

# STRASSEN'S $2 \times 2$ MATRIX MULTIPLICATION ALGORITHM: A CONCEPTUAL PERSPECTIVE

CHRISTIAN IKENMEYER AND VLADIMIR LYSIKOV

**ABSTRACT.** Despite its importance, all proofs of the correctness of Strassen's famous 1969 algorithm to multiply two  $2 \times 2$  matrices with only seven multiplications involve some more or less tedious calculations such as explicitly multiplying specific  $2 \times 2$  matrices, expanding expressions to cancel terms with opposing signs, or expanding tensors over the standard basis. This is why the proof is nontrivial to memorize and why many presentations of the proof avoid showing all the details and leave a significant amount of verifications to the reader.

In this note we give a short, self-contained, easy to memorize, and elegant proof of the existence of Strassen's algorithm that avoids these types of calculations. We achieve this by focusing on symmetries and algebraic properties.

Our proof combines the classical theory of  $M$ -pairs, which was initiated by Büchi and Clausen in 1985, with recent work on the geometry of Strassen's algorithm by Chiantini, Ikenmeyer, Landsberg, and Ottaviani from 2016.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1969 Strassen's bilinear algorithm [11] for the multiplication of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with only 7 multiplications revolutionized the field of computational linear algebra: Its recursive application gives the first subcubic algorithm for matrix multiplication. Fast matrix multiplication (see e.g. the recent [8]) has countless applications as a subroutine in algorithms for a wide variety of problems in computer science, physics, and computational biology. Because of its great importance we want to understand on a deep level what makes this surprising algorithm possible.

Unfortunately the algorithm in Strassen's original breakthrough publication is hard to memorize and its verification is cumbersome and does not yield many further insights. Several later papers by different authors follow the same route by presenting matrix multiplication algorithms for different formats that are results of numerical searches.

Shortly after Strassen's paper, Gastinel [6] published a version of Strassen's proof that can indeed be completely verified by the reader if close attention to indices, signs, and cancellations is paid. As in Strassen's original paper, the linear forms that are used seem to be completely ad hoc. But besides presenting the proof Gastinel also notices a rotational symmetry that we will use and that was rediscovered by Paterson [10] and recently again by Burichenko [2]. A very short verification was given by Yuval [12], but here the linear forms are also ad hoc. Indeed, the author even uses the word "trick" when referring to one of them. Chatelin [4] introduces new interesting considerations about the symmetry of Strassen's algorithm, but the verification of the algorithm is completely left to the reader, see for example the innocent "we can decompose the trilinear form (5) into [...]" on page 2 of [4]. Alekseyev [1] writes in a similar manner: "The following assertion can easily be checked". Gates and Kreinovich focus on "pedagogics" [7] and use symmetries to

---

*Date:* August 29, 2017.

*Key words and phrases.* matrix multiplication, Strassen's algorithm

*2012 ACM Subject Classification:* Theory of computation → Design and analysis of algorithms.

give intuition to Strassen's formulas, but they completely omit proving the correctness of the algorithm. Paterson [10] finds a diagrammatic description of Strassen's algorithm similar to [12] that still requires careful attention to details, in particular to signs and cancellations, see also the corresponding lecture notes [9, Fig. 2]. Recently Chiantini, Ikenmeyer, Landsberg, and Ottaviani [5] used geometric insights to get a better conceptual understanding of Strassen's algorithm, but its verification still requires what is euphemistically called a “simple calculation” (see the end of Sec. 7.1 in [5]).

The theory of  $M$ -pairs [3] is a way of presenting an algorithm only semi-explicitly, focusing on its existence rather than its precise form. This gives hope that using this approach cumbersome verification calculations can be avoided. Unfortunately to verify that a set of matrices forms an  $M$ -pair the reader has to verify that 16 products of  $2 \times 2$  matrices result in specific matrices, see [3, Thm. 7].

Inspired by the geometric insights developed in [5] we use the strategy of  $M$ -pairs to present a proof in which all verifications follow from simple algebraic identities. It does not use any “tricks” or ad hoc constructions, which makes it easy to follow and memorize.

Formally, the result that we prove is the following.

