, since there are two layers of focalization: an inner layer in which an argument is focalized, and an outer layer

involving the motive for the event. This is the only situation I know of where double focus is permitted.

- (427) a. [mi:n sej = fj = fj full dimbú] [what? Dat]=2SgS=Tr=3SgO cut 'Why did you-Sg cut it?'
 - b. $[mi:n \quad {}^{t}s\hat{e}] \quad g\acute{a} = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}$ ${}^{t}d\acute{u}mb\acute{u}$ [what? Dat] Focus=2SgS=Tr=3SgO cut 'Why [focus] did you-Sg cut it?'
 - c. $[mi:n \quad {}^{4}s\hat{e}] \quad ga$ $[what? \quad Dat] \quad Focus$ $[{}^{4}ham.o \quad ga = /n \quad {}^{4}/bo = [w \quad ba:g-a]]$ $[meat-Fin/DefSg \quad Focus=2SgS \quad XImpf=[Infin \quad want-3SgO]]$ $(Why [focus] \text{ is it } \underline{meat} [focus] \text{ that you-Sg like?})$

8.2.3.3 'When?' (mè fô) and 'whereabouts?' (mè hérê)

'When?' is $m \grave{e} f \hat{o}$, containing $f \hat{o}$ 'which?' (§8.2.2.5). $m \grave{e}$ does not occur independently as a noun, but recurs in temporal relative $m \grave{e} k \hat{a}$... '(at the time) when ...' (§8.3.6) and $m \grave{e} k \hat{u} l l g \hat{a}$... 'any time when ...'. An example of $m \grave{e} f \hat{o}$ is (428), which does not show focus morpheme $g \hat{a}$, although that morpheme can optionally be added after $m \grave{e} f \hat{o}$.

An alternative 'when?' expression is $w \grave{a} k k \acute{a} t \acute{t} f \^{o}$ '(at) what time?' (lit. "time which?"). When a day needs to be specified, $h \grave{a} n \not f \^{o}$ '(on) what day?' is used (cf. $h \acute{a} n$ 'day'). Modern clock times are elicited by $\acute{e}:r$ ' $f \^{o}$ 'at what hour' ($\acute{e}:r$ from French heure). If an approximate rather than exact time is needed, approximative $h \acute{e} r \^{e}$ can be added: $\acute{e}:r$ ' $f \^{o}$ $h \acute{e} r \^{e}$ 'around what time (hour)?'.

mè also occurs in mè hérê, a variant of mán hérê \sim máyⁿ hérê 'whereabouts?' (§8.2.2.3).

8.2.4 Fronted versus *in situ* WH-interrogatives

In-situ WH-interrogatives are rare. They can be used, however, when a speaker is incredulous about a statement just made by an interlocutor, or otherwise needs confirmation ('you did WHAT?'). The interlocutor's sentence is then repeated, with the questionable constituent replaced by a WH-interrogative.

Often the speaker suspects that the interlocutor has mis-spoken, so the interrogative is metalinguistic rather than referential in the usual sense. In this case syntactic focalization does not apply. A preverbal direct object or postverbal constituent therefore remains in its (non-clause-initial) position (429a). A subject NP does not trigger the usual markers of focalization: focus morpheme $g\acute{a}$ or the shift from imperfective and progressive to XImpf (429b).

- (429) a. à nò [ẁ /kâ [mè fô]]
 3SgS Progr [Infin come [when?]]
 'When (did you say) 3Sg is coming?'
 - b. méy †nó [w †kâ] who? Progr [Infin come] 'Who (did you say) is coming?'
- 8.2.5 Questions embedded under matrix verbs 'know', 'ask', etc.

When the embedded question is polar, if a factive complement (presupposing the truth of its proposition) is needed, it is phrased with $g\hat{a}$ 'that', which is elsewhere the relative and focus marker. This can occur with $b\acute{e}y$ 'know' (430).

If the complement is not factive in this sense, yélà 'whether' can be used (431a). Indeed, yélà can be used even when the factuality of the embedded proposition is not in dispute. For example, (431b) can be used in a discourse context like this: 'I arrived there in the morning and waited for him all day, but he didn't realize that I had come'. This sentence could also be used in a different discourse context where the speaker had not arrived, or where the factuality of the speaker's arrival is not relevant one way or the other. In other words, HS typically puts more emphasis on the state of mind of the matrix-clause subject than on the presupposed factuality of the embedded complement.

- (431) a. i sí: 'béy [yélà à' kà]

 1SgS ProgrNeg know [whether 3SgS come]

 'I didn't know whether (or not) he/she had come.'

When the embedded complement of 'know', 'ask', etc. is a WH-interrogative, the original interrogative may be replaced by an indefinite relative clause, using the embedding replacements shown in (432). The reduced L-toned forms of the head noun, e.g. $b \partial r \downarrow$, $h \partial z$, and $d \partial z \not \downarrow$, are also used before $k \partial z$ 'all', see (275) in §5.4.3.2. The alternative is to keep the original WH-interrogative in the embedded complement.

(432) Embedded equivalents of WH-interrogatives

WH	gloss	embedded	literal gloss
méy	'who?'	bòr↓ kâ	'person who'
mî:ŋ	'what?'	hà: kâ	'thing that'
mí:ŋ ⁴sê	'why?'	hà: ká sè	'thing for which'
mán	'where?'	dèy↓ kâ	'place where'
mè fô	'when?'	wákkátì gá gà:	'time in which'
"	"	mè kâ	'time when'
hán fô	'what day?'	hàŋ↓ kâ	'day when'

(433) shows the two alternative syntactic patterns. In (433a), the WH-interrogative has been replaced by the appropriate indefinite relative from (432) above. In (433b), the original WH-interrogative word is retained.

I gloss $k\hat{a}$ in (433a) as the relative morpheme, but $g\hat{a}$ in (433b) as the focus morpheme. In HS the two are arguably the same morpheme so one might debate whether the syntax is really different in the two cases. This issue can be decided if PPs are used. The two examples in (434) show complements as they appear when embedded after $i s\hat{u} b\acute{e}y$ 'I don't know' as in the previous examples.

(434) a. ... [[bòr ká 'sê] á
$$\uparrow$$
 = $\acute{\eta}$ = $\acute{\eta}$ 'hárú]
... [[person **Rel Dat**]] 3SgS=Tr=3SgO say]
'(I don't know) to whom he/she told it.'

b. ... [[méy sê] (gá) á
$$\uparrow$$
= $\acute{\eta}$ = $\acute{\eta}$ 'hár \acute{u}] ... [[who? **Dat**] (**Focus**) 3SgS=Tr=3SgO say [=(a)]

The position of the dative postposition clearly shows that (434a) is a relative construction and that (434b) is a PP focalisation.

In the cases of WH-interrogatives *mèrjè* 'how much?', [X fô] 'which X?', *mótê* ... ńd-à 'how?', and *ciléy* fô 'what kind?', the regular WH-interrogative form is used in the embedded clause. Examples with *mótê* are in (435).

(435) a.
$$\hat{i}$$
 $s\hat{u}$ $b\acute{e}y$ $[m\acute{o}t\acute{e}=\uparrow\acute{1}$ ${}^{i}g\acute{u}\uparrow=\acute{g}=\acute{g}$ ${}^{i}t\acute{e}:$ 1SgS ImpfNeg know [how=1SgS Impf=Tr=3SgO do $[k\grave{u}$ $d\acute{i}:$ $[?\acute{a}:m\grave{a}d\grave{u}$ $h\^{u}]]]$ [Infin see [Amadou house.3PossSg]]] 'I don't how to find Amadou's house.' (lit. "I don't know how [=what] I will do to ...")

b.
$$\grave{a}$$
 $s\grave{u}$ $b\acute{e}y$

3SgS ImpfNeg know

[móté \grave{a} $g\acute{u} / = \acute{\eta} = \hat{\eta}$ $h\acute{a}w = \acute{n}d - \grave{a}$]

[how? 3SgS Impf Tr-3SgO cut=with-3SgO]

'He/She doesn't know how he/she will tie it.'

8.2.6 'Whatchamacallit?' (hày-ô:)

A 'whatchamallit?' form is $h \grave{a} y - \hat{o}$: 'this thing'. It can also be used as a verb 'do whatchamacallit?', with singular-subject imperative $h \grave{a} y \hat{o} - \eta$.

8.3 Relativization

Relative clauses are characterised by relative morpheme $g\hat{a}$ (in a few high-frequency combinations, $k\hat{a}$). A plural $\#g\hat{a}$ - $p\hat{o}\eta$ + H does not seem to occur. The basic sequence is X $g\hat{a}$ [...] for most kinds of relatives, where X is the head NP. X is in independent form but may be definite or indefinite, if the noun in question has distinct forms for these functions. X may also end in a demonstrative or in a quantifier such as $k\hat{u}l$ 'all'. In the great majority of cases, X is coindexed with a NP with a specific syntactic function (e.g. subject, direct object, instrumental, postpositional complement) within the subordinated clause.

The coindexed NP inside the relative clause is deleted (subject, postpositional complement after the postposition is fronted, most spatiotemporal and manner

adverbials), or is represented by an **invariant 3Sg object** place-holding morpheme (VO or OV direct object, complement of un-frontable instrumental preposition $\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H$).

However, there are cases where the embedded clause is syntactically autonomous (e.g. when it is a biclausal conditional), and here the usual syntax of coindexation does not apply. I refer to these as **loose relative** clauses; see (452-3) in §8.3.8.

In the case of postpositional complements, the usual structure is X [$g\hat{a}$ POSTP] [...], with the postposition fronted and effectively cliticized to $g\hat{a}$. This is occasionally extended as X [$g\hat{a}$ POSTP] $g\hat{a}$ [...]. One could take the second $g\hat{a}$ in this fuller construction as the focus morpheme, which (like the relative morpheme) has the form $g\hat{a}$. If so, one might infer that the nonpostpositional relatives beginning X $g\hat{a}$ [...] have themselves been reduced (by a kind of haplology) from an underlying X $g\hat{a}$ $g\hat{a}$ [...] beginning with X, relative $g\hat{a}$, then focus $g\hat{a}$. However, the second consecutive $g\hat{a}$ never appears in the nonpostpositional relatives, and the second $g\hat{a}$ is not common even in the postpositional type, so I will not adopt this analysis here.

Instrumental preposition $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ remains in postverbal position when its complement is relativized on. Instrumental and direct-object relatives have an unusual syntactic pattern with a "resumptive" pronoun that takes invariant 3Sg object form instead of agreeing with X. This contrasts with KCh, which has no resumptive pronoun (i.e. has a phonologically null trace), and with KS, which has true resumptive pronouns that agree in intrinsic features with the head NP.

In HS, relativization and focalization (the two extraction processes) are more closely related than they are in other Songhay languages. Both use the morpheme $g\hat{a}$, and both require replacement of locational quasi-verb $H+g\hat{o}$: by $b\hat{a}r\hat{a}$ 'X.be', and of imperfective positive $g\hat{u}+H\sim\hat{w}+H$ by XImpf $b\hat{o}$. Nevertheless, there are syntactic differences between relativization and focalization that are overtly visible in PP's, since relativization applies only to the NP complement while focalization applies to the PP as a whole.

There are no headless relatives of the type 'what the dog ate' or '(the one) who came'. Instead, indefinite relatives of the type 'person Rel ...', 'thing Rel ...', 'place Rel ...', or 'time Rel ...' are used ($\S 8.3.6$, $\S 8.2.4$). In these combinations, the relative morpheme has an archaic allomorph $k\hat{a}$. However, $g\hat{a}$ can be used as a clause-initial morpheme in adverbial relatives ($\S 8.3.11$), and this could be construed as a relative with an omitted adverbial head noun.

In HS, restrictive relatives clauses whose propositional content has already been established ('there was a man who was working and another man who was resting; the man who was working ...') often use strong definite H + di (§5.7) as a right-edge marker, showing that the relative clause has come to an end. It is not syntactically obligatory and I omit it from many of the simple examples in subsections below, but it is rather common in texts.

8.3.1 Relativization of subject NPs

If the subject NP (X) is relativized on, the result is $[X \ g\hat{a} \ MAN \ VP]$. On the assumption that X is not inside the relative clause, we could argue whether $g\hat{a}$ has occupied the subject position, or whether $g\hat{a}$ is itself followed by a clause with a phonologically null trace \emptyset in subject position. The latter analysis seems reasonable, but there is no direct evidence one way or the other.

Examples of subject relatives are in (436). The syntax is partially parallel to that for focalization. However, the last two examples (436h-i) show that either the topical NP or the inner complement NP of identificational quasi-verb $n\hat{o}n$ can be relativized on. When the topical NP is relativized on (436h), one wonders whether this is an ordinary subject relativization, or a case where the embedded clause is syntactically autonomous (compare the relatives involving conditionals in §8.3.8).

- (436) a. wòy-ò gá bò [ẁ /hín-à] woman-Fin/DefSg Rel XImpf [Infin cook-UnspecO] 'the woman who cooks' (definite head noun, extraction-indexing)
 - b. húw-ó gá 'bâr nè: house-Fin/DefSg Rel X.be here 'the house that is here' (bárâ as locational quasi-verb) (phonetic [...bán:è:])
 - c. wòy-nòŋ gá sù dúrú
 woman-IndefPl Rel ImpfNeg pound
 'women who do not pound (grain, in mortars)' (indefinite plural head)
 - d. ?áy ¹gâ zígí [tónd-ó ∱bôŋ]

 1Sg Rel go up [stone-Fin/DefSg on]

 'I who climbed the mountain' (independent pronoun as head)
 - e. kò-t-íy-à: gá = ý 'hám-ó /ŋâ: child Rel=Tr meat-Fin/DefSg eat 'the child who ate the meat' (definite head, canonical transitive)
 - f. kó 'gâ màn /kâ
 child Rel PerfNeg come
 'a child who didn't come' (indefinite head, perfective negative)
 - g. [bòr-éy \ w-êy] gá sù \ \forall bínnà \ \forall n\ a: [person-DefPl Dem-Pl] Rel ImpfNeg pig eat 'those people who don't eat pig (=pork)' (demonstrative in head NP)
 - h. hàr gá [dòktór-ò nôŋ]
 man Rel [doctor-Fin/DefSg it.is]
 'a man who is a doctor' (topical NP of identificational nôŋ)

i. hà: ká 'nôŋ thing Rel it.is 'the thing that it is' (inner complement of identificational nôŋ)

8.3.2 Relativization of object NPs

Direct-object relativization, whether with canonical or VO transitives, is entirely parallel in syntax to direct-object focalization. A few examples are in (437). Note the invariant 3Sg object resumptive pronominal.

- (437) a. $h\acute{a}ns-\acute{e}y$ $g\^{a}$ $i/ = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}$ 'wì: dog-DefPl Rel 1SgS=Tr=3SgO kill 'the dogs that I killed'
 - b. ?áy 'gâ nòn díy-à 1Sg Rel 3PlS see-3SgO 'I whom they saw'
 - c. $f\grave{e}:g-\grave{o}$ $g\acute{a}$ $y\grave{o}$ $f\acute{b}\acute{o}$ $[k\acute{u}f = \hat{y}$ $k\acute{o}:s\acute{u}]$ sheep-Fin/DefSg Rel 1PIS XImpf [Infin=3SgO slaughter] 'the sheep-Sg that we are going to slaughter' (extraction-indexing)

8.3.3 Relativization of NP complements of postpositions

Here is where we can make a clear syntactic distinction between relativization and focalization. Relativization operates on NPs, including NP complements of postpositions. Focalization operates on complete PPs, not on NP complements within a PP ($\S 8.1.1.3$). Further examples of relativization follow. Focus morpheme $g\hat{a}$ can optionally follow the fronted PP (438a).

- (438)a. bòr-ò ⁴sê] [gá person-Fin/DefSg [Rel Dat] gá $f(\hat{j}) = \hat{\eta}$ nò:r-ò nó: 1SgS=Tr money-Fin/DefSg Focus give 'the person to whom I gave the money' (for non-focus, see §9.1.2)
 - b. húw-ó [gá gà:] nòŋ bú: house-Fin/DefSg [Rel in] 3PIS die 'the house in which they died'
 - c. kò-t-íy-à: [gá bòŋ] ì kâŋ child-Fin/DefSg [Rel on] 1SgS fall 'the child on whom I fell'

- d. tù:r-ò [gá bàndè] ì †bó [w kání] tree-Fin/DefSg [Rel behind] 1SgS XImpf [Infin lie.down] 'the tree behind which I will lie down (to sleep)'
- e. [hú ⁴híŋk-ó] ¹gám-ò [gá gà:] middle-3PossSg [house two-DefSg] [Rel in] 1°bó Γŵ kání] 1SgS lie.down] XImpf [Infin 'the two houses between which I will lie down (to sleep)'

With compound postpositions, as in (438e), there is no clear distinction between postpositional and possessor relativizations.

8.3.4 Relativization of NP complements of $\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H$

Instrumental preposition $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ 'with' is stranded in postverbal position, with a place-holding 3Sg object resumptive pronoun, when its complement NP is relativized on. Again, relativization and focalization here have the same output syntax. An example with true instrumental sense is (439). A rough functional equivalent can be constructed as a direct-object relative with $y\grave{a}:r\grave{i}$ 'take' as a serial verb (440).

- (439) g o b e y g a $f i f \uparrow = f g$ h a f n s o h a f n s -
- (440) g ob-e y g a f = f = g y a constant [w hans-o kar u] stick-DefPl Rel 1SgS=Tr=3SgO take [Infin dog-Fin/DefSg hit] 'the sticks that I took (=used) to hit the dog'

In comitative or conjoining function, we get examples like (441) and (442a-b). (441) can be interpreted as based on a simple comitative construction $nd\hat{u} + H X$, structurally parallel to instrumental constructions. Therefore (441) is parallel to instrumental (439) above, with cliticized postverbal $nd-\hat{u}$ remaining with the verb after the complement NP (X) is extracted.

(441) hà:r-ò gá ì tó: = ńd-à nè: man-Fin/DefSg Rel 1SgS arrive=with-3SgO here 'the man with whom I arrived here'

Examples (442a-b), on the other hand, are based on a conjunction type $Y [\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H X]$ 'Y and X', which can occupy any syntactic position in the larger sentence (subject, direct object, etc.). When X is relativized on, we get $Y \acute{n}d-\grave{a}$ in the original syntactic position, for example subject position in (442a) and direct object position in (442b).

```
gâ
(442)
        a. hà:r-ò
                                      [?áy = [\acute{n}d\grave{u}]
                                                      ?áŋgâ]]
                                                                             nè:
                                                                    tó:
            man-Fin/DefSg Rel
                                     [1Sg=[with
                                                      3FullSg]]
                                                                             here
                                                                    arrive
            [hán
                      †fóllòŋk-ò]
            [day
                     one-Fin/DefSg]
            'the man who arrived here on the same day as I did'
            (lit. "... who [I and he] arrived ...")
```

b. $h\grave{a}:r-\grave{o}$ gá gàngà-hàyá $\uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ ['láy = [ndù lángá]] 'márêy man-Fin/DefSg Rel lion=Tr [1Sg=with 3FullSg] wound 'the man whom the lion wounded together with me' (lit. "the man, whom the lion wounded [me and him,]")

The order of conjuncts in the type (442a-b) is based on the same (nonrigorous) hierarchical ordering rule (first, second, then third person) applying to nonrelativized conjunctions.

The semantic difference between comitative and conjoining functions of $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ can be slender in context. More explicit comitative expressions (without $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$) can be produced using either the verb verb $\emph{m\'arj\'i}$ 'assemble', hence 'do together' as serial verb, see (566f) in §9.7.2 and (598b) in §10.4.3, or a postposition like $\emph{b\`and\`e}$ 'behind' (='with'). These of course have their own relativized counterparts with different structures.

8.3.5 Relativization of possessor NPs

Relative $g\hat{a}$ may function superficially as a possessor, appearing directly before the possessum. However, the following noun is not specifically in 3Poss form, as is shown by examples with 'father' (3Poss $b\hat{a}:b-\hat{u}$ as in $k\hat{o}-t-\hat{y}-\hat{e}y$ $\uparrow b\hat{a}:b-\hat{u}$ 'the children's father', $b\hat{a}:b-\hat{o}+H$ 'his/her father), so $g\hat{a}$ does not behave as a normal preposed possessor.

(443) a. hál 'nî bòr-ò nôn, gá bà:b-ò bú: if 2Sg person-Fin/DefSg it.is, Rel father-3SgP die 'if you-Sg are someone whose father has died'

```
b. ..., gá bà:b-àŋ bú:
..., Rel father-2Sg die
'(if you-Sg are someone) who your father has died'
```

A relative based on a predication of possession is (444). Here the possessive postposition $\eta \acute{o}n\acute{e}$ (§5.9.3, §7.1.4) is required. Here it does appear that $g\^{a}$ functions as a possessor.

(444) bòr-ò [gá 'ŋónê] háns-ó
person-Fin/DefSg [Rel Poss] dog-Fin/DefSg
'the person to whom the dog belongs'

8.3.6 Adverbial relatives without postpositions ($d \hat{e} y \downarrow k \hat{a}$, $m \hat{e} k \hat{a}$, $h \hat{a} \eta \downarrow k \hat{a}$)

Indefinite relative expressions $b \delta r \not k \hat{a} \dots$ 'a/the person who ...', $h \delta i k \hat{a} \dots$ 'a/the thing that ...', $d \delta y \not k \hat{a} \dots$ 'a/the place where ...', $m \delta k \hat{a} \dots$ 'a/the time when ...', and $h \delta n \not k \hat{a} \dots$ 'a/the day when ...' were introduced in (432) in §8.2.5 in connection with embedded counterparts of WH-interrogatives. For these reduced and L-toned forms of the head nouns see also (275) in §5.4.3.2. These expressions are also used as NPs or adverbials in main clauses. Of interest syntactically is the fact that spatial $d \delta y \not k \delta i \dots \delta i$ and the temporals $d \delta i \delta i \delta i \delta i$ and $d \delta i \delta i \delta i \delta i \delta i \delta i$ occur without spatiotemporal postpositions, and in nonfinal form even when clearly definite.

The absence of a postposition is seen in the choice of e.g. $d \grave{e} y \not k \hat{a} \dots$, literally 'place Rel ...' (='a/the place that ...') instead of the more logically complete $d \grave{e} y k \acute{a} g \grave{a} \dots$ (='a place in which ...') with locative $g \grave{a} :$, or the even more complete $d \acute{u} w - \acute{o} g \acute{a} g \grave{a} :$ with the noun in definite form (='the place in which'). The stripped-down form is used not only when the relative clause functions as a NP argument ('the place where I saw him/her is far away'), where the postposition would be inappropriate, but also in the more usual function as an adverbial relative ('I sat [(in the place) where I had seen him/her). Examples are in (445).

- (445) a. ì † yékà [dèy↓ ká ì díy-à]
 1SgS return [place Rel 1SgS see-3SgO]
 'I went back to the place where I had seen it.'
 - b. $m\grave{e}$ $k\acute{a}$ \grave{i} $d\acute{i}$: $p\acute{o}\eta$, $p\grave{o}\eta$ $s\acute{i}$: $s\acute{e}ll\grave{e}$ time Rel 1SgS see 3PlO, 3PlS ProgrNeg be healthy 'When I saw them, they were sick.'

8.3.7 Multiple relative clauses (conjoined or recursive)

Consider the two examples in (446), where the first clause is held constant but two different phrasings of the second clause are possible.

(446)
$$w \grave{o} y - \grave{e} y$$
 $g \acute{a} = \acute{\eta}$ $t \grave{u} : r - \grave{o}$ $\uparrow f \acute{a} r \grave{e}$, woman-DefPl Rel=Tr wood-Fin/DefSg chop

a. ... $g \acute{a} = \acute{\eta}$ $d \grave{a} r b - \grave{e} y$ $n \acute{n} e \acute{v}$
Rel=Tr garment-DefPl wash

b. ... $g \acute{a}$ $n \acute{o} \eta \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ $d \grave{a} r b - \grave{e} y$ $n \acute{n} e \acute{v}$
then $3PIS=Tr$ garment-DefPl wash

'the women who chopped the wood and washed the clothes'

In the version ending in (446a), we have two parallel relative clauses, jointly associated with head NP 'the women'. In the version ending in (446b), only 'who chopped the wood' is an ordinary restrictive relative, while the following clause is better analysed as an adverbial clause with $g\hat{a}$ as a conjunction 'and then' (see §8.3.11). The formal difference is that in (446b) we get a 3Pl subject pronoun

coindexed to 'the women'. The semantic difference is that the (446a) version does not specify the chronological order of the chopping and washing, while the (446b) version does. Another example similar to (446a) is (447), which expresses an adversarial relationship between the two relative clauses.

(447) góndí-nòn [gá = w námà] [gá sù wíy-à] snake-Pl [Rel=Impf bite] [Rel ImpfNeg kill-UnspecO] 'snakes that bite but (that) do not kill'

Stacked relative clauses with different head NPs are of course possible (448).

(448)bòr-ò ⁴bâr ľhúw-ó gá person-Fin/DefSg Rel [house-Fin/DefSg X.be gà: gá bà:b-àŋ bú:] Rel in father-2Sg die] 'the person who is (living) in the house in which your-Sg father died'

8.3.8 Relativization out of complex syntactic structures

Relativization out of the infinitival VP following a serial verb like *hìmà* 'ought to' is possible (449).

(449)tónd-èy gá = ∱á ſŵ †hímà bò stone-DefPl Rel=3SgS XImpf [Infin ought $\lceil k \acute{u} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}$ ⁴nó: s-êy]] [Infin=Tr=3SgO Dat-1Sg]] give 'the rocks that he/she is supposed to give me'

If the infinitival VP is the second part of a transitive verb-verb compound (§6.3.2), direct-object relativization is out of the usual slot preceding the first verb (450).

