

1 ENHANCEMENT OF HIDDEN SYMMETRIES
2 AND CHERN–SIMONS COUPLINGS

3 MARC HENNEAUX^{1,2,3}, AXEL KLEINSCHMIDT^{2,4}, VICTOR LEKEU^{1,2}

4 ¹Université Libre de Bruxelles, ULB-Campus Plaine CP231, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

5 ²International Solvay Institutes, ULB-Campus Plaine CP231, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

6 ³Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECS), Casilla 1469, Valdivia, Chile

7 ⁴Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik (Albert-Einstein-Institut)

8 Am Mühlberg 1, DE-14476 Potsdam, Germany

9 *Received May 27, 2015*

10 We study the role of Chern–Simons couplings for the appearance of enhanced
11 symmetries of Cremmer–Julia type in various theories. It is shown explicitly that for
12 generic values of the Chern–Simons coupling there is only a parabolic Lie subgroup
13 of symmetries after reduction to three space-time dimensions but that this parabolic
14 Lie group gets enhanced to the full and larger Cremmer–Julia Lie group of hidden
15 symmetries if the coupling takes a specific value. This is heralded by an enhanced
16 isotropy group of the metric on the scalar manifold. Examples of this phenomenon are
17 discussed as well as the relation to supersymmetry. Our results are also connected with
18 rigidity theorems of Borel-like algebras.

19 **1. INTRODUCTION**

20 Gravitational theories coupled to p -form fields can exhibit remarkable “hidden”
21 symmetries of Cremmer–Julia type [1] when the Chern–Simons couplings among the
22 p -forms take specific values. The purpose of this note is to investigate in detail the
23 dependence of these symmetries on the Chern-Simons couplings*. It has been ob-
24 served previously that for generic values of the couplings, the theory is invariant only
25 under a smaller algebra. Even though the structure constants of this smaller symme-
26 try algebra A depend explicitly on the Chern–Simons coefficients, we show that these
27 coefficients can be absorbed in the structure constants of A through redefinitions of
28 the basis of A (except for a subset of isolated values corresponding to contractions of
29 the Lie algebra). This enables one to identify the smaller symmetry algebra with the
30 parabolic subalgebra of the full hidden symmetry algebra that appears for the criti-
31 cal values of the couplings. This property is related to rigidity theorems preventing
32 non-trivial deformations of Borel-like Lie algebras [2].

33 One motivation for undertaking this study is supersymmetry. It is common
lore that the appearance of large hidden symmetries in supergravity is tantamount to

*We only consider here symmetries of Cremmer–Julia type. There might be other symmetries but these will not concern us.

34 the presence of supersymmetry. To the best of our knowledge, however, an explicit
 35 demonstration of this fact has not appeared in the literature. Our note fills this gap.

The connection between hidden symmetries and supersymmetry of supergrav-
 ity theories comes as follows. Supersymmetry fixes the value of the couplings be-
 tween all the fields in a multiplet, including the self-couplings. The prime instance of
 this phenomenon can be seen in maximal supergravity in $D = 11$ space-time dimen-
 sions where the gravity multiplet contains (on-shell) as bosonic fields the metric g_{MN}
 and a three-form $A_{MNP} = A_{[MNP]}$ that are governed by the Lagrangian density [3]

$$\mathcal{L}_{(11)} = R \star \mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{2} \star F \wedge F + \frac{1}{6} F \wedge F \wedge A. \quad (1)$$

36 Here, we have employed form notation for the fields and $F = dA$ is the field strength
 37 four-form of A that is invariant under gauge transformations $A \rightarrow A + d\Lambda$ for any
 38 two-form Λ . The last self-interaction term is a Chern–Simons term and it varies
 39 into a total derivative under the gauge transformation. Its coupling coefficient $\frac{1}{6}$ is
 40 fixed by supersymmetry if one constructs the full supergravity theory [3]. The value
 41 of the Chern–Simons coupling is *not* fixed by gauge symmetry or diffeomorphism
 42 symmetry.

43 It is a celebrated feature of $D = 11$ supergravity that it exhibits a chain of so-
 44 called hidden symmetries when it is dimensionally reduced. Reduction to $D = 4$
 45 results in the ungauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity theory with global $E_{7(7)}$ symmetry [1].[†]
 46 Further reduction to $D = 3$ results in a theory with only scalar propagating degrees
 47 of freedom encoded in the exceptional global symmetry $E_{8(8)}$, see [9] where many
 48 similar cases are also discussed.

49 The relevance of $E_{8(8)}$ in the scalar sector can be seen from the kinetic terms
 50 of the theory using the formalism of [10–12]. In this formalism one computes the
 51 so-called dilaton vectors of a dimensionally reduced theory where the kinetic terms
 52 of the axion fields χ are of the form $e^{\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\phi}} (\partial\chi)^2$. The fields $\vec{\phi}$ are the dilatons that
 53 arise in the dimensionally reduced theory and $\vec{\alpha}$ are the dilaton vectors. If these can
 54 be identified with the *positive* roots of a Lie group one has thus identified a candidate
 55 hidden symmetry. We emphasise that this candidate hidden symmetry depends only
 56 on the kinetic terms of the theory and not on the Chern–Simons term. If one performs
 57 the analysis of the dilaton vectors for maximal supergravity one is directly led to
 58 $E_{8(8)}$ [11, 12].

59 Demonstrating the presence of the full non-linear $E_{8(8)}$, however, depends on
 60 the interaction terms of the theory and is therefore sensitive to the value of the Chern–
 61 Simons coupling in (1). The full $E_{8(8)}$ also requires a role for all the *negative* roots
 62 of the symmetry and these are not automatically guaranteed by the symmetries of the

[†]This $E_{7(7)}$ symmetry is related to recently discovered improved UV finiteness properties of the
 theory [4], see [5–8] for some discussions of full perturbative finiteness of the theory.

