



Flowering Time Gene Variation in *Brassica* Species Shows Evolutionary Principles

Sarah V. Schiessl^{1*}, Bruno Huettel², Diana Kuehn², Richard Reinhardt² and Rod J. Snowdon¹

¹ Department of Plant Breeding, IFZ Research Centre for Biosystems, Land Use and Nutrition, Justus Liebig University, Giessen, Germany, ² Max Planck Institute for Breeding Research, Cologne, Germany

Flowering time genes have a strong influence on successful reproduction and life cycle adaptation. However, their regulation is highly complex and only well understood in diploid model systems. For crops with a polyploid background from the genus Brassica, data on flowering time gene variation are scarce, although indispensable for modern breeding techniques like marker-assisted breeding. We have deep-sequenced all paralogs of 35 Arabidopsis thaliana flowering regulators using Sequence Capture followed by Illumina sequencing in two selected accessions of the vegetable species Brassica rapa and Brassica oleracea, respectively. Using these data, we were able to call SNPs, InDels and copy number variations (CNVs) for genes from the total flowering time network including central flowering regulators, but also genes from the vernalisation pathway, the photoperiod pathway, temperature regulation, the circadian clock and the downstream effectors. Comparing the results to a complementary data set from the allotetraploid species Brassica napus, we detected rearrangements in B. napus which probably occurred early after the allopolyploidisation event. Those data are both a valuable resource for flowering time research in those vegetable species, as well as a contribution to speciation genetics.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Amy Litt, University of California, Riverside, United States

Reviewed by:

J. Chris Pires, University of Missouri, United States Tatiana Arias, Corporation for Biological Research, Colombia

*Correspondence:

Sarah V. Schiessl sarah-veronica.schiessl@ agrar.uni-giessen.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Evolution and Development, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 28 July 2017 Accepted: 25 September 2017 Published: 17 October 2017

Citation:

Schiessl SV, Huettel B, Kuehn D, Reinhardt R and Snowdon RJ (2017) Flowering Time Gene Variation in Brassica Species Shows Evolutionary Principles. Front. Plant Sci. 8:1742. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01742 Keywords: sequence capture, natural variation, polyploidy, speciation, copy number variation

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Brassica* is highly diverse. It contains many phenotypically extremely different vegetable, turnip and oil crops, among them garden turnip, Chinese cabbage and Pak Choi (*Brassica rapa*) and cabbage, broccoli, cauliflower, Brussels sprouts, kale, kohlrabi and savoy (*Brassica oleracea*) (Paterson et al., 2001). Both species are also diploid progenitors of *B. napus*, which comprises rapeseed/ canola and rutabagas (Chalhoub et al., 2014). Adequate regulation of flowering and flowering time is crucial for crop production especially for leafy vegetable crops as in *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea*. Early bolting limits vegetable growth and can therefore severely decrease yield. On the other hand, complete inhibition of flowering interferes with seed production. Knowledge about the impact of flowering time gene variation is therefore crucial for successful vegetable breeding. In the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*, flowering time is set by expression of the gene *FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT)* (Srikanth and Schmid, 2011; Blümel et al., 2015). The expression of *FT* is negatively regulated by the transcriptional repressor *FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC)* in interaction with other genetic factors from the vernalisation pathway, and positively regulated via the transcriptional

1

activator CONSTANS (CO) in interaction with genetic factors from the photoperiod pathway and the circadian clock (Srikanth and Schmid, 2011; Blümel et al., 2015). Other pathways like the ambient temperature pathway, the age pathway, the sugar signaling pathway and the stress pathway are able to modulate the flowering response (Srikanth and Schmid, 2011; Blümel et al., 2015). All the same, vernalisation and day length have major effects on flowering time. Although B. rapa and B. oleracea are closely related to A. thaliana, their reaction to vernalisation is different. Whereas A. thaliana and B. rapa respond to seed vernalisation, *B. oleracea* requires plant vernalisation (Lin, 2005; Zhang et al., 2015) and is not responsive at early seedling stages. As in A. thaliana, annual (vernalisation-independent) and biennual (vernalisation-dependent) forms exist within both Brassica species (Camargo and Osborn, 1996). Foregoing studies have identified different orthologous copies of FLC and FT as strong candidates for flowering time regulation in *B. rapa* and *B.* oleracea, both in the absence and in the presence of vernalisation (Pires et al., 2004; Lin, 2005; Razi et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015). Brassica rapa has been found to carry 2 copies of Bra.FT (Zhang et al., 2015) (A02 and A07), 4 copies of Bra.FLC (Schranz et al., 2002) (A02, two copies on A03, A10) and 3 copies of Bra.CO (A01, A03, A10). In contrast, B. oleracea seems to carry 4 copies of Bol.FT (two copies on C02, C04, and C06), 5 copies of Bol.FLC (Razi et al., 2008)(one copy on C02, two copies on C03, two copies on C09) and 3 copies of Bol.CO (C01, C03, and C09). Bra.FT.A07, often referred to as BrFT2, was found to underlie a strong QTL for flowering time, possibly due to a transposon insertion in the mapping parent R-o-18 (Zhang et al., 2015). Bra.FLC.A02, also referred to as BrFLC2, was found to underlie QTLs for flowering time in different studies (Zhao et al., 2010; Xiao et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015), possibly due to a 57 bp InDel in the fourth exon and the forth intron of the gene, leading to a non-functional allele (Wu et al., 2012). Another FLC copy, Bra.FLC.A10, also referred to as BrFLC1, was associated to flowering time due to alternative splicing via variation in intron 6 (Yuan et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2012). A CO-like copy on A02 co-localized with a flowering QTL in a DH population derived from a Chinese cabbage and a rapid cycling line (Li et al., 2013). Different patterns of functional polymorphisms, including premature stop codons, non-synonymous SNPs and differential promotor structure have been found for Bol.FLC copies (Okazaki et al., 2007; Razi et al., 2008; Irwin et al., 2016). Both copies on C03 (formerly referred to as BoFLC3 and BoFLC5) as well as one copy on C09 (referred to as BoFLC1) were found to co-localize with flowering time QTL (Razi et al., 2008). A further copy, referred to as BoFLC2 or BoFLC4, was assumed to be a pseudogene located on C02 (Razi et al., 2008), but was found to underlie a QTL in a different study due to a 1 bp deletion (Okazaki et al., 2007) Copies of Bol.CO were also suggested as candidate genes for QTL in B. oleracea, for example, Bol.CO.C09 (Okazaki et al., 2007). Most previous research has therefore focused on the central flowering regulators FLC, FT, and CO, whereas other genes which might modulate the flowering response have been largely ignored. In order to provide a more complete description of genetic variation in central flowering time genes, we deep-sequenced representatives of two *B. rapa* subspecies (L58, ssp. *parachinensis*, R-o-18, ssp. *tricolaris*) along with two different genotypes of *B. oleracea* ssp. *capitata* (BRA1398, Kashirka) for a set of flowering time genes, using a sequence capture approach followed by Illumina sequencing. The data allowed estimation of copy number and sequence variation including SNPs and InDels. All those sequence variants are potentially influential on the phenotype and therefore an interesting resource to vegetable breeders. Comparison to previous data from the same genes in the allopolyploid hybrid species *B. napus* (Schiessl et al., 2014, 2017a,b) provide new insight into the genetic history of *B. napus* and are discussed along with the sequence data from its diploid progenitors.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant Material and DNA Extraction

Two inbred *B. rapa* lines and two *B. oleracea* genotypes were used for the present study. The two *B. rapa* lines, both annuals, were L58, a caixin line (ssp. *parachinensis*) and R-o-18, a yellow sarson line (ssp. *tricolaris*). Both had been used as parents for DH populations before (Bagheri et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015). The two *B. oleracea* genotypes were the annual BRA1398 (*ssp. capitata convar. botrytis var. botrytis L.*) and the biennial Kashirka (*ssp. capitata*), a late flowering Siberian kale.

