ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Effect of inbreeding on intellectual disability revisited by trio sequencing

Kimia Kahrizi¹ | Hao Hu^{2*} | Masoumeh Hosseini¹ | Vera M. Kalscheuer² | Zohreh Fattahi¹ | Maryam Beheshtian¹ | Vanessa Suckow² | Marzieh Mohseni¹ | Bettina Lipkowitz² | Sepideh Mehvari¹ | Zohreh Mehrjoo¹ | Tara Akhtarkhavari¹ | Zhila Ghaderi¹ | Maryam Rahimi¹ | Sanaz Arzhangi¹ | Payman Jamali³ | Milad Falahat Chian¹ | Pooneh Nikuei⁴ | Farahnaz Sabbagh Kermani⁵ | Farnaz Sadeghinia¹ | Roshanak Jazayeri⁶ | S. Hassan Tonekaboni⁷ | Atefeh Khoshaeen⁸ | Haleh Habibi⁹ | Fatemeh Pourfatemi¹⁰ | Faezeh Mojahedi¹¹ | Mohammad-Reza Khodaie-Ardakani¹² | Reza Najafipour¹³ | Thomas F. Wienker² | Hossein Najmabadi^{1,14} | Hans-Hilger Ropers^{2,15} |

Correspondence

Hans-Hilger Ropers, Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, Ihnestr. 63-73, D-14195 Berlin, Germany.

Email: ropers@molgen.mpg.de

*Present address

Hao Hu, Guangzhou Institute of Pediatrics, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, 9 Jinsui Road, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510623, P.R.China.

Funding information

Iran National Science Foundation, Grant/ Award Numbers: grant no. 92038458, 950022, In outbred Western populations, most individuals with intellectual disability (ID) are sporadic cases, dominant *de novo* mutations (DNM) are frequent, and autosomal recessive ID (ARID) is very rare. Because of the high rate of parental consanguinity, which raises the risk for ARID and other recessive disorders, the prevalence of ID is significantly higher in near- and middle-east countries. Indeed, homozygosity mapping and sequencing in consanguineous families have already identified a plethora of ARID genes, but because of the design of these studies, DNMs could not be systematically assessed, and the proportion of cases that are potentially preventable by avoiding consanguineous marriages or through carrier testing is hitherto unknown. This prompted us to perform whole-exome sequencing in 100 sporadic ID patients from Iran and their healthy consanguineous parents. In 61 patients, we identified apparently causative changes

Kimia Kahrizi and Hao Hu, as well as Hossein Najmabadi and Hans-Hilger Ropers, contributed equally to this study.

¹Genetics Research Center, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, Berlin, Germany

³Shahrood Genetic Counseling Center, Welfare Office, Semnan, Iran

⁴Molecular Medicine Research Center, Hormozgan Health Institute, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran

⁵Clinical Research Unit, Afzalipour Hospital, University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

⁶Department of Biochemistry, Genetic and Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran

⁷Pediatric Neurology Research Center, Mofid Children's Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁸Genetic Consultant, Sari, Iran

⁹Hamedan University of Medical Science, Hamedan, Iran

 $^{^{\}rm 10}{\rm Sari}$ Genetic Counseling Center, Welfare Office, Sari, Iran

¹¹Mashhad Medical Genetic Counseling Center, Mashhad, Iran

 $^{^{12}}$ Razi Hospital, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran

¹³Cellular and Molecular Research Centre, Genetic Department, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran

¹⁴Kariminejad - Najmabadi Pathology and Genetics Center, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran

¹⁵Institute for Human Genetics, University Medicine Mainz, Germany

92035782; The National Institute for Medical Research Development, Grant/Award Number: 957060; the European Union through FP7 project GENCODYS, Grant/Award Number: 241995; Max Planck Innovation Funds

Peer Review

The peer review history for this article is available at https://publons.com/publon/10. 1111/cge.13463/

in known ID genes. Of these, 44 were homozygous recessive and 17 dominant DNMs. Assuming that the DNM rate is stable, these results suggest that parental consanguinity raises the ID risk about 3.6-fold, and about 4.1 to 4.25-fold for children of first-cousin unions. These results do not rhyme with recent opinions that consanguinity-related health risks are generally small and have been "overstated" in the past.

KEYWORDS

impact of inherited and de novo mutations, intellectual disability risks, parental consanguinity, parent-patient trios, whole-exome sequencing

1 | INTRODUCTION

Parental consanguinity (PC) is known to raise the risk of having children with recessive disorders, and it is associated with increased prenatal and pre-reproductive mortality. In the offspring of first-cousin matings, the prevalence of major congenital malformations (CM) is 2% to 2.5% higher than in children of unrelated parents; in Western countries, this more than doubles the CM risk (1-5 and refs therein). Moreover, 3- to 5-fold elevated intellectual disability (ID) risks have been reported for children whose parents are first cousins, 6-10 but these estimates are based on few and mostly small studies with different designs, rendering direct comparisons difficult.

PC and autosomal recessive forms of ID (ARID) are rare in most parts of Europe and the United States, where the vast majority of ID patients are sporadic cases and dominant *de novo* mutations (DNMs) are common. ^{11–13} In contrast, PC is common in many developing countries, from Morocco through the near- and middle-east to parts of India (see Ref. 14), with current consanguinity rates being highest in Pakistan and Sudan. Recessive disorders are also a major health care problem in many other countries of the so-called "consanguinity belt," including Iran and, for example, Qatar, where a 30-year health plan aims to reduce the frequency of consanguineous matings. ¹⁵

As shown a decade ago,¹⁶ ARID is extremely heterogeneous, and the number of causative genes is likely to run into thousands.¹⁷ This has been confirmed by large-scale, high-throughput sequencing in consanguineous families with two or more intellectually disabled children (see Ref. 18 and refs therein): between the first and the most recent study of this kind,^{18,19} the percentage of multiplex families with mutations in known ARID genes has only risen from one-third to about one half¹⁸ while the number of known ARID genes has increased from about a dozen to about 800 today.^{18,20,21}

In a recent study of the British Deciphering Developmental Defects (DDD) project,²² whole-exome sequencing (WES) identified bi-allelic (recessive) mutations in 3.6% of the patients with developmental disorders (DD) and European ancestry, compared to 49.9% DNMS in known DD genes. To date, no comparable molecular data have been published for countries where PC is common, because most sequencing studies performed in Iran, Turkey, Saudi Arabia or Pakistan focused on recessive gene defects and were not designed to capture DNM (eg, ^{19–21}), did not quantify consanguinity or autozygosity, or were simply too small²³.