63 kinetic terms. We will show that there is only an action of all negative step operators
 64 of the symmetry group if the Chern–Simons coupling has the right value and that this
 65 value is identical to the one required by supersymmetry.

2. GLOBAL SYMMETRIES FROM DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION OF GAUGE SYMMETRIES

66 We begin by reviewing how some global symmetries arise from gauge symme-
 67 tries in the process of dimensional reduction. This is lucidly explained in [13].

2.1. $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ SYMMETRY FROM DIFFEOMORPHISMS

Consider a theory in D dimensions that is invariant under D -dimensional local diffeomorphisms. Dimensional reduction on a d -torus T^d to $D - d$ dimensions restricts these diffeomorphisms. Throughout this note we take $d \leq D - 3$. More precisely, writing the original D -dimensional coordinates as x^M they are split into $x^M = (x^\mu, x^m)$ in the reduction procedure. Infinitesimal diffeomorphisms in D dimensions are given by vector fields $\xi^M(x^N)$. For these to respect the reduction ansatz the ‘internal’ components ξ^m have to be of the special form

$$\xi^m(x^\nu, x^n) = k^m_n x^n + \lambda^m(x^\nu) \quad (2)$$

68 with a constant $(d \times d)$ -matrix k^m_n . Invertibility of diffeomorphisms restricts this
 69 matrix to lie in $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$.[‡] This shows that the dimensionally reduced theory in-
 70 herits a global $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ from the originally local D -dimensional diffeomorphisms.
 71 (The parameter $\lambda^m(x^\mu)$ yields the gauge transformations of the Kaluza–Klein vec-
 72 tors arising in the reduction but is not of immediate importance to us since we will
 73 dualise all matter into scalars in three dimensions. It will, however, resurface later.)

74 The global $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ symmetries acts on the scalars in the lower $(d \times d)$ block
 75 g_{mn} in the metric in the standard fashion. It also acts on all other fields in the theory
 76 as induced from the higher-dimensional diffeomorphism invariance.

2.2. SHIFT SYMMETRIES FROM GAUGE FIELDS

Global symmetries in the reduced theory can similarly arise from other gauge symmetries present in the D -dimensional theory. Let us assume that the theory in D dimensions has a p -form field $A_{(p)}$ with gauge transformation $A_{(p)} \rightarrow A_{(p)} + d\Lambda_{(p-1)}$ under a $(p - 1)$ -form gauge parameter $\Lambda_{(p-1)}$. This is for example the case in $D = 11$

[‡]The presence of the abelian diagonal $GL(1, \mathbb{R})$ part in $GL(d, \mathbb{R}) \cong GL(1, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(d, \mathbb{R})$ depends on the possibility of assigning appropriate scaling symmetries to the matter fields [13]; we will assume that this is possible for the theory under consideration.

supergravity displayed in (1) with $p = 3$. In dimensional reduction one can obtain $(D - d)$ -dimensional scalars from the p -form $A_{M_1 \dots M_p}$ whenever its indices are all internal. This only happens when $d \geq p$ and one obtains the scalars $A_{m_1 \dots m_p}$. The gauge parameters consistent with dimensional reduction are then of the form

$$\Lambda_{m_1 \dots m_{p-1}}(x^\nu, x^n) = k_{m_1 \dots m_{p-1} n} x^n + \dots \quad (3)$$

with a constant fully antisymmetric $k_{m_1 \dots m_p} = k_{[m_1 \dots m_p]}$. The dots denote additional terms that are functions of x^ν and that generate gauge transformations of non-scalar fields obtained in the dimensional reduction process. On the scalar components $A_{m_1 \dots m_p}$ the induced transformation is by constant shifts

$$A_{m_1 \dots m_p} \rightarrow A_{m_1 \dots m_p} + k_{m_1 \dots m_p}, \quad (4)$$

compatible with the fact that the scalars arising from the reduction of form fields are always of axionic type, *i.e.*, they possess Peccei–Quinn shift symmetries. The transformation parameter $k_{m_1 \dots m_p}$ transforms under the $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$ that arose from the D -dimensional diffeomorphism symmetry since the original gauge parameter was a tensor. The relevant transformation is just the antisymmetric p -form representation of $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$. For this reason we obtain at this point a global symmetry of the type

$$GL(d, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^N \quad \text{with } N = \binom{d}{p}. \quad (5)$$

77 An important question now is what the structure of the shift symmetries is. If
 78 there are no other scalar fields in the theory, then the shifts in \mathbb{R}^N are just abelian,
 79 *i.e.*, they commute. If there are other scalar fields one can obtain a more complicated
 80 structure of global shift symmetries.