Leaf material from 4 week old plants grown in pots in the greenhouse was collected and immediately shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen. DNA was then extracted from grinded leaf material using a common CTAB protocol modified from Doyle (1990) as described before (Schiessl et al., 2014). DNA concentration was measured using a Qubit fluorometer (Qubit dsDNA BR assay kit, Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA quantity and purity was further checked on 0.5% agarose gel (3 V/cm, 0.5xTBE, 120 min) stained with ethidium bromide.

Target Genes

The four samples were re-sequenced using targeted deep sequencing along with 280 B. napus genotypes as described elsewhere (Schiessl et al., 2017a,b). In brief, flowering time genes involving the most important flowering regulation pathways as known from Arabidopsis thaliana were checked for Brassica orthologs. Those included genes from the circadian clock (CYCLING DOF FACTOR 1 (CDF1), EARLY FLOWERING 3 (ELF3), GIGANTEA (GI), and ZEITLUPE (ZTL)), the vernalisation pathway (EARLY FLOWERING 7 (ELF7), EARLY FLOWERING IN SHORT DAYS (EFS), FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC), FRIGIDA (FRI), SHORT VEGTATIVE PHASE (SVP), SUPPRESSOR OF FRIGIDA 4 (SUF4), TERMINAL FLOWER 2 (TFL2), VERNALISATION 2 (VRN2), VERNALISATION INSENSITIVE 3 (VIN3)), the photoperiod pathway (CONSTANS (CO), CRYPTO-CHROME 2 (CRY2), PHYTOCHROME A (PHYA), PHYTOCHROME B (PHYB)) and gibberellin signaling (GIBBERELLIN-3-OXIDASE 1 (GA3ox1)), along with downstream signal transducers (AGAMOUS-LIKE 24 (AGL24), APETALA 1 (AP1), CAULIFLOWER (CAL), FLOWERING LOCUS D (FD), FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT), FRUITFUL (FUL), LEAFY (LFY), SQUAMOSA PROMOTOR PROTEIN LIKE 3 (SPL3), SUPPRESSOR OF CONSTANS 1 (SOC1), TEMPRANILLO 1 (TEM1), TERMINAL FLOWER 1 (TFL1)). On top, we also included 6 further genes: CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSISTED 1 (CCA1), FLAGELLIN-SENSITIVE 2 (FLS2), GLYCIN-RICH PROTEIN 7 (GRP7), GLYCIN-RICH PROTEIN 8 (GRP8), GORDITA (GORD) and SENSITIVITY TO RED LIGHT REDUCED 1 (SRR1), giving a total of 35 genes.

Bait Development

In order to enrich for the respective target regions, a bait pool was constructed based on selected sequences from *B. rapa, B. oleracea,* and B. napus. A detailed description of the bait pool development can be found in (Schiessl et al., 2017a). The bait pool consisted of 178 bait groups, 63 bait groups for B. rapa orthologs, 71 bait groups for B. oleracea orthologs and 24 bait groups for B. napus orthologs. As a short summary, baits were first developed in the program eArrayXD using sequences from *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea*. After a preliminary sequencing test with four diverse B. napus genotypes (Schiessl et al., 2014), the bait pool was refined and some sequences were replaced by *B. napus* sequences using the Agilent Genomic Workbench program SureDesign (Agilent Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). This improved the specificity of the bait pool (Schiessl et al., 2017a). Bait groups were created using the "Bait Tiling" tool. The parameters were set as follows: Sequencing Technology: "Illumina," Sequencing Protocol: "Paired-End long Read (75 bp+)," "Use Optimized Parameters (Bait length 120, Tiling Frequency 1x)," Avoid Overlap: "20," "User defined genome," "Avoid Standard Repeat Masked Regions."

Library Preparation and Sequencing

Custom bait production was carried out by Agilent Technologies (Agilent Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) using the output oligonucleotide sequences from SureDesign. Sequence capture was performed at the GenomeCenter at the Max Planck Institute for Breeding Research (Cologne, Germany) using the SureSelectXT 1–499 kb Custom Kit (Agilent Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The resulting TruSeq DNA library (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencer at the Max Planck Institute for Breeding Research (Cologne, Germany) in 100 bp single read mode.

Sequence Analysis

Alignment

Quality control of the raw sequencing data was performed using FASTQC. Reads were mapped both onto version 4.1 of the *B. napus* "Darmor-Bzh" reference genome sequence assembly (Chalhoub et al., 2014) and either onto version 1.5 of the *B. rapa* "Chiifu-401-42" reference genome (Wang et al., 2011) for *B. rapa* reads or onto version 2.1 "TO1000" of the *B. oleracea* reference genome (Parkin et al., 2014) for *B. oleracea* reads. Mapping was performed using the SOAPaligner algorithm (Li R. et al., 2009), with default settings. Removal of duplicates, sorting and indexing was carried out with samtools version 0.1.19 (Li H. et al., 2009). Alignments were visualized using the IGV browser version 2.3.12 (Robinson et al., 2011). For InDel calling, a separate mapping

using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) was performed, as described in (Schmutzer et al., 2015), on the reference genome of *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea*. Removal of duplicates, sorting and indexing was again carried out with samtools version 0.1.19.

As it turned out that *Bol.FLC.C02* is likely to be misassembled in the reference genome, we cut out all *Bol.FLC* copies from the reference genome except *Bol.FLC.C02*, which we replaced by *Bol.FLC.C2.E9* (GenBank accession KU521323.1 Irwin et al., 2016). The resulting fasta file was used as artificial genome and the mapping was performed accordingly.

