

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## Closed strings as single-valued open strings: a genus-zero derivation

To cite this article: Oliver Schlotterer and Oliver Schnetz 2019 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **52** 045401

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.



**IOP | ebooks™**

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

# Closed strings as single-valued open strings: a genus-zero derivation

Oliver Schlotterer<sup>1,2</sup>  and Oliver Schnetz<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut, Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline Street N, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada

<sup>3</sup> Department Mathematik, Cauerstraße 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

E-mail: [olivers@aei.mpg.de](mailto:olivers@aei.mpg.de) and [schnetz@mi.uni-erlangen.de](mailto:schnetz@mi.uni-erlangen.de)

Received 7 August 2018, revised 5 October 2018

Accepted for publication 22 October 2018

Published 7 January 2019



## Abstract

Based on general mathematical assumptions we give an independent, elementary derivation of a theorem by Brown and Dupont (2018 *Talk given by F Brown in 'String Math' (Sendai, June 2018)*) which states that tree-level amplitudes of closed and open strings are related through the single-valued map 'sv'. This relation can be traced back to the underlying moduli-space integrals over punctured Riemann surfaces of genus zero. The sphere integrals  $J$  in closed-string amplitudes and the disk integrals  $Z$  in open-string amplitudes are shown to obey  $J = sv Z$ .

Keywords: multiple zeta values, string amplitudes, number theory

## 1. Introduction

The study of scattering amplitudes has grown into a fertile and rapidly developing research area at the interface of particle physics, mathematics and string theory. A wealth of modern mathematical concepts including periods, motives and elliptic functions have become a common theme in scattering amplitudes of quantum field theory and string theory: field-theory amplitudes encounter various flavors of polylogarithms via Feynman integrals, and string amplitudes are formulated in terms of moduli-space integrals for punctured Riemann surfaces. In contrast to field theory, the infinite number of vibration modes in string spectra introduces transcendental numbers already into the tree level of string perturbation theory.



Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

More specifically, low-energy expansions of tree-level amplitudes of both open and closed strings involve multiple zeta values (MZVs),

$$\zeta(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) = \sum_{0 < l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_r} I_1^{-k_1} I_2^{-k_2} \dots I_r^{-k_r}, \quad k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k_r \geq 2, \quad (1)$$

characterized by depth  $r$  and weight  $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r$ . MZVs are the periods of the moduli space  $M_{0,n}$  of  $n$ -punctured genus-zero surfaces [2]: for open strings, MZVs arise from iterated integrals over the boundary of a disk, and closed-string tree amplitudes are obtained from complex integration over punctures on a sphere. From the work of Kawai, Lewellen and Tye (KLT) in 1986 [3], the sphere integrals for closed strings are known to factorize into bilinears in disk integrals for open strings. However, the approach of KLT does not manifest whether the ‘squaring procedure’ for disk integrals induces any cancellations for certain classes of MZVs. From the observations of [4], only the so-called single-valued subclass of MZVs (see [5]) seems to persist in the final results for the sphere integrals in closed-string tree amplitudes. The purpose of this work is to give an elementary derivation for these conjectural selection rules. In fact, as will be detailed below, the closed-string amplitudes are tied to open-string amplitudes by the ‘single-valued map’.

While four-point tree-level scattering of open strings gives rise to all Riemann zeta values  $\zeta(m)$ ,  $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$  in the low-energy expansion, the analogous closed-string four-point function only involves odd zeta values  $\zeta(2k+1)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here, the cancellations of integer powers of  $\pi^2$  can be tracked by the closed-form representation of the four-point amplitudes in terms of gamma functions of the kinematic data. In open-string amplitudes with  $n \geq 5$  external legs, in turn, the MZVs in the low-energy expansions include higher-depth instances and follow a more elaborate structure that can be understood in terms of motivic MZVs [4] and the Drinfeld associator [6, 7]<sup>4</sup>. It took until 2012 for an all-order conjecture for the selection rules on the MZVs in closed-string  $n$ -point amplitudes to be made [4], based on an experimental order-by-order inspection of the output of the KLT relations<sup>5</sup>.

According to the observations in [4], closed-string low-energy expansions are conjectured to follow from the *single-valued* map [5] of the MZVs in the disk integrals of open-string amplitudes [16, 17]. A defining property of the resulting *single-valued MZVs* is their descent from single-valued multiple polylogarithms at unit argument. The procedure of Brown [18] to eliminate the monodromies from harmonic polylogarithms induces a map on MZVs which is referred to as the single-valued map *sv* [5, 19]. MZVs in the low-energy expansion of  $n$ -point sphere integrals  $J$  can be obtained from specific disk integrals  $Z$  via the *sv* map: as will be detailed below, see (35), the relation conjectured by Stieberger and Taylor [17] (based on results of [4, 16])

$$J = \text{sv} Z \quad (2)$$

associates certain anti-meromorphic functions of the punctures on the sphere with cyclic orderings of the punctures on the disk boundary, following a Betti–deRham duality. An independent proof of (2) by Brown and Dupont was recently announced in [1].

<sup>4</sup> State-of-the-art methods to compute the low-energy expansion of  $n$ -point disk integrals include matrix representations of the Drinfeld associator [7] and recursions for off-shell versions of the disk integrals [8] (building upon the approach via polylogarithm manipulations in [9]). For certain multiplicities  $n$ , explicit results are available for download via [10], and one can also use the connection between disk integrals and hypergeometric functions to extract low-energy expansions, see e.g. [11–14] and references therein.

<sup>5</sup> Also see [15] for earlier work on MZVs at weight  $\leq 8$  in closed-string five- and six-point functions. The all-order conjectures of [4] have, for instance, been checked to match with the KLT relations up to transcendental weight 18 at five points and weight 9 at six points.

The proof of Brown and Dupont relies on a ‘motivic’ version of the KLT formula (see section 2.4). This motivic KLT is proved to be closely related to the single-valued map (which is only proven to exist in the motivic setup). Finally, the authors define ‘dihedral coordinates’ to provide an explicit formula which handles the poles in the Laurent expansions of string tree-level amplitudes.

Note that the proof of Brown and Dupont relies on the notion of ‘motivic periods’. The motivic concept allows one to lift integrals from pure numbers (or functions) to objects in algebraic geometry. These objects contain the initial data of the integral (the form and the cycle) and provide a restricted set of transformations in algebraic cohomology. This bypasses notoriously difficult issues with transcendentalities: while in many cases it is easy to see that certain numbers (such as MZVs) are related by equations, it is much harder to prove that a pair of numbers can never be related by a class of operations.

The notion of ‘motivic periods’ is a mathematically beautiful and deep construction which may not be readily accessible to physicists. The main claims relating motivic periods with pure numbers are:

- Many properties of pure numbers can only be proven in the motivic setup (like e.g. the existence of a weight grading of MZVs).
- The motivic setup is conjectured to be fully equivalent (isomorphic) to the pure number setup.
- Any explicit relation which is derived within the motivic setup is also (proven to be) true in the pure number context.

Because (2) the objects  $J$  and  $Z$  are related by the single-valued map, the result can only be proven in the motivic context. Therefore, the mathematically beautiful proof of Brown and Dupont inevitably uses more advanced mathematics which may be somewhat less accessible to physicists.

In this work, we will deliver an elementary inductive derivation (a proof under general mathematical assumptions) that sphere integrals are single-valued versions of disk integrals and, equivalently, that closed-string tree-level amplitudes are single-valued open-string amplitudes. The driving force for the derivation is the notion of single-valued integration [19] along with its properties that originate from motivic algebraic geometry [5, 20]. The Betti–deRham duality between the anti-meromorphic factors in the integrands on the sphere and integration cycles on the disk boundary will arise naturally from the Stokes theorem.

The sv relations between disk and sphere integrals can be applied to closed strings in the supersymmetric, heterotic and bosonic theories [17] and have triggered several directions of follow-up research. For instance, single-valued open-string amplitudes govern amplitude relations mixing gauge and gravitational states of the heterotic string [21] as well as the recent double-copy description of bosonic and heterotic strings [22]. Moreover, the appearance of single-valued MZVs in the sigma-model approach to effective gauge interactions of type-I and heterotic strings has been studied in [23]. The derivation in this work and the proof of Brown and Dupont will place these results on firm ground without the need to rely on a conjectural status for the key relations (2) between sphere integrals and single-valued disk integrals.

The derivation in this article is not a proof in a full mathematical sense for the following three reasons:

Firstly, one has to keep in mind that the single-valued map is only defined to exist in a ‘motivic’ framework. Because the singular divisors in the disk and sphere integrals are not normal crossing, it is a non-trivial step to set up a motivic theory for these objects. Alternatively, one can assume standard transcendentalities conjectures for the related integrals.

Secondly, we use three natural properties of the single-valued map in section 3.1. These properties are thoroughly tested. Properties (i) and (ii) are proven or mostly proved in the stated literature. Property (iii) is proved in the text using a standard property of the ‘ $f$ -alphabet’ which may not be fully proved in the mathematical literature (the  $f$ -alphabet has not yet drawn much attention in mathematics).

Thirdly, we use the existence of a subtraction scheme whose existence we do not prove here. In tree-level string theory, the purpose of subtraction schemes is to capture the kinematic poles in disk and sphere integrals. These poles have already been investigated from various different perspectives [8, 9, 24]. Moreover, subtraction schemes are extensively studied in the much more complicated case of quantum field theory (see e.g. [25, 26]). Further, note that in [1] Brown and Dupont prove the existence of this subtraction scheme in full mathematical rigor. So, we considered it more beneficial to provide the reader with explicit examples in appendix A and refer to [1] for the full proof.

In spite of these restrictions we informally use the word ‘proof’ in this article.

## 2. Reviewing the bases and relations of disk and sphere integrals

In this section, we review the classes of disk and sphere integrals that are related through the sv map. These moduli-space integrals encode the low-energy regime of string tree-level amplitudes through their series expansion in the dimensionless Mandelstam invariants

$$s_{ij} := 2\alpha' k_i \cdot k_j = s_{ji}, \quad s_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha'$  denotes the inverse string tension. The external momenta  $k_i$  are Lorentz vectors referring to massless external states  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  of an  $n$ -point amplitude subject to  $k_i^2 = 0$  and momentum conservation  $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = 0$ . These kinematic constraints imply

$$s_{i,i} = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n s_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (4)$$

so that only  $\frac{n}{2}(n-3)$  Mandelstam invariants are independent.

