

## **Working Papers**

## www.mmg.mpg.de/workingpapers

MMG Working Paper 14-06 • ISSN 2192-2357

DANIEL HIEBERT / JAN RATH /
STEVEN VERTOVEC
Urban Markets and Diversity: Toward a
Research Agenda

Nax Planck Institute for the Study of eligious and Ethnic Diversity

ax-Planck-Institut zur Erforschung multireligiöser



Daniel Hiebert *Urban Markets and Diversity: Toward a Research Agenda* 

MMG Working Paper 14-06

Max-Planck-Institut zur Erforschung multireligiöser und multiethnischer Gesellschaften, Max Planck Institute for the Study of Religious and Ethnic Diversity

Göttingen

© 2014 by the authors

This is an Author's Original Manuscript of an article to be published by Taylor & Francis Group in *Ethnic and Racial Studies* in the first issue of Volume 38 in January 2015.

ISSN 2192-2357 (MMG Working Papers Print)

Working Papers are the work of staff members as well as visitors to the Institute's events. The analyses and opinions presented in the papers do not reflect those of the Institute but are those of the author alone.

Download: www.mmg.mpg.de/workingpapers

MPI zur Erforschung multireligiöser und multiethnischer Gesellschaften MPI for the Study of Religious and Ethnic Diversity, Göttingen Hermann-Föge-Weg 11, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

Tel.: +49 (551) 4956 - 0 Fax: +49 (551) 4956 - 170

www.mmg.mpg.de

info@mmg.mpg.de

#### **Abstract**

In this paper we advocate the study of local street markets to explore fundamental issues about the relationship between economy and society. This relationship evolves over time and we believe that it has been recast in an age of increasing cultural diversity and neoliberal state regulatory structures. In street markets we can see how diversity and the nature of economic transactions become mutually constitutive. We argue that cultural diversity propels local markets, while everyday interactions in markets influence intercultural relationships. These complex processes are affected by the spatiality of markets and the regulatory environments within which they operate. We conclude by framing a research program on street markets and discuss a number of methodological complications that would need to be addressed in this endeavour.

**Keywords**: Street markets, cultural diversity, urban anthropology, urban sociology, social geography, social interaction, cultural engagement, urban economy, cultural consumption, ethnic entrepreneurship

#### Authors

DANIEL HIEBERT is Professor of Geography at the University of British Columbia and for the last decade has Co-Directed a Metropolis British Columbia, a Center of Excellence for research on immigration and diversity.

Website: blogs.ubc.ca/dhiebert/. Email: daniel.hiebert@ubc.ca

JAN RATH is Professor of Urban Sociology, Chair of the Department of Sociology and Anthropology, Associated with the Institute for Migration and Ethnic Studies (IMES) and the Center for Urban Studies at the University of Amsterdam (UvA), Member of the IMISCOE Research Network, and the European Chair of International Metropolis.

Website: www.janrath.com. Email: j.c.rath@uva.nl

STEVEN VERTOVEC is Director at the Max Planck Institute for the Study of Religious and Ethnic Diversity. ADDRESS:

Max Planck Institute, Herman-Föge-Weg 11, 37073 Göttingen, Germany.

Website: www.mmg.mpg.de. Email: vertovec@mmg.mpg.de

## Contents

Demarcating markets and diversity	12
The intellectual context	15
Continuity and change in markets and diversity	18
Conceptual and political complications	23
Methodological complications	24
Toward a conclusion: Street markets and the relationship between economy and society—a research agenda	25
References	29

The Dappermarkt is a long, broad avenue lined with stalls in the middle of a working class area in eastern Amsterdam. These are some observations made on a walk on a sunny Saturday morning through the Dappermarkt. The wares for sale are absolutely mundane: no crafts, decorative arts, organic foodstuffs or tourist items, but cheap clothes (blouses for as little as €3, jackets from €5), socks and underwear, batteries, watches, vegetables, fish, flowers. Commodities are usually arranged neatly, if rather over-packed, in the adjacent covered stalls. There is no seeming order of items: after a succession of clothing stalls one finds a cheese stand, then some fashion accessories (hair bands and earrings), then a couple of more clothing stalls, a butcher, some boots, and so on. For certain specific, 'traditional' Dutch foods – certain cheeses or brined herring, for instance – the sellers and buyers are exclusively Dutch whites. For practically everything else, there is no apparent correlation between the ethnic or national background of the sellers or buyers and the commodities on sale. Sellers of clothes and textiles, which seem to dominate the market, are a wide mix of Dutch, Hindustani (Indian Surinamese) or Pakistani, Turkish or Arab, Chinese or Vietnamese, Russian or other Eastern Europeans. The throng of shoppers is yet more diverse than the sellers, indeed many times so. A cross-cutting demographic, however, is that the crowd is over-represented by senior citizens and families.

On the street, couples, families or groups of friends speak their own languages; behind the stalls, sellers also speak to each other in one or another language. But when speaking as seller-customer across the piles of wares – regardless of the background of buyer or seller – the language always switches to Dutch. There seems to be little difficulty in using at least a basic Dutch for the purpose of exchange and a little friendly chat (although a few instances of switching to English can be witnessed). The occasional, loud sales pitches of a few sellers temporarily break the quiet murmur of the crowd. Otherwise, an air of pleasant calm is created by the slow pace of the browsing shoppers, the behavior of children pacified by eating treats at the sides of their parents, the polite enquiries of shoppers and cheerful responses of stallholders, people excusing themselves as they meander through the crowd with bikes loaded with flowers and bags, acquaintances greeting one another, and small, mixed gender groups giggling at some shared joke. It is an absolutely routine set of activities at the market – albeit one comprised of one of the most diverse populations imaginable.

This vignette of the Dappermarkt in Amsterdam provides a convenient way to think about the age-old question of the relationship between *economy and society*, an issue that has generated many theories and thousands of empirical studies over generations of academic scholarship. At the broadest level of generalisation, this work demonstrates that economy and society are intertwined and that each shapes the other. We begin this paper by noting that this relationship is being recast in an age of 'superdiversity', and at a time when governments in many countries of the world are adopting neoliberal reforms that accord greater primacy to the private sector, in some cases out of desire based on their ideological orientation and in others out of

necessity. We explore the economy/society dynamic by focusing on everyday street markets as our site of analysis. We have selected street markets both because they are so prevalent, and because they are a microcosm of a set of processes we wish to understand. Street markets are places of many kinds of intersections. Obviously these are places where sellers meet consumers, but in this process other types of intersections occur. In street markets, formal regulatory structures are juxtaposed with informal engagements and encounters.

These encounters are frequently between people with different identities, a point emphasised in the Dappermarkt vignette. Why is this the case? In an age of technological complexity and high entry barriers for many occupations and forms of entrepreneurship, a wide range of people can participate in street markets, as sellers and buyers. Buyers and, more importantly, entrepreneurs in these markets need not have full command of the local language, tertiary education, or formal training. At the same competition between sellers is stiff and profit margins are thin. This combination of low entry barriers and profits means that marginalised populations can participate in street markets to an extent rarely possible in the more formal economy. As a result, these markets are often the most diverse parts of cities, where people who might lead largely separate lives come face to face and interact.

This is where our interest in 'diversity' is focused. We recognize that there is a broad, contemporary array of meanings attached to this term in both academic and public discourse (see, for instance, Cooper 2004, Ahmed 2007, Faist 2009, Vertovec 2009, 2012). As migration studies scholars, we are concerned with aspects of social differentiation stemming from international migration. We are not addressing modes of difference surrounding age, sexuality and disability, which are topics included in much diversity discourse and policy. In this article we use 'diversity' in a summary sense to refer specifically to configurations of co-present ethnicities, cultures, languages and religions – and, when referring below to 'super-diversity' (Vertovec 2007), the ways these configurations overlap with or entail multi-dimensional complexities with respect to other variables such as gender, class, legal status and human capital.

