



Development of 15 nuclear microsatellite markers in *Deuterocohnia* (Pitcairnioideae; Bromeliaceae) using 454 pyrosequencing

Fides Lea Zenk¹, Cynthia Firmer², Tina Wöhrmann², Luciana Vicente da Silva³, Kurt Weising², Bruno Huettel⁴, and Gecele Matos Paggi^{5,6} ២

Manuscript received 13 December 2017; revision accepted 26 January 2018.

¹ Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics, Stübeweg 51, 79108 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

² Systematics and Morphology of Plants, Institute of Biology, University of Kassel, Heinrich-Plett-Str. 40, 34132 Kassel, Germany

³ Ecology and Conservation Postgraduate Program, Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul State, 79070-900 Campo Grande, Brazil

⁴ Max Planck-Genome-centre Cologne, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, Carl-von-Linné-Weg 10, 50829 Cologne, Germany

⁵ Biological Sciences, Pantanal Campus/Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul State, C.P. 252, 79304-902 Corumbá, Brazil

⁶ Author for correspondence: gecele.paggi@ufms.br

Citation: Zenk, F. L., C. Firmer, T. Wöhrmann, L. V. Silva, K. Weising, B. Huettel, and G. M. Paggi. 2018. Development of 15 nuclear microsatellite markers in *Deuterocohnia* (Pitcairnioideae; Bromeliaceae) using 454 pyrosequencing. *Applications in Plant Sciences* 6(4): e1147.

doi:10.1002/aps3.1147

PREMISE OF THE STUDY: Microsatellite markers were developed in *Deuterocohnia longipetala* (Bromeliaceae) to investigate species and subspecies boundaries within the genus and the genetic diversity of natural populations.

METHODS AND RESULTS: We used 454 pyrosequencing to isolate 835 microsatellite loci in *D. longipetala*. Of 64 loci selected for primer design, 15 were polymorphic among 23 individuals of *D. longipetala* and 76 individuals of the heterologous subspecies *D. meziana* subsp. *meziana* and *D. meziana* subsp. *carmineo-viridiflora*. Twelve and 13 of these loci were also polymorphic in one population each of *D. brevispicata* and *D. seramisiana*, respectively. Numbers of alleles per locus varied from two to 14 in *D. longipetala*, two to 12 in *D. meziana*, one to nine in *D. brevispicata*, and one to 10 in *D. seramisiana*. STRUCTURE analyses clearly separated the taxa from each other.

CONCLUSIONS: The 15 new microsatellite markers are promising tools for studying population genetics in *Deuterocohnia* species.

KEY WORDS 454 pyrosequencing; Bromeliaceae; *Deuterocohnia*; genetic differentiation; genetic diversity; microsatellites.

The genus Deuterocohnia Mez (Bromeliaceae) includes 17 species that are mainly distributed in the Andes of central South America (Schütz, 2013). It comprises terrestrial or saxicolous plants with thorny leaves in dense rosettes, giving rise to woody, perennial inflorescence axes that are able to bloom for several years (Smith and Downs, 1974; Benzing, 2000). All species are adapted to extremely arid environments such as steep and rocky slopes of the Andes and inter-Andean valleys, but some also grow on rocky outcrops in lowlands of eastern Bolivia and western Brazil (Schütz, 2013, 2014). Species delimitation within Deuterocohnia is often difficult due to hybridization among closely related species and subspecies (Schütz, 2014). Considering data of floral display, seed and floral morphology, and pollinators (Benzing, 2000), it seems that species from Deuterocohnia may present a variety of characteristics related to outcrossing. So far, this reproductive system was previously reported for D. meziana Kuntze ex Mez, which is self-incompatible and clonal (Arruda, 2016), and has winged seeds adapted for longdistance dispersal (Schütz, 2014).

To date, very little is known about the genetic diversity and population structure in any *Deuterocohnia* species. However, this information is important for endangered species like *D. meziana* (Ministério do Meio Ambiente, 2014; Schütz, 2014). It can contribute to our understanding of microevolutionary processes of natural populations, assist in the delimitation of species and subspecies (Palma-Silva et al., 2011), and help to detect hybridization (Zanella et al., 2016) and to design management and conservation strategies (Ribeiro et al., 2013). Here, we present 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci developed for the genus *Deuterocohnia* using 454 pyrosequencing technology.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Total DNA was extracted from fresh leaves following the protocol of Tel-Zur et al. (1999). The source DNA for 454 sequencing was derived from one individual plant of *D. longipetala* (Baker) Mez

that was collected along the road from Bermejo to Limal (Bolivia) and that is now cultivated in the greenhouse of the University of Kassel (accession NiSch_06-068; Appendix 1). We chose this species for microsatellite isolation and primer design because it has the widest distribution range of any Deuterocohnia species (Schütz, 2013). Library preparation and pyrosequencing of a 5-µg DNA aliquot were performed as described by Wöhrmann et al. (2012). Using default settings, 25,827 raw reads with an average length of 337 bp were obtained and imported into the pipeline iQDD (version 1.3; Meglécz et al., 2010); these sequences were also submitted to the National Center for Biotechnology Information's Sequence Read Archive (accession no. SRP126618). From those sequences, we identified 835 perfect repeats with a minimum of seven units for di-, six for tri-, five for tetra-, and four for penta- and hexanucleotide repeats, respectively. Sixty-four microsatellite loci with sufficient flanking sequence and high repeat numbers were selected for PCR primer construction (Appendix 2), following previously described criteria (Wöhrmann et al., 2012).

