Stringent Dilepton Bounds on Left-Right Models using LHC data

Sudhanwa Patra^{1,2}, Farinaldo S. Queiroz¹, Werner Rodejohann^{1*}

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik,

Postfach 103980, 69029 Heidelberg, Germany

² Center of Excellence in Theoretical and Mathematical Sciences,

Siksha 'O' Anusandhan University, Bhubaneswar-751030, India

In canonical left-right symmetric models the lower mass bounds on the charged gauge bosons are in the ballpark of 3 - 4 TeV, resulting into much stronger limits on the neutral gauge boson Z_R , making its production unreachable at the LHC. However, if one evokes different patterns of left-right symmetry breaking the Z_R might be lighter than the W_R^{\pm} motivating an independent Z_R collider study. In this work, we use the 8 TeV ATLAS 20.3 fb⁻¹ luminosity data to derive robust bounds on the Z_R mass using dilepton data. We find strong lower bounds on the Z_R mass for different right-handed gauge couplings, excluding Z_R masses up to ~ 3.2 TeV. For the canonical LR model we place a lower mass bound of ~ 2.5 TeV. Our findings are almost independent of the right-handed neutrino masses (~ 2% effect) and applicable to general left-right models.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq,14.60.Pq

I. INTRODUCTION

Left-Right (LR) symmetric models are popular extensions of the Standard Model (SM) and are based on the gauge group $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$ [1]. They were originally motivated to explain the origin of parity violation [2] of weak interactions and found to be related to the generation of light neutrino masses via the seesaw mechanisms [3, 4], linking in fact the smallness of neutrino mass with parity violation. The LR symmetry may be interpreted as the first step of an eventual unification of gauge forces as well.

While aesthetically very appealing, the theories do not predict the scale of parity restoration, leaving this question open to experiment. What is generic in LR symmetric models is the presence of right-handed currents and of gauge bosons W_R^{\pm} and Z_R associated with the additional SU(2) gauge group. The search for those generic features has been however unsuccessful so far. Recently, several studies have been made in TeV scale LR symmetric models exploiting meson [5, 6], collider [7–10], flavor [11–13] and neutrinoless double beta decay data [14–18]

What these analyses have in common is assuming that (i) the masses of the charged bosons W_R^{\pm} are smaller than that of the neutral one Z_R , and that (ii) the gauge couplings of the left- and right-handed interactions $(g_L \text{ and } g_R)$ are identical. This implies in particular that the effects of the W_R^{\pm} are the ones that matter in testing the models and in determining the scale of LR symmetry. Indeed, models typically advocate the presence of triplet and bidoublet scalars to generate the fermion and gauge boson masses. In this case both $g_L = g_R$ and $M_{W_R} > M_{Z_R}$ result, and the scenario has been widely explored with TeV scale breaking of $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ down to $U(1)_Y$ resulting in charged and neutral gauge bosons

with masses around the TeV scale. Within this symmetry breaking pattern W_R collider searches have been applied because they provide stronger constraints. For instance, CMS imposes $M_{W_R} \gtrsim 3$ TeV [19] assuming $M_{W_R} > M_{N_R}$ (N_R being the right-handed neutrinos), which translates into $M_{Z'} \gtrsim 5.1$ TeV using the mass relation $M_{Z_R} \simeq 1.7 M_{W_R}$ that holds in canonical LR models. There are also important limits stemming from electroweak data ($M_{Z_R} \gtrsim 1$ TeV), and $K - \bar{K}$ oscillations ($M_{W_R} \gtrsim 4$ TeV for P-parity as the discrete LR symmetry), which results into $M_{Z_R} \gtrsim 6.8$ TeV [6].