As a first example, we use $D = 11$ supergravity. If one reduces this theory on a six-torus T^6 one generates $N = \frac{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4}{3!} = 20$ scalar fields $A_{m_1 m_2 m_3}$ from the completely internal components of the three-form gauge potential. However, there is also a completely ‘external’ component $A_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}$ that is still a three-form from the reduced five-dimensional perspective. However, one can perform a Hodge dualisation of the three-form to a scalar and there are therefore in total 21 scalar fields arising from the eleven-dimensional gauge potential. A better way of saying this is that one can dualise the $D = 11$ three-form to a six-form in $D = 11$ and the extra scalar arises when all its six indices are internal. The new scalar field also comes with a shift symmetry so that there is in total a symmetry

$$GL(d, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\mathbb{R}^{20} + \mathbb{R}) \quad (6)$$

in five-dimensional supergravity. In this particular case, the structure of the shift symmetries is

$$[k_{m_1 m_2 m_3}, k_{n_1 n_2 n_3}] = k_{m_1 m_2 m_3 n_1 n_2 n_3}, \quad (7)$$

81 where the fully antisymmetric $k_{m_1 m_2 m_3 n_1 n_2 n_3}$ is the single shift generator associated
 82 with the single scalar that arose from the dualisation. This commutator is zero if
 83 there is no Chern–Simons interaction in the Lagrangian. Additionally, the generator
 84 $k_{m_1 m_2 m_3 n_1 n_2 n_3}$ commutes with $k_{m_1 m_2 m_3}$ and of course with itself. We see that here
 85 we obtain a non-abelian group of shift symmetries from the original matter gauge
 86 symmetries but this depended on the original Lagrangian (1). This example will be
 87 discussed in more detail in section 4 below.

2.3. GENERAL STRUCTURE OF GLOBAL SYMMETRIES FROM GAUGE SYMMETRIES

88 In general, the generators of all shift symmetries form a nilpotent global al-
 89 gebra. This means that forming commutators of a sufficiently high number of shift
 90 generators always yields zero. In the example (7) above this is clear because any
 91 further commutator either with $k_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3}$ or with $k_{\ell_1 \dots \ell_6}$ gives zero.

92 The general reason for this statement is that the shift symmetries are associ-
 93 ated with matter fields of increasing form rank and the form rank is additive in the
 94 commutator algebra of shift transformations as exemplified in (7). Since the maxi-
 95 mum rank is bounded by the number d of internal directions one knows that taking
 96 multiple commutators will eventually lead to zero when one exceeds d .

As a consequence we have that the general structure of the global symmetry obtained from gauge symmetries after dimensional reduction on a torus T^d is

$$GL(d, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes U \quad (8)$$

where U is a unipotent group (the exponential of a nilpotent algebra) of the form

$$U = \sum_k U_k = U_1 + U_2 + \dots = r_1 \mathbb{R}^{N_1} + r_2 \mathbb{R}^{N_2} + \dots \quad (9)$$

where

$$N_k = \binom{d}{k} \quad (10)$$

97 denotes the shift symmetries arising from k -form gauge symmetries and r_k are poten-
 98 tial degeneracies when there are multiple k -form gauge fields in the D -dimensional
 99 theory. The commutators are such that they respect the additive grading by k in (9)
 100 and there are only finitely many terms in the sum.

101 For generic values of the couplings, the global symmetries in (8) are the only
 102 Cremmer–Julia symmetries of the theory. They get enhanced, however, when the
 103 couplings take specific values.

104 Before discussing the symmetry enhancement we have to make one more im-
 105 portant comment. When one reduces a gravitational theory to three space-time di-
 106 mensions (on a torus T^{D-3}) one obtains more scalar degrees of freedom from the

107 dualisation of the Kaluza–Klein vectors in the metric. There are $D - 3$ of these *dual*
 108 *graviton* scalars and their vector gauge symmetry (see (2)) is also turned into a shift
 109 symmetry. This symmetry comes with a shift symmetry generator of the form

$$110 \quad k_{m_1 \dots m_{D-3}, n} \text{ such that } k_{[m_1 \dots m_{D-3}], n} = k_{m_1 \dots m_{D-3}, n} \text{ and } k_{[m_1 \dots m_{D-3}, n]} = 0. \quad (11)$$

111 For $D = 4$ Einstein gravity this was first observed by Ehlers [14] and the dual graviton
 112 has played a prominent role in recent discussions of conjectural infinite-dimensional
 113 symmetries [15, 16]. The presence of this additional shift symmetry does not in-
 114 validate the argument of U being unipotent and the generator appears in the graded
 115 expansion (9) at degree $k = D - 2$, corresponding to its scaling weight.

116 The global symmetries in (8) (with the complete U including all shift symme-
 117 tries) form a parabolic subgroup of the enhanced symmetry group of Cremmer–Julia
 118 type.[§] This will be discussed below. For the case of $D = 11$ supergravity this group
 119 is a maximal parabolic subgroup of $E_{8(8)}$ so we are still some way from having the
 120 presence of all of $E_{8(8)}$.

2.4. SCALAR MANIFOLD AND ITS GEOMETRY

121 The scalar fields of the dimensionally reduced theory parametrise a scalar man-
 122 ifold on which we can give an explicit choice of coordinates by

$$123 \quad V = g_d u_1 u_2 \dots, \quad \text{with } g_d \in GL(d, \mathbb{R})/SO(d), \quad u_k \in U_k. \quad (12)$$

124 The quotient by $SO(d)$ arises due to the symmetry of the internal metric g_{mn} : there
 125 are $\frac{1}{2}d(d+1) = d^2 - \frac{1}{2}d(d-1)$ scalar fields arising from the reduction of the metric.
 126 Another way of understanding the quotient is by considering the D -dimensional viel-
 127 bein that has additional local Lorentz invariance. After dimensional reduction this is
 128 turned into a residual internal Lorentz symmetry $SO(d)$ that has to be fixed.

129 As explained in Section 5, and illustrated first for the examples of the $G_{2(2)}$ and
 130 $E_{8(8)}$ theories, the scalar manifold is a group manifold that is always isomorphic to
 131 the Borel subgroup B of the candidate hidden symmetry group that can be read off the
 132 kinetic terms, independently of the precise values of the Chern-Simons couplings.[¶]

[§]By parabolic group we here mean a group of the form $L \times U$ with L reductive and U unipotent. Normally, parabolic is defined for subgroups of some larger groups in which parabolic subgroups contain a Borel subgroup. In the case of symmetry enhancement this will be exactly the situation we have here.