While there have been scores of studies on particular street markets, we pose a set of much more general, conceptual questions in this paper, questions that have not been explored systematically: What are the impacts of diversity on the nature and operation of street markets, and what are the implications of street markets for diversity? Do street markets play a role in the process whereby people learn to coexist across their differences, or does participation in markets have no influence on intergroup relationships beyond brief moments of interaction, or even a negative

influence? How do everyday encounters in markets contribute to (or undermine) this learning process? When people from different backgrounds *get along* in markets, is this indicative of larger social processes of civility or are these encounters specific and somehow exceptional? In short, in societies of complex diversity, do everyday encounters in street markets contribute to tolerance and mutual respect between groups, or does the fact that people from different backgrounds meet and interact in street markets have little significance for intergroup attitudes and relations?

Thinking about this issue from the opposite direction, how does increasing diversity contribute to the opportunity structures that underlie street markets and the economy more generally? Certainly diversity expands the range of products available to consumers. In this sense, diversity also brings new types of highly specialised entrepreneurs and, with them, jobs. That is, street markets can be seen as the point where diversity becomes part of the fabric of the economy and sets new tastes in motion.

We are also interested in two ancillary issues that influence the relationship between diversity and markets: the role of *place* and *regulatory structures*. Street markets arise in specific places and regulatory regimes and they inevitably reflect the qualities of these places and regimes.

The relationship between diversity and markets raises a kaleidoscope of intellectual connections across the whole field of the social sciences and, beyond, into the humanities; these include: the anthropology of everyday life, cosmopolitanism, studies of consumption, studies of migration and diversity, the social geography of cities, the cultural meaning of landscapes, the economy of market transactions, regulatory practices of the state and also of entrepreneurs, and market behaviour as an instance of performativity. Systematic study of markets and diversity accordingly must draw upon many academic literatures that are normally considered separately, notably: anthropology, economics, history, law, political science, urban sociology, urban geography, migration studies, and of course public policy.

Why do we advocate more intense academic enquiry into markets and diversity now? As we argue throughout this paper, a careful look at markets can provide significant insights into several kinds of dynamics surrounding diversity, an issue that has assumed a central position in contemporary scholarship:

Without a doubt, and for multiple reasons, over the past ten years there has been
more and more attention on various forms of 'diversity', not just in academia but
also increasingly in public policy and corporate practice. Across the social sciences
we are witnessing the rise of a multidisciplinary field of diversity studies, increas-

ingly embodied in academic publications, research centres, university courses and degree programmes. But scholars have poured considerable energy into certain aspects of diversity (e.g., discrimination and anti-discrimination; changing cultural landscapes in cities), and much less on others (e.g., the links between diversity and the economy).

- To a degree, we can account for this intensified interest in 'diversity' as a consequence of relatively recent changes in anti-discrimination legislation and identity politics. However, it is also concomitant with changing social, cultural and demographic configurations around the world, due in significant ways to the growing complexity of global migration patterns (Vertovec 2007, 2010, 2011).
- In many western contexts, the recent development of diversity policies has ironically occurred at the same time as a rise in nativist populist movements, especially across Europe, that have even seen anti-immigration parties participate in some governing coalitions, and the so-called 'crisis of multiculturalism', entailing public debates over the degree to which states should safeguard cultural difference or pursue measures to promote common values and social 'integration' (Rath 2011; Vertovec & Wessendorf 2010). One should also be aware of Robert Putnam's (2007) argument that diversity undermines social capital and therefore the cohesiveness of society, along with the numerous studies that modify or contest Putnam's findings (e.g. Portes and Vickstrom 2011).
- Markets also enable us to interrogate an important debate surrounding the development of urban economies. According to Florida (2002), Kloosterman (2010), Scott (2008) and others, the urban economy in advanced societies today revolves around industries with high levels of cognitive and cultural labor. The success of these creative economies and, consequently, the prosperity of these cities and the socioeconomic wellbeing of their residents are contingent—among other things—on the presence of a diverse population. In this logic, diversity stimulates innovation and contributes to the livability of cities, which in turn helps attract high-skilled workers and businesses. It is interesting to explore how urban street markets relate to this new logic (see also Aytar & Rath 2012; Rath 2007).
- The current economic/financial crisis has revealed a pressing need to think beyond the traditional understanding of the formal economy and explore new avenues of economic development and job creation. Street markets can be seen, in this light, as places where micro-businesses come into existence and jobs are generated during periods of stubborn unemployment. Further, perhaps particularly in times of

household budget austerity, street markets are also important places for people to hunt for bargains.

- Despite numerous calls for and attempts to promote a 'clean' bureaucratic culture and 'decent' forms of regulation, informalisation abounds. Informal practices can be found in all sorts of places, such as in the fields of policing, care giving, immigration control, environmental pollution, and—last but not least—in the economy. The expansion of the informal economy in relation to the proliferation of diversity is a line of research that deserves further exploration (Portes & Sassen-Koob 1987; Kloosterman et al. 1999).
- Finally, for some years now scholars have been seeking ways to overcome 'Eurocentrism' or similar biases in perspective and understanding. Encouraging an interest in global topics—like markets and diversity, we propose—provides one way of understanding human phenomena and processes not seemingly associated solely with either 'the West', 'the East' or 'the South'. That is, markets exist everywhere, and the nature and degree of diversity is becoming more complex. But the relationship between diversity and markets is highly place specific. Therefore we cannot understand this relationship without investigating markets across many societies, including those in both the more and less developed countries.

The goal of this paper is to help define and provide a sense of coherence to a field of scholarship, by situating it conceptually and offering a set of research directions. We contend that people in their everyday encounters in street markets develop methods of intercultural engagement and learn a repertoire of intercultural skills, and that diversity helps propel markets. We argue that these processes have consequences for both the economy (the ways that markets operate) and society (the on-going development of social civility and even, more broadly, social cohesion). We pursue these larger aims by turning, next, to a more precise definition of the terms and concepts that underlie the issues under discussion, namely: (i) markets, (ii) diversity/superdiversity, (iii) local contexts, and (iv) regulatory regimes. We then reflect on the intellectual context of our ideas by providing a highly abbreviated overview of the vast literature on street markets as well as the few instances where scholars have theorised the relationship between diversity and markets. These foundational sections of the paper enable us to direct our attention to framing a set of broad research questions on markets and diversity, which builds on earlier research but, significantly, advocates a new approach to this issue given the particular circumstances of this moment in time (specifically, superdiversity within the context of neoliberal policy regimes). We consider a number of methodological issues and complications as we move to the final stage of the paper, introducing a research agenda on diversity and markets.

#### Demarcating markets and diversity

Before examining these issues in depth, we briefly discuss a number of key concepts, starting with the *market*. Markets exist in all shapes and sizes. One may refer to very concrete situations such as a farmers market, or a night market (i.e., open markets with stalls and their concomitant ethnoscapes, aromascapes, soundscapes and so forth; see Rhys-Taylor 2013), where stallholders sell their wares directly to consumers, vs. others that are more abstract such as the global market or the energy market whereby the market comprises economic exchanges that are not conducted by people in face to face situations. Following a Weberian logic, all types of markets are institutions where more or less voluntary, monetary and non-monetary economic exchanges take place. Various forms of involuntary exchange—piracy, conquest, robbery, and theft—as well as those activities that constitute production per se fall beyond this definition (Engelen 2001). A wide range of social, cultural, political and other factors interfere in the functioning of markets, varying from formal laws and regulations to informal—'moral'—prescriptions. These factors help illustrate that the nature of markets are not simply given, but are products of human action, and also help us understand the markets and diversity nexus.