In this respect, one can compare the limits with Z' constraints of theories in which only B - L is gauged. LEP2 imposes $M_{Z'} \gtrsim 6 \times g_{BL}$ TeV, where g_{BL} is the gauge coupling [20]. However, this limit on the B - L coupling cannot be easily applied to LR models, even though there is a gauge coupling relation $1/g_Y^2 = 1/g_{BL}^2 + 1/g_R^2$. In $U(1)_{B-L}$ theories g_{BL} solely determines the Z'-fermion couplings which are purely vectorial. In LR models, on the other hand, there are vector and axial couplings and other constant factors related to the Weinberg angle which suppress the coupling to leptons. Therefore, those extra factors should be taken into account. Indeed, the overall couplings to leptons are dwindled along with the LEP2 bound stemming from B - L theories, as one can see in Ref. [21]. There, the authors found a lower mass limit of 667 GeV on the Z' for $g_R = g_L$.

We stress here the both features mentioned above, $g_L = g_R$ and $M_{W_R} > M_{Z_R}$, are not guaranteed in general, and the experimental searches for LR symmetry should not be limited to those assumptions. In particular one might evoke different symmetry breaking patterns yielding $M_{Z_R} < M_{W_R}$. Hence from a general perspective, it is crucial to carry out an independent Z_R -collider study, since in this mass regime Z_R collider searches become the most effective way of constraining LR models specially when W_R is sufficiently heavy, out of reach of current experiments. Without losing generality, we use in this paper ATLAS data at 8 TeV and 20.3 fb⁻¹ luminosity, to set limits on the Z_R mass of LR models using dilepton data using MadGraph5,Pythia and Delphes3, as dilepton resonance searches are the most efficient method to bound neutral

^{*}Electronic address: sudhanwa@mpi-hd.mpg.de; Electronic address: queiroz@mpi-hd.mpg.de; Electronic address: werner.rodejohann@mpihd.mpg.de

gauge bosons that have non-negligible couplings to leptons [22, 23]. We emphasize that our results are quite general because they rely only on the neutral current of the Z_R gauge boson.

Additionally to those limits, we present as an explicit example a model based on a two step-breaking pattern which generates $M_{Z_R} < M_{W_R}$ in a consistent way, with predicted g_R/g_L ratios by forcing unification at the GUT scale.

II. LEFT-RIGHT SYMMETRIC MODEL

Left-right symmetric models are based on the gauge group $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \times SU(3)_C$. In addition, a discrete left-right symmetry is present implying equal values of gauge couplings for the $SU(2)_{L,R}$ gauge groups i.e. $g_L = g_R$. The quarks and leptons come as LR symmetric doublet representations $Q_{L,R} = (u,d)_{L,R}^T$ and $\ell_{L,R} = (\nu,e)_{L,R}^T$. In the conventional and most often studied left-right symmetric models $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ is broken down to $U(1)_Y$ in one single step. In particular, the discrete left-right symmetry (denoted as parity or charge conjugation) and the $SU(2)_R$ gauge symmetry are broken at the same time and scale¹.

However, it should be noted here that the spontaneous symmetry breaking of $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ down to $U(1)_Y$ can be achieved either by Higgs triplets ($\Delta_L \oplus \Delta_R$ with even B-L=2) or Higgs doublets ($\chi_L \oplus \chi_R$ with odd B-L=-1) or a combination of both Higgs doublets and triplets. With the simple Higgs sector comprising of a bidoublet plus $SU(2)_{L,R}$ triplets $\Delta_{L,R}$, the known formula between the right-handed charged and neutral gauge boson masses is given by

$$\frac{M_{Z_R}}{M_{W_R}} = \frac{\sqrt{2g_R/g_L}}{\sqrt{(g_R/g_L)^2 - \tan^2 \theta_W}} \,. \tag{1}$$

With $g_L \simeq g_R$, one can find that $M_{Z_R} = 1.7M_{W_R}$. Thus, the existing experimental bounds on M_{W_R} can be translated into more restrictive limits on M_{Z_R} . The aforementioned limits on the W_R mass which are in the ballpark of several TeV make the Z_R production unattainable at the LHC.

