PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Fs level laser-to-RF synchronization at REGAE

To cite this article: M Titberidze et al 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 874 012085

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- <u>Ultrafast Electron Diffraction with</u> <u>Spatiotemporal Resolution of Atomic</u> <u>Motion</u> Liang Wen-Xi, Zhu Peng-Fei, Wang Xuan et al.
- <u>Coulomb interaction-induced jitter</u> <u>amplification in RF-compressed high-</u> <u>brightness electron source ultrafast</u> <u>electron diffraction</u> Yingpeng Qi, Minjie Pei, Dalong Qi et al.
- <u>Thermal boundary conductance in</u> <u>heterostructures studied by ultrafast</u> <u>electron diffraction</u> B Krenzer, A Janzen, P Zhou et al.

Recent citations

 Simulations on a potential hybrid and compact attosecond X-ray source based on RF and THz technologies
T. Vinatier *et al*



IOP ebooks[™]

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

Fs level laser-to-RF synchronization at REGAE

M Titberidze¹, M Felber¹, T Lamb¹, R Loch², C Sydlo¹ and H Schlarb¹

¹ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Notkestrasse 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany 2 Max Planck Institute for the Structure and Dynamics of Matter, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

E-mail: mikheil.titberidze@desy.de

Abstract. The Relativistic Electron Gun for Atomic Exploration (REGAE) is a unique linear accelerator capable of producing ultrashort (~ 10 fs) electron bunches for studying fast processes in matter by means of ultrafast electron diffraction (UED) experiments. Additionally, REGAE is suitable for upcoming external injection experiments for laser wakefield acceleration (LWFA). In order to carry out both mentioned experiments, it is crucial to achieve fs level stability in terms of laser-to-RF synchronization. In this paper we present an advanced laserto-RF synchronization scheme based on integrated Mach-Zehnder Modulator (MZM). The setup demonstrated the Titanium Sapphire photoinjector laser synchronization with 11 fs (rms) precision in the bandwidth up to $100\,\mathrm{kHz}$. Long term timing drift measurements showed unprecedented peak-to-peak stability of 31 fs (7 fs rms) over 43 hours of measurement time. In addition, AM-PM coefficient of the MZM based laser-to-RF synchronization setup has been evaluated and showed a factor of 10 improved performance compared to conventional direct conversion based laser synchronization setup.

1. Introduction

At REGAE a commercial Titanium Sapphire (Ti:Sa) laser system from Coherent Inc. is used as a photocathode laser to generate electron bunches by means of photoelectric effect. The generated electron bunches are accelerated up to 5 MeV in a S-band (f = 2.9979 GHz) 1.5 cell RF gun and further bunched by the 4 cell RF buncher using a technique called ballistic bunching [1, 2, 3, 4]before reaching the UED target chamber. At the target chamber a part of the laser beam together with the electron bunches are used to conduct UED experiments on a femtosecond level in a pump-probe fashion [5]. Therefore, in order to achieve femtosecond level temporal resolution between the laser and electron beams, precise laser-to-RF synchronization is required.

For the past decade, various precise laser-to-RF synchronization methods have been carried out with femtosecond level residual timing instabilities (jitter and drift)[6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The most recent publication [13] showed very impressive performance of Ti:Sa laser oscillator synchronization to a $2.856 \,\text{GHz}$ RF source with the residual timing jitter of $3.9 \,\text{fs}$ (12.2 fs) in the bandwidth up to $100 \,\mathrm{kHz} (1 \,\mathrm{MHz})$ and with long term timing drift of $12.5 \,\mathrm{fs} (\mathrm{rms}) (> 150 \,\mathrm{fs})$ peak-to-peak) over 24 hours. The synchronization method in [13] is based on a fibre-loop opticalmicrowave phase detector (FLOM-PD) [7].

In this paper we present the experimental evaluation of the limitations for the direct conversion based synchronization setup by means of amplitude-to-phase conversion (AM-PM) effects. Experimental results of an alternative laser-to-RF synchronization method based on

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

800 nm integrated MZM [14, 15] is discussed and corresponding out-of-loop residual timing jitter and drift characterization are manifested. In addition, the measurement results of the AM-PM effects for MZM based laser-to-RF synchronization setup are presented in comparison to direct conversion based laser-to-RF synchronization setup.

