Author's Final Draft, please do not cite without consulting the original: List, J.-M. (2015): Fāngyán 方言, in: Sybesma, R. (Ed.) Encyclopedia of Chinese language and linguistics, Brill Online: Leiden.

Fāngyán 方言

The Fāngyán 方言 ('Dialect', 'Topolect'), usually attributed Yáng Xióng 揚雄 (53 BC–18 CE), a famous famous fù 賦-poet and philosopher, is a collection of dialectal and regional expressions compiled during the end of the Western Hàn period (206 BC – 9 CE, Norman 1988:185). It is the first attested study on linguistic geography and dialectology in China, possibly even worldwide (Wáng 1980[2006]:17, Lǐ 2004:1). Published under the baroque title "Dialectal Expressions from Foreign States and Glosses on Words from Extinct Eras Collected by the 'Light Chart Officials'" (Yóuxuān shīzhě juédài yǔ shì biéguó fāngyán 輔軒使 者絕代語釋別國方言, for details regarding the title see Behr 2005: 23 and n. 36), the work is a remarkable witness of early linguistic diversity in China, and it is likely that the modern Chinese term fāngyán 方言 "dialect" goes back to the title of the work.

## Time of Creation and Authorship

According to his own account, Yáng Xióng collected the data during a period of 27 years from soldiers and candidates for imperial examinations who entered Cháng'ān 長安, the capital at that time, from all parts of the country (Wáng 1980[2006]:17, Lǐ 2004:1). Given Yáng Xióng's biographical data and the long time that he invested into the collection of the data, the compilation of the *Fāngyán* is usually assumed to date back to the early 1<sup>st</sup> century CE (Wáng 1980[2006]:17). Some scholars, however, have raised doubts whether Yáng Xióng was the real author of the book after all, since he is not mentioned as such in the earliest historiographical records (Liú et al. 1992:9–16, Huá 2007a:60-61). Despite these uncertainties, however, it seems safe to assume that the book was compiled towards the end of the Western Hàn period, some time before 24 CE.

#### Structure

In its basic structure the *Fāngyán* somewhat resembles the *Ĕryǎ* 爾雅, a collection of semantic glosses compiled before the Qín dynasty (221–206 BC, Malmqvist 1995:224-225). In contrast to the *Ĕryǎ*, the characters do not belong to the same but to different lexical strata, including dialectal (Wáng 1980[2006]:1) and occasionally also diachronic strata of older varieties of Chinese (Lǐ 2004:19-20). Based on semantic principles which are generally rather loose (for a detailed account on the principles see Huá and Xú 2013:150), the semantic glosses of the *Fāngyán* were originally collated into 15 chapters, of which 13 survive in the extant editions (Lǐ 2004:18, Huá and Xú 2013:150, Yōng 2010: 31). Different *dialect words* (1666 in total, Wáng 2011b:37) are usually first listed and then explained with help of a more common gloss word (367 in total, Wáng 2011b:37), following the scheme:

'A, B, and C are [all] X'.

In a second step, the dialect words are assigned to dialect locations or regions, following the template:

'in (the area of) place A and place B one says X',

as illustrated in (1). This principle, however, is not being strictly followed in all of the glosses.

Sometimes words are only glossed without giving specific geographic information; sometimes

the dialect words are not specifically listed, as shown in (2). Apart from the basic structure of the glosses in the *Fāngyán*, the examples in (1) and (2) also illustrate how difficult it is to interprete the work, given that the Chinese writing system provides only limited, since indirect, use in reflecting the actual pronunciation of the dialect words. As a result, one needs to rely upon reconstructions of older stages of Chinese in order to obtain an approximate pronunciation, like Middle Chinese (here rendered in Baxter's 1992 transcription system) or Old Chinese (here cited in the reconstruction of Baxter and Sagart 2014).

曉、 哲, 謂之 (1) 黨、 知 也。 楚 黨, 或 曰 dång, xiǎo, zhé, zhī yě. Chů wèizhī dǎng huò yuē 曉, 齊 宋 之間 謂之 哲。 xiǎo, Qí Sòng zhījiān wèizhī zhé.