Albeit, in this work we consider different classes of LR models, where this mass relation does not apply. In particular, the W_R mass is set to be at a scale much larger than TeV, whereas the Z_R mass lies at the TeV scale. A possible way to conceive this setup is by introducing two triplet scalars $\Omega_{R,L}$ and $\Delta_{L,R}$, with B - L = 0, -2 respectively. With their inclusion the LR symmetry breaks down to the SM gauge group in two steps: (i) $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$ breaks to² $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$ at W_R mass scale which is implemented through the vacuum expectation value



FIG. 1: Feynman diagram representing dilepton resonance searches at LHC.

(vev) of the heavier triplet carrying B - L = 0, i.e. Ω_R ; (ii) then $U(1)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$ breaks down to $U(1)_Y$ at the Z_R mass scale defined by the vev of the Δ_R^0 . Setting the vev of Ω_R to a very high energy scale, W_R completely decouples from Z_R . Moreover, we need a bi-doublet (Φ) to break $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ down to electromagnetism.

Assuming the lighter right-handed neutral gauge boson Z_R acquires mass at the TeV scale, then Z_R searches become the most promising ones to derive limits on the mass of this neutral boson. In the next section we discuss the Z_R phenomenology and derive dilepton limits using recent ATLAS data.

Of course, one does not have to rely on the precise symmetry breaking pattern we are proposing. The idea of having a light neutral gauge boson and a very heavy charged one is what our reasoning is mostly based on. A more detailed study of the above symmetry breaking pattern, plus analyses of the scalar potential, neutrino masses and other phenomenological consequences will be presented elsewhere [26]. As far as dilepton bounds are concerned which are the focus of this work, the relevant interactions for us are the Z_R -fermions couplings given by

$$\frac{g_R}{\sqrt{1-\delta\tan^2\theta_W}}\overline{f}\,\gamma_\mu\left(g_V^f - g_A^f\gamma^5\right)\,f\,Z_R^\mu\,,\tag{2}$$

where the vector and axial couplings are defined as

$$g_V^f = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left\{ \delta \tan^2 \theta_W \left(T_{3L}^f - \mathbf{Q}^f \right) \right\} + \left\{ T_{3R}^f - \delta \tan^2 \theta_W \mathbf{Q}^f \right\} \right]$$
$$g_A^f = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left\{ \delta \tan^2 \theta_W \left(T_{3L}^f - \mathbf{Q}^f \right) \right\} - \left\{ T_{3R}^f - \delta \tan^2 \theta_W \mathbf{Q}^f \right\} \right]$$

with $\delta = g_L^2/g_R^2$ the ratio of gauge couplings, $T_{3L,3R}^f$ being $1/2 \ (-1/2)$ for up (down) type fermions and Q^f the respective electric charge. It is clear that the neutral current is general, and thus the coupling strengths. For this precise reason the limits that we are about to derive in the next section are applicable to a rather large class of LR models.

III. DILEPTON LIMITS

Dileptons and dijet searches have been proved to be the most effective as far as bounds on additional Z' bosons are concerned unless they have negligible couplings to leptons or large branching ratios to missing energy such as dark matter [27–30]. Hence, in the classes of LR symmetric models we

¹ It is possible to break the discrete and gauge symmetry at different scales, leading in particular to $g_L \neq g_R$ at the electroweak scale [24, 25], see also the Appendix.

² Note that the rank of $SU(2)_R$ and $U(1)_R$ are the same, which always happens if the breaking is mediated by a Higgs field in the adjoint representation.



FIG. 2: Dilepton limits on the Z_R mass using ATLAS 8 TeV 20.3 fb⁻¹ integrated luminosity data for two different g_R values ($g_R = 0.65$ is the canonical value equal to g_L). For a larger g_R range see Fig. 3. In the inner graph we show the essentially absent dependence of our limits on the right-handed neutrino masses due to their small associated branching ratio.

are studying we need to consider those in order to set limits on the Z_R . The neutral gauge bosons can be produced in the *s*-channel from $q\bar{q}$ annihilation. To perform the search, the dilepton invariant mass line shape is studied for a localized excess of events corresponding to a new physics resonance.

