



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

# Chemical composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosol particles at the Zotino Tall Tower Observatory (ZOTTO), Siberia, during a summer campaign

E. F. Mikhailov<sup>1,2</sup>, G. N. Mironov<sup>2</sup>, C. Pöhlker<sup>1</sup>, X. Chi<sup>1</sup>, M. L. Krüger<sup>1</sup>, M. Shiraiwa<sup>1</sup>, J.-D. Förster<sup>1</sup>, U. Pöschl<sup>1</sup>, S. S. Vlasenko<sup>2</sup>, T. I. Ryshkevich<sup>2</sup>, M. Weigand<sup>3</sup>, A. L. D. Kilcoyne<sup>4</sup>, and M. O. Andreae<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biogeochemistry and Multiphase Chemistry Departments, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Atmospheric Physics Department, Institute of Physics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia

<sup>3</sup>Modern Magnetic Systems Department, Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Received: 3 February 2015 – Accepted: 28 February 2015 – Published: 16 March 2015

Correspondence to: E. F. Mikhailov (eugene.mikhailov@spbu.ru)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

**ACPD**

15, 7837–7893, 2015

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Abstract

In this study we describe the hygroscopic properties of accumulation- and coarse-mode aerosol particles sampled at the Zotino Tall Tower Observatory (ZOTTO) in Central Siberia (61° N; 89° E) from 16 to 21 June 2013. The hygroscopic growth measurements were supplemented with chemical analyses of the samples, including inorganic ions and organic/elemental carbon. In addition, the microstructure and chemical composition of aerosol particles were analyzed by X-ray micro-spectroscopy (STXM-NEXAFS) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). A mass closure analysis indicates that organic carbon accounted for 61 and 38 % of PM in the accumulation mode and coarse mode, respectively. The water soluble fraction of organic matter was estimated to be 52 and 8 % of PM in these modes. Sulfate, predominantly in the form of ammoniated sulfate, was the dominant inorganic component in both size modes: ~ 34 % in the accumulation vs. ~ 47 % in the coarse mode.

The hygroscopic growth measurements were conducted with a filter-based differential hygroscopicity analyzer (FDHA) over the range of 5–99.4 % RH in the hydration and dehydration operation modes. The FDHA study indicates that both accumulation and coarse modes exhibit pronounced water uptake approximately at the same RH, starting at ~ 70 %, while efflorescence occurred at different humidities, i.e., at ~ 35 % RH for submicron particles vs. ~ 50 % RH for supermicron particles. This ~ 15 % RH difference was attributed to higher content of organic material in the submicron particles, which suppresses water release in the dehydration experiments.

The kappa mass interaction model (KIM) was applied to characterize and parameterize non-ideal solution behavior and concentration-dependent water uptake by atmospheric aerosol samples in the 5–99.4 % RH range. Based on KIM, the volume-based hygroscopicity parameter,  $\kappa_v$ , was calculated. The  $\kappa_{v, ws}$  value related to the water soluble (ws) fraction was estimated to be ~ 0.15 for the accumulation mode and ~ 0.36 for the coarse mode, respectively. The obtained  $\kappa_{v, ws}$  for the accumulation mode is in

ACPD

15, 7837–7893, 2015

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

good agreement with earlier data reported for remote sites in the Amazon rain forest ( $\kappa_v \approx 0.15$ ) and a Colorado boreal forest ( $\kappa_v \approx 0.16$ ).

We used the Zdanovskii–Stokes–Robinson (ZSR) mixing rule to predict the chemical composition dependent hygroscopicity,  $\kappa_{v,p}$ . The obtained  $\kappa_{v,p}$  values overestimate the experimental FDHA-KIM-derived  $\kappa_{v,ws}$  by factors of 1.8 and 1.5 for the accumulation and coarse modes, respectively. This divergence can be partly explained by incomplete dissolution of the hygroscopic inorganic compounds resulting from kinetic limitations due to a sparingly soluble organic coating. The TEM and STXM-NEXAFS results indicate that aged submicron ( $> 300$  nm) and supermicron aerosol particles possess core-shell structures with an inorganic core, and are enriched in organic carbon at the mixed particle surface. The direct FDHA kinetic studies provide a bulk diffusion coefficient of water of  $\sim 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  indicating a semi-solid state of the organic-rich phase leading to kinetic limitations of water uptake and release during hydration and dehydration cycles.

Overall the present ZOTTO data set, obtained in the growing season, has revealed a strong influence of organic carbon on the hygroscopic properties of the ambient aerosols. The sparingly soluble organic coating controls hygroscopic growth, phase transitions, and microstructural rearrangement processes. The observed kinetic limitations can strongly influence the outcome of experiments performed on multi-second time scales, such as the commonly applied HTDMA (Hygroscopicity Tandem Differential Mobility Analyzer) and CCNC (Cloud Condensation Nuclei Counter) measurements.

## 1 Introduction

Siberia, a vast region in Central Eurasia, has been gaining growing attention from atmospheric aerosol researchers in the last few decades. The atmospheric aerosol over Siberia is of particular interest for several reasons. Firstly, biogenic emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) from the vast boreal taiga forest are thought to lead to the

### Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



5 formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) (Tunved et al., 2006). Secondly, Siberia has been documented to be an important source region of biomass-burning aerosol particles that are distributed around the globe in the free troposphere (Conard and Ivanova, 1997; Müller et al., 2005; Warneke et al., 2009). Thirdly, Siberia is one of the few possible background regions in the Northern Hemisphere where near-pristine conditions prevail for certain periods of the year (Chi et al., 2013). Such atmospheric observations in remote areas are very important for providing a reference for evaluating anthropogenic impacts in this and other regions (Andreae, 2007; Carslaw et al., 2013; Spracklen and Rap, 2013).

10 Aerosols influence the radiative budget of the Earth's atmosphere in two different ways. The first is the direct effect, whereby aerosols scatter and absorb solar and thermal infrared radiation, and thus alter the radiative balance of the Earth–atmosphere system. Aerosol particles with a diameter that is comparable to the wavelength of solar radiation (0.2–2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) are the most effective light scatterers (Waggoner et al., 1981), which makes organic carbon and some inorganic species (e.g., sulfate, nitrate, ammonium) in the sub-micrometer size range typically the most effective chemical components of aerosol light scattering. The second is the indirect effect, whereby aerosols modify the microphysical and hence the radiative properties and lifetime of clouds (Haywood and Boucher, 2000; Rastak et al., 2014). These indirect effects of aerosols result from their CCN (cloud condensation nuclei) and IN (ice nuclei) activity (Twomey, 1997; Ogren and Charlson, 1992).

20 The hygroscopic properties of atmospheric aerosol particles are vital for a proper description of these effects, since they describe how the particles interact with water vapor both at sub- and supersaturated conditions (e.g., McFiggans et al., 2006; Swietlicki et al., 2008; Rastak et al., 2014). They are thus of major importance for describing the life cycle of the aerosol and the related direct and indirect effects on climate.

25 The hygroscopic properties of aerosol particles in the northern European boreal forests under sub- and supersaturated conditions have been studied extensively using the Hygroscopicity Tandem Differential Mobility Analyzer (HTDMA) and size-resolved

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

CCN counter (Hämeri et al., 2001; Ehn et al., 2007; Birmili et al., 2009; Sihto et al., 2011; Cerully et al., 2011; Kerminen et al., 2012; Paramonov et al., 2013; Jaatinen et al., 2014). These results specifically show that in summer the aerosol particles are enriched in organic species produced by biomass burning and biogenic emissions, which overall decrease their hygroscopicity and CCN activity when compared to other locations in Europe. In addition, due to aerosol aging (coagulation, condensation, aerosol-cloud interactions, and chemical reactions on the surface and in the aqueous phase) the growth in aerosol size from Aitken to accumulation mode leads to an increase of their hygroscopicity (Paramonov et al., 2013).

An important limitation of the commonly used HTDMA and CCN instruments is that they are only applicable to small particles due to the restriction of their particle size range (typically dry diameter < 300 nm). To our knowledge, no experimental data for the hygroscopic properties of the accumulation mode in the size range 0.3–1.0  $\mu\text{m}$  and of the coarse (> 1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) mode in the boreal environment have been presented up to now. Therefore, we have set out to investigate and characterize the hygroscopic properties of boreal aerosol particles in the growing season covering the sub- and super-micron size ranges. The hygroscopic growth measurements of aerosol particles have been performed by a filter-based differential analyzer supplemented by chemical and microstructural studies. The instrumentation and measurement procedures applied in this study are described below. To characterize the hygroscopic behavior of the aerosol particles in the 5–99.4 % RH range, we used the mass-based hygroscopicity parameter interaction model (Mikhailov et al., 2013).

## 2 Measurements and methods

### 2.1 Sampling site and meteorological conditions

The aerosol samples were collected from 16 to 21 June 2013 at the Zotino Tall Tower Observatory (ZOTTO) facility, which is located near the Yenisei river at the eastern edge

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

of the West Siberia Lowland in the boreal zone (60.8° N, 89.4° E, 114 m a.s.l.), about 600 km north of the closest large city, Krasnoyarsk (950 000 inhabitants). The nearest village (Zotino) is about 20 km in an eastern direction from the site. The heart of the station is a 301 m high tower, which has been designed for long-term atmospheric observations. Because of the high intake elevation, the air masses sampled at the tower are fairly representative for a very large spatial area. A more detailed description of ZOTTO is given elsewhere (Birmili et al., 2007; Heintzenberg et al., 2008; Winderlich et al., 2010).

During our sampling campaign the weather was warm and dry and did not change significantly. The average temperature and relative humidity (RH) at nighttime and at daytime were  $15.2 \pm 3.2^\circ\text{C}$  and  $(84 \pm 10\%)$ , and  $21.8 \pm 2.8^\circ\text{C}$  and  $(61 \pm 19\%)$ , respectively. Backward trajectories computed with the NOAA HYSPLIT model (Draxler and Rolph, 2003) were used to trace the air history (Fig. 1). The air mass origins had essentially a continental character and they were stagnant (showed a recirculation pattern), except in the period of 16–17 June when they came from the north. Fire maps from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (<http://rapidfire.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov>) showed several fires to the east of the ZOTTO site, therefore air masses arriving at the station from 19 to 21 June could contain accumulated combustion products.

Carbon monoxide (CO) is used as transport tracer for pollutant plumes. CO mixing ratios in air sampled from 300 m were measured by UV resonance fluorescence, using a Fast-CO-Monitor (Model AL 5002, Aerolaser GmbH, Germany). Details of the experimental setup and calibration are described elsewhere (Chi et al., 2013). The CO mixing ratios observed during the sampling campaign are shown in Table 1. The daily average CO values correlate well with the backward trajectories and MODIS AOD product. Thus the elevated CO observed from 19 to 20 June can be attributed to air masses that passed over wildfires located to the east of the site (Fig. 1). Overall, during the sampling campaign the average CO mixing ratio was  $\sim 113$  ppb, which corresponds to “background” conditions in June at the ZOTTO site (Chi et al., 2013). Using the def-

inition of Andreae (2007) and Chi et al. (2013) we refer to “background” conditions as an atmospheric state without the detectable influence of local or regional pollution sources, but affected by emissions from natural origin as well as pollution transported from very distant sources.

## 2.2 Sampling

Ambient air was sampled through a stainless steel inlet pipe reaching to the top of the tower at 300 m above ground with an internal diameter of about 2.9 cm, which was designed for a laminar nominal sampling flow of  $40 \text{ L min}^{-1}$  (Birmili et al., 2007). Pre-installation calibration showed that particles with diameter  $D_p > 50 \text{ nm}$  are nearly perfectly transmitted through this pipe (Heintzenberg et al., 2008). Additional test measurements with supermicron aerosol particles have shown that the upper transmission size limit for the inlet system was  $\sim 10 \mu\text{m}$ .

Aerosols were collected on quartz fiber filters (2500QAT-UP, Pallflex) and Teflon-coated fiber glass filters (T60A20, Pallflex). The 47 mm quartz filters were used for organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) analysis, while the 13 mm T60A20 filters were used for ion analysis and hygroscopic study. The 13 mm Teflon filters were loaded by passing an air flow of  $10 \text{ L min}^{-1}$  through a rotating Micro-Orifice Uniform Deposit Impactor (MOUDI, model 125R). Each filter was placed under an Al substrate having a 12 mm central hole. Two impactor stages (I) and (II) (original numeration – 5 and 9) were used to collect the coarse ( $> 1 \mu\text{m}$ ) and accumulation ( $0.1\text{--}1 \mu\text{m}$ ) aerosol size modes. On the quartz filters, aerosol particles were collected directly from the inlet line using a home-made sampler at a flow rate of  $20 \text{ L min}^{-1}$ . The MOUDI and OC/EC filter sampling were conducted for a period of 130 h.

