Open Access



Loss of the interferon-γ-inducible regulatory immunity-related GTPase (IRG), Irgm1, causes activation of effector IRG proteins on lysosomes, damaging lysosomal function and predicting the dramatic susceptibility of Irgm1-deficient mice to infection

Jelena Maric-Biresev¹, Julia P. Hunn², Oleg Krut³, J. Bernd Helms², Sascha Martens⁴ and Jonathan C. Howard^{1,5,6*}

Abstract

Background: The interferon- γ (IFN- γ)-inducible immunity-related GTPase (IRG), Irgm1, plays an essential role in restraining activation of the IRG pathogen resistance system. However, the loss of Irgm1 in mice also causes a dramatic but unexplained susceptibility phenotype upon infection with a variety of pathogens, including many not normally controlled by the IRG system. This phenotype is associated with lymphopenia, hemopoietic collapse, and death of the mouse.

Results: We show that the three regulatory IRG proteins (GMS sub-family), including Irgm1, each of which localizes to distinct sets of endocellular membranes, play an important role during the cellular response to IFN- γ , each protecting specific membranes from off-target activation of effector IRG proteins (GKS sub-family). In the absence of Irgm1, which is localized mainly at lysosomal and Golgi membranes, activated GKS proteins load onto lysosomes, and are associated with reduced lysosomal acidity and failure to process autophagosomes. Another GMS protein, Irgm3, is localized to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membranes; in the Irgm3-deficient mouse, activated GKS proteins are found at the ER. The Irgm3-deficient mouse does not show the drastic phenotype of the Irgm1 mouse. In the Irgm1/Irgm3 double knock-out mouse, activated GKS proteins associate with lipid droplets, but not with lysosomes, and the *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* does not have the generalized immunodeficiency phenotype expected from its Irgm1 deficiency.

(Continued on next page)

* Correspondence: jhoward@igc.gulbenkian.pt

¹Institute for Genetics, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany ⁵Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Rua da Quinta Grande 6, Oeiras, Portugal Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2016 Maric-Biresev et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

(Continued from previous page)

Conclusions: The membrane targeting properties of the three GMS proteins to specific endocellular membranes prevent accumulation of activated GKS protein effectors on the corresponding membranes and thus enable GKS proteins to distinguish organellar cellular membranes from the membranes of pathogen vacuoles. Our data suggest that the generalized lymphomyeloid collapse that occurs in $lrgm1^{-/-}$ mice upon infection with a variety of pathogens may be due to lysosomal damage caused by off-target activation of GKS proteins on lysosomal membranes and consequent failure of autophagosomal processing.

Keywords: Immunity-related GTPases, IRGs, Pathogen recognition, Irgm1, Irgm3, Lysosomes, Autophagy

Background

The identification and eradication of intracellular parasites that are enclosed in host-derived vacuolar membranes poses the question, how are these new structures to be distinguished from endogenous membrane-bound cytoplasmic organelles? The issue is especially acute for organisms such as Toxoplasma gondii, which do not enter cells via the phagosomal route, but rather by an active entry mechanism independent of all host uptake machinery. In mice, resistance to such pathogens is dependent on the interferon- γ (IFN- γ)-inducible system of immunity-related GTPases (IRG proteins) [1, 2]. The effector proteins of this system rapidly accumulate and activate at the vacuolar membranes of a disparate group of intracellular parasites, namely the protozoan T. gondii [3–9], the bacterium *Chlamydia trachomatis* [10–13], and the microsporidian fungus Encephalitozoon cuniculi [14], but not at the membranes of many other intracellular organisms. The known target organisms all share the property of entering host cells by non-phagocytic mechanisms. The accumulation of activated IRG proteins on the cytosolic face specifically of parasitophorous vacuole membranes (PVMs) seems to imply that these membrane-bound structures are distinct from endogenous membrane-bound intracellular compartments, but the mechanism by which IRG proteins activate only on pathogen-containing vacuoles is not fully understood.

In 2004, Martens [15] hypothesized that activation at endogenous membranes is inhibited by the presence of negative regulatory self-proteins (designated X) that block the activation of IRG proteins on these membranes (Fig. 1).

In this proposal, X proteins are missing on newly formed pathogen-containing vacuoles, such as those of *T. gondii*, thus allowing IRG proteins to activate and concentrate on this compartment. We subsequently showed [16] that a structurally distinct subset of three IRG proteins, the IRGM or GMS subset, fulfill the definition of X. The GMS subset are restricted to specific organellar compartments [17, 18], they inhibit the activation of the effector (GKS) subset of IRG proteins by blocking nucleotide exchange, and they are either absent or very weakly expressed on *T. gondii* PVMs [19]. In their absence, effector GKS proteins activate spontaneously in the cytoplasm. This model has been reiterated in subsequent publications from our laboratory [20], and recently restated as "missing self" from another laboratory [21, 22].

The GMS proteins are tightly associated with distinct compartments of the cellular endomembrane system. In uninfected cells, Irgm1 localizes strongly to the Golgi apparatus [17, 23, 24] but also to the endolysosomal compartment [23, 25], mitochondria [24, 26, 27], peroxisomes [21, 24], and to lipid droplets [21]. Irgm1 is also found on phagocytic cups containing latex beads and on sterile phagosomes containing ferritin and latex beads [17, 23, 25]. However, contrary to earlier claims based on organelle purification [28] or transfected, tagged constructs [29, 30], Irgm1 is not detectably present on either listerial or mycobacterial phagosomes [27]. Irgm2 localizes to the Golgi [18] and Irgm3 to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [17, 31, 32] and lipid droplets [32] and has been reported on magnetically purified latex bead phagosomes [23]. In IFN-y-induced wild type (WT) cells, the effector (GKS) IRG proteins are predominantly cytosolic and in the inactive GDP-bound state [33]. All three GMS regulators are required for the control of GKS activation in the cell: when GKS proteins are expressed in the cell in the absence of one or more GMS proteins, they activate spontaneously, form aggregate-like structures, and do not accumulate on the T. gondii PVM [8, 16, 17].

Until now, disruptions of Irgm1 and Irgm3 have been described [3, 4]. Loss of Irgm3 results in a specific loss of function against just that subset of parasites listed above that seem to be the focus of the IRG resistance mechanism [3, 4]. Loss of Irgm1, on the other hand, has a drastic phenotype severely weakening mouse resistance to a number of pathogens, not only to *T. gondii*, *C. trachomatis*, and *E. cuniculi*, but also to pathogens that are not controlled by GKS proteins such as *Listeria* [4, 9], *Mycobacterium* [24, 28, 34, 35], *Salmonella* [6], *Leishmania* [36], and *Trypanosoma* [37]. *Irgm1^{-/-}* mice are also reported to be unusually susceptible to lipopolysaccharide injection [38], in the mouse model of colitis [39], in experimental immune encephalitis [40, 41], and in the



mouse model of stroke [42]. Due to the complexity of this phenotype, very different and sometimes contradictory functions have been attributed to Irgm1 [20, 35, 43, 44]. In an infection setting, it is clear that Irgm1 cannot exert a direct effector action on phagosomes or PVMs because, despite earlier claims [24, 28-30], Irgm1 is not present on pathogen-containing phagosomal or vacuolar membranes [27]. Whatever action it performs in the control of infection must therefore be indirect. The immune defect in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ mice appears to follow at least in part from a severe defect in their hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), which lack renewal capacity [45]. Infection of $Irgm1^{-/-}$ mice with any pathogen that stimulates IFNy induction, for instance Salmonella typhimurium [46] or Mycobacterium avium [34], results in a generalized lymphomyeloid collapse [35, 47]. Additionally, memory T cells from Irgm1-deficient mice have an IFN-ydependent proliferation defect following antigen restimulation and a large proportion of restimulated cells die [35]. In view of the severe phenotype of the Irgm1 mouse, it was therefore a great surprise when it was shown that the double mutant Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-} mouse reverts back to the limited and precise phenotypic deficiency of the $Irgm3^{-/-}$ single mutant [6]. Thus, the drastic defect of Irgm1 deficiency is somehow repaired by additional Irgm3 deficiency. This striking result further contradicts any direct anti-pathogenic effector model of Irgm1 action, leaving the severe cellular defects of the Irgm1-deficient mouse in need of an explanation that satisfies these paradoxical data.

Since GMS proteins maintain GKS proteins in the GDP-bound inactive state [16], we and others have proposed that GMS proteins protect cellular endomembranes from GKS action [20, 21], essentially according to the "missing X" model of Martens [15]. Following this idea, herein, we have concentrated on the striking fact that there are three different GMS proteins, each

localized to specific organellar compartments in the IFN- γ -stimulated cell. Furthermore, all three GMS proteins are needed to prevent spontaneous activation of GKS proteins [16]. Since the cellular defects in Irgm1-deficient mice are dependent on IFN- γ signaling, we hypothesize here that the absence of specific GMS proteins should result in accumulation and activation of IFN- γ -induced GKS proteins on specific cytoplasmic organelles, and this might result in distinct targeted cellular pathologies characteristic of each GMS deficiency. Specifically, loss of Irgm1 could result in activation of GKS proteins on lysosomes, while loss of Irgm3 could result in activation of ER membranes.

