Genome-wide association study identifies 74 loci associated with educational attainment

A list of authors and their affiliations appears in the online version of the paper.

Educational attainment is strongly influenced by social and other environmental factors, but genetic factors are estimated to account for at least 20% of the variation across individuals[1](#page-3-0) . Here we report the results of a genome-wide association study (GWAS) for educational attainment that extends our earlier discovery sample[1](#page-3-0)[,2](#page-3-1) of 101,069 individuals to 293,723 individuals, and a replication study in an independent sample of 111,349 individuals from the UK Biobank. We identify 74 genome-wide significant loci associated with the number of years of schooling completed. Singlenucleotide polymorphisms associated with educational attainment are disproportionately found in genomic regions regulating gene expression in the fetal brain. Candidate genes are preferentially expressed in neural tissue, especially during the prenatal period, and enriched for biological pathways involved in neural development. Our findings demonstrate that, even for a behavioural phenotype that is mostly environmentally determined, a well-powered GWAS identifies replicable associated genetic variants that suggest biologically relevant pathways. Because educational attainment is measured in large numbers of individuals, it will continue to be useful as a proxy phenotype in efforts to characterize the genetic influences of related phenotypes, including cognition and neuropsychiatric diseases.

Educational attainment is measured in all main analyses as the number of years of schooling completed (EduYears, *n*=293,723, mean = 14.3, s.d. = 3.6; Supplementary Information sections 1.1–1.2). All GWAS were performed at the cohort level in samples restricted to individuals of European descent whose educational attainment was assessed at or above age 30. A uniform set of quality-control procedures was applied to the cohort-level summary statistics. In our GWAS meta-analysis of ~9.3 million SNPs from the 1000 Genomes Project, we used sample-size weighting and applied a single round of genomic control at the cohort level.

Our meta-analysis identified 74 approximately independent genomewide significant loci. For each locus, we define the 'lead SNP' as the SNP in the genomic region that has the smallest *P* value (Supplementary Information section 1.6.1). [Figure 1](#page-0-0) shows a Manhattan plot with the lead SNPs highlighted. This includes the three SNPs that reached genome-wide significance in the discovery stage of our previous GWAS meta-analysis of educational attainment¹. The quantile-quantile $(Q-Q)$ plot of the meta-analysis ([Extended Data Fig. 1](#page-6-0)) exhibits inflation $(\lambda_{\text{GC}}=1.28)$, as expected under polygenicity³.

[Extended Data Fig. 2](#page-7-0) shows the estimated effect sizes of the lead SNPs. The estimates range from 0.014 to 0.048 standard deviations per allele (2.7 to 9.0 weeks of schooling), with incremental R^2 in the range 0.01% to 0.035%.

To quantify the amount of population stratification in the GWAS estimates that remains even after the stringent controls used by the cohorts (Supplementary Information section 1.4), we used linkage-disequilibrium (LD) score regression^{[4](#page-3-3)}. The regression results indicate that \sim 8% of the observed inflation in the mean χ^2 is due to bias rather than polygenic signal [\(Extended Data Fig. 3a\)](#page-8-0), suggesting that stratification effects are small in magnitude. We also found evidence for polygenic association signal in several within-family analyses, although these are not powered for individual SNP association testing (Supplementary Information section 2 and [Extended Data Fig. 3b\)](#page-8-0).

To further test the robustness of our findings, we examined the withinsample and out-of-sample replicability of SNPs reaching genomewide significance (Supplementary Information sections 1.7–1.8). We found that SNPs identified in the previous educational attainment meta-analysis replicated in the new cohorts included here, and conversely, that SNPs reaching genome-wide significance in the new cohorts replicated in the old cohorts. For the out-of-sample replication analyses of our 74 lead SNPs, we used the interim release of the UK Biobank^{[5](#page-3-4)} (UKB) ($n = 111,349$). As shown in [Extended Data Fig. 4](#page-9-0),

Figure 1 | **Manhattan plot for EduYears associations (***n***=293,723).** The *x* axis is chromosomal position, and the *y* axis is the significance on a −log10 scale (two-tailed test). The black dashed line shows the genome-

wide significance level (5×10^{-8}). The red crosses are the 74 approximately independent genome-wide significant associations (lead SNPs). The black dots labelled with rs numbers are the three SNPs identified in ref. [1](#page-3-0).

Figure 2 | Genetic correlations between EduYears and other traits. Results from bivariate LD score regressions^{[9](#page-3-6)}: estimates of genetic correlation with brain volume, neuropsychiatric, behavioural, and anthropometric phenotypes using published GWAS summary statistics. The error bars show the 95% confidence intervals (CI).

72 out of the 74 lead SNPs have a consistent sign ($P=1.47\times10^{-19}$), 52 are significant at the 5% level $(P=2.68\times10^{-50})$, and 7 reach genomewide significance in the UK Biobank data set ($P=1.41\times10^{-42}$). For comparison, the corresponding expected numbers, assuming each SNP's true effect size is its estimated effect adjusted for the winner's curse, are 71.4, 40.3, and 0.6. (Supplementary Information section 1.8.2). We also find out-of-sample replicability of our overall GWAS results: the genetic correlation between EduYears in our metaanalysis sample and in the UKB data is 0.95 (s.e.=0.021; Supplementary Table 1.14).