The exposed filters were sealed in aluminum foil and then placed in Ziploc bags. The samples were stored at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  before being analyzed, excluding the travel time from the station to the laboratory ( $\sim 48 \text{ h}$ ). The aerosol mass concentrations were determined gravimetrically using a Mettler-Toledo micro balance model XP6 with  $0.6 \mu\text{g}$  sensitivity. Before being weighed, the filters were equilibrated for 24 h at a constant temperature

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





of 23 °C and a relative humidity between 35 and 45 %. Each filter was weighed at least three times before and after sampling. An anti-static U-Electrode (Mettler-Toledo) was used to discharge samples before weighing. The uncertainty (1 SD) for PM determination is estimated to be 3.5 and 1 µg, for 47 mm quartz filters and 13 mm T60A20 filters, respectively.

Aerosol samples for x-ray microspectroscopy were collected using a homemade single stage impactor, which was operated at a flow rate of 1 L min<sup>-1</sup> and a corresponding 50 % size cut-off of about 550 nm. Particles below this nominal cut-off are not deposited quantitatively, however a certain fraction is still collected via diffusive deposition and therefore available for the STXM analysis. Aerosol particles were collected onto width silicon nitride substrates (Si<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, membrane 500 µm, membrane thickness 100 nm, Silson Ltd., Northhampton, UK) for short sampling periods (~ 20 min), which ensures an appropriately thin particle coverage on the substrate for single particle analysis. Samples were stored in airtight containers at 4 °C and 20–30 % RH. Table 1 lists the impactor samples analyzed and discussed in the context of this study.

The MOUDI stages were initially calibrated using flat Al foil (Marple et al., 1991). However, the relatively high thickness (~ 0.3 mm) of the fiber filter and unequal sampling surface could modify the impaction characteristics. To estimate the retention efficiency of the filter in question, test experiments were conducted. Procedure and schematic diagrams of the test system are presented in Supplement S1. Figure 2a shows the collection characteristics of the MOUDI – I and II stages that were used to sample the coarse mode (CM; > 1 µm) and accumulation mode (AM; 0.1–1 µm) of the aerosol size distribution. The results show that for stage II the collection efficiency reaches only ~ 0.9. Generally, fiber substrates combine impaction and filtration mechanisms and the resulting collection efficiency is higher than for a flat surface (Rao and Whitby, 1978). In our experiment only 13 mm filter disks were used for aerosol sampling, while the active impaction surface is ~ 17 mm. Therefore, some of the particles could have bounced off the surface of the Al substrate, as illustrated in Fig. S1.

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Figure 2b shows the initial volume distributions (black symbols) of the atmospheric aerosol particles averaged during the sampling period and those that deposited on the impactor stages I and II. The volume distributions of the deposited particles were obtained by in series multiplying the initial distribution with the collection efficiency of stages I and II. The distributions obtained indicate that the aerosol loading on stage I represents the CM, whereas stage II represents the AM.

## 2.3 Instrumentation

### 2.3.1 Organic carbon and elemental carbon analysis

Organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), and total carbon (TC = OC + EC) were measured by a thermal-optical transmission (TOT) technique (Birch and Cary, 1996), using a thermal-optical carbon analyzer from Sunset Laboratory (OR, USA). The temperature protocol used was the NIOSH5040 with a preset maximum of 870 °C (Birch, 1998). The uncertainty in the OC, EC, and TC measurement is provided for every individual filter sample by the calculation program. The uncertainty is made up of a constant part (which is 0.2  $\mu\text{gCcm}^{-2}$  for OC and EC and 0.3  $\mu\text{gCcm}^{-2}$  for TC) and of a variable part which amounts to 5% of the OC, EC or TC mass loading. To correct for the positive artifact in the OC determination, two quartz filters in series were used (Maenhaut and Claeys, 2007). Both filters were pre-baked at 850 °C. The carbon loading on the second filter was subtracted from that on the first filter. Water soluble organic carbon (WSOC) was determined by soaking part of the filter in water (18.2 M $\Omega$ cm, Direct-Q3 UV, Millipore) for 12 h, and after drying the remaining carbon in the filter was measured using the Sunset instrument.

### 2.3.2 Ion chromatography analysis

The aerosol-loaded filters were placed in 15-mL polystyrene tubes; 4 mL Millipore Simplicity water was added and the tubes were then placed typically in an ultrasonic ice-

water bath for 30 min, after which they were allowed to stand for 30 min. The sample extract was then filtered through a PVDF syringe filter (pore size 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and the filtrate was subjected to IC analysis. A Dionex DX-500 instrument with a AG-22 guard, a AS-22 analytical column, and a ASRS-300 self-regenerating suppressor was used for the analysis of major inorganic anions ( $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ); the same instrument with a CG-12 A guard, a CS-12 A analytical column, and a CSRS self-regenerating suppressor was used for the measurement of major cations ( $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ). All columns had a 4 mm inner diameter. The anion analysis was done with a buffer eluent solution of 4.5 mM  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  and 1.4 mM  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (0.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) and a duration of 21 min; the cation analysis was performed using a standard Dionex method, with 20 mM methanesulfonic acid (isocratic) as eluent (1.3 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) and a duration of 12 min. All IC analyses were made with manual injections, the injection loop for the anion analysis is 200  $\mu\text{L}$ , while it is 25  $\mu\text{L}$  for the cation analysis. The ion analysis was conducted on the same MOUDI samples that were previously used for the hygroscopic growth experiment. The blank value was subtracted from the measured concentrations on each impactor stage. The total instrumental uncertainty of ion analysis (1 SD) was approximately 10% for anions and cations (Leiva et al., 2012).

### 2.3.3 X-ray micro-spectroscopy – STXM-NEXAFS and TEM

X-ray micro-spectroscopy was used to analyze aerosol samples on single particle basis. We applied scanning transmission x-ray microscopy with near-edge x-ray absorption fine structure analysis (STXM-NEXAFS) to determine the microstructure and chemical composition of aerosol particles with a volume equivalent diameter in the range of 0.3–3  $\mu\text{m}$ . The STXM-NEXAFS analysis was conducted at two x-ray microscopes: (I) the MAXYMUS microscope at beamline UE46-PGM-2 (energy range 270–1900 eV) at the synchrotron BESSY II (1.7 GeV, 100 mA stored current in low alpha decay mode), Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, Germany, and (II) the X-ray microscope at

**Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

beamline 5.3.2.2 (energy range 250–800 eV) at the Advanced Light Source (1.9 GeV, 500 mA stored current in top-off mode), Berkeley, CA, USA. Both STXM instruments are equipped with a high energy resolving grating (resolving power at the carbon K-edge: ALS  $E/\Delta E \leq 5000$ ; BESSY II:  $E/\Delta E \leq 8000$ ), a Fresnel zone plate, providing a spatial resolution of about 30 nm, and a phosphor-coated Lucite photomultiplier tube for detection of transmitted photons. Further information about the x-ray microscopes can be found elsewhere (Follath et al., 2010; Kilcoyne et al., 2003).

X-ray microscopy was performed by raster-scanning the aerosol samples in the focused x-ray beam and measuring the intensity of the transmitted monochromatic light. Spectroscopic information is obtained by scanning the energy of the incident photons across the x-ray absorption edges of several elements of interest. A detailed description of STXM-NEXAFS analysis in aerosol science can be found in Moffet et al. (2010). The obtained STXM data were pre-processed using the software aXis 2000 (analysis of X-ray microscopy images and spectra), whereas final analysis was conducted using IGOR Pro (Wavemetrics) routines. Four aspects of the STXM analysis are presented in this study: (i) x-ray microscopy images at defined energies, (ii) elemental maps providing information about the spatial distribution of certain elements of interest, (iii) elemental ratios in individual aerosol particles based on x-ray absorption spectra covering the absorption edges of multiple elements, such as carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and oxygen (O), and (iv) NEXAFS spectra characterizing the chemical environment of the elements C, N, and O. A detailed description of the analysis can be found in Pöhlker et al. (2012).

The x-ray study of the particle morphology was supplemented by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis. The samples were collected on 3 mm carbon-coated TEM grids, which were fixed in the center of 47 mm aluminum substrates and placed in the same MOUDI impactor stages as the Teflon-coated fiber glass filters. The sampling conditions for both STXM and TEM samples are listed in Table 1. TEM images were obtained with a LVEM5 (DeLong) benchtop electron microscope, providing enhanced contrast on organic particles due to the low (5 kV) acceleration voltage ([http://www.lv-em.com/pdf/LVEM5\\_Brochure.pdf](http://www.lv-em.com/pdf/LVEM5_Brochure.pdf)).

### 2.3.4 Size distribution measurements

Particle size distributions at ZOTTO are measured with a Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (DMPS) (15–835 nm) and an Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS 3321, TSI, Inc.) (0.5–20  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Detailed information about the ZOTTO DMPS system is given in Heintzenberg et al. (2011). For consistency with the chemical analysis and hygroscopic measurements that were conducted on the collected particles, no size correction for transmission losses in the inlet pipe line was made.

### 2.3.5 Water uptake measurements

Mass-based water uptake measurements were performed with a filter-based differential hygroscopicity analyzer (FDHA) using the atmospheric aerosol filter samples collected as described above (Sect. 2.2). The 13 mm filters loaded with the MOUDI impactor were mounted in the FDHA system. The particulate matter mass (PM) concentrations deposited on the filters in stages I and II is listed in Table 2. Details of the experimental setup and calibration are described elsewhere (Mikhailov et al., 2011, 2013). The measurement uncertainties depend on the weighing accuracy of dry particle mass (aerosol loading), the absorbed water mass (aerosol hygroscopicity), and the water vapor measurement precision of the katharometer (Mikhailov et al., 2011). In this study, the uncertainties in the determination of mass growth factors,  $G_m$  (Eq. 2) were:  $\sim 2\%$  at 30% RH and  $\sim 10\%$  at 99% RH. The relative humidity of the FDHA water uptake measurement is determined from the temperature ratio between the water vapor saturator and the measurement cells. The accuracy at 99% RH was  $\pm 0.06\%$  RH, resulting from accurate temperature control using a double-wall copper housing with forced thermal insulation and precise temperature measurements ( $\pm 0.01\text{ K}$ , 42095-Pt100; KELVIMAT 4306, Burster).

The water uptake experiments were performed in two modes: hydration = increasing RH; dehydration = decreasing RH. In both operational modes the RH was changed stepwise at a rate of  $\sim 0.4\% \text{ min}^{-1}$ . The potential particle mass lost due to evapora-

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



tion was monitored by weighing the loaded filters before and after water uptake measurements. These measurements as well as subsequent hygroscopic data obtained in repetitive drying/humidifying cycles showed that the effect of particle evaporation was negligibly small. The experimental conditions in the measurement cells were close to ambient temperature and pressure ( $\sim 295$  K,  $\sim 1000$  hPa).

### 3 Water uptake modeling and parameterization

The  $\kappa$ -mass interaction model (KIM) was used to describe and parameterize different regimes of hygroscopicity observed in our FDHA measurements. Details of the KIM are given elsewhere (Mikhailov et al., 2013). Briefly, in analogy with the volume-based hygroscopicity parameter (Petters et al., 2007) we define a mass-based hygroscopicity parameter,  $\kappa_m$ :

$$\frac{1}{a_w} = 1 + \kappa_m \frac{m_d}{m_w}, \quad (1)$$

where  $a_w$  is the activity of water,  $m_d$  is the total mass of the dry particle material, and  $m_w$  is the mass of water in the wet particle (aqueous droplet). By defining the mass growth factor,  $G_m$ , as:

$$G_m = \frac{m_w + m_d}{m_d} \quad (2)$$

and combining Eqs. (1) and (2) we obtain

$$a_w = \left( \frac{\kappa_m}{G_m - 1} + 1 \right)^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

Based on Eq. (3) an approximate mass-based  $\kappa_m$ -Köhler equation can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\text{RH}}{100\%} = s_w \approx \left( \frac{\kappa_m}{G_m - 1} + 1 \right)^{-1} \exp \left( \frac{4\sigma_w M_w}{RT \rho_w D_d} \left[ \frac{\rho_w}{\rho_d G_m} \right]^{1/3} \right), \quad (4)$$

where  $M_w$ ,  $\sigma_w$ , and  $\rho_w$  are the molar mass, surface tension, and density of pure water,  $R$  is the universal gas constant,  $T$  is the temperature, and  $D_d$  and  $\rho_d$  are the volume equivalent diameter and density of the dry particle. The concentration dependence of  $\kappa_m$  in the KIM is expressed as follows:

$$\kappa_m = \sum_i \kappa_{m,i}^0 c_{m,i} + \sum_{i < j} \sum_j \alpha_{ij} c_{m,i} c_{m,j} + \sum_i \alpha_{ii} c_{m,i}^2. \quad (5)$$

Here  $\kappa_{m,i}^0$  is the dilute hygroscopicity,  $\alpha_{ij}$  and  $\alpha_{ii}$  are the cross- and self-interaction coefficients, respectively;  $c_{m,i}$  and  $c_{m,j}$  are the mass concentrations of individual components ( $i, j$ ) in the aqueous solution. The mass concentration of each component in the aqueous solution,  $c_{m,i}$ , can be calculated either from the solubility (if component  $i$  is only partially dissolved) or from the dry mass fraction (if component  $i$  is fully dissolved). For mixed organic–inorganic particles KIM describes three distinctly different regimes of hygroscopicity: (I) a quasi-eutonic deliquescence and efflorescence regime at low-humidity, where substances are just partly dissolved and exist also in a non-dissolved phase, (II) a gradual deliquescence and efflorescence regime at intermediate humidity, where different solutes undergo gradual dissolution or solidification in the aqueous phase; and (III) a dilute regime at high humidity, where the solutes are fully dissolved approaching their dilute hygroscopicity. In each of these regimes, the concentration dependence of  $\kappa_m$  can be described by simplified model equations:

– Regime I:

$$\kappa_m = k_1 (G_m - 1) \quad (6)$$

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



– Regime II:

$$\kappa_m = k_2 + k_3(G_m - 1) + k_4(G_m - 1)^{-1} + k_5(G_m - 1)^{-2} \quad (7)$$

– Regime III:

$$\kappa_m = k_5(G_m - 1)^{-2} + k_6. \quad (8)$$

5 Here  $k_1$  to  $k_6$  are fit parameters related to the solubility and interaction coefficients of all involved chemical components (Mikhailov et al., 2013; Eqs. 39–44). In Eq. (8) the fit parameter  $k_6 = \kappa_m^0$  can be regarded as the dilute hygroscopicity parameter of the investigated sample of particulate matter (Fig. 2b). Its physical meaning is equivalent to the volume-based parameter,  $\kappa_v$ , proposed by Petters and Kreidenweis (2007).

