

A Common Building Block for the Syntheses of Amorfrutin and Cajaninstilbene Acid Libraries toward Efficient Binding with **Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors**

Indrapal S. Aidhen,*,† Ramesh Mukkamala,† Christopher Weidner,‡ and Sascha Sauer*,‡

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A common building block for the synthesis of amorfrutin and cajaninstilbene acid derivatives has been developed. The library of synthesized compounds has enabled identification of new nontoxic ligands of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR) and potential inhibitors of the transcriptional corepressor protein NCoR. The biological data holds promise in identification of new potential leads for the antidiabetic drug discovery process.

he peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors alpha, beta/delta, and gamma (PPARlpha, PPAR eta/δ , and PPARγ) are important transcriptional regulators of the nuclear receptor family.1 These nuclear receptors like other generegulating enzymes such as chromatin modifiers² are highly relevant drug targets, in particular for metabolic diseases. Amorfrutin A (1), a member of the so far largely unexplored isoprenoid-substituted benzoic acid derivatives, has been isolated from the two dietary legumes *Glycyrrhiza foetida* (licorice) and *Amorpha fruticosa*.⁵ Recently, it was shown that the naturally occurring amorfrutins A and B exert strong antidiabetic and lipid-lowering effects in vivo. 5,6 The physiological effects of natural amorfrutins appeared to be mainly mediated by highly selective activation of PPARy due to inhibited interaction with the nuclear receptor corepressor (NCoR) protein. In a number of preclinical tests, amorfrutin A and B did not show any side effects known from strong PPARytargeting drugs of the thiazolidinedione family.^{5,6} However, nature only offers a very limited pool of amorfrutin structures, and rather low amounts of amorfrutins were found in relatively uncommon plants.⁸ So far, no systemic endeavors have been made to tailor synthetic routes for these promising natural products.

In general, hydroxy-substituted stilbenes 29 display beneficial biological activities encompassing various therapeutic areas. For example, the stilbenoid 3, known as cajaninstilbene acid. 10 has shown strong antioxidant activity (Figure 1).11

The synthesis of prenyl-substituted bibenzyl derivative 1 usually applies the following protocols for C-C bond formation between two benzyl residues: (a) Wittig reaction

Figure 1. Amorfrutin A (1), stilbenoids (2), and cajaninstilbene acid

followed by hydrogenation, 12 (b) benzylic metalation followed by alkylation, ¹³ (c) Sonogashira coupling for coupling of aryltriflate with phenyl-acetylene.⁵ A recent biomimetic approach uses 2,2,6-trimethyl-4H-1,3-dioxin-4-one as starting material to synthesize amorfrutin A through decarboxylative prenylation, migration, and aromatization sequence.¹⁴ Alternatively, amorfrutin A can be produced by tandem Michael additionintramolecular Claisen condensation followed by oxidative aromatization. ¹⁵ The synthesis of prenylated stilbenoid 3 is currently based on the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons approach for the C-C double bond formation 16 or alternatively olefination of aromatic aldehydes with thiophthalides.¹⁷ The relatively narrow substrate scope in the available methods, for both prenylated bibenzyl 1 and stilbenoid 3, was the key limiting factor in efficiently developing analogues.

Given the great biomedical potential of compounds 1 and 3, the need to develop a general efficient strategy for generating

Received: October 30, 2014 Published: December 23, 2014

[†]Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India

^{*}Otto-Warburg Laboratory, Max-Planck-Institute for Molecular Genetics, Ihnestrasse 63-73, 14195 Berlin, Germany

Organic Letters Letter

libraries from a common building block became urgent. Therefore, we designed the common building block 4 for the synthesis of both types of compounds (1 and 3) to achieve efficient synthesis of prenylated bibenzyls 5 and prenylated stilbenoids 6, containing a functionalized aromatic ring (Figure 2). This building block 4 enables synthesis of bibenzyl

Figure 2. Common building block for prenylated bibenzyls and stilbenoids.

derivatives 5 through a sequence comprising benzylation with various substituted benzyl bromides, followed by desulfonylation and hydrolysis, whereas synthesis of 6 involves Julia olefination with various substituted aromatic aldehydes, followed by hydrolysis.