### 2.1. Four-point integrals: an inviting example

The simplest appearance of MZVs in string perturbation theory occurs in the four-point tree amplitude of open strings. After peeling off suitable kinematic factors, the amplitude boils down to the disk integral

$$Z_{4\text{pt}} := \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{z} z^{s_{12}} (1-z)^{s_{23}} = \frac{\Gamma(s_{12})\Gamma(1+s_{23})}{\Gamma(1+s_{12}+s_{23})} \quad (5)$$

and its permutations w.r.t. the external momenta. The  $\alpha'$ -expansion of the integral  $Z_{4\text{pt}}$ —i.e. the simultaneous series expansion in the dimensionless  $s_{ij}$  variables (3)—follows from the  $\Gamma$ -function identity  $\log \Gamma(1+x) = -\gamma x + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(k)}{k} (-x)^k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{4\text{pt}} &= \frac{1}{s_{12}} \exp\left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(k)}{k} (-1)^k [s_{12}^k + s_{23}^k - (s_{12} + s_{23})^k]\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{s_{12}} - \zeta(2)s_{23} + \zeta(3)s_{23}(s_{12} + s_{23}) + O(\alpha'^3), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and involves all Riemann zeta values (while the Euler Mascheroni constant  $\gamma$  cancels).

The simplest appearance of MZVs in a closed-string setup is the following complex integral in the four-point tree amplitude

$$J_{4\text{pt}} := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{d^2z}{z\bar{z}(1-\bar{z})} |z|^{2s_{12}} |1-z|^{2s_{23}} = \frac{\Gamma(s_{12})\Gamma(1+s_{23})\Gamma(1+s_{13})}{\Gamma(1-s_{12})\Gamma(1-s_{23})\Gamma(1-s_{13})}, \tag{7}$$

where  $\bar{z}$  is the complex conjugate of  $z = x + iy$  and  $d^2z := dx dy$ . The  $\alpha'$ -expansion takes a particularly symmetric form in terms of  $s_{13} = -s_{12} - s_{23}$ , see (3),

$$\begin{aligned} J_{4\text{pt}} &= \frac{1}{s_{12}} \exp\left(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(2k+1)}{2k+1} [s_{12}^{2k+1} + s_{23}^{2k+1} + s_{13}^{2k+1}]\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{s_{12}} + 2\zeta(3)s_{23}(s_{12} + s_{23}) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^4), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and the first line of (8) manifests the cancellation of even Riemann-zeta values.

### 2.2. The integrals for $n$ points

The above four-point integrals fall into the following general classes of  $n$ -point disk integrals  $Z(\tau|\rho)$  and sphere integrals  $J(\tau|\rho)$ ,

$$Z(\tau|\rho) := \int_{-\infty \leq z_{\tau(1)} \leq z_{\tau(2)} \leq \dots \leq z_{\tau(n)} \leq \infty} \frac{dz_1 dz_2 \dots dz_n}{\text{vol SL}_2(\mathbb{R})} \frac{(-1)^{n-3} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}}}{z_{\rho(1),\rho(2)} z_{\rho(2),\rho(3)} \dots z_{\rho(n-1),\rho(n)} z_{\rho(n),\rho(1)}}, \tag{9}$$

$$J(\tau|\rho) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \frac{d^2z_1 d^2z_2 \dots d^2z_n}{\pi^{n-3} \text{vol SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |z_{ij}|^{2s_{ij}}}{(z_{\rho(1),\rho(2)} z_{\rho(2),\rho(3)} \dots z_{\rho(n),\rho(1)}) (\bar{z}_{\tau(1),\tau(2)} \bar{z}_{\tau(2),\tau(3)} \dots \bar{z}_{\tau(n),\tau(1)})}, \tag{10}$$

where  $z_{ij} := z_i - z_j$ . Both types of integrals are indexed by two permutations  $\rho, \tau \in S_n$  of the legs  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The absolute value in the integrand  $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}}$  of (9) ensures that only positive numbers are raised to the power of  $s_{ij}$ , regardless of the integration domain characterized by  $z_{\tau(i)} < z_{\tau(i+1)}$ .

The inverse factor of  $\text{vol SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  in the disk integrals (9) is implemented by dropping three integrations over any  $z_i, z_j, z_k$  (with  $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ), inserting  $|z_{ij}z_{i,k}z_{j,k}|$  and fixing  $(z_i, z_j, z_k) \rightarrow (0, 1, \infty)$ . Its analogue  $(\text{vol SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))^{-1}$  in the sphere integral (10) instructs to insert  $|z_{ij}z_{i,k}z_{j,k}|^2$ . The limit  $z_k \rightarrow \infty$  is non-singular by the Mandelstam identity (4) and the choice of cyclic ‘Parke–Taylor’ denominators in (9) and (10).

Note that the four-point integrals (5) and (7) can be recovered from the general definition via

$$Z_{4\text{pt}} = -Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3), \quad J_{4\text{pt}} = -J(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3) \tag{11}$$

after fixing  $(z_1, z_3, z_4) \rightarrow (0, 1, \infty)$  and identifying  $z_2 \rightarrow z$ . The low-energy expansions (6) and (8) of the four-point integrals generalize as follows to a higher multiplicity: the  $n$ -point integrals (9) and (10) admit a Laurent expansion in the dimensionless Mandelstam invariants (3) of the form [4, 7, 24]

$$Z(\tau|\rho) = p_{3-n}(\tau|\rho) + \zeta(2)p_{5-n}(\tau|\rho) + \zeta(3)p_{6-n}(\tau|\rho) + \zeta(4)p_{7-n}(\tau|\rho) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha'^{8-n}), \tag{12}$$

where  $p_k(\tau|\rho)$  are Laurent polynomials in  $s_{i..j} = \alpha'(k_i + \dots + k_j)^2$  of homogeneity degree  $k$  with rational coefficients. The  $\alpha'$ -expansion of  $J(\tau|\rho)$  follows the same structure: equation (2) translates the leading low-energy orders (12) of the disk integrals into

$$J(\tau|\rho) = p_{3-n}(\tau|\rho) + 2\zeta(3) p_{6-n}(\tau|\rho) + O(\alpha'^{8-n}), \quad (13)$$

see (25), with the same degree- $k$  Laurent polynomials  $p_k(\tau|\rho)$  in  $s_{ij}$  as seen in the  $\alpha'$ -expansion (12) of the disk integrals.

By the results of [9, 27], the  $n$ -point tree-level amplitudes of open and closed superstrings are expressible in terms of the integrals (9) and (10), also see [22, 28] for analogous statements on bosonic and heterotic strings.

### 2.3. Relations of disk and sphere integrals

One can infer from the right-hand sides of (9) and (10) that the disk and sphere integrals  $Z(\tau|\rho)$  and  $J(\tau|\rho)$  only depend on the cyclic equivalence class of the permutations  $\tau, \rho$ . The cyclic denominators manifest that

$$Z(\tau|1, 2, 3, \dots, n) = Z(\tau|2, 3, \dots, n, 1), \quad J(\tau|1, 2, 3, \dots, n) = J(\tau|2, 3, \dots, n, 1) \quad \forall \tau \in S_n, \quad (14)$$

and the same is true for the first entry of the sphere integrals [by reality  $J(\tau|\rho) = J(\rho|\tau)$ ]. Also, the integration domain of the disk integrals (9) is cyclically invariant

$$Z(1, 2, 3, \dots, n|\rho) = Z(2, 3, \dots, n, 1|\rho) \quad \forall \rho \in S_n. \quad (15)$$

Still, the number  $(n-1)!$  of cyclically inequivalent permutations in  $S_n$  overcounts the number of inequivalent disk and sphere integrals: different choices of the cyclic denominators are related via integration-by-parts relations which lead to a basis of  $(n-3)!$  inequivalent permutations of  $(z_{1,2}z_{2,3} \dots z_{n,1})^{-1}$ . For disk integrals, dropping total derivatives w.r.t. the punctures yields [9]

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} k_1 \cdot (k_2 + k_3 + \dots + k_j) Z(\tau|2, 3, \dots, j, 1, j+1, \dots, n-1, n) = 0 \quad \forall \tau \in S_n, \quad (16)$$

and the same relations hold for both entries of the sphere integrals. Since the first entry of the disk integrals (9) refers to an integration cycle  $-\infty \leq z_{\tau(1)} \leq z_{\tau(2)} \leq \dots \leq z_{\tau(n)} \leq \infty$  rather than a choice of integrand, i.e.  $Z(\tau|\rho) \neq Z(\rho|\tau)$ , monodromy properties of the Koba–Nielsen factor  $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |z_{ij}|^{s_{ij}}$  yield [29, 30]

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sin [2\pi\alpha' k_1 \cdot (k_2 + k_3 + \dots + k_j)] Z(2, 3, \dots, j, 1, j+1, \dots, n-1, n|\rho) = 0 \quad \forall \rho \in S_n. \quad (17)$$

The combinatorics of these monodromy relations follow the structure of (16) except for the promotion of the coefficients  $k_1 \cdot (k_2 + k_3 + \dots + k_j)$  to a trigonometric function. Hence, permutations of (17) leave  $(n-3)!$  independent integration cycles [29, 30].

By combining permutations of (16) and (17), the moduli-space integrals  $Z(\tau|\rho)$  and  $J(\tau|\rho)$  can be expressed in a basis of  $(n-3)! \times (n-3)!$  elements. For both entries, one can fix legs  $n-1, n, 1$  in adjacent positions and take  $\rho = 1, \beta, n-1, n$  with permutations  $\beta \in S_{n-3}$  of  $\{2, 3, \dots, n-2\}$  as a convenient basis choice. These relations can be understood in the framework of intersection theory, where  $(n-3)!$  arises as the dimension of twisted homologies and cohomologies [31, 32].