In the first description of the Irgm1 deficient mouse [4], the authors speculated that the protein might be involved in transport of materials to PVMs, and included a possible role in acidification of lysosomes. Subsequently, a defect in acidification was found in lysosomes from mycobacterial phagosomes in macrophages from Irgm1deficient mice [28], and more recently evidence has been adduced for autophagic flux impairment in IFN-yinduced Irgm1-deficient cells [48], consistent with a lesion associated with lysosomal function. In cells deficient for Irgm1 alone, we now show that IFN-y-induced GKS proteins accumulate in the active state specifically on lysosomal membranes, while in Irgm3^{-/-} cells GKS proteins accumulate and activate specifically on ER membranes. As recently shown [21] and here confirmed, in Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-} cells GKS proteins are, surprisingly, localized on lipid droplets, but not on lysosomes or ER as expected from the Irgm1 or Irgm3 deficiencies individually. In Irgm1-deficient cells we show that GKS-coated lysosomes have an acidification defect and their ability to process autophagosomes and other substrates is impaired. Thus, autophagic flux, which is essential for lymphocyte survival [49, 50], is partially blocked and may well be responsible for the lymphomyeloid defects



(See figure on previous page.)

Fig. 2 In the absence of Irgm1, Irga6 co-localizes with lysosomes. **a** Wild type (WT), *Irgm1^{-/-}*, *Irgm3^{-/-}*, and *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were induced with 200 U/mL IFN-γ for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-Irga6 antiserum (165/3) and anti-LAMP1 antibody. Representative microscopic images of Irga6 and lysosome co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the Irga6 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: Irga6, upper right: LAMP1, lower left: merge, lower right: phase contrast. Scale bars represent 10 μM. **b** Quantification of 2a, showing percentage of Irga6 aggregate-like structures co-localizing with LAMP1. Irga6 does not form aggregate-like structures in WT cells and therefore it was not quantified; 100 cells per sample were quantified and the means of three independent experiments ± standard deviation are shown. **c** Gene switch (gs) 3T3 cells stably transfected with inducible Irga6 were stimulated with mifepristone and simultaneously transiently transfected with pGW1H-Irgm1, pGW1H-Irgm2, and pGW1H-Irgm3 either alone or in combination and incubated for 24 hours. Samples were fixed and stained as in 1a. Representative images of Irga6 and lysosome co-localization are shown. Images of the cells transfected with additional combinations of GMS proteins are also included (Additional file 2: Figure S2). Arrows point at Irga6 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: Irga6, upper right: LAMP1, lower left: overlay, lower right: phase contrast. Scale bars represent 10 μm. **d** Quantification of 2c and S2, showing percent of Irga6 structures co-localizing with LAMP1; 50 cells per sample were quantified and counts of two independent experiments are shown. **e** *Irgm1^{-/-}* MEFs were induced with 200 U/mL IFN-γ and simultaneously transiently transfected with pEGFP-Irga6-ctag. Upon 24 h cells were fixed and stained for LAMP1. Scale bars represent 10 μM

and lymphopenia in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ mice. In contrast, in IFN- γ -induced cells from $Irgm3^{-/-}$ and $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ mice, which have no generalized immunodeficiency, GKS proteins do not accumulate on lysosomes and autophagic flux is normal. In view of the documented sensitivity of lymphomyeloid cells to autophagic injury [49, 50], we propose that the autophagic pathology induced by IFN- γ in Irgm1-deficient cells is responsible for the generalized immunodeficiency of the Irgm1 mouse. The shift of activated GKS proteins from lysosomes to lipid droplets in the Irgm1/Irgm3 double-deficient mouse is thus responsible for the unexpected rescue of Irgm1 immunodeficiency.

Results

Irga6 co-localizes with the lysosomal compartment in Irgm1-deficient cells

Irgm1 is the only GMS protein known to localize to the lysosomal compartment [25], while Irgm3 is the only GMS protein known to localize to the ER [31]. Therefore, if GMS proteins protect the endocellular membranes against GKS protein activation, Irgm1 deficiency should result in accumulation of activated GKS proteins on lysosomal membranes, while Irgm3 deficiency should result in accumulation of activated GKS proteins, such as Irga6, on ER membranes.

To test this idea, immortalized WT mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs, $Irgm3^{-/-}$ MEFs, and $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ MEFs, all on a C57BL/6 background, were induced with IFN- γ for 24 hours and stained for Irga6 and for the late endosome/lysosome marker lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP1). In IFN- γ -induced WT MEFs, Irga6 retained the typical smooth non-aggregated pattern associated with the inactive state [17]. Irga6 formed aggregates in all GMS mutant cells, but clear ring-like forms co-localized with LAMP1 only in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells (Fig. 2a, b). However, in $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ cells, in which both lysosomes and ER should lack GMS proteins, Irga6 aggregates do not co-

localize with either of these cytoplasmic structures but co-localize with lipid droplets, confirming a recent report [21] (Fig. 2a). The Irga6 accumulated at lysosomes in Irgm1-deficient cells was shown to be in the activated state by intense staining with the monoclonal antibody 10D7 (Additional file 1: Figure S1), shown previously to bind preferentially to the active state of Irga6 [33].

To confirm that Irga6 localization to lysosomes is dependent specifically on Irgm1 deficiency, we have used another approach previously employed to demonstrate the regulatory function of GMS proteins [16]. Mouse 3T3 fibroblasts carrying stable transfected inducible constructs expressing Irga6 (Gene Switch (gs) 3T3-Irga6) were induced with mifepristone (MIF) and simultaneously transiently transfected with combinations of vectors expressing Irgm1, Irgm2, or Irgm3 (Fig. 2c, Additional file 2: Figure S2). These cells were not induced with IFN-y and thus did not express endogenous IRG proteins, but only the induced Irga6 and the transfected GMS proteins. To distinguish transiently transfected from non-transfected cells, cytosolic pmCherry was co-transfected with the GMS constructs. Colocalization of Irga6 and LAMP1 was analyzed in mCherry-positive cells. In cells transfected with both Irgm2 and Irgm3, analogous to the GMS situation in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells, more than 70 % of Irga6 accumulations co-localized with LAMP1 structures (Fig. 2d). In cells transfected only with Irgm3, more than 35 % of Irga6 accumulations were also co-localized with LAMP1, while cells transfected only with Irgm1 or in nonin transfected MIF-induced cells, less than 10 % Irga6 accumulations co-localized with LAMP1. As previously shown [16], when Irgm1, Irgm2, and Irgm3 were all cotransfected into MIF-induced cells, Irga6 showed the smooth non-aggregated appearance of the inactive state (Additional file 2: Figure S2).

To test whether transiently transfected EGFP-tagged Irga6 localizes to LAMP1 structures in the same manner as endogenous Irga6, $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs were induced with



Fig. 3 Other GKS proteins co-localize with lysosomes in *Irgm1^{-/-}* cells. **a** Wild type (WT), *Irgm1^{-/-}*, *Irgm3^{-/-}*, and *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-Irgb6 antiserum (141/3) and anti-LAMP1 antibody. Representative microscopic images of Irgb6 and lysosome co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the Irgb6 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: Irgb6, upper right: LAMP1, lower left: merge, lower right: phase contrast. Scale bars represent 10 μ M. **b** Quantification of 3a, S3A and S3B, showing percent of Irgb6, Irgb10, and Irgd structures co-localizing with LAMP1; 50 cells per sample were quantified and results of two independent experiments are shown. **c** *Irgm1^{-/-}* MEFs were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ for 24 hours, fixed and stained for Irga6 (10D7), Irgb6 (141/3) and LAMP1; Irgd, Irga6 (10D7) and LAMP1; Irga6 (10D7), Irgb10 and LAMP1; or Irgb6 (A20), Irgb10 and LAMP1. Representative microscopic images of GKS structures and lysosome co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the GKS structures which are magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: first GKS protein, upper right: second GKS protein, lower left: LAMP1, lower right: merge. Scale bar represents 10 μ M

IFN- γ and simultaneously transfected with the pEGFP-Irga6 construct. EGFP-Irga6 indeed co-localized with lysosomes like endogenous Irga6 (Fig. 2e), indicating that EGFP-Irga6 can also be used for co-localization studies.

Taken together with the data in Fig. 2a, these findings show that Irga6 accumulates on LAMP1-positive organelles when Irgm1 is the only GMS protein absent from the cell.

Other GKS proteins also localize to lysosomes in *lrgm1^{-/-}* cells

To investigate whether other GKS proteins also colocalize to LAMP1 in the absence of Irgm1, WT MEFs, *Irgm1^{-/-}* MEFs, *Irgm3^{-/-}* MEFs, and *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* MEFs were induced with IFN- γ and stained for the GKS proteins Irgb6, Irgb10, and Irgd and for LAMP1 (Fig. 3a, Additional file 3: Figure S3). More than 90 % of all GKS protein accumulations tested co-localized with LAMP1 in *Irgm1^{-/-}* cells and less than 5 % did so in *Irgm3^{-/-}* and *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* cells (Fig. 3b).

Loading of activated IRG proteins onto T. gondii vacuoles shows characteristics of cooperativity and hierarchy in the sense that several GKS proteins tend to load onto the same vacuoles and do so in a particular temporal order, in which Irga6 and Irgd can load only onto vacuoles already loaded with Irgb6 and/or Irgb10 [19]. We therefore tested the possibility of hierarchy and cooperativity of IRG loading onto lysosomes. Irgm1^{-/-} MEFs were induced with IFN-y and stained for LAMP1 and for pairs of GKS proteins (Fig. 3c). Analysis of GKS protein combinations loaded at the lysosomes (Table 1) indicates a strict but distinct hierarchy in which Irga6 and Irgb10 can localize to the lysosome independently, while Irgb6 and Irgd can localize only to Irga6- and/or Irgb10coated lysosomes. Irga6 and Irgb10 loading onto the lysosomes occur independent of each other. As observed for T. gondii vacuoles [19], not all LAMP1-positive organelles were coated with GKS protein accumulations. In these experiments, Irga6 was detected using 10D7 antibody, again confirming the activated state of Irga6 in the aggregates and accumulations.

