# Adsorption of Beryllium Atoms and Clusters on Graphene and in a Bilayer of Graphite Investigated by DFT

Yves Ferro<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas Fernandez<sup>1</sup>, Alain Allouche<sup>1</sup> and Christian Linsmeier<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire de Physique des Interactions Ioniques et Moléculaires Aix-Marseille Université /CNRS - UMR 7345, Campus de Saint Jérôme, Service 252, 13397 Marseille Cedex 20, France

<sup>2</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, EURATOM Association, Boltzmannstrasse 2, 85748 Garching b. München, Germany

E-mail: yves.ferro@univ-amu.fr

# Abstract

We herein investigate the interaction of beryllium with a graphene sheet and in a bilayer of graphite by means of periodic DFT calculations. In all cases, we find the beryllium atoms to be more weakly bonded on graphene than in the bilayer. Be<sub>2</sub> forms both magnetic and non-magnetic structures on graphene depending on the geometrical configuration of adsorption. We find the stability of the Be/bilayer system to increase with the size of the beryllium clusters inserted into the bilayer of graphite. We also find a charge transfer from beryllium to the graphite layers. All these results are analyzed in terms of electronic structure.

PACS: 68.43.Fg, 68.65.Pq, 73.20.At

#### **1. Introduction**

The present paper focuses on the beryllium-graphite interaction, with the aim to provide detailed mechanisms, fundamental data and a comprehensive understanding of the chemical reactivity of beryllium atoms toward graphite. We therefore investigate the interaction of Be atoms and clusters with graphene and in a bilayer of graphite. Interaction energies are calculated for various physical situations – magnetic and non-magnetic structures, weak (physisorption) and strong (chemisorption) interactions. The results are discussed on the basis of electronic structure analysis and orbital theory. As in previous works [1-5], results are extrapolated from graphene to the surface of graphite, and from the bilayer to the bulk of graphite. This paper is the first part of a more global investigation dedicated to understand the formation of beryllium carbide from deposited beryllium atoms on graphite. It is conjointly led with experimental investigations, which results are discussed with the present ones later on in this paper.

Graphitic systems like pristine graphite, polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), graphene [6, 7], and carbon nanotubes [8] have attracted a renewed attention from a DFT point of view since the beginning of the twenty-first century. Their exceptional electronic properties, especially in the case of graphene and carbon nanotubes, are such that they are very promising materials for many technological applications, like in electronics for their semiconducting properties, fuel cells for their hydrogen-absorption capability, and in fusion science for their thermo-mechanical properties [9, 10]. They are also expected to play a major role in the catalytic recombination of molecular hydrogen in the interstellar medium [11, 12]. As a consequence, graphitic systems have been extensively studied by means of DFT [13].

Beryllium belongs to the group IIA of the alkaline earth metals. Its electronic configuration is  $1s^2 2s^2$ , which should not favour this element to form bonds with other atoms. However, the 2s-2p energy interval is small, 2s electrons can partly be promoted to 2p orbitals, which induces a significant degree of orbital mixing (hybridization) and favours the formation of covalent bonds. It has already been

demonstrated that, in the case of beryllium clusters, the degree of hybridization increases with the size of the cluster [14, 15]. Another consequence of the small 2s-2p energy interval is that the ground state of simple beryllium compounds is often multi-reference in nature, which is very challenging in computational chemistry. Many beryllium aggregates have also been investigated, like the Be<sub>3</sub> trimer [16, 17] or bigger Be<sub>n</sub> clusters, with *n* up to *9*, some of them at the MP4 [16] or FCI levels [17], some other with DFT [18-20].

The interaction of beryllium with graphitic molecular or periodic models has seldom been investigated. Based on molecular models, we review the works of Evangelisti *et al.* [21] who study the electronic and magnetic properties of a Be<sub>5</sub> linear chain adsorbed on top of a large PAH by means of CASSCF and CASPT2 calculations, and that of Naumkin *et al.* [22] who investigated the interaction of a Be atom with ethylene at the MP2 level. Yet, ethylene is not graphite, we think of it as an interesting and minimal model for the interaction of beryllium with a simple  $\pi$  system. Periodic models are almost exclusively investigated by DFT, since the use of post-HF calculations is prohibited in that case, with the exception of the CRYSCOR code [23, 24], which allows local MP2 calculations. Choi *et al.* [25] investigated the adsorption of Be atoms and other elements on the surface of periodic *zigzag* and *armchair* graphene nanoribbons (GNR). By means of DFT calculations and making use of the PBE functional [26], the authors found the interaction energies to be near zero at the centre of both GNRs where edge effects are expected to vanish. He *et al.* [27] studied the interaction of a beryllium dimer on the surface of graphene also using DFT-PBE. They found Be<sub>2</sub> to chemisorb perpendicularly on the surface and to induce a magnetization of 1 µB/cell.

One focus of the present paper is also to provide applied science on nuclear fusion with the fundamental data and mechanisms herein established about the beryllium-graphite system. Indeed, according to the current design, the materials facing the plasma in the nuclear fusion device ITER (International Tokamak Experimental Reactor) will be composed of graphite, beryllium and tungsten. Under operating conditions, these materials will be subject to heat and particle loads, beryllium will be

sputtered or evaporated and will interact with the graphitic components. This interaction could lead to the modification of the electronic and mechanical properties of graphite, and as a consequence, there is great interest in better understanding the modification of the basic properties of graphite resulting from the beryllium interaction.

This paper is organized as follows. In section II, we present the method and computational details we used. In section III, the results we obtained are given in three different subsections devoted to the interaction of (*i*) a single Be atom, (*ii*) Be<sub>2</sub>, and (*iii*) small Be<sub>n</sub> clusters (n=3, 6, 7) with graphite. These results are discussed in section IV with regards to previous theoretical works and existing experimental data on that system, before a conclusion is given in section V.