Therefore, and in view of persisting disputes about the size of health care risks conferred by PC and the appropriateness and efficiency of efforts to discourage consanguineous matings (eg, see Refs. 24 and 25), we present here the results of molecular investigations designed to infer the proportion of DNM and recessive inherited mutations causing ID in the offspring of healthy consanguineous parents. These data provide a backbone for estimating preventable ID risks, in countries where PC is common and world-wide.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Patients

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation, Tehran, Iran. Consent for participation of their child in this study was obtained from at least one of the parents and if possible, from the patients themselves.

Families with a single intellectually disabled child and healthy consanguineous parents were recruited from different provinces of Iran, who had been pre-screened to exclude chromosomal rearrangements as well as fragile X syndrome. In total, 100 unrelated ID patients and their parents were included in this study. All underwent a comprehensive clinical examination by experienced clinical geneticists. To determine the intelligence quotient (IQ) of children and their parents, we used Wechsler Intelligence Scales for Children (WISC) and adults (WAIS). Clinical findings in affected children are provided in Table 1 and Table S1.

2.2 | Whole-exome sequencing and arraycomparative genomic hybridization

We extracted genomic DNA from white blood cells of patients and parents, enriched exomic sequences with the Agilent SureSelectXT Human All Exon V5 enrichment kit, performed 100 bp paired-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq sequencer and employed the MERAP pipeline for sequence analysis, as previously described. ^{18,26}

Previous studies^{16,19} had shown that in consanguineous ARID families, microdeletions or duplications are very rare, and that the analysis of WES data with our previously described MERAP pipeline²⁶ identifies most clinically relevant homozygous copy number variants (CNVs). Therefore, array-based comparative genomic hybridization

(array CGH) was only performed in individuals where WES had failed to identify possible disease-causing variants. For these studies, we employed the CYTOCHIP ISCA 8X60K whole-genome oligo array version 2. This array includes 60 000 spots with 51 Kb mean backbone resolution and close to 500 targeted disease regions. The samples were hybridized twice against reference samples, and the BlueFuse Multi software was used to analyze the data.

2.3 | Criteria for selecting ID-causing mutations

Loss-of-function mutations in known ID genes were accepted as disease-causing if the phenotype of the affected individual matched previous descriptions; missense mutations were considered as disease-causing if their ACMG score, determined by InterVar/wInter-Var analysis²⁷ was pathogenic or probably pathogenic, using annotations generated by ANNOVAR²⁸: if three out of four pathogenicity scores (SIFT, PolyPhen2, MutationTaster and CADD) as well as Logit scores provided by MERAP were high, and/or if the phenotype matched and was specific enough to establish the molecular diagnosis. For all variants selected in families with healthy children, we also performed cosegregation studies. Possible ARID mutations were only considered if embedded in a sufficiently large run of homozygous markers, essentially using the ROH definitions in²⁹ (for details, see Supporting Information). Previous analyses had revealed that in general, the density of informative variants retrieved by WES is high enough to reliably identify ROHs in the offspring of consanguineous parents (eg, see¹⁸). A comprehensive overview of the criteria for selecting mutations causing ID is provided in Table S1.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Mutations involving known ID genes

All mutations in previously described ID genes were only seen in a single patient; for two genes, *SUCLA2* and *ATP8A2*, allelic mutations were observed in two separate ID families. In another family (M8800167), the complex ID phenotype may result from loss of function (LOF) mutations in two genes, a homozygous frameshift mutation inactivating *HADH*, a known ID gene, as well as a heterozygous *de novo*, stop-gain mutation in *DRD2*, which may explain the psychotic signs observed in this patient. *De novo DRD2* mutations have been reported in patients with behavioral abnormalities, but not with ID (for refs see Table S1).

Of note, we found a potentially actionable mutation inactivating *TRPM6* in a 9-year-old girl with seizures, muscle spasms and autistic behavior (family M9300155). *TRPM6* plays an essential role in epithelial magnesium transport and absorption, and pathogenic *TRPM6* mutations may cause infantile epileptic encephalopathy. Magnesium supplementation has been reported to restore normal brain function if started early in life.³⁰

For many of the genes listed in Table S1 (see families M9300163, M9300035, M9300014, M8800189 and M8900019), mutations in patients with ID have only been reported very recently, including $VPS13C^{31}$; BOD1, 32 TTI1, 33 $NEURL4^{18}$; ATP13A1, 34 a paralog of

ATP13A2, which is associated with autosomal recessive early-onset parkinsonism, ceroid lipofuscinosis and ID,³⁵ as well as MED13.³⁶ Thus, our study confirms their identity as ID genes. Table S1 also lists three apparently pathogenic CNVs that were detected by array-CGH after WES had failed to identify disease-causing variants in these patients. Details about these CNVs and the relevant genes are provided in the Supporting Information.

Taken together, our analyses suggest that we have identified the molecular cause of ID in 61 (61%) of these trios (see Tables 1 and S1). In 26 trios, we observed rare disruptive or damaging variants involving brain-expressed genes that had not been associated with ID before, including four genes that have been implicated in other disorders. Table 1 summarizes our findings in families with ADID, ARID and XLID. Compound heterozygous mutations were not observed (for further details, see Table S1).