(450) [cìr-ò
$$\uparrow$$
 lá: 'múd-èy] gá = \uparrow á 'gú \uparrow = $\hat{\eta}$ déy [salt-Fin/DefSg bar-DefPl] Rel=3SgS Impf=3SgO buy ['kú \uparrow = $\hat{\eta}$ né:rê] [Infin=3SgO sell] 'the salt bars that he buys and sells'

Relativization out of an embedded subjunctive clause is illustrated in (451).

(451) a.
$$hi:r-ey$$
 $g\acute{a}=\acute{y}\uparrow$ ' $\uparrow b\acute{o}$ [\dot{w} $\uparrow b\acute{a}$ bead-DefPl Rel=1SgS XImpf [Infin want [$\acute{y}=m=\grave{a}:$ $d\acute{e}y$ ' $s-e\mathring{y}$]] [2SgS=Subju=3SgO buy Dat-1Sg]] 'the beads that I want you to buy for me'

b.
$$g o b - o$$
 $g a = f a$ $n e$
stick-Fin/DefSg Rel=3SgS say
$$f a b a n s - o b a n e$$
[1SgS.Subju dog-Fin/DefSg hit=with-3SgO]
'the stick that 3Sg told me to hit the dog with'

With $g\hat{a}$ 'that' or $y\acute{e}l\grave{a}$ 'whether' as complement, we do not get the normal relativeclause type. Instead, we get a "loose" relative clause with $g\hat{a}$. The embedded clause has the appropriate pronoun for the coindexed NP, e.g. 3PIS $p\grave{o}g + H$ in (452).

Consider now the relatives based on conditionals in (453). In (453a), the head NP is coindexed to a NP in the antecedent ('if) clause. Here we get a standard relative clause, the plural head NP being represented by a 3Sg object resumptive pronoun in the antecedent clause. In (453b), the head NP is coindexed to a NP in the consequent clause. In this case, we get a "loose" relative, where the plural head NP is represented by a 3PIS pronoun in the consequent.

(453) a.
$$k \delta : s - \dot{e} y$$
 $g \hat{a}$ [hál $f \dot{e} : g - \acute{o} \uparrow = \acute{g} = \acute{g}$ $\eta \dot{a} : J$, leaf-DefPl Rel [if sheep-Fin/DefSg =Tr=3SgO eat], $[\dot{a}^{\dagger}$ $n \dot{a} m$ $b \acute{u} : J$ [3SgS Fut die] 'leaves that, if a sheep eats them, it will die'

b. sùb-èy *¹*∱kâ], gâ [hál cìnn-ò 1 máŋ grass-DefPl Rel [if rain-DefSg PerfNeg come], Γnò †nám yùrà] [3P1S Fut wither] 'grasses (=herbs) that, if the rain doesn't come, they will wither'

8.3.9 Relative clauses separated from the head NP (right dislocation)

When the head NP is preverbal (subject or direct object), an associated relative clause may be extraposed (right-dislocated) to the position following the main-clause verb (454).

(454)
$$i$$
 $s\dot{u}$ $[b\dot{o}r\downarrow k\acute{u}l]$ ${}^{4}b\acute{e}y$, 1SgS ImpfNeg [person all] know,

$$g\acute{a} = \grave{w}$$
 $h\acute{i}n$ $[k\grave{u}$ $n\acute{e}y$ $f\grave{a}:b\grave{a}]$ Rel=Impf be.able [Infin 1SgO help] 'I don't know anyone who can help me.'

The same pattern occurs commonly when the head NP is the complement of identificational quasi-verb $n\hat{o}\eta$. For an example see (443) in §8.3.5 above.

8.3.10 Postpositions and DF morphemes operating on the head NP

The combination of a head NP and an associated relative clause is syntactically just an NP, and it may have any syntactic function within the matrix clause. Among other things, it may be the complement of a postposition, such as dative $s\hat{e}$ (455a) or locative $g\hat{a}(:)$ (455b).

```
b. i dúk\acute{a} 1SgS speak.noisily [[h\grave{a}:r-\grave{o} [g\acute{a}=\acute{\eta} '?iz-\acute{o}: k\acute{a}r\acute{u}]] g\grave{a}(:)] [[man-Fin/DefSg [Rel=Tr child-3SgP hit]] on] 'I spoke harshly to the man who had struck his child.'
```

The embedded relative clause might happen to end in a postposition, in which case we get two consecutive postpositions, as in (456).

```
(456)
        i \uparrow = \eta
                          nò:r-ò
                                                   nó:
        1SgS=Tr
                          money-Fin/DefSg
                                                   give
                                   gà:rà
                                                      sê]]
        [[hà:r-ò
                            gá
                                             [ɲòŋ
                                                                ⁴sê1
        [[man-Fin/DefSg Rel
                                   bless
                                             [3P1
                                                      Dat]]
                                                               Dat]
        'I gave the money to the man who had blessed them.'
```

A construction of the type in (455-6) is preferred when there are no production difficulties. However, the same type of right disclocation of the relative clause observed in the preceding subsection can also apply to examples like (455), so the postposition could attach to the head noun. Corresponding to (455a) this would produce ... [kò-t-íy-à: sé] ${}^tg\acute{a}=\acute{\eta}$ ${}^tj\acute{n}-\grave{e}-p\grave{o}\eta$ ${}^tj\acute{e}r\grave{e}$.

8.3.11 Adverbial relatives with bare $g\hat{a}$ 'so ..., then ...'

gâ can also be used clause-initially. In this case, it is not interpreted as a standard headless relative, with a human or other specific referent understood ('one who comes here', 'what I think'). Instead, it functions as an adverbial conjunction. Typically the clause in question is understood as a sequential ('and then ...') or causal ('so ..., in such a way that ...') follow-up of a preceding clause. If we think of this as a relative clause, the missing material would have to be an abstraction like 'in a situation that ...' or 'in such a way that ...'. The NPs within the clause proper have their regular form (the range of pronominal categories, for example), showing no signs of extraction.

Examples are (387) in §7.2.5.1, (466) in §8.5.2.1, and (478) in §8.5.4.4. See also the discussion of (446a-b) in §8.3.7.

8.4 Topic constructions

A topical constituent provides either a spatiotemporal setting or a referential anchor for a clause. Spatiotemporals have no special topic marking, but referential topics (i.e. NPs) can be followed by a topic morpheme. Topical constituents are usually preposed to a clause and are therefore outside of the clause's boundaries. In this case, a referential topic may be coindexed to a pronominal inside the clause but there is no special syntax involved. We may speak loosely of topicalization, but it is not a clause-internal syntactic process in the sense that focalization is.

8.4.1 Topical NPs and clauses with *mínê* 'as for'

The basic 'as for X' construction takes the form X mínê with topic morpheme mínê, cf. KS binde and other Songhay cognates. X may be any type of NP, or an independent pronoun as in ?áy 'mínê 'as for me' and yérí 'mínê 'as for us'. The topical constituent is preposed to a clause, and if the referent reappears in the clause proper it must be marked by a pronoun (457).

As expected, referential topical NPs most often correspond to the subject of the clause they are associated with, but other grammatical functions are also possible. In the subject case, as in (457), a topical constituent may be pronounced in one breath with the following clause, there being no pause or other intonational break, and vocalic contractions may occur. The subject clitic, such as 1PIS $y \hat{o} + H$ in (457), is always audible. Contrast the situation with weak topic $k \hat{e} y + H$ (§8.4.3).

8.4.2 Syntactic distribution of independent pronouns

Independent forms of personal pronouns (§4.3.4), as opposed to pronominal clitics, are required in the syntactic positions listed in (458).

(458) Positions requiring full pronouns

- a. isolation form, including bare preposed topic
- b. complement of identificational quasi-verb *nôŋ* 'it is'
- c. focalized constituent
- d. before nonzero discourse-functional particle (topic, emphatic, 'also')
- e. before demonstrative $H + w\hat{o}$ (Pl $H + w \hat{e}y$)
- f. left conjunct of $nd\hat{u} + H$ 'and'
- g. head NP of relative clause

Pronominal clitics (§4.3.4), on the other hand, are used for bare pronominals in the functions subject, direct-object (pre- or postverbal), complement of postposition, complement of instrumental preposition $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$, and possessor.

This is sufficient to account for the distribution of independent 1st/2nd person pronouns. In the third person, 3FullSg $2\acute{a}ng\^{a}$ is obligatorily used instead of clitic 3Sg \grave{a} (and allomorphs), and 3FullPl $\grave{n}j\^{e}y$ is obligatorily used instead of clitic 3Pl non, in the positions listed in (458). However, these 3Full pronouns are also used in any "clitic" position to mark logophoricity (coindexation to quoted speaker or thinker), and in some clitic positions they can also be used to mark reflexivity (coindexation to a syntactically defined NP, usually clausemate subject).

Examples of 3FullSg $\grave{a}ng\acute{a}$ in the positions in (458) are: isolation form $?\acute{a}ng\^{a}$; identificational $?\acute{a}ng\acute{a}$ ' $n\^{o}n$ ' it is him/her'; focalized $?\acute{a}ng\acute{a}$ ' $g\^{a}$...; discourse-functional $?\acute{a}ng\grave{a}$ ' $m\^{o}$ 'he/she too'; demonstrative $?\acute{a}ng\acute{a}$ ' $w\^{o}$ 'that one'; left conjuct $?\acute{a}ng\acute{a} = n\acute{d}\grave{u} + H$ X 'he/she and X'; and relative $?\acute{a}ng\acute{a}$ ' $g\^{a}$...

8.4.3 Weak topic marker $k \dot{e} y + H \sim k \dot{a} y + H$

The weak topic (WTopic) marker is pronounced $k \grave{e} y + H$ or $k \grave{a} y + H$ depending on speaker; in the case of $k \grave{e} y + H$ I have not observed palatalization (to $\# c \grave{e} y + H$), so $k \grave{a} y + H$ may be the older variant. The weak topic morpheme is similar in function to $m \acute{n} n \acute{e}$ (§8.4.1), but it is less marked and is usually best left untranslated. It is especially common with personal pronouns. Examples in (459).

(459) a.
$$[ni key] = n$$
 $\hbar k\hat{a}$ [2Sg WTopic]=2SgS come 'You-Sg came.'

In the sequence [?áy $k \approx y$] i in 1Sg-subject examples like (459c), the 1SgS clitic i is usually elided (absorbed by the preceding y) and therefore inaudible. However, it has its usual tonal effect on the following constituent, in this case raising the tone of $k \approx k$ 'come'.

The floating H in $k \grave{e} y + H \sim k \grave{a} y + H$ is usually not heard. This is because such topic phrases are usually treated as preclausal and therefore as tonally separate from the following clause. This seems to be true even in (459a) and (459b), in spite of the segmental fusion of the topic phrase with the subject pronoun. However, the floating H is audible (on a following L-toned syllable) when the topic phrase is treated as a clause-internal NP, as in (460), where the imperfective morpheme is H-toned.

Another common combination is $m\grave{a}(r)$ -sáŋ $\hbar k\grave{a}y$ 'now', which competes with $m\grave{a}(r)$ -sán-dâ (and other less common variants).

8.5 Emphatics and similatives

8.5.1 Simple emphatics

8.5.1.1 Emphatic of precision (dâ)

As in other Songhay languages, a particle $d\hat{a}$ is used to emphasis the precise identity (including sameness in discourse context) of a spatiotemporal point or, less often, of a referent. Combinations with spatial deictics (§4.4.3) include $n\hat{e}$: $d\hat{a}$ (or $n\hat{e}$: \uparrow - $w\hat{o}$ $d\hat{a}$) 'right here', $h\hat{e}nd\hat{i}$ ' $d\hat{a}$ ' 'right (over) there', and $n\hat{o}n-d\hat{i}$ $d\hat{a}$ 'right there (discourse-definite)'. Combinations with common temporal deictics (§5.13.2) include $h\hat{o}^n\uparrow$ - $w\hat{o}$ $d\hat{a}$ '(right) today', $h\hat{a}r\hat{a}n$ $d\hat{a}$ '(right) this year', and $m\hat{a}$ - $s\hat{a}n$ - $d\hat{a}$ 'right now'. In the last example, the nonemphatic form $m\hat{a}$ - $s\hat{a}n$ - $d\hat{a}$ 'now' already contains a frozen instance of the emphatic particle. 'Right now' is more often expressed by another phrase, $m\hat{a}$ - $s\hat{a}n$ - $d\hat{a}$ s \hat{a} : $t\hat{i}y\hat{o}$ (§4.4.5). (461a) is a typical example of $d\hat{a}$ with an adverbial. (461b) shows the uncommon use of $d\hat{a}$ as clause-final particle emphasizing the correctness of the statement. The more usual clause-level emphatics are discussed below in §8.5.5.

(461) a. súbâ [né: \(\frac{1}{2}\)-wò dá] yò \(\frac{1}{2}\)bó [\(\hat{w}\) \(\frac{1}{2}\)cér \(\frac{1}{2}\)kúbèy] tomorrow [here Emph] 1PIS XImpf [Infin Recip encounter] 'Tomorrow, \(\frac{1}{2}\)right here [focus] we'll meet each other.'

b. a^{\dagger} ka da3SgS come Emph 'He/She has indeed come.'

8.5.1.2 Emphatic of confirmation or exclusion (já:tîŋ)

Similar in sense, and quite common in HS, is a particle $j\acute{a}:t\^{i}\eta$ (< Fulfulde, perhaps ultimately from Arabic). It can be used in the same contexts as $d\^{a}$ (precise identity), but also in contexts involving exclusion of other referents (corresponding to English emphatic -self reflexives). It occurs with all types of constituents, and may be clause-final with propositional scope ('indeed', confirming the correctness of an assertion or supposition). Examples are in (462).

- (462) a. [?ây já:tíŋ] nàm [góy-ó dì] té:
 [1Sg Emph] Fut [work-Fin/DefSg StDef] do
 'I will do the work myself (=unassisted).'
 - b. à kóy já:tíŋ
 3SgS go Emph
 ' did indeed go.'

8.5.1.3 Clause-final *ní* and related forms (*ní*:, -*ní*:)

This clause-final particle occurs occasionally in narrative texts as a highlighting device. To judge from the relatively few examples, it indicates the surprising aspect of an event (463). In (463a) it co-occurs with $d\hat{a}$.

- (463) a. dàngà ì † nán 'sí:-ńdù bòn dá 'ní like 1SgS Prfct not.be-with head Emph Emph 'Seemingly (=they thought that) I was without a head!'
 - b. à túká [w /zúr-ànd-à] ní
 3SgS persist [Infin run-Caus-UnspecO] Emph
 'She just kept racing (on horseback).'

ni is probably related to KCh $nin \sim ni^n$ 'merely, only'. The HS 'only' particle tan is borrowed from Fulfulde and may have displaced ni from this function.

It is unclear whether clause-final emphatic ni is the "same" morpheme as a phrase-final ni: that is used (infrequently) to highlight focalized constituents. In (464), it is

not clear whether the best gloss is emphatic or 'only'. The context is that only certain Songhay varieties (not including HS) have been selected for literacy programs.

(464)[gá:wó sénn-ò ní:] [tómbóktú ¹sénn-ò [Gao language-3PossSg Emph] [Timbuktu language-3PossSg Emph] gá ηòη ∱gú ⁴kóv $\int k \dot{u} \uparrow = \dot{\eta}$ ¹čów1 Focus 3P1S [Infin=3SgO Impf go read] 'It's precisely (=just) the Gao language (and/or) the Timbuktu language that they go to study.'

There is also an element -ni: that occurs as second element in three clause-initial elements: $h\grave{a}l-ni$:, $k\grave{a}$:-ni:, and $d\grave{o}w-ni$:. Of these, $h\grave{a}l-ni$: 'supposing that' is an occasional extention to $h\hat{a}l$ 'if' in conditional antecedents (§9.5.1). $h\hat{a}l$ is also used in the sense 'until, all the way to', but $h\grave{a}l-ni$: is specifically conditional. $k\grave{a}$:-ni: is likewise an occasional extension of clause-initial $k\hat{a}$: 'but'. $d\grave{o}w-ni$: is an uncommon form meaning something like 'in view of the fact that'. The first element is not otherwise attested. $h\hat{a}l$ and $k\hat{a}$: are HS forms of regionally widespread morphemes, and it may be that the variants with -ni: were borrowed as units. Compare Bambara ni 'if, when', Fulfulde $ni \sim ni$: 'thus'.

8.5.2 Exclusivity particles

8.5.2.1 'Only' (táŋ ~ táŋ)

The 'only' particle is $t\acute{a}\eta \sim t\acute{a}n$ (<Fulfulde). It can follow and have scope over NPs (including adverbials), PPs, or clauses. When it follows an instrumental phrase $\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H \ X$ 'with X' we cannot tell whether it has scope over $\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H \ X$ or just over the NP (X). Examples are in (465).

- (465) a. i dí: [†bá:b-èy táŋ]
 1SgS see [father-1Sg only]
 'I saw only my father.'
 - b. *i* dí: †bá:b-èy [ʔáŋgá 'táŋ]
 1SgS see father-1Sg [3FullSg only]
 'I saw my father alone (by himself).'
 - c. à † nò [ẁ †héyn-ò dúrú táŋ]
 3SgS Progr [Infin millet-Fin/DefSg pound only]
 'He/She just pounds millet.'
 (='The only thing he/she does is pound millet')

Example (465a), as bracketed, has the translation shown, but with another bracketing the same word-string could mean 'I only saw my father'. To insist on the restrictive bracketing a speaker could focalize 'only my father', shifting it to clause-initial

position: [bà:b-èy táŋ] gá ỳ díy-à. In (465b) the 'only' phrase ?áŋgá 'táŋ functions as a secondary predicate (small clause). In (465c) táŋ has clausal (or at least VP) scope.

(466) is an example of how $t\acute{a}\eta$ combines with a perfect clause and a following clause beginning with $g\^{a}$ (§8.3.11), indicating immediate sequencing. There is no intonation break between the two clauses.

(466) [î náŋ 'tó: táŋ] [gá ì kání]
[1SgS Prfct arrive only] [Rel 1SgS go.to.sleep]
'As soon as I had arrived (home), I went to sleep.'

For clause-final ni, which is probably related to 'only' particles in some other Songhay languages, see §8.5.1.3 above.

8.5.2.2 'Except' ($k \grave{a} l + H$, $h \acute{a} l$ màn $t \grave{u} + H$)

'Except' expressions are the simple particle $k\grave{a}l+H$ 'except' and the phrase $h\acute{a}l$ $m\grave{a}n$ $t\grave{u}+H$ 'if it is not' (cf. French *ne serait-ce que*), preceding the excepted constituent. (Most DF morphemes follow the associated constituent.) Such expressions require an adjoining proposition specifying a set from which certain members are excluded. Examples are in (467). In (467c), $k\grave{a}l+H$ has scope over an infinitival VP (for a similar example see the following section).

- (467) a. [ħjêy¹ kúl] dìrà, hál màn tù ?ây
 [3FullPl all] walk, if PerfNeg be 1Sg
 'They all went away, except me.'
 - b. $i\uparrow = \uparrow i$ ⁴hín [kù [hà: kúl] ŋà:], 1SgS=Impf be.able [Infin [thing all] eat], kàl hám-ò] [†bíɲɲà meat-3PossSg] except [pig 'I can eat anything, except pig meat (=pork).'
 - c. ì sí: hín [kù bá:y-à: té: s- $\hat{a}\eta$], [Infin anything 1SgS ProgrNeg be.able Dat-2Sg], do †sélèŋ kàl kù [kò-kòy-ò sê] except Infin speak [chief-Fin/DefSg 'I can't do anything for you-Sg, except speak to the chief.'

As in some other Songhay languages, 'except' expressions ($hál \ màn \ tù + H$ and $hal \ hal \$

- (468) \dot{n} sù hín $[k\dot{u}\uparrow = \dot{\eta} \quad {}^{t}g\dot{o}:s\hat{u}]$, kàl $\uparrow m\acute{a}r^{t}t\hat{o}:$ 2SgS ImpfNeg be able [Infin=3SgO bend], except hammer 'You-Sg can't bend it except with a hammer.'
- ¢cín⁴dî, (469)[bòr.] kúl] màn person all PerfNeg remain, hál màn tù $[?áy = [\acute{n}d\grave{u}]$ nî]] if PerfNeg be [1Sg=[and 2Sg] 'Nobody remained, except you-Sg and I.'

8.5.2.3 'Not except' = 'only, exclusively'

The combination of a negation with a following 'except' phrase is common in HS (as in KS). One recurrent pattern is to embed an identificational predicate with quasiverb $n \hat{o} \eta$ in the frame $m \hat{a} n t \hat{u} + H k \hat{a} l [X n \hat{o} \eta]$ (it) is not except (that) [it is X]'. (For $m \hat{a} n t \hat{u} + H$ as higher-level negation with clausal complement, see §9.3.2.) In effect $m \hat{a} n t \hat{u} k \hat{a} l + H$ contains a double negation, and there is no truth-conditional difference vis-à-vis the simple positive predication $X n \hat{o} \eta$. The fuller expression, by explicitly excluding alternative identifications ('it is Y', 'it is Z', etc.) and/or a negative counterpart ('it is not X'), can be described functionally as a strong focalizing device (overt focalization is not permitted with $n \hat{o} \eta$). An example is (470).

(470) màn tù kàl [tá:s-à: nôŋ]
PerfNeg be except [bowl-Fin/DefSg it.is]
'It is (none other than) a bowl.'

Similar combinations of negated clause with a following (positive) exception phrase beginning in $k\grave{a}l+H$ are common, especially in narrative. They can often be translated with monoclausal English sentences containing 'only' ('just', 'merely'). Consider (471).

- - b. [bòr kúl] màn kóy [kàl nâ:-y]
 [person all] PerfNeg go [except mother-1SgP]
 'Nobody went, except my mother.' (='Only my mother went.')
 - c. yò 1 mâŋ bá:y-à: té:, 1PIS PerfNeg anything do, kàl $i \uparrow = \eta$ dàrb-ò tá: táη 1SgS=Tronly garment-Fin/DefSg 'We have done nothing, except that I sewed up the shirt.'

If the 'except X' phrase has a VP in X position, it takes infinitival form (471a). Other possibilities for X include NP (471b) and entire clause (471c). In the latter case, $k \grave{a}l + H$ is augmented by adding $t \acute{a} \acute{n}$ 'only'. In (471b), the 'except X' phrase may not be attached directly to the associated NP within the clause (# $[b \grave{o}r \not k \acute{u}l \ k \grave{a}l \ n \acute{a}:-y] m \grave{a} \acute{n} \acute{n} \acute{n}$ was rejected). It is therefore reasonable to think of (471b) as a slightly truncated version of a biclausal construction with the verb (underlyingly) repeated: 'Nobody went, except (that) my mother (went)'.

8.5.3 'Also' (*mô*)

The 'only, too' particle is $m\hat{o}$, following the constituent it has scope over, which may be a NP, an adverbial, or a clause. With a PP, $m\hat{o}$ follows and takes scope over the NP complement rather than the PP as a whole. In an instrumental construction, the string $\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H \times m\hat{o}$ has ambiguous bracketing and I cannot determine whether $m\hat{o}$ has scope over $\hat{n}d\hat{u} + H \times m\hat{o}$ instrumental construction. Some examples are in (472).

- (472) a. [háns-è mó] 'bú: [dog-1SgP too] die 'My dog too (i.e. not just my cat) died.'

 - d. à' nàm kâŋ [[ní 'mô] bòŋ]
 3SgS Fut fall [[2Sg too] on]
 'It will fall on you-Sg too (i.e. not just on me).'
 - e. à yé:rí mô
 3SgS vomit too
 '3Sg vomited too (i.e. didn't just spit)'

In these examples, the X $m\hat{o}$ constituent is fully integrated into its clause—as subject (472), direct object (472b-c), or complement of postposition (472d)—, or else it has clausal (propositional) scope. (472b) shows that when $m\hat{o}$ follows a conjoined NP, it takes scope over the entire conjoined phrase and not specifically the right conjunct. A translation with narrower scope 'also' such as 'I hit the dog and also the cat' comes

out in HS as a biclausal construction (472c). In other words, in HS *mô* presupposes contrastive material in the preceding discourse, not earlier in the same clause.

Unlike the examples in (472), those in (473) show a X $m\hat{o}$ constituent added as an appendage rather than integrated into a basic argument position. In (473a), $?\acute{a}y$ $^4m\hat{o}$ is a preposed topical constituent, the remaining $n\grave{e}y$ $n\hat{o}:-\eta$ being a well-formed imperative 'give (one) to me!'. In (473b), $n\acute{i}$ $^4m\hat{o}$ is likewise a postposed (afterthought) topical constituent, the 2Sg pronominal already being expressed by dative $s-\hat{a}\eta$ 'to you-Sg'.

The 'again' particle, with clausal scope, is kátin or kásin (see §9.5.6.1 and §9.3.5).

8.5.4 Similatives

8.5.4.1 'Like X' (*dàŋgà X*)

The only adposition-like element meaning 'like X, similar to X' is the uninflectable particle danga. It can occur as a straightforward similative preposition, followed by a NP or independent pronoun (474). However, danga often implies that he similarity is superficial, a matter of appearances only (475). Indeed, it can be used clause-initially as a kind of conjunction, glossable roughly as 'in the belief that ...' (§9.5.8.3).

(474)
$$i \uparrow = \uparrow i$$
 'góy [dàngà ?ángâ]
1SgS=Impf work [like 3Sg]
'I work like him/her.'

8.5.4.2 'X resemble Y' ($hìmá \uparrow - ndu + H$)

Predications of similarity are made with verb $him\grave{a}$ 'seem', specifically its derivative $him\acute{a}$ '- $fid\grave{u}$ + H' 'resemble', which behaves like a VO transitive (476).

8.5.4.3 'The likes of X' $(X cil-\grave{o})$

Another relevant stem is the possessed noun $c\hat{\imath}l$ - \hat{o} 'the likes of, the equal of'. It can be preceded by an NP, a third person possessive clitic (477a), or an independent 1st/2nd person pronoun like 1Sg $2\hat{a}y$ or 2Sg $n\hat{\imath}$ (477b).