The synthesis of the common building block 4^{18} is presented in Scheme 1. Starting with 7, 19 thio-alkylation of 2-mercapto-

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the Key Building Block (4)

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{OAc O} \\ \text{OMe} \\ \text{OMe} \\ \text{OMe} \\ \text{OMe} \\ \text{N} \\ \text{(1.2 equiv)} \\ \text{N} \\ \text{(1.2 equiv)} \\ \text{MeO} \\ \text{T} \\ \text{Et}_3N \\ \text{(2.0 equiv)} \\ \text{MeO} \\ \text{MeO} \\ \text{M} \\ \text{OMe} \\ \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \text{ rt}, 3 \text{ h} \\ \text{79\%} \\ \text{SBT} \\ \text{9 (P = H)} \\ \text{OMe} \\$$

benzothiazole with the bromide 7 in dichloromethane using triethylamine as a base resulted in the formation of the sulfide 8 in good yield (79%). For installation of the prenyl moiety on the aromatic ring, compound 8 was deacetylated and a procedure reported by Fürstner and Gastner²⁰ for Cprenylation was applied on the phenolic compound 9. The reaction using sodium hydride as the base and prenyl bromide as the electrophile in toluene at 35 °C was very sluggish and generated very low yield of the desired C-prenylated compound 11 (15%), whereas the major product under these conditions was the O-prenylated compound 10 in 60% yield. These two compounds were easily separable using column chromatography over silica gel. In order to improve the yield of desired compound 11, we relied on rearrangement of the O-prenyl ether 10 to ortho-prenyl phenol 11. To achieve this, the Oprenylated product 10 was initially obtained in high yield (90%) using DMF as a solvent and subjected to rearrangement. Among various possible reagents, use of Montmorillonite K10²¹ led to the desired C-prenylated product 11 in modest yield (40%). Although the major side product was the deprenylated compound 9, the cyclic sequence $(9 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow$ 11) ensured no loss of material and assured regular supplies of compound 11. Acetylation of compound 11 and oxidation of the sulfide 12 with 30% H_2O_2/Na_2WO_4 : $2H_2O$ in methanol furnished the desired building block 4 in good yield (88%), as a white crystalline solid (Scheme 1).

Besides the NMR spectral analysis, the structure and position of the prenyl moiety in sulfone 4 was confirmed unambiguously using single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (see Supporting Information 1).²²

As projected in the conceived strategy for substituted prenylated stilbenoids **6**, various substituted aromatic aldehydes 13a-13l were now subjected to modified Julia olefination reaction with building block **4** using sodium hydride as the base for initial generation of carbanion in DMF as the solvent. Functionalized stilbenes 14a-14l were obtained in good yields (62-83%), as the *E*-isomer only, which was confirmed by the vicinal coupling constant value $(^3J_{\text{HH}} = 15.5-16.5 \text{ Hz})$ between the olefinic protons in their ^1H NMR spectrum (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Cajaninstilbenes Acid and Its Analogues (15a-15l)

The acetate and methyl ester protections in stilbenes 14a-14l were conveniently removed by using NaOH/H₂O/THF at 50 °C for 32 h to provide the targeted cajaninstilbenes acid 6 analogues 15a-15l in good to excellent yields (76–90%) (Scheme 2). The results are summarized in Table 1. The ability