3.2 | Novel candidate genes for ID

Several of the selected variants in the remaining families were not listed in the ExAC and gnomAD databases, and for most others, allele frequencies were very low. As recently reported,³⁷ the frequency of ultra-rare disruptive or damaging mutations in brain-expressed genes correlates with a decrease in years of education, a proxy for low IQ. In most of the unsolved trios, stringent filtering enabled us to reduce the number of possibly pathogenic variants in plausible candidate genes to a single one, but occasionally, two or even three possibly IDcausing variants in novel candidate genes were retained. Thus, numerous but not all of the candidate genes listed in Table S1 may be novel ID genes. Supportive evidence for several particularly promising ID candidate genes is provided in the Supporting Information, and for a number of others, allelic mutations in unrelated ID patients were identified through Gene Matcher-mediated data exchange with other groups.³⁸ Detailed genotypes and phenotypes of these matching cases will be published separately. When estimating the frequency of dominant DNMs and recessive inherited mutations in our cohort, variants in novel candidate genes were not included to avoid unnecessary biases.

3.3 | Inferring ID risks from ARID and ADID frequencies in consanguineous families

For this analysis, we have compared the frequency of ARID and ADID among the "solved" cases with mutations in established ID genes.

Affected individuals from 44 trio families carried inherited ID-causing mutations, including 41 homozygous mutations in known ARID genes, two hemizygous mutations in known XLID genes, and a homozygous CNV truncating a gene implicated in a syndromic form of ID. Pathogenic DNM were identified in 17 individuals with ID, 14 in known ADID genes and one in a known XLID gene, and 2 of the *de novo* mutations were CNVs. Thus, 44 out of 61 disease-causing mutations in "solved" trios (71.13%) turned out to be inherited, while only 17 (27.86%) were DNM.

In keeping with other studies of consanguineous families, most but not all couples of our cohort are first cousins. While the coefficient of consanguinity for offspring of first-cousin matings is

TABLE 1 Clinical features and mutation spectrum observed in 100 individuals with sporadic ID and consanguineous parents

4 WILEY GENETICS

																					1)		r16)											
	724Ter)	NP_075044.2:p.(Leu61SerfsTer23)	1379Arg)	lle)	Gln44del)	649Pro)	Ter)		His)	Ter)	Arg)	1234Ter)	er)	1154Gln)	GlufsTer6)	119His)	(Als	GIn)	147Arg)	NP_005641.1:p.(Gln1106ArgfsTer3)	NP_009049.2:p.(Val1698LeufsTer61)	466Ala)	XP_005273822.1:p.(Thr758SerfsTer16)	Ter)		Ser)	565Trp)	~	282Arg)	er)	128Met)	er)	340Pro)	Arg)
Change_Protein [HGVS]	NP_001136157.1:p.(Arg724Ter)	:p.(Leu61S	NP_001284579.1:p.(Gly1379Arg)	NP_803187.1:p.(Thr647lle)	NP_005213.3:p.(Gln42_Gln44del)	NP_001265393.1:p.(Leu649Pro)	NP_000786.1:p.(Arg275Ter)		NP_000825.2:p.(Arg682His)	NP_870989.1:p.(Arg861Ter)	NP_000612.1:p.(Leu136Arg)	NP_001092882.1:p.(Glu1234Ter)	NP_004514.2:p.(Arg47Ter)	NP_001184033.1:p.(Arg1154Gln)	NP_005112.2:p.(Ala443GlufsTer6)	XP_005251524.1:p.(Asp119His)	NP_006179.2:p.(Asp60Gly)	NP_004755.2:p.(Arg589Gln)	XP_005260986.1:p.(Gly147Arg)	:p.(Gln110	:p.(Val169	NP_001271143.1:p.(Pro466Ala)	22.1:p.(Thr	NP_055610.1:p.(Gln368Ter)		NP_055080.2:p.(Gly480Ser)	NP_001139243.1:p.(Arg565Trp)	NP_001613.2:p.(Lys2Ter)	NP_001135888.1:p.(Gly282Arg)	NP_733936.1:p.(Tyr47Ter)	XP_005263302.1:p.(Leu128Met)	NP_065434.1:p.(Arg22Ter)	XP_005250746.1:p.(His340Pro)	NP_004306.3:p.(Trp185Arg)
ange_Prote	_0011361	_075044.2	0012845	_803187.1	_005213.3	_0012653	_000786.1		_000825.2	_870989.1	_000612.1	_0010928	_004514.2	_0011840;	_005112.2	00525152	_006179.2	_004755.2	00526098	_005641.1	_009049.2	_0012711	00527382	_055610.1		_055080.2	_0011392	_001613.2	_0011358	_733936.1	_00526330	_065434.1	0052507	_004306.3
Š	AN.	AN	AN.	AN.	AN.	A.	AN.	I	dN.	A.	AN.	A.	AN.	A.	AN.	X,	AN.	A.	, AX	A.	AN	dN.	AX.	A.	I	A.	AN.	A.	N.		AX.	A.	AX.	a Z
nence		Ŧ.						ariant							+					+	+		+						, ⁄ariant	stop_gained, frameshift_variant				, ⁄ariant
Mutation_Status Mutation consequence	ained	frameshift_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	inframe_deletion	missense_variant	ained	splice_acceptor_variant	missense_variant	ained	missense_variant	ained	ained	missense_variant	frameshift_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	frameshift_variant	frameshift_variant	missense_variant	frameshift_variant	ained		missense_variant	missense_variant	ained	missense_variant, splice_region_variant	ained, fran	missense_variant	ained	missense_variant	missense_variant, splice_region_variant
s Mutati	stop_gained	frames	missen	missen	infram	missen	stop_gained	splice_	missen	stop_gained	missen	stop_gained	stop_gained	missen	frames	missen	missen	missen	missen	frames	frames	missen	frames	stop_gained	CN	missen	missen	stop_gained	missen splic	stop_g	missen	stop_gained	missen	missen splic
ion_Statu																																		
	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	DNM	ĭ	ĭ	ĭ	Ĭ Z	ĭ	Ĭ	ĭ	ĭ	Ĭ Z
ID_Gene_ Status	Known	Known	Unclear		Novel	Known		Known	Known	Known	Novel	Known	Known	Known	Known	Novel	Novel	Novel	Novel	Known	Known	Known	Novel	Known	Known	Novel	Known	Known	Known	Known	Novel	1	Known	Known
Gene_ Symbol [HGNC]	\P32	∢	L1	_		_1		1	m					_	~											28	51			A1		2		
Gene_ Symbol	ARHGAP32	BCL11A	CD163L1	DICER1	DLX6	DNM1L	DRD2	FBXO11	GRIN2B	GRM7	HTR2A	KAT6A	KIF11	KMT2A	MED13	NFIB	OCM2	PIWIL1	RCAN1	TCF20	TRIO	TRIP12	XRRA1	ZEB2		ADAM28	ADGRG1	AHSG	AIMP1	ALDH5A1	ALPK1	AMHR2	AP4M1	ASAH1
Additional features																																		
	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
Autistic y features	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	>	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Epilepsy	z	>	z	z	>	z	>-	z	>-	z	z	z	z	>	z	>	>-	z	z	z	z	z	z	>	z	>	>	z	z	>	>	>	z	z
Microcephaly (<= - 2.0 SD)		SD	SD	SD	SD			SD				SD	SD		SD		SD		SD		SD		SD	SD	3SD	SD		SD			SD	SD	SD	SD
Micre (<= -	MA	-2.0	O -3.0 SD	-6.5	-8.4	z	z	-2.0	z	Σ	z	-3.5 SD	-5.0 SD	z	O -4.0 SD	z	-3.5	z	-5.0	z	-2.9	z	-2.5 SD	-3.0 SD	O Y;-3,3SD	0-6.0 SD	z	-3.5 SD	z	z	−9.0 SD	O -5.6 SD	-4.0 SD	. −2.8 SD
ID or DD	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Mild ID	DD	Severe ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Mild ID	Moderate ID	Severe ID	Mild ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Mild ID	Moderate ID	Mild ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Mild ID	Mild ID	Severe ID	DD	Moderate ID	Profound ID	Moderate ID	Severe ID	Severe ID	Moderate ID	DD	Moderate ID	Severe ID	Profound ID
Family_Number	1.56)56				191)55	104						091			36	060)31)16	010)18							
Family_l	M9300156	M9300052	M9300056	M8900244	M9300115	M9300158	M8800167	M8800055	M9300104	M9300159	M9300105	M9300085	M9300008	M9300026	M9300160	M9300057	M9300006	M9300036	M9300090	M9300031	M011	M9300038	M9300064	M9300080	M9300016	M9300010	M9300018	M9300051	M9300065	M9000002	M9300122	M340	M8800054	M8900020

