

The Mondshein Sequence

Jens M. Schmidt
Max Planck Institute for Informatics

Abstract

Canonical orderings [STOC'88, FOCS'92] have been used as a key tool in graph drawing, graph encoding and visibility representations for the last decades. We study a far-reaching generalization of canonical orderings to non-planar graphs that was published by Lee Mondshein in a PhD-thesis at M.I.T. as early as 1971.

Mondshein proposed to order the vertices of a graph in a sequence such that, for any i , the vertices from 1 to i induce essentially a 2-connected graph while the remaining vertices from $i + 1$ to n induce a connected graph. Mondshein's sequence generalizes canonical orderings and became later and independently known under the name *non-separating ear decomposition*. Currently, the best known algorithm for computing this sequence achieves a running time of $O(nm)$; the main open problem in Mondshein's and follow-up work is to improve this running time to a subquadratic time.

In this paper, we present the first algorithm that computes a Mondshein sequence in time and space $O(m)$, improving the previous best running time by a factor of n . In addition, we illustrate the impact of this result by deducing linear-time algorithms for several other problems, for which the previous best running times have been quadratic.

In particular, we show how to compute three independent spanning trees in a 3-connected graph in linear time, improving a result of Cheriyan and Maheshwari [J. Algorithms 9(4)]. Secondly, we improve the preprocessing time for the output-sensitive data structure by Di Battista, Tamassia and Vismara [Algorithmica 23(4)] that reports three internally disjoint paths between any given vertex pair from $O(n^2)$ to $O(m)$. Finally, we show how a very simple linear-time planarity test can be derived once a Mondshein sequence is computed.

keywords: Mondshein sequence, graph drawing, canonical ordering, non-separating ear decomposition, independent spanning trees, reporting disjoint paths

1 Introduction

Canonical orderings are a fundamental tool used in graph drawing, graph encoding and visibility representations; we refer to [1] for a wealth of applications. For maximal planar graphs, canonical orderings were first introduced by de Fraysseix, Pach and Pollack [6, 7] in 1988. Kant then generalized canonical orderings to arbitrary 3-connected planar graphs [12, 13].

Surprisingly, the concept of canonical orderings can be traced back much further, namely to a long-forgotten PhD-thesis at M.I.T. by Lee F. Mondshein [15] in 1971. In fact, Mondshein proposed a sequence that generalizes canonical orderings to non-planar graphs, hence making them applicable to arbitrary 3-connected graphs. Mondshein's sequence was, independently and in a different notation, found later by Cheriyan and Maheshwari [4] under the name *non-separating ear decompositions*.

Computationally, it is an intriguing question how fast a Mondshein sequence can be computed. Mondshein himself gave an involved algorithm with running time $O(m^2)$. Cheriyan showed that

it is possible to achieve a running time of $O(nm)$ by using a theorem of Tutte that proves the existence of non-separating cycles in 3-connected graphs [19]. Both works (see [15, p 1.2] and [4, p. 532]) state as main open problem, whether it is possible to compute a Mondschein sequence in subquadratic time.

We present the first algorithm that computes a Mondschein sequence in time and space $O(m)$, hence solving the above 40-year-old problem. The interest in such a computational result stems from the fact that 3-connected graphs play a crucial role in algorithmic graph theory; we illustrate this in three direct applications by giving linear-time (and hence optimal) algorithms for several problems, for two of which the previous best running times have been quadratic.

In particular, we show how to compute three independent spanning trees in a 3-connected graph in linear time, improving a result of Cheriyan and Maheshwari [4]. Second, we improve the preprocessing time from $O(n^2)$ to $O(m)$ for a data structure by Di Battista, Tamassia and Vismara [8] that reports three internally disjoint paths in a 3-connected graph between any given vertex pair in time $O(\ell)$, where ℓ is the total length of these paths. Finally, we illustrate the usefulness of Mondschein's sequence by giving a very simple linear-time planarity test, once a Mondschein sequence is computed.

We start by giving an overview of Mondschein's work and its connection to canonical orderings and non-separating ear decompositions in Section 3. Section 4 explains the idea of our linear-time algorithm and states its main technical lemma, the Path Replacement Lemma. An in-depth proof of correctness of this lemma is then given in Section 5. Section 6 covers three applications of our linear-time algorithm.

2 Preliminaries

We use standard graph-theoretic terminology and assume that all graphs are simple.

Definition 1 ([14, 21]). An *ear decomposition* of a 2-connected graph $G = (V, E)$ is a sequence (P_0, P_1, \dots, P_k) of subgraphs of G that partition E such that P_0 is a cycle and every P_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, is a path that intersects $P_0 \cup \dots \cup P_{i-1}$ in exactly its end points. Each P_i is called an *ear*. An ear is *short* if it is an edge and *long* otherwise.

According to Whitney [21], every ear decomposition has exactly $m - n + 1$ ears. For any i , let $G_i = P_0 \cup \dots \cup P_i$ and $\bar{V}_i := V - V(G_i)$. We write \bar{G}_i to denote the graph induced by \bar{V}_i . We observe that \bar{G}_i does not necessarily contain all edges in $E - E(G_i)$; in particular, there may be short ears in $E - E(G_i)$ that have both of their endpoints in G_i .

For a path P and two vertices x and y in P , let $P[x, y]$ be the subpath in P from x to y . A path with endpoints v and w is called a *vw-path*. A vertex x in a vw -path P is an *inner vertex* of P if $x \notin \{v, w\}$. For convenience, every vertex in a cycle is an inner vertex of that cycle.

The set of inner vertices of an ear P is denoted as $inner(P)$. The inner vertex sets of the ears in an ear decomposition of G play a special role, as they partition $V(G)$. Every vertex of G is contained in exactly one long ear as inner vertex. This gives readily the following characterization of \bar{V}_i .

Observation 2. For every i , \bar{V}_i is the union of the inner vertices of all long ears P_j with $j > i$.

We will compare vertices and edges of G by their first occurrence in a fixed ear decomposition.

Definition 3. Let $D = (P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{m-n})$ be an ear decomposition of G . For an edge $e \in G$, let $birth_D(e)$ be the index i such that P_i contains e . For a vertex $v \in G$, let $birth_D(v)$ be the minimal

i such that P_i contains v (thus, $P_{\text{birth}_D(v)}$ is the ear containing v as an inner vertex). Whenever D is clear from the context, we will omit D .

Clearly, for every vertex v , the ear $P_{\text{birth}(v)}$ is long, as it contains v as an inner vertex.

3 Generalizing Canonical Orderings

We give a compact rephrasing of canonical orderings in terms of non-separating ear decompositions. This will allow for an easier comparison of a canonical ordering and its generalization to non-planar graphs, as the latter is also based on ear decompositions. We assume that the input graphs are 3-connected and, when talking about canonical orderings, planar. It is well-known that maximal planar graphs, which were considered in [6], form a subclass of 3-connected graphs (apart from the triangle-graph).

Definition 4. An ear decomposition is *non-separating* if, for $0 \leq i \leq m - n$, every inner vertex of P_i has a neighbor in $\overline{G_i}$ unless $\overline{G_i} = \emptyset$.

The name *non-separating* refers to the following helpful property.

Lemma 5. *In a non-separating ear decomposition D , $\overline{G_i}$ is connected for every i .*

Proof. Let u be an inner vertex of the last long ear in D . If $\overline{G_i} = \emptyset$, the claim is true. Otherwise, consider any vertex x in $\overline{G_i}$. In order to show connectedness, we exhibit a path from x to u in $\overline{G_i}$. If x is an inner vertex of $P_{\text{birth}(u)}$, this path is just the path $P_{\text{birth}(u)}[x, u]$. Otherwise, $\text{birth}(x) < \text{birth}(u)$. Then x has a neighbor in $\overline{G_{\text{birth}(x)}}$, since D is non-separating, and, according to Observation 2, this neighbor is an inner vertex of some ear P_j with $j > \text{birth}(x)$. Applying induction on j gives the desired path to u . \square

A *plane graph* is a graph that is embedded into the plane. In particular, a plane graph has a fixed outer face. We define canonical orderings as special non-separating ear decompositions.

Definition 6 (canonical ordering). Let G be a 3-connected plane graph having the edges tr and ru in its outer face. A *canonical ordering* with respect to tr and ru is an ear decomposition D of G such that

1. $tr \in P_0$,
2. $P_{\text{birth}(u)}$ is the last long ear, contains u as its only inner vertex and does not contain ru , and
3. D is non-separating.