'The words dăng (MC \*tangX < OC \*t aŋ?), xiǎo (MC \*xewX < OC \*q a ew?), and zhé (MC \*trjet < OC \*tr[e]t) all mean "know, understand". In Chu they speak of dǎng, or alternatively call it xiǎo. In the regions of Qí and Sòng they speak of zhé.' (Fāngyán: 1.1)

(2) 貔, 陳楚 江 淮 之間 謂之  $\square$   $\square$  , 北燕 朝鮮 рí, Chénchů Jiāng Zhǔn zhījiān wèizhī lái, Běiyān Cháoxiān 之間 謂之 關西 謂之 狸。  $\square$   $\square$  ,

zhījiān wèizhī péi, Guānxī wèizhī lái.

'For "wild cat" (pí 貔), one says lái □□ (< MC \*lai < OC \*r <sup>ç</sup>əj') in Chén, Chǔ, Jiāng, and Zhǔn, in the area of Běiyān and Cháoxiān one says péi □□ (< MC \*pij < OC \*brəj), and in Guānxī one says lái 狸 (< MC \*loi < OC \*p.rə)'. (Fāngyán: 8.2)

#### **Editions**

The first known commentary on the Fangyán was written by the famous Eastern Jin scholar Guō Pú 郭璞 (276–324) and the oldest complete editions which are still preserved today are from the Sòng 宋 dynasty (960–1279). For a long time, Zhōu Zǔmó's 周祖謨 (1914–1995) Fāngyán Jiàojiān 方言校箋 [Collation and Annotation to the Fāngyán] of 1956 served as an authoritative edition for contemporary investigations. Zhou closely followed the edition of the Sòng scholar Lǐ Mèngchuán 李孟傳 (1136–1219) compiled in 1200 (Wáng & Huá 2006:163), supplemented by a great deal of the rich commentary literature on the *Fāngyán* which was produced during the Qīng dynasty (1636–1911). During the last two decades, several shortcomings of Zhou's edition were noted and criticized, including an insufficient treatment of the diverse commentaries and an improper treatment of additional sources (Huá 2007b). In two recent editions of the *Fāngyán*, scholars have been trying to overcome these problems. The edition by Satō (1998) compares Lǐ Mèngchuán's edition with three additional ones from the Sòng dynasty, two of which were not included in Zhōu's edition from 1956. A more recent edition by Huá (2006) additionally includes Wáng Niànsūn's 王念孫 (1744 – 1832) commented version of an old Fangyán edition from the Míng dynasty which was long thought to be lost.

## **Terminology**

The specific terminology which is used in the *Fāngyán* is still not fully understood and has instigated multiple debates in the world of Chinese linguistics. Apart from geographic and administrative terms which are used in order to assign words to dialect areas and regions, many discussions center around a couple of terms in the *Fāngyán* which further specify and

characterize the usage of dialect words. The term zhuǎnyǔ 轉語 (literally something like 'twisted expressions'), for example, occurs six times in the *Fāngyán* and is usually thought to refer to "reading variants", that is, reading differences resulting from diachronic and diatopic variation (Wáng 1989:24). This chimes well with the opinion of many scholars, that at least part of the word collections in the Fāngyán are in fact sets of etymologically related words (Wáng 1980[2006]:24-25, Wáng 1989:24-25, Serruys 1962:321-322). The term lived on in the tradition of Fāngyán commentaries and inspired later Chinese scholars, like for example, Qián Yì 錢繹 (1770-1885) in his Commentaries to the Fāngyán (Fāngyán jiānshū 方言箋疏), to carry out detailed etymological studies of the word entries in the Fangyán (Behr 2007). The usage of the term in the Fāngyán itself, however, does not necessarily justify such an interpretation: In entry 10.6 in the  $F\bar{a}ngy\acute{a}n$ , for example,  $hu\check{i} \square (MC *xwojX < OC$ \*[qwh] f əj?) is described as a zhuǎnyǔ of huǒ 火 'fire' (MC \*xwaX < OC \*[qwh] fəj?) in the region of Chu 楚. In entry 10.44, however, xiè 緤 (MC \*sjet < OC \*sat), mò 末 (MC \*muwk < OC \*m fat), and jì 紀 (MC \*kiX < OC \*k(r)ə?) are glossed as xù 緒 'thread' (MC \*zjoX < OC \*s-m-ta?) and also labelled as *zhuǎnyǔ* in the Chǔ region. While an etymological relation between the two words in the former case (10.6) can be safely assumed, even solely based on Middle Chinese readings, this is clearly not the case for the latter example (10.44), where neither Middle Chinese nor Old Chinese readings point to etymological relations between any of the words. Even when disregarding the question whether people in Han times had a sufficiently clear idea of the historical dimensions of linguistic diversity, it seems thus rather unlikely that the term was used to denote etymological relations, and this seems also to hold for the use of the term in the commentaries of Guō Pú (judging from the data reported in Wáng 1986). Wáng (2011a:103) proposes that the term zhuǎnyǔ was occasionally used to denote semantic similarity between words, but this does not seem very likely either, given that semantic similarity should hold for all dialect words occuring in the same gloss in the

Fāngyán, while only six cases out of more than 300 cases are marked as zhuǎnyǔ.