TABLE 1 (Continued)

WILEY	CLIN GENE
* * 1 1	GENE

																																, E I V			
Change_Protein [HGVS]	NP_899199.2:p.(Ile253Ser)	NP_060606.3:p.(Lys63311efsTer8)	XP_005260049.1:p.(Gly547Cys)	ı	NP_057613.4:p.(Trp1049Ter)	NP_612378.1:p.(Gly63AlafsTer23)	I	NP_060719.4:p.(lle1144GlnfsTer13)	NP_057427.3:p.(Gln541Ter)	NP_060921.3:p.(Lys150Glu)	ı	ı	NP_001008938.1:p.(Ala891Thr)	NP_076950.1:p.(Ser690_Gly698delinsCys)	NP_056504.3:p.(Arg930Trp)	NP_060009.1:p.(Ser3309Leu)	NP_003836.1:p.(Phe304Leu)	I	NP_000138.2:p.(Arg354GlyfsTer9)	NP_059108.1:p.(Phe231Cys)	ı	XP_005246542.1:p.(Cys181Ter)	NP_001171634.2:p.(Lys206SerfsTer14)	NP_005310.1:p.(Thr45lle)	NP_940927.2:p.(Asn87Asp)	NP_001165081.1:p.(lle563Val)	NP_001288789.1:p.(Arg59Trp)	NP_001288294.1:p.(Asp2467Gly)	NP_001139784.1:p.(Leu629lle)	NP_115818.2:p.(Gln1096Ter)	NP_878907.1:p.(Asp505His)	NP_000276.2:p.(His255Pro)	XP_005261823.1:p.(Arg329Cys)	XP_005259637.1:p.(Phe692Leu)	NP_001015509.1:p.(Gln86LeufsTer40)
Mutation_Status Mutation consequence	missense_variant	frameshift_variant	missense_variant	splice_donor_variant	stop_gained	frameshift_variant	splice_acceptor_variant	frameshift_variant	stop_gained	missense_variant	splice_acceptor_variant	intron_variant	missense_variant	inframe_deletion	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	splice_acceptor_variant	frameshift_variant	missense_variant	CNV	stop_gained	frameshift_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	stop_gained	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	frameshift_variant
Mutation_Status	T Z	<u>TZ</u>	HN	HN	HN	HN	HN	HN	HN	HU	<u>TN</u>	<u>HN</u>	<u>HN</u>	HN	HN	HN	HN	HN	HN	HN	HN	HN	<u>HN</u>	HN	IN	<u>T</u> Z	<u>TN</u>	HN	<u>HN</u>	<u>T</u> Z	IN	HN	<u>TN</u>	IN	I
ID_Gene_ Status	Known	Known	Known	Known	Known	Known	Known	Known	Known	Known	ı	Known	Novel	Novel	Novel	Novel	Novel	Known	Known	Novel	Known	Known	Known	Novel	Known	1	Novel	Known	Known	Known	Novel	Known	Known	Novel	Known
Gene_ Symbol [HGNC]	ASNS	ASPM	ATP13A1	ATP8A2	ATP8A2	BOD1	CDK10	CDK5RAP2	CENPF	CENPJ	CFAP44	CHMP1A	CKAP5	DDX50	DENND2A	DNAH3	DYRK4	EXOSC2	FUCA1	FZD3	GLRA1	GMPPA	НАДН	HIST1H1C	KIF7	LDB3	LPIN3	LYST	MYOCD	NEURL4	P4HA3	PEPD	PLA2G6	POLRMT	PTRH2
Additional features	>	z	>	>-	>	>	>	>	z	z	>	>-	z	>-	>	>	>-	>	>	>	>	>	>	>-	>	>-	>	>	>	>-	>	>-	>	>-	>
Autistic features	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	>	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	>	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Epilepsy	>	z	z	>	z	z	>	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	>	>	>	z	>-	>	>	z	>	z	z	z	>	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Microcephaly (<= - 2.0 SD)	-3.0 SD	-11 SD	z	-3.0 SD	z	z	z	-7.5 SD	-7.7 SD	-5.0 SD	MA	-4.7 SD	z	-2.9 SD	z	z	z	z	-6.0 SD	z	z	-3.0 SD	z	z	-4.5 SD	-3.5 SD	-4.5 SD	-3.3 SD	z	-3.5 SD	z	z	-2.0 SD	-6.0 SD	-4.2 SD
ID or DD	DD	Moderate ID	Severe ID	Moderate ID	DD	Moderate ID	Mild ID	Moderate ID	Mild ID	Mild ID	Moderate ID	Severe ID	Mild ID	Mild ID	Severe ID	Moderate ID	Severe ID	Severe ID	Severe ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Mild ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Severe ID	Severe ID	DD	Profound ID	Severe ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	DD	Profound ID	Severe ID
Family_Number ID or DD	M9300103	M8700030	M8900019	M9300050	M9300178	M9300035	M9300154	M8700062	M8700060	M9300021	M9300063	M9300017	M9300066	M9300030	M9300121	M9300164	M9300121	M9300062	M9300029	M9300067	M9300102	M9300024	M8800167	M9300162	M9300116	M9300119	M9300126	M8900015	M9300053	M8800189	M9300129	M9300025	M8800093	M8900086	M8800040

