ANCIENT SOLUTIONS TO THE RICCI FLOW WITH PINCHED CURVATURE

SIMON BRENDLE, GERHARD HUISKEN, and CARLO SINESTRARI

Abstract

We show that any ancient solution to the Ricci flow which satisfies a suitable curvature pinching condition must have constant sectional curvature.

1. Introduction

In this article, we study ancient solutions to the Ricci flow on compact manifolds. Recall that a one-parameter family of metrics g(t) on a compact manifold M evolves by the Ricci flow if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g(t) = -2\operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}.$$

A solution to the Ricci flow is called *ancient* if it is defined on a time interval $(-\infty, T)$. Ancient solutions typically arise in the study of singularities to the Ricci flow (see, e.g., [15], [16], [19], [20]).

P. Daskalopoulos, R. Hamilton, and N. Šešum [11] have recently obtained a complete classification of all ancient solutions to the Ricci flow in dimension 2 (see also [10], where the analogous question for the curve shortening flow is studied). V. Fateev [12] has constructed an interesting example of an ancient solution in dimension 3. L. Ni [18] showed that any ancient solution to the Ricci flow which is of type I, is κ -noncollapsed, and has positive curvature operator has constant sectional curvature.

In this article, we show that any ancient solution to the Ricci flow in dimension $n \geq 3$ which satisfies a suitable curvature pinching condition must have constant sectional curvature. In dimension 3, we impose a condition on the Ricci tensor of (M, g(t)).

THEOREM 1

Let M be a compact three-manifold, and let g(t), $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, be an ancient solution to the Ricci flow on M. Moreover, suppose that there exists a uniform constant $\rho > 0$

DUKE MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Vol. 158, No. 3, © 2011 DOI 10.1215/00127094-1345672

Received 23 March 2010. Revision received 16 November 2010.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C44; Secondary 53C21.

Brendle's work partially supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0905628

such that

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)} \ge \rho \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} g(t) \ge 0$$

for all $t \in (-\infty, 0)$. Then the manifold (M, g(t)) has constant sectional curvature for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Fateev's example shows that the pinching condition for the Ricci tensor cannot be removed. The proof of Theorem 1 relies on a new interior estimate for the Ricci flow in dimension 3 (see Proposition 3 below). The proof of this estimate relies on the maximum principle and will be presented in Section 2.

In dimension $n \ge 4$, we prove the following result.

THEOREM 2

Let M be a compact manifold of dimension $n \ge 4$, and let g(t), $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, be an ancient solution to the Ricci flow on M. Moreover, suppose that there exists a uniform constant $\rho > 0$ with the following property: for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, the curvature tensor of (M, g(t)) satisfies

$$R_{g(t)}(e_1, e_3, e_1, e_3) + \lambda^2 R_{g(t)}(e_1, e_4, e_1, e_4)$$

$$+ R_{g(t)}(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) + \lambda^2 R_{g(t)}(e_2, e_4, e_2, e_4)$$

$$- 2\lambda R_{g(t)}(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \ge \rho \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} \ge 0$$

for all orthonormal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ and all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then the manifold (M, g(t)) has constant sectional curvature for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Theorem 2 again follows from pointwise curvature estimates which are established using the maximum principle (see Corollary 7 below). In dimension $n \ge 4$, the evolution equation for the curvature tensor is much more complicated, and our estimates are not as explicit as in the three-dimensional case. In order to handle the higher-dimensional case, we use the invariant curvature conditions introduced in [3] and [7]. These ideas also play a key role in the proof of the differentiable sphere theorem (see [7], [8]).

2. Proof of Theorem 1

PROPOSITION 3

Let M be a compact three-manifold, and let g(t), $t \in [0, T)$, be a solution to the Ricci flow on M. Moreover, suppose that there exists a uniform constant $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such

that

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)} \ge \rho \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} g(t) \ge 0$$

for each $t \in [0, T)$. Then, for each $t \in (0, T)$, the curvature tensor of (M, g(t)) satisfies the pointwise estimate

$$|\operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)}|^2 \le \left(\frac{3}{2t}\right)^{\sigma} \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)}^{2-\sigma},$$

where $\sigma = \rho^2$.

