

# On geometric complexity theory: Multiplicity obstructions are stronger than occurrence obstructions

Julian Dörfler\*, Christian Ikenmeyer†, Greta Panova‡

January 16, 2019

## Abstract

Geometric Complexity Theory as initiated by Mulmuley and Sohoni in two papers (SIAM J Comput 2001, 2008) aims to separate algebraic complexity classes via representation theoretic multiplicities in coordinate rings of specific group varieties. The papers also conjecture that the vanishing behavior of these multiplicities would be sufficient to separate complexity classes (so-called *occurrence obstructions*). The existence of such strong occurrence obstructions has been recently disproven in 2016 in two successive papers, Ikenmeyer-Panova (Adv. Math.) and Bürgisser-Ikenmeyer-Panova (J. AMS).

This raises the question whether separating group varieties via representation theoretic multiplicities is stronger than separating them via occurrences. This paper provides for the first time a setting where separating with multiplicities can be achieved, while the separation with occurrences is provably impossible. Our setting is surprisingly simple and natural: We study the variety of products of homogeneous linear forms (the so-called Chow variety) and the variety of polynomials of bounded border Waring rank (i.e. a higher secant variety of the Veronese variety).

As a side result we prove a slight generalization of Hermite’s reciprocity theorem, which proves Foulkes’ conjecture for a new infinite family of cases.

## 1 Introduction

In two landmark papers [MS01, MS08] Mulmuley and Sohoni suggested the use of representation theoretic multiplicities to separate group varieties that correspond to complexity classes. The goal of this approach, which is called *geometric complexity theory*, is to achieve complexity lower bounds that lead to the separation of algebraic complexity classes such as VP and VNP (see [BCS97] or [Sap17] for the precise definitions, which will not be important in this paper). At the heart of the approach was the hope that so-called *occurrence obstructions* (see Section 2) would be sufficient to separate VP and VNP. In [IP17, BIP19] it was shown that occurrence obstructions are too weak to provide the necessary separation, at least for the group varieties that were originally proposed by Mulmuley and Sohoni. But representation theoretic multiplicities might still be able to separate VP and VNP when we look at the finer separation criterion via *multiplicity obstructions* (see also Section 2). Unfortunately, so far all known separations of group varieties via multiplicity obstructions could also in fact be obtained via occurrence obstructions, or at least there is no setting in which multiplicity obstructions are provably stronger than occurrence obstructions, see e.g. [BI11, BI13]. Indeed, little is known about multiplicity obstructions in general, as the required multiplicities are often  $\#P$ -hard to compute, see e.g. [Nar06, BI08, BOR09], which implies that a polynomial time algorithm for their computation can only exist if  $P=NP$ .

---

\*Saarland University, s8judoer@stud.uni-saarland.de

†Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Simons Institute for the Theory of Computing, cikenmey@mpi-inf.mpg.de

‡University of Southern California, University of Pennsylvania, Simons Institute for the Theory of Computing, gpanova@usc.edu

Scott Aaronson raised the question about the existence of a setting where multiplicity obstructions are provably more powerful than occurrence obstructions. In this paper we give the first example of such a situation, see Theorem 2.3 below. Our separation result is extremely modest, which indicates that such behaviour is expected in many more cases.

As a side result we prove a slight generalization of Hermite's reciprocity theorem, which proves Foulkes' conjecture (see (2.1)) for a new infinite family of cases, see Theorem 3.5.

## 2 Representation theoretic obstructions

In this section we review how to separate group varieties via representation theoretic multiplicities. The setup is in complete analogy to the geometric complexity theory approach of Mulmuley and Sohni. We then list our main result, see Theorem 2.3.

Consider the space  $\mathbb{A}_m^n := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]_n$  of complex homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n$  in  $m$  variables. Let  $V := \mathbb{A}_m^1$  be the space of homogeneous degree 1 polynomials. In this paper we compare two subvarieties of  $\mathbb{A}_m^n$ . The first is the so-called *Chow variety*

$$\text{Ch}_m^n := \{\ell_1 \cdots \ell_n \mid \ell_i \in V\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_m^n,$$

which is the set of polynomials that can be written as a product of homogeneous linear forms, see e.g. [Lan11, §8.6]. The second variety is called a *higher secant variety of the Veronese variety* and can be written as

$$\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n := \overline{\{\ell_1^n + \cdots + \ell_k^n \mid \ell_i \in V\}} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_m^n,$$

which is the closure of the set of all sums of  $k$  powers of homogeneous linear forms. Note that from a general principle it follows that the Zariski closure equals the Euclidean closure in this case, see e.g. [Mum95, §2.C] where this is shown for every constructible set. The polynomials in  $\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n$  are exactly those that have *border Waring rank at most  $k$* , see e.g. [Lan11, §5.4].

$\mathbb{A}_m^n$  is generated as a vector space by the powers  $v^n$ ,  $v \in V$ , see e.g. [Lan11, Ex. 2.6.6.2]. Given two elements  $g_1, g_2 \in \text{GL}_m := \text{GL}(V)$ , and given  $v \in V$ , we clearly have  $g_1(g_2v) = (g_1g_2)v$ . Thus we say that  $V$  admits a  $\text{GL}_m$ -action. This natural action of  $\text{GL}_m$  on  $V$  lifts canonically to  $\mathbb{A}_m^n$  via  $g(v^n) := (gv)^n$ ,  $g \in \text{GL}_m$ ,  $v \in V$ , and linear continuation. Both varieties  $\text{Ch}_m^n$  and  $\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n$  are closed under this action, i.e., for  $g \in \text{GL}_m$  and  $v \in \text{Ch}_m^n$  we have  $gv \in \text{Ch}_m^n$ , and analogously  $v \in \text{Pow}_{m,k}^n$  implies  $gv \in \text{Pow}_{m,k}^n$ . A variety that is closed under the action of  $\text{GL}_m$  is called a  $\text{GL}_m$ -variety.

Let  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]$  denote the coordinate ring of  $\mathbb{A}_m^n$ , i.e., the polynomial ring in  $\dim \mathbb{A}_m^n = \binom{n+m-1}{n}$  many variables, where these variables are in 1:1 correspondence to the monomials in  $\mathbb{A}_m^n$ . The action of  $\text{GL}_m$  on  $\mathbb{A}_m^n$  lifts to a linear action of  $\text{GL}_m$  on  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]$  via the canonical pullback as follows:

$$(gf)(h) := f(g^{-1}h), \quad g \in \text{GL}_m, \quad f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n], \quad h \in \mathbb{A}_m^n.$$

Moreover, the action respects the natural grading of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]$ , so that each homogeneous degree  $d$  part  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]_d$  is a finite dimensional vector space that is closed under the action of  $\text{GL}_m$ .

Recall that a finite dimensional vector space  $W$  that is closed under a linear action of  $\text{GL}_m$  is called a  $\text{GL}_m$ -representation. This is equivalent to the existence of a group homomorphism  $\varrho : \text{GL}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$ . If we choose bases, then we can interpret  $\text{GL}_m \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  and  $\text{GL}(W) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\dim W \times \dim W}$  and  $\varrho$  is described by  $(\dim W)^2$  many coordinate functions, which are functions in  $m^2$  many variables. If these functions are polynomials, then we call  $W$  a *polynomial representation*. Our main representation of interest,  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]_d$ , is a polynomial representation. A linear subspace of  $W$  that is closed under the action of  $\text{GL}_m$  is called a subrepresentation. Subrepresentations of polynomial representations are clearly polynomial representations again. For every  $\text{GL}_m$ -representation  $W$  we have that  $W$  and  $0$  are two trivial subrepresentations. If  $W$  has no other subrepresentations, then we call  $W$  *irreducible*. A linear map  $\varphi : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$  between two  $\text{GL}_m$ -representations is called *equivariant* if  $g\varphi(f) = \varphi(gf)$  for all  $f \in W_1$ ,  $g \in \text{GL}_m$ . If there exists an equivariant vector space isomorphism from  $W_1$  to  $W_2$ , then we say that  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are *isomorphic*  $\text{GL}_m$ -representations. An  $m$ -partition of  $D$  is a nonincreasing

list of  $m$  nonnegative integers that sum up to  $D$ . Every irreducible polynomial  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -representation has an associated isomorphism type, which is an  $m$ -partition, see e.g. [Ful97, Ch. 8]. Two irreducible  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -representations are isomorphic iff their isomorphism types coincide. We denote by  $\{\lambda\}_m$  the irreducible  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -representation corresponding to the  $m$ -partition  $\lambda$ . We write  $\{\lambda\} = \{\lambda\}_m$  if  $m$  is clear from the context.

The group  $\mathrm{GL}_m$  is *linearly reductive*, which means that every  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -representation  $W$  decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -representations, see e.g. [Kra85, AII.5, Satz 4]. The number of times an irreducible representation of type  $\lambda$  occurs in the decomposition is called the *multiplicity* of  $\lambda$  in  $W$ , written  $\mathrm{mult}_\lambda(W)$ . Even though this decomposition is usually not unique, the notation  $\mathrm{mult}_\lambda(W)$  makes sense, because the multiplicities are independent of the actual decompositions.

The multiplicity  $a_\lambda(d[n]) := \mathrm{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]_d)$  is the infamous *plethysm coefficient*, which is the object of study in Foulkes' conjecture and also in Problem 9 in Stanley's famous list of open problems [Sta00]. If we pad an  $m$ -partition  $\lambda$  with  $m' - m$  many zeros to obtain the  $m'$ -partitions  $\lambda' = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, 0, \dots, 0)$ , then  $\mathrm{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]_d) = \mathrm{mult}_{\lambda'}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_{m'}^n]_d)$ , see e.g. [Ike12, Lem. 4.3.2]. For the sake of simplicity we identify  $m$ -partitions with  $m'$ -partitions that arise from padding zeros. This justifies leaving out the parameter  $m$  in the notation  $a_\lambda(d[n])$  by assuming that  $m$  is large enough. Foulkes' conjecture states that

$$\text{Conjecture : } a_\lambda(n[d]) \leq a_\lambda(d[n]) \text{ for all } d \geq n. \quad (2.1)$$

Conjecture (2.1) is known to be true (moreover, equality holds:  $a_\lambda(d[n]) = a_\lambda(n[d])$ ) for all 2-partitions  $\lambda$ , which is often called *Hermite reciprocity* [Her54]. We make modest progress on this conjecture by proving it for many families of 3-partitions, see Corollary 4.8.

Let  $Z$  be a  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -variety, e.g.,  $Z = \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$  or  $Z = \mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^n$ . Then the vanishing ideal  $I(Z) := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n] \mid \forall h \in Z : f(h) = 0\}$  is also closed under the action of  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ , which is easy to verify: If  $f(h) = 0$  for all  $h \in Z$ , then also  $(gf)(h) = f(g^{-1}h) = 0$ , because  $g^{-1}h \in Z$ . Since the action respects the grading, each homogeneous degree  $d$  part  $I(Z)_d$  is a  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -representation. The coordinate ring  $\mathbb{C}[Z]$  is defined as the quotient algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]/I(Z)$  and each homogeneous part  $\mathbb{C}[Z]_d = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]_d/I(Z)_d$  is a  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -representation. Equivalently, we can define  $\mathbb{C}[Z]$  as the set of restrictions of functions in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]$  to  $Z$ .