Irga6 co-localizes with endoplasmic reticulum in *Irgm3^{-/-}* cells

Since Irgm3 is the only GMS protein identified as localizing to the ER [31], we asked whether, in the absence of Irgm3, Irga6 accumulates on the ER. WT, $Irgm1^{-/-}$, Irgm3^{-/-} and Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-} MEFs were induced with IFN-y and simultaneously transfected with an ER marker, pEYFP-calreticulin. The cells were again stained for activated Irga6 with 10D7 antibody (Fig. 4a). The Irga6 structures formed in GMS knock-out (KO) cells consist of activated, GTP-bound Irga6 [16, 33]. More than 85 % of active Irga6 accumulations co-localized with calreticulin in Irgm3^{-/-} cells (Fig. 4b). In contrast, fewer than 5 % of active Irga6 structures co-localized with calreticulin in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells. These results strongly support the idea that the positioning of individual GMS proteins on specific endomembrane systems determines the inhibition of activation of GKS effector proteins on the same membranes.

Irga6 co-localizes with lipid droplets in *lrgm1/lrgm3^{-/-}* cells

Most of the activated GKS proteins in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells colocalize with the lysosomes and in $Irgm3^{-/-}$ cells with the ER. The simple prediction is that both membrane systems will carry activated GKS proteins in the Irgm1/ Irgm3 double KO. However, although these membranes should be GMS-free in $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ cells, neither is coated with GKS proteins (Figs. 2a and 4a). We therefore sought to confirm a recent report showing that in $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ cells activated GKS proteins co-localize with Bodipy-stained lipid droplets, to which both Irgm1 and Irgm3 both normally localize [21].

IFN- γ -induced *Irgm1^{-/-}*, *Irgm3^{-/-}*, and *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* MEFs were stained with anti-Irga6 antibody and with the neutral lipid dye LD540 (Fig. 5a). In accordance with

Table 1 Cooperativity and hierarchy of IRG loading to lysosomes^a

IRGs	Experiment	Total lysosomes	Lys. without IRGs	Lys. with 1 st IRG only	Lys. with 2 nd IRG only	Lys. with 1 st and 2 nd IRG	P value ^b	Independent or cooperative
lrga6 + lrgb6	1	1090	713	305	4	68	< 0.0001	Cooperative
	2	1477	768	536	14	159	< 0.0001	
lrga6 + lrgd	1	1692	880	503	18	291	< 0.0001	Cooperative
	2	1232	702	299	8	223	< 0.0001	
lrga6 + lrgb10	1	899	437	257	123	82	0.7995	Independent
	2	1337	570	459	184	124	0.5973	
lrgb10 + lrgb6	1	1060	866	144	2	48	< 0.0001	Cooperative

^aLysosomes carrying or not carrying IRG proteins were identified microscopically by co-staining with antibodies against LAMP1 and different pairs of IRG proteins

^bP values were calculated in a χ² analysis with 3 d.f. of the four categories of lysosome, based on expectation of random assortment of the two IRG proteins



the previous report [21], about 70 % of Irga6 structures co-localized with lipid droplets in $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ MEFs, less than 20 % did so in $Irgm3^{-/-}$ cells, and almost none did so in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells (Fig. 5b).

It has not been reported where activated Irga6 localizes in MIF-induced gs3T3 Irga6 cells in the absence of all GMS proteins. We tested the possibility that activated Irga6 accumulates on lipid droplets in the current conditions. MIF-induced gs3T3 Irga6 cells were stained with anti-Irga6 antibody and with LD540 dye (Fig. 5c). Less than 5 % of Irga6 aggregates were co-localized with the lipid droplets, indicating that this is not the primary GKS-target compartment in gs3T3 cells (Fig. 5d). We could also show that Irga6 does not co-localize with mitochondria in gs3T3 cells (Additional file 4: Figure S4). The compartment in which Irga6 activates in the absence of all GMS proteins remains unidentified.

Irga6 does not co-localize with the Golgi in the absence of GMS proteins

The Golgi complex in IFN- γ -induced WT cells is normally coated with both Irgm1 and Irgm2. Because of the presence of Irgm2, the Golgi therefore should not be GMS-free in *Irgm1^{-/-}*, *Irgm3^{-/-}*, or *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* cells. To test whether GKS proteins accumulate at the Golgi in GMS KO cells, these cells were induced with IFN- γ and stained for the Golgi marker GM130 and for Irga6. As anticipated, in all GMS KO cells, less than 3 % of Irga6 co-localized with Golgi (Additional file 5: Figure S5). However, to analyze GKS co-localization with the Golgi in cells with other GMS protein combinations, gs3T3 cells were induced with MIF to express Irga6, transfected with combinations of different GMS proteins and stained for the Golgi marker GM130 and Irga6. In none of the samples, even in those where the Golgi should be GMS-free, was there co-localization of Irga6 with GM130 (Additional file 6: Figure S6). Thus, protection of Golgi membranes from ectopic activation of GKS proteins cannot apparently be accounted for by the presence of GMS proteins.

Irgm1^{-/-} MEFs show autophagic flux impairment and defective lysosomal degradation

A variety of infections that increase IFN- γ levels in mice and induce IRG protein expression cause striking leukopenia and death in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ mice but not in Irgm1/ $Irgm3^{-/-}$ mice [35]. Since GKS proteins re-localize to lysosomes in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs but not in $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ MEFs, we investigated whether GKS protein activation on lysosomes can cause lysosomal dysfunction. This could contribute to the systemic $Irgm1^{-/-}$ mouse phenotype.

Autophagosomes are degraded by fusion with lysosomes, resulting in a flux of autophagic material through this compartment [51]. It has been previously reported that levels of p62 protein, which directs ubiquitinated substrates for autophagic degradation, are increased in IFN- γ -induced $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells as a result of autophagic flux impairment [48]. Moreover, an increased number of microtubule associated protein 1 light chain 3 (LC3) punctae has been shown in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ HSCs, also indicating autophagic changes [47]. To better understand these autophagic flux alterations, processing of LC3, seen as LC3-I to LC3-II turnover, was monitored by western



showing percent of Irga6 structures co-localizing with LD540 (liquid droplets); 50 cells per sample were quantified and results of two independent experiments are shown. **c** gs3T3 cells stably transfected with inducible *Irga6* were stimulated with mifepristone for 24 hours. Samples were fixed and stained as in 5a. Representative microscopic images of Irga6 and LD540 co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the Irga6 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: Irga6, upper right: LD540, lower left: phase contrast, lower right: merge. **d** Quantification of 5c, showing percent of Irga6 structures co-localizing with lipid droplets; 50 cells per sample were quantified and results of two independent experiments are shown.

blot analysis (Fig. 6a). WT, Irgm1^{-/-}, Irgm3^{-/-}, and *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* MEFs were treated with IFN- γ , with the autophagy inducer rapamycin (RAP), or left untreated. The intensity of the LC3-II band was noticeably increased only in IFN-y-treated Irgm1^{-/-} MEFs in comparison to the other samples (Fig. 6b), a result consistent with the behavior of p62 in an earlier study [48]. However, the intensity of LC3-I was not reduced in these cells. Increase in LC3-II could be an outcome of two scenarios: firstly, autophagy induction might be enhanced in IFN- γ -treated Irgm1^{-/-} cells; secondly, autophagic flux might be impaired in the lysosomes of these cells so that LC3-II cannot be further processed and degraded. The fact that the LC3-I level was not reduced in these cells supports the second scenario. We therefore investigated LC3 distribution in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells

in more detail. WT MEFs and $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs were treated with IFN- γ and/or RAP or left untreated, and further stained with LC3 antibody (Additional file 7: Figure S7). As expected, LC3 formed a large number of puncta-like structures in RAP-treated cells and only few small punctae in non-treated cells. However, a large number of LC3 punctae could be observed in IFN- γ -induced $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs, even though they were not RAP induced, indicating an increased number of autophagosomes.

We next analyzed the co-localization of LC3 punctae with LAMP1-positive structures in these cells. In all WT MEFs and in untreated or RAP-treated $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs, very few LC3-positive structures co-localizing with lyso-somes were observed, even when a large number of LC3 punctae was present in RAP-treated cells. However, in



6a, representing ratios of LC3-II and actin band intensities for each sample. Results of four independent experiments are shown. Asterisks mark samples that were not included in the specific experiment. **c** Wild type (WT) and *Irgm1^{-/-}* MEFs were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ for 24 hours, 40 µg/mL RAP for 2 hours, or left untreated. Cells were fixed and stained for LC3 and LAMP1. Representative microscopic images of LC3 and LAMP1 co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the LC3 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: LC3, upper right: LAMP1, lower left: merge, lower right: phase contrast. Scale bars represent 10 µM. **d** Quantification of 6c and S7, showing percent of LC3 structures co-localizing with LAMP1; 50 cells per sample were quantified and the results of two independent experiments are shown. **e** WT and *Irgm1^{-/-}* MEFs were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ for 24 hours or left untreated and transfected with EGFP-LC3. Cells were fixed and stained for LAMP1, Irga6 (165/3), and Irgb10. Irga6 and Irgb10 were detected with the same secondary antibody (Donkey anti-rabbit Alexa555), so they both appear in the same channel. Representative microscopic images of LC3, LAMP1, and Irga6/Irgb10 co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the LC3 structures shown in enlargement at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: LC3, upper right: Irga6 and Irgb10, lower left: LAMP1, lower right: Merge of Irga6, Irgb10, and LC3. Scale bars represent 10 µM. **f** Quantification of 6e, showing percent of LC3 structures colocalizing with LAMP1 and percent of LC3 structures co-localizing with Irga6, Irgb10, and LAMP1; 50 cells per sample were quantified and the results of two independent experiments are shown IFN-γ-induced *Irgm1*^{-/-} cells, independently of RAP treatment, 60–80 % of LC3 punctae co-localized with lysosomes (Fig. 6c, d; Additional file 8: Figure S8). This suggested that autophagosomes cannot be further processed and degraded after fusion with lysosomes in IFN-γ-induced *Irgm1*^{-/-} cells.