Another term that is frequently used in the *Fāngyán* is *tōngyǔ* 通語 'common language' which occurs 34 times and is used as a label for specific dialect words, following the glossing template

甲、 乙, 通語 也

jiǎ, yǐ tōngyǔ yě

'A and B are tōngyǔ'.

Many scholars assume that *tōngyǔ* refers to a "standard language" of Hàn times which served as the basic language to explain the dialectal variants (Zhōu 1956:IX, Lǐ 1990:60). Some scholars even take the term itself as evidence that a standard language existed during Hàn times (Wáng 1980[2006]:20f). However, the term is only used sporadically, often even in contexts which are not in concordance with the idea of a common standard language (Liǔ 2007:155f), and it seems at least equally likely that the term was simply used to denote "popular wordings" (*tōngxíng de shuōfǎ* 通行的說法, Liǔ 2007:155f) within the various dialect regions mentioned in the *Fāngyán*.

## Dialect Geography

Mapping the dialect data of the *Fāngyán* to dialect areas faces certain obstacles. Not only is the terminology for place names far from coherent, including names of states and fiefdoms from the Zhōu dynasty, administrative terms of Hàn times, terms of physical geography (rivers and mountains), and terms comprising larger areas (Serruys 1959:78-85, Wáng 1980[2006]:18-19, Liú *et al.* 1992:107f). It is also not very precise, since it mixes terminology from different times to denote a complex formation of geographic regions that may intersect, overlap, even include each other. Given that the dialect words in the *Fāngyán* themselves

also may reflect speech traditions from different times (Wáng 1980[2006]:19f), it is difficult to use the *Fāngyán* directly to draw dialect maps as they are common in modern dialectology.

Starting with the studies of Lín Yǔtáng 林語堂 (1895–1976), e.g. Lín 1933 (a Chinese translation of a chapter from his now lost 1923 Leipzig dissertation entitled *Altchinesische Lautlehre*), scholars have repeatedly tried to identify the dialect areas which are mentioned in the *Fāngyán*. Since multiple dialect regions are often summarized in one entry, following the schema

甲, 乙, 丙 之間 jiǎ, yǐ, bǐng zhī jiān 'in the area of A, B, and C',

it is possible to count how often specific regions overlap, and use these frequencies to cluster the distinct places into larger dialect areas. Unfortunately, the dialect areas which have been proposed so far, differ greatly from each other, both in their structure and their size. While Lín (1933) postulates fourteen dialect areas, Lúo and Zhōu (1958) postulate seven, Serruys (1959) six, and Liú *et al.* (1992) and Lǐ (2004) twelve (see the summary in Liú *et al.* 1992: 104-106). Behr (2010: 571), further groups the 12 areas of Liú *et al.* (1992) into three major zones, depending the origin of the respective dialect vocabularies (predominantly Sinitic, mixed, or predominantly non-Sinitic). One major reason for these differences is probably that all analyses are based on intuitive data inspection, rather than strict and formal clustering principles. A formally stricter approach is presented in Matsue (2013:191-194) where dialect maps of the *Fāngyán* gloss words are used to calculate the degree of linguistic continuity and discontinuity between the major dialect areas in the *Fāngyán*. It seems, however, that this approach needs to be further enhanced by using more sophisticated approaches to modeling and visualization.

