

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Elastic deformations of compact stars

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2014 Class. Quantum Grav. 31 185006

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0264-9381/31/18/185006)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 194.94.224.254

This content was downloaded on 15/12/2014 at 10:51

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

doi:10.1088/0264-9381/31/18/185006

Elastic deformations of compact stars

Lars Andersson¹, Robert Beig² and Bernd G Schmidt³

- ¹ Albert Einstein Institute, Am Mühlenberg 1, D-14476 Potsdam, Germany
- ² Gravitational Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna, Boltzmanngasse 5, A-1090 Vienna, Austria
- ³ Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut, Am Mühlenberg 1, D-14476 Golm, Germany

E-mail: laan@aei.mpg.de, robert.beig@univie.ac.at and bernd@aei.mpg.de

Received 14 March 2014, revised 14 July 2014 Accepted for publication 16 July 2014 Published 28 August 2014

Abstract

We prove existence of solutions for an elastic body interacting with itself through its Newtonian gravitational field. Our construction works for configurations near one given by a self-gravitating ball of perfect fluid. We use an implicit function argument. In so doing we have to revisit some classical work in the astrophysical literature concerning linear stability of perfect fluid stars. The results presented here extend previous work by the authors, which was restricted to the astrophysically insignificant situation of configurations near one of vanishing stress. In particular, 'mountains on neutron stars', which are made possible by the presence of an elastic crust in neutron stars, can be treated using the techniques developed here.

Keywords: elasticity, perfect fluid, Newtonian gravity

PACS number: 04.40.Dg

1. Introduction

The matter models commonly used to describe stars in astrophysics are fluids. By a classical result of Lichtenstein [14], a self-gravitating time-independent fluid body is spherically symmetric in the absence of rotation. However, due to the presence of a solid crust, it is possible for neutron stars to carry mountains (see [10]). In view of the result of Lichtenstein, in order to describe something like 'mountains on a neutron star' it is necessary to consider matter models which allow non-isotropic stresses, for example those of elasticity theory.

The currently known existence results for non-spherical self-gravitating time-independent elastic bodies deal with deformations of a relaxed stress-free state. In [6] it was shown that for a small body for which a relaxed stress-free configuration exists, there is a unique nearby solution which describes the deformation of the body under its own Newtonian gravitational field. The analogous result in Einstein gravity was given in [2]. However, a large

self-gravitating object like a neutron stars does not admit a relaxed configuration. This is the situation which we shall consider in this paper.

In section 2, we give an outline of the formalism of elasticity used in this paper, following [3], and specializing to the time-independent case. Given a reference body, i.e., a domain in three-dimensional Euclidean body space the physical body is its image in physical space under a deformation map. The field theoretic description of the material in terms of deformation maps gives the material frame (or Lagrangian) form of the theory, while the description in terms of the inverses of the deformation maps, called configuration maps, taking the physical body to the reference body, gives the Eulerian picture. The field equations for Newtonian elasticity can be derived from an action principle in which the properties of the matter are defined by a 'stored energy function' which depends on the configuration, and a Riemannian reference metric on the body, called the body metric. The body metric is taken to be conformal to the Euclidean metric with the conformal factor chosen so that the associated volume element is that given by the particle number density of the reference configuration, see below. This is done in section 3, working in the Eulerian setting. We consider here only isotropic materials, for which the stored energy depends on the configuration map only via the three principal invariants of the strain tensor⁴ defined with respect to the body metric.

The resulting Euler–Lagrange equations for a self-gravitating elastic body form a quasilinear, integro-differential boundary value problem for the configuration map, with an *a priori* unknown boundary given by the condition that the normal stress be zero there. In section 3.3, we reformulate the system in the material frame, as a system of equations on the reference body, i.e. a fixed domain in Euclidean space. The system of equations on the body given in section 3.3 replaces the free boundary of the physical body, by the fixed boundary of the reference body, with a Neumann type boundary condition. For a large class of stored energy functions we obtain by this procedure a quasilinear elliptic, integro-differential boundary value problem, the solutions of which represent self-gravitating time-independent elastic bodies.

There is no general existence theorem in the literature which can be applied to such systems, except in the spherically symmetric case. A minimizer for the variational problem describing a Newtonian self-gravitating body has been shown to exist, under certain conditions, in [9]. This work does not assume closeness to a stress-free or fluid state. However, it is unknown under what conditions this minimizer satisfies the Euler–Lagrange equations. Specializing to the spherically symmetric case, the field equations for a self-gravitating, time-independent body reduces to a system of ordinary differential equations, for which existence of solutions is easier to show. For example, in the case of perfect fluid matter, which we will use as background, as explained below, there is a simple condition on the equation of state (see [18] for the relativistic case, the Newtonian case is analogous) guaranteeing existence of solutions corresponding to a finite body. The properties of static, spherically symmetric elastic bodies in general relativity have recently been studied using numerical techniques, see [4]. We shall in this paper construct 'large' non-spherical time-independent self-gravitating bodies by applying the implicit function theorem to deform appropriate spherical background solutions.

We choose our reference configuration as follows, see sections 3.4 and 3.5 for details. As mentioned above, a Newtonian self-gravitating fluid body is spherically symmetric. In fact, a fluid body centered at the origin is determined by specifying its stored energy $e(\rho)$ (in the context of fluids usually referred to as internal energy) as a function of the physical density ρ

⁴ The strain tensor is the push-forward of the contravariant form of the metric on space, which in the present setting can be taken to be the Euclidean metric.

of the fluid, together with its central density, see again [18]. The fluid density ρ can be taken as one of the principal invariants of the strain tensor.

Keeping these facts in mind, a time-independent self-gravitating fluid body with support of finite radius, and non-vanishing density at the boundary, can be viewed as a special case of an elastic material, with deformation map given by the identity, and with spatial density $\mathring{\rho}$. A body metric conformal to the Euclidean metric is then chosen, with conformal factor such that the volume element is equal to $\mathring{\rho}$. The two remaining principal invariants of the strain tensor are represented in terms of expressions τ , δ which vanish at this background configuration, see [19] for related definitions. We then choose a stored energy function which coincides with $e(\rho)$ at the reference configuration, but which has elastic stresses for non-spherical configurations. The just described elastic body is then taken as the starting point of an implicit function theorem argument.

Given the stored energy as a function of the principal invariants, the action and hence also the Euler-Lagrange equations are specified. We introduce a one-parameter family of non-spherical body densities $\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}$ with $\mathring{\rho}_{0} = \mathring{\rho}$, the spherically symmetric density of the reference body. Introducing a suitable setting in terms of Sobolev spaces, see section 4, we can formulate the system of Euler-Lagrange equations in the material frame as a one-parameter family of nonlinear functional equations $\mathcal{F}[\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}] = 0$. Our problem is then reduced to showing that deformation maps ϕ_{λ} solving this equation exist for small $\lambda \neq 0$.

In order to apply the implicit function theorem to construct such solutions, we must analyze the Frechet derivative of \mathcal{F} or equivalently the equations linearized at the reference deformation. This is done in section 5, which forms the core of the paper. We start by calculating the linearized operator. The result is (5.3). With our choice of stored energy, the first variation of the action is 'pure fluid' (which is why the identity map is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations at the reference configuration), but the second variation, i.e. the Hessian of the action functional, evaluated at the reference configuration, or put differently, the linearization at the identity of the Euler-Lagrange system of equations, does have an elastic contribution. Proposition 5.1 gives an alternative way of writing the linearized operator which will be essential later.

On geometrical grounds the kernel of the linearized operator contains the Killing vectors of flat space, translations and rotations. For the application of the implicit function it is essential to show that there are no further elements in the kernel. This is done for radial and non-radial perturbations separately.

Lemma 5.3 gives sufficient conditions for the kernel to contain only the trivial radial perturbation. This is the famous $3\gamma - 4 > 0$ condition, which guarantees the absence of linear time-harmonic radial fluid perturbations which grow exponentially (see [11] and historical references therein). Here γ is the adiabatic index. For non-radial perturbations proposition 5.6 shows that there are just Killing vectors in the kernel. For fluids with vanishing boundary density the analog of this statement is known in the astrophysical literature as the Antonov–Lebovitz theorem (see [7] and references therein). Our result shows in particular that this theorem is also true for fluids with positive boundary density. Theorem 5.6 states our result that the kernel of the linearized operator contains only Euclidean motions.

To use the implicit function theorem we have also to control the cokernel. This is the content of proposition 6.1 which gives a Fredholm alternative for the integro-differential boundary value problem under consideration. Here, an analysis of the regularity properties of the Newtonian potential, and the layer potential are needed. These results are given in the appendix. Again, it turns out that the cokernel consists of infinitesimal Euclidean motions.

Now all the necessary pieces are in place for applying the implicit function theorem in order to show the existence of a family of solutions in section 7. First we define, following

the approach used in [2] the 'projected equations' by fixing some element of the kernel and projecting on a complement of the cokernel. The implicit function theorem then applies, and we obtain solutions of the projected equations, proposition 7.1. However, as is shown in theorem 7.2, it turns out that these solutions are actually solutions of the full system. The essential reason this holds is Newton's principle of 'actio est reactio' i.e. the fact that the self-force and self-torque acting on a body through its own gravitational field is zero, which corresponds to (a nonlinear version of) the condition that the force lie in a complement of the above cokernel.

Finally, in section 8 we demonstrate that if the family $\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}$ is non-spherical for $\lambda > 0$, and if the elastic part in the stored energy is non-vanishing, the solutions constructed are not spherically symmetric for $\lambda > 0$. Our analysis gives a clear interpretation of the solutions which have been constructed. They are near a particular self-gravitating time-independent fluid body, and have a small deviation from spherical symmetry which is due to the presence of the elastic terms in stored energy function, and hence also in the system of Euler–Lagrange equations under consideration.

2. Preliminaries

We use the setup of [3], specializing to the time independent case, with some changes which shall be specified below. Let \mathbb{R}^3_B , \mathbb{R}^3_S denote the body space and space, respectively. We introduce Cartesian coordinates X^A on \mathbb{R}^3_B and x^i on \mathbb{R}^3_S . We will often write the coordinate derivative operators in \mathbb{R}^3_B and \mathbb{R}^3_S as ∂_A and ∂_i , respectively, and also use the notations $u_{,A} = \partial_A u$, and $u_{,i} = \partial_i u$. The body \mathcal{B} is an open domain in \mathbb{R}^3_B with closure $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ and boundary $\partial \mathcal{B}$. The physical state of the material is described by configuration $f: \mathbb{R}^3_S \to \mathcal{B}$ and deformation $\phi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R}^3_S$ maps. We assume $f \circ \phi = \mathbf{id}_B$. The gradients of f, ϕ are denoted

$$f_i^A = \partial_i f^A, \qquad \phi_A^i = \partial_A \phi^i,$$

and satisfy

$$\phi_{A}^{i}f_{k}^{A}=\delta_{k}^{i}.$$

The body and space are endowed with Riemannian metrics b_{AB} and g_{ij} .

Remark 2.1. In the Newtonian case we are considering here, we take the space metric to be the flat, Euclidean metric $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. Further, as will be explained below, we shall take the metric b_{AB} on \mathcal{B} to be conformal to the Euclidean metric. However, in order to have a clear view of the foundations, it is convenient to allow these for the moment to be general metrics.

We let V_b be the volume element of b,

$$(V_b)_{ABC} dX^A dX^B dX^C = \sqrt{b} \varepsilon_{ABC} dX^A dX^B dX^C.$$

Here $\sqrt{b} = \sqrt{\det b_{AB}}$ and ε_{ABC} is the Levi–Civita symbol. Similarly let V_g denote the volume element of g. The number density n is defined by

$$f_i^A f_j^B f_k^C \left(V_b \right)_{ABC} (f(x)) = n(x) \left(V_g \right)_{ijk}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let

$$H^{AB} = f_i^A f_i^B g^{ij}.$$

Making use of the body metric b_{AB} we define

$$H_B^A = H^{AC}b_{CB}$$
.