We then asked whether the lysosomes that are unable to process LC3 are the same lysosomes that are coated with activated GKS proteins. Irgm1-/- MEFs were induced with IFN-y, transfected with EGFP-LC3, and stained for LAMP1, Irga6, and Irgb10 (Fig. 6e). As in the previous experiment, a large percentage of LC3 colocalized with LAMP1 in IFN- γ -induced Irgm1^{-/-} cells and a large percentage of these LC3 punctae colocalizing with LAMP1 were also associated with GKS proteins Irga6 and Irgb10 (Fig. 6f). It therefore seems plausible that the LC3 processing impairment in Irgm1 ^{-/-} cells is caused by the accumulation of activated GKS proteins on the lysosomes. The autophagosomal compartments with impaired function in the Irgm1-deficient cells have clearly fused with lysosomes since they are LAMP1 positive. In this respect, our results differ significantly from Traver et al. [48], who were unable to detect LAMP1 staining associated with the Irga6 positive, Gbp2 positive aggregates, while our data show that activated Irga6 in the Irgm1-deficient cells is almost exclusively associated with LAMP1 positive structures.

Since lysosomes of IFN- γ -induced $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells were apparently not able to process autophagosomes, we asked whether the general processing activity of these lysosomes is impaired. We first analyzed the pH status of Irga6-coated lysosomes with the pH sensitive lysosomal dye Lysotracker on live, non-fixed cells. Irgm1^{-/-} MEFs were transfected with EGFP-Irga6 and simultaneously induced with IFN-y (Fig. 7a). EGFP-Irga6 structures that co-localized with Lysotracker were quantified (Fig. 7b). Out of 11.7 Irga6 structures per cell, only 3.1 were Lysotracker-positive. We show above that EGFP-Irga6 structures co-localize completely with LAMP1 (Fig. 2e). Therefore, the data show that the pH of the majority of Irga6-coated lysosomes in IFN- γ -induced Irgm1^{-/-} cells is raised, resulting in an impairment of autophagosome processing.

We also analyzed lysosomal degradation of DQ-BSA [52]. In this substrate, a fluorescent dye is quenched by the protein carrier, but revealed when the substrate is processed in lysosomes. WT, $Irgm1^{-/-}$, $Irgm3^{-/-}$, and $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ MEFs were treated with IFN- γ , with the lysosomal acidification inhibitor Bafilomycin A1 (BAF), or left untreated. Samples were incubated with DQ-BSA. The numbers of DQ-positive punctae were evaluated blind on pictures of live cells (Fig. 7c). IFN- γ -induced $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs had 30–50 % fewer punctae than non-

induced $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs (Fig. 7d). This difference was not observed in WT, $Irgm3^{-/-}$, or $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ MEFs. As expected, the number of DQ-punctae in BAF-treated cells was reduced by more than 80 %. Thus, it seems that GKS-coated lysosomes are not only impaired in autophagosomal processing, but also in processing of exogenous substrates.

GKS proteins probably do not permeabilize lysosomal membranes in $lrgm1^{-/-}$ cells

After observing that GKS proteins localize to LAMP1 structures in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells, we considered the possibility that these GKS proteins might disrupt the lysosomal membrane in in the same way that they mediate disruption of the *T. gondii* PVM. Hence, the outcome of GKS accumulation to the lysosomes could be lysosomal membrane permeabilization (LMP). This would cause not only breakdown of the pH gradient but also lysosomal enzyme leakage and presumably death of the cell [53].

To analyze the possibility of necrotic cell death, WT and $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs were induced with IFN- γ or left untreated. Treatment with the LMP inducer LeuLeuOMe [54, 55] was used as a positive cell death control. After 24, 48, or 72 hours, live cells were stained with cellpermeant DNA binding Hoechst dye, which stains the nuclei of live and dead nuclei, and cell-impermeant propidium iodide (PI), which stains only the nuclei of dead cells (Fig. 8a). No difference in cell death between WT and $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs was observed (Fig. 8b). We repeated this experiment in WT bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDM) and Irgm1^{-/-} BMDMs, which were induced with IFN-y, lipopolysaccharide, LeuLeuOMe, or left untreated (Fig. 8c). As previously reported in a similar analysis [6], no difference in cell death between the two cell populations was observed.

Taken together, even though it is a characteristic of activated $Irgm1^{-/-}$ lymphocytes [35], necrotic cell death was not observed in IFN- γ -induced $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs and BMDMs. Moreover, lack of cell death in these samples largely excludes the possibility of lysosomal membrane permeabilization.

To further consider the possibility that GKS proteins cause lysosomal permeabilization in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells without causing massive necrosis, we analyzed the release of the lysosomal enzyme cathepsin B into the cytosol (Additional file 9: Figure S9) compared with the release induced by a just sub-lethal concentration of the pronecrotic peptide LeuLeuOMe.

WT MEFs and $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs were left un-treated, induced with IFN- γ , or treated with 3 mM LeuLeuOMe. Cells were fixed and stained for cathepsin B (Additional file 9: Figure S9C) and the small, sharply-defined cathepsin B punctae indicating intact lysosomes counted



(Additional file 9: Figure S9D). Both, LeuLeuOMetreated WT and LeuLeuOMe-treated $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells had fewer cathepsin B punctae than non-treated cells and also contained localized, larger diffuse stained regions presumably indicating local lysosomal damage and cathepsin B release. However, the number of sharplydefined cathepsin B punctae in IFN- γ -induced $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs was very similar to untreated $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs as well as treated and untreated WT MEFs, and the characteristic diffusely stained regions found in the LeuLeuOMe-treated cells were not seen. Taken together, these data indicate that GKS proteins, which are localized to lysosomes, do not mediate significant lysosomal membrane permeabilization in IFN- γ -induced $Irgm1^{-/-}$ fibroblasts and macrophages.

Discussion

The argument that Irgm1 is an important pathogen resistance molecule in mice has largely relied on the dramatic loss of resistance to numerous pathogens resulting from elimination of *Irgm1* by gene targeting. This interpretation has persisted despite the clear demonstration that Irgm1 deficiency has large systemic effects on the lymphomyeloid system. Lymphomyeloid collapse is induced by infection in Irgm1-deficient animals [34] caused, at least in part, by constitutive exhaustion of HSCs in these animals [45]. Loss of general pathogen resistance is thus most plausibly accounted for by the profound damage to the lymphomyeloid system in Irgm1 deficiency. The critical question is thus not how Irgm1 functions as a pathogen resistance molecule, but rather



why its absence causes this dramatic phenotype. The issue was further complicated when Taylor and colleagues showed that loss of a second regulatory member of the IRG system, Irgm3, almost completely rescued the Irgm1 deficiency phenotype, both in terms of lymphomyeloid collapse and pathogen resistance [6]. Absence of Irgm1, per se, could therefore not be responsible for the problem. However, the Irgm1/Irgm3 double-deficient animals were not completely normal: despite a normal lymphomyeloid system, they remained fully susceptible to *Toxoplasma gondii* [6] and their cells cannot inhibit *E. cuniculi* replication after IFN- γ treatment [14]. Indeed, Irgm1 and Irgm3 are functional regulators of the

IRG resistance system [16] and since their absence leaves animals vulnerable to those few pathogens that are controlled by the IRG resistance system, they may legitimately be described as resistance factors. However, the catastrophic phenotype of the Irgm1-alone-deficient mouse is clearly something different, and it was the motive of this study to point to the causal chain leading to this dramatic outcome.

It has been proposed that effector proteins of the IRG system (GKS proteins) target pathogen-containing vacuoles in IFN- γ -induced cells through the absence on the vacuolar membranes of the GMS regulatory proteins of the IRG system [14–16, 20–22]. In this model, GKS

proteins would indeed tend to activate on endomembrane systems, but would normally be inhibited by GMS proteins localized to these compartments. This would prevent potential damage caused by off-target activation of the GKS proteins on self-membranes and leave the PVM of the pathogen, as the only GMS-free membrane in the cell, thus exposed as a target for the effector GKS proteins. Since different GMS proteins localize to different endocellular membranes, absence of a specific GMS protein would leave a specific endocellular membrane unprotected and vulnerable to off-target activation of GKS proteins.