### 2.4. Kawai–Lewellen–Tye relations

Using the representations of the four-point integrals (5) and (7) in terms of  $\Gamma$  functions, one can observe via  $\sin(\pi x) = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(1-x)\Gamma(x)}$  that

$$J(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3) = -\frac{1}{\pi} Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3) \sin(\pi s_{12}) Z(1, 2, 4, 3|1, 2, 3, 4). \quad (18)$$

This is the simplest instance of the KLT relations [3] between sphere integrals and bilinears in disk integrals which can be derived by suitable deformations of the complex integration contours. Their generalizations to  $n$ -points do not depend on the Parke–Taylor denominators in the integrand of  $J(\tau|\rho)$  and may be described in terms of a  $(n-3)! \times (n-3)!$  KLT matrix  $S_{\alpha'}(\sigma|\beta)_1$  [3, 33, 34]

$$J(\tau|\rho) = \sum_{\sigma, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{n-3}} Z(1, \sigma, n, n-1|\tau) S_{\alpha'}(\sigma|\beta)_1 Z(1, \beta, n-1, n|\rho). \quad (19)$$

The KLT matrix  $S_{\alpha'}(\sigma|\beta)_1$  is indexed by permutations  $\sigma, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{n-3}$  of  $\{2, 3, \dots, n-2\}$  and admits a recursive definition [34, 35]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha'}(2|2)_1 &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sin(\pi s_{12}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sin(2\pi \alpha' k_1 \cdot k_2) \\ S_{\alpha'}(A, j|B, j, C)_1 &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sin(2\pi \alpha' k_j \cdot (k_1 + k_B)) S_{\alpha'}(A|B, C)_1. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here, we are employing the notation  $A = a_1 a_2 \dots a_p$  and  $B = b_1 b_2 \dots b_q$  for words of length  $p, q \geq 0$  composed of external-state labels  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  as their letters. We also use  $k_B = \sum_{j=1}^q k_{b_j}$  for the overall momentum associated with the word  $B = b_1 b_2 \dots b_q$ . The recursive step in (20) removes the last leg  $j$  in the first entry of  $S_{\alpha'}(\cdot|\cdot)_1$  which is not necessarily in the last position in the second entry. The subscript of  $S_{\alpha'}(\sigma|\beta)_1$  indicates that the entries in (20) depend on both  $k_1$  and the momenta  $k_2, k_3 \dots, k_{n-2}$  associated with the permutations  $\sigma, \beta$ .

Similar to the integration-by-parts and monodromy relations (16) and (17), the KLT relations (19) can be elegantly understood in terms of intersection theory [31] where they follow from the twisted period relations [36].

The permutations  $1, \sigma, n, n-1$  and  $1, \beta, n-1, n$  in (19) reflect a particular basis choice of twisted homologies that is tailored to simplify the KLT matrix (20): the three legs  $1, n-1, n$  are kept in adjacent positions, and the sets of integration cycles for  $Z(1, \sigma, n, n-1|\tau)$  and  $Z(1, \beta, n-1, n|\rho)$  in (19) are related through the transposition  $n-1 \leftrightarrow n$ . With this choice of bases, the entries of  $S_{\alpha'}(\sigma|\beta)_1$  do not depend on  $k_{n-1}$  or  $k_n$ .

Given the  $\alpha'$ -expansion of the disk integrals  $Z(\tau|\rho)$ , the KLT relations (19) in principle determine the analogous expansion of  $J(\tau|\rho)$ . However, already the four-point example (18) reveals the shortcoming of the KLT relations that both of its ingredients  $Z(\tau|\rho)$  and  $S_{\alpha'}(\sigma|\beta)_1$  carry spurious contributions of  $\zeta(2k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which are absent in the final result (8).

At  $n \geq 5$  points, similar cancellations have been observed [4] by inserting explicit  $\alpha'$ -expansions of disk integrals into KLT formulae equivalent to (19). In the following we will not use the KLT relations. We rather give a general proof that the observed patterns of MZVs in sphere integrals are governed by the single-valued map.

Note that, in contrast to our approach, the proof of (2) in [1] uses a motivic version of the KLT relations.



### 3. The main result

#### 3.1. Single-valued iterated integrals and single-valued MZVs

The notion of single-valued (motivic<sup>6</sup>) MZVs is based on the representation of generic MZVs (1) in terms of multiple (harmonic) polylogarithms at unit argument (see [37] for the general definition of iterated integrals  $I$ )

$$I(0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_w, z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_w} I(0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_{w-1}, t), \quad I(0, z) = 1, \quad (21)$$

$$\zeta(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = (-1)^r I(0, \underbrace{100 \dots 0}_{n_1} \underbrace{100 \dots 0}_{n_2} \dots \underbrace{100 \dots 0}_{n_r}, 1), \quad (22)$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . For each choice of  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_w \in \{0, 1\}$ , a construction by Brown [18] provides a unique single-valued iterated integral  $\mathcal{I}(0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_w, z)$ . The latter can be considered as iteratively performing ‘single-valued integrations’ from the base point 0 to  $z$  in complete analogy to the analytic integration in (21).

In such single-valued multiple polylogarithms the monodromies of (21) around  $t = 0, 1, \infty$  are annihilated by anti-holomorphic admixtures, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(0, 1, z) &= I(0, 1, z) + I(0, 1, \bar{z}), & \mathcal{I}(0, 10, z) &= I(0, 10, z) + I(0, 0, z)I(0, 1, \bar{z}) + I(0, 01, \bar{z}), \\ \mathcal{I}(0, 100, z) &= I(0, 100, z) + I(0, 00, z)I(0, 1, \bar{z}) + I(0, 0, z)I(0, 01, \bar{z}) + I(0, 001, \bar{z}). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

While the holomorphic differentials  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  of  $I(0, \dots, z)$  are preserved by the  $\mathcal{I}(0, \dots, z)$ , the general connection between  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $I$  is more complicated than suggested in the above examples (a Maple implementation is [38]). By analogy with (22), single-valued MZVs (and the corresponding single-valued map sv) are defined as single-valued multiple polylogarithms at unit argument [5, 19],

$$\zeta_{sv}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = (-1)^r \mathcal{I}(0, \underbrace{100 \dots 0}_{n_1} \underbrace{100 \dots 0}_{n_2} \dots \underbrace{100 \dots 0}_{n_r}, 1) \quad (24)$$

$$sv : \zeta(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) \rightarrow \zeta_{sv}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r).$$

At the level of Riemann zeta values, single-valued MZVs (24) take the simple form

$$\zeta_{sv}(2k) = 0, \quad \zeta_{sv}(2k+1) = 2\zeta(2k+1), \quad (25)$$

while higher-depth instances such as

$$\zeta_{sv}(3, 5) = -10\zeta(3)\zeta(5), \quad \zeta_{sv}(3, 5, 3) = 2\zeta(3, 5, 3) - 2\zeta(3)\zeta(3, 5) - 10\zeta(3)^2\zeta(5), \quad (26)$$

are most conveniently understood in terms of the  $f$ -alphabet for MZVs [5, 39].

In the  $f$ -alphabet (motivic) iterated integrals become words in some alphabet which reflects the number-theoretical contents of the iterated integral. The  $f$ -alphabet exists for arbitrary  $a_1, \dots, a_w \in \mathbb{C}$ , in which case the iterated integrals (21) are hyperlogarithms. (Single) logarithms are primitive, i.e. they are represented by a single letter (of weight one). The product becomes shuffle  $\sqcup$ , and there is some admixture of polynomial type from pure periods (integrals without boundary which in the case of hyperlogarithms are polynomials in  $2\pi i$ ).

<sup>6</sup>The single-valued map is only proven to exist in the motivic context [5].

Iterated integrals in several analytic variables are represented by words with purely analytic letters<sup>7</sup>. In an  $f$ -alphabet with purely analytic letters the sv map on a word  $w$  is given by

$$sv w = \sum_{w=uv} \bar{u} \sqcup v, \tag{27}$$

where  $\bar{u}$  is  $u$  in reversed order (and  $\bar{\bullet}$  is complex conjugation). Moreover,  $sv 2\pi i = 0$ . In physical terminology the  $f$ -alphabet can be considered as a complete symbol [41]. In particular, the conversion into the  $f$ -alphabet has a trivial kernel, so that no information is lost when one uses the  $f$ -alphabet.

In pure mathematics the sv map exists as evaluation of ‘deRham’ periods in a very general motivic context. Here, we only use sv as the map

$$sv : I(0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_w, z) \mapsto \mathcal{I}(0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_w, z) \tag{28}$$

(which is consistent with (24)). In general, there exist relations between iterated integrals (e.g. for MZVs). *A priori* it is unclear (surprising even) that the map sv is well-defined (i.e. it is consistent with all relations). However, the sv-map on  $I(0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_w, z)$  can be proved to have the following three natural properties:

- (i) The sv-map is well-defined.
- (ii) The sv-map commutes with evaluation.
- (iii) The sv-map extends to several (analytic) variables. I.e.  $\mathcal{I}(0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_w, z)$  is single-valued in all variables  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_w, z$  of its letters.

These results have a deep origin in motivic algebraic geometry. The Ihara action [42] plays a major role in the proof of property (i) for iterated integrals. Property (i) is theorem 1.1 in [5] and property (ii) in the context of multiple polylogarithms is corollary 5.4 in [5]. More on the evaluation of hyperlogarithms at special values of the arguments can be found in [20].

Property (iii) can be proved in the  $f$ -alphabet [39]:

**Proof of (iii).** In the general hyperlogarithmic context, monodromies can be expressed in terms of an ‘infinitesimal’ object,  $\mathcal{M} = \exp(m)$ . Here,  $m$  can be considered as picking the part of the monodromy which is proportional to  $2\pi i$ . Note that  $m$  is a derivative (i.e. it obeys the Leibniz rule). In the  $f$ -alphabet,  $m$  is obtained from the first letter on the Betti side (here, the left-hand side) [41],

$$m(aw) = m(a)w, \tag{29}$$

where  $a$  is a letter and  $w$  is a word.

Expressions with trivial monodromy lie in the kernel of  $m$ .

For hyperlogarithms, the only functions represented by single letters in the  $f$ -alphabet are logarithms (all logarithms are ‘primitives’ of weight one). Hence, only words with logarithms (like  $I(0, a_1, z) = \log(1 - z/a_1)$ ) as first letters contribute to the differential monodromy  $m$ . For such a logarithm the differential monodromy around  $z = a_1$  is  $2\pi i$ . The complex conjugate letter  $\log(1 - \bar{z}/\bar{a}_1)$  has differential monodromy  $-2\pi i$  around  $z = a_1$  (this also remains true if one considers the monodromy of the variable  $a_1$  around a fixed value of  $z$ ). From this we conclude that in the  $f$ -alphabet for hyperlogarithms single-valuedness means that all words not beginning in constants come in pairs with complex conjugate first letters.