CNV Calling

We first defined regions with sufficient coverage (normalized mean coverage at least 10) for B. rapa and B. oleracea mapped on their respective reference genomes. A region is defined as being covered by at least two overlapping reads. The coverage was calculated using the bedtools software with multiBamCov (Quinlan, 2014) and normalized to region length, genotype read number and genome size. Those regions were subjected to BLAST against the target regions found for B. napus in (Schiessl et al., 2017a) using a *e*-value cut-off of e^{-50} using the program BioEdit version 7.2.0. Moreover, a bed file with the positions of those regions was compared to the gene positions of the respective reference genomes. All regions which either overlapped with an annotated gene or alternatively had a BLAST hit to a B. napus target region were analyzed for CNVs. In order to have comparable coverages, we used the gene positions wherever possible, and calculated the normalized coverages on those positions.

In a second step, we compared the coverage ratio between both genotypes of a species. If one genotype had less than 50% coverage than the other, we assumed an unbalanced coverage ratio, indicating a CNV. In case that the coverage of one of the genotypes was less than 30% of the other, we assumed a deletion. For all other cases, we compared the coverage of this region to the respective coverage obtained for the orthologous region in a population of 280 *B. napus* genotypes (Schiessl et al., 2017a). If the coverage ratio was less than 30%, we assumed a deletion. In all other cases, we assumed a duplication for the other genotype.

SNP and InDel Calling and Annotation

Calling of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) was performed with the algorithm mpileup in the samtools toolkit (Li H. et al., 2009). Calling of InDels was performed based on a separate alignment using Bowtie2. An initial InDel calling was first performed using samtools mpileup, and realignment of reads around InDels was then performed using GATK RealignerTargetCreator, version 3.1.1 (McKenna et al., 2010). A final InDel calling was then performed as described above. SNPs were filtered for a minimum mapping quality of 50 and a read depth of at least 10, and InDels were filtered for a minimum mapping quality of 30 and a read depth of at least 10 using vcftools (Danecek et al., 2011). SNP and InDel annotation was performed using CooVar (Vergara et al., 2012).

As InDel calling with this read length and mapping parameters is limited to InDels of a length of 18 bp, we conducted another approach where we searched for regions of zero coverage in a 19 bp window which were strongly covered in the respective other genotype using the SOAP2 mapping, while having a low coverage using the realigned Bowtie2 mapping in the same genotype. This approach ensures for the detection of larger deletions, which are not due to reference mapping problems.

Data and Seed Availability

The aligned bamfiles are available via NCBI SRA (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/), study accession SRP119226 (Flowering time genes in *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea*).

The two accessions of *B. oleracea* BRA1398 and Kashirka (BRA1506) are available via the Genbank at IPK Gatersleben. The two accessions of *B. rapa* L58 and R-o-18 are available from the authors upon request.

RESULTS

Gene Copies in *B. rapa, B. oleracea,* and *B. napus*

In the two *B. rapa* accessions we found 1405 regions with a mean normalized coverage of at least 10, among them 222 regions colocalizing with an annotated *B. rapa* gene. Of those, 105 regions had a BLAST hit to a target gene of *B. napus* as analyzed in (Schiessl et al., 2017b), while 95 regions of those with a BLAST hit co-localized with an annotated *B. rapa* gene. We therefore analyzed 228 regions for *B. rapa*, which either co-localized with a *B. rapa* gene or had a BLAST hit to a target *B. napus* gene or both, excluding non-genic regions from the analysis. For the two *B. oleracea* accessions we found 3010 regions with a normalized coverage of at least 10, with 365 regions co-localizing with an annotated *B. oleracea* gene and 111 regions showing a BLAST hit to a target *B. napus* region. In total, we analyzed 384 regions for *B. oleracea*.

When mapping the sequencing reads from *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea* onto the *B. napus* reference genome, we found that *BnaA02g16710D* (*Bna.ZTL.A02*) had a strongly reduced normalized coverage in both *B. rapa* lines (2 and 13% of the mean *B. napus* coverage), while both *B.oleracea* lines had significant coverage at this locus (169 and 159% of the mean *B. napus* coverage). The raw read depth landscape for this locus is shown in **Figure 1**. The *B. rapa* genome also did not carry a respectively annotated gene on A02, while the *B. oleracea* genome carries an additional copy on a non-localized scaffold (**Figure 2**).

In contrast, *BnaCnng78500D* (*Bna.LFY.Cnn*) showed a much higher coverage in both *B. rapa* lines (270 and 256% of the mean *B. napus* coverage) than in both *B. oleracea* (41 and 45% of the mean *B. napus* coverage). Because the total size of the sequenced gene space was about half the size of the allotetraploid while the read number was comparable, a normalized coverage increase of around 200% would be expected for the diploid species.

In contrast to *B. napus*, we found no orthologs to the four further gene copies *BnaA03g24400D* (*Bna.SRR1.A03*), *BnaA10g27730D* (*Bna.CRY2.A10b*), *BnaAnng24480D* (*Bna.CDF1.Ann*) and *BnaAnng38870D* (*Bna.CO.Annb*) in *B. rapa*. All the same, when mapping the *B. rapa* reads onto the



A subgenome 1 Coverage (naw read depth) for both genotypes of *B. rapa* (green, A subgenome) showing low coverage and both genotypes of *B. oleracea* (orange, C subgenome) showing high coverage when mapped on the *B. napus* genome for a gene located on the A subgenome in *B. napus*: *Bna.ZTL.A02* (*BnaA02g16710D*) as an example for early rearrangements in the *B. napus* genome. The height of the gray area in each lane marks the raw read depth and is shown from 1 to 4000 as read count per base as non-dimensional number. The red bar on top marks the gene extension.

B. napus genome, we found significant coverage on those loci (176, 123, 207, and 87% of the B. napus coverage, respectively). This might either indicate mismapping or missing information in the reference genome. Doing so for B. oleracea, we did not find orthologs to BnaC08g10770D (Bna.VRN2.C08) and BnaCnng50250D (Bna.LFY.Cnna). The last one could point to a stable exchange between the A and C subgenomes similar to BnaCnng78500D (Bna.LFY.Cnnb), because it had only 51% coverage with B. oleracea reads, while having 136% using B. rapa reads. This is further supported when looking at the neighbor-joining tree for LFY (Figure 3A). On the other hand, we also noticed that the B. napus sequence of BnaCnng50250D (Bna.LFY.Cnna) contained patches of NNN (unknown sequence), so is possibly an artifact of the B. napus reference genome and might also interfere with the mapping for BnaCnng78500D (Bna.LFY.Cnnb). Bna.VRN2.C08 had 173% using B. oleracea reads. For Bol.CDF1.C02, we found a strongly covered region without any B. napus ortholog, which could indicate a rearrangement from Bol.CDF1.C02 to Bna.CDF1.Ann (see also Figure 3B). An overview of all putative gains and losses can be found in Figure 2. BnaC02g00490D (Bna.FLC.C02), showing 192% coverage using B. oleracea reads was not found to be covered at the respective locus Bo2g166560 (Bol.FLC.C02) in B. oleracea itself in both lines. We assume that this is due to a misassembled copy in the reference genome in B. oleracea, due to phylogenetic analysis and comparisons to sequences for Bol.FLC.C2 published by (Irwin et al., 2016). When we performed a separate alignment only to the Bol.FLC copies replacing Bol.FLC.C02 by the published version in (Irwin et al., 2016), we got a coverage in the expected range on Bol.FLC.C2.E9. Our data suggest that there is a misassembly between Bol.FLC.C02 and Bol.FLC.C03a.