In this study, we have investigated the possibility proposed in our earlier publications [16, 20] that the contrasting phenotypes of Irgm1, Irgm3, and the Irgm1/ Irgm3 double deficient mice might be caused by offtarget activation of the GKS proteins at different cellular endomembranes. We have shown that the localization of activated GKS proteins to specific compartments is indeed dependent on the absence of specific GMS proteins (Fig. 9). In IFN-y induces cells in the absence of Irgm1, activated GKS proteins accumulate at the lysosomes (Fig. 2a, b), to which Irgm1 normally localizes, while in the absence of Irgm3, activated GKS proteins accumulate at the ER (Fig. 4), to which Irgm3 normally localizes. In Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-} cells, in which lysosomes, ER, and lipid droplets are all GMS-free, GKS proteins activate exclusively at lipid droplets. Thus, the activation of GKS proteins on specific endomembrane systems is determined by the absence of specific GMS proteins (Fig. 5) [21]. However, the targeting specificity rules are evidently complex. Firstly, when single membrane systems, e.g., lysosomes alone or ER alone, are GMS-free, GKS activation occurs only on the GMS-free membranes. However, when multiple membrane systems are GMSfree, GKS activation occurs selectively on one set of GMS-free membranes and not on another. Thus, GKS proteins may show different preferences for different endocellular membranes when given a choice, perhaps on the basis of their lipid composition. To be clear, in the case of *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}*, we do not argue that Irgm3 normally interacts directly with Irgm1, or is in any sense a regulator of the localization of Irgm1, only that the additional absence of Irgm3 releases further compartments for access by GKS proteins. Secondly, in gs3T3 cells expressing Irga6 under an inducible promoter, but not transfected with GMS proteins, all endocellular membranes must be essentially GMS-free (Fig. 2c, d). If GKS proteins load randomly onto any GMS-free membrane, activated Irga6 should be found on lysosomes, ER, Golgi, and lipid droplets, but this is not the case. Instead, activated Irga6 localizes to a still unidentified punctate compartment which may, indeed, consist of freely cytosolic aggregates (Figs. 2d, 5d, and Additional file 6: Figure S6). Thirdly, when these gs3T3 Irga6 cells are transfected with Irgm3 only, about 35 % of Irga6 aggregates accumulate at the lysosomes. The Golgi complex, which is normally coated with Irgm1 and Irgm2, is also GMS-free in these cells. Irga6 would therefore also be expected to accumulate at Golgi membranes, but this does not happen. Indeed, the Golgi complex seems to be immune to GKS activation independently of GMS loading. Finally, none of the endocellular GMS proteins localizes to the plasma membrane, but this structure is never coated with GKS proteins, even in WT cells. The basis for this protection is not known [14]. However, whatever the critical properties of the plasma membrane are, they must change rapidly during pathogen entry because the new pathogen-containing vacuolar membrane immediately becomes vulnerable to attack by GKS proteins [19]. In summary, the activation of GKS proteins occurs only on GMS-free membranes, but not necessarily on all. Furthermore, although the location of activated GKS proteins depends upon which membranes are GMS-free, the rules of selectivity between potential target membranes remain unclear.

The consequences of GKS accumulation at different endocellular membranes are also not completely understood. Alteration of autophagic flux in the absence of Irgm1 in activated cells has already been proposed in different models [35, 47, 48]. Our novel claim is that this is due to the selective accumulation of activated GKS proteins on lysosomes due to the absence of Irgm1. Here, we show that the pH of GKS-coated lysosomes in cultivated Irgm1-deficient fibroblasts is increased and that these lysosomes cannot process autophagosomes, causing reduction in autophagic flux (Figs. 6 and 7). Lysosomes free of GKS accumulations in the same cells do not show an acidification defect. The lysosomes of IFN- γ -induced Irgm1-deficient cells also cannot process an exogenous substrate efficiently (Fig. 7).

Lymphocytes, which need to divide rapidly upon infection, are particularly challenged by autophagic flux arrest and lysosomal function failure [49, 50], and this may contribute to the fatal IFN-y-induced lymphopenia in Irgm1^{-/-} mice. HSCs, which express IRG proteins in the absence of infection [56, 57], may be similarly vulnerable to this lesion in the Irgm1-deficient mouse [45, 47]. An increased number of microtubule associated protein 1 light chain 3 (LC3) punctae has been shown in Irgm1^{-/-} HSCs, indicating an autophagic anomaly [47] and fully consistent with evidence for constitutive expression of IRG proteins in this compartment. The consequences of GKS accumulation at the ER in Irgm3^{-/-} cells are not clear. It has been suggested that Irgm3 deficiency is associated with enlargement and swelling of this compartment [16, 58], but these mice do not become lymphopenic after infections that kill $Irgm1^{-/-}$ mice. We



causing local ER deformation, but without such severe consequences for the cell as lysosomal impairment in $Irgm1^{-/-}$ cells. **f** In *T. gondii*-infected $Irgm3^{-/-}$ cells, GKS proteins are accumulated at the ER and therefore cannot properly accumulate and activate at the PVM. Disruption of the PVM does not happen and *T. gondii* can proliferate. **g** In *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* cells, Iysosomes, ER, and lipid droplets are GMS-free. For unknown reasons, activated GMS proteins accumulate only at the lipid droplets and not at the other GMS-free compartments. **h** Upon *T. gondii* infection of *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* cells, GKS proteins activated at the lipid droplets cannot properly load to the PVM and inhibit *T. gondii* proliferation

must conclude that these ER anomalies do not affect the function of the lymphomyeloid system to the same extent as they damage the lysosomal system. It has been proposed that GKS proteins at the lipid droplets in $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ cells help the degradation of this compartment via autophagy [21]. These results require further investigation, but it is clear that GKS proteins in

these cells are not at the lysosomes and that lysosomal and autophagic functions are not impaired. According to our model, this accounts for the fact that $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ mice do not show lymphopenia and do not die from the multitude of infections that kill $Irgm1^{-/-}$ mice.

As we show, several members of the GKS subfamily load cooperatively on to lysosomes in Irgm1-deficient

cells, just as several GKS members load on to T. gondii, C. trachomatis, and E. cuniculi vacuoles. It is curious that the priority rules for loading of different GKS proteins differ between T. gondii vacuoles and Irgm1^{-/-}-deficient lysosomes. In no case is it known whether the consequent damage is due to some form of coordinated attack, whether the injury is additive, or whether certain specific GKS members are primarily responsible. In the present case, we consider it unlikely that the damage to the lysosomal pH gradient in fibroblasts and macrophages is due to frank disruption of the lysosomal membrane. The effect is not lethal while disruption of the lysosomal membrane would normally initiate necrotic death. In addition, there is no quantitative loss of cathepsin-containing organelles or evidence for release of cathepsins into the cytosol (Fig. 8). Recently, several studies have reported different aspects of the loading of guanylate binding proteins onto T. gondii PVMs, C. trachomatis inclusions, and IRG aggregate-like structures [21, 48, 59-62]. Guanylate binding proteins appear to load on to a subset of vacuoles that carry IRG proteins. A role in attracting the autophagic apparatus via ubiquitination has been proposed.

Whatever the basis for the damage to the pH gradient, the consequences of GKS protein off-target activation on endogenous organelles can evidently be severe and may indeed represent a risk and, therefore, a cost for possession of the IRG system. The spectrum of pathogens that are restricted by IRG proteins appears to be remarkably narrow [14]. Perhaps the loss of the IRG system in higher primates and certain other animal groups [2, 63, 64] is the response to a balance between the cost of carrying an immune resistance mechanism that can become dangerous and difficult to control, against the scale of threat posed by the limited pathogen classes that the mechanism defends against. Indeed, humans have what appears to be efficient resistance against most T. gondii strains despite their loss of the IRG system [2]. How humans distinguish between PVMs and endomembranes remains unknown.

Conclusions

To summarize, the three members of the GMS subfamily of IRG resistance proteins in mice act as negative regulators, essentially guanine dissociation inhibitors, of the GKS effector subfamily. Their role is to prevent the GKS proteins from activating on endogenous organelles, while enabling them to accumulate on parasitecontaining vacuoles, which are GMS deficient. Each of the three GMS proteins localizes to a different specific subset of intracellular organelles in the interferoninduced cell. The presence of GMS proteins thus enables GKS proteins to distinguish organellar "self" cellular membranes from the membranes of the pathogen vacuoles. The high susceptibility of $Irgm1^{-/-}$ mice to multiple infections is caused by off-target GKS protein activation on lysosomal membranes, collapse of lysosomal pH gradient, and consequent failure of autophagosomal processing, a lesion to which members of the hematolymphoid cell lineage are particularly susceptible. Our experiments use the history of these phenomena to show a path of cause and effect between loss of Irgm1 and susceptibility to infection that is far more complex than the original, and still much cited view, that disease susceptibility of Irgm1-deficient animals shows that Irgm1 is an important general disease resistance factor.

Methods

Ethical statement

All animal experiments were conducted under the regulations and protocols for animal experimentation according to the German "Tierschutzgesetz" (Animal Experimentation Law). The local government authorities, Landesamt fuer Natur- und Umweltschutz Nordrhein Westfalen, and its ethics committee approved the work (LANUV Permit No. 84–02.05.40.14.004).

Cell culture

Immortalized WT C57BL/6 MEFs, *Irgm1^{-/-}* MEFs, *Irgm3^{-/-}* MEFs, and *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* MEFs were prepared as previously described [14]. Cells were cultured in DMEM, high glucose (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10 % FCS (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany), 2 mM glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1× MEM non-essential amino acids, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL Streptomycin (all Life Technologies).

gs3T3 Irga6 cells were prepared as previously described [16] and cultured in the above described medium with addition of 200 μ g/mL Zeocin (Invivogen, San Diego, CA) and 50 μ g/mL Hygromycin (Invivogen). Irga6 expression was induced with 1 nM mifepristone (Life Technologies).

Primary BMDMs were isolated from tibia and femurs of 4- to 5-week-old C57BL/6 mice and $Irgm1^{-/-}$ mice as previously described [46], and cultured in RPMI 1640 (Life Technologies) supplemented with 20 % FCS, 30 % L 929 cell conditioned medium, 2 mM glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1× MEM non-essential amino acids, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (all Life Technologies).

Expression constructs and transfection

The following expression constructs were used: pGW1H-Irgm1 [19], pGW1H-Irgm2 [19], pGW1H-Irgm3 [19], pmCherry-N3 [7], pEGFP-Irga6-ctag1 [7], and N-tagged pEYFP-calreticulin (kindly provided by Dr. Astrid Schauss, CECAD Imaging Facility), pEGFP-LC3 [8].

