



Supplement of

Re-evaluating the 1940s CO₂ plateau

Ana Bastos et al.

Correspondence to: Ana Bastos (ana.bastos@lsce.ipsl.fr)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC-BY 3.0 licence.



Figure S 1. Sensitivity test of atmospheric CO₂ concentration and AGR to the choice of the parameters of the smoothing-spline fit, as discussed in Enting et al., (2006). In total, eight tests are performed, using four different values for the regularisation parameter λ , which result in different cut-off periods, and for each value of λ two types of weights (*w*) are used: weights corresponding to the uncertainty values in each point and unit weights. The cut-off period values corresponding to each value of λ are: λ =40: 24yr; λ =30: 23yr; λ =15: 19yr; λ =10: 17yr.



Figure S 2. Piecewise linear regression model fit (orange dashed lines) on the annual values of atmospheric CO_2 between 1930 and 1960 (blue solid line) calculated from the spline-fit shown in Fig. 1. The trend break-points are marked by +, and correspond to the years 1940 and 1950. During this period, atmospheric CO_2 does not present any significant trend.



Figure S 3. Longitudinal average of the anomalies in Northern Hemisphere ecosystem fluxes in response to the climate anomalies during the 1940-1942 El Niño shown in Fig. 6: net terrestrial sink, L (black, top); gross primary productivity, GPP (green, centre), autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration (light and dark red respectively, bottom). The lines indicate the inter-model average and the shaded areas the inter-model spread.



Figure S 4. Response of the terrestrial ecosystems to the climate anomalies during El Niño of 1940-1942, simulated by the DGVMs. Temperature (left top) and precipitation (left bottom) anomaly fields during 1940-42 (relative to 1900-1930), and the corresponding latitudinal anomaly of L_{DGVM} estimated by each model (grey lines) and the multi-model average (right panel).