The fact that D is non-separating plays a key role for both canonical orderings and their generalization to non-planar graphs. E.g., for canonical orderings, Lemma 5 implies that the plane graph G can be constructed from P_0 by successively inserting the ears of D to only one dedicated face of the current embedding, a routine that is heavily applied in graph drawing and embedding problems.

The original definition of canonical orderings by Kant [13] states the following additional properties.

Lemma 7 (further properties). *For every $0 \leq i \leq m - n$ in a canonical ordering,*

4. *the outer face C_i of the plane subgraph $G_i \subseteq G$ is a (simple) cycle that contains tr ,*
5. *G_i is 2-connected and every separation pair of G_i has both its vertices in C_i ,*
6. *for $i > 0$, the neighbors of $\text{inner}(P_i)$ in G_{i-1} are contained consecutively in C_{i-1} , and*
7. *if $|\text{inner}(P_i)| \geq 2$, each inner vertex of P_i has degree two in G_i .*

Properties 4 and 5 can be easily deduced from Definition 6 as follows: Every G_i is a 2-connected plane subgraph of G , as G_i has an ear decomposition. According to [18, Corollary 1.3], all faces of a 2-connected plane graph form cycles. Thus, every C_i is a cycle and Property 4 follows directly from the fact that tr is assumed to be in the fixed outer face of G . As noted in [1, Lemma 1], the 3-connectivity of G implies Property 5. Property 6 follows from Property 4, the fact that every inner vertex of P_i must be outside C_{i-1} (in G) and the Jordan Curve Theorem.

For the sake of completeness, we show how Property 7 is derived. Although it is not directly implied by Definition 6, the following lemma shows that we can always assume it.

Lemma 8. *Every canonical ordering can be transformed to a canonical ordering satisfying Property 7 in linear time.*

Proof. Consider any ear $P_i \neq P_0$ with $|inner(P_i)| \geq 2$ such that an inner vertex x of P_i has a neighbor y in G_{i-1} . Then $P_{birth(xy)} = xy$ and $birth(xy) > i$. Let Z_1 be a shortest path in P_i from an endpoint of P_i to x and let Z_2 be the path in P_i from x to the remaining endpoint. Replace P_i with the two ears $Z_1 \cup xy$ and Z_2 and delete $P_{birth(xy)}$. This preserves Properties 1–3 (note that $u \notin P_i$, as $|inner(P_i)| \geq 2$).

If a vertex $x \in \{r, t\}$ has not degree 2 in P_0 , it has a non-consecutive neighbor y in P_0 . We replace P_0 with the shortest cycle C in $P_0 \cup xy$ that contains r , t and y , delete $P_{birth(xy)} = xy$ and add the remaining path from x to y in $P_0 - E(C)$ as new ear directly after C . This clearly preserves Properties 1–3. We shortcut P_0 in a similar way, when r and t have degree 2 in P_0 and some vertex $x \in P_0 - r - t$ has not degree 2. It is easy to see that the above operations can be computed in linear total time. \square

Our definition of canonical orderings uses planarity only in one place: $tr \cup ru$ is assumed to be part of the outer face of G . Note that the essential art of this assumption is that $tr \cup ru$ is part of some face of G , as we can always choose an embedding for G having this face as outer face. By dropping this assumption, our definition of canonical orderings can be readily generalized to non-planar graphs: We merely require tr and ru to be edges in the graph.

This is in fact equivalent to the definition Mondschein used 1971 to define a $(2,1)$ -sequence [15, Def. 2.2.1], but which he gave in the notation of a special vertex ordering. This vertex ordering actually refines the partial order $inner(P_0), \dots, inner(P_{m-n})$ by enforcing an order on the inner vertices of each path according to their occurrence on that path (in any direction). For conciseness, we will instead stick to the following short ear-based definition, which is similar to the one given in [4] but does not need additional degree-constraints.

Definition 9 ([15, 4]). Let G be a graph with an edge ru . A *Mondschein sequence avoiding ru* (see Figure 1) is an ear decomposition D of G such that

1. $r \in P_0$,
2. $P_{birth(u)}$ is the last long ear, contains u as its only inner vertex and does not contain ru , and
3. D is non-separating.

An ear decomposition D that satisfies Conditions 1 and 2 is said to *avoid ru* . Put simply, this forces ru to be “added last” in D , i.e., strictly after the last long ear $P_{birth(u)}$ has been added. Note that Definition 9 implies $u \notin P_0$, as $P_{birth(u)}$ contains only one inner vertex. As a direct consequence of this and the fact that D is non-separating, G must have minimum degree 3 in order to have a Mondschein sequence. Mondschein proved that every 3-connected graph has a Mondschein sequence. In fact, also the converse is true.

Theorem 10. [4, 22] *Let $ru \in E(G)$. Then G is 3-connected if and only if G has a Mondschein sequence avoiding ru .*




Figure 1: A Mondshein sequence of a non-planar 3-connected graph.

We state two additional facts about Mondshein sequences. Since we replaced the assumption that $tr \cup ru$ is in the outer face of G with the very small assumption that ru is an edge of G (which does not assume anything about t at all), it is natural to ask how we can extract t (and thus, a canonical ordering) from a Mondshein sequence when G is plane. We choose t as any neighbor of r in P_0 . Since P_0 is non-separating and the non-separating cycles of a 3-connected plane graph are precisely its faces [19], this satisfies Definition 6 and leads to the following observation.

Observation 11. *Let D be a Mondshein sequence avoiding ru of a planar graph G and let t be a neighbor of r in P_0 . Then D is a canonical ordering of the planar embedding of G whose outer face contains $tr \cup ru$.*

Once having a Mondshein sequence, we can aim for a slightly stronger structure. A *chord* of an ear P_i is an edge in G that joins two non-adjacent vertices of P_i . Let a Mondshein sequence be *induced* if P_0 is induced in G and every ear $P_i \neq P_0$ has no chord in G , except possibly the chord joining the endpoints of P_i . The following lemma shows that we can always expect Mondshein sequences that are induced.

Lemma 12. *Every Mondshein sequence can be transformed to an induced Mondshein sequence in linear time.*

Proof. Consider any ear $P_i \neq P_0$ that has a chord xy such that the endpoints of P_i are not $\{x, y\}$. Let Z be the path obtained from P_i by replacing $P_i[x, y] \subseteq P_i$ with xy ; we call the latter operation *short-cutting*. We replace P_i with the two ears Z and $P_i[x, y]$ in that order and delete $P_{birth(xy)} = xy$. Clearly, this preserves the sequence to be a Mondshein sequence.

In order to make P_0 induced, consider the subgraph H of G that consists of P_0 and the chords of P_0 . Let t be a neighbor of r in P_0 and let C be a shortest cycle in H that contains r and t . We replace P_0 with C and replace every ear P_i that is a chord of P_0 and contained in C with the path in P_0 that has been short-cutted by P_i , followed by moving that path to the position directly after P_0 . This preserves the sequence to be a Mondshein sequence. It is easy to see that the above operations can be computed in linear total time. \square

4 Computing a Mondshein Sequence

Mondshein gave an involved algorithm [15] that computes his sequence in time $O(m^2)$. Independently, Cheriyan and Maheshwari gave an algorithm that runs in time $O(nm)$ and which is based on a theorem of Tutte. At the heart of our linear-time algorithm is the following classical construction of 3-connected graphs due to Barnette and Grünbaum [2] and Tutte [20, Thms. 12.64 and 12.65].

Definition 13. The following operations on simple graphs are *BG-operations* (see Figure 2).

- (a) *vertex-vertex-addition*: Add an edge between two distinct non-adjacent vertices
- (b) *edge-vertex-addition*: Subdivide an edge ab , $a \neq b$, by a vertex v and add the edge vw for a vertex $w \notin \{a, b\}$
- (c) *edge-edge-addition*: Subdivide two distinct edges by vertices v and w , respectively, and add the edge vw




Figure 2: BG-operations

Theorem 14 ([2, 20]). *A graph is 3-connected if and only if it can be constructed from K_4 using BG-operations.*

Hence, applying an BG-operation on a 3-connected graphs preserves it to be simple and 3-connected. Let a *BG-sequence* of a 3-connected graph G be a sequence of BG-operations that constructs G from K_4 . It has been shown that such a BG-sequence can be computed efficiently.