All primer pairs were initially tested for successful amplification in two individuals each of *D. meziana* subsp. *carmineo-viridiflora* Rauh (NiSch_06-007J, NiSch_06-007M) and *D. brevispicata* Rauh & L. Hrom. (NiSch_06-040F, NiSch_06-040M), as well as in one individual each of *D. seramisiana* R. Vásquez, Ibisch & E. Gross (NiSch_06-045K) and *D. longipetala* (NiSch_06-068 as a positive control). PCRs were conducted in 12.5-µL volumes in a T-Gradient thermocycler (Biometra, Göttingen, Germany) following a touchdown protocol (Wöhrmann et al., 2012). As evidenced by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels, 52 of the 64 primer pairs generated single, distinct PCR products within the expected size range in the positive control (Appendix 2). Forty-seven primer pairs also performed well in one or more accessions from other *Deuterocohnia* species, and only 12 loci failed in all samples (Appendix 2). Of 22 primer pairs that amplified in all individuals of the test set, 15 were validated by genotyping the full set of 129 samples listed in Appendix 1 (for locus characteristics see Table 1). Fluorescencelabeled primers were used for PCR, and amplicons were electrophoresed on denaturing 6% polyacrylamide gels in 1× TBE buffer, using an automated sequencer (Li-Cor 4300 IR²; Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Fragment sizes were scored with the help of an external size standard as described by Wöhrmann et al. (2012).

Population genetic parameters are compiled in Table 2. Allele numbers as well as observed (H) and expected (H) heterozygosity values were determined with ARLEQUIN version 3.11 (Excoffier et al., 2005). Wright's inbreeding coefficients (F_{IS}) and deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were calculated with GENEPOP (Raymond and Rousset, 1995). All 15 loci proved to be polymorphic in *D. longipetala* and in *D. meziana*, whereas three and two loci, respectively, were monomorphic in *D. brevispicata* and *D. seramisiana*. Altogether 80 alleles were detected in 23 individuals of *D. longipetala* from various localities, showing mean heterozygosity

TABLE 1.	naracteristics of 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci and flanking primer pairs developed for Deuterocohnia. Expected allele sizes were inferred from th	e
unique, mi	satellite-containing 454 sequences of D. longipetala (accession NiSch_06-068).	

Locus	Primer sequences (5'-3')	<i>T</i> _a (°C)	Repeat motif	Expected product size (bp)	GenBank accession no.
ngDeu_5	F: ACTACTTCCAAGACCAAAAGG	55	(GGA) _a	151	MF838869
	R: TCACTCACTAGAGGGGTACAA				
ngDeu_9	F: GGAACTCGAAGTCGGTGGT	60	(TCG) ₁₀	189	MF838873
	R: CAATGGCCCAAGAAGAGAAA				
ngDeu_11	F: CGTACGATCGAAAAGCCAAA	61	(GAA) ₁₂	189	MF838875
	R: ATCAAGTGCGCCTCAAGC				
ngDeu_15	F: GCAAACACAGATGTCGTAAAC	56	(ATCT) ₇	157	MF838879
	R: CTTGGCCTTGCTTATTATTTT				
ngDeu_17	F: CCTTAATGACCTACAGTTTCG	55	(AGAAG) ₄	147	MF838881
	R: CTTGGTTCAGAGGAGGTCTAT				
ngDeu_19	F: GGAGGAGAAGTTGGAGGA	55	(GATCGA) ₅	131	MF838883
	R: CCCTCTTCTCCTTTCCAG				
ngDeu_26	F: AAACCAGAATTACCTCGCGC	59	(TCT) ₈	158	MF838890
	R: CGTGAGTATGTCGGTGGGAT				
ngDeu_43	F: AGATACAAACAAGGAGCAACATG	59	(GA) ₁₂	150	MF838907
	R: ACGTGCCCTGCTTCTCCAT				
ngDeu_46	F: GCGGGTTAGGGTTAGGGTTA	59	(GA) ₁₂	200	MF838910
	R: TCTCCCTCTCTTCGTCTCCA				
ngDeu_48	F: ACGACTCCAGTTCTTGCTC	55	(TCT) ₆	165	MF838912
	R: AGAAGTCGTCGGAGAAGTC				
ngDeu_49	F: TGGCGAACATGGACCTCTAG	59	(TCC) ₆	206	MF838913
	R: CGAGTGTTACAGAGCGCTTC				
ngDeu_50	F: TAGACTGAGGCAGGATACAGA	55	(AGT) ₆	144	MF838914
	R: CAGGAAACTGCAAGAAAAGTA				
ngDeu_58	F: GGAGGTTGGAGACGAAGAT	56	(CGC) ₇	149	MF838922
	R: AACCCTAGACACTACGTTGCT				
ngDeu_61	F: ATTCTCACACCCTCCACACA	59	(AAAT) ₅	194	MF838925
	R: AAAGAACAAGCTGGACCACG				
ngDeu_63	F: TAGGCTGTCGGTTTGGATGT	59	(TCTCT) ₄	197	MF838927
	R: AGAAACTCTCTCCCTTGTTCTCT				

Note: T_a = optimal annealing temperature (averaged over both values).