### 2. Computational details

We here make use of DFT to investigate the interaction of beryllium atoms with one and two layers of graphene. The correct description of the van der Waals contributions responsible for the cohesion of the stacking of graphene layers is an important issue. Barone *et al.* [28] showed the PBE functional to accurately describe this interaction in graphite and between benzene molecules provided that the Grimme correction [29] for the van der Waals interactions is included (DFT-D2 *scheme*).

In the case of beryllium, the use of DFT is more debatable for small and weakly bonded systems where multi-reference states are expected to play an important role. These states require the post-HF multi-reference methods based on the interaction of configurations (IC) scheme to be accurately described. However, these methods are out of reach of complex systems, especially in the case of the solid-state theory. Fortunately DFT can succeed in giving a correct energetic description, since the exchange potential is local in DFT whereas it is non-local in Hartree-Fock (HF). Consequently, the DFT exchange contains the exact-exchange (HF exchange) *plus* a part of the non-dynamical (or left-right) correlation [30,31]. However, DFT is not accurate enough to predict the correct binding energy of the Be dimer, which is weak, about one hundred meV [32, 33]. It follows that a special attention is given in the following to Be<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on the surface of graphene. However, the values of the energy we obtained are one order of magnitude higher than that of Be<sub>2</sub>, thus falling in the domain of DFT

# Adsorption of beryllium on graphene and graphite by DFT

accuracy. Furthermore, it was found that DFT results adequately reproduce the experimentally observed trends for small  $Be_n$  clusters despite the multi-reference nature of the wave function [14, 18, 19], providing evidence of the soundness of DFT for the present work.

In a number of previous works, the interaction of Be with graphitic systems was investigated by DFT with the PBE functional [25, 27]. In line with these authors, and including the van der Waals interaction in graphite according to the work of Barone et al. [28], all the calculations were performed with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation of the exchange and correlation functional, complemented by the Grimme correction DFT-D2 [29].

The Quantum Espresso code [34] developed in a plane-waves basis set was used with the Ultra-Soft pseudo-potentials [35] and energy cutoffs of 35 Ry (476 eV) and 200 Ry (2720 eV) for the truncation of the plane-wave expansion of the wave function and the electronic density, respectively. We used a  $4 \times 4$  pseudo 2D supercell in which each image is repeated every 20Å along the *z* axis. The dimensions of the unit cell were optimized, cell parameters **a** = **b** = 2.463 Å were obtained and subsequently used in all the calculations. The interlayer distance of the bilayer was set to its experimental value in graphite, i.e. 3.35 Å. All the atoms were allowed to relax during the geometry optimizations, except those at the border of the working-cell that simulate the constraints imposed by the infinite layer(s) on the cell. The Brillouin zone related to the  $4 \times 4 \times 1$  supercell was sampled in a  $6 \times 6 \times 1$  mesh using the Monkhorst-Pack scheme [36], which is equivalent to a  $24 \times 24 \times 1$  mesh in the unit cell. The Densities Of State (DOS) were plotted with a Gaussian broadening of 0.028 Ry, and population analyses were performed according to the Löwdin definition [37]. As the interpretation of the results relies on atomic and molecular orbitals interaction, the Khon-Sham orbitals were projected over the atomic wavefunctions of the pseudopotentials in order to produce projected Density Of States (pDOS).

Lastly and for the sake of simplicity, all interactions between beryllium and graphene (surface) or

bilayers of graphite (bulk) are named adsorption energies in the rest of this paper they are defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{ads}} = \mathbf{E}_{\text{substrat} + n\text{Be}} - \mathbf{E}_{\text{substrat}} - n \mathbf{E}_{\text{Be}} \quad [1]$$

Binding energies were also used they are just *minus* the adsorption energy  $(-E_{ads})$ .

## 3. Results

#### 3.1. Single Be atom

#### 3.1.1. On graphene

Figure *1a* presents the potential energy curve of a single beryllium atom interacting with a graphene sheet. The most stable physisorption well is on top of a carbon ring at 3.120 Å above the surface *(figure 1b)*. The adsorption energy is weak,  $E_{ads} = -0.087$  eV, which clearly indicates there is no chemical bonding. Other positions were tested for Be, like on top of a carbon atoms where the adsorption energy and the equilibrium distance are similar to that of the previous case:  $E_{ads} = -0.078$  eV, d = 3.262 Å. The projected DOS on the beryllium *2s* and *2p* orbitals substantiates this finding *(figure 1c)*. Only the *2s* orbital is occupied, and the empty *2p* energy levels remain above the Fermi level the electronic configuration of the beryllium ad-atom is  $1s^2 2s^2$  as in the gas phase. A metastable configuration is also found below 1.8Å, which probably arises from states crossing as already observed with the H-graphite system [38].



# Adsorption of beryllium on graphene and graphite by DFT

**Figure 1.** Interaction of a Be atom with a graphene sheet. (a) Potential energy surface, (b) equilibrium geometry at adsorption, and (c) projected Density Of State (pDOS) on the 2s and 2p orbitals of the Be atom.

#### 3.1.2. In the bilayer

Beryllium is adsorbed inside a bilayer of graphite. Different starting geometry were used, with beryllium on top of both carbon atoms of each side of the bilayers, or on top of a C-C bond; all these calculations converged to the equilibrium geometry shown in figure 2*a* where adsorption energy is  $E_{ads} = -1.027$  eV and the distance from the carbon atom on top of which Be resides is 1.789 Å. These results indicate a strong interaction between the bilayer and the beryllium atom; a chemical bond has been established. Figures 2*b* and 2*c* show the DOSs of the pure graphite (*bilayer*) and of the bilayer *plus* Be. In the latter case, the Fermi level is shifted toward higher energies in the conduction band of the bilayer. This indicates a charge transfer from beryllium to the bilayer, which means doping of graphite. From figure 2*d*, all 2*s*,  $2p_{x}$ ,  $2p_{y}$  and  $2p_{z}$  orbitals are partly filled as can be seen from the projected DOS (pDOS): adsorption has induced Be orbitals mixing. The pDOS on the  $\pi$  system of the bilayer is also plotted and weighted with a  $10^{-2}$  factor and scaled by 0.25 e Å<sup>-3</sup> eV<sup>-1</sup>. It is very similar to the pDOS on the pure bilayer (*figure 2b*), with the exception of the two peaks that appear just above - 10 eV, and which also correspond to peaks in the projected DOS or 2s and  $2p_z$  orbitals of beryllium.