Copy Number Variation

For all analyzed regions which co-localized with a respectively annotated gene, we analyzed the coverage for the annotated gene



FIGURE 2 | Diagram showing gene copies which were lost, gained or rearranged in *B. napus* compared to its diploid progenitors. The diagram also shows genetic regions which were considered to be pseudogenes in *B. napus* before (Schiessl et al., 2017a) without having a homologous region in the diploids, those which have a homologous region and those which were not annotated as a gene in the diploids. Gene copies without changes are not displayed.



positions. For those which only had a BLAST hit to a target *B*. *napus* gene, we analyzed the coverage for the total length of the covered region.

For *B. rapa*, we found 61 regions with an unbalanced coverage ratio, meaning that the coverage for one of the genotypes was at least 50% higher than for the other. Of those, 55 regions were genic in *B. rapa*. While 13 genic regions showed clear deletions (one genotype had less than 30% normalized coverage compared to the other), the coverage patterns of the other regions were less obvious. Therefore, in order to distinguish between a duplication in one genotype and a deletion in the other, we compared the normalized coverages of the *B. rapa* region to the respective normalized mean coverage of the corresponding region in a population of 280 genotypes of *B. napus* (Schiessl et al., 2017b).

All regions with less than 30% coverage compared to *B. napus* were considered to be deleted. All other unbalanced coverage ratios were assigned as duplication to the respective genotype. According to this definition, we found 15 genic deletions and 25 genic duplications in the genotype L58, and 9 genic deletions and 10 duplications in the genotype R-o-18. The CNVs concerning target genes are summarized in **Table 1**.

For *B. oleracea*, we found 118 regions with an unbalanced coverage ratio, with 110 regions being genic in *B. oleracea*. Applying the same thresholds as for *B. rapa*, we found 8 genic deletions and 38 genic duplications for genotype BRA1398, as well as 11 genic deletions and 46 duplications for genotype Kashirka. The respectively concerned target genes are summarized in **Table 2**.

Target gene	Gene ID <i>B. napus</i>	Gene ID B. rapa	Chromosome B. rapa	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. napus</i> population	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. rapa</i> on <i>B. napu</i> s	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. rapa</i> on <i>B. rapa</i>	Normalized coverage genotype L58 on <i>B. napus</i>	Normalized coverage genotype L58 on <i>B. rapa</i>	Assumed nature of the CNV
Bna.VIN3.A02	BnaA02g08140D	Bra020445	A02	1,561.9	4,982.2	1,441.3	6,330.0	1,833.2	Duplication
Bna.TEM1.A02	BnaA02g14040D	Bra038346	A02	1,281.5	2,111.5	702.6	2,637.1	884.7	Duplication
Bna.PHYB.A03	BnaA03g34390D	Bra001650	A03	1,637.4	3,159.4	1,076.7	3,986.9	659.3	Deletion
Bna.SVP.A04	BnaA04g12990D	Bra030228	A04	1,196.7	2,485.7	960.0	3,246.9	1,238.4	Duplication
Bna.CRY2.A08	BnaA08g27870D	Bra030568	A08	1,195.9	1,769.3	950.9	1,788.6	712.5	Deletion
Bna.SUF4.A09	BnaA09g25530D	Bra023153	A09	1,467.6	2,513.5	1,249.9	2,506.9	1,512.6	Duplication
Bna.GA3ox.A09	BnaA09g57140D	Bra026757	A09	1,675.6	1,114.2	594.5	720.1	425.4	Deletion
Bna.CO-li.A10	BnaA10g18420D	Bra008668	A10	2,248.3	3,936.3	1,398.6	4,418.0	994.0	Deletion
Bna.SUF4.Ann	BnaAnng11220D	Bra039880	A08	1,050.3	2,338.2	1,042.0	1,600.3	1,261.8	Duplication
Bna.CO.Ann	BnaAnng39160D	Bra021464	A01	795.4	2,212.1	1,009.1	3201.7	1,304.3	Duplication
Target gene	Gene ID <i>B. napus</i>	Gene ID <i>B. rapa</i>	Chromosome B. rapa	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. napus</i> population	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. rapa</i> on <i>B. napus</i>	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. rapa</i> on <i>B. rapa</i>	Normalized coverage genotype R-0-18 on <i>B. napus</i>	Normalized coverage genotype R-0-18 on <i>B. rapa</i>	Assumed nature of the CNV
Bna.PHYA.A06	BnaA06g05470D	Bra020013	A06	1,104.8	1,423.0	826.4	1,521.4	1,077.1	Duplication
Bna.ZTL.A07	BnaA07g01230D	Bra038830	A07	1,265.0	3,121.8	1,343.2	2,839.7	1,627.4	Duplication
Bna.EFS.A07	BnaA07g33460D	Bra015678	A07	1,171.4	2,417.1	945.9	3,089.6	1,179.9	Duplication
Bna.EFS.A07	BnaA07g33460D	Bra015678	A07	1,171.4	2,417.1	945.9	3,089.6	1,179.9	Duplication
Bna.ELF7.A10	BnaA10g27050D	Bra009582	A10	1,094.2	2,348.5	1,120.3	2,609.5	1,386.0	Duplication
Bna. TFL 1. Ann	BnaAnng00810D	Bra028815	A02	1,576.4	2,931.8	1,266.9	3,435.0	1,542.4	Duplication
Bna.GRP7.Ann		Bra031210	A09	322.3	670.1	510.3	594.5	700.5	Duplication

4 ð 000 4 4 -4 2 c+io 2 Ŝ, Ğ Ċ TARIE 1 1 G

Schiessl et al.