It is known that educational attainment, cognitive performance, and many neuropsychiatric phenotypes are phenotypically correlated, and several studies of twins find that the phenotypic correlations partly reflect genetic overlap^{[6–8](#page-3-5)} (Supplementary Information section 3.3.4). Here we investigate genetic correlation using our GWAS results for EduYears and published GWAS results for 14 other phenotypes, using bivariate LD score regression^{[9](#page-3-6)} (Supplementary Information section 3). First, we estimated genetic correlations with EduYears. As shown in [Fig. 2](#page-1-0), based on overall summary statistics for associated variants, we find genetic covariance between increased educational attainment and increased cognitive performance (*P*=9.9×10[−]50), increased intracranial volume ($P = 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$), increased risk of bipolar disorder $(P=7\times10^{-13})$, decreased risk of Alzheimer's $(P=4\times10^{-4})$, and lower neuroticism ($P = 2.8 \times 10^{-8}$). We also found positive, statistically significant, but very small, genetic correlations with height ($P=5.2\times10^{-15}$) and risk of schizophrenia ($P = 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$).

Second, we examined whether our 74 lead SNPs are jointly associated with each phenotype ([Extended Data Fig. 5](#page-10-0) and Supplementary Information section 3.3.1). We reject the null hypothesis of no enrichment at *P* < 0.05 for 10 of the 14 phenotypes (all the exceptions are subcortical brain structures).

Third, for each phenotype, we tested (in the published GWAS results) each of our 74 lead SNPs (or its proxy) for association at a significance threshold of 0.05/74. We found a total of 25 SNPs meeting this threshold for any of these phenotypes, but only one reaching genome-wide significance. While these results provide suggestive evidence that some of these SNPs may be associated with other phenotypes, further testing of these associations in independent cohorts is required (Supplementary Tables 3.2–3.4, [Extended Data](#page-11-0) [Fig. 6](#page-11-0)).

To consider potential biological pathways, we first tested whether SNPs in particular regions of the genome are implicated by our GWAS results. Unlike what has been found for other phenotypes, SNPs in regions that are DNase I hypersensitive in the fetal brain are more likely to be associated with EduYears by a factor of \sim 5 (95% confidence interval 2.89–7.07; [Extended Data Fig. 7\)](#page-12-0). Moreover, the 15% of SNPs residing in regions associated with histones marked in the central nervous

system (CNS) explain 44% of the heritable variation ([Extended Data](#page-13-0) [Fig. 8a](#page-13-0) and Supplementary Table 4.4.2). This enrichment factor of \sim 3 for CNS (*P* = 2.48 × 10^{−16}) is greater than that of any of the other nine tissue categories in this analysis.

Given that our findings disproportionately implicate SNPs in regions regulating brain-specific gene expression, we examined whether genes located near EduYears-associated SNPs show elevated expression in neural tissue. We tested this hypothesis using data on mRNA transcript levels in the 37 adult tissues assayed by the Genotype-Tissue Expression Project (GTEx)¹⁰. Remarkably, the 13 GTEx tissues that are components of the CNS—and only those 13 tissues—show significantly elevated expression levels of genes near EduYears-associated SNPs (false discovery rate <0.05; [Extended Data Fig. 8b](#page-13-0) and Supplementary Table 4.5.2).

To investigate possible functions of the candidate genes from the GWAS-implicated loci, we examined the extent of their overlap with groups of genes ('gene sets') whose products are known or predicted to participate in a common biological process¹¹. We found 283 gene sets significantly enriched by the candidate genes identified in our GWAS (false discovery rate <0.05; Supplementary Table 4.5.1). To facilitate interpretation, we used a standard procedure 11 to group the 283 gene sets into 'clusters' defined by degree of gene overlap. The resulting 34 clusters, shown in [Fig. 3](#page-2-0), paint a coherent picture, with many clusters corresponding to stages of neural development: the proliferation of neural progenitor cells and their specialization (the cluster npBAF complex), the migration of new neurons to the different layers of the cortex (forebrain development, abnormal cerebral cortex morphology), the projection of axons from neurons to their signalling targets (axonogenesis, signalling by Robo receptor), the sprouting of dendrites and their spines (dendrite, dendritic spine organization), and neuronal signalling and synaptic plasticity throughout the lifespan (voltage-gated calcium channel complex, synapse part, synapse organization).

Many of our results implicate candidate genes and biological pathways that are active during distinct stages of prenatal brain development. To directly examine how the expression levels of candidate genes identified in our GWAS vary over the course of development, we used gene expression data from the BrainSpan Developmental Transcriptome^{[12](#page-3-9)}. As shown in [Extended Data Fig. 9,](#page-14-0) these candidate genes exhibit above-baseline expression in the brain throughout life but especially higher expression levels in the brain during prenatal development (1.36 times higher prenatally than postnatally, $P = 6.02 \times 10^{-8}$).

A summary overview of some promising candidate genes for follow-up work is provided in [Table 1](#page-2-1).

We constructed polygenic scores 13 to assess the joint predictive power afforded by the GWAS results (Supplementary Information section 5.2). Across our two holdout samples, the mean predictive

Figure 3 | **Overview of biological annotation.** Thirty-four clusters of significantly enriched gene sets. Each cluster is named after one of its member gene sets. The colour represents the permutation *P* value of the member set exhibiting the most statistically significant enrichment. Overlap between pairs of clusters is represented by an edge. Edge width

power of a polygenic score constructed from all measured SNPs is 3.2% ($P=1.18\times10^{-39}$; Supplementary Table 5.2 and Supplementary Information section 5).

Studies of genetic analyses of behavioural phenotypes have been prone to misinterpretation, such as characterizing identified associated variants as 'genes for education'. Such characterization is not correct for many reasons: educational attainment is primarily determined by environmental factors, the explanatory power of the individual SNPs is small, the candidate genes may not be causal, and the genetic associations with educational attainment are mediated by multiple intermediate phenotypes¹⁴. To illustrate this last point, we studied mediation of the association between the all-SNPs polygenic score and EduYears in two of our cohorts. We found that cognitive performance can statistically account for 23–42% of the

represents the Pearson correlation *ρ* between the two vectors of gene membership scores (*ρ*<0.3, no edge; 0.3≤*ρ*<0.5, thin edge; 0.5≤*ρ*<0.7, intermediate edge; $\rho \ge 0.7$, thick edge), where each cluster's vector is the vector for the gene set after which the cluster is named.

association $(P < 0.001)$ and the personality trait 'openness to experience' for approximately 7% ($P < 0.001$; Supplementary Information section 6).