Proof

The assertion is trivial if (M, g(0)) is Ricci flat. Hence, it suffices to consider the case that (M, g(0)) is not Ricci flat. By the maximum principle, the manifold (M, g(t)) has strictly positive scalar curvature for all $t \in (0, T)$.

We next define a function $f: M \times (0, T) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f = \operatorname{scal}^{\sigma - 2} | \overset{\circ}{\operatorname{Ric}} |^2,$$

where $\sigma = \rho^2$. It is easy to see that $f \leq \operatorname{scal}^{\sigma}$. Moreover, it follows from [13, Lemma 10.5] that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f \leq \Delta f + \frac{2(1-\sigma)}{\operatorname{scal}} \, \partial_k \operatorname{scal} \partial^k f + 2 \operatorname{scal}^{\sigma-3} \left[\sigma \, |\operatorname{Ric}|^2 \, |\operatorname{Ric}|^2 - 2P \right],$$

where P is a polynomial expression in the eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor. By assumption, we have Ric $\geq \rho$ scal g. Hence, it follows from [13, Lemma 10.7] that

$$P \ge \rho^2 |\mathrm{Ric}|^2 |\mathrm{Ric}|^2.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{split} 2P - \sigma & |\mathrm{Ric}|^2 \, |\overset{\circ}{\mathrm{Ric}}|^2 \geq \sigma \, |\mathrm{Ric}|^2 \, |\overset{\circ}{\mathrm{Ric}}|^2 \\ & \geq \frac{1}{3} \, \sigma \, \mathrm{scal}^2 \, |\overset{\circ}{\mathrm{Ric}}|^2 \\ & = \frac{1}{3} \, \sigma \, \mathrm{scal}^{4-\sigma} \, f \\ & \geq \frac{1}{3} \, \sigma \, \mathrm{scal}^{3-\sigma} \, f^{1+1/\sigma}. \end{split}$$

Putting these facts together, we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f \leq \Delta f + \frac{2(1-\sigma)}{\operatorname{scal}} \, \partial_k \operatorname{scal} \partial^k f - \frac{2}{3} \, \sigma \, f^{1+1/\sigma}.$$

Using the maximum principle, we obtain

$$f \le \left(\frac{3}{2t}\right)^{\sigma}.$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 4

Let M be a compact three-manifold, and let g(t), $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, be an ancient solution to the Ricci flow on M. Moreover, suppose that there exists a uniform constant $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)} \ge \rho \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} g(t) \ge 0$$

for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$. Then the manifold (M, g(t)) has constant sectional curvature for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Proof

It follows from Proposition 3 that $|\overset{\circ}{\operatorname{Ric}}_{g(t)}|^2 = 0$ for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$. Therefore, the manifold (M, g(t)) has constant sectional curvature for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$.

3. The higher-dimensional case

In this section, we develop some general tools that will be used in the proof of Theorem 2. To that end, we fix an integer $n \geq 4$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of algebraic curvature tensors on \mathbb{R}^n . Given any algebraic curvature tensor $R \in \mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define an algebraic curvature tensor $Q(R) \in \mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$Q(R)_{ijkl} = \sum_{p,q=1}^{n} R_{ijpq} R_{klpq} + 2 \sum_{p,q=1}^{n} (R_{ipkq} R_{jplq} - R_{iplq} R_{jpkq}).$$

The expression Q(R) arises naturally in the evolution equation for the curvature tensor under Ricci flow (see [14]; see also [5, Section 2.3]). The ordinary differential equation (ODE) $\frac{d}{dt}R = Q(R)$ on the space $\mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ will be referred to as the *Hamilton ODE*.