Target gene	Gene ID <i>B. napus</i>	Gene ID B.oleracea	Chromosome B.oleracea	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. napus</i> population	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. oleracea</i> on <i>B. napus</i>	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. oleracea</i> on <i>B. oleracea</i>	Normalized coverage genotype BRA1398 on <i>B. napus</i>	Normalized coverage genotype BRA1398 on <i>B.oleracea</i>	Assumed nature of the CNV
Bna.FT.C02	BnaC02g23820D	Bo01129s030	Scaffold01129	95.2	69.3	51.4	0.5	0.6	Deletion
Bna.FRI.C03	BnaC03g16130D	NA	C3	1,092.3	1,965.8	NA	1,721.2	NA	Duplication
Bna.FT.C06	BnaC06g27090D	Bo6g099320	C6	490.3	888.6	1209.0	627.5	1,514.5	Duplication
Bna.EFS.C06	BnaC06g38010D	Bo6g121240	C6	1,379.9	2,242.1	1,481.9	3,276.3	2,014.1	Duplication
Bna.SUF4.C08	BnaC08g09340D	Bo2g121020	C2	1,321.7	4,003.0	2,995.4	5,437.6	4,023.6	Duplication
Bna.PHYB.C08	BnaC08g10540D	Bo8g043460	C8	76.8	45.9	27.6	0.3	0.2	Deletion
Target gene	Gene ID <i>B. napus</i>	Gene ID B.oleracea	Chromosome B.oleracea	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. napus</i> population	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. oleracea</i> on <i>B. napu</i> s	Mean normalized coverage <i>B. oleracea</i> on <i>B. oleracea</i>	Normalized coverage genotype Kashirka on <i>B. napus</i>	Normalized coverage genotype Kashirka on <i>B. oleracea</i>	Assumed nature of the CNV
Bna.AP1.C02	BnaC02g44500D	Bo2g062650	C2	1,635.1	1,891.5	2,082.4	3,040.8	3,126.3	Duplication
Bna. TFL 1. C03	BnaC03g47080D	Bo3g040460	C3	904.5	1,028.9	752.8	1,522.7	1,055.7	Duplication
Bna.CAL.C03	BnaC03g56640D	Bo00825s090	Scaffold00825	822.7	842.2	1,237.0	1,206.9	1,718.7	Duplication
Bna. TFL 1. C04	BnaC04g16750D	Bo4g074330	C4	1,290.8	2,202.5	1,403.5	1,850.2	1,742.2	Duplication
Bna.SRR1.C09	BnaC09g34850D	Bo9g139490	C9	1,087.5	1,649.7	1,324.3	1,243.6	1,669.0	Duplication
Bna.CO.C09	BnaC09g41990D	B09g163730	C9	1,466.0	1,103.9	1,004.9	3.2	2.7	Deletion
Bna.FLC.C09	BnaC09g46540D	Bo9g173400	C9	1,031.5	4,037.8	1,523.2	4,302.0	1,885.6	Duplication
Bna.VRN2.Cnn	BnaCnng45490D	Bo5g078770	C5	207.0	253.1	155.1	337.3	227.1	Duplication

TABLE 2 | Gene names, Gene IDs, chromosomal location and normalized coverages for target flowering genes for the two sequenced lines of B. oleracea.

SNPs and Indels

We called a total of 4409 SNPs and 1048 short InDels for B. rapa and 6743 SNPs and 1092 short InDels for B. oleracea. For B. rapa, 11.1% of the total SNPs and 4.3% of the total InDels were heterozygous, while 27.6% of the total SNPs and 11.4% of the total InDels were heterozygous for B. oleracea. As shown in Tables S1, S2, 1436 SNPs and 22 InDels were target variants for B. rapa, while 1179 SNPs and 22 InDels were target variants for B. oleracea. Taking SNPs and InDels together, the heterozygosity in B. rapa was 0.8% in the target regions (1.0% for L58 and 0.6% for R-o-18) and 15.7% for B. oleracea (5.2% for BRA1398 and 20.2% for Kashirka). The higher level of heterozygosity in B. oleracea was expected, as the species has a high level of self-incompatibility. The heterozygosity between both lines also varied more for B. oleracea than for B. rapa (Figure 4). Only homozygous variants were considered as true variants and used for further analysis. The variant distribution is shown in Figure 5 (R-o-18) and in Figure 6 (Kashirka) (see Figures S1, S2 for L58 and BRA1398). Almost all gene copy groups showed considerable variation in all genotypes. For L58, gene copy groups without putative functional variation were Bra.SUF4, Bra.ELF7, and Bra.SVP from the vernalisation pathway and Bra.AP1, Bra.CAL, Bra.LFY, and Bra.SOC1 from the effector network. For R-o-18, gene copy groups without putative functional variation were Bra.ELF7 from the vernalisation pathway, and Bra.CAL and Bra.SOC1 from the effector network. Concerning B. oleracea, genotype BRA1398, only Bol.AP1 and Bol.SPL3 from the effector network remained without putative functional variation, while Kashirka did not show putative functional variation for Bol.SUF4 and Bol.ELF7 from the vernalisation pathway, for Bol.GI and Bol.CDF1 from the photoperiodic pathway, for Bol.GA3ox1 from GA signaling and for Bol.SPL3 from the effector network.

As InDels larger than 18 bp were not detected with our read length and mapping parameters, we used an additional approach to detect larger deletions (see section Materials and Methods). For *B. rapa*, we found larger deletions for one gene copy in L58 (*Bra.PHYA.A06*) and for 5 copies in R-o-18 (among them *Bra.FLC.A02, Bra.TEM1.A02, Bra.SUF4.A08, Bra.CRY2.A10*). For *B. oleracea*, we did not find larger deletions in the annotated target genes.

Variation in Central Flowering Regulators

In *B. rapa*, the two copies of the central flowering regulator *FT* showed a low SNP variation. There was only one conservative L49I mutation in *Bra.FT.A02* in L58. However, there were 6 InDels in *Bra.FT.A02* (2 deletions, 4 insertions) for L58 and 2 deletions in the same copy in R-o-18 and an additional insertion into *Bra.FT.A07*. In contrast, there were 4 non-synonymous SNPs for *B. oleracea FT* copies. One of them was a radical W170C mutation in *Bol.FT.C04* in BRA1398, another a moderately radical H81Y mutation in the same copy in both genotypes. This copy also carried an insertion in both genotypes. The other two mutations, both found for *Bol.FT.C06* in Kashirka, were conservative (R21Q and E59D).

For *FLC* orthologs, there was more variation in *B. oleracea* than in *B. rapa*. There was a moderately radical R193P mutation and a deletion in *Bra.FLC.A02* in R-o-18 and

a conservative T20P mutation along with a splice donor variant in Bra.FLC.A10 for both genotypes, while the two copies on A03 remain almost conserved, with one insertion into Bra.FLC.A03 (Bra022771) shared by both genotypes. In contrast, the B. oleracea genotype BRA1398 shows variation in all FLC orthologs. Those were a moderately radical G110V mutation and a moderately conservative K79N mutation in Bol.FLC.C03 (Bo3g005470), a conservative I173V mutation shared with Kashirka in Bol.FLC.C03 (Bo3g024250), a moderately radical T176N mutation also shared with Kashirka in Bol.FLC.C09 (Bo9g173370) and a conservative S168N mutation in Bol.FLC.C09 (Bo9g173400). Both genotypes share an insertion in Bol.FLC.C09 (Bo9g173370). Kashirka also carries a conservative R24Q mutation in Bol.FLC.C03 (Bo3g024250) and putatively has Bol.FLC.C09 (Bo9g173400) duplicated. As Bol.FLC.C09a (Bo9g173370) seems to have an improper stop codon, producing a distinctly longer peptide, we assume that this copy is non-functional. As we found that Bol.FLC.C02 appears to be misassembled in the B. oleracea genome, we called SNPs separately compared to Bol.FLC.C2.E9, a sequenced copy from genotype E9 published in (Irwin et al., 2016). We called one moderately radical A75D mutation in Kashirka compared to E9.