Theorem 15 ([17, Thms. 6.(2) and 52]). *A BG-sequence of a 3-connected graph can be computed in time $O(m)$.*

The outline of our algorithm is as follows. We start with a Mondshein sequence of K_4 , which is easily obtained, and compute a BG-sequence of our 3-connected input graph by using Theorem 15. The crucial part is now a careful analysis that a Mondshein sequence of a 3-connected graph G can be modified to one of G' , where G' is obtained from G by applying a BG-operation.

This last step is the main technical contribution of this paper and depends on the various positions in the sequence in which the vertices and edges that are involved in the BG-operation can occur. We will prove that there is always a modification that is local in the sense that the only long ears that are modified are the ones containing a vertex that is involved in the BG-operation.

Lemma 16 (Path Replacement Lemma). *Let G be a 3-connected graph with an edge ru . Let $D = (P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{m-n})$ be a Mondshein sequence avoiding ru of G . Let G' be obtained from G by applying a single BG-operation Γ and let ru' be the edge of G' corresponding to ru . Then a Mondshein sequence D' of G' avoiding ru' can be computed from D using only constantly many constant-time modifications.*

We need some notation for describing the modifications. Let vw be the edge that was added by Γ such that, if applicable, v subdivides $ab \in E(G)$ and w subdivides $cd \in E(G)$. Then the edge ru' of G' that *corresponds* to ru in G is either ru , rv or rw . Whenever we consider the edge ab or cd , e.g. in a statement about $birth(ab)$, we assume that Γ subdivides ab , respectively, cd . W.l.o.g., we further assume that $birth(a) \leq birth(b)$, $birth(c) \leq birth(d)$ and $birth(d) \leq birth(b)$. If not stated otherwise, the *birth*-operator refers always to D in this section. Let $S \subseteq \{av, vb, vw, cw, wd\}$ be the set of new edges in G' .

We prove the Path Replacement Lemma by giving a detailed replacement scheme as follows.

Lemma 17. *There is a Mondschein sequence $D' = (P'_0, P'_1, \dots, P'_{m-n+1})$ of G' avoiding ru (respectively, rv or rw if Γ subdivides ru) that can be obtained from D by performing the following four modifications:*

- M1) replacing the long ear $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with $1 \leq i \leq 3$ consecutive long ears P'_{b_1}, P'_{b_2} and P'_{b_3} , each of which consists of edges in $P_{\text{birth}(b)} \cup S$ (for notational convenience, we assume that all three ears exist such that $P'_{b_j} := P'_{b_i}$ for every $j > i$)*
- M2) if $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ is long and $\text{birth}(d) < \text{birth}(b)$, replacing $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ with the long ear $P'_{c wd}$ that is obtained from $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ by subdividing cd with w (in particular, $\text{birth}(cd) = \text{birth}(d) < \text{birth}(b)$ in this case)*
- M3) if $P_{\text{birth}(ab)}$ is short, deleting or replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)}$ with an edge in $\{va, vb, vw\}$; if $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ is short, deleting or replacing $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ with an edge in $\{wc, wd\}$*
- M4) possibly adding vw as new last ear*

In particular, D' can be constructed from D as follows (Figures 3 and 4 determine the new ears $P'_{b_1} - P'_{b_3}$ of M1).

(1) Γ is a vertex-vertex-addition

Obtain D' from D by adding the new ear vw at the end.

(2) Γ is an edge-vertex-addition

(a) $\text{birth}(b) = \text{birth}(ab)$

Let a' and b' be the endpoints of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ such that a' is closer to a than to b on $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ (a' may be a , but $b' \neq b$).

(i) $w \notin G_{\text{birth}(b)}$ $\triangleright \text{birth}(w) > \text{birth}(b)$

Obtain D' from D by subdividing $ab \subseteq P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with v and adding the new ear vw at the end.

(ii) $w \in G_{\text{birth}(b)} - P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ $\triangleright \text{birth}(w) < \text{birth}(b)$ and $w \notin \{a', b'\}$

Let Z be the path obtained from $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ by replacing ab with $av \cup vb$. Let Z_1 be the $a'w$ -path in $Z \cup vw$. Obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears Z_1 and $Z[v, b']$ in that order.

(iii) $w \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ $\triangleright \text{birth}(w) = \text{birth}(b)$ or $w \in \{a', b'\}$

Let Z be obtained from $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ by replacing ab with $av \cup vb$. Let Z_2 be the vw -path in Z (if $\text{birth}(b) = 0$, Z is a cycle and there are two vw -paths; we then choose one that does not contain r as an inner vertex). Let Z_1 be obtained from Z by replacing Z_2 with the edge vw . Obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears Z_1 and Z_2 in that order.

(b) $\text{birth}(b) < \text{birth}(ab)$ and $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$

(i) $\text{birth}(w) > \text{birth}(b)$ $\triangleright w \notin G_{\text{birth}(b)}$

Obtain D' from D by deleting $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$, adding the new ear $av \cup vb$ directly after $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and adding the new ear vw at the end.

(ii) $\text{birth}(w) < \text{birth}(b)$ $\triangleright w \in G_{\text{birth}(b)-1}$ and $\text{birth}(b) > 0$

(A) $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$ and $ab = ru$

Obtain D' from D by adding the new ear $wv \cup vb$ directly after $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with av .

(B) $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$ and $ab \neq ru$

Obtain D' from D by adding the new ear $av \cup vw$ directly after $P_{\text{birth}(b)-1}$ and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb .

(C) $\text{birth}(a) = \text{birth}(b)$

Let a' and b' be the endpoints of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ such that a' is closer to a than to b on $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. We assume w.l.o.g. that $w \neq a'$, as otherwise, by symmetry, $\{a', a\}$ can

be replaced with $\{b', b\}$ and vice versa. Let $Z_1 = av \cup vw \cup P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a', a]$ and $Z_2 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a, b']$. Obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears Z_1 and Z_2 in that order and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb .

(iii) $\text{birth}(w) = \text{birth}(b)$ $\triangleright w \in \text{inner}(P_{\text{birth}(b)})$ and $w \notin \{a, b\}$

If $\text{birth}(a) = \text{birth}(b) > 0$, let a' and b' be the endpoints of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ such that a' is closer to a than to b on $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. We distinguish the following subcases.

(A) $\text{birth}(a) = \text{birth}(b) > 0$ and w lies strictly between either a and a' or b and b' in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ (say w.l.o.g. between b and b')

Let $Z_1 = av \cup vw \cup P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a', a] \cup P_{\text{birth}(b)}[w, b']$ and let $Z_2 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a, w]$. Obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears Z_1 and Z_2 in that order and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb .

(B) $\text{birth}(a) = \text{birth}(b) > 0$ and w lies strictly between a and b in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$

Let $Z_1 = av \cup vb \cup P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a', a] \cup P_{\text{birth}(b)}[b, b']$ and let $Z_2 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a, b]$. Obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears Z_1 and Z_2 in that order and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vw .

(C) $\text{birth}(a) = \text{birth}(b) = 0$

Consider the three edge-disjoint paths from a to w , from w to b and from b to a in the cycle $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. At least one of these paths must contain r ; let Z be the union of the other two paths. Obtain D' from D by replacing Z in P_0 with the two edges connecting v to the endpoints of Z , adding the new ear Z directly after P_0 and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with the edge connecting v to the vertex in $\{a, b, w\}$ that is not an endpoint of Z .

(D) $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$

Let b' and b'' be the two endpoints of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ such that b' is closer to w than to b on $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. Let $Z_1 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[w, b']$, $Z_2 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[w, b'']$, $Z_3 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[b, b']$ and $Z_4 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[b, b'']$. If $b' \neq a$, obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears $av \cup vw \cup Z_1$ and Z_2 in that order and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb . If $b' = a$, obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears $av \cup vb \cup Z_4$ and Z_3 in that order and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vw .

(3) Γ is an edge-edge-addition (if $\text{birth}(d) = \text{birth}(b)$ and there is an edge $st \in \{ab, cd\}$ that satisfies $\text{birth}(s) < \text{birth}(t)$, we assume w.l.o.g. that ab is such an edge).

(a) $\text{birth}(b) = \text{birth}(ab)$

(i) $\text{birth}(d) < \text{birth}(b)$

$\triangleright d \in G_{\text{birth}(b)-1}$ and $\text{birth}(b) > 0$

Let a' and b' be the endpoints of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ such that a' is closer to a than to b on $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ (a' may be a , but $b' \neq b$). Let Z be the path obtained from $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ by replacing ab with $av \cup vb$. Let Z_1 be the $a'w$ -path in $Z \cup vw$ and let $Z_2 = Z[v, b']$.