We next consider a cone $C \subset \mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We say that the cone C has property (*) if the following conditions are met:

- (i) C is closed, convex, and O(n)-invariant.
- (ii) C is transversally invariant under the Hamilton ODE $\frac{d}{dt}R = Q(R)$.
- (iii) Every algebraic curvature tensor $R \in C \setminus \{0\}$ has positive scalar curvature.
- (iv) The curvature tensor $I_{ijkl} = \delta_{ik} \, \delta_{jl} \delta_{il} \, \delta_{jk}$ lies in the interior of C. In the remainder of this section, we assume that $C \subset \mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a cone satisfying
- (*). Then Q(R) lies in the interior of the tangent cone T_RC for all $R \in C \setminus \{0\}$. By

continuity, we can find a real number $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that

$$Q(R + \alpha \operatorname{scal}(R) I) - \alpha_0^2 \operatorname{scal}(R)^2 I \in T_R C$$

for all $R \in C \setminus \{0\}$ and all $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$. Moreover, there exists a real number $\Lambda > 0$ such that $|\text{Ric}(R)| \leq \Lambda \, \text{scal}(R)$ for all $R \in C$. Let

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}, \frac{\alpha_0}{2}, \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4(1+2\Lambda^2)} \right\} > 0.$$

For each $t \in [0, \delta]$, we define a subset $F(t) \subset \mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$F(t) = \left\{ R \in C : R + \left(1 - t \operatorname{scal}(R)\right) I \in C \right\}.$$

Clearly, F(t) is closed, convex, and O(n)-invariant. Moreover, F(0) = C.

LEMMA 5

Suppose that R is an algebraic curvature tensor on \mathbb{R}^n with the property that $R \in C$ and $R + (1 - t \operatorname{scal}(R)) I \in C$ for some $t \in [0, \delta]$. Then

$$Q(R) - \operatorname{scal}(R) I - 2t |\operatorname{Ric}(R)|^2 I$$

lies in the interior of the tangent cone to C at the point $R + (1 - t \operatorname{scal}(R)) I$.

Proof

If $t \operatorname{scal}(R) < 1$, then the sum $R + (1 - t \operatorname{scal}(R)) I$ lies in the interior of C. In this case, the assertion is trivial.

Hence, it suffices to consider the case t scal $(R) \ge 1$. For abbreviation, let

$$S = R + (1 - t \operatorname{scal}(R)) I \in C.$$

Since $t \in [0, \delta]$, we have

$$\operatorname{scal}(S) > (1 - n(n-1)t)\operatorname{scal}(R) \ge \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{scal}(R).$$

Hence, if we put

$$\alpha = \frac{t \operatorname{scal}(R) - 1}{\operatorname{scal}(S)},$$

then we have $0 \le \alpha < 2t \le \alpha_0$. Since $S \in C \setminus \{0\}$, it follows that

$$Q(S + \alpha \operatorname{scal}(S) I) - \alpha_0^2 \operatorname{scal}(S)^2 I \in T_S C$$

by the definition of α_0 . We next observe that

$$S + \alpha \operatorname{scal}(S) I = R$$

and

$$\alpha_0^2 \operatorname{scal}(S)^2 > \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4} \operatorname{scal}(R)^2 \ge (1 + 2\Lambda^2) t \operatorname{scal}(R)^2 \ge \operatorname{scal}(R) + 2t \left| \operatorname{Ric}(R) \right|^2.$$

Putting these facts together, we conclude that

$$Q(R) - \operatorname{scal}(R) I - 2t \left| \operatorname{Ric}(R) \right|^2 I$$

lies in the interior of the tangent cone T_SC . This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 6

Suppose that R(t) is a solution of the Hamilton ODE $\frac{d}{dt}R(t) = Q(R(t))$ which is defined on some time interval $[t_0, t_1] \subset [0, \delta]$. If $R(t_0) \in F(t_0)$, then $R(t) \in F(t)$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$.

Proof

By assumption, we have $R(t_0) \in C$. Since C is invariant under the Hamilton ODE, we conclude that $R(t) \in C$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. Hence, it suffices to show that $R(t) + (1 - t \operatorname{scal}(R(t))) I \in C$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$.

For abbreviation, let

$$S(t) = R(t) + (1 - t \operatorname{scal}(R(t))) I$$

for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. Since R(t) is a solution of the Hamilton ODE, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}S(t) = Q(R(t)) - \operatorname{scal}(R(t))I - 2t \left|\operatorname{Ric}(R(t))\right|^2 I$$

for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. We claim that $S(t) \in C$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. Suppose this false. We define a real number τ by

$$\tau = \inf \{ t \in [t_0, t_1] : S(t) \notin C \}.$$

By the definition of τ , we have $\tau \in [0, \delta]$ and $S(\tau) \in C$. Furthermore, we have $R(\tau) \in C$. Hence, Lemma 5 implies that the derivative $\frac{d}{dt}S(t)|_{t=\tau}$ lies in the interior of the tangent cone $T_{S(\tau)}C$. By [5, Proposition 5.4], there exists a real number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $S(t) \in C$ for all $t \in [\tau, \tau + \varepsilon)$. This contradicts the definition of τ .