It would also be a mistake to infer from our findings that the genetic effects operate independently of environmental factors. Indeed, a recent meta-analysis of twin studies found that genetic influences on educational attainment are heterogeneous across countries and birth cohorts^{[15](#page-3-12)}. We conducted exploratory analyses in the Swedish Twin Registry to illustrate how environmental factors may amplify or dampen the impact of genetic influences (Supplementary Information section 7). We found that the predictive power of the all-SNPs polygenic score is heterogeneous by birth cohort, with smaller explanatory power in younger cohorts ([Extended Data Fig. 10](#page-15-0); see also Supplementary Information section 7.4 for discussion of the contrast between these

Fifteen candidate genes implicated most consistently across various analyses. To assemble this list, each gene in a DEPICT-defined locus (Supplementary Information section 4.5) was assigned a score equal to the number of criteria it satisfies out of ten (see Supplementary Table 4.1 for details). The DEPICT prioritization *P* value was used as the tiebreaker. SNP, the SNP in the gene's locus with the lowest *P* value in the EduYears meta-analysis. Syndromic, which, if any, of three neuropsychiatric disorders have been linked to *de novo* mutations in the gene (Supplementary Information section 4.6). Top-ranking gene sets, DEPICT reconstituted gene sets of which the gene is a top-20 member (Supplementary Table 4.5.1). The three most significant gene sets are shown if more than three are available. ID, intellectual disability; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; SCZ, schizophrenia; ErbB, erythroblastosis oncogene B; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase; SWI/SNF, SWitch/ sucrose non-fermentable; nBAF, neuronal BRG1- or HRBM-associated factors.

results and findings from a seminal twin study that estimated educational attainment heritability by birth cohort¹⁶).

Online Content Methods, along with any additional Extended Data display items and Source Data, are available in the [online version of the paper](http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nature17671); references unique to these sections appear only in the online paper.

Received 24 June 2015; accepted 16 March 2016. Published online 11 May 2016.

- 1. Rietveld, C. A. *et al.* GWAS of 126,559 individuals identifies genetic variants associated with educational attainment. *Science* **340,** 1467–1471 (2013).
- 2. Rietveld, C. A. *et al.* Replicability and robustness of genome-wide-association studies for behavioral traits. *Psychol. Sci.* **25,** 1975–1986 (2014). 3. Yang, J. *et al.* Genomic inflation factors under polygenic inheritance. *Eur. J.*
- *Hum. Genet.* **19,** 807–812 (2011).
- 4. Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **47,** 291–295 (2015).
- 5. Sudlow, C. *et al.* UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med.* **12,** e1001779 (2015).
- 6. Fowler, T., Zammit, S., Owen, M. J. & Rasmussen, F. A population-based study of shared genetic variation between premorbid IQ and psychosis among male twin pairs and sibling pairs from Sweden. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **69,** 460–466 (2012).
- 7. Tambs, K., Sundet, J. M., Magnus, P. & Berg, K. Genetic and environmental contributions to the covariance between occupational status, educational attainment, and IQ: a study of twins. *Behav. Genet.* **19,** 209–222 (1989).
- 8. Thompson, L. A., Detterman, D. K. & Plomin, R. Associations between cognitive abilities and scholastic achievement: Genetic overlap but environmental differences. *Psychol. Sci.* **2,** 158–165 (1991).
- 9. Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat. Genet.* **47,** 1236–1241 (2015).
- 10. Ardlie, K. G. *et al.*; GTEx Consortium. Human genomics. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science* **348,** 648–660 (2015).
- 11. Pers, T. H. *et al.* Biological interpretation of genome-wide association studies using predicted gene functions. *Nat. Commun.* **6,** 5890 (2015).
- 12. Allen Institute for Brain Science. BrainSpan atlas of the developing human brain<http://www.brainspan.org> (2015).
- 13. Purcell, S. M. *et al.* Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Nature* **460,** 748–752 (2009).
- 14. Krapohl, E. *et al.* The high heritability of educational achievement reflects many genetically influenced traits, not just intelligence. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111,** 15273–15278 (2014).
- 15. Branigan, A. R., McCallum, K. J. & Freese, J. Variation in the heritability of educational attainment: An international meta-analysis. *Social Forces* **92,** 109–140 (2013).
- 16. Heath, A. C. *et al.* Education policy and the heritability of educational attainment. *Nature* **314,** 734–736 (1985).
- 17. Finucane, H. K. *et al.* Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary statistics. *Nat. Genetics* **47,** 1228–1235 (2015).

Supplementary Information is available in the [online version of the paper](http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nature17671).

Acknowledgements This research was carried out under the auspices of the Social Science Genetic Association Consortium (SSGAC). This research has also been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource. This study was supported by funding from the Ragnar Söderberg Foundation (E9/11), the Swedish Research Council (421-2013-1061), The Jan Wallander and
Tom Hedelius Fasse of Council (421-2013-1061), The Jan Wallander and Tom Hedelius Foundation, an ERC Consolidator Grant (647648 EdGe), the Pershing Square Fund of the Foundations of Human Behavior, and the NIA/ NIH through grants P01-AG005842, P01-AG005842-20S2, P30-AG012810, and T32-AG000186-23 to NBER, and R01-AG042568 to USC. We thank S. Cunningham, N. Galla and J. Rashtian for research assistance. A full list of acknowledgments is provided in the Supplementary Information.