H 0.57 0.57 0.52 0.52 0.63 0.83 0.30 0.30 0.26 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.48 0.48 0.48 0.26 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.68 0.63 0.68 0.68 0.63 0.68 0.63 0.03 0	H Fixed 7.7 0.29* .9.8 0.39** .9.1 0.13** .174 0.59** .174 0.59** .174 0.59** .174 0.59** .174 0.59*** .174 0.59*** .174 0.59*** .174 0.59****	N M U U U V	μ 0.71 0.50		10-1 - 11) nu		7	1 = 48)			D.0	irevispi	cata (n	= 13)	D. 5	eramisi	ana (n =	= 17)	All sam	ples (<i>n</i> =	: 129)
0.57 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.30 0.36 0.26 0.39 0.70 0.48	79 0.29* 84 0.39** 92 0.11*** 74 0.59*** 334 0.59*** 86 0.55***	10 m n o o	0.71 0.50	μ	F _{ISmm} b	A	я°	н	F_{IS}^{b}	A_{mez}	A	я°	н	F _{IS} ^b	A	я°	н	F _{IS} ^b	A	я°	μ
0.52 0.83 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.39 0.39 0.3		10 m m 0	0.50	0.62	-0.16 ^{ns}	∞	0.71	0.83	0.15 ^{ns}	∞	9	0.62	0.69	0.11 ^{ns}	9	0.71	0.63 -	-0.12 ^{ns}	11	0.67	0.86
0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.39 0.39 0.39 0.48 0.48		∽ m N		0.47	-0.07 ^{ns}	Ś	0.49	0.65	0.25*	9	S	0.85	0.77	-0.11 ^{ns}	9	0.75	0.82	0.08 ^{ns}	13	0.57	0.80
0.30 0.26 0.39 0.39 0.48 0.48		mα	0.79	0.78	-0.01 ^{ns}	. 				Ś	6	0.85	0.90	0.06*	10	0.94	0.86 -	-0.10 ^{ns}	19	0.53	0.73
0.26 0 0.39 0 0.70 0 0 0.70 0 0 0.70 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2	0.50	0.52	0.04 ^{ns}	2	0.11	0.18	0.40*	m	9	0.54	0.75	0.29**	-				10	0.26	0.75
0.39 0 0.70 0	.86 0.55*** .49 –0.44 ^{ns}		0:30	0.39	0.25 ^{ns}	, -				2	2	0.08	0.08		-				m	0.12	0.36
0.70 0	.49 -0.44 ^{ns}	Ś	0.61	0.63	0.04 ^{ns}	\sim	0.26	0.38	0.33 ^{ns}	9	00	0.38	0.87	0.57***	Ŝ	0.53	0.58	0.09 ^{ns}	13	0.41	0.83
0.48 0		2	0.20	0.18	-0.09 ^{ns}	\sim	0.05	0.05	-0.01 ^{ns}	\sim					4	0.76	0.57 -	-0.37 ^{ns}	S	0.33	0.34
	.70 0.32**	\sim	0.70	0.66	-0.06 ^{ns}	, -	0.07	0.07	-0.02 ^{ns}	4	4	0.86	0.74	-0.18 ^{ns}	m	0.18	0.27	0.34 ^{ns}	6	0.35	0.75
3 0.65 0	.85 0.24***	10	0.76	0.85	0.11 ^{ns}	œ	0.42	0.82	0.49***	12	4	0.67	0.71	0.06 ^{ns}	~	0.76	0.81	0.05 ^{ns}	15	0.61	0.87
0.43 0	:50 0.14 ^{ns}	m	0.21	0.38	0.46*	2	0.14	0.17	0.18 ^{ns}	\sim	m	0.43	0.56	0.25 ^{ns}	4	0.24	0.53	0.56**	4	0.25	0.48
0.39 0	:58 0.33**	2	0.52	0.51	-0.02 ^{ns}	, -				2	2	0.23	0.47	0.52 ^{ns}	m	0.41	0.47	0.13 ^{ns}	m	0.28	0.52
0.39 0	:50 0.22 ^{ns}	m	0.68	0.55	-0.24 ^{ns}	2	0.00	0.50	1.00***	\sim	2	0.00	0.21	1.00 ^{ns}	2	0.29	0.52	0.44 ^{ns}	m	0.28	0.64
F 0.30 0	.72 0.58***	4	0.21	0.58	0.65***	2	0.14	0.13	-0.05 ^{ns}	4	-				2	0.36	0.30 -	-0.18 ^{ns}	7	0.22	0.68
F 0.13 0	:61 0.79***	5	0.20	0.55	0.64***	2	0.08	0.07	-0.03 ^{ns}	Ś	-				S	0.43	0.64	0.34*	7	0.16	0.66
0.26 0	:50 0.49*	\sim	0.21	0.19	-0.10 ^{ns}	, -				7	4	0.42	0.71	0.42 ^{ns}	m	0.76	0.63 -	-0.22 ^{ns}	Ŝ	0.24	0.44
.3 0.44 0	:66 0.32	4.	0.47	0.52	0.11	3.6	0.22	0.35	0.24	4.5	3.9	0.39	0.50	0.28	4.	0.47	0.51	0.12	8.5	0.35	0.65
0		61				42				68	58				62				127		
0.13 0.13 0. 0.26 0 0.26 0 0.3 0.44 0 0 alleles; $A_{mz} = n$ 0 argina; $H_{e} = \exp n$	(6) 0.79*** (50 0.49* (66 0.32 umber of alleles a scted heterozygos 'e provided in Apos	2 2 61 61 ity; <i>H</i> ° cross a	0.20 0.21 0.47 0.47 II <i>D. mezi</i>	0.19 0.19 0.52 0.52 0.52 <i>ana</i> saml	0.64 -0.10 0.11 ples; F _{Is} =	ns sinbre	ns 2 3.6 42 inbreeding ; <i>n</i> = numbe	ns 2 0.08 3.6 0.22 42 inbreeding coefficier ; n = number of indiv	^{ns} 2 0.08 0.07 1 — — _ 3.6 0.22 0.35 4.2 inbreeding coefficient, $f_{\rm Ev} =$ inbreeding seefficient is to a set in the set i	2.2 0.08 0.0/ -0.03^{10} 2.0 0.22 0.35 0.24 42 0.22 0.35 0.24 inbreeding coefficient, F_{KV} = inbreeding co	2 2.00 0.07 -0.03% 5 3 -0.02 0.07 -0.03% 5 3 -0.22 0.22 0.35 0.24 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 4.5 -1.2 5 $-$	2 2 0.08 0.07 -0.03^{10} 5 1 3 1 $ -$ 2 4 3.6 0.22 0.35 0.24 4.5 3.9 42 6.8 58 inbreeding coefficient $f_{\rm Kev}$ = inbreeding coefficient observ ; <i>n</i> = number of individuals tested.	^{ns} 2 0.08 0.07 -0.03^{10} 5 1 -0.03^{10} 2 4 0.42 3.6 0.22 0.35 0.24 4.5 3.9 0.39 42 68 58 inbreeding coefficient $F_{\rm Kv}$ = inbreeding coefficient observed in D_1 ; n = number of individuals tested.	2.2 0.08 0.07 -0.03^{10} 5 1 $ -$ 2.3.8 0.71 $ -$ 2 4 0.42 0.71 $ -$ 2 4 0.42 0.71 $ -$ 2 4 0.42 0.71 $ -$ 2 4 0.42 0.71 $ -$	^{ns} 2 0.08 0.07 -0.03^{10} 5 1 $ -$ 2 4 0.42 0.71 0.42^{16} 3.6 0.22 0.35 0.24 4.5 3.9 0.39 0.50 0.28 42 inbreeding coefficient $F_{\rm Ev}$ = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>cam</i> ; <i>n</i> = number of individuals tested.	^{ns} 2 0.08 0.07 -0.03^{10} 5 1 $ -$ 5 3.6 0.22 0.35 0.24 4.5 3.9 0.39 0.50 0.28 4.1 42 68 58 68 58 62 inbreeding coefficient $F_{\rm Ev}$ = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-vi</i> ; <i>n</i> = number of individuals tested.	^{xxx} 2 0.08 0.07 -0.03^{10} 5 1 $ -$ 5 0.43 1 3.6 0.22 0.35 0.24 4.5 3.9 0.39 0.50 0.28 4.1 0.47 1 4.2 6.8 5.8 5.8 6.2 for the free finite or the fraction of the fract	 ^{xxx} 2 0.08 0.07 -0.03¹⁵ 5 1 5 0.43 0.64 ^{xxx} 1 2 4 0.42 0.71 0.42¹⁵ 3 0.76 0.63 - 3.6 0.22 0.35 0.24 4.5 3.9 0.39 0.50 0.28 4.1 0.47 0.51 42 68 58 68 58 62 inbreeding coefficient, f_{xxy} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. carmineo-viridiflora, f_{ismn} = int in = number of individuals tested. 	^{xxx} 2 0.08 0.07 -0.03^{10} 5 1 $ -$ 5 0.43 0.64 0.34^{-x} 3.6 0.22 0.35 0.24 4.5 3.9 0.39 0.50 0.28 4.1 0.47 0.51 0.12 42 62 inbreeding coefficient F_{Exv} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient F_{Exv} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora:</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D.</i> F_{Isrm} = inbreeding coef	^{xxx} 2 0.08 0.07 -0.03^{10} 5 1 $ -$ 5 0.43 0.64 0.34 ^x 7 3 1 $ -$ 2 4 0.42 0.71 0.42 ^{ns} 3 0.76 0.63 $-$ 0.22 ^{ns} 5 3.6 0.22 0.35 0.24 4.5 3.9 0.39 0.50 0.28 4.1 0.47 0.51 0.12 8.5 4.2 4.2 inbreeding coefficient <i>F</i> _{6x} = inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridiflora</i> , <i>F</i> _{1smm} = inbreeding coefficient; <i>n</i> = number of individuals tested.	^{xxx} 2 0.08 0.07 -0.03^{10} 5 1 $ -$ 5 0.44 0.54 0.54 -0.34^{x} 7 0.16 ^{xx} 1 $ -$ 2 4 0.42 0.71 0.42^{16} 3 0.76 0.63 -0.22^{16} 5 0.24 3.6 0.22 0.35 0.24 4.5 3.9 0.39 0.50 0.28 4.1 0.47 0.51 0.12 8.5 0.35 42 68 58 62 31 6.2 0.39 0.50 1.28 e.1 0.47 0.51 0.12 8.5 0.35 inbreeding coefficient, $F_{xy} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding coefficient observed in <i>D. meziana</i> subsp. <i>carmineo-viridifloca</i> , $F_{\text{isrm}} =$ inbreeding co