COROLLARY 7

Let δ be defined as above. Moreover, let g(t), $t \in [0, \delta]$, be a solution to the Ricci flow on a compact n-dimensional manifold M. Finally, we assume that the curvature tensor of (M, g(0)) lies in the cone C for all points $p \in M$. Then

$$R_{g(t)} + (1 - t \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)}) I \in C$$

for all points $(p, t) \in M \times [0, \delta]$.

Proof

By assumption, the curvature tensor of (M, g(0)) lies in the set F(0) for all points $p \in M$. Using Proposition 6 and the maximum principle (see [9, Theorem 3]), we conclude that the curvature tensor of (M, g(t)) lies in the set F(t) for all points $(p, t) \in M \times [0, \delta]$. This proves the assertion.

COROLLARY 8

Let g(t), $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, be an ancient solution to the Ricci flow on a compact n-dimensional manifold M. Moreover, suppose that the curvature tensor of (M, g(t)) lies in the cone C for all $t \in (-\infty, 0)$. Then

$$R_{g(t)} - \delta \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} I \in C$$

for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$.

Proof

Fix a time $\tau \in (-\infty, 0)$, and fix a real number $\sigma > 0$. We define a one-parameter family of metrics $\tilde{g}(t)$, $t \in [0, \delta]$, by

$$\tilde{g}(t) = \sigma g \left(\frac{t - \delta}{\sigma} + \tau \right).$$

Clearly, the metrics $\tilde{g}(t)$, $t \in [0, \delta]$, form a solution to the Ricci flow. By assumption, the curvature tensor of $(M, \tilde{g}(0))$ lies in the cone C for all points $p \in M$. Hence, it follows from Corollary 7 that

$$R_{\tilde{g}(\delta)} + (1 - \delta \operatorname{scal}_{\tilde{g}(\delta)}) I \in C$$

for all points $p \in M$. This implies that

$$R_{g(\tau)} + (\sigma - \delta \operatorname{scal}_{g(\tau)}) I \in C$$

for all points $p \in M$. Taking the limit as $\sigma \to 0$, we conclude that

$$R_{g(\tau)} - \delta \operatorname{scal}_{g(\tau)} I \in C$$

for all points $p \in M$. Since $\tau \in (-\infty, 0)$ is arbitrary, the assertion follows.

THEOREM 9

Let C(s), $s \in [0, 1]$, be a family of cones in $\mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying property (*). Moreover, suppose that the cones C(s) vary continuously in s. Finally, let g(t), $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, be an ancient solution to the Ricci flow on a compact n-dimensional manifold M such that $R_{g(t)} \in C(0)$ for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$. Then $R_{g(t)} \in C(1)$ for all $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$.

Proof

Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of all real numbers $s \in [0, 1]$ with the property that $R_{g(t)} \in C(s)$ for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$. We claim that $\mathcal{S} = [0, 1]$.

Clearly, \mathcal{S} is closed and nonempty. We next show that \mathcal{S} is an open subset of [0, 1]. To that end, we fix a real number $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $R_{g(t)} \in C(s_0)$ for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$. By Corollary 8, there exists a real number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$R_{g(t)} - \delta \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} I \in C(s_0)$$

for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$. Since the cones C(s) vary continuously in s, there exists a real number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $R_{g(t)} \in C(s)$ for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$ and all $s \in [s_0 - \varepsilon, s_0 + \varepsilon] \cap [0, 1]$. Consequently, we have $[s_0 - \varepsilon, s_0 + \varepsilon] \cap [0, 1] \subset \mathcal{S}$. This shows that \mathcal{S} is an open subset of [0, 1]. Thus, we conclude that $\mathcal{S} = [0, 1]$, as claimed.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

