Radiation Memory, Boosted Schwarzschild Spacetimes and Supertranslations

Thomas Mädler[1]‡ **and Jeffrey Winicour**[2,3]

[1] Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road,
Cambridge, CB3 0HA, UK
[2] Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA
[3] Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut,
14476 Golm, Germany

Abstract. We investigate gravitational radiation memory and its corresponding effect on the asymptotic symmetries of a body whose exterior is a boosted Schwarzschild spacetime. First, in the context of linearized theory, we consider such a Schwarzschild body which is initially at rest, then goes through a radiative stage and finally emerges as a boosted Schwarzschild body. We show that the proper retarded solution of the exterior Schwarzschild spacetime for this process can be described in terms of the ingoing Kerr-Schild form of the Schwarzschild metric for both the initial and final states. An outgoing Kerr-Schild or time symmetric metric does not give the proper solution. The special property of Kerr-Schild metrics that their linearized and nonlinear forms are identical allows us to extend this result to processes in the nonlinear regime. We then discuss how the nonlinear memory effect, and its associated supertranslation, affect angular momentum conservation. Our approach provides a new framework for studying nonlinear aspects of the memory effect.

‡ Email:tm513@cam.ac.uk

1. Introduction

Gravitational radiation memory is an effect which leads to the net displacement between test particles after the passage of a wave. It was initially recognized in the treatment of dense stellar clusters in linearized gravitational theory, where it arises from the burst of radiation accompanying the ejection of massive particles to infinity [1, 2]. This effect associated with the final boosted state of the ejected particles was also found as a zero frequency radiation mode in studies of the collision of relativistic point particles [3, 4]. Later, a nonlinear form of radiation memory was discovered by Christodoulou [5] and interpreted as a flow of gravitons or gravitational wave energy to null infinity [6, 7, 8]. The relation between the linear and nonlinear versions of gravitational radiation memory was clarified in a study of an analogous memory effect associated with electromagnetic radiation in Maxwell theory [9]. Studies of the global sky pattern of radiation memory in both electromagnetic and linearized gravitational theory have revealed its connection to the Maxwell gauge freedom at null infinity and an analogous gravitational supertranslation freedom [10, 11]. Further studies revealed that even in linearized gravitational theory the energy flux of massless fields, e.g. electromagnetic fields, to null infinity generates gravitational radiation memory [12, 13, 14, 15]. For that reason, Christodoulou's nonlinear memory effect is commonly referred to as null memory. Many other aspects of gravitational memory have been discussed, where those most relevant to our work are [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. Despite the stunning recent observation of gravitational waves by the LIGO-Virgo consortium [23], the detection of gravitational radiation memory is more demanding due to the current insensitivity of the LIGO detectors and pulsar timing arrays [24, 25] to the long rise-time of typical memory signals [26]. In addition to the observational aspects of radiation memory, there are compelling theoretical issues associated with the induced supertranslation induced and its effect on angular momentum. In this paper, we introduce a new method for exploring these issues.

The global sky pattern of gravitational radiation memory can be decomposed into E and B modes [10, 11], analogous to the classification of electromagnetic radiation, e.g. of the pattern of the cosmic microwave background radiation [27]. In the electromagnetic case [10], the radiation field at retarded time u at future null infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$ can be described in standard angular coordinates $x^B = (\theta, \phi)$ by the electric field $E_A(u, x^B)$. (The angular components of the radiation field are finite at $\mathcal{I}^+$.) The memory effect produces a net momentum kick Δp_A on a test charge q after the radiation passes,

$$\Delta p_A = q \int_{u=-\infty}^{u=+\infty} E_A(u, x^B) du. \tag{1}$$

Here we introduce the notation

$$\Delta F(x^C) := F(u, x^C)|_{u=\infty} - F(u, x^C)|_{u=-\infty}. \tag{2}$$

The E and B mode components, $E_{A[e]}$ and $E_{A[b]}$, respectively, result from decomposing the electric field in terms of a gradient and the dual of a gradient,

$$E_A = E_{A[e]} + E_{A[b]} = \eth_A \Phi_{[e]} + \epsilon_{CA} \eth^C \Phi_{[b]}, \tag{3}$$

where $\eth_A$ and ϵ_{AB} are the covariant derivative and surface area tensors with respect to the unit sphere metric q_{AB}. This is the 2-dimensional version of the Helmholtz decomposition of a vector field into a gradient and curl. The scalar fields $\Phi_{[e]}$ and $\Phi_{[b]}$

determine the E-mode and B-mode, respectively. This decomposition is distinct from the separation of the Maxwell field into electric and magnetic radiation fields, which are related by $|\vec{E}| = |\vec{B}|$ and $\vec{E} \perp \vec{B}$. The electromagnetic radiation pattern and its memory could be equally well described in terms of its $\vec{B}$ field.

A compact way to describe the E and B mode decomposition is in terms of a complex polarization vector q_A satisfying

$$q_{AB} = q_{(A}\bar{q}_{B)}, \quad \epsilon_{AB} = iq_{[A}\bar{q}_{B]}, \quad q^A\bar{q}_A = 2, \quad q^A q_A = 0, \tag{4}$$

e.g. $q_A = (1, i\sin\theta)$ for the standard form of the unit sphere metric q_{AB}. (Here (AB) and $[AB]$ denote, respectively, symmetrization and antisymmetrization of indices.) Then the electromagnetic radiation is represented by the complex spin-weight-1 field

$$q^A E_A = q^A(\eth_A \Phi_{[e]} + \epsilon_{CA}\eth^C \Phi_{[b]}) = \eth(\Phi_{[e]} + i\Phi_{[b]}), \tag{5}$$

where $\eth$ is the Newman-Penrose spin-weight raising operator [28, 30, 29, 31].

The memory due to charged particles escaping to infinity (or their time reversed capture) and the null memory due to the flow of a hypothetical massless charged field or massless charged particles to future null infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$ were discussed in [9]. There is another mechanism for electromagnetic radiation memory due to source-free ingoing-outgoing waves [10].

