

Supplemental Material

Journal of Climate The Signature of Shallow Circulations, Not Cloud Radiative Effects, in the Spatial Distribution of Tropical Precipitation https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0230.1

© Copyright 2018 American Meteorological Society

Permission to use figures, tables, and brief excerpts from this work in scientific and educational works is hereby granted provided that the source is acknowledged. Any use of material in this work that is determined to be "fair use" under Section 107 of the U.S. Copyright Act or that satisfies the conditions specified in Section 108 of the U.S. Copyright Act (17 USC §108) does not require the AMS's permission. Republication, systematic reproduction, posting in electronic form, such as on a website or in a searchable database, or other uses of this material, except as exempted by the above statement, requires written permission or a license from the AMS. All AMS journals and monograph publications are registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (http://www.copyright.com). Questions about permission to use materials for which AMS holds the copyright can also be directed to permissions@ametsoc.org. Additional details are provided in the AMS CopyrightPolicy statement, available on the AMS website (http://www.ametsoc.org/CopyrightInformation).

1	Supplementary Material: The signature of shallow circulations, not
2	cloud-radiative effects, in the spatial distribution of tropical precipitation
3	Dagmar Fläschner, Thorsten Mauritsen, Bjorn Stevens*
4	Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany
5	Sandrine Bony
6	LMD/IPSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Universities, Paris, France

- ⁷ *Corresponding author address: Atmosphere in the Earth System, Max Planck Institute for Mete-
- ⁸ orology, Bundesstraße 53, 20146 Hamburg, Germany
- ⁹ E-mail: bjorn.stevens@mpimet.mpg.de

ABSTRACT

References

12 LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. S2. Vertical basis functions for deep mode vertical pressure velocity	3 4	Fig. S1.	Latitudinal variation in sea-surface temperature (SST) for different models and different experiments. Where individual models are not identifiable they are all overlapped.	4
Fig. S3. Analog to Figure 8 but with a more symmetric deep-mode structure used ($a = 1.7$ and $b = 1.8$). Comparison of the predicted zonal-mean $(\overline{\omega}^{\nu}{}_{j})$ with the model vertical veloc- ity ($\overline{\omega}^{\nu}{}_{model}$). The prediction is estimated with Eq. 4 using Q_{j} and each model's gross moist stability. Note that zonal-means are symmetrized between both hemispheres, such that end- points of the lines correspond to the equator where $\overline{\omega}^{\nu}{}_{model}$ is negative, and positive end- points to $\pm 30^{\circ}$. In f) the root-mean-square-error (RMSE) is shown for the individual models.	5	Fig. S2.	Vertical basis functions for deep mode vertical pressure velocity.	5
$b = 1.8$). Comparison of the predicted zonal-mean $(\overline{\omega}^{\nu}_{j})$ with the model vertical veloc- ity $(\overline{\omega}^{\nu}_{model})$. The prediction is estimated with Eq. 4 using Q_{j} and each model's gross moist stability. Note that zonal-means are symmetrized between both hemispheres, such that end- points of the lines correspond to the equator where $\overline{\omega}^{\nu}_{model}$ is negative, and positive end- points to $\pm 30^{\circ}$. In f) the root-mean-square-error (RMSE) is shown for the individual models.	6	Fig. S3.	Analog to Figure 8 but with a more symmetric deep-mode structure used ($a = 1.7$ and	
ity $(\overline{\omega}_{model})^{\nu}$. The prediction is estimated with Eq. 4 using Q_j and each model's gross moist stability. Note that zonal-means are symmetrized between both hemispheres, such that end- points of the lines correspond to the equator where $\overline{\omega}_{model}^{\nu}$ is negative, and positive end- points to $\pm 30^{\circ}$. In f) the root-mean-square-error (RMSE) is shown for the individual models.	7	0	$b = 1.8$). Comparison of the predicted zonal-mean $(\overline{\omega}_{i}^{v})$ with the model vertical veloc-	
¹⁹ stability. Note that zonal-means are symmetrized between both hemispheres, such that end- ²⁰ points of the lines correspond to the equator where $\overline{\omega}^{\nu}_{model}$ is negative, and positive end- ²¹ points to $\pm 30^{\circ}$. In f) the root-mean-square-error (RMSE) is shown for the individual models.	8		ity ($\overline{\omega}_{model}^{\nu}$). The prediction is estimated with Eq. 4 using Q_i and each model's gross moist	
²⁰ points of the lines correspond to the equator where $\overline{\omega}^{\nu}_{model}$ is negative, and positive end- ²¹ points to $\pm 30^{\circ}$. In f) the root-mean-square-error (RMSE) is shown for the individual models.	9		stability. Note that zonal-means are symmetrized between both hemispheres, such that end-	
points to $\pm 30^{\circ}$. In f) the root-mean-square-error (RMSE) is shown for the individual models.	0		points of the lines correspond to the equator where $\overline{\omega}_{model}^{\nu}$ is negative, and positive end-	
	1		points to $\pm 30^{\circ}$. In f) the root-mean-square-error (RMSE) is shown for the individual models	6



FIG. S1. Latitudinal variation in sea-surface temperature (SST) for different models and different experiments.
Where individual models are not identifiable they are all overlapped.



FIG. S2. Vertical basis functions for deep mode vertical pressure velocity.



FIG. S3. Analog to Figure 8 but with a more symmetric deep-mode structure used (a = 1.7 and b = 1.8). Comparison of the predicted zonal-mean ($\overline{\omega}^{\nu}_{j}$) with the model vertical velocity ($\overline{\omega}^{\nu}_{model}$). The prediction is estimated with Eq. 4 using Q_{j} and each model's gross moist stability. Note that zonal-means are symmetrized between both hemispheres, such that endpoints of the lines correspond to the equator where $\overline{\omega}^{\nu}_{model}$ is negative, and positive endpoints to $\pm 30^{\circ}$. In f) the root-mean-square-error (RMSE) is shown for the individual models.