Note that unless explicitly specified, we do not raise and lower indices with b_{AB} .

Remark 2.2. The eigenvalues of H_B^A transform as scalars with respect to coordinate changes both in \mathbb{R}^3_B and in \mathbb{R}^3_S . For transformations of \mathbb{R}^3_B this follows from the fact that H_B^A undergoes a similarity transformation under pullback,

$$\left(\zeta^*H\right)_B^A = \left(\zeta^{-1}\right)_C^A H_D^C \zeta_B^D,$$

where $\zeta: \mathbb{R}^3_B \to \mathbb{R}^3_B$. On the other hand, for a map $k: \mathbb{R}^3_S \to \mathbb{R}^3_S$ it holds that the components of H_B^A transform as scalars, i.e.,

$$H_B^A \Big[f \circ k, \ k^* g \Big](x) = \Big(H_B^A \Big)(k(x)).$$

In local coordinates, we have

$$\sqrt{g} \ n = \sqrt{b} \det(f_i^A),$$

which in view of remark 2.2 gives

$$n = \left(\det H_B^A \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{B}^{A} = \left(\det H_{B}^{A}\right)^{-1/3} H_{B}^{A} = n^{-2/3} H_{B}^{A}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then \mathcal{H}_B^A depends on the body metric b_{AB} only via its conformal class which can be represented by

$$[b]_{AB} = n^{-2/3}b_{AB}.$$

Further, define

$$\sigma_B^A = \mathcal{H}_B^A - \frac{1}{3} \text{tr} \mathcal{H} \ \delta_B^A. \tag{2.3}$$

Given the conformal class of the body metric, we can in addition to the invariant n also define the invariants

$$\tau = (\mathcal{H}_A^A - 3),\tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\delta = \det\left(\sigma_B^A\right). \tag{2.5}$$

See [19] for related invariants. It follows from the definition that the invariants τ , δ depend only on the conformal class of the body metric b, and further, by remark 2.2 we have that n, τ , δ transform as scalars with respect to coordinate changes both in \mathcal{B} and $\mathbb{R}^3_{\mathcal{S}}$.

2.1. Kinematic identities

Given the above definitions, we have

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial f_i^A} = n\phi_A^i, \qquad \frac{\partial n}{\partial \phi_A^i} = -nf_i^A. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $J = n^{-1} \circ \phi$. The Piola transform of a vector y^i is $Y^A = J f_i^A y^i \circ \phi$. The Piola identity states

$$\nabla_A Y^A = J\left(\nabla_i y^i\right) \circ \phi, \tag{2.7a}$$

and similarly

$$\nabla_i y^i = n \left(\nabla_A y^A \right) \circ f. \tag{2.7b}$$

Here V_A denotes the covariant derivative with respect to b_{AB} . The covariant derivatives and Piola transform also applies to two-point tensors, see [16] for details. We also have the following versions of the Piola identities

$$\nabla_i \left(n \phi_A^i \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_i \left(\sqrt{g} \ n \phi_A^i \right) = 0, \tag{2.8a}$$

$$\nabla_A \left(n^{-1} f_i^A \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \partial_A \left(\sqrt{b} \ n^{-1} f_i^A \right) = 0. \tag{2.8b}$$

Let H_{AB} be defined by the relation

$$H^{AC}H_{CB} = \delta_B^A. \tag{2.9}$$

A calculation shows

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial H^{AB}} = \frac{n}{2} H_{AB},\tag{2.10a}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 n}{\partial H^{AB} \partial H^{CD}} = \frac{n}{4} \Big(H_{AB} H_{CD} - 2 H_{A(C} H_{D)B} \Big). \tag{2.10b}$$

Further, we have

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial H^{AB}} = H_{F(A} \sigma_{B)}^{F} = H_{FA} \sigma_{B}^{F}, \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_B^A}{\partial H^{CD}} = -\frac{1}{3} H_{CD} \sigma_B^A + H_{R(C} \left(\delta_{D)}^A \mathcal{H}_B^R - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{H}_{D)}^R \delta_B^A \right). \tag{2.12}$$

Example 2.1. Suppose ϕ is a conformal map, so that $(\phi^*g)_{AB} = \lambda(X)b_{AB}$. Then $H_B^A = \lambda^{-1}\delta_B^A$ and hence $n = \lambda^{-3/2}$. This gives

$$\mathcal{H}_R^A = \delta_R^A, \tag{2.13}$$

$$[b]_{AB} = \left(\phi^* g\right)_{AB}.\tag{2.14}$$

Further, in this case, the invariants τ , δ vanish⁵ $\tau = \delta = 0$, and by (2.11) we have

$$\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial H^{AB}}\bigg|_{\phi \text{ conformal}} = 0, \tag{2.15a}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tau}{\partial H^{AB} \partial H^{CD}} \bigg|_{\phi \text{ conformal}} = H_{A(C} H_{D)B} - \frac{1}{3} H_{AB} H_{CD}. \tag{2.15b}$$

From the definition of the invariant δ we have $\delta = O(|\sigma|^3)$ and hence in case ϕ is conformal,

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial H^{AB}}\Big|_{\phi \text{ conformal}} = 0$$
 $\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial H^{AB}\partial H^{CD}}\Big|_{\phi \text{ conformal}} = 0.$ (2.16)

3. Field equations of a Newtonian elastic body

Let $\chi_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})}$ denote the indicator function of the support $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ of the physical body, and let $|\nabla U|_g^2 = \partial_i U \partial_j U g^{ij}$.

The field equations for the static Newtonian self-gravitating elastic body are the Euler-Lagrange equations for an action of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_c} \Lambda V_g,\tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{|\nabla U|^2}{8\pi G} + \rho U \chi_{f^{-1}(B)} + n\epsilon \chi_{f^{-1}(B)}\right).$$

Here $\rho = mn$ is the physical density of the material, where m is the specific mass per particle, and

$$\epsilon = \epsilon \left(H^{AB}, f \right) \tag{3.2}$$

is the stored energy function, which describes the internal energy per particle of the material. On the other hand, density of internal energy of the material in its physical state is $n\epsilon$. For a stored energy of the form (3.2), termed frame indifferent, the action is covariant under spatial diffeomorphisms. The converse also holds, see [5].

Let ζ denote the fields (f^A, U) . The canonical stress–energy tensor is

$$T_j^i = \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial (\partial_i \zeta)} \partial_j \zeta - \Lambda \delta_j^i.$$

Making use of the covariance of the action, one finds, see [13], that the Euler-Lagrange equations take the form

$$\nabla_i T_i^i = 0, (3.3)$$

where ∇_i denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the metric g_{ij} .

⁵ Conversely, when $\tau = \delta = 0$, the map ϕ is conformal.

Let τ_j^i and Θ_j^i denote the contributions in T_j^i from the elastic field f^A and the Newtonian potential U, respectively, so that

$$T_i^i = \tau_i^i \chi_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})} + \Theta_i^i,$$

where

$$\tau^i_j = n \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial f_i^A} f_j^A,$$

and

$$\Theta_j^i = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \left(\nabla^i U \nabla_j U - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^k U \nabla_k U \delta_j^i \right).$$

3.1. Equations in Eulerian form

From the above, we see that the Eulerian form of the field equations for a self-gravitating body are

$$\nabla_i \tau_i^i + \rho \nabla_i U = 0, \qquad \text{in } f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}), \tag{3.4a}$$

$$\tau_j^i n_i \Big|_{\partial f^{-1}(B)} = 0, \tag{3.4b}$$

$$\Delta_g U = 4\pi G \rho \chi_{f^{-1}(B)}. \tag{3.4c}$$

Here n_i is the outward pointing normal to the boundary $\partial f^{-1}(B)$ of the physical body. The boundary condition (3.4b) follows from the conservation equation (3.3), see [2, lemma 2.2]. The Newtonian potential U is taken to be the unique solution to the Poisson equation (3.4c) such that $U(x) \to 0$, as $x \to \infty$.

3.2. Integral form of the Newtonian potential

With $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, the solution to (3.4c) takes the form

$$U = E \star \Big(4\pi G \rho \chi_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})} \Big),$$

where $E(x) = -1/(4\pi |x|)$ is the fundamental solution of Δ , i.e.,

$$U(x) = -G \int_{f^{-1}(B)} \frac{\rho(x')}{|x - x'|} dx',$$

where dx is the Euclidean volume element.

Remark 3.1. Substituting the solution of the Poisson equation (3.4c) into the action (3.1), one finds after a partial integration

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_{f^{-1}(B)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho \mathbf{U} + \rho \epsilon \right) dx, \tag{3.5}$$

where ϵ is given by (3.14). The form of the action given in (3.5), which is expressed in terms of the configuration f^A , can be compared with the energy expression discussed in [7, appendix 5.B].

Using the differentiation formula for convolutions, we have

$$\partial_i U = 4\pi G(\partial_i E) \star (\rho \chi_{f^{-1}(B)}) = GE \star \partial_i (\rho \chi_{f^{-1}(B)}),$$

where in the last equality, the derivative is in the sense of distributions. Hence, the force is given by the integral expression

$$-\partial_i U(x) = G \int_{f^{-1}(B)} \left(\partial_i \frac{1}{|z|} \right) \Big|_{z=x-x'} \rho(x') dx'.$$
 (3.6)

3.3. Equations in material frame

We now write the elastic system in the material frame which is the form which we shall use. The change of variables formula applied to (3.1) gives

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathring{\rho} \, \mathbf{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} + \mathring{\rho} \varepsilon \right) dX, \tag{3.7}$$

where dX is the Euclidean volume element on \mathcal{B} , and where ϵ is taken to be of the form

$$\epsilon = \epsilon \left(H^{AB}, X \right), \tag{3.8}$$

with H^{AB} the inverse of $\phi_A^i \phi_B^j g_{ij} \circ \phi$. The Newtonian potential in the material frame is of the form

$$(U \circ \phi)(X) = -G \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\mathring{\rho}(X')}{|\phi(X) - \phi(X')|} dX'.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\tau}_i^A = -\sqrt{b}J(f_k^A \tau_i^A) \circ \phi. \tag{3.9}$$

Then $\tilde{\tau}$ is minus the Piola transform of τ^i_j , densitized by the factor \sqrt{b} (= $\mathring{\rho}$ in Cartesian coordinates). We have

$$\tilde{\tau}_i^A = \frac{\partial(\sqrt{b}\ \epsilon)}{\partial\phi_A^i}\ , \tag{3.10}$$

where the ϵ in (3.10) is understood to be as in (3.8). The Piola identity implies

$$\nabla_A \tilde{\tau}_i^A = \sqrt{b} J(\nabla_i \tau_i^i) \circ \phi.$$

With $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ and $b_{AB} = \mathring{\rho}^{2/3} \delta_{AB}$, we have $J = \mathring{\rho}^{-1} \det(\phi_A^i)$, $\sqrt{b} = \mathring{\rho}$. In view of the definition of $\tilde{\tau}_i^A$ we have

$$\nabla_A \tilde{\tau}_i^A = \partial_A \tilde{\tau}_i^A$$

and we can write the system (3.4) in the material frame as

$$-\partial_A \left(\tilde{\tau}_i^A\right) + \mathring{\rho}\left(\partial_i U\right) \circ \phi = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{B}, \tag{3.11a}$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_i^A n_A \mid_{\partial \mathcal{B}} = 0, \tag{3.11b}$$

where n^A is the normal to $\partial \mathcal{B}$. Using the change of variables formula, and (3.6) the gravitational term $\mathring{\rho}(\partial_i U) \circ \mathring{\phi}$ in (3.11*a*) can be written in the integral form

$$\left(\mathring{\rho}\left(\partial_{i}U\right)\circ\phi\right)(X) = -G\mathring{\rho}(X)\int_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\partial_{i}\frac{1}{|z|}\right)\bigg|_{z=\phi(X)-\phi(X')}\mathring{\rho}(X')dX'. \tag{3.12}$$

3.4. The reference configuration

We now introduce the situation which we shall consider in the rest of this paper. We restrict the space metric to be the flat metric $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ and work in Cartesian coordinates (X^A) , (x^i) on the body and space respectively. The radial coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3_S and \mathbb{R}^3_B are $R = |X| = \sqrt{X^A X^B \delta_{AB}}$ and $R = |x| = \sqrt{x^i x^j \delta_{ij}}$. In the following, we will set the specific mass m = 1 and denote both the number density and the density of the material by ρ .