For most sets of parameters we have  $\mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^n \not\subseteq \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$ , but there are some exceptions. Clearly  $\mathrm{Pow}_{m,1}^n \subseteq \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$ . Moreover,  $\mathrm{Pow}_{1,k}^n = \mathrm{Ch}_1^n$  for all  $n \geq 1, k \geq 1$ ; and  $\mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^1 = \mathrm{Ch}_m^1$  for all  $m \geq 1, k \geq 1$ . It is also easy to see that  $\mathrm{Pow}_{2,2}^2 \subseteq \mathrm{Ch}_2^2$ , because  $\ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2 = (\ell_1 + i\ell_2)(\ell_1 - i\ell_2)$ , where  $i^2 = -1$ . More generally,  $(\ell_1 + \zeta\ell_2)(\ell_1 + \zeta^2\ell_2) \cdots (\ell_1 + \zeta^n\ell_2) = \ell_1^n + \zeta^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}\ell_2^n$  for  $\zeta^n = 1$ , which implies  $\mathrm{Pow}_{m,2}^n \subseteq \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$ . For  $m = 2, k \geq 1, n \geq 1$ , we have  $\mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^n \subseteq \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$  by the fundamental theorem of algebra. These are the only exceptions, as for  $n \geq 2, m \geq 3, k \geq 3$  we have  $\mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^n \not\subseteq \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$ : the polynomial  $x^n + y^n + z^n$  of the Fermat curve is in  $\mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^n$  and its irreducibility implies (since  $n \geq 2$ ) that  $x^n + y^n + z^n \notin \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$ .

We will see that for specific settings of parameters there exist *multiplicity obstructions* that prove  $\mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^n \not\subseteq \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$ , but there do not exist *occurrence obstructions* that prove this fact (see the definitions below). Our approach works as follows and is in complete analogy to the approach proposed in [MS01, MS08] to separate group varieties arising from algebraic complexity theory. If  $\mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^n \subseteq \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$ , then the restriction of functions gives a canonical  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -equivariant surjection

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ch}_m^n]_d \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^n]_d.$$

In this case, Schur's lemma (e.g. [GW09, Lemma 4.1.4]) implies that

$$\mathrm{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ch}_m^n]_d) \geq \mathrm{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^n]_d). \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $m$ -partitions  $\lambda$ . Therefore, a partition  $\lambda$  that violates (2.2) proves that  $\mathrm{Pow}_{m,k}^n \not\subseteq \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$ . Such a  $\lambda$  is called a *multiplicity obstruction*. If additionally  $\mathrm{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ch}_m^n]_d) = 0$ , then  $\lambda$  is called an *occurrence obstruction*.

Since  $\text{Ch}_m^n$  and  $\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n$  are subvarieties of  $\mathbb{A}_m^n$  and since all  $\lambda$  for which  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]_d) > 0$  are  $m$ -partitions of  $dn$ , it follows that if  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) > 0$  or  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n]_d) > 0$ , then  $\lambda$  is an  $m$ -partition of  $dn$ .

**2.3 Theorem** (Main Theorem).

(1) Let  $m \geq 3$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k = d = n+1$ ,  $\lambda = (n^2-2, n, 2)$ . We have  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) < \text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n]_d)$ , i.e.,  $\lambda$  is a multiplicity obstruction that shows  $\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n \not\subseteq \text{Ch}_m^n$ .

(2) In two finite settings we can show a slightly stronger separation:

(a) Let  $k = 4$ ,  $n = 6$ ,  $m = 3$ ,  $d = 7$ ,  $\lambda = (n^2-2, n, 2) = (34, 6, 2)$ . Then  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) = 7 < 8 = \text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n]_d)$ , i.e.,  $\lambda$  is a multiplicity obstruction that shows  $\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n \not\subseteq \text{Ch}_m^n$ .

(b) Similarly, for  $k = 4$ ,  $n = 7$ ,  $m = 4$ ,  $d = 8$ ,  $\lambda = (n^2-2, n, 2) = (47, 7, 2)$  we have  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) < 11 = \text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n]_d)$ , i.e.,  $\lambda$  is a multiplicity obstruction that shows  $\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n \not\subseteq \text{Ch}_m^n$ .

Both separations (a) and (b) cannot be achieved using occurrence obstructions, even for arbitrary  $k$ : for all  $m$ -partitions  $\mu$  that satisfy  $a_\mu(d[n]) > 0$  we have  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_{d'}) > 0$  in these settings.

One would like to show that there are no occurrence obstructions in all cases (1), but this is wrong if  $n$  is not large enough with respect to  $m$ , see Prop. 3.15. Even for  $m = 3$  or  $m = 4$  ruling out occurrence obstructions as in (2) is done by a large-scale computer calculation which is only suitable for a finite case, but not for sequences as in (1). The papers [IP17, BIP19] rule out occurrence obstructions for families, but only in ranges where they would give very strong new algebraic circuit lower bounds, so that we expect it to be difficult to find multiplicity obstructions in those cases. Note also that [IP17, BIP19] are only dealing with *padded polynomials*, for which [KL14] guarantees  $\lambda$  to have a very restricted shape.

We expect multiplicity obstructions to be more powerful than occurrence obstructions in most cases relevant for geometric complexity theory, and Theorem 2.3 resolves the challenge of finding a setting in which the corresponding multiplicities and occurrences could actually be computed in a reasonable amount of time, while the setting is also involved enough so that a difference between occurrence obstructions and multiplicity obstructions could be witnessed.

**2.4 Remark.** The partition  $(n^2-2, n, 2)$  is known to be the type of one of Brill's classical set-theoretic equations for  $\text{Ch}_m^n$ , see [Gua18].

### 3 Proof of the main theorem

The main theorem (Theorem 2.3) makes a statement about the finite situations  $k = 4$ ,  $n = 6$ ,  $m = 3$ ,  $d = 7$  and  $k = 4$ ,  $n = 7$ ,  $m = 4$ ,  $d = 8$ , as well as the general situation  $m \geq 3$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k = d = n + 1$ . As a first step, in all these cases we show that

$$\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n]_d) = a_\lambda(d[n]). \quad (3.1)$$

In the finite cases the following computer calculation suffices to prove (3.1).

**3.2 Proposition.**  $\text{mult}_{(34,6,2)}(\mathbb{C}[\text{Pow}_{3,4}^6]_7) = 8 = a_{(34,6,2)}(7[6])$  and  $\text{mult}_{(47,7,2)}(\mathbb{C}[\text{Pow}_{3,4}^7]_8) = 11 = a_{(47,7,2)}(8[7])$ .

*Proof.* The plethysm coefficient computations were performed with the LiE software. The rest is a small computer calculation completely analogous to the ones in [BIP19, Sec. 6]. The details can be found in Section 6.  $\square$

For the general situation the equality (3.1) is a consequence of the following result on power sums proved in [BIP19, Prop. 3.2]:

**3.3 Proposition.** If  $\lambda$  is an  $m$ -partition of  $dn$  and  $k \geq d$ , then  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Pow}_{m,k}^n]_d) = a_\lambda(d[n])$ .

As a second step we will use the following lemma for  $\lambda = (n^2 - 2, n, 2)$ .

**3.4 Lemma** (see also [Lan17, Sec. 9.2.3]). *Let  $\lambda$  be an  $m$ -partition and  $n \geq m$ . Then  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) \leq a_\lambda(n[d])$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)} := \{g(x_1 \cdots x_n) \mid g \in \text{GL}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_n^n$  denote the  $\text{GL}_n$ -orbit of  $x_1 \cdots x_n$ . We denote by  $\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)}$  the Zariski closure of this orbit, which equals its Euclidean closure by the same principles as in Section 2. Choose bases and embed  $\mathbb{A}_m^n \subseteq \mathbb{A}_n^n$ , so that  $\text{Ch}_m^n$  is the intersection of  $\mathbb{A}_m^n$  and  $\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)}$ . This implies (via argument analogous to that for the plethysm coefficient ([Ike12, Lem. 4.3.2])) that the multiplicity of the irreducible  $\text{GL}_m$ -representation  $\{\lambda\}_m$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d$  equals the multiplicity of the irreducible  $\text{GL}_n$ -representation  $\{\lambda\}_n$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)}]_d$ . In other words

$$\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) = \text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)}]_d).$$

The vector space  $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)}]_d$  consists of exactly the restrictions of polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_n^n]_d$  to the orbit  $\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)}$ . The coordinate ring  $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)}]$  is also graded and its homogeneous degree  $d$  part  $\mathbb{C}[\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)}]_d$  consists of *all* homogeneous degree  $d$  regular functions on  $\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)}$ , in particular

$$\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\overline{\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)}]_d) \leq \text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)]_d).$$

The right-hand side can be understood via geometric invariant theory as follows (see [Ike12, Sec. 3.4(A)]):

$$\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{GL}_n(x_1 \cdots x_n)]_d) = \text{mult}_{\lambda^*}(\mathbb{C}[\text{GL}_n]_d^H),$$

where  $H = \{\text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1\} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_n \subseteq \text{GL}_n$  is the stabilizer of  $x_1 \cdots x_n$ . The algebraic Peter-Weyl theorem (see e.g. [Kra85, II.3.1 Satz 3], [GW09, Thm. 4.2.7], or [Pro07, Ch. 7, 3.1 Thm.]) states that

$$\mathbb{C}[\text{GL}_n] = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \{\lambda\} \otimes \{\lambda^*\}$$

and we conclude

$$\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{GL}_n]_d^H) = \dim\{\lambda\}^H.$$

There are several ways of seeing that  $\dim\{\lambda\}^H = a_\lambda(n[d])$ , see e.g. [Lan17, Sec. 9.2.3] or [Ike18, Prop. 3.3]. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

Now an argument using symmetric functions is used to prove the following theorem.

**3.5 Theorem.**  $a_{(n^2-2, n, 2)}(n+1[n]) = 1 + a_{(n^2-2, n, 2)}(n[n+1])$ .

Theorem 3.5 is a corollary of more general results, see Corollary 4.8 in the appendix.

This finishes the proof that  $(n^2 - 2, n, 2)$  is a multiplicity obstruction in all cases of Theorem 2.3.

## No occurrence obstructions

To finish the proof of Theorem 2.3(2), it remains to show that there are no occurrence obstructions in the finite situation  $n = 6, m = 3$  and  $n = 7, m = 4$ . We will primarily go into more detail for the first case and the second one will be proven similarly. We will do this by showing that

$$a_\mu(d[n]) > 0 \text{ implies } \text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) > 0 \text{ for } n = 6, m = 3. \quad (3.6)$$

Note that this claim is independent of  $k$ . We start proving (3.6) by giving a complete classification of when  $a_\mu(d[n]) > 0$  for the case  $n = 6, m = 3$ .