In the remainder of this paper we focus on markets in their most concrete form, namely on urban street markets. While we focus on this type of market, we are sensitive to the fact that there are numerous other kinds of markets belonging to the same 'family' that show interesting 'family resemblances'. They are outside the scope of our interest at this time, as they are either highly formally regulated or highly informal, while we are interested in places that are in between these polarities. We can, for example, think of ambulatory street sellers; impromptu or flea markets, such as car boot sales or places where goods are sold from blankets on the ground; shopping malls and regular shopping streets where goods are sold from shops that are part of permanent buildings; ethnically-themed shopping strips or even neighborhoods such as Chinatowns, that in a number of cases display high levels of festivity and that are formally protected and promoted (Rath 2007; Aytar and Rath 2012); permanent highly-regulated markets, such as the Camden Market of London, or the Grand Bazaar of Istanbul, which are enclosed by large buildings and where market struc-

tures have become firmly established; and 'high-end' markets, such as the diamond market of Amsterdam or, at the extreme, the stock market of any city. Although we will not address these markets per se, we will draw upon the existing academic literature about them (see Plattner 1989 for a discussion of the differnt kinds of consumer markets and marketplaces). We also believe that the social processes discussed in this paper apply, in many cases, to these other types of markets, but to discuss each type would exhaust the scope of this paper.

Street markets are non-permanent structures (i.e. stalls) where entrepreneurs do not own the spaces and/or structures they sell from. They are often, but not always, built in the morning and removed later that day, sometimes on a daily basis, at other times on a semi-weekly or weekly basis. Street markets are typically 'ordinary' places where 'ordinary' products are sold, such as food, clothing, flowers, shoelaces, or inexpensive watches. The exchanges usually take place in flexible ways, which could involve bartering, and typically constitute a cash economy. Formal receipts are not usually commonplace in street markets that are characterized by a relatively high level of informality. The longevity of particular shopping outlets tends to be limited and the turnover of entrepreneurs is therefore high. Yet, these open markets continue to be popular, even when mega malls are located nearby (Pardy 2005) and when virtually every product is also available through the Internet. The low entry barriers for vendors and market-goers to attend open street markets, as well as the style of market exchange, means that they appeal to and include many segments of the population and therefore are often associated with various forms of diversity.

Diverse identities guide social actions or interventions and, more generally, coincide with specific cultural practices and—*vice versa*—specific cultural practices may interfere in market exchanges. Seen in such a way, identities are aspects of social differentiation and this may become manifest in economic practices. The concept of diversity is then relevant for producers or traders of goods and services, and their consumers, but may also refer to the products themselves, the narratives behind them (as expressed in marketing), the dynamics of market transactions, and the formal and informal rules of engagement.

Both markets and diversities are *spatially* specific. Place matters, obviously, although not for every product or every market in the same way. Internet companies such as iTunes or Amazon operate in a global market and any literate customer with a credit card and access to the Internet could, in principle, buy products from these companies, even though the language and transport and handling seems to prioritize particular countries. While urban street markets may be connected to wider geo-

graphical areas, they operate on the lowest level of spatiality: urban neighborhoods. These urban places are not neutral. Products may be considered more 'authentic' or 'cool' if associated with a particular place.

Castells (1989) has demonstrated that the accessibility of individuals to particular places and spaces may vary. He distinguished 'spaces of flows' from 'spaces of place', and postulated that the former is replacing the latter. The new global economy has the capacity to work as a unit in real time, despite spatial distances, and in so doing 'spaces of flows' have risen to prominence. The organization of production is no longer held in check by a localized nine-to-five rhythm. Those who are not connected to a global economic network but who are grounded in the traditional 'spaces of place'—often lower-status individuals with relatively little education—have in Castells' view become 'structurally irrelevant'. Castells advances a legitimate argument when he asserts that (local) place is not as important as it used to be and that this affects the opportunities of people in various ways. Interestingly enough, though, while urban street markets are positioned in the global economy in a peculiar way, they seem to confirm *and* challenge Castells' grand statements.

Different social and economic opportunities exist at different levels of spatiality or at different scalar levels, as some scholars would say (Glick Schiller & Çağlar 2011). Each level affects the other in some way. Street markets are local, but more often are also connected to other spaces by i) vendors who come from different parts of the world and maintain transnational relations with people from their place of origin, and/or with others from their diaspora; ii) consumers (ditto); iii) the products that rightly or wrongly are associated with particular spaces; and iv) the regulatory structure. Seen in such a way, street markets constitute interesting localized places for direct, local encounters with an otherwise global economy. Local residents may meet with an 'immigrant, ethnic other' coming from a different place in the world (and vice versa), and they may taste, test and purchase products with distant origins. The dynamics of these markets are consequently related to larger social, cultural, political and economic processes and structures.

We are particularly interested in spatiality as a factor that structures market exchanges and interactions between people (Seligman 2000). These exchanges and interactions obviously do not take place in an institutional vacuum, but are governed by many forms of *regulation*. The regulation of economic exchanges is not reserved to advanced welfare states, but is a key characteristic of any economy. There are a plethora of regulatory instruments varying from taxes, zoning, health and security laws, migrant labor laws, and so on, but also subsidies, support schemes, mediation

and so forth. Regulation, in fact, comprises any 'interference' in market exchanges. It is therefore important to keep several things in mind (Engelen 2001; Kloosterman & Rath 2010; Portes 1994; Rath 2002). First, regulation entails more than just state regulation. A wide array of individuals and institutions play a role in regulation, including local, national or international governmental agents, business associations, unions, voluntary associations, non-profit organizations, as well as individuals and their social networks. Secondly, regulation entails more than just do's and don'ts. That is, there are legislative do's and don'ts, but also a multitude of incentives and disincentives, and measures of a more persuasive nature. Regulation, therefore, is not just a matter of repression and constraint, but also of enabling. Thirdly, regulation can be imposed (by the state, by regulatory institutions, or by groups or individuals), or may arise out of everyday voluntary action. Fourthly, and related to the previous points, regulation can be more formal or more informal. In the 'white' economy, in the 'grey' (where legislation per se seems non-existent or is conveniently put aside), and also in the 'black' economy (where criminal transactions prevail) economic exchanges are still regulated in one way or another. Finally, regulation never exists alone, but always in complex packages that define what is 'required', 'acceptable' or 'preferable' in a market and what is not.

Finally, the interactions between entrepreneurs and consumers in street market are *public*. Consumers witness transactions and learn the habits / habitus of the market. In a sense this involves a kind of public theatre, often with exaggerated gestures. Information about prices is communicated in public (Plattner 1989) and codes of behavior are transmitted from seller to seller, consumer to consumer, etc. (Shepherd 2009). This does not happen as transparently in one-on-one street sales, for example, where buyers and sellers interact privately. At the other end of the spectrum, when markets become large and more permanent, buyers and sellers become detached and interact in more programmed ways, and sellers are less concerned about their reputation and place in the local social order (Shepherd 2009).

#### The intellectual context

Scholars have long been interested in local markets, from a variety of perspectives. Our engagement with this body of work has provided a number of insights but has also revealed the relatively limited efforts to situate the study of markets within larger

conceptual debates. In this section we outline the most prominent concerns in the literature that has developed around local markets and their relationship with society (see Pottie-Sherman 2011).

First, markets are by definition *spaces of contact* that bring people from diverse backgrounds together (e.g., Busch 2010, on Polish food vendors in Germany, and Liu 2010, on Chinese wholesalers in Ghana).