<sup>7</sup>In the context of quantum field theory, iterated integrals with non-analytic letters also play a prominent role [26, 40]. Handling these objects is more complicated. Here, we only need the straightforward analytic case.

For a letter  $a$  we define  $\partial_a aw = w$  (clipping off the first Betti letter) and  $\partial_a bw = 0$  if  $b \neq a$ . Note that  $\partial_a$  is a differential with respect to the shuffle product. Because of the monodromy property of the  $f$ -alphabet, the proof of property (iii) reduces to showing that

$$\partial_a sv w = \partial_{\bar{a}} sv w \tag{30}$$

for all words  $w$  and all letters  $a$  (with complex conjugate  $\bar{a}$ ). From (27) we have

$$\partial_a sv w = \sum_{w=uv} [(\partial_a \bar{u}) \sqcup v + \bar{u} \sqcup \partial_a v] = \sum_{w=uv} \bar{u} \sqcup \partial_a v. \tag{31}$$

Likewise,

$$\partial_{\bar{a}} sv w = \sum_{w=uv} (\partial_{\bar{a}} \bar{u}) \sqcup v. \tag{32}$$

Both expressions on the right-hand sides are equivalent to

$$\sum_{w=uv} \bar{u} \sqcup v \tag{33}$$

which completes the proof. □

Note that property (iii) means that single-valued integration with respect to any variable of a single-valued iterated integral is single-valued in all variables. *A priori*, this property of ‘single-valued integration’ is as mysterious as properties (i) and (ii). Single-valued integration was originally introduced by Brown using generating functions [5]. In practice, it is more convenient to use a bootstrap algorithm first defined in [19]. A practical and fully general approach uses a commutative hexagon [26, 40].

Also note that property (iii) relates  $\mathcal{I}(0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_w, z)$  to the single-valued multiple polylogarithms in more than one variable constructed in [43, 44].

### 3.2. The claim

The single-valued map (25) of Riemann zeta values relates the four-point integrals of section 2.1 at the level of their  $\alpha'$ -expansions in (6) and (8),

$$J(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3) = sv Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3), \tag{34}$$

where  $sv$  is understood on the expansion in the parameters  $s_{ij}$ . By  $\zeta_{sv}(2k) = 0$ , the  $sv$  map rationalizes the trigonometric functions  $\sin(\pi s_{ij}) = \pi s_{ij} \exp(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(2k)}{2k} s_{ij}^{2k})$  in the monodromy relations (17),  $sv \sin(\pi s_{ij})/\pi = s_{ij}$ . Hence, the observation (34) extends to all four-point disk and sphere integrals of the general form (9) and (10).

The general conjecture of Stieberger and Taylor we want to prove in this work concerns the striking connection between  $n$ -point disk and sphere integrals in (9) and (10) via [17]

$$J(\tau|\rho) = sv Z(\tau|\rho) \quad \forall \tau, \rho \in S_n. \tag{35}$$

This relation identifies sphere integrals  $J$  as single-valued disk integrals  $sv Z$ , where the anti-meromorphic part  $(\bar{z}_{\tau(1), \tau(2)} \dots \bar{z}_{\tau(n), \tau(1)})^{-1}$  of the sphere integrand reflects the ordering of the integration cycle  $-\infty \leq z_{\tau(1)} \leq z_{\tau(2)} \leq \dots \leq z_{\tau(n)} \leq \infty$  on the disk boundary.

The conjecture (35) of [17] is based on equivalent conjectures on an  $(n-3)! \times (n-3)!$  basis of disk and sphere integrals that have been made in [4, 16]. The latter conjectures are based

on an experimental order-by-order inspection of the output of the KLT relations (19), e.g. up to transcendental weight 18 at five points or weight 9 at six points: the MZVs in the  $\alpha'$ -expansions of sphere integrals were observed to realize the representation (27) of the single-valued map in the  $f$ -alphabet when comparing with the dependence of disk integrals on  $s_{ij}$  [4, 16]. Assuming that (35) holds for said  $(n-3)! \times (n-3)!$  bases of disk and sphere integrals, integration-by-parts and monodromy relations (16) and (17) imply its general validity for arbitrary pairs of permutations  $\tau, \rho \in \mathcal{S}_n$  [17]. As emphasized in the reference, this argument relies on the action of the sv map on the trigonometric functions  $\text{sv} \sin(\pi s_{ij})/\pi = s_{ij}$  in the monodromy relations.

Reducing the sphere integral  $J(\tau|\rho)$  to a single-valued disk integral has both a conceptual and a practical advantage over the KLT formula: the low-energy expansion of (35) bypasses the spurious appearance of MZVs beyond  $\zeta_{\text{sv}}(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ , and the summation over  $(n-3)! \times (n-3)!$  terms<sup>8</sup> on the right-hand side of (19) is replaced by a single term  $\text{sv} Z(\tau|\rho)$ . The implications of (35) on the leading low-energy orders of disk and sphere integrals are spelled out in (12) and (13).

### 3.3. The proof

As the main result of this work, this section is dedicated to a proof of (35). We emphasize again that the proof is subject to the restrictions detailed at the end of the introduction.

For ease of notation, we assume the first slot of the integrals  $Z(\tau|\rho)$  and  $J(\tau|\rho)$  to comprise the identity permutation  $\tau = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . This assumption does not cause any loss of generality since all the other disk and sphere integrals with the same relative permutation  $\rho \circ \tau^{-1}$  can be inferred by relabellings of the subscripts  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  of  $s_{ij}$ . Moreover, it will be convenient to pick an  $\text{SL}_2$  frame where  $(z_1, z_{n-1}, z_n) \rightarrow (0, 1, \infty)$ , such that

$$Z(1, 2, \dots, n|\rho) = (-1)^{n-3} \int_{0 \leq z_2 \leq z_3 \leq \dots \leq z_{n-2} \leq 1} dz_2 dz_3 \dots dz_{n-2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j < n} |z_{i,j}|^{s_{ij}} f(\rho) \tag{36}$$

$$J(1, 2, \dots, n|\rho) = -\frac{1}{\pi^{n-3}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-3}} \frac{d^2 z_2 d^2 z_3 \dots d^2 z_{n-2}}{\bar{z}_{1,2} \bar{z}_{2,3} \dots \bar{z}_{n-3,n-2} \bar{z}_{n-2,n-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j < n} |z_{i,j}|^{2s_{ij}} f(\rho). \tag{37}$$

The form of the meromorphic integrand

$$f(\rho) := \lim_{z_n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z_n^2}{z_{\rho(1),\rho(2)} z_{\rho(2),\rho(3)} \dots z_{\rho(n-1),\rho(n)} z_{\rho(n),\rho(1)}}, \tag{38}$$

does not affect the subsequent arguments. The values  $\bar{z}_1 = 0$  and  $\bar{z}_{n-1} = 1$  are meant to be inserted in the denominator of (37) and subsequent expressions.

The integrals  $Z$  (open string) and  $J$  (closed string) are connected by a Betti–deRham duality [45, 46]: in (36) the chain of integration is bounded by the identities  $z_i = z_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ . Likewise, the integrand in (37) has the anti-meromorphic singular divisor  $\cup_{i=1}^{n-2} \{\bar{z}_i = \bar{z}_{i+1}\}$  which is the deRham version of the chain of integration in (36). Accordingly,  $J(\tau|\rho)$  becomes the deRham analogue of  $Z(\tau|\rho)$ . It is explained in [5] that single-valued MZVs are evaluations of deRham periods (after a projection from motivic periods into deRham periods which suppresses  $2\pi i$ , see also [20]). So, it is natural that  $J$  is the image of  $Z$

<sup>8</sup>The KLT formula (19) may also be rewritten more compactly with  $(n-3)! \left(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 2\right)! \left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1\right)!$  terms [33, 34].

under the single-valued map. These statements, however, do not have the status of a theorem so we need a proof of the result (35).

**Proof of (35).** We will iteratively integrate (36) and (37) over the variables  $z_2, z_3, \dots, z_{n-2}$ . Let  $Z_i(z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{n-2})$  and  $J_i(z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{n-2})$  denote the result after the  $(i-1)$ st integration, i.e.

$$Z_i(z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{n-2}) := (-1)^{n-3} \int_{0 \leq z_2 \leq z_3 \leq \dots \leq z_i \leq z_{i+1}} dz_2 dz_3 \dots dz_i \prod_{1 \leq a < b < n} |z_{a,b}|^{s_{ab}} f(\rho) \quad (39)$$

$$J_i(z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{n-2}) := -\frac{1}{\pi^{n-3}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{i-1}} \frac{d^2 z_2 d^2 z_3 \dots d^2 z_i}{\bar{z}_{1,2} \bar{z}_{2,3} \dots \bar{z}_{n-3,n-2} \bar{z}_{n-2,n-1}} \prod_{1 \leq a < b < n} |z_{a,b}|^{2s_{ab}} f(\rho). \quad (40)$$

The functions  $Z_1(z_2, \dots, z_{n-2})$  and  $J_1(z_2, \dots, z_{n-2})$  at  $i = 1$  are given by the integrands of (36) and (37), respectively.

We will show by induction that

$$J_i(z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{n-2}) = \frac{(-1)^{n-i+1} \text{sv} Z_i(z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{n-2})}{\pi^{n-2-i} \bar{z}_{1,i+1} \bar{z}_{i+1,i+2} \dots \bar{z}_{n-2,n-1}} \quad (41)$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ . Because  $Z(1, 2, \dots, n|\rho) = Z_{n-2}(\emptyset)$  and  $J(1, 2, \dots, n|\rho) = J_{n-2}(\emptyset)$ , this implies the theorem (35) (because  $\bar{z}_{1,n-1} = -1$ ).