Definition 3.1. The reference configuration is given by choosing the body domain to be $B(R_0)$, the ball of radius R_0 i.e.

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ |X| < R_0 \},$$

with the trivial configuration and deformation f = id, $\phi = id$, i.e.

$$f^{A}(x) = \delta_{i}^{A} x^{A}, \quad A = 1, 2, 3, \qquad \phi^{i}(X) = \delta_{A}^{i} X^{A}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

For a given, positive function $\mathring{\rho}$ on \mathcal{B} called the reference density, we set

$$b_{AB} = \mathring{\rho}^{2/3} \delta_{AB}$$
.

In Cartesian coordinates (X^A) , (x^i) we have

$$\rho = \mathring{\rho} \det \left(f_i^A \right) = \mathring{\rho} \det \left(\phi_A^i \right)^{-1}, \tag{3.13}$$

and the volume element of b_{AB} takes the form

$$V_b = \mathring{\rho}(X) dX$$
.

For the reference configuration, we have

$$\rho(x) = \mathring{\rho}(f(x)),$$

and the invariants τ , δ vanish,

$$\tau = \delta = 0$$
.

We will assume an isotropic stored energy function, i.e. one depending on configurations only via the invariants (ρ, τ, δ) , where ρ is given by (3.13) and and τ , δ are given by (2.4) and (2.5), respectively. We restrict for simplicity to stored energy functions which have no explicit dependence on the configuration f. Note that τ and δ are independent of $\mathring{\rho}$. By Taylor's formula, $\epsilon = \epsilon(\rho, \tau, \delta)$ can, for configurations near the reference configuration, be written in the form

$$\epsilon(\rho, \tau, \delta) = e(\rho) + \tau l(\rho, \tau, \delta) + \delta m(\rho, \tau, \delta). \tag{3.14}$$

for some functions l, m.

Here we may view $e(\rho)$ as the stored energy for a fluid configuration. Due to the fact that the invariants τ , δ vanish to first order at the reference configuration, the Euler-Lagrange equation in the reference state with $f = \mathbf{id}$, $\phi = \mathbf{id}$ will involve only $e(\hat{\rho})$.

3.5. Static self-gravitating fluid bodies

We now consider a fluid with stored energy $e = e(\rho)$ at the reference configuration f = id. A calculation shows

$$\tau_i^i = \rho^2 e'(\rho) \delta_i^i,$$

where $e' = de/d\rho$. The pressure of a fluid is given in terms of the energy density $\mu = \rho \epsilon$ by

$$p = \rho \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mathrm{d}\rho} - \mu,$$

or

$$p(\rho) = \rho^2 e'(\rho). \tag{3.15}$$

Hence,

$$\tau_j^i = p\delta_j^i,$$

and equation (3.4) takes the form

$$\nabla_i p + \rho \, \nabla_i U = 0, \tag{3.16a}$$

$$p\Big|_{\partial f^{-1}(B)} = 0, (3.16b)$$

$$\Delta U = 4\pi G \rho \chi_{f^{-1}(B)}. \tag{3.16c}$$

Static fluid bodies in Newtonian gravity are radially symmetric (see [14]), $\rho = \rho(r)$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi \int_0^r \rho(s) s^2 ds$$

be the mass contained within radius r, so that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(R_0)$ is the total mass of the body. It follows from the radial Poisson equation that

$$\nabla_i U = G \frac{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{r})}{r^2} \frac{x_i}{r},$$

and the self-gravitating fluid is therefore governed by the integro-differential equation

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}r} + G\frac{\mathcal{M}(r)}{r^2} = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Let $\rho_c > \rho_0 > 0$ be given and consider an equation of state $p = p(\rho)$, where p is a smooth, non-negative function with $(dp/d\rho > 0)$ in $[\rho_0, \rho_c]$, with $p(\rho_0) = 0$. Given an equation of state $p(\rho)$ satisfying the above properties and given ρ_c , there is a unique static fluid body, centered at the origin, which has boundary density ρ_0 for some radius R_0 , see [18] for details⁶. For the case of a polytrope, equation (3.17) is equivalent to the Lane–Emden equation, cf [7, (4-108c)].

The adiabatic index of the fluid is given by

$$\gamma = \frac{\rho}{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}\rho}.\tag{3.18}$$

⁶ This reference treats the relativistic case. The Newtonian case considered here is similar but simpler.

The following stability condition on γ ,

$$3\gamma - 4 > 0$$
, for $\rho \in (\rho_0, \rho_c]$,

plays an important role in our argument. As a simple explicit example of an equation of state with the above stated properties, consider

$$p = D(\rho - \rho_0), \tag{3.19}$$

for some (dimensional) constant D > 0. Then we have

$$p(3\gamma - 4) = D(-\rho + 4\rho_0).$$

It follows that for the equation of state (3.19), the stability condition holds for central density ρ_c satisfying $\rho_0 < \rho_c < 4\rho_0$.

4. The reference body and its deformation

We shall construct a family of static, self-gravitating elastic bodies by deforming a reference body. The reference body is a static fluid body in the reference configuration, i.e. a solution of the equations (3.16) with internal energy $e = e(\rho)$, of radius R_0 and density $\mathring{\rho}$. As in section 3.5 we assume that the boundary density is positive,

$$\mathring{\rho}_0 = \mathring{\rho}(R_0) > 0,$$

and that $\mathring{p} = \mathring{\rho}^2 e'(\mathring{\rho})$, the pressure in the reference body, satisfy $\mathring{p} > 0$ in \mathcal{B} , $\mathring{p} = 0$ if $R = R_0$ and $d\mathring{p}/d\mathring{\rho} > 0$ in \bar{B} . It follows that $\mathring{\rho} \geqslant \mathring{\rho}_0 > 0$. Note that the condition $\mathring{\rho}(R_0) = 0$ is simply the boundary condition (3.16*b*), which follows from the variational principle.

Given a static self-gravitating fluid reference body with internal energy function $e(\rho)$ we consider deformed static self-gravitating bodies with isotropic stored energy function of the form (3.14), with $e(\rho, 0, 0) = e(\rho)$. Let

$$\dot{l} = l(\dot{\rho}, 0, 0).$$

We assume

$$\dot{l} > 0$$
.

in $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$.

4.1. Analytical formulation

Let

$$\mathcal{F} \colon \mathsf{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{B}) \times \mathsf{C}^{\infty}\!\!\left(\mathcal{B}; \, \mathbb{R}^{3}_{\mathcal{S}}\right) \to \mathsf{C}^{\infty}\!\!\left(\mathcal{B}; \, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times \mathsf{C}^{\infty}\!\!\left(\partial \mathcal{B}; \, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$$

be defined by

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\mathring{\rho},\phi\right] = \left(-\partial_{A}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{A}\right) + \mathring{\rho}\left(\partial_{i}\mathbf{U}\right)\circ\phi,\,\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{A}\mathbf{n}_{A}\right). \tag{4.1}$$

Let $H^s(\mathcal{B})$ and $H^s(\partial \mathcal{B})$ denote the L^2 Sobolev spaces, see [15, Chapitre 1] for background. For $k \ge 1$, \mathcal{F} extends to a smooth map

$$\mathcal{F}: H^{2+k}(\mathcal{B}) \to H^k(\mathcal{B}) \times H^{1/2+k}(\partial \mathcal{B}).$$

For simplicity, we assume k is an integer in the following.

Remark 4.1. The same statement holds true if we replace the L^2 Sobolev space by the spaces $W^{2+k,p}(\mathcal{B})$ and the corresponding boundary space $B^{k+1-1/p,p}(\partial \mathcal{B})$, with $k \ge 0$, p > 3. With k = 0, this corresponds to the situation considered in [2].

The system (3.11) for a self-gravitating body takes the form

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathring{\rho}, \phi] = 0,$$

which we consider as an equation for the deformation ϕ given a reference density $\mathring{\rho}$. Letting $\lambda \mapsto \mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}$ be a one-parameter family of reference densities, with $\mathring{\rho}_0 = \mathring{\rho}$, we shall apply the implicit function theorem to show that for λ sufficiently close to zero, there is a solution ϕ_{λ} of the equation

$$\mathcal{F} \left[\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda} \right] = 0.$$

In order to do this, we must analyze the Frechet derivative

$$D_{\phi}\mathcal{F}[\mathring{\rho}, id].$$

This is done in the next section.

5. The linearized operator

Let ξ^i be a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3_S and consider a one-parameter family of deformations, ϕ_s , with $\phi_0 = \mathbf{id}$, such that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\phi_s^i(X)\bigg|_{s=0} = \xi^i(\mathbf{id}(X)).$$

The linearization with respect to ϕ of the map \mathcal{F} , at the reference configuration, is given by

$$D_{\phi} \mathcal{F}[\mathring{\rho}, \mathbf{id}]. \xi = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \Big|_{s=0} \mathcal{F}[\mathring{\rho}, \phi_s].$$

We define the operator $\xi \mapsto L_i[\xi]$ and the linearized boundary operator $\xi \mapsto l_i[\xi]$ by

$$D_{\phi} \mathcal{F} [\mathring{\rho}, \mathbf{id}]. \xi = (-L_i[\xi], l_i[\xi]).$$

We now calculate the the explicit form of $L_i[\xi]$ and $l_i[\xi]$.

5.1. Derivation

Let $\mathring{\tau}_i^A$ be defined by

$$\mathring{\tau}_i^A = \left. \tilde{\tau}_i^A \right|_{\phi = \mathrm{id}}.$$

Then

$$\dot{\tau}_i^A = -\dot{p} \,\,\delta_i^A. \tag{5.1}$$

Recall that with $\phi = \mathbf{id}$, we have $H_{AB} = \delta_{AB}$. A calculation using the facts recorded in section 2.1 gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\tilde{\tau} \left[\mathring{\rho}, \phi_{s} \right]_{i}^{A} \Big|_{s=0} = \left[2\mathring{p} \delta_{i}^{[A_{j}\delta^{B}]} + \mathring{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \delta_{i}^{A} \delta_{j}^{B} + 4\mathring{\rho} \mathring{l} \left(\delta_{j}^{(A_{i}\delta^{B})} - \frac{5}{6} \delta_{i}^{A} \delta_{j}^{B} + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{AB} \delta_{ij} \right) \right] \xi_{,B}^{j}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $\mathring{l} = l(\mathring{\rho}, 0, 0)$. With $\phi = \mathbf{id}$, we have the identification $x^i = \phi^i(X)$. We will sometimes write X^i for $\phi^i(X)$. The chain rule gives

$$\partial_i = \delta_i^A \partial_A$$
.

and we will often make use of this notation in the following. Further, define $(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma})_{ij}$ by

$$\left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma}\right)_{ij} = \xi_{(i,j)} - \frac{1}{3} \,\delta_{ij} \,\,\xi_{,l}^{\,l} \,\,,$$

so that $2(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma})_{ii}$ is the Euclidean space conformal Killing operator, acting on ξ .