First, the following lemma states that for a few special  $\mu$  the plethysm coefficient always vanishes.

**3.7 Lemma.** *Let  $\bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$  denote  $\lambda$  without its first row. If  $\lambda$  is an  $m$ -partition of  $dn$  and  $\bar{\lambda} \in \{(3, 3), (3, 1), (2, 1), (1, 1), (1)\}$ , then  $a_\lambda(d[n]) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* This is proved by a finite calculation for all cases but (3, 3) as Thm 1.10(a) in [IP17]. Exactly the same calculation can be used to also prove the result for the additional partition (3, 3).  $\square$

For characterizing the set of all  $\mu$  for which  $a_\mu(d[n])$  is positive, we observe that they form a finitely generated *semigroup* and hence we only need to find the semigroup's generators:

$$\text{If } a_\mu(d[n]) > 0 \text{ and } a_\nu(d'[n]) > 0, \text{ then } a_{\mu+\nu}(d + d'[n]) > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

A detailed proof of (3.8) can be found for example in [BI18, Prop. 21.2.6].

**3.9 Proposition.** *Define the set*

$$\begin{aligned} X := \{ & (6), (6, 6), (8, 4), (10, 2), (6, 6, 6), (8, 6, 4), (10, 4, 4), (9, 6, 3), (8, 8, 2), (10, 6, 2), \\ & (11, 5, 2), (10, 7, 1), (12, 4, 2), (11, 6, 1), (10, 8), (14, 2, 2), (13, 4, 1), (13, 5), (15, 3), (8, 8, 8), \\ & (10, 8, 6), (11, 7, 6), (10, 9, 5), (11, 8, 5), (10, 10, 4), (12, 7, 5), (11, 9, 4), (13, 6, 5), (12, 8, 4), \\ & (11, 10, 3), (13, 7, 4), (12, 9, 3), (13, 8, 3), (12, 10, 2), (15, 5, 4), (14, 7, 3), (13, 9, 2), (13, 10, 1), \\ & (16, 5, 3), (15, 7, 2), (14, 9, 1), (17, 4, 3), (15, 8, 1), (15, 9), (19, 3, 2), (18, 5, 1), (17, 7), (10, 10, 10), \\ & (11, 10, 9), (12, 10, 8), (13, 9, 8), (12, 11, 7), (13, 10, 7), (14, 9, 7), (13, 11, 6), (15, 8, 7), (13, 12, 5), \\ & (16, 7, 7), (15, 9, 6), (14, 11, 5), (13, 13, 4), (15, 10, 5), (15, 11, 4), (14, 13, 3), (16, 11, 3), (15, 13, 2), \\ & (15, 14, 1), (17, 13), (13, 12, 11), (14, 11, 11), (13, 13, 10), (15, 11, 10), (14, 13, 9), (16, 11, 9), \\ & (15, 13, 8), (15, 14, 7), (18, 9, 9), (15, 15, 6), (17, 17, 2), (18, 17, 1), (26, 5, 5), (15, 14, 13), (16, 13, 13), \\ & (15, 15, 12), (17, 17, 8), (18, 15, 15), (17, 17, 14), (25, 23), (45, 45) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we truncated trailing zeros from the 3-partitions. The set  $X$  is the set of generators of the semigroup of 3-partitions  $\mu$  that have  $a_\mu(d[6]) > 0$ .

The proof of Proposition 3.9 proceeds in several steps.

A direct computation with the LiE software verifies  $a_\mu(d[6]) > 0$  for all  $\mu \in X \setminus \{(45, 45)\}$ . The case  $d = 15$  runs into memory problems when using LiE. Other software such as SCHUR stops working when  $d = 8$ . We used the formula [Stu08, Cor. 4.2.8] to verify  $a_{(45, 45)}(15[6]) > 0$ .

We call the number of nonzero parts the *length* of a partition. We use a brute-force computer verification and a direct computation with LiE to show that for  $d \leq 26$  every partition  $\mu$  of length  $\leq 2$  with  $a_\mu(d[6]) > 0$  is a sum of partitions from the set  $X$ . The same computation is done for all 3-partitions, but only up to  $d \leq 14$ . The following proposition states that these finite computations completely describe all cases.

**3.10 Proposition.** *If  $\lambda$  is a 3-partition of  $6d$ ,  $d \geq 15$ , and  $\bar{\lambda} \notin \{(3, 3), (3, 1), (2, 1), (1, 1), (1)\}$ , then  $\lambda$  is a sum of partitions from  $X$ .*

*Proof.* For  $15 \leq d \leq 17$  we use a computer calculation to show that we can write every such partition  $\lambda$  as a sum of partitions from  $X$ . For  $d > 17$  we prove this inductively by showing that we can write every 3-partition  $\lambda$  of  $6d$  with  $\bar{\lambda} \notin \{(3, 3), (3, 1), (2, 1), (1, 1), (1)\}$  as a sum of one of the partitions (6), (6, 6) or (6, 6, 6) and a smaller  $\lambda'$  with again  $\bar{\lambda}' \notin \{(3, 3), (3, 1), (2, 1), (1, 1), (1)\}$ .

Let  $c_i$  denote the number of columns in  $\lambda$  with exactly  $i$  boxes for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Since we have at least 108 boxes in  $\lambda$ , the pigeonhole principle implies that at least one must be true:  $c_1 \geq 6$ ,  $c_2 \geq 10$  or  $c_3 \geq 10$ .

In the case  $c_1 \geq 6$  we have  $\lambda = \lambda' + (6)$  with  $\lambda'$  being a sum of elements from  $X$  since  $\bar{\lambda}' = \bar{\lambda}$ . In the case  $c_2 \geq 10$  we have  $\lambda = \lambda' + (6, 6)$  with  $\lambda'$  being a sum of elements from  $X$  as  $\lambda'_2 \geq 4$ . In the case  $c_3 \geq 10$  we have  $\lambda = \lambda' + (6, 6, 6)$  with  $\lambda'$  being a sum of elements from  $X$  as  $\lambda'_3 \geq 4$ .  $\square$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.9.

To prove (3.6) it is sufficient (and necessary) to show that  $\text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) > 0$  for all  $\mu \in X$ , because a semigroup property analogous to (3.8) holds (the same proof applies, e.g. [BI18, Prop. 21.2.6]):

$$\text{If } \text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) > 0 \text{ and } \text{mult}_\nu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_{d'}) > 0, \text{ then } \text{mult}_{\mu+\nu}(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_{d+d'}) > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

If the length of  $\mu$  is at most 2, we use the following general result.

**3.12 Proposition.** *Let  $\mu$  be a 3-partition of length at most 2. If  $a_\mu(d[n]) > 0$ , then  $\text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* We use an inheritance result: If for a 2-partition  $\mu$  we have  $\text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_2^n]_d) > 0$ , and  $\nu$  is the 3-partition that arises from  $\mu$  by adding a single 0, then  $\text{mult}_\nu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_3^n]_d) > 0$ . The proof is completely analogous to other inheritance results, see e.g. [Ike12, Lemma 4.3.2 or Sec. 5.3]. Now for 2-partitions  $\mu$  we have  $a_\mu(d[n]) = \text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_2^n]_d)$ , because every homogeneous polynomial in 2 variables decomposes as a product of homogeneous linear polynomials by the fundamental theorem of algebra, see also e.g. [Lan17, Exa. 9.1.1.8]. This is how the Hermite reciprocity can be proved. An even simpler argument works if  $\mu$  has length 1.  $\square$

We finish the proof of (3.6) by using a computer calculation to verify that for all 3-partitions  $\mu \in X$  of length 3 we have  $\text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_3^6]_d) > 0$ , see Proposition 5.1.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.3(2a). The proof of Theorem 2.3(2b) is completely analogous as follows. Let  $m = 4$ ,  $n = 7$ .

**3.13 Lemma.** *Let  $\bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$  denote  $\lambda$  without its first row. If  $\lambda$  is an  $m$ -partition of  $dn$  and  $\bar{\lambda} \in Y$  for  $Y := \{(1), (1, 1), (1, 1, 1), (2, 1), (2, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 1, 1), (3, 2, 1), (3, 3), (3, 3, 1), (3, 3, 2), (3, 3, 3), (4, 1, 1), (4, 3, 3), (5, 1, 1), (5, 5, 5), (6, 1, 1)\}$ , then  $a_\lambda(d[n]) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* This is proven exactly like Lemma 3.7.  $\square$

The semigroup of 4-partitions  $\lambda$  that have  $a_\lambda(d[7]) > 0$  has 948 generators, listed in Proposition 7.1. They form a set that we call  $X$ .

We again use a direct computation with the LiE software to verify  $a_\mu(d[7]) > 0$  for all  $\mu \in X \setminus \{(49, 49), (24, 24, 23, 23)\}$ . For both the remaining partitions  $\mu \in \{(49, 49), (24, 24, 23, 23)\}$  we prove  $\text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_4^7]_d) > 0$  using our computer calculations which also implies  $a_\mu(d[7]) > 0$ .

To prove those are all the generators we use the following proposition which is proved completely analogously to Proposition 3.10.

**3.14 Proposition.** *If  $\lambda$  is a 4-partition of  $7d$ ,  $d \geq 14$ , and  $\bar{\lambda} \notin Y$ , then  $\lambda$  is a sum of partitions from  $X$ .*

For the next finite case ( $n = 7$ ,  $k = d = 8$ ,  $m = 5$ ) we reached the computational limit of our implementation. Here we were able to find 5016 generating partitions of the semigroup of 4-partitions  $\mu$  that have  $a_\mu(d[7]) > 0$ . Unfortunately these do not generate everything excluding the exceptions yet. We were able to verify for 5000 generating partitions  $\mu$  that  $\text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) > 0$ . For the remaining ones, we used up to 200 GB of RAM, but this was not sufficient.

## Some occurrence obstructions

As we degenerate the parameter settings and let  $n$  get closer to  $m$ , multiplicity obstructions tend to become occurrence obstructions. More precisely, for  $m = 3$  and values of  $n < 6$ , and for  $(m, n) = (4, 6)$ , some multiplicity obstructions are actually also occurrence obstructions, as the following proposition shows.

**3.15 Proposition.** *The following partitions give occurrence obstructions that show  $\text{Pow}_{m,d}^n \not\subseteq \text{Ch}_m^n$ .*

$m$	$n$	$\lambda$	$d$	$a_\lambda(d[n])$	$a_\lambda(n[d])$
3	2	(2, 2, 2)	3	1	0
3	3	(7, 3, 2)	4	1	0
3	4	(11, 9, 8)	7	1	0
3	5	(12, 9, 9)	6	1	0
4	6	(14, 14, 13, 13)	9	11	0

*Proof.* The plethysm coefficient computations were performed with the LiE software. Lemma 3.4 implies that  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_m^n]_d) \leq a_\lambda(n[d]) = 0$ . Proposition 3.3 implies  $\text{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\text{Pow}_{m,d}^n]_d) > 0$ .  $\square$

See [BHI17, Prop. 4] for additional occurrence obstructions in the case  $n = 3$ .