6 WILEY GENETICS																												
	Change_Protein [HGVS]	XP_005267548.1:p.(Arg2122His)	NP_115569.2:p.(Pro151Ser)	NP_000994.1:p.(Glu91del)	NP_003460.2:p.((Glu382Lys)	NP_008929.2:p.(Leu268Ser)	NP_775490.2:p.(Pro420Leu)	NP_059116.3:p.(Ala237Thr)	XP_005274249.1:p.(His407AlafsTer4)	NP_078868.1:p.(Trp19Ter)	NP_001121187.1:p.(Asp489Gly)	NP_003841.1:p.(Arg284Cys)	NP_003841.1:p.(Thr145Pro)	NP_714915.3:p.(Asn242Ser)	NP_060132.3:p.(Ser1034Ter)	XP_005250674.1:p.(Thr60lle)	XP_005257286.1:p.(Gly124Val)	NP_055472.1:p.(Leu767Ser)	NP_001243546.1;p.(Ala643Pro)	NP_569733.2:p.(Asp14Asn)	NP_065872.1:p.(Cys2627Ter)	XP_005260267.1:p.(Gly13Arg)	NP_919226.1:p.(Ala55Thr)		NP_001347.3:p.(Val345Glu)	I	NP_005701.1:p.(Arg153SerfsTer41)	NP_001273003.1:p.(Pro133Arg)
	Mutation_Status Mutation consequence	missense_variant	missense_variant	inframe_deletion, splice_region_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	frameshift_variant	stop_gained	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	stop_gained	missense_variant	missense_variant, splice_region_variant	missense_variant, splice_region_variant	missense_variant	missense_variant	stop_gained	missense_variant	missense_variant		missense_variant	CNV	frameshift_variant	missense_variant
	Mutation_Status	<u>H</u>	<u>H</u>	<u> </u>	<u>H</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>H</u>	Ξ	<u> </u>	<u>H</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>T</u>		DNM	DNM	<u>N</u>	<u>T</u>
	ID_Gene_ Status	Novel	Known	Novel	Novel	Novel	Known	Novel	Known	Known	Novel	Known	Known	Known	Known	Known	Known	Known	Novel	Known	Known	Novel	Novel		Known	Known	Known	Known
	Gene_ Symbol [HGNC]	RALGAPA1	RNASEH2C	RPLP1	SCG2	SCGN	SIK1	SIX4	SPTBN2	SRD5A3	STXBP5	SUCLA2	SUCLA2	TMEM67	TRPM6	TRRAP	TSEN54	TT11	TUBGCP2	UBE3B	VPS13C	YTHDF1	ZNF804A		DDX3X	HUWE1	PQBP1	TAF1
	Additional features	>	>	>-	>	z	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	z	>	>-	>	>	>	>	>		z	>	>	>
	Autistic features	z	z	z	z	z	z	>	z	z	z	z	z	z	>	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	>		z	z	z	>
	Epilepsy	z	z	-	z	z	z	` >	z	_ ≻	_ ≻	z	z	z	, >	_ ≻	z	>	_ ≻	z	z	z	z		z	z	z	z
	Microcephaly (<= - 2.0 SD)	z	-7.5 SD	–9.0 SD	z	-7.5 SD	z	z	z	z	-8.4 SD	-3.3 SD	-2.3 SD	z	z	-2.0 SD	–3.5 SD	–3.3 SD	-9.0 SD	z	z	-3.0 SD	MA		z	–2.5 SD	–2.7 SD	-2.0 SD
inued)	ID or DD	Mild ID	Severe ID	DD	Severe ID	DD	Mild ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	DD	DD	Severe ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Moderate ID	Mild ID	DD	Mild ID	Mild ID	DD	Moderate ID		Mild ID	Mild ID	Moderate ID	Mild ID
TABLE 1 (Continued)	Family_Number	M9300049	M9300060	M9300122	M9300053	M9300091	M9300033	M9300011	M9300032	M9300095	M9300115	M9300088	M9300093	M9300076	M9300155	M9300047	M9300089	M9300014	M9300122	M9300087	M9300163	M8800142	M9300063	X-linked ID	M9300039	M9300166	M8800151	M9300037

Abbreviations: CNV, copy number variants; DD, developmental disorders; DNM, de novo mutations; HGNC, Hugo Gene Nomenclature committee; HGVS, Human Genome Variation Society; ID, intellectual disability; INH, inherited; N, no; Y, yes.