In [10] it was shown, in the absence of magnetic monopoles and with other reasonable physical assumptions, that only source-free waves produce B-mode memory. This result was obtained by an analysis of Maxwell's equations in a null gauge, analogous to the Bondi-Sachs formalism for the gravitational field. In terms of outgoing null coordinates $x^\alpha = (u, r, x^A)$, $u = t - r$, the Maxwell field $F_{\alpha\beta}$ is expressed in terms of a vector potential A_α as $F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha$, whose gauge freedom is used to set $A_r(u, r, x^C) = 0$ and $A_u(u, \infty, x^C) = 0$. The remaining gauge freedom,

$$A_B \to A_B + \eth_B \Lambda(x^C), \tag{6}$$

is purely E-mode. It is the analogue of the supertranslation freedom in the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs (BMS) asymptotic symmetry group [32, 33, 34, 35] in the gravitational case. For tutorials on the Bondi-Sachs formalism see the Scholarpedia article [36] or the Living Review article [37].

In this gauge, the radiation field at $\mathcal{I}^+$ is given by $E_B(u, x^A) = -\partial_u A_B(u, x^A)$, where A_B has an E and B mode decomposition similar to (3). The radiation memory Δp_A for a unit charge (1) then reduces to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_B(u, x^A)du|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = -\Delta A_B(x^A)|_{\mathcal{I}^+}. \tag{7}$$

Except in the case of B-mode homogeneous wave memory, this is an effective E-mode gauge shift between $u = \pm\infty$, i.e. the remaining gauge freedom (6) allows either $A_B(\infty, x^A)|_{\mathcal{I}^+}$ or $A_B(-\infty, x^A)|_{\mathcal{I}^+}$ to be gauged to zero but not their difference. As an example, the boost memory from a charge Q initially at rest and then ejected with velocity V in z-direction is given by

$$\Delta A_B|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \frac{QV}{1 - V\cos\theta}\eth_B \cos\theta, \tag{8}$$

which corresponds to a gauge shift with

$$\Lambda = Q\ln(1 - V\cos\theta). \tag{9}$$

In [11], the analogues of these results for electromagnetic radiation memory were established for linearized gravitational theory, where the radiation is described by a trace-free strain tensor at $\mathcal{I}^+$,

$$\sigma_{AB}(u, x^C), \quad q^{AB}\sigma_{AB} = 0. \tag{10}$$

In the notation of [6, 7], σ_{AB} corresponds to the description of the gravitational wave by the asymptotic limit of rh_{ij}^{TT}, determined by the trace-free component of the metric transverse to the observer's line of sight.

The radiation memory is determined by the change in strain $\Delta\sigma_{AB}(x^C)$. The E and B mode decomposition of the gravitational radiation field can be made by first noting that the strain can be represented in terms of a deformation vector field ξ^A by

$$\sigma_{AB} = \eth_{(A}\xi_{B)} - \frac{1}{2}q_{AB}\eth^C\xi_C. \tag{11}$$

Given two real scalar fields $\Sigma_{[e]}$ and $\Sigma_{[b]}$ that form the complex scalar field $\Sigma := \Sigma_{[e]} + i\Sigma_{[b]}$, this can be further decomposed as

$$\xi_A = \eth_A\Sigma_{[e]} + \epsilon_{BA}\eth^B\Sigma_{[b]}. \tag{12}$$

In terms of the Newman-Penrose spin-weight formalism, this leads to the spin-weight-2 representation of the strain,

$$\sigma := q^A q^B \sigma_{AB} = q^A q^B \eth_A \eth_B \Sigma = \eth^2 \Sigma, \quad \Sigma = \Sigma_{[e]} + i\Sigma_{[b]}. \tag{13}$$

Here Σ is the spin-weight-0 potential generating the spin-weight-2 field σ via the spin-weight raising operator $\eth$. In spin-weight terminology, $\Sigma_{[e]}$ and $\Sigma_{[b]}$ represent the "electric" and "magnetic" parts of the strain, corresponding to the E and B radiation modes. Similar to the electromagnetic fields $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$, the gravitational fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ picked out from the Weyl curvature tensor by a timelike vector T^a are equal in magnitude and rotated by 45°. The E and B mode decomposition is a separate global distinction.

Analogous to the electromagnetic case, there are three known mechanisms for gravitational radiation memory:

- Boost memory due to the ejection of massive particles to infinity. In [6], the boost memory is interpreted in terms of the trace-free-transverse component of the $1/r$ Coulomb-type field corresponding to the 4-momentum of the particles.

- Null memory due to the loss of energy to $\mathcal{I}^+$ by electromagnetic radiation, or radiation loss by other massless fields including gravitational radiation in the nonlinear regime (Christodoulou memory).

- Homogeneous wave memory due to an ingoing-outgoing gravitational wave propagating from $\mathcal{I}^-$ to $\mathcal{I}^+$.

In [11] it was shown, under physically reasonable asymptotic conditions on the matter fields, that only homogeneous gravitational waves produce B-mode memory. Conventional matter sources can produce B-mode gravitational waves, but they have vanishing radiation memory. Thus B-mode radiation memory must be of essentially primordial origin, corresponding in linearized theory to a source-free wave entering from $\mathcal{I}^-$.

As discussed in [10, 11, 21], gravitational radiation memory is related to the supertranslation freedom $u \rightarrow u + \alpha(x^C)$ in the choice of retarded time for an

asymptotic inertial frame at $\mathcal{I}^+$. During a non-radiative period, in which $\partial_u \sigma = 0$, the strain has the supertranslation gauge freedom

$$\sigma(x^C) \to \sigma(x^C) + \eth^2 \alpha(x^C). \tag{14}$$

Thus the E-mode component of the strain can be gauged away during a non-radiative epoch. Because the supertranslation α is a real function it does not affect the B-mode. The E-mode radiation memory $\Delta\sigma_{[e]}$ is gauge invariant and can be considered to be a supertranslation shift between the two preferred gauges that the strain picks out at $u = \pm\infty$. For a body which is initially at rest and then ejected with mass m and velocity V in the z-direction, the radiation memory is (see Eq. (35))

$$\Delta\sigma = \frac{4m\Gamma V^2 \sin^2\theta}{1 - V\cos\theta}, \tag{15}$$

which agrees with Eq. (1) of [6]. The supertranslation corresponding to (15) is

$$\alpha = 4m\Gamma(1 - V\cos\theta)\ln(1 - V\cos\theta). \tag{16}$$

Note that it is the pure E-mode form of the radiation memory (15) that allows it to be interpreted in terms of a single real function α as a supertranslation shift. It is curious, and perhaps of some deeper significance, that this has an electromagnetic analogue in the E-mode gauge shift (9) of the vector potential.