Transient transfection was conducted with 1 μ g DNA per 300,000 cells seeded using 3 μ L of X-tremeGENE 9 transfection reagent (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Immunological reagents and dyes

The following immunoreagents were used: rabbit anti-Irga6 antiserum 165/3 [17], mouse anti-Irga6 monoclonal antibodies (mAb) 10E7 and 10D7 [33], rabbit anti-Irgb6 antiserum 141/3 [65], antibody A20 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, TX, USA), rabbit anti-Irgd antiserum 81/3 [65], anti-Irgb10 antiserum 940/6 [19], rat anti-LAMP1 monoclonal antibody 1D4B (Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), anti-GM130 antibody (BD Biosciences, NJ, USA), rabbit anti-LC3B antiserum L7543 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), rabbit anti-Tom20 antiserum (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti-β-Actin monoclonal antibody (Sigma-Aldrich), and mouse anti-cathepsin B antibody (R&D systems, MN, USA). The following secondary antibodies were used: Alexa Fluor 488/555/647-labeled donkey anti-mouse/donkey anti-rabbit/donkey antirat antibody (all Life Technologies), HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody and HRP-conjugated donkey antirabbit antibody (both Sigma-Aldrich). Nuclear staining was performed with 0.5 mg/mL DAPI (Life Technologies), 1 µg/mL PI (Sigma-Aldrich), or 1 µg/mL Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich); 50 nM Lysotracker (Life Technologies) was used for lysosome staining; 0.1 µg/mL LD540 neutral lipid dye (kindly provided by Christoph Thiele, LIMES, Bonn) was used for the staining of lipid droplets as previously described [66].

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Immunofluorescent staining was performed on paraformaldehyde-fixed cells as described earlier [15]. Images were taken with Zeiss Axioplan II fluorescence microscope equipped with an AxioCam MRm camera (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) and processed with Axiovision 4.6 software (Zeiss). Co-localization analysis was performed by visual inspection of coded slides.

Live cell imaging was performed in μ -Slide I chambers (Ibidi, Martinsried, Germany). Approximately 60,000 cells were seeded in each chamber. After 24 hours, cells were transfected with 0.5 μ g DNA and 1.5 μ L X-tremeGENE 9 and incubated for 24 hours. Imaging was performed using Axiovert 200 M microscope with an AxioCam MRM camera (Zeiss) fitted with a wraparound temperature-controlled chamber.

SDS-PAGE and Western Blot

Approximately 100,000 MEFs/well were seeded in 12well cell culture plates. Samples were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ (PeproTech, Rocky Hill, NJ) for 24 hours, with 40 µg/ml RAP (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 hours, or left untreated. Samples were lysed in 80 μ L of modified RIPA buffer (0.1 % NP-40 and 1 % SDS) by 5 minutes of shaking and 5 minutes of 95 °C incubation. The amounts of protein were quantified with BCA assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) and 20 μ g of samples were subjected to 10 % SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis. Membranes were blocked in 5 % non-fat dry milk in TBST buffer and probed for the proteins of interest with the indicated primary and secondary antibodies. The intensity of the bands was quantified by Quantity One software (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Cell death assay

Approximately 200,000 immortalized MEFs or 300,000 BMDMs were seeded on a 6-cm cell culture dish in 2 mL of medium. Samples were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ , 10 mM LeuLeuOMe (Sigma-Aldrich), 500 ng/mL lipopolysaccharide (Sigma-Aldrich), or left untreated for 24, 48, or 72 hours. Before analysis, 500 µL of medium containing 2.5 µg/mL PI (Sigma-Aldrich) and 2.5 µg/mL Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich) dye was added directly to the cells without removing the old medium and incubated for 15 minutes at 37 °C. Ten images of each sample were taken with the fluorescent Axiovert 200 microscope (Zeiss). The number of Hoechst-positive nuclei (blue) and PI-positive (red) punctae was quantified with the Volocity 6.3 software (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) in at least 5000 cells per sample.

DQ-BSA assay

Approximately 60,000 WT, $Irgm1^{-/-}$, $Irgm3^{-/-}$, and $Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}$ MEFs were seeded in μ -Slide I chambers. After 24 hours, cells were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ or left untreated. After a further 24 hours, cells were incubated with 10 μ g/mL DQ Red BSA (Life technologies) [52] and in parallel treated with 200 U/mL IFN- γ or 200 nM Bafilomycin A1 (Sigma-Aldrich) or left untreated. After 1 hour, samples were twice washed with PBS and further incubated in medium containing the corresponding concentration of IFN- γ and Bafilomycin A1. After 3 hours, pictures of live cells on blinded slides were taken and the number of DQ-positive punctae was manually quantified.

Cathepsin B release analysis

To establish a concentration of LeuLeuOMe that can induce LMP without killing the cell, $Irgm1^{-/-}$ MEFs were treated with different concentrations of this reagent for 24 hours. Cell death was measured with PI/Hoechst staining assay. The highest tested concentration of Leu-LeuOMe that did not strongly affect cell survival was 3.75 mM (Additional file 9: Figure S9A). It was further microscopically tested whether the degree of LMP is correlated to the number of cathepsin B punctae. To induce LMP, *Irgm1*^{-/-} MEFs were treated with 2, 3, or 4 mM of LeuLeuOMe or left untreated for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with the anti-cathepsin B antibody. Pictures of the samples were taken and cathepsin B punctae in the cells were manually blind counted (Additional file 9: Figure S9B). Samples treated with higher concentrations of LeuLeuOMe had fewer cathepsin B punctae per cell, indicating that cathepsin B staining corresponds to the extent of the LMP in a dosedependent manner.

Cooperativity and hierarchy of IRG loading to lysosomes

Irgm1^{-/-} cells were induced with IFN- γ and stained for LAMP1 and combinations of different IRG proteins (Fig. 3c). The number of lysosomes that co-localize with only one or both IRG proteins was quantified in 20–30 cells per sample (~20–80 lysosomes per cell).

Probabilities that the observed values arise from independent loading of the two IRG proteins tested were based on a χ^2 comparison of observed values with expectation from independent loading.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Activated Irga6 co-localizes with the lysosomes. There is no cross-reactivity between 10D7 and 1D4B antibodies. Irgm1^{-/-} mouse embryonic fibroblasts were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with mouse antibody 10D7, which stains the Irga6 in GTP-bound state, and rat antibody 1D4B, which stains LAMP1 lysosomal marker. The antibodies were visualized with secondary antibodies Alexa 488 donkey-anti-mouse and Alexa 555 goat-anti-rat. To test the cross-reactivity, one of the primary or secondary reagents was omitted in each sample. The images of stained cells were taken. Representative microscopic images of GTP-bound Irga6 and LAMP1 are shown, with omitted antibody being annotated. (TIF 14983 kb)

Additional file 2: Figure S2. Irga6 co-localizes with LAMP1 in GMS transfected cells. Gene Switch 3T3 cells stably transfected with inducible Irga6 were stimulated with mifepristone and simultaneously transiently transfected with combinations of pGW1H-Irgm1, pGW1H-Irgm2, and pGW1H-Irgm3. Upon 24 hours of induction, samples were fixed and stained as in Fig. 2a. Representative microscopic images of Irga6 and lysosome co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the Irga6 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: Irga6, upper right: LAMP1, lower left: overlay, lower right: phase contrast. (TIF 10553 kb)

Additional file 3: Figure S3. Irgd and Irgb10 co-localize with LAMP1 in Irgm1^{-/-} mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). Irgm1^{-/-}, Irgm3^{-/-}, and Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-} MEFs were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-Irgd antiserum (**A**) or anti-Irgb10 antiserum (**B**) and anti-LAMP1 antibody. Representative microscopic images of Irgd and LAMP1 (**A**) or Irgb10 and LAMP1 (**B**) co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the Irgd or Irgb10 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: Irgd or Irgb10, upper right: LAMP1, lower left: merge, lower right: phase contrast. Scale bars represent 10 μ M. (TIF 7689 kb)

Additional file 4: Figure S4. Irga6 does not co-localize with mitochondria in GMS-deficient cells. A gs3T3 cells stably transfected with inducible *Irga6* were stimulated with mifepristone for 24 hours. Samples were fixed and stained with anti-Irga6 antibody (10D7) and anti-Tom20 antibody. Representative microscopic images of Irga6 and Tom20 co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the Irga6 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: Irga6, upper right: Tom20, lower left: merge, lower right: phase contrast. Scale bars represent 10 μ M. **B** Quantification of S4A, showing percent of Irga6 structures co-localizing with Tom20. 50 cells per sample were quantified. (TIF 5787 kb)

Additional file 5: Figure S5. Irga6 does not co-localize with the Golgi in GMS-deficient cells. **A** Wild type, *Irgm1^{-/-}*, *Irgm3^{-/-}*, and *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* mouse embryonic fibroblasts were induced with 200 U/mL IFN-γ for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained for Irga6 (165/3) and for Golgi marker GM130. Representative microscopic images of Irga6 and Golgi co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the Irga6 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: Irga6, upper right: GM130, lower left: merge. Scale bars represent 10 μM. **B** Quantification of S5A, showing percent of Irga6 structures co-localizing with GM130. 50 cells per sample were quantified. (TIF 8669 kb)

Additional file 6: Figure S6. Irga6 does not co-localize with Golgi in GMS-transfected cells. A gs3T3 cells stably transfected with inducible *Irga6* were stimulated with mifepristone and simultaneously transiently transfected with different combinations of pGW1H-Irgm1, pGW1H-Irgm2, and pGW1H-Irgm3 for 24 hours. Samples were fixed and stained for Irga6 and GM130. Representative microscopic images of Irga6 and Golgi co-localization are shown. Arrows point at the Irga6 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: Irga6, upper right: Golgi, lower left: merge, lower right: phase contrast. **B** Quantification of S6A, showing percent of Irga6 structures co-localizing with GM130. 50 cells per sample were quantified. (TIF 13762 kb)