10 Figure 3a shows an illustrative example of KIM assuming a two-component mixture (A and B) having deliquescence relative humidities  $DRH_A$  and  $DRH_B$ , respectively (Wexler and Seinfeld, 1991). At  $RH_{eu}$  mixed particles partially deliquesce (I, green line) and the concentration of each component is given by the eutonic (eu) solubility,  $C_{eu}$ ; as the RH increases further, gradual dissolution of the solid component A occurs (mode II, yellow area). At the relative humidity of  $RH_{fd}$  both components are fully dissolved (fd) with a composition equal to the initial particle composition,  $C_{A, B}$ . The subsequent increase in RH leads to dilution of the aqueous solution (III, blue area). This simplified two component diagram can be extended to a multicomponent mixture. Figure 3b illustrates the respective changes of the  $\kappa_m$ , which are captured by Eqs. (6)–(8). Note that these equations can be also used to describe and parameterize mixed particles that are in a metastable state (Fig. 3b, red dashed line). Specifically, in KIM the “quasi-eutonic deliquescence and efflorescence” states are considered to characterize partly dissolved solutes that may co-exist in metastable equilibrium with amorphous phases (Mikhailov et al., 2013) that undergo quasi-eutonic deliquescence ( $RH_{eu}$ ) and quasi-eutonic efflorescence ( $RH_{ef}$ ), respectively (Fig. 3b).

25



## 4 Results and discussion

### 4.1 Chemical composition

The aerosol chemical mass closure calculations were made in a similar way as done by Maenhaut et al. (2002). The reconstructed PM mass for accumulation and coarse mode was obtained as the sum of 8 aerosol species, which were calculated as follows: (1) ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ); (2) nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ); (3) sea salt estimated as  $1.4486[\text{Na}^+] + [\text{Cl}^-]$ , where 1.4486 is the ratio of the concentration of all elements except  $\text{Cl}^-$  in sea water to the  $\text{Na}^+$  concentration; (4) non-sea-salt (nss)-sulfate is obtained as total sulfate minus sea-salt sulfate, whereby the latter was obtained as  $0.252[\text{Na}^+]$ , with 0.252 the mass ratio of  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  to  $\text{Na}^+$  in sea water (Riley and Chester, 1971); (5) nss- $\text{K}^+$  – as total  $\text{K}^+$  minus  $0.0376[\text{Na}^+]$ ; (6) nss- $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  – as total  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  –  $0.0382[\text{Na}^+]$ ; (7) elemental carbon (EC); and (8) organic matter (OM) was estimated as  $\text{PM} - (\text{EC} + \sum \text{inorganic\_species})$ . The measured OC data could not be used for this purpose, since the OC measurement was done on total PM filters, without size fractionation.

Mineral dust was not considered in the mass closure since the STXM-NEXAFS results indicate the absence of significant dust-like components in the samples (Fig. S2.2). Elemental carbon and organic carbon were measured for total PM, therefore several simplifying assumptions were used to estimate EC, water soluble (WSOM), and water insoluble (WIOM) organic matter in the coarse and accumulation mode fractions of the ZOTTO samples. First, EC was divided between AM and CM as 5 : 1, which is a typical ratio for smoke particles (Jaffrezo et al., 2005; Soto-García et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2013). Second, we assume that the WSOM/OM ratio is equal to 0.85 for AM and 0.21 for the CM. Details of the calculation are presented in the Supplement S3. Reconstructed contents of the inorganic and organic species for AM and CM are reported in Table 2 and shown in Fig. 4. In both size fractions,  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  are the dominant ions, with sulfate ions accounting for 27 and 40 % of particulate matter in AM and CM, respectively (Fig. 4). Some of the sulfates could have come from forest fires

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

located to the east of the ZOTTO site, but these must be a minor source, because the typical sulfate content in aged biomass smoke is only about 5 %. Additionally, sulfates could also have natural sources, namely the oxidation of marine emitted dimethylsulfide (Levasseur, 2013). Again, this can only account for a minor fraction because biogenic sulfate aerosol concentrations in the Arctic are typically less than  $100 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  even in summer, when this source is strongest (Li and Barrie, 1993; Norman et al., 1999; Quinn et al., 2002; Ström et al., 2003; Gong et al., 2010; Chang et al., 2011). Most of the sulfate must thus be of anthropogenic origin, arriving from the north with the Arctic airflow, which passed at  $\sim 400 \text{ km}$  by Norilsk (Fig. 1) a powerful source of  $\text{SO}_2$  (Walter et al., 2002). This is consistent with the back trajectory analysis (Fig. 1) and CO levels (Table 1), which indicate a significant influence of Arctic and eastern air masses at the ZOTTO site.

Sea salt is the next important inorganic component in CM (6.6 %), suggesting a predominant origin by long-range transport from the Arctic Ocean (Fig. 1).  $\text{Nss-K}^+$  was the second most abundant cation and accounted for 1.3 and 1.9 % of PM in the accumulation and coarse modes. Generally,  $\text{K}^+$  is a good indicator for biomass burning and could have come with eastern air masses from the biomass burning area (Fig. 1). However, given the lack of intense forest fires (EC/TC  $\sim 2\%$ ) the primary emission of  $\text{nss-K}^+$  from biogenic sources should not be neglected. Active biota, such as plants and fungi are known to be an additional source for atmospheric K-rich salts in the air (Pöhlker et al., 2012). A small amount of  $\text{nss-Ca}^{2+}$  was observed in both accumulation ( $< 0.1\%$ ) and coarse (1.4 %) mode. Coarse ash particles emitted from wood combustion generally contain significant amounts of Ca along with Mg, Si, Al, Fe, and K (Pitman, 2010). Enriched  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  may be also produced by processes within clouds, which bring sea salt and mineral particles together, or by the reaction of atmospheric  $\text{SO}_2$  with marine biogenic  $\text{CaCO}_3$  particles (coccoliths) (Andreae et al., 1986). More likely, the latter process dominated since no mineral particles were identified in the CM by NEXAFS analysis. Overall, as expected, the water soluble fraction of all inorganic ions prevails in CM particles with a mean fraction 61, vs. 38 % for AM.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Organic compounds account for a large fraction of air particulate mass (Table 2): OM  $\text{PM}^{-1}$  ratios in AM and CM are as high as 61 and 38%, and the WSOM fraction was estimated to be  $\sim 52\%$  in AM and  $\sim 8\%$  in CM, respectively (Fig. 4). The measured concentrations of OC and EC in total PM were  $1000 \pm 60 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$  and  $20.3 \pm 5.6 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ , respectively, in reasonable agreement with the sum of the reconstructed OM values ( $1170 \text{ ng m}^{-3}$ ). These concentrations are a factor of 2.4 lower for OC and a factor of 8 lower for EC than those measured by Maenhaut et al. (2011) in the summer season at the background boreal station SMEAR II (Hyytiälä, Finland). The low content of elemental carbon (EC/TC  $\sim 2\%$ ) suggests that during our field campaign the effect of forest fires and fossil fuel combustion on the carbonaceous aerosol fraction was modest. The most likely sources of the particulate organic carbon are atmospheric oxidation processes, which convert biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs), such as monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes emitted by the boreal ecosystem, to secondary organic aerosol (Kanakidou et al., 2005; Corrigan et al., 2013; Chi et al., 2013; Mikhailov et al., 2015).

The WSOC/OC ratio was estimated at  $0.67 \pm 0.06$ . Such a high water-soluble fraction suggests that the atmospheric conditions in summer may favor the further oxidation of the secondary organic compounds towards higher water solubility. Our results are comparable with earlier data reported for forest environments in the summer season: WSOC/OC =  $0.70 \pm 0.09$  (Timonen et al., 2008) and  $0.71 \pm 0.05$  (Kiss et al., 2002).

The high level of WIOM ( $\sim 30\%$ ) in CM can be explained by the presence of primary biogenic particles, e.g., plant debris, spores, bacteria and pollen (Pöschl et al., 2010). Internally mixed particles of sea salt and organic matter also can be produced via aerosol-cloud processing and fragmentation of organic-rich surface film layers during the bursting of air bubbles at the sea surface (Andreae et al., 2008). In general, the mass closure analysis is in agreement with the results of single particle elemental composition as observed by STXM-NEXAFS, which also showed a dominant abundance of organic particulate matter and ammoniated sulfates (see next section).

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 4.2 Aerosol microstructure – STXM-NEXAFS and TEM analysis

The microscopic analyses (light, x-ray, and electron microscopy) showed that the size range of the particles collected on the sampling substrates ( $\text{Si}_4\text{N}_3$  membranes and TEM grids) is consistent with the aerosol size distribution in Fig. 2b. The majority of particles is present in the AM size range (0.3–1  $\mu\text{m}$ ), with a small number of larger CM particles (> 1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) (Fig. S2.1). Given that this collected particle ensemble adequately reflects the overall aerosol population during the focus period of this study, the purpose of the x-ray and electron microscopic analysis is to obtain a representative investigation of the microstructure and mixing state of individual aerosol particles.

To characterize the overall elemental abundance in the collected particles, multiple STXM maps of relatively large areas have been recorded from all samples and confirm the bulk chemical analysis, showing that  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , and OM are the predominant constituents of the aerosol (example shown in Fig. S2.2). X-ray spectroscopic evidence for the dominance of  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , and OM in the particles is shown in Fig. S2.3. Based on the x-ray absorption spectra, the elemental ratio  $\text{N}/\text{O}_{\text{sulfate}} = 0.54 \pm 0.12$  was calculated (ion chromatography results in Fig. 4 gave  $\text{N}/\text{O}_{\text{sulfate}} = 0.41 \pm 0.16$ ). This suggests a sulfate salt composition close to ammonium sulfate [ $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ ;  $\text{N}/\text{O} = 0.5$ ] and/or letovicite [ $(\text{NH}_4)_3\text{H}(\text{SO}_4)_2$ ;  $\text{N}/\text{O} = 0.38$ ]. The individual particles comprise highly variable amounts of OM with  $\text{OM}/(\text{NH}_4)_{2-x}\text{H}_x(\text{SO}_4)$  mass ratios in the range of 0–0.9.

Elemental maps of typical particles are shown in Figs. 5 and 6. Figure 5 displays particles in the AM size range, which exhibit spherical or elliptical morphologies with variable OM contents and core-shell structure for a certain fraction of particles. In contrast, Fig. 6 shows comparably large particles (CM size range) with conspicuous internal structures and comparably high OM contents. The difference in OM content can also be seen in Fig. 6. All internally mixed  $(\text{NH}_4)_{2-x}\text{H}_x(\text{SO}_4)/\text{OM}^{-1}$  particles reveal a clear separation of the inorganic and organic phases. Complementary to the STXM results, TEM images in Fig. 7 show particles with core/shell structures. The cores appear as dendritic crystalline-like material and resemble similar dendritic sulfate salt structures

### Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

in the STXM image, Fig. 6 (i.e., particles b, c, e, and f). Moreover, the TEM images also display OM shells of different thickness around the particles (Fig. 7).

### 4.3 Hygroscopic properties and KIM results

Figure 8a and c shows the mass growth factors, determined as a function of relative humidity upon hydration and dehydration for accumulation and coarse size modes as detailed in Sect. 2.3.5. The onset of deliquescence at  $\sim 70\%$  RH is evident for the accumulation (Fig. 8a) and coarse (Fig. 8c) modes. Upon dehydration these size modes also exhibit an efflorescence transition at  $37\%$  RH (insert in Fig. 8a) and at  $49\%$  RH, respectively. Figure 8c indicates a hysteresis for the CM mode starting at  $\sim 95\%$  RH, i.e., well before the particles deliquesce. The kinetics and morphology effects of the supermicron size particles might be responsible for this effect, as will be discussed below.