Similarly, a peak is observed below -20 eV on the pDOS on 2s and  $2p_z$  orbitals of Be (*figure 2d*) and on the total DOS of the bilayer *plus* beryllium (*figure 2c*) this observation denotes an interaction between the  $\sigma$ -system of the bilayer and the 2s and  $2p_z$  orbitals of beryllium.



**Figure 2.** Interaction of a Be atom inside a bilayer of graphite. (a) Equilibrium geometry of adsorption, (b) DOS and projected DOS on the  $\pi$  system of the bilayer of graphite without beryllium, (c) DOS of the bilayer *plus* a Be atom, (d) pDOS on the 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  orbitals of the Be atom and on the  $\pi$  system of the bilayer *plus* a Be atom. The  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  orbitals are superimposed and cannot be distinguished.

## 3.2. The Be dimer

# 3.2.1. On graphene

The binding energy of Be<sub>2</sub> calculated within the PBE-D2 approach is 437 meV, far from the 116 meV experimentally found [33]. However, the beryllium-graphite interaction energies here calculated are one order of magnitude higher than the Be<sub>2</sub> binding energy. Some more, the PBE-D2 approach was checked on the Be<sub>2</sub>-benzene molecular model [39], which enables the more sophisticated MP2 calculation. The interaction energy calculated according to eq. 2 at the PBE-D2 and MP2 level with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis set are, in the singlet state, -0.82eV and -0.70 eV respectively, and in the triplet state, -0.93eV and -0.82eV, respectively. As a consequence, the interaction energy of Be<sub>2</sub> with benzene is within 10 to 20% the accuracy of the MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ results. The inclusion of *exact* exchange to the PBE functional does not improve the results, since we found using PBE0 -1.11 eV and -0.88 eV for the triplet and singlet states, respectively. As a consequence, the PBE-D2 approach was found to be reliable to understand the basic mechanism at stake in the interaction of Be<sub>2</sub> with graphene.

$$E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{Be2-benzene}} - E_{\text{benzene}} - E_{\text{Be2}}$$
 [2]

Hence, using PBE-D2, we find the beryllium dimer to bond onto graphene according to two stable configurations shown in Figure 3. Here again, different guesses were used as a starting point for the geometry optimization also different spin states were investigated. In the perpendicular configuration (*Fig 3a*), Be<sub>2</sub> is adsorbed on top of a carbon ring along the *z* axis perpendicular to the surface. The Be-Be distance is 2.087Å and the adsorption energy is  $E_{int} = -1.333$  eV (Eads = -1.770). Charges are 1.9 *e* and 1.8 *e* for the upper and closer Be atom to the surface, respectively. The interatomic distance of Be<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase is 2.43 Å [33] the shorter interatomic distance we calculated indicates a strengthening of the dimer bonding. The distance from the surface to the closest Be atom is  $d_{Be-surface} = 1.404$ Å, which is very short as compared to the monomer. This short  $d_{Be-surface}$  along with the significant adsorption energy indicates chemical bonding to the surface. This state is magnetic with a magnetization of  $1\mu_B$  /cell localized on the Be atom farthest from the surface, corresponding to a doublet spin state S=1/2, which is understood in the discussion section of the present paper. The triplet

state S=1 was found to be 0.258 eV higher in energy, with  $E_{int} = -1.075$  eV and the same geometry, while the singlet state S=0 converged to the geometry of the parallel configuration.

In the parallel configuration (*figure 3b*), Be<sub>2</sub> is adsorbed along the y axis parallel to the surface. The Be-Be distance is 1.966Å and the adsorption energy is  $E_{int} = -0.811 \text{ eV}$  ( $E_{ads} = -1.027 \text{ eV}$ ). Charges are 1.8 *e* on both Be atoms. The distance to Be<sub>2</sub> is  $d_{Be-surface} = 1.938$  Å. These results also indicate a strong Be-Be bond along with chemical bonding to the surface. In this case, the electronic configuration is non-magnetic consistent with a singlet spin state.



**Figure 3.** Equilibrium geometries of Be<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on a graphene sheet (a) in a perpendicular configuration leading to magnetic state of  $1 \ \mu B/cell$  and (b) in a parallel configuration that is a non-magnetic state.

The electronic structure was then analysed in order to understand the origin of the two different kinds of bonding. To this end, figure 4 displays the band structures and pDOS of the magnetic dimer in the perpendicular configuration. Both are plotted in *figure 4a* and *4b* for the *spin-up* component, and in *figure 4c* and *4d* for the *spin-down* component. Be<sub>2</sub> belongs to the D. h point group, but the symmetry reduces to the C<sub>6</sub> point group in the geometry of adsorption. The orientation of the axis is as follows: *z*  is parallel to the Be<sub>2</sub> molecular axis and perpendicular to the graphene layer, while *x* and *y* lies in the plane of graphene. The C<sub>6</sub> representation reduces as  $\Gamma_{2s} = A \oplus A$  when built on the 2*s* atomic orbitals of the beryllium dimer. Built on the  $2p_z$  atomic orbitals, it reduces according to  $\Gamma_{2pz} = A \oplus A$ , and on the set of (2px, 2py) orbitals, it reduces as  $\Gamma_{(2px,2py)} = E_I \oplus E_I$ . As a consequence,  $\sigma$  molecular orbitals of *A* symmetry mixing 2*s* and  $2p_z$  beryllium orbitals are established as can be seen from figure 4*b* and 4*d* the associated bands are highlighted in red. Also  $\pi$  molecular orbitals of  $E_I$  symmetry mixing  $2p_x$ and  $2p_y$  Be orbitals are formed and are shown in yellow in figure 4. Figure 4a and 4c show no dispersion associated with the bands involving beryllium, indicating local states centred on the Be dimer. Two  $\sigma$  hybrids are located below the Fermi level for the *spin-up* component (*figure 4a* and 4*c*) only one  $\sigma$  orbital is filled for *spin-down*, the other one is above the Fermi level (*figure 4c* and 4*d*). In all cases,  $\pi$  orbitals from  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  are located above the Fermi level.