Table 1. Cajaninstilbenes Acid and Its Analogues

s.n.	Ar 13a-m	14a $-m^a$ (yield)	15a $-\mathbf{m}^a$ (yield)
1	13a (C_6H_5)	14a (83%)	15a (90%)
2	13b (4-MeOC ₆ H ₄)	14b (74%)	15b (81%)
3	13c $(3,5-MeO_2C_6H_3)$	14c (77%)	15c (88%)
4	13d $(3,4,5-MeO_3C_6H_2)$	14d (80%)	15d (85%)
5	13e $(2,3,4-\text{MeO}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)$	14e (83%)	15e (85%)
6	13f (3,4-OCH ₂ OC ₆ H ₃)	14f (65%)	15f (88%)
7	$13g (4-FC_6H_4)$	14g (62%)	15g (80%)
8	13h $(2,4-F_2C_6H_3)$	14h (76%)	15h (87%)
9	13i (3,4-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃)	14i (76%)	15i (81%)
10	13j (2-pyridyl)	14j (77%)	15j (76%)
11	13k (3-pyridyl)	14k (65%)	15k (88%)
12	13l (4-pyridyl)	14l (80%)	15l (83%)
13	13m $(6$ -pyronyl $)^b$	14m (74%)	15m (84%)

^aYield of products after column chromatography. ^bPrecisely: (4-methoxy-2*H*-pyran-2-one)-6-yl.

of sulfone 4 to olefinate aldehydes was not restricted to aromatic aldehydes alone. The pyrone based aldehyde 13m, as an illustrative example (entry 13, Table 1), could be used to generate the corresponding product 14m in equally good yields (74%). By anticipating the pyrone moiety to be incompatible with the hydrolytic conditions, selective removal of acetyl protection in 14m was achieved with K_2CO_3 in MeOH and the product 15m with methyl ester was obtained in good yields (84%), thereby illustrating the usefulness of the synthetic route. The use of aqueous NaOH solution on 14m, for hydrolysis, indeed leads to extensive degradation of the compound.

Having successfully achieved synthesis of highly functionalized C-prenylated stilbene derivatives 15a-m, the utility of the building block 4 was now explored toward the synthesis of

Organic Letters Letter

targeted bibenzyl compounds **5**, i.e. amorfrutin A and its analogues. To our delight, in a model reaction, sulfone **4** underwent clean benzylation at the benzylic site, through the generation of the carbanion with sodium hydride as the base, and with benzyl bromide **16a** as the electrophile, at 0 °C to room temperature, in 3 to 4 h.

The desired monobenzylated product 17a was obtained in good yield (78%). This procedure was generalized with various other substituted benzyl bromides 16b-i for alkylation with building block 4. Desired monobenzylated products 17b-i were obtained in good to excellent yields (69–95%) (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Amorfrutin A (19a) and Its Analogues (19b-i)

The monobenzylated compounds 17a—i were subjected to desulfonylation with inexpensive reagents such as sodium amalgam (10%) in methanol²⁴ or Mg/AcOH/AcONa in DMF.²⁵ Under both conditions, desulfonylation resulted in a complex reaction mixture as reflected by multiple spots on TLC. The use of SmI₂ (0.1M) solution in THF,²⁵ along with HMPA (5 equiv) and *t*-BuOH (20 equiv) at 0 °C to room temperature, enabled clean desulfonylation and resulted in the formation of products 18a—i in moderate to good yields (see Table 2). Finally, hydrolysis of these compounds 18a—i provided the targeted amorfrutin A 19a and its analogues 19b—i in good to excellent yields (73—90%).

Table 2. Amorfrutin A (19a) and Its Analogues (19b-i)

s.n.	Ar 16a-j	18a–i (yield) a	19a–i (yield) ^{<i>a</i>}	
1	16a (C ₆ H ₅)	18a (76%)	19a (73%)	
2	16b (4-MeOC ₆ H ₄)	18b (63%)	19b (90%)	
3	16c $(3,5-MeO_2C_6H_3)$	18c (83%)	19c (85%)	
4	16d (3,4,5-MeO ₃ C ₆ H ₂)	18d (64%)	19d (84%)	
5	16e $(2,3,4-\text{MeO}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_2)$	18e (71%)	19e (88%)	
6	16f (3,4-OCH ₂ OC ₆ H ₃)	18f (66%)	19f (85%)	
7	$16g (4-FC_6H_4)$	18g (88%)	19g (83%)	
8	16h $(2,4-F_2C_6H_3)$	18h (88%)	19h (85%)	
9	16i (3,4-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃)	18i (78%)	19i (90%)	
^a Yield of products after column chromatography.				