The supertranslation freedom in the BMS group has bearing on the treatment of the angular momentum of an isolated gravitational system [28, 40, 39]. The BMS group consists of the semi-direct product of the infinite dimensional supertranslation group with the Lorentz group. The 4-parameter time and space translation subgroup, picked out by supertranslations $\alpha(\theta, \phi)$ composed of spherical harmonics with $\ell \leq 1$, form an invariant subgroup, which leads to an unambiguous definition of energy-momentum. Although the Lorentz group is also a subgroup of the BMS group, the supertranslations lead to a mixing of the associated supermomentum with angular momentum. We discuss in Sec. 3.3 how this supertranslation freedom can be fixed during a non-radiative epoch and the BMS group reduced to the Poincaré group, in which case angular momentum can be uniquely defined. However, for a system which makes a non-radiative to non-radiative transition, the two Poincaré groups obtained at early and late times are shifted by a supertranslation. Such supertranslation shifts associated with radiation memory complicate the treatment of angular momentum.

In this paper, we develop a model based upon a Kerr-Schild version of the Schwarzschild metric for studying the production of radiation memory and its effect on the BMS group. We use the Kerr-Schild metric to describe the static, spherically symmetric spacetime exterior to what we refer to as a Schwarzschild body. First, in Sec. 2, we consider such a Schwarzschild body in linearized theory which is initially at rest, then goes through a radiative stage and finally emerges as a boosted Schwarzschild body. We show that the proper retarded solution of the exterior spacetime for this process is described in terms of the ingoing version of the Kerr-Schild metric for both the initial and final states. An outgoing Kerr-Schild or time symmetric Schwarzschild metric does not give the proper solution. Then, in Sec. 3, we extend this model to processes in the nonlinear regime by using the special property of the Kerr-Schild metrics that their linearized and nonlinear versions are identical. We discuss the resulting nonlinear memory effect, its associated supertranslation and the details of its effect on the treatment of angular momentum.

Although an exact Schwarzschild exterior is unrealistic in a dynamic spacetime it is reasonable to expect that our results hold if it is a good approximation in the

neighborhood of spatial infinity (infinite past retarded time) and timelike infinity (infinite future retarded time). The underlying justification is that a finite radiative energy loss to $\mathcal{I}^+$ requires that $\partial_u \sigma(u, x^A) \to 0$ as $u \to \pm\infty$. Furthermore, the Kerr-Schild model has a natural extension to the case where the final state in the neighborhood of timelike infinity is approximated by a Kerr black hole.

2. Linearized memory of boosted Schwarzschild transitions

In linearized theory consider a compact spherically symmetric ball of matter. Its exterior spacetime is described by a linearized Schwarzschild perturbation h_{ab} of the Minkowski metric $\eta_{ab} = diag(-, +, +, +)$ in Cartesian inertial coordinates $x^a = (t, x^i) = (t, x, y, z)$. We consider three versions of this exterior Schwarzschild perturbation h_{ab} in coordinates adapted to the static Killing vector ∂_t.

- The isotropic time symmetric version

$$h_{ab}^{(i)} = \frac{2M}{r}\eta_{ab} + \frac{4M}{r}T_a T_b, \tag{17}$$

  where $T_a = -\partial_a t$.

- The outgoing Kerr-Schild form

$$h_{ab}^{(k)} = \frac{2M}{r}k_a k_b, \tag{18}$$

  where $k_a = T_a + r_a$ and $r_a = \partial_a r$, with $r^2 = \delta_{ij}x^i x^j$. Here k_a is the outgoing radial null vector satisfying $k_a = -\partial_a u$ in terms of the retarded time $u = t - r$.

- The ingoing Kerr-Schild form

$$h_{ab}^{(n)} = \frac{2M}{r}n_a n_b. \tag{19}$$

  where $n_a = T_a - r_a$ is the ingoing radial null vector satisfying $n_a = -\partial_a v$ in terms of the advanced time $v = t + r$.

These three perturbations differ from each other by a gauge transformation. They all have zero linearized radiation strain at both $\mathcal{I}^+$ and $\mathcal{I}^-$.

Now consider the boosted versions of these perturbations $h_{ab}^{(bi)}$, $h_{ab}^{(bk)}$ and $h_{ab}^{(bn)}$, corresponding to a Schwarzschild body with 4-velocity $v^a = \Gamma(1, V^i)$, where $\Gamma = (1 - \delta_{ij}V^i V^j)^{-1/2}$. With respect to the initial rest frame, the boosted version can be obtained by the substitutions $T^a \to v^a$, $k_a \to K_a = v_a + R_a$, $n_a \to N_a = v_a - R_a$,

$$r^2 \to R^2 = x_a x^a + (x_a v^a)^2 = r^2\Big[1 - \frac{t^2}{r^2} + \Gamma^2\Big(r_i V^i - \frac{t}{r}\Big)^2\Big] \tag{20a}$$

and

$$r_a \to R_a = \frac{x_a + (x_b v^b)v_a}{R} = \frac{x_a - r\Gamma(\frac{t}{r} - V^i r_i)v_a}{R}, \tag{20b}$$

which reduce to the rest-frame expressions if $V^i = 0$.

Again, these boosted perturbations all differ by a gauge transformation. They are all non-radiative so that they have zero radiation memory. But, relative to the unboosted rest frame, they have (pure gauge) radiation strain: either non-zero asymptotic strain σ_+ at $\mathcal{I}^+$, or non-zero asymptotic strain σ_- at $\mathcal{I}^-$, or both.