To derive the dilepton limits on this specific model we simulate the process $pp \rightarrow Z_R \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$, plus up to two extra jets using MadGraph5 [31], and compare with the results from the ATLAS collaboration reported in Ref. [32], from where we also take the background events. For this reason we obtain the number of events in bins of the dilepton invariant mass M_{ll} as follows: 110 - 200 GeV, 200 - 400 GeV, 400 - 800 GeV, 800 - 1200 GeV, 1200 - 3000 GeV, 3000 - 4500 GeV. For the signal events we account for clustering and hadronizing jets as well as for soft and collinear QCD radiation with Pythia [33], and simulate detector efficiencies with Delphes3 [34]. In our results we used the CTEQ6L parton distribution functions computed at $\mu_F = \mu_R = M_{Z_R}$ [35]. Following the procedure in Ref. [32], the signal events were selected by applying the cuts:

- $p_T(e_1) > 40 \,\text{GeV}, p_T(e_2) > 30 \,\text{GeV}, |\eta_e| < 2.47,$
- $p_T(\mu_1) > 25 \,\text{GeV}, p_T(\mu_2) > 25 \,\text{GeV}, |\eta_{\mu}| < 2.47,$
- $110 \,\mathrm{GeV} < M_{ll} < 4.5 \,\mathrm{TeV},$

where l_1 and l_2 represent the hardest and next hardest lepton in the event, whereas M_{ll} is the invariant mass of the lepton pair. That being said, we simply compute the number of dilepton events for the signal and compare with the background events to derive 95% C.L. limits on the Z_R mass.

The result is shown in Fig. 2 for $g_R = 0.65, 1$, where the former value corresponds to the canonical LR model. For $g_R = 0.65(1)$ we exclude Z_R masses below 2490(3250) GeV. We point out that our results are independent of the right-handed neutrino masses, as shown in the inner plot in Fig. 2,



FIG. 3: Dilepton limits on the Z_R mass for different g_R values. From Eq. (2) the Z_R -fermion coupling strength does not always grow with g_R because of the presence of extra $1/g_R^2$ factors in the vector/axial couplings, explaining the shape of the figure.

simply because the branching ratio into right-handed neutrinos is rather small. When right-handed neutrinos are kinematically available for the Z_R to decay into the limits on the Z_R change by approximately 2%, which is basically unnoticeable in the inner graph of Fig. 2.

In order to account for several possible symmetry breaking schemes which may induce different g_R values, we show in Fig. 3 how our limits change as we vary g_R . We stress that as g_R increases the Z_R -fermion couplings do not necessarily grow as one can see from Eq. (2), since there are additional $1/g_R^2$ factors in the vector/axial couplings, explaining the shape of the figure, which is different from the one with W_R bounds discussed in Ref. [36]. From Fig. 3 we observe that dilepton data excludes Z_R masses below 2760, 2209, 2314, 2643 GeV for $g_R = 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7$ respectively. So far those g_R values are simply random choices, but we stress that by embedding the symmetry breaking scheme in a SO(10) model, g_R can be predicted by enforcing gauge coupling unification as shown in the appendix for a particular example.

In summary, our limits are quite general because they rely simply on the Z_R -fermions couplings and thus are applicable to a multitude of LR models. Besides, they comprise the most stringent direct limits on the Z_R mass. We point out that the scale of the Left-Right symmetry breaking can always be pushed up to higher scales, in principle, to evade our bounds, i.e. assume heavier mediators. Concerning, collider projections, in order to determine the discovery potential at LHC 13 TeV for instance, one would have to know the fake jet rate and the dilepton efficiencies at LHC 13 TeV, which are unknown at this point. However, a rather speculative study could be done though. Since our limits lie in the ballpark of 2.5 - 3 TeV and heavy dilepton resonances are clean signals, it is clear that Z_R bosons with such masses will be either ruled out or observed at LHC 13 TeV.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Canonical left-right symmetric models suffer from strong bounds on the charged gauge boson mass, which result in much stronger limits on the Z_R mass due to the mass relation that holds in those models. If one explores different patterns of left-right symmetry breaking the Z_R may be light enough to be produced at the LHC while the W_R is way heavier, motivating an independent Z_R collider study.