Note that the absolute values in the numerators of (39) and (40) play completely different roles in both cases. In  $Z_1$  there exist no complex conjugate variables and  $|z_{a,b}|$  with  $a < b$  is  $-z_{a,b}$ . In fact, the only motivation for employing the absolute values for disk integrals stems from (9), where the integrand does not need any explicit reference to the permutation  $\tau$  of the integration cycle. We consider the numerator as a generating series of logarithms with the expansion parameters  $s_{ab}$ . In  $J_1$  the numerator is a generating series of logarithms in  $|z_{a,b}|^2 = z_{a,b} \bar{z}_{a,b}$ . Because

$$\text{sv} \log(x - y) = \log[(x - y)(\bar{x} - \bar{y})] \quad (42)$$

for any complex numbers or variables  $x, y$ , equation (41) holds for  $i = 1$ .

Now, assume (41) holds for  $i$ . In the calculation of  $Z_{i+1}$ , the integrand may have a singularity at  $z_{i+1} = z_{i+2}$  or at  $z_{i+1} = 0$ . In these cases, one has to subtract the asymptotic expansion at the singular locus which will be exemplified in appendix A.

Note that Brown and Dupont give a full mathematical proof in [1] that the subtraction of singularities is always possible.

The subtraction at  $z_{i+1} = z_{i+2}$  is of the form  $c|z_{i+1,i+2}|^{s-1}$  for some  $c = c(z_{i+2}, \dots, z_{n-2})$  which is constant in  $z_{i+1}$  but may depend on the integration variables  $z_{i+2}, \dots, z_{n-2}$  of later steps. The exponent in  $|z_{i+1,i+2}|^{s-1}$  refers to a sum  $s = \sum s_{ab}$  for some pairs  $a, b$  that are determined by previous integration steps. Assuming that<sup>9</sup>  $s > 0$ , the subtraction can trivially be integrated from  $0 = z_1$  to  $z_{i+2}$  yielding  $-\frac{c}{s} \cdot |z_{1,i+2}|^s$  (providing a pole in  $s = 0$ ). The analogous result holds for a singularity at  $z_{i+1} = 0$ .

The systematics of the kinematic poles of disk integrals generated in this way have been discussed in the literature from various perspectives [8, 9, 24]. Note that for the present proof, we only need the existence of such a subtraction scheme, i.e. the four- and five-point examples in appendix A are merely displayed for illustrative purposes. The closed-string analogues of the disk integrals with kinematic poles can be addressed with almost identical subtraction

<sup>9</sup>Negative values of  $s$  can be addressed via analytic continuation, based on the same form of the primitive that arises for  $s > 0$ .

schemes, where the primitives involve factors of  $|z_{i+1,i+2}|^{2s}$  rather than  $|z_{i+1,i+2}|^s$ . All the intermediate steps of the open-string and closed-string subtraction scheme are related through the sv map as one can see from the Taylor expansions of  $|z_{i+1,i+2}|^{2s}$  and  $|z_{i+1,i+2}|^s$ .

After the subtraction, the integrands of (39) and (40) have an integrable expansion at  $s_{ij} = 0$  and we can consider the integrand as a generating series in the  $s_{ij}$ . With this prescription we define the primitive  $F_i$  of  $Z_i$  with respect to  $z_{i+1}$  and obtain

$$Z_{i+1} = \int_0^{z_{i+2}} dz_{i+1} Z_i = F_i(z_{i+2}) - F_i(0). \tag{43}$$

In general, the right-hand side of (43) is a series of Laurent type whose coefficients are iterated integrals in the letters  $0, 1, z_k$  for  $k = i+2, \dots, n-2$ .

By the inductive assumption we have

$$J_{i+1} := \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z_{i+1} J_i = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z_{i+1} \frac{(-1)^{n-i+1} \text{sv} Z_i}{\pi^{n-2-i} \bar{z}_{1,i+1} \bar{z}_{i+1,i+2} \dots \bar{z}_{n-2,n-1}}. \tag{44}$$

We calculate the integral with the residue theorem of section 2.8 in [19]. To do so we need a single-valued primitive of the integrand with respect to the holomorphic variable  $z_{i+1}$ . By single-valued integration—see property (iii) in section 3.1—this primitive is

$$\mathcal{F}_i := \frac{(-1)^{n-i+1} \text{sv} F_i}{\pi^{n-2-i} \bar{z}_{1,i+1} \bar{z}_{i+1,i+2} \dots \bar{z}_{n-2,n-1}}. \tag{45}$$

Because the denominator of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is of degree two in  $\bar{z}_{i+1}$ , its anti-residue at infinity (the residue with respect to the anti-holomorphic variable  $\bar{z}_{i+1}$ ) vanishes. Moreover,  $\mathcal{F}_i$  has simple poles at  $\bar{z}_{i+1} = \bar{z}_1 = 0$  and at  $\bar{z}_{i+1} = \bar{z}_{i+2}$  whose anti-residues are obtained by substitution. From the residue theorem in [19] (using Stokes’ theorem)<sup>10</sup> we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{i+1} &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i+1}} \mathcal{F}_i \\ &= \frac{(-2\pi i)}{2i} \frac{(-1)^{n-i+1} [(\text{sv} F_i)(z_{i+2}) - (\text{sv} F_i)(0)]}{\pi^{n-2-i} \bar{z}_{1,i+2} \dots \bar{z}_{n-2,n-1}} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-i} \text{sv} Z_{i+1}}{\pi^{n-3-i} \bar{z}_{1,i+2} \dots \bar{z}_{n-2,n-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Because the evaluation of  $F_i$  commutes with the sv-map—see property (ii) in section 3.1—this reproduces the shifted form  $i \rightarrow i+1$  of the inductive assumption (41) and therefore completes the induction.  $\square$

The proof confirms the result of [2, 47] that the Laurent series of  $Z$  has MZV coefficients and provides a method to calculate them which closely follows the lines of [8, 9]. At the same time, it clarifies that the coefficients of  $J$  are single-valued MZVs which can be inferred from open-string results on  $Z$  without any reference to KLT relations (19).

<sup>10</sup> Schematically, after using Stokes’ theorem we use the residue theorem in the following way in passing to the second line of (46)

$$\oint_{\partial(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_a, z_c\})} d\bar{z}_b \frac{f(z_b)}{\bar{z}_{ab} \bar{z}_{bc}} = -\frac{2\pi i}{\bar{z}_{ac}} (f(z_c) - f(z_a)),$$

where the function  $f$  is regular at  $z_b = z_a, z_c$ . Note that the ‘boundary’ of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_a, z_c\}$  has negative orientation. A proof of this identity is in [19], see theorem 2.29.

## 4. Conclusions

In this work, we have proved that the moduli-space integrals in  $n$ -point tree-level amplitudes of open and closed strings are related by the sv map, confirming the conjectures of [4, 16, 17]. More precisely, sphere integrals are expressed as single-valued disk integrals, where the singular parts of the anti-meromorphic sphere integrand are traded for an integration cycle on the disk boundary related by Betti–deRham duality. Our proof puts an intriguing web of connections between low-energy interactions of gauge- and gravity states in different string theories [17, 21, 22] on firm ground. These results go beyond the reach of the KLT relations (19) as well as the known string dualities [48–50] and call for various directions of follow-up research.

In the same way as the notion of a single-valued map applies to a variety of periods [20], the sv relations between string tree-level amplitudes should have an echo at loop level. At genus one, this gives rise to expect a relation between elliptic multiple zeta values [51] in open-string  $\alpha'$ -expansions [52, 53] and modular graph functions in closed-string expansions [54–57]<sup>11</sup>.

Single-valued polylogarithms and MZVs were found to play a key role in one-loop amplitudes of closed superstrings [57, 60]. Moreover, first explicit connections between open- and closed-string results at genus one were established in [61], along with an empirically motivated conjecture for the form of an elliptic single-valued map. Since the proof of this work only relies on general properties of the genus-zero integrals—such as singularities of the integrands and the existence of suitable primitives—it is conceivable that similar methods can be applied to timely research problems at genus one and beyond.

At higher genus, the  $\alpha'$ -expansion of moduli-space integrals of closed strings was pioneered in [62, 63], and the last months witnessed tremendous progress in understanding their systematics and degenerations [64, 65]. However, a higher-genus framework of elliptic multiple zeta values is still lacking, so the knowledge of open-string low-energy expansions is very limited. We hope that the ideas of the proof in this work are helpful to identify a language for loop-level integrals in open- and closed-string amplitudes that is tailored to expose their relations.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Hausdorff Institute Bonn for providing a stimulating atmosphere, support, and hospitality through the Hausdorff Trimester Program ‘Periods in Number Theory, Algebraic Geometry and Physics’ and the workshop ‘Amplitudes and Periods’ where this work was initiated. Moreover, we would like to thank Francis Brown, Pierre Vanhove and Federico Zerbini for inspiring discussions. The research of O Schlotterer was supported in part by Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Science. O Schnetz is supported by DFG grant SCHN 1240/2.

<sup>11</sup> Modular graph functions are believed to fall into the more general framework of non-holomorphic modular forms described in [58, 59].



### Appendix. Pole subtractions

In this appendix, we illustrate the subtraction of singularities in the successive integration over disk punctures, see the discussion below (42). In the representation (36) of disk integrals, the rational function  $f(\rho)$  defined in (38) may contribute a pole in  $z_{i+1,i+2}$  or  $z_{i+1}$  to the integrand of  $\int_0^{z_{i+2}} dz_{i+1}$  in the induction step of the main proof. An explicit realization of subtraction schemes will now be spelled out for certain four- and five-point integrals which reflect the key features of the strategy at  $n$  points. Still, we reiterate that the proof in section 3.3 only requires the existence of a subtraction scheme, i.e. the details of the subsequent examples are just given to illustrate the general mechanism.

Similar subtractions were done in the more complicated framework of  $\phi^4$  quantum field theory in [26] to obtain the seven loop beta-function (see figure 7 and conjecture 4.12). In tree-level amplitudes of string theories, the singularities are logarithmic once the disk and sphere integrals are brought into the form of  $Z(\tau|\rho)$  and  $J(\tau|\rho)$  via integration by parts. Since there is no need for dimensional regularization in string tree-level amplitudes, the analogue of conjecture 4.12 in [26] becomes a lemma that follows from blowing up all singular loci in the integrand. See [66] for the application of the concept of blowing up singularities in the context of quantum field theory.