8.5.4.4 'The (same) way that ...' (*mótê*)

When a comparison revolves around event types, a relative clause based on $m \delta t \hat{e}$ 'how' can be used (478). At the beginning of the second clause is $g \hat{a}$ (§8.3.11)

(478)
$$m\acute{o}t\acute{e}$$
 ${}^{\prime}g\acute{a}= {}^{\prime}\acute{a}$ $b\grave{o}$ $[\grave{w}$ $g\acute{o}y=\acute{n}d-\grave{a}],$ how Rel=3SgS XImpf [Infin work=with-3SgO], $g\acute{a}={}^{\prime}\acute{1}$ ${}^{\prime}{}^{\prime}b\acute{o}$ $[\grave{w}$ $g\acute{o}y=\acute{n}d-\grave{a}]$ Rel=1SgS XImpf [Infin work=with-3SgO] 'The (same) way that he/she works, I will work that way.' (='I will work just like he/she works.)

8.5.5 Clause-level emphatics

These particles give various emphatic nuances to statements and imperatives. Unlike true intensifiers (§9.2), they are not pronounced with exaggerated high pitch and forcefulness, and those that begin with a H-tone can be downstepped.

8.5.5.1 Clause- or phrase-final *dèy* (admonition)

Clause-final particle *dèy* with L-tone adds a mild intensifying note to an admonition, or to a statement contradicting something the interlocutor has said. The prohibitive (479a) might be uttered by a parent to a child, the 'now' of the free translation being the understressed, low-pitched, nontemporal 'now' used in similar admonitions.

(479)
$$\emptyset = \acute{\eta}$$
 $s\grave{u}$ $\uparrow z\acute{u}r\grave{u}$ $d\grave{e}y$ 2SgS-Subju ImpfNeg run Emph 'Don't you run now!'

Some speakers regularly add *dèy* to *sàbù* 'because', hence *sàbù dèy* glossable 'because' as a unit (§9.5.7). Likewise, some speakers add *dèy* to the quotative particle *kèy*, as in *à né cèy dèy* ... 'he/she said that ...'.

8.5.5.2 Clause-final emphatic mê or sà

Imperatives like $g \circ r \circ -\eta$ 'sit down-Sg!' can be intensified by adding a clause-final particle $m \circ r \circ a$, hence $g \circ r \circ -\eta$ ' $m \circ r \circ a$ ' sit down-Sg, dammit!' (for plural addressee $g \circ r \circ r \circ a$). The form $g \circ r \circ a$ is apparently a recent borrowing from Bambara and other regional languages (cf. French $g \circ a$). Certainly $g \circ a$ is in common use among all age groups. It is rarely used from one adult to another, but is quite common in adult-to-child imperatives. Typically it is used when a command has to be repeated (with increased insistence) when the child has not obeyed the first command.

8.5.5.3 Clause-final emphatic kòy

The most common clause-final emphatic particle after assertions is $k \delta y$, though some speakers prefer to use $l \delta y$ in a similar function. $k \delta y$ is the HS version of a regionally widespread particle, also in Fulfulde and some Dogon languages. It should not be confused with the verb $k \delta y$ 'go' or with the noun $k \delta y$ (final/definite singular $k \delta y - \delta + H$) 'owner'. The clause-final particle is much more frequent in animated conversation than, say, in narrative monologue. It is often best left untranslated. An example is (480).

8.5.5.4 Clause-final emphatic *láy*

This particle is close to $k \delta y$ in discourse function. Different speakers prefer one or the other.

b. i /máŋ '/ŋâ: láy
1SgS PerfNeg eat at.all
'I didn't eat at all.' (='I didn't get so much as a bite to eat.')

8.5.5.5 Clause-final emphatic *yá*

This clause-final particle can be used to insist on the truth of a proposition that an interlocutor has challenged or expressed doubt about, or might do so. In some textual passages it seems to have a more general emphatic value similar to $k \grave{o} y$. Even more than other emphatic particles, this one deserves careful study based on numerous textual examples, but that is beyond the scope of this grammar.

8.5.6 Strong emphatics and oaths

Oaths consist of *wàllá:hì!* 'by God' (<Arabic) or a variant followed by an ordinary assertion, with no further intervening particle (compare KS particle *bara*).

8.5.7 'Even' (*bâ*:)

The 'even' particle is $b\hat{a}$:, preceding the constituent or clause that it has scope over. The constituent is usually a NP (including adverbials and independent pronouns), as in (482a-b). Occasionally it is a VP, in which case $b\hat{a}$: itself becomes a serial verb, followed by an infinitival VP (482c-d). $b\hat{a}$: can also follow a serial verb such as $b\hat{a}$ 'want', before the infinitival VP complement (482e).

- (482) a. [bá: bà:b-àŋ] /máŋ '/kâ [even father-2Sg] PerfNeg come 'Not even your father came.'
 - b. $y \circ \uparrow = \uparrow w$ 'hín kóy [bá: 'súbâ] 1PIS=Impf be.able go [even tomorrow] 'Even tomorrow (i.e. at any time) we could go.' [reduced from hín kù kóy with infinitival $k \dot{u} + H$]
 - c. à' màn bá: [kù /fô:]
 3SgS PerfNeg even [Infin greet]
 '3Sg didn't even say hello.'
 - d. à bá: [kù dô:ŋ]
 3SgS even [Infin sing]
 '3Sg even sang.'

With instrumental preposition $nd\hat{u} + H$, usually the complement NP is focalized, leaving the preposition stranded postverbally (483a). In elicitation, an informant hesitatingly allowed $b\hat{a}$: to precede the unreduced prepositional phrase in the postverbal complex (483b), but the grammaticality of this seems doubtful.

- (483) a. $b\acute{a}$: ${}^{4}s\acute{e}mb-\acute{o}$ $g\acute{a}={}^{4}\acute{\eta}$ $s\grave{u}$ $h\acute{n}$ even knife-Fin/DefSg Focus=2SgS ImpfNeg be.able $[k\acute{u}=\acute{\eta}$ ${}^{4}d\acute{u}mb\acute{u}=\acute{n}d-\grave{a}]$ [Infin=3SgO cut=with-3SgO 'Even with a knife you-Sg can't cut it.'
 - b. \dot{y} $s\dot{u}$ $h\acute{n}$ $[k\acute{u}=\acute{\eta}$ 'dúmbú] 2SgS ImpfNeg be.able [Infin=3SgO cut $[b\acute{a}:=[\acute{n}d\grave{u}$ $s\acute{e}mb-\acute{o}]]$ [even=[with knife-Fin/DefSg]] 'You-Sg can't cut it even with a knife.' (marginally grammatical)

'Even if ...' is expressed with clause-initial bâ:, the usual hâl 'if' being omitted (484).

(484)
$$b\acute{a}:=\acute{\eta}/\uparrow=\acute{\eta}$$
 nèy bànà, ì $s\acute{u}/\uparrow=\acute{\eta}=\acute{\eta}$ 'té: even=2SgS=Tr 1SgO pay, 1SgS ImpfNeg=Tr=3SgO do 'Even if you-Sg paid me, I wouldn't do it.'

8.6 Cooccurrence of discourse-functional categories

8.6.1 Topic plus another DF morpheme on the same constituent

It was possible to elicit a pronoun with both topicalizing $min\hat{e}$ (or weak topic $k\hat{e}y + H$) and emphatic $d\hat{a}$. These combinations may also be focalized (485a-b).

- (485) a. [?áŋgá ¹mínê bò ſŵ hín dá] [3FullSg Topic Emph] XImpf [Infin be.able fà:bà]] [kù 1 náŋ [Infin 2SgO help]] 'It is 3Sg him-/herself [focus] who can help you-Sg.'
 - b. [?áy kèy dá] 'gâ mòngò [ẁ †dú kà:tíb-èy] [1Sg WTopic Emph] Focus fail [Infin get riyal-DefPl] 'It is I [focus] who was unable to get money.'

8.6.2 Emphatic plus focus

Constituents with emphatic $d\hat{a}$ are often focalized; see (485-6) in the preceding section, also (461) in §8.5.1.1. Similar examples were elicited with emphatic $j\hat{a}:t\hat{n}\eta$, but also (less obviously) with $t\hat{a}\eta$ 'only' and $m\hat{o}$ 'also'.

- (486) a. [?áŋgâ táŋ] †bó [ŵ †górò]
 [3FullSg only] XImpf [Infin sit]

 '3Sg alone [focus] is sitting.'
 - b. [háns-è mó] 'gâ kà [dog-1Sg also] Focus come 'My dog too [focus] came.'

8.6.3 Topic plus focus

Combinations of topical NP with topic $min\hat{e}$ or weak topic $k\hat{e}y + H$ were elicitable; see examples in §8.6.1. However, these combinations are not attested in texts. Normally a topicalized constituent is preposed to the clause, a coindexed pronoun appearing within the clause itself.

8.6.4 Multiple topics

Since topical NPs are generally presentential, there is no real combinatory syntax affecting them. Multiple topical NPs are uncommon but not excluded. The most typical type would be a spatiotemporal adverbial specifying a setting, and a second topical NP (perhaps with $m\acute{m}\acute{e}$) establishing a key discourse referent (487).

(487) bì: [?áy 'mínê] ì †cín'dí nè: yesterday [1Sg Topic] 1SgS remain here 'Yesterday, as for me, I stayed here.'

8.6.5 Relativization and focus

It is not usual for the relativized constituent to be focalized within the relative clause proper. When it is focalized, the relevant independent (e.g. 3FullSg) pronoun is added after the relative morpheme. This is therefore a case of "loose" relative clause. An example is (488), which also illustrates strong definite $H + d\hat{i}$ as right-edge marker at the end of a relative (§9.5.10) and presentative $n\hat{e}$: 'here is' (§7.2.3.3).

(488) [kò-t-íy-à: [gá 'ʔáŋgâ gá ì díy-à [child-Dimin-Fin/DefSg [Rel 3FullSg Focus 1SgS see-3SgO

8.6.6 Relativization and topicality

A topical NP may have an attached relative clause, usually nonrestrictive in sense (building up background information for the following discourse), as in (489).

(489)
$$ni$$
 $min\hat{e}$, ga koy $farans\hat{i}$, $2Sg$ Topic, Rel go France, $mi:\eta=\hbar \hat{y}$ $\hbar \hat{b}\hat{o}$ $[ku]=\hat{y}$ $mi:l\hat{o}]$ what?=2SgS XImpf $[Infin=3SgO think]$ 'You-Sg, who have gone to France, what do you think?'

One can also put *mínê* at the end of the relative clause (*ní 'gâ kóy fáránsí 'mínê*). There is little change in meaning, but in this case the relative clause is best interpreted as restrictive.

9 Sentence-level syntax and semantics

9.1 Object NPs

9.1.1 Classification and ordering of nonsubject NPs and PPs

The categories of nonsubject NPs are those in (490).

(490) Nonsubject NPs

- a. preverbal direct object of ordinary (canonical) transitive
- b. postverbal direct object of VO verb ('see', 'get', etc.)
- c. unmarked postverbal NP (§6.1.8)
- d. complement of dative postposition $s\hat{e}$ (§5.9.2)
- e. complement of spatial postposition (gà(:), bòŋ, etc.) (§5.9.4ff.)
- f. complement of preposition, chiefly instrumental $\dot{n}d\dot{u} + H$ 'with' (§5.11.1)
- g. nonadpositional adverbial ('here', 'today', etc.)

All but (490a) appear in postverbal position. (490b) differs from (490c) in that only (490b) can be represented by a 3Sg object suffix $-\grave{a}$ on the verb, or by 3Pl $p\acute{o}p$ following the verb. (490d) and (490e) are closely related postpositional complements, but datives (490d) occur in 'give' and 'show' clauses that also have variant constructions where the recipient is shifted to preverbal direct-object position (§9.1.2). (490f), prepositional complement, is not always easy to distinguish from (490b), since the sequence $VERB \, \acute{n}d\grave{u} + H \, NP$ has variable bracketing, one possibility being $VERB - \acute{n}d\grave{u} + H \, NP$ including a suffixally derived VO verb. (490g) is not easily distinguished from (490c), the latter being a miscellaneous set of cases where a non-direct-object argument lacks an overt adposition, though syntactic processes like focalization may force this argument to take a "real" syntactic function.

When there are two or more postverbal NPs (including adverbials), ordering is not fixed by syntactic function except in the case of pronominals. If a VO object is pronominal, it immediately follows the verb in all cases (as suffix -à for 3Sg, as a clitic in the other categories). Even when this combination co-occurs with a pronominal postposition such as a dative, the PP must follow the VO object pronominal (491).

(491)
$$\hat{a}^{\dagger}$$
 $d\hat{u}$ $n\hat{l}$'s- $\hat{e}\hat{y}$ 3SgS get 2Sg) Dat-1Sg 'He/She got you-Sg for me.'

However, a pronominal dative PP preferentially precedes a full-NP object of a VO verb (492).

With spatiotemporal postpositions, there is only a tendency for a pronominal PP to precede a full-NP object. (493a) shows a pronominal PP following a full-NP object, while (493b) has the opposite order. In both sentences the order could be reversed.

b.
$$i \uparrow = \uparrow i$$
 'hó:lê [à gà:] cèr-êy
1SgS=Impf trust [3Sg in] friend-1SgP
'I trust my friend in that (matter).'

Adverbials (simple nouns or nonpronominal PPs) generally follow other NPs that relate more directly to the argument structure of the verb, as with *bì*: 'yesterday' in (493a) above. However, there is considerable fluidity in ordering, and in that example *bì*: could also occur directly after the verb, or between the direct object 'tea gear' and the PP 'on it'.

9.1.2 Double-object constructions

With ditransitive *nó*: 'give' and 'show', two constructions are possible. In (494a-b), the theme (the object shown or transferred) functions as preverbal direct object, and the recipient is expressed as a postverbal dative PP.

(494) a.
$$i / = i / n \circ r - o n \circ (f / k \circ - t - i / r - i /$$

b.
$$i / = \hat{y}$$
 $n \hat{o}: r - \hat{o}$ $f \cdot c \hat{o} w \hat{v} \cdot [k \hat{o} - t - i \hat{y} - \hat{a}: s \hat{e}]$

1SgS=Tr money-Fin/DefSg show [child-Dimin-Fin/DefSg Dat]

'I showed the money to the child.'

In (495a-d), it is the recipient that appears as preverbal direct object, while the theme turns up as an unmarked postverbal NP. (495c) shows that the postverbal theme may be omitted (if obvious).

(495) a.
$$i / = j$$
 $k \hat{o}$ - t - $i y$ - \hat{a} : $n \hat{o}$:

- b. $i \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ $k\grave{o}$ -t- $i\acute{y}$ - \grave{a} : $\uparrow c\acute{o}wr\grave{u}$ $n\grave{o}$:r- \grave{o} 1SgS=Tr child-Dimin-Fin/DefSg show money-Fin/DefSg
 [=(494b)]
- c. $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{y}$ $n \grave{e} y$ $n \acute{o} :$ 3SgS=Tr 1SgO give 'He/She gave (some) to me.'
- d. $\acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{y}$ $\acute{n}\grave{e}y$ $\acute{c}\grave{o}wr\grave{u}$ $\acute{n}\grave{o}:r-\grave{o}$ 3SgS=Tr 1SgO show money-Fin/DefSg 'He/She showed me the money.'

Extraction (focalization, relativization) can apply to the recipient out of either construction; relative examples are (496a-b).

- (496) a. $b \dot{o} r \dot{o}$ [$g \dot{a}$ ' $s \dot{e}$] if $= \dot{\eta}$ $n \dot{o} : r \dot{o}$ $n \dot{o} : r \dot{o}$ person-Fin/DefSg [Rel Dat] 1SgS=Tr money-Fin/DefSg give 'the person to whom I gave the money' (cf. §8.3.3)
 - b. $b \circ r \delta$ $g \circ a$ $f \circ f = f \circ f$ $f \circ f \circ f$ $f \circ f \circ f$ $f \circ f \circ f$ $f \circ f \circ f \circ f$ $f \circ f \circ f$ f

The theme NP can be extracted out of preverbal object position, but usually not out of postverbal position, so (497a) but not (497b) is grammatical.

- (497) a. $n \delta$: $r \delta$ $g \acute{a}$ ${}^{\prime} \acute{1} / = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}$ $n \acute{o}$:

 money-Fin/DefSg Rel 1SgS=Tr =3SgO give $[/ k \acute{o} {}^{\prime} t \acute{i} y \grave{a} : s \acute{e}]$ [child-Dimin-Fin/DefSg Dat]

 'the money that I gave to the child'
 - b. #nò:r-ò gá 'í/ = ý kò-t-íy-à: nó: #money-Fin/DefSg Rel 1SgS=Tr child-Dimin-Fin/DefSg give (ungrammatical)

9.1.3 Biclausal instrumental constructions

HS can use a 'take' verb, often $y\grave{a}:r\grave{i}$, as a kind of serial verb with following infinitival VP, as an alternative to the usual monoclausal instrumental construction with $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$. An example is (498).

```
(498) i / = f  d \ge s - \delta  f y \le r i  [w / t \le r - \delta ] f \le r i  [v / t \le r - \delta ] f \le r i  [v / t \le r - \delta ] f \le r i  [v / t \le r - \delta ] f \le r i  [v / t \le r - \delta ] f \le r i  [v / t \le r - \delta ] f \le r i  [v / t \le r - \delta ] f \le r i  [v / t \le r i ] [v / t
```

9.1.4 Double-dative constructions

Double datives are possible within a clause if there is sufficient differentiation in sense. In (499), the first dative is an argument of the verb 'bless', the second is a benefactive outside of the core argument structure.

```
(499) à nàm dúwé [à sé] s-êy
3SgS Fut bless [Dat 3Sg] Dat-1Sg
'He will bless her for me.'
```

9.1.5 Restarting clauses with heavy preverbal objects

If a preverbal direct object is heavy, for example by ending in a relative clause, we get an example like (500).

```
(500) i / = \acute{\eta} [kò-tí 'híŋk-ó 
1SgS=Tr [child two-DefSg 
[gá = [/ hí 'jéy bà:b-ù] wów]] 
[Rel=[3FullPlP father-3PossSg] insult]] 
'kárú 
hit
```

'I struck the two children who had insulted their father.'

Sentences like this, where the subject and verb are widely separated, cause problems in production and processing. To avoid this without stylistic awkwardness, one can prepose (topicalize) the direct object, then follow it with a compact clause ('the two children who had insulted their father, I struck them'). Alternatively, one can begin the sentence as in (500), but stop at the end of the heavy direct-object NP. The compact clause ('I struck them') can then be restarted with a third person pronoun (501).

Such "broken" and restarted sentences are frowned on by informants in elicitation, but do occur in actual speech.

9.2 Intensifiers

Intensifiers are interjection-like particles, often in *CvC* form, that are associated with a particular adjectival sense, or less often with an action. Many intensifiers are therefore strongly associated with a particular lexical stem (or word-family, consisting for example of a verb of adjectival quality, its associated adjective, and further derivatives).

HS makes extensive use of such intensifiers in conversation, perhaps more than any other Songhay language. (502) presents the intensifiers known to me. The associated verb is also shown, but the intensifier can be used with any other forms (e.g. adjectives, nouns) of the same word families. I add an exclamation mark! to emphatize the interjection-like articulation of intensifiers.

(502) Intensifiers

gloss	verb	intensifier
a. colors		
'be black'	bí-bî	tík!
'be white'	kà:rèy	wák!, táy!
'be red'	cìrèy	cóy!
'be yellow'	?ó:ldê	búy!
b. full/empty		
'be full'	tó:	pét!
'be empty, drained'	kò:s-à	kárás!
c. life/death		
'die'	bú:	túk!
'be alive'	húná	náy!
d. taste/smell		
'be sweet'	kâ:n	nám!
'be tasteless'	tárú	pásák! ~ páták! (see 'be wet')
'be bitter'	mórú	tóróm!
'be spicy'	hóttó	rók!
'be rotten'	fúmbú	dús!
e. moisture		
'be dry'	kó:gú	káráw!
'be wet'	tá:y	páták! (see 'be tasteless')

```
f. temperature
   'be hot'
                         kórón
                                          táw!
   'be cold'
                                          yérém!, sábáy! (see 'peace')
                         yéy
g. texture
   'be hard'
                         iítí
                                          gúk!
   'be soft'
                                          délék!, búrúk!
                         bà:n
h. other adjectival senses
   'be new'
                         táw
                                          mánzám!
   'be ugly'
                         mé:rí
                                          kútúbá!
   'be radiant'
                                          néw!
                         nárá
i. actions and states
   'stop, halt'
                         gâ:y
                                          cót!
   'fall'
                                          búp!
                         kâη
   'be quiet'
                         dánjéy
                                          sút!
   'know'
                                          fár!
                         béy
j. in greetings
                                          sábáy! (see 'be cold'
   'peace, well-being' bà:n-ì
```

Verbs of adjectival quality and other verbs (or nouns) of adjective-like meaning that do not have an attested intensifier are these: $b\acute{e}:r\acute{i}$ 'be big', $b\grave{o}r\grave{i}$ 'be pretty', $d\grave{u}cc\grave{i}y\grave{a}$ 'be short', $d\grave{u}ng\grave{u}$ 'be lukewarm', $f\grave{a}:b\grave{u}$ 'be skinny, $h\^{a}y$ 'be spacious, wide', $k\grave{a}t\grave{i}y\grave{a}$ 'be small', $k\grave{o}:n$ and variants 'be bare, empty', $m\^{a}n$ 'be near', $m\grave{o}l$ 'be hard to grip', $m\acute{o}:r\^{u}$ 'be far away', $n\acute{a}:s\acute{u}$ 'be plump', $n\acute{i}n$ 'be cooked, ripe', $t\grave{e}f\grave{e}y$ 'be flat', $y\acute{u}lt\acute{u}$ 'be smooth', $z\acute{e}:n$ 'be old', and the color adjectives (really nouns in form) $b\acute{a}k\^{a}$ 'blue', $s\grave{u}b-\grave{u}$ / $t\acute{a}:y-\grave{o}$ 'green' ("fresh grass"), and $t\acute{u}r\^{i}$ 'yellow'.

Most often intensifiers simply add emphasis. They are characteristic of lively narrative and conversation; compare English brand new, clean as a whistle, dead drunk. In a few cases the intensifier makes a semantic distinction within the range of senses of a stem. For example, kâ:n 'be sweet; be good; (blade) be sharp' takes intensifier nám! only in the sense 'be sweet', referring to taste.

Intensifiers are pronounced forcefully, highlighting the blunt-edged CvC and CvCvC shapes and (in many cases) the otherwise impermissible final obstruents. The pitch is always high, and preceding words (regardless of phonological tone) are sharply lowered in pitch. For example, in à hóttó rók! 'it is very bitter', the verb hóttó is pronounced with lower than usual pitch in order to make the intensifier rók! conspicuous. ($k\hat{u}l$ 'all' and other emphatic elements have similar effects on the preceding word.)

Default negative intensifiers, i.e. associated with negation but not with specific lexical senses, are those in (503).

(503) Default negative intensifiers

```
fés! ~ fás! '(not) at all'
fúr! '(not) at all' (uncommon)
```

They are '(not) at all' expressions, as shown in (504).

For other negative polarity items, see §9.3.4 below. Default positive intensifiers are presented in the section on clause-final emphatic particles (§8.5.5.1-4).

9.3 Operators and scope

9.3.1 Types of adverbs

Spatiotemporal adverbs are of course common, but those that are not overt adpositional phrases can be interpreted as noun stems with an implied (but unexpressed) adposition (usually locative). For examples see §4.4.3 and §5.13.1-2.

Quantificational adverbials include the 'X times' construction, where X is some numeral ($\S 5.4.9$), and the adverbs $k\acute{o}yn\acute{e}$ 'again' and $j\acute{n}\acute{a}\eta$ 'for now; (not) yet' ($\S 9.3.5$, $\S 9.5.6.2$). Serial-verb constructions fulfill the functions of quantificational adverbs in other cases; see $y\acute{o}$ 'do again' (566d) in $\S 9.7.2$.

A biclausal construction with a verb of manner is easy to construct, so HS can often do without an actual adverb. For example, '(to) cook well' can be expressed as hìn-à [w | bóri] with hìn-à 'cook' and an infinitival VP based on the verb bòri 'be pretty, be good'. However, there are some manner adverbials in the form of postverbal adpositional phrases, such as instrumental hdù gá:bì 'with force; hard' and dative yá:dá 'sê 'for nothing, in vain'. The most important simple manner adverbs are tàmbà 'early', its iteration tàmbà-\(\beta\)támbà 'fast, quickly', and móssó-móssó 'slowly, gently'.

As for subject-oriented adverbials, 'on purpose' is expressed with verb hénsê 'do on purpose' plus an infinitival VP, e.g. à hénsé [w †néy 'kárú] 'he hit me on purpose'.

There are no adnominal adverbials of the English type *the man in the street*. These are expressed as relative constructions ('the man who is/was in the street').

9.3.2 Negation

In addition to ordinary sentence-internal negation (which is part of the MAN system), there is **higher-level negation** which asserts the falsehood of an entire proposition

(itself variably positive or negative). The higher-level construction is schematized in (505), where S is any indicative sentence.

(505)
$$m \dot{a} n t \dot{u} + H [S]$$

PerfNeg be [S]
'it is not the case that [S].'

This construction is especially useful when S is an identificational predication with $n\hat{o}\eta$, since this construction contains no verb and allows no MAN marking, so there is no way to negate it internally. See examples (354a-b) in §7.1.1.5.

Higher-level negation is also useful when S is a focalized clause, and when what is denied is that the focalized constituent was involved in the eventuality (506).

Likewise, higher-level negation can be used when this clarifies the **scope relationship** between the negative and a universal quantifier. An example is (510) in the following section.

Higher-level negation can also be used for **metalinguistic negation**, as when the pronunciation or correct formulation of a word or phrase is challenged. In (507), a speaker corrects the interlocutor's misunderstanding of the verb ('reply' versus 'braid', which differ only in tone) that the speaker had uttered a moment before.