[¶]This is true in the neighbourhood of the critical values of the Chern-Simons couplings corresponding to the enhanced symmetry, and follows from theorems on deformation theory, see Section 5. For large departures away from the critical values, the scalar manifold might be given by a contraction of the Borel subgroup. Since our goal is to understand the enhancement of the symmetry when the Chern-Simons couplings take their critical values, we shall stay in the neighbourhood of those critical values.

133 The Borel subgroup $B \subset GL(d, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes U$ acts simply transitively on the scalar
 134 manifold (*i.e.*, on itself) by left multiplication. Invariant one-forms on the scalar
 135 manifold can be constructed from the Cartan–Maurer form

$$136 \quad \omega = V^{-1}dV \quad (13)$$

137 that takes values in the Lie algebra of the Borel subgroup. The Lagrangian of the
 138 reduced theory can be expressed through these invariant one-forms and we will be
 139 interested in the symmetries of the metric on the scalar manifold expressed in this
 140 way. Any constant metric of the invariant one-forms realises the Borel symmetry.
 141 For generic choices of components of the constant metric, the isometry group will be
 142 just B and its isotropy subgroup will be trivial.

143 The global symmetry $GL(d, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes U$ acts also transitively on the scalar manifold
 144 but not simply transitively since the stability subgroup at any point is isomorphic to
 145 $SO(d)$. A constant metric of the invariant one-forms realises therefore the parabolic
 146 symmetry if it is invariant under $SO(d)$. A symmetry enhancement of the hidden
 147 symmetry arises when the constant metric that is obtained after reduction of a specific
 148 choice of couplings in the higher-dimensional theory admits an even larger isotropy
 149 group. This will be illustrated in the examples in the next two sections. These two
 150 examples are (maximal) supergravity in $D = 11$ and minimal supergravity in $D = 5$.
 151 The two theories are both distinguished by possessing Chern–Simons couplings. We
 152 will keep this coupling as a parameter and study how it influences the properties of
 153 the global symmetry after reduction to three space-time dimensions. We will then
 154 discuss more general cases.

155 We note that the choice of coordinates above is by no means unique and we
 156 have the freedom of performing field redefinitions on the scalar manifold. In particu-
 157 lar, we can perform re-scalings of the fields and this will be important below.

3. ENHANCEMENT FOR MINIMAL $D = 5$ SUPERGRAVITY AND $G_{2(2)}$

158 We start by considering variations of minimal supergravity in $D = 5$ and work
 159 in the conventions of [17]. The bosonic Lagrangian density is given by

$$160 \quad \mathcal{L}_{(5)} = R \star \mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{2} \star F \wedge F + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} F \wedge F \wedge A. \quad (14)$$

161 It is similar to (1) but now the gauge potential A is a one-form and hence F a two-
 162 form. It is known that the reduction of this theory to three dimensions exhibits a
 163 hidden $G_{2(2)}$ symmetry [9, 18].

3.1. PARABOLIC GLOBAL SYMMETRY

164 The dimensional reduction of (14) to three dimensions gives rise to a total of 8
 165 scalar fields that arise as follows:

- 166 • three scalar fields coming directly from the metric and are associated with the
167 quotient $GL(2, \mathbb{R})/SO(2)$. Two out of the three scalars are of dilatonic type
168 because they come from diagonal components of the metric. We will call the
169 dilatons ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , the third metric scalar χ_1
- 170 • two scalars from the direct reduction of the one-form gauge potential that we call
171 χ_2 and χ_3
- 172 • one scalar from the reduction of the two-form gauge potential dual to A in five
173 dimensions that we call χ_4
- 174 • two scalars from dualising the two Kaluza–Klein vectors that we call χ_5 and χ_6

175 The notation for the scalar fields here is that of [17]. An element of the scalar mani-
176 fold can be written as

$$177 \quad V = e^{\frac{1}{2}\phi_1 h_1 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_2 h_2} e^{\chi_1 e_1} e^{-\chi_2 e_2 + \chi_3 e_3} e^{\chi_6 e_6} e^{\chi_4 e_4 - \chi_5 e_5}, \quad (15)$$

178 where the e_i are the shift generators of the parabolic global symmetry. The non-trivial
179 commutators in their algebra are

$$180 \quad [e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}e_4, [e_2, e_4] = -e_5, [e_1, e_5] = e_6, [e_3, e_4] = -e_6. \quad (16)$$

181 The h_i are the scaling generators of the dilatons and they commute with the e_i ac-
182 cording to

$$183 \quad [k_1 h_1 + k_2 h_2, e_i] = (\vec{\alpha}_i \cdot \vec{k}) e_i, \quad (17)$$

184 where

$$185 \quad \vec{\alpha}_1 = (-\sqrt{3}, 1), \quad \vec{\alpha}_2 = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, 0\right) \quad (18)$$

186 and

$$187 \quad \vec{\alpha}_3 = \vec{\alpha}_1 + \vec{\alpha}_2, \vec{\alpha}_4 = \vec{\alpha}_1 + 2\vec{\alpha}_2, \vec{\alpha}_5 = \vec{\alpha}_1 + 3\vec{\alpha}_2, \vec{\alpha}_6 = 2\vec{\alpha}_1 + 3\vec{\alpha}_2. \quad (19)$$

188 The generators h_i and e_i can be recognised as those of a Chevalley basis of $G_{2(2)}$.
189 The vectors $\vec{\alpha}_i$ are the positive roots of $G_{2(2)}$, $\vec{\alpha}_1$ and $\vec{\alpha}_2$ being the simple ones.