To determine binding of the synthesized compounds to PPARs, we performed in vitro competitive binding studies with all three PPAR subtypes namely PPAR α , β/δ , and γ . In general, for PPAR α , the compounds revealed binding affinity constants (K_i) in the low micromolar range, except for **15f** that showed a K_i value of 558 nM (Supporting Information 2, Supplementary Figure 1a—c and Supplementary Table 1). For PPAR β/δ , the compounds also featured K_i values in the low micromolar range, except for **15f**, **19a**, **19f**, and **19g**, which showed a K_i value of 569, 915, 462, and 754 nM, respectively. Strikingly, for PPAR γ many of the compounds showed high binding affinities

in the nanomolar range (Supporting Information 2, Supplementary Table 1), in particular for cajaninstilbene acid derivative **15f** we observed a K_i value of 30 nM. As recently described for the naturally occurring amorfrutin A, also the synthesized compounds induced efficient dissociation of NCoR from PPAR γ up to for example 72% for **15f** compared to rosiglitazone. Inhibitory concentrations (IC50) of 20 nM could be detected for this compound (Supporting Information 2, Supplementary Figure 1d and Table 2).

In summary, the first library of synthetic analogues of natural amorfrutins produced in our synthetic endeavor contains a number of promising binders of PPARs and efficient inhibitors of NCoR.²⁶ Notably, none of the compounds showed relevant induction of toxic effects (Supporting Information 2, Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Figure 2).

In conclusion, the here presented common building block 4 has enabled convenient access to initial libraries of synthetic analogues¹⁸ of recently described antidiabetic amorfrutins^{5,8} and cajaninstilbene acids. The synthetic analogues reported herein point to promising prospects of amorfrutins and cajaninstilbene acids toward biomedical applications. Relevant applications may include development of drug candidates with improved safety profiles and provision of new chemical tools for mechanistic PPAR research.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental details and further results are available as Supporting Information 1 and 2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: isingh@iitm.ac.in. *E-mail: sauer@molgen.mpg.de.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I.S.A. thanks BRNS for the project and IIT-M for infrastructure. R.M. thanks CSIR for an SRF award. S.S. was supported by the BMBF (Grant Number 0315082 (01EA1303)). We thank Dr. Florenz Sasse and Aman Bhasin (both at Department of Chemical Biology, Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Germany) for support and discussions.

DEDICATION

Dedicated to Professor Richard R. Schmidt on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Evans, R. M.; Barish, G. D.; Wang, Y. Z. Nat. Med. 2004, 10, 355–361. (b) Lazar, M. A. Biochimie 2005, 87, 9–13.
- (2) (a) Holzhauser, S.; Freiwald, A.; Weise, C.; Multhaup, G.; Han,
 C. T.; Sauer, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5171-5174.
 (b) Bannister, A. J.; Kouzarides, T. Cell Res. 2011, 21, 381-395.
- (3) Moller, D. E. Nature 2001, 414, 821-827.
- (4) Mitscher, L. A.; Park, Y. H.; Alshamma, A.; Hudson, P. B.; Haas, T. *Phytochemistry* **1981**, *20*, 781–785.

Organic Letters Letter

(5) Weidner, C.; de Groot, J. C.; Prasad, A.; Freiwald, A.; Quedenau, C.; Kliem, M.; Witzke, A.; Kodelja, V.; Han, C. T.; Giegold, S.; Baumann, M.; Klebl, B.; Siems, K.; Muller-Kuhrt, L.; Schurmann, A.; Schuler, R.; Pfeiffer, A. F.; Schroeder, F. C.; Bussow, K.; Sauer, S. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2012, 109, 7257–7262.