NP-internal negation is not part of HS grammar, but a rough functional equivalent can be produced by $j \not e p - \hat{e} y$ 'lack (of sth)' as compound final (§4.8.8) or with a possessor X in $X j \not e^t p - \hat{e} y$ 'lack/shortage of X'. Cf. verb $j \not e p$ 'fail (to do)' (§9.7.6).

9.3.3 Negation and quantifiers

The usual 'not any' construction combines clause-internal negation with a NP ending in $k\hat{u}l$ 'all' (508a-b).

The numeral fó: '1' is not typical in such constructions. It can, however, be used for emphasis, like English not one (single) X. An example is (509), where the clause with fó: is focalized.

(509) [bá: kà:tíbí 'fó:] gá = \(\) \(\) màn = \(\) \(\) inc: s-êy
[even riyal one] Focus=3SgS PerfNeg=3SgO give Dat-1Sg
'Not one riyal (=not one red cent) did 3Sg give me.'

In (510), we observe higher-level negation (see preceding section) plus a clause with a focalized NP containing universal quantifier $k\hat{u}l$ 'all'. This construction brings out the intended scope relationship more clearly than is the case with clause-internal negation.

- (510) màn từ [[cé-bè:r-èy kúl] 'gâ bú:]

 PerfNeg be [[elephant-DefPl all] Focus die]

 'Not all the elephants died.'
- 9.3.4 Equivalents of negative polarity items

Lexical items used for negative polarity are those in (511).

(511) Negative polarity

form gloss (after negation)

bá:y-à:+H '(not) anything, nothing'
'àbádá '(not) ever, never; under no circumstances'

ʔàbádá, is from Arabic *ʔabad-an* 'never' via other Malian languages. Note the wide range of its semantic-pragmatic functions.

These forms can be used in any position. Post-negation examples are in (512).

- (512) a. à màn bá:y-à: fjísì
 3SgS PerfNeg nothing put.down
 '3Sg didn't put anything down.'
 - b. nò \(\int mán \) \(\int k\alpha \) \(2\alpha b\alpha d\alpha \) \(3PIS \) \(PerfNeg \) \(come \) \(never \) \('They never came.' \)

Pre-negation examples including subjects, focalized objects, and preposed adverbials are in (513).

- (513) a. $b\acute{a}:y-\grave{a}: g\acute{a} \grave{a} m\grave{a}n = \uparrow \acute{a}: 'n\acute{o}: s-\^{e}y$ nothing Focus 3SgS PerfNeg=3SgO give Dat-1Sg 'Nothing [focus] is what 3Sg gave me.'
 - b. bá:y-à: sù fáttá nothing ImpfNeg exit 'Nothing will come out.'
 - c. ?àbádá nòn sù †kâ never 3PIS ImpfNeg come 'They will never come.'

Other forms that serve as negative polarity items can be constructed with the schema $X \, k \hat{u} l$, with some noun X ('person', 'place', etc.) plus $k \hat{u} l$ 'all'. An example is (508b) above ('nowhere'). For simple clause-final '(not) at all' particles, see the default intensifiers in (503) in §9.2 above.

9.3.5 Negation with 'again' and 'first, for now' ('no longer' and 'not yet')

'No longer' is expressed by adding $k\acute{a}tìn \sim k\acute{a}sìn$ 'again' (§9.5.6.1) to a negative clause, in postverbal position (514). Both variants are borrowed from Fulfulde dialects.

(514) à sí: †yó [ŵ †dó:n 'kátìn] láy
3SgS ProgrNeg return [Infin sing again] at.all
'He/She (e.g. a retired singer) no longer sings.'

'Not yet' is expressed by adding jinán 'for the time being, for now' (§9.5.6.2) after the verb of a negated clause. A slightly more emphatic 'still not' sense can be expressed by using a postverbal $h\hat{a}l$ 'until' phrase like $h\hat{a}l$ $h\hat{o}^n$ 'until now' with a negation ('He/She didn't come [until today]'). $h\hat{a}l$ $h\hat{o}^n$ but not jinán may also be preposed without changing the sense. Regardless of position, both jinán and $h\hat{a}l$ $h\hat{o}^n$ have wide scope, encompassing the negation, so an informal representation would be of the form '[as of now [it is not the case that [...]]'.

- (515) a. à màn 'kú béy 'jínán 3SgS PerfNeg marry for.now 'He hasn't gotten married yet.' (kù bêy)

9.3.6 Quantification over possessed nouns

When the possessor has scope over a nonsingular numeral phrase, we get outputs like (516).

When the quantifier has scope over a possessed phrase, we have a partitive reading of the type 'one (or: two, etc.) of the Y's of X'. The fullest expression of this in HS involves a preposed locative phrase with plural possessed noun, followed by an independent numeral (with absolute prefix if the numeral allows it) denoting the cardinality of the subset.

When the subset is singular ('one of the Y's of X'), a partitive sense can also be conveyed by adding the possessive to the definite form of the numeral '1', namely $fiy-\grave{a}:+H$ (variant $fiw-\grave{a}:+H$), as in (518a). This implies but does not overtly mention the larger collectivity. A different expression based on the adjective $f\grave{o}ll\grave{o}\eta k-\grave{o}+H$ 'one, single, sole' is used for 'the one (=sole) Y of X' (518b).

The universal quantifier $k\hat{u}l$ 'all' follows the core NP, including a possessor if present. Here there is no referential difference between partitive and nonpartitive readings. 'All my sheep died' is $[f\hat{e}:j-\hat{e}-p\hat{o}\eta k\hat{u}l]$ 'b\u00ed:, compare (517) above.

9.4 Overview of complement clause types

Except in details, the HS system of complementation is very similar to that of KS and several other Songhay languages. (519) shows the major types.

(519) Complement clause types

a. indicative clause (same form as main clause, perhaps with $g\hat{a}$ 'that')

- b. subjunctive clause (with subjunctive \acute{m} and a subject NP; negation permitted)
- c. infinitival VP (with infinitive $k\hat{u}+H \sim \hat{w}+H$, no subject NP or MAN marking)

Indicative clauses denote complete propositions and are used in complements of verbs like 'know' and in conditional antecedents. Both subjunctive clauses and infinitival VP complements are irrealis and usually future oriented; the difference between them is usually that an infinitival complement shares its (omitted) subject with the subject or other argument of the higher clause, while subjunctive clauses are finite (including a subject).

Cross-cutting these complement types is the possibility of using logophoric pronouns (next chapter), which constitutes another kind of subordination found in quotations.

9.5 Clause conjunction and indicative complement clauses

In the following subsections are considered a number of constructions involving two or more indicative (nonsubjunctive) clauses.

9.5.1 Conditionals (hâl, bâ:)

The basic 'if' morpheme is $h\hat{a}l$ at the beginning of the antecedent clause. There is also a less common extended variant $h\hat{a}l$ - $n\hat{i}$: The augment - $n\hat{i}$: is also found in two other similar clause-initial phrases (§8.5.1.3). Elsewhere, $h\hat{a}l$ (but not $h\hat{a}l$ - $n\hat{i}$:) is used in the sense 'until' or 'all the way to' (§9.5.6.4, §9.6.4, §5.9.8). In conditional function, $h\hat{a}l$ often contracts to $h\hat{a}$ before 2SgS $\hat{\eta} + H$ and 1SgS $\hat{i} + H$, which cliticize to and fuse with it, resulting in phonetic [$h\hat{a}\eta$] and [$h\hat{a}j$], respectively.

The sense 'even if' is expressed by clause-initial *bâ*: 'even' instead of the logically expected 'even if' combination #*bá*: '*hâl*, see (484) in §8.5.7.

There is no particle at the beginning of the consequent clause, but the boundary between antecedent and consequent can be indicated by a right-edge marker, usually $k\hat{u}l$ 'all', at the end of the antecedent; see §9.5.10 for discussion.

For 'if [X or Y], (then) Z' with a disjunctive antecedent, see §9.5.4 below.

HS distinguishes hypothetical from counterfactual conditionals by the use of different MAN categories in the antecedent.

9.5.1.1 Hypothetical conditionals

A typical hypothetical construction consists of an antecedent of type $h\hat{a}l$ plus perfective clause (plus optional $k\hat{u}l$), followed by a consequent consisting of a nonperfective (i.e., imperfective or future) clause. When denoting simple events, the consequent is usually in future form if positive (520a), and in simple imperfective form if negative (520b). $h\hat{a}l$ - $n\hat{t}$: may be used instead of $h\hat{a}l$. As in other constructions,

 $h\hat{a}l$ contracts with encliticized 2Sg subject pronoun $\hat{\eta} + H$ (520a-b) and 1Sg subject pronoun $\hat{i} + H$.

(520)a. $h\acute{a} = \grave{\eta}$ 1 yékà, if=2SgS come.back, ↑nó:⁴r-ó-nòn ì 1 nâm †nán ¹nó: 1SgS 2SgO money-PossSg-2SgP Fut give 'If you-Sg come back, I'll give you your money.'

If the consequent is semantically perfective (better: "perfect"), denoting an event prior to that of the endpoint of the antecedent, the consequent can take perfective form (521).

(521) [
$$h\acute{a}l$$
 $^{\iota}\acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{y}$ $m\acute{a}:-\eta$ $^{\iota}\acute{c}\acute{e}:$ $k\acute{u}l$] [\mathring{n} $^{\uparrow}\acute{d}\mathring{u}$] [if 3SgS=Tr name-2Sg call all] [2SgS get] 'If he/she has called (=calls) out your-Sg name, (it means) you have won.'

The antecedent may be imperfective if it denotes a continuing state or a habitual activity (522).

(522) $h\acute{a} = \hbar \acute{\eta}$ ' $\hbar g\acute{u}$ $m\acute{e}:r\acute{i}$, \grave{n} $s\grave{u}$ $\hbar d\acute{u}$ 'kúr $p\acute{e}$ if 2SgS Impf be.ugly, 2SgS ImpfNeg get husband 'If you-Sg are ugly, you won't get a husband.'

9.5.1.2 Counterfactual conditionals

A counterfactual conditional is characterized by an antecedent clause with **perfect** MAN marking $(n \grave{a} n)$, which should be distinguished from the partially homophonous future $(n \grave{a} m + H \sim n \grave{a} n + H)$. The consequent clause has the same form as the consequent of a hypothetical conditional; for example, it can be in future form, indicating relative time with respect to the (unactualized) event denoted by the antecedent. An example with positive antecedent and negative consequent is (523). Additional counterfactual examples are in (386a-c) in §7.5.2.1. As with the hypotheticals, $h \grave{a} l - n i$: may be used instead of $h \hat{a} l$.

(523)hál γò 1 nán ⁴béy, sù 1kâ γò 1PIS if **Prfct** know, 1PIS **ImpfNeg** come 'Had we known, we would not have come.'

9.5.1.3 'Unless' conditionals

'Unless' constructions can be expressed as regular hypotheticals, the antecedent beginning with $h\hat{a}l$ plus the higher-level negation $m\hat{a}n$ $t\hat{u}+H$ 'it is not (the case)' (§9.3.2) and an embedded clause (524).

(524)hál màn [gá tù nò:r-ò bén], [that end], if PerfNeg be money-Fin/DefSg 1 nám ¹↑cín⁴dî nè: [jír 4fó:] 1SgS Fut remain here year one] 'Unless the money runs out, I will stay here for a year.'

9.5.1.4 Willy-nilly conditionals

In this construction, the positive and negative versions of the antecedent are juxtaposed, without $h\hat{a}l$ 'if' at the beginning, and without $w\hat{a}l\hat{a}$ 'or', but with right-edge marker $k\hat{u}l$ at the end (525). Aspect marking is as with ordinary hypotheticals. A more literal paraphrase is 'You have come, you haven't come; they will leave'. $h\hat{u}d\hat{u} + H$ 'and, with' is optionally added between the positive and negative versions.

(525)[[ŋ̀ f(ka) = [ndu] $\emptyset = \uparrow mán$ 11kâ11 kûl], 2SgS=PerfNeg [[2SgS come]=[and come all], 1 nám *¹*∱dírà рò 3PIS Fut walk 'Whether you-Sg come or not, they will leave.'

9.5.2 Juxtaposed and conjoined clauses ('and', 'so')

There is no 'and' conjunction with clausal scope. Indicative clauses denoting consecutive events in a narrative can simply be juxtaposed. When the two clauses are uttered with no intonational break, if the verbs can denote bounded events the natural interpretation is that the second immediately follows the first. Perfectives to denote a single past event (526a) and imperfectives to denote recurring events (526b).

- (526) a. [î tó:] [î kání]
 [1SgS arrive] [1SgS go.to.bed]
 'I arrived (home) and immediately went to bed.'
 - b. $[i\uparrow = \uparrow i$ 'tó:] $[i\uparrow = \uparrow i$ 'kání] [1SgS=Impf arrive] [1SgS=Impf go.to.sleep] 'I (always) arrive (home) and (immediately) go to sleep.' (='I go to sleep as soon as I get home.')

In other cases of clause-juxtaposition, one can fine-tune the interclausal relationship by using DF morphemes like $m\hat{o}$ 'also' (§8.5.3) or clause-initial emphatic $d\hat{e}y$ (§9.5.6.5).

For 'so' in causal sense, a speaker may begin the clause with the simple particle déllè 'so' (variant ńdéllè) or with a fuller expression like wó 'sá:b-ò sê 'for that reason'. déllè, however, can also be used as a hesitation phrase ('that is to say, ...').

9.5.3 Indicative clauses attached to another clause

Clauses with a verb denoting the passage of time or denoting motion can be followed by another fully-formed simple imperfective clause denoting a durative activity that took place through a good part of that interval (527).

Although there is no overt subordination of one clause to another, the combination of an initial clause containing one of a small set of verbs with a second clause of openended structure is reminiscent of serial constructions. Indeed, the basic idea of (527) can alternatively be expressed by a serial-verb construction ($p \hat{o} \hat{n} h \hat{n} \hat{n} \hat{n} \hat{n} \hat{l} \hat{w} d\hat{o}$: The serial construction (§9.7.6) seems more common in this durative context. Only the serial construction can be used when the attached clause denotes a punctual event that is merely located by the temporal clause: 'they killed him while it was night (=at night)'.

Another recurrent conjunction type consists of an initial clause denoting some event or state, followed by a negated clause describing a background situation. A free translation involving 'without (X) VPing' is called for (528).

For the locational type exemplified by (528a), a positive counterpart with $H+g\dot{o}$: 'be' is possible: [\dot{a} $k\acute{o}y$] [$n\dot{o}$: $r-\acute{o}\uparrow$ $g\dot{o}$: [\dot{a} $s\acute{e}$]] 'He/She left, with some money'. However, in a case like (528b) where the second clause would denote a bounded event if converted from negative to positive, a positive counterpart would normally have a

sequential interpretation ('He/She went away, [then] he/she said hello'). By contrast, (528b) has an inverted sequential interpretation, the act of going taking place after the time interval during which the greeting ought to have occurred. In effect, the type (528b) is used opportunistically, taking advantage of the fact that implicatures involving event sequencing do not apply to positive-negative clause sequences in the same way as they apply to positive-positive (or negative-negative) sequences.

9.5.4 Clausal disjunctions (*wàlà* 'or')

wàlà 'or' is placed at the beginning of the second disjunctive clause. If the disjuncts are positive and negative versions of the same scenario, there is no reduction of the core of the second clause (subject, direct object [theme], MAN marking, verb) beyond normal pronominalization, although NPs are pronominalized and setting adverbials are not repeated. There is thus no parallel to the severely truncated English type ending with *or not?* Since (529a-b) are questions, wàlà has a final pitch rise and is heard as [wàlá] or [wàlā].

(529) a.
$$[\acute{\eta} & \varnothing & ^{\dagger} \uparrow k \hat{a}] \quad [w \grave{a} \grave{l} \grave{a} \quad \grave{\eta} \quad s \grave{u} \quad \uparrow k \hat{a}]$$

 $[2SgS \quad Impf \quad come] \quad [or \quad 2SgS \quad ImpfNeg \quad come]$
'Are you-Sg coming, or aren't you (coming)?'
 $[for \ \acute{\eta} \uparrow g \acute{u} \quad ^{\dagger} \uparrow k \hat{a} \dots]$

An example of a clausal disjunction functioning as antecedent within a hypothetical conditional is (530). Again there is no unusual reduction of the second clause. The second $h\hat{a}l$ 'if', at the beginning of the second disjunct, is optionally omitted.

For cases where the two disjuncts of the antecedent are positive and negative versions of the same core scenario, see the 'willy-nilly' conditionals in §9.5.1.4.

9.5.5 Adversative conjunctions (kâ:, ?àmmá:)

One basic clause-initial 'but' conjunction is $k\hat{a}$: (perhaps from local Fulfulde) or its extended form $k\hat{a}$:- $n\hat{i}$:. For the - $n\hat{i}$: extension see §8.5.1.3.

An alternative to $k\hat{a}$: is clause-initial $2\hat{a}mm\hat{a}$: 'but, however', of Arabic origin. It can also be used phrase-initially as an adversative topical marker ('but as for X').

9.5.6 Temporal relations

9.5.6.1 'Again' (kátìn ~ kásìn, kóynê)

The common 'again' adverb is $k\acute{a}tin \sim k\acute{a}sin$ (interspeaker variation), borrowed from Fulfulde. It can denote repetition of an action (532a), or it can be used more abstractly to mean 'in addition, furthermore' (532b). In the simple repetition sense, it competes with a serial-verb construction with verb $y\grave{o}$ 'return, do again', see (566d) in §9.7.2. For 'no longer' in combination with negation see §9.3.5.

One could ask (532b) when the speaker has just been requested to bring a list of things. The question asks whether there is anything to be added to the list.

 $k\acute{o}yn\^{e}$ 'also, more' matches productive 'also' adverbs in other Songhay languages, including TSK $k\acute{o}yn\grave{e}$. In HS it is much less common than $k\acute{a}t\grave{i}n \sim k\acute{a}s\grave{i}n$.

9.5.6.2 '(At) first, for now' (*jínáη*)

jínáŋ '(at) first, for now' emphasizes that the associated event precedes another event denoted by an adjoining (usualy following) clause.

Emphatic particle *dèy* at the beginning of the second clause, which in this case has subjunctive form, can also (with or without *jínáŋ*) specify a similar sense. For *dèy* see §9.5.6.5 below.

```
(533)
                    1 nám
                                                        d\acute{e}v\uparrow = \acute{v}
                              ¹∱ηâ:
                                        jínáη,
                                                        Emph=1SgS.Subju
         1SgS
                   Fut
                              eat
                                        first
         kò-kòrù
                        Γŵ
                                                       kún-ò
                                            [†kóyrà
                                                                                [[gâ]]
                                   kóy
         do.later
                        [Infin
                                   go
                                            [town
                                                       inside-3PossSg
                                                                               in]]
         'I will eat first; then I will go into the town.'
```

jínán is most common with a negation in the sense 'not yet' (§9.3.5).

9.5.6.3 Clause-initial zá: 'since'

Like most Songhay languages, HS uses $z\acute{a}$: 'since, beginning with' to denote a starting point, and $h\^{a}l$ 'until, all the way to' denote an endpoint, with a wide range of complements. For clause-initial $h\^{a}l$ see §9.5.6.4 just below. For quasi-prepositional usage of $z\acute{a}$: before NPs see §5.9.8.

zá: 'since, from the time that' plus clausal complement specifies an event that concides with the onset of a time interval associated with another activity, state, or situation. A typical positive example is (534).

zá: is very common with a negative clause denoting an as-yet unactualized event. This is the normal way to translate English 'before ...' clauses.

KS has a narrative construction with *za:* preceding an imperfective clause, the sequence being repeated at least once, to indicate prolongation of an activity. This construction has not been observed in HS.

9.5.6.4 Clause-initial *hâl* 'until, to the point that'

For quasi-prepositional usage of $h\hat{a}l$ before a NP see §5.9.8. For its use at the beginning of subjunctive complements see §9.6.4. For its use as an 'if' conjunction in conditional antecedents see §9.5.1.

With a (nonconditional) indicative clause as complement, *hâl* means 'until' (specifying a temporal boundary) or 'to the point that' indicating a causal as well as temporal relationship. Examples in (536).

```
(536) a. yò góy [hál wòyn-ò kâŋ]

1PlS work [until sun-Fin/DefSg fall]

'We worked until the sun set (=until sundown).'
```

When shifted into the future ('we will work until the sun sets/until we get tired'), the *hâl* clause is normally subjunctive. The "future" can also be used for present (progressive or habitual) sense.

9.5.6.5 Clause-initial dèy ('only then')

Clause-initial particle $d \grave{e} y$ can link a clause to a preceding clause, indicating close temporal and/or causal sequencing. It can sometimes be glossed in context as stressed 'then'. $d \grave{e} y$ usually contracts with following 2Sg and 1Sg subjunctive markers (2Sg $d \acute{e} y \uparrow = \mathring{m}$, 1Sg $d \acute{e} y \uparrow = \mathring{y}$).

A subjunctive $d\dot{e}y$ clause puts more emphasis on the immediacy of the sequencing. In (533) above, the chronological sequencing is further reinforced by using serial verb $k\dot{o}$ - $k\dot{o}r\dot{u}$ 'do later' in the second clause. Note also the shift from future to subjunctive. Another example of clause-initial $d\dot{e}y$ is (537).

(537) [hál cỳnhà
$$\uparrow k\hat{a}$$
]
[when rain come]
[dèy bòr-éy $\uparrow = m$ 'fáttá]
[then person-DefPl=Subju exit]

'When the rain comes, then let the people go out!' (i.e. not until then)

The clause preceding the *dèy* clause can end in *jínáŋ* '(at) first, for now' to emphasize the ordering (§9.5.6.2).

Like colloquial (American) English so, dèy can also be used to initiate a new topic, perhaps after a length pause. In this function it is compatible with a topical NP, as in (538).

9.5.7 'Because' clauses ($sabu \sim saba$ etc.)

The common 'because' phrase before an indicative clause is sabu or saba. Some speakers regularly extend this as sabu dey with a special use of emphatic particle dey

(§8.5.5.1) in a specialized usage. The *dèy* is often high-pitched, which I take to be an intonational effect (nonterminal intonation) rather than high tone.

 $s\grave{a}b\grave{u}$ or $s\grave{a}b\grave{a}$ is one of a set of borrowings ultimately from Arabic noun sabab 'reason', others being the noun $s\acute{a}:b\^{e}$ (3PossSg $s\acute{a}:b$ - \grave{o}) 'reason, motive' and the noun $s\grave{a}b\acute{a}:b$ - \grave{o} 'reason'. Similar forms occur widely in the zone, e.g. in Bambara and Fulfulde, and much borrowing back and forth has evidently occurred.

Examples are in (539).

- (539)a. $i \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ †háns-ó wí:, 1SgS=Tr dog-Fin/DefSg kill [sàbù kò-t-íy-à: †námà dèy] à nàŋ [because Emph] 3SgS Prfct child-Dimin-Fin/DefSg bite 'I killed the dog because it had bitten a child.'
 - b. *ì* 1 nám ⁴kóy †bámàkò 1SgS Fut Bamako go [sàbù dèy] bé:r-éy пò ſŵ góy nón-dì] elder.sib-1SgP Progr Emph] [Infin work there] 'I will go to Bamako, because my elder sibling works there.'

In (539a), notice the perfect morpheme in the 'because' clause, which denotes a prior punctual event. (539b) shows that nonperfective MAN categories can be used in 'because' clauses that denote ambient circumstances.

9.5.8 Indicative 'that' complements (gâ, kèy, dàngà)

9.5.8.1 *gâ* 'that ...'

The particle $g\hat{a}$ is a workhorse in HS grammar. It is the relative morpheme (§8.3), a kind of adverbial conjunction (§8.3.11), and the focus morpheme (§8.1). It is also the 'that' conjunction in factive complements.

Indicative 'that' complements occur after verbs like béy 'know', dí: 'see (that ...)', còwrù 'show' and its verb-verb compound kà: kù †cówrù 'reveal, demonstrate (that ...), má: 'hear (that ...)' along with its self-compounded form má: kù má: 'hear rumors (that ...)', má:tê 'sense (that ...)', mí:lô 'believe (that ...)', sìccê 'suspect (that ...)', and táycê 'notice (that ...)'.

```
9.5.8.2 k \grave{e} y \sim c \grave{e} y and h \hat{a} : \text{``} (say) that ...'
```

A 'that' complement is also possible after $n\hat{e}$ 'say', though $g\hat{a}$ is most often omitted in this case. $n\hat{e}$ is always followed by a quotative complement, while an alternative verb $h\hat{a}r\hat{u}$ 'say, tell' is used with a direct object, as in 'I didn't tell it to them' (§6.1.9). The difference between factive and jussive complements is adequately marked by MAN morphemes (indicative versus subjunctive, §9.6.3, §10.1.1).

More common than $g\hat{a}$ after $n\hat{e}$ is another particle $k\hat{e}y \sim c\hat{e}y$ (often elaborated as $k\hat{e}y$ $d\hat{e}y$ with an emphatic morpheme). An entire sequence like \hat{a} $n\hat{e}$ $k\hat{e}y$ $(d\hat{e}y)$ 'he/she said that' can be followed by a pause, then the quoted material. $k\hat{e}y$ $(d\hat{e}y)$ appears to be common among younger people in Hombori.

