

*Chenguang Zhao*

The ICC and China

Schriftenreihe des Max-Planck-Instituts für  
ausländisches und internationales Strafrecht

**Interdisziplinäre Forschungen  
aus Strafrecht und Kriminologie**

Herausgegeben von Hans-Jörg Albrecht  
und Ulrich Sieber

Band I 25



Max-Planck-Institut für ausländisches  
und internationales Strafrecht

Chenguang Zhao

# The ICC and China

The Principle of Complementarity and National  
Implementation of International Criminal Law



Duncker & Humblot • Berlin

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Alle Rechte vorbehalten

© 2017 Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V.  
c/o Max-Planck-Institut für ausländisches und internationales Strafrecht  
Günterstalstraße 73, 79100 Freiburg i.Br.

<http://www.mpicc.de>

Vertrieb in Gemeinschaft mit Duncker & Humblot GmbH, Berlin  
<http://www.duncker-humblot.de>

Umschlagphoto: [www.bigstockphoto.com](http://www.bigstockphoto.com): The Hague Netherlands – March 27  
2016: The flag and the International Criminal Court at the new 2015 opened ICC building  
Druck: Stückle Druck und Verlag, Stückle-Straße 1, 77955 Ettenheim  
Printed in Germany

ISSN 1862-7625  
ISBN 978-3-86113-266-0 (Max-Planck-Institut)  
ISBN 978-3-428-15195-0 (Duncker & Humblot)

Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem (säurefreiem) Papier  
entsprechend ISO 9706

# Table of Contents

Foreword .....	V
List of Abbreviations .....	XIII
<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
 <b>Chapter 1</b>	
<b>The Emergence and Development of Complementarity</b>	
<b>1.1 The International Military Tribunals: the Nuremberg and Tokyo Trials .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>1.2 The UN <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals for Former Yugoslavia and Rwanda (ICTY and ICTR) .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>1.3 The International Criminal Court and Complementarity .....</b>	<b>16</b>
1.3.1 The Drafting History of Complementarity .....	16
1.3.2 Complementarity at the Rome Conference.....	18
1.3.2.1 The admissibility criteria.....	19
1.3.2.2 <i>Ne bis in idem</i> .....	20
1.3.2.3 Challenges to admissibility and jurisdiction .....	21
<b>1.4 Conclusion .....</b>	<b>21</b>
 <b>Chapter 2</b>	
<b>The Rationale of Complementarity in the Rome Statute</b>	
<b>2.1 The Nature and Role of Complementarity .....</b>	<b>24</b>
2.1.1 Jurisdictional Disputes Settlement Mechanism .....	25
2.1.2 Burden-Sharing System.....	25
<b>2.2 Theorization and Analytical Dimensions of Complementarity .....</b>	<b>27</b>
2.2.1 Passive Complementarity .....	28
2.2.1.1 Concept and foundation .....	28
2.2.1.2 The substantive setting of passive complementarity .....	29
2.2.1.2.1 National proceedings of investigation and prosecution: Article 17(1).....	29
2.2.1.2.2 Unwillingness: Article 17(2) .....	40
2.2.1.2.3 Inability: Article 17(3).....	48
2.2.1.3 The procedural setting of complementarity.....	53

2.2.1.3.1	Admissibility and the initiation of an investigation by the ICC Prosecutor.....	54
2.2.1.3.2	Article 18: Preliminary rulings regarding admissibility.....	56
2.2.1.3.3	Article 19: Challenges to the jurisdiction of the ICC or the admissibility of a case.....	62
2.2.1.4	Conclusion.....	71
2.2.2	Positive Complementarity .....	71
2.2.2.1	The origin of positive complementarity .....	72
2.2.2.2	The foundation and definition of positive complementarity .....	73
2.2.2.2.1	The foundation of positive complementarity .....	73
2.2.2.2.2	The concept of positive complementarity .....	74
2.2.2.3	The legal basis of positive complementarity .....	76
2.3	<b>Complementarity in Practice .....</b>	80
2.3.1	2.3.1 The ICC Prosecutorial Policy on Complementarity .....	80
2.3.2	2.3.2 The Pre-Trial Chambers and Complementarity .....	82
2.3.3	2.3.3 The Trial Chamber and Complementarity .....	86
2.3.4	2.3.4 The Appeal Chamber and Complementarity .....	88
2.4	<b>When Complementarity in Theory Meets Complementarity in Practice .....</b>	93
2.4.1	2.4.1 The Suspicious Misuse of Positive Complementarity in ICC Practice .....	93
2.4.2	2.4.2 Fine-Tuning Positive Complementarity .....	98
2.4.2.1	2.4.2.1 Positive complementarity and the inaction scenario of not encompassing the same conduct as the ICC Prosecutor’s charges....	99
2.4.2.2	2.4.2.2 Positive complementarity and unwillingness in an inaction scenario .....	100
2.4.2.3	2.4.2.3 Positive complementarity and inability in an inaction scenario.....	101
2.4.2.4	2.4.2.4 Conclusion.....	102
2.5	<b>Reconstructed Complementarity Criteria: Case-Specific Passive Complementarity and Situation-Specific Positive Complementarity .....</b>	103
2.5.1	2.5.1 The Distinction of Admissibility Criteria between Situation and Case: The Real Intention of the Rome Statute .....	103
2.5.1.1	2.5.1.1 The concepts of “situation” and “case”.....	103
2.5.1.2	2.5.1.2 Issues of admissibility: not only case but also situation admissibility.....	105
2.5.2	2.5.2 The Situation Admissibility Criteria.....	108
2.5.2.1	2.5.2.1 The procedural mechanism of situation admissibility assessment in the Rome Statute .....	108
2.5.2.2	2.5.2.2 The guiding criteria for the situation admissibility assessment .....	109
2.5.2.3	2.5.2.3 The special issue of situation admissibility .....	114
2.5.2.3.1	2.5.2.3.1 Self-referrals .....	114
2.5.2.3.2	2.5.2.3.2 Alternative justice mechanisms .....	114
2.5.3	2.5.3 The Case Admissibility Criteria .....	116