## 4 Plethysm inequalities

We are interested in the plethysm coefficients  $a_\lambda(d[m])$  for certain values of  $\lambda$  and  $d, m$ . Here we compute such values for infinite families of parameters and in particular, prove Theorem 3.5.

We will work over the ring of symmetric functions  $\Lambda$ , defined as the ring of formal power series (in finitely or infinitely many variables) which are invariant under any transposition of the variables. For the definitions and main identities see e.g. [Sta99]. Plethysms of symmetric functions are described also there in Appendix 2 of Chapter 7, here we review the necessary definitions.

The characters of the irreducible  $GL_r$ -module  $W_\lambda$  are the Schur functions  $s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  correspond to the eigenvalues of the conjugacy class representative from  $GL_r$ . Their combinatorial interpretation is as the generating function over all semi-standard Young tableaux with entries  $1, \dots, r$ , but we will use certain determinantal formulas as described below. The complete homogeneous symmetric functions  $h_\ell$  are defined as  $s_{(\ell)}$  and are the characters of the  $\text{Sym}^\ell$  module. The  $\text{Sym}^d(\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^r))$  module is obtained as the composition of the two representations. The image in  $\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^r)$  of a diagonal matrix from  $GL_r$  with entries (i.e. eigenvalues)  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  on the diagonal has eigenvalues all the  $N := \binom{n+r-1}{r-1}$  degree  $n$  monomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ . Hence, the character of the representation  $\text{Sym}^d(\text{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^r))$  of  $GL_N$  can be obtained by evaluating the character  $h_d$  of  $\text{Sym}^d$  at the monomials, i.e. the eigenvalues above. This gives us the definition of the *symmetric function plethysm*  $h_d[h_n(x_1, \dots, x_r)]$ , that is, the evaluation of  $h_d$  on the variables consisting of all degree  $n$  monomials, i.e.

$$h_d[h_n(x_1, \dots, x_r)] := h_d(x_1^n, x_1^{n-1}x_2, x_1^{n-1}x_3, \dots, x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_r^{\alpha_r}, \dots),$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$  runs over all compositions of  $n$ .

In general, knowing the character of a representation contains all the information to obtain the multiplicities of the irreducible decomposition via the inner product of characters. As the Schur functions  $s_\lambda$  are the irreducible characters for  $GL_r$ , the inner product is equivalent to an inner product in the ring  $\Lambda$ , where  $\{s_\lambda\}_\lambda$  is an orthonormal basis. In other words, the multiplicity of the Weyl module of weight  $\lambda$  is given by the multiplicity of the Schur function  $s_\lambda$  in the expansion of  $h_d[h_n]$ . We will now compute this via the inner product in the ring  $\Lambda$  of symmetric functions, using some basic properties of this ring as found in [Sta99] and [Mac95].

We have that  $a_\lambda(d[n])$  is the multiplicity of  $\{\lambda\}$  in  $\text{Sym}^d \text{Sym}^n$ , translated into characters this is also the coefficient at  $s_\lambda$  of the expansion of  $h_d[h_n]$  in Schur function. By their orthonormality, this is the same as

$$a_\lambda(d[n]) = \langle s_\lambda, h_d[h_n] \rangle \quad (4.1)$$

We now invoke various symmetric function identities in order to compute the above inner product. The Schur functions  $s_\lambda$  can be expressed via the Jacobi-Trudi formula (see again [Sta99, Ch. 7]) as a signed sums of homogeneous symmetric functions, namely

$$s_\lambda = \det [h_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{i,j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)}, \quad (4.2)$$

the inner product (4.1) can then be computed via a signed sum of inner products of the form  $\langle h_\mu, h_d[h_n] \rangle$ . We remark that the orthogonal dual basis for the complete homogeneous symmetric functions is the monomial symmetric functions, i.e.

$$\langle h_\mu, m_\nu \rangle = \delta_{\mu,\nu},$$



so we need to express  $h_d[h_n]$  in terms of the monomial symmetric functions, defined by

$$m_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_r) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_r(\nu)} x_1^{\nu_{\sigma(1)}} x_2^{\nu_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots x_r^{\nu_{\sigma(r)}},$$

where the sum ranges over all distinct permutations of  $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_r)$  and  $\nu$  is completed with 0s to the length  $r$ . Since the monomial symmetric functions form a basis for  $\Lambda$ , we can expand any symmetric function in it uniquely. Let

$$h_d[h_n] = \sum_{\nu} c_\nu m_\nu$$

, for some constants  $c_\nu$  (i.e. the coefficients in this expansion). Since each  $m_\nu$  has a unique leading monomial (in the lexicographic order)  $x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} \cdots$ , finding  $c_\nu$  is equivalent to extracting the coefficient at the single monomial  $x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots$  from the monomial expansion of the corresponding symmetric function as a polynomial, i.e.

$$c_\nu = (x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} \cdots) @ h_d[h_n(x_1, x_2, \dots)],$$

where to avoid confusion with the plethysm notation we denote by  $(X)@f$  the coefficient of the monomial  $X$  in the monomial expansion of the polynomial  $f$ .

Let  $\nu$  be a partition of length  $\ell$ . By the above remarks we need to consider only the truncated expansion  $h_d[h_n(x_1, \dots, x_\ell)]$  as only the monomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_\ell$  will be relevant.

We have the following formula for the  $h$ 's, see e.g. [Sta99]:

$$h_N(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{(b): b_1 + b_2 + \cdots = N} x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots,$$

where  $(b) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r)$  runs over all (weak) compositions of  $N$ . Hence, assuming some total ordering for compositions  $\alpha^i$  of  $n$ , we have

$$h_d[h_n(x_1, \dots, x_r)] = h_d[\dots, x^{\alpha^i}, \dots] = \sum_{(b): |b|=d} x^{\sum_i b_i \alpha^i}$$

Thus for the coefficients  $c_\nu$  we have:

$$c_\nu(d, n) := (x^\nu) @ h_d[h_n] = \langle h_\nu, h_d[h_n] \rangle = \#\{(b) : |b| = d, \sum_i b_i \alpha^i = \nu\} \quad (4.3)$$

By the Jacobi-Trudi identity (4.2) this gives a formula for computing the plethysm coefficients as

$$a_\lambda(d[n]) = \langle \det [h_{\lambda_i - i + j}]_{i,j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)}, h_d[h_n] \rangle = \sum_{\pi \in S_{\ell(\lambda)}} \text{sgn}(\pi) c_{\lambda + \pi_{-(1,2,\dots)}}(d, n), \quad (4.4)$$

where the permutations  $\pi$  are viewed as vectors with entries  $1, 2, \dots, \ell(\lambda)$

We now turn towards the proof of Theorem 3.5 and consider  $s_\lambda$  for  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 2)$  for some  $k \geq 2$ . By the Jacobi-Trudi identity (4.4) we need to compute only  $c_\nu$  for  $\nu$  having at most 3 parts, with  $\nu_3 = 0, 1, 2$ . Let  $p_r(a, b)$  denote the number of partitions of  $r$  which fit inside an  $a \times b$  rectangle, it's generating function is the  $q$ -binomial coefficient (see [Sta11]):

$$\binom{a+b}{a}_q = \frac{(1-q) \cdots (1-q^{a+b})}{(1-q) \cdots (1-q^a)(1-q) \cdots (1-q^b)} = \sum_{r=0}^{ab} p_r(a, b) q^r$$

**4.5 Proposition.** *We have the following generating function identities for  $c_\nu(d, n)$ , where  $\ell(\nu) \leq 3$  and  $\nu_3 \leq 2$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(L,k,2)} &= (q^k) @ \left( \binom{n}{1}_q \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q + \binom{n-1}{1}_q \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q + q \binom{n}{2}_q \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q \right) \\ c_{(L,k,1)} &= (q^k) @ \binom{n}{1}_q \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q \\ c_{(L,k,0)} &= (q^k) @ \binom{n+d}{n}_q = p_k(n, d) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By formula (4.3), we have the following

$$c_{(L,k,0)} = \#\{(b) : |b| = d, \sum b_i \alpha^i = (L, k)\}$$

Hence, the only  $\alpha^i$  involved are of the form  $\alpha^i = (n - a_i, a_i)$ , and after renumeration, we can assume  $a_i = i$ . So we are counting compositions  $b$  of  $d$ , s.t.  $\sum_i b_i i = k$  for  $i = 0 \dots n$ . This is exactly the same as specifying an integer partition  $\gamma$  of  $k$  by the number of its parts, i.e.  $\gamma = (0^{b_0}, 1^{b_1}, \dots, n^{b_n})$ , such that  $b_0 + \dots + b_n = d$ . These restrictions are equivalent to  $\gamma$  fitting inside an  $n \times d$  box, and the number of such  $\gamma$  is exactly  $p_k(d, n)$ .

Next, when the second part in  $\nu$  is 1, we have the following. Since  $\nu_3 = 1$ , the condition  $\sum_i b_i \alpha_3^i = \nu_3 = 1$  implies that there is a single  $i$ , such that  $b_i \alpha_3^i \neq 0$ , and in fact must be 1, so  $b_i = \alpha_3^i = 1$ . After renumeration, we can assume that  $i = 0$  (for separation purposes) with  $b_0 = \alpha_3^0 = 1$  and  $\alpha^0 = (n - 1 - r, r, 1)$  for  $r = 0 \dots n - 1$ . For the remaining  $b$ 's and  $\alpha$ s we have

$$\sum_i b_i \alpha^i = (L, k) - (n - 1 - r, r) = (L + r - n + 1, k - r)$$

with  $b_1 + \dots = d - 1$ , and  $|\alpha^i| = n$ . This number is now, by the previous case,  $(q^{k-r})_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q$ . The total number is thus

$$c_{(L,k,1)} = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (q^{k-r})_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q = (q^k)_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} q^r \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q = (q^k)_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \binom{n}{1}_q \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q.$$

Finally, when  $\nu_3 = 2$  we have the following two distinct options:

Either there is an index  $i$ , such that  $b_i \alpha_3^i = \nu_3 = 2$ , or  $i < j$  with  $b_i \alpha_3^i = 1$  and  $b_j \alpha_3^j = 1$ .