Author Contributions Study design and management: D.J.B., D.Ce., T.E., M.J., P.D.K. and P.M.V. Quality control and meta-analysis: A.O., G.B.C., T.E., M.A.F., C.A.R. and T.H.P. Stratification: P.T., J.P.B., C.A.R. and J.Y. Genetic overlap: J.P.B., M.A.F., P.T. Biological annotation: J.J.L., T.E., T.H.P., J.K.P., J.H.B., J.P.B., L.F., V.E., G.A.M., M.A.F., S.F.W.M., P.Ti., R.A.P., R.d.V. and H.J.W. Prediction and mediation: J.P.B., M.A.F. and J.Y. G×E: D.Co., S.F.L., K.O.L., S.O. and K.T. Replication in UKB: M.A.F. and C.A.R. SSGAC advisory board: D.Co., T.E., A.H., R.F.K., D.I.L., S.E.M., M.N.M., G.D.S. and P.M.V. All authors contributed to and critically reviewed the manuscript. Authors not listed above contributed to the recruitment, genotyping, or data processing for the contributing components of the meta-analysis. For a full list of author contributions, see Supplementary Information section 8.

Author Information Results can be downloaded from the SSGAC website (<http://ssgac.org/Data.php>). Data for our analyses come from many studies and organizations, some of which are subject to a MTA, and are listed in the Supplementary Information. Reprints and permissions information is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](http://www.nature.com/reprints) The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are welcome to comment on the [online version of the](http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nature17671) [paper.](http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nature17671) Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.J.B. [\(daniel.benjamin@gmail.com](mailto:daniel.benjamin@gmail.com)), D.Ce. [\(dac12@nyu.edu](mailto:dac12@nyu.edu)), P.D.K. [\(p.d.koellinger@vu.nl\)](mailto:p.d.koellinger@vu.nl) or P.M.V. ([peter.visscher@uq.edu.au\)](mailto:peter.visscher@uq.edu.au).

Aysu Okbay^{1,2,3}*, Jonathan P. Beauchamp⁴*, Mark Alan Fontana⁵* James J. Lee^{6*}, Tune H. Pers^{7,8,9,10*}, Cornelius A. Rietveld^{1,2,3}*, Patrick Turley^{4*},
Guo-Bo Chen¹¹, Valur Emilsson^{12,13}, S. Fleur W. Meddens^{3,14,15}, Sven Oskarsson¹⁶,
Joseph K. Pickrell¹⁷, Kevin Thom¹⁸ Maria Pina Concas²⁸, Jaime Derringer²⁹, Nicholas A. Furlotte³⁰,
Tessel E. Galesloot³¹, Giorgia Girotto³², Richa Gupta³³, Leanne M. Hall^{34,35},
Sarah E. Harris^{36,37}, Edith Hofer^{38,39}, Momoko Horikoshi^{40,41} Jennifer E. Huffman⁴², Kadri Kaasik⁴³, Ioanna P. Kalafati⁴⁴, Robert Karlsson⁴⁵, Augustine Kong²⁶, Jari Lahti^{43,46}, Sven J. van der Lee², Christiaan de Leeuw^{14,47}, Penelope A. Lind⁴⁸, Karl-Oskar Lindgren¹ Gudmar Thorleifsson²⁶, Niek Verweij⁷³, Dragana Vuckovic³²,
Juergen Wellmann⁷⁴, Harm-Jan Westra^{8,75,76}, Jingyun Yang^{77,78}, Wei Zhao⁷⁹,
Zhihong Zhu¹¹, Behrooz Z. Alizadeh^{53,80}, Najaf Amin², Andrew Bakshi Patricia A. Boyle^{77,83}, Harry Campbell⁶⁶, Francesco P. Cappuccio⁸⁴,
Gail Davies^{36,85}, Jan–Emmanuel De Neve⁸⁶, Panos Deloukas^{87,88},
Ilja Demuth^{89,90}, Jun Ding⁶⁰, Peter Eibich^{91,92}, Lewin Eisele⁶⁵, Niina Tamara B. Harris⁹⁹, Andrew C. Heath¹⁰⁰, Lynne J. Hocking¹⁰¹,
Elizabeth G. Holliday^{54,55}, Georg Homuth¹⁰², Michael A. Horan¹⁰³,
Jouke-Jan Hottenga²⁰, Philip L. de Jager^{8,104,105}, Peter K. Joshi⁶⁶, Astanand Jugessur¹⁰⁶, Marika A. Kaakinen¹⁰⁷, Mika Kähönen^{108,109},
Stavroula Kanoni⁸⁷, Liisa Keltigangas-Järvinen⁴³, Lamhertus A. L. M. Kiemeney³¹,
Ivana Kolcic¹¹⁰, Seppo Koskinen⁵⁸, Aldi T. Kraja⁵⁸, Marti Lydia Quaye⁵⁰, Frank J. A. van Rooij^{2,141}, Cristina Venturini^{50,51},
Anna A. E. Vinkhuyzen¹¹, Uwe Völker¹⁰², Henry Völzke⁷², Judith M. Vonk⁵³, Diego Vozzi¹²⁷, Johannes Waage^{21,22}, Erin B. Ware^{79,142}, Gonneke Willemsen²⁰,
John R. Attia^{54,55}, David A. Bennett^{77,78}, Klaus Berger⁷³, Lars Bertram^{143,144},
Hans Bisgaard²¹, Dorret I. Boomsma²⁰, Ingrid George V. Dedoussis⁴⁴, Cornelia M. van Duijn², Johan G. Eriksson^{46,149}, Barbara Franke¹⁵⁰, Lude Franke¹⁵¹, Paolo Gasparini^{32,126,152}, Pablo V. Gejman^{129,130}, Christian Gieger²⁴, Hans-Jörgen Grabe^{153,154}, Patrik K. E. Magnusson⁴⁵, Nicholas G. Martin¹⁶⁹, Matt McGue⁶,
Andres Metspalu^{52,170}, Neil Pendleton^{171,172}, Brenda W. J. H. Penninx⁵⁹,
Markus Perola^{52,58}, Nicola Pirastu³², Mario Pirastu²⁸, Ozren Polasek Danielle Posthuma^{14,174}, Christine Power¹⁶⁰, Michael A. Province⁹⁵,
Nilesh J. Samani^{34,35}, David Schlessinger⁶⁰, Reinhold Schmidt³⁸,
Thorkild I. A. Sørensen^{9,70,175}, Tim D. Spector⁵⁰, Kari Stefansson^{26,69} Henning Tiemeier^{2,178,179}, Joyce Y. Tung³⁰, André G. Uitterlinden^{2,180},
Veronique Vitart⁴², Peter Vollenweider¹¹⁷, David R. Weir⁹⁴, James F. Wilson^{42,66},
Alan F. Wright⁴², Dalton C. Conley^{181,182}, Robert David Cesarini $^{18,186}\$ & Daniel J. Benjamin $^{5}\$