As proof of principle, we presented a symmetry breaking scheme which consistently generates the inverted mass hierarchy $M_{Z_R} > M_{W_R}$ with the Z_R mass at the TeV scale. In the appendix, we show that through demanding gauge coupling unification and embedding the model in SO(10) the value of the right-handed gauge coupling g_R can be predicted, which for the example under study is in the ballpark of 0.4 for several $U(1)_{B-L}$ breaking scales. We note that models with very large W_R masses have the advantage of suppressing the W_L - W_R mixing, which generates dangerously large lepton flavor violating processes.

After showing that light Z_R can be generated in LR models, we performed a collider analysis using the 8 TeV ATLAS 20.3 fb⁻¹ luminosity dilepton data to derive robust and stringent bounds on the Z_R mass, due to the sizable Z_R -lepton couplings. For different g_R/g_L ratios ranging from 0.4 up to 1.2 to effectively cover several different patterns of symmetry breaking, our limits in the Z_R mass are given in Fig. 3. We emphasize that our results are general since they rely simply on the neutral current of the Z_R gauge boson. In particular we exclude Z_R masses up to $\simeq 3.2$ TeV for $g_R = 1$. For $g_R = g_L$ (canonical LR model) we derive a lower masss bound of $\simeq 2.5$ TeV, which is the most stringent direct limit in the literature of LR models on the Z_R mass.

Our findings are almost independent of the right-handed neutrino masses due to their small branching ratio, and applicable to general left-right models. We stress that our bounds are the leading ones when $M_{Z_R} > M_{W_R}$, and complementary to the existing indirect ones stemming from W_R searches in the setup $M_{W_R} < M_{Z_R}$. Either way, we provide the most stringent direct limits on the Z_R mass of LR models.

Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India under the financial grant SB/S2/HEP-011/2013 (SP) and by the Max Planck Society in the project MANITOP (SP and WR). The authors thank Alexandre Alves, Carlos Yaguna, Pavel Fileviez Perez for fruitful discussions.

Appendix A: SO(10) GUT embedding and determination of $\delta = g_L^2/g_R^2$

For the sake of completeness, let us shortly discuss a possible SO(10) GUT embedding of the model from Section II, which consistently predicts the g_L/g_R ratio and $M_{Z_R} \ll$



FIG. 4: Running of the coupling constants. By forcing grand unification at high scale we can predict the value of g_R at the electroweak scale.

 M_{W_R} . More details will be presented elsewhere [26]. We discuss here one exemplary symmetry breaking chain of SO(10) GUT with the desire of having low B - L breaking scale so that the extra neutral gauge boson Z_R could be in the TeV range leading to interesting collider searches while decoupling the right-handed charged gauge bosons W_R .

The precise determination of $\delta = g_L^2/g_R^2$ (or g_R) depends upon the $SU(2)_R$ breaking scale and the choice of Higgs spectrum required for spontaneous symmetry breaking. Here we choose D-parity, which is broken spontaneously. Such LR models have been originally conceived in Refs. [24, 25] and recently in Refs. [16, 37–39]. We briefly point out here how the spontaneous D-Parity breaking scenario is different from usual LR model, and essentially decouples discrete and gauged left-right symmetries.

The spontaneous breaking of D-parity occurs at reasonably high energy scale along with $SU(2)_R \rightarrow U(1)_R$ breaking, simultaneously resulting in a mass of W_R at high scale. Below this scale, the RG evolution of gauge couplings for $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_R$ evolves differently guaranteeing the mismatch between $g_R \neq g_L$ at low energy. At a later stage, $U(1)_R \times$ $U(1)_{B-L} \rightarrow U(1)_Y$ breaking is achieved by Δ_R^0 at the M_{Z_R} scale.