In the first case we have  $b_i \alpha_3^i = 2$  - either  $b_i = 2$ , in which case  $\alpha^i = (n - 1 - r, r, 1)$  and the rest of the  $b$ 's sum to  $d - b_i = d - 2$ , which brings us to the previous case (of  $(L, k, 1)$ ), so the number is

$$(q^k)_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \binom{n}{1}_q \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q.$$

Otherwise,  $b_i = 1$  and  $\alpha_3^i = 2$ . As in the case  $\nu_3 = 1$ , let  $i = 0$  and  $\alpha^0 = (n - 2 - r, r, 2)$ ,  $b_0 = 1$ , so we are looking for the number of  $(b_1, \dots)$  with  $|b| = d - 1$  and such that  $\sum_i b_i \alpha^i = (L - n + r + 2, k - r)$  for all possible  $r = 0, \dots, n - 2$ . So this is

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-2} (q^{k-r})_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q = (q^k)_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \binom{n-1}{1}_q \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q$$

Last, when there are  $i < j$  with  $b_i \alpha_3^i = 1$  and  $b_j \alpha_3^j = 1$ , let  $i = -1$ ,  $j = 0$  (again, renumeration for simplicity), with  $\alpha^{-1} = (n - 1 - r_1, r_1, 1)$  and  $\alpha^0 = (n - 1 - r_2, r_2, 1)$  with  $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq n - 1$ . We thus have for the remaining  $\alpha$  and  $b$ s that  $b_1 + \dots = d - 2$ , and  $\sum_i b_i \alpha^i = (L - (n - 1 - r_1) - (n - 1 - r_2), k - r_1 - r_2)$ . By the first case, this is

$(q^{k-r_1-r_2})_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q$ . Summing over all possible  $0 < r_1 < r_2 \leq n - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q^k)_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \sum_{0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq n-1} q^{r_1+r_2} \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q &= (q^k)_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \sum_{0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2-1 \leq n-2} q^{r_1+(r_2-1)} \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q \\ &= (q^k)_{\text{q}}^{\text{@}} \binom{n-2+2}{2}_q \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q, \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity follows from interpreting  $(r_2 - 1, r_1)$  as a partition in the  $2 \times n - 2$  rectangle. Summing over all the cases considered here, we get the desired total coefficient.  $\square$

**4.6 Proposition.** *The plethysm coefficient for  $\lambda = (L, r, 2)$  is equal to*

$$a_\lambda(d[n]) = (q^{r+1}) @ \left( \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q \frac{q(1-q^n)(1-q^2+q-q^n)}{1-q^2} \right. \\ \left. + \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q (q^{n+1}-1) + (1-q) \binom{n+d}{n}_q \right)$$

*Proof.* Following equation (4.4), we have that

$$a_\lambda(d[n]) = c_{(L,r,2)} - c_{(L,r+1,1)} - c_{(L+1,r-1,2)} + c_{(L+1,r+1,0)} + c_{(L+2,r-1,1)} - c_{(L+2,r,0)}.$$

Substituting the formulas for the  $c$ 's from Proposition 4.5, and observing that  $(q^{r+j}) @ f = (q^r) @ q^{-j} f$  for any  $j$ , we have that

$$a_\lambda(d[n]) = (q^{r+1}) @ \left( (q-q^2) \left( \binom{n}{1}_q \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q + \binom{n-1}{1}_q \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q + q \binom{n}{2}_q \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q \right) \right. \\ \left. + (q^2-1) \binom{n}{1}_q \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q + (1-q) \binom{n+d}{n}_q \right)$$

Simplifying the above expression by grouping terms for the same binomial coefficients together we obtain

$$a_\lambda(d[n]) = (q^{r+1}) @ \left( \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q \frac{q(1-q^n)(1-q^2+q-q^n)}{1-q^2} \right. \\ \left. + \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q (q^{n+1}-1) + (1-q) \binom{n+d}{n}_q \right)$$

□

**4.7 Proposition.** *Let  $\lambda = (L, r, 2)$ . We have that*

$$a_\lambda(d[n]) - a_\lambda(n[d]) = (q^r) @ \binom{n+d-2}{n-1}_q (q^n - q^d) \frac{(1-q^{d-1})(1-q^{n-1})}{(1-q^d)(1-q^n)}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $[a]!_q := (1-q) \cdots (1-q^a)$ , a variant of the usual factorial  $q$ -analogue but multiplied by  $(1-q)^a$ , and consider the desired difference via the formula in Proposition 4.6:

$$a_\lambda(d[n]) - a_\lambda(n[d]) = (q^{r+1}) @ \\ \left\{ \left( \binom{n+d-2}{n}_q \frac{q(1-q^n)(1-q^2+q-q^n)}{1-q^2} + \binom{n+d-1}{n}_q (q^{n+1}-1) \right) \right. \\ \left. - \left( \binom{n+d-2}{d}_q \frac{q(1-q^d)(1-q^2+q-q^d)}{1-q^2} - \binom{n+d-1}{d}_q (q^{d+1}-1) \right) \right\} \\ = \frac{[n+d-2]!_q}{[n-2]!_q [d-2]!_q} \frac{q(q^{n-1}-q^{d-1})}{(1-q^{n-1})(1-q^{d-1})} - \frac{[n+d-1]!_q}{[n-1]!_q [d-1]!_q} \frac{(1-q)(q^n-q^d)}{(1-q^n)(1-q^d)} \\ = \binom{n+d-2}{n-1}_q (q^n - q^d) \left( 1 - \frac{(1-q^{n+d-1})(1-q)}{(1-q^n)(1-q^d)} \right) \\ = \binom{n+d-2}{n-1}_q (q^n - q^d) \frac{q(1-q^{d-1})(1-q^{n-1})}{(1-q^d)(1-q^n)} \left. \right\}$$

Finally, observe that the RHS is a polynomial divisible by  $q$ , so the coefficient at  $q^{r+1}$  is the same as the coefficient at  $q^r$  after dividing by  $q$ . □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.5 as a Corollary of the above computations:

**4.8 Corollary.** [Theorem 3.5] Let  $d = n + 1$  and  $\lambda = (n^2 + n - 2 - r, r, 2)$ . Then  $a_\lambda((n + 1)[n]) - a_\lambda(n[n + 1]) \geq 0$ , with

$$a_\lambda((n + 1)[n]) - a_\lambda(n[n + 1]) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } r < n, \\ 1, & \text{when } r = n, \\ > 0, & \text{when } r > n \text{ and } n \geq 7, \end{cases}$$

with the exception in the last case when  $n = 8$ , and  $r = 35$  when  $a_{(35,35,2)}(9[8]) = a_{(35,35,2)}(8[9])$ .

*Proof.* Then by the Proposition 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_\lambda(n + 1[n]) - a_\lambda(n[n + 1]) &= (q^r) @ \binom{2n - 1}{n - 1}_q (q^n - q^{n+1}) \frac{(1 - q^n)(1 - q^{n-1})}{(1 - q^{n+1})(1 - q^n)} \\ &= (q^r) @ \binom{2n - 1}{n - 1}_q (q^n - q^{n+1}) \frac{(1 - q^{n-1})}{1 - q^{n+1}} = (q^r) @ \binom{2n - 1}{n - 2}_q (q^n - q^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

The last line follows by absorbing the fraction into the  $q$ -binomial coefficient. It is now evident, that since the  $q$ -binomial coefficient expands into a polynomial of  $q$  (with coefficients given by  $p_*(n - 2, n + 1)$ ), multiplying it with  $q^n$  or  $q^{n+1}$  gives two polynomials whose lowest order terms are  $q^n$  and  $q^{n+1}$  respectively. So if  $r < n$ , there is no term of such degree, and the coefficient is 0. when  $r = n$  we see that such term can only come from the first polynomial's first (lowest order) term, which is exactly  $q^n$  since  $\binom{2n-1}{n-2}_q q^n = q^n(1 + q + 2q^2 + \dots) = q^n + O(q^{n+1})$ . Therefore we obtain the case  $r = n$ .

Let now  $r > n$ , and set  $r = n + k + 1$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} a_\lambda((n + 1)[n]) - a_\lambda(n[n + 1]) &= (q^{k+1}) @ \binom{2n - 1}{n - 2}_q - (q^k) @ \binom{2n - 1}{n - 2}_q \\ &= p_{k+1}(n + 1, n - 2) - p_k(n + 1, n - 2) \\ &= g((n^2 - n - 3 - k, k + 1), (n + 1)^{n-2}, (n + 1)^{n-2}) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g$  denotes the Kronecker coefficient for the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  for the 3 given partititons, and the last identity and the strict positivity are shown to hold for  $n \geq 9$  in [PP13], and the other cases are verified by direct expansion of the  $q$ -binomial coefficients. In particular, we have that  $p_{26}(9, 6) = 227 = p_{27}(9, 6)$  which gives the only exceptional 0 plethysm.  $\square$

## 5 Computer calculations

The following computer calculation for Proposition 5.1 is a refinement and speedup of the computation performed in [CIM16]. Indeed, a run of the method from [CIM16] would take significantly too long to prove Proposition 5.1 in any reasonable time. Our new method makes extensive use of memory resources, while the method from [CIM16] uses almost no memory.

**5.1 Proposition.** If  $X$  is defined as in Proposition 3.9, then for all  $\mu \in X$  of length 3 we have  $\text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_3^6]) > 0$ .

If  $X$  is defined as in Proposition 7.1, then for all  $\mu \in X$  we have  $\text{mult}_\mu(\mathbb{C}[\text{Ch}_4^7]) > 0$ .

*Proof.* For a vector space  $U$  let  $\otimes^\delta U$  denote its  $\delta$ -th tensor power. The computation that verifies Proposition 5.1 is based on the famous Schur-Weyl duality (see e.g. [Pro07, Ch. 9, eq. (3.1.4)] or [GW09, eq. (9.1)]):

$$\otimes^{dn} \mathbb{C}^m \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda} \{\lambda\} \otimes [\lambda], \quad (5.2)$$

where the sum is over all  $m$ -partitions of  $dn$ ,  $\{\lambda\}$  is the irreducible  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -representation of type  $\lambda$ , and  $[\lambda]$  is the irreducible  $\mathfrak{S}_{dn}$ -representation of type  $\lambda$ , which is called the Specht module.