F = 0.0625, the arithmetic mean of F for the 100 probands of our cohort was only 0.05256 (see Table S1). Therefore, the 44 cases with inherited forms of ID observed in our cohort would correspond with $44 \times 0.0625/0.05256 = 52.32$ cases if all parents were first cousins, and the ID risk of their children would be (52.32 + 17)/17 = 4.08 times higher than the risk of children with unrelated parents. Among the 34 "solved" cases with first-cousin parents of our cohort (F = 0.0625, see Table S1), 26 (76.47%) were homozygous for inherited ARID mutations while only 8 (23.53%) carried DNM, corresponding with a 4.25-fold higher ID risk.

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Impact of inbreeding on the incidence of ID

Numerous studies have estimated the impact of inbreeding on the incidence of ID, with varying results (eg,^{7,9,39-41}). Most published risk estimates were lower than presented here, but it is not straightforward to compare these diverging results because they were obtained in different ways and different populations. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to employ WES data from patients with consanguineous parents for this purpose. However, there are several reasons why the outcome of this approach may be biased, too, as discussed below.

First, for example, we have used pedigree information to infer the F values for the affected individuals. However, in populations with a long tradition of marrying within the family, hidden consanguinity, too distant to be visible in the pedigree, cannot be excluded. Indeed, ROH studies in Iran and other middle-east countries have revealed traces of ancient consanguinity in purportedly unrelated couples.⁴² Thus, it is probable that we have underestimated the consanguinity in some or even many of the families studied, and consequently, the impact of the "visible" consanguinity on the incidence of ID may have been overestimated. For the absolute ID risk of children born to consanguineous Iranian couples, this would have no consequences, but for offspring of apparently unrelated Iranian parents, hidden consanguinity would enhance the ID risk. In contrast, the ID risks of consanguineous families should be lower in outbred (eg, Central European) populations where hidden consanguinity is expected to be rare or absent.

Second, as shown by Wahlund⁴³ and referred to by Overall,⁴⁴ the existence of endogamous subpopulations, for which there is ample evidence in Iran and neighboring countries, will lead to an excess of homozygosity. This may reduce the proportion of cases that can be prevented by avoiding consanguineous marriages,⁴⁵ but these effects are generally small. In the present study, significant "Wahlund effects" are also unlikely because all homozygous mutations considered as causative for ARID were embedded in (mostly large) ROHs, indicating that the paternally and maternally transmitted alleles were identical by descent and derived from a closely related common ancestor.

Third, not all ID-causing mutations can be detected by Trio WES, such as deep intronic variants interfering with splicing or remote regulatory mutations controlling gene expression, and some apparently benign exonic variants may in fact be pathogenic (eg, exonic splicing

regulators, see Refs. 46–48). While missing these mutations may impact on the proportion of dominant and recessive causes of ID, it is probable that their effect will be relatively small.

In consanguineous pedigrees with two or more affected patients, where ARID is the most probable explanation, mutations in known ID genes account for only 50% of the families. This may reflect the large size of the ARID gene pool, for which there is now compelling empirical evidence (eg, see Hu et al.¹⁸). Similarly, only 50% of sporadic patients from outbred populations carry DNMs in known ADID genes, ²² but given the evidence that many ADID genes are already known, mutations in hitherto unknown genes cannot explain these findings. Instead, in sporadic cases that do not carry DNMs in known ID genes, other disease-causing mechanisms may apply, including dior oligogenic inheritance, which has been described in patients with CNVs, ^{49,50} and even non-genetic (eg, epigenetic, environmental or stochastic) factors may play important roles.⁵¹

Although most ARID genes are still unknown and the etiology of sporadic ID is still largely unexplored, the available evidence suggests that mutations in presently known ARID genes account for about half of the inherited ID risk, and that DNM account for half the ID risk of sporadic cases. Therefore, the proportion of affected individuals with mutations in known ARID and ADID genes in our cohort should be the same as the ratio between all patients with ARID and all individuals with sporadic ID.

In this study, the proportion of cases with recessively inherited mutations and with DNM was 44 to 17, as shown above. Assuming that DNMs are equally frequent in different populations and not influenced by consanguinity, it follows that for offspring of related Iranian parents, the ID risk will be raised (44 + 17)/17 = 3.59-fold.

4.2 | ARID risks in middle east countries and world-wide

While environmental factors, social deprivation and the quality of health care may influence the IQ, inbreeding has emerged as the most important factor in ID, even in deprived parts of India. ⁴¹ Our data suggest that in countries like Iran or Qatar, where close to 40% of the children have consanguineous parents, the genetic ID risk should be twice as high as in Central Europe, where consanguinity rates are negligibly low, and in areas of Pakistan or Saudi Arabia with up to 70% consanguineous marriages, the genetic ID risk (and hence, the incidence of ID) should be almost three times as high.

According to our data, the ID risk is even higher for children of first cousins, which is in keeping with recent results of a study conducted in India. ⁴¹ Even though in Western populations, the consanguinity-related risks may be slightly lower because of the absence of hidden consanguinity, they are still considerable and certainly higher than ID risks related to advanced parental age.