Second, Furnivall (1939) was the first scholar to theorise the significance of market encounters on intergroup social relations. Since his pioneering, rather pessimistic comments on this issue, subsequent researchers have studied the sociability of exchange in markets. There is no consensus in this body of work. On the positive side, markets can be places where dominant and subordinate groups can operate as equals (de la Pradelle 1995; Olsson 2007); they can also be sites of camaraderie between buyers and sellers where, for example, stories about products become part of the exchange process when consumers begin to appreciate 'authenticity' (Gregson 1998; Kelly 2003; Gregson and Crewe 2003; Shepherd 2009); and people from different backgrounds 'rub along' in markets and learn tolerance (Watson 2009). Others are more sceptical about the impact of everyday interaction in markets on these kinds of relationships, and caution against an uncritical view that everyone in markets is equal. Smith (1965), for example, argues that people practice 'mutual avoidance' in markets and Maisel (1974) concludes that the quest for profit trumps sociability and the latter only arises when it is compatible with the former. Pardy (2005) encapsulates both sides of this debate when she alternately ponders whether a street market in Melbourne is a site where "a spectacle of peaceful co-existence exclude[es] a seething and resentful introversion" (119), but later posits that "this apparent non-celebration of otherness is, nonetheless, an engagement with it." (126). In her terms, street markets may be places of 'indifference to difference' or 'openness to otherness' (or, perhaps, both of these processes may be occurring).

Third, and along the same lines, markets can contribute to stereotypes and *facilitate the cultural subordination of minority groups*. Kipling's shocking description of markets in China provides an early example of this type of orientalising process (Ricketts 1999; Lysack 2005). Frequently, products circulating in markets can best be described as 'authentic fakes' (Witz et al. 2001; Alraouf 2010) that are based upon, and reinforce, stereotypes.

Fourth, researchers have investigated the *impact of regulatory systems on markets*. At the scale of nation states, the accession of Poland to the European Union, for example, undermined the opportunity for Russian entrepreneurs to sell cheap prod-

ucts across the border in Poland (Marcinszak and Van der Velde 2008). More locally, planning regulations control many aspects of markets. Recently, there are examples of planners recognizing the potential for markets to enhance the economic viability of distressed neighbourhoods, though their interventions may inadvertently destabilise these markets by trying to sanitise and coordinate them (Clough and Vanderbeck 2006; Dines 2007).

Fifth, markets may be places of *economic incorporation for marginalised groups*. Around the world, struggling populations survive by selling cheap goods in markets (e.g., Stillerman 2006; Bromley and Mackie 2009). This of course includes newcomers, such as unauthorised migrants (L'Hote and Gasta 2007), and groups that face barriers in the regular labour market, such as Pacific Islanders in Auckland (De Bruin and Dupuis 2000), or Vietnamese migrants to Soviet-era COMECON countries who face enormous difficulty gaining access to standard forms of employment (Hüelmeier 2011, 2013). In a sense, markets may, in some cases, provide mechanisms for a kind of limited socio-economic inclusion (Nock 2009; Watson 2009).

Sixth, this is particularly true in the global south, where scholars have brought to light the complex relationships between markets and development. Clark (1988) was a pioneering voice on this issue, seeing local markets as sites of contestation for the rewards of commerce. Bannan (1992) concluded that, in the era of post-1978 reforms in China, street markets provided at least some benefit to the 'powerless and disprivileged'. Researchers have been particularly attentive to the intertwined nature of local markets and household economies, and how these may reinforce or challenge systems of patriarchy (e.g., Kapchan 1996; Seligman 1993). Seligman's work in Peru is particularly relevant for our purposes, as she outlines the combinatorial aspects of local markets as both territorial and phenomenological spaces.

Finally, scholars have addressed the *temporality and spatiality of markets*. On the former, attention has been given to the specialized form of night markets and the ambiences they create (e.g., Yu 2004; Wu and Chi-Cheng 2007; Pottie-Sherman and Hiebert 2013). On the latter, researchers draw our attention to the interplay between planning bureaucracies and markets (Zacharias 1993; Dines 2007; Bromley and Mackie 2009).

The literature on markets, as noted, is inconclusive on their relationship with diversity. Interaction across differences in market places may promote understanding and even a sense of commonality and shared identity based on the collective use of space. But in other instances market interactions can enable dominant cultures to merely consume the products of subordinate groups, reinforce pre-existing ani-

mosities, contribute to the circulation of stereotypes, and intensify tension. And, of course, a mix of these positive and negative processes may occur in any given market. It may be possible to theorise the circumstances that lead to these different outcomes, or the balance between these outcomes, but this has not yet been done.

## Continuity and change in markets and diversity

Concerning the relationship between markets and diversity, the issues explored in this paper beg an obvious, but nevertheless fundamental, set of questions. What is historically 'old' and globally generic to the nature of this relationship? What is arguably 'new' to the relationship, historically and on a global scale? For example, think of a London market in Roman times, medieval times, Victorian times, and now. What practices, activities and physical or spatial aspects have stayed the same, what has changed, and how have the differential composition and effects of diversity across these times related to the continuity or change of those practices and activities? These kinds of questions need to be addressed prior to establishing a research agenda on the dynamics of contemporary markets and diversity.

What is historically 'old' and globally generic to the relationship between markets and diversity? This first question concerns the fundamentals of market activity: buying and selling. At all times, one could say that the basic exchange relationship of buying and selling remains the same through time and across places—however culturally and contextually constructed. A range of accompanying actions surround buying and selling, including: sales-pitching or 'hawking' wares, gathering information about competing products and services, decision-making, agreeing a price, paying, evaluating purchases and managing reputations (of individuals or groups). Many fleeting or non-economic exchange activities generically take place in markets as well: gathering of news, gossip, flirtation, joking, musical or theatrical entertainment, religious preaching and various practices of healing.

The 'rules of engagement' between participants in markets entail a set of social practices that bear directly on diversity dynamics, and are open to empirical observation and comparative research. Many types of behaviour and social practice in the market are learned and routinized. Indeed, what on one level are numerous generic encounters of buying and selling in markets are locally and culturally 'scripted' (cf. Schank & Abelson 1977), or entail a locally embedded 'command of an idiom, a

command that is exercised from moment to moment with little calculation or fore-thought' (Goffman 1959: 74). Such interpersonal modes of interaction in markets are learned by newcomers, situationally adjusted, and reproduced by repeated exercise. Recall the amusing 'haggle' scene in the Monty Python film *Life of Brian*:

BRIAN [fleeing from adversaries, trying to purchase a fake beard for disguise] *runs up to* HARRY THE BEARD SALESMAN *stall and grabs an artificial beard.* 

BRIAN: How much? Quick!

HARRY: What?

BRIAN: It's for the wife.

HARRY: Oh. Twenty shekles.

BRIAN: there you are. (He puts down twenty shekels.)

HARRY: Wait a moment.

. . .

HARRY: We're supposed to haggle...

BRIAN: No, no, I've got to get...

HARRY: Give it back then.

. . .

BRIAN: oh, all right...I mean do we have to [haggle]...

. . .

BRIAN: ...I'll give you nineteen then.

HARRY: No, no. Do it properly.

. .

BRIAN: I'll give you ten.

HARRY: That's more like it. (*Outraged*.) Ten! Are you trying to insult me? Me. With a poor dying

grandmother...Ten!!!?

BRIAN: Eleven.

HARRY: Now you're getting it. Eleven!!! Did I hear you right? Eleven? This cost me twelve. You want to

ruin me.

BRIAN: Seventeen.

HARRY: Seventeen!

BRIAN: Eighteen?

HARRY: No, no, no. You go to fourteen now.

BRIAN: Fourteen.

HARRY: Fourteen, are you joking?

BRIAN: That's what you told me to say

HARRY registers total despair.

[BRIAN:] Tell me what to say. Please.