2. Direct conversion based laser-to-RF synchronization

One of the most common techniques to synchronize the mode-locked lasers to RF reference signal is using a fast photodetector. The idea of employing a photodetection for synchronization purposes is to convert pulsed optical signals to electrical signals. Electrical signals generated by the photodetector are composed of high spectral purity harmonics of the laser repetition rate. The cutoff frequency of the frequency comb is given by the bandwidth (BW) of the photodetector. Typical fast photodetectors are fiber coupled PIN photodiodes (e.g. ET-4000F). Usually, one of the harmonics of the frequency comb can be filtered out using an RF band-pass filter and a low noise RF amplifier in combination with an RF phase detector (e.g. double balanced mixer) can be employed for laser-to-RF synchronization [11, 16]. However, there are several limitations related to the photodetection technique. The two main problems are, AM-PM effects [17, 18], where the optical power fluctuation is converted to phase fluctuation of each frequency comb lines is rather low, leading to the limited signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Mainly these two effects limit the laser-to-RF synchronization performance.

2.1. Measurement Results of AM-PM Coefficients

Already in our previous work related to REGAE facility [15] we have shown that the AM-PM effects in the direct conversion based laser-to-RF synchronization setup induces significant timing disturbances. Indirect measurement of AM-PM coefficients in [15] revealed that it varies between 160 fs/% to 300 fs/% of input optical power change¹. Dedicated measurements have been carried out to deduce the AM-PM coefficients of the direct conversion based laser-to-RF synchronization setup. The measurement setup is shown in Figure 1. The optical pulses from Ti:Sa laser oscillator (Micra) with a repetition rate of $f_{\rm rep} = 83.275 \,\text{MHz}$ (multiple of 2.9979 GHz RF reference) are split into two branches. 10% of its initial power is dedicated for synchronization purposes while the remaining 90% is used for laser amplifier seeding. The 10%branch of the laser pulses is further split into two parts using the optical band-pass filter (F1) to operate both in-loop and out-of-loop phase detectors respectively. Fiber coupled collimators are used to couple optical beam from free space to single-mode fibers for both in-loop and outof-loop phase detectors (yellow, blue lines). A 12 GHz bandwidth fast photodiode (EOT4000F) is used as an in-loop photodetector together with the 3 GHz RF band-pass filter and low noise RF amplifier. The amplified $\approx 3 \,\mathrm{GHz}$ laser signal is provided to the readout electronics and the phase error information between the RF reference signal from the RF master oscillator (MO) and laser signal is fed to the digital controller (see [11]).

Once the phase locked loop (PLL) was established using the direct conversion based laserto-RF synchronization setup, the artificial amplitude modulation was introduced to the in-loop photodiode. The amplitude modulation was performed by rotating the free space optical neutral density filter (ND) in front of the in-loop fiber collimator (see Figure 1). Simultaneously, the outof-loop MZM based laser-to-RF phase detector was used to monitor the phase/timing changes corresponding to the artificial amplitude modulation due to the AM-PM effects. This procedure has been carried out for discrete optical power levels from 1 mW to 8 mW in steps of 0.5 mWand repeated for three different applied bias voltages (3 V, 5 V, 10 V). The measurement results

¹ AM-PM coefficient is defined as $\alpha_{am-pm} = \frac{\Delta \varphi}{\Delta P/P}$ in units of femtosecond per percent of input optical power change.