The operator $L_i[\xi]$ can now be written in the form

$$L_{i}[\xi] = \partial_{i} \left[\left(-\mathring{p} + \mathring{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \right) \xi_{,i}^{j} \right] + \partial_{j} \left(\mathring{p} \xi_{,i}^{j} \right) + 4 \partial^{j} \left[\mathring{\rho} \mathring{l} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma} \right)_{ij} \right]$$

$$+ G \int_{B} \mathring{\rho}(X) \mathring{\rho}(X') \left(\partial_{i} \partial_{j} \frac{1}{|X - X'|} \right) \left[\xi^{j}(X) - \xi^{j}(X') \right] \mathrm{d}X'. \quad (5.3a)$$

Recalling that $p(R_0) = 0$, we find that the linearized boundary operator is given by

$$l_{i}[\xi] = \left[\mathring{\rho} \frac{d\mathring{\rho}}{d\mathring{\rho}} \xi_{j}^{j} n_{i} + 4 \mathring{\rho} \mathring{l} \left(\delta_{\xi} \mathring{\sigma}_{ij} \right) n^{j} \right]_{\partial \mathcal{B}}$$

$$(5.3b)$$

Here we have used the notation $n^i = (1/R)X^i$.

The operator L_i is formally self-adjoint. This follows from the fact that it arises from a variational problem, but can easily be checked explicitly. Let (ξ, η) denote the L^2 inner product

$$(\xi, \eta) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \xi^i \eta_i dX.$$

The Green's identity for $(-L[\xi], l[\xi])$ takes the form

$$(L[\xi], \eta) - (\xi, L[\eta]) = \int_{\partial B} l_i[\xi] \eta^i \mathrm{d}S(X) - \int_{\partial B} \xi^i l_i[\eta] \mathrm{d}S(X) . \tag{5.4}$$

The following proposition gives an alternate form for $L_i[\xi]$ which will play an important role in the following.

Proposition 5.1. The operator L_i in (5.3a) can be written as

$$L_{i}[\xi] = \mathring{\rho} \,\,\partial_{i} \left(\frac{1}{\mathring{\rho}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho} + \delta_{\xi}\mathring{U} \right) + 4 \,\,\partial^{j} \left[\mathring{\rho}\mathring{l} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma} \right)_{ij} \right], \tag{5.5}$$

where $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho} = (\mathring{\rho} \xi^i)_{,i}$ and

$$\begin{split} \Big(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{U}\Big)(X) &= -G\partial_{j}\int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\mathring{\rho}(R')\xi^{j}(X')}{|X-X'|} \mathrm{d}X' \\ &= -G\int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\Big(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\Big)(X')}{|X-X'|} \mathrm{d}X' + G\int_{\partial\mathcal{B}} \frac{\mathring{\rho}(R')}{|X-X'|} \xi^{i}(X')n_{i}(X') \mathrm{d}S(X'). \end{split}$$

Further, the boundary operator l_i in (5.3b) can be written as

$$l_{i}[\xi] = \left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \, \delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho} + \mathring{\rho}\mathring{U}'\xi^{j}n_{j} \right) n_{i} + 4\mathring{\rho} \, \mathring{l} \, \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma} \right)_{ij} n^{j} \right]_{\partial \mathcal{B}}. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Let us call the first, second and fourth term in (5.3a) respectively A, B and (second line) C. The elastic term in the first line is not affected. We then have that

$$C = -G\mathring{\rho}(X)\partial_i\partial_j \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\mathring{\rho}(X')\xi^j(X')}{|X - X'|} dX' - \mathring{\rho}(X)\xi^j(X)\partial_i\partial_j\mathring{U}(X), \tag{5.7}$$

so that

$$A + B + C = -G\mathring{\rho}(X)\partial_{i}\partial_{j}\int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\mathring{\rho}(X')\xi^{j}(X')}{|X - X'|} dX' + \left[-(\partial_{i}\mathring{\rho})\xi^{j}_{,j} + (\partial_{j}\mathring{\rho})\xi^{j}_{,i} \right]$$

$$+ \partial_{i}\left(\mathring{\rho}\frac{d\mathring{\rho}}{d\mathring{\rho}}\xi^{j}_{,j}\right) + \mathring{\rho}(X)\xi^{j}(X)\partial_{i}\partial_{j}\mathring{U}(X)$$

$$= -G \partial_{i}\partial_{j}\int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\mathring{\rho}(X')\xi^{j}(X')}{|X - X'|} dX' + \mathring{\rho}\partial_{i}\left[\frac{d\mathring{\rho}}{d\mathring{\rho}} \frac{\left(\mathring{\rho}\xi^{j}\right)_{,j}}{\mathring{\rho}} \right].$$

$$(5.8)$$

To check the last equality in (5.8), we calculate

$$\mathring{\rho} \partial_{i} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \frac{\left(\mathring{\rho} \xi^{j}\right)_{,j}}{\mathring{\rho}} \right] = \mathring{\rho} \partial_{i} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \frac{\mathring{\rho}_{j} \xi^{j}}{\mathring{\rho}} \right] + \partial_{i} \left[\mathring{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \xi^{j}_{,j} \right] - \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \xi^{j}_{,j} \partial_{i}\mathring{\rho}. \tag{5.9}$$

But the first term in (5.9) can be rewritten as

$$\mathring{\rho} \partial_{i} \left[\left(\partial_{j} \mathring{p} \right) \frac{\xi^{j}}{\mathring{\rho}} \right] = \left(\partial_{j} \mathring{p} \right) \xi_{,i}^{j} + \mathring{\rho} \partial_{i} \left[-\frac{\mathring{\rho} \partial_{j} \mathring{U}}{\mathring{\rho}} \right] \xi^{j} ,$$
 (5.10)

where we have used the $\mathring{p}' = \mathring{\rho}\mathring{U}'$. in the last term. Inserting (5.10) into (5.9) proves the second equality in (5.8), which in turn proves (5.5). The proof of (5.6) is straightforward.

The Euclidean invariance of the action (3.1) governing a self-gravitating body implies that the linearized operator and the linearized boundary operator annihilate Euclidean Killing vectors. For translations this follows from (5.3), and for rotations from (5.5), (5.6). We shall show that under suitable conditions on the fluid equation of state, these are the only elements in the kernel of the linearized operator $\xi \mapsto (-L[\xi], l[\xi])$. To achieve this, it is vital to decompose the perturbations ξ^i into radial and non-radial parts.

A vector field ξ^i on \mathcal{B} is called radial if it is of the form $\xi^i(X) = F(R)n^i(X)$, and non-radial if $\int_{S^2(R)} \xi^i n_i \, dS = 0$ for all $R \in (0, R_0)$. If we consider conformal Killing vectors on \mathcal{B} , we have that translations, rotations and conformal boosts are non-radial, while dilations are radial. The radial part of a vector field ξ is given by

$$\xi_r^i(X) = \frac{1}{4\pi R^2} \int_{\partial B(R)} \xi^j(X') n_j(X') dS(X') n^i(X).$$
 (5.11)

where B(R) is the Euclidean ball of radius R. This gives a unique decomposition

$$\xi = \xi_r + \xi_{nr}$$

of ξ into a radial and a non-radial part.

Lemma 5.2. Radial and non-radial vector fields are L^2 -orthogonal in terms of (\cdot, \cdot) . Let ξ be a vector field with radial and non-radial parts ξ_r and ξ_{nr} , respectively. Then $L[\xi_r]$ is radial and $L[\xi_{nr}]$ is non-radial. If $l_i[\xi]$ is zero, then $l_i[\xi_r] = 0$ and $l_i[\xi_{nr}] = 0$.

Proof. The first claim is obvious. For the second claim concerning radial fields one simply observes radial fields are—as vector fields—invariant under rotations, and that rotations commute with L_i , viewed as an operator from vector fields to co-vector fields. For non-radial fields we could not find such a simple conceptual argument, but going through the terms in (5.5) this is not difficult to check. For example, one can use the fact that $\delta_{\xi}\hat{\rho}$ has zero spherical mean by $\int_{\partial B(R)} \xi^i n_i dS = 0$ and the Stokes theorem. In a similar way we see that

$$\int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} l_i \left[\xi_{nr} \right] n^i \mathrm{d}S(X) = 0. \tag{5.12}$$

To prove the last claim in lemma 5.2, we note that when $l_i[\xi] = 0$, it follows that

$$\int_{\partial B} l_i \left[\xi_r \right] n^i \mathrm{d}S(X) = -\int_{\partial B} l_i \left[\xi_{nr} \right] n^i \mathrm{d}S(X) = 0. \tag{5.13}$$

But by spherical symmetry $l_i[\xi_r]$ is proportional to n_i . Hence it follows from (5.13) that $l_i[\xi_r] = l_i[\xi_r + \xi_{nr}]$ is zero.

By the previous lemma, we have

$$\left(\xi, L[\xi]\right) = \left(\xi_r, L\left[\xi_r\right]\right) + \left(\xi_{nr}, L\left[\xi_{nr}\right]\right) \tag{5.14}$$

and if $l_i[\xi] = 0$,

$$(\xi, L[\xi]) = (\xi_r, L[\xi_r]) + (\xi_{nr}, L[\xi_{nr}]), \quad \text{with } l_i[\xi_r] = 0 = l_i[\xi_{nr}]. \quad (5.15)$$

Our next result concerns the first term in (5.15). Recall that

$$\mathring{\gamma} = \frac{\mathring{\rho}}{\mathring{p}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}$$

is the adiabatic index of the reference body, cf (3.18).

Lemma 5.3. Let $\xi^i = F(R)n^i$ with F a positive, smooth function defined on $[0, R_0]$. Assume $l_i[\xi] = 0$, then

$$-(\xi, L[\xi]) = 4\pi \int_0^{R_0} \left[\left(aR^2 F'^2 + 2bRFF' + cF^2 \right) + \frac{8\mathring{\rho} \mathring{l}}{3} \left(F' - \frac{F}{R} \right)^2 \right] dR, \tag{5.16}$$

where

$$a = \mathring{p}\mathring{\gamma}, \qquad b = 2\mathring{p}(\mathring{\gamma} - 2), \qquad c = 4\mathring{p}(\mathring{\gamma} - 1). \tag{5.17}$$

Remark 5.1. The elastic term in (5.16) is clearly non-negative, and zero only for a dilation $\xi^i \partial_i = R \partial_R$. The integrand originating from the fluid and gravitational terms is a quadratic form in (RF', F) with determinant $ac - b^2 = 4\mathring{p}^2(3\gamma - 4)$, and trace $a + c = \mathring{p}[2\mathring{\gamma} + (3\mathring{\gamma} - 4)]$. Thus, with $\mathring{p} > 0$, the condition

$$3\dot{\gamma} - 4 > 0 \tag{5.18}$$

is a sufficient, but by no means necessary, condition for (5.16) to be positive⁷.