For a  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -representation  $W$ , a highest weight vector of type  $\lambda$  is a vector  $f \in W$  such that two properties hold: (1)  $\mathrm{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)f = \alpha_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots \alpha_m^{\lambda_m} f$ , where  $\mathrm{diag}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$  denotes the diagonal matrix with  $\alpha_i$  on the main diagonal, and (2)  $gf = f$  for every upper triangular matrix  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_m$  with 1s on the main diagonal. The highest weight vectors of type  $\lambda$  in  $W$  form a vector space, which we call  $\mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(W)$ . Its dimension conveniently coincides with the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  in  $W$  (see e.g. [BI18, Prop 12.2.5]):

$$\mathrm{mult}_\lambda(W) = \dim \mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(W). \quad (5.3)$$

Let  $\mathrm{Sym}^\delta U \subseteq \bigotimes^\delta U$  denote the  $\mathfrak{S}_\delta$ -invariant subspace and let  $U^*$  denote the vector space dual to  $U$ . There are canonical isomorphisms  $\mathbb{C}[U]_d \simeq \mathrm{Sym}^d(U^*) \simeq (\mathrm{Sym}^d U)^*$ . Observe that there are canonical  $\mathrm{GL}_m$ -equivariant surjections:

$$\bigotimes^{dn} \mathbb{C}^m \simeq \bigotimes^d (\bigotimes^n \mathbb{C}^{m*})^* \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^{m*}))^*}_{=\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]_d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ch}_m^n]_d,$$

where the first surjection is the symmetrization  $S_{d,n} := \frac{1}{d!(n!)^d} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n \wr \mathfrak{S}_d} \sigma$  over the wreath product  $\mathfrak{S}_n \wr \mathfrak{S}_d$  and the second surjection is the restriction of functions from  $\mathbb{A}_m^n$  to the subvariety  $\mathrm{Ch}_m^n$ . Now, restricting to the highest weight vector space of type  $\lambda$ , we obtain surjections

$$\mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(\bigotimes^{dn} \mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow \mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]_d) \rightarrow \mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ch}_m^n]_d). \quad (5.4)$$

To prove that  $\mathrm{mult}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ch}_m^n]_d) > 0$  we combine (5.3) with (5.4), so our goal is to find a nonzero vector in  $\mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(\bigotimes^{dn} \mathbb{C}^m)$  that does not vanish under the composition of both surjections in (5.4). The vector space  $\mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(\bigotimes^{dn} \mathbb{C}^m)$  is well known and we construct its elements as follows. For a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  let  $\mu$  be its transposed partition, i.e.,  $\mu_i := |\{j \mid \lambda_j \geq i\}|$ . If we depict a partition by its Young diagram, which is a top-left justified array of boxes,  $\lambda_i$  in each row, then  $\mu$  is obtained by reflecting  $\lambda$  at its diagonal, hence the name ‘‘transposed partition’’. It is straightforward to verify that the following vector  $v_\lambda$  is contained in  $\mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(\bigotimes^{dn} \mathbb{C}^m)$ :

$$v_\lambda := v_{\mu_1} \otimes v_{\mu_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\mu_{\lambda_1}},$$

where  $v_i := e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_i := \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_i} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) e_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\sigma(i)}$  is a highest weight vector of type  $\underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}_{i \text{ times}}$ . Equation (5.2) combined with the fact that  $\{\lambda\}$  contains a single highest weight vector

line of type  $\lambda$  and no highest weight vector of any other type (see e.g. [Kra85, III.1.4, Satz 1]) implies that  $\{\pi v_\lambda \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{dn}\}$  is a generating set of the vector space  $\mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(\bigotimes^{dn} \mathbb{C}^m)$  (cp. [Ike12, Claim 4.2.13]). Thus  $\{S_{d,n} \pi v_\lambda \mid \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{dn}\}$  is a generating set of  $\mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_m^n]_d)$ . The evaluation of  $S_{d,n} \pi v_\lambda$  at a point  $p \in \mathbb{A}_m^n = \mathrm{Sym}^n \mathbb{C}^{m*}$  is known to equal the tensor contraction

$$(p^{\otimes d}) S_{d,n} \pi v_\lambda, \quad (5.5)$$

see e.g. [Ike12, Sec. 4.2(A)]. Since  $p^{\otimes d} S_{d,n} = p^{\otimes d}$ , (5.5) equals

$$(p^{\otimes d}) \pi v_\lambda, \quad (5.6)$$

as observed e.g. in [Ike12, p. 39]. Therefore, the statement  $\dim \mathrm{HWV}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Ch}_m^n]_d) > 0$  is equivalent to the existence of a  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{dn}$  and a  $p \in \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$  such that (5.6) is nonzero. The search for  $(\pi, p)$  is an algorithmic challenge that we tackle as follows. We choose a random  $p \in \mathrm{Ch}_m^n$ , i.e.,  $p = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \ell_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_{\sigma(i)}$ ,  $\ell_i \in \mathbb{C}^{m*}$ . To compute (5.6) we aim to *not* expand  $\pi v_\lambda$ , because a tensor contraction

$$(\ell_{i_1} \otimes \ell_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_{i_{dn}}) \pi v_\lambda \quad (5.7)$$

is just a product of determinants of matrices of size  $\leq m$ , and such determinants are efficiently computable. If we expand  $p^{\otimes d}$  into a sum of summands of the form  $\ell_{i_1} \otimes \ell_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \ell_{i_d}$ , then we obtain  $(n!)^d$  many summands, which for  $n = 6$  quickly exceeds our computational resources, even for reasonably low  $d$ . Therefore we use a *dynamic programming* approach that is based on the combinatorial interpretation of the summation (5.6) from [CIM16], which we describe next.

We identify  $\lambda$  with its Young diagram, which we interpret as a cardinality  $|\lambda|$  subset of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mu$  be the transpose of  $\lambda$ , so  $\mu_i$  denotes the length of the  $i$ -th column of  $\lambda$ . A *placement*  $\vartheta$  on  $\lambda$  is a map  $\lambda \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ . To each placement  $\vartheta$  and each column index  $i$  the corresponding determinant  $\det_{\vartheta, i}$  is defined as the determinant of the top  $\mu_i \times \mu_i$  submatrix of the  $m \times \mu_i$  matrix that is given by the linear forms  $\ell_{\vartheta(1,i)}, \ell_{\vartheta(2,i)}, \dots, \ell_{\vartheta(\mu_i, i)}$ . We number the positions in  $\lambda$  columnwise from left to right, top to bottom, so that each position  $b \in \lambda$  gets a number  $j(b) \in \{1, \dots, nd\}$ . Given  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{nd}$  we construct the Young tableau  $T$  of shape  $\lambda$  by filling  $\lambda$  at position  $b$  with the number  $T(b) := \lceil \pi(j(b))/n \rceil$ . For example, if  $\lambda = (2, 2)$ ,  $d = n = 2$ , and  $\pi$  is the transposition  $(2\ 3)$ , then  $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . The tableau  $T$  contains each number from  $\{1, \dots, d\}$  exactly  $n$  times (and each tableau  $T$  which contains each number from 1 to  $d$  exactly  $n$  times can be obtained from some  $\pi$ ). A short calculation, which for example is done in [CIM16], shows that (5.6) equals

$$\sum_{\text{proper } \vartheta} \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_1} \det_{\vartheta, i}, \quad (5.8)$$

where a placement  $\vartheta$  is *proper* if  $\vartheta$  places each number onto each number in  $T$  exactly once, i.e., for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  there exists exactly one  $b \in \lambda$  with  $(\vartheta(b), T(b)) = (i, j)$ .

The above description was used to perform the computations in [CIM16]. We now discuss some adjustments for the computations that we use. For a placement  $\vartheta$  on  $\lambda$  and for a partition  $\nu \subseteq \lambda$  we denote by  $\vartheta|_{\nu}$  the restriction of  $\vartheta$  to  $\nu$ . We say that a placement  $\vartheta$  on  $\lambda$  *extends* a placement  $\psi$  on  $\nu \subseteq \lambda$  iff  $\vartheta|_{\nu} = \psi$ . Let  $\lambda^{\leq k}$  denote the set of boxes in the first  $k$  columns of the Young diagram  $\lambda$ , and  $\lambda^{>k}$  denote the set of boxes of  $\lambda$  that are in columns  $> k$ . For a placement  $\psi$  on  $\lambda^{\leq k}$  and a placement  $\varphi$  on  $\lambda^{>k}$  let  $\psi\varphi$  denote the unique placement on  $\lambda$  that extends both  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ .

Our algorithm constructs all proper placements  $\vartheta$  on  $\lambda$  using a standard breadth-first search in a columnwise manner from left to right, top to bottom. In this way we first obtain  $\vartheta|_{\lambda^{\leq 1}}$ , then  $\vartheta|_{\lambda^{\leq 2}}$ , and so on. For each placement  $\psi$  on  $\lambda^{\leq k}$  we observe that

$$\sum_{\text{proper } \vartheta \text{ extending } \psi} \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda_1} \det_{\vartheta, i} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \det_{\psi, i} \right) \underbrace{\left( \sum_{\text{proper } \vartheta \text{ extending } \psi} \prod_{i=k+1}^{\lambda_1} \det_{\vartheta, i} \right)}_{=: \alpha(\psi)}. \quad (5.9)$$

Two placements  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  on  $\lambda^{\leq k}$  are called *equivalent* if they can be obtained from each other by permuting entries between positions that have the same number in  $T$ . The crucial observation is that  $\alpha(\psi) = \alpha(\psi')$  if  $\psi$  is equivalent to  $\psi'$ . Therefore we can store and reuse each  $\alpha(\psi)$  that we encounter throughout the algorithm without computing it again. Although this requires a significant amount of memory, it enables us to crucially cut down the computation time.<sup>1</sup>

Define  $\kappa_i(T^{\leq k})$  as the number of times the number  $i$  appears in the first  $k$  columns of  $T$ . Then the number of non-equivalent  $\psi$  can easily be calculated as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lambda_1} \prod_{i=1}^d \binom{n}{\kappa_i(T^{\leq k})} \quad (5.10)$$

Note that depending on  $T$  the number of non-equivalent  $\psi$  can wildly vary and with it also our running time and memory usage. Generally, it seems that semistandard tableaux, i.e., tableaux with

<sup>1</sup>Since  $\alpha(\psi)$  for any placement  $\psi$  on  $\lambda^{\leq k}$  only depends on  $\alpha(\psi')$  for some placements  $\psi'$  on  $\lambda^{\leq k+1}$  we don't have to keep the results for all  $k$  at the same point in time, which again cuts down our memory usage by a small factor. This was especially relevant for the case  $m = 4, n = 7$ , although not sufficient for all partitions.

non-decreasing rows and strictly increasing columns, can be evaluated faster. It is sufficient to restrict our attention to semistandard  $T$  only, see e.g. [Ike12, Sec. 4.3(A)]. For many partitions there were too many semistandard tableaux in order to generate all of them, so a set of random semistandard tableaux was chosen in these cases. In either case we tested the chosen tableaux by increasing value of (5.10). Additionally, as soon as we find  $\prod_{i=1}^k \det_{\psi, i}$  to be zero we do not have to evaluate the corresponding  $\alpha(\psi)$ . Numerical problems were avoided by working over a finite field.  $\square$

The actual tableaux found in both these calculations can be found in Section 8.

## 6 Tableau computation results for Proposition 3.2

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.* We computed the following 8 tableaux that index a basis of  $\text{HWV}_{34,6,2}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_3^6]_7)$ , in complete analogy to [BIP19, Sec. 6]. The corresponding functions can be readily evaluated at 8 random points in  $\text{Pow}_{3,4}^6$  to obtain an  $8 \times 8$  matrix whose non-singularity proves the first part of Proposition 3.2.