Figure 5 displays the band structures and pDOS of the non-magnetic dimer in the parallel configuration. In that case, the geometry of adsorption belongs to the  $C_{2v}$  point group. The orientation of the axis is as follows: *z* is perpendicular to the graphene layer, while *y* is parallel to the Be<sub>2</sub> molecular axis. The  $C_{2v}$  representation reduces according to  $\Gamma_{2s} = A_1 \oplus A_2$  when built on the 2*s* atomic orbitals of the beryllium dimer. Built on  $2p_y$  and  $2p_{zs}$ , it reduces in much the same way ( $\Gamma_{2py} = A_1 \oplus A_2$  and  $\Gamma_{2pz} = A_1 \oplus A_2$ ). Finally, built on  $2p_x$ , it reduces according to  $\Gamma_{2px} = A_2 \oplus B_1$ . As a consequence,  $\sigma$  hybrid molecular orbitals built on 2s,  $2p_y$ ,  $2p_z$  orbitals and belonging to the A<sub>1</sub> irreducible representation are established as can be seen in figure 5*b*, where they are highlighted in red. Apart from that only to be found are other molecular orbitals of  $A_2$  symmetry mixing 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  orbitals or  $B_1$  symmetry from  $2p_x$ ; some of them are shown in yellow in figure 5. As a result, binding of the beryllium dimer on the surface of graphene in the parallel configuration is a consequence of the formation of a  $\sigma$  hybrid molecular orbital of A<sub>1</sub> symmetry that points directly toward the  $\pi$ -system of the graphene surface.



**Figure 4:** Electronic structure of Be<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on a graphene sheet in the perpendicular configuration (magnetic state). (a) Band structure and (b) pDOS on 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  Be orbitals for the *spin-up* component. (c) Band structure and (d) pDOS on 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  Be orbitals for the *spin-down* component.



**Figure 5.** Electronic structure of Be<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on a graphene sheet in the parallel configuration (nonmagnetic state). (a) Band structure and (b) pDOS on 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  Be orbitals.

#### 3.2.2. In the bilayer

Figure 6a displays the equilibrium geometry of a beryllium dimer adsorbed in a bilayer. The different starting geometry were both Be atoms on top of C of the same layer in *ortho, meta* and *para* positions. The equivalent of the parallel configuration on the surface (close to the *meta* position) is not found. The Be-Be distance is 2.232 Å, larger than on the surface, and close to that in the gas-phase. The adsorption energy is  $E_{ads} = -2.085$  eV (*-1.042eV/atom*), which is lower than that on the surface. Charges are 1.6 *e* on both Be atoms. Different spin configurations were calculated with spin multiplicity of 1, 2, 3 (S = 0, ½, 1) and relaxed (with incipient magnetization of half an electron on each Be atom); the ground state of the dimer was found to be singlet and non-magnetic. In *figure 6b* and *6c*, we compare the pDOS of Be<sub>2</sub> on graphene (parallel configuration) and in the bilayer in the domain of energy of the valence band. In both cases, the Fermi level is shifted in the conduction band of graphene as can be seen from the pDOS on the  $\pi$  system. This shift indicates doping or charge transfer from beryllium to the graphene layer(s). This charge transfer is 0.74 *e* to the bilayer and 0.47 *e* to graphene according to the Löwdin definition of charges.



E<sub>ads</sub> = -2.085 eV - 1.042 eV/atom

(a)



**Figure 6.** Beryllium dimer in a graphene bilayer. (a) Equilibrium geometry (b) pDOS of Be<sub>2</sub> on a graphene sheet (parallel configuration), and (c) pDOS of Be<sub>2</sub> in a bilayer. In both cases, DOSs are plotted in the domain of energy of the valence band. They are projected on the 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  orbitals of Be and on the  $\pi$  system of graphene in (b) and of the bilayer in (c).

Let us now compare the adsorption of Be<sub>2</sub> on the graphene surface in the parallel configuration and in the bilayer of graphite. The nature of the interaction is clearly different as can be seen from *Fig 6b* and *6c*. A  $\sigma$  (*2s*, *2p<sub>y</sub>* and *2p<sub>z</sub>*) peak around -2.7 eV is observed on the pDOS of the surface. This peak is related to the  $\sigma_g$  molecular orbital of Be<sub>2</sub>, which provides for the bonding of the dimer. In the bilayer, this peak vanishes while the contributions of the beryllium orbitals just above -10 eV and below -20 eV become notably stronger than on the surface (*figure 6c*). These contributions find their counterpart in the pDOS of the  $\pi$ -system and the total DOS, respectively. We therefore conclude that the Be-Be  $\sigma$  bond tends to fade in the bilayer, and that beryllium is stabilized through the interaction of the  $\pi$ system and  $\sigma$ -system with the 2s and 2p orbitals.

## 3.3. Beryllium clusters

Figure 7 shows the equilibrium geometries of the Be<sub>3</sub>, Be<sub>6</sub> and Be<sub>7</sub> clusters inserted inside the bilayer. We do no pretend here to be exhaustive with regard to all the stables structures of these clusters [19, 20]. Starting from different configuration with Be on top of carbon atoms or carbon rings, we privileged high symmetry configurations and high Be-Be next nearest neighbours. The resulting clusters exhibits symmetries of order *3* for Be<sub>3</sub> and Be<sub>6</sub>, and of order *6* for Be<sub>7</sub>. In all cases, the Be-Be distance is short and close to 2.1 Å. Charges on Be atoms are 1.7 *e* for Be<sub>3</sub>, 1.8 *e* and 2.0 *e* at the corner and at the edge of Be<sub>6</sub>, and 1.8 *e* and 2.0 *e* for Be at the corner and at the centre of Be<sub>7</sub>, respectively.