Additional file 7: Figure S7. Number of autophagosomes is increased in IFN- γ -induced *Irgm1^{-/-}* cells. **A** Wild type and *Irgm1^{-/-}* mouse embryonic fibroblasts were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ for 24 hours and/or 40 µg/mL rapamycin for 2 hours, or left untreated. Cells were fixed and stained for LC3. Representative microscopic images of LC3 punctae and phase contrast are shown. Scale bars represent 10 µm. **B** Quantification of S7A, showing average number of LC3 punctae per cell. 50 cells per sample were counted and the results of two independent experiments are shown. (TIF 7475 kb)

Additional file 8: Figure S8 LC3 co-localizes with LAMP1 in IFN- γ induced *Irgm1^{-/-}* cells. Wild type (WT) and *Irgm1^{-/-}* mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were induced with 200 U/mL IFN- γ for 24 hours, 40 µg/ mL rapamycin for 2 hours, or left untreated. Cells were fixed and stained for LC3 and LAMP1. Representative microscopic images of LC3 and LAMP1 localization in WT and *Irgm1^{-/-}* MEFs are shown. Arrows point at the LC3 structures magnified at the end of each panel in the following array: upper left: LC3, upper right: LAMP1, lower left: merge, lower right: phase contrast. Scale bars represent 10 µM. (TIF 9874 kb)

Additional file 9: Figure S9. Cathepsin B punctae guantification in $Irgm 1^{-/-}$ mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). **A** $Irgm 1^{-/-}$ MEFs were treated with different concentrations of lysosomal permeabilization agent LeuLeuOMe or left untreated for 24 hours. Cells were stained with propidium iodide (PI) and Hoechst dye and analyzed as in Fig. 8. Percentage of PI-positive cells is shown; 5000-10000 cells per sample were quantified. **B** $Irgm 1^{-/-}$ MEFs were treated with 2, 3, or 4 mM of LeuLeuOMe or left untreated for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-cathepsin B antibody AF965. Average number of cathepsin B-positive punctae per cell is shown; 50 cells per sample were blind counted. **C** WT MEFs and *Irgm1^{-/-}* MEFs were treated with 200 U/mL IFN-y, 3 mM LeuLeuOMe, or left un-treated for 24 hours. Cells were fixed and stained with anti-cathepsin B antibody. Representative images of cathepsin B staining and phase contrast are shown. D Quantification of S9C, showing mean number of cathepsin B punctae per cell; 100 cells per sample were blind counted and the results of two independent experiments are shown. (TIF 19085 kb)

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

JMB, JPH, OK, SM, and JCH conceived and designed the experiments. JMB performed the experiments. JMB and JCH analyzed the data and wrote the paper. JMB, JPH, OK, JBH, and JCH contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Gregory Taylor (Duke University, North Carolina) for providing *Irgm1^{-/-}*, *Irgm3^{-/-}*, and *Irgm1/Irgm3^{-/-}* MEFs, Dr. Christoph Thiele (LIMES Bonn, Germany) for providing the LD540 dye, Dr. Susanne Bohlen (Cologne University, Germany) for technical assistance with LC3 western blot analysis, Jessie Zhang for technical performance of cathepsin B release analysis, the CECAD Imaging Facility (Cologne University) for access and support during usage of their devices and for providing YFP-Calreticulin construct, and Dr. Helen Springer-Frauenhoff and Dr. Stephanie Könen-Waisman for critical comments on the manuscript. We thank Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst (DAAD) and International Graduate School in Development Health and Disease (IGS-DHD), and SFBs 635, 670, and 680 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for funding. JCH thanks the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft for the award of a Max Planck Fellowship.

Author details

¹Institute for Genetics, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany. ²Department for Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Institute of Biomembranes, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ³Institute for Medical Microbiology, Immunology and Hygiene, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Germany. ⁴Max F. Perutz Laboratories, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. ⁵Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Rua da Quinta Grande 6, Oeiras, Portugal. ⁶Max-Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, Cologne, Germany.

Received: 28 January 2016 Accepted: 6 April 2016 Published online: 20 April 2016

References

- Boehm U, Guethlein L, Klamp T, Ozbek K, Schaub A, Futterer A, et al. Two families of GTPases dominate the complex cellular response to IFN-gamma. J Immunol. 1998;161(12):6715–23.
- Bekpen C, Hunn JP, Rohde C, Parvanova I, Guethlein L, Dunn DM, et al. The interferon-inducible p47 (IRG) GTPases in vertebrates: loss of the cell autonomous resistance mechanism in the human lineage. Genome Biol. 2005;6(11):R92.
- Taylor GA, Collazo CM, Yap GS, Nguyen K, Gregorio TA, Taylor LS, et al. Pathogen-specific loss of host resistance in mice lacking the IFN-gammainducible gene IGTP. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97(2):751–5.
- Collazo CM, Yap GS, Sempowski GD, Lusby KC, Tessarollo L, Woude GF, et al. Inactivation of LRG-47 and IRG-47 reveals a family of interferon gammainducible genes with essential, pathogen-specific roles in resistance to infection. J Exp Med. 2001;194(2):181–8.
- Ling YM, Shaw MH, Ayala C, Coppens I, Taylor GA, Ferguson DJ, et al. Vacuolar and plasma membrane stripping and autophagic elimination of Toxoplasma gondii in primed effector macrophages. J Exp Med. 2006;203(9): 2063–71.
- Henry SC, Daniell XG, Burroughs AR, Indaram M, Howell DN, Coers J, et al. Balance of Irgm protein activities determines IFN-gamma-induced host defense. J Leukoc Biol. 2009;85(5):877–85.
- Zhao YO, Khaminets A, Hunn JP, Howard JC. Disruption of the Toxoplasma gondii parasitophorous vacuole by IFNgamma-inducible immunity-related GTPases (IRG proteins) triggers necrotic cell death. PLoS Pathog. 2009;5(2): e1000288.
- Martens S, Parvanova I, Zerrahn J, Griffiths G, Schell G, Reichmann G, et al. Disruption of Toxoplasma gondii parasitophorous vacuoles by the mouse p47-resistance GTPases. PLoS Pathog. 2005;1(3):e24.
- Liesenfeld O, Parvanova I, Zerrahn J, Han SJ, Heinrich F, Munoz M, et al. The IFN-gamma-inducible GTPase, Irga6, protects mice against Toxoplasma gondii but not against Plasmodium berghei and some other intracellular pathogens. PLoS One. 2011;6(6):e20568.
- Nelson DE, Virok DP, Wood H, Roshick C, Johnson RM, Whitmire WM, et al. Chlamydial IFN-gamma immune evasion is linked to host infection tropism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102(30):10658–63.
- Coers J, Bernstein-Hanley I, Grotsky D, Parvanova I, Howard JC, Taylor GA, et al. Chlamydia muridarum evades growth restriction by the IFNgamma-inducible host resistance factor lrgb10. J Immunol. 2008;180(9): 6237–45.
- Coers J, Gondek DC, Olive AJ, Rohlfing A, Taylor GA, Starnbach MN. Compensatory T cell responses in IRG-deficient mice prevent sustained Chlamydia trachomatis infections. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(6):e1001346.

- Miyairi I, Tatireddigari VR, Mahdi OS, Rose LA, Belland RJ, Lu L, et al. The p47 GTPases ligp2 and lrgb10 regulate innate immunity and inflammation to murine Chlamydia psittaci infection. J Immunol. 2007; 179(3):1814–24.
- Ferreira-da-Silva Mda F, Springer-Frauenhoff HM, Bohne W, Howard JC. Identification of the microsporidian Encephalitozoon cuniculi as a new target of the IFNgamma-inducible IRG resistance system. PLoS Pathog. 2014; 10(10):e1004449.
- 15. Martens S. Cell-Biology of Interferon Inducible GTPases. Cologne: PhD Thesis Institute for Genetics, University of Cologne; 2004.
- Hunn JP, Koenen-Waisman S, Papic N, Schroeder N, Pawlowski N, Lange R, et al. Regulatory interactions between IRG resistance GTPases in the cellular response to Toxoplasma gondii. Embo J. 2008;27(19):2495–509.
- Martens S, Sabel K, Lange R, Uthaiah R, Wolf E, Howard JC. Mechanisms regulating the positioning of mouse p47 resistance GTPases LRG-47 and IIGP1 on cellular membranes: retargeting to plasma membrane induced by phagocytosis. J Immunol. 2004;173(4):2594–606.
- Martens S, Howard J. The interferon-inducible GTPases. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2006;22:559–89.
- Khaminets A, Hunn JP, Konen-Waisman S, Zhao YO, Preukschat D, Coers J, et al. Coordinated loading of IRG resistance GTPases on to the Toxoplasma gondii parasitophorous vacuole. Cell Microbiol. 2010;12(7):939–61.
- Hunn JP, Howard JC. The mouse resistance protein Irgm1 (LRG-47): a regulator or an effector of pathogen defense? PLoS Pathog. 2010;6(7): e1001008.
- Haldar AK, Saka HA, Piro AS, Dunn JD, Henry SC, Taylor GA, et al. IRG and GBP host resistance factors target aberrant, "non-self" vacuoles characterized by the missing of "self" IRGM proteins. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(6):e1003414.
- 22. Coers J. Self and non-self discrimination of intracellular membranes by the innate immune system. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9(9):e1003538.
- Butcher BA, Greene RI, Henry SC, Annecharico KL, Weinberg JB, Denkers EY, et al. p47 GTPases regulate Toxoplasma gondii survival in activated macrophages. Infect Immun. 2005;73(6):3278–86.
- Tiwari S, Choi HP, Matsuzawa T, Pypaert M, MacMicking JD. Targeting of the GTPase Irgm1 to the phagosomal membrane via Ptdlns(3,4)P(2) and Ptdlns(3,4,5)P(3) promotes immunity to mycobacteria. Nat Immunol. 2009; 10(8):907–17.
- Zhao YO, Konen-Waisman S, Taylor GA, Martens S, Howard JC. Localisation and mislocalisation of the interferon-inducible immunity-related GTPase, Irgm1 (LRG-47) in mouse cells. PLoS One. 2010;5(1):e8648.
- Chang CP, Yang MC, Lei HY. Concanavalin A/IFN-gamma triggers autophagy-related necrotic hepatocyte death through IRGM1-mediated lysosomal membrane disruption. PLoS One. 2011;6(12):e28323.
- Springer HM, Schramm M, Taylor GA, Howard JC. Irgm1 (LRG-47), a regulator of cell-autonomous immunity, does not localize to mycobacterial or listerial phagosomes in IFN-gamma-induced mouse cells. J Immunol. 2013;191(4):1765–74.
- MacMicking JD, Taylor GA, McKinney JD. Immune control of tuberculosis by IFN-gamma-inducible LRG-47. Science. 2003;302(5645):654–9.
- Gutierrez MG, Master SS, Singh SB, Taylor GA, Colombo MI, Deretic V. Autophagy is a defense mechanism inhibiting BCG and Mycobacterium tuberculosis survival in infected macrophages. Cell. 2004;119(6):753–66.
- Singh SB, Davis AS, Taylor GA, Deretic V. Human IRGM induces autophagy to eliminate intracellular mycobacteria. Science. 2006;313(5792):1438–41.
- Taylor GA, Stauber R, Rulong S, Hudson E, Pei V, Pavlakis GN, et al. The inducibly expressed GTPase localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum, independently of GTP binding. J Biol Chem. 1997;272(16):10639–45.
- Bougneres L, Helft J, Tiwari S, Vargas P, Chang BH, Chan L, et al. A role for lipid bodies in the cross-presentation of phagocytosed antigens by MHC class I in dendritic cells. Immunity. 2009;31(2):232–44.
- Papic N, Hunn JP, Pawlowski N, Zerrahn J, Howard JC. Inactive and active states of the interferon-inducible resistance GTPase, Irga6, in vivo. J Biol Chem. 2008;283(46):32143–51.
- Feng CG, Collazo-Custodio CM, Eckhaus M, Hieny S, Belkaid Y, Elkins K, et al. Mice deficient in LRG-47 display increased susceptibility to mycobacterial infection associated with the induction of lymphopenia. J Immunol. 2004; 172(2):1163–8.
- Feng CG, Zheng L, Jankovic D, Bafica A, Cannons JL, Watford WT, et al. The immunity-related GTPase Irgm1 promotes the expansion of activated CD4+ T cell populations by preventing interferon-gamma-induced cell death. Nat Immunol. 2008;9(11):1279–87.