Orthologs of the key photoperiodic transcription factor *CO* show more variation in *B. rapa* than in *B. oleracea. Bra.CO.A01* shows two moderately radical (A60E, C237S), two moderately conservative (D16G, P130Q) and two conservative mutations (H167Q, Q181E) in R-o-18. In L58, this copy does not carry non-synonymous SNPs, but appears to be duplicated. *Bra.CO.A03* carries a radical F146S mutation, two moderately radical (A20D, Q92L) mutations, one moderately conservative E33G mutation and one conservative I192V mutation in both genotypes. Both *B. oleracea* genotypes carry a moderately radical Y100S mutation in *Bol.CO.C01*, while BRA1398 also carries two moderately radical mutations (K145I, G223R) and one conservative E71Q mutation on *Bol.CO.C03*. The copy *Bol.CO.C09* appears to be deleted in Kashirka.

DISCUSSION

Network Variation in Vegetable Species

Our sequencing data provide considerable novel data on variation among numerous flowering time regulatory genes in B. rapa and B. oleracea. We confirmed the functional conservation of BrFLC2 (Bra.FLC.A02) in the B. rapa genotype L58 (Wu et al., 2012) and a previously identified SNP resulting in a splice variant in BrFLC1 (Bra.FLC.A10) (Yuan et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2012). A larger deletion in Bra.FLC.A02 in genotype R-o-18 colocalizes with a 57 bp deletion at the same position in intron 4 and exon 4. This deletion was previously found to underlie a flowering time QTL in a DH population deriving from L58 and R-o-18 (Zhang et al., 2015). We moreover observed an InDel in BrFT2 (Bra.FT.A07) in R-o-18, which we assume is caused by a larger structural variant underlying another flowering time QTL in the same population (Zhang et al., 2015). For B. oleracea, the variation detected in the central flowering time regulators is expected to significantly influence flowering time and related processes, considering the large genetic variation



including radical SNP mutations, InDels and CNVs. QTL studies for flowering time in *B. oleracea* found central regulator copies in different populations (Okazaki et al., 2007; Razi et al., 2008; Irwin et al., 2016). Both *Bol.FLC.C03* and one *Bol.FLC.C09* copy were found to underlie flowering time variation, and *Bol.FLC.C02* variation has been found to have a large influence on heading date in purple sprouting broccoli (Irwin et al., 2016) and cauliflower due to a 1 bp InDel (Okazaki et al., 2007). Furthermore, a copy



FIGURE 5 | Pathway variation for flowering time genes in the *B. rapa* genotype R-o-18. Interactions are displayed as known from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Arrows indicate positive regulation, blunt ends indicate negative regulation. All copies of a gene are displayed in a box. The main flowering regulators *FT*, *FLC*, and *CO* are indicated in bold boxes. The type of variant is color coded (see legend). Duplications are indicated by a second colorless copy box. SNP colors are hierarchic, meaning for example that synonymous SNPs are not displayed if non-synonymous SNPs are present. Boxes framed in red indicate known copies from *B. napus* which were not found in *B. rapa*. Boxes framed in blue were found, but not annotated as gene.

of *Bol.CO* was found in a small-effect QTL in the same study (Okazaki et al., 2007).

Here we provide a variant framework for flowering gene variation not only for the central flowering regulators, but for the total flowering network in both vegetable species. For example, our data could help to find functional variance for QTL in *B. rapa*, not explained by *Bra.FLC* or *Bra.FT*, in a DH population derived from the reference genotype Chiifu-401 and a rapid cycling line (Li et al., 2013). The QTL in that study on A02 and A06 may correspond to candidate genes *Bra.COL.A02* and *Bra.LFY.A06*. Although we did not detect functional variation in *Bra.LFY.A06* sequences, we identified 3 non-synonymous SNPs in *Bra.COL.A02*.

Both L58 and R-o-18 are annuals, while L58 has an early-flowering phenotype (Bagheri et al., 2012). The annual behavior has been attributed to the shared splice variant in *Bra.FLC.A10*

(Yuan et al., 2009), whereas the late flowering habitus of R-o-18 could be caused by the structural variation in *Bra.FT.A07*, which seems to overlay the effect of the *Bra.FLC.A02* deletion (Zhao et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2012). A further explanation could be the duplication of *Bra.CO.A01* in L58, which is likely to increase the expression of the flowering activator CO. In contrast, the late flowering Siberian Kale Kashirka is biannual, which could either be attributed to the putative duplication of *Bol.FLC.C09b* (*Bo9g173400*) or to the R24Q mutation in *Bol.FLC.C03* (*Bo3g024250*) and the A75D mutation in *Bol.FLC.C02* (independent mapping to *Bol.FLC.C2.E9*). All other functional variants are either shared with or unique to BRA1398, which itself is annual. *Bol.FLC.C09a* (*Bo9g173370*) seems to be a pseudogene.

All the same, the DH populations studied so far all showed transgressive segregation (Zhao et al., 2010; Bagheri et al.,



FIGURE 6 Pathway variation for flowering time genes in the *B. oleracea* genotype Kashirka. Interactions are displayed as known from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Arrows indicate positive regulation, blunt ends indicate negative regulation. All copies of a gene are displayed in a box. The main flowering regulators *FT*, *FLC*, and *CO* are indicated in bold boxes. The type of variant is color coded (see legend). Duplications are indicated by a second colorless copy box. SNP colors are hierarchic, meaning for example that synonymous SNPs are not displayed if non-synonymous SNPs are present. Boxes framed in red indicate known copies from *B. napus* which were not found in *B. oleracea*. Boxes framed in green indicate that this copy was not known from *B. napus*. Boxes framed in blue were found, but not annotated as gene.

2012; Xiao et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015), indicating polygenic regulation, so in order to identify and quantify the contributions from small effect genes, genome-wide association studies would have to complement the QTL studies performed so far. Our data represent a valuable resource for the development of suitable marker systems or for respective mutation studies.