Figure 7. Equilibrium geometries of Be<sub>3</sub>, Be<sub>6</sub> and Be<sub>7</sub> clusters in a bilayer of graphite.

In *figure 8a*, the binding energy *per* Be atom is plotted *versus* the number *n* of atoms in the Be<sub>*n*</sub> clusters points are oscillating around a linear trend shown in dashed line. We can expect the binding energy *per* Be atom to increase up to the cohesion energy of bulk beryllium, which we calculated to be 3.880 eV/atom in an hexagonal compact packing. Figure 8*a* is a double *y* axis graph where is also plotted the mean number of nearest neighbours in the Be<sub>*n*</sub> series (*y* axis on the right of the graph). It is 0, 1, 2, 3 and 3.4 for Be, Be<sub>2</sub>, Be<sub>3</sub>, Be<sub>6</sub> and Be<sub>7</sub>, respectively. The trends of both curves are similar, indicating a possible correlation. All electronic structure involved here are spin singlet. Spin triplet were found to be 0.239 eV, 0.226 eV and 0.177 eV higher in energy for Be<sub>3</sub>, Be<sub>6</sub> and Be<sub>7</sub>, respectively. Also relaxed spin electronic configuration were used with incipient magnetization of half an electron on each Be atom in order to insure the singlet spin state to be the true ground state.



**Figure 8.** (a) Double *y* graph related to the adsorption of  $Be_n$  clusters in a bilayer of graphite: binding energy (*blue squares, left y axis*) and the mean number of nearest neighbours (*round circles, right y axis*) vs. the number of nearest neighbour in the cluster. (b) Total DOS and project DOS of a  $Be_7$  cluster inside a bilayer of graphite.

The pDOS and total DOS of Be<sub>7</sub> in the bilayer are plotted in figure 8b. The same behaviour as with Be<sub>2</sub> (figure 6c) is observed: 2s and 2p orbitals of Be interact with the  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  system below -20 eV

and above -10 eV. Besides,  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  orbitals of beryllium interact with the  $\pi$  system just below the Fermi level. A new feature appears in the case of Be<sub>7</sub> as compared to Be<sub>2</sub>: a 2s peak around -2.5 eV is visible with no counterpart on the pDOS of the  $\pi$  system. This peak means there is a Be-Be bond formation through 2s orbitals, and is consistent with the increase in binding energy as the number of nearest neighbours rises as plotted in figure 8a.

#### 4. Discussion

As mentioned before in the introduction, the results we get are extrapolated from graphene to the surface, and from the bilayer to the bulk of graphite.

The interaction of a single beryllium atom with the surface of graphite leads to physisorption ( $E_{ads} = -0.087 \text{ eV}$ ). This result is in good agreement with previous ones concerning the adsorption of beryllium atoms on top of *armchair*-edged and *zigzag*-edged nanoribbons of graphite [25]. It s also in good agreement with calculations produced at the MP2 and CCSD(T) level on the Be-benzene system [39]. Contrary to the surface, the beryllium is chemically bonded to the bulk of graphite. The valence 2s and 2p orbitals of beryllium mix together and interact with the  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  systems of graphite. As a result, binding energy in the bulk is about one order of magnitude stronger than on the surface.

The interaction of  $Be_2$  with the surface of graphite leads to two different configurations. When parallel to the surface, the non-magnetic electronic configuration of the beryllium dimer interacts with the non-magnetic surface of graphite, thus resulting in a non-magnetic product whose electronic structure is described above. The case of the perpendicular configuration is more complex. In that case, He *et al.* [27] calculated the following binding energy, Be-Be and Be-surface distances: 1.04 eV, 2.11Å and 1.49Å, respectively. In this work, we find 1.333 eV, 2.09Å and 1.40Å, respectively. Otherwise, all calculation details are the same except for the Grimme correction. Hence, the higher binding energy and consequently shorter bond distances we find are the consequence of the van der Waals forces we include herein. He *et al.* [27] explained the strengthening of the Be-Be bond as compared to the free

# Adsorption of beryllium on graphene and graphite by DFT

dimer by the small 2s-2p interval in energy, which induces one electron to drop out of the  $\sigma$  antibonding molecular orbital of the dimer. We totally agree with this interpretation, but wonder why the total magnetization yields 1µ<sub>B</sub>. Indeed, in another work, we investigated the adsorption of H dimers on the surface of graphite [40]. In that case, the dissociation of the electronic pair of the H-H bond leads to  $0\mu_B$  or  $2\mu_B$  magnetization, depending on the adsorption site. This can be easily understood in terms of the angular momentum addition of spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ , leading to triplet and singlet spin states with  $0\mu_{B}$  or  $2\mu_{B}$ magnetizations, respectively. What is the mechanism leading to  $1\mu_{\rm B}$  magnetization in the case of the beryllium dimer? To answer this question, we analysed the electronic structure of  $Be_2$  on graphene using Hoffmann's methodology [41, 42]. Figure 9 shows an energy diagram of the Be<sub>2</sub>-graphene interaction. On the left side of the diagram are given the molecular orbitals of the  $Be_2$  dimer with the  $\sigma_g$  bonding and  $\sigma_u$  anti-bonding molecular orbitals. These qualitative results are computed with the molecular GAUSSIAN09 code [43] using the PBE DFT functional and the cc-PVTZ Dunning's basis set [44, 45]. On the right side is given the DOS projected on the graphene  $\pi$ -system with the valence band shown in grey. The molecular levels of Be<sub>2</sub> naturally interact with the whole  $\pi$  bands of graphene of A symmetry. For the sake of simplicity, this whole interaction is modelled with the lowest energy level of the valence band, which belongs to the fully symmetric irreducible representation. This diagram is to be interpreted as follows:

- the  $\pi$  energy level of the valence band of graphene is stabilized, which leads to the *1a* energy level in the interacting scheme (middle of the diagram of figure 9),
- both  $\sigma_g$  and  $\sigma_u$  energy levels of Be<sub>2</sub> are destabilized leading to the 2*a* and 3*a* energy levels in the interacting scheme. As a consequence, 3*a* ( $\sigma_u$ ) lies above the Fermi level, which induces one electron to jump from the 3*a* ( $\sigma_u$ ) level to the conduction band of graphene,
- the electronic relaxation that follows induces a stabilization of all the levels in energy including the single occupied  $3a(\sigma_u)$  level, which now lies below the Fermi level.