As a effect of spontaneous D-parity breaking mechanism, the RG evolution for both gauge couplings for $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_R$ gauge group is different, resulting in different values for gauge couplings g_L and g_R from M_U onwards up to M_Z scale. In addition, the right-handed charged gauge bosons W_R acquire mass around ω_R which we have chosen here to be greater than 10^{10} GeV making it inaccessible to high energy collider searches. We emphasize again that our bounds on the Z_R mass are independent of this choice.

We fix the B - L breaking scale in the range of 1 - 10 TeV to keep the Z_R mass around LHC scale. From the unification plot for gauge couplings shown in Fig. 4, the numerical values for the intermediate mass parameters and the mismatch between the two gauge couplings g_L and g_R are estimated to be

$$M_U = 10^{15.98} \,\text{GeV}, \ M_R = 10^9 \,\text{GeV}, \ M_{B-L} = 5 \,\text{TeV},$$

$$\frac{g_R}{g_L} \approx 0.78 \,, \qquad \delta = 1.28 \,.$$

We have checked that the coupling ratio remains basically the

- J.C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10, 275 (1974) [Erratumibid. D 11, 703 (1975)]; R.N. Mohapatra and J.C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11, 566 (1975); R.N. Mohapatra and J.C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11, 2558 (1975).
- [2] G. Senjanović and R.N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 12, 1502 (1975); G. Senjanović, Nucl. Phys. B 153, 334 (1979).
- [3] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B 67, 421 (1977); M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky (1980), print-80-0576 (CERN); R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, R.N. Mohapatra, G. Senjanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 912; J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 22, 2227 (1980).
- [4] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D 23, 165 (1981); G. Lazarides, Q. Shafi and C Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. B 181, 287 (1981);
- [5] G. Beall, M. Bander and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 848 (1982).
- [6] S. Bertolini, A. Maiezza and F. Nesti, Phys. Rev. D 89, no. 9, 095028 (2014) [arXiv:1403.7112 [hep-ph]].
- [7] N. Bakhet, T. Hussein and M. Y. Khlopov, arXiv:1406.3254 [hep-ph].
- [8] W.-Y. Keung and G. Senjanovic, Phys.Rev.Lett. 50, 1427 (1983); G. Senjanovic, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 26, 1469 (2011) [arXiv:1012.4104 [hep-ph]]; C. Y. Chen, P. S. B. Dev and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 88, 033014 (2013) [arXiv:1306.2342 [hep-ph]]; M. Heikinheimo, M. Raidal and C. Spethmann, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, no. 10, 3107 (2014) [arXiv:1407.6908 [hep-ph]]; J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra and F. R. Joaquim, Phys. Rev. D 90, no. 11, 115010 (2014) [arXiv:1408.2456 [hep-ph]].
- [9] M. Nemevsek, G. Senjanovic and V. Tello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, no. 15, 151802 (2013) [arXiv:1211.2837 [hep-ph]].
- [10] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 261802 (2012) [arXiv:1210.2402 [hep-ex]].
- [11] O. Castillo-Felisola, C. O. Dib, J. C. Helo, S. G. Kovalenko and S. E. Ortiz, arXiv:1504.02489 [hep-ph].
- [12] W. Dekens and D. Boer, Nucl. Phys. B 889, 727 (2014) [arXiv:1409.4052 [hep-ph]].
- [13] S. P. Das, F. F. Deppisch, O. Kittel and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 86, 055006 (2012) [arXiv:1206.0256 [hep-ph]].
- [14] J. Barry and W. Rodejohann, JHEP **1309**, 153 (2013)[arXiv:1303.6324 [hep-ph]].
- [15] W. C. Huang and J. Lopez-Pavon, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 2853 (2014) [arXiv:1310.0265 [hep-ph]].
- [16] R. L. Awasthi, M. K. Parida and S. Patra, JHEP 1308, 122 (2013) [arXiv:1302.0672 [hep-ph]].
- [17] J. Chakrabortty, H. Z. Devi, S. Goswami and S. Patra, JHEP 1208, 008 (2012) [arXiv:1204.2527 [hep-ph]].
- [18] P. S. Bhupal Dev, S. Goswami, M. Mitra and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Rev. D 88, 091301 (2013) [arXiv:1305.0056 [hep-ph]].
- [19] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C 74, no. 11, 3149 (2014) [arXiv:1407.3683 [hep-ex]].

