

Paper

Discussion

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Earth System Science Data (ESSD). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ESSD if available.

# D. Carlson<sup>1</sup> and H. Pfeiffenberger<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>World Climate Research Programme (WCRP), WCRP Joint Planning Staff 7 bis, Avenue de la Paix, Case Postale 2300, 1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute, Services/Computing and Data Centre, Am Handelshafen 12, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany

Received: - - Accepted: - - Published: 2 November 2015

Correspondence to: D. Carlson (ipy.djc@gmail.com) and H. Pfeiffenberger (hans.pfeiffenberger@awi.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications.

## ESSDD

8, 859-861, 2015

# Editor comment: Global carbon budget 2015

D. Carlson and H. Pfeiffenberger

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



# Editor comment: Global carbon budget 2015

Paper

As Chief Editors of Earth System Science Data we have decided to shelter this manuscript from public access but to publish all reviewer comments and author replies - the entire discussion - as usual only after final processing (for acceptance or rejec-

We strongly affirm our commitment to the open access ideals of ESSD and Copernicus but recognise in this particular case a valid need for caution and discretion. With heightened political and public attention to trends of and plans for carbon emissions in advance of the upcoming United Nations Climate Change Conference, we already observe vigorous if not entirely accurate emissions discussions particularly in public media and the climate blogosphere. In this hyperactive environment the scientists of the Global Carbon Project, preparing their 2015 budget numbers and scientific conclusions, must take extreme care in the veracity of their data and their research conclusions and in the timing of public release of both data and research. A conversation among authors and journal editors has identified simultaneous release of fully reviewed data and research on a timely date to achieve proper attention and impact. ESSD respects and concurs with those discussions and that release plan.

tion).

With that schedule and goal in mind we have arranged with the authors to restrict public access to the 2015 carbon budget data as we conduct our usual full and rigorous review. We agree that premature exposure would very likely result in rabid and spurious politicalisation of the - not finalised - emissions data and substantial distraction from and perhaps damage to associated science papers. After the release date we will make all materials - manuscript, review comments, author responses, revised manuscripts, etc. – fully and completely open as part of the long-term *ESSD* record.

From an ESSD point of view we feel quite proud of the sequence of global carbon budget data published in this journal, and particularly - as the open record clearly shows - of the consistent value added by ESSD review processes. We will not relax our quality standards under any circumstance and we appreciate that the Global Carbon Project has again submitted their important work to ESSD. We accept that the

**ESSDD** 

8, 859–861, 2015

**Editor comment:** Global carbon budget 2015

D. Carlson and H. Pfeiffenberger

Title Page

**Abstract** Instruments Data Provenance & Structure **Tables Figures** 

Printer-friendly Version

Full Screen / Esc

Close

Back

Interactive Discussion



860

### **ESSDD**

8, 859-861, 2015

# Editor comment: Global carbon budget 2015

D. Carlson and H. Pfeiffenberger

Title Page

Abstract Instruments

Data Provenance & Structure

Tables Figures



Close

Full Screen / Esc

Back

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