From the measurement of the  $G_m$  (RH) data we derived mass-based hygroscopicity parameters using Eqs. (3) and (4) and the Kelvin correction algorithm for submicron particles as described by Mikhailov et al. (2013, Appendix C). The corresponding plots of  $\kappa_m$  vs.  $G_m$  are shown in Fig. 8b and d for AM and CM, respectively. In all size modes the observed dependence of  $\kappa_m$  on  $G_m$  exhibits three distinctly different sections or regimes of hygroscopicity as outlined in Sect. 3 and in Fig. 3. The model lines were obtained by inserting the fit parameters from Table 3 into Eqs. (6)–(8). For the quasi-eutonic regime (I), the combination of Eqs. (3) and (6) yields a constant water activity value given by  $a_w = (k_1 + 1)^{-1}$ . This relation yields the following quasi-eutonic RH values characterizing the deliquescence (Ia) and (efflorescence (Ib)) phase transitions:  $74.6\%$  ( $36.7\%$ ) for AM, and  $70.0\%$  ( $49.8\%$ ) for CM, respectively (Fig. 8b and d). The gradual deliquescence mode (II) extended up to  $96\%$  RH for the coarse mode and even further up to  $97\%$  for the accumulation mode, indicating the presence of sparingly soluble OC in both fractions. In the dilution regime (III) (Eq. 8), the values of the fit parameter  $k_5$  (III) obtained for submicron and supermicron samples are comparable:  $0.45 \pm 0.05$  for AM and  $0.50 \pm 0.08$  for CM (Table 3). This is by a factor of 5 more than the value obtained

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



for an Amazon sample ( $k_5(\text{III}) = 0.09$ ) in our previous study (Mikhailov et al., 2013). The observed difference in the values can be explained by the high content of water soluble species in the ZOTTO samples (Table 2) and the strong interaction between inorganic ions and organic molecules as a consequence.

Overall, the observed hygroscopicity behavior of the sub- and supermicron samples is consistent with their chemical composition. The high fraction of organic carbon in the accumulation mode compared to the coarse mode results in a twofold decrease in the dilute hygroscopicity parameter,  $k_6 = \kappa_m^0$  (Table 3) and a reduction of the  $\kappa_m$  top value from  $\sim 0.22$  (Fig. 8d) to  $\sim 0.12$  (Fig. 8b). Moreover, the quasi-eutonic efflorescence transition in the dehydration mode is decreased by the organic species at 15 % RH, i.e., 35 % RH for AM vs. 50 % RH for CM (Fig. 5a and c). In the internally mixed organic/inorganic particles the organic coating was found to decrease or even suppress the efflorescence of the inorganic salts (Choi et al., 2002; Brooks et al., 2003; Pant et al., 2004; Braban et al., 2004; Mikhailov et al., 2004; Zardini et al., 2008), which can be explained by kinetic limitations caused by an ultra-viscous or gel-like or semi-solid organic matrix with low molecular diffusivity (Mikhailov et al., 2009; Shiraiwa et al., 2010) as will be discussed below.

#### 4.4 Dilute kappa composition closure

Under the volume additivity assumption the dilute hygroscopicity parameter  $k_6 = \kappa_m^0$  can be converted to the Petters and Kreidenweis (2007) volume-based parameter,  $\kappa_v$ , by the relation (Mikhailov et al., 2013):

$$\kappa_v = \kappa_m^0 \frac{\rho_d}{\rho_w} \quad (9)$$

Based on the ion balance from IC analysis (Table 2) and assuming that AS is representative for ammoniated sulfates, we estimated the mass of neutral compounds in the accumulation and coarse size modes, which are shown in Table 4 with their mass fraction ( $f_i$ ), volume fraction ( $\varepsilon_i$ ), density ( $\rho_{d,i}$ ), and hygroscopicity ( $\kappa_{v,i}$ ). Using these

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



parameters, the effective values of  $\rho_d$  and  $\kappa_{v,p}$  for the ZOTTO samples have been computed by weighted averaging of the properties of individual components:

$$\rho_d = \left( \sum_i \frac{f_i}{\rho_i} \right)^{-1} \quad (10)$$

$$\kappa_{v,p} = \sum_i \varepsilon_i \kappa_{v,i} \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) is the Zdanovskii, Stokes, and Robinson (ZSR) mixing rule (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007) where  $\kappa_{v,p}$  denotes the predicted (p) hygroscopicity based on the volume fraction,  $\varepsilon_i$ , and the hygroscopicity,  $\kappa_{v,i}$ , of the  $i$ th component in the sample. From Eq. (10) and the  $\rho_i$ ,  $f_i$  pairs (Table 4) it follows that for submicron and supermicron particles the average weighted bulk densities are  $1.54 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  and  $1.66 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , respectively (Table 5). Inserting these values and the KIM-derived hygroscopicity parameters,  $k_6 = \kappa_m^0$  (Table 3), into Eq. (9) yields  $\kappa_{v,t} = 0.094$  for the accumulation mode and  $\kappa_{v,t} = 0.21$  for the coarse mode (Table 5), where the subscript (t) denotes the hygroscopicity related to the total dry particle mass.

As water soluble compounds are the major contributors to hygroscopic growth, it is useful to further transcribe the original Eq. (2) as follows:

$$G_{m, ws} = \frac{m_w + m_{d, ws}}{m_{d, ws}}, \quad (12)$$

where  $G_{m, ws}$  is the mass growth factor normalized to the mass of the neutral water soluble (ws) compounds. Accordingly, the  $G_{m, ws}$  can be calculated from

$$G_{m, ws} = \frac{1}{f_{ws}} (G_m - 1) + 1, \quad (13)$$

where  $f_{ws} = m_{d, ws} / m_d$  is the mass fraction of the water soluble compounds in the PM, which is 0.89 and 0.66 for AM and CM, respectively. Using Eqs. (3) and (4) and the

Kelvin correction algorithm (Mikhailov et al., 2013), we converted the  $G_{m,ws}$ , RH pairs into  $\kappa_{m,ws}$ . The obtained dependencies of  $\kappa_{m,ws}$  on  $G_{m,ws}$  in the dilution regime and KIM fit lines (Eq. 8) are shown in Fig. 9. The corresponding best fit parameter (dilute hygroscopicity)  $\kappa_{m,ws}^0 = k_6$  for AM and CM and its volume-based derivative  $\kappa_{v,ws}$  are given in Table 5. The volume-based hygroscopicity  $\kappa_{v,ws}$  was calculated from Eq. (9) as follows

$$\kappa_{v,ws} = \kappa_{m,ws}^0 \times \rho_{d,ws} / \rho_w, \quad (14)$$

where  $\rho_{d,ws}$  is the average density of the water soluble compounds in the dry particles, which was determined by inserting into Eq. (10) the mass fractions of water soluble solutes,  $f_{ws,i}$  and  $\rho_{d,i}$  (Table 4).

As expected, the obtained  $\kappa_{v,ws}$  is higher than  $\kappa_{v,t}$ : the ratio  $\kappa_{v,ws} / \kappa_{v,t}$  is approximately 1.6 for both size modes (Table 5). Since the hygroscopicity  $\kappa_{v,ws}$  accounts only for water soluble species, the obtained values of 0.15 for AM and 0.36 for CM (Table 5) can be regarded as an upper limit characteristic for boreal aerosol particles in Siberia during the growing season. The  $\kappa_{v,ws}$  value of 0.15 obtained here for the accumulation mode is comparable to the CCN-derived overall median value of  $\kappa_v = 0.15$  reported by Gunthe et al. (2009) for tropical rainforest air during the wet season in central Amazonia and the average  $\kappa_v$  value of 0.16 measured by Levin et al. (2014) at a forested mountain site in Colorado from July to August. We are not aware of any other field data of  $\kappa_v$  for the coarse mode at remote continental sites, but the elevated CM value of 0.36 compared to that for the accumulation mode of 0.15 is consistent with the chemical analysis results indicating a relatively high content of hygroscopic ammonium sulfate in CM ( $f_{ws,AS} = 0.72$ , vs. of 0.38 for AM) (Table 4).

We now compare the hygroscopicity,  $\kappa_{v,ws}$ , estimated from FDHA measurements with the ZSR-predicted hygroscopicity,  $\kappa_{v,p}$ . Accounting for the fact that AS, WSOM, and sea salt are the main contributors to the hygroscopic growth (Table 4), Eq. (11) for

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



AM and CM can be respectively reduced to:

$$\kappa_{v,p} = \kappa_{v,AS} \varepsilon_{ws,AS} + \kappa_{v,WSOM} \varepsilon_{ws,WSOM}, \quad (15)$$

$$\kappa_{v,p} = \kappa_{v,AS} \varepsilon_{ws,AS} + \kappa_{v,sea\ salt} \varepsilon_{ws,sea\ salt} + \kappa_{v,WSOM} \varepsilon_{ws,WSOM}, \quad (16)$$

where  $\varepsilon_{ws,i}$  is the volume fraction of water soluble compounds scaled to total water soluble PM (Table 4). The application of these simplified equations results in a  $\sim 5\%$  underestimation of  $\kappa_{v,p}$  for both size modes.

The simple mixing rule (Eqs. 15 and 16) with corresponding pairs of CCN-derived  $\kappa_{v,i}$  and  $\varepsilon_{ws,i}$  (Table 4) yields  $\kappa_{v,p} = 0.27$  for AM and  $\kappa_{v,p} = 0.53$  for CM. That is, the estimates from the mixing rule exceed the FDHA-derived  $\kappa_{v,ws}$  values (Table 5) by factors of 1.8 and 1.5 for the accumulation and coarse mode, respectively. The observed discrepancy is too large to be explained by experimental and PM chemical analysis uncertainties.

The high content of sparingly soluble organic matter produced by oxidation of biogenic emissions (Mikhailov et al., 2015) can account for the inconsistency between the ZSR predicted  $\kappa_{v,p}$  and FDHA-derived  $\kappa_{v,ws}$  values. In mixed particles the organic coating can reduce the water transport across the surface by acting as physical barrier. Moreover, at high content of the sparingly soluble compounds this effect will strongly depend on the water activity range, as these species exhibit hygroscopic growth at  $a_w$  close to 1. This particularly leads to a discrepancy by a factor of 5–10 between SOA hygroscopicity determined from sub- and supersaturation experiments (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007; Prenni et al., 2007; Wex et al., 2009; Petters et al., 2009). In our FDHA experiment the hygroscopicity,  $\kappa_{m,ws}^0$ , was obtained in the dilution mode (Eq. 8) in the 96–99.4% RH range. Depending on the type of SOA, the  $\kappa_v$  in a given RH range can vary from 0.01 to 0.05 (Wex et al., 2009; Petters et al., 2009), but it is still less than the values of  $\kappa_v = 0.1 \pm 0.04$  obtained in CCN experiments (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007; Gunthe et al., 2009; Wex et al., 2009; Chang et al., 2010; Engelhart et al., 2011). Thus, due to the uncertainty of  $\kappa_v$ , the last term of Eqs. (15) and (16) can vary by a factor of 10, which translates into 30 and 3% uncertainty in  $\kappa_{v,p}$  for the

7861

Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



accumulation and coarse mode, respectively. Nevertheless, this uncertainty does not cover the observed difference between the measured  $\kappa_{v,ws}$  and predicted  $\kappa_{v,p}$  values. As mentioned above and as will be shown below for the internally mixed particles, the organic coating can limit the uptake of water by inorganic species and may thus decrease the hygroscopicity especially in sub-saturated water vapor.

Based on the ZSR mixing rule (Eqs. 15 and 16), we can estimate the volume fraction of ammonium sulfate,  $\varepsilon_{ws,AS,p}$ , that is involved in the hygroscopic growth. Inserting in Eq. (15) the KIM-derived  $\kappa_{v,ws} = 0.15$  and experimental hygroscopicities  $\kappa_{v,AS} = 0.57$ ,  $\kappa_{v,WSOM} = 0.01$  and  $0.05$  obtained for sub-saturated conditions at 96–99.4 % RH (Table 4, values are in brackets) yields for the accumulation mode  $\varepsilon_{ws,AS,p} = 0.23 \pm 0.02$ , while the total volume fraction  $\varepsilon_{ws,AS}$  is 0.33 (Table 4). A similar calculation for the coarse mode using Eq. (16) with the KIM-derived  $\kappa_{v,ws} = 0.36$  leads accordingly to  $\varepsilon_{ws,AS,p} = 0.48 \pm 0.01$  vs.  $\varepsilon_{ws,AS} = 0.72$  (Table 4). Based on these estimations one can assume that in sub-saturated conditions (96–99.4 % RH) the inorganic compounds were not completely dissolved: approximately 30 % of ammonium sulfate in AM and CM, are isolated by sparingly soluble organic species, thereby reducing the hygroscopicity of the ZOTTO samples.

A similar positive difference (up to 77 %) between predicted (from chemical analysis) and CCN-derived  $\kappa_v$  values was observed for pristine tropical rainforest aerosols studied during the AMAZE-08 campaign in central Amazonia (Gunthe et al., 2009). Although in the Amazon experiment the dry particle size range was 30–300 nm, we do not exclude the possibility that the observed deviation was also caused by sparingly soluble SOA, which in the time scale of the CCN measurement (seconds or less) could impede the water transport to the more hygroscopic species, like AS.