(Chapman 2001: 45-48)

The discursive practices for market interactions have often comprised modes of interaction that are highly routinized such that both sellers and regular buyers (Brian aside) know what to do and expect. Moreover, such discursive practices often entail important linguistic competences—the ability to change languages (such as in multilingual markets in west Africa), code-switch (particularly after sellers have sized-up the age, gender, ethnicity and/or class of the buyer) or use pidgin languages that are purposefully constructed for market trade among people of different backgrounds. In these ways common discursive practices, or rules of engagement, can be bridging devices for crossing types of differences and/or hierarchies of power, be they ethnic, linguistic, gender, class, etc. This may be inadvertent or non-conscious, or conversely amount to purposefully selective acts of 'tactical cosmopolitanism' (Landau & Freemantle 2009).

Social diversity of some kind is routinely present in urban markets: while buyers tend to be 'local', many sellers may come from outside the locality (and therefore represent difference by way of accent, language, ethnicity or social position, not least in the sense of being outsiders to a specific, localized social order). Within various societies, many commodities have traditionally been 'captured' by particular groups (in terms of ethnicity and/or social status), a point first articulated by Max Weber in his concept of 'social closure'; accordingly, the markets themselves can be seen as nodes of long-distant, sometimes group-specific, trade networks. Further, diversity is usually present by way of the sheer variety of commodities on sale and their sometimes remote origins.

Research on particular, contemporary markets and configurations of diversity should take account of continuities – that is, how age-old and generic elements of markets are manifest, and it what ways.

What is arguably 'new' to the relationship between markets and diversity? In keeping with arguments made elsewhere in this article, at least four answers to this question arise.

First, *markets have changed*. This is evident especially with regard to the items bought and sold in markets, across the world. As one consequence of globalization, these shifts have meant that a wider range of commodities from afar—today, principally from China—have reached local markets worldwide. Along with such 'commodity-reach', local tastes and patterns of consumption have changed. Widespread advertising campaigns of global brands, transnational media, world film industries (Hollywood, Bollywood, Nollywood, Hong Kong, Turkey) and mass tourism are but some of the key forces in prompting exposure to, and adoption of, new styles, foods, material goods and markers of distinction—manifestations of which are to be found in local markets.

Second, the nature of diversity has changed worldwide at the same time. Changing patterns of global migration have meant that a proliferation of immigrants in small group numbers but from more varied points of origin, through more varied migration trajectories, and with more varied legal statuses, have arrived in a more varied set of destinations (in many places resulting in a new presence of people from previously unknown origins) (Vertovec 2010, 2011). Such patterns have resulted in profound transformations of local configurations of diversity in terms of ethnicity, religion, language, gender, age, legal status, human capital and more (Vertovec 2007; Hiebert 2002, 2012). Local socio-economic orders have been transformed as well. At the same time, in many places around the world, public opinion polls such as Eurobarometer and the World Values Survey show shifting—and contradictory—attitudes toward diversity: large segments of national populations the world over simultaneously espouse the views that 'diversity enriches society' and 'there are too many immigrants'. Hence, either way, there seems to be much more heightened public and political attention, again worldwide, to issues surrounding diversity (see UNESCO 2009).

Third, there have been key *changes in the spatiality of markets*. As the pace and complexity of migration have increased, the social geography of cities has been reconfigured. This is most evident in the largest metropolitan centres of the global north but is also the case in the mega-cities of the global south. In the case of cities in more developed countries we are accustomed to think of newcomers first settling in distressed inner-city neighbourhoods. But the twin processes of gentrification and mass migration has profoundly shifted the social geography of migrant settlement,

which is now a mainly suburban phenomenon (in essence, conforming to longstanding patterns evident in the global south).

Traditional inner-city street markets 'on the wrong side of the tracks' continue to exist but are now under pressure from gentrification. Many, in fact, undergo a process of 'upgrading' to serve a more affluent consumer base when gentrification takes hold. At the same time immigrant settlement has expanded to suburbs, and the clientele for street markets specializing in ordinary goods has also shifted outwards. Amsterdam's Dappermarkt, located several kilometres from the core of the city, exemplifies this trend. Street markets have also proliferated in even more distant areas of European cities, where newcomers congregate in social housing on the periphery of mass transportation networks.

The nature of street markets is closely associated with their setting and especially their consumer base. Therefore we should expect that street markets in highly diverse suburbs differ from those of the inner city (e.g., Öz & Eder 2012, but see also Yükseker 2004).

Fourth, regulation has changed. Over the past few decades, neo-liberal approaches to national economies have entailed a general relaxation of state intervention in private trade. The collapse of Communism has witnessed a boom in the growth of markets in former COMECON countries. In more recent years, the local effects of the global economic crisis have wrought forms of re-regulation (especially austerity measures, as in Greece and several other European countries) and arguably spurred a growth in the informal sector—which also has had impacts on markets as sites of trade and employment.

Beyond patterns of global change affecting markets and diversity today, fundamental characteristics of markets and diversity are continuously channelled or challenged by temporally and contextually conditioned factors. At any time in history or place in the world, activities and practices in markets have been variously conditioned by matters highly particular to a locality, such as spatial layout, 'regulations' (in the senses outlined earlier in this paper), social characteristics of customers (not least class) and the dominance or distribution of specific ethnic, regional or other groups and their relation to various goods and services. Investigating such contextual factors is a primary exercise in any research on markets and diversity (e.g., Seligman 2000; Pardy 2005).

In our particular moment in time, street markets exemplify the tension between the neo-liberal commitment to erode the regulatory capacity of the state vs. the desire to control migration and also the desire on the part of local authorities to maintain order in urban space (Aytar and Rath 2012).

### Conceptual and political complications

There is an underlying tension between the fields of diversity and market studies. Generally, scholars who focus on diversity issues tend to appreciate a strong state that is expected to promote social integration through primary institutions such as the public education system. Children from all backgrounds meet in schools and ideally learn to coexist, a desire that is increasingly incorporated into pedagogy and ancillary programs. State bureaucracies also attempt to advance the cause of inclusion in their hiring practices, by ensuring that their personnel more accurately represent the diversity of the wider society. Governments in many countries have also enacted legislation to prevent hate speech and discrimination in the housing and labour markets. Some governments have been more proactive in this respect by developing policies to promote intercultural dialogue. Whether reactive or proactive, these policies are important practical tools. They are also symbolic, signifying a preferred outcome of intercultural/religious engagement and mutual respect between different groups.

Non-government organizations also play a recognized role in the enhancement of intercultural communication, in myriad ways. For example, interfaith groups have emerged in many cities across the world and some have been instrumental in diffusing tension between members of different religions. Non-profit media have also taken up this challenge, such as multicultural radio stations that bring spokespersons from different groups together to find points of connection between them. In many countries NGOs specialize in immigrant incorporation, providing essential welcoming services such as orientation, language training for adults, housing, and help in preparing for the labour market. These services typically include individuals from different groups and are, whether by design or happenstance, tools for intercultural encounter. If governments and NGOs feel motivated to establish policies and practices to bridge cultural difference, this tells us something: these institutions must believe that cultural interaction will not happen 'naturally' in other spheres of society, or will happen insufficiently to maintain social cohesion.

In other words, scholars—and others more generally—have largely ignored the role of the private sector in the process of intercultural engagement, assigning this

grand purpose to the state (and ancillary institutions; Rath and Swagerman 2011). We are sceptical of such a view, though hasten to add that our stance does not reflect a rejection of the welfare state or an apologetic for neo-liberalism. We take a more basic position and emphasise that many of the intercultural dynamics involved in diverse societies occur in sites of work or exchange. These sites may be regulated by labour codes, commercial law, and so on, but their role as places where diverse people meet is generally outside the scope of integration policy or the politics of multiculturalism.