**Theorem 1** (Strassen [11]). *Fix any field  $\mathbb{F}$ . There exist seven matrices  $C_1, \dots, C_7 \in \mathbb{F}^{2 \times 2}$  and fourteen linear forms  $\mathbb{F}^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_7, \ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_7$  such that for all pairs of  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  the product satisfies*

$$AB = \sum_{i=1}^7 \ell_i(A) \ell'_i(B) C_i.$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES FROM LINEAR ALGEBRA

The *trace*  $\text{tr}(A)$  of a square matrix  $A$  is the sum of its diagonal entries. A matrix with trace 0 is called *traceless*. Taking the trace of a product of (rectangular) matrices is invariant under cyclic shifts:  $\text{tr}(A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n) = \text{tr}(A_2 \cdots A_n A_1)$ . Thus the trace is invariant under conjugation:  $\text{tr}(B^{-1}AB) = \text{tr}(ABB^{-1}) = \text{tr}(A)$ . Another implication is that if  $u$  is a column vector and  $v^T$  is a row vector, then  $v^T u = \text{tr}(v^T u) = \text{tr}(uv^T)$ .

The *characteristic polynomial* of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A$  is  $\lambda^2 - \text{tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A)$ . The Cayley—Hamilton theorem says that substituting  $A$  for  $\lambda$  yields the zero matrix.

## 3. ROTATIONAL SYMMETRY

In this section we collect some standard facts about rotation matrices. We think of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $D$  as a rotation of the plane by  $120^\circ$ , but to make our approach work over every field we use a more algebraic definition for  $D$ .

Let  $D$  have determinant 1 and trace  $-1$ , i.e.,  $D$  has characteristic polynomial  $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$ . We assume that  $D$  is not a multiple of the identity  $\text{id}$  (this is implicitly satisfied if the characteristic is not 3). For example, we could choose  $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , the matrix that cyclically permutes the three vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Claim 2.** *The matrix  $D$  has the following properties:  $D^3 = \text{id}$  and  $\text{id} + D + D^{-1} = 0$  and  $\text{tr}(D^{-1}) = -1$ .*

*Proof.* The characteristic polynomial of  $D$  is  $\lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$ . By the Cayley—Hamilton theorem we have  $D^2 + D + \text{id} = 0$ . Multiplying by  $D$  we obtain  $D + D^2 + D^3 = 0 = \text{id} + D + D^2$  and hence  $D^3 = \text{id}$ . Therefore  $D^{-1} = D^2$  and thus  $\text{id} + D + D^{-1} = 0$ . This implies  $\text{tr}(D^{-1}) = -\text{tr}(\text{id}) - \text{tr}(D) = -1$ .  $\square$

For every vector  $u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}$  the set of row vectors  $v^T$  such that  $v^T u = 0$  is a 1-dimensional subspace generated by the row vector  $u' := (-u_2, u_1)$ . If  $u$  is not an eigenvector of  $D$ , then  $u$  and  $Du$  are linearly independent, which means that  $u'(Du) \neq 0$  and we can define the normalized row vector  $u^\perp := (u'Du)^{-1}u'$  that satisfies  $u^\perp Du = 1$ . In this way we assign a vector  $v^\perp$  to every vector  $v$  that is not an eigenvector of  $D$ , defined by the properties  $v^\perp v = 0$  and  $v^\perp Dv = 1$ .

We fix a vector  $u$  that is not an eigenvector of  $D$  and define  $u^\perp$  as above. In our example we could choose  $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , which is not an eigenvector of  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

A first simple observation relates  $u^\perp$  and  $D^{-1}$ :

**Claim 3.**  $u^\perp D = (D^{-1}u)^\perp$ .

*Proof.* We verify the two defining properties for  $(D^{-1}u)^\perp$ : First we have  $(u^\perp D)(D^{-1}u) = u^\perp u = 0$  as required. Moreover,  $(u^\perp D)D(D^{-1}u) = u^\perp Du = 1$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

The following observation complements the fact that  $u^\perp Du = 1$ .