We now describe the proof of Theorem 2. As in the previous section, we fix an integer $n \geq 4$. We denote by \tilde{C} and \hat{C} the cones introduced in [3] and [7]. The cone \tilde{C} consists of all algebraic curvature tensors $R \in \mathcal{C}_R(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$R(e_1, e_3, e_1, e_3) + \lambda^2 R(e_1, e_4, e_1, e_4)$$

$$+ R(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) + \lambda^2 R(e_2, e_4, e_2, e_4)$$

$$- 2\lambda R(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \ge 0$$

for all orthonormal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Similarly, the cone \hat{C} consists of all algebraic curvature tensors $R \in \mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$R(e_1, e_3, e_1, e_3) + \lambda^2 R(e_1, e_4, e_1, e_4)$$

$$+ \mu^2 R(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) + \lambda^2 \mu^2 R(e_2, e_4, e_2, e_4)$$

$$- 2\lambda \mu R(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \ge 0$$

for all orthonormal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$. The cones \tilde{C} and \hat{C} are both invariant under the Hamilton ODE $\frac{d}{dt}R = Q(R)$. A detailed discussion of these cones can be found in [5, Chapter 7].

We next describe a family of invariant curvature cones interpolating between the cone \tilde{C} and the cone \hat{C} . For each $s \in (0, \infty)$, we denote by $\tilde{C}(s)$ the set of all algebraic curvature tensors $R \in \mathcal{C}_R(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{split} R(e_1,e_3,e_1,e_3) + \lambda^2 \, R(e_1,e_4,e_1,e_4) \\ + \, \mu^2 \, R(e_2,e_3,e_2,e_3) + \lambda^2 \mu^2 \, R(e_2,e_4,e_2,e_4) \\ - \, 2\lambda \mu \, R(e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4) + \frac{1}{s} \, (1-\lambda^2) \, (1-\mu^2) \, \mathrm{scal}(R) \geq 0 \end{split}$$

for all orthonormal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$. Clearly, $\tilde{C}(s)$ is a closed, convex cone, which is invariant under the natural action of O(n). Moreover, we have $\hat{C} \subset \tilde{C}(s) \subset \tilde{C}$ for each $s \in (0, \infty)$. The following result is an immediate consequence of [3, Proposition 10].

PROPOSITION 10

For each $s \in (0, \infty)$, the cone $\tilde{C}(s)$ is invariant under the Hamilton ODE $\frac{d}{dt}R = Q(R)$.

Proof

Let us fix a real number $s \in (0, \infty)$. Moreover, let $R(t), t \in [0, T)$, be a solution of the Hamilton ODE such that $R(0) \in \tilde{C}(s)$. We claim that $R(t) \in \tilde{C}(s)$ for all $t \in [0, T)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\operatorname{scal}(R(0)) = s$. This implies that

$$R(0)(e_1, e_3, e_1, e_3) + \lambda^2 R(0)(e_1, e_4, e_1, e_4)$$

$$+ \mu^2 R(0)(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) + \lambda^2 \mu^2 R(0)(e_2, e_4, e_2, e_4)$$

$$- 2\lambda \mu R(0)(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) + (1 - \lambda^2)(1 - \mu^2) \ge 0$$

for all orthonormal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$. Hence, [3, Proposition 10] implies that

$$R(t)(e_1, e_3, e_1, e_3) + \lambda^2 R(t)(e_1, e_4, e_1, e_4)$$

$$+ \mu^2 R(t)(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) + \lambda^2 \mu^2 R(t)(e_2, e_4, e_2, e_4)$$

$$- 2\lambda \mu R(t)(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) + (1 - \lambda^2)(1 - \mu^2) \ge 0$$

for all orthonormal four-frames $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, all $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$, and all $t \in [0, T)$. Since $\operatorname{scal}(R(t)) \geq \operatorname{scal}(R(0)) = s$, we conclude that $R(t) \in \tilde{C}(s)$ for all $t \in [0, T)$.

After these preparations, we now present the proof of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 11

Assume that g(t), $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, is an ancient solution to the Ricci flow on a compact n-dimensional manifold M. Moreover, we assume that there exists a uniform constant $\rho > 0$ such that

$$R_{g(t)} - \rho \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} I \in \hat{C}$$

for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$. Then the manifold (M, g(t)) has constant sectional curvature for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Proof

Consider the one-parameter family of cones $\hat{C}(s)$, $s \in (0, \infty)$, defined in [7]. It is shown in [7] that the cone $\hat{C}(s)$ has property (*) for each $s \in (0, \infty)$. Furthermore, the cones $\hat{C}(s)$ vary continuously in s.