Our paper and the larger body of research we imagine, sees a need to bring these separate intellectual positions into dialogue, recognizing that the solitudes of, say anthropology and economics in the academy are not separate at the level of every-day transactions in street markets, or for that matter in many elements of everyday interaction.

## Methodological complications

Before we outline more specific research issues, it is instructive to note several important methodological challenges to studying the relationship between markets and diversity. The first is somewhat ironic given what we have written thus far: Where do we demarcate the boundary between society and the market? If we begin with the realization that markets are places of intersection, how much do we need to know about society generally to understand what happens in markets? Put another way, how do we legitimately limit studies of markets from becoming infinite (i.e., studying the supply chains underlying markets; city politics that form the basis from which market regulations are derived; the changing configurations of diversity in society; market fragmentation and changing consumption patterns; and a host of wider issues, all of which matter)?

Second, how can we capture in traditional written texts the three-dimensional properties of markets and the complex social interactions within them? The challenge of providing a more comprehensive account of markets necessitates, first and foremost, combinatorial methodologies (e.g., the use of time-lapse photography in Llewellyn and Burrow 2008), but also a 'publications plus' strategy that will include extensive audio recordings and visual images. Websites are the obvious choice to facilitate multimedia documentation of markets, and these need to be built along with more standard academic publications.

Third, markets frequently are polyglot places and researchers cannot learn all relevant languages. To some degree this issue can be addressed by bringing local residents into the research process, for example, as research assistants who provide real-time translation, but some of the nuances of market behaviour and interaction will surely be lost in this process.

Fourth, markets, like so many other social processes, are layered in complex ways that include overt behaviour conforming to formal regulations, and covert behaviour that may not. Ethnographic methods are required to understand the interweaving of these forms of behaviour but even with nuanced, sustained study, it is unlikely that researchers will understand the full complexity of markets.

Fifth, this is particularly true of regulations governing markets. Some of these will be formalised, in some cases as legal texts. But markets also incorporate far more subtle forms of regulation that are related to underlying cultural processes that may be opaque to researchers, even those who invest considerable time in field work.

Finally, we acknowledge at the outset of this research agenda one of the most difficult challenges, that of causality. If people interact routinely in markets across markers of difference, and they 'get along' more generally in society despite potential grievances and animosities, how can we know whether interactions in markets contributed to this outcome? This is of course an instance of a general problem of attribution in any social science project, but it represents a formidable challenge given our key research question. The challenge of identifying causality is compounded by another factor: we believe that the direction of causality in the relationship between markets and diversity is constantly shifting... that what appears at one moment to be a straightforward situation of an independent (say diversity) and dependent (say markets) variable, a moment later seems to be the opposite relationship.

# Toward a conclusion: Street markets and the relationship between economy and society—a research agenda

In this paper we have argued that street markets offer an ideal setting to explore the relationship between economy and society, especially when we consider the ways that these markets reflect, but also shape, the nature and meaning of social and cultural diversity. Before turning to specific issues and questions it is instructive to first reflect on the ways that diversity and markets are mutually constitutive.

Diversity shapes the nature of street markets. While a basic set of actions around buying and selling are fundamental to market exchange, the repertoire of specific behaviours and social practices—or, as we have put it, 'rules of engagement'—between buyers and sellers (as well between buyers and between sellers) reflect contextual factors such as the modes of social difference amongst the actors. Various actors in the market adopt behavioural mannerisms to communicate common intentions (here, economic exchange) between people from different backgrounds, whether ethnic, linguistic, class-based, gendered, age-related, or other. Further, diversity affects the market materially, since the stylistic and culinary tastes of clientele are incorporated in items on sale; as ethnicity, class backgrounds and other modes of difference among customers change, so do commodities found in the market. Social distinctions also impact market activities through the influx or growth in the number of socio-economically marginalized people (newly unemployed through changes in the labour regime, patterns of discrimination, or undocumented legal status), that might lead to an expansion of precarious or informal work in the urban market. In essence, street markets exemplify the global process of space-time compression, juxtaposing people with backgrounds from distant places and distinct cultures together in the same place.

But at the same time *markets shape diversity*. We can think of this process through three dimensions (see Vertovec 2009): configurations, representations, and encounters. Markets help configure social life. They reflect the basic sociocultural and socioeconomic diversity of local areas. They bring together people into a public arena, in the quotidian act of shopping, who might otherwise remain apart (Hüelmeier 2011). This happens in settings that are both relatively controlled through 'rules of engagement' and also highly adaptive and dynamic. Markets also come to represent the nature of diversity in societies. Different cultural groups come to be imagined through association with their commercial districts. We think we 'know' something about Chinese people by our experience of Chinatowns, for example. That is, commercial districts and street markets become loci of the process of distinguishing between 'us' and 'them'. It is also worth noting that many ethnic stereotypes have arisen from perceived behaviour in markets (e.g., the putative stinginess of certain groups, or that certain groups are disproportionately inclined to engage in dishonesty or petty theft. Street markets also structure encounters between people, frequently across lines of social and cultural difference. Again, these encounters may be highly scripted, especially when there are linguistic challenges between entrepreneurs and consumers, or improvisational, in some cases leading to lengthy conversations. For

some people, street markets are the primary means by which they encounter people from other backgrounds.

These bi-directional influences suggest a number of potentially fruitful research questions, though this list will hardly exhaust the possibilities in this field of research. One of the foremost of these questions relates to the effects of economic engagement: does positive, or at least routine, engagement across modes of difference 'carry-over' to positively impact on attitudes toward, and interactions with, the same categories of others outside of the market? This question is obviously akin to that posed in contact theory (e.g., Hewstone 2009), here transposed specifically to the setting of urban markets. As already noted, the scant social science literature that has asked this question seems divided on whether there is much effect, either positively or negatively.

A related issue: in bringing people together by creating a spatial concentration of diversity (i.e., what Elijah Anderson [2011] calls a 'cosmopolitan canopy'), do street markets foster cosmopolitan identities (Pardy 2005)?

Another important question is more starkly economic: do street markets provide a vehicle for social mobility for individuals and groups that might otherwise remain marginalised? Conversely, are the competitive pressures within street markets so intense that they offer limited scope for economic advancement; if so, are sellers and workers in street markets participating in a kind of economic cul-de-sac?

If markets promote a kind of economic integration, does it follow that they have an impact on local interethnic perceptions and relations? That is, does a successful market, which highlights heritage goods of particular groups, generate respect for those groups? If street markets may promote mutual respect, they may also work in reverse, exacerbating animosities. This leads to questions about things that are present and absent from markets. On the former issue, under what circumstances are hostilities replicated in the market? When do they promote the *exclusion* of particular groups? This of course leads to the latter issue: which groups are not present in markets, and is their absence socially significant?

The spatiality of street markets suggests a range of questions at different scales. Is there a relationship between the location of street markets and their local effects? For example, does it matter whether markets are proximate to areas of ethnic minority residential concentration? Do the social effects of street markets in inner-city areas differ from those in suburbs? At a larger scale, we anticipate quite different relationships between street markets and society in cities of the global south vs. north. Is this a valid conjecture? Similarly, do street markets operate differently, and yield different

socio-cultural outcomes, in neoliberal societies as opposed to states that have maintained a greater degree of income redistribution and, presumably, market regulation?

Throughout this paper we have noted the complex nature of regulation in street markets, which is based on a combination of formal rules and a host of informal practices and tacit agreements. On the more formal side of the spectrum, how do planning agencies, business associations, and other relevant actors 'see' the relationship between street markets and local societies? Are these agencies aware of the intercultural dynamics associated with markets or oblivious to them? Do they attempt to intervene in this respect, or to capitalize on the intercultural qualities of street markets (e.g., by celebrating the multicultural character of markets in tourist brochures)? If so, what are the consequences? On the informal side, what is the relationship between 'rules of engagement' in these markets and the changing landscapes of super-diversity of global cities?