Rearrangements in Brassica napus

Most flowering time genes in *B. napus* were found to be collinear and syntenic with their orthologues in both sequenced accessions of the progenitors *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea*, in accordance to previous findings that the donor subgenomes remain basically unaltered, although local rearrangements took place (Rana et al., 2004; Parkin, 2005; Bancroft et al., 2011; Chalhoub et al., 2014). Although, the number of sequenced accessions was limited and only covered a small part of the intraspecific variation (two subspecies for *B. rapa* and one subspecies for *B. oleracea*), we do all the same believe that they give important insights into Brassica genomics. Our sequence data indicate that some copies obviously were lost from the B. napus genome after polyploidisation (for example, Bol.CDF1.C02), while others were gained by duplication (for example, Bna.SRR1.A03) or rearranged to another chromosome (Bol. TFL1. C04 to Bna. TFL1. C03). For two gene copies among the set (BnaCnng78500D (Bna.LFY.Cnn), BnaA02g16710D (Bna.ZTL.A02), we observed a stable exchange between the subgenomes A and C in Brassica napus. Using resynthesized B. napus as a model for polyploidization, such rearrangements were observed frequently (Gaeta et al., 2007; Szadkowski et al., 2011; Schmutzer et al., 2015), and were found to occur mostly in the first meiotic cycles after hybridization (Gaeta et al., 2007). Many times, those rearrangements in

resynthesized B. napus enclosed larger parts of a chromosome (Samans et al., 2017), whereas in our case, no consecutive patterns of fixed deletions or duplications were found, indicating small scale changes. As was found in Szadkowski et al. (2011), interspecific hybridization via unification of unreduced gametes causes more frequent, but smaller translocations than somatic doubling of allohaploids in B. napus. While the latter is mostly used for experimental hybrids, the first is more likely to occur under natural conditions. Small and stable homoeologous exchanges are therefore widespread in the B. napus genome and played a major role in B. napus speciation (Szadkowski et al., 2011; Chalhoub et al., 2014; Samans et al., 2017). Rearrangements (apart from CNVs) can change the regulatory context of a gene, change its mutation frequency and therefore contribute to speciation (Faria and Navarro, 2010). The occurrence of new pseudogenes both in B. oleracea and B. napus may be an indicator of beginning (B. napus) and ongoing (B. oleracea) diploidization after the interspecific hybridization. Pseudogenization and gene loss are general principles of genome evolution after whole genome duplication events (Sankoff et al., 2010). We expect that the total variation in each species will reveal even more such rearrangements. The sequence capture bait design used in the present study is therefore a valuable resource for further assessment of intra-and interspecific variation in Brassica flowering time genes.

CONCLUSIONS

Flowering time control is of major importance in crop adaptation. Knowledge about flowering time genes is crucial for improving important *Brassica* vegetable crops. Our study provides sequence variation data for all orthologous copies of 35 flowering-time regulatory genes in two accessions each of *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea*, respectively. The data confirm earlier findings on variation in central flowering time regulators, but also provide comprehensive novel data spanning numerous other genes involved in the flowering network. Rearrangement patterns compared to the allotetraploid *B. napus* revealed only small and local changes, implicating that allopolyploidisation in *B. napus* occured via unreduced gametes with small-scale homoeologous exchanges.

REFERENCES

- Bagheri, H., El-Soda, M., van Oorschot, I., Hanhart, C., Bonnema, G., Jansenvan den Bosch, T., et al. (2012). Genetic analysis of morphological traits in a new, versatile, rapid-cycling *Brassica rapa* recombinant inbred line population. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:183. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00183
- Bancroft, I., Morgan, C., Fraser, F., Higgins, J., Wells, R., Clissold, L., et al. (2011). Dissecting the genome of the polyploid crop oilseed rape by transcriptome sequencing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 762–766. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1926
- Blümel, M., Dally, N., and Jung, C. (2015). Flowering time regulation in cropswhat did we learn from Arabidopsis? *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 32, 121–129. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2014.11.023
- Camargo, L. E. A., and Osborn, T. C. (1996). Mapping loci controlling flowering time in *Brassica oleracea*. TAG Theor. Appl. Genet. 92, 610–616. doi: 10.1007/BF00224565

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SS performed DNA extraction, bait development and data analysis. BH, DK, and RR performed library preparation and sequencing. SS and RS wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financed by grant SN 14/13-1 from the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the priority program "Flowering Time Control: From Natural Variation to Crop Improvement."

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017. 01742/full#supplementary-material

Figure S1 | Pathway variation for flowering time genes in the *B. rapa* genotype L58. Interactions are displayed as known from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Arrows indicate positive regulation, blunt ends indicate negative regulation. All copies of a gene are displayed in a box. The main flowering regulators FT, FLC, and CO are indicated in bold boxes. The type of variant is color coded (see legend). Duplications are indicated by a second colorless copy box. SNP colors are hierarchic, meaning for example that synonymous SNPs are not displayed if non-synonymous SNPs are present. Boxes framed in red indicate known copies from *B. napus* which were not found in *B. rapa*. Boxes framed in blue were found, but not annotated as gene.

Figure S2 | Pathway variation for flowering time genes in the *B. oleracea* genotype BRA1398. Interactions are displayed as known from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Arrows indicate positive regulation, blunt ends indicate negative regulation. All copies of a gene are displayed in a box. The main flowering regulators FT, FLC, and CO are indicated in bold boxes. The type of variant is color coded (see legend). Duplications are indicated by a second colorless copy box. SNP colors are hierarchic, meaning for example that synonymous SNPs are not displayed if non-synonymous SNPs are present. Boxes framed in red indicate known copies from *B. napus* which were not found in *B. oleracea*. Boxes framed in green indicate that this copy was not known from *B. napus*. Boxes framed in blue were found, but not annotated as gene.

Table S1 | Total number of target SNPs and number of homozygous target SNPs for both sequenced species along with the number of target SNPs and homozygous target SNPs for each sequenced genotype.

 Table S2 | Total number of target InDels and number of homozygous target InDels

 for both sequenced species along with the number of target InDels and

 homozygous target InDels for each sequenced genotype.

- Chalhoub, B., Denoeud, F., Liu, S., Parkin, I. A. P., Tang, H., Wang, X., Chiquet, J., et al. (2014). Early allopolyploid evolution in the post-neolithic *Brassica napus* oilseed genome. *Science* 345, 950–953. doi: 10.1126/science. 1253435
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., et al. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2156–2158. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330
- Doyle, J. J.(1990). Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissues. Focus 12, 13-15.
- Faria, R., and Navarro, A. (2010). Chromosomal speciation revisited: rearranging theory with pieces of evidence. *Trends Ecol. Evol. (Amst).* 25, 660–669. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2010.07.008
- Gaeta, R. T., Pires, J. C., Iniguez-Luy, F., Leon, E., and Osborn, T. C. (2007). Genomic changes in resynthesized *Brassica napus* and their effect on gene expression and phenotype. *Plant Cell* 19, 3403–3417. doi:10.1105/tpc.107.054346