Proof. Integrate $L_i[\xi]$ over \mathcal{B} against ξ^i , using the form (5.3a). The result, using $l_i[\xi] = 0$, is

$$-\left(\xi^{i}, L\xi\right) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left[\left(-\mathring{p} + \mathring{\rho} \frac{d\mathring{p}}{d\mathring{\rho}} \right) \left(\xi_{,j}^{j}\right)^{2} + \mathring{p} \xi_{i,j} \xi^{j,i} + 4\mathring{\rho}\mathring{l} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma}\right)_{ij}^{ij} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma}\right)^{ij} \right] dX$$
$$-G \int_{\mathcal{B}\times\mathcal{B}} \mathring{\rho}(X)\mathring{\rho}(X') \left(\partial_{i}\partial_{j} \frac{1}{|X - X'|} \right) \xi^{i}(X) \left[\xi^{j}(X) - \xi^{j}(X') \right] dX dX'. \tag{5.19}$$

In order to carry out the integration with respect to X' in the second term in the last line of (5.19) with $\xi^i(X) = F(R)n^i$, we make use of the identity

$$-\partial_i \partial_j \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\mathring{\rho}(R') \xi^j(X')}{|X - X'|} \, \mathrm{d}X' = 4\pi \mathring{\rho}(R) \, \xi_i(X). \tag{5.20}$$

To see that this holds, we note that the divergence of the left side is equal to that of the right side, and make use of the fact that there is no spherically symmetric, divergence-free, non-vanishing vector field on \mathcal{B} which is regular at the origin. We also have that

$$\partial_i \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\mathring{\rho}(R')}{|X - X'|} \, \mathrm{d}X' = -\frac{n_i}{R^2} \, \mathring{\mathcal{M}}(R),\tag{5.21}$$

where $\mathring{\mathcal{M}}(R) = 4\pi \int_0^R R'^2 \mathring{\rho}(R') dR'$, and

$$\partial_i \partial_j \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{\mathring{\rho}(R')}{|X - X'|} \, \mathrm{d}X' = -\frac{\delta_{ij} - 3n_i n_j}{R^3} \, \mathring{\mathcal{M}}(R) - 4\pi \mathring{\rho} \, n_i n_j, \tag{5.22}$$

so that

$$G \,\mathring{\rho}(R) \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left(\partial_{i} \partial_{j} \frac{\mathring{\rho}(R')}{|X - X'|} \right) \left[\xi^{j}(X) - \xi^{j}(X') \right] dX'$$

$$= -G \,\mathring{\rho}(R) \xi^{j} \, \frac{\delta_{ij} - 3n_{i}n_{j}}{R^{3}} \, \mathring{\mathcal{M}}(R) = 2G \, \frac{\mathring{\rho}(R) \mathring{\mathcal{M}}(R)}{R^{3}} \, \xi_{i}. \tag{5.23}$$

Here we have used that the contribution of the last term in (5.22) cancels that from (5.20). Evaluating the first line in (5.19) is of course completely straightforward. Thus for the non-elastic part of (5.19) we find the expression

⁷ The role of $\mathring{\gamma}$ for the stability of perfect fluids has been known since the late 19th century, see [11].

$$4\pi \int_0^{R_0} R^2 \left[\left(\mathring{\rho} \frac{d\mathring{p}}{d\mathring{\rho}} - \mathring{p} \right) \left(F' + \frac{2F}{R} \right)^2 + \mathring{p} \left(F'^2 + \frac{2F^2}{R^2} \right) - \frac{2G\mathring{\rho} \mathring{\mathcal{M}} F^2}{R^3} \right] dR. \tag{5.24}$$

But we know that

$$\mathring{p}' = -\frac{G\,\mathring{\rho}\,\mathring{\mathcal{M}}}{R^2}\,,\tag{5.25}$$

cf (3.17). Inserting (5.25) into (5.24) and integrating the last term by parts using $p(R_0) = 0$ gives the result.

Motivated by lemma 5.3 and the discussion in remark 5.1, we make the following definition.

Definition 5.4. The reference body satisfies the *radial stability* condition if (5.18) holds, or more generally, if the quadratic form in (5.16) is positive definite.

We now turn to the non-radial modes. These are analyzed using the form (5.5) and (5.6) for $L_i[\xi]$ respectively $l_i[\xi]$, for a non-radial vector field ξ^i , under the assumption $l_i[\xi] = 0$. Define

$$\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \,\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho} + 4\mathring{\rho} \,\mathring{l}\left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma}\right)_{ij} n^{i}n^{j}\right)\bigg|_{\partial\mathcal{B}} \,, \tag{5.26}$$

Then, cf (5.3b), the condition $l_i[\xi] = 0$, implies

$$l_i[\xi]n^i = \mathring{\rho} \ \mathring{U}'\xi^i n_i \mid_{\partial B} + \delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu} = 0. \tag{5.27}$$

Further, let $\mathfrak U$ and $\mathfrak u$ denote the Newtonian volume and single layer potentials, respectively,

$$\mathfrak{U}[f] = -G \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{f(X')}{|X - X'|} \, \mathrm{d}X',\tag{5.28}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}[f] = -G \int_{\partial B} \frac{f(X')}{|X - X'|} \, \mathrm{d}S(X'). \tag{5.29}$$

For convenience, we have not included the factor $1/4\pi$ here. Then we can write (see proposition 5.1)

$$\delta_{\xi}\mathring{U} = \mathfrak{U}\left[\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\right] - \mathfrak{u}\left[\mathring{\rho}\ \xi^{i}n_{i}\right] = \mathfrak{U}\left[\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\right] + \mathfrak{u}\left[\frac{\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu}}{\mathring{U}'}\right] - \mathfrak{u}\left[\frac{l_{i}[\xi]n^{i}}{\mathring{U}'}\right]. \quad (5.30)$$

The following proposition, which is proved starting from 5.5 by a straightforward partial integration and making use of the above definitions, provides a formula for an expression which is essentially the second variation at the reference deformation $\phi = id$ of the material form of the action given in equation (3.7).

Proposition 5.5. Let ξ^i be a vector field such that $l_i[\xi] = 0$. Then we have

$$-\left(\xi, L[\xi]\right) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{\mathring{\rho}} \frac{d\mathring{\rho}}{d\mathring{\rho}} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\right) \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\right) dX + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{\mathring{\rho}} \frac{1}{\mathring{U}'} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu}\right) \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu}\right) dS(X)$$

$$+ \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\right) \left(\mathfrak{U}\left[\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\right] + \mathfrak{u}\left[\frac{\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu}}{\mathring{U}'}\right]\right) dX + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{\mathring{U}'} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu}\right) \left(\mathfrak{U}\left[\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\right] + \mathfrak{u}\left[\frac{\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu}}{\mathring{U}'}\right]\right) dS(X)$$

$$+ 4 \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathring{\rho} \mathring{l} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma}\right)_{ij} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma}\right)^{ij} dX. \tag{5.31}$$

Remark 5.2. In addition to the elastic 'bulk' contribution (third term in the first line in (5.31)), there are elastic contributions to all boundary terms in (5.31) respectively (5.29) via $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu}$. In the absence of elasticity and when $\mathring{\rho}(R_0) = 0$, after insertion of (5.27), the expression (5.31) boils down to the famous 'Chandrasekhar energy', [7, (5-49)]. Specializing to the radial case with $l_i[\xi] = 0$, the expression (5.31) of course coincides with (5.16). For this case it turns out to be simpler to derive (5.16) directly.

The following result is a generalization of the Antonov–Lebovitz theorem, [7, §5.2] which allows for the the case where $\hat{\rho}(R_0) > 0$.

Proposition 5.6. Let ξ^i be a non-radial vector field such that $l_i[\xi] = 0$. Then the quadratic form $-(\xi, L\xi)$ is non-negative, and zero if and only if ξ^i is a Euclidean Killing vector field.

Remark 5.3. Our proof of proposition 5.6 follows closely the proof valid under the assumption $\rho(R_0) = 0$ given in the book of Binney and Tremaine, cf [7, appendix 5.C]. The new edition, [8, appendix H] proves this result using a more direct argument due to [1]. We have not been able to generalize that argument to the case $\rho(R_0) > 0$.

Proof. Note first of all that the last (purely elastic) term in (5.31) is non-negative and vanishes only on infinitesimal conformal motions. The remaining terms, on the other hand, depend only on pairs of functions, namely $(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}, \delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu})$, on $\mathcal{B} \times \partial \mathcal{B}$. Our claim amounts to the fact that, when restricted to pairs $(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}, \delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu})$ with zero spherical mean, the sum of these terms is non-negative and zero iff $(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}, \delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu}) = (\mathring{\rho}'(c, n), -\mathring{\rho} \mathring{U}'(c, n)|_{\partial \mathcal{B}})$ for some constant vector c. To see that we take pairs $f = (\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}, \delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu})$ to lie in the Hilbert space \mathbb{H} defined by

$$\mathbb{H} = L^2 \left(\mathcal{B}, \frac{1}{\mathring{\rho}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \, \mathrm{d}X \right) \oplus L^2 \left(\partial \mathcal{B}, \frac{1}{\mathring{\rho} \, \mathring{U}'} \, \mathrm{d}S(X) \right), \tag{5.32}$$

with scalar product

$$\left\langle f_1 \middle| f_2 \right\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{\mathring{\sigma}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\sigma}} \left(\delta_1 \rho \right) \left(\delta_2 \rho \right) \mathrm{d}X + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{\mathring{\sigma} \mathring{L}'} \left(\delta_1 \mu \right) \left(\delta_2 \mu \right) \, \mathrm{d}S(X), \quad (5.33)$$

and consider the operator $\mathbb{V} \colon \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$, defined by

$$\mathbb{V}(\delta\mathring{\rho},\,\delta\mathring{\mu}) = \left(\mathring{\rho}\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}\left(\mathfrak{U}\left[\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\right] + \mathfrak{u}\left[\frac{\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu}}{\mathring{U}'}\right]\right),\,\mathring{\rho}\left(\mathfrak{U}\left[\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\right] + \mathfrak{u}\left[\frac{\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu}}{\mathring{U}'}\right]\right|_{\partial\mathcal{B}}\right)\right). \tag{5.34}$$

The operator V is self-adjoint with respect to the scalar product in (5.32), due to the symmetry of the Poisson kernel.

By lemma A.2, the volume potential $\mathfrak U$ defines a continuous map $L^2(\mathcal B) \to H^2(\mathcal B)$. Further, the layer potential $\mathfrak U$ defines a continuous map $L^2(\partial \mathcal B) \to H^1(\partial \mathcal B)$, cf lemma A.1. Since the scalar product (5.33) defines a norm on $\mathbb H$ which is equivalent to the standard norm on $L^2(\mathcal B) \times L^2(\partial \mathcal B)$, the operator $\mathbb V \colon \mathbb H \to \mathbb H$ is compact. Furthermore it maps $(\delta\mathring\rho, \delta\mathring\mu)$ with vanishing spherical mean into themselves and its corresponding restriction is also self-adjoint and compact. Now the expression in (5.31) minus the elastic term take the form

$$\langle f | (\mathbb{E} + \mathbb{V}) f \rangle.$$
 (5.35)

Since the operator $\mathbb V$ is compact and self-adjoint, it has a complete set of eigenfunctions with real eigenvalues. We must show that for $-\lambda$ in the spectrum of $\mathbb V$ it holds that $\lambda \leqslant 1$. Thus consider

$$\lambda \, \delta_{\xi} \mathring{\rho} + \mathring{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \, \delta_{\xi} \mathring{U} = 0, \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{B}, \tag{5.36}$$

and

$$\lambda \, \delta_{\xi} \mathring{\mu} + \left(\mathring{\rho} \, \delta_{\xi} \mathring{U} \right) |_{\partial B} = 0, \qquad \text{in } \partial \mathcal{B}, \tag{5.37}$$

where $\delta_{\xi} U = \mathfrak{U} \left[\delta_{\xi} \mathring{\rho} \right] + \mathfrak{u} \left[\frac{\delta_{\xi} \mathring{\mu}}{\mathring{U}'} \right]$. We write (5.36) in the form $\Delta \delta_{\xi} \mathring{U} + \frac{4\pi G}{\lambda} \mathring{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \delta_{\xi} \mathring{U} = 0. \tag{5.38}$

Furthermore we write $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{U}$ in the form

$$\delta_{\xi} \mathring{U} = \mathring{U}' \delta s = \mathring{U}' \sum_{l} s_{l}(R) Y_{l}(\Omega), \tag{5.39}$$

where we suppress the index m of spherical harmonics.