In order to construct the boosted radiation strain relative to the initial rest frame we introduce a complex polarization vector Q^a satisfying

$$\eta_{ab}Q^a\bar{Q}^b - 2 = \eta_{ab}Q^aQ^b = 0 \ , \quad Q^aT_a = Q^ar_a = Q^ax_a = 0. \tag{21}$$

Here Q^a has the components

$$Q^a = (0, \cos\theta\cos\phi - i\sin\phi, \cos\theta\sin\phi + i\cos\phi, -\sin\theta) \tag{22}$$

and is the Cartesian analogue of the polarization dyad $q^A = (1, i\sin^{-1}\theta)$ on the unit sphere, chosen so that its angular components satisfy $Q^A = r^{-1}q^A$. The linearized radiation strain is given by

$$\sigma_\pm = \frac{r}{2}Q^aQ^bh_{ab}|_{\mathcal{I}^\pm}. \tag{23}$$

In order to calculate σ_+ at $\mathcal{I}^+$, for which $r \to \infty$ holding u constant, we express R^2 and R_a as functions of (u, r, x^A),

$$R^2 = r^2\left[-\frac{u^2}{r^2} - 2\frac{u}{r} + \Gamma^2\left(1 - r_iV^i + \frac{u}{r}\right)^2\right] \ , \tag{24a}$$

$$R_a = \frac{x_a - r\Gamma(\frac{u}{r} + 1 - V^ir_i)v_a}{R} \ . \tag{24b}$$

Similarly, for the calculation of σ_-, we express R^2 and R_a as functions of (v, r, x^A),

$$R^2 = r^2\left[-\frac{v^2}{r^2} + 2\frac{v}{r} + \Gamma^2\left(1 + r_iV^i - \frac{v}{r}\right)^2\right] \ , \tag{25a}$$

$$R_a = \frac{x_a + r\Gamma(-\frac{v}{r} + 1 + V^ir_i)v_a}{R} \ . \tag{25b}$$

As functions of (u, r, x^A), the scalar products $Q^aK_a = Q^a(v_a + R_a)$ and $Q^aN_a = Q^a(v_a - R_a)$ have limits at $\mathcal{I}^+$

$$\lim_{\substack{r\to\infty \\ u=const}} Q^aK_a = 0 \ , \tag{26a}$$

$$\lim_{\substack{r\to\infty \\ u=const}} Q^aN_a = 2\Gamma Q^iV_i \ , \tag{26b}$$

and as function of (v, r, x^A) have limits at $\mathcal{I}^-$

$$\lim_{\substack{r\to\infty \\ v=const}} Q^aK_a = 2\Gamma Q^iV_i \ , \tag{27a}$$

$$\lim_{\substack{r\to\infty \\ v=const}} Q^aN_a = 0 \,. \tag{27b}$$

Using these limits, we obtain the radiation strains for the three boosted perturbations,

$$\sigma_+^{(bi)} = \frac{2M\Gamma(Q^iV_i)^2}{1 - r_iV^i}, \quad \sigma_-^{(bi)} = \frac{2M\Gamma(Q^iV_i)^2}{1 + r_iV^i} \ , \tag{28a}$$

$$\sigma_+^{(bk)} = 0 \,, \qquad\qquad \sigma_-^{(bk)} = \frac{4M\Gamma(Q^iV_i)^2}{1 + r_iV^i} \ , \tag{28b}$$

$$\sigma_+^{(bn)} = \frac{4M\Gamma(Q^iV_i)^2}{1 - r_iV^i}, \quad \sigma_-^{(bn)} = 0 \,. \tag{28c}$$

Now we return to the perturbation calculation of the radiation memory at $\mathcal{I}^+$ produced by a Schwarzschild body which is initially at rest, then goes through a radiative

period described by a retarded solution and ends up as a boosted Schwarzschild body. Relative to the initial rest frame, the initial radiation strain vanishes for all three perturbations, i.e. $\sigma_+(u = -\infty, x^A) = 0$. For the three perturbations, we then have

$$\Delta\sigma_+{}^{(bi)} = \frac{2M\Gamma(Q^i V_i)^2}{1 - r_i V^i}, \tag{29a}$$

$$\Delta\sigma_+{}^{(bk)} = 0, \tag{29b}$$

$$\Delta\sigma_+{}^{(bn)} = \frac{4M\Gamma(Q^i V_i)^2}{1 - r_i V^i}. \tag{29c}$$

In comparison with the memory computed by the retarded solution given in [2], only $\Delta\sigma_+{}^{(bn)}$, the memory associated with the ingoing Kerr-Schild perturbation, gives the correct result. (Note that equation (2b) of [2] for the $\times$ component of memory is correct but the right hand side of equation (2a) for the $+$ component should be increased by a factor of 2. Furthermore, for a particle ejected (captured) in the $z-$direction, $(29c)$ agrees with equation (1) of [6] when using (23) to define the line-of-sight components h_{ij}^{TT}.)

Energy-momentum would not be conserved in an initial state consisting of a body of mass M at rest and a final state consisting of a boosted body with mass M. However, given the same initial state it is conserved by a final state consisting of two bodies of mass m moving with velocities $\pm V^i$, where $M = 2\Gamma m$. (Here we note that there is no energy loss due to gravitational radiation in the linearized approximation.) In that case, by superposition, the resulting radiation memory for the ingoing Kerr-Schild model is

$$\Delta\sigma_+{}^{(bn)} = \frac{4M(Q^i V_i)^2}{1 - (r_i V^i)^2}. \tag{30}$$

3. Nonlinear memory

The linearized treatment of radiation memory in Sec. 2 can be generalized to many different scenarios by means of the superposition principle, e.g. to the capture or scattering of a particle or system of particles. Since such linearized processes could also be treated by standard methods, we turn our attention here to the nonlinear case. In doing so we take advantage of two special properties of the Kerr-Schild form of the Schwarzschild solution: (i) the nonlinear solution is identical to the solution linearized with respect to m and (ii) the Minkowski background intrinsic to the Kerr-Schild geometry provides a natural way to introduce Poincaré transformations and, in particular, boosts. Of course, the nonlinear case does not allow superposition of Kerr-Schild solutions except in the approximation where the masses are very far apart. (See [45] for a discussion of posing multiple black hole data by means of superimposed Kerr-Schild black holes.)

The results for the linearized case show that the proper treatment of the radiation memory corresponding to a retarded solution must be based upon a Schwarzschild body whose exterior is described by the ingoing version of the Kerr-Schild metric, which in the rest frame is given by

$$g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + \frac{2M}{r} n_a n_b. \tag{31}$$

This exterior metric has vanishing radiation strain. We consider two nonlinear scenarios.