(A) $\text{birth}(cd) < \text{birth}(b)$

We distinguish two cases. If $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$, obtain D' from D by deleting $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the three ears $cw \cup wd$, Z_1 and Z_2 in that order. If $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ is long, obtain D' from D by subdividing $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ with w and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears Z_1 and Z_2 in that order.

(B) $\text{birth}(cd) > \text{birth}(b)$ and $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$

Obtain D' from D by deleting $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the three ears $cw \cup wd$, Z_1 and Z_2 in that order.

(ii) $\text{birth}(d) = \text{birth}(b)$

$\triangleright d \in \text{inner}(P_{\text{birth}(b)})$

(A) $c \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$

We have $\text{birth}(b) > 0$ and $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$. Let Z be the path obtained from $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ by replacing ab with $av \cup vb$. Let a' and b' be the endpoints of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$

such that a' is closer to v than to d on Z . Let Z_1 be the $a'c$ -path in $Z \cup vw \cup wc$ and let $Z_2 = Z[v, b']$. If $b \neq u$ or $c \neq r$, obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears Z_1 and Z_2 in that order and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ with the edge wd . Otherwise, $b = u = d$ and $r = c$. Then obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears Z and $vw \cup wb$ in that order and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ with the edge cw .

(B) $c \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and $\text{birth}(b) < \text{birth}(cd)$

Then $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$. Let Z be obtained from $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ by replacing ab with $av \cup vb$. Let Z_1 be a shortest path in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ that contains c , d and v and that does not contain r as an inner vertex (the latter is only relevant if $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ is a cycle). Let z be the inner vertex of Z_1 that is contained in $\{c, d, v\}$. Let Z_2 and Z_3 be the two paths in Z_1 from z to the endpoints of Z_1 such that Z_3 is long (note that one of Z_2 and Z_3 must be long, as otherwise Γ would not be a BG-operation). Obtain D' from D by replacing the path Z_1 in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two edges connecting w to the endpoints of Z_1 , adding the two new ears $Z_2 \cup zw$ and Z_3 directly afterwards in that order and deleting $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$.

(C) $c \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and $\text{birth}(b) = \text{birth}(cd)$

Then $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ contains ab and cd . Let Z be obtained from $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ by replacing ab with $av \cup vb$ and cd with $cw \cup wd$. Let Z_2 be the vw -path in Z (if $\text{birth}(b) = 0$, Z is a cycle and there are two such paths; we then choose one that does not contain r as an inner vertex). Let Z_1 be obtained from Z by replacing Z_2 with the edge vw . Obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears Z_1 and Z_2 in that order.

(b) $\text{birth}(b) < \text{birth}(ab)$ and $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$

(i) $\text{birth}(d) < \text{birth}(b)$

$\triangleright d \in G_{\text{birth}(b)-1}$ and $\text{birth}(b) > 0$

(A) $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$ and $ab = ru$

We distinguish two cases. If $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$, obtain D' from D by deleting $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$, adding the new ears $cw \cup wd$ and $wv \cup vb$ directly before and after $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, respectively, and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with av . If $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ is long, obtain D' from D by subdividing $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ with w , adding the new ear $wv \cup vb$ directly after $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with av .

(B) $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$ and $ab \neq ru$

We distinguish two cases. If $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$, obtain D' from D by deleting $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$, adding the new ears $cw \cup wd$ and $av \cup vw$ directly before $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ in that order and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb . If $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ is long, obtain D' from D by subdividing $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ with w , adding the new ear $av \cup vw$ directly before $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb .

(C) $\text{birth}(a) = \text{birth}(b)$

Let a' and b' be the endpoints of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ such that a' is closer to a than to b on $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. Let Z_1 be the $a'w$ -path in $P_{\text{birth}(b)} \cup av \cup vw$. Let Z_2 be the $b'a$ -path in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. We distinguish two cases. If $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$, obtain D' from D by deleting $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$, replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the three ears $cw \cup wd$, Z_1 and Z_2 in that order and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb . If $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ is long, obtain D' from D by subdividing $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ with w , replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears Z_1 and Z_2 in that order and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb .

(ii) $\text{birth}(d) = \text{birth}(b)$

$\triangleright d \in \text{inner}(P_{\text{birth}(b)})$

In subcases A and B, we will assume that $\text{birth}(a) = \text{birth}(b) = \text{birth}(c)$. Then also $\text{birth}(d) = \text{birth}(b)$. In these cases, we define Z_1 as a path in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ that contains all

vertices in $\{a, b, c, d\}$ and two of them as endpoints such that, if $\text{birth}(b) = 0$, either $r \notin Z_1$ or the endpoints of Z_1 contain r and a vertex in $\{a, b\}$. The latter condition avoids further case distinctions and may be assumed, as either $r \in \{a, b\}$ or otherwise $r \notin \{a, b\}$, which implies that either a or b is closest to r in P_0 among all vertices in $\{a, b, c, d\} - r$. For an arbitrary direction of Z_1 , let (x_1, \dots, x_4) be the vertices $\{a, b, c, d\}$ in Z_1 in the order of occurrence. Due to the symmetry of a and b (and c and d) in the BG-operation Γ , we can assume that a precedes b and c precedes d in this order. This gives four possibilities for (x_1, x_4) , which will be distinguished in the following subcases A and B. Note that all subcases satisfy $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ when $cd \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$.

(A) $\text{birth}(a) = \text{birth}(b) = \text{birth}(c)$ and (x_1, x_4) is either (a, b) or (c, d)

If $(x_1, x_4) = (c, d)$, $cd \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ follows, as otherwise $\text{birth}(b) = 0$ and r is an endpoint of Z_1 , but no endpoint of Z_1 would be in $\{a, b\}$. It follows that $\text{birth}(d) < \text{birth}(cd)$, which makes (c, d) and (a, b) interchangeable, as it was assumed that $\text{birth}(b) < \text{birth}(ab)$. Therefore, we can assume $(x_1, x_4) = (a, b)$ and thus $(x_1, \dots, x_4) = (a, c, d, b)$. Since Γ is a BG-operation, $c \neq a$ or $d \neq b$; by symmetry, we assume $d \neq b$. Let Z_2 be the ca -path in Z_1 (Z_2 may be of length 0). If $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, let Z_3 be the wb -path in the path obtained from $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ by subdividing cd with w ; otherwise, let $Z_3 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[c, b]$. Obtain D' from D by replacing the path Z_1 in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with $av \cup vb$, adding the two ears $vw \cup wc \cup Z_2$ and Z_3 directly after $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ in that order and, if $cd \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, replacing $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ with wd .

(B) $\text{birth}(a) = \text{birth}(b) = \text{birth}(c)$ and (x_1, x_4) is either (a, d) or (c, b)

Then (x_1, \dots, x_4) is either (a, b, c, d) , (a, c, b, d) , (c, a, d, b) or (c, d, a, b) . The last two orderings coincide with the first two ones by assuming the reverse direction of Z_1 in advance (and still assuming that a precedes b and c precedes d). We distinguish the following two cases for each of the first two orderings. If $cd \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, let $Z_2 = Z_1$; otherwise, let Z_2 be the aw -path in the path obtained from $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ by subdividing cd with w . Obtain D' from D by replacing the path Z_1 in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with $av \cup vw \cup wd$, adding the ear Z_2 directly after $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb and, if $cd \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, replacing $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ with wc .

(C) $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$ and $c \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$

Then $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ and $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$. Let b' and b'' be the two endpoints of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ such that b' is at least as close to d as to b on $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ (a may be contained in $\{b', b'', c\}$). Let $Z_1 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[d, b']$ and $Z_2 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[d, b'']$. If $b = d$ and $ru \in \{ab, cd\}$, say $ru = ab$ by symmetry, obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the three ears $cw \cup wd \cup Z_1$, Z_2 and $wv \cup vb$ in that order, deleting $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with av . If $b = d$, $ab \neq ru$ and $cd \neq ru$, obtain D' from D by adding the ear $av \cup vw \cup wc$ directly before $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, replacing $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ with wd and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb . Otherwise $b \neq d$. Then obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the three ears $cw \cup wd \cup Z_1$, $av \cup vw$ and Z_2 in that order, deleting $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb .

(D) $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$, $a \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and c and d are both contained in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a, b]$

Then a must be an endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ (note that $a = c$ is possible while $b = u$ is not possible). Let b' be the other endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and let $Z_1 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[b, b']$. By symmetry, we can assume that a is closer to c than to d in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ (otherwise, swap c and d). Let $Z_2 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a, c]$, $Z_3 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[c, d]$ and $Z_4 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[d, b]$.

If $a = c$, let $Z_5 = aw \cup vw$ and let Z_6 be either $wd \cup Z_4$ if $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ or $Z_3 \cup Z_4$ otherwise (note Z_4 is of length at least one in this case). If $a \neq c$, let $Z_5 = vw \cup wd \cup Z_4$ (Z_4 may be of length 0) and let Z_6 be either $Z_2 \cup cw$ if $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ or $Z_2 \cup Z_3$ otherwise. Obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the three ears $av \cup vb \cup Z_1$, Z_5 and Z_6 in that order, deleting $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ and, if $cd \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, replacing $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ with the edge wd if $a = c$ and with wc otherwise.

- (E) $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$, $c \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and either $a \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ or a is an endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and not both, c and d , are contained in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a, b]$

If $ab = ru$, we have $\text{inner}(P_{\text{birth}(b)}) = b = d$ and $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, because D avoids ru . Then obtain D' from D by subdividing $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with w , adding the ear $wv \cup vb$ directly after $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with av . Otherwise $ab \neq ru$. Let b' be an endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ that is different from a such that the $b'b$ -path in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ contains at least one vertex in $\{c, d\} - b$. Let s be the vertex in $\{c, d\} - b$ that is closest to b' on $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and let b'' be the other endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. Let $Z_1 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[b', s]$ (Z_1 may be of length 0). If $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, let Z_2 be the wb'' -path in the path obtained from $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ by subdividing cd with w ; otherwise, let $Z_2 = P_{\text{birth}(b)}[s, b'']$. Obtain D' from D by replacing $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ with the two ears $av \cup vw \cup ws \cup Z_1$ and Z_2 in that order, replacing $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$ with vb and, if $cd \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, replacing $P_{\text{birth}(cd)} = cd$ with the edge connecting w to the vertex $\{c, d\} - s$.

5 Proof of the Path Replacement Lemma

It suffices to prove Lemma 17. We split the proof over the three Lemmas 18, 20 and 21. First, we observe that the cases of all types of BG-operations are mutually exclusive and complete. This is most often straight-forward; e.g. $\text{birth}(b) \neq \text{birth}(ab)$ in Case (2) implies $\text{birth}(b) < \text{birth}(ab)$ and thus $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$, as the edge ab cannot be added before a and b have been added (recall that we assume $\text{birth}(a) \leq \text{birth}(b)$). For Cases (2aiii) and (2biii), recall that $w \notin \{a, b\}$, as otherwise Γ would not be a BG-operation.

The completeness of Cases (3ai) and (3bii) needs special attention. In Case (3ai), $\text{birth}(cd) \neq \text{birth}(b)$, as otherwise $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ would contain ab and cd and have endpoints c and d , which is clearly impossible. In Case (3bii), the subcases A and B cover the case $\text{birth}(a) = \text{birth}(b)$, in which we also have $\text{birth}(b) = \text{birth}(c)$, as a and b have been chosen such that $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$ if possible. Otherwise, $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$. Case (3biiC) covers $c \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, so that $c \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ in the remaining two subcases. Let R be the property that $a \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and c and d are both contained in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a, b]$. Then Case (3biiD) covers R , which leaves as last subcase that either $a \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ or $a \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and not both, c and d , are contained in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}[a, b]$; for the latter condition, a is an endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, as $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$.

We now verify that D' is an *ear decomposition*. Since the newly added ears of every case are paths, it suffices to show that exactly the first ear of D' is a cycle. The cases in which a modification of P_0 is possible are (2ai), (2aiii), (2bi), (2biiC), (3bi) and (3aiA) (both by modifying $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$), (3aiiB+C) and (3biiA+B). All of these cases may at most subdivide P_0 by a new vertex and replace a subpath of P_0 with a shorter path having the same endpoints. Both of these modifications preserve that P'_0 is a cycle in D' . We conclude that D' is an ear decomposition.

We prove that the *number of ears* in D' is $m - n + 2$, as claimed in Lemma 17. Since D' is an ear decomposition, there are $|E(G')| - |V(G')| + 1$ ears in D' due to Whitney [21]. As applying Γ




Figure 3: Cases (1) and (2) of Lemma 17. Black vertices are endpoints of ears that are contained in $G_{birth(b)}$. The dashed paths depict (parts of) the ears in D' .

to G increases the number of edges by exactly one more than the number of vertices, regardless of the type of BG-operation, the number of ears in D' is $m - n + 2$.

The key observation for the remaining arguments is that D' is similar to D with respect to long ears. It is easy to check that all modifications of ears in Cases (1)–(3) are done according to $M1$ – $M4$. Note that all modifications either replace long ears with long ears or short ears with short ears. Since $M3$ and $M4$ deal only with short ears, the only long ears in D that may be changed are $P_{birth(b)}$ due to $M1$ and $P_{birth(cd)}$ due to $M2$. However, $M1$ and $M2$ are only allowed to do local changes to the sequence of long ears. We get the following identity (ignoring $P_{birth(cd)}$ and P'_{cud} if Γ is an edge-vertex-addition and additionally ignoring $P_{birth(b)}$ and $P'_{b_1} - P'_{b_3}$ if Γ is a vertex-vertex-addition):

- (*) The sequences of long ears in $D - P_{birth(b)} - P_{birth(cd)}$ and $D' - P'_{b_1} - P'_{b_2} - P'_{b_3} - P'_{cud}$ are identical.

Thus, (*) determines the few long ears that may change when applying Γ , while $M1$ and $M2$ restrict how these changes may look like. It remains to prove that D' is non-separating and avoids ru (respectively, rv or rw).

Lemma 18. D' avoids ru (respectively, rv or rw).




Figure 4: Case (3) of Lemma 17.

Proof. In order to check that D' satisfies Definition 9.1 ($r \in P'_0$), it suffices to consider the cases in which $V(P_0) \not\subseteq V(P'_0)$, i.e. the Cases (2aiii), (2biiiC), (3aiiB+C) and (3biiA+B). In all these cases, the new ear P'_0 is constructed explicitly such that $r \in P_0$ implies $r \in P'_0$, which gives the claim. It remains to check Definition 9.2. Clearly, if Γ is a vertex-vertex-addition, D' satisfies Definition 9.2, as only a short ear is added.

Let Γ be an edge-vertex-addition and assume first that v does not subdivide ru . Recall that $P_{\text{birth}(u)}$ is the last long ear in D . If $\text{birth}(u) > \text{birth}(b)$, $P_{\text{birth}(u)}$ is also the last long ear in D' , according to (*). Thus, D' satisfies Definition 9.2. Otherwise, $\text{birth}(u) = \text{birth}(b)$, as $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ is long because it contains b as an inner vertex. This implies $b = u$, since u is the only inner vertex of $P_{\text{birth}(u)}$.

If $a \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b) < \text{birth}(ab)$ and we are in Case (2bii), as $w \in G_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and $w \neq b$, the latter since Γ is a BG-operation. Since $a \neq r$ by assumption, we are in Case (2biiB). In this subcase, $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ is left unchanged and no long ear is added after $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, which satisfies Definition 9.2.

If $a \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, a must be a neighbor of the inner vertex $b = u$ in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and $\text{birth}(ab) = \text{birth}(b)$ follows. Thus, we are in Case (2aii) or (2aiii), since $w \in G_{\text{birth}(b)}$. Let b' be the endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ different from a and note that $w \neq b$. Case (2aii) adds the new ear $vu \cup ub'$ directly after $P_{\text{birth}(u)}$. Thus, $vu \cup ub'$ is the last long ear in D' , contains exactly u as inner vertex and does not contain ru , which satisfies Definition 9.2. In Case (2aiii) the same argument holds, as $w = b'$.