Not only the aphonetic nature of the Chinese writing system, but also the way Chinese characters are used to denote dialect pronunciations make it difficult to interprete the data in the Fāngyán. Apart from regularly used characters which were taken to reflect the pronunciation of specific words (jiǎjièzì 假借字 'loan characters' according to the classical liùshū 六書 classification of Chinese characters), the Fāngyán also shows a considerable amount of extremely rare and even unique character variants (qízì 奇字, i.e 'rare' or 'hapax characters', Huá 2000a:45). While scholars assumed for a long time that most of the *hapax* characters were specifically created by Yáng Xióng in order to represent dialect readings (e.g. Luó 1956:II), research on excavated sources has shown that many of the rare characters are variants of paleographically attested ancient characters (Huá 2000a:46f). A closer inspection of the relation between phonetic components and actual readings (usually derived from fănqiè 反切 spellings in Guō Pú's commentary) seems to provide further evidence that the majority of the hapax characters were created before the Fāngyán was compiled (Huá 2000b:47), reducing the number of unresolved *hapax* characters from originally around 300 to about 100 (Huá 2000b:48, Wáng 2006:42-54). Whether these characters were actively created for the purpose of dialect documentation remains an open question.

A further challenge for the investigation of character use in the *Fāngyán* is the phonological interpretation of words denoted by two character-entries (also called *binoms*), since it is not always clear whether they were used to denote monosyllabic words with consonant clusters, or pure multisyllabic words (Serruys 1959: 103-120). The majority of these cases is still not well understood, and in some cases there is even no agreement whether certain two-character-sequences correspond to one word or two. As an example, consider the case of the dialect word *qián-xuān* 虔儇, OC \*gran-qwhen (*Fāngyán* 1.2),

glossed as huì 慧 'wise', which Bái (1990:18) analyses as one word, while Zhōu (1956) analyses it as two separate words. A first explicit attempt to resolve two-character-words in the *Fāngyán* was made by Serruys (1959:102-140), who proposed to distinguish "dimidiation" binoms", binoms resulting from clitisation, and pure compounds of two independend words or morphemes. Dimidiation is hereby understood as a process by which bisyllabic words evolve from the simplification of complex syllable onsets, such as the Fangyán word for "locust" in the Nánchǔ 南楚 area, zhèmǎng 蟅蟒 < MC \*tsyaeH-mangX, which Serruys (1959: 108) reconstructs as a reflex of an OC form \*ts-man (transliteration of Serruys was adjusted according to Baxter and Sagart 2014). Clitisation is described as a process by which syllabic elements are added before or after monosyllables, such as cānggē 鶬鴚 < MC \*tshang-ka, a Fāngyán word for "goose" in the Nánchǔ area, which Serruys (1959:110) analyses as an enclitic expansion of an older word \*ts hank. Unfortunately, these analyses were not pursued further by later scholars, and a full critical account of Serruys at times very idiosyncratic proposal is still pending. Wáng (2011a:90-94) investigates to which degree monomorphemic two-character words already occur in older texts. The study provides interesting statistical accounts, but does, unfortunately, not include a phonological investigation of the data.

# Phonological Investigations

Apart from Serruys (1959) no further exhaustive phonological investigations of the *Fāngyán* have been carried out so far. In a couple of studies, however, scholars have tried to identify which linguistic varieties are reflected in the *Fāngyán*, and whether they can be compared with the contemporary Chinese dialects. The studies are very diverse both regarding scope and methodology. The reconstruction systems for older character readings vary greatly, with most studies being restriced to Middle Chinese readings (e.g. Yáng 2008 and Lǐ 1987) and

some studies even disregarding the phonological aspects completely (e.g. Cài 2003 and Dù and Gāo 2012). Given the large time span between the compilation of the *Fāngyán* and the Chinese dialects spoken today, all studies which restrict themselve to sporadic matches between modern dialect words and the dialect readings which occur in the Fāngyán have to be taken with a considerable amount of care, since with a limited number of comparanda it is difficult to control for the possibility of chance resemblances. As an example, consider the proposal by Lǐ (1987:67) who identifies the *Fāngyán* expressions *lǐfù* 李父 (MC \**liX-pjuX* < OC \*C.rə?-p(r)a?) and lǐĕr 李耳 (MC \*liX-nyiX < OC \*C.rə?-nə?) with the words [li³¹pu³¹] 'tiger' and [li³¹nˌi³ 5] 'tigress' in Tǔjiā 土家, an unclassified Sino-Tibetan language spoken in South-Central China. Although the similarity between the words seems to be striking, both regarding their phonetic and semantic similarity (at least in Middle Chinese), this word comparison can, unless more matchings are found, by no means be taken as proof that the Fangyán really documents an ancestor of the Tujiā language (Wang 1996:242-243, Zhào and Huáng 1998). The situation is similar for the proposal by Zhào and Huáng (1998:107), who trace Fāngyán entries for "wild cat" (Fāngyán 8.2), as illustrated in (2), back to Hmong origin, proposing that lateral reflexes, like *lái* □□, and labial reflexes, like *péi* □□, reflect former complex consonant clusters of [p] and [l] which are still preserved in some Hmong varieties, such as Chuangiandian Miao, where "cat" is pronounced as [pli]: As long as these examples remain single instances, not backed by larger numbers, it is hard whether they are just chance resemblances or reflect real language history. As Baxter and Sagart (2014:112-116) illustrate for dialect expressions in the Han glossary of paronomastic glosses Shìmíng 釋名 (Bodman 1954, Xū 1989, Wú 2010), a thorough comparison of dialect data from Hàn times with proposed reconstructions for Han time readings can yield valuable insights into the dialect diversity of China's past. Regarding the Fāngyán, this work has yet to be done.