**Claim 4.**  $u^\perp D^{-1}u = -1$ .

*Proof.* Using Claim 2 we have  $\text{id} + D + D^{-1} = 0$  and thus  $u^\perp u + u^\perp Du + u^\perp D^{-1}u = 0$ . Since  $u^\perp u = 0$  and  $u^\perp Du = 1$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

#### 4. SEVEN MULTIPLICATIONS SUFFICE

In this section we apply our structural insights from Section 3 to prove Theorem 1. We set  $X := uu^\perp$ . Clearly  $\text{tr}(X) = u^\perp u = 0$  and we obtain the following identities that can be used to simplify products of  $X$ ,  $D$ , and  $D^{-1}$ :

**Claim 5.**  $X^2 = 0$  and  $XDX = X$  and  $XD^{-1}X = -X$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} X^2 &= (uu^\perp)(uu^\perp) = u(u^\perp u)u^\perp = 0. \\ XDX &= (uu^\perp)D(uu^\perp) = u(u^\perp Du)u^\perp = uu^\perp = X. \\ XD^{-1}X &= (uu^\perp)D^{-1}(uu^\perp) = u(u^\perp D^{-1}u)u^\perp = -uu^\perp = -X, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used Claim 4.  $\square$

By Claim 2, conjugation with  $D$  is a map of order 3 on the space of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices, i.e., for any matrix  $A$  there is a triple of conjugates  $A \mapsto D^{-1}AD \mapsto DAD^{-1} \mapsto A$ . Moreover, if  $A$  is traceless, then so are its conjugates.

**Claim 6.** *The matrices  $X$ ,  $D^{-1}XD$ , and  $DXD^{-1}$  are linearly independent. In particular,  $\{X, D^{-1}XD, DXD^{-1}\}$  is a basis of the vector space of traceless matrices.*

*Proof.* Since  $u$  is not an eigenvector of  $D$ , the vectors  $u$  and  $Du$  are linearly independent and thus form a basis for the space of column vectors. We observe that  $u$  is also not an eigenvector of  $D^{-1}$ , because  $Du = D^{-1}(D^{-1}u)$ . Therefore,  $u^\perp$  and  $u^\perp D \stackrel{\text{Claim 3}}{=} (D^{-1}u)^\perp$  form a basis of the space of row vectors. Thus, the four matrices  $u \cdot u^\perp$ ,  $u \cdot u^\perp D$ ,  $Du \cdot u^\perp$  and  $Du \cdot u^\perp D$  form a basis of the space of  $2 \times 2$  matrices. Multiplying from the left and from the right with  $D$  and using  $D^2 = D^{-1}$  we get the basis  $DXD$ ,  $DXD^{-1}$ ,  $D^{-1}XD$ ,  $D^{-1}XD^{-1}$ . Adding up all four matrices we get  $(D + D^{-1})X(D + D^{-1}) \stackrel{\text{Claim 2}}{=} (-\text{id})X(-\text{id}) = X$ . Therefore the matrices  $X$ ,  $DXD^{-1}$ ,  $D^{-1}XD$  are linearly independent.  $\square$

Since  $D$  and  $D^{-1}$  have trace  $-1 \neq 0$  (Claim 2), adding  $D$  or  $D^{-1}$  to the basis in Claim 6 yields two bases for the full space of  $2 \times 2$  matrices:  $\{D, X, D^{-1}XD, DXD^{-1}\}$  and  $\{D^{-1}, X, D^{-1}XD, DXD^{-1}\}$ .

Using the properties  $D^2 = D^{-1}$ ,  $D^{-2} = D$  and  $X^2 = 0$  from Claim 2 and Claim 5, we can write down the multiplication table with respect to these two bases. We further simplify it using the identities  $XDX = X$  and  $XD^{-1}X = -X$  from Claim 5.

	$D^{-1}$	$X$	$D^{-1}XD$	$DXD^{-1}$
$D$	id	$DX$	$XD$	$D^{-1}XD^{-1}$
$X$	$XD^{-1}$	0	$XD^{-1}XD = -XD$	$XDXD^{-1} = XD^{-1}$
$D^{-1}XD$	$D^{-1}X$	$D^{-1}XDX = D^{-1}X$	0	$D^{-1}XD^{-1}XD^{-1} = -D^{-1}XD^{-1}$
$DXD^{-1}$	$DXD$	$DXD^{-1}X = -DX$	$DXDXD = DXD$	0