190 The dimensionally reduced theory has the following metric on the scalar mani-
191 fold:

$$192 \quad ds^2 = d\phi_1^2 + d\phi_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^6 \omega_i^2, \quad (20)$$

193 where the invariant one-forms are given by

$$\omega_1 = e^{\vec{\alpha}_1 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} d\chi_1, \quad (21a)$$

$$\omega_2 = -e^{\vec{\alpha}_2 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} d\chi_2, \quad (21b)$$

$$\omega_3 = e^{\vec{\alpha}_3 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} (d\chi_3 - \chi_1 d\chi_2), \quad (21c)$$

$$\omega_4 = e^{\vec{\alpha}_4 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} \left(d\chi_4 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\chi_2 d\chi_3 - \chi_3 d\chi_2) \right), \quad (21d)$$

$$\omega_5 = -e^{\vec{\alpha}_5 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} \left(d\chi_5 - \chi_2 d\chi_4 + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} \chi_2 (\chi_3 d\chi_2 - \chi_2 d\chi_3) \right), \quad (21e)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_6 = e^{\vec{\alpha}_6 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} & (d\chi_6 - \chi_1 d\chi_5 + (\chi_1 \chi_2 - \chi_3) d\chi_4 \\ & + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} (-\chi_1 \chi_2 + \chi_3) (\chi_3 d\chi_2 - \chi_2 d\chi_3)). \end{aligned} \quad (21f)$$

The scalar manifold is isometric to the standard Borel subgroup of $G_{2(2)}$. We know that the symmetry spanned by h_1 and e_1 can be extended to also contain the lowering operator f_1 that completes the global symmetry to the (maximal) parabolic subgroup

$$GL(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\mathbb{R}^2 + \mathbb{R}^1 + \mathbb{R}^2). \quad (22)$$

3.2. SYMMETRY ENHANCEMENT FOR MINIMAL SUPERGRAVITY

194 We now investigate additional hidden symmetries of the scalar metric (20) that
 195 has a very symmetric appearance. Since the scalar manifold is the Borel subgroup of
 196 $G_{2(2)}$ it is natural to investigate the action of the lowering operators of f_i of $G_{2(2)}$.
 197 That f_1 is part of the parabolic symmetry was already argued above. In fact, it is more
 198 convenient to consider the compact generators $k_i = e_i - f_i$ instead of the lowering
 199 generators. Since the scalar manifold is an homogeneous space (the Borel subalgebra
 200 acts transitively on it), we can also look at the variations at the special point $\phi = 0$,
 201 $\chi = 0$.

202 It is sufficient to determine the action of k_1 and k_2 at zero; the other k_i can be
 203 obtained from these by commutation. Performing the standard non-linear realisation
 204 one finds for k_1 :

$$\delta d\phi_1 = \sqrt{3}\omega_1, \quad \delta d\phi_2 = -\omega_1, \quad (23a)$$

$$\delta\omega_1 = -\sqrt{3}d\phi_1 + d\phi_2, \quad \delta\omega_2 = \omega_3, \quad \delta\omega_3 = -\omega_2, \quad (23b)$$

$$\delta\omega_4 = 0, \quad \delta\omega_5 = \omega_6, \quad \delta\omega_6 = -\omega_5 \quad (23c)$$

205 and for k_2 :

$$\delta d\phi_1 = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\omega_2, \quad \delta d\phi_2 = 0, \quad (24a)$$

$$\delta\omega_1 = -\omega_3, \quad \delta\omega_2 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}d\phi_1, \quad \delta\omega_3 = \omega_1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\omega_4, \quad (24b)$$

$$\delta\omega_4 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\omega_3 - \omega_5, \quad \delta\omega_5 = \omega_4, \quad \delta\omega_6 = 0. \quad (24c)$$

206 We note that k_2 mixes the groups $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$ and $(\omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6)$, while k_1 does not.
 207 Plugging these explicit transformations into the coset metric (20) one checks that the
 208 transformations indeed leave the metric invariant. This recovers the well-known fact
 209 that minimal supergravity has a hidden global $G_{2(2)}$ symmetry.

3.3. THE ROLE OF THE CHERN–SIMONS COUPLING

210 Our main interest is to see the role of the Chern–Simons coupling. To this end
 211 we modify the Lagrangian (14) to

$$212 \quad \mathcal{L}_\kappa = R \star \mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{2} \star F \wedge F + \frac{\kappa}{3\sqrt{3}} F \wedge F \wedge A. \quad (25)$$

213 The value $\kappa = 1$ corresponds to the theory considered above. The presence of κ
 214 influences the scalar Lagrangian one obtains after reduction. The invariant forms are
 215 now

$$\tilde{\omega}_1 = e^{\vec{\alpha}_1 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} d\chi_1, \quad (26a)$$

$$\tilde{\omega}_2 = -e^{\vec{\alpha}_2 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} d\chi_2, \quad (26b)$$

$$\tilde{\omega}_3 = e^{\vec{\alpha}_3 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} (d\chi_3 - \chi_1 d\chi_2), \quad (26c)$$

$$\tilde{\omega}_4 = e^{\vec{\alpha}_4 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} \left(d\chi_4 + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}} (\chi_2 d\chi_3 - \chi_3 d\chi_2) \right), \quad (26d)$$

$$\tilde{\omega}_5 = -e^{\vec{\alpha}_5 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} \left(d\chi_5 - \chi_2 d\chi_4 + \frac{\kappa}{3\sqrt{3}} \chi_2 (\chi_3 d\chi_2 - \chi_2 d\chi_3) \right), \quad (26e)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_6 = & e^{\vec{\alpha}_6 \cdot \vec{\phi}/2} (d\chi_6 - \chi_1 d\chi_5 + (\chi_1 \chi_2 - \chi_3) d\chi_4 \\ & + \frac{\kappa}{3\sqrt{3}} (-\chi_1 \chi_2 + \chi_3) (\chi_3 d\chi_2 - \chi_2 d\chi_3)). \end{aligned} \quad (26f)$$