- same for $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry breaking scales from 1 TeV up to 100 TeV for the present analysis.
- [20] M. Carena, A. Daleo, B. A. Dobrescu and T. M. P. Tait, Phys. Rev. D 70, 093009 (2004) [hep-ph/0408098].
- [21] F. del Aguila, J. de Blas and M. Perez-Victoria, JHEP 1009, 033 (2010) [arXiv:1005.3998 [hep-ph]].
- [22] G. Arcadi, Y. Mambrini, M. H. G. Tytgat and B. Zaldivar, JHEP 1403, 134 (2014) [arXiv:1401.0221 [hep-ph]].
- [23] A. Alves, A. Berlin, S. Profumo and F. S. Queiroz, arXiv:1501.03490 [hep-ph]; A. Alves, A. Berlin, S. Profumo and F. S. Queiroz, JHEP **1510**, 076 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2015)076 [arXiv:1506.06767 [hep-ph]].
- [24] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1072 (1984).
- [25] D. Chang, R. N. Mohapatra and M. K. Parida, Phys. Rev. D 30, 1052 (1984).
- [26] In preparation. Sudhanwa Patra, Werner Rodejohann and Farinaldo S. Queiroz, "A Left-Right Model with $M_{Z'} \ll M_{W'}$ ".
- [27] A. Alves, S. Profumo and F. S. Queiroz, JHEP 1404, 063 (2014)
 [arXiv:1312.5281 [hep-ph]]; S. Profumo and F. S. Queiroz, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, no. 7, 2960 (2014) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2960-x [arXiv:1307.7802 [hep-ph]].
- [28] A. De Simone, G. F. Giudice and A. Strumia, JHEP 1406, 081 (2014) [arXiv:1402.6287 [hep-ph]].
- [29] O. Buchmueller, M. J. Dolan, S. A. Malik and C. McCabe, JHEP 1501, 037 (2015) [arXiv:1407.8257 [hep-ph]].
- [30] M. T. Frandsen, F. Kahlhoefer, A. Preston, S. Sarkar and K. Schmidt-Hoberg, JHEP **1207**, 123 (2012) [arXiv:1204.3839 [hep-ph]].
- [31] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer and T. Stelzer, JHEP **1106**, 128 (2011) [arXiv:1106.0522 [hep-ph]].
- [32] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 90, no. 5, 052005 (2014) [arXiv:1405.4123 [hep-ex]].
- [33] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna and P. Z. Skands, JHEP 0605, 026 (2006) [hep-ph/0603175].
- [34] J. de Favereau *et al.* [DELPHES 3 Collaboration], JHEP **1402**, 057 (2014) [arXiv:1307.6346 [hep-ex]].
- [35] H. L. Lai, J. Huston, S. Mrenna, P. Nadolsky, D. Stump,
 W. K. Tung and C.-P. Yuan, JHEP **1004**, 035 (2010) [arXiv:0910.4183 [hep-ph]].
- [36] M. Nemevsek, F. Nesti, G. Senjanovic and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 83, 115014 (2011) [arXiv:1103.1627 [hep-ph]]; A. Maiezza, M. Nemevsek, F. Nesti and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D 82, 055022 (2010) [arXiv:1005.5160 [hep-ph]].
- [37] S. Patra and P. Pritimita, Eur. Phys. J. C 74, no. 10, 3078 (2014) [arXiv:1405.6836 [hep-ph]].
- [38] D. Borah, S. Patra and P. Pritimita, Nucl. Phys. B 881, 444 (2014) [arXiv:1312.5885 [hep-ph]].
- [39] F. F. Deppisch, T. E. Gonzalo, S. Patra, N. Sahu and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev D 90, 053014 (2014); F. F. Deppisch, T. E. Gonzalo, S. Patra, N. Sahu and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. D 91, no. 1, 015018 (2015) [arXiv:1410.6427 [hep-ph]].