#### A.1. Four-point examples

In an  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  frame with  $(z_1, z_3, z_4) \rightarrow (0, 1, \infty)$ , we consider the following instances of the disk and sphere integrals (36) and (37) with a single kinematic pole,

$$Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3) = - \int_0^1 dz_2 \frac{z_2^{s_{12}}(1-z_2)^{s_{23}}}{z_2} = - \int_0^1 dz_2 \frac{z_2^{s_{12}}}{z_2} \left( \underbrace{(1-z_2)^{s_{23}} - 1}_{(i)} + \underbrace{1}_{(ii)} \right) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 4, 2, 3) = - \int_0^1 dz_2 \frac{z_2^{s_{12}}(1-z_2)^{s_{23}}}{1-z_2} = - \int_0^1 dz_2 \frac{(1-z_2)^{s_{23}}}{1-z_2} \left( \underbrace{z_2^{s_{12}} - 1}_{(iii)} + \underbrace{1}_{(iv)} \right) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$J(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{d^2 z_2 |z_2|^{2s_{12}} |1-z_2|^{2s_{23}}}{\pi z_2 \bar{z}_2 (\bar{z}_2 - 1)} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{d^2 z_2 |z_2|^{2s_{12}} \left( \underbrace{|1-z_2|^{2s_{23}} - 1}_{(v)} + \underbrace{1}_{(vi)} \right)}{\pi z_2 \bar{z}_2 (\bar{z}_2 - 1)} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$J(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 4, 2, 3) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{d^2 z_2 |z_2|^{2s_{12}} |1-z_2|^{2s_{23}}}{\pi (1-z_2) \bar{z}_2 (\bar{z}_2 - 1)} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{d^2 z_2 |1-z_2|^{2s_{23}} \left( \underbrace{|z_2|^{2s_{12}} - 1}_{(vii)} + \underbrace{1}_{(viii)} \right)}{\pi (1-z_2) \bar{z}_2 (\bar{z}_2 - 1)}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where the shorthands (i) to (viii) refer to the full-fledged integrals after isolating the highlighted terms in the sums (...) of the integrand, e.g.

$$(v) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{d^2 z_2 |z_2|^{2s_{12}} \left( |1-z_2|^{2s_{23}} - 1 \right)}{\pi z_2 \bar{z}_2 (\bar{z}_2 - 1)}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The subtractions on the right-hand side are tailored to isolate the field-theory limits

$$Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3) = -\frac{1}{s_{12}} + O(\alpha'), \quad J(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3) = -\frac{1}{s_{12}} + O(\alpha') \quad (\text{A.6})$$



$$Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 4, 2, 3) = -\frac{1}{s_{23}} + O(\alpha'), \quad J(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 4, 2, 3) = -\frac{1}{s_{23}} + O(\alpha'), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

which can be straightforwardly generated from the integrals

$$(ii) = -\int_0^1 dz_2 z_2^{s_{12}-1} = -\frac{z_2^{s_{12}}}{s_{12}} \Big|_{z_2=0}^{z_2=1} = -\frac{1}{s_{12}} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$(iv) = -\int_0^1 dz_2 (1-z_2)^{s_{23}-1} = \frac{(1-z_2)^{s_{23}}}{s_{23}} \Big|_{z_2=0}^{z_2=1} = -\frac{1}{s_{23}}$$

$$(vi) = \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}} \frac{d^2 z_2 |z_2|^{2s_{12}}}{\pi z_2 \bar{z}_2 (\bar{z}_2 - 1)} = \frac{1}{\pi s_{12}} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\}} d^2 z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \frac{|z_2|^{2s_{12}}}{\bar{z}_2 (\bar{z}_2 - 1)} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i s_{12}} \oint_{\partial(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1\})} \frac{d\bar{z}_2 |z_2|^{2s_{12}}}{\bar{z}_2 (\bar{z}_2 - 1)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i s_{12}} \left\{ -\frac{2\pi i |z_2|^{2s_{12}}}{\bar{z}_2 - 1} \Big|_{z_2=0} - \frac{2\pi i |z_2|^{2s_{12}}}{\bar{z}_2} \Big|_{z_2=1} \right\} = -\frac{1}{s_{12}}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

The evaluation of (viii) is completely analogous to (vi) and yields  $-1/s_{23}$ . Note that, following the proof in section 3.3, the meromorphic parts of the primitives in (A.8) and (A.10) are identical. So, the fact that (vi) = sv(ii) is clear from the general arguments given above and confirmed by the inspection of the final result  $-\frac{1}{s_{12}}$  in both cases, where the action of sv trivializes.

The integrands in the curly bracket of (i), (v) and (iii), (vii) are designed to be regular as  $z_2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $z_2 \rightarrow 1$ , respectively. This renders the integrated expressions non-singular w.r.t.  $s_{ij}$ , and the arguments in the proof in section 3.3 can be applied to the series in  $\log(z_{ij})$  and  $\log|z_{ij}|^2$  without the need for further subtractions: along with each monomial in  $s_{12}^m s_{23}^n$  with  $m, n \geq 0$ , the holomorphic primitives of  $(\log z_2)^m (\log(1-z_2))^n / z_2$  and  $(\log|z_2|^2)^m (\log|1-z_2|^2)^n / z_2$  are related by the sv map and ultimately evaluated at  $z_2 = 1$ . Hence, at the level of the resulting MZVs,

$$(v) = \text{sv}(i), \quad (vii) = \text{sv}(iii). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

### A.2. Five-point examples: non-overlapping singularities

Starting from five-point disk and sphere integrals, the residues of the kinematic poles are by themselves series in  $s_{ij}$  with MZV coefficients. As a first example, we consider the integral

$$Z(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 2, 5, 3, 4) = \int_0^1 dz_3 \int_0^{z_3} dz_2 \frac{z_2^{s_{12}} z_3^{s_{13}} z_{32}^{s_{23}} (1-z_2)^{s_{24}} (1-z_3)^{s_{34}}}{z_2 (z_3-1)}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{s_{12}s_{34}} + O(\alpha'^0) \quad (\text{A.12})$$

in an  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  frame with  $(z_1, z_4, z_5) \rightarrow (0, 1, \infty)$ , where the poles  $s_{12}^{-1}$  and  $s_{34}^{-1}$  stem from different endpoints  $z_2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $z_3 \rightarrow 1$  of the integration domain  $0 \leq z_2 \leq z_3 \leq 1$ . As an analogue of the subtraction scheme in (A.1)–(A.4), we rewrite the integrand of (A.12) as

$$Z(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 2, 5, 3, 4) = \int_0^1 dz_3 \frac{z_3^{s_{13}}(1-z_3)^{s_{34}}}{z_3-1} \int_0^{z_3} dz_2 \frac{z_2^{s_{12}}}{z_2} \left( \underbrace{z_3^{s_{23}}(1-z_2)^{s_{24}} - z_3^{s_{23}}}_{(\alpha)} + \underbrace{z_3^{s_{23}}}_{(\beta)} \right). \tag{A.13}$$

The contribution of  $(\beta)$  involves a straightforward integral over  $z_2$  similar to (A.8) along with an integral over  $z_3$  of four-point type, see (A.2),

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta) &= \int_0^1 dz_3 \frac{z_3^{s_{13}+s_{23}}(1-z_3)^{s_{34}}}{(z_3-1)} \frac{z_2^{s_{12}}}{s_{12}} \Big|_{z_2=0}^{z_2=z_3} \\ &= \frac{1}{s_{12}} \int_0^1 dz_3 \frac{z_3^{s_{12}+s_{13}+s_{23}}(1-z_3)^{s_{34}}}{z_3-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{s_{12}} \left( Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 4, 2, 3) \Big|_{s_{12} \rightarrow s_{12}+s_{13}+s_{23}}^{s_{23} \rightarrow s_{34}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

The leading order of  $Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 4, 2, 3)$  in (A.7) then yields the low-energy limit  $-(s_{12}s_{34})^{-1}$  of the integral  $Z(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 2, 5, 3, 4)$ , see (A.12).

The integrand of the contribution of  $(\alpha)$  in (A.13) is regular at  $z_2 = 0$ , so the integral over  $z_2$

$$H(z_3) := \int_0^{z_3} dz_2 \frac{z_2^{s_{12}}}{z_2} \left( z_3^{s_{23}}(1-z_2)^{s_{24}} - z_3^{s_{23}} \right) \tag{A.15}$$

does not involve any singularity in  $s_{ij}$ , and the  $\alpha'$ -expansion can be performed at the level of the  $\log(z_{ij})$  in the integrand. The limit  $z_3 \rightarrow 1$  of (A.15) is smooth and again reproduces an integral of four-point type, see (i) in (A.1)

$$H(1) = \int_0^1 dz_2 \frac{z_2^{s_{12}}}{z_2} \left( (1-z_2)^{s_{23}+s_{24}} - 1 \right). \tag{A.16}$$

The contribution of  $(\alpha)$  in (A.13) still yields a pole in  $s_{34}$  upon integration over  $z_3$ . This pole can be traced back to the factor of  $\frac{(1-z_3)^{s_{34}}}{(z_3-1)}$ , and we isolate it by the subtraction scheme

$$(\alpha) = \int_0^1 dz_3 \frac{(1-z_3)^{s_{34}}}{z_3-1} \left( \underbrace{z_3^{s_{13}}H(z_3) - H(1)}_{(\gamma)} + \underbrace{H(1)}_{(\delta)} \right). \tag{A.17}$$

The integral in (A.9) determines

$$(\delta) = -\frac{H(1)}{s_{34}}, \tag{A.18}$$

and the integrand for the contribution  $(\gamma)$  to (A.17) is regular at  $z_3 \rightarrow 1$  such that

$$(\gamma) = \int_0^1 dz_3 \frac{(1-z_3)^{s_{34}}}{z_3-1} \left( z_3^{s_{13}}H(z_3) - H(1) \right) \tag{A.19}$$

is regular in  $s_{34}$  and can be  $\alpha'$ -expanded at the level of the integrand.