216 This has to be compared with (21). We see that only $\tilde{\omega}_4$, $\tilde{\omega}_5$ and $\tilde{\omega}_6$ differ. The
217 non-linear shift invariances of these one-forms are

$$\chi_1 \rightarrow \chi_1 + c_1, \quad (27a)$$

$$\chi_2 \rightarrow \chi_2 + c_2, \quad (27b)$$

$$\chi_3 \rightarrow \chi_3 + c_3 + c_1\chi_2, \quad (27c)$$

$$\chi_4 \rightarrow \chi_4 + c_4 - \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{3}}(c_2\chi_3 + (c_1c_2 - c_3)\chi_2), \quad (27d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_5 \rightarrow & \chi_5 + c_5 + c_2\chi_4 \\ & - \frac{\kappa}{3\sqrt{3}}(2(c_1c_2^2 - c_2c_3)\chi_2 + 2c_2^2\chi_3 + (c_1c_2 - c_3)\chi_2^2 + c_2\chi_2\chi_3), \end{aligned} \quad (27e)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_6 \rightarrow & \chi_6 + c_6 + c_1\chi_5 + c_3\chi_4 \\ & - \frac{\kappa}{3\sqrt{3}}(2c_3(c_1c_2 - c_3)\chi_2 + 2c_2c_3\chi_3 + (2c_1c_2 - c_3)\chi_2\chi_3 \\ & + c_1(c_1c_2 - c_3)\chi_2^2 + c_2\chi_3^2). \end{aligned} \quad (27f)$$

218 This can be phrased more clearly in terms of commutators as follows. Let E^a with
219 $a = 1, 2$ be the generators of the first \mathbb{R}^2 in (22), associated with the electric compo-
220 nents χ_2 and χ_3 of the gauge potential. They transform as a doublet under the shift
221 of the gravity scalar χ_1

$$222 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_3 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_3 + c_1\chi_2 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

223 Under their own gauge transformations they simply transform by shifts E^a . These
224 shifts commute according to

$$225 \quad [E^a, E^b] = \frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{ab}E, \quad (29)$$

226 where E is the shift generator of the middle \mathbb{R} in (22) and corresponds to the shift
227 of the magnetic component of the gauge potential χ_4 . Letting \tilde{E}^a be the shift sym-
228 metries of the remaining magnetic gravity components χ_5 and χ_6 , one obtains the
229 further commutator

$$230 \quad [E, E^a] = \tilde{E}^a \quad (30)$$

231 that is independent of κ . All the shift generators transform with as standard tensor
232 densities under $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

233 We now return to the question of the symmetries of the reduced model. Starting
234 from the κ -dependent Lagrangian (25) the reduced theory in three dimensions is
235 expressed in terms of the invariant one-forms of (26) as a non-linear sigma model

236 with scalar metric given by

$$237 \quad ds^2 = d\phi_1^2 + d\phi_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^6 \tilde{\omega}_i^2. \quad (31)$$

238 Rather than investigating the symmetries of this metric, we perform a field redefini-
239 tion so that we can use the same results as before. As long as $\kappa \neq 0$, we can redefine

$$240 \quad \chi_4 \rightarrow \kappa\chi_4, \quad \chi_5 \rightarrow \kappa\chi_5, \quad \chi_6 \rightarrow \kappa\chi_6 \quad (32)$$

242 to obtain

$$243 \quad \tilde{\omega}_i = \kappa\omega_i \quad \text{for } i = 4, 5, 6, \quad (33)$$

244 while the first three ω_i were identical already before. This means that the scalar
245 metric of the κ -deformed model can be written as

$$246 \quad ds^2 = d\phi_1^2 + d\phi_2^2 + \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 + \kappa^2(\omega_4^2 + \omega_5^2 + \omega_6^2). \quad (34)$$

247 Applying now the transformations k_1 and k_2 from equations (23) and (24) one finds
248 that for $\kappa \neq 1$ only k_1 leaves this deformed metric invariant whereas k_2 does not. The
249 k_1 symmetry has to be there because of the $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ part of the parabolic symmetry
250 that is always present. The enhancement due to the k_2 symmetry, however, is not
251 present for values of the Chern–Simons coupling different from the value of minimal
252 supergravity. This is the claimed result that the requirement of an enhanced symme-
253 try implies the same constraints on the Chern–Simons coupling as supersymmetry
254 would.

255 For the value $\kappa = 0$ the Chern–Simons term is absent and the redefinition (32)
256 above is not allowed. The structure of the shift algebra simplifies to

$$257 \quad [E^a, E^b] = 0, \quad [E^a, E] = \tilde{E}^a. \quad (35)$$

258 (The remaining commutators are all zero.) This can be viewed as a contraction of the
259 previous shift algebra.

260 A final comment concerns the enhanced symmetry that exists in pure $D = 5$
261 gravity, *i.e.*, without the gauge potential A . In this case it is known that there is an
262 enhancement of the global symmetry to $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$. One might wonder whether at
263 least this enhancement survives for arbitrary κ . Inspection of the relevant transfor-
264 mation shows that it is also broken because the matter fields fail to form an $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$
265 representation unless $\kappa = 1$.