3.1. Scenario 1

Consider first the nonlinear version of the linearized system in Sec. 2 consisting initially of a Schwarzschild body of mass M at rest which, after some radiative interval, ends up in the final state of two boosted Schwarzschild bodies of mass m separating with velocities $\pm V^i$. The linearized result should be expected to extend reliably to the nonlinear regime in the approximation where the energy loss due to gravitational radiation is small, so that $M = 2m\Gamma$. For boosts in the $\pm z-$direction with $V_z = \pm V$, the resulting radiation memory at $\mathcal{I}^+$, as given by (30), reduces to

$$\Delta\sigma = \frac{4MV^2 \sin^2\theta}{1 - V^2 \cos^2\theta}. \tag{32}$$

In the axisymmetric case, where

$$q^A q^B \eth_A \eth_B \alpha = \eth^2 \alpha = \sin\theta \partial_\theta (\sin^{-1}\theta \partial_\theta \alpha),$$

the corresponding supertranslation shift obtained from (14) is

$$\alpha = -2M\Big[(1-V\cos\theta)\ln(1-V\cos\theta)+(1+V\cos\theta)\ln(1+V\cos\theta)\Big]. \tag{33}$$

In the foregoing approximation, there is negligible energy loss due to gravitational radiation. But this model can be extended to the case of a radiative mass loss $\Delta E = M - 2m\Gamma$ during the period of acceleration leading to the boost. In that case, the contribution to the radiation memory due to the boost is

$$\Delta\sigma = \frac{8m\Gamma V^2 \sin^2\theta}{1 - V^2 \cos^2\theta}. \tag{34}$$

Note, however, that the null (Christodoulou) memory associated with the radiative loss ΔE is independent of the boost memory. We discuss this in more detail in the next scenario.

3.2. Scenario 2

In the nonlinear context, it is consistent with energy-momentum conservation to consider the simple scenario of an initial Schwarzschild body of mass M at rest which, after a radiative interval, leads a final state of mass m with boost velocity V in the z-direction. From (29c), the resultant boost memory $\Delta\sigma_{[B]}$ is

$$\Delta\sigma_{[B]} = \frac{4m\Gamma V^2 \sin^2\theta}{1 - V\cos\theta}, \tag{35}$$

with the associated supertranslation (16). The radiative energy-momentum loss is given by the future pointing timelike vector,

$$\Delta P^\alpha = (\Delta E, \Delta P^i) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \oint \|N\|^2 \ell^\alpha \sin\theta d\theta d\phi, \tag{36}$$

where $N = \partial_u \sigma$ is the Bondi news function and

$$\ell^\alpha = (1, \sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta) \ . \tag{37}$$

The associated null (Christodoulou) memory $\Delta\sigma_{[N]}$ depends non-locally on the radiated energy flux. The decomposition of the memory into a boost and null

component is best described in the formulation of Frauendiener [8], who finds in the vacuum case

$$\eth^2 \Delta\bar{\sigma} = -\Delta\psi_2^{[0]} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|N\|^2 du, \tag{38}$$

where $\psi_2^{(0)}$ is the leading asymptotic part of the Newman-Penrose Weyl tensor component [38]. The first term in (38) is, in the intuitive picture of [6], the leading "Coulomb" part of the curvature and incorporates the boost memory produced by freely escaping massive particles in linearized theory. The second term vanishes in linearized theory and represents the null memory due to the gravitational radiation of energy to $\mathcal{I}^+$, as originally found by Christodoulou.

Energy-momentum conservation requires

$$M = m\Gamma + \Delta E, \quad m\Gamma V = \Delta P^z. \tag{39}$$

In the non-radiative regime where ΔE is small it follows that ΔP^z and consequently V must also be small. In the other extreme, where V is large, the timelike property of ΔP^α requires $\Delta E \geq \Delta P^z = m\Gamma V$ so that $M \geq m\Gamma(1 + V)$. As a result,

$$m \leq M\sqrt{\frac{1 - V}{1 + V}}$$

and

$$\Delta\sigma_{[B]} = \frac{4m\Gamma V^2 \sin^2\theta}{1 - V\cos\theta} \leq \frac{4MV^2 \sin^2\theta}{(1 + V)(1 - V\cos\theta)}. \tag{40}$$

In this way, energy-momentum conservation constrains the boost component of radiation memory.

In other respects, $\sigma_{[B]}$ and $\sigma_{[N]}$ are independent. A radiation burst of compact support in retarded time u produces null memory independent of whether there is any boost memory (ejected particles). Conversely, boost memory can be produced with with negligible radiative energy flux, i.e. negligible null memory. As an example, consider a particle which is at rest in the infinite past and then boosted in the z-direction with final velocity V, according to the retarded time dependence

$$z(u) = \frac{V}{\lambda} \ln\left(\frac{1 + e^{\lambda u}}{2}\right),$$

with velocity

$$\dot{z}(u) = \frac{V}{1 + e^{-\lambda u}}$$

and acceleration

$$\ddot{z}(u) = \frac{\lambda V e^{-\lambda u}}{(1 + e^{-\lambda u})^2},$$

where $\lambda > 0$ controls the acceleration rate. The quadrupole moment $Q \sim z^2$ has second derivative $\ddot{Q} \sim z\ddot{z} + \dot{z}^2$, which has asymptotic behavior $\ddot{Q}|_{u=-\infty} = 0$ and $\ddot{Q}|_{u=\infty} \sim V^2$. As a result, in the quadrupole approximation, the corresponding radiation strain leads to nonzero boost memory $\Delta\sigma_{[B]} \sim \Delta\ddot{Q} \sim V^2$. However, for small λ the news function has dependence $N \sim \dddot{Q} = O(\lambda)$ so that as $\lambda \to 0$ there is negligible radiation flux and negligible null memory. This example underlies the intuitive picture that boost memory corresponds to the infrared limit of the radiation.

Note that in both scenario 1 and 2, the identification of radiation memory with a supertranslation shift only depends on a transition between initial and final states with vanishing E-mode radiation. This appears to be related, in a way that is not completely understood, to the result that B-mode radiation memory is only possible for a source-free ingoing-outgoing wave.