By assumption, there exists a real number $s_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $R_{g(t)} \in \hat{C}(s_0)$ for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$. Using Theorem 9, we conclude that $R_{g(t)} \in \hat{C}(s)$ for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$ and all $s \in (0, \infty)$. Consequently, the manifold (M, g(t)) has constant sectional curvature for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 11.

THEOREM 12

Assume that g(t), $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, is an ancient solution to the Ricci flow on a compact n-dimensional manifold M. Moreover, we assume that there exists a uniform constant $\rho > 0$ such that

$$R_{g(t)} - \rho \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} I \in \tilde{C}$$

for all points $(p,t) \in M \times (-\infty,0)$. Then the manifold (M,g(t)) has constant sectional curvature for each $t \in (-\infty,0)$.

Proof

By assumption, we have

$$R_{g(t)} - \rho \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} I \in \tilde{C}$$

for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$. Hence, we can find a real number $s_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$R_{g(t)} - \frac{1}{2} \rho \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} I \in \tilde{C}(s_0)$$

for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$.

We next consider a pair of real numbers a,b such that $2a=2b+(n-2)b^2$ and $b\in \left(0,\frac{\sqrt{2n(n-2)+4}-2}{n(n-2)}\right]$. Following [2], we define a linear transformation $\ell_{a,b}:\mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)\to\mathcal{C}_B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\ell_{a,b}(R) = R + b\operatorname{Ric}(R) \otimes \operatorname{id} + \frac{1}{n}(a-b)\operatorname{scal}(R)\operatorname{id} \otimes \operatorname{id},$$

where \odot denotes the Kulkarni-Nomizu product (see, e.g., [1, Definition 1.110]). If we choose $b \in \left(0, \frac{\sqrt{2n(n-2)+4}-2}{n(n-2)}\right]$ sufficiently small, then

$$R_{g(t)} \in \ell_{a,b}(\tilde{C}(s_0))$$

for all points $(p, t) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$.

By Proposition 10, the cone $\tilde{C}(s)$ is invariant under the Hamilton ODE for each $s \in (0, \infty)$. Consequently, the cone $\ell_{a,b}(\tilde{C}(s))$ is transversally invariant under the Hamilton ODE for each $s \in (0, \infty)$ (see [2, Proposition 3.2]). Therefore, the cone $\ell_{a,b}(\tilde{C}(s))$ has property (*) for each $s \in (0, \infty)$. Moreover, if we fix a and b, then the cones $\ell_{a,b}(\tilde{C}(s))$ vary continuously in s. Using Theorem 9, we conclude that $R_{g(t)} \in \ell_{a,b}(\tilde{C}(s))$ for all points $(p,t) \in M \times (-\infty,0)$ and all $s \in (0,\infty)$. Taking the limit as $s \to \infty$, we obtain $R_{g(t)} \in \ell_{a,b}(\hat{C})$ for all points $(p,t) \in M \times (-\infty,0)$. Hence, it follows from Theorem 11 that (M,g(t)) has constant sectional curvature for each $t \in (-\infty,0)$.

5. Ancient solutions satisfying a diameter bound

In this final section, we study ancient solutions to the Ricci flow satisfying a suitable diameter bound. Throughout this section, we assume that M is a compact manifold of dimension n and that g(t), $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, is a solution to the Ricci flow on M. The following proposition is a consequence of the differential Harnack inequality established in [4].