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Notice that in the body of the table only (scalar multiples of) 7 matrices are used, and the entries are aligned in such a way that two occurrences of the same matrix are either in the same row or in the same column. At this point we are done proving Theorem 1, because the existence of such a pattern gives a simple way to construct a matrix multiplication algorithm as follows. To multiply matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , represent them in the bases  $\{D, X, D^{-1}XD, DXD^{-1}\}$  and  $\{D^{-1}, X, D^{-1}XD, DXD^{-1}\}$ , respectively:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} A &= a_1D + a_2X + a_3D^{-1}XD + a_4DXD^{-1} \\ B &= b_1D^{-1} + b_2X + b_3D^{-1}XD + b_4DXD^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the  $a_i$  are linear forms in the entries of  $A$  and the  $b_j$  are linear forms in the entries of  $B$ . We expand the product  $AB$  and group together summands according to the table:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} AB = & a_1 & \times & b_1 & \times & \text{id} \\ + & a_2 & \times & (b_1 + b_4) & \times & XD^{-1} \\ + & a_3 & \times & (b_1 + b_2) & \times & D^{-1}X \\ + & a_4 & \times & (b_1 + b_3) & \times & DXD \\ + & (a_1 - a_4) & \times & b_2 & \times & DX \\ + & (a_1 - a_2) & \times & b_3 & \times & XD \\ + & (a_1 - a_3) & \times & b_4 & \times & D^{-1}XD^{-1} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \ell_i(A) & & \ell'_i(B) & & C_i \end{array}$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark.* Taking the trace in (4.1) and using the fact that  $X$  and its conjugates are traceless, we see that  $\text{tr}(A) = a_1 \text{tr}(D) = -a_1$ , and  $\text{tr}(B) = -b_1$ . Thus the first of the 7 summands is  $\text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(B) \text{id}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. V.B. Alekseyev, *Maximal extensions with simple multiplication for the algebra of matrices of the second order*, Discrete Mathematics and Applications **7** (1997), no. 1, 89–101.
2. Vladimir Burichenko, *On symmetries of the Strassen algorithm*, arXiv:1408.6273, 2014.
3. Werner Bchi and Michael Clausen, *On a class of primary algebras of minimal rank*, Linear Algebra and its Applications **69** (1985), 249–268.

4. Philippe Chatelin, *On transformations of algorithms to multiply 2 × 2 matrices*, Information Processing Letters **22** (1986), no. 1, 1–5.
5. Luca Chiantini, Christian Ikenmeyer, J.M. Landsberg, and Giorgio Ottaviani, *The geometry of rank decompositions of matrix multiplication I: 2x2 matrices*, arXiv:1610.08364, 2016.
6. N. Gastinel, *Sur le calcul des produits de matrices*, Numerische Mathematik **17** (1971), no. 3, 222–229.
7. Ann Q. Gates and Vladik Kreinovich, *Strassen's algorithm made (somewhat) more natural: A pedagogical remark*, Bulletin of the EATCS **73** (2001), 142–145.
8. François Le Gall, *Powers of tensors and fast matrix multiplication*, Proceedings of the 39th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (New York, NY, USA), ISSAC '14, ACM, 2014, pp. 296–303.
9. Mike Paterson, *Matrix algorithms*, Lecture notes for the course CS341, The University of Warwick, <http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/dcs/teaching/material/cs341/matrixmult09.pdf>, 2009.
10. ———, *Strassen symmetries*, Presentation at Leslie Valiant's 60th birthday celebration, May 30, USA, [www.cis.upenn.edu/~mkearns/valiant/paterson.ppt](http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~mkearns/valiant/paterson.ppt), 2009.
11. Volker Strassen, *Gaussian elimination is not optimal*, Numer. Math. **13** (1969), 354–356. MR 0248973 (40 #2223)
12. Gideon Yuval, *A simple proof of Strassen's result*, Information Processing Letters **7** (1978), no. 6, 285–286.

CI: MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATICS, SAARLAND INFORMATICS CAMPUS, SAARBRÜCKEN, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* cikenmey@mpi-inf.mpg.de

VL: SAARLAND UNIVERSITY, SAARBRÜCKEN, GERMANY, CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE MMCI, SAARBRÜCKEN, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* vlyskov@cs.uni-saarland.de