3.3. Angular momentum

Although the example consider in Sec. 3.2 is not physically realistic, it provides a simple framework for discussing the effect of supertranslations on angular momentum loss. Referring to (14), the supertranslation associated with (35) is

$$\alpha = -4m\Gamma(1 - V\cos\theta)[\ln(1 - V\cos\theta) - 1]. \tag{41}$$

The initial stationary state picks out inertial coordinates (u, x^A) on $\mathcal{I}^+$ (also called Bondi coordinates). These initial coordinates extend uniquely to an inertial frame on all of $\mathcal{I}^+$. In these coordinates, the generators of the BMS group have the form

$$\xi^a\partial_a = \left[\frac{u}{2}\eth_A f^A + \mathcal{A}(x^B)\right]\partial_u + f^A(x^B)\partial_A, \tag{42}$$

where $\eth^{(A}f^{B)} = \frac{1}{2}q^{AB}\eth_C f^C$, i.e. f^A is a conformal Killing vector on the unit sphere. The supertranslation subgroup corresponds to $f^A = 0$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is composed of $\ell \leq 1$ spherical harmonics for the time and space translations. The subgroup $\mathcal{A} = 0$ corresponds to a Lorentz subgroup with generators

$$\eta^a\partial_a = \frac{u}{2}\eth_A f^A\partial_u + f^A\partial_A. \tag{43}$$

A fixed choice of $\mathcal{A}$ determines the supertranslation freedom in the choice of Lorentz subgroup. The Lorentz transformations with $\eth_A f^A = 0$ determine a rotation subgroup. As described in [47], we can set $f^A = \eth^A\Psi + \epsilon^{AB}\partial_B\Phi$, where Ψ represents the boost component and Φ is the rotation component. Boosts $B^{[i]a}$ corresponding to the Cartesian axes are then determined by $\Psi^{[i]} = \ell^i$, in terms of the direction cosines (36); and $\Phi^{[i]} = \ell^i$ for the corresponding rotations $R^{[i]a}$. Because ℓ^i consist of $\ell = 1$ spherical harmonics, $\eth_A B^{[i]A} = \eth_A\eth^A\ell^i = -2\ell^i$ and (43) reduces to

$$B^{[i]a}\partial_a = -u\ell^i\partial_u + (\eth^A\ell^i)\partial_A \tag{44}$$

and

$$R^{[i]a}\partial_a = \epsilon^{AB}(\eth_B\ell^i)\partial_A. \tag{45}$$

The 4-parameter translation subgroup has one time translational generator A and three spatial translational generators A_i such that

$$\tau^a\partial_a = (A + A_i\ell^i)\partial_u. \tag{46}$$

In the scenario depicted in Sec. 3.2, there are various options for a preferred rotation subgroup. In this simple model, one could pick out the initial (final) rotation subgroup to be the rotational symmetry group of the initial (final) Schwarzschild solution. But this option would not be possible in a more general setting where the final state consisted of more than one Schwarzschild bodies with different boosts. Instead, we consider choices which only depend upon the $O(1/r)$ radiation field, i.e. the asymptotic strain σ. This leads to the following two choices.

(i) The initial state picks out inertial coordinates $x^a = (u, x^A)$, where the surfaces $u = constant$ are strain-free. The BMS transformations which leave the strain zero determine a preferred Poincaré subgroup. For a given choice of u, this leads to a preferred rotation subgroup with generators satisfying $R^a \partial_a u = 0$, i.e. with generators tangent to the surfaces $u = const$. For this choice of strain-free inertial coordinates, the Poincaré generators B^a, R^a and τ^a are given by (44), (45) and (46). This initial choice of coordinates $x^a = (u, x^A)$ and Poincaré generators extend uniquely to all of $\mathcal{I}^+$.

In this construction, there remains translation and boost freedom in the initial choice of inertial coordinates (u, x^A). The strain-free condition reduces the supertranslation freedom $u \to u + \alpha$ to a translation, which fixes the "origin" of the rotation group up to the freedom in special relativity. The boost freedom could be fixed by tying the choice of u to the initial 4-momentum P^α. However, this option does not play a role in the present discussion.

(ii) The final state picks out inertial coordinates $\tilde{x}^a = (\tilde{u} = u + \alpha, \tilde{x}^A = x^A)$, where the surfaces $\tilde{u} = constant$ have vanishing strain. Here the angular coordinates and their associated Cartesian axes designated by the direction cosines ℓ^i have been chosen to be those of the initial inertial frame. The final state picks out a preferred Poincaré group consisting of BMS transformations which maintain the zero strain of the $\tilde{u} = constant$ surfaces. The supertranslation group is thus restricted to the 4-parameter translation subgroup with generators

$$\tilde{\tau}^{\tilde{a}} \partial_{\tilde{a}} = (A + A_i \ell^i) \partial_{\tilde{u}} = (A + A_i \ell^i) \partial_u, \tag{47}$$

which coincides with the translation subgroup of the initial state, i.e. $\tilde{\tau}^a = \tau^a$. The Lorentz subgroup of this final state Poincaré group has generators

$$\tilde{\eta}^{\tilde{a}} \partial_{\tilde{a}} = \frac{\tilde{u}}{2} \eth_{\tilde{A}} \tilde{f}^{\tilde{A}} \partial_{\tilde{u}} + \tilde{f}^{\tilde{A}} \partial_{\tilde{A}} \tag{48}$$

with boosts

$$\tilde{B}^{[i]\tilde{a}} \partial_{\tilde{a}} = -(u + \alpha) \ell^i \partial_{\tilde{u}} + (\eth^{\tilde{A}} \ell^i) \partial_{\tilde{A}} \tag{49}$$

and rotations

$$\tilde{R}^{\tilde{a}} \partial_{\tilde{a}} = \epsilon^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}} (\eth_{\tilde{B}} \ell^i) \partial_{\tilde{A}}. \tag{50}$$

The Lorentz generators $\tilde{B}^{\tilde{a}}$ and $\tilde{R}^{\tilde{a}}$ can be re-expressed in terms of the inertial coordinates $x^a = (u, x^A)$ of the initial inertial state. The covariance of the transformation $\tilde{\xi}^{\tilde{a}} \partial_{\tilde{a}} = \tilde{\xi}^a \partial_a$ to these initial inertial coordinates implies that $\tilde{B}^a$, $\tilde{R}^a$ and $\tilde{\tau}^a$ retain the Poincaré group commutation relations. This transformation of the individual components of the final state Lorentz generators $\tilde{\eta}^{\tilde{a}}$ leads to

$$\tilde{R}^{[i]a} \partial_a = -(R^{[i]A} \partial_A \alpha) \partial_u + R^{[i]A} \partial_A \tag{51}$$

and

$$\tilde{B}^{[i]a} \partial_a = \left(\frac{1}{2}(u + \alpha) \eth_A B^{[i]A} - B^{[i]A} \partial_A \alpha \right) \partial_u + B^{[i]A} \partial_A, \tag{52}$$

so that

$$\tilde{R}^{[i]a} \partial_a = R^{[i]a} \partial_a - \epsilon^{AB} (\partial_B \ell^i)(\partial_A \alpha) \partial_u \tag{53}$$

and

$$\tilde{B}^{[i]a} \partial_a = B^{[i]a} \partial_a - \left(\alpha \ell^i + (\eth^A \ell^i) \partial_A \alpha \right) \partial_u. \tag{54}$$

The Poincaré commutation relations, e.g. $[\tilde{R}^{[x]a}\partial_a, \tilde{R}^{[y]b}\partial_b] = -\tilde{R}^{[z]c}\partial_c$, can be checked by direct calculation.