\* \* \*

This list of key research issues illustrates the potential for street markets to provide insights into the society-economy nexus. We believe that this will arise most productively through a research program that is at the same time conceptual and empirical. Conceptually, such a research program must transcend disciplinary constraints, exploring connections between the insights of anthropologists, cultural theorists, economists, geographers, linguists, sociologists, and of course the field of urban studies. Empirically, there is a need for sophisticated, innovative, and flexible methods and a wide variety of finely-grained case studies. These methods must enable researchers to appreciate both the formal structures of social/economic relations in markets and also the complex informal codes that infuse these relations. They must also strike a balance between comparability and place specificity. Throughout this research, the primary goal should be to see the wider *meaning* in the *mundane*.

#### References

- AHMED, SARA 2007 'The language of diversity,' *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 30, no.2, pp. 235-56
- ANDERSON, ELIJAH 2011 *The Cosmopolitan Canopy: Race and Civility in Everyday Life*, New York: W.W. Norton & Company Incorporated
- ALRAOUF, ALI 2010 'Regenerating urban traditions in Bahrain. Learning from Bab-Al-Bahrain: the authentic fake,' *Journal of Tourism and Cultural Change*, vol 8, no.1-2, pp. 50-68.
- AYTAR, VOLKAN and RATH, JAN (eds.) 2012 Selling Ethnic Neighborhoods: The Rise of Neighborhoods as Places of Leisure and Consumption, New York: Routledge.
- BANNAN, ROSEMARY 1992 'Little China: Street vending in the free market (1989)' *Journal of Developing Studies* 8, pp. 147-159.
- BROMLEY, ROSEMARY D.F. and MACKIE, PETER K. 2009 'Displacement and the new spaces for informal trade in the Latin American city centre,' *Urban Studies*, vol. 46, no.7, pp. 1485-1506.
- BUSCH, DOMINIC 2010 'Shopping in hospitality: situational constructions of customer–vendor relationships among shopping tourists at a bazaar on the German–Polish border,' *Language and Intercultural Communication*, vol. 10, no.1, pp. 72-89
- CASTELLS, MANUEL 1989 The Informational City: Information Technology, Economic Restructuring, and the Urban Regional Process, Oxford: Blackwell
- CHAPMAN, GRAHAM (2001) The Life of Brian Screenplay Monty Python. York: Methuen.
- CLARK, GRACIA (ed) (1988) Traders versus the State: Anthropological Approaches to Informal Economies. Boulder: Westview Press.
- CLOUGH, NATHAN L. and VANDERBECK, ROBERT M. 2006 'Managing politics and consumption in business improvement districts: the geographies of political activism on Burlington, Vermont's Church Street marketplace,' *Urban Studies*, vol. 43, no.12, pp. 2261-2284
- COOPER, DAVINA 2004 Challenging Diversity: Rethinking Equality and the Value of Difference, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press
- DE BRUIN, ANNE and DUPUIS, ANN 2000 'Constrained entrepreneurship: an interdisciplinary extension of bounded rationality,' *Journal of Interdisciplinary Economics*, vol. 12, no.1, pp. 71-86
- DE LA PRADELLE, MICHELE 1995 'Market exchange and the social construction of a public space,' *French Cultural Studies*, vol. 6, no.18, pp. 359-371
- DINES, NICK 2007 'The experience of diversity in an era of urban regeneration: The case of Queens Market, East London,' EURODIV Working Paper 48. Milan, Italy, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM).
- ENGELEN, EWALD 2001 "Breaking in" and "Breaking out": A Weberian Approach to Entrepreneurial Opportunities', *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, vol. 27, no.2, pp. 203-23
- FAIST, THOMAS 2009 'Diversity a new mode of incorporation?' *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 32, no.1, pp. 171-190
- FLORIDA, RICHARD 2002 'The economic geography of talent,' *Annals of the Association of American geographers*, vol. 92, no.4, pp. 743-755

- FURNIVALL, JOHN SYDENHAM 1939 Netherland India: a Study of a Plural Society, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- GLICK SCHILLER, NINA and ÇAĞLAR, AYŞE (eds.) 2011 Locating Migration: Rescaling Cities and Migrants, Ithica, NY: Cornell University Press
- GOFFMAN, ERVING 1959 *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life*, Garden City, NY: Doubleday
- CREWE, LOUISE and GREGSON, NICKY 1998 'Tales of the unexpected: exploring car boot sales as marginal spaces of contemporary consumption,' *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, vol. 23, no.1, pp. 39-53
- GREGSON, NICKY and CREWE, LOUISE 2003 Second-hand cultures, Oxford: Berg Publishers
- HEWSTONE, MILES 2009 'Living apart, living together? The role of intergroup contact in social integration,' Göttingen: Max-Planck-Institute *Working Paper* 09-12
- HIEBERT, DANIEL 2002 'Cosmopolitanism at the local level: Immigrant settlement and the development of transnational neighbourhoods', in S. Vertovec and R. Cohen (eds), *Conceiving cosmopolitanism: Theory, context and practice*, Oxford: Oxford University Press: 209-223
- HIEBERT, DANIEL 2012 'A new residential order? The social geography of visible minority and religious groups in Montreal, Toronto, and Vancouver in 2031', Citizenship and Immigration Canada, Research and Evaluation Branch, *Research Report* (http://www.cic.gc.ca/english/resources/research/residential.asp).
- HÜWELMEIER, GERTRUDE 2011 'Socialist Cosmopolitanism Meets Global Pentecostalism: Charismatic Christianity among Vietnamese Migrants in Germany.' *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 34(3): 436-453.
- HÜWELMEIER, GERTRUDE 2013 'Postsocialist Bazaars: Diversity, Solidarity, and Conflict in the Marketplace' *Laboritorium* 5(1): 52-72.
- KAPCHAN, DEBORAH 1996 Gender in the Market: Moroccan Women and the Revoicing of Tradition Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- KELLY, MAJORIE 2003 'Seeking authenticity in the marketplace' *The Journal of Popular Culture, vol.* 37, no.2, pp. 220-243
- KLOOSTERMAN, ROBERT C. 2010 'Matching opportunities with resources: A framework for analysing (migrant) entrepreneurship from a mixed embeddedness perspective,' *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, vol. 22, no.1, pp. 25-45
- KLOOSTERMAN, ROBERT.C., VAN DER LEUN, JOANNE and RATH, JAN 1999 'Mixed Embeddedness. Immigrant businesses and informal economic opportunities', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, vol. 23, no.2, pp. 253-267
- KLOOSTERMAN, ROBERT C. and RATH, JAN 2010 'Shifting Landscapes of Immigrant Entrepreneurship', pp. 101-123 in *Open for Business. Migrant Entrepreneurship in OECD Countries*. Paris: OECD
- L'HOTE, LELAND and GASTA, CHAD 2007 'Immigration and street entrepreneurship in Alicante, Spain,' *International Journal of Iberian Studies*, vol. 20, no.1, pp. 3-22
- LANDAU, LOREN B. and FREEMANTLE, IRIANN 2010 'Tactical cosmopolitanism and idioms of belonging: Insertion and self-exclusion in Johannesburg', *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, vol. 36, no.3, pp. 375-90