1Department of Applied Economics, Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, 3062 PA, The Netherlands. 2Department of Epidemiology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, 3015 GE, The Netherlands.
³Erasmus University Rotterdam Institute for Behavior and Biology, Rotterdam, 3062 PA, The Netherlands. 4Department of Economics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA. ⁵Center for Economic and Social Research, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089-3332, USA. ⁶Department of

Psychology, University of Minnesota Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA. 7Division of Endocrinology and Center for Basic and Translational Obesity Research, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 2116, USA. 8Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142, USA. ⁹The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Section of Metabolic Genetics, University of Copenhagen, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Copenhagen 2100, Denmark. ¹⁰Statens Serum Institut, Department of Epidemiology Research, Copenhagen 2300, Denmark. 11Queensland Brain Institute, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Denmark. "Queensiand Diam institute, the University of Neorionality Britannic, 1992, Australia. ¹²lcelandic Heart Association, Kopavogur 201, Iceland.
¹³Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Iceland, Reykja and Complex Trait Genetics, VU University, Center for Neurogenomics
and Cognitive Research, Amsterdam, 1081 HV, The Netherlands. ¹⁵Amsterdam Business School, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, 1018 TV, The Netherlands.
¹⁶Department of Government, Uppsala University, Uppsala 751 20, Sweden. ¹⁶Department of Government, Uppsala University, Uppsala 751 20, Sweden.
¹⁷New York Genome Center, New York, New York 10013, USA. ¹⁸Department of
Economics, New York University, New York, New York 10012, USA. ¹⁹Cent Biological Sequence Analysis, Department of Systems Biology, Technical University of Denmark Lyngby 2800, Denmark. ²⁰Department of Biological Psychology,
VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, 1081 BT, The Netherlands. ²¹COPSAC, Copenhagen Prospective Studies on Asthma in Childhood, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen 2820, Denmark. ²²Steno Diabetes
Center, Gentofte 2820, Denmark. ²³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, Gothenburg 416 85, Sweden. 24Research Unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg 85764, Germany. ²⁵Institute of Epidemiology II, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg 85764, Germany. 26deCODE Genetics/Amgen Inc., Reykjavik 101, Iceland. ²⁷Department of Cell Biology, Erasmus Medical Center Rotterdam, 3015 CN, The Netherlands. 28Istituto di Ricerca Genetica e Biomedica U.O.S. di Sassari, National Research Council of Italy, Sassari 07100, Italy. ²⁹Psychology, University of Illinois, Champaign, Illinois 61820, USA. ³⁰23andMe, Inc., Mountain View, California 94041, USA.³¹Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, 6500 HB, The Netherlands. ³²Department of Medical, Surgical and Health Sciences, University of Trieste, Trieste 34100, Italy. ³³Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki,
Finland. ³⁴Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester LE3 9QP, UK. ³⁵NIHR Leicester Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit, Glenfield
Hospital, Leicester LE3 9QP, UK. ³⁶Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9JZ, UK. ³⁷Centre for Genomic and Experimental Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine,
University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK. ³⁸Department of Neurology,
General Hospital and Medical University Graz, Graz 8036, Austria Medical Informatics, Statistics and Documentation, General Hospital and Medical
University Graz, Graz 8036, Austria. ⁴⁴⁰0xford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology &
Metabolism, University of Oxford, Oxford 0X3 7LE, UK. ⁴ Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK. ⁴³Institute of Behavioural Sciences, University of Helsinki,
00014 Helsinki, Finland. ⁴⁴Nutrition and Dietetics, Healt Harokopio University, Athens 17671, Greece. 45Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm 171 77, Sweden. 46Folkhälsan Research Centre, 00014 Helsingfors, Finland. ⁴⁷Institute for Computing and Information Sciences, Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, 6525 EC, The Netherlands. ⁴⁸Quantitative Genetics, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute,
Brisbane, QLD 4029, Australia. ⁴⁹Lifespan Psychology, Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin 14195, Germany. ⁵⁰Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, London SE1 7EH, UK. 51NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Guy's and St. Thomas' Foundation Trust, London SE1 7EH, UK. ⁵²Estonian Genome Center, University of Tartu, Tartu 51010, Estonia.
⁵³Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center
Groningen, Groningen, 9700 RB, The Netherlands. ⁵⁴Pub Medical Research Institute, New Lambton, NSW 2305, Australia. 55Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW 2300, Australia. 56Centre for Integrated Genomic Medical Research, Institute of Population Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PT, UK. 57Human Communication and Deafness, School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13
9PL, UK. ⁵⁸Department of Health, THL-National Institute for Health and Welfare, 00271 Helsinki, Finland. 59Psychiatry, VU University Medical Center & GGZ inGeest, Amsterdam, 1081 HL, The Netherlands. ⁶⁰Laboratory of Genetics, National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, Maryland 21224, USA. ⁶¹Research Centre of Applied and
Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku, 20521 Turku, Finland. 62 Department of Medical Genetics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne 1005, Switzerland. 63 Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland. Switzerland. ⁶³Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland.
⁶⁴Department Of Health Sciences, University of Milan, Milano 20142, Italy.
⁶⁵Institute for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Institute for Population Health Sciences and Informatics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9AG, UK. ⁶⁷Division of Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-9780, USA. ⁶⁸lcelandic Heart
Cancer Institute, Bethesda

Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, 9700 RB, The Netherlands. ⁷⁴Institute of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Münster,
Münster 48149, Germany. ⁷⁵Divisions of Genetics and Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston,
Massachusetts 02115, USA. ⁷⁶Partners Center for Personalized Genetic Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ⁷⁷Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center, Rush
University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois 60612, USA. ⁷⁸Department of Neurological Sciences, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois 60612, USA. 79Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA. ⁸⁰Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, 9713 GZ, The Netherlands. University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, 9713 GZ, The Netherlands.
⁸¹lnstitute of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, University of Regensburg,
Regensburg D-93053, Germany. ⁸²lnstitute of Molecular Genetics, N London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London,
London EC1M 6BQ, UK. ⁸⁸Princess Al-Jawhara Al-Brahim Centre of Excellence in Research of Hereditary Disorders (PACER-HD), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah
21589, Saudi Arabia. ⁸⁹The Berlin Aging Study II; Research Group on Geriatrics, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany, Berlin 13347, Germany. ⁹⁰Institute of Medical and Human Genetics, Charité-Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Berlin 13353, Germany. ⁹¹German Socio- Economic Panel Study, DIW Berlin, Berlin 10117,
Germany. ⁹²Health Economics Research Centre, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF, UK. ⁹³The University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, The Translational Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD 4102, Australia. 94Survey Research Center, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA. ⁹⁵Department of Genetics, Division of Statistical Genomics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri
63018, USA. ⁹⁶Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, Bonn 53127, Germany. 97Department of Genomics, Life and Brain Center, University of Bonn, Bonn 53127, Germany. ⁹⁸Institute of Biomedical and Neural Engineering, School of Science and Engineering, Reykjavik University, Reykjavik 101, Iceland. ⁹⁹Laboratory of Epidemiology, Demography, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-9205, USA. 100Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110, USA. 101Division of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, UK. ¹⁰²Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald 17475, Germany. ¹⁰³Manchester Medical School,
The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PT, UK. ¹⁰⁴Program in Translational NeuroPsychiatric Genomics, Departments of Neurology & Psychiatry, Brigham and
Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ¹⁰⁵Harvard Medical School,
Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ¹⁰⁶Department of Genes and Genomics of Common Disease, Imperial College London, London, W12 ONN, UK.
¹⁰⁸Department of Clinical Physiology, Tampere University Hospital, 33521 Tampere, Finland. ¹⁰⁹Department of Clinical Physiology, University of Tampere, School of
Medicine, 33014 Tampere, Finland. ¹¹⁰Public Health, Medical School, University of
Split, 21000 Split, Croatia. ¹¹¹Institute of Social an University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne 1010, Switzerland. 112Neuroepidemiology Section, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda,
Maryland 20892-9205, USA. ¹¹³Amsterdam Brain and Cognition Center, University
of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, 1018 XA, The Netherlands. ¹¹⁴Departm and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-5797, USA. 115Institute of Human Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg 85764, Germany. ¹¹⁶Medical Genetics Section, Centre for Genomic and Experimental Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, UK. 117Department of Internal Medicine, Internal Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne 1011, Switzerland. ¹¹⁸Tema BV, Hoofddorp, 2131 HE, The Netherlands. ¹¹⁹Molecular Epidemiology, QIMR Berghofer Medical
Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD 4029, Australia. ¹²⁰Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland Institute of Technology, Brisbane, QLD 4059, Australia. 121Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114, USA. 122The Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard,
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142, USA. ¹²³Psychiatric & Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit, Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114, USA. ¹²⁴Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00014, Finland. ¹²⁵Department of Neurology Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114, USA. 126Medical Genetics, Institute for Maternal and Child Health IRCCS "Burlo Garofolo", Trieste
34100, Italy. ¹²⁷Social Impact, Arlington, Virginia 22201, USA. ¹²⁸Department of Economics, University of Minnesota Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA. ¹²⁹Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, NorthShore University
HealthSystem, Evanston, Illinois 60201-3137, USA. ¹³⁰Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neuroscience, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA.