Thus the memory effect leads to the supertranslation shift (53) - (54) between the components of the preferred final and initial Lorentz groups. For the scenario depicted in Sec. 3.2, with supertranslation shift (41), the rotation generators differ by

$$\tilde{R}^{[x]a}\partial_a = R^{[x]a}\partial_a + \sin\phi(\partial_\theta\alpha)\partial_u , \tag{55a}$$

$$\tilde{R}^{[y]a}\partial_a = R^{[y]a}\partial_a - \cos\phi(\partial_\theta\alpha)\partial_u , \tag{55b}$$

$$\tilde{R}^{[z]a}\partial_a = R^{[z]a}\partial_a , \tag{55c}$$

where

$$\partial_\theta\alpha = -4m\Gamma V \sin\theta \ln(1 - V\cos\theta) . \tag{56}$$

The mixing of the supertranslations with the initial and final rotation generators leads to a mixing of the associated supermomentum with angular momentum, whose physical consequences have not been fully explored. Earlier accounts noted that such supertranslation shifts could lead to a distinctly general relativistic effect on angular momentum conservation [28, 39, 40].

There are different approaches for obtaining flux-conserved quantities which form a representations of the BMS group. One approach consists of the linkage integrals $L_\xi(\Sigma)$ [35, 40], which for each spherical cross-section Σ of $\mathcal{I}^+$ generalize the Komar integrals [41] for exact symmetries to the case of asymptotic Killing vectors ξ^a. Associated with the linkage integrals are locally defined fluxes $^L F_\xi$ whose integral determines the change $L_\xi(\Sigma_2) - L_\xi(\Sigma_1)$ between two cross-sections. Another approach [42, 43, 44] based upon the Hamiltonian phase space of gravitational radiation also associates local fluxes $^H F_\xi$ and charge integrals $Q_\xi(\Sigma)$ to each BMS generator. Here, to be specific, we concentrate on the Hamiltonian charges and flux because of their more physical properties. (For a recent discussion, see [46].) However, the general effect of a supertranslation shift would apply to any formulation of BMS charges and flux.

At early and late times, denoted by Σ_- and Σ_+, respectively, the associated Poincaré groups lead to identical time and space translations, i.e. $\tilde{\tau}^a = \tau^a$. As a result, energy-momentum conservation takes the unambiguous form

$$Q_\tau(\Sigma_+) - Q_\tau(\Sigma_-) = \oint_{\Sigma_-}^{\Sigma_+} {}^H F_\tau dV , \qquad dV = \sin\theta d\theta d\phi du. \tag{57}$$

However, between early and late times the associated rotation generators differ by a supertranslation $\tilde{R}^{[i]} = R^{[i]} + \mathcal{A}^{[i]}$, where $\mathcal{A}^{[i]}$ is defined by reference to (53). Consequently, the associated components of angular momentum differ by a supermomentum,

$$Q_{\tilde{R}^{[i]}} = Q_{R^{[i]}} + Q_{\mathcal{A}^{[i]}}. \tag{58}$$

This leads to the following ambiguity in the treatment of angular momentum conservation. The Hamiltonian flux conservation law applies to either $Q_{\tilde{R}^{[i]}}$ or $Q_{R^{[i]}}$, e.g.

$$Q_{R^{[i]}}(\Sigma_+) - Q_{R^{[i]}}(\Sigma_-) = \oint_{\Sigma_-}^{\Sigma_+} {}^H F_{R^{[i]}} dV. \tag{59}$$

However, there is no flux conservation law relating the angular momentum $Q_{\tilde{R}^{[i]}}(\Sigma_+)$ picked out by the final Poincaré group and the angular momentum $Q_{R^{[i]}}(\Sigma_i)$ picked

out by the initial Poincaré group. In a typical astrophysical process leading from initial to final non-radiative states, this complicates the interpretation of angular momentum conservation.

4. Discussion

Gravitational wave memory, boosted Schwarzschild space-times and supertranslations are three different concepts with an intriguing interconnection. The first is an astrophysical observable corresponding to the displacement of distant masses after the passage of a gravitational wave; the second describes a moving black hole; while the third is an asymptotical symmetry at null infinity. In this work we have shown how the ingoing Kerr-Schild form of the Schwarzschild metric can be used to analyze this interconnection by modeling an idealized stationary to boosted stationary transition via a radiative stage. The model provides a framework for approximating more realistic astrophysical systems. The chief criterion is that, to a good approximation, the initial and final states be non-radiative and consist of the Kerr-Schild superposition of distant Schwarzschild bodies. An example is the merger of two initially distant stars in a quasi-Newtonian orbit to form a single moving star. The model could even apply when the final star collapses to form a black hole. Of course, the intermediate radiative epoch, which determines the final mass and velocity and contributes to the null memory, must be treated by numerical methods (or some perturbative alternative). The model presents a framework for interpreting such numerical results.

A fourth related concept is angular momentum. The difference between the initial and final radiation strains induces a supertranslation shift between the corresponding initial and final Poincaré groups. We have given a detailed analysis of how this supertranslation affects the comparison of the components of the asymptotic rotation symmetries associated with the initial and final states. As a result, the comparison of the components of angular momentum intrinsic to the initial and final states differ by supermomenta. This complicates the interpretation of angular momentum flux conservation laws. We have not attempted here to describe how radiation memory might be related to the rotational dynamics of the sources. In the nonlinear context, this would require extensive numerical simulations. It is a ripe area for numerical investigation. Computational infrastructure for computing all the BMS fluxes has been developed and tested on simulations of the inspiral and merger of a precessing, spinning binary black hole [47]. The generalization of our approach to the Kerr metric offers a natural framework for interpreting the long time scale behavior of such systems of spinning bodies.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] Zeldovich Y and Polnarev A G 1974 Radiation of gravitational waves by a cluster of superdense stars <u>Sov. Astron.</u> **18** 17