An alternative to $k \dot{e} y$ ($d \dot{e} y$) is $h \hat{a} \dot{e}^n$, which occurs in the same frame, i.e. following $n \hat{e}$ 'say', but separated from quoted material by a pause. KS $h \dot{a} \dot{e}^n$ is used in the same contexts. A connection with the verb $h \dot{a} \dot{e}^n$ is possible but uncertain.

```
9.5.8.3 dàngà 'like; believing that ...'
```

 $d \hat{a} g \hat{a}$ 'like, as though; believing that' (§8.5.4.1) can be used instead of $g \hat{a}$ to emphasize that the content of the belief is doubtful (or turned out later to be false), as in (541).

```
(541) ì mí:ló dàngà [bór 'bóry-à: nôŋ]
1SgS believe like [person good-Fin/DefSg it.is]
'I (mistakenly) believed that he/she was a good person.'
```

9.5.9 Bare indicative factive complements

A common way of indicating a newly encountered situation is to use a bare indicative complement after the verb $g\hat{a}r\hat{u}$ 'find' (often in the verb-verb compound $k\hat{a}$ \hat{w} $\uparrow g\acute{a}r\hat{u}$ 'come and find'). Example (542) could be translated freely 'It turned out that he/she had gone out', in the appropriate discourse context.

```
(542) ì  fká  [ẁ  fgárù  [à  fáttá]]

1SgS come [Infin [find [3SgS exit]]

'I came and found that he/she had gone out.'
```

HS often uses the formulation in (542), with a referential subject (e.g. 'I found that') rather than an impersonal matrix-clause phrase ('it turned out that' or 'it happened that'). This is useful in that it allows topic switches even within a construction such as a string of infinitival VPs. For example, (542) would appear as (543) if it were part of such a string, or if it complemented a serial verb with 'me' as subject:

However, it is also possible to use a fixed 3Sg subject in this *gàrù* construction. As before, *kà* 'come' is optionally compounded with *gàrù*. An example is (544).

Here the invariant \hat{a} subject of the matrix clause does not refer to or agree with the same entity mentioned in the complement clause, which can be any pronoun or NP. One can agonize about whether this \hat{a} is referential, or an expletive (dummy) merely providing nonzero phonological content to the subject position (§6.1.1). Since examples like (544) are always embedded in a narrative context, one could argue that the \hat{a} refers abstractly to the situation as left by the immediately preceding discourse.

9.5.9.2 $s\acute{a}b\acute{a}-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H\sim s\acute{a}w\acute{a}-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ 'coincide (with)'

The derived VO verb $s\acute{a}b\acute{a}-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H\sim s\acute{a}w\acute{a}-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ 'coincide (in time and space) with', from $s\acute{a}b\^{a}\sim s\acute{a}w\^{a}$ 'be equal', is used in much the same way as $g\grave{a}r\grave{u}$ (545). For suffixed $-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ see §6.2.5.

9.5.9.3 kò:rù 'have left (sb, in a situation)'

Another such verb is a difficult-to-gloss VO transitive verb $k \delta : r u$ 'have left (sb) some time ago (in a situation)', used in constructions translatable e.g. 'the last time I saw him, he was ...' (546). The referential object ('he' etc.) is optionally omitted, suggesting that the situation itself can function as object.

The version of this with overt main-clause object is *i †kó:r-à [à sí: séllê]*, with 3Sg object suffix -a. Informants seem to prefer the objectless type (546).

9.5.9.4 *dí:* 'see (sb, doing sth)'

The verb di: 'see' can be used with an imperfective complement clause in experiential rather than factive sense, as in (547). Similar examples of the type 'I heard (=listened to) 3Sg sing', with verb ma: 'hear', are also used.

9.5.10 Right-edge marking in antecedents and background clauses

By "right-edge marker" I mean a morpheme whose function (perhaps among other things) is to delineate the boundary between the two parts of an interclausal construction by marking the right edge of a phrase, in this case a subordinated clause or clauses (Heath 2010). Consider a sequence like [Sub S_1 S_2 S_3] with a clause-initial subordinator such as 'if' or a relative-clause marker, followed by three indicative clauses. This could potentially be bracketed as [[Sub S_1 S_2] S_3] or as [Sub S_1] S_2 S_3], among other possibilities. In [[Sub S_1 S_2] S_3], S_2 is conjoined to S_1 under the scope of the subordinator, while in [Sub S_1] S_2 S_3] S_2 is a main clause conjoined to S_3 , and S_1 is subordinated to S_2 . If there were a clause-level 'and' conjunction this would not be a problem, but there is no such conjunction in HS. Unless S_2 and/or S_3 is expressed as an infinitival VP, i.e. overtly subordinated to the preceding clause, listeners can have difficulty determining where the scope of the subordinator ends. A conventionalized right-edge marker for the subordinated domain would therefore be useful to the listener.

In relative constructions, the subordinated clause (sequence) is embedded within a matrix clause, so the issue is not where the subordinated clause begins but where the matrix clause resumes. However, right-edge marking resolves the same functional problem as with preposed subordinated clauses.

Strong definite morpheme H+di (§5.7.1) is used as a right-edge marker in relatives (§8.3) and factive clauses ('the fact that ...', §9.5.9). See, for example, relative examples (104a-d) in §3.9.6.1.

In conditional antecedents, the common right-edge marker is $k\hat{u}l$ 'all'. Prosodically, it can be pronounced as the final element of an antecedent, or at the beginning of the following consequent clause. Since $k\hat{u}l$ in quantifying sense is NP-final, I interpret it as clause-final in its right-edge marking function, while recognizing the possibility of delayed prosodic articulation. Such delays can allow the speaker to reflect before deciding that the antecedent (which may contain multiple clauses) is actually complete. Examples of $k\hat{u}l$ in this function are (521) in §9.5.1.1, (525) in §9.5.1.4, and (64a-b) in §3.9.4.5.

9.5.11 Biclausal causatives with *kàtè* 'bring (about)'

kàtè 'bring' can be used in a more abstract sense 'bring about' with a propositional complement, but in my data the complement is expressed as a verbal noun (perhaps with a possessor NP) or as a subjunctive, as in (548a-b). I have no examples comparable to the occasional KS examples with indicative complements.

(548) a. $h\acute{a}r-\acute{o}$ $g\acute{a}$ $k\grave{a}t\grave{e}$ water-Fin/DefSg Focus bring
[sòŋ-ànc-èy /gór-¹m-ô né: ↑-wò]
[Songhay-DefPl sit-VblN-PossSg here]
'It was water [focus] that induced the Songhays to settle here.'

b. $\hat{\eta}$ $\hbar k \hat{a} \hat{t} \hat{e}$ $[\hat{a} \uparrow = m = \hat{a}: k \hat{a} \hat{r} \hat{u}]$ 2SgS bring [3SgS=Subju=3SgO hit] 'You-Sg brought it about that he/she_x hit her/him_y.'

9.5.12 Particle $k \grave{a} l + H$ plus indicative clause (absent)

I have no HS examples comparable to KS constructions with *kala* plus indicative clause. For HS kal + H in other constructions see §7.1.3, §8.5.2.2, and §9.6.2.

9.6 Subjunctive complements

The subjunctive morpheme is $\hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta}$. For its combinations with pronominals and other details see §7.2.1.4. The <HL>-tone surfaces as H with a delinked floating L-tone (§3.9.5.8) that is realized as downstep on a following H-toned syllable. Furthermore, $\hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta}$ cliticizes to a preceding vowel, and if that vowel was previously not H-toned the syllable in quesiton is flattened to H-tone to avoid a disallowed rising tone (§3.9.6.3).

By and large, the syntax of subordinated clauses in HS is similar to that in other Songhay languages. Normally the subjunctive is required by a **subjunctive trigger** such as a verb like 'want' that creates an irrealis modal space, often with future time reference. One important difference between HS and KS is that HS fails to show the partial merger of subjunctive and strong imperfective categories that typifies KS.

A subjunctive complement in any of the constructions described in the following sections may consist of more than one clause. Since the subjunctive morpheme occurs in each clause, there is usually little difficulty in identifying the boundaries of the subjunctive complement as a whole. Of course, a sequence of two subjunctive clauses could also be a case of stacking, whereby one of them is directly subordinated to the matrix clause but itself contains a trigger that takes a subjunctive complement at a lower level. Example (549) shows parallel subjunctive clauses, while (550) shows stacking.

 $n\acute{e} = I \varnothing = \acute{m}$ (549)⁴kóv [?áŋgá *¹*dô]] 3SgS say=[2SgS=Subju [3FullSg chez]] go $\int ?\dot{a}y = \dot{y}$ cìndí nè:] [1Sg=1SgS.Subju remain here] 'He_x said that you should go to his_x place but that I should stay here.' (*?ây* in the second subjunctive clause is topical)

(550) $k\acute{a}\uparrow = [\acute{\eta}\uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ 'wínjí $[\acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ 'kóy]] must=[2SgS= Subju refuse [3SgS=Subju go]] 'You-Sg must refuse to let 3Sg go'. (phonetic [káw̃windʒīáŋkōj])

9.6.1 Subjunctive complements to matrix-clause verbs

Matrix-clause verbs that can take a subjunctive complement (in some cases, as one possibility among others) are those in (551).

(551) Matrix-clause verbs that trigger the subjunctive

verb gloss with subjunctive

a. can take infinitival or subjunctive complements

bà 'want'
tà 'accept; consent'
wínjî 'refuse'
yèddà 'consent'

b. take subjunctive complements

fúrú 'free (sb)'
gá:bì 'force, compel'
hámbúrú 'fear'
kàtè 'bring about'
nàn 'let, allow'

For an example of *kàtè* see (548b) in §9.5.11 above. Examples of the others, with subjunctive-clause subject not coindexed to the matrix-clause subject, are in (552). The complement of 'fear' is negated (552e), cf. *lest* in (archaic) English and *ne* in French complements of 'fear' verbs.

(552) a.
$$i \uparrow = \uparrow i$$
 bà $[i \uparrow = j \quad {}^{4}k \acute{o}y]$
1SgS=Impf want [3SgS=Subju go]
'I want 3Sg to go.'

- b. à wínjí [¹í zùmbù [ʔáŋgá ¹dô]] 3SgS refuse [1SgS.Subju go.down [3FullSg chez]] 'He refused to let me lodge in his home.'

- e. $i \uparrow = \uparrow i$ ⁴hámbúrú $\lceil m arów \uparrow = \eta$ sù 1ká 1SgS=Impf [leopard=Subju **ImpfNeg** fear come jáwd-è-nòn /ˈŋâ:]] animal-1Sg-Pl eat]] [Infin 'I fear that/lest the leopard might come and eat my livestock.'

- h. à sù $\uparrow t\acute{a}$ 3SgS ImpfNeg accept $[k\grave{o}-t-\acute{i}y-\acute{e}y\uparrow]=\acute{\eta}$ ['l'angâ jínèy] jèrè] [child-Dimin-DefPl= Subju [3FullSg gear.3PossSg] carry] 'She_x won't let the children carry her_x baggage.'

When the lower-clause subject is coindexed to that of the matrix clause, we get an **infinitival complement** (serial-verb construction) for the verbs listed in (552a): $b\grave{a}$ 'want', $t\grave{a}$ 'accept', winji, and 'refuse', and $y\grave{e}dd\grave{a}$ 'consent'. Thus $i\uparrow = \uparrow i b\grave{a} [\grave{w} k\acute{o}y]$ 'I want to go', $i \uparrow t\acute{a} [\grave{w} k\acute{o}y]$ 'I accepted to go', $i winji [\grave{w} k\acute{o}y]$ 'I refused to go', $i \uparrow y\acute{e}dd\grave{a} [\grave{w} k\acute{o}y]$ 'I consented to go'.

This infinitival construction is not available with the verbs listed in (551b) above, which do not ordinarily take same-subject complements. With *hámbúrú* 'fear', a subjunctive complement is used in the sense intended (553); again, the complement takes negative form.

(553) $\hat{\eta}$ $\int g\hat{u}$ 'hámbúrú $[\emptyset = \hat{\eta}$ sù kâŋ] 2SgS Impf fear [2SgS=Subju ImpfNeg fall] 'You are afraid that you might fall.'

The infinitival construction i hámbúrú [w kan] means 'I was afraid and (therefore) fell', with a different logic where the 'fall' VP is sequential rather than a complement. With kate 'bring (about)', when appropriate semantic contexts are constructed, we again get subjunctive rather than infinitival complements (554).

For the 'let' verbs, no same-subject example could be elicited.

9.6.2 Subjunctive complements to obligational $k \grave{a} l + H$

Obligational (deontic) 'must' is expressed by a matrix clause consisting of impersonal kal + H plus a subjunctive clause (555). See also (359c) in §7.1.3.

(555)
$$k\grave{a}l$$
 $[\acute{a}\uparrow = \acute{y}$ $n\grave{e}y$ $b\grave{a}n\grave{a}]$ must $[3SgS=Subju$ $1SgO$ pay] 'He/She must pay me.'

This construction can sometimes be used as an epistemic 'must' construction, describing a certainty or near-certainty (556).

(556)
$$h\acute{a}l$$
 ${}^{4}h\acute{a}r-\acute{o}$ ${}^{4}n\acute{a}n$ $s\acute{i}$: $s-\acute{e}y$, if water-Fin/DefSg Prfct not.be Dat-1Sg, $k\acute{a}\uparrow = [\acute{y}$ ${}^{4}b\acute{u}:]$ must= [1SgSubju die] 'Had I not had some water on me, I would certainly have died.' (counterfactual conditional, see §9.5.1.2)

Since $k \grave{a} l + H$ can also mean 'except' (§8.5.2.2), and in that function is commonly used in a kind of double negation 'not other than' (§8.5.2.3), one could argue that obligational $k \grave{a} l + H$ has been reduced from a fuller matrix clause roughly like 'it is not other than [...]'. The general idea can be gotten by comparing (555) above with the idiomatic (557).

(557) i $s\dot{u}$ $fb\acute{a}$ $[k\grave{a}l$ $[\acute{a}f=\acute{g}$ $n\grave{e}y$ $b\grave{a}n\grave{a}]$ 1SgS ImpfNeg want [except [3SgS=Subju 1SgO pay]] 'I just want (lit. "don't want other than") that he/she pay me.'

9.6.3 Subjunctive clauses in jussive reported speech

A reported imperative or hortative takes the form of a matrix clause with 'X said' (the HS 'say' verb is $n\hat{e}$) plus a subjunctive clause. A dative postpositional phrase is optional (558).

(558) a. à
$$n\acute{e}$$
 [[?áy= \acute{n} dù ?ángá]= \acute{n} 'k \acute{e} y] 3SgS say [[1Sg=and 3FullSg]=Subju go] 'He_x said that I and he_x should go.' (i.e., 'He said, let's go!')

In (558a), the original utterance may well have been an already subjunctive $y \circ \uparrow = \mathring{\eta}$ ' $k \circ y$ ' let's go' in hortative function (§9.6.7), but it has been restructured somewhat because of sloppy coindexation (cf. §10.4). In (558b), the original utterance was most likely an imperative $w \circ \mathring{\tau} g \circ r \circ \mathring{\tau}$ 'sit-P!!'

Another example is (578b) in §10.1.3.

9.6.4 Subjunctive clauses with hâl

hâl is a versatile particle. It is used as an 'if' conjunction in conditional antecedents (§9.5.1), and in the sense 'until, all the way to' with various types of complements (§5.9.8, §9.5.6.4). When the complement is subjunctive rather than indicative, the event in question is irrealis (its actualization is not asserted), though not necessarily counterfactual. Common contexts are waiting for an event ('until'), and purposive ('so that'). hâl can contract with a following 1Sg or 2Sg subject pronominal (559b).

(559) a.
$$i$$
 $f n \hat{a} m$
 $f b \hat{a} t - \hat{a} n d - \hat{a}$
 1SgS
Fut
wait-Caus-UnspecO
$$[h \hat{a} l \quad \hat{a} \uparrow = m \quad b \hat{e} n - \hat{a} n d - \hat{a}]$$
[until $3 \text{SgS} = \text{Subju}$ finish]
'I'll wait until he/she is finished.'

b.
$$i / = i /$$
 $f \grave{e}: j - \acute{e} - j \grave{o} i /$ $n \acute{e}: r \acute{e}$
 $1 Sg S = Tr$ $sheep-1 Sg P-Indef Pl$ $sell$ $[h \acute{a} = \acute{y}$ $'h \acute{u} w - \acute{o}$ $d \grave{e} y]$ $[so=1 Sg S. Subju$ house-Fin/Def Sg buy] 'I sold my sheep-Pl in order to buy a house.'

9.6.5 Subjunctive clauses under the scope of a distant negative

In a case like (560) below, an expression meaning 'a fortiori' (sákò or the phrase màn tù há:lá) following a negative statement can take a subjunctive complement.

Here 'I run' is still under the logical scope of the negation, but the negative MAN morpheme is not repeated. Instead, the subjunctive serves as an index that the negative scope has not ended. However, one could also use an infinitival VP $k\dot{u}$ $\uparrow z\dot{u}r\dot{u}$ 'to run' or a verbal noun instead of the subjunctive.

Similar examples can be constructed with other adjuncts to negative propositions, with e.g. sàndà 'like, for example' instead of 'a fortiori'.

9.6.6 Purposive subjunctive clauses without complementizer

A subjunctive clause can be used, without *hâl* or other complementizer, in purposive sense immediately following another clause (indicative or imperative), sometimes with no intonational break. A typical example is (561). It is always possible to add *hâl* to make the purposive nuance explicit.

(561)
$$t\acute{e} = [\acute{y} \quad n\grave{a}g \quad n\acute{o}: \ ^{\dagger}b\acute{t}t-\grave{o}]$$
 come!=[1SgSubju 2SgO give porridge-DefSg] 'Come-Sg so I may give you some porridge!' $(t\hat{e}, \acute{t})$

9.6.7 Bare subjunctive clauses in isolation

The subjunctive is regular in 1Pl hortatives (562).

(562)
$$y \circ f = g$$
 'k\(\delta y\)

1PIS=Subju go

'Let's go!'

Another relevant expression is $\emptyset = \acute{m}$ 'béy, mark-up [you-Sg Subjunctive know] (2Pl equivalent $w\acute{o} = \acute{m}$ 'béy) 'know that ...'. a phrase used to frame a piece of news.

Other bare subjunctives with no subjunctive trigger in the vicinity are usually best analysed as reduced variants of fuller constructions. The most common type is an echo question, as when someone seeks confirmation, clarification, or further specification in response to a command or suggestion from an interlocutor, as in the exchange (563).

```
(563) X: kóy †tú:r-ò kú:ŋ
go.Imprt firewood-Fin/DefSg gather
'Go (in order to) gather some firewood!'
```

```
Y: í kà:-nd-á nè:
1SgS.Subju bring-3SgO here
'Do/Should I (then) bring it here?'
```

In this example, since the queried action ('bring it here') is sequential to that of the imperative, an infinitival VP in sequential sense could also be used, so Y could have asked $k\hat{u} \uparrow k\hat{a}:-n^4d\hat{a} n\hat{e}$: instead.

9.7 Infinitival VPs and serial verbs

An infinitival VP consists of infinitive morpheme $k\hat{u} + H \sim \hat{w} + H$ followed by a VP, which begins either with a) an intransitive or VO verb or b) a preverbal direct object NP (without transitive \hat{y}) followed by a verb. The infinitival allomorph $\hat{w} + H$ occurs after a vowel (except before 3Sg object \hat{y}). The allomorph $k\hat{u} + H$ occurs after a consonant, before 3Sg object \hat{y} regardless of what precedes (producing $k\hat{u} \uparrow = \hat{y}$, becoming $k\hat{u} \uparrow = \hat{y} + L$), and after a pause (e.g. in isolation).

 $k\grave{u}$ between consonants is optionally dropped if the following verb is $k\acute{o}y$ 'go' or $k\grave{a}$ 'come', and is usually dropped if the preceding verb is $k\acute{o}y$ 'go'. Examples: $h\acute{n}$ $k\grave{u}$ $\uparrow k\^{a} \sim h\acute{n} \oslash \uparrow k\^{a}$ 'can come', $h\acute{n}$ $k\grave{u}$ $k\acute{o}y \sim h\acute{n} \oslash k\acute{o}y$ 'can go', and $k\acute{o}y$ $k\grave{u}$ $\uparrow n\^{a}$: $k\acute{o}y \oslash \uparrow n\^{a}$: 'go and eat'. Even when dropped segmentally, the virtual presence of $k\grave{u} + H$ is revealed by tonal behavior, e.g. the initial surface H-tone of $\uparrow k\^{a}$ 'come' (from $k\grave{a}$) and of $\uparrow n\^{a}$: 'eat' (from $n\^{a}$:) in the examples just given. In the cases of $k\grave{u}$ $\uparrow k\^{a}$ and $k\grave{u}$ $k\acute{o}y$, the dropping of $k\grave{u} + H$ before another morpheme beginning in k suggests haplology, but in elicitation the dropping was not extended to other k- or g-initial verbs after $h\acute{n}$ 'be able to'. In the case of $k\acute{o}y$ $k\grave{u} + H$ a haplological approach is less attractive. Some other Songhay languages allow or require dropping of the cognate infinitival morpheme in combinations involving the cognate verbs for 'come' and/or 'go'. Specifically, KS omits infinitival ka after koy 'go'.

Infinitival VPs are used to serialize same-subject clauses denoting events in sequence, the first clause being a full-fledged finite clause with a subject. This is the closest thing HS has to an 'and' conjunction at clause-level. In such sequences there are no restrictions on the form of either the initial (finite) clause or following (infinitival) VPs.

Another function, not always easily distinguished from sequential, is purposive. However, there are also a number of specialized constructions involving a control verb that regularly takes infinitival complements, requiring subject coindexation. In some cases, the control verb follows rather than precedes the variable VP. There are also a few compound-like combinations of two verbs, the second being in infinitival form.

9.7.1 Infinitival VPs in event sequences or as purposives

In an example like (564), a string of same-subject events is expressed with an initial fully-inflected clause followed by one or more infinitival VPs.

There is an issue whether $\hat{w} \uparrow \eta \hat{a}$: here should be treated as subordinated specifically to $g \hat{o} r \hat{o}$ 'sit', or whether $\hat{w} \uparrow g \hat{o} r \hat{o}$ and $\hat{w} \uparrow \eta \hat{a}$: are jointly subordinated in parallel to $k \hat{a}$. Since the normal interpretation of such a sequence is sequential, there is no practical difference.

(564) can be taken either as a chance chronological sequence of unrelated events, or as a meaningful, causally related sequence: 'He/She came in order to sit down, in order to eat' or perhaps 'He/She came and sat down, in order to eat'. If a distinction is necessary, a purposive can be expressed by *hâl* plus (finite) subjunctive clause, as in (559b) in (§9.6.4).

Other examples where temporal sequence seems to be an essential element include (345a) and (440). Examples where purpose plays a key role include (285) and (303b).

9.7.2 Inventory of control verbs

The few verbs used commonly in serial constructions as the second (infinitival) half are those in (565). In these lists I use the $k\hat{u} + H$ variant, which is always possible in at least some phonological environments. After a vowel, we usually get $\hat{w} + H$ (not shown).

(565) Control verbs that follow a variable VP

Infin + verb	gloss	gloss of verb by itself	
kù bén	'finish VPing'	'end, finish'	
kù †bísà	'VP more than'	'pass'	
kù ∱dírà	'VP and go away'	'walk, travel, leave'	
kù †kâ	'VP and come (back)'	'come'	
kù kóy	'VP and go'	'go'	

For *bìsà* in comparatives, see §9.7.7. The other cases in (565) are clearly iconic, the sequence of verb stems matching the sequence of events. For 'finish VPing' one can also use *bèn-ànd-à* 'end' with a following infinitival VP.

Most control verbs precede their infinitival complement (566). There is no sharp difference between a control verb and an ordinary high-frequency verb that happens to occur fairly often in infinitival combinations, but those in the list seem to be the most important. Almost all are also used in non-control sentences, but in some cases there is a semantic shift. After any verb, the variant of the infinitival morpheme $k\hat{u} + H \sim \hat{w} + H$ depends on the phonological form of the preceding and following morphemes. Control verbs ending in a consonant normally take $k\hat{u} + H$ regardless of the following morpheme. Those ending in a vowel usually take $\hat{w} + H$, except for transitives before 3Sg object $\hat{\eta}$, which requires $k\hat{u} + H$.

(566) Control verbs that precede the infinitival VP

```
verb + Infin
                                                 gloss of simple verb
                         gloss
a. modal
   hìmà kù ...
                         'ought to VP'
                                                 'resemble'
   hín kù ...
                         'be able to, can VP'
                                                 'be stronger than' (hín VO)
b. temporal/aspectual
                         'finish VPing'
   bèn-ànd-à kù ...
                                                 'finish'
   béy kù...
                         'have ever VP-ed'
                                                 'know' (béy)
   háw kù ...
                         'be about to VP'
                                                 'tie' (háw)
   kò-kòrù kù ...
                         'VP finally'
                                                 'be last, recent'
   síntíŋ kù ...
                         'begin to VP'
                                                 'begin' (síntîŋ)
   tárú kù ...
                         'VP promptly'
                                                 'hasten' (tárú)
c. failure
   mòngò kù ...
                         'be unable to VP'
                                                 'fail, be unable'
                         'fail to VP'
   ién kù ...
                                                 'fail (to do)' (j\hat{e}n \sim j\hat{e}\eta)
   dìnòw kù ...
                         'forget to VP'
                                                 'forget'
d. motion
   kà kù ...
                         'come and VP'
                                                 'come'
                         'go and VP'
   kóy kù ...
                                                  'go' (kóy)
   tùn kù ...
                         'get up and VP'
                                                  'get up'
                         'VP again, re-VP'
   vò kù...
                                                  'go back' (yê, note tone)
   \sim y \dot{e} k \dot{u} (optional before k \dot{u} + H variant)
e. time of day
   hánáná kù ...
                         'do at night'
                                                 'stay up at night'
                         'do at mid-day'
                                                  'spend mid-day' (hâ:y)
   há:v kù ...
   wé:té kù ...
                         'do in morning'
                                                  'spend the morning' (wé:tê)
   wòymèy kù ...
                         'do in late PM'
                                                  'spend the evening'
```

~ yàddà kù ...

```
f. desiderative and volitional
   bà kù ...
                        'want to VP'
                                                'want, like'
   (bùŋ-ò) gá:bì kù ... 'try hard to VP'
                                                'force oneself'
   gùnà kù ...
                        'try to VP'
                                                'look'
   hénsé kù ...
                        'VP on purpose'
                                                'do on purpose' (hénsê)
                        'consent to VP'
   tà kù ...
                                                'take, accept' (cf. yèddà)
   márjí kù ...
                        'VP together'
                                                'assemble' (márjí)
   wínjí kù ...
                        'refuse to VP'
                                                'refuse' (wínjî etc.)
       ~ wúnjí kù ...
                        'consent to VP'
                                                'consent' (cf. tà)
   yèddà kù ...
```

Examples showing coindexed subjects are $b\hat{a}$ [\hat{w} $\uparrow n\hat{a}$:] 'want to eat', $g\hat{u}n\hat{a}$ [\hat{w} $z(g\hat{i})$ 'try to go up', $h\acute{e}ns\acute{e}$ [\hat{w} ' $n\acute{e}y$ ' $k\acute{a}r\acute{u}$] 'hit me intentionally', $t\hat{a}$ [\hat{w} $k\acute{o}y$] 'accept to go', $w\acute{i}nj\acute{i}$ [\hat{w} $\uparrow g\acute{o}r\acute{o}$] 'refuse to sit', and $y\grave{e}dd\hat{a}$ [$k\acute{u}$] = \acute{n} $y\grave{a}$:ri] 'consent to take it'. With $g\acute{a}$: $b\grave{i}$, an overt reflexive object is needed (567).

```
(567) \acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{y} \acute{b}\grave{u}\eta - \grave{o} \acute{g}\acute{a}: \acute{b}\grave{i} [\grave{w} \acute{z}(\acute{g}\acute{l})] 3SgS=Tr head-3SgP force [Infin go.up] 'He/She struggled (=made a strong effort) to go up.'
```

To the extent that these verbs allow different-subject complements, subjunctive clauses are used (§9.6.1).