TABLE 2. Population genetic parameters determined in Deuterocohnia longipetala, D. meziana subsp. carmineo-viridiflora, D. meziana, D. brevispicata, and D. seramisiana across 15 polymorphic

Applications in Plant Sciences 2018 6(4): e1147



FIGURE 1. STRUCTURE results for natural populations of *Deuterocohnia* meziana subsp. carmineo-viridiflora, *D. meziana* subsp. meziana, *D. bre-vispicata*, *D. seramisiana*, and *D. longipetala* from central Bolivia and western Brazil showing the *K* graph from STRUCTURE HARVESTER indicating a maximum at K = 4. Delta K = mean(|L''(K)|) / sd(L(K)).

values of 0.44 (H_o) and 0.66 (H_e) . A total of 68 alleles were detected in 76 individuals of *D. meziana*, represented by *D. meziana* subsp. *carmineo-viridiflora* (two populations, n = 28) and *D. meziana* subsp. *meziana* (five populations, n = 48), and the overall number of alleles ranged from two to 12. In the *D. brevispicata* population (n = 13), mean heterozygosity values of 0.39 (H_o) and 0.50 (H_e) over all loci were obtained. Finally, one to 10 alleles per locus were found in the 17 samples of the *D. seramisiana* population. Mean heterozygosity values in this species were 0.47 (H_o) and 0.51 (H_e) . Mean F_{IS} values ranged from a minimum of 0.11 for *D. meziana* subsp. *carmineo-viridiflora* to a maximum of 0.32 for *D. longipetala* (Table 2, Appendix 1). Significant deviations from HWE were observed at 11 loci in *D. longipetala*, at three loci each in *D. meziana* subsp. *carmineo-viridiflora* and *D. brevispicata*, at four loci in *D. meziana* subsp. *meziana*, and at two loci in *D. seramisiana* (Table 2).