LEMMA 13

Suppose that the curvature tensor of (M, g(t)) lies in the cone \hat{C} for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$. Then

$$\inf_{M} \operatorname{scal}_{g(\tau/2)} \ge \exp\left(-\frac{\operatorname{diam}(M, g(\tau))^{2}}{|\tau|}\right) \sup_{M} \operatorname{scal}_{g(\tau)} \tag{1}$$

for all $\tau \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Proof

Fix an arbitrary pair of points $p, q \in M$. We can find a smooth path $\gamma : [\tau, \tau/2] \to M$ such that $\gamma(\tau) = p, \gamma(\tau/2) = q$, and

$$|\gamma'(t)|_{g(\tau)} = \frac{2 d_{g(\tau)}(p, q)}{|\tau|}.$$

This implies that

$$|\gamma'(t)|_{g(t)} \le \frac{2d_{g(\tau)}(p,q)}{|\tau|}$$

for all $t \in [\tau, \tau/2]$. Using the trace Harnack inequality (see [4, Proposition 13]), we obtain that

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ scal + 2 ∂_i scal $v^i \ge -2 \operatorname{Ric}(v, v)$

for every tangent vector v. Putting $v = \gamma'(t)/2$ gives

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} & \left(\gamma(t) \right) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ric}_{g(t)} \left(\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t) \right) \\ & \geq -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} \left(\gamma(t) \right) \left| \gamma'(t) \right|_{g(t)}^{2} \\ & \geq -\frac{2 d_{g(\tau)}(p, q)^{2}}{|\tau|^{2}} \operatorname{scal}_{g(t)} \left(\gamma(t) \right) \end{split}$$

for all $t \in [\tau, \tau/2]$. Thus, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{scal}_{g(\tau/2)}(q) \ge \exp\left(-\frac{d_{g(\tau)}(p,q)^2}{|\tau|}\right) \operatorname{scal}_{g(\tau)}(p).$$

Since $p, q \in M$ are arbitrary, the assertion follows.

PROPOSITION 14

Suppose that the curvature tensor of (M, g(t)) lies in the cone \hat{C} for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$. Moreover, suppose that

$$\limsup_{\tau \to -\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tau|}} \operatorname{diam} (M, g(\tau)) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{\tau \to -\infty} \left[|\tau| \sup_{M} \operatorname{scal}_{g(\tau)} \right] < \infty.$$

Proof

Since the solution g(t) is defined until time 0, we have

$$\inf_{M} \operatorname{scal}_{g(\tau/2)} \le \frac{n}{|\tau|}$$

for each $\tau \in (-\infty, 0)$ (see, e.g., [5, Proposition 2.19]). Using Lemma 13, we deduce that

$$\sup_{M} \operatorname{scal}_{g(\tau)} \leq \frac{n}{|\tau|} \exp\left(\frac{\operatorname{diam}(M, g(\tau))^{2}}{|\tau|}\right).$$

From this, the assertion follows.

Finally, we recall the following result due to B. Kostant (see [17, Corollary 2.2]).

PROPOSITION 15

Let (N, h) be a compact, simply connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \neq 5$ which is, topologically, a rational homology sphere. Then the holonomy representation of (N, h) is complete; that is, (N, h) has holonomy group SO(n).

We now state the main result of this section.

THEOREM 16

Let g(t), $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, be an ancient solution to the Ricci flow on a compact, evendimensional manifold M. Suppose that the curvature tensor of (M, g(t)) lies in the interior of the cone \hat{C} for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$. Moreover, suppose that

$$\limsup_{\tau \to -\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tau|}} \operatorname{diam}(M, g(\tau)) < \infty.$$

Then (M, g(t)) has constant sectional curvature for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$.

Proof

Suppose the assertion is false. By Theorem 11, we can find a sequence of points $(p_k, \tau_k) \in M \times (-\infty, 0)$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \tau_k = -\infty$ and

$$R_{g(\tau_k)} - \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{scal}_{g(\tau_k)} I \notin \hat{C}$$
 (2)

at p_k . For each k, we consider the rescaled metrics

$$\tilde{g}_k(t) = \frac{1}{|\tau_k|} g(|\tau_k| t), \quad t \in \left(-2, -\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

For each k, the metrics $\tilde{g}_k(t)$, $t \in (-2, -1/2)$, form a solution to the Ricci flow on M. By assumption, the diameter of $(M, \tilde{g}_k(t))$ has uniformly bounded diameter; moreover, it has uniformly bounded curvature by Proposition 14. Since M is even-dimensional, we conclude that the injectivity radius of $(M, \tilde{g}_k(t))$ is uniformly bounded from below.