#### 4.5 Water uptake kinetics and microstructural rearrangements

Figure 10 shows the raw data for hygroscopic cycles performed in the FDHA with the coarse mode sample as a function of relative humidity. The areas under the peaks are proportional to the amount of the water that is absorbed (negative area) or released

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



(positive area) upon particle hydration or dehydration. Figure 10a shows that at 60–94 % RH during the first hydration cycle the water uptake is not a monotonic function of RH. The insets in panel (a) indicate that the water absorption is accompanied by partial evaporation. During the second humidifying run (Fig. 10c) the peak oscillations are observed again, and continue up to  $\sim 96$  % RH. Figure 10b shows that upon dehydration some peaks follow the relative humidity change almost instantaneously, while some other peaks appear with considerable delay, i.e., already at constant RH (marked with green arrows). Additionally, the width of these peaks characterizing the time scale of the dehydration process gradually increases with decreasing RH. Thus, at 79 % RH the water release takes  $\sim 5$  min, whereas at 60 % RH it lasts for  $\sim 25$  min: first and second peaks in Fig. 10c, respectively. The most plausible explanation for these observations is kinetic limitation by bulk diffusion in an amorphous (semi-)solid organic matrix, which inhibits uptake and release of water during hydration and dehydration cycles (Mikhailov et al., 2009).

As discussed in Sect. 4.2 and shown in Figs. 5 and 6, the internally mixed particles consist mainly of an inorganic core (mostly ammonium sulfate), surrounded by organic compounds. The STXM- NEXAFS results are consistent with the accompanying TEM investigations (Fig. 7), particularly indicating that the supermicron particles typically have an irregular porosity core embedded in an organic matrix. As noted above, the core of some of the particles has a pronounced dendritic structure (Fig. 7e and f). Such dendritic structures are characteristic for diffusion limited growth processes (Feder, 1988).

The transport characteristics of water molecules through the organic coating can be estimated based on the following relation (Atkins, 1998):

$$x = (4D_w\tau/\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (17)$$

where  $x$  is the average distance traveled by water molecules diffusing in an organic shell,  $\tau$  is the average time to travel over this distance, and  $D_w$  is the bulk diffusion coefficient of water. We chose for our calculations  $x = 0.2\text{--}0.5\ \mu\text{m}$  (i.e., the thickness

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

of the organic shell of the particles shown in Fig. 7d–f) and  $\tau = 1500$  s at 60 % RH and 300 s at 79 % RH, respectively. Using Eq. (10) for  $x = 0.2$ ;  $0.5 \mu\text{m}$  we obtain  $D_w = 2.1 \times 10^{-13}$ ;  $1.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 60 % RH and  $1.0 \times 10^{-12}$ ;  $6.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at 79 % RH, respectively. These values are in the range of measured  $D_w$  in sucrose (Zobrist et al., 2011) and  $D_w$  in  $\alpha$ -pinene SOA estimated by percolation theory (Shiraiwa et al., 2013), but lower than measured  $D_w$  of  $\sim 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  in some organic compounds, including levoglucosan and dicarboxylic acids (Price et al., 2014). Lower values of  $D_w$  obtained in our study can be due to solidification and crust formation at the particle surface as can be seen in Fig. 7d–f, which can strongly inhibit the interfacial transport of gas molecules (Pfrang et al., 2011).

Low bulk diffusion coefficients suggest that the particles are in amorphous semi-solid states (Mikhailov et al., 2009; Koop et al., 2011; Shiraiwa et al., 2011). This is in good agreement with the observations that ambient particles in boreal forests bounce off the smooth hard surface of an impactor (Virtanen et al., 2010) and that the viscosity of laboratory-generated  $\alpha$ -pinene SOA is reported to be  $10^3$ – $10^7$  Pas (Renbaum-Wolff et al., 2013). Additionally, the water release time scale of 300–1500 s observed in this study (Fig. 7b, peaks 1 and 2) is in reasonable agreement with model calculations for  $\alpha$ -pinene SOA+AS particles with core-shell structures (Shiraiwa et al., 2013), which predict at 60 % RH an e-folding time within  $10^1$ – $10^3$  s for  $1 \mu\text{m}$  particles and  $10^{-4}$ – $10^0$  s for the submicron fraction in the range of 30–100 % RH (Shiraiwa et al., 2013). These model results also help to explain why in the time scale of the FDHA experiment the kinetic limitations were observed for supermicron particles, but have not been detected for the particles in the accumulation mode.

As noted above and shown in Fig. 10a (inserts) during hydration cycles in the 60–96 % RH range, the water uptake is followed by a water release (negative peaks) despite growing RH. These observations can be explained by the complex morphology of the particles. Most likely at intermediate RHs due to partial dissolution the different species become more mobile, which leads to compaction (restructuring) of the particles and release of excess water. The fact that in the second hydration cycle the water loss

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

effect is reproducible (inserts in Fig. 10c) suggests that re-dried particles retained their irregular microstructure even at a drying rate as low as  $\sim 0.4\%$  RH  $\text{min}^{-1}$ . The reproducibility of the irregular particle microstructure in subsequent hydration-dehydration cycles is in agreement with the earlier results of Zardini et al. (2008), who investigated laboratory generated mixed AS-adipic acid particles by the electrodynamic balance (EDB) technique, and with recent STXM-NEXAFS results for Amazonian aerosol samples obtained by Pöhlker et al. (2014).

The driving forces of the morphological transformations can be an inverse Kelvin effect stimulating capillary condensation in the cracks, veins, and pores (Sjogren et al., 2007; Zardini et al., 2008; Mikhailov et al., 2004) and their subsequent collapse or/and Ostwald ripening, i.e., recrystallization that causes growth of large salt particles and shrinkage of smaller ones (Pöhlker et al., 2014). In our hydration experiments the water release peaks were observed up to 96% RH (inserts in Fig. 10a and c), suggesting that morphology transformations occurred with sparingly soluble species that restrain particle restructuring because of kinetic limitations.

In view of the results discussed above we suggest that boreal aerosol samples have complex morphologies. The organic material tends to be enriched at the particle surface and forms an envelope with low molecular diffusivity, which inhibits the access of water vapor to the particle core and leads to kinetic limitations. In the time scale of our FDHA experiment these kinetic limitations were clearly exhibited for supermicron particles in the hydration/dehydration cycles (Fig. 10), which resulted in a pronounced hysteresis effect (Fig. 8c).

## 5 Summary and conclusions

In this study, we have presented the hygroscopic properties of the accumulation and coarse modes of aerosol particles sampled at the ZOTTO background station in western Siberia during a summer campaign. The hygroscopic growth measurements were

conducted with a filter-based differential hygroscopicity analyzer using the range of 5–99.4 % RH in the hydration and dehydration operation modes.

These studies were complemented with chemical analyses of the samples, focusing on inorganic ions and organic carbon/elemental carbon. In addition, the microstructure and chemical composition of aerosol particles were analyzed by x-ray and electron microscopy techniques. The air mass history, CO data, and chemical analysis results indicate background conditions during the sampling campaign.

The mass closure studies show that organic carbon accounted for 61 and 38 % of PM in the accumulation mode (AM) and coarse mode (CM), respectively. Accordingly, the WSOM was estimated to be  $\sim 52$  and  $\sim 8$  %. Sulfate, predominantly in the form of ammoniated sulfate, was the dominant inorganic component in both size modes:  $\sim 27$  % in the AM and  $\sim 40$  % in the CM. Sea salt was the next abundant inorganic component, responsible for  $\sim 6.6$  % of PM in the coarse mode. The bulk density of the dry particles was estimated to be  $1.54 \pm 0.09 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  and  $1.66 \pm 0.07 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  for the AM and CM size mode, respectively.

The FDHA hygroscopic studies indicate that both AM and CM exhibit pronounced water uptake approximately at the same RH, starting at  $\sim 70$  %, while efflorescence occurred at different humidities, i.e., at  $\sim 35$  % RH for AM vs.  $\sim 50$  % RH for CM. This  $\sim 15$  % RH difference was attributed to a higher content of organic material in the submicron particles, which suppresses water release in the dehydration experiments. Overall, the observed hygroscopicity behavior of the sub- and supermicron samples is consistent with their chemical composition and microscopic structure. The relatively high content of organic carbon and low content of ammonium sulfate in AM as compared to CM cause at high RH an approximately twofold decrease in the water uptake. Thus, at 99 % RH the hygroscopic growth factor,  $G_m$ , is  $\sim 7.5$  and  $\sim 13.4$  for sub- and supermicron particles, respectively.

The kappa mass interaction model (KIM) was applied to characterize non-ideal solution behavior and concentration-dependent water uptake by atmospheric aerosol samples with complex chemical composition. The  $\kappa_m$ -model reproduces the FDHA mass

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

water uptake results well and reveals three distinctly different regimes of hygroscopicity: (I) a quasi-eutonic deliquescence and efflorescence regime at low-humidity, where substances are just partly dissolved and exist also in a non-dissolved phase, (II) a gradual deliquescence and efflorescence regime at intermediate humidity, where different solutes undergo gradual dissolution or solidification in the aqueous phase; and (III) a dilute regime at high humidity, where the solutes are fully dissolved approaching their dilute hygroscopicity. The obtained KIM fit parameters can be used to characterize the hygroscopic behavior of sub- and supermicron boreal aerosols corresponding to background conditions in the growing season.

Based on KIM, for dilute aerosol solutions the volume-based hygroscopicity parameter,  $\kappa_v$ , was calculated. The  $\kappa_v$  value normalized to total PM ( $\kappa_{v,t}$ ) and to the water soluble fraction ( $\kappa_{v,ws}$ ) was estimated to be 0.098 and 0.15 for the accumulation mode and 0.21 and 0.36 for the coarse mode, respectively. These values can be regarded as the lower and upper limits of aerosol hygroscopicity in the background boreal environment. The measured value of  $\kappa_{v,ws} = 0.15$  for the accumulation mode is in good agreement with previously reported CCN-derived data for remote sites in the Amazon forest ( $\kappa_v \approx 0.15$ ) and the Colorado mountain forest ( $\kappa_v \approx 0.16$ ).

The  $\kappa_{v,p}$  values predicted from a chemical mass closure study (ZSR mixing rule) overestimate the FDHA-derived  $\kappa_{v,ws}$  values by factors of 1.8 and 1.5 for the accumulation and coarse modes, respectively. The observed divergence can be partly explained by incomplete dissolution of the hygroscopic inorganic compounds due to kinetic limitations caused by a sparingly soluble organic coating. Supporting this assumption are the microstructural and kinetics measurements results. Thus, TEM and x-ray studies indicate predominantly core-shell structures of the aerosol particles with an inorganic core (mostly ammoniated sulfate) surrounded by organic compounds. The kinetic studies of the water release have shown that boreal aerosol particles enriched in organic species are in a semi-solid state, which is characterized by the diffusion coefficient  $D_w \sim 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



In conclusion, the ZOTTO data set obtained in the growing season revealed a strong influence of biogenic organic carbon on the chemical composition and microstructure of the ambient aerosols. The sparingly soluble organic coating controls hygroscopic growth, phase transitions, and microstructural rearrangement processes in the ambient particles. The observed kinetic limitations in the water uptake can strongly influence the outcome of experiments performed on multi-second time scales, such as the commonly used HTDMA and CCNC instruments.

**The Supplement related to this article is available online at  
doi:10.5194/acpd-15-7837-2015-supplement.**

*Acknowledgements.* This work has been supported by the Max Planck Society (MPG), the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant 12-05-00620-a), and the Saint-Petersburg State University (grant 11.38.650.2013). We thank the “Geomodel” Research Center at the Saint-Petersburg State University for help with electron microscopy analysis and the team of the V. N. Sukachev Institute of Forest (SB RAS) for field support. The ALS is supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the US Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231. We thank the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin for the allocation of synchrotron radiation beamtime at BESSY II. We also thank M. Bechtel for his constant support during the beamtime sessions.

The article processing charges for this open-access publication have been covered by the Max Planck Society.

## References

- Andreae, M. O.: Aerosols before pollution, *Science*, 315, 50–51, 2007.  
Andreae, M. O. and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosol–cloud-precipitation interactions, Part 1, the nature and sources of cloud-active aerosols, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 89, 13–41, 2008.



**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Andreae, M. O., Charlson, R. J., Bruynseels, F., Storms, H., Grieken, R. V., and Maenhaut, W.: Internal mixture of sea salt, silicates, and excess sulfate in marine aerosols, *Science*, 232, 1620–1623, 1986.

Atkins, P. W.: *Physical Chemistry*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1982.

Birch, M. E.: Analysis of carbonaceous aerosols: interlaboratory comparison, *Analyst*, 123, 851–857, 1998.

Birch, M. E. and Cary, R. A.: Elemental carbon-based method for monitoring occupational exposures to particulate diesel exhaust, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 25, 221–241, 1996.

Birmili, W., Stopfkuchen, K., Hermann, M., Wiedensohler, A., and Heintzenberg, J.: Particle penetration through a 300 m inlet pipe for sampling atmospheric aerosols from a tall meteorological tower, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 41, 811–817, 2007.