## Primary Sources

- Fāngyán jiàojiān 方 言 校 笺 [A collatied and annotated edition of the Fāngyán], ed. by Zhōu Zǔmó 周祖謨, Shànghǎi 上海: Kēxué Chūbǎnshè 科學出版社, 1956, I–V.
- Qián Yì <u>钱绎</u>, *Fāngyán Jiānshū* 方言笺疏 [Commentaries on the Fāngyán], ed. by Lǐ Fāshùn 李发舜 and Huáng Jiànzhōng 黄建中, Běijīng 北京: Zhōnghuá 中华书局, 1991.

## References

- Baxter, W. H., *A handbook of Old Chinese phonology*. Berlin and New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 1992.
- Baxter, W. H. and L. Sagart, *Old Chinese. A new reconstruction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014.
- Behr, W., "Language change in premodern China: Notes on its perception and impact on the idea of a 'constant way'", in *Historical truth, historical criticism and ideology. Chinese historiography and historical culture from a new comparative perspective*, ed. by H. Schmidt-Glintzer, A. Mittag, and J. Rüsen, Leiden and Boston: Brill, 2004, 13–51.
- Behr, W., "Phonophoric adjustment and the Old Chineses dialects", talk held at the *28e Journées de Linguistique de l'Asie Orientale*, June 28-30, Paris, 2007.
- Behr, W., "Role of language in early Chinese constructions of ethnic identity", *Journal of Chinese Philosophy* 37(4), 2010: 567-587.
- Bái Zhàolín 白兆麟, "*Fāngyán* shuāngyīncí tànxī《方言》双音词探析" [Investigation of bisyllabic words in the *Fāngyán*], *Gǔjí Zhěnglǐ Yánjiū Xuékān* 古籍整理研究学刊 2, 1990, 18–22.
- Bodman, N. C., A Linguistic Study of the Shih Ming: Initials and Consonant Clusters.

- Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1954.
- Cài Xiǎo 蔡晓, "Yóu Yángxióng *Fāngyán* kàn Mìyánghuà zhōng gǔyǔ de yíliú 由揚雄《方言》看泌阳话中古语的遗留" [Investigating old strata in the Mìyáng dialect from the perspective of Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán*], *Tiānzhōng Xuékān* 天中学刊, 18(3), 2003, 98–100.
- Dù Jùnpíng 杜俊平 and Gāo Liàng 高亮, "Lún Yǎnshīhuà zhōng bǎocún de *Fāngyán* gǔ yǔcí 论偃师话中保存的《方言》古语词 [On the preservation of ancient expressions from the *Fāngyán* in the Yǎnshī dialect], *Héngshuǐ Xuéyuàn Xuébào* 衡水学院学报, 15(5), 2013, 80–82.
- Huá Xuéchéng 华学诚, "Yáng Xióng *Fāngyán* 'qízì' kǎo (shàng) 揚雄《方言》"奇字"考(上)"
  [On the strange characters in Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán* (first part)], *Qīnzhōu Shīfàn Gāoděng Zhuānkē Xuéjiào Xuébào* 欽州师范高等专科学校学报, 15 (4), 2000a, 44–48.
- Huá Xuéchéng 华学诚, "Yáng Xióng *Fāngyán* 'qízì' kǎo (xià) 揚雄《方言》"奇字"考(下)" [On the strange characters in Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán* (second part)], *Qīnzhōu ShīfànGāodǎi Zhuānkē Xuéjiào Xuébào* 欽州师范高等专科学校学报, 15 (4), 2000b, 46–50.
- Huá Xuéchéng 华学诚, ed., *Yáng Xióng Fāngyán jiàoshì huìzhèng* 扬雄方言校释汇证 [A critical compilation of glosses to Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán*], Běijīng 北京: Zhōnghuá 中華書局, 2006.
- Huá Xuéchéng 华学诚, "Jìn 15 nián lái de Yáng Xióng *Fāngyán* yánjiū yǔ wǒmen duì *Fāngyán* de zhěnglǐ 近 15 年來的揚雄《方言》研究与我门对《方言》的整理" [An overview on research on Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán* during the last 15 years and our collation of the text of the *Fāngyán*], *Nánkāi Yǔyánxúekān* 南开语言学刊, 9(1), 2007a, 59–69.
- Huá Xuéchéng 华学诚, "Èrshí shìjì yǐlái de *Fāngyán* zhěnglǐ 二十世纪以来的《方言》整理"
  [Collation of the text of the *Fāngyán* in and after the 20th century], *Zhōngwén Zìxué*