Using the radial derivative of $\mathring{U}'' + (2/R)\mathring{U}' = 4\pi G\mathring{\rho}$ to eliminate \mathring{U}''' , it is straightforward to show that (5.38) can be written in the form

$$\frac{1}{R^2\mathring{U}'}\Big(R^2\mathring{U}'^2s_l'\Big)' - \frac{l^2 + l - 2}{R^2}\mathring{U}'s_l - 4\pi G\,\mathring{\rho}\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}\mathring{U}'\bigg(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\bigg)s_l = 0. \ (5.40)$$

Integrating (5.40) over $(0, R_0)$ against $R^2 \mathring{U}' s_l$ we find that

$$\int_{0}^{R_{0}} R^{2} \mathring{U}^{\prime 2} \left[s_{l}^{\prime 2} + \left(\frac{l^{2} + l - 2}{R^{2}} + 4\pi G \mathring{\rho} \frac{d\mathring{\rho}}{d\mathring{\rho}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \right] s_{l}^{2} \right] dR$$

$$- R_{0}^{2} \left(\mathring{U}^{\prime 2} s_{l} s_{l}^{\prime} \right) (R_{0}) = 0.$$
(5.41)

Next observe that the expression for $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{U}$ makes sense both in the interior and exterior region, and that $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{U}$ is continuous across $\partial\mathcal{B}$ and $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{U}'$ suffers a jump

$$\left[\left(\delta_{\xi} \mathring{U} \right)' \right]_{\partial B} = 4\pi G \frac{\delta_{\xi} \mathring{\mu}}{\mathring{U}'(R_0)} = -\frac{4\pi G}{\lambda} \left. \frac{\mathring{\rho}}{\lambda} \frac{\delta_{\xi} \mathring{U}}{\mathring{U}'} \right|_{\mathcal{M}} = -\frac{4\pi G}{\lambda} \left. \mathring{\rho} \delta s \right|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad (5.42)$$

where we have used (5.37) in the second equality. Since

$$\left[\left[\mathring{U}'' \right] \right]_{\partial B} = -4\pi G \mathring{\rho} \bigg|_{\partial B},\tag{5.43}$$

it follows that

$$[\delta s']_{\partial B} = 4\pi G \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \left(\frac{\mathring{\rho} \, \delta s}{\mathring{U}'} \right) \Big|_{\partial B}$$
 (5.44)

This in turn implies that

$$\left[s_{l'}\right]\left(R_{0}\right) = 4\pi G\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\left(\frac{\mathring{\rho} s_{l}}{\mathring{U}'}\right)\left(R_{0}\right). \tag{5.45}$$

But, by virtue of the multipole expansion in the exterior region, we also have that

$$\lim_{R \downarrow R_0} \left(\left(\mathring{U}' s_l \right)' + \frac{l+1}{R_0} \mathring{U}' s_l \right) = 0 \implies \lim_{R \downarrow R_0} \left(s_{l}' + \frac{l-1}{R_0} s_l \right) = 0.$$
 (5.46)

Thus

$$\lim_{R \uparrow R_0} \left(s_{l'} + \frac{l-1}{R_0} s_l \right) = 4\pi G \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{\mathring{\rho} s_l}{\mathring{U}'} \right) (R_0). \tag{5.47}$$

Inserting (5.47) into (5.41), there results

$$\int_{0}^{R_{0}} R^{2} \mathring{U}^{\prime 2} \left[s_{l}^{\prime 2} + \frac{l^{2} + l - 2}{R^{2}} s_{l}^{2} \right] dR + R_{0}(l - 1) \left(\mathring{U}^{\prime 2} s_{l}^{2} \right) (R_{0})$$

$$= 4\pi G \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1 \right) \left[\int_{0}^{R_{0}} \mathring{d}\mathring{\rho}^{b} s_{l}^{2} dR + R_{0}^{2} \left(\mathring{U}^{\prime} \mathring{\rho} s_{l}^{2} \right) (R_{0}) \right]. \tag{5.48}$$

Thus (note that $\mathring{U}' > 0$ and, since δs has zero spherical mean, $l \ge 1$) it follows that $\lambda \le 1$ and $\lambda = 1$ implies that $s_l = 0$ for l > 1 and $s_l = \text{const.}$ This in turn means that $\delta \xi \mathring{U} = \mathring{U}'(c, n) = c^i \partial_i \mathring{U}$ which implies

$$\Delta \delta_{\varepsilon} \mathring{U} = 4\pi G \, \mathring{\rho}'(c, n). \tag{5.49}$$

Thus $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho} = \mathring{\rho}'(c, n)$. It now follows that \mathbb{V} restricted to quantities with zero spherical mean has eigenvalues $\lambda \leq 1$ and $\lambda = 1$ implies that $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho} = c^{i}\mathring{\rho}_{,i}$. Furthermore (5.37) now implies $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\mu} = -\mathring{\rho} \mathring{U}'(c, n)|_{\partial B}$.

So far ξ itself was not involved in the argument. Going back to the (5.26) we infer that $(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma}_{ij})n^in^j|_{\partial\mathcal{B}}=0$. Now, due to the presence of the elastic term in (5.31), it follows that $(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma}_{ij})_{ij}=0$ everywhere in \mathcal{B} , whence ξ is a conformal Killing vector. Dilations and conformal boosts are incompatible with $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}=c^i\mathring{\rho}_{,i}$. The only remaining possibility is that $\xi^i=c^i+\Omega^i_jX^j$ with $\Omega_{ij}=\Omega_{[ij]}$.

Summing up we obtain the

Theorem 5.7. Assume that the radial stability condition, cf definition 5.4, holds. Then the nullspace of L_i , under the condition that l_i be zero consists exactly of infinitesimal Euclidean motions.

As a final remark note that the Antonov–Lebovitz (i.e. non-radial–non-radial) part of the previous argument does not require any condition on the background equation of state involving γ . It implies in particular that the kernel of the pure fluid linearized operator acting on non-radial modes is trivial, i.e. only consists of ξ 's being translations or satisfying $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}=0$. This in turn implies that there are no non-trivial non-spherical perturbations of a Newtonian perfect-fluid star with given equation of state—which in turn is a linearized version of the classical spherical-symmetry result of Lichtenstein.

6. Fredholm alternative

In this section we consider the operator

$$\mathbb{L}$$
: $\xi \to (-L[\xi], l[\xi])$.

We make use of the results and methods of [15, chapitre 2].

Let L^{loc} denote the local part of the operator L, corresponding to the first line of (5.3a). Then $\xi \to \mathbb{L}^{\text{loc}}\xi = (-L^{\text{loc}}[\xi], l[\xi])$ is an elliptic, formally self-adjoint differential operator of second order. \mathbb{L}^{loc} is therefore Fredholm as a map

$$H^{2+k}(\mathcal{B}) \to H^k(\mathcal{B}) \times H^{1/2+k}(\partial \mathcal{B}),$$

for $k \ge 0$, k integer.

Further, let Z be the gravitational part of -L, given by the second line in (5.3a). Explicitly, for $X \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\begin{split} Z_{i}[\xi](X) &= G\xi^{j}(X)\partial_{i}\partial_{j}\mathring{U}(X) - G\mathring{\rho}(X)\int_{\mathcal{B}} \left(\partial_{i}\partial_{j}\frac{1}{|X - X'|}\right) \mathring{\rho}(X')\xi^{j}(X')dX \\ &= G\xi^{j}(X)\partial_{i}\partial_{j}\mathring{U} + 4\pi G\mathring{\rho}(X)\partial_{i}\partial_{j}\left(\Delta^{-1}\left(\mathring{\rho}\xi^{j}\chi_{\mathcal{B}}\right)\right)(X). \end{split}$$

By assumption, the reference density $\mathring{\rho}$ is smooth on \overline{B} . By lemma A.2, Z is a bounded operator

$$Z: H^k(\mathcal{B}) \to H^k(\mathcal{B}).$$

for $k \ge 0$, k integer. Hence, $\xi \mapsto (Z[\xi], 0)$ is a compact linear map

$$H^{2+k}(\mathcal{B}) \to H^k(\mathcal{B}) \times H^{1/2+k}(\partial \mathcal{B}),$$

and hence it follows that \mathbb{L} is Fredholm, since a compact perturbation of a Fredholm operator is again Fredholm, [12, theorem 37.5]. In particular, \mathbb{L} has finite dimensional kernel and closed range with finite dimensional cokernel given by ker \mathbb{L}^* where \mathbb{L}^* is the operator mapping

$$H^{-k}(\mathcal{B}) \times H^{-1/2-k}(\partial \mathcal{B}) \to H^{-2-k}(\mathcal{B}),$$

defined by

$$\langle \mathbb{L}\xi, \Phi \rangle = \left\langle \xi, \mathbb{L}^*\Phi \right\rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing. Explicitly, with $\Phi = (v, \varphi)$ we have

$$\langle \mathbb{L}\xi, \Phi \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{B}} -L_i[\xi]b^i dX + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} l_i[\xi]\tau^i dS,$$

and range \mathbb{L} is given by the space of $F = (b, \tau)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} b_i v^i dX + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \tau_i \varphi^i dS = 0,$$

for all $\Phi \in \ker \mathbb{L}^*$. We have the Green's identity

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} \eta^{i} L_{i}[\xi] dX - \int_{\mathcal{B}} L_{i}[\eta] \xi^{i} dX = \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \eta^{i} l_{i}[\xi] dS(X) - \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} l_{i}[\eta] \xi^{i} dS.$$

Now consider the case of Sobolev index k = 0. Since $\Phi \in \ker \mathbb{L}^*$ is equivalent to

$$\langle \xi, \mathbb{L}^* \Phi \rangle = \langle \mathbb{L} \xi, \Phi \rangle = 0,$$

for all $\xi \in H^2(\mathcal{B})$, we have for $\Phi = (v, \varphi) \in \text{kerL}^*$.

$$0 = \int_{B} -L_{i}[\xi]v^{i}dX + \int_{\partial B} l_{i}[\xi]\varphi^{i}dS$$
$$= \int_{B} -\xi^{i}L_{i}[v]dX + \int_{\partial B} \xi^{i}l_{i}[v] + \int_{\partial B} (\varphi^{i} - v^{i})l_{i}[\xi]dS.$$

Since $\xi \in H^2(\mathcal{B})$ is arbitrary, this gives immediately that $L_i[v] = 0$. Further, we have that $(\xi, l[\xi])$ is a Dirichlet system on $\partial \mathcal{B}$, and hence it follows that $l_i[v] = 0$ and $\varphi^i = v^i$ on $\partial \mathcal{B}$. It follows from the analysis in [15] that the kernel and cokernel of \mathbb{L} are independent of k, and hence are well-defined. We end up with the following result.

Proposition 6.1. Assume that the radial stability condition, cf definition 5.4, holds. Let $k \ge 0$, k integer. The operator $\xi \mapsto \mathbb{L}[\xi] = (-L[\xi], l[\xi])$ is a Fredholm operator

$$H^{2+k}(\mathcal{B}) \to H^k(\mathcal{B}) \times H^{1/2+k}(\partial \mathcal{B})$$

with finite dimensional kernel, and range consisting of (b_i, τ_i) such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} -b_i v^i dX + \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \tau_i v^i dS = 0,$$

for all $v \in \ker L$.

7. Implicit function theorem

In this section, we assume that the radial stability condition, cf definition 5.4, holds. Let $\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}$, $\lambda \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ be a one-parameter family of densities on \mathcal{B} . Let $\mathcal{F}[\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}, \phi] = (b_i[\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}, \phi], \tau_i[\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}, \phi])$ where

$$b_{i}[\mathring{\rho}, \phi] = -\partial_{A}(\mathring{\rho}\tau_{i}^{A}) + \mathring{\rho}(\partial_{i}U) \circ \phi,$$

$$\tau_{i}[\mathring{\rho}, \phi] = \tau_{i}^{A}n_{A}|_{\partial \mathcal{P}}.$$

The Frechet derivative of \mathcal{F} at the reference state $\lambda = 0$, $\phi = \mathbf{id}$ is

$$D_{\phi} \mathcal{F} \Big|_{\lambda=0, \phi=\mathrm{id}} \xi = \mathbb{L} \xi = \Big(-L[\xi], 1[\xi] \Big).$$

By theorem 5.7 and proposition 6.1 we have that the kernel and cokernel of $D_{\Phi}\mathcal{F}$ consists of Killing vector fields, i.e. ζ^{i} of the form $c^{i} + \omega_{i}^{i}X^{j}$ for constant c^{i} , $\omega_{ij}^{i} = \omega_{[ij]}$.