- 36. Taylor GA, Feng CG, Sher A. p47 GTPases: regulators of immunity to intracellular pathogens. Nat Rev Immunol. 2004;4(2):100–9.
- Santiago HC, Feng CG, Bafica A, Roffe E, Arantes RM, Cheever A, et al. Mice deficient in LRG-47 display enhanced susceptibility to Trypanosoma cruzi infection associated with defective hemopoiesis and intracellular control of parasite growth. J Immunol. 2005;175(12):8165–72.
- Bafica A, Feng CG, Santiago HC, Aliberti J, Cheever A, Thomas KE, et al. The IFN-inducible GTPase LRG47 (Irgm1) negatively regulates TLR4-triggered proinflammatory cytokine production and prevents endotoxemia. J Immunol. 2007;179(8):5514–22.
- Liu B, Gulati AS, Cantillana V, Henry SC, Schmidt EA, Daniell X, et al. Irgm1deficient mice exhibit Paneth cell abnormalities and increased susceptibility to acute intestinal inflammation. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2013;305(8):G573–84.
- Xu H, Wu ZY, Fang F, Guo L, Chen D, Chen JX, et al. Genetic deficiency of Irgm1 (LRG-47) suppresses induction of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by promoting apoptosis of activated CD4+ T cells. Faseb J. 2010;24(5):1583–92.
- Wang C, Dong H, Wu XM, Xia F, Li G, Jia X, et al. Immune-related GTPase Irgm1 exacerbates experimental auto-immune encephalomyelitis by promoting the disruption of blood-brain barrier and blood-cerebrospinal fluid barrier. Mol Immunol. 2013;53(1–2):43–51.
- He S, Wang C, Dong H, Xia F, Zhou H, Jiang X, et al. Immune-related GTPase M (IRGM1) regulates neuronal autophagy in a mouse model of stroke. Autophagy. 2012;8(11):1621–7.
- MacMicking JD. Interferon-inducible effector mechanisms in cellautonomous immunity. Nat Rev Immunol. 2012;12(5):367–82.
- 44. Deretic V. Autophagy in immunity and cell-autonomous defense against intracellular microbes. Immunol Rev. 2011;240(1):92–104.
- Feng CG, Weksberg DC, Taylor GA, Sher A, Goodell MA. The p47 GTPase Lrg-47 (Irgm1) links host defense and hematopoietic stem cell proliferation. Cell Stem Cell. 2008;2(1):83–9.
- Henry SC, Daniell X, Indaram M, Whitesides JF, Sempowski GD, Howell D, et al. Impaired macrophage function underscores susceptibility to Salmonella in mice lacking Irgm1 (LRG-47). J Immunol. 2007;179(10):6963–72.
- King KY, Baldridge MT, Weksberg DC, Chambers SM, Lukov GL, Wu S, et al. Irgm1 protects hematopoietic stem cells by negative regulation of IFN signaling. Blood. 2011;118(6):1525–33.
- Traver MK, Henry SC, Cantillana V, Oliver T, Hunn JP, Howard JC, et al. Immunity-related GTPase M (IRGM) proteins influence the localization of guanylate-binding protein 2 (GBP2) by modulating macroautophagy. J Biol Chem. 2011;286(35):30471–80.
- 49. Jia W, He YW. Temporal regulation of intracellular organelle homeostasis in T lymphocytes by autophagy. J Immunol. 2011;186(9):5313–22.
- 50. Pua HH, Guo J, Komatsu M, He YW. Autophagy is essential for mitochondrial clearance in mature T lymphocytes. J Immunol. 2009;182(7):4046–55.
- Feng Y, He D, Yao Z, Klionsky DJ. The machinery of macroautophagy. Cell Res. 2014;24(1):24–41.
- Vazquez CL, Colombo MI. Assays to assess autophagy induction and fusion of autophagic vacuoles with a degradative compartment, using monodansylcadaverine (MDC) and DQ-BSA. Methods Enzymol. 2009; 452:85–95.
- Boya P, Kroemer G. Lysosomal membrane permeabilization in cell death. Oncogene. 2008;27(50):6434–51.
- Mizuta H, Watanabe S, Sakurai Y, Nishiyama K, Furuta T, Kobayashi Y, et al. Design, synthesis, photochemical properties and cytotoxic activities of water-soluble caged L-leucyl-L-leucine methyl esters that control apoptosis of immune cells. Bioorg Med Chem. 2002;10(3):675–83.
- Uchimoto T, Nohara H, Kamehara R, Iwamura M, Watanabe N, Kobayashi Y. Mechanism of apoptosis induced by a lysosomotropic agent, L-Leucyl-L-Leucine methyl ester. Apoptosis. 1999;4(5):357–62.
- Terskikh AV, Easterday MC, Li L, Hood L, Kornblum HI, Geschwind DH, et al. From hematopoiesis to neuropoiesis: evidence of overlapping genetic programs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(14):7934–9.
- Venezia TA, Merchant AA, Ramos CA, Whitehouse NL, Young AS, Shaw CA, et al. Molecular signatures of proliferation and quiescence in hematopoietic stem cells. PLoS Biol. 2004;2(10):e301.
- Kaiser F. Moelkulare Charakterisierung und funktionelle Analyse der Interferon-induzierten 47 kDa GRPase IIGP. Berlin: Technical University of Berlin; 2005.

- Haldar AK, Foltz C, Finethy R, Piro AS, Feeley EM, Pilla-Moffett DM, et al. Ubiquitin systems mark pathogen-containing vacuoles as targets for host defense by guanylate binding proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015; 112(41):E5628–37.
- Kravets E, Degrandi D, Ma Q, Peulen TO, Klumpers V, Felekyan S, et al. Guanylate binding proteins directly attack Toxoplasma gondii via supramolecular complexes. Elife. 2016;5:e11479. doi:10.7554/eLife.11479.
- Johnston AC, Piro A, Clough B, Siew M, Virreira Winter S, Coers J, et al. Human GBP1 does not localise to pathogen vacuoles but restricts Toxoplasma gondii. Cell Microbiol. 2016. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12579. [Epub ahead of print.]
- 62. Degrandi D, Konermann C, Beuter-Gunia C, Kresse A, Wurthner J, Kurig S, et al. Extensive characterization of IFN-induced GTPases mGBP1 to mGBP10 involved in host defense. J Immunol. 2007;179(11):7729–40.
- Bekpen C, Marques-Bonet T, Alkan C, Antonacci F, Leogrande MB, Ventura M, et al. Death and resurrection of the human IRGM gene. PLoS Genet. 2009;5(3):e1000403.
- Lilue J, Muller UB, Steinfeldt T, Howard JC. Reciprocal virulence and resistance polymorphism in the relationship between Toxoplasma gondii and the house mouse. Elife. 2013;2:e01298.
- Pawlowski N, Khaminets A, Hunn JP, Papic N, Schmidt A, Uthaiah RC, et al. The activation mechanism of Irga6, an interferon-inducible GTPase contributing to mouse resistance against Toxoplasma gondii. BMC Biol. 2011;9:7.
- 66. Spandl J, White DJ, Peychl J, Thiele C. Live cell multicolor imaging of lipid droplets with a new dye, LD540. Traffic. 2009;10(11):1579–84.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