In adapting the subtraction scheme to the corresponding sphere integral

$$J(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 2, 5, 3, 4) = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^2} \frac{d^2 z_2 d^2 z_3}{\bar{z}_{12} \bar{z}_{23} \bar{z}_{34}} \frac{|z_3|^{2s_{13}} |1-z_3|^{2s_{34}}}{(z_3-1)} \\ \times \frac{|z_2|^{2s_{12}}}{z_2} \left( \underbrace{|z_{23}|^{2s_{23}} |1-z_2|^{2s_{24}} - |z_3|^{2s_{23}}}_{(A)} + \underbrace{|z_3|^{2s_{23}}}_{(B)} \right), \quad (\text{A.20})$$

the primitives for all contributions  $(\alpha)$ ,  $(\beta)$ ,  $(\gamma)$ ,  $(\delta)$  have the same meromorphic parts as in the case of  $J(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 2, 5, 3, 4)$ . In analogy with (A.14), we have

$$(B) = -\frac{1}{2i\pi^2 s_{12}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z_3 \oint_{\partial(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, z_3\})} d\bar{z}_2 \frac{|z_2|^{2s_{12}} |z_3|^{2s_{13}+2s_{23}} |1-z_3|^{2s_{34}}}{\bar{z}_{12} \bar{z}_{23} \bar{z}_{34} (z_3-1)} \\ = \frac{1}{\pi s_{12}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z_3 \frac{|z_3|^{2(s_{12}+s_{13}+s_{23})} |1-z_3|^{2s_{34}}}{\bar{z}_{13} \bar{z}_{34} (z_3-1)} \\ = \frac{1}{s_{12}} \left( J(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 4, 2, 3) \Big|_{s_{12} \rightarrow s_{12}+s_{13}+s_{23}}^{s_{23} \rightarrow s_{34}} \right), \quad (\text{A.21})$$

which gives the desired expression  $\text{sv}(\beta)$ .

The  $z_2$  integral of (A),

$$I(z_3) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z_2 \frac{|z_2|^{2s_{12}}}{z_2 \bar{z}_{12} \bar{z}_{23}} \left( |z_{23}|^{2s_{23}} |1-z_2|^{2s_{24}} - |z_3|^{2s_{23}} \right) \quad (\text{A.22})$$

is regular and the general method in the proof of the main result applies. We obtain:

$$I(z_3) = -\frac{1}{\bar{z}_{13}} \text{sv} H(z_3). \quad (\text{A.23})$$

Upon insertion into (A.20), this implies

$$(A) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{d^2 z_3}{\bar{z}_{13} \bar{z}_{34}} \frac{|1-z_3|^{2s_{34}}}{(z_3-1)} \left( \underbrace{|z_3|^{2s_{13}} \text{sv} H(z_3) - \text{sv} H(1)}_{(C)} + \underbrace{\text{sv} H(1)}_{(D)} \right). \quad (\text{A.24})$$

In analogy to (A.10) the integral in the last term gives

$$(D) = -\frac{\text{sv} H(1)}{s_{34}}, \quad (\text{A.25})$$

which is identical to  $\text{sv}(\delta)$  by (A.18). The integral (C) in (A.24) is regular and can be expanded in  $\alpha'$  in the integrand. By the general method in the proof of the main result, we obtain  $(C) = \text{sv}(\gamma)$  and recover  $J(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 2, 5, 3, 4) = \text{sv} Z(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 2, 5, 3, 4)$  term by term in the subtraction scheme.

### A.3. Five-point examples: nested singularities

While the singularities of the five-point example in appendix A.2 stem from different regions  $z_2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $z_3 \rightarrow 1$ , the following disk integral acquires kinematic poles in  $s_{123} := s_{12} + s_{13} + s_{23}$  from the nested singularity<sup>12</sup> in the integration region where  $z_2, z_3 \rightarrow 0$ :

<sup>12</sup> In a five-point setup, one can still avoid the nested singularities by representing (A.26) in a different  $\text{SL}_2$  frame, but this is no longer true at six points. We choose the  $\text{SL}_2$  frame with  $(z_1, z_4, z_5) \rightarrow (0, 1, \infty)$  here to illustrate that the nesting of singularities does not obstruct the existence of a subtraction scheme.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z_{\text{nest}} &= -Z(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 2, 3, 5, 4) - Z(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 3, 2, 5, 4) \\
 &= \int_0^1 dz_3 \int_0^{z_3} dz_2 \frac{z_2^{s_{12}} z_3^{s_{13}} z_{32}^{s_{23}} (1-z_2)^{s_{24}} (1-z_3)^{s_{34}}}{z_2 z_3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{s_{12}s_{123}} + O(\alpha'^0). \tag{A.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

The first step of the subtraction scheme closely follows the lines of (A.13)

$$Z_{\text{nest}} = \int_0^1 dz_3 \frac{z_3^{s_{13}} (1-z_3)^{s_{34}}}{z_3} \int_0^{z_3} dz_2 \frac{z_2^{s_{12}}}{z_2} \left( \underbrace{z_{32}^{s_{23}} (1-z_2)^{s_{24}} - z_3^{s_{23}}}_{(p)} + \underbrace{z_3^{s_{23}}}_{(q)} \right), \tag{A.27}$$

and the evaluation of the second contribution (q) is almost identical to (β) in (A.14),

$$(q) = \int_0^1 dz_3 \frac{z_3^{s_{13}+s_{23}} (1-z_3)^{s_{34}}}{z_3} \frac{z_2^{s_{12}}}{s_{12}} \Big|_{z_2=0}^{z_2=z_3} = -\frac{1}{s_{12}} \left( Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3) \Big|_{s_{12} \rightarrow s_{123}}^{s_{23} \rightarrow s_{34}} \right). \tag{A.28}$$

The low-energy limit  $Z_{\text{nest}} = \frac{1}{s_{12}s_{123}} + O(\alpha'^0)$  in (A.26) then stems from the leading term of the four-point integral  $Z(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3)$  in (A.6) at shifted first argument  $s_{12} \rightarrow s_{123}$ .

In the subtraction scheme for

$$(p) = \int_0^1 dz_3 \frac{z_3^{s_{13}} (1-z_3)^{s_{34}} H(z_3)}{z_3}, \tag{A.29}$$

it would be tempting to closely follow the treatment of (α) in (A.17) and to subtract the  $z_3 \rightarrow 0$  limit of the quantity  $H(z_3)$  in (A.15). However, this limit does not admit a regular  $\alpha'$ -expansion and we shall instead write  $H(z_3) = z_3^{s_{12}+s_{23}} h(z_3)$  (which extracts the exact scaling behavior of  $H$  at  $z_3 = 0$ ). We set  $z_2 = xz_3$  in the integral representation (A.15) of  $H(z_3)$  and obtain<sup>13</sup>

$$h(z_3) = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} x^{s_{12}} ((1-x)^{s_{23}} (1-xz_3)^{s_{24}} - 1), \quad z_3 \leq 1. \tag{A.30}$$

Since (A.30) is regular as  $z_3 \rightarrow 0$ , the appropriate analogue of (A.17) is

$$(p) = \int_0^1 dz_3 \frac{z_3^{s_{123}}}{z_3} \left( \underbrace{(1-z_3)^{s_{34}} h(z_3) - h(0)}_{(r)} + \underbrace{h(0)}_{(i)} \right), \tag{A.31}$$

where the integrand in (r) is regular as  $z_3 \rightarrow 0$ . The integral can be performed order by order. Finally, the pole from the nested singularity

$$(i) = \frac{h(0)}{s_{123}} = \frac{1}{s_{123}} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} x^{s_{12}} ((1-x)^{s_{23}} - 1), \tag{A.32}$$

has a residue identical to (i) in (A.1).

<sup>13</sup> Note that the leading terms of the  $\alpha'$ -expansion of (A.30) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(z_3) &= s_{24}I(0, 10, z_3) - s_{23}\zeta(2) + s_{24}^2I(0, 110, z_3) + s_{23}^2\zeta(3) - s_{12}s_{24}I(0, 100, z_3) \\
 &\quad + s_{12}s_{23}\zeta(3) + s_{23}s_{24}[I(0, 110, z_3) - I(0, 100, z_3)] + O(\alpha'^3),
 \end{aligned}$$

see (21) for the definition of the iterated integrals  $I(0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_w, z)$ .

For the corresponding sphere integral

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{\text{nest}} &= -J(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 2, 3, 5, 4) - J(1, 2, 3, 4, 5|1, 3, 2, 5, 4) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^2} \frac{d^2 z_2 d^2 z_3}{\bar{z}_{12} \bar{z}_{23} \bar{z}_{34}} \frac{|z_2|^{2s_{12}} |z_3|^{2s_{13}} |1-z_3|^{2s_{34}}}{z_2 z_3} \left( \underbrace{|z_{23}|^{2s_{23}} |1-z_2|^{2s_{24}} - |z_3|^{2s_{23}}}_{(P)} + \underbrace{|z_3|^{2s_{23}}}_{(Q)} \right), \quad (\text{A.33})
 \end{aligned}$$

the first step of the subtraction scheme is again almost identical to (A.20), resulting in

$$(Q) = -\frac{1}{s_{12}} \left( J(1, 2, 3, 4|1, 2, 4, 3) \Big|_{s_{12} \rightarrow s_{123}}^{s_{23} \rightarrow s_{34}} \right), \quad (\text{A.34})$$

which matches  $\text{sv}(q)$  by (A.28).

The  $z_2$  integral of (P) is again given by (A.22), and we will use its representation in (A.23),

$$(P) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{d^2 z_3}{\bar{z}_{13} \bar{z}_{34}} \frac{|z_3|^{2s_{13}} |1-z_3|^{2s_{34}}}{z_3} \text{sv} H(z_3). \quad (\text{A.35})$$

Then, we use the single-valued analogue  $\text{sv} H(z_3) = |z_3|^{2s_{12}+2s_{23}} \text{sv} h(z_3)$  of the above rewriting  $H(z_3) = z_3^{s_{12}+s_{23}} h(z_3)$  with  $h(z_3)$  given by (A.30) and employ the following subtraction scheme:

$$(P) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{d^2 z_3}{\bar{z}_{13} \bar{z}_{34}} \frac{|z_3|^{2s_{123}}}{z_3} \left( \underbrace{|1-z_3|^{2s_{34}} \text{sv} h(z_3) - \text{sv} h(0)}_{(R)} + \underbrace{\text{sv} h(0)}_{(T)} \right). \quad (\text{A.36})$$

The integrand in (R) is regular as  $z_3 \rightarrow 0$  and we arrive at  $(R) = \text{sv}(r)$  upon order-by-order integration, see (A.31). The last term in (A.36) can be trivially integrated to give

$$(T) = \frac{\text{sv} h(0)}{s_{123}}, \quad (\text{A.37})$$

which agrees with  $\text{sv}(t)$  by (A.32). Hence, we have checked the relation  $J_{\text{nest}} = \text{sv} Z_{\text{nest}}$  at the level of all the terms in the subtraction scheme.