Now let \mathbb{P} be the projection defined by \mathbb{P} : $(b_i, \tau^i) \mapsto (b'_i, \tau_i)$, with

$$b'_i = b_i + c_i + \omega_{ij} X^j,$$

for suitable c_i , ω_{ii} such that $(b^{\prime i}, \tau^i)$ are equilibrated, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} b'_i \zeta^i dX - \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}} \tau_i \zeta^i dS = 0,$$

for all $\zeta^i \in \ker \mathbb{L}$. The above determines b'_i in terms of b_i and τ_i and hence also the projection operator \mathbb{P} .

We eliminate the kernel of $\mathbb{L} = D_{\phi} \mathcal{F}|_{\lambda=0,\phi=\mathrm{id}}$ by fixing the one-jet of ϕ at the origin. Denote the space of $\xi^i \in H^{2+k}(\mathcal{B})$ with $\xi_i(0) = 0$, $\partial_i \xi_j(0) = 0$ by \mathbb{X} and let \mathbb{Y} denote the range of \mathbb{L} . Then we have that $\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$ is an isomorphism. Now the following result is an immediate consequence of the implicit function theorem.

Proposition 7.1. Fix $k \ge 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$. For $\lambda \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, let $\lambda \mapsto \mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}$ be a one-parameter family of smooth functions on \mathcal{B} , so that $\mathring{\rho} = \mathring{\rho}_0$ is a solution to $\mathcal{F}[\mathring{\rho}, \mathbf{id}] = 0$. There is an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ so that for $\lambda \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$, there is a unique $\phi_{\lambda} \in H^{2+k}(\mathcal{B})$ with $\phi(0) = 0$, $\partial_i \phi^i(0) = \delta_i^i$, such that

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}\big[\,\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda},\,\phi_{\lambda}\,\big]=0.$$

7.1. Equilibration

Recall that the field equation in the Eulerian picture takes the form

$$\nabla_i \left(\tau_j^i \chi_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})} + \Theta_j^i \right) = 0.$$

For ζ^i a Killing field we have $V_{(i}\zeta_{j)} = 0$ and hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \zeta_j \nabla_i \left(\tau^{ij} \chi_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})} + \Theta^{ij} \right) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla_i \zeta_j \left(\tau^{ij} \chi_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})} + \Theta^{ij} \right) dx = 0,$$

since $\tau^{ij} = \tau^{(ij)}$ and $\Theta^{ij} = \Theta^{(ij)}$. Recall

$$\nabla_i \Theta_i^i = \rho \chi_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})} \partial_i U.$$

Applying the change of variables formula and the Piola identity, and taking into account the boundary condition $\tau_i^i n_i |_{\partial f^{-1}(B)} = 0$ we have

$$0 = \int_{\mathcal{P}} \zeta^{j} \circ \phi \Big[-\partial_{A} \tilde{\tau}_{j}^{A} + (\partial_{j} U) \circ \phi \Big] dX,$$

and hence $(b_i, 0)$ is equilibrated with respect to $\zeta^i \circ \phi$.

We are now ready to prove

Theorem 7.2. Assume that the radial stability condition holds. Fix $k \ge 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$. For $\lambda \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, let $\lambda \mapsto \mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}$ be a one-parameter family of smooth functions on \mathcal{B} , so that $\mathring{\rho} = \mathring{\rho}_{0}$ is a solution to $\mathcal{F}[\mathring{\rho}, \mathbf{id}] = 0$, and $\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}|_{\partial \mathcal{B}} = \rho_{0}$ for $\lambda \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. There is an $\epsilon_{0} > 0$ so that for $\lambda \in (-\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{0})$, there is a unique $\phi_{\lambda} \in H^{2+k}(\mathcal{B})$ with $\phi(0) = 0$, $\partial_{j}\phi^{i}(0) = \delta_{j}^{i}$, such that

$$\mathcal{F} \left[\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda} \right] = 0.$$

Proof. Let ϕ_{λ} be the solution to $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}\left[\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right] = 0$ constructed in proposition 7.1, and let K denote the space of Killing fields on \mathbb{R}^{3} . By the proof of proposition 7.1 we have that the load $b^{i} = b^{i} \left[\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda}\right]$ corresponding to ϕ_{λ} satisfies $b^{i} \in K$. On the other hand, we have by the above discussion that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} \zeta^i \circ \phi_{\lambda} b_i = 0, \qquad \text{for all} \quad \zeta^i \in K.$$

For ϕ_{λ} sufficiently close to **id** this implies $b_i = 0$. Since ϕ_{λ} depends continuously on λ , the result follows.

8. Non-spherical nature of solutions

It is important to understand that the method we have developed in this work is capable of 'building mountains', i.e. that the solutions we construct are indeed non-spherical. Before proving that this is the case, it will be useful to make a few observations on the pure fluid case, where the action in the material frame (3.7) takes the (static Euler-Poisson) form

$$\mathcal{L}_{ep}[\mathring{\rho}; \phi] = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathring{\rho}(X) e \left(\mathring{\rho} \det \left(\phi_{B}^{j}\right)^{-1}\right) (X) dX$$
$$-\frac{G}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}} \mathring{\rho}(X) \mathring{\rho}(X') \frac{dX dX'}{|\phi(X) - \phi(X')|}. \tag{8.1}$$

Let ψ be a diffeomorphism $\psi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ (in particular ψ maps $\partial \mathcal{B}$ into itself). Further, let $\mathring{\rho}_{\psi} = \mathring{\rho} \circ \psi \mid (\partial \psi / \partial X) \mid$, where $\mid (\partial \psi / \partial X) \mid = \det (\psi_A^i)$, and $\phi_{\psi} = \phi \circ \psi$. Then the action given by (8.1) satisfies the covariance property

$$\mathcal{L}_{ep}[\mathring{\rho};\phi] = \mathcal{L}_{ep}[\mathring{\rho}_{\psi};\phi_{\psi}]. \tag{8.2}$$

This covariance property is of course reflected by the fact that the Eulerian variable $\rho [\mathring{\rho}, \phi](x) = (\mathring{\rho} | (\partial \phi/\partial X)|^{-1})(\phi^{-1}(x))$ remains unchanged under the action of ψ on $(\mathring{\rho}, \phi)$. It follows that the Euler–Poisson system is symmetric⁸ under the action of the infinite dimensional group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms ψ of \mathcal{B} , i.e. when ψ leaves the volume form $\mathring{\rho}$ dX invariant, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{ep}[\mathring{\rho};\phi\circ\psi] = \mathcal{L}_{ep}[\mathring{\rho};\phi].$$

Next recall from a theorem of Moser [17] that for any positive densities $\mathring{\rho}_1$, $\mathring{\rho}_2$ in \bar{B} such that $\int_B \mathring{\rho}_1 \mathrm{d}X = \int_B \mathring{\rho}_2 \mathrm{d}X$ there exists a diffeomorphism $\psi \colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$, such that $\mathring{\rho}_2 = \mathring{\rho}_1 \circ \psi \mid (\partial \psi / \partial X) \mid$. Thus, for fluids, any change of density $\mathring{\rho}$ leaving its integral over \mathcal{B} unchanged, should be viewed as a mere change of gauge. Note that $\int_B \mathring{\rho} \mathrm{d}X$ is nothing but the total mass of the physical solution, namely $\int_{b(\mathcal{B})} \rho \, [\mathring{\rho}, \, \phi](x) \, \mathrm{d}x$.

⁸ Not that *covariance* refers to a change of both the field variable ϕ and the background field, whereas *symmetry* refers to change of field variable leaving the background invariant. For example, special relativistic Maxwell theory (the background field in this case being the Minkowski metric) is covariant under spacetime diffeomorphisms but symmetric under Poincare transformations.

Remark 8.1. The above transformation properties explain the fact that the linearized operator (5.3), in the absence of the elastic terms, has a kernelcontaining translations plus the infinite dimensional set of vector fields ξ satisfying $\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho} = 0$ and $\xi^{i}n_{i}|_{B} = 0$. When the radial stability condition, definition 5.4, holds, the proofs of lemma 5.3, and of proposition 5.6 in the case where \mathring{l} is zero, show that the kernel in fact consists of these vector fields. Finally, we remark that the transformation properties can be used to derive the identity stated in proposition 5.1. The proof of the last statement is left to the reader.

Let $\delta \mathring{\rho} = (d/d\lambda)\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda} \mid_{\lambda=0}$. Before stating our proposition on lack of spherical symmetry we prove two lemmas. The first concerns the form of the perturbed Eulerian density and Cauchy stress.

Lemma 8.1. There holds

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\rho\left[\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda},\,\phi_{\lambda}\right]\Big|_{\lambda=0} = \delta\mathring{\rho} - \delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho},\tag{8.3}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\tau_{ij}\Big[\mathring{\rho}_{\lambda},\,\phi_{\lambda}\Big]\bigg|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}\Big(\delta\mathring{\rho}-\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho}\Big)\delta_{ij} - 4\mathring{\rho}\,\mathring{l}\,\Big(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma}\Big)_{ij}.\tag{8.4}$$

Proof. The proof of (8.3) follows easily from (3.13). The proof of (8.4) follows from (5.2) together with (3.9).

The second lemma concerns the linearized problem.

Lemma 8.2. The linearized problem, namely

$$D_{\phi} \mathcal{F}[\mathring{\rho}, \mathbf{id}]. \ \xi = -D_{\mathring{\sigma}} \mathcal{F}[\mathring{\rho}, \mathbf{id}]. \ \delta\mathring{\rho}$$

$$(8.5)$$

takes the explicit form

$$\mathring{\rho} \partial_{i} \left(\frac{1}{\mathring{\rho}} \frac{d\mathring{\rho}}{d\mathring{\rho}} \delta_{\xi} \mathring{\rho} + \delta_{\xi} \mathring{U} \right) + 4 \partial^{j} \left[\mathring{\rho} \mathring{l} \left(\delta_{\xi} \mathring{\sigma} \right)_{ij} \right]
= \mathring{\rho} \partial_{i} \left(\frac{1}{\mathring{\rho}} \frac{d\mathring{\rho}}{d\mathring{\rho}} \delta \mathring{\rho} \right) - G\mathring{\rho} \partial_{i} \int_{B} \frac{\left(\delta \mathring{\rho} \right) (X')}{|X - X'|} dX', \tag{8.6a}$$

for the bulk and

$$\left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \, \delta_{\xi}\mathring{\rho} + \mathring{\rho} \, \mathring{U}'\xi^{j} n_{j} \right) n_{i} + 4\mathring{\rho} \, \mathring{l} \left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma} \right)_{ij} n^{j} \right]_{\partial \mathcal{B}} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{p}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \, \delta\mathring{\rho} \right) n_{i} \bigg|_{\partial \mathcal{B}}, \quad (8.6b)$$

for the boundary part.

Proof. The left-hand side of (8.5) is clearly given by (5.5). To deal with the right-hand side, we first note that since the invariant τ is independent of $\mathring{\rho}$, the elastic contribution to the right-hand side of (8.6*a*) is zero. Now the form of the right-hand side of equation (8.6*a*) follows by explicit computation from the fluid Euler–Lagrange equation, namely

$$\mathcal{E}[\mathring{\rho}, \phi]_{i} = -\partial_{A} \frac{\partial \left[\mathring{\rho} e\left(\mathring{\rho} \det\left(\phi_{B}^{j}\right)^{-1}\right)\right]}{\partial \phi_{A}^{i}} - G\mathring{\rho}(X) \int_{B} \left(\partial_{i} \frac{1}{|z|}\right) \Big|_{z=\phi(X)-\phi(X')} \mathring{\rho}(X') dX', \tag{8.7}$$

in \mathcal{B} . Finally, the form of the right-hand side in the boundary condition (8.6b) follows easily from

$$D_{\mathring{\rho}} \,\,\tilde{\tau}_{i}^{A} \big[\mathring{\rho}, \, \mathbf{id} \big] = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}\mathring{\rho}} \,\,\delta_{i}^{A}, \tag{8.8}$$

which in turn follows from (5.1).