To evaluate the potential of microsatellite markers for distinguishing between closely related taxa, a Bayesian cluster analysis was performed on a set of 129 plants comprising all samples from the two subspecies of D. meziana, D. brevispicata, D. seramisiana, and D. longipetala, using the program STRUCTURE version 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al., 2000). For the determination of the most appropriate number of genetic clusters (K value), the analysis was run for 1,000,000 generations in the burn-in period and for 100,000 generations in the Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation analyses after burn-in. Ten repetitions for each K ($1 \le K \le 10$) were performed, and the admixture level for each individual (Q) was also inferred. By calculating the ΔK statistic using STRUCTURE HARVESTER version 0.6.94 (Earl and von Holdt, 2012), the most likely number of clusters was identified to be four, closely followed by two and five (Fig. 1). Final plots were visualized in STRUCTURE PLOT version 2.0 (Ramasamy et al., 2014). For the three estimates of K (2, 4, and 5), there is a clear division among one cluster composed of all D. meziana subsp. meziana samples (Fig. 2). For K = 4, there is a second cluster containing all D. meziana subsp. carmineo-viridiflora plants, a third cluster combining all samples from D. brevispicata and D. seramisiana, and a fourth

²*P* value of *F*_{ic} (***< 0.001, **< 0.01, *< 0.05, ns = not significant).



FIGURE 2. STRUCTURE results for natural populations of *Deuterocohnia meziana* subsp. *carmineo-viridiflora*, *D. meziana* subsp. *meziana*, *D. brevispicata*, *D. seramisiana*, and *D. longipetala* from central Bolivia and western Brazil showing the bar plot with individual assignments to groups for K = 2 (upper panel), K = 4 (middle panel), and K = 5 (lower panel). Populations and numbers of samples per population are depicted between bar plots.

containing all samples from *D. longipetala* (Fig. 2, middle panel). Assuming K = 5, *D. brevispicata* and *D. seramisiana* also become clearly separated from each other (Fig. 2, lower panel).

CONCLUSIONS

The 15 microsatellite markers developed from 454 sequences of *D. longipetala* revealed moderate levels of genetic diversity in the source species as well as in three heterologous *Deuterocohnia* taxa investigated. Whereas the two subspecies of *D. meziana* were surprisingly well separated from each other, the distinction between *D. brevispicata* and *D. seramisiana* was less pronounced, suggesting some ongoing gene flow among populations of these two species. The microsatellite markers developed here are promising tools for the study of population genetics, phylogeography, and the cohesion and delimitation of species and subspecies in *Deuterocohnia*. Genetic data generated by these markers will also provide important guidelines for designing management and conservation strategies in endangered species like *D. meziana*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Nicole Schütz (Stuttgart State Museum of Natural History, Stuttgart, Germany) and Dr. Jule Peters (University of Kassel, Kassel, Germany) for kindly providing plant material. This work was supported by the scholarship LVS- 59/300.508/2016 (FUNDECT no. 06/2016). Financial support was provided by the Fundação de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento do Ensino, Ciência e Tecnologia do Estado de Mato Grosso do Sul (FUNDECT/CNPq no. 05/2011-PPP [23/200.659/2012] and FUNDECT/CAPES no. 44/2014-PAPOS-MS [23/200.039/2014 I and II]).

LITERATURE CITED

Arruda, K. C. R. 2016. Ecologia reprodutiva de *Deuterocohnia meziana* Kuntz ex Mez (Bromeliaceae), Corumbá, MS. Master's dissertation, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil.

Benzing, D. H. 2000. Bromeliaceae: Profile of an adaptive radiation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

- Earl, D. A., and B. M. von Holdt. 2012. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: A website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conservation Genetics Resources* 4: 359–361.
- Excoffier, L., G. Laval, and S. Schneider. 2005. ARLEQUIN (version 3.1): An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online* 1: 47–50.
- Meglécz, E., C. Costedoat, V. Dubut, A. Gilles, T. Malausa, N. Pech, and J. F. Martin. 2010. QDD: A user-friendly program to select microsatellite markers and design primers from large sequencing projects. *Bioinformatics* 26: 403–404.
- Ministério do Meio Ambiente. 2014. Lista oficial das espécies da Flora Brasileira ameaçadas de extinção. Ministério do Meio Ambiente Portaria no. 443, 17 December 2014. Website http://www.mma.gov.br/ biodiversidade/especies-ameacadas-de-extincao/flora-ameacada [accessed 13 April 2018].
- Palma-Silva, C., T. Wendt, F. Pinheiro, T. Barbará, M. F. Fay, S. Cozzolino, and C. Lexer. 2011. Sympatric bromeliad species (*Pitcairnia* spp.) facilitate tests of mechanisms involved in species cohesion and reproductive isolation in Neotropical inselbergs. *Molecular Ecology* 20: 3185–3201.
- Pritchard, J. K., M. Stephens, and P. Donnelly. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 155: 945–959.
- Ramasamy, R. K., S. Ramasamy, B. B. Bindroo, and V. G. Naik. 2014. STRUCTURE PLOT: A program for drawing elegant STRUCTURE bar plots in user friendly interface. *SpringerPlus* 3: 431.

- Raymond, M., and F. Rousset. 1995. GENEPOP (version 1.2): Population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity* 86: 248–249.
- Ribeiro, P. C. C., L. C. Pinheiro, R. Domingues, R. C. Forzza, M. A. Machado, and L. F. Viccini. 2013. Genetic diversity of *Vriesea cacuminis* (Bromeliaceae): An endangered and endemic Brazilian species. *Genetics and Molecular Research* 12: 1934–1943.
- Schütz, N. 2013. Systematics, morphology and taxonomy of the genus Deuterocohnia L.B.Sm. Bromeliaceae. PhD Thesis, University of Kassel, Kassel, Germany.
- Schütz, N. 2014. Deuterocohnia meziana (Bromeliaceae): Subspecies classification and the description of the new subspecies D. meziana subsp. vogtii from northern Paraguay. Phytotaxa 162: 18–30.
- Smith, L. B., and R. J. Downs. 1974. Bromeliaceae (Pitcarnioideae). In Flora Neotropica Monographs, Vol. 14(1). New York Botanical Garden Press, Bronx, New York, USA.
- Tel-Zur, N., S. Abbo, D. Myslabodski, and Y. Mizrahi. 1999. Modified CTAB procedure for DNA isolation from epiphytic cacti of the genera *Hylocereus* and *Selenicereus* (Cactaceae). *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 17: 249–254.
- Wöhrmann, T., N. Wagner, F. Krapp, B. Huettel, and K. Weising. 2012. Development of microsatellite markers in *Fosterella rusbyi* (Bromeliaceae) using 454 pyrosequencing. *American Journal of Botany* 99: e160–e163.
- Zanella, C. M., C. Palma-Silva, M. Goetze, and F. Bered. 2016. Hybridization between two sister species of Bromeliaceae: *Vriesea carinata* and *V. incurvata*. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 181: 491–504.