- Zhǐdǎo 中文字学指导,195 (5), 2007b, 3-9.
- Huá Xuéchéng 华学诚 and Xú Yányàn 徐妍雁, "Yáng Xióng *Fāngyán* jí qí yánjiū shùpíng 揚雄《方言》及其研究述评" [Review of research on Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán*], *Sūzhōu Dàxué Xuébào* 苏州大学学报, 1, 2013, 149–157.
- Liú Jūnhuì 刘君惠, Lǐ Shùháo 李恕豪, Yáng Gàng 杨钢, and Huá Xuéchéng 华学诚, *Yáng Xióng Fāngyán yánjiū* 揚雄方言研究 [Investigations into Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán*], Chéngdū 成都: Bā-Shǔ 巴蜀书社, 1992.
- Liǔ Yùhóng 柳 玉 宏, "Shuō 'tōngyǔ' 說 通 語" [On the term 'tōngyǔ"], *Lánzhōu Xuékān* 蘭州學刊 164(5), 2007, 155f.
- Luó Chángpéi 罗常培, "Luó xù 羅 序" [Luó's preface by ], in: *Fāngyán jiàojiān* 方 言 校 笺 [A collatied and annotated edition of the *Fāngyán*], ed. by Zhōu Zǔmó 周祖謨, Shànghǎi 上海: Kēxué 科学出版社, 1956, I–V.
- Luó Chángpéi 罗常培 and Zhōu Zǔmó 周祖谟, *Hàn-Wèi-Jìn-Nánběi cháo yùnbù yǎnbiàn yánjiū* 汉魏晋南北朝韵部演变研究 [An investigation of rhyme class changes during the Hàn, Wèi,-Jìn, and the Northern and Southern dynasties], Běijīng 北京: Zhònghuá 中华书局, 1958.
- Lín Yǔtáng 林語堂, "Qián-Hàn fāngyīn qūyù kǎo 前漢方音區域考" [Investigation of the geographical distribution of dialect pronunciations during Qín and Hàn times], in:

  Yǔyánxué lùncóng 語言學論叢, ed. by Lín Yǔtáng 林語堂, Shànghǎi 上海: Kāimíng 開明書店, 1933, 16–44.
- Lǐ Jìngzhōng 李敬忠, "*Fāngyán* zhōng de shǎoshù mínzú yǔcí shìxī《方言》中的少数民族语词试析" [Preliminary analysis of words from minority languages in the *Fāngyán*], *Mínzú* Yǔwén 民族语文 3, 1987, 64–68.
- Lǐ Shùháo 李恕豪, "Lùn Yáng Xióng *Fāngyán* zhōng de jǐgè wèntí 论扬雄《方言》中的几个问题,古汉语研究" [Some questions regarding Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán*], *Gǔhànyǔ Yánjiū* 古