Assume that Γ subdivides ru with v . This implies $a = r$ and $b = u$ with $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$, as $r \in P_0$ and $u \notin P_0$. Because D satisfies Definition 9.2, $ab = ru$ cannot be contained in a long ear and, hence, is itself a short ear. It follows that $\text{birth}(b) < \text{birth}(ab)$. Thus, we are in Case (2b). As $b = u$ is the last vertex added in D , $w \in G_b$. Since $w \neq b$ and $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$, we are in Case (2biiA). In this case, $wv \cup vb$ is added as last long ear in D' , which satisfies Definition 9.2 for the new avoided edge av of D' . Let Γ be an edge-edge-addition and assume first that Γ does not subdivide ru . Suppose further that $\text{birth}(u) > \text{birth}(b)$. If the precondition of M2 is true, $\text{birth}(cd) = \text{birth}(d) < \text{birth}(b)$; otherwise, $P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$ is either short or $\text{birth}(cd) = \text{birth}(b)$. According to (*), $P_{\text{birth}(u)}$ is in both cases the last long ear in D' . Since ru was not subdivided, D' satisfies Definition 9.2.

Suppose that $\text{birth}(u) = \text{birth}(b)$ (note that $\text{birth}(u) < \text{birth}(b)$ is not possible, as D avoids ru). Then $b = u$. Let $a \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. Then $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b) < \text{birth}(ab)$, which implies $P_{\text{birth}(ab)} = ab$. Thus, we are in Case (3b). If additionally $d \neq b$, we are in Case (3biB). This case does neither modify $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ nor add long ears after it. Hence, $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ is the last long ear in D' , which readily implies the claim. If $d = b$, $\text{birth}(d) = \text{birth}(b)$ and we are either in Case (3biiC) or (3biiE). In the former case, $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ is the last long ear in D' (note that $d = b$), which gives the claim. In Case (3biiE), c must be an endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, as b is the only inner vertex of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. Let b'' be the endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ that is different from c . Then $wd \cup db''$ is the last long ear in D' , which gives the claim, since $d = b = u$.

Let $a \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. Then a is a neighbor of the inner vertex $b = u$ in $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and $\text{birth}(ab) = \text{birth}(b)$ follows. Thus, we are in Case (3a). Let b' be the endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ that is different from a . If $d \neq b$, we are in one of the two subcases of Case (3ai). In each of them, $vb \cup bb'$ is the last long ear in D' , which gives the claim. Otherwise, $d = b$, hence $\text{birth}(d) = \text{birth}(b)$, and we are in Case (3aii). If $c \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, $c = b'$, as otherwise Γ would not be a BG-operation. It follows that $\text{birth}(b) = \text{birth}(cd)$ and we are in Case (3aiiC). Then $vb \cup bw$ is the last long ear in D' , which gives the claim. If $c \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, we are in Case (3aiiA). Then $vb \cup bb'$ is the last long ear in D' , which gives the claim.

It remains to consider the case that Γ subdivides ru . First assume that Γ subdivides ru with v . Then $a = r$, $b = u$, which implies $\text{birth}(u) = \text{birth}(b)$, and $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$, as $r \in P_0$ and

$u \notin P_0$. Additionally, $\text{birth}(b) < \text{birth}(ab)$, as otherwise $\text{birth}(b) = \text{birth}(ab)$ and $ab = ru$ would be contained in $P_{\text{birth}(u)}$, which contradicts that D avoids ru . In particular, $\text{birth}(u) = \text{birth}(b)$ and $a \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, as the latter would contradict $\text{birth}(b) < \text{birth}(ab)$. Hence, we are either in one of the Cases (3biA) and (3biiC) or in the Case (3biiE) such that $b = d$, c is an endpoint of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$, $a \notin P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ and $cd \in P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. All three cases construct explicitly $wv \cup vb$ as the new last long ear in D' , which satisfies Definition 9.2 for the new avoided edge $rv = av$ of D' , as $a \notin \{b, w\}$.

Assume that Γ subdivides ru with w . Then $c = r$, $d = u$ and $\text{birth}(c) < \text{birth}(d)$ for the same reason as before. Recall that we assumed $\text{birth}(d) \leq \text{birth}(b)$. Since $d = u$ is inner vertex of the last long ear in D according to Definition 9.2, we have $\text{birth}(d) = \text{birth}(b)$. According to the initial assumption made in Case (3), this implies with $\text{birth}(c) < \text{birth}(d)$ that $\text{birth}(a) < \text{birth}(b)$. Thus, we can replace (a, b) and (c, d) with each other such that all assumptions of Case (3) are still satisfied and apply the previous case, in which Γ subdivides ru with v . \square

In order to prove that D' is non-separating, we will use the following definition that is directly motivated by (*), and a structural lemma about the connection of long ears in D and D' .

Definition 19. Let f be the function that assigns

- the index of every long ear in $D' - P'_{b_1} - P'_{b_2} - P'_{b_3} - P'_{cwd}$ to the index of its identical counterpart in $D - P_{\text{birth}(b)} - P_{\text{birth}(cd)}$,
- b_1, b_2 and b_3 to $\text{birth}(b)$ if Γ is not a vertex-vertex-addition and
- cwd to $\text{birth}(cd)$ if Γ is an edge-edge-addition and the precondition of $M2$ is true.

Lemma 20. Let Γ be an edge-vertex-addition. Then $P'_{b_3} = P'_{b_2}$ and

- (1) $\text{inner}(P'_{b_1}) \cup \text{inner}(P'_{b_2}) = \text{inner}(P_{\text{birth}(b)}) \cup \{v\}$
- (2) $\overline{V_{f(i)}} \subseteq \overline{V'_i}$ for every $i \in [0, m - n + 1]$. If $i \geq b_2$, $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}}$. If $i < b_1$, $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}} \cup v$.
- (3) $\overline{G_{f(i)}} = \emptyset$ if and only if $\overline{G'_i} = \emptyset$, unless $b = u$ and $i = b_1 \neq b_2$.

Let Γ be an edge-edge-addition. Then

- (4) If the precondition of $M2$ is false, $\text{inner}(P'_{b_1}) \cup \text{inner}(P'_{b_2}) \cup \text{inner}(P'_{b_3}) = \text{inner}(P_{\text{birth}(b)}) \cup \{v, w\}$. Otherwise, $\text{inner}(P'_{b_1}) \cup \text{inner}(P'_{b_2}) \cup \text{inner}(P'_{b_3}) = \text{inner}(P_{\text{birth}(b)}) \cup \{v\}$ and $w \in \text{inner}(P'_{cwd})$.
- (5) $\overline{V_{f(i)}} \subseteq \overline{V'_i}$ for every $i \in [0, m - n + 1]$. If $i \geq b_3$, $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}}$. If $i < cwd$, $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}} \cup \{v, w\}$. If $cwd \leq i < b_1$, $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}} \cup \{v, w\}$ if the precondition of $M2$ is false and $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}} \cup \{v\}$ otherwise.
- (6) $\overline{G_{f(i)}} = \emptyset$ if and only if $\overline{G'_i} = \emptyset$, unless $b = u$ and either $i = b_1 \neq b_2$ or $i = b_2 \neq b_3$.

Proof. If Γ is an edge-vertex-addition, it is straight-forward to check that $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ is never replaced with three distinct ears in Case (2). Thus, $b_3 = b_2$.

We prove Claims (1) and (4). First, let Γ be an edge-vertex-addition. Since D' is an ear decomposition, every vertex of G' must occur in exactly one long ear of D' as inner vertex. According to (*), the only long ear in D that may be modified is $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$. Additionally, $\text{inner}(P'_{b_1}) \cup \text{inner}(P'_{b_2}) \supseteq \text{inner}(P_{\text{birth}(b)})$, as the long ears in $D' - P'_{b_1} - P'_{b_2}$ and $D - P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ are identical. For the same reason, the new vertex v in G' has to be contained in $\text{inner}(P'_{b_1}) \cup \text{inner}(P'_{b_2})$. Then Claim (1) follows from $V(G') - V(G) = \{v\}$. If Γ is an edge-edge-addition, a similar argument gives that $\text{inner}(P'_{b_1}) \cup \text{inner}(P'_{b_2}) \cup \text{inner}(P'_{b_3})$ differs from $\text{inner}(P_{\text{birth}(b)})$ by either $\{v, w\}$ (if the precondition of $M2$ is not satisfied) or $\{v\}$ (if the precondition of $M2$ is satisfied, which implies $w \in \text{inner}(P'_{cwd})$). This proves Claim (4).