Birmili, W., Schwirn, K., Nowak, A., Petäjä, T., Joutsensaari, J., Rose, D., Wiedensohler, A., Hämeri, K., Aalto, P., Kulmala, M., and Boy, M.: Measurements of humidified size distribution in a Finish boreal forest: derivation of hygroscopic growth factors, *Boreal Environ. Res.*, 14, 458–480, 2009.

Braban, C. F. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: A study of the phase transition behavior of internally mixed ammonium sulfate-malonic acid aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 4, 1451–1459, doi:10.5194/acpd-4-2949-2004, 2004.

Brooks, S. D., Garland, R. M., Wise, M. E., Prenni, A. J., Cushing, M., Hewitt, E., and Tolbert, M. A.: Phase changes in internally mixed maleic acid/ammonium sulfate aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4487, doi:10.1029/2002JD003204, 2003.

Carlsaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Rap, A., Forster, P. M., Mann, G. W., Spracklen, D. V., Woodhouse, M. T., Regayre, L. A., and Pierce, J. R.: Large contribution of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing, *Nature*, 503, 67–71, 2013.

Cerully, K. M., Raatikainen, T., Lance, S., Tkacik, D., Tiitta, P., Petäjä, T., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Laaksonen, A., Smith, J. N., and Nenes, A.: Aerosol hygroscopicity and CCN activation kinetics in a boreal forest environment during the 2007 EUCAARI campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12369–12386, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12369-2011, 2011.

Chang, R. Y.-W., Slowik, J. G., Shantz, N. C., Vlasenko, A., Liggio, J., Sjostedt, S. J., Leaitch, W. R., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: The hygroscopicity parameter ( $\kappa$ ) of ambient organic aerosol at a field site subject to biogenic and anthropogenic influences: relationship to degree of aerosol oxidation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 5047–5064, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5047-2010, 2010.

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Chang, R. Y. W., Leck, C., Graus, M., Mueller, M., Paatero, J., Burkhardt, J. F., Stohl, A., Orr, L. H., Hayden, K., Li, S. M., Hansel, A., Tjernstrom, M., Leaitch, W. R., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Aerosol composition and sources in the central Arctic Ocean during ASCOS, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 10619–10636, doi:10.5194/acp-11-10619-2011, 2011.
- 5 Choi, M. Y. and Chan, C. K.: The Effects of organic species on the hygroscopic behaviors of inorganic aerosols, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36, 2422–2428, 2002.
- Chi, X., Winderlich, J., Mayer, J.-C., Panov, A. V., Heimann, M., Birmili, W., Heintzenberg, J., Cheng, Y., and Andreae, M. O.: Long-term measurements of aerosol and carbon monoxide at the ZOTTO tall tower to characterize polluted and pristine air in the Siberian taiga, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 12271–12298, doi:10.5194/acp-13-12271-2013, 2013.
- 10 Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Takahama, S., Äijälä, M., Ehn, M., Junninen, H., Rinne, J., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., Vogel, A. L., Hoffmann, T., Ebben, C. J., Geiger, F. M., Chhabra, P., Seinfeld, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Song, W., Auld, J., and Williams, J.: Biogenic and biomass burning organic aerosol in a boreal forest at Hyytiälä, Finland, during HUMPPA-COPEC 2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 12233–12256, doi:10.5194/acp-13-12233-2013, 2013.
- 15 Conard, S. G. and Ivanova, G. A.: Wildfire in Russian boreal forests – potential impacts of fire regime characteristics on emissions and global carbon balance estimates, *Environ. Pollut.*, 98, 305–313, 1997.
- Draxler, R. R. and Rolph, G. D.: HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) Model access via NOAA ARL READY Website, available at: <http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready/hysplit4.html>, NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, 2003.
- 20 Ehn, M., Petäjä, T., Aufmhoff, H., Aalto, P., Hämeri, K., Arnold, F., Laaksonen, A., and Kulmala, M.: Hygroscopic properties of ultrafine aerosol particles in the boreal forest: diurnal variation, solubility and the influence of sulfuric acid, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 211–222, doi:10.5194/acp-7-211-2007, 2007.
- 25 Engelhart, G. J., Moore, R. H., Nenes, A., and Pandis, S. N.: Cloud condensation nuclei activity of isoprene secondary organic aerosol, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D02207, doi:10.1029/2010JD014706, 2011.
- Follath, R., Schmidt, J. S., Weigand, M., and Fauth, K.: The X-ray microscopy beamline UE46-PGM2 at BESSY, in: Sri 2009: The 10th International Conference on Synchrotron Radiation Instrumentation, edited by: Garrett, R., Gentle, I., Nugent, K., and Wilkins, S., AIP Conf. Proc., 323–326, 2010.
- 30

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Fuzzi, S., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Cavalli, F., Emblico, L., Mircea, M., Andreae, M. O., Trebs, I., Hoffer, A., Guyon, P., Artaxo, P., Rizzo, L. V., Lara, L. L., Pauliquevis, T., Maenhaut, W., Raes, N., Chi, X., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Soto-García, L. L., Claeys, M., Kourtchev, I., Rissler, J., Swietlicki, E., Tagliavini, E., Schkolnik, G., Falkovich, A. H, Rudich, Y., Fisch, G., and Gatti, L. V.: Overview of the inorganic and organic composition of size-segregated aerosol in Rondônia, Brazil, from the biomass-burning period to the onset of the wet season, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D01201, doi:10.1029/2005JD006741, 2007.
- Gong, S. L., Zhao, T. L., Sharma, S., Toom-Saunty, D., Lavoue, D., Zhang, X. B., Leaitch, W. R., and Barrie, L. A.: Identification of trends and interannual variability of sulfate and black carbon in the Canadian High Arctic: 1981–2007: *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, doi:10.1029/2009jd012943, 2010.
- Gunthe, S. S., King, S. M., Rose, D., Chen, Q., Roldin, P., Farmer, D. K., Jimenez, J. L., Artaxo, P., Andreae, M. O., Martin, S. T., and Pöschl, U.: Cloud condensation nuclei in pristine tropical rainforest air of Amazonia: size-resolved measurements and modeling of atmospheric aerosol composition and CCN activity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 7551–7575, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7551-2009, 2009.
- Hämeri, K., Väkeva, M., Aalto, P. P., Kulmala, M., Swietlicki, E., Zhou, J., Seidl, W., Becker, E., and O’Dowd, C. D.: Hygroscopic and CCN properties of aerosol particles in boreal forests, *Tellus B*, 53, 359–379, 2001.
- Haywood, J. and Boucher, O.: Estimates of the direct and indirect radiative forcing due to tropospheric aerosols: a review, *Rev. Geophys.*, 38, 513–543, 2000.
- Heintzenberg, J., Birmili, W., Theiss, D., Kisilyakhov, Y.: The atmospheric aerosol over Siberia, as seen from the 300 m ZOTTO tower, *Tellus B*, 60, 276–285, 2008.
- Heintzenberg, J., Birmili, W., Otto, R., Andreae, M. O., Mayer, J.-C., Chi, X., and Panov, A.: Aerosol particle number size distributions and particulate light absorption at the ZOTTO tall tower (Siberia), 2006–2009, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 8703–8719, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8703-2011, 2011.
- Jaatinen, A., Romakkaniemi, S., Anttila, T., Hyvärinen, A.-P., Hao, L.-Q., Kortelainen, A., Miettinen, P., Mikkonen, S., Smith, J. N., Virtanen, A., and Laaksonen, A.: The third Pallas Cloud Experiment: consistency between the aerosol hygroscopic growth and CCN activity, *Boreal Environ. Res.*, 19, 368–382., 2014.

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Jaffrezo, J.-L., Aymoz, G., and Cozic, J.: Size distribution of EC and OC in the aerosol of Alpine valleys during summer and winter, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 2915–2925, doi:10.5194/acp-5-2915-2005, 2005.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 1053–1123, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005.
- Kelly, J. T. and Wexler, A. S.: Water uptake by aerosol: water activity in supersaturated potassium solutions and deliquescence as a function of temperature, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 4450–4468, 2006.
- Kerminen, V.-M., Paramonov, M., Anttila, T., Riipinen, I., Fountoukis, C., Korhonen, H., Asmi, E., Laakso, L., Lihavainen, H., Swietlicki, E., Svenningsson, B., Asmi, A., Pandis, S. N., Kulmala, M., and Petäjä, T.: Cloud condensation nuclei production associated with atmospheric nucleation: a synthesis based on existing literature and new results, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 12037–12059, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12037-2012, 2012.
- Kilcoyne, A. L. D., Tyliszczak, T., Steele, W. F., Fakra, S., Hitchcock, P., Franck, K., Anderson, E., Harteneck, B., Rightor, E. G., Mitchell, G. E., Hitchcock, A. P., Yang, L., Warwick, T., and Ade, H.: Interferometer-controlled scanning transmission X-ray microscopes at the Advanced Light Source, *J. Synchrotron Radiat.*, 10, 125–136, doi:10.1107/s0909049502017739, 2003.
- Kiss, G.: Characterization of water-soluble organic matter isolated from atmospheric fine aerosol, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 8339, doi:10.1029/2001JD000603, 2002.
- Koop, T., Bookhold, J., Shiraiwa, M., and Pöschl, U.: Glass transition and phase state of organic compounds: dependency on molecular properties and implications for secondary organic aerosols in the atmosphere, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 19238–19255, 2011.
- Kostenidou, E., Pathak, R. K., and Pandis, S. N.: An algorithm for the calculation of secondary organic aerosol density combining AMS and SMPS data, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 41, 1002–1010, 2007.
- Leiva, M. A., Araya, M. C., Alvarado, A. M., and Seguel, R. J.: Uncertainty estimation of anions and cations measured by ion chromatography in fine urban ambient particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), *Accred. Qual. Assur.*, 17, 53–63, 2012.
- Levasseur, M.: Impact of Arctic meltdown on the microbial cycling of sulphur, *Nat. Geosci.*, 6, 691–670, 2013.

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Levin, E. J. T., Prenni, A. J., Palm, B. B., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Winkler, P. M., Kreidenweis, S. M., DeMott, P. J., Jimenez, J. L., and Smith, J. N.: Size-resolved aerosol composition and its link to hygroscopicity at a forested site in Colorado, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 2657–2667, doi:10.5194/acp-14-2657-2014, 2014.
- 5 Li, S.-M. and Barrie, L. A.: Biogenic sulfur aerosol in the Arctic troposphere: 1, contributions to total sulfate, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 20613–20622, 1993.
- Lide, D. R.: *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 86th edn., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2005.
- Liu, J., Bergin, M., Guo, H., King, L., Kotra, N., Edgerton, E., and Weber, R. J.: Size-resolved measurements of brown carbon in water and methanol extracts and estimates of their contribution to ambient fine-particle light absorption, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 12389–12404, doi:10.5194/acp-13-12389-2013, 2013.
- 10 Maenhaut, W. and Claeys, M.: *Characterisation and sources of carbonaceous atmospheric aerosols: final report*, Belgian Science Policy, Brussels, 92 pp., 2007.
- 15 Maenhaut, W., Schwarz, J., Cafmeyer, J., and Chi, X. G.: Aerosol chemical mass closure during the EUROTRAC-2 AEROSOL Intercomparison 2000, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B*, 189, 233–237, 2002.
- Maenhaut, W., Wang, W., and Chi, X.: Semivolatile behavior and filter sampling artifacts for dicarboxylic acids during summer campaigns at three forested sites in Europe, *Boreal Environ. Res.*, 16, 273–287, 2011.
- 20 Marple, V. A., Rubow, K. L., and Behm, M.: A microorifice uniform deposit impactor (MOUDI): description, calibration, and use, *Aerosol. Sci. Tech.*, 14, 434–446, 1991.
- McFiggans, G., Artaxo, P., Baltensperger, U., Coe, H., Facchini, M. C., Feingold, G., Fuzzi, S., Gysel, M., Laaksonen, A., Lohmann, U., Mentel, T. F., Murphy, D. M., O'Dowd, C. D., Snider, J. R., and Weingartner, E.: The effect of physical and chemical aerosol properties on warm cloud droplet activation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2593–2649, doi:10.5194/acp-6-2593-2006, 2006.
- 25 Mikhailov, E., Vlasenko, S., Niessner, R., and Pöschl, U.: Interaction of aerosol particles composed of protein and salts with water vapor: hygroscopic growth and microstructural rearrangement, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 323–350, doi:10.5194/acp-4-323-2004, 2004.
- 30 Mikhailov, E., Vlasenko, S., Martin, S. T., Koop, T., and Pöschl, U.: Amorphous and crystalline aerosol particles interacting with water vapor: conceptual framework and experimental ev-

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

idence for restructuring, phase transitions and kinetic limitations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 9491–9522, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9491-2009, 2009.

Mikhailov, E. F., Merkulov, V. V., Vlasenko, S. S., Ryshkevich, T. I., and Pöschl, U. J.: Filter-based differential hygroscopicity analyzer of aerosol Particles, *IZV Atmos. Ocean. Phys.*, 47, 747–759, 2011.