- 汉语研究[Research on Classical Chinese] 3, 1990, 59-74.
- Lǐ Shùháo 李 恕 豪, Yáng Xióng Fāngyán yǔ fāngyán dìlǐxué yánjiū 杨雄《方言》与方言地理学研究 [Yáng Xióng's Fāngyán and research on dialect geography], Chéngdū 成都: Bāshǔ 巴蜀书社, 2004.
- Malmqvist, N. G. D., *Bernhard Karlgren. Ett forskarporträtt* [Bernhard Karlgren. Portrait of a scholar]. Stockholm: Norstedts, 1995 [English translation: Malmqvist, N. G. D., *Bernhard Karlgren. Portrait of a scholar*, Maryland: Lehigh University Press, 2011].
- Matsue, Takashi [松江崇], "Old Chinese dialects according to Fangyan", *Dialectologia* IV, 2013, 181–197.
- Norman, Jerry, Chinese. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- Satō Susumu 佐藤进 and Mitsuaki Endō, eds., *Sōkan hōgen shishu eiin shūsei* 宋刊方言四種 影印集成, Tōkyō 東 京: Aoyamagakuin Daigaku Keizaigakubu 青山學院大學経済學部, 1998. [Chūgoku ni okeru gengo chiri to jinbun shizen chiri 中國における言語地理と人文 ・自然地理; 2.]
- Serruys, Paul L.-M., *The Chinese dialects of Han time according to Fang Yen,* Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1959.
- Serruys, Paul L.-M., "Chinese dialectology based on written documents", *Monumenta Serica*, 21, 1962, 320–344.
- Wang, W. S.-Y., "Linguistic diversity and language relationships", in: New horizons in Chinese linguisticsB, C.-T. J. Huang ed., Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1996, 235–267.
- Wáng Cǎiqín 王彩琴, *Yáng Xióng Fāngyán yòngzì yánjiū* 揚雄《方言》用字研究 [On character usage in Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán*], Běijīng 北京: Gāoděng Jiàoyù 高等教育出版 社 [Higher Education Press], 2011a.
- Wáng Lì 王力, *Hànyǔ shǐgǎo* 漢語史稿 [A draft history of the Chinese language], reprint, Běijīng 北京: Zhōnghuá 中華書局, 1980 [2006].

- Wáng Píng 王平, Guōpú Fāngyán zhùshì zhōng de "shēngzhuǎn" hé "yǔzhuǎn" 郭璞《方言》 注释中的"声传"和"语转" [The terms "shēngzhuǎn" and "yǔzhuǎn" in Guō Pú's commentaries on the Fāngyán], Shāndōng Shīfàn Dàxué Xuébào 山东师范大学学报, 3, 1986, 87–88.
- Wáng Yījūn 王一军, "Yáng Xióng *Fāngyán* shuō zhuǎnyǔ tànwēi 扬雄《方言》说转语探微"
  [Some notes on the term 'zhuǎnyǔ' in Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán*], *Shíyàn Dàxué Xuébào* 十堰大学学报 2, 1989, 24–26.
- Wáng Zhìqún 王智群, *Fāngyán yǔ Yáng Xióng cíhuìxué*《方言》与扬雄词汇学 [On *Fāngyán* and Yáng Xióng's Lexicology], Běijīng 北京: Gāoděng Jiàoyù 高等教育, 2011b.
- Wú Zhuì 吴锤, Shìmíng shēngxùn yánjīu 释名声训研究 [Research on the sound explanations in the Shìmíng], Běijīng 北京: Mínzú 民族出版社, 2010.
- Xū Fāngmǐn 徐芳敏, *Shìmíng yánjiū* 釋名研究 [Research on the Shìmíng], Táiběi 台北: Guólì Táiwān Dàxué 國立臺灣大學, 1989.
- Yáng Xiǎohóng 杨晓红, "*Fāngyán* yǔ Lǔ nán fāngyán cíyì de gǔjīn chuánchéng《《方言》与鲁南方言词义的古今传承" [*Fāngyán* and the transmission of word meanings across times into the Lǔnán dialect], *Jìnán Zhíyè Xuéhuán Xuébào* 济南职业学院学报 68(3), 2008, 92–94.
- Yōng Péng 雍鹏, Fāngyán wénxiàn zōngshù 《方言》文献综述 [Summary on documentation of the Fāngyán], Yǔwén Xuékān 语文学刊, 5, 2011, 31–32.
- Zhào Zhènduó 趙振鐸 and Huáng Fēng 黄峰, "Yáng Xióng *Fāngyán* lǐmiàn de wàiláicí 揚雄《方言》裏面的外來詞" [Foreign words in Yáng Xióng's *Fāngyán*], *Zhơnghuá Wénhuà Lùntán* 中華文化論壇 2, 1998, 106–109.