Hence, after passing to a subsequence if necessary, the sequence $(M, \tilde{g}_k(t))$ converges in the Cheeger-Gromov sense to some limiting solution $(M, \bar{g}(t))$ to the Ricci flow. This limiting solution is defined for all $t \in (-2, -1/2)$. Clearly, the curvature

tensor of $(M, \bar{g}(t))$ lies in the cone \hat{C} for each $t \in (-2, -1/2)$. Moreover, it follows from (2) that the curvature tensor of $(M, \bar{g}(-1))$ lies on the boundary of the cone \hat{C} for some point $q \in M$. By [6, Proposition 9], the manifold $(M, \bar{g}(-1))$ has nongeneric holonomy group; that is, $\operatorname{Hol}^0(M, \bar{g}(-1)) \neq \operatorname{SO}(n)$. On the other hand, it follows from the differentiable sphere theorem that the universal cover of $(M, \bar{g}(-1))$ is diffeomorphic to S^n (see [7, Theorem 3]). By Proposition 15, the universal cover of $(M, \bar{g}(-1))$ has holonomy group $\operatorname{SO}(n)$. This is a contradiction.

References

- [1] A. L. BESSE, *Einstein Manifolds*, reprint of the 1987 edition, Classics Math., Springer, Berlin, 2008. MR 2371700
- [2] C. BÖHM and B. WILKING, *Manifolds with positive curvature operators are space forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **167** (2008), 1079 1097. MR 2415394
- [3] S. BRENDLE, A general convergence result for the Ricci flow in higher dimensions, Duke Math. J. **145** (2008), 585–601. MR 2462114
- [4] ——, A generalization of Hamilton's differential Harnack inequality for the Ricci flow, J. Differential Geom. **82** (2009), 207 227. MR 2504774
- [5] ——, Ricci Flow and the Sphere Theorem, Grad. Stud. Math. 111, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2010. MR 2583938
- [6] S. BRENDLE and R. SCHOEN, *Classification of manifolds with weakly* 1/4-pinched *curvatures*, Acta Math. **200** (2008), 1 13. MR 2386107
- [7] ——, Manifolds with 1/4-pinched curvature are space forms, J. Amer. Math. Soc.
 22 (2009), 287 307. MR 2449060
- [8] ———, *Curvature, sphere theorems, and the Ricci flow*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **48** (2011), 1–32. MR 2738904
- [9] B. CHOW and P. LU, The maximum principle for systems of parabolic equations subject to an avoidance set, Pacific J. Math. 214 (2004), 201 – 222. MR 2042930
- [10] P. DASKALOPOULOS, R. HAMILTON, and N. ŠEŠUM, Classification of compact ancient solutions to the curve shortening flow, J. Differential Geom. 84 (2010), 455 – 464. MR 2669361
- [11] ——, Classification of compact ancient solutions to the Ricci flow on surfaces, preprint, arXiv:0902.1158v1 [math.DG]
- [12] V. A. FATEEV, The sigma model (dual) representation for a two-parameter family of integrable quantum field theories, Nuclear Phys. B 473 (1996), 509 – 538. MR 1403533
- [13] R. S. HAMILTON, *Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 255 306. MR 0664497
- [14] ——, Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator, J. Differential Geom. **24** (1986), 153–179. MR 0862046
- [15] ——, Eternal solutions to the Ricci flow, J. Differential Geom. **38** (1993), 1–11. MR 1231700

- [16] ——, "The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow" in *Surveys in Differential Geometry, Vol. II (Cambridge, Mass., 1993)*, International Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1995, 7–136. MR 1375255
- [17] B. KOSTANT, On invariant skew-tensors, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 42 (1956), 148–151. MR 0077990
- [18] L. NI, "Closed type I ancient solutions to Ricci flow" in *Recent Advances in Geometric Analysis*, Adv. Lec. Math. **11**, International Press, Somerville, Mass., 2010, 147–150. MR 2648942
- [19] G. PERELMAN, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, preprint, arXiv:math/0211159.v1 [math.DG]
- [20] ———, Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds, preprint, arXiv:math/0303109.v1 [math.DG]

Brendle

Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA; brendle@math.stanford.edu

Huisken

Max-Planck Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Golm, Germany; gerhard.huisken@aei.mpg.de

Sinestrari

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy; sinestra@axp.mat.uniroma2.it