Mikhailov, E., Vlasenko, S., Rose, D., and Pöschl, U.: Mass-based hygroscopicity parameter interaction model and measurement of atmospheric aerosol water uptake, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 717–740, doi:10.5194/acp-13-717-2013, 2013.

Mikhailov, E., Mironova, S., Makarova, M., Vlasenko, S., Ryshkevich, T., Panov, A., and Andreeva, M.: Seasonal variations of carbonaceous aerosol particles in Central Siberia, *IZV Atmos. Ocean. Phys.*, in press, 2015.

Moffet, R. C., Tivanski, A. V., and Gilles, M. K.: Raman lidar observations of aged Siberian and Canadian forest fire smoke in the free troposphere over Germany in 2003: microphysical particle characterization, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D17201, doi:10.1029/2004JD005756, 2005.

Murray, B. J., Shilling, J. E., Martin, S. T., and Bertram, A. K.: Viscosity of  $\alpha$ -pinene secondary organic material and implications for particle growth and reactivity, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 110, 8014–8019, 2013.

Niedermeier, D., Wex, H., Voigtländer, J., Stratmann, F., Brüggemann, E., Kiselev, A., Henk, H., and Heintzenberg, J.: LACIS-measurements and parameterization of sea-salt particle hygroscopic growth and activation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 579–590, doi:10.5194/acp-8-579-2008, 2008.

Norman, A. L., Barrie, L. A., Toom-Sauntry, D., Sirois, A., Krouse, H. R., Li, S. M., and Sharma, S.: Sources of aerosol sulphate at alert: apportionment using stable isotopes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 11619–11631, doi:10.1029/1999jd900078, 1999.

Ogren, J. and Charlson, J.: Implications for models and measurements of chemical inhomogeneities among cloud droplets, *Tellus B*, 44, 489–504, 1992.

Pant, A., Knopf, D. A., and Bertram, A. K.: Deliquescence and crystallization of ammonium sulfate particles internally mixed with water-soluble organic compounds., *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 108, 11600–11608, doi:10.5194/acp-13-10285-2013, 2004.

Paramonov, M., Aalto, P. P., Asmi, A., Prisle, N., Kerminen, V.-M., Kulmala, M., and Petäjä, T.: The analysis of size-segregated cloud condensation nuclei counter (CCNC) data and its

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

implications for cloud droplet activation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 10285–10301, 2013,  
<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/13/10285/2013/>.

Petters, M. D. and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter representation of hygroscopic  
growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 1961–1971,  
doi:10.5194/acp-7-1961-2007, 2007.

Petters, M. D., Wex, H., Carrico, C. M., Hallbauer, E., Massling, A., McMeeking, G. R.,  
Poulain, L., Wu, Z., Kreidenweis, S. M., and Stratmann, F.: Towards closing the gap be-  
tween hygroscopic growth and activation for secondary organic aerosol – Part 2: Theoretical  
approaches, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 3999–4009, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3999-2009, 2009.

Pfrang, C., Shiraiwa, M., and Pöschl, U.: Chemical ageing and transformation of diffusivity in  
semi-solid multi-component organic aerosol particles, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 7343–7354,  
doi:10.5194/acp-11-7343-2011, 2011.

Pitman, R. M.: Wood ash use in forestry – a review of the environmental impacts, *Forestry*, 79,  
563–588, 2006.

Power, R. M., Simpson, S. H., Reid, J. P., and Hudson, A. J.: The transition from liquid to solid-  
like behaviour in ultrahigh viscosity aerosol particles, *Chem. Sci.*, 4, 2597–2604, 2013.

Pöschl, U., Martin, S. T., Sinha, B., Chen, Q., Gunthe, S. S., Huffman, J. A., Borrmann, S.,  
Farmer, D. K., Garland, R. M., Helas, G., Jimenez, J. L., King, S. M., Manzi, A., Mikhailov, E.,  
Pauliquevis, T., Petters, M. D., Prenni, A. J., Roldin, P., Rose, D., Schneider, J., Su, H.,  
Zorn, S. R., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Rainforest aerosols as biogenic nuclei of clouds  
and precipitation in the Amazon, *Science*, 329, 1513–1516, doi:10.1126/science.1191056,  
2010.

Pöhlker, C., Wiedemann, K. T., Sinha, B., Shiraiwa, M., Gunthe, S. S., Smith, M., Su, H., Ar-  
taxo, P., Chen, Q., Cheng, Y., Elbert, W., Gilles, M. K., Kilcoyne, A. L. D., Moffet, R. C.,  
Weigand, M., Martin, S. T., Pöschl, U., and Andreae, M. O.: Biogenic potassium salt par-  
ticles as seeds for secondary organic aerosol in the Amazon, *Science*, 337, 1075–1078,  
doi:10.1126/science.1223264, 2012.

Pöhlker, C., Saturno, J., Krüger, M. L., Förster, J.-D., Weigand, M., Wiedemann, K. T., Bech-  
tel, M., Artaxo, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Efflorescence upon humidification? X-ray microspec-  
troscopic in situ observation of changes in aerosol microstructure and phase state upon  
hydration, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41, 3681–3689, doi:10.1002/2014GL059409, 2014.

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., DeMott, P. J., and Ziemann, P. J.: Cloud droplet activation of secondary organic aerosol, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 112, D10223, doi:10.1029/2006JD007963, 2007.

Price, H. C., Murray, B. J., Mattsson, J., O'Sullivan, D., Wilson, T. W., Baustian, K. J., and Benning, L. G.: Quantifying water diffusion in high-viscosity and glassy aqueous solutions using a Raman isotope tracer method, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 3817–3830, doi:10.5194/acp-14-3817-2014, 2014.

Quinn, P. K., Miller, T. L., and Bates, I. S.: A 3 year record of simultaneously measured aerosol chemical and optical properties at Barrow, Alaska, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4130, doi:10.1029/2001JD001248, 2002.

Rao, A. K. and Whitby, K. T.: Non-ideal collection characteristics of inertial impactors – II. Cascade impactors, *Aerosol. Sci.*, 9, 87–100, 1978.

Rastak, N., Silvergren, S., Zieger, P., Wideqvist, U., Ström, J., Svenningsson, B., Maturilli, M., Tesche, M., Ekman, A. M. L., Tunved, P., and Riipinen, I.: Seasonal variation of aerosol water uptake and its impact on the direct radiative effect at Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 7445–7460, doi:10.5194/acp-14-7445-2014, 2014.

Renbaum-Wolff, L., Grayson, J. W., Bateman, A. P., Kuwata, M., Sellier, M., Riley, J. P., and Chester, R.: *Introduction to Marine Chemistry*, Academic Press, London and New York, 465 pp., 1971.

Schwarz, T. B., Spackman, J. P., and Veres, P.: Biomass burning in Siberia and Kazakhstan as an important source for haze over the Alaskan Arctic in April 2008, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L02813, doi:10.1029/2008GL036194, 2009.

Shiraiwa, M., Pfrang, C., and Pöschl, U.: Kinetic multi-layer model of aerosol surface and bulk chemistry (KM-SUB): the influence of interfacial transport and bulk diffusion on the oxidation of oleic acid by ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 3673–3691, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3673-2010, 2010.

Shiraiwa, M., Ammann, M., Koop, T., and Pöschl, U.: Gas uptake and chemical aging of semisolid organic aerosol particles, *Proc Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 108, 11002–11008, 2011.

Shiraiwa, M., Zuend, A., Bertram, A. K., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Gas–particle partitioning of atmospheric aerosols: interplay of physical state, non-ideal mixing and morphology, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 11441–11453, 2013.

Sihto, S.-L., Mikkilä, J., Vanhanen, J., Ehn, M., Liao, L., Lehtipalo, K., Aalto, P. P., Duplissy, J., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Boy, M., and Kulmala, M.: Seasonal variation of CCN concen-



**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

trations and aerosol activation properties in boreal forest, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 13269–13285, doi:10.5194/acp-11-13269-2011, 2011.

Sjogren, S., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Baltensperger, U., Cubison, M. J., Coe, H., Zardini, A. A., Marcolli, C., Krieger, U. K., and Peter, T.: Hygroscopic growth and water uptake kinetics of two-phase aerosol particles consisting of ammonium sulfate, adipic and humic acid mixtures, *Aerosol. Sci.*, 38, 157–171, 2007.

Soto-García, L. L., Andreae, M. O., Andreae, T. W., Artaxo, P., Maenhaut, W., Kirchstetter, T., Novakov, T., Chow, J. C., and Mayol-Bracero, O. L.: Evaluation of the carbon content of aerosols from the burning of biomass in the Brazilian Amazon using thermal, optical and thermal-optical analysis methods, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 4425–4444, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4425-2011, 2011.

Spracklen, D. V. and Rap., A.: Natural aerosol–climate feedbacks suppressed by anthropogenic aerosol, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 5316–5319, doi:10.1002/2013GL057966, 2013.

Ström, J., Umegård, J., Torseth, K., Tunved, P., Hansson, H. C., Holmen, K., Wismann, V., Herber, A., and König-Langlo, G.: One year of particle size distribution and aerosol chemical composition measurements at the Zeppelin Station, Svalbard, March 2000–March 2001, *Phys. Chem. Earth*, 28, 1181–1190, doi:10.1016/j.pce.2003.08.058, 2003.

Sullivan, R. C., Moore, M. J. K., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., Roberts, G. C., and Prather, K. A.: Effect of chemical mixing state on the hygroscopicity and cloud nucleation properties of calcium mineral dust particles, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 3303–3316, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3303-2009, 2009.

Swietlicki, E., Hansson, H. - C., Hämeri, K., Svenningsson, B., Massling, A., McFiggans, G., McMurry, P. H., Petäjä, T., Tunved, P., Gysel, M., Topping, D., Weingartner, E., Baltensperger, U., Rissler, J., Wiedensohler, A., and Kulmala, M.: Hygroscopic properties of submicrometer atmospheric aerosol particles measured with H-TDMA instruments in various environments – a review, *Tellus B*, 60, 432–469, 2008.

Timonen, H., Saarikoski, S., Tolonen-Kivimäki, O., Aurela, M., Saarnio, K., Petäjä, T., Aalto, P. P., Kulmala, M., Pakkanen, T., and Hillamo, R.: Size distributions, sources and source areas of water-soluble organic carbon in urban background air, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 5635–5647, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5635-2008, 2008.

Tunved, P., Hansson, H. C., Kerminen, V. M., Strom, J., Dal Maso, M., Lihavainen, H., Viisanen, Y., Aalto, P. P., Komppula, M., and Kulmala, M.: High natural aerosol loading over boreal forests, *Science*, 312, 261–263, 2006.

**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Twomey, S.: Atmospheric Aerosols, Elsevier, New York, USA, 320 pp., 1977.

Virtanen, A., Joutsensaari, J., Koop, T., Kannosto, J., Yli-Pirilä, P., Leskinen, J., Mäkelä, J. M., Holopainen, J. K., Pöschl, U., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Laaksonen, A.: An amorphous solid state of biogenic secondary organic aerosol particles, *Nature*, 467, 824–827, 2010.

Waggoner, A. P., Weiss, A. P., Ahlquist, N. C., Covert, D. S., and Charlson, R. J.: Optical characteristics of atmospheric aerosols, *Atmos. Environ.*, 15, 1891–1909, 1981.

Walter, D., Heue, K.-P., Rauthe-Schöch, A., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Lamsal, L. N., Krotkov, N. A., and Platt, U.: Flux calculation using CARIBIC DOAS aircraft measurements: SO<sub>2</sub> emission of Norilsk, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D11305, doi:10.1029/2011JD017335, 2012.

Wex, H., Petters, M. D., Carrico, C. M., Hallbauer, E., Massling, A., McMeeking, G. R., Poulain, L., Wu, Z., Kreidenweis, S. M., and Stratmann, F.: Towards closing the gap between hygroscopic growth and activation for secondary organic aerosol: Part 1 – Evidence from measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 3987–3997, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3987-2009, 2009.

Wexler, A. S. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Second-generation inorganic aerosol model, *Atmos. Environ.*, 25A, 2731–2748, doi:10.1016/0960-1686(91)90203-J, 1991.

Winderlich, J., Chen, H., Gerbig, C., Seifert, T., Kolle, O., Lavrič, J. V., Kaiser, C., Höfer, A., and Heimann, M.: Continuous low-maintenance CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O measurements at the Zotino Tall Tower Observatory (ZOTTO) in Central Siberia, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 3, 1113–1128, doi:10.5194/amt-3-1113-2010, 2010.

Zardini, A. A., Sjogren, S., Marcolli, C., Krieger, U. K., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Baltensperger, U., and Peter, T.: A combined particle trap/HTDMA hygroscopicity study of mixed inorganic/organic aerosol particles, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 5589–5601, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5589-2008, 2008.

Zobrist, B., Soonsin, V., Luo, B. P., Krieger, U. K., Marcolli, C., Peter, T., and Koop, T.: Ultra-slow water diffusion in aqueous sucrose glasses, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 3514–3526, 2011.

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Table 1.** CO mixing ratios (ppb) during the sampling periods on the different substrates.