1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7
2	3	3	4	5																								
3	4																											
1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7
2	2	4	4	5																								
6	6																											
1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	7
2	2	2	5	5																								
4	4																											
1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	7
2	4	4	4	4	7																							
5	5																											
1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	7
2	2	2	2	4	4																							
3	6																											
1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	7
2	3	5	6	6																								
4	4																											
1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	7
3	3	4	5	5	7																							
4	6																											
1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	7
2	2	3	3	6	7																							
4	5																											

The second part is proved analogously by studying  $\text{HWV}_{47,7,2}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{A}_3^7]_8)$  and using the following 11 tableaux.

1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	8
2	2	4	7	7	8																											
5	7																															
1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	8
2	2	2	5	5	7																											
4	6																															
1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	
2	2	2	2	4	6	8																										
8	8																															
1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	8	
2	2	2	2	3	3	8																										
5	8																															
1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	
2	2	4	8	8	8																											
8	8																															
1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	
2	2	4	4	5	5	7																										
7	8																															
1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	
2	2	3	3	5	7	8																										
4	6																															
1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	8	8	8	
2	3	3	4	5	7	8																										
3	6																															

$\square$





## 8 Tableau computation results for Proposition 5.1

In the following we list the actual tableaux from our computer computations described in the proof of Proposition 5.1.

We start with the case  $n = 6, m = 3$ . The only partition where we were not able to generate all the semistandard tableaux was  $\lambda = (45, 45)$ , so we generated random semistandard tableaux and tested only those which guaranteed fast evaluation.

(6)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(6, 6)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111 \\ \hline 222222 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(8, 4)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122 \\ \hline 2222 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(10, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222 \\ \hline 22 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(6, 6, 6)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111 \\ \hline 222222 \\ \hline 333333 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(8, 6, 4)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122 \\ \hline 222233 \\ \hline 3333 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(10, 4, 4)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112233 \\ \hline 2222 \\ \hline 3333 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(9, 6, 3)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222 \\ \hline 222333 \\ \hline 333 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(8, 8, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122 \\ \hline 22223333 \\ \hline 33 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(10, 6, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222 \\ \hline 223333 \\ \hline 33 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(11, 5, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111223333 \\ \hline 22223 \\ \hline 33 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(10, 7, 1)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112233 \\ \hline 22223333 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(12, 4, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222233 \\ \hline 2233 \\ \hline 33 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(11, 6, 1)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222 \\ \hline 233333 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(10, 8)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112233 \\ \hline 22223333 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(14, 2, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122223333 \\ \hline 22 \\ \hline 33 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(13, 4, 1)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122222333 \\ \hline 2333 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(13, 5)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122333333 \\ \hline 22223 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(15, 3)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222333333 \\ \hline 223 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(8, 8, 8)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122 \\ \hline 22223333 \\ \hline 33344444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(10, 8, 6)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112233 \\ \hline 22223333 \\ \hline 444444 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(11, 7, 6)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111223333 \\ \hline 22223333 \\ \hline 444444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(10, 9, 5)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112223 \\ \hline 2223334444 \\ \hline 33344 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(11, 8, 5)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111224444 \\ \hline 22223344 \\ \hline 33334 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(10, 10, 4)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112233 \\ \hline 2222333344 \\ \hline 4444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(12, 7, 5)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111223333 \\ \hline 2222334 \\ \hline 44444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(11, 9, 4)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122334 \\ \hline 222233334 \\ \hline 4444 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(13, 6, 5)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111223333 \\ \hline 222234 \\ \hline 44444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(12, 8, 4)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222 \\ \hline 33333344 \\ \hline 4444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(11, 10, 3)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122224 \\ \hline 2233334444 \\ \hline 334 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(13, 7, 4)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222224 \\ \hline 3333334 \\ \hline 4444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(12, 9, 3)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222 \\ \hline 3333334444 \\ \hline 444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(13, 8, 3)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222224 \\ \hline 33333344 \\ \hline 444 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(12, 10, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222 \\ \hline 3333334444 \\ \hline 44 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(15, 5, 4)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112233333344 \\ \hline 22223 \\ \hline 4444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(14, 7, 3)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122222244 \\ \hline 3333334 \\ \hline 444 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(13, 9, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222224 \\ \hline 3333334444 \\ \hline 44 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(13, 10, 1)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222224 \\ \hline 33333344444 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(16, 5, 3)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122223333444 \\ \hline 22333 \\ \hline 444 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(15, 7, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222444 \\ \hline 3333334 \\ \hline 44 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(14, 9, 1)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122222244 \\ \hline 3333334444 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(17, 4, 3)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222233333344 \\ \hline 2234 \\ \hline 444 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(15, 8, 1)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222444 \\ \hline 33333344 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(15, 9)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122233333334 \\ \hline 2222444444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(19, 3, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122223333334444 \\ \hline 223 \\ \hline 44 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(18, 5, 1)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122222233334444 \\ \hline 23334 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(17, 7)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222223333444 \\ \hline 33334444 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(10, 10, 10)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112233 \\ \hline 222233333344 \\ \hline 4444555555 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(11, 10, 9)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111223334 \\ \hline 2222333345 \\ \hline 444455555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(12, 10, 8)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222 \\ \hline 3333334455 \\ \hline 44445555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(13, 9, 8)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222225 \\ \hline 333333445 \\ \hline 44445555 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(12, 11, 7)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222 \\ \hline 33333344555 \\ \hline 44445555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(13, 10, 7)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222224 \\ \hline 33333344555 \\ \hline 44445555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(14, 9, 7)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122222245 \\ \hline 3333334455 \\ \hline 44445555 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(13, 11, 6)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222225 \\ \hline 33333344555 \\ \hline 4444555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(15, 8, 7)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112244444455 \\ \hline 22223345 \\ \hline 33335555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(13, 12, 5)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222225 \\ \hline 333333445555 \\ \hline 44445 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(16, 7, 7)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222224555 \\ \hline 3333334 \\ \hline 44445555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(15, 9, 6)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222334 \\ \hline 3333444444 \\ \hline 555555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(14, 11, 5)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122222233 \\ \hline 33334455555 \\ \hline 44445 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(13, 13, 4)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122223334 \\ \hline 22223333444455 \\ \hline 5555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(15, 10, 5)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222334 \\ \hline 33334455555 \\ \hline 44445 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(15, 11, 4)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222335 \\ \hline 333344444445 \\ \hline 5555 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(14, 13, 3)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122222244 \\ \hline 33333344444555 \\ \hline 5555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(16, 11, 3)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222224455 \\ \hline 333333444445 \\ \hline 5555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(15, 13, 2)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222445 \\ \hline 33333344444555 \\ \hline 55 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(15, 14, 1)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222445 \\ \hline 333333444445555 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(17, 13)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222335555 \\ \hline 33334444444555 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(13, 12, 11)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222225 \\ \hline 33333344445556 \\ \hline 44445566666 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(14, 11, 11)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111223334556 \\ \hline 222233334455 \\ \hline 44445566666 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(13, 13, 10)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122333455 \\ \hline 22223333445566 \\ \hline 44445566666 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(15, 11, 10)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222335 \\ \hline 333344444445 \\ \hline 55556666666 \\ \hline \end{array}$
(14, 13, 9)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 11111122222233 \\ \hline 33333344555556 \\ \hline 4444666666 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(16, 11, 9)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 1111112222224445 \\ \hline 33333333444455 \\ \hline 5555666666 \\ \hline \end{array}$	(15, 13, 8)	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline 111111222222336 \\ \hline 33333344555556 \\ \hline 4444666666 \\ \hline \end{array}$