**Figure 9.** Energy diagram of the Be<sub>2</sub>-graphene interaction in the perpendicular configuration and magnetization of  $1\mu_B$ . Blue square on the left side: labels of the Be<sub>2</sub> orbitals in the symmetry of the interacting system (*bold*) and of the free molecule (*italic*). On the right side: occupied (*valence band in grey*) and unoccupied (*conduction band in white*) pDOS of the  $\pi$  system of graphene. The Be orbitals are interacting with the full levels of the  $\pi$  system. For the sake of clarity, only shown is the interaction with an arbitrary low energy level of the  $\pi$  system of graphene.

From the 4 electrons initially located on Be<sub>2</sub>, two initially in the bonding  $\sigma_g$  molecular orbital are in 2*a*, one is in the antibonding 3*a* (initially in  $\sigma_u$ ), the last one is delocalized in the conduction band of graphene. This electron is thus delocalized on the whole  $\pi$ -system of the infinite surface. It has an associate spin density that tends toward zero, and its contribution to the magnetization of the adsorption site is zero. As a consequence, the only electron localized in the 3*a* orbital of the adsorption

site contributes to the magnetization with a magnitude of  $1\mu_B$ , corresponding to a doublet electronic spin state.

We found in the literature [46] an example in which the use of a hybrid functional, HSE for instance [47,48], switches the magnetic properties of a semi-metallic graphitic system to a non-magnetic insulator. This system is more precisely an heterogeneous edge decorated zigzag nano-ribbon of graphene with OH functional groups on one edge and SO<sub>2</sub> groups on the other. When computed with the PBE functional, it is found to be spin-polarised and metallic. When computed with the hybrid HSE functional, it is no more spin-polarised and becomes a semi-conductor exhibiting band-gap. We checked in our case the spin-polarized properties we find have nothing to do with the use of hybrid or local DFT. To this end, the hybrid PBE0 functional [49] was used to calculate the electronic properties of the Be2-graphene system in the same geometry as found for the perpendicular magnetic configuration with PBE. Both electronic configurations agree: a magnetization of 0.9  $\mu_B$  is find with PBE0, in agreement with the donation of one Be<sub>2</sub> electron to the valence band of graphene. The band-gap of semi-conductors is known to be underestimated with local LDA and GGA functionals, while hybrids helps to restore its correct value. However, there is no band gap in our system, and the agreement between both PBE and PBE0 seems reasonable.

In the bulk, Be<sub>2</sub> interacts with graphite in a different manner. First, the 3D structure of graphite imposes geometry constraints that preclude the perpendicular configuration. Therefore, we compare the electronic structure of the parallel configuration on the surface with that of Be<sub>2</sub> in the bilayer. In Figure 6, the  $\sigma(2s, 2p_z)$  molecular orbital at -2.7eV of Be<sub>2</sub> on the surface (figure 6b) vanishes in the bilayer to the benefit of other contributions interacting with the  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  structures of graphite (figure 6c). We conclude to the bond breaking of Be<sub>2</sub>, and individual binding of each beryllium atom to the bilayer of graphite. The binding energy is about 1eV *per* beryllium atom, which is consistent with the binding energy of a single beryllium atom in the bilayer.

The nature of the interaction of Be<sub>7</sub> with the bilayer is the same as with Be<sub>2</sub>. Orbital mixing has already occurred and each beryllium atom is bonded to the graphite bilayer with an energy we estimate to be about 1 eV. The *extra* binding energy plotted in Fig 8a is the consequence of Be-Be bonds that form through 2s orbital mixing between Be atoms in the Be<sub>n</sub> clusters. This gain in energy with increasing Be<sub>n</sub> clusters size seems to confirm the capability of beryllium to form graphiteintercalated compounds (GIC). Indeed, GIC can be considered as infinity 2D clusters intercalated between 2 layers of graphite. Such a compound could serve as an efficient hydrogen storage material, which has already been proven by DFT in the specific case of  $BeC_6$  [50]. While the study of Ref 46 was conducted on an AB stacking of the graphene layers, other alkaline-earth metals like calcium were already shown to induce an AA stacking, which has been shown by X-ray diffraction [51] on  $CaC_6$ . We have checked the capability of the Be<sub>n</sub> clusters to shift the bilayers from an AB stacking to an AA one. Considering the n=1, 2, 3, 6, 7 series we found the following adsorption energies per Be atom for AB: -1.027 eV, -1.042 eV, -1.306 eV, -1.508 eV, -1.653 eV, respectively. For AA, we found: -0.652 eV, -0.957 eV, -1.423 eV, -1.499 eV, -1.654 eV, respectively. Except from Be<sub>3</sub>, all of the clusters here considered are more or equally stable in an AB than in AA stacking. A first explanation would be that Be<sub>n</sub> clusters do not form a uniform layer between two graphene as in CaC<sub>6</sub>. The beryllium concentration is smaller than the calcium concentration in CaC<sub>6</sub>, and the structure of graphite is consequently less distorted. A second one is about the electronic configuration of beryllium, which has no *nd* orbital available. Calcium 3s orbitals donate electrons to the  $\pi^*$  system of graphene, which is followed by a back donation from the 3d orbitals of Ca to the  $\pi^*$  system [52-54]. In the case of beryllium, we found no 2s donation to the surface, while a charge transfer from 2s to the  $\pi^*$  system take place in the bilayer. However, no d orbital is available, which prevent the back donation to happen. As a consequence, the interaction is weaker [50, 52, 54], and the distortion of the graphitic structure is smaller than in the case of calcium, thus favouring the natural AB stacking of graphite.