### ORCID iDs

Oliver Schlotterer  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1048-661X>

### References

- [1] Brown F and Dupont C 2018 Superstring amplitudes in genus 0 and 1 (*Talk given by F Brown in 'String Math' (Sendai, June 2018)*)  
Brown F and Dupont C 2018 Single-valued integration and superstring amplitudes in genus zero (arXiv:1810.07682 [math.NT])
- [2] Brown F 2009 Multiple zeta values and periods of moduli spaces  $M_{0,n}(\mathbb{R})$  *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **42** 371
- [3] Kawai H, Lewellen D C and Tye S H H 1986 A relation between tree amplitudes of closed and open strings *Nucl. Phys. B* **269** 1
- [4] Schlotterer O and Stieberger S 2013 Motivic multiple zeta values and superstring amplitudes *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** 475401
- [5] Brown F 2014 Single-valued periods and multiple zeta values *Forum Math. Sigma* **2** e25
- [6] Drummond J M and Ragoaucy E 2013 Superstring amplitudes and the associator *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP08(2013)135**

- [7] Broedel J, Schlotterer O, Stieberger S and Terasoma T 2014 All order  $\alpha'$ -expansion of superstring trees from the Drinfeld associator *Phys. Rev. D* **89** 066014
- [8] Mafra C R and Schlotterer O 2017 Non-abelian Z-theory: Berends–Giele recursion for the  $\alpha'$ -expansion of disk integrals *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP01(2017)031**
- [9] Broedel J, Schlotterer O and Stieberger S 2013 Polylogarithms, multiple zeta values and superstring amplitudes *Fortschr. Phys.* **61** 812
- [10] Broedel J, Schlotterer O and Stieberger S 2013 (<http://wwwth.mpp.mpg.de/members/stieberg/mzv/index.html>)
- [11] Oprisa D and Stieberger S 2005 Six gluon open superstring disk amplitude, multiple hypergeometric series and Euler–Zagier sums hep-th/0509042
- [12] Stieberger S and Taylor T R 2006 Multi-Gluon scattering in open superstring theory *Phys. Rev. D* **74** 126007
- [13] Boels R H 2013 On the field theory expansion of superstring five point amplitudes *Nucl. Phys. B* **876** 215
- [14] Puhlfürst G and Stieberger S 2016 Differential equations, associators, and recurrences for amplitudes *Nucl. Phys. B* **902** 186
- [15] Stieberger S 2011 Constraints on tree-level higher order gravitational couplings in superstring theory *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** 111601
- [16] Stieberger S 2014 Closed superstring amplitudes, single-valued multiple zeta values and the Deligne associator *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.* **47** 155401
- [17] Stieberger S and Taylor T R 2014 Closed string amplitudes as single-valued open string amplitudes *Nucl. Phys. B* **881** 269
- [18] Brown F 2004 Single-valued multiple polylogarithms in one variable *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris I* **338** 527–32
- [19] Schnetz O 2014 Graphical functions and single-valued multiple polylogarithms *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **8** 589
- [20] Brown F 2017 Notes on motivic periods *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **11** 557
- [21] Schlotterer O 2016 Amplitude relations in heterotic string theory and Einstein–Yang–Mills *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP11(2016)074**
- [22] Azevedo T, Chiodaroli M, Johansson H and Schlotterer O 2018 Heterotic and bosonic string amplitudes via field theory *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP1810(2018)012**
- [23] Fan W, Fotopoulos A, Stieberger S and Taylor T R 2018 SV-map between type I and heterotic sigma models *Nucl. Phys. B* **930** 195
- [24] Mafra C R, Schlotterer O and Stieberger S 2013 Complete N-point superstring disk amplitude II. Amplitude and hypergeometric function structure *Nucl. Phys. B* **873** 461
- [25] Brown F and Kreimer D 2013 Angles, scales and parametric renormalization *Lett. Math. Phys.* **103** 933
- [26] Schnetz O 2018 Numbers and functions in quantum field theory *Phys. Rev. D* **97** 085018
- [27] Mafra C R, Schlotterer O and Stieberger S 2013 Complete N-Point superstring disk amplitude I. Pure spinor computation *Nucl. Phys. B* **873** 419
- [28] Huang Y T, Schlotterer O and Wen C 2016 Universality in string interactions *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP09(2016)155**
- [29] Bjerrum-Bohr N E J, Damgaard P H and Vanhove P 2009 Minimal basis for gauge theory amplitudes *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** 161602
- [30] Stieberger S 2009 Open & closed versus pure open string disk amplitudes (arXiv:0907.2211 [hep-th])
- [31] Mizera S 2017 Combinatorics and topology of Kawai–Lewellen–Tye relations *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP08(2017)097**
- [32] Mizera S 2018 Scattering amplitudes from intersection theory *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** 141602
- [33] Bern Z, Dixon L J, Perelstein M and Rozowsky J S 1999 Multileg one loop gravity amplitudes from gauge theory *Nucl. Phys. B* **546** 423
- [34] Bjerrum-Bohr N E J, Damgaard P H, Sondergaard T and Vanhove P 2011 The momentum kernel of gauge and gravity theories *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP01(2011)001**
- [35] Carrasco J J M, Mafra C R and Schlotterer O 2017 Abelian Z-theory: NLSM amplitudes and  $\alpha'$ -corrections from the open string *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP06(2017)093**
- [36] Cho K and Matsumoto K 1995 Intersection theory for twisted cohomologies and twisted Riemann’s period relations I *Nagoya Math. J.* **139** 67–86

- [37] Chen K 1971 Algebras of iterated path integrals and fundamental groups *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **156** 359–79
- [38] Schnetz O 2018 `HyperlogProcedures` Maple procedures (available on the homepage of the author)
- [39] Brown F 2012 On the decomposition of motivic multiple zeta values *Galois–Teichmüller Theory and Arithmetic Geometry* (Tokyo: Mathematical Society of Japan) pp 31–58
- [40] Schnetz O Generalized single-valued hyperlogarithms (in preparation)
- [41] Schnetz O 2018 Algebraic integration *Talks in Les Houches and Erlangen (June 14 and June 22)*
- [42] Ihara Y 1989 The Galois representation arising from  $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$  and Tate twists of even degree *Galois groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$*  *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.* **16** 299–313
- [43] Broedel J, Sprenger M and Torres Orjuela A 2017 Towards single-valued polylogarithms in two variables for the seven-point remainder function in multi-Regge-kinematics *Nucl. Phys. B* **915** 394
- [44] Del Duca V, Druc S, Drummond J, Duhr C, Dulat F, Marzucca R, Papathanasiou G and Verbeek B 2016 Multi-Regge kinematics and the moduli space of Riemann spheres with marked points *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP08(2016)152**
- [45] Beilinson A A, Varchenko A N, Goncharov A B and Shekhtman V V 1991 Projective geometry and  $K$ -theory *Leningr. Math. J.* **2** 523–75
- [46] Schnetz O 2018 Graphical hyperlogarithms, talk within the trimester *Periods in Number Theory, Algebraic Geometry and Physics* (Bonn: HIM)
- [47] Terasoma T 2002 Selberg integrals and multiple zeta values *Compos. Math.* **133** 1
- [48] Hull C M and Townsend P K 1995 Unity of superstring dualities *Nucl. Phys. B* **438** 109
- [49] Polchinski J and Witten E 1996 Evidence for heterotic—type I string duality *Nucl. Phys. B* **460** 525
- [50] Witten E 1995 String theory dynamics in various dimensions *Nucl. Phys. B* **443** 85
- [51] Enriquez B 2016 Analogues elliptiques des nombres multizetas *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **144** 395
- [52] Broedel J, Mafra C R, Matthes N and Schlotterer O 2015 Elliptic multiple zeta values and one-loop superstring amplitudes *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP07(2015)112**
- [53] Broedel J, Matthes N, Richter G and Schlotterer O 2018 Twisted elliptic multiple zeta values and non-planar one-loop open-string amplitudes *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.* **51** 285401
- [54] Green M B and Vanhove P 2000 The low-energy expansion of the one loop type II superstring amplitude *Phys. Rev. D* **61** 104011
- [55] Green M B, Russo J G and Vanhove P 2008 Low energy expansion of the four-particle genus-one amplitude in type II superstring theory *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP02(2008)020**
- [56] D’Hoker E, Green M B and Vanhove P 2015 On the modular structure of the genus-one Type II superstring low energy expansion *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP08(2015)041**
- [57] D’Hoker E, Green M B, Gürdogan Ö and Vanhove P 2017 Modular graph functions *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **11** 165
- [58] Brown F 2018 A class of non-holomorphic modular forms I *Res. Math. Sci.* **5** 7
- [59] Brown F 2017 A class of non-holomorphic modular forms II: equivariant iterated Eisenstein integrals (arxiv:1708.03354 [math.NT])
- [60] Zerbini F 2016 Single-valued multiple zeta values in genus 1 superstring amplitudes *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **10** 703
- [61] Broedel J, Schlotterer O and Zerbini F 2018 From elliptic multiple zeta values to modular graph functions: open and closed strings at one loop *J. High Energy Phys.* accepted
- [62] D’Hoker E and Green M B 2014 Zhang–Kawazumi invariants and superstring amplitudes *J. Number Theory* **144** 111
- [63] D’Hoker E, Green M B, Pioline B and Russo R 2015 Matching the  $D^6R^4$  interaction at two-loops *J. High Energy Phys.* **JHEP01(2015)031**
- [64] D’Hoker E, Green M B and Pioline B 2018 Higher genus modular graph functions, string invariants, and their exact asymptotics *Commun. Math. Phys.* **1–53**
- [65] D’Hoker E, Green M B and Pioline B 2018 Asymptotics of the  $D^8R^4$  genus-two string invariant (arXiv:1806.02691 [hep-th])
- [66] Bloch S, Esnault H and Kreimer D 2006 On motives associated to graph polynomials *Commun. Math. Phys.* **267** 181–225