¹³¹ Public Health Genomics Unit, National Institute for Health and Welfare, 00300 Helsinki, Finland. ¹³²Research Unit for Genetic Epidemiology, Institute of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Center of Molecular Medicine, General Hospital and Medical University, Graz, Graz 8010, Austria. 133Information Based Medicine Stream, Hunter Medical Research Institute, New Lambton, NSW 2305, Australia.
¹³⁴Medical Research Institute, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 9SY, UK. 135 Research Unit Hypertension and Cardiovascular Epidemiology, Department of Cardiovascular Science, University of Leuven, Leuven 3000, Belgium. ¹³⁶R&D VitaK
Group, Maastricht University, Maastricht, 6229 EV, The Netherlands. ¹³⁷Institute of Genetic Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for
Environmental Health, Neuherberg 85764, Germany. ¹³⁸lnstitute of Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Chair of Genetic Epidemiology, Ludwig
Maximilians-Universität, Munich 81377, Germany. ¹³⁹Department of Geriatrics, Florida State University College of Medicine, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA. ¹⁴⁰Department of Health Sciences and Genetics, University of Leicester, Leicester
LE1 7RH, UK. ¹⁴¹Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus Medical Center,
Rotterdam, 3015 GE, The Netherlands. ¹⁴²Research Center for G Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104, USA. 143Platform for Genome Analytics, Institutes of Neurogenetics & Integrative and Experimental Genomics, University of Lübeck, Lübeck 23562, Germany. ¹⁴⁴Neuroepidemiology and Ageing Research Unit, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, London SW7 2AZ, UK. ¹⁴⁵Department of Health Sciences, Community & Occupational Medicine University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, 9713 AV,
The Netherlands. ¹⁴⁶Department of Psychology, Union College, Schenectady, New York 12308, USA. 147Istituto di Ricerca Genetica e Biomedica (IRGB), Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, c/o Cittadella Universitaria di Monserrato, Monserrato, Cagliari 9042, Italy. ¹⁴⁸Institute of Biomedical Technologies, Italian National Research Council, Segrate (Milano) 20090, Italy. 149Department of General Practice and Primary Health Care, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland. 150 Departments of Human Genetics and Psychiatry, Donders Centre for Neuroscience, Nijmegen, 6500 HB, The Netherlands. 151Department of Genetics, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, 9700 RB,
The Netherlands. ¹⁵²Sidra, Experimental Genetics Division, Sidra, Doha 26999, Qatar. ¹⁵³Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medicine
Greifswald, Greifswald 17475, Germany. ¹⁵⁴Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, HELIOS-Hospital Stralsund, Stralsund 18437, Germany. 155Econometric Institute, Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, 3062 PA, The Netherlands. 156Durrer Center for Cardiogenetic Research, ICIN-Netherlands Heart Institute, Utrecht, 1105 AZ, The Netherlands. 157Generation Scotland, Centre for Genomics and Experimental Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK. ¹⁵⁸Centre for Population Health Research, School of Health Sciences and Sansom Institute, University of South Australia, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia. 159South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia. ¹⁶⁰Population, Policy and Practice, UCL Institute of Child Health,
London WC1N 1EH, UK. ¹⁶¹Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, MRC-PHE Centre for Environment & Health, School of Public Health, Imperial College London,
London W2 1PG, UK. ¹⁶²Center for Life Course Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine,
University of Oulu, 90014 Oulu, Finland. ¹⁶³Unit of Pri Chemistry, University of Tampere, School of Medicine, 33014 Tampere, Finland.
¹⁶⁷Economics, NYU Shanghai, 200122 Pudong, China. ¹⁶⁸Policy Studies, Queen's
University, Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6, Canada. ¹⁶⁹Genetic Epi Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD 4029, Australia. ¹⁷⁰Institute of Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD 4029, Australia. ¹⁷¹Centre for Medical Research Institute of Tartu, Tartu 51010, Estonia. Clinical and Cognitive Neuroscience, Institute Brain Behaviour and Mental Health,
Salford Royal Hospital, Manchester M6 8HD, UK. ¹⁷²Manchester Institute for Collaborative Research in Ageing, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL UK. 173Faculty of Medicine, University of Split, Split 21000, Croatia. ¹⁷⁴Department of Clinical Genetics, VU Medical Centre, Amsterdam, 1081 HV, The Netherlands. ¹⁷⁵Institute of Preventive Medicine. Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg Hospitals, The
Capital Region, Frederiksberg 2000, Denmark. ¹⁷⁶Montpellier Business School, 178 Department of Psychiatry, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, 3015 GE, The Netherlands. ¹⁷⁹Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Erasmus Medical
Center, Rotterdam, 3015 GE, The Netherlands. ¹⁸⁰Department of Internal Medicine,
Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, 3015 GE, The Netherland Sociology, New York University, New York, New York 10012, USA. 182School of Medicine, New York University, New York, New York 10016, USA. 183Bioethics Program, Union Graduate College – Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai,
Schenectady, New York 12308, USA. ¹⁸⁴Department of Economics, Stockholm School of Economics, Stockholm 113 83, Sweden. 185 Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. 186Research Institute for Industrial Economics, Stockholm 10215, Sweden. *These authors contributed equally to this work.

§These authors jointly supervised this work.

†A list of participants and affiliations appears in the Supplementary Information.

Extended Data Figure 1 | **Q–Q plot of the genome-wide association meta-analysis of 64 EduYears results files (***n***=293,723).** Observed and expected *P* values are on a −log₁₀ scale (two-tailed). The grey region depicts the 95% confidence interval under the null hypothesis of

a uniform *P* value distribution. The observed λ _{GC} is 1.28. (As reported in Supplementary Information section 1.5.4, the unweighted mean $\lambda_{\rm GC}$ is 1.02, the unweighted median is 1.01, and the range across cohorts is 0.95–1.15.)

Extended Data Figure 2 | **The distribution of effect sizes of the 74 lead SNPs. a**, SNPs ordered by absolute value of the standardized effect of one more copy of the education-increasing allele, with 95% confidence intervals. \mathbf{b} , SNPs ordered by R^2 . Effects on EduYears are benchmarked against the top 74 genome-wide significant hits identified in the largest GWAS conducted to date of height and body mass index (BMI), and the

48 associations reported for waist-to-hip ratio adjusted for BMI (WHR). These results are based on the GIANT consortium's publicly available results for pooled analyses restricted to European-ancestry individuals: [https://www.broadinstitute.org/collaboration/giant/index.php/GIANT_](https://www.broadinstitute.org/collaboration/giant/index.php/GIANT_consortium) [consortium](https://www.broadinstitute.org/collaboration/giant/index.php/GIANT_consortium).

Extended Data Figure 3 | **Assessing the extent to which population stratification affects the estimates from the GWAS. a**, LD score regression plot with the summary statistics from the GWAS. Each point represents an LD score quantile for a chromosome (the *x* and *y* coordinates of the point are the mean LD score and the mean χ^2 statistic of variants in that quantile). That the intercept is close to 1 and that the χ^2 statistics increase linearly with the LD scores suggest that the bulk of the inflation in the χ^2 statistics is due to true polygenic signal and not to population

level and within-family regressions of EduYears on polygenic scores, for scores constructed with sets of SNPs meeting different *P* value thresholds. In addition to the analyses shown here, we conduct a sign concordance test, and we decompose the variance of the polygenic score. Overall, these analyses suggest that population stratification is unlikely to be a major concern for our 74 lead SNPs. See Supplementary Information section 3 for additional details.