9.7.3 Modal serial verbs

For the list of verbs, see (566a) in §9.7.2 above. Examples are $him \hat{a} [\hat{w} \uparrow dir\hat{a}]$ 'ought to walk (or go away)' and $hin [k\hat{u} \uparrow n\hat{a}:]$ 'be able to eat'. Since hin ends in a consonant, it optionally omits $k\hat{u}$ before $k\acute{o}y$ 'go' and $k\hat{a}$ 'come', but even so the floating H of $k\hat{u} + H$ is audibly realized on 'come', as in $hin (k\hat{u}) \uparrow k\hat{a}$ 'can come', see the beginning of §9.7 above.

For deontic (and epistemic) 'must' see §9.6.2.

9.7.4 Temporal/aspectual control verbs

For the list of verbs, see (566b) in §9.7.2 above.

Examples are bèn-ànd-à [w fárù] 'finish farming', béy [(kù) kóy] 'have ever gone' (more common in the negative: màŋ béy [kù kóy] 'have never gone'), háw [kù déré] 'nearly get lost, be on the verge of getting lost', kò-kòrù [w fkâ] 'come at last, be the last to come', síntíŋ [kù flâ:lâ] 'start to be naughty', tárú [kù gúndê] 'get pregnant quickly'. There are no different-subject counterparts (in these or related senses).

9.7.5 Failure control verbs

For the list of verbs, see (566c) in §9.7.2 above. Examples are $m \grave{o} g \grave{o} [k \acute{u} \uparrow = \acute{\eta} d \grave{n}]$ 'fail (=be unable) to catch it', $j \acute{e} n [k \grave{u} \uparrow k \acute{a} t \acute{e} k \grave{a} t \acute{b} - \grave{e} - p \grave{o} \eta]$ 'fail to bring my money', and $d \grave{n} \grave{o} w [k \grave{u} \uparrow n \acute{e} y \lq n \acute{o} t \uparrow c \acute{e} r \acute{e} \acute{e} s \grave{e} y]$ 'forget to give me lunch'.

HS does not use a serial-verb construction for 'VP very much' (compare KS serial verb *hansa ka* ... 'do very much'). Instead, HS uses any of several postverbal adverbs or adverbial phrases such as *sánné* 'very much'.

9.7.6 Motion and time-of-day verbs as serial verbs

For the list of verbs see (566d-e) in §9.7.2 above

Other examples with motion verbs show a sequential ordering of the motion and the other event ('go/come and then VP'). Examples are $k\grave{a}$ [\grave{w} $g\acute{o}y$] 'come and work', $k\acute{o}y$ [$k\acute{u}\uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ $g\grave{u}n\grave{a}$] 'go look for him/her/it', and $t\grave{u}n$ [$k\grave{u}\uparrow h\acute{n}-\grave{a}$] 'get up to cook'. When the motion is simultaneous with the activity, instead of an infinitival VP we get a fully-inflected imperfective second clause; see (527b) in §9.5.3.

With the time-of-day verbs, the sense is that the activity denoted by the infinitival VP takes place within the temporal limits defined by the serial verb. The activity may be durative, as in $h\acute{a}n\acute{a}n\acute{a}$ [\grave{w} $g\^{a}$:n] 'dance all night', in which case the construction is interchangeable with an alternative construction where a finite imperfective clause appears instead of a (subject-less) infinitival VP; see (527a) in §9.5.3. However, the infinitival construction can also be used when a punctual event takes place at some point (unspecified or unknown) within the larger time interval, as in (568).

In this case, the best paraphrase would be of the type $n \circ n \uparrow = n' w i$: 'they killed him/her' followed by c i g i n - o' + H '(at) night' in adverbial function. The other time-of-day verbs, such as $h \hat{a} : y$ 'do during the middle of the day', have similar combinatory possibilities.

9.7.7 Comparative constructions

9.7.7.1 Asymmetrical comparisons

The productive, all-purpose asymmetrical comparative construction involves verb bìsà 'pass, surpass'. If the comparison is with reference to an act, capability, or quality expressable as a VP, bisà occurs in a serial-verb construction along with the substantive VP. When the comparanda are reduced to the focalized interrogative fô 'which?', whether or not the comparanda appear explicitly in a presentential topical NP, bisà precedes the other VP (569). In (569b) the comparanda are in direct-object function in the substantive VP.

```
(569)
         a. [m a ng \acute{o}r - \acute{o} \uparrow] = \acute{n} d \mathring{u}
                                                   ¶fálf-ò]
              [mango-Fin/DefSg=and
                                                  wild.grape-Fin/DefSg]
              ?à-fó
                                     bìsà
                                                 ſŵ
                                                             kâ:n]
              Absol-which?
                                     pass
                                                            be.sweet]
                                                 [Infin
              'Which of mangoes and wild grapes is sweeter?'
```

```
b. ?à-fó
                       ⁴gâ
                                          [bá:b-ù]
                                [ŋòŋ
    Absol-which?
                      Focus [3Pl
                                          father-3PossSg]
    bìsà
                     \lceil k u \uparrow = \eta
                                           ¹kárú]
                     [Infin=3SgO
                                           hit]
   pass
```

'Which one (=which child) did their father hit the most?'

When the comparanda are not reduced to 'which?', the second comparandum appears as a postverbal direct object of bisà, which functions here as a VO verb (§6.1.7). When the comparanda function as subject of the substantive VP, the bisà VP may precede the substantive VP (570).

```
(570)
       a. màngór-ò
                                 ŵ
                                            1 bísà
                                                         fàlf-ò
           mango-Fin/DefSg
                                 Impf
                                                         wild.grape-Fin/DefSg
                                            pass
           Γŵ
                          kâ:n]
           [Infin
                          be.sweet]
           'Mangoes are sweeter than wild raisins.'
```

b.
$$i \uparrow = \uparrow i$$
 bìsà $n \circ n$ [kù $k \circ i : j$ 1SgS=Impf pass 3PlO [Infin be.long] 'I am taller than they (are).'

Alternatively, the bisà VP may follow the substantive VP. This is possible when the comparanda function as subject of the substantive VP (571a), and obligatory when they occur in non-subject functions (571b).

(571) a.
$$i / = i / thám-ó dúmbú [w / bísà nî]$$
 $1 \text{SgS=Tr} \text{ meat-Fin/DefSg} \text{ cut} [\text{Infin} \text{ pass} 2 \text{Sg}]$
'I cut up more meat than you-Sg (did).'

b.
$$ba:b-ey-ndi\uparrow = \acute{\eta}$$
 ney $kar\acute{u}$ $[\grave{w}$ $\uparrow bis\grave{a}$ $ni]$ father-1SgP-1PIP=Tr 1SgO hit [Infin pass 2Sg] 'Our father hit me more than (he hit) you-Sg.'

In the construction of type (571a-b), the second comparandum is of course explicit (the postverbal object of *bìsà*), but there may be an ambiguity as to which of two NPs in the preceding substantive clause is the first comparandum. This is finessed in (571a) since 'you-Sg' is more naturally compared with 'I' than with 'meat' (except in horror films), and in (571b) where 'our father' with (presumably inclusive) 1Pl possessor makes it more natural for 'you-Sg' to be compared to the sibling 'me' than to the father. In (572), however, the ambiguity is more apparent and can only be resolved by contextual inference.

With some verbs of adjectival quality, instead of bisa one can use instrumental ndu + H as a 'than' particle. For $z\acute{e}$:" 'get old, be old', any of the constructions in (573) is possible.

(573) a. à
$$\dot{w}$$
 $\uparrow bisà$ $?áy$ $[k\dot{w}$ $z\acute{e}:^n]$ 3SgS Impf pass 1Sg [Infin get.old] '3Sg is older than I (am).'

b.
$$\grave{a}$$
 $z\acute{e}$:ⁿ $[k\grave{u}$ $\uparrow b\acute{s}\grave{a}$ $?\^{a}y]$ 3SgS get.old [Infin pass 1Sg] $[=(a)]$

c.
$$\grave{a}$$
 $z\acute{e}:^n = [\acute{n}d\grave{u}$ $?\^{a}y]$
3SgS get.old=[than 1Sg]
[=(a)]

In some cases it is possible to use a NP (in indefinite form) instead of the substantive VP. This NP then appears as an **unmarked postverbal NP** (§6.1.8) following *bìsà*.

(574)
$$i\uparrow = \uparrow i$$
 bìs-â jáwdî
1SgS=Impf pass-3SgO wealth
'I surpass him/her in wealth'

Other NPs that are attested as postverbal NPs in this construction are $g\acute{a}:b\grave{i}$ 'strength', $n\grave{a}nd\grave{e}$ $b\acute{o}ry-\grave{a}:+H$ 'beautiful wife', and $n\grave{e}yn-\grave{o}+H$ 'millet'. In HS, this construction seems to be confined to NPs involving possessions (concrete or abstract). Expressions involving simple adjectival qualities like 'I am taller than him/her' are sometimes expressed by construction of type (574) in other Songhay languages ('I surpass

him/her (in) length'), but in HS they are expressed by the serial construction with substantive VP as in (570) above.

In interrogatives, reciprocal *cèrè* (originally 'friend') can be used as a place-holder for the second comparandum (575).

(575) [?áy = ńdù nî] méy †bísà cèrè kà:tíb-èy
[1Sg=and 2SgS] who? pass friend riyal-DefPl
'Which of you-Sg and me has more money?'
(lit., "[I and you-Sg], which passes friend (in) riyals?")

10 Anaphora, logophorics, and reported speech

10.1 Reported speech and logophoric pronouns

10.1.1 Reported speech and thoughts

There is no consistent formal difference between reported speech and reported thoughts. Both are readily expressed by a quotative frame involving the defective verb $n\hat{e}$ 'say', e.g. \hat{a} $n\hat{e}$ 'he/she said (or thought)'. In the case of speech addressed to an interlocutor, $n\hat{e}$ may be followed by a dative postpositional phrase, e.g. \hat{a} ' $n\hat{e}$ s- \hat{e} y 'he/she said to me'. Datives do not typically occur in thought quotations, but articulate thoughts can be envisaged as self-directed (inner) speech. For the simple quotative 'say' verbs $n\hat{e}$ and $c\hat{i}$ (the latter is required in the imperative) and their morphosyntax, and for transitive $h\hat{a}r\hat{u}$ 'tell (sth, to sb)', see §6.1.9.

The verb $n\hat{e}$ 'say' is often followed by the particle combination $k\hat{e}y$ $d\hat{e}y$ or by the particle $h\hat{a}$:". These particles should be disregarded in a free translation. The sequence $n\hat{e}$ $k\hat{e}y$ $d\hat{e}y$ or $n\hat{e}$ $h\hat{a}$:" may be followed by a brief pause, allowing the speaker to pause before uttering the quoted matter. There is interspeaker variation in usage of these particles (§9.5.8.2). An overt 'that' complementizer $g\hat{a}$ (§9.5.8.1) is possible but uncommon after $n\hat{e}$ in quotative complements.

10.1.2 Logophorics and demonstrative shifts in reported speech

Reported speech (in this inclusive sense) can be "direct," with no change in the original deictics. Usually, however, the pronominals and (to a lesser extent) spatiotemporal deictics are adjusted to the here-and-now of the speech event, and logophoric pronouns replace original 1Sg and 1Pl pronouns if the attributed speaker is not a current speech-event participant (speaker or listener). Since HS lacks a tense system, there is no need to modify the indicative MAN morphemes. However, reported imperatives are normally expressed as (jussive) subjunctive complements (§9.6.3).

Logophorics are not used to coindex pronominals with a first or second person attributed speaker (576).

(576) a.
$$ni$$
 ' $n\hat{e}$ [$\emptyset = \uparrow n\acute{a}m$ ' $\uparrow k\^{a}$]

2Sg say [2SgS=Fut come]

'You-Sg said you are/were coming.'

10.1.3 Syntax of logophorics

In (577a) but not (577b) the subject of the quoted clause is coindexed to the speaker to whom the quotation is attributed (here, the subject of $n\hat{e}$). This is expressed by using a 3Full pronoun in logophoric function in (577a), versus an ordinary (clitic) third person pronominal in (577b). Although HS does not distinguish pronominal gender, I opportunistically use 'she' and 'he' in free translations as referential indexes. In interlinears, "Logo" is used instead of "3Full" when the semantics is clearly logophoric.

b. à né [à sù hín [kù
$$\hbar$$
3]] [3SgS

'She_x said that he_y cannot (or: could not) come.'

A logophoric pronoun may occur in any syntactic position in the quoted material, and at any degree of syntactic embedding, as long as it is coindexed to the attributed source of the quotation. The logophoric is a possessor of the direct object in (578a), and a direct object in a doubly-embedded clause in (578b).

'She_x says that you told your younger sibling to insult her_x.'

With doubly embedded quotations of type (578b), if both the higher- and lower-level attributed speakers are third persons, a logophoric in the second embedded clause has ambiguous reference (579a). One can even have two logophorics in the same clause, with distinct antecedents from different clauses (579b).

```
b. à
                    nê
                                [[?áŋgâ
                                                 bà:b-ù]
                                                                           nê
    3SgS
                    say
                                [[LogoSgP
                                                 father-3PossSg]
                                                                          say
                                               ¹kárú]]
    [?áŋgá
                                ?áŋgá
                   nàm
    [Logo
                  Fut
                                LogoSg
                                              hit]]
    'She<sub>x</sub> said that her<sub>x</sub> father<sub>y</sub> said that he<sub>y</sub> will hit her<sub>x</sub>.'
    or: '...that shex will hit himy.'
```

When the relevant position is some distance from the quotation introduction, the logophoric use of morphological 3Full pronouns is less rigorous in HS than in, say, KCh. Use of logophorics is most rigorous examples like (577a), and with respect to the 'her father' constituent in (579a-b). In cases like (578a-b), elicitated examples show variation between logophoric (morphologically, 3Full) pronouns and simple third person clitics. In the doubly embedded quotations of (579), the use of a logophoric pronoun is most frequent when the subject of the clause is coindexed with the syntactically nearest attributed speaker ('father').

10.1.4 Discourse functions of logophorics and narrative fade-out

Since the $n\hat{e}$ 'say' phrase occurs at the beginning of a chunk of reported speech and is not intermittently repeated, if the quotation is long (e.g. an anecdote or story) it may not be obvious where the quotation ends; that is, at what point the here-and-now speaker ceases to qualify the content as hearsay (attributed to another person). Sprinkling logophorics throughout the quoted discourse reminds listeners periodically that the here-and-now speaker is not responsible for the truth of the content. This is especially important in recounting a lengthy but contentious or controversial statement by another person. However, in less uncomfortable situations, logophorics may fade into regular third person pronouns after the first few occurrences.

10.2 Reflexives and reciprocals

10.2.1 Compound reflexives with bòŋ 'head'

Except in reflexive possessive function, on which see below, reflexives are normally expressed using definite possessed forms of the singular noun $b \delta y$ 'head'. The syntax is detailed in §10.2.5 below. The paradigm with pronominals is shown in (580).

(580) Pronominal paradigm of reflexive bòŋ

	1st	2nd	3rd	3Full
Sg	bùŋ-èy	bùw ⁿ -àŋ	bùw ⁿ -ò ∼ ?áηgá bòη	?áŋgá bòŋ
Pl	bùŋ-èy-ndì	bùw ⁿ -àn-dôŋ	nàn ∱bôn ~ ǹjéy bòn	njéy bòn

The paradigm has affinities both to that of inalienably possessed 'head' and to that of the etymologically related postposition $b \delta \eta$ 'on' (§5.9.5). Like the postposition, the reflexive forms are based on singular 'head' even when the possessor is plural, whereas in the literal sense 'head' one would expect plural 'heads' with plural possessors (cf. English *themselves*, *ourselves*). However, the 3Sg form of the postposition is $ab\delta \eta$ 'on him/her/it', which does not occur in reflexive function. The usual 3Sg reflexive form $b u u^n - \delta$ is identical the form for 'his/her head'.

The simple third person reflexives, $3\text{Sg }b\grave{u}w^n$ - \grave{o} and $3\text{Pl }n\grave{o}\eta$ $\uparrow b\^{o}\eta$, are optionally replaced by their 3Full counterparts $2\acute{a}n\acute{g}\acute{a}$ $b\grave{o}\eta$ and $n\acute{j}\acute{e}y$ $b\grave{o}\eta$, respectively. The latter are obligatory in logophoric function.

10.2.2 3Full possessor pronouns in reflexive function

A compact third person reflexive form is expressed by using a 3Full form such as 3FullSg ?áŋgâ (without bòŋ 'head'), instead of a simple third person clitic. This is typical of constructions of the type 'X found X's dog', where a pronominal is coindexed to the clause-mate subject, but functions as possessor of a nonsubject NP rather than, say, object or adpositional complement. For more details on the syntax see §10.2.5.

10.2.3 Conjunction of pronoun with its own reflexive

An independent pronoun can be conjoined to its reflexive counterpart. The sense is the emphatic '(by) myself', 'by ourselves', etc., implying that no-one else was involved. The left conjunct has the same form it has in other conjunctions; 1Pl yérî therefore may contract phonologically, but other pronouns have their full independent form. The reflexive is based on bòŋ 'head'; it takes 1st/2nd person inalienable suffixal morphology. For third person, the left conjunct is in 3Full form because it in in independent form, and the possessor of 'head' as second conjunct also uses the 3Full form because it is reflexive. 'Head' remains singular regardless of pronominal number.

- (581) a. $y = [i du] \hbar u v i du]$ y = i du i + i du i i du i
 - b. $n\dot{j}\acute{e}y = [\acute{n}d\grave{u} \quad [\acute{n}\acute{j}\acute{e}y \quad b\grave{o}n]] \quad g\acute{a} = \acute{n} \quad {}^{t}\acute{h}\acute{o}:r-\acute{o} \quad t\acute{e}:$ 3FullPl=[with [3FullPlP head] Focus=Tr fun-Fin/DefSg do 'It was they themselves [focus] who did (=organized) the festivities.'
 - c. wàráŋ = [ńdù /búw-àn-dòŋ]
 2Pl=[with head-2SgP-2PlP]
 'you yourselves'

10.2.4 Reflexive verbs (absent)

HS has no reflexive verbs of the KCh type.

10.2.5 Syntax of reflexives

10.2.5.1 Clause-internal syntax of reflexives

Like logophorics and reciprocals, reflexives involve coindexing a pronominal to a "higher" NP. For reflexives, this is specifically the clause-mate subject. The compound reflexive type (§10.2.1) is used when the coindexed pronominal is direct object, dative adpositional complement, or instrumental complement within the same clause (582).

- (582) a. a / = f $b u w^n \delta$ d u m b u3SgS=Tr head-3SgP cut
 'He cut himself.' (also: ... [2ángá bòn] d u mb u)
 - b. à sí: ná:néy †búwⁿ-ò 3SgS ProgrNeg trust head-3SgP 'He does not trust himself.' (also ... [?ángá bòn])
 - c. $\acute{a} \uparrow = \acute{\eta}$ $\acute{h}i:r-\grave{o}$ $\uparrow \acute{h}\acute{e}ns\grave{e}$ $[b\grave{u}w^n-\grave{o}$ $s\^{e}]$ 3SgS=Tr necklace-Fin/DefSg make [head-3SgP Dat] 'He made the necklace for himself.' (also ... $[\acute{a}ng\acute{a}\ b\grave{o}n]\ s\^{e}$)
 - d. à fóká:réy = [índù / búw²-ò]
 3SgS converse=[with head-3SgP]
 'She is conversing with herself.' (also ... índù [?áŋgá bòŋ])

In serial-verb constructions, the subject NP of the first verb appears to bind a reflexive in the infinitival VP. However, since this is a same-subject construction it could be that the (logical but unexpressed) subject of the infinitival VP is directly responsible for the binding (583).

Compound reflexives with 'head' are not used in possessor function. 1st/2nd person possessors have their regular nonreflexive form in clauses like 'I found my dog' and 'you found your dog'. For third persons, either the regular nonreflexive forms (3Sg and 3Pl) or, in reflexive function, 3FullSg and 3FullPl pronouns are used. There may be a semantic nuance distinguishing the two possibilities for third-person possessors (584a-b).

One speaker suggested that (584a) might occur when the individual in question has lost his dog and later found it ($d\hat{u}$ can be translated either as 'get, obtain, win' or as 'find'), while (584b) might occur when the individual has finally obtained a dog that suits him well.

The most reliable syntactic frame for eliciting short reflexives is conjunctions of the type 'X and [X's Y]' (585).

10.2.5.2 Reflexive subjects in relative clauses

In nonsubject (e.g. object) relative clauses, a speaker can optionally use 3Full instead of simple third person pronominals to indicate coindexation of the relative-clause subject with the matrix-clause subject. The head of the relative clause is not involved in the coindexation. The simple 3Sg form may also be used.

(586) à dí:
$$k \delta$$
-t-íy-à: 3SgS see child-Dimin-Fin/DefSg $g \acute{a}$ '?áŋg $\acute{a} = \acute{\eta} = \acute{\eta}$ 'kár \acute{u} Rel 3FullSgS=Tr=3SgO hit 'She_x saw the boy whom she_x had hit.'

10.2.6 Reciprocals (cer + H, cere)

The reciprocal noun is $c\dot{e}r + H \sim k\dot{e}r + H$ when nonfinal in a phrase, and $c\dot{e}r\dot{e} \sim k\dot{e}r\dot{e}$ when final in a phrase (see below for details). It is presumably related historically to $c\dot{e}r\dot{e}$ 'friend, companion', on which see (160a) in §4.2.2.1 and (169b) in §4.2.2.3.

Reciprocals involve two or more participants. If the set consists of just two individuals, the eventuality (e.g. 'hit', 'look at', 'give money to') must be two-way. If a set of more than two individuals are involved, the eventuality need not be predicated of all possible pairs in both directions, but some reasonable minimum of instances of the eventuality (preferably indiscriminate) is necessary. When two sets or more sets of individuals are engaged collectively ('the French and British armies fought each other'), each instance of the eventuality involves one member of the first

set engaged with one member of the second set. In exceptional instances the noncommutative logic of a verb makes it impossible for any given pair of individuals to be involved in two-way reciprocity, as in giving birth, but the reciprocal is used loosely (587).

(587) dá:b-èy †gú '†cêr háy animal-DefPl Impf RecipO bear '(The) animals give birth to each other.'

10.2.7 Morphosyntax of reciprocals

To begin with, the reciprocal noun is used when the reciprocally coindexed plural NPs consist of the subject of a clause, and either a nonsubject constituent (object, complement of PP) or the possessor of a nonsubject constituent.

When the reciprocal noun occurs at the end of a phrase, i.e. when it is the postverbal object of a VO transitive verb (588a) or the complement of the instrumental-comitative preposition $\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H$ (588b), the form is bisyllabic $\grave{c}\grave{e}r\grave{e}\sim k\grave{e}r\grave{e}$.

- (588) a. nòn dí: †cérè 3PIS see Recip 'They saw each other.'
 - b. $w \circ \uparrow = \dot{w}$ $\uparrow \eta \dot{a} := [\dot{n} \dot{d} \dot{u}$ $\uparrow \dot{c} \dot{e} \dot{e} \dot{e}]$ 2PIS=Impf eat=[with Recip] 'You-Pl eat together.'
 - c. yò /dínòw cèrè
 1PIS forget Recip
 'We forgot each other.'

When the reciprocal noun occurs nonfinally in a phrase, it takes the reduced form $c\dot{e}r + H \sim k\dot{e}r + H$. This is the case when the reciprocal functions as preverbal object of an OV transitive verb (589a), as complement of a postposition (589b), or possessor of a noun (589c).

- (589) a. $nón \uparrow = \acute{n}$ cèr kárú
 3PIS=Tr Recip hit
 'They hid-Past each other (=they fought).'
 - b. yò †gá:rà [cèr sê]
 1PIS bless [Recip Dat]
 'We blessed each other.'