We prove Claims (2) and (5). First, let Γ be an edge-vertex-addition. According to Observation 2, $\overline{V'_i}$ is the union of the inner vertices of the ears in $\{P'_{i+1}, \dots, P'_{m-n+1}\}$. As short ears do not

matter, let $\{P'_{x_1}, \dots, P'_{x_k}\}$, $x_1 > i$, be the subset of long ears in that set. If $i \geq b_2$, (*) implies that $\{P_{f(x_1)}, \dots, P_{f(x_k)}\}$ is exactly the set of long ears in D strictly after $P_{f(i)}$, which gives $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}}$. Similarly, if $i < b_1$, (*) and Claim (1) imply that $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}} \cup v$. In the remaining case $i = b_1 \neq b_2$, Claim (1) implies that $\overline{V'_i} = \text{inner}(P'_{b_2}) \cup \overline{V'_{b_2}} \supseteq \overline{V_{f(b_2)}} = \overline{V_b}$. Hence, $\overline{V_{f(i)}} \subseteq \overline{V'_i}$ for all i , proving Claim (2). Let Γ be an edge-vertex-addition. If $i \geq b_3$, the previous arguments imply $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}}$. If $i < cwd$, $w \in \overline{V'_i}$ and (*) and Claim (4) imply that $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}} \cup \{v, w\}$. The existence of the vertex w in $\overline{V'_i}$ for $cwd \leq i < b_1$ is only dependent on the precondition of $M2$. If the precondition is false, $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}} \cup \{v, w\}$; otherwise, $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}} \cup \{v\}$. In the remaining case $b_1 \leq i \leq b_2 \neq b_3$, Claim (4) implies that $\overline{V'_i} \supseteq \text{inner}(P'_{b_3}) \cup \overline{V'_{b_3}} \supseteq \overline{V_{f(b_3)}} = \overline{V_b}$. Hence, $\overline{V_{f(i)}} \subseteq \overline{V'_i}$ for all i , proving Claim (5).

We prove Claims (3) and (6). If $\overline{G'_i} = \emptyset$, Claims (2) and (5) imply that $\overline{G_{f(i)}} = \emptyset$. Let $\overline{G_{f(i)}} = \emptyset$. Then $f(i) \geq b$, as $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ is a long ear, and it follows that $i \geq b_1$. If $i \geq b_3$, $\overline{G'_i} = \emptyset$, according to Claims (2) and (5). Otherwise, either $i = b_1 \neq b_2$ or $i = b_2 \neq b_3$ (the latter is only possible if Γ is an edge-edge-addition). In both cases, $f(i) = b$. Then $\overline{G_b} = \emptyset$ by assumption and it follows that $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ is the last long ear in D . Because D avoids ru , $b = u$, according to Definition 9.2. We conclude Claims (3) and (6). \square

Lemma 21. *D' is non-separating.*

Proof. We prove that D' satisfies Definition 9.3. Consider any $0 \leq i \leq m - n + 1$ and let z be any inner vertex of P'_i . We assume that $\overline{G'_i} \neq \emptyset$, as otherwise there is nothing to show.

Let Γ be a vertex-vertex-addition. According to (*), the sequences of long ears in D and D' are identical. Thus, $P_{f(i)}$ contains z as an inner vertex and, because of Observation 2, $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V_{f(i)}}$, in particular $\overline{G_{f(i)}} \neq \emptyset$. Since D is non-separating, z has a neighbor in $\overline{G_{f(i)}}$ and it follows that this neighbor is also contained in $\overline{G'_i}$. Thus, D' satisfies Definition 9.3.

Let Γ be an edge-vertex- or edge-edge-addition. If $z = v$, $i \in \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ according to Lemma 20.1+4 and we exhibit a neighbor of v in $\overline{G'_i}$ in Table 1 for each subcase of Cases (2) and (3). If $z = w$, $i \in \{vwd, b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ according to Lemma 20.4 and $M2$ and we exhibit a neighbor of w in $\overline{G'_i}$ in Table 1. If $b = u$ and either $i = b_1 \neq b_2$ or $i = b_2 \neq b_3$ (the latter is only possible if Γ is an edge-edge-addition), P'_{b_1} and P'_{b_2} contain exactly one of the vertices $\{v, w, b\}$, as $b = u$ is the only inner vertex of $P_{\text{birth}(b)}$ by Definition 9.2 for D . However, we have already exhibited neighbors of v and w , so we only have to find a neighbor of $b = u = \text{inner}(P'_i)$ in $\overline{G'_i}$ for either $i = b_1 \neq b_2$ or $i = b_2 \neq b_3$. Such a neighbor is given in Table 1.

In all remaining cases, $\overline{G_{f(i)}} \neq \emptyset$ due to $\overline{G'_i} \neq \emptyset$ and Lemma 20.3+6. Moreover, z is an inner vertex of $P_{f(i)}$, because of (*) and $z \notin \{v, w\}$. Since D is non-separating, z has a neighbor in $\overline{G_{f(i)}}$ and this neighbor is also contained in $\overline{G'_i}$, according to Lemma 20.2+5. Thus, D' satisfies Definition 9.3. \square

This completes the proof of Lemma 17. Every modification of Lemma 17 can be computed in constant time, as in each case only a constant number of paths is modified. We conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 22. *Given an edge ru of a 3-connected graph G , a Mondschein sequence of G avoiding ru can be computed in time $O(m)$.*

6 Applications

Application 1: Independent Spanning Trees

Let k spanning trees of a graph be *independent* if they all have the same root vertex r and, for

Case	Subcase	Neighbor of v	Neighbor of b	Neighbor of w
(2ai)		w	—	
(2aai)		b	—	
(2aaii)		a or b	—	
(2bi)		w	—	
(2bii)	A	—	v	
(2bii)	B+C	b	—	
(2biii)	A	a or b	—	
(2biii)	B	w	—	
(2biii)	C	$inner(Z) \cap \{a, b, w\}$	—	
(2biii)	D	b or w	—	
(3ai)	A+B	b	—	v
(3aai)	A	a or b or w	— or w	d or —
(3aai)	B	a or b	—	$inner(Z_1) \cap \{c, d, v\}$
(3aai)	C	a or b	—	c or d
(3bi)	A	—	v	v
(3bi)	B+C	b	—	v
(3bii)	A+B	a or b or w	—	c or d or v
(3bii)	C	b or w	v or w	d or v
(3bii)	D	w	—	c or d
(3bii)	E	b	v	c or d or v

Table 1: Neighbors of $v \in inner(P'_i)$ and $w \in inner(P'_i)$ in $\overline{G'_i}$ and, for either $i = b_1 \neq b_2$ or $i = b_2 \neq b_3$, neighbors of $b = u = inner(P'_i)$ in $\overline{G'_i}$ for the proof that D' is non-separating. For entries marked with a hyphen, there is nothing to prove, as $\overline{G'_i}$ is empty or the conditions for b , u and i do not apply.

every vertex $x \neq r$, the paths from r to x in the k spanning trees are *internally disjoint* (i.e., vertex-disjoint except for their endpoints). The following conjecture from 1988 due to Itai and Rodeh [11] has received considerable attention in graph theory throughout the past decades.

Conjecture (Independent Spanning Tree Conjecture [11]). Every k -connected graph contains k independent spanning trees.




Figure 5: Three independent spanning trees in the graph of Figure 1, which were computed from its Mondshein Sequence (vertex numbers depict the consistent st-numbering).

The conjecture has been proven for $k \leq 2$ [11], $k = 3$ [4, 22] and $k = 4$ [5], with running times $O(m)$, $O(n^2)$ and $O(n^3)$, respectively, for computing the corresponding independent spanning trees. For $k \geq 5$, the conjecture is open. For planar graphs, the conjecture has been proven by Huck [10].

We show how to compute three independent spanning trees in linear time, using an idea of [4]. This improves the previous best running time by a factor of n . It may seem tempting to compute the spanning trees directly and without using a Mondshein sequence, e.g. by local replacements in an induction over BG-operations or inverse contractions. However, without additional structure this is bound to fail, as shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6: A spanning subgraph of a 3-connected graph G . G is obtained from the 3-connected graph $G' := G - v \cup xy$ by a BG-operation (or inverse contraction) that adds the vertex v . Two of the three independent spanning trees of G' are given, rooted at r (solid and dotted edges). However, not both of them can be completed to cover v in G .

Compute a Mondshein sequence avoiding ru , as described in Theorem 22. Choose r as the common root vertex of the three spanning trees and let $x \neq r$ be an arbitrary vertex.