Sampling substrate	Methods and objectives	Sampling dates and times (UTC+7) in June 2013	CO (ppb)		
Teflon coated filters T60A20	FDHA-hygroscopicity Chromatography-ions	16	Daily average $\pm 1$ SD 108 $\pm$ 4		
		17	104 $\pm$ 1		
		18	113 $\pm$ 4		
		19	120 $\pm$ 2		
		20	123 $\pm$ 3		
Quartz filters 2500QAT-UP	SunSet-OC/EC	21	109 $\pm$ 1		
		Average during sampling period:		113 $\pm$ 8	
		Si <sub>4</sub> N <sub>3</sub> membrane	X-ray micro-spectroscopy – microstructure and elemental maps	15 21 : 17	During sampling time 110 $\pm$ 1
				18 14 : 41	116 $\pm$ 1
24 09 : 00	93 $\pm$ 1				
26 14 : 27	93 $\pm$ 1				
3 mm carbon-coated Cu grids	TEM-microstructure	20 09 : 26	120 $\pm$ 1		
		21 15 : 16	110 $\pm$ 1		

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

**Table 2.** Reconstructed particulate matter (PM) concentrations in the accumulation (0.1–1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and coarse (> 1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) size modes. The uncertainty (one SD) of the ion analysis is  $\sim 10\%$  for anions and cations. For carbonaceous material the uncertainties arise from standard instrumental error of the SunSet instrument and the assumptions used, and are estimated overall to be  $\sim 30\%$ .

Aerosol size mode ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	PM ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ )	Chemical species concentration ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ )									
		Sea salt	Nss- $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$	$\text{NH}_4^+$	Nss- $\text{K}^+$	Nss- $\text{Ca}^{2+}$	$\text{NO}_3^-$	EC	OM	WSOM	WIOM
0.1–1	$1600 \pm 20$	7.7	438	137	20.7	1.2	0.4	17.0	976	830	146
> 1	$510 \pm 20$	33.7	205	57.8	9.7	7.1	n.a.	3.3	196	41.2	155

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Table 3.** KIM fit parameters for the accumulation (0.1–1  $\mu\text{m}$ ), and coarse (> 1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) aerosol size modes collected at ZOTTO (Siberia);  $n$  and  $R^2$  are the number of data points and the coefficient of determination of the fit.

Size mode	Regime	$G_m$ range	$n$	$R^2$	Fit equation	Best fit parameter $\pm$ standard error
Accumulation	Quasi-eutonic deliquescence (Ia)	1–1.3	14	0.98	6	$k_1 = 0.342 \pm 0.005$
	Gradual deliquescence & efflorescence (II)	1.3–4.6	32	0.86	7	$k_2 = 0.140 \pm 0.004$ ; $k_3 = -1.16 \times 10^{-2} \pm 8.7 \times 10^{-4}$ ; $k_4 = -1.19 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ ; $k_5(\text{II}) = 4.19 \times 10^{-4} \pm 8 \times 10^{-5}$
	Dilution (III)	4.6–9.1	14	0.87	8	$k_5(\text{III}) = 0.45 \pm 0.05$ ; $k_6 = 0.061 \pm 0.002$
	Quasi-eutonic efflorescence (Ib)	1.02–1	4	0.97	6	$k_1 = 1.70 \pm 0.07$
Coarse	Quasi-eutonic deliquescence (Ia)	1–1.2	4	0.99	6	$k_1 = 0.43 \pm 0.01$
	Gradual deliquescence (IIa)	1.2–5.2	19	0.61	7	$k_2 = 0.23 \pm 0.02$ ; $k_3 = -0.012 \pm 0.003$ ; $k_4 = -0.05 \pm 0.02$ ; $k_5(\text{II}) = 0.005 \pm 0.003$
	Dilution (III)	5.2–16.6	28	0.56	8	$k_5(\text{III}) = 0.50 \pm 0.08$ ; $k_6 = 0.128 \pm 0.004$
	Gradual efflorescence (IIb)	4.50–1.1	14	0.84	8	$k_2 = 0.308 \pm 0.006$ ; $k_3 = -0.032 \pm 0.004$ ; $k_4 = -0.065 \pm 0.008$ ; $k_5(\text{II}) = 0.006 \pm 0.001$
	Quasi-eutonic efflorescence (Ib)	1.1–1.0	4	0.99	6	$k_1 = 1.01 \pm 0.03$

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

**Table 4.** Reconstructed neutral species concentrations ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ ) in the accumulation (0.1–1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and coarse (> 1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) size mode, their mass fraction ( $f_i$ ), volume fraction ( $\varepsilon_i$ ), density ( $\rho_{d,i}$ ), and CCN-derived hygroscopicity ( $\kappa_{v,i}$ ). The  $\kappa_{v,i}$  values in brackets were obtained at subsaturated conditions in the 96–99.4 % RH range. Uncertainties of all parameters for inorganic and carbonaceous compounds are estimated to be  $\sim 10$  and  $\sim 30$  %, respectively. The subscript ws stands for parameters related to the water soluble fraction.

	Parameters	Chemical compounds							
		Nss-(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Sea salt	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	Nss-K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Nss-CaSO <sub>4</sub>	EC	WSOM	WIOM
Size mode	$\rho_{d,i}$ , $\text{g cm}^{-3}$	1.77 <sup>a</sup>	2.24 <sup>a</sup>	1.73 <sup>a</sup>	2.66 <sup>a</sup>	2.96 <sup>a</sup>	2.0 <sup>a</sup>	1.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.4 <sup>b</sup>
	$\kappa_{v,i}$	0.61 <sup>c</sup> (0.57) <sup>g</sup>	0.98 <sup>d</sup>	0.67 <sup>c</sup>	0.52 <sup>e</sup>	0.0016 <sup>f</sup>	0	0.1 <sup>c</sup> (0.01–0.05) <sup>h</sup>	0
Accumulation	PM ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ )	544	7.7	0.5	45.4	3.9	17	830	146
	$f_i$	0.34	$4.8 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	0.028	$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	0.011	0.52	0.091
	$\varepsilon_i$	0.30	$3.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	0.016	$1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.2 \times 10^{-3}$	0.57	0.10
	$f_{ws,i}$	0.38	$5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.6 \times 10^{-4}$	0.032	–	–	0.58	–
	$\varepsilon_{ws,i}$	0.33	$3.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	0.019	–	–	0.64	–
Coarse	PM ( $\text{ng m}^{-3}$ )	241	33.3	–	21.5	23.7	3.3	41.2	155
	$f_i$	0.47	0.065	–	0.042	0.046	$6.5 \times 10^{-3}$	0.080	0.30
	$\varepsilon_i$	0.44	0.048	–	0.026	0.026	$5.3 \times 10^{-3}$	0.095	0.36
	$f_{ws,i}$	0.72	0.10	–	0.064	–	–	0.12	–
	$\varepsilon_{ws,i}$	0.72	0.080	–	0.043	–	–	0.16	–

<sup>a</sup> Lide (2005); density taken for 25 °C.

<sup>b</sup> Kostenidou et al. (2007).

<sup>c</sup> Petters and Kreidenweis (2007).

<sup>d</sup> Niedermier et al. (2008).

<sup>e</sup> Kelly and Wexler (2006).

<sup>f</sup> Sullivan et al. (2009).

<sup>g</sup> Mikhailov et al. (2013).

<sup>h</sup> Petters et al. (2009).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

**Table 5.** Characteristic parameters obtained for the accumulation and coarse mode of ZOTTO samples: density ( $\rho_d$ ,  $\rho_{d,ws}$ ) (Eq. 10), KIM-derived ( $\kappa_m^0$ ,  $\kappa_{m,ws}^0$ ) and corresponding volume-based hygroscopicity parameters ( $\kappa_{v,t}$ ,  $\kappa_{v,ws}$ ) (Eq. 9), and mass fraction of water soluble compounds ( $f_{ws}$ ). Subscripts  $t$  and  $ws$  stand for parameters related to total PM and to the water soluble fraction, respectively.  $\kappa_{v,p}$  is the predicted hygroscopicity based on the ZSR mixing rule (Eqs. 15 and 16). For  $\kappa_m^0$ ,  $\kappa_{m,ws}^0$  uncertainties result from the goodness of the KIM fit. For the other parameters the propagated errors were calculated based on the total differential of a function by neglecting correlations between variables.

Size mode	Parameters							
	$\rho_d$ , $\text{g cm}^{-3}$	$\kappa_m^0$	$\kappa_{v,t}$	$f_{ws}$	$\rho_{d,ws}$ , $\text{g cm}^{-3}$	$\kappa_{m,ws}^0$	$\kappa_{v,ws}$	$\kappa_{v,p}$
Accumulation	$1.54 \pm 0.09$	$0.061 \pm 0.002$	$0.094 \pm 0.006$	$0.89 \pm 0.11$	$1.55 \pm 0.11$	$0.098 \pm 0.003$	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$
Coarse	$1.66 \pm 0.07$	$0.128 \pm 0.004$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$0.66 \pm 0.08$	$1.78 \pm 0.09$	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$0.36 \pm 0.03$	$0.53 \pm 0.05$

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)




**Figure 1.** (a) 120 h airmass HYSPLIT backward trajectories during the sampling period at ZOTTO at 300 m from 16 to 21 June 2013 and (b) their height above ground level (a.g.l.).



Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols


E. F. Mikhailov et al.



**Figure 2.** (a) Particle collection efficiency and (b) average volume distribution of the atmospheric aerosol particles deposited on the impactor stages of the MOUDI impactor. The collection efficiency data were fitted using a Boltzmann sigmoidal algorithm (solid lines).

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols


E. F. Mikhailov et al.



**Figure 3.** An illustrative example of KIM assuming a two component mixture **(a, b)**; **(a)** phase diagram; **(b)** the respective variation of the hygroscopicity,  $\kappa_m$ , due to water uptake. The light colored areas are responsible for the metastable state of the solution. Explanation and designations are given in the text.


## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.




**Figure 4.** Average mass fraction of organic and inorganic species in the accumulation and coarse modes of the ZOTTO samples.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)




**Figure 5.** STXM images and elemental maps of representative accumulation mode particles in the ZOTTO aerosol samples. The particles shown here represent a volume equivalent diameter range of 0.3–0.6  $\mu\text{m}$ . **(a and b)** carbon pre- and post-edge images, **(c)** carbon elemental map, **(d)** nitrogen map, **(e)** oxygen map, and **(f)** overlay of C (green), N (blue), and O (red) maps. Axes in **(a–e)** display image dimensions in  $\mu\text{m}$ . Optical density (color code) is displayed for individual maps. Red boxes and labels in **(b)** exemplify the most common particle types in STXM samples: a and b show internally mixed particles with ammoniated sulfate core and organic coating; c–g show mostly purely inorganic ammoniated sulfate particles; i shows ammoniated sulfate with potassium; h shows a C-rich particle with small inorganic content.



**Figure 6.** STXM images and elemental maps of representative particles in the coarse mode with some smaller accumulation mode particles. The particles shown here represent a volume equivalent diameter range of 0.6–1.9  $\mu\text{m}$ . (a and b) carbon pre- and post-edge images, (c) carbon elemental map, (d) nitrogen map, (e) oxygen map, and (f) overlay of C (green), N (blue), and O (red) maps. Axes in (a–e) display image dimensions in  $\mu\text{m}$ . Optical density (color code) is displayed for individual maps. Red boxes and labels in (b) highlight internally mixed particles with ammoniated sulfate and variable amounts of organics.


**Composition,  
microstructure, and  
hygroscopic  
properties of  
aerosols**

E. F. Mikhailov et al.

**Figure 7.** TEM micrographs of submicron (a–c) and supermicron (d–f) particles.[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols


E. F. Mikhailov et al.



**Figure 8.** Hygroscopic properties of accumulation (a, b), and coarse (c, d) modes of ZOTTO aerosol samples: (a, c) mass growth factors ( $G_m$ ) observed as a function of relative humidity compared to KIM; (b, d) mass-based hygroscopicity parameters ( $\kappa_m$ ) calculated as a function of mass growth factor. The data points and error bars are from FDHA experiments of hydration (blue symbols) and dehydration (red symbols). The labels I (Ia, Ib), II (IIa, IIb) and III indicate different regimes of hygroscopicity (Eqs. 6–8); the borders of the corresponding fit intervals are indicated by green circles (b, d). Varied symbols in panels (a) and (c) represent different experimental runs.

## Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.



**Figure 9.** Mass-based hygroscopicity parameter,  $\kappa_{m,ws}$  as a function of mass growth factor,  $G_{m,ws}$ , normalized to water soluble compounds (Eq. 12) upon hydration (blue) and dehydration (red). KIM fit of dilution mode, Eq. (8) – black solid line.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc


Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Composition, microstructure, and hygroscopic properties of aerosols

E. F. Mikhailov et al.



**Figure 10.** The water uptake diagram by the supermicron particles as a function of time during hydration (a, c) and dehydration (b) experiments. The red lines indicate the relative humidity (RH) change. The red dashed line in panel (b) helps to guide the eye to see the changing trend of background amplitude. Explanation of other symbols is given in the text.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	