- c. $y \circ \uparrow = \dot{w}$ [' $\uparrow c \hat{e}r$?iz- $\hat{e}y$] $b \acute{e}y$ 1PIS=Impf [Recip child-3PossPI] know 'We (each) know each other's children.'
- d. vò nó Γŵ [1cér ⁴sê] góy 1PIS Progr [Infin work[verb] [Recip Dat] [[cèr 1 fá:⁴r-êy] gà] field-3PossPl] [[RecipP in 'We work for each other in each other's fields.'
- e. nòŋ /gú '/cêr /fá:bà
 3PlS Impf Recip help
 'They help each other.'
- f. nòn †cín'dî [cèr †bándè]
 3PlS remain [Recip behind]
 'They stayed with each other.'

With transitive (or VO) verbs like 'see' and 'put', we can get reciprocal adpositional phrases that take a plural direct object, rather than the subject, as antecedent (590).

(590) *i* dí: nón [cèr / bándè]
1SgS see 3PlO [Recip behind]
'I saw them together (=with each other).'

In more complex embeddings, informants used forms other than simple $c rec{e}r(e + H)$, namely possessed forms of han-sin 'companion', in reciprocal-like contexts (591).

- (591) a. [bòr↓ kúl] sù ↑bâ

 person all ImpfNeg want

 [[?áŋgá hàn-sìn-ó] = ý dù]

 [3FullSgP companion-3PossSg]=Subju get]

 'None of them wants the other (lit. "his companion") to win.'
 - b. ?ì-fó:-kámá ⁴nê hàn-sìn-ó ⁴sê] [?áŋgá Absol-one-each [3FullSgP companion-3PossSg Dat] say $\int a \uparrow = \eta$ ¹húrâ jínáŋ] [3SgS=Subju first] enter 'Each (of them) said to the other, that he, should go in first.'

10.3 Generic and indefinite reference

10.3.1 'Person' ($b \grave{o} r + H$) and 2Sg pronouns

The expressions for generic human referent are the 2Sg pronoun (592a), and the impersonal $b \partial r + H$, related to the noun $b \partial r - \partial + H$ 'person' (592b). The usual nonfinal form of 'person' (e.g. before an adjective or numeral) is $b \partial r$, but we also get L-toned in $b \partial r \not k \hat{a}$ 'anyone who ...'.

- (592) a. $\hat{\eta}$ ∱gú ⁴hín [kù 1 dú 2SgS **Impf** be.able [Infin get $[k u \uparrow = n]$ [hà: kúl] ${}^{4}g\acute{a} = {}^{1}\acute{\eta}$ *⁴*1bó wúri]] all] Rel=2SgS XImpf [Infin=3SgO seek]] 'You-Sg can get anything you want.'
 - b. bòr †gú 'hín [kù dór-ó †hâŋ]
 person Impf be.able [Infin beer-Fin/DefSg drink]
 'Someone can drink (millet) beer.'

In my data, *bòr* has 3Sg (and, for reflexives, 3FullSg) rather than 2Sg agreement, as in 'someone_x can leave his/her_x dog here'.

(593) bòr †gú 'hín [kù †kátè [?áŋgá cèrâ]]
person Impf be.able [Infin bring [3FullSgP friend.PossSg]]
'One can bring one's (own) friend.'

10.3.2 Indefinite human $k \acute{o} y - \acute{o} + H$ as informal discourse-anaphoric

The noun $k \delta y - \delta + H$ 'the owner' (hence 'the aforementioned fellow') can be used to denote an indefinite human referent that has recently been introduced into the discourse (594). Compare French *l'intéressé*, English *the fellow*, etc.

(594) $b \partial r - \delta \uparrow = \eta$ zèv nèy cíjíŋ, person-Fin/DefSg =Tr 1SgO rob night, hál ì ⁴kóy-ó, 1 dú if 1SgS owner-Fin/DefSg, get à† sù 1 bórì Γà sê] 3SgS **ImpfNeg** be.good [3Sg Dat] 'Somebody robbed me last night; if I get (ahold of) the fellow, it won't be pleasant for him.'

10.4 Sloppy (partial) coindexation

Serial constructions with infinitival VPs require complete coindexation of the two (logical) subject NPs (595a). If the coindexation is partial (sloppy), alternative expressions such as subjunctive constructions are used (595b).

(595) a.
$$i \uparrow = \uparrow i$$
 $i \uparrow b \hat{a}$ [\hat{w} $\uparrow d \hat{r} \hat{a}$] 1SgS=Impf want Infin walk 'I want to go away.'

b.
$$i / = /i$$
 $' / b\hat{a}$ $[y \circ / = /i]$ $c \circ r$ $/ k \acute{u}b \circ y]$ 1SgS=Impf want [1PIS=Subju friend meet] 'I want for us (=you and me) to meet.'

10.4.1 Sloppy coindexation in reflexives

Constructions of the type 'X hit Y' have compound reflexives like 1Sg $2\acute{a}y$ $b\grave{o}y$ 'myself' when X and Y are exhaustively coindexed (§10.2.1). I have no examples or elicited data of the type 'they_{xy} hit himself_x' or 'he_x hit themselves_{xy}' with sloppy coindexation.

With noncompound (=simple) reflexives, 3Full pronouns are optionally used instead of simple 3rd person pronominals (§10.2.2). In this construction, a singular antecedent can trigger the optional use of 3FullPl in reflexive function if the plural pronominal denotes a set of which the antecedent is a member (596).

(596)
$$\acute{a} / = \acute{y}$$
 [$\grave{n} \acute{j} \acute{e} \acute{y}$ 'háns- \grave{o}] wí: 3SgS=Tr [3FullPl dog-3PossSg] kill 'He_x killed their_{xy} dog.'

This can also be expressed with simple $3Pl \, n \hat{o} \eta + H$ instead of $3FullPl \, \hat{n} j \hat{e} y$, which is not surprising since simple reflexive forms are often optional.

10.4.2 Sloppy coindexation in logophorics

A singular antecedent (attributed speaker) is commonly paired with a plural logophoric pronominal (597).

(597) à né [
$$\dot{n}$$
jéy nàm \dot{n} kâ] 3SgS say [LogoPlS Fut come] 'He_x said that they_{xy} (he_x and she_y) would come.'

10.4.3 Sloppy coindexation in relative clauses

HS does not like sloppy coindexation in relative clauses. In some other Songhay languages, one can use a plural form of the relative pronoun to indicate that the relativized NP strictly includes the referent of the head NP. In HS this would be $\#g\acute{a}-n\grave{o}\eta + H$ (cf. KS $ka\eta$ - $ya\eta$). However, in HS $n\grave{o}\eta + H$ is the 3Pl subject clitic, and the sequence $g\acute{a}$ $n\grave{o}\eta$ is common in such relatives as 'the woman whom they saw' ($w\grave{o}y$ - \grave{o} $g\acute{a}$ $n\grave{o}\eta$ $d\acute{i}y$ - $a\grave{o}$). Efforts to elicit $\#g\acute{a}$ - $n\grave{o}\eta + H$ in strict-inclusion cases were not successful.

Instead, when sloppy coindexation was involved, a "loose" relative clause using $m\acute{a}rj\acute{i}$ 'assemble, unite' as a serial verb ('do together') emerges. The relative-clause subject denotes the members of the set minus the one(s) denoted by the head NP, which is represented within the clause in the comitative form $\acute{n}d$ - \acute{a} 'with 3Sg' (598).

- (598) a. $w \dot{o} y \dot{o}$ $g \dot{a}$ $g \dot{a}$ g

References

- Christiansen-Bolli, Regula. 2010. A grammar of Tadaksahak, a Northern Songhay language of Mali. University of Leiden Ph.D. dissertation.
- Heath, Jeffrey. 1998a. *Dictionnaire songhay-anglais-français*. Vol. 3, *Koyraboro Senni*. (Langues d'Afrique 6). Paris: L'Harmattan.
- —. 1998b. *Texts in Koroboro Senni: Songhay of Gao, Mali.* (Wortkunst und Dokumentartexte in afrikanischen Sprachen 6). Köln: Köppe.
- —. 1999b. A grammar of Koyraboro (Koroboro) Senni: The Songhay of Gao, Mali. (Westfrikanische Studien Bd. 19). Köln: R. Köppe
- —. 2005. Tondi Songway Kiini (Songhay, Mali): Reference Grammar and TSK-English-French Dictionary. (Stanford Monographs in African Languages). Stanford: CSLI.
- —. 2007. Bidirectional case-marking and linear adjacency. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 25:83-101.
- —. 2010. Typology of clausal boundary marking devices. *Linguistic Typology* 14:127-51.
- —. 2011. Innovation of head-marking in Humburi Senni (Songhay, Mali). *Diachronica* 28(1):1-24.
- Nicolaï, Robert. 1979. Le songhay central. Études linguistiques (Niamey) 1(2)33-69.
- —. 1981. Les dialectes du Songhay. Paris: SELAF.
- Souag, Lameen. 2012. The subclassification of Songhay and its historical implications. *Journal of African Languages and Linguistics* 33(2):181-213.

Abbreviations and symbols

3PossSg form used by singular inalienably possessed noun with third person

possessor

3PossPl form used by plural inalienably possessed noun with third person

possessor

Absol absolute (prefix on adjective or numeral with no noun present)

Adj adjective
Agent agentive
C consonant
Caus causative
Centr centripetal

Char characteristic (nominal derivation)

Dat dative
Def definite
Dem demonstrative
DF discourse-functional

Dimin diminutive

DjCh Djenné Chiini, Songhay language (or dialect of KCh) of Djenné, Mali

Emph emphatic Fin/Def final/definite

Ful Fulfulde (language of the Fulbe people)

Fut future H high (tone)

+H followed by a floating H-tone H+ preceded by a floating H-tone

HS Humburi Senni, Songhay language of Hombori and surrounding area,

Mali

Impf imperfective Imprt imperative Indef indefinite

Infin infinitive (before VP)

KCh Koyra Chiini, Songhay language of Timbuktu, Goundam, Dire, Tonka,

and Niafounké, along Niger R. in Mali

KS Koyraboro Senni (aka Koroboro Senni), Songhay language of Gao,

Bamba, Bourem, and Ansongo, along Niger R. in Mali

L low (tone)
Logo logophoric
LP locational phrase

MAN mood-aspect-negation (clausal inflections, following the subject)

N a) nasal consonant; b) noun

Neg negative

NF nonfinal (form of noun before adjective or numeral)

NP noun phrase Num numeral

O object (in e.g. 1SgO = first singular object)

OVverb that follows a direct object

P possessor (in e.g. 1SgP = first singular possessor)

Partpl participle Perf perfective P1 plural **Poss** possessed

form used by singular alienably possessed noun PossSg PossP1 form used by plural alienably possessed noun

potential passive **PotPass** PP postpositional phrase Presv presentative (§7.2.3.1)

Prfct perfect Progr progressive Recip reciprocal reflexive Refl Rel relative marker **RON** Republic of Niger

a) subject (in e.g. 1SgS = first singular subject) S

b) sentence singular StDef strong definite

Sub subordinator (in §9.5.10 only)

Subju subjunctive

Sg

Tr transitive (bidirectional case marker between adjacent nonzero subject

and object)

TSK Tondi Songway Kiini, Songhay language spoken in Kikara and

surrounding villages north of Douentza, Mali

UnspecO unspecified-object (derivation from transitive verb)

vowel VblN verbal noun

VO verb that precedes the object noun

VP verb phrase

WTopic weak topic $(k \dot{e} y + H \sim k \dot{a} y + H)$, §8.4.3 extraction (X.be = 'be' after extraction) X

imperfective after extraction XImpf

```
tones (using x as prop)
           H[igh]
   ź
   à
           L[ow]
    â
           <HL>, i.e. falling
    ž
           <LH>, i.e. rising (not allowed in HS)
    x
           <LHL>, i.e. bell-shaped
other tone/pitch symbols
           downstep
    _
           weak downstep
           upstep
           tone-raising to H
    1
           tone-lowering to L
other symbols
    {...}
           a) encloses a stem- or word-level tone melody, e.g. {LHL};
           b) encloses a set, e.g. {u a i}
    #
           ungrammatical (followed by italicized transcription)
           reconstructed (followed by nonitalicized transcription)
    %
           phrasal boundary blocking Rightward Floating-H Docking, see (81).
```

Index of categories and terms

```
absolute (prefix when noun is absent)
    adjectives, §4.6.3
    numerals, §4.7.1
Adjectival Tone Raising, §3.9.4.2
adjectives, §4.6.2
    deverbal, §4.6.2.10
    verbs of adjectival quality, §4.6.1
adpositions, §5.9
    prepositions
        instrumental, comitative, 'and'
            with pronominals, §4.3.6
        'since' and 'until', §5.9.8
    postpositions (but 1st/2nd person pronominal suffixes)
        dative §5.9.2
            pronominal paradigm, (296) in §5.9.2
        locative, §5.9.4
            pronominal paradigm, (300) in §5.9.4
        'on', §5.9.5
            pronominal paradigm, (302) in §5.9.5
        'chez, at the place of', §5.9.6
            pronominal paradigm, (304) in §5.9.6
        of spatial position, §5.9.7.1-2
        temporal, §5.9.10
        focalized, §8.1.1.3
        in relative clauses, §8.3.3
adverbs, §9.3.1
    spatial, §5.13.1
    temporal, §5.13.2
    verbs with adverbial complements, §6.1.5
    focalized, §8.1.1.2
    adverbial relative clauses, §8.3.6, §8.3.11
adversative
    'but', §9.5.5
'again', §9.5.6.1
    with negation, §9.3.5
agentive, §4.5.7
    in compounds, §4.8.2, §4.9.7
alienable, see possession
'all', §5.4.3, §4.7.1
    with pronouns, §5.4.8
'also', §5.8.3
anaphora (see also "definite" and "coindexation))
    reflexives, §10.2-5
    reciprocals, §10.2.6
    logophorics, §10.1.1-4
```

```
Anticipatory HH-Dissimilation, §3.9.4.4
apposition, §5.10
approximative (with demonstrative), §4.4.5
aspect, see also "perfective," "imperfective," "perfect," "progressive"
    temporal/aspectual control verbs, (566b) in §9.7.2
    'begin', (566b) in §9.7.2
    'finish, (565) and (566b) in §9.7.2
    'be about to', (566b) in §9.7.2
    'finally do', (566b) in §9.7.2
    'do promptly', (566b) in §9.7.2
assimilation, §3.6.1-3
Atonal Suffix Spreading, §3.9.5.1
bahuvrihi compound, §4.8.3
'between', §5.9.7.2
body parts, (159) in §4.2.2.1
case, see "subject," "object," "adposition"
causative, §6.2.2
characteristic (nominal derivation), §4.5.5
'child', §4.1.3.5
    in compounds, §4.8.5
clitic
    subject and object pronominals, §4.3.4.1-6
    transitive =\hat{\eta}, §7.2.6
    instrumental-comitative = \acute{n}d\grave{u} + H, §6.2.5, §6.1.6
coindexation (see also "anaphora")
    sloppy coindexation, §10.4
color adjectives, §4.6.2.5-7
comitative, §5.11
comparatives, §9.7.7
    'than', §5.11.5
complement clauses
    indicative (factive) complements, §9.5.8-9
    subjunctive complements, §9.6
        subjunctive trigger, §9.6
        purposive subjunctive clause, §9.6.4, §9.6.6
    infinitival VP complements, §9.7
    'because', §9.5.7
compounds
    nominal compounds, §4.8
        agentive, §4.8.2
        bahuvrihi, §4.8.3.1-2
        tight versus loose, §4.8.1
        tones, §3.9.7
        containing a verb, §4.8.10-11
    compound verbs, §6.3
conditionals, §9.5.1
```

```
conjunction, §5.11.3-4
    clausal, §9.5.1.4
consonants, §3.1
    clusters, §3.5.7-8
contractions
    vowels, §3.7.1
control verbs, §9.7.2
currency, §5.4.7.1
dative
    postposition, §5.9.2
    verbs with dative complements, §6.1.4
    double datives, §9.1.4
definite
    definite plural, §4.1.1.2
    final/definite singular, §4.1.1.1
    strong definite, §5.7.1
degemination, §3.6.6
demonstratives, §4.4.1-5
    presentative verbs, §7.2.3.1-3
diminutives, §4.9
    adjectives, §4.6.2.8
    compounds (mostly noun-adjective), §4.9.4-7
    nouns, §4.9.1-3, §4.1.3.3
diphthongs, §3.3
    historical, §3.10.1
    deletion or preservation before suffix, §4.1.2.10
discourse-functional markers, §5.8
disjunction
    NPs, §5.12
    clauses, §9.5.4
dissimilation
    pitch (at word-boundary), §3.9.4.4
distal (demonstrative), §4.4.4
distributive
    'each, every', §5.4.3.2
    iteration of numerals, §5.4.4, §4.7.3
ditransitive, §6.1.4
downstep
    word-medial, §3.9.5.7
    at word boundary, §3.9.5.9
edge
    right-edge marking, §9.5.10
emphatic
    emphatic demonstratives, §4.4.5
    emphatic particles, §5.8.3, §8.5.1, §8.5.5
enclitic, see "clitic"
```

```
'even', §8.5.7
extraction
    focalization, §8.1-2
    relativization, §8.3
'female', §4.8.6
final/definite singular (nouns), §4.1.1.1
Final <HL> Flattening (final/definite nouns), §3.9.5.5
Final-L Delinking, §3.9.5.8
floating tones, §3.9.1.5
    following floating H
        after nouns, §4.1.1.1-2, §4.2.2.5, §5.5
        after pronominal clitics, §4.3.4.2
        after instrumental-comitative, §4.3.6
    preceding floating H
        before demonstratives, §5.6
        before strong definite, §5.7.1
        before 'be' quasi-verb, §7.1.2
    following floating L after delinking, §3.9.5.8
focalization, §8.1-2
    focus particle, §5.8.1
full (see pronouns)
future, §7.2.4.1
gender, see 'male' and 'female'
greetings, middle of §5.4.7.2
{H}/{HL} type (numerals), §4.1.2.2
{H}/{L} type (nouns), §4.1.2.3
\{HL\}/\{H\} type (nouns), §4.1.2.2
\{HL\}/\{L\} type (nouns), §4.1.2.3
Idiosyncratic Noun-Stem V-Lengthening, §3.7.5.2
imperatives, §7.3
imperfective
    imperfective positive, §7.2.1.5, §7.2.2
        with pronominal subject, §4.3.4.3
    imperfective negative, §7.2.1.3
    extraction-indexing, §7.2.4.2
indefinite
    indefinite plural, §5.4.11, §4.1.1.2
    complementary subsets of a set, §5.4.5
indicative
    indicative (factive) complements, §9.5.8-9
    juxtaposed indicative clauses, §9.5.3
infinitival VP, §9.7
instrumental-comitative, §5.11
    focalization, §8.1.1.4
intensifiers (adjectival), §9.2
```

```
interrogatives, §8.2
    polar (yes-no), §8.2.1
    embedded, §8.2.5
Intervocalic y/w-Insertion, §3.7.1.9
iteration (full reduplication)
    adjectives, §4.6.2.4
    distributive iteration of numerals, §4.7.3
jussive complement, §9.6.3
kinship terms, (160) in §4.2.2.1
    semi-segmentable and compound, §4.8.9
'know'
    complement clauses of, §8.2.5, §9.5.8.1
Leftward Floating-H Docking, §3.9.6.1
\langle LH \rangle \rightarrow H Flattening, §3.9.6.3
L.L.\langle HL \rangle \rightarrow L.H.L (final/definite forms of trisyllabic nouns), §3.9.6.2
locative
    postposition, §5.9.4
    locational phrase, §7.1.2, §5.13.1
logophorics, §10.1.1-4
'male', §4.8.6
metathesis, §3.6.5
mood and modality
    capability and incapability
        'can, be able to', (566a) in §9.7.2
        'be unable to' and 'fail to', (566c) in §9.7.2
    hortative, §9.6.7
    imperative, §7.3.1
        reported imperative (jussive), §9.6.3
    obligational
        'ought to', (566a) in §9.7.2
        'must', §7.1.3
    subjunctive, §7.2.1.4
    volition
        'want to', (566f) in §9.7.2
        'try to', (566f) in §9.7.2
        'do on purpose', (566c) in §9.7.2
        'consent to', (566c) in §9.7.2
        'refuse to', (566c) in §9.7.2
'mother' (pâ)
    in compounds, §4.8.5
motion
    motion verbs in serialization
        with following infinitival complement, (566a) in §9.7.2, §9.7.6
        with preceding infinitival complement, (565) in §9.7.2
nasalized vowels, §3.4
negation, §9.3.2-5
```

```
nominalization
    deverbal, §4.5 (see also verbal noun)
    unmarked postverbal NP, §6.1
numerals, §4.7
oaths, §8.5.6
objects, §9.1
    pronominal, §4.3.4.2
    of OV verbs, §6.1.3
    of VO verbs, §6.1.7
    of imperatives, §7.3.4
    focalized, §8.1.1.1
obligational, §7.1.3
'only', §5.8.3
OV transitives, §6.1.3
overwriting (tonal), §3.9.2
palatalization, §3.6.4
participle, §4.5.6
partitive, §5.4.10
partonyms, (159) in §4.2.2.1
passive
    resultative passive (-à), §6.2.3
    potential passive (-éyndí), §6.2.4
past
    cìndî 'was/were', §7.1.2
perception verbs
    with imperfective complements, §9.5.9.4
perfect, §7.2.5
perfective
    perfective positive (unmarked), §7.2.1.1, §7.2.2
    perfective negative, §7.2.1.2
person (pronominal), §4.3.1
plural
    nouns, see definite plural and indefinite plural
    pronouns, §4.3.2-3
    indefinite plural, §5.4.11, §4.1.1.2
    definite plural, §4.1.1.2, §5.5
possession
    alienable possession, §4.2
    inalienable possession, §4.2.2
    possessive predicates, §7.1.4
    possessor relatives, §8.3.5
postposition, see adposition
potential passive, see "passive"
preposition, see adposition
presentative (verbs), §7.2.3.1-3
```

```
Presuffixal Cv: Tone-Raising (monosyllabic noun before suffix), §3.9.3
progressive, §7.2.4.3-6
Pronominal-Clitic Upstep, §3.9.4.3
pronouns, §4.3
    3Full pronouns, §4.3.1, §8.4.2
        possessors, §4.2.1.5
        logophoric function, §10.1.1-4
        reflexive function, §10.2.2
    quantification over, §5.4.8
purposive
    infinitival VPs as purposives, ¶9.7.1
quantification, §5.4
    'all', §5.4.3, §4.7.1
        with pronouns, §5.4.8
    weak quantifiers (e.g. 'many'), §5.4.6
    events ('X times'), §5.4.9
    and negation, §9.3.3
quotation
    'say' and 'tell' verbs, §6.1.9
reciprocal, §10.2.6-7
    'do together', (566f) in §9.7.2
reduplication (see also iteration)
    adjectives, §4.6.2.5
reflexives, §10.2.105
relativization, §8.3
resultative passive, see "passive"
rhetorical pitch dropping, §3.9.4.5.
Rightward Floating-H Docking, §3.9.5.2
Rightward H-Spreading (word boundary), §3.9.5.3
serialization (verbs, VPs), §9.7
similative
    'like', §8.5.4
    'believing that...', §9.5.8.3
    'resemble', §8.5.4.2
    'the likes of X', §8.5.4.3
strong definite, §5.7.1
subjects
    referentiality, §6.1.1
    pronominal, §4.3.4.2
    of imperatives, §7.3.1-3
    focalized, §8.1.2
subjunctive, §7.2.1.4
syllabification, §3.5
Syncope, §3.7.3
temporal, see "time"
tense, see "future," "past"
```

```
time, §5.4.7.2
    temporal/aspectual control verbs, (566b) in §9.7.2
    time-of-day verbs, (566e) in §9.7.2
'together'
    'do together', (566f) in §9.7.2
Tonal Rhythm, §3.9.4.1
tones, §3.9
    lexical tones, §3.9.1.1
    floating, §3.9.1.5
    derivation by tone shift, (330) in §6.2.1
    derivation by suffix plus tone overlay, §6.2.2-4
'too', §5.8.3
topicalization
    topic particle, §8.4.1, §5.8.2
    weak topic, §8.4.3
transitive
    transitive verbs, §6.1.3
    ditransitive verbs, §6.1.4
    "transitive" morpheme (bidirectional case suffix) (\hat{\eta}), §7.2.6
        with subject pronominals, §4.3.4.4
Word-Internal \langle HL \rangle \rightarrow H+L, §3.9.5.4
unmarked postverbal NP, §6.1.8
upstep, §3.9.4.3
valency, §6.1.2-4
verbal noun, §4.5.1-2, see also nominalization
verbs, §6.1
    of adjectival quality, §4.6.1
    compound verbs, §6.3
VO verbs, §6.1.7
vocative, §5.14
vowels
    deletion, §3.7.1.7-8, §4.1.2.5-6, §4.1.2.9
    lengthening, §3.7.5.1-3
    long, §3.5.6-7
    nasalized, §3.4
    oral, §3.2
VV-Fusion
    at word-boundary, §3.7.1.1
    within words, §3.7.1.6
'whatchamacallit?', §8.2.6
'without', §5.9.9
zero-derivation, §4.5.4
```