APPENDIX 1. Geographical origins and voucher information of the 129 Deuterocohnia individuals analyzed in the present study.

Taxon	Locality/source	Plant ID/voucher	Herbarium ^a	n	Geographic coordinates
<i>D. meziana</i> Kuntze ex Mez subsp. <i>meziana</i>	Brazil, Mato Grosso do Sul	322	COR	10	19.95225°S, 57.332841°W
D. meziana subsp. meziana	Brazil, Mato Grosso do Sul	LA 1	COR	11	19.143316°S, 57.381266°W
D. meziana subsp. meziana	Brazil, Mato Grosso do Sul	327	COR	7	19.141138°S, 57.384622°W
D. meziana subsp. meziana	Brazil, Mato Grosso do Sul	SO 1	COR	10	19.164783°S, 57.315494°W
D. meziana subsp. meziana	Brazil, Mato Grosso do Sul	AT 722	COR	10	19.178080°S, 57.377043°W
D. meziana subsp. carmineo-viridiflora Rauh	Bolivia, Santa Cruz de La Sierra	NiSch_06-011	FR	16	18.14867°S, 63.92992°W
D. meziana subsp. carmineo-viridiflora	Bolivia, Santa Cruz de La Sierra	NiSch_06-007	FR	12	18.01537°S, 64.10005°W
D. brevispicata Rauh & L. Hrom.	Bolivia, Chuquicasaca	NiSch_06-040	FR	13	19.66250°S, 64.03533°W
D. seramisiana R. Vásquez, Ibisch & E. Gross	Bolivia, Chuquicasaca	NiSch_06-045	FR	17	19.14432°S, 64.51910°W
D. longipetala (Baker) Mez (N 116)	Unknown	285-01-89-83	В	1	Unknown
D. longipetala (N 273)	Unknown	WT 5165	WU	1	30.50°S, 66.35°W
D. longipetala (N 127)	Argentina	WT sn	В	1	Unknown
D. longipetala (N 245)	Argentina	WT sn	HEID	1	Unknown
D. longipetala (N 269)	Argentina, Córdoba	WT 5025	WU	1	Unknown
D. longipetala (N 270)	Argentina, Córdoba	WT 5038	WU	1	30.50°S, 64.35°W
D. longipetala (N 274)	Argentina, Córdoba	WT 5221	WU	1	Unknown
D. longipetala (N 260)	Argentina, Jujuy	WT 10082 a	WU	1	23.54°S, 65.28°W
D. longipetala (N 264)	Argentina, Jujuy	WT 10126	WU	1	24.29°S, 65.1730°W
D. longipetala (N 131)	Argentina, La Rioja	Leuenberger 4478a	HEID	1	30.4707°S, 66.9048°W
D. longipetala (N 271)	Argentina, La Rioja	WT 5089	WU	1	29.00°S, 67.28°W
D. longipetala (N 280)	Argentina, La Rioja	sn	WU	1	29.10°S, 67.30°W
D. longipetala (N 284)	Argentina, La Rioja	WT 5068	WU	1	29.54°S, 67.09°W
D. longipetala (N 272)	Argentina, San Juan	WT 5131	WU	1	30.3830°S, 67.29°W
D. longipetala (N 208)	Argentina, Salta	NiSch_06-118	LIL	1	25.4046°S, 65.4127°W
D. longipetala (N 210)	Argentina, Salta	NiSch_06-124	LIL	1	Unknown
D. longipetala (N 257)	Argentina, Tucumán	WT 10045	WU	1	26.16°S, 65.30°W
D. longipetala (N 259)	Argentina, Tucumán	WT 10050	WU	1	26.18°S, 65.35°W
D. longipetala (N 267)	Argentina, Tucumán	WT 10249	WU	1	Unknown
D. longipetala (N 175)	Bolivia, Tarija	NiSch_06-066	FR	1	22.2839°S, 64.2859°W
D. longipetala (N 176)	Bolivia, Tarija	NiSch_06-067	FR	1	22.2929°S, 64.2754°W
D. longipetala (N 276)	Bolivia, Tarija	WT 79	WU	1	21.25°S, 63.58°W
D. longipetala (positive control)	Bolivia, Tarija	NiSch_06-068	KAS	1	22.57043°S, 64.41242°W

Note: AT = Adriana Takahasi; LA = Lescano Almeida; NiSch = Nicole Schütz; *n* = number of samples; SO = Silvia Ortiz; WT = Walter Till. ^aHerbaria acronyms are per Index Herbariorum (http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/).