We now state our result on lack of spherical symmetry.

Proposition 8.3. Suppose l > 0. and that the stability condition (5.18) is satisfied. Let a non-zero function $\delta \hat{\rho}$ on \mathcal{B} be given, which has only $l \ge 2$ non-zero modes in its spherical harmonics expansion, and which has $\delta \hat{\rho} \mid_{\partial \mathcal{B}} = 0$.

Then the perturbed stress of the physical body, given by (8.4), is not spherically symmetric. Here the perturbed stress is calculated with respect to the unique vector field ξ^i solving equation (8.5), constructed as in section 7.

Remark 8.2. The proof of proposition 8.3 applies more generally in the case when the quadratic form, defined by (5.16) with the elastic terms set to zero, is positive definite.

Proof. We first show that with the given $\delta \hat{\rho}$, the right-hand side of (8.6*a*), which we shall denote by H_i , is non-zero. Assume for a contradiction, that H_i is zero.

Since $\delta \hat{\rho}$ has only $l \ge 2$ modes, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} \delta \mathring{\rho} \, dX = 0$$

and hence there is a vector field η^i such that $\delta_{\eta} \mathring{\rho} = \delta \mathring{\rho}$ and $\eta^i n_i \mid_{\partial B} = 0$.

Inserting $\delta_{\eta}\mathring{\rho}$ into the right-hand side of equation (8.6*a*), and taking into account that $\delta\mathring{\rho} \mid_{\delta\mathcal{B}} = 0$, we see that H_i is identical to $L_i[\eta]$ given by (5.5) for the particular case when the elastic term is absent, i.e., with $\mathring{l} = 0$.

It follows from $\delta \mathring{\rho} \mid_{\partial B} = 0$ that the vector field η^i satisfies $\partial_i \eta^i \mid_{\partial B} = 0$. Hence we have that η is in the null space of the operator L_i given by (5.5) and satisfies the boundary condition (5.27), both in the case $\mathring{l} = 0$. Then the proof of proposition 5.6 in the case where $\mathring{l} = 0$ shows that either η is a translation Killing vector or $\delta_{\eta}\mathring{\rho} = 0$. If $\delta_{\eta}\mathring{\rho}$ we have a contradiction, and hence η is a translation. However, in this case, $\delta \mathring{\rho}$ has only l = 1 components, and it follows that $\delta \mathring{\rho} = 0$, which gives a contradiction. Thus we have proved that H_i is non-zero.

Further, by construction, H_i has only $l \ge 2$ components. To make concrete what this means for a (co-)vector field, recall that any covector κ_i can be written in the form

$$\kappa_i = an_i + \left(\delta_i^{\ j} - n_i n^j\right) \partial_j b + \epsilon_i^{\ jk} n_j \partial_k c$$

where a, b, c are scalar fields on $[0, R_0] \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with a unique, and b, c unique up to constants. The triple of scalars (a, b, c) corresponding to covector H_i has non-zero $l \ge 2$ components in

⁹ The index l should not be confused with $l(\rho, \tau, \delta)$ or \mathring{l} .

its spherical harmonics expansion. Due to the stability condition (5.18), the boundary value problem given (8.6) has a solution ξ^i , which is unique up to Killing vectors.

Recall that Killing vectors have only $l \le 1$ components. It follows that we can set the $l \le 1$ components of ξ^i to zero, and get a solution which we also denote ξ^i to (8.6), such that ξ^i has only $l \ge 2$ components.

Finally we show that the perturbed Eulerian stress tensor (8.4) is not spherically symmetric. To do this we note that if it were spherically symmetric, then its trace free part would be of the form

$$-4\mathring{\rho}\mathring{l}\left(\delta_{\xi}\mathring{\sigma}\right)_{ii} = A\left(\delta_{ij} - 3n_{i}n_{j}\right) \tag{8.9}$$

for some function A depending only on R. Since by construction ξ^i is non-zero and has only $l \ge 2$ modes, equation (8.9) can have a solution only if A = 0 and ξ^i is a conformal Killing vector. But conformal Killing vectors have only $l \le 1$ modes, which is a contradiction. Therefore, the perturbed Eulerian stress is not spherically symmetric, which completes the proof.

We finally point out that it would be interesting to have information about the spherical behavior of $\xi^i n_i \mid_{\partial B}$, since this describes the shape of mountains to order λ . However, this would require a detailed analysis of the boundary value problem given by (8.6), which we defer to later work.

Acknowledgements

This material is based in part on work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0932078 000, while LA and RB were in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the semester programme of Mathematical General Relativity, during the fall of 2013. Further, LA and RB thank ESI for hospitality and support during part of this work. BS is grateful to Jörg Frauendiener for discussions in the early stages of the present work. RB is supported in part by Fonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung project no. P20414-N16.

Appendix. Estimates for the Newtonian potential

Here we prove some potential theoretic estimates which are used in our analysis. We discuss here only estimates in the setting of L^2 Sobolev spaces H^s . See [21, chapter 4] for background. The analogous results hold in the setting of Sobolev spaces of L^p type $W^{s,p}$.

Consider \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 3$, with Cartesian coordinates (x^i) , and with the Euclidean metric. Let Ω be a smooth, bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , with boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let n^i be the outward directed normal to $\partial \Omega$. The trace of a function f on $\partial \Omega$ is denoted $\operatorname{Tr}_{\partial \Omega} f$.

Let ω_n be the area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $E = -1/(\omega_n |x|^{n-2})$ be the fundamental solution of the Laplace equation. The volume potential of a function f is

$$\mathcal{V}[f](x) = \int_{\Omega} E(x - x') f(x') dx',$$

and the layer potential S[f] is

$$S[f](x) = \int_{\partial \Omega} E(x - x') f(x') dS(x'),$$

where dS is the induced volume element on $\partial\Omega$. We shall need the following standard result, cf [20, chapter 7, proposition 11.2]. (See also [20, chapter 7, proposition 11.5] the assumption that the complement of Ω is connected made in [20, chapter 7, proposition 11.5] is not relevant for the continuity property which we need here.)

Lemma A.1. S defines a bounded operator $S: H^{s-1}(\partial\Omega) \to H^s(\partial\Omega)$.

Let χ_{Ω} denote the indicator function of Ω , i.e.

$$\chi_{\Omega}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \Omega, \\ 0 & x \notin \Omega, \end{cases}$$

and let $\delta_{\partial\Omega}$ be the delta-distribution supported on $\partial\Omega$. Let f be sufficiently regular so that the trace $\operatorname{Tr}_{\partial\Omega}f$ is defined. Then the following identity is valid in the sense of distributions.

$$\partial_i (f \chi_{\Omega}) = (\partial_i f) \chi_{\Omega} - \text{Tr} \left[f n^i \right] \delta_{\partial \Omega}. \tag{A.1}$$

To see this, let $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let ξ^i be a vector field on \mathbb{R}^n . Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \phi \xi^{i} \partial_{i} \chi_{\Omega} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \phi \partial_{i} (\xi^{i} \chi_{\Omega}) - \phi (\partial_{i} \xi^{i}) \chi_{\Omega} dx
= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \partial_{i} \phi \xi^{i} \chi_{\Omega} - \phi (\partial_{i} \xi^{i}) \chi_{\Omega} dx
= - \int_{\partial_{\Omega}} \phi n^{i} dS - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \phi (\partial_{i} \xi^{i}) \chi_{\Omega} dx.$$

Specializing to the case $\xi^k \partial_k = \partial_i$ gives (A.1). From (A.1) we have immediately, by the chain rule and the differentiation formula for convolutions,

$$\partial_i \mathcal{V}[f] = \mathcal{V} \left[\partial_i f \right] - \mathcal{S} \left[f n^i \right]. \tag{A.2}$$

We can now prove the following.

Lemma A.2. Let $s \ge 0$, s integer. Then V defines a continuous map

$$\mathcal{V}: H^s(\Omega) \to H^{s+2}(\Omega).$$

Proof. The case s=0 follows from the standard interior estimate for the Poisson equation, [15, chapitre 2, théorème 3.1]. The proof now proceeds by induction, with s=0 as base. Let $s \ge 1$ and suppose we have proved the statement for s-1. Let $f \in H^s(\Omega)$. By the trace theorem, [21, chapter 4, proposition 4.5], $\operatorname{Tr}_{\partial\Omega}[fn^i] \in H^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and by lemma A.1, $S[fn^i] \in H^{s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. Now, $S[fn^i]$ is harmonic in Ω with trace on $\partial\Omega$ in $H^{s+1/2}$. It follows that $S[fn^i] \in H^{s+1}(\Omega)$. Further, by the induction hypothesis, $\mathcal{V}[\partial_i f] \in H^{s+1}(\Omega)$, and hence it follows that $\mathcal{V}[f] \in H^{s+2}(\Omega)$, which closes the induction and gives the result.

References

[1] Aly J-J and Pérez J 1992 On the stability of a gaseous sphere against nonradial perturbations *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **259** 95–103

- [2] Andersson L, Beig R and Schmidt B 2008 Static self-gravitating elastic bodies in Einstein gravity Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 61 988–1023
- [3] Andersson L, Oliynyk T A and Schmidt B G 2011 Dynamical elastic bodies in Newtonian gravity Class. Quantum Grav. 28 235006
- [4] Andréasson H and Calogero S 2014 Spherically symmetric steady states of John elastic bodies in general relativity arXiv: 1403:2565
- [5] Beig R and Schmidt B G 2003 Relativistic elasticity Class. Quantum Grav. 20 889-904
- [6] Beig R and Schmidt B G 2003 Static, self-gravitating elastic bodies *Proc. R. Soc.* A 459 109–15
- [7] Binney J and Tremaine S 1987 Galactic Dynamics (Princeton Series in Astrophysics) (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)
- [8] Binney J and Tremaine S 2008 Galactic Dynamics (Princeton Series in Astrophysics) (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)
- [9] Calogero S and Leonori T 2012 Ground states of self-gravitating elastic bodies arXiv: 1208.1792
- [10] Chamel N and Haensel P 2008 Physics of neutron star crusts Living Rev. Relativ. 11 10
- [11] Chandrasekhar S 1957 An Introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure (New York: Dover)
- [12] Conway J B 2000 A Course in Operator Theory (Graduate Studies in Mathematics vol 21) (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [13] Kijowski J and Magli G 1997 Unconstrained variational principle and canonical structure for relativistic elasticity Rep. Math. Phys. 39 99–112
- [14] Lichtenstein L 1933 Gleichgewichtsfiguren Rotierender Flüssigkeiten (Berlin: Springer)
- [15] Lions J-L and Magenes E 1968 Problèmes Aux Limites non Homogènes et Applications vol 1 (Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques no. 17) (Paris: Dunod)
- [16] Marsden J E and Hughes T J R 1994 Mathematical Foundations of Elasticity (New York: Dover) (Corrected reprint of the 1983 original)
- [17] Moser J 1965 On the volume elements on a manifold Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 120 286-94
- [18] Rendall A D and Schmidt B G 1991 Existence and properties of spherically symmetric static fluid bodies with a given equation of state *Class. Quantum Grav.* **8** 985–1000
- [19] Tahvildar-Zadeh A S 1998 Relativistic and nonrelativistic elastodynamics with small shear strains Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Phys. Theor. 69 275–307
- [20] Taylor M E 1996 Partial Differential Equations II: Qualitative Studies of Linear Equations (Applied Mathematical Sciences vol 116) (New York: Springer)
- [21] Taylor M E 2011 Partial Differential Equations I: Basic Theory (Applied Mathematical Sciences vol 115) 2nd edn (New York: Springer)