Neoclassical Transport in a Strong Magnetic Field

by

Christian T. Dum and Dieter Pfirsch

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik Garching bei München, Westgermany

<u>Abstract:</u> The effect of a strong magnetic field $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{e}} > \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ on collisions is investigated. These results are applied to neoclassical transport. Except for extremely strong magnetic fields, neoclassical transport is not modified significantly.

So far in neoclassical transport theory a collision term had been used which is appropriate for a uniform plasma in the absence of a magnetic field, either a Lorentz model collision term which describes pitch angle scattering [1] or the Landau collision integral [2] . In some current and planned experiments as well as future fusion reactors the electron cyclotron frequency $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{e}}$ exceeds the electron plasma frequency $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{e}}$. Since the duration of a collision is of the order of a plasma period one must expect modifications of the collision process for $\Omega_{e} \gtrsim \omega_{e}$. We have generalized the collision term to take account of the magnetic field, anisotropy and inhomogeneity of the planma. From a finite Larmor radius expansion it can be shown that the flux across a magnetic surface consists of a classical flux which is the same as for a uniform magnetic field, and a neoclassical flux which directly depends on the nonuniformity of the magnetic field. For the following it is very important to note that for the neoclassical flux we need only terms of the collision integral which depend on velocity gradients, i.e. correspond to a homogeneous plasma. We consider transport processes in an axisymmetric system. For sufficiently small inverse aspect ratio € = r/R (r minor radius, R major radius) the neoclassical flux dominates the classical flux. In the banana regime of weak collisions

$$D_{\text{neocl}}/D_{\text{cl}} \approx \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \in {}^{-3}/L \tag{1}$$

where \mathcal{L}_{2} \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim \sim is the so called safety factor. Thus in the following we shall investigate the neoclassical flux only. Guiding center flux in a strong magnetic field has been investigated by several authors [3,4,5,6].

The dominant contribution to the neoclassical flux comes from the boundary layer between trapped and untrapped particles [1]. Trapped particles have a very small velocity parallel to the magnetic field, $\vee_n \lesssim \sqrt{n \, \epsilon}' \vee$. It is to be expected that just these particles will be most strongly affected by the magnetic field. We describe collisions by the analog of the Lorentz collision term for a plasma in a magnetic field.

$$\frac{5f}{5t} = \frac{1}{2\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin\theta \cos\theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}$$
 (2)

where Θ is the angle between the velocity and the magnetic field. The collision frequency $\gamma_{\Theta\,\Theta}$ is given by

here
$$\frac{3 \omega_{0}}{\Lambda \vee_{3}} H(\chi \vee_{i} \nabla_{i}) \qquad (3)$$

$$H(\chi \vee_{i} \nabla_{i}) \cdot \frac{4 \nabla_{i}}{\nabla_{i}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v^{2} \sum_{n}^{3} (\mathcal{O}_{i} \times)}{[\mathcal{O}_{i}^{3}(\chi^{2} \vee_{i} + x^{2}) + w_{i}^{2}]^{2}(4)}$$

and $\Lambda=\Omega\pi \cap \lambda_0^2$, λ_0 bebye length, the velocity v is normalized to the thermal velocity and $\Omega_0 - \Lambda_0 \cap \Omega_0 = 0$, $\Lambda - \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_0 = 0$. For $\Lambda - \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_0 = 0$ in $\Lambda - \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_0 = 0$. We assume a magnetic field of the form $\Omega = \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_0 = 0$.

where δ is the small azimuth. For trapped particles (and boundary layer) the flux is proportional to

where 1 = h2 sm 0 , 12 = 12 (1-1/h)

is the "energy scattering frequency". (The collision term conserves energy). The average in \S is taken over orbit of a trapped particle with given λ and r. Thus it is seen that the particle flux is proportional to the "energy dissipation"

over a trapped particle orbit. A similar expression may be obtained for the untrapped particles, but their contribution is much smaller. To find the effect of a strong magnetic field on neoclassical transport we thus have to investigate the dependence of $\aleph_\Theta \Theta$ on the pitch angle for $\lozenge \circ \lozenge_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$. We have succeded in an approximate evaluation of (3) by various methods. We find that the collision frequency goes to zero as $\lozenge_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ \lozenge_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$. Since \mathbb{Q} is a very large number, typically 10, this velocity is so small that we have to take account of the ion thermal motion and higher order correlations. For parallel velocities in the range $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$ we have

Most of the trapped particle motion falls into this range. Performing the velocity integration (6) will approximately replace $\mbox{A}\mbox{V}$ by \mbox{A} . Since the dependence of (7) on the magnetic field is logarithmic we see that only for extremely strong magnetic fields $\mbox{A} \subseteq \mbox{Ne}^{\mbox{IL}}\mbox{A}$) do we have to expect a significant modification of transport. The logarithmic dependence on the magnetic field may be understood from the fact most of the electrons are not magnetized, kr_1 > 1. Magnetized electrons change only their guiding center positions and do not contribute to (3). Guiding center diffusion does not take place if the interaction time is short compared to a gyro period. For velocities approximately parallel to the magnetic field, e.g. this requires impact parameters less than $\mbox{V}\mbox{R}_{\rm u}$, in any case less than the shielding length $\mbox{A}_{\rm u}$.

The minimum impact parameter is λ_9/\hbar . From these considerations we can understand the magnetic field dependence

$$H \approx \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\kappa^2 \sqrt{2} \Lambda^2}{1 + \kappa^2 \sqrt{2}} \right)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\perp} \lesssim \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\Lambda} \Lambda .$$
(8)

References:

- P.H.Rutherford, L.M.Kovrizhnykh, M.N.Rosenbluth and F.L.Hinton, Phys.Rev.Letters 25, 1090 (1970)
- M.N.Rosenbluth, R.D.Hazeltine and F.L.Hinton, Phys.Fluids 15, 116 (1972)
- S.T.Belyaev in Plasma Physics and the Problem of Controlled Thermonuclear Reaction, Volume 3, p. 77, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1961)
- O.C.Eldridge and E.G.Morris, Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research, CN-21/108, IAEA, Vienna (1966)
- S.Ichimaru and M.N.Rosenbluth, Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research CN-28/F-2, IAEA, Vienna, 1971
- H. Okuda and J.M.Dawson, Princeton University, Report PPL-AP 54, (1972)