tion ^a	#	4		2	ע	>	4	.	9		9	9	2	,	9	, ·	o u	n v		⊃ —	9	4		Ŝ	9	9	η 4	- 9		2	m	4	m (n ,	- (O L	n -	- \c) LA	9		2	
olifica	9	+		+	-	+	(+)		+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+ -	+ +	÷Э		+	+		+	+	+	+ +	- +		÷	+	+	+	+	+ •	+ -	+ +	+ Ŧ		• +		+	
Ramp	5			÷	-	+	(+)		+		+	+			+		+ -	+ +	+ +	-	÷	+		+	+	+	+	- +			+	+	÷	+	-	+ 3	Ŧ		E	+			
of PCI	4				-	+	÷		+		+	÷			+		+	+	ΗĘ	E I	+	÷		+	+	+	+	+		÷					-	+ -	£	+	÷÷	; +		+	
ency	m	+			-	+	+		+		+	+	+		+		+ (-	£ +	+ +	-	+	+		+	+	+	+ (+	; +			+	+		+	-	+ _	ť	+	• (+) +		+	-
Effici	2	÷			-	+			+		+	+			+		+ -	+ -	+ +	-	+			+	+	+		÷)				÷		13	£3	£	+	÷÷	÷ +		+	-
	-	(+)			-	+			+		+	+			+		+ 3	Ð -	+ +	-	+				+	+	I Ŧ	ĒŦ)			+			13	Ŧ		+	• (+	<u>;</u> +		+	F
	Τ _a (°C)	54	55	55	55	n dr	5.5	55	60	55	61	54	56	55	56	55	55	0 U 0 U		23	55	55	56	55	59	55	55 7.7	55	57	56	55	56	55	56	00 1	20	000		55	59	59	C	5
	Reverse primer (5'–3')	CGATTAAGCTAACAAAATACAAC	AAGTTGGCGTCATATTTTAAC	GCTAGAGTTACTAAGAGCAACCA	CTICTICCTCCTCACTACTCC TCACTCACTACACCCCCTACA		CGACGGTAAAACAGTAAACA	GGGCTACAACAGATGTAACAG	CAATGGCCCAAGAAGAGAAA	CGCATACATCACCTCTTCTT	ATCAAGTGCGCCTCAAGC	CTCCAACCAAGAGTTAITTATTTC	CATAGAGTTCCATTTCGTTTG	ACGAGAACATTTATGCGAGTA	CTTGGCCTTGCTTATTATTTT		C GG CAGAGGAGG C A TACA ACCTCTTCTTCA ACCAT		TATA AGG AGA AGATTOTOTO	TTATAAAACCTAGCCGTCA	TGATGGTGAGATTACCTCAAT	GGTAGGTACGGTAGGTAGGAG	GTAGATCGCTACCGCAAGAT	CTGGAGAATTGCCAGATG	CGTGAGTATGTCGGTGGGGAT	CACTTICITCITCATTITIGG	GACCGCACAICICIIAAICIA Gacgaraaagaagaatragaa	GAAGCTTAAAGGGGGAATATCA	TAGTGAACCTGTGAAATGACC	CACATGGTGGTATTTGAAGTT	CACTCACCATCATCGACTATC	ATACAGCAAAACAACATTCCA	GACAGGAGAGAGAAAGGAAAG	GAGGGGGAAAGGAAAGI IAI	AIGALGLCGAALLCGAAL				AAAGGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGTACTA	ACGTGCCTGCTTCTCCAT	TGCCGTTAGTGTTGTGTGTG	עיבניע א אדאע אפפיני אפאע	ノミフヒフフフフノヒノヒーヒヒノフーワノ
	Forward primer (5'–3')	GGATGTTGATGCAAGGTG	ATTAAGTCTAGCGAAGCTGGT	AAATCGCTTGTTAAACCCTAT	ACCIGGIATITGAGIGGITCT		CACGATACGCCGACTAC	ATTAAAACAACCACGTCAAAG	GGAACTCGAAGTCGGTGGT	GCGACGTTGTAATTCACTATC	CGTACGATCGAAAAGCCAAA	GTGCAGATCTTACACCTCCTA	AAGATTTAGACCCCACTCCTT	TAGATGATTTTTGCAGGGATA	GCAAACACAGATGTCGTAAAC	GIGCIIICGALIIGIAGACAG	CCIIAAIGACCIACAGIIICG			CTCAAGATAGAGTGTTAGTCCAA	CTGTAAGAGTTGGAACTACGC	AACCCTAAAAGACTTCTCTCG	ATCCTCGTCGTCCTCATC	CTCTTCTTCTTCCCCTTCC	AAACCAGAATTACCTCGCGC	CGATTGTGAACAGCTTATGAT	ICGAAAAICIAACAGCAICAI ATTITTGTCCCTCTTCATGTT	ATTTAATTGCTTGGAGGAAGT	GCGAAGCGGAAGGTTAAG	CAAAGAGAAATAGCGTGTCA	TTCACAAGGGAAATAGTGAAA	GGCAAAATGAAAATGTGAT	AGATGATCTTCCTCTTCTTGG	AGICGACGAICIAAICCAICI	GGAAIGCCAIACIIAIICACA				ACTCTCTGGGAAGTAGAGCAT	AGATACAACAAGGAGCAACATG	TTGAAAGACTCGGCGTTCA		ראר ואו - ופורארפרנרנאפ
Expected product	size (bp)	103	166	118	141	0001	149	151	189	146	189	152	165	134	157	144	147	121	101	136	159	145	102	164	158	167	150 164	132	146	187	156	135	134	143	148	14/	150	45 I	203	150	196	01,	QC
	Repeat motif	(TA) ₁₂	(AC) ₁₄	(TG) ₁₅	(GA) ¹⁵		(TTC)	(AAT)	(TCG)	(GGA)	(GAA)	(AAT) ₁₇	(TAA)	(ATAC) ₆	$(ATCT)_{\gamma}$	(ITAL) ₉	(AGAAG) ₄			(GA)	(AT) ₁₃	(CT) ₁₅	(TCC) ₈	(CGC) ₈	(TCT) ₈	(ATT) ₈	(ALL) ₉ (CTT)	(TTC)	(CTT)	$(TAA)_{12}$	(ATA) ₈	(TATT) ₆	(TTCT)	(AGGC)	(GGLIC)4 (^ ^ ^ TT)		(פו ואפט)		$(AT)_{-}$	(GA)	$(CG)_{7}$	(TV)	
GenBank	accession no.	MF838865	MF838866	MF838867	MF838868 ME020060	MF838870	MF838871	MF838872	MF838873	MF838874	MF838875	MF838876	MF838877	MF838878	MF838879	MF838880	MF838881 ME020002	10000001	MFR38884	MF838885	MF838886	MF838887	MF838888	MF838889	MF838890	MF838891	MF838892 MF838893	MF838894	MF838895	MF838896	MF838897	MF838898	MF838899	MF838900	MF838901			MFR38905	MF838906	MF838907	MF838908	NAEO JOOO	IVIFQJOYUY
	Locus	ngDeu_1	ngDeu_2	ngDeu_3	ngDeu_4	ngueu_u naDen 6	naDeu 7	ngDeu 8	ngDeu_9*	ngDeu_10	ngDeu_11*	ngDeu_12	ngDeu_13	ngDeu_14	ngDeu_15*	ngDeu_16	ngDeu_1/*	ngueu_io	ngDen 20	ngDeu 21	ngDeu_22	ngDeu_23	ngDeu_24	ngDeu_25	ngDeu_26*	ngDeu_27	ngDeu_28 ndDeu_29	ngDeu 30	ngDeu_31	ngDeu_32	ngDeu_33	ngDeu_34	ngDeu_35	ngDeu_36	ngDeu_3/	ngueu_38 naDou_30	ngueu_39 naDau_40	ngDeu 40	ngDeu 42	ngDeu_43*	ngDeu_44	naDau 15	