- LIU, JING JING 2010 'Contact and identity: The experience of 'China goods' in a Ghanaian marketplace,' *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, vol. 20, no.3, pp. 184-201.
- LLEWELLYN, NICK, and ROBIN BURROW 2008 'Streetwise Sales and the Social Order of City Streets' *British Journal of Sociology* 59(30: 561-583.
- LYSACK, KRISTA 2005 'Goblin markets: Victorian women shoppers at Liberty's Oriental Bazaar,' *Nineteenth Century Contexts*, vol. 27, no.2, pp. 139-165
- MAISEL, ROBERT 1974 'The Flea Market as an Action Scene,' *Urban Life*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 488-505
- MARCINSZAK, SZYMON and VAN DER VELDE, MARTIN 2008 'Drifting in a Global Space of Textile Flows: Apparel Bazaars in Poland's Łódź Region,' *European Planning Studies*, vol. 16, no.7, pp. 911-923
- NEUWIRTH, ROBERT 2011 *The Stealth of Nations: The Global Rise of the Informal Economy*, New York: Pantheon
- NOCK, MAGDALENA BARROS 2009 'Swap Meets and Socioeconomic Alternatives for Mexican Immigrants: The Case of the San Joaquin Valley,' *Human Organization*, vol. 68, no.3, pp. 307-317
- OLSSON, OLA 2007 'Conflict diamonds,' *Journal of Development Economics*, vol. 82, no.2, pp. 267-286
- ÖZ, ÖZLEM and EDER, MINE 2012 'Rendering Istanbul's Periodic Bazaars Invisible: Reflections on Urban Transformation and Contested Space', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 297-313
- PARDY, MAREE 2005 'Kant comes to Footscray Mall: Thinking about local cosmopolitanism' in C. Long, K. Shaw and C. Merlot (eds) *Sub Urban Fantasies*. Australian Scholarly Publishing, Melbourne: 107-128.
- PLATTNER, STUART 1989 'Markets and Market Places' In S. Plattner (ed) *Economic Anthropology* Stanford: Stanford University Press: 171-208.
- PORTES, ALEJANDRO 1994 'The Informal Economy and its Paradoxes', in Neil J. Smelser and Richard Swedberg (eds), *The Handbook of Economic Sociology*, Princeton, NJ/New York: Princeton University Press/Russell Sage Foundation
- PORTES, ALEJANDRO, and SASSEN-KOOB, SASKIA 1987 'Making it underground. Comparative material on the informal sector in Western market economies', *American Journal of Sociology*, vol. 93, no.1, pp. 30-61
- PORTES, ALEJANDRO and VICKSTROM, ERIK 2011 'Diversity, social capital, and cohesion,' *Annual Review of Sociology*, vol. 37, pp. 461-79
- POTTIE-SHERMAN, YOLANDE 2011 'Markets and diversity: An overview,' Göttingen: Max-Planck-Institute *Working Paper* 11-03
- POTTIE-SHERMAN, YOLANDE, and DANIEL HIEBERT 2013 'Authenticity with a bang: Exploring suburban culture and migration through the new phenomenon of the Richmond Night Market' *Urban Studies* PUBLICATION INFO
- PUTNAM, R. 2007 'E Pluribus Unum: diversity and community in the twenty-first century: the 2006 Johan Skytte Prize lecture,' Scandinavian Political Studies, vol. 30, no.2, pp. 137-74
- RATH, JAN 1999 'The Netherlands. A Dutch treat for anti-social families and immigrant ethnic minorities', pp. 147-170 in M. Cole and G. Dale (eds), *The European Union and Migrant Labour*. Oxford: Berg Publishers

- ---- (ed.) 2002 Unraveling the Rag Trade. Immigrant Entrepreneurship in Seven World Cities. Oxford/New York: Berg/University of New York Press
- ----- (ed.) 2007 *Tourism, Ethnic Diversity and the City*. (Contemporary Geographies of Leisure, Tourism and Mobility Series). London: Routledge
- ----- 2011 'Debating Multiculturalism: Europe's Reaction in Context', *Harvard International Review*, http://hir.harvard.edu/debating-multiculturalism?page=o,o, accessed May 18, 2012
- RATH, JAN and SWAGERMAN, ANNA 2011 *Promoting Ethnic Entrepreneurship in Euro*pean Cities. Luxembourg: Publication Office of the European Union
- RHYS-TAYLOR, ALEX 2013 'The Essences of Multiculture: A Sensory Exploration of an Inner-City Street Market' *Identities: Global Studies in Culture and Power* 20(4): 393-406.
- SCHANK, ROGER C. and ABELSON, ROBERT P. 1977 Scripts, Plans, Goals, and Understanding: An inquiry to human knowledge structures, Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum
- SCOTT, ALLEN J. 2008, Social Economy of the Metropolis: Cognitive-cultural capitalism and the global resurgence of cities, OUP Oxford
- SHEPHERD, ROBERT J. 2008 When Culture Goes to Market: Space, Place and Identity in an Urban Marketplace. New York: Peter Lang Pub Incorporated
- SELIGMAN, LINDA J 1993 'Between Worlds of Exchange: Ethnicity among Peruvian Market Women' *Cultural Anthropology* 8(2): 187-213.
- SELIGMAN, LINDA J 2000 'Market Places, Social Spaces in Cuzco, Peru' *Urban Anthro-* pology and Studies of Cultural Systems and World Economic Development 29(1): 1-68.
- SMITH, MICHAEL GARFIELD 1965 *The plural society in the British West Indies*. Berkeley: University of California Press
- STILLERMAN, JOEL 2006. 'The politics of space and culture in Santiago, Chile's street markets,' *Qualitative Sociology*, vol. 29, no.4, pp. 507-30
- UNESCO. 2009 UNESCO World Report: Investing in Cultural Diversity and Intercultural Dialogue. Paris: United Nations Educational, Cultural and Scientific Organization
- VERTOVEC, STEVEN 2007 'Super-diversity and its implications', *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 29, no.6, pp. 1024-54
- ----- 2009 'Conceiving and Researching Diversity,' Göttingen: Max-Planck-Institute Working Paper 09-01
- ----- 2010 'General Introduction,' in *Migration, vol. I: Theories*, (Steven Vertovec, Ed.), London: Routledge, pp. 1-8
- ----- 2011 'Migration and new diversities in global cities: Comparatively conceiving, observing and visualizing diversification in urban public spaces,' Göttingen: Max-Planck-Institute *Working Paper* 11-08
- VERTOVEC, STEVEN 2012 "Diversity" and the Social Imaginary, *European Journal of Sociology*, vol. 53, pp 287-312.
- VERTOVEC, STEVEN and WESSENDORF, SUSANNE (eds.) 2010 *The Multiculturalism Backlash: European Discourses, Policies and Practices.* London: Routledge
- WATSON, SOPHIE 2009 'The magic of the marketplace: Sociality in a neglected public space,' *Urban Studies*, vol. 46, no.8, pp. 1577-1591
- WITZ, LESLIE, CIRAJ RASSOOL and GARY MINKLEY 2001 'Repackaging the past for South African tourism,' *Daedalus*: 277-296.

- WU, COUCHEN and CHI-CHENG, LUAN 2007 'Exploring crowding effects on collectivists' emotions and purchase intention of durable and non-durable goods in East Asian night markets,' *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, vol. 20, no.1, pp. 5-18
- YU, SHUENN-DER 2004 'Hot and noisy: Taiwan's night market culture,' *The minor arts of daily life: Popular culture in Taiwan*, ed. David. K. Jordan, Andrew. D. Morris, and Marc. L. Moskowitz, 129-50. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press.
- YÜKSEKER, DENIZ 2004 'Trust and Gender in a Transnational Marketplace: The Public Culture of Laleli, Istanbul', *Public Culture*, vol. 16, no.1, pp. 47-65
- ZACHARIAS, JOHN 1993 'Reconsidering the impacts of enclosed shopping centres: A study of pedestrian behaviour and within a festival market in Montreal,' *Landscape and Urban Planning*, vol. 26, no.1, pp. 149-160