5 | CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

This study has shown that in the offspring of healthy consanguineous parents, ID is mostly due to homozygous recessive mutations, in contrast to the offspring of unrelated parents, where DNM are the

predominant cause of ID. Our data suggest that having first-cousin parents raises the ID risk 4.1- to 4.25-fold, higher than suggested by several recent studies, but in good agreement with the 5-fold rise predicted by Newton Morton 40 years ago. These results should be of interest to related couples and genetic counselors alike, not only in highly consanguineous populations.

Using WES and array-CGH to investigate consanguineous parents and their only affected child also enabled us to detect pathogenic variants affecting known ID genes in 61 out of 100 trios analyzed and to identify several candidate genes for ID that had not been reported before.

Moreover, our results illustrate that ARID is an important global health care problem that is still far from being solved, partly because it is relatively rare in outbred Western populations where most of the research takes place. Given the enormous genetic heterogeneity of ARID, even Genomics England's DDD study is far too small to identify most or all underlying recessive defects. In view of the high diagnostic success rate of trio-WES and -WGS and the recent decision of the English National Health Service to implement (simplex or subsequently trio) WGS in routine genetic health care,⁵² the time may be ripe for also introducing these methods as first-line genetic tests in Iran and other highly consanguineous Middle-Eastern populations. As shown here, this would greatly accelerate the identification of the many ARID genes that are hitherto unknown; lay the groundwork for efficient ID prevention through pre-conception carrier testing; and shed more light on the function of the human brain in health and disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all patients and families who participated in this study. Thanks to Gabriele Eder for secretarial assistance. The authors would like to thank the Exome Aggregation Consortium and the groups that provided exome variant data for comparison. A full list of contributing groups can be found at http://exac.broadinstitute.org/about. Financial support has been provided by the Iran National Science Foundation (grant nos 92038458, and 950022) to HN, (grant no. 92035782) to KK, and National Institute for Medical Research Development (grant no. 957060) to KK and the European Union through FP7 project GENCODYS, grant no. 241995 (organizer: Hans van Bokhoven) to HHR and HN, and by the Max Planck Innovation Funds (to HHR).

ORCID

Hans-Hilger Ropers https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8552-9813

REFERENCES

- Bennett RL, Motulsky AG, Bittles A, et al. Genetic counseling and screening of consanguineous couples and their offspring: recommendations of the National Society of genetic counselors. J Genet Couns. 2002:11:97-119.
- 2. Darr A, Small N, Ahmad WIU, Atkin K, Corry P, Modell B. Addressing key issues in the consanguinity-related risk of autosomal recessive disorders in consanguineous communities: lessons from a qualitative study of British Pakistanis. *J Community Genet*. 2016;7:65-79.
- 3. Jaber L, Halpern GJ, Shohat M. The impact of consanguinity worldwide. Community Genet. 1998;1:12-17.

- Stoll C, Alembik Y, Roth MP, Dott B. Parental consanguinity as a cause for increased incidence of births defects in a study of 238,942 consecutive births. Ann Genet. 1999;42:133-139.
- Zlotogora J. What is the birth defect risk associated with consanguineous marriages? Am J Med Genet. 2002;109:70-71.
- Book JA. Genetical investigations in a north Swedish population: the offspring of first-cousin marriages. Ann Hum Genet. 1957;21:191-221.
- Bundey S, Alam H. A five-year prospective study of the health of children in different ethnic groups, with particular reference to the effect of inbreeding. Eur J Hum Genet. 1993;1:206-219.
- 8. Madhavan T, Narayan J. Consanguinity and mental retardation. *J Ment Defic Res.* 1991;35(Pt 2):133-139.
- Morton NE. Effect of inbreeding on IQ and mental retardation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1978;75:3906-3908.
- Reed EW, Reed SC. Mental Retardation: A Family Study. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders: 1965
- de Ligt J, Willemsen MH, van Bon BWM, et al. Diagnostic exome sequencing in persons with severe intellectual disability. N Engl J Med. 2012;367:1921-1929.
- Rauch A, Wieczorek D, Graf E, et al. Range of genetic mutations associated with severe non-syndromic sporadic intellectual disability: an exome sequencing study. *Lancet*. 2012;380:1674-1682.
- Yuen RKC, Thiruvahindrapuram B, Merico D, et al. Whole-genome sequencing of quartet families with autism spectrum disorder. Nat Med. 2015;21:185-191.
- Bittles AH, Black ML. Global Patterns & Tables of Consanguinity. 2015. http://consang.net, accessed: August 04, 2018.
- Qatar National Health Strategy. (http://www.qu.edu.qa/pharmacy/ components/Qatar_National_Health_Strategy.pdf). 2011–2016. accessed August 04. 2018.
- Najmabadi H, Motazacker MM, Garshasbi M, et al. Homozygosity mapping in consanguineous families reveals extreme heterogeneity of non-syndromic autosomal recessive mental retardation and identifies 8 novel gene loci. Hum Genet. 2007:121:43-48.
- 17. Ropers HH. Genetics of early onset cognitive impairment. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2010;11:161-187.
- Hu H, Kahrizi K, Musante L, et al. Genetics of intellectual disability in consanguineous families. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2018. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41380-017-0012-2
- **19.** Najmabadi H, Hu H, Garshasbi M, et al. Deep sequencing reveals 50 novel genes for recessive cognitive disorders. *Nature*. 2011;478: 57-63.
- Reuter MS, Tawamie H, Buchert R, et al. Diagnostic yield and novel candidate genes by exome sequencing in 152 consanguineous families with neurodevelopmental disorders. JAMA Psychiat. 2017;74: 293-299.
- Harripaul R, Vasli N, Mikhailov A, et al. Mapping autosomal recessive intellectual disability: combined microarray and exome sequencing identifies 26 novel candidate genes in 192 consanguineous families. Mol Psychiatry. 2018;23:973-984.
- Martin HC, Jones WD, Stephenson D, et al. Quantifying the contribution of recessive coding variation to developmental disorders. bioRxiv. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1101/201533
- 23. Al-Mubarak B, Abouelhoda M, Omar A, et al. Whole exome sequencing reveals inherited and de novo variants in autism spectrum disorder: a trio study from Saudi families. Sci Rep. 2017;7:5679.
- 24. Bittles AH. Birth defect risk for children of first cousins is overstated. The Conversation. 2013;8. http://theconversation.com/birth-defect-risk-for-children-of-first-cousins-is-overstated-15809, accessed: August 04, 2018.
- **25.** Donner S. Mythos Verwandtenehe. Der Tagesspiegel. Vol 23506. Berlin: Giovanni di Lorenzo, Sebastian Turner; 2018.
- Hu H, Wienker TF, Musante L, et al. Integrated sequence analysis pipeline provides one-stop solution for identifying disease-causing mutations. Hum Mutat. 2014;35:1427-1435.
- Li Q, Wang K. InterVar: Clinical Interpretation of Genetic Variants by the 2015 ACMG-AMP Guidelines. Am J Hum Genet. 2017;100: 267-280.
- Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010;38:e164.