Experimentally, the interaction of Be atoms or clusters with carbon surfaces is very difficult to address due to the high reactivity of beryllium with oxygen species and the therefore very demanding requirements for a very good vacuum in the low  $10^{9}$  Pa range. Even at these low pressures, Be deposition is always accompanied by BeO deposition from the reaction of the Be vapour with the residual gas. Nevertheless, in experiments where Be is deposited from the vapour phase on graphite surfaces at room temperature starting with submonolayer coverage, the subsequent X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis of the Be 1*s* core level shows that a significant fraction of Be is in the carbidic state. For a nominal Be coverage of 0.1 monolayers, the Be 1*s* signal is composed of 30% Be<sub>3</sub>C, 20% BeO, and 50% metallic Be. Since in these experiments it is not possible to determine whether the deposited beryllium at the surface is distributed atomically, or whether clusters of several atoms are present. Hence, it is difficult to assess the atomistic interaction, as discussed above from the DFT calculations. However, the detection of Be in the carbidic state (determined from the peak shift compared to the metallic Be 1*s* position) is a clear evidence for a chemical reaction between beryllium and carbon at room temperature [55]. The shift of the core level photoelectron peak demonstrates an electronic interaction between graphite and the adsorbed beryllium. Further details of the experimental investigations will be published in a separate paper.

#### **5.** Conclusion

We herein analysed the interaction of beryllium with graphite by means of DFT calculations the following results were established:

- Beryllium is weakly adsorbed on the surface of graphite, while it is chemically bonded into the bulk through orbital mixing and interactions with the  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  systems of graphite.
- Adsorption of the beryllium dimer is favoured on the surface as a result of an electron transfer to graphite. In the bulk, the Be-Be bond dissociates and is replaced by a beryllium to graphite binding with an energy of about 1eV *per* Be atom.
- Large  $Be_n$  clusters are stabilized the same way, in addition to which the effect of Be-Be bonds that form between atoms of the cluster contribute extra binding energy to the system.

# Ackowledgements

We wish to thank Pr Stephan Humbel and Dr Yannick Carrissan for the fruitful scientific discussions we had and which helped improving this paper. The authors of this work were granted access to the HPC resources of [CCRT/CINES/IDRIS] under the allocation i2012096612 made by GENCI (Grand Equipement National de Calcul Intensif). This work, supported by the European Communities under the partnering contract with EURATOM- CEA, and with the French Research Federation for fusion studies, was carried out within the framework of the European Fusion Development Agreement. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

## **Bibliography**

- [1] Ferro Y, Marinelli F and Allouche A 2002 J. Chem. Phys. 116 8124
- [2] Ferro Y, Marinelli F and Allouche A 2003 Chem. Phys. Lett. 368 609
- [3] Hornekær L, Sljivancanin Z, Xu W, Otero R, Rauls E, Stensgaard I, Lægsgaard E, Hammer B and Besenbacher F 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 156104
- [4] Hornekær L, Rauls E, Xu W, Sljivancanin Z, Otero R, Stensgaard I, Lægsgaard E, Hammer B and Besenbacher F 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97 186102
- [5] Sljivancanin Z, Andersen M, Hornekær L and Hammer B 2011 Phys. Rev. B 83 205426
- [6] Novoselov K S, Geim A K, Morozov S V, Jiang D, Zhang Y, Dubonos S V, Grigorieva I V and Firsov A. A 2004 *Science* **306** 666
- [7] Meyer J C, Geim A K, Katsnelson M I, Novoselov K S, Booth T J and Roth S 2007 *Nature* (London) **466** 60
- [8] Ijima M I 1991 Nature (London) 354 56
- [9] Philipps V, Roth J and Loarte A 2003 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 45, A17
- [10] Pégourié B et al 2009 J. Nucl. Mat. 390 550
- [11] Hollenbach D and Salpeter E E 1971 Astrophys. J. 163 155
- [12] Burton M G, Bulmer M, Moorhouse A, Geballe T R and Brand P W J L 1992 Mon. Not. R.

Astron. Soc. 257 1

[13] Ferro Y and Allouche A 2009 Chemical reactivity and structural properties of the surface of graphite via Density Functional Calculations. "*Quantum Chemical Calculations of Surfaces and Interfaces of Materials*" page 315 (Editors: V. A. Basiuk and P. Ugliengo). American Scientific Publishers

- [14] Heaven M C, Bondybey V E, Merritt J M, Kaledin A L 2011 Chem Phys Lett 506 1
- [15] Heaven M C, Merritt J M and Bondybey V E 2011 Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem 62 375
- [16] Kaplan I G, Roszak S and Leszczynski J 2000 J. Chem. Phys 113 6245
- [17] Junquera-Hernandez J M, Sanchez-Marin J, Bendazzoli G L and Evangelisti S 2004 J. Chem.Phys 120 8405
- [18] Beyer M K, Kaledin L A, Kaledin A L, Heaven M C and Bondybey V 2000 Chem. Phys. 262 15
- [19] Srinivas S and Jellinek J 2004 J. Chem. Phys 121 7243
- [20] Heaven M C, Merrit J M, Bondybey V E, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem 62 375
- [21] Evangelisti S, Monari A, Leninger T and Bendazzoli G L 2010 Chem. Phys. Lett. 496 306
- [22] Naumkin F Y 2010 Chem. Phys. Lett. 499 203
- [23] Pisani C, Busso M, Capecchi G, Casassa S, Dovesi R, Maschio L, Zicovich-Wilson C and Schütz
- M 2005 J. Chem. Phys. 122 094133
- [24] Pisani C, Maschio L, Casassa S, Halo M, Schütz M and Usvyat D 2008 J. Comp. Chem. 29 2113
- [25] Choi S M and Jhi S H 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 266105
- [26] Perdew J P, Burke K and Ernzerhof M 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 3865
- [27] He X, Chen Z X, Li Z and Zou Z 2010 J. Chem. Phys. 133 231104
- [28] Barone V, Casarin M, Forrer D, Pavone M, Sambi M and Vittadini A 2008 J. Comput. Chem. 30934
- [29] Grimme S 2006 J. Comput. Chem. 27 1787
- [30] Molawi K, Cohen A J and Handy N C 2002 Int. J. Quant. Chem. 89 86
- [31] Koch W and Holthausen M C, A Chemist's guide to Density Funtional Theory 2002 Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, p80
- [32] Bondybey V E and English J H 1984 J. Chem. Phys 80 568