4. ENHANCEMENT FOR $D = 11$ SUPERGRAVITY AND $E_{8(8)}$

266 We start with the following bosonic Lagrangian density in $D = 11$

$$267 \quad \mathcal{L}_\kappa = R \star \mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{2} \star F \wedge F + \frac{\kappa}{6} F \wedge F \wedge A \quad (36)$$

268 and follow the same procedure as in section 3. Compared to the bosonic part of
 269 $D = 11$ supergravity in (1) we have introduced a free parameter κ that controls the
 270 strength of the Chern–Simons self-interaction of the three-form A . The equation of
 271 motion for the three-form is

$$272 \quad d \star F = \kappa F \wedge F = d(\kappa A \wedge F). \quad (37)$$

273 As shown, the equation of motion allows the reformulation in terms of the Bianchi
 274 identity of a six-form \tilde{A} dual to the three-form A such that

$$275 \quad d\tilde{A} = \star F - \kappa A \wedge F. \quad (38)$$

276 This equation is integrable by virtue of (37). The six-form \tilde{A} has a five-form gauge
 277 parameter $\tilde{\Lambda}$ that leaves the original three-form A unchanged. But the appearance of
 278 the naked A on the right-hand side of (38) implies that this equation is only gauge-
 279 invariant if \tilde{A} transforms non-trivially under the gauge parameter Λ of the three-form.
 280 The full set of matter gauge transformations are

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow A + d\Lambda, \\ \tilde{A} &\rightarrow \tilde{A} + d\tilde{\Lambda} - \kappa \Lambda \wedge F. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

281 Morally, the last term should be thought of as integrated by parts to look more like
 282 $d\Lambda \wedge A$ and it indicates a non-trivial commutator of the shift symmetries associated
 283 with the gauge symmetries of the three-form.

284 In addition, there are 8 scalar components in three dimensions from the duali-
 285 sation of the eight Kaluza–Klein vectors in the metric sector.

4.1. PARABOLIC GLOBAL SYMMETRIES

286 Dimensional reduction of (36) to three space-time dimensions therefore gives
 287 rise to the following global symmetries

$$288 \quad GL(8, \mathbb{R}) \times (\mathbb{R}^{56} + \mathbb{R}^{28} + \mathbb{R}^8), \quad (40)$$

289 where the 56 shifts come from the direct scalars from the three-form, the 28 shifts
 290 from the scalars from the dual six-form and the 8 shifts from the dual graviton. The
 291 structure of the matter shift symmetries can be read off from the gauge transforma-
 292 tions (39) in $D = 11$ that give rise to the shifts in three space-time dimensions. The
 293 presence of the Chern–Simons coupling implies that

$$294 \quad [E^{a_1 a_2 a_3}, E^{a_4 a_5 a_6}] = \kappa E^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5 a_6} \quad (41)$$

295 as anticipated in (7) but where now the indices can take eight different values. As
 296 long as $\kappa \neq 0$, we can introduce a re-scaled shift generator $\tilde{E}^{a_1 \dots a_5 a_6} = \kappa E^{a_1 \dots a_5 a_6}$

297 that brings the above commutation relation into a standard form. We also see that for
 298 $\kappa = 0$, when there is no self-interaction of the three-form, the gauge shift symmetries
 299 abelianise.

300 Analogously to the algebra of the shift symmetries discussed in section 3.3, the
 301 shift symmetries of the six-form and of the three-form close into shifts of the dualised
 302 graviphotons according to

$$303 \quad [E^{m_1 m_2 m_3}, E^{m_4 \dots m_9}] = E^{m_1 m_2 m_3 [m_4 \dots m_8 | m_9]} = \epsilon^{m_1 m_2 m_3 [m_4 \dots m_8} E^{m_9]}, \quad (42)$$

304 where we have written the 8 shift symmetries also in terms of a mixed hook Young
 305 tableaux as is more customary for large E -type symmetries [15, 16]. This commuta-
 306 tor does not depend on the value of the Chern–Simons coupling κ .

307 The scalar manifold is the Borel subgroup $B(E_{8(8)})$ of $E_{8(8)}$ and the reduced
 308 scalar metric can be written in terms of one-forms invariant under the shift symme-
 309 tries. The result is

$$310 \quad ds^2 = \sum_{i=1}^8 d\phi_i^2 + \sum_{a<b} \tilde{\omega}_{ab}^2 + \sum_{a<b<c} \tilde{\omega}_{abc}^2 + \sum_{a_1<\dots<a_6} \tilde{\omega}_{a_1\dots a_6}^2 + \sum_a \tilde{\omega}_a^2. \quad (43)$$

311 The first two terms correspond to the Borel subgroup of $GL(8, \mathbb{R})$ and the last three-
 312 terms to the unipotent shift symmetries. The tilde indicates that the invariant one-
 313 forms have been computed in the normalisation that follows from the reduction of
 314 the theory with arbitrary Chern–Simons coupling κ . Explicit formulae can be found
 315 in [11].

4.2. SYMMETRY ENHANCEMENT FOR THE CORRECT CHERN–SIMONS COUPLING

316 For $\kappa \neq 0$ one can bring the Borel shifts into canonical $E_{8(8)}$ form at the ex-
 317 pense of changing the normalisation of some of the generators. In terms of the invari-
 318 ant one-forms $\tilde{\omega}$ this means that we change to the canonically normalised one-forms
 319 ω . The metric on the scalar manifold then becomes

$$320 \quad ds^2 = \sum_{i=1}^8 d\phi_i^2 + \sum_{a<b} \omega_{ab}^2 + \sum_{a<b<c} \omega_{abc}^2 + \kappa^2 \left(\sum_{a_1<\dots<a_6} \omega_{a_1\dots a_6}^2 + \sum_a \omega_a^2 \right). \quad (44)$$

321 For the value $\kappa^2 = 1$, this metric has an additional $SO(16)$ symmetry that acts on
 322 the 128 scalars in the spinor representation. This corresponds to the symmetry en-
 323 hancement from the parabolic shift symmetry to the full $E_{8(8)}$ hidden symmetry of
 324 Cremmer and Julia.