Index of Humburi Senni forms

```
\hat{a} \sim \hat{a}: \sim -\hat{a} 3Sg proclitic pronoun, §4.3.4.2
    à 3Sg possessor (chiefly alienable), subject, or imperative preverbal object,
                  §4.2.1.5
        subject to upstep, §3.9.4.3
    -à ~ -â 3Sg postverbal object or instrumental prepositional complement, §4.3.4.2
        tone pattern, §4.3.4.7
    subjunctive \dot{m} = \dot{a}:, §4.3.4.2
    future n \hat{a} m = 1 \hat{a}; §7.2.4.1
-à: + H
    final/definite singular with nouns, §4.1.3.2
    possessed noun with third person alienable possessor, §4.2.1.3
2\dot{a}-+ H absolute prefix with 'one' and 'all', §4.7.1, §5.4.3.1
?àmmá: 'but, however', §9.5.5
-ànd-à causative and intransitivising suffixes, §6.2.3
-\dot{a}n-d\dot{o}\eta + H 2Pl inalienable possessor, §4.2.2.2
-\lambda \eta + H 2Sg inalienable possessor, §4.2.2.2
Páŋgâ ~ ŋgâ ~ Páŋâ ~ ŋâ
    3FullSg pronoun, §4.3.4.1-2
    logophoric singular pronoun, §10.1.1
-ànt-ò+H
    a) ordinal, §4.5.6
    b) participle, §4.5.6
2ây 1Sg independent and postverbal object pronoun, §4.3.4.1
bà 'want, like', (566f) in §9.7.2
    bá:g-à with 3Sg object, (372c) in §6.1.7
    bà kù ... 'want to VP', (566f) in §9.7.2
bà:
    a) 'share, portion', (170a) in §4.2.2.4
    b1) 'be better than', §6.1.7
         bà:-Ø with 3Sg object, (372a) in §6.1.7
    b2) 'respect [noun]', §4.2.1.4
bá: 'be much, many; abound' (cf. bò:-b-òw), §5.4.6, (332a) in §6.2.2
bâ: 'even', §8.5.7
    'even if', (484) in §8.5.7
bà:bè 'father', (137a) in §4.1.2.9, (160a) in §4.2.2.1
    adverb 'behind, in the rear', (322c) in §5.13.1
    postposition 'after', §5.9.10
bàndè
    noun 'back (of body)', (159a) in §4.2.2.1
    postposition 'behind, following; after', in §5.9.7.1
         pronominal paradigm, (306a) in §5.7.9.1
bárâ extraction-indexing 'be (in a place)', §7.1.2
    b\acute{a}-\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H 'have', (335a) in §6.2.5
bè: 'borassus palm', §4.2.3.2, §4.2.1.4
```

```
bén 'finish, end', (565) in §9.7.2
    'finish' with preceding complement, (565) in §9.7.2
    bèn-ànd-à 'finish', (566b) in §9.7.2
         bèn-ànd-à kù ... 'finish VPing' with following complement, (566b) in §9.7.2
bé:né 'top; above', (307) in §5.9.7.1
bè:rì 'big', §4.6.2.3
béy 'know'
    in tag question (\dot{\eta} béy), §8.2.1
bí-bî 'black', §4.6.2.5
bìn-ò + H and 3PossSg bìn-ô 'heart'
    bìn-ó gà(:) complex postposition 'in the center/midst of', §5.9.7.2
bén 'VP more than' with preceding complement, (565) in §9.7.2
béy 'know', (566b) in §9.7.2
    béy kù ... 'have ever VPed' with following complement, (566b) in §9.7.2
bìsà 'pass by, go past'
    in comparatives, §9.7.7
    ... kù †bísà ... 'VP more than ...' with preceding complement, 565) in §9.7.2
b\grave{o}: + H, see b\grave{o} \grave{w} + H
b\grave{o} \grave{w} + H \sim b\grave{o}: + H extraction-indexing imperfective
    bò k\acute{u}\uparrow = \hat{\eta} with 3Sg object, §7.2.4.2
bò:-b-òw 'much, many' (cf. verb bá:), (332a) in §6.2.2
bòŋ
    'head', (159d) in §4.2.2.1
     'on' postposition, §5.9.5
         pronominal paradigm, (302) in §5.9.5
    in reflexives, §10.2.1
bôr and final/definite singular bòr-ò+H 'person', (132b) in §4.1.2.3
    bòr \ kâ 'the person who ...', §8.3.6
    bòr↓ kûl 'every person', (275b) in §5.4.3.2
    bòr + H'someone, anyone' with 2Sg agreement, §10.3.1
bòrì 'be good' and adjective bòr-y-ôw 'good', §4.6.2.8
b \acute{o} w \sim b \acute{o}: '(day) break', §4.2.3.3
bò:-b-òw 'many, much', §5.4.6, §4.6.2.1; see also bá:
    verb bá: 'be much, many; abound'
b \dot{u} \eta - \sim b \dot{u} \eta - \sim b \dot{u} w^n, see b \dot{o} \eta
cè: 'time(s)', §5.4.9
c\dot{e}: and possessed c\dot{i}y-\dot{o}+H 'foot', (136a) in §4.1.2.8, (159e) in §4.2.2.1
cé: 'call'
    unspecified-object ciy-à, (333a) in §6.2.3
cèr and cèrê
    cèrê 'friend', (169b) in §4.2.2.3, (160a) in §4.2.2.1
    c er \int g \hat{a}(\cdot) complex postposition 'beside, next to'
         pronominal paradigm, (309) in §5.9.7.2
    cèr + H and cèrè reciprocal, §10.2.6-7
cì 'say', §6.1.9
    suppletes nê in imperatives, §7.3.3
```

```
cíléy fô 'what kind?', §8.2.3.1
    X cíl-\dot{o} 'the likes of X', §8.5.4.3
cindî past-time 'be (in a place)', §7.1.2
cìnnà + H 'rain', §4.1.3.4
cirè postposition 'under', §5.9.7.1
    pronominal paradigm, (306c) in §5.7.9.1
dâ emphatic (precise identity), §5.8.3
dàŋgà
    'like', §8.5.4.1
    'believing that', §9.5.8.3
dèy clause-final admonitive particle, §8.5.5.1
d\hat{e}y \sim d\hat{o}y and definite d\hat{u}w - \hat{o} + H 'place', §5.9.6, end of §4.1.2.9
    in compounds, §4.8.10
    dèy \ kâ 'a/the place where ...', §8.3.6
    d \partial y \not k \hat{u} l 'every place', (275b) in §5.4.3.2
dì strong definite, §5.7.1
dí: 'see'
    diy-à with 3Sg object, (372c) in §6.1.7
    resultative passive, díy-éyndí 'be seen', §6.2.4
    'see (sb, doing sth)', §9.5.9.4
din and din di in adverbs, §5.7.2
dì(n) 'catch', §3.5.3
d\hat{\imath}(n) 'fire be lit', §3.5.3
    causative dín-éyndí 'light (fire)', (331a) in §6.2.2
dìnòw 'forget', (566c) in §9.7.2
    dinn-\hat{a} with 3Sg object, (372b) in §6.1.7
    dìnòw kù ... 'forget to VP' with following complement, (566c) in §9.7.2
díy-, see dí:
d\hat{o}, see also d\hat{e}y \sim d\hat{o}y
    'chez, at the place of' postposition, §5.9.6
    pronominal paradigm, (304) in §5.9.6
-dòn, see -ndòn (2Pl possessor)
dù 'get'
    dùw-â with 3Sg object, (372c) in §6.1.7
dùccìyà 'be short' and adjective dùcc-ìy-ôw 'short', §4.6.2.8
dùngùryà 'be short' and adjective dùngùr-y-ôw 'short', §4.6.2.8
dùw-â, see dù
dúw-éyndí 'be available', §6.2.4
dúw-éy-ndì 'at our place', §5.9.6
d\acute{u}w-\acute{o}+H, 'see d\acute{e}y\sim d\acute{o}y
    1Sg alienable possessor, §4.2.1.1
         -è: variant with a-final nouns, §4.2.1.3
    common final vowel of inalienable nouns, §4.2.2.1
    a) -ey deverbal nominalizer, §4.5.3
```

```
b) plural
         -ey + H definite plural of nouns and adjectives, §4.1.1.2
         -ey + H plural possessed noun, §4.2.1.7
    c) -ey + H 1Sg inalienable possessor, §4.2.2.2
fàr and definite fà:r-\dot{o}+H 'field', (133) in §4.1.2.4
fô 'which?', §8.2.2.5
fó: and definite f'(y-\lambda): +H \sim f'(w-\lambda): +H' one', §4.7.1, end of §4.1.2.2
gâ
    focus particle, §5.8.1, §8.1
    relative particle, §8.3
        variant kâ after certain high-frequency light nouns, §8.3.6
    in adverbial relative clauses, §8.3.11
    'that' complementizer, §9.5.8.2
gá: 'body', (170a) in §4.2.2.4, (159g) in §4.2.2.1
g\hat{a}: ~ g\hat{a} locative postposition, §5.9.4
    pronominal paradigm, (300) in §5.9.4
gám-ó+H and 3PossSg gám-ò 'middle'
    gám-ò gà(:) complex postposition 'between; in the middle of', §5.9.7.2
gàrù 'find, encounter (that ...)', §9.5.9
H+g\dot{o}: 'be (in a place)', §7.1.2
    in progressives, §7.2.4.3
    in presentatives, §7.2.3.1
g \delta: ^{\dagger} = n \delta(\eta) 'be there, be present', §5.4.2
gùnà 'look', (566f) in §9.7.2
    gùnà kù ... 'try to VP', (566f) in §9.7.2
gùndê 'belly', (169b) in §4.2.2.3
g\dot{u} + H \sim \dot{w} + H imperfective (positive), §7.2.1.5
    with pronominal subject, §4.3.4.3
hà:, see hàyà
hâl 'until', §5.9.8
    with subjunctive clause, §9.6.4
hán 'day', §5.7.4.2
    h a \eta / k a 'the day when...', §8.3.6, (5) in §1.4
    h a \eta \downarrow k \hat{u} l 'every time', (275b) in §5.4.3.2
    hán-ê postposition 'on the day of', §5.9.10
hánáná 'stay up at night', (566e) in §9.7.2
    hánáná kù ... 'do at night', (566e) in §9.7.2
hàr and definite ha:r-\dot{o}+H 'man', (133) in §4.1.2.4
    in compounds, §4.8.6
    in kinship compounds, §4.8.9
hárú 'tell', §6.1.9
háw 'tie', (566b) in §9.7.2
    háw kù ... 'be about to VP' with following complement, (566b) in §9.7.2
hâ:y 'spend mid-day', (566e) in §9.7.2
    há:y kù ... 'do at mid-day', (566e) in §9.7.2
```

```
hàyà and hà: 'thing'
    hà: kâ ... that which ..., §8.3.6
    hà: kûl 'every thing', (275) in §5.4.3.2
h\grave{e}^{n} and possessed h\grave{i}y^{n}-\grave{o}+H\sim h\grave{i}p-\grave{o}+H 'tooth', (136a) in §4.1.2.8, (159e) in
                  §4.2.2.1
hénsê 'want, like', (566f) in §9.7.2
    hénsé kù ... 'VP on purpose', (566f) in §9.7.2
hìmà 'resemble', (566a) in §9.7.2
    hìmà kù ... 'ought to VP' with following complement, (566a) in §9.7.2
hín 'be stronger than', (566a) in §9.7.2
    hín kù ... 'can VP' with following complement, (566a) in §9.7.2
hó: imperative 'take (this)!', §7.3.3
h\hat{u} and h\hat{u}w-\hat{o}+H 'house', (127) in §4.1.2.2, (170b) in §4.2.2.4
-i deverbal nominalizer, §4.5.3
i \sim i 1 \text{Sg pronominal proclitic}
    i+H1Sg subject, §4.3.4.2
    i 1Sg subject subjunctive, §4.3.4.5
2i - H absolute prefix (if noun is absent)
    adjectives, §4.6.3
    numerals, §4.7.1
-iya diminutive, §4.9
?ìzê 'child', §4.1.3.4, (137) in §4.1.2.9
    variably alienable or inalienable, §4.2.2.1 after (160)
já:tîŋ emphatic (confirmation), §5.8.3
j\hat{e}n \sim j\hat{e}\eta 'fail, be unable', (566c) in §9.7.2
    jén kù ... 'fail to VP' with following complement, (566c) in §9.7.2
-jèn-êy 'lack of', §4.8.8
jér-ó+H and 3PossSg jér-ò 'part, half'
    jér-ò bòn complex postposition 'at the side of', §5.9.7.2
jìnè
    noun 'front', §5.9.7.1
    postposition 'in front of; before', §5.7.9.1
         pronominal paradigm, (306b) in §5.7.9.1
kà 'come'
    suppletive imperative tê, §7.3.3
    kù †kâ 'VP and come (back)' with preceding complement, (565) in §9.7.2
    kà kù ... 'come and VP' with following complement, (566d) in §9.7.2
    kà:-ndù+H'bring', (355b) in §6.2.5
kâ: 'but', §9.5.5
    kà:-ní: 'but', §9.5.5, §8.5.1.3
kàl+H
    obligational, §7.1.3
         subjunctive complements, §9.6.2
     'except', §8.5.2.2
     'not except', §8.5.2.3
```

```
kàtè 'bring, take, convey', (372d) in §6.1.7
    zero imperative suffix, §7.3.3
    yé kàtè 'bring (sth) back' (yê), §6.3.4
    causal clauses ('bring it about that ...'), §9.5.11
kátìn ~ kásìn 'again', §9.5.6
kàtìyà 'be small' and adjective kàt(t)-íy-ôw 'small', §4.6.2.8
k \dot{e} y + H \sim k \dot{a} y + H weak topic, §8.4.3
k\hat{o} \sim k\hat{o} - t\hat{i} \sim k\hat{o} - t - iy - \hat{a} = H 'child', §4.1.3.4
-k-\dot{o}+H, see -k\hat{o}w
kò-kòrù 'be last, recent', (566b) in §9.7.2 (see also kò:rù)
    kò-kòrù kù ... 'VP finally' with following complement, (566b) in §9.7.2
-kòm characteristic nominal, §4.5.5
k\hat{o}:n-\hat{o}+H 'empty, bare', §4.6.2.9
kò:rù 'have left (sb, in a situation)', §9.5.9.3
-k\hat{o}w and final/definite singular -k-\hat{o}+H agentive, §4.5.7
kóy
    a) 'owner', §4.5.5
         k \acute{o} y - \acute{o} + H as informal discourse-anaphoric, §10.3.2
         kù kóy 'VP and go' with preceding complement, (565) in §9.7.2
         kóy kù ... 'go and VP' with following complement, (566d) in §9.7.2
         zero suffix in imperative, §7.3.3
kòy clause-final emphatic particle, §8.5.5.3
kóynê 'again', §9.5.6
-kòynì characteristic nominal, §4.5.5
kòyrà + H'village, town', §4.1.3.4, §4.2.2.4
k\dot{u} + H \sim \dot{w} + H infinitive (before VP), §9.7
    reduced to just floating H, §9.7
    bò k\acute{u}\uparrow = \hat{\eta} extraction-indexing imperfective with 3Sg object, §7.2.4.2
    n \hat{o} k \hat{u} / = \hat{\eta} progressive positive with 3Sg object, §7.2.4.4
    nà bò k\acute{u}\uparrow = \hat{\eta} progressive positive with 3Sg object, §7.2.4.5
     sí: k\acute{u}\uparrow = \hat{\eta} progressive negative with 3Sg object, §7.2.4.6
kú-kû 'long', §4.6.2.5
    related verbs, (332a) in §6.2.2
kûl 'all', §5.4.3, §4.7.1
    with pronouns, §5.4.8
kún-\acute{o}+H and 3PossSg kún-\acute{o} 'interior'
    kún-ò gà(:) complex postposition 'inside', §5.9.7.2
láy clause-final emphatic particle, §8.5.5.4
\hat{m} \sim \hat{\eta} subjunctive, §7.2.1.4
    \acute{m} sù + H subjunctive negative, §7.2.1.3
má: 'hear'
    má:r-à with 3Sg object, (372c) in §6.1.7
mâ: 'name', (170a) in §4.2.2.4
m\acute{a}n \sim m\acute{a}g \sim m\acute{a}y^n 'where?', §8.2.2.3
```

```
márjí 'assemble', (566f) in §9.7.2
    márjí kù ... 'VP together', (566f) in §9.7.2
тê
    a) m\hat{e} and miy^n-\acute{o} + H 'mouth', (127) in §4.1.2.2, (159e) in §4.2.2.1
         miy^n-ò gà(:) complex postposition 'at the entrance to', §5.9.7.2
    b) mê clause-final emphatic particle, §8.5.5.2
mè
    m \approx k \hat{a} \dots 'a/the time when ...', §8.3.6
    mè kûl ... 'every time ...', (275b) in §5.4.3.2
    mè fô 'when?', §8.2.2.3
    mè hérê '(around/toward) where?', §8.2.2.3
-mè in wòy-mè 'sister' and hàr-mè 'brother', §4.8.9
mèrjè 'how much?, how many?', §8.2.2.4
méy 'who?', §8.2.2.1
-mî deverbal nominalizer, §4.5.4
mínê topic particle, §8.4.1, §5.8.2
m\hat{\imath}: \eta \sim m\hat{\imath}h\hat{\imath}\eta 'what?', §8.2.2.2
    mí:n 'sê 'why?', §8.2.3.2
miy^n-\acute{o} + H ('mouth'), see m\hat{e}
mô 'also, too', §5.8.3
mò: and possessed m \dot{u} w^n - \dot{o} + H \sim m \dot{u} \eta - \dot{o} + H 'eye', (159f) in §4.2.2.1
mòngò 'hasten', (566c) in §9.7.2
    mòngò kù ... 'be unable to VP' with following complement, (566c) in §9.7.2
mótê 'how?', §8.2.3.1
môy 'namesake', §4.2.3.1
m \dot{u} w^n \dot{o} \sim m \dot{o}: ~ m \dot{o} m plus verb b \dot{o} w \sim b \dot{o}: 'day break', §4.2.3.3
nà bò \dot{w} + H progressive, §7.2.4.5
    nà bò k\acute{u}\uparrow = \hat{\eta} with 3Sg object, §7.2.4.5
n\grave{a}m + H \sim n\grave{a}\eta + H future, §7.2.4.1
nàη
    a) 2Sg preverbal object, §4.3.4.2
    b) perfect, §7.2.5.1-2
    c) n a n + H variant of future n a m + H
-ndi(-) 1Pl possessor suffix
     -ndi-ya: alienable, §4.2.1.6
     -ndì inalienable, §4.2.2.2
-ndòn ~ -dòn 2Pl possessor
     -nd\partial \eta + H alienable, §4.2.1.6
     -\dot{a}n-d\dot{o}\eta + H inalienable for singular noun, §4.2.2.2
     -ey-ndò\eta + H for plural noun, §4.2.2.2
\acute{n}d\grave{u} + H 'with; and', §5.11
    with following pronominal, §4.3.6.1
    with preceding pronominal, §4.3.6.2
    in focalized clauses, §8.1.1.4
    in relative clauses, §8.3.4
nê 'say', §6.1.9
```

```
nè: 'here', §4.4.3
    né: 1-wò 'here', §4.4.3
    cliticized = n\dot{e}, §4.4.3
    in presentatives, §7.2.3.3
nèy 1Sg object, §4.3.4.2
nî 2Sg independent and postverbal object pronoun, §4.3.4.1
    3FullPl pronoun, §4.3.4.1-2
    logophoric plural pronoun, §10.1.1-4
nôŋ and nón-dì
    nôŋ 'it is ...', §7.1.1.4
         in possessive predicates, §7.1.4
    nôη or nón-dì 'there', §4.4.3
-non 2Sg possessor
    -non alienable possessor, §4.2.1.1
    -ey-n \partial \eta + H for plural noun for alienable or inalienable, §4.2.2.2
η̂
    a) "transitive" particle (bidirectional case suffix), §7.2.6
    b) 3Sg object, §4.3.4.2
    c) variant of subjunctive \hat{m}, §7.2.1.4
-n singular-subject imperative, §7.3.1
\dot{\eta} + H 2Sg subject clitic, §4.3.4.2
ηà: 'eat'
    etymology, §3.10.2
    ná:-y-éyndí 'feed', (331b) in §6.2.2
\etaàndè ~ wàndè 'wife', (169a) in §4.2.2.3
ŋónê 'possession', §5.2.1.2, §5.9.3
    in possessive predicates, §7.1.4
    etymology, (119b) in §3.10.2
p\hat{a} and p\hat{o}: + H 'mother', (127) in §4.1.2.2, (160c) in §4.2.2.1
non
    a) plural
         -non + H indefinite plural, §5.4.11
         -non plural of noun after 1Sg alienable possessor -e, §4.2.1.1
    b) 3Pl pronominal
        p \partial y + H 3Pl pronominal (subject, preverbal object, adposition, possessor),
                  §4.3.4.2, §4.2.1.5
        n \acute{o} \eta + H 3Pl VO object, §4.3.4.2
    c) -non + H verbal noun, §4.5.1
    -o + H final/definite singular of nouns and adjectives, §4.1.1.1
    -o + H singular noun with third person possessor
         alienable, §4.2.1.1, §4.2.1.7 (see also 2Sg -o-non)
         inalienable, §4.2.2.1
-ô: 'this/that', §4.4.1-2
-o-non 2Sg alienable possessor, §4.2.1.1
```

```
-ów deverbal nominalizer, §4.5.4
-ow nominal suffix
    diminutive -iy-ow, §4.9.1
    with adjectives, §4.6.1-2
-réy and final/definite -r-o + H deverbal nominalizer, §4.5.4
-r\hat{i} and final/definite -r-o+H verbal noun, §4.5.2
-r-o+H, see -r-u, -rî, and -réy
-r-u and final/definite -r-o + H verbal noun, §4.5.2
sà clause-final emphatic particle, §8.5.5.2
s\acute{a}b\acute{a}-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H\sim s\acute{a}w\acute{a}-\acute{n}d\grave{u}+H 'coincide (with)', §9.5.9.2
sê dative postposition, §5.9.2
    pronominal paradigm, (296) in §5.9.2
sí: 'not be (in a place)', §7.1.2
    si: +H progressive negative, §7.2.4.6
         sí: k\acute{u} \uparrow = \hat{\eta} with 3Sg object, §7.2.4.6
    n a n s i: + H perfect negative, §7.2.5.2
-sìnè in compound kin terms, §4.2.2.1 before (161), §4.8.9
síntîn 'begin', (566b) in §9.7.2
    síntín kù ... 'begin to VP' with following complement, (566b) in §9.7.2
s\dot{u} + H imperfective negative, §7.2.1.3
    \acute{m} sù + H subjunctive negative, §7.2.1.3
tà 'receive, take, accept', (566f) in §9.7.2
    t a \eta - \hat{a} with 3Sg object, (372c) in §6.1.7
    tà kù ... 'consent to VP', (566f) in §9.7.2
-tàrêy '-hood' (abstractive), §4.8.7, (139) in §4.1.2.10
tárú 'hasten', (566b) in §9.7.2
    tárú kù ... 'VP promptly' with following complement, (566b) in §9.7.2
tè 'become (adverb)', §7.1.1.2
    a) 'happen, take place', §7.1.1.3
    b) imperative 'come', (399b) in §7.3.3
té:
    transitive verb 'do, make', (324a) in §6.1.1, (425-6) in §8.2.3.1
         resultative passive tiy-à 'be done', (333a) in §6.2.3
    'become (noun)', §7.1.1.1
         tíy-à with 3Sg object ('become it'), (372c) in §6.1.7
    stative 'be (noun)', §7.1.1.1, (425) in §8.2.3.1
    'be done', §7.1.1.1
tíy-à, tìy-à see under té:
tó:
    a) 'become full', (331b) in §6.2.2
         < *tó:n, §3.4.10
         tó:-y-éyndí 'fill', (331b) in §6.2.2
    b) 'arrive'
         < *tó:, §3.4.10
         tó:r-à with 3Sg object, (372c) in §6.1.7
```

```
tó:-y-éyndí 'deliver', §3.7.1.9
        in time expressions, §5.4.7.2
tùn 'get up', (566d) in §9.7.2
    tùn kù ... 'get up and VP' with following complement, (566d) in §9.7.2
-u third person possessor with certain kin terms, (160a) in §4.2.2.1, (171c) in §4.2.2.5
\hat{w} + H
    a) variant of imperfective g\dot{u} + H, §4.3.4.3, §7.2.1.5
    b) variant of infinitival k\dot{u} + H, §9.7
wà: 'milk', (152) in c.2.1.4, end of §4.2.2.4
    diminutive compounds, (256b) in §4.9.6
wàlà 'or', §5.12, §9.5.4
    in polar interrogatives, §8.2.1
wàndè ~ \etaàndè 'wife', (169a) in §4.2.2.3
wàrâŋ 2Pl independent and object pronoun, §4.3.4.1-2
wát-ê postposition 'in the time of', §5.9.10
wé:tê 'spend the morning', (566e) in §9.7.2
    wé:tékù ... 'do in morning', (566e) in §9.7.2
w-êy 'these/those', §4.4.1
wínjî 'refuse', (566f) in §9.7.2
    wínjí kù ... ~ wúnjí kù ... 'refuse to VP', (566f) in §9.7.2
wò
    w \grave{o} + H 2Pl subject pronoun, §4.3.4.2
    wò (without floating H) plural-subject imperative, §7.3.2
        upstepped to w\dot{o}^{\dagger}, §3.9.4.3
wô 'this/that', §4.4.1
wôη 'there is', §5.4.2
wóy and definite wóy-\partial + H 'ten', end of §4.1.2.2
    in compound decimal numerals ('ten', 'twenty', ...), (235d) in §4.7.1
wòy 'woman; female'
    in compounds, §4.8.6
    in kin compounds, §4.8.9
wòymèy 'spend the evening', (566e) in §9.7.2
    wòymèy kù ... 'do in late PM' with following complement, (566e) in §9.7.2
-ya (diminutive), see -iya
yá emphatic, §8.5.5.5
yá: distal demonstrative, §4.4.4
yê 'go back', §6.3.4 (see also yò kù ..., yèkà)
yèddà ~ yàddà 'consent', (566f) in §9.7.2
    yèddà kù ... ~ yàddà kù ... 'consent to VP', (566f) in §9.7.2
yèkà 'come back', §6.3.4
yélà 'whether', §8.2.1
yérî 1Pl independent and object pronoun, §4.3.4.1-2
y \grave{o} k \grave{u} \dots \sim y \grave{e} k \grave{u} \dots 'do again' with following complement (see y \hat{e}), (566d) in
                 §9.7.2
y \hat{o} + H 1Pl subject pronoun, §4.3.4.2
zá: 'since', §5.9.8
```

zùmbù 'go down' causative zúm-éyndí 'take down', (331d) in §6.2.2