[2] Braginsky V B and Thorne K S 1987 Gravitational wave bursts with memory and experimental prospects Nature (London) **327** 123

[3] Smarr L 1977 Gravitational radiation from distant encounters and from head-on collisions of black holes - The zero-frequency limit Phys. Rev. D **15** 2069

[4] Bontz R J and Price R H 1979 The spectrum of radiation at low frequencies Astrophys. J **228** 560

[5] Christodoulou D 1991 Nonlinear nature of gravitation and gravitational-wave experiments Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1486

[6] Thorne K S 1992 Gravitational-wave bursts with memory: The Christodoulou effect Phys. Rev. D **45** 2

[7] Wiseman A G and Will C M 1991 Christodoulou's nonlinear gravitational-wave memory: evaluation in the quadrupole approximation Phys. Rev. D **44** R2945

[8] Frauendiener J 1992 Note on the memory effect Class. Quantum Grav. **9** 1639

[9] Bieri L and Garfinkle D 2013 An electromagnetic analog of gravitational wave memory Class. Quantum Grav. **30** 195009

[10] Winicour J 2014 Global aspects of radiation memory Class. Quantum Grav. **31** 205003

[11] Mädler T and Winicour J 2016 The sky pattern of the linearized gravitational memory effect Class. Quantum Grav. **33** 175006

[12] Bieri L , Chen P and Yau S T 2011 Null asymptotics of solutions of the EinsteinMaxwell equations in general relativity and gravitational radiation Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **15** 4

[13] Bieri L and Garfinkle D 2015 Neutrino radiation showing a Christodoulou memory effect in general relativity Ann. Henri Poincaré **16** 801

[14] Tolish A and Wald R M 2014 Retarded field of null particles and the memory effect Phys. Rev. D **89** 064008

[15] Tolish A, Bieri L, Garfinkle D and Wald R M 2014 Examination of a simple example of gravitational wave memory Phys. Rev. D **90** 044060

[16] Hollands S, Ishibashi A and Wald R M 2016 BMS Supertranslations and Memory in Four and Higher Dimensions arXiv:1612.03290

[17] Pasterski S 2015 Asymptotic Symmetries and Electromagnetic Memory arXiv:1505.00716

[18] Flanagan E E and Nichols D 2015 Observer dependence of angular momentum in general relativity and its relationship to the gravitational-wave memory effect Phys. Rev. D **92** 084057

[19] Flanagan E E and Nichols D 2015 Conserved charges of the extended Bondi-Metzner-Sachs algebra arXiv:1510.03386

[20] Hawking S W, Perry M J and Strominger A 2016 Superrotation Charge and Supertranslation Hair on Black Holes arXiv:1611.09175

[21] Strominger A and Zhiboedov A 2016 Gravitational memory, BMS supertranslations and soft theorems J. High Energy Phys. article id. #86, 15 pp.

[22] Hawking S W, Perry M J and Strominger A 2016 Soft hair on black holes Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** 231301

[23] Abbott B P, et al. 2016 Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** 061102

[24] Arzoumanian Z, et .al. 2015 NANOGrav Constraints on Gravitational Wave Bursts with Memory Astrophys. J. **810** 150

[25] Cordes J M and Jenet F A 2012 Detecting gravitational wave memory with pulsar timing Astrophys. J. **752** 54

[26] Favata M 2010 The gravitational-wave memory effect Class. Quantum Grav. 27 084036

[27] Ade P et al. (BICEP2/Keck and Planck Collaborations) 2015 Joint Analysis of BICEP2/Keck Array and Planck Data Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 101301

[28] Newman E and Penrose P 1966 Note on the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group J. Math. Phys. **7** 863

[29] Penrose and Rindler W 1984 Spinors and Space-Time, Vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984)

[30] Goldberg J N, et al. 1967 Spin s spherical harmonics and ð J. Math. Phys. **8** 2155

[31] Newman E and Penrose R 2009 Spin-coefficient formalism Scholarpedia **4** (6):7445

[32] van der Burg M, Bondi H and Metzner A 1962 Gravitational Waves in General Relativity. VII. Waves from Axi-Symmetric Isolated Systems Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A **269** 21

[33] Sachs R 1962 Gravitational Waves in General Relativity. VIII. Waves in Asymptotically Flat Space-Time Proc. R. Soc. Ser. A **270** 103

[34] Sachs R 1962 Asymptotic symmetries in gravitational theory Phys. Rev. **128** 2851

[35] Tamburino L A and Winicour J 1966 Gravitational Fields in Finite and Conformal Bondi Frames Phys. Rev. **150** 1039

[36] Mädler T and J Winicour J 2016 Bondi – Sachs Formalism Scholarpedia **11**(12):33528

[37] Winicour J 2012 Characteristic evolution and matching Living Rev. Rel. **15** 2
[38] Newman E and Penrose R 1962 An Approach to Gravitational Radiation by a Method of Spin Coefficients J. Math. Phys. **3** 566
[39] Winicour J 1980 Angular momentum in general relativity in General Relativity and Gravitation A. Held, ed., vol. 2, pp. 7196 (Plenum Press, New York, 1980)
[40] Geroch R and Winicour J 1981 Linkages in general relativity J. Math. Phys. **22** 803
[41] Komar A 1959 Covariant conservation laws in general relativity Phys. Rev. **113** 934
[42] Dray T and Streubel M Angular momentum at null infinity 1984 Class. Quantum Grav. **1**. 15
[43] Ashtekar A and Streubel M 1981 Symplectic geometry of radiative modes and conserved quantities at null infinity Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **376** 585
[44] Wald R and A Zoupas A 2000 General definition of "conserved quantities" in general relativity and other theories of gravity Phys. Rev. D **61** 084027
[45] Bonning E, Marronetti P, Neilson D, and Matzner R A 2003 Physics and initial data for multiple black hole spacetimes Phys. Rev. D **68** 044019
[46] Goldberg J 2006 Conservation Laws, Constants of the Motion, and Hamiltonians In H. García-Compeán, B. Mielnik, M. Montesinos, and M. Przanowski, editors, Topics in Mathematical Physics, General Relativity and Cosmology, p. 233
[47] Handmer C J , Szilágyi B and J Winicour J 2016 Spectral Cauchy characteristic extraction of strain, news and gravitational radiation flux Class. Quantum Grav. **33** 225007