325 For values of $\kappa \neq \pm 1$, the symmetry is reduced and one only has the $SO(8)$
 326 isotropy acting on the tensors in the corresponding tensorial representation. For $\kappa = 0$
 327 the Borel shift symmetry is contracted.

5. SCALAR MANIFOLD AND RIGIDITY THEOREMS

328 We have seen in the previous examples that except for $\kappa = 0$, one could always
 329 absorb κ through redefinitions in the structure constants of the smaller symmetry
 330 algebra. This smaller symmetry algebra present for all values of κ has therefore
 331 always the same structure provided that κ does not vanish. This is not an accident as
 332 we now explain.

333 The situation is the following. Consider a theory in D spacetime dimensions
 334 that has hidden symmetry algebra E (which can be any simple Lie algebra [19, 20])
 335 upon dimensional reduction to three dimensions. This theory involves p -form fields
 336 and Chern-Simons couplings. For the critical values of the Chern-Simons coeffi-
 337 cient for which E appears, the complete scalar manifold in three dimensions can be
 338 identified with the group manifold of the Borel subgroup $B(E)$, which is part of the
 339 symmetry^{||}. When deforming away from the critical point, the symmetry algebra is
 340 reduced and contains, as we have seen, $B(GL(d, \mathbb{R})) \ltimes U$, which has same dimen-
 341 sion as $B(E)$. The structure constants of the subalgebra $B(GL(d, \mathbb{R})) \ltimes U$ depend
 342 continuously on the Chern-Simons coefficients, and so $B(GL(d, \mathbb{R})) \ltimes U$ is a defor-
 343 mation of $B(E)$. But by the rigidity theorems of [2], the algebra $B(E)$ admits no
 344 non-trivial deformation. Hence, $B(GL(d, \mathbb{R})) \ltimes U$ is isomorphic with $B(E)$.

345 Of course the argument is valid in the vicinity of the critical values and does not
 346 eliminate the possibility of having contractions of $B(E)$ under deformations going
 347 out of that vicinity, just as the rigidity of simple Lie algebras does not eliminate the
 348 possibility to contract them to abelian algebras.

349 *Acknowledgements.* M.H. and V.L. would like to thank Axel Kleinschmidt for his kind hos-
 350 pitality in the Max Planck Institute, where discussions leading to this work began. M.H. thanks the
 351 Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a Humboldt Research Award. The work of M.H. and V. L.
 352 is partially funded by the ERC through the “SyDuGraM” Advanced Grant, by FNRS-Belgium (con-
 353 vention FRFC PDR T.1025.14 and convention IISN 4.4503.15) and by the “Communauté Française de
 354 Belgique” through the ARC program.

REFERENCES

- 355 1. E. Cremmer, B. Julia, Nucl. Phys. B **159**, 141 (1979).
- 356 2. G. Leger, E. Luks, Can. J. Math. **XXIV**, 1019 (1972).
- 357 3. E. Cremmer, B. Julia, J. Scherk, Phys. Lett. B **76**, 409 (1978).
- 358 4. Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson, R. Roiban, Fortsch. Phys. **59**, 561 (2011).
- 359 5. N. Beisert, H. Elvang, D. Z. Freedman, M. Kiermaier, A. Morales, S. Stieberger, Phys. Lett. B **694**,
 360 265 (2010).
- 361 6. R. Kallosh, JHEP **1106**, 073 (2011).

^{||}We use here the same letters for the Lie algebra and the corresponding group. No confusion should arise since the context is clear.

- 362 7. G. Bossard, H. Nicolai, *JHEP* **1108**, 074 (2011).
363 8. M. Gunaydin, R. Kallosh, “*Obstruction to $E_{7(7)}$ Deformation in $N=8$ Supergravity*”,
364 arXiv:1303.3540 [hep-th] (2013).
365 9. B. Julia, *Conf. Proc. C* **8006162**, 331 (1980).
366 10. H. Lu, C. N. Pope, *Nucl. Phys. B* **465**, 127 (1996).
367 11. E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lu, C. N. Pope, *Nucl. Phys. B* **523**, 73 (1998).
368 12. N. D. Lambert, P. C. West, *Nucl. Phys. B* **615**, 117 (2001).
369 13. C. N. Pope, “*Kaluza–Klein theory*”, <http://people.physics.tamu.edu/pope/ihplec.pdf>.
370 14. J. Ehlers, “*Transformations of Static Exterior Solutions of Einsteins Gravitational Field Equa-*
371 *tions into Different Solutions by Means of Conformal Mappings*”, in “*Les théories physiques de la*
372 *gravitation* (CNRS, Paris, 1959).
373 15. P. C. West, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18**, 4443 (2001).
374 16. T. Damour, M. Henneaux, H. Nicolai, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 221601 (2002).
375 17. G. Compere, S. de Buyl, E. Jamsin, A. Virmani, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 125016 (2009).
376 18. S. Mizoguchi, N. Ohta, *Phys. Lett. B* **441**, 123 (1998).
377 19. P. Breitenlohner, D. Maison, G. W. Gibbons, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **120**, 295 (1988).
378 20. E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lu, C. N. Pope, “*Higher dimensional origin of $D = 3$ coset symmetries*”,
379 arXiv:hep-th/9909099 (1999).

PROOF

January 15, 2016