- Irwin, J. A., Soumpourou, E., Lister, C., Ligthart, J.-D., Kennedy, S., and Dean, C. (2016). Nucleotide polymorphism affecting FLC expression underpins heading date variation in horticultural brassicas. *Plant J.* 87, 597–605. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13221
- Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Meth.* 9, 357–359. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., et al. (2009). The Sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–2079. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Li, R., Yu, C., Li, Y., Lam, T.-W., Yiu, S.-M., Kristiansen, K., et al. (2009). SOAP2: an improved ultrafast tool for short read alignment. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1966–1967. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp336
- Li, X., Ramchiary, N., Dhandapani, V., Choi, S. R., Hur, Y., Nou, I.-S., et al. (2013). Quantitative trait loci mapping in *Brassica rapa* revealed the structural and functional conservation of genetic loci governing morphological and yield component Traits in the A, B, and C subgenomes of Brassica species. *DNA Res.* 20, 1–16. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dss029
- Lin, S.-I. (2005). Differential regulation of flowering LOCUS C expression by vernalization in cabbage and Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 137, 1037–1048. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.058974
- McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., Garimella, K., et al. (2010). The genome analysis toolkit: a mapreduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* 20, 1297–1303. doi: 10.1101/gr.107524.110
- Okazaki, K., Sakamoto, K., Kikuchi, R., Saito, A., Togashi, E., Kuginuki, Y., et al. (2007). Mapping and characterization of FLC homologs and QTL analysis of flowering time in *Brassica oleracea*. TAG. Theoretical and applied genetics. *Theoretische und angewandte Genetik* 114, 595–608. doi: 10.1007/s00122-006-0460-6
- Parkin, I. A. P. (2005). Segmental structure of the *Brassica napus* genome based on comparative analysis with *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genetics* 171, 2765–2781. doi: 10.1534/genetics.105.042093
- Parkin, I. A., Koh, C., Tang, H., Robinson, S. J., Kagale, S., Clarke, W. E, et al. (2014). Transcriptome and methylome profiling reveals relics of genome dominance in the mesopolyploid *Brassica oleracea*. *Genome Biol*. 15:R77. doi: 10.1186/gb-2014-15-6-r77
- Paterson, A. H., Lan, T.-H., Amasino, R., Osborn, T. C., and Quiros, Carlos (2001). Brassica genomics: a complement to, and early beneficiary of, the Arabidopsis sequence. *Genome Biol.* 2, reviews1011.1–reviews1011.4.
- Pires, J. C., Zhao, J. W., Schranz, M. E., Leon, E. J., Quijada, P. A., Lukens, L. N., et al. (2004). Flowering time divergence and genomic rearrangements in resynthesized *Brassica polyploids* (Brassicaceae). *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 82, 675–688. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2004.00350.x
- Quinlan, A. R. (2014). BEDTools: the Swiss-army tool for Genome feature analysis. Curr. Prot. Bioinf. 47, 1–34. doi: 10.1002/0471250953.bi1112s47
- Rana, D., Boogaart, T., O'Neill, C. M., Hynes, L., Bent, E., Macpherson, L., et al. (2004). Conservation of the microstructure of genome segments in *Brassica napus* and its diploid relatives. *Plant J.* 40, 725–733. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02244.x
- Razi, H., Howell, E. C., Newbury, H. J., and Kearsey, M. J. (2008). Does sequence polymorphism of *FLC* paralogues underlie flowering time QTL in *Brassica* oleracea? Theor. Appl. Genet. 116, 179–192. doi: 10.1007/s00122-007-0657-3
- Robinson, J. T., Thorvaldsdottir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E. S., Getz, G., et al. (2011). Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 24–26. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1754
- Samans, B., Chalhoub, B., and Snowdon, R. J. (2017). Surviving a genome collision: genomic signatures of allopolyploidization in the recent crop species *Brassica napus*. *Plant Genome*. 10, 1–15. doi: 10.3835/plantgenome2017.02.0013
- Sankoff, D., Zheng, C., and Zhu, Q. (2010). The collapse of gene complement following whole genome duplication. BMC Genomics 11:313. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-11-313

- Schiessl, S., Huettel, B., Kuehn, D., Reinhardt, R., and Snowdon, R. J. (2017a). Targeted deep sequencing of flowering regulators in *Brassica napus* reveals extensive copy number variation. *Sci. Data* 4:170013. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2017.13
- Schiessl, S., Hüttel, B., Kuehn, D., Reinhardt, R., and Snowdon, R. J. (2017b). Post-polyploidisation morphotype diversification associates with gene copy number variation. *Sci. Rep.* 7:41845. doi: 10.1038/srep 41845
- Schiessl, S., Samans, B., Hüttel, B., Reinhardt, R., and Snowdon, R. J. (2014). Capturing sequence variation among flowering-time regulatory gene homologs in the allopolyploid crop species *Brassica napus. Front. Plant Sci.* 5:404. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00404
- Schmutzer, T., Samans, B., Dyrszka, E., Ulpinnis, C., Weise, S., Stengel, D., et al. (2015). Species-wide genome sequence and nucleotide polymorphisms from the model allopolyploid plant *Brassica napus. Sci. Data* 2:150072. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2015.72
- Schranz, M. E., Quijada, P., Sung, S.-B., Lukens, L., Amasino, R., and Osborn, T. C. (2002). Characterization and effects of the replicated flowering time gene FLC in *Brassica rapa. Genetics.* 162, 1457–1468.
- Srikanth, A., and Schmid, M. (2011). Regulation of flowering time: all roads lead to Rome. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 68, 2013–2037. doi: 10.1007/s00018-011-0673-y
- Szadkowski, E., Eber, F., Huteau, V., Lode, M., Coriton, O., Jenczewski, E., et al. (2011). Polyploid formation pathways have an impact on genetic rearrangements in resynthesized *Brassica napus. New Phytol.* 191, 884–894. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03729.x
- Vergara, I. A., Frech, C., and Chen, N. (2012). CooVar: co-occurring variant analyzer. BMC Res. Notes. 5:610. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-5-615
- Wang, X., Wang, H., Wang, J., Sun, R., Wu, J., Liu, S., et al. (2011). The genome of the mesopolyploid crop species *Brassica rapa*. *Nat. Genet.* 43, 1035–1039. doi: 10.1038/ng.919
- Wu, J., Wei, K., Cheng, F., Li, S., Wang, Q., Zhao, J., et al. (2012). A naturally occurring InDel variation in BraA.FLC.b (BrFLC2) associated with flowering time variation in *Brassica rapa*. *BMC Plant Biol*. 12:151. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-12-151
- Xiao, D., Zhao, J. J., Hou, X. L., Basnet, R. K., Carpio, D. P. D., Zhang, N. W., et al. (2013). The *Brassica rapa* FLC homologue FLC2 is a key regulator of flowering time, identified through transcriptional co-expression networks. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 4503–4516. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert264
- Yuan, Y.-X., Wu, J., Sun, R.-F., Zhang, X.-W., Xu, D.-H., Bonnema, G., et al. (2009). A naturally occurring splicing site mutation in the *Brassica rapa* FLC1 gene is associated with variation in flowering time. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60, 1299–1308. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp010
- Zhang, X., Meng, L., Liu, B., Hu, Y., Cheng, F., Liang, J., et al. (2015). A transposon insertion in FLOWERING LOCUS T is associated with delayed flowering in *Brassica rapa. Plant Sci.* 241, 211–220. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2015.10.007
- Zhao, J., Kulkarni, V., Liu, N., Del Carpio, D. P., Bucher, J., and Bonnema, G. (2010). BrFLC2 (FLOWERING LOCUS C) as a candidate gene for a vernalization response QTL in *Brassica rapa. J. Exp. Bot.* 61, 1817–1825. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq048

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Schiessl, Huettel, Kuehn, Reinhardt and Snowdon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.