2.5.4 Case-Based Passive Complementarity and Situation-Based Positive Complementarity .....	117
---	-----

## **Chapter 3 National Implementation of International Criminal Law in China**

<b>3.1 China and International Criminal Law .....</b>	121
3.1.1 China's Evolving Participation in International Organizations and its Attitudes towards International Law .....	121
3.1.2 China's Practice in the National Suppression of Core International Crimes: War Crimes Military Tribunals .....	125
3.1.3 China's Attitudes towards the ICC .....	128
<b>3.2 The Theoretical and Legal Framework of Implementing International Criminal Law in China.....</b>	131
3.2.1 The Relationship between International Criminal Law and Chinese National Laws.....	131
3.2.1.1 The legal status of international treaties in the Chinese legal system.....	131
3.2.1.2 The implementation of international treaties in Chinese domestic laws .....	132
3.2.2 The Obligation Sources of the National Implementation of International Criminal Law in China .....	134
3.2.2.1 Genocide: Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (1948).....	134
3.2.2.2 War crimes: Geneva Conventions (1949) and their protocols .....	135
3.2.2.3 Crimes against humanity: International Convention on the Suppression and Punishment of the Crime of Apartheid (1973)....	136
3.2.2.4 Torture: Convention against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (1984) .....	137
3.2.2.5 Conclusion.....	137
3.2.3 The Status of the National Implementation of International Criminal Law in China .....	139
3.2.3.1 The legal basis for Chinese criminal jurisdiction .....	139
3.2.3.2 Universal jurisdiction in China .....	140
3.2.3.3 Domestic legislation of core international crimes in China .....	143
3.2.3.4 Criminal procedural guarantee for due process and fair trial rights.....	146
3.2.3.4.1 Equal rights before courts .....	148
3.2.3.4.2 The right to the presumption of innocence .....	148
3.2.3.4.3 The right to remain silent and the privilege against self-incrimination.....	149
3.2.3.4.4 The rights to defence and legal assistance .....	150
3.2.3.4.5 The right to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial trial system .....	153

3.2.3.4.6 Prohibition of double jeopardy .....	154
<b>3.3 Comment on the Chinese Model of Implementing International Criminal Law .....</b>	<b>155</b>

## **Chapter 4**

### **Complementarity and the National Implementation of International Criminal Law in China**

<b>4.1 Complementarity and China's Sovereignty Concerns .....</b>	<b>157</b>
4.1.1 Possible Effects of the Rome Statute on China as a Non-Party State.....	157
4.1.2 The Jurisdictional Reach of the ICC over Chinese Nationals .....	158
4.1.3 Competing Jurisdictions and Complementarity.....	162
4.1.4 Complementarity and Protecting Sovereignty by Exercising Primary National Jurisdiction.....	163
<b>4.2 China's Ordinary Crime Approach under the Complementarity Test .....</b>	<b>165</b>
4.2.1 Can the Ordinary Crime Approach Satisfy the Complementarity Criteria?..	167
4.2.1.1 Systematic interpretation .....	167
4.2.1.1.1 Unwillingness test.....	167
4.2.1.1.2 Inability test .....	169
4.2.1.1.3 <i>Ne bis in idem</i> test.....	170
4.2.1.1.4 Due process test .....	172
4.2.1.1.5 Conclusion .....	177
4.2.1.2 Academic interpretation .....	178
4.2.1.2.1 International-charge-based thesis .....	178
4.2.1.2.2 Revised-charge-based thesis .....	180
4.2.1.2.3 Sentence-based thesis .....	182
4.2.1.2.4 Conclusion .....	184
<b>4.3 Complementarity and Cooperation between China and the ICC .....</b>	<b>184</b>
4.3.1 The Relevance of China's Cooperation with and Judicial Assistance for the ICC.....	185
4.3.2 Acceptance of the ICC's Jurisdiction and Cooperation with the ICC on an ad-hoc Basis .....	187
4.3.3 Obligation of States to Cooperate with the ICC in a Security Council Referral .....	188
4.3.4 Cooperation with the ICC and Immunities of Heads of Non-Party States ....	193
4.3.4.1 The immunity of nationals of non-party states and ICC jurisdiction.....	194
4.3.4.2 Cooperation with the ICC to arrest and surrender individuals enjoying immunity .....	199
4.3.5 The Problem of Competing Requests for Extradition .....	202
4.3.6 Judicial Assistance by the ICC in Favour of Non-Party States .....	204

**Chapter 5  
Conclusion**

<b>5.1 The Concept and Application of Complementarity: Past Development and Present Trend.....</b>	207
5.1.1 The Impact of Complementarity on the National Suppression of Core International Crimes in China.....	212
5.1.2 Future Prospects for the Relationship between China and the ICC.....	217
<b>References.....</b>	219
<b>Table of Cases.....</b>	237