Adsorption of beryllium on graphene and graphite by DFT

- [33] Merritt J M, Bondybey V E and Heaven M C 2009 Science 324 1548
- [34] Giannozzi P et al 2009 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 395502
- [35] Vanderbilt D 1990 Phys. Rev. B 41 7892
- [36] Monkhorst H J and Pack J D 1972 Phys. Rev. B 13 5188
- [37] Szabo A and Ostlund N S, Modern Quantum Chmisrty 1989 McGraw-Hill
- [38] Casolo S, Løvvik O M, Martinazzo R and Tantardini G F 2009 J. Chem. Phys. 130 054704
- [39] Fernandez N, Ferro Y, Carissan Y and Allouche A, in preparation
- [40] Ferro Y, Teillet-Billy D, Rougeau N, Sidis V, Morisset S and Allouche A 2008 *Phys. Rev. B* 78 085417
- [41] Hoffmann R 1987 Angenw. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 26 846
- [42] Hoffmann R, Solids and Surfaces: A Chemist's View of Bonding in Extended Structures 1988VCH, New York
- [43] Gaussian 09, Revision A.1, Frisch M J et al 2009, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT
- [44] Dunning T H Jr 1989 J. Chem. Phys. 90 1007
- [45] Kendall R A, Dunning T H Jr, Harrison R J 1992 J. Chem. Phys. 96 6769
- [46] Wu M, Wu X, Cheng Zeng X 2010 J Phys. Chem. C 114 3937
- [47] Heyd J, Scuseria G E and Ernzerhof M 2003 J. Chem. Phys. 118 8207
- [48] Heyd J, Scuseria G E and Ernzerhof M 2006 J. Chem. Phys. 124 219906
- [49] Adamo C and Barone V 1999 J. Chem. Phys. 110 6158
- [50] Cobin M and Iniguez J 2008 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 285212
- [51] Emery N, Hérold C and Lagrange P 2005 J. Solid State Chem. 178 2947
- [52] Yoon M, Yang S, Hicke C, Wang E, Geohegan D and Zhang Z 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett 100 206806
- [53] Ataka C, Aktürk E and Ciraci S 2009 Phys. Rev. B 79 41406(R)
- [54] Cazorla C, Shevlin S A and Guo Z X 2010 Phys. Rev. B 82 155454
- [55] Goldstrass P and Linsmeier Ch 2000 Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. B 161-163 411

# Captions

**Figure 1.** Interaction of a Be atom with a graphene sheet. (a) Potential energy surface, (b) equilibrium geometry at adsorption, and (c) projected Density Of State (pDOS) on the 2s and 2p orbitals of the Be atom.

**Figure 2.** Interaction of a Be atom inside a bilayer of graphite. (a) Equilibrium geometry of adsorption, (b) DOS and projected DOS on the  $\pi$  system of the bilayer of graphite without beryllium, (c) DOS of the bilayer *plus* a Be atom, (d) pDOS on the 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  orbitals of the Be atom and on the  $\pi$ system of the bilayer *plus* a Be atom. The  $2p_x$  and  $2p_y$  orbitals are superimposed and cannot be distinguished.

Figure 3. Equilibrium geometries of  $Be_2$  adsorbed on a graphene sheet (a) in a perpendicular configuration leading to magnetic state of 1  $\mu B/cell$  and (b) in a parallel configuration that is a non-magnetic state.

**Figure 4:** Electronic structure of Be<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on a graphene sheet in the perpendicular configuration (magnetic state). (a) Band structure and (b) pDOS on 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  Be orbitals for the *spin-up* component. (c) Band structure and (d) pDOS on 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  Be orbitals for the *spin-down* component.

**Figure 5.** Electronic structure of Be<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on a graphene sheet in the parallel configuration (nonmagnetic state). (a) Band structure and (b) pDOS on 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  Be orbitals.

**Figure 6.** Beryllium dimer in a graphene bilayer. (a) Equilibrium geometry (b) pDOS of  $Be_2$  on a graphene sheet (parallel configuration), and (c) pDOS of  $Be_2$  in a bilayer. In both cases, DOSs are

plotted in the domain of energy of the valence band. They are projected on the 2s,  $2p_x$ ,  $2p_y$  and  $2p_z$  orbitals of Be and on the  $\pi$  system of graphene in (b) and of the bilayer in (c).

Figure 7. Equilibrium geometries of Be<sub>3</sub>, Be<sub>6</sub> and Be<sub>7</sub> clusters in a bilayer of graphite.

**Figure 8.** (a) Double *y* graph related to the adsorption of  $Be_n$  clusters in a bilayer of graphite: binding energy (*blue squares, left y axis*) and the mean number of nearest neighbours (*round circles, right y axis*) *vs.* the number of nearest neighbour in the cluster. (b) Total DOS and project DOS of a  $Be_7$  cluster inside a bilayer of graphite.

**Figure 9.** Energy diagram of the Be<sub>2</sub>-graphene interaction in the perpendicular configuration and magnetization of  $1\mu_B$ . Blue square on the left side: labels of the Be<sub>2</sub> orbitals in the symmetry of the interacting system (*bold*) and of the free molecule (*italic*). On the right side: occupied (*valence band in grey*) and unoccupied (*conduction band in white*) pDOS of the  $\pi$  system of graphene. The Be orbitals are interacting with the full levels of the  $\pi$  system. For the sake of clarity, only shown is the interaction with an arbitrary low energy level of the  $\pi$  system of graphene.