Locus access ngDeu_47 MF8 ngDeu_48* MF8 ngDeu_49* MF8 ngDeu_50* MF8	sion no. 38911 38912		Expected product				Ξ	ficienc	y of P	CRam	plific	ation ^a
ngDeu_47 MF82 ngDeu_48* MF82 ngDeu_49* MF82 ngDeu_49* MF82 ngDeu_50* MF83	38911	Repeat motif	size (bp)	Forward primer (5'–3')	Reverse primer (5'-3')	T_{a} (°C)	-	7	m	4	6	#
ngDeu_48* MF85 ngDeu_49* MF85 ngDeu_50* MF85	38917	(CA) ₁₃	155	TCTTCTTTCGAGATATGTTGCCT	GTTTAGGAAGGTAGCTCGCG	58						
ngDeu_49* MF83 naDeu_50* MF83	1 000	(TCT)	165	ACGACTCCAGTTCTTGCTC	AGAAGTCGTCGGAGAAGTC	55	+	+	+	+	+	9
ngDeu 50* MF8	38913	(TCC)	206	TGGCGAACATGGACCTCTAG	CGAGTGTTACAGAGCGCTTC	59	+	+	+	+	+	0
1	38914	(AGT)	144	TAGACTGAGGCAGGATACAGA	CAGGAAACTGCAAGAAAAGTA	55	+	+	+	+	+	0
ngDeu_51 MF8:	38915	(AGT)	195	AGGGAGAGCATTATGTGGCA	GCACACACTAGCAGACAGGA	59	+	+	+	+	+	۰Ω
ngDeu_52 MF8:	38916	(CCG)	133	AGTCGGTATTGGGACGAG	GACCGTAGTCGTAGGTCGT	56	(+)	(+)	+		+	4
ngDeu_53 MF8	38917	(CCG)	171	ATCACCAGATGAGGTAGGAAG	CTGGGAGCGATAGGGTTC	57						
ngDeu_54 MF8:	38918	(CGC)	158	AGAGGAAGAAGATGACGATTC	AGGAGCGTAGGTTCAACAC	55		+			+	
ngDeu_55 MF8:	38919	(CGG)	271	TCCCTGTGGTTTGGATCTGT	TGTTGTTGTAGTCGATGATCCG	59	(+)	(+)		· +	+	4
ngDeu_56 MF8:	38920	(GCG)	142	GATGTAGAACGCCCCTCT	ACTTCGGAGGAAACAATAGTC	55						
ngDeu_57 MF8:	38921	$(TTC)_{7}$	182	CAAGGATGGCATCGTCGC	ACGGTGAACCTGTGAAATGAC	59				÷	+	m
ngDeu_58* MF8:	38922	(CGC) ₇	149	GGAGGTTGGAGACGAAGAT	AACCCTAGACACTACGTTGCT	56	+	+	+	+	+	9
ngDeu_59 MF8:	38923	(AAT)	154	GAAATTTATTGAGCATCGTG	TTGGATGTTGCTAATATTCCT	55				1	1	
ngDeu_60 MF8:	38924	(ATA)	232	GCTACAGACGTGAGACAACC	CATGATCTTCAGGTCCGCC	58	+	+		+	+	۰Ω
ngDeu_61* MF8:	38925	(AAAT) ₅	194	ATTCTCACACCTCCACACA	AAAGAACAAGCTGGACCACG	59	+	+	+	+	+	9
ngDeu_62 MF8:	38926	(CCTC)	151	AGCTCCATCCTATAATCAGTCCA	GCCGGATCTAGGGGGTTTCC	59			' 	·	+)
ngDeu_63* MF8:	38927		197	TAGGCTGTCGGTTTGGATGT	AGAAACTCTCCCCTTGTTCTCT	59	+	+	+	+	+	9
ngDeu_64 MF8:	38928	(CTCCT)	240	ACCCAGTAGTCCATTACCCA	AGATTGAGCTGAGGAACCCA	58	+	+		·	+	4
$Noto: \pm = one distinct PCR nrodi$	hormon the		1 - weak hands: = no PC	-R word of actived: # - number of act	-accions for which a successful PCB ampli	fination coul	ר פל די	ptotod				
"Success of PCR amplification in	a test set cor	nsisting of six Deuterocoh	nia individuals: 1 = NiSch	06-007J, 2 = NISch_06-007M (both indi	viduals of D. meziana subsp. carmineo-viri	diflora; $3 = N$	lisch_(6-040F,	4 = NiS	ch_06-	040M (ooth
 *Primer pairs used in the presen; 	t study (see a	-045K (<i>U. seramisiana</i>); 6 = ilso Tables 1 and 2).	= NiSch_U6-U68 (<i>D. iongipe</i> i	t <i>ala</i> as positive control).								

http://www.wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/AppsPlantSci