First, we show how to obtain two internally disjoint paths from x to r that are both contained in the subgraph $G_{birth(x)}$. An st -numbering π is an ordering $v_1 < \dots < v_n$ of the vertices of a graph such that $s = v_1$, $t = v_n$, and every other vertex has both a higher-numbered and a lower-numbered neighbor. Let π be *consistent* to a Mondshein sequence if π is an st -numbering for every graph G_i , $0 \leq i \leq m - n$. Let $t \neq u$ be a neighbor of r in P_0 . A consistent tr -numbering π can be easily computed in linear time [3]. According to π , we can start with x and iteratively traverse to a higher-numbered and lower-numbered neighbor, respectively, without leaving $G_{birth(x)}$. This gives two internally disjoint paths from x to r and t ; the path to t is then extended to the desired path ending at r by appending the edge tr . The traversed edges of this procedure for every $x \neq r$ give the first two independent spanning trees T_1 and T_2 .

We construct the third independent spanning tree. Since a Mondshein sequence is non-separating, we can start with any vertex $x \neq r$, traverse to a neighbor in $\overline{G_{birth(x)}}$ and iterate this procedure until we end at u . The traversed edges of this procedure for every $x \neq r$ form a tree that is rooted at u and that can be extended to a spanning tree T_3 that is rooted at r by adding the edge ur . T_3 is independent from T_1 and T_2 , as, for every $x \neq r$, the path from x to u intersects $G_{birth(x)}$ only in x .

Application 2: Output-Sensitive Reporting of Disjoint Paths

Given two vertices x and y of an arbitrary graph, a k -path query reports k internally disjoint paths between x and y or outputs that these do not exist. Di Battista, Tamassia and Vismara [8] give data structures that answer k -path queries for $k \leq 3$. A key feature of these data structures is

that every k -path query has an *output-sensitive* running time, i.e., a running time of $O(\ell)$ if the total length of the reported paths is ℓ (and running time $O(1)$ if the paths do not exist). The preprocessing time of these data structures is $O(m)$ for $k \leq 2$ and $O(n^2)$ for $k = 3$.

For $k = 3$, Di Battista et al. show how the input graph can be restricted to be 3-connected using a standard decomposition. For every 3-connected graph we can compute a Mondshein sequence, which allows us to compute three independent spanning trees T_1 – T_3 in a linear preprocessing time, as shown in Application 1. If x or y is the root r of T_1 – T_3 , this gives a straight-forward output-sensitive data structure that answers 3-path queries: we just store T_1 – T_3 and extract one path from each tree per query.

In order to extend these queries to k -path queries between arbitrary vertices x and y , [8] gives a case distinction that shows that the desired paths can be efficiently found in the union of the six paths in T_1 – T_3 that join x with r and y with r . This case distinction can be used for the desired output-sensitive reporting in time $O(\ell)$ without changing the preprocessing. We conclude a linear preprocessing time for all k -path queries with $k \leq 3$.

Application 3: Planarity Testing

We give a conceptually very simple planarity test based on Mondshein’s sequence for any 3-connected graph G in time $O(n)$.

The 3-connectivity requirement is not really crucial, as the planarity of G can be reduced to the planarity of all 3-connected components of G , which in turn are computed as a side-product for the BG-sequence in Theorem 15; alternatively, one can use standard algorithms [9, 16] for reducing G to be 3-connected. We compute an induced Mondshein sequence D avoiding an arbitrary edge ru in time $O(n)$. Let t be a neighbor of r in P_0 .

We start with a planar embedding M_0 of P_0 and assume with Observation 11 w.l.o.g. that the last vertex u will be embedded in the outer face. We will first ignore short ears. Step by step, we attempt to augment M_i with the next long ear P_j in D in order to construct a planar embedding M_j of G_j .

Once the current embedding M_i contains u , we have added all the vertices of G and are done. Otherwise, u is contained in \overline{G}_i , according to Definition 6.2. Then \overline{G}_i contains a path from each inner vertex of P_j to u , according to Lemma 5. Since u is contained in the outer face of the final embedding, adding the long ear P_j to M_i can preserve planarity only when it is embedded into the outer face f of M_i . Thus, we only have to check that both endpoints of P_j are contained in f (this is easy to test by maintaining the vertices of the current outer face). If yes, we embed P_j into f . Otherwise, we output “not planar”; if desired, a Kuratowski-subdivision can then be extracted in linear time.

Until now we ignored short ears, but have already constructed a planar embedding M' of a spanning subgraph of G . In order to test whether the addition of the short ears to M' can make the embedding non-planar, we pass through the construction of M' once more, this time adding short ears. Whenever a long ear P_j is embedded, we test whether all short ears that join a vertex of $inner(P_j)$ with a vertex of G_{j-1} can be embedded while preserving a planar embedding. Note that if D is a canonical ordering of M , G_{j-1} must be 2-connected and the outer face of G_{j-1} must be a cycle, according to [18, Corollary 1.3]. The last fact allows for an easy test whether adding the short ears preserves a planar embedding.

Acknowledgments. The author wants to thank Joseph Cheriyan for valuable hints and helpfully providing a scan of his PhD-thesis and the anonymous person who drew my attention to Lee F. Mondshein’s work.

References

- [1] M. Badent, U. Brandes, and S. Cornelsen. More canonical ordering. *J. Graph Algorithms Appl.*, 15(1):97–126, 2011.
- [2] D. W. Barnette and B. Grünbaum. On Steinitz’s theorem concerning convex 3-polytopes and on some properties of 3-connected planar graphs. In *Many Facets of Graph Theory*, pages 27–40, 1969.
- [3] U. Brandes. Eager st-Ordering. In *Proceedings of the 10th European Symposium of Algorithms (ESA’02)*, pages 247–256, 2002.
- [4] J. Cheriyan and S. N. Maheshwari. Finding nonseparating induced cycles and independent spanning trees in 3-connected graphs. *J. Algorithms*, 9(4):507–537, 1988.
- [5] S. Curran, O. Lee, and X. Yu. Finding four independent trees. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 35(5):1023–1058, 2006.
- [6] H. de Fraysseix, J. Pach, and R. Pollack. Small sets supporting fary embeddings of planar graphs. In *Proceedings of the 20th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC ’88)*, pages 426–433, 1988.
- [7] H. de Fraysseix, J. Pach, and R. Pollack. How to draw a planar graph on a grid. *Combinatorica*, 10(1):41–51, 1990.
- [8] G. Di Battista, R. Tamassia, and L. Vismara. Output-sensitive reporting of disjoint paths. *Algorithmica*, 23(4):302–340, 1999.
- [9] J. E. Hopcroft and R. E. Tarjan. Dividing a graph into triconnected components. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 2(3):135–158, 1973.
- [10] A. Huck. Independent trees in planar graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 15(1):29–77, 1999.
- [11] A. Itai and M. Rodeh. The multi-tree approach to reliability in distributed networks. *Information and Computation*, 79:43–59, 1988.
- [12] G. Kant. Drawing planar graphs using the lmc-ordering. In *Proceedings of the 33th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS’92)*, pages 101–110, 1992.
- [13] G. Kant. Drawing planar graphs using the canonical ordering. *Algorithmica*, 16(1):4–32, 1996.
- [14] L. Lovász. Computing ears and branchings in parallel. In *Proceedings of the 26th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS’85)*, pages 464–467, 1985.
- [15] L. F. Mondshein. *Combinatorial Ordering and the Geometric Embedding of Graphs*. PhD thesis, M.I.T. Lincoln Laboratory / Harvard University, 1971. Technical Report available at www.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?AD=AD0732882.
- [16] P. Mutzel. The SPQR-tree data structure in graph drawing. In *Proceedings of the 30th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP’03)*, pages 34–46, 2003.
- [17] J. M. Schmidt. Contractions, removals and certifying 3-connectivity in linear time. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 42(2):494–535, 2013.
- [18] C. Thomassen. Kuratowski’s theorem. *J. Graph Theory*, 5(3):225–241, 1981.
- [19] W. T. Tutte. How to draw a graph. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 13:743–767, 1963.
- [20] W. T. Tutte. Connectivity in graphs. In *Mathematical Expositions*, volume 15. University of Toronto Press, 1966.
- [21] H. Whitney. Non-separable and planar graphs. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34(1):339–362, 1932.
- [22] A. Zehavi and A. Itai. Three tree-paths. *J. Graph Theory*, 13(2):175–188, 1989.