- (6) (a) Weidner, C.; Wowro, S. J.; Freiwald, A.; Kawamoto, K.; Witzke, A.; Kliem, M.; Siems, K.; Muller-Kuhrt, L.; Schroeder, F. C.; Sauer, S. *Diabetologia* **2013**, *56*, 1802–1812. (b) Sauer, S.; Weidner, C.; Kliem, M.; Schroeder, F. C.; Micikas, R. J. Patent Pending-PCT Int. Appl. (2014), WO 2014177593 A1 20141106. (c) de Groot, J. C.; Weidner, C.; Krausze, J.; Kawamoto, K.; Schroeder, F. C.; Sauer, S.; Büssow, K. *J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *56*, 1535–1543.
- (7) Li, P.; Fan, W.; Xu, J.; Lu, M.; Yamamoto, H.; Auwerx, J.; Sears, D. D.; Talukdar, S.; Oh, D.; Chen, A.; Bandyopadhyay, G.; Scadeng, M.; Ofrecio, J. M.; Nalbandian, S.; Olefsky, J. M. *Cell* **2011**, *147*, 815–826.
- (8) Sauer, S. ChemBioChem 2014, 15, 1231-1238.
- (9) Shen, T.; Wang, X.-N.; Lou, H.-X. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2009, 26, 916–935.
- (10) Zhao, J.; Fu, Y.; Luo, M.; Zu, Y.; Wang, W.; Zhao, C.; Gu, C. J. Agric. Food Chem. **2012**, 60, 4314–4319.
- (11) Wu, N.; Fu, K.; Fu, Y.-J.; Zu, Y.-G.; Chang, F.-R.; Chen, Y.-H.; Liu, X.-L.; Kong, Y.; Liu, W.; Gu, C.-B. *Molecules* **2009**, *14*, 1032–1043.
- (12) (a) Crombie, L.; Jamieson, S. V. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1982, 1467–1475. (b) Eicher, T.; Tiefensee, K.; Donig, R.; Pick, R. Synthesis-Stuttgart 1991, 98–102. (c) Park, B. H.; Lee, Y. R.; Kim, S. H. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2011, 32, 566–570.
- (13) (a) Zinsmeister, H. D.; Becker, H.; Eicher, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 130–147. (b) Schwaben, J.; Cordes, J.; Harms, K.; Koert, U. Synthesis 2011, 2929–2934.
- (14) (a) Laclef, S.; Anderson, K.; White, A. J. P.; Barrett, A. G. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2012**, *53*, 225–227. (b) Cordes, J.; Laclef, S.; White, A. J. P.; Barrett, A. G. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 3524–3530.
- (15) Song, Y. Y.; He, H. G.; Li, Y.; Deng, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 2658-2660.
- (16) (a) Ji, X.-Y.; Xue, S.-T.; Zheng, G.-H.; Han, Y.-X.; Liu, Z.-Y; Jiang, J.-D.; Li, Z.-R. Acta Pharm. Sinica B **2011**, 1, 93–99.
- (17) Mitra, P.; Shome, B.; Ranjan De, S.; Sarkar, A.; Mal, D. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2012**, 10, 2742–2752.
- (18) Aidhen, I. S.; Mukkamala, R. Indian Patent Application No: 5457/CHE/2013.
- (19) Mal, D.; De, S. R. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4398-4401.
- (20) Fürstner, A.; Gastner, T. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2467-2470.
- (21) Dintzner, M. R.; Morse, K. M.; McClelland, K. M.; Coligado, D. M. Tetrahedron Lett. **2004**, 45, 79–81.
- (22) CCDC 1013467; see the Supporting Information for details.
- (23) Fang, Z.; Liao, P.-C.; Yang, Y.-L.; Yang, F.-L.; Chen, Y.-L.; Lam, Y.; Hua, K.-F.; Wu, S.-H. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 7967–7978.
- (24) Mauleón, P.; Núñez, A. A.; Alonso, I.; Carretero, J. C. Chem.— Eur. J. 2003, 9, 1511–1520.
- (25) Jiang, L.; Lei, Q.; Huang, X.; Cui, H.-L.; Zhou, X.; Chen, Y.-C. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 9489–9493.
- (26) Auwerx, J. Diabetologia 1999, 42, 1033-1049.