- McQuillan R, Leutenegger AL, Abdel-Rahman R, et al. Runs of homozvgosity in European populations. Am J Hum Genet. 2008:83:359-372.
- Kekatpure MV, Gaur S, Dash GK, Kannan S. TRPM6 mutation: a novel cause of "reversible" infantile epileptic encephalopathy. *Neurol India*. 2016;64:1037-1038.
- Lesage S, Drouet V, Majounie E, et al. Loss of VPS13C function in autosomal-recessive parkinsonism causes mitochondrial dysfunction and increases PINK1/Parkin-dependent Mitophagy. Am J Hum Genet. 2016;98:500-513.
- Esmaeeli-Nieh S, Fenckova M, Porter IM, et al. BOD1 is required for cognitive function in humans and drosophila. PLoS Genet. 2016;12: e1006022.
- Karaca E, Harel T, Pehlivan D, et al. Genes that affect brain structure and function identified by rare variant analyses of Mendelian neurologic disease. Neuron. 2015;88:499-513.
- **34.** Anazi S, Maddirevula S, Salpietro V, et al. Expanding the genetic heterogeneity of intellectual disability. *Hum Genet*. 2017;136:1419-1429.
- Bras J, Verloes A, Schneider SA, Mole SE, Guerreiro RJ. Mutation of the parkinsonism gene ATP13A2 causes neuronal ceroid-lipofuscinosis. Hum Mol Genet. 2012;21:2646-2650.
- Snijders Blok L, Hiatt SM, Bowling KM, et al. De novo mutations in MED13, a component of the mediator complex, are associated with a novel neurodevelopmental disorder. Hum Genet. 2018;137:375-388.
- **37.** Ganna A, Genovese G, Howrigan DP, et al. Ultra-rare disruptive and damaging mutations influence educational attainment in the general population. *Nat Neurosci.* 2016:19:1563-1565.
- Sobreira N, Schiettecatte F, Valle D, Hamosh A. GeneMatcher: a matching tool for connecting investigators with an interest in the same gene. *Hum Mutat*. 2015;36:928-930.
- **39.** Bittles AH, Neel JV. The costs of human inbreeding and their implications for variations at the DNA level. *Nat Genet*. 1994;8:117-121.
- **40.** Hamamy H. Consanguineous marriages: preconception consultation in primary health care settings. *J Community Genet*. 2012;3:185-192.
- Fareed M, Afzal M. Estimating the inbreeding depression on cognitive behavior: a population based study of child cohort. PLoS One. 2014;9: e109585.
- **42.** Scott EM, Halees A, Itan Y, et al. Characterization of greater middle eastern genetic variation for enhanced disease gene discovery. *Nat Genet*. 2016;48:1071-1076.
- **43.** Wahlund S. Zusammensetzung von Populationen und Korrelationserscheinungen vom Standpunkt der Vererbungslehre aus betrachtet. *Hereditas.* 1928;11:65-106.

- 44. Overall ADJ. The influence of the wahlund effect on the consanguinity hypothesis: consequences for recessive disease incidence in a socially structured pakistani population. *Hum Hered*. 2009;67: 140-144.
- **45.** Bittles AH, Black ML. The impact of consanguinity on neonatal and infant health. *Early Hum Dev.* 2010:86:737-741.
- Erkelenz S, Theiss S, Otte M, Widera M, Peter JO, Schaal H. Genomic HEXploring allows landscaping of novel potential splicing regulatory elements. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014;42:10681-10697.
- **47.** Soukarieh O, Gaildrat P, Hamieh M, et al. Exonic splicing mutations are more prevalent than currently estimated and can be predicted by using in silico tools. *PLoS Genet*. 2016;12:e1005756.
- **48.** Soukarieh O, Gaildrat P, Hamieh M, et al. Correction: Exonic splicing mutations are more prevalent than currently estimated and can be predicted by using in silico tools. *PLoS Genet*. 2016;12:e1005971.
- **49.** Girirajan S, Rosenfeld JA, Coe BP, et al. Phenotypic heterogeneity of genomic disorders and rare copy-number variants. *N Engl J Med.* 2012; 367:1321-1331.
- Girirajan S, Rosenfeld JA, Cooper GM, et al. A recurrent 16p12.1 microdeletion supports a two-hit model for severe developmental delay. Nat Genet. 2010;42:203-209.
- **51.** Heyne HO, Singh T, Stamberger H, et al. De novo variants in neurode-velopmental disorders with epilepsy. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50:1048-1053.
- 52. Heger M. UK's NHS Prepares Transition to Diagnostic Genome Sequencing for Rare Diseases, Some Cancers. http://www. genomeweb.com/molecular-diagnostics/uks-nhs-prepares-transitiondiagnostic-genome-sequencing-rare-diseases-some#.W6ytsX0YMhc: Genomeweb, 2018. accessed October 03, 2018.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Kahrizi K, Hu H, Hosseini M, et al. Effect of inbreeding on intellectual disability revisited by trio sequencing. *Clin Genet*. 2018;1–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/cge.13463