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 874 (2017) 012085





Figure 1. Block diagram of the AM-PM coefficient measurement setup.

are summarized in Figure 2. Figure 2 shows the three curves of the AM-PM coefficients as a function of average optical power for different photodiode bias voltages. At low optical powers the AM-PM coefficient starts out at approximately 300 fs/% of input optical power change and is independent from the photodiode bias voltage. As optical power increases this coefficient starts to change sign and oscillate between $\pm 300 \text{ fs}/\%$ of input optical power change. At certain optical power levels when the photodiode undergoes the deep over-saturation regime, the AM-PM coefficient becomes very large (see Figure 2, P > 7 mW, bias voltage 3 V). For the laser synchronization purposes, the most interesting regions in Figure 2 are the zero crossings of the $\alpha_{\rm am-pm}$, because ideally, $P(\alpha_{\rm am-pm} = 0)$ is a desired operating point for regular REGAE operation. However, the optical power of the Micra Ti:Sa laser oscillator at REGAE was changing 2% - 3% moving the AM-PM coefficient to undesired operating point.

3. MZM based Laser-to-RF synchronization

The main idea of using a MZM based laser-to-RF synchronization setup is to mitigate the problems associated to the direct conversion based laser-to-RF synchronization setup (AM-PM effects). The approach of using a MZM for laser-to-RF synchronization is based on sampling of the RF reference signal zero crossings with optical pulses from a Ti:Sa laser oscillator within the integrated MZM. This allows to convert the relative timing error between these two sources into an amplitude modulation of the optical pulses. For more details about the principle of operation of the setup see [14, 15].

3.1. Measurement Setup

Two identical MZM based laser-to-RF synchronization setups have been commissioned at REGAE. One was used to synchronize the laser oscillator to the RF reference signal (f = 2.9979 GHz), while the second one was employed as an out-of-loop phase detector to evaluate

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 874 (2017) 012085 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/874/1/012085



Figure 2. Measured AM-PM coefficients for ET-4000F photodiode.

the relative timing jitter performance between these two sources. The simplified block diagram of the measurement setup is shown in Figure 3. The optical pulses from the laser oscillator are coupled to the fiber collimator and provided to the MZM based synchronization setups via 50/50 fiber splitter. The RF reference signal is transmitted from the RF master oscillator which is further boosted by the high gain RF amplifier. The input optical power of $\approx 4.5 \text{ mW}$ and $\approx +20 \text{ dBm}$ of input RF power per setup resulted the in-loop and out-of-loop detector sensitivities of 0.275 mV/fs and 0.43 mV/fs respectively. The relative phase error signal from the in-loop MZM based phase detector is fed to the analog PI controller and the output of the controller is applied to the fast PZT actuator to close the feedback loop.

3.2. Timing Jitter and Drift

While the feedback loop was closed, an out-of-loop MZM based laser-to-RF phase detector was used to measure the residual voltage noise of the phase detector by using a signal source analyzer (SSA) (see Figure 4). Later it was found out that the voltage noise above 40 kHz is dominated by the noise floor of the readout electronics. The noise floor of the readout electronics originates from the thermal noise of the 50Ω load resistor. Since the loop bandwidth is small (few kHz) and significant laser timing jitter contributions beyond 100 kHz are unlikely, the integrated timing jitter from 10 Hz to 100 kHz is evaluated. In this frequency range, the noise floor amounts to 6.85 fs, while the out-of-loop detector measures 13.3 fs about a factor of two higher than the noise floor with strong contributions at 12.5 Hz, 50 Hz and 2.2 kHz. If we quadratically subtract these two numbers, one can calculate that the laser was locked with a precision of ~ 11 fs to the RF source. The 12.5 Hz frequency line is originated from the operation of the DESY II synchrotron which is located in the vicinity of the REGAE facility.

Another important parameter which has been evaluated for the MZM based laser-to-RF synchronization setup is the long term timing drift stability. Figure 6 shows the measurement of the out-of-loop timing drift recorded over 43 hours. The peak-to-peak timing stability resulted

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 874 (2017) 012085



Figure 3. Block diagram of the residual timing jitter and drift measurement setup.



Figure 4. Out-of-loop measured voltage noise.

31 fs while the rms of it amounts only 7 fs which is an excellent long term performance of the phase detector.