Extended Data Figure 4 | **Replication of 74 lead SNPs in the UK Biobank data.** Estimated effect sizes (in years of schooling) and 95% confidence intervals of the 74 lead SNPs in the meta-analysis sample $(n=293,723)$ and the UK Biobank replication sample $(n=111,349)$. The reference allele is the allele associated with higher values of EduYears

in the meta-analysis sample. SNPs are in descending order of $R²$ in the meta-analysis sample. Of the 74 lead SNPs, 72 have the anticipated sign in the replication sample, 52 replicate at the 0.05 significance level, and 7 replicate at the 5×10^{-8} significance level.

Extended Data Figure 5 | **Q–Q plots for the 74 lead EduYears SNPs (or LD proxies) in published GWAS of other phenotypes.** SNPs with concordant effects on both phenotypes are pink, and SNPs with discordant effects are blue. SNPs outside the grey area pass Bonferroni-corrected

significance thresholds that correct for the total number of SNPs we tested ($P < 0.05/74 = 6.8 \times 10^{-4}$) and are labelled with their rs numbers. Observed and expected *P* values are on a −log₁₀ scale. For the sign concordance test: **P*<0.05, ***P*<0.01 and ****P*<0.001.

Extended Data Figure 6 | **Regional association plots for four of the ten prioritized SNPs for mental health, brain anatomy, and anthropometric phenotypes identified using EduYears as a proxy phenotype. a**, Cognitive performance; **b**, hippocampus; **c**, intracranial volume; **d**, neuroticism. The four were selected because very few

genome-wide significant SNPs have been previously reported for these traits. Data sources and methods are described in Supplementary Information section 3. The R^2 values are from the hg19 / 1000 Genomes Nov 2014 EUR references samples. The figures were created with LocusZoom [\(http://csg.sph.umich.edu/locuszoom/](http://csg.sph.umich.edu/locuszoom/)). Mb, megabases.

 163.5

 $\overline{36}$

 100

Extended Data Figure 7 | **Application of fgwas to EduYears.** See Supplementary Information section 4.2 for further details. **a**, The results of single-annotation models. 'Enrichment' refers to the factor by which the prior odds of association at an LD-defined region must be multiplied if the region bears the given annotation; this factor is estimated using an empirical Bayes method applied to all SNPs in the GWAS meta-analysis regardless of statistical significance. Annotations were derived from ENCODE and a number of other data sources. Plotted are the base 2 logarithms of the enrichments and their 95% confidence intervals. Multiple instances of the same annotation correspond to independent replicates of the same experiment. **b**, The results of

combining multiple annotations and applying model selection and crossvalidation. Although the maximum-likelihood estimates are plotted, model selection was performed with penalized likelihood. **c**, Reweighting of GWAS loci. Each point represents an LD-defined region of the genome, and shown are the regional posterior probabilities of association (PPAs). The *x* axis gives the PPA calculated from the GWAS summary statistics alone, whereas the *y* axis gives the PPA upon reweighting on the basis of the annotations in **b**. The orange points represent genomic regions where the PPA is equivalent to the standard GWAS significance threshold only upon reweighting.

Extended Data Figure 8 | **Tissue-level biological annotation. a**, The enrichment factor for a given tissue type is the ratio of variance explained by SNPs in that group to the overall fraction of SNPs in that group. To benchmark the estimates for EduYears, we compare the enrichment factors to those obtained when we use the largest GWAS conducted to date on BMI, height, and waist-to-hip ratio adjusted for BMI. The estimates were produced with the LDSC Python software, using the LD scores and functional annotations introduced in ref. [17](#page-3-14) and the HapMap3 SNPs with minor allele frequency >0.05. Each of the ten enrichment calculations for a particular cell type is performed independently, while each controlling

Tissues

for the 52 functional annotation categories in the full baseline model. The error bars show the 95% confidence intervals. **b**, We took measurements of gene expression by the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Consortium and determined whether the genes overlapping EduYears-associated loci are significantly overexpressed (relative to genes in random sets of loci matched by gene density) in each of 37 tissue types. These types are grouped in the panel by organ. The dark bars correspond to tissues where there is significant overexpression. The *y* axis is the significance on a −log₁₀ scale.

Extended Data Figure 9 | **Gene-level biological annotation. a**, The DEPICT-prioritized genes for EduYears measured in the BrainSpan Developmental Transcriptome data (red curve) are more strongly expressed in the brain prenatally rather than postnatally. The DEPICTprioritized genes exhibit similar gene expression levels across different brain regions (grey lines). Analyses were based on log₂-transformed RNA-seq data. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. **b**, For

each phenotype and disorder, we calculated the overlap between the phenotype's DEPICT-prioritized genes and genes believed to harbour *de novo* mutations causing the disorder. The bars correspond to odds ratios. **c**, DEPICT-prioritized genes in EduYears-associated loci exhibit substantial overlap with genes previously reported to harbour sites where mutations increase risk of intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorder (Supplementary Table 4.6.1).

Extended Data Figure 10 | **The predictive power of a polygenic score (PGS) varies in Sweden by birth cohort.** Five-year rolling regressions of years of education on the PGS (left axis in all four panels), share of individuals not affected by the comprehensive school reform (**a**, right

axis), and average distance to nearest junior high school (**b**, right axis), nearest high school (**c**, right axis) and nearest college/university (**d**, right axis). The shaded area displays the 95% confidence intervals for the PGS effect.