3.3. AM-PM Coefficient

The last measurement which has been carried out was the measurement of the AM-PM coefficient for the MZM based laser-to-RF synchronization setup. The input optical power of the in-loop detector was varied using a neutral density filter while monitoring the corresponding timing changes by out-of-loop phase detector. The measurements showed that the mean AM-PM coefficient of the phase detector amounts 34 fs/% of input optical power change which is about factor of 10 smaller value compared to AM-PM coefficients measured for direct conversion based synchronization setup (see Figure 1).

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 874 (2017) 012085 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/874/1/012085



Figure 5. Residual integrated timing jitter.



Figure 6. Residual timing drift.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we have shown the limitations of the direct conversion based laser-to-RF synchronization setup. Full experimental evaluation of the MZM based laser-to-RF synchronization setup has been presented with the excellent timing jitter and drift performance of 11 fs rms and 31 fs peak-to-peak respectively. In addition, AM-PM coefficient has been measured for MZM based laser-to-RF synchronization setup with approximately one order of magnitude lower value compared to direct conversion setup. We expect to improve the overall timing performance of the MZM based laser-to-RF synchronization setup by increasing the optical power level which will reduce the noise floor and the corresponding timing jitter. We also expect to reduce the AM-PM coefficient of the MZM based phase detector by removing the DC offsets at the output of the readout electronics.

References

- Zeitler B, Dornmair I, Gehrke T, Titberidze M, Maier A R, Hidding B, Floettmann K and Gruener F 2013 in Proc. SPIE (Prague) 8779 877904
- [2] van Oudheusden T, Pasmans P L E M, van der Geer S B, de Loos M J, van der Wiel M J and Luiten O J 2010 Phy. Rev. Lett. 105 264801
- [3] Floettmann K 2014 Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **740** 34–38

8th International Particle Accelerator Conference

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 874 (2017) 012085

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/874/1/012085

- [4] Zeitler B 2016 Phase Space Linearization and External Injection of Electron Bunches into Laser-Driven Plasma Wakefields at REGAE Ph.D. Thesis (Hamburg: University of Hamburg and DESY)
- [5] Manz S et al. 2015 Faraday Discussions 177 467
- [6] Kim J, Cox J, Chen J and Kaertner F X 2008 Nature Photonics 2 733
- [7] Jung K and Kim J 2012 Optics Letters **37** 2958
- [8] Schulz S et al. 2015 Nature Communications 6 5938
- [9] Lamb T et al. 2011 Femtosecond Stable Laser-to-RF Phase Detection Using Optical Modulators in Proc. FEL11 (Shanghai) 551
- [10] Lamb T et al. 2013 Femtosecond Stable Laser-to-RF Phase Detection for Optical Synchronization Systems in Proc. IBIC13 (Oxford) 447
- [11] Felber M, Hoffmann M, Jalmuzna W, Mavric U, Schulz S and Schlarb H 2012 Laser Synchronization at REGAE using Phase Detection at an Intermediate Frequency in Proc. IPAC12 (New Orleans) 2624
- Yang H, Jeon C, Jung K, Han B, Jeong Y U, Chung H and Kim J et al. 2015 Femtosecond Synchronization of 80-MHz Ti:Sapphire Photocathode Laser Oscillator with S-band RF Oscillator in Proc. FEL15 (Daejeon) 105
- [13] Yang H, Han B, Shin J, Hou D, Chung H, Baek I H, Jeong Y U and Kim J 2017 Nature Scientific Reports 7 39966
- [14] Titberidze M et al. 2014 Novel Femtosecond Level Synchronization of Titanium Sapphire Laser and Relativistic Electron Beams in Proc. IBIC14 (Monterey) 174
- [15] Titberidze M et al. 2015 Present and Future Optical-to-Microwave Synchronization Systems at REGAE Facility for Electron Diffraction and Plasma Acceleration Experiments in Proc. IPAC15 (Richmond) 833
- [16] Kiewiet F B, Kemper A H, Luiten O J, Brussaard G J H and van der Wiel M J 2002 Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 484 619
- [17] Ivanov E, Diddams S and Hollberg L 2005 IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control 52 1068
- [18] Zhang W, Li T, Lours M, Seidelin S, Santarelli G and Le Coq Y 2012 Appl. Phys. B 106 301