$172395.263445^3.435.5^2$ ,  $17234254.2645.36.44^5$ ,  $1727356.36476^2.566.6^3$ ,  $172635^3.235^445^4.34^2.4$ ,  $172735^2.36425^3.45^5$ ,  
 $1723425^2.2635^4.35^4.44^5$ ,  $1723345^5.25^3245.344.5^5$ ,  $17223^3.25^324^5.3^2.4^2$ ,  $17244^2.23375^2.4^5.5^5$ ,  $172435^43.234^2.3^2.4^2$ ,  
 $1722364^4.254576^6.34.46$ ,  $1723344^5.254356^6.3^4$ ,  $1723.24344^2.334^3.4^2$ ,  $17232^2.263245.334^5.45^5$ ,  $172535^43.224^2.3^2.4^2$ ,  $1723247.26576^3.356^4$ ,  
 $1726335.23346^5.345^3.5^2$ ,  $1723325.243545^2.46^5$ ,  $17233445^2.243^3.46.5^5$ ,  $1723245^4.2634.3445^2.44^5$ ,  $1723334^4.24324.3^2.4^2$ ,  
 $1723456.24366^5.345^5.46$ ,  $1722334^3.2534^2.33.4^2$ ,  $17273.36475^2.556^3.6^4$ ,  $172334.243245^5.345.44^5$ ,  $1723243^2.26324^2.33.4^2$ ,  
 $1723^3.24344^2.324^3.4^2$ ,  $17263425^3.235^4.3435^3.45$ ,  $172434^3.23344^2.3^2.4^2$ ,  $17273^2.3545^5.425.5^2$ ,  $172734.33435^4.43.5^3$ ,  
 $172334.24475.335^3.5^3$ ,  $172424^2.23324^4.33.4$ ,  $172324.26345.3445^5.425^5$ ,  $172346.26356^2.35456^4.6$ ,  $172334^2.24334^2.33.4^3$ ,  
 $1722334^3.25324^3.3^2.4$ ,  $172234^5.253425^4.35^5$ ,  $172345^53.2634567.355^3.4$ ,  $1723345^2.24365^2.46^5$ ,  $172334^2.2632.324^3.4^2$ ,  
 $17253^2.2632^3.35^4.44^5$ ,  $1725344^2.2234^3.3^2.4^2$ ,  $172334^2.2436.455^5.5^2$ ,  $172332.24324^4.334^2.4$ ,  $172346^5.2635^5.35456^4.6^3$ ,  
 $172343^3.264257.33.4^2$ ,  $1726.237425^3.4567.5^4$ ,  $1723324.2435^5.46.5^3$ ,  $17255^2.2632^3.35^4.44^5$ ,  $1724334^2.23324.324^3.4$ ,  $1722334.2534^5.465^3.5^3$ ,  
 $17233425^4.264^4.344.5^3$ ,  $17232475^2.2635467.34^5$ ,  $1723324.24324.334^2.4^3$ ,  $172334445^6.24.334.42^5$ ,  $1723745^2.2646.556^4.6^3$ ,  
 $172232465^4.253.344.5^3$ ,  $17243445^3.23334.45^5.4$ ,  $17235^3.2643.365^2.44^5$ ,  $1727324.35465.566^2.6^5$ ,  $172324^2.26324^2.334.4^2$ ,  
 $172334.24365^2.465^3.5^2$ ,  $172734.36425^2.44^5.5^3$ ,  $17233445^6.24324.34425$ ,  $172355^2.26324.465^3.5^2$ ,  $17223465^2.25325^5.3^4.4$ ,  
 $1723445^2.26425^5.33.4$ ,  $17223^3.25465.3445^2.5^4$ ,  $17234425^3.2645^5.33.5^3$ ,  $17236456.2634656^2.556.6^3$ ,  $1723345.2433425.44^5.5^5$ ,  
 $172235.25324^3.34^5.6.4^4$ ,  $172333445^6.244.34.4^25$ ,  $1723245^4.2634^3.34435^3$ ,  $172374.26456^2.45526^5$ ,  $1723345^4.253^4.465^2.5$ ,  
 $172495^4.26325367.35.4$ ,  $1727324.35425^2.44^5.5^4$ ,  $172635^3.23645^2.42.5^2$ ,  $1723345^3.24365^2.46.5^2$ ,  $1723345^3.263425^4.34^2$ ,  
 $17232445^3.263425^4.344$ ,  $17234656.2632566.3466.4^4$ ,  $172334^2.24334^4.46.5^2$ ,  $17245^5.26325^2.35^4.2.5^4$ ,  $1723324.2435^2.465.5^4$ ,  
 $1723.24354.32455^3.45^4$ ,  $17233425^2.2436.455^4.5^2$ ,  $17233435^2.26435.34454$ ,  $1722344^2.253245^5.35^5.5$ ,  $172734.3646536.546^2.6^4$ ,  
 $17233455^5.2434.33.45^2$ ,  $1723344.2533425.445^5.5$ ,  $17237.2643526.44556.6^5$ ,  $17233345.24344.455^2.5^4$ ,  $17245^3.2632^3.35425.44^5$ ,  
 $17233425.26445^3.344.5^3$ ,  $1723495^3.26425366.3656$ ,  $172324^2.263425.3443.56$ ,  $17234.24354.32445^3.45^4$ ,  $172324256.2633425.43$ ,  
 $172325^4.264^2.3545.44^5$ ,  $172324^3.2634^3.3445^3.5^4$ ,  $172234^2.2532435.3445^5.5$ ,  $1727345.36465^2.5465^6.2$ ,  $172324^3.26345^4.344^3.5^3$ ,  
 $172634445566.2335.4356$ ,  $1723465.2533454.33.5^2$ ,  $172735.3643526.445^4.6^6$ ,  $172346.2642556.36526^5$ ,  $172334^2.243456.345.4^4$ ,  
 $17223345^3.253465.33.5^3$ ,  $172364^2.263567.455^2.5^4$ ,  $17237425^2.26445^2.45^2.5$ ,  $1723545^2.26324^2.44^5.5^4$ ,  $1723325.24334^2.324^5.45^6$ ,  
 $172235^5.253245.465^2.5^3$ ,  $1723356.2644566.346.4^3$ ,  $17233465^5.2645677.34.5$ ,  $1727346.36536^3.466.546^2$ ,  $172334^3.2532435.345^5.45$ ,  
 $172345.2632425^2.3445^4.4$ ,  $17232425.2656.35466.446$ ,  $17223435^3.253435.355^3.4$ ,  $1723756.2642536.4456^5.5^3$ ,  $17234756.26536^4.366^2.5^3$ ,  
 $172345562.2656.3664.42$ ,  $172344536.2643565.36536$ ,  $1723456.26546^2.3664.46$ ,  $172734.36425266.445.546$ ,  $1723345^3.26343.3345^4.42$ ,  
 $172346565^2.264566276.367$ ,  $1723245.26324^2.33456.43$ ,  $172425^3.26325.3545.44^5$ ,  $17273245.3545^5.45565.6^2$ ,  $1724566.26325^5.354526.4$ ,  
 $172324^2.2656.35466.4456$ ,  $1722425^4.25335.3445.44^5$ ,  $1723545^5.263243567.43.5$ ,  $17235467.263242577.7$ ,  $17232454.25345^2.345^5.4$ ,  
 $172735.36425563.456^3.56$ ,  $17263244.234567.342.45$ ,  $172345.26425^2.365^2.44^5$ ,  $172374756.25567787$ ,  $17223342.253243.32.4^2$ ,  
 $1727345.36497.56637.647^5$ ,  $17223255^2.544.355^2.43$ ,  $1723454.2645265^3.36562.45$ ,  $1723324^2.2432.3343.42$ ,  $172235^2.2532435^4.44.5^3$ ,  
 $1722435^4.253^3.345^3.44$ ,  $172734.364857.56637.647^5$ ,  $17273246.354556^4.4566^2.5$ ,  $17235^5.26324564.465.546^3$ ,  $1722334752.25335567.3$ ,  
 $172324352.264453.355^2$ ,  $17233435^5.26445267.34$ ,  $172334^2.24334^2.455^3.5^4$ ,  $1723435^2.253435.3545^3.5$ ,  $1723345.26566.3446^5.446$ ,  
 $17233544566^6.244.324.456$ ,  $1723425^2.26325.3445^3.44^5$ ,  $1723646^4.26345.455^2.546^3$ ,  $17273426.36445^2.4546^5.56$ ,  $172325^2.263425.3445^3.44^5$ ,  
 $17273.36435^2.44562.6^5$ ,  $1723324^2.24324^2.334.4^2$ ,  $17223444.25335^3.435.5^3$ ,  $172324255^2.26342.34435^2$ ,  $172234^2.253345^3.465^2.5^2$ ,  
 $1723475.265667.36676.587$ ,  $17223443.2532457.32.4^2$ ,  $17273.36445^2.435267.5^3$ ,  $1725344354.2233.445.5^2$ ,  $1722344.25335^2.465^2.5^3$ ,  
 $172635^3.23542567.3445^2.45$ ,  $172533495^5.2232.324.5^2$ ,  $17233244.243435^3.34.5^4$ ,  $1722345.253343.445^2.5^4$ ,  $172346.243445^2.335^2.5^3$ ,  
 $1727324.35425367.44.5^4$ ,  $172332.243435^3.345^4.44$ ,  $17234255^2.25667.36676.45^5$ ,  $1723477.265767.36627.647^4$ ,  $172334536.264546.34465^5.44$ ,  
 $1722324.254354.355^3.43$ ,  $17273.364263.455^3.5464$ ,  $17234563.26425^5.365264$ ,  $1722324^2.25455^2.355.54$ ,  $1723744.26435464.53.6^3$ ,  
 $1723325^3.243435^4.34.44$ ,  $1722343^2.2532435^3.3445^4$ ,  $172236.253425^2.455^2.5^3$ ,  $1723345^2.25762.346^5.42$ ,  $1723243556.26436^6.3545^2$ ,  
 $1724.25335^2.34435.435^4$ ,  $172374^3.2644526.5562.64$ ,  $1723324^2.243445^2.344.5^5$ ,  $1727362^2.364354.44536.64$ ,  $17233445.24334^2.445^2.5^4$ ,  
 $172324556.2642564.3556.446$ ,  $1725364354.22342.425.5^2$ ,  $172334^3.26435^2.3445^2.5^3$ ,  $172425.26325^2.354^2.435^4$ ,  $1724366.25335.34446.56$ ,  
 $17223346.25345^3.466^6.54$ ,  $172325^3.2644.35546.4366$ ,  $17273.3642546.44536^3.63$ ,  $1724352.23343.32435^5.4$ ,  $1727334.34425366.446.54$ ,  
 $17273.3643536.445462.64$ ,  $1722445.25335^3.3445^3.42$ ,  $172737.36475^2.556476.63$ ,  $172324356.2634565.34436.55$ ,  $17236456.2635573.465674.65$ ,  
 $17234352.26556^3.3664.44$ ,  $172234^5.2534563.35456^3.56$ ,  $17223445.2533425^3.44.5^3$ ,  $17232435^5.2645267.35^4.3^3$ ,  $17237436.26445362.54.64$ ,  
 $1723345^2.2432445.344254$ ,  $17243.233343.33435^3.454$ ,  $1723245656.2635466.3442$ ,  $17223243.2533435^3.344.54$ ,  $1722344.25324.34425^2.5^5$ ,  
 $1724334554.23352.33.425$ ,  $172324352.264452.355^2.5$ ,  $1722324.253435^2.345^5.43$ ,  $172734.364253.445462.65$ ,  $172354.264364.3653.446^3$ ,  
 $1723345^3.2432445.34425^3$ ,  $1722364.253435^3.435^2.5^2$ ,  $1725334354.2233.343.45^3$ ,  $172234425.25335.455^3.5^2$ ,  $1727362^2.36435264.445.546$ ,  
 $1722356.25324^3.3456.4466$ ,  $17223245.25425^3.355^2.44^5$ ,  $172345362^2.263456.35536.456^3$ ,  $172364.2635362^2.466^3.546^2$ ,  
 $172534^2.22344256^3.324.425$ ,  $1723455.2635667.34275^5.567$ ,  $17257.2237425.45566.6676$ ,  $1723364.2535266^4.46677.55$ ,  
 $1723464.26425^5.365262.6$ ,  $172355662.263244565^3.43.5$ ,  $172735.364267.45577.5587$ ,  $1723364.24345762.4563.62$ ,  $1722364752.253546677.5.6$ ,  
 $1723445.26425466.33.526$ ,  $17234354.2645365.3662.43$ ,  $1723345^3.2432425^3.345.44$ ,  $172356.2632462.4653.5464$ ,  
 $172345363^2.263456.35536.456^2$ ,  $17234557.264267.36575^5.4456$ ,  $17234354.2645363.3664.43$ ,  $1724354353.233425.342.5^3$

$172345.264564.354564.44562$ ,  $17237.294756.566273.6474$ ,  $17233.24324253.34453.445$ ,  $17234.2434452.334254.435$ ,  
 $172734.3645.566275.6572$ ,  $1723345.26324253.3253.4$ ,  $17235.26324562.46526.5464$ ,  $172734.34425265.4456.546$ ,  
 $1723452.2532435.34435.53$ ,  $172334256.24344566.334.6$ ,  $172354.2632565.466276.567$ ,  $172366.263435.445264.5462$ ,  
 $172342565.265562.344.445$ ,  $17223436.2534436.45764.6$ ,  $172334252.263453.33452.43$ ,  $17234464.26342.35452.5563$ ,  
 $17223646568.254677786.3.5$ ,  $1723445463.2634252.354564$ ,  $1722334454.253343567.35.5$ ,  $172345567.26345647.3545674.4467$ ,  
 $172334435666.244.3345.426$ ,  $17234352.2632435.3452.52$ ,  $17234563.26425.36562.5562$ ,  $172234536.2534565.35456.4452$ ,  
 $17235464.2634262.3553.456$ ,  $17223435.253256.344366.46$ ,  $17233452.2642546.344566.43$ ,  $17232435.2644562.35564.546$ ,  
 $17273.364456.4353657.53676$ ,  $17234353.243554.3242.42$ ,  $17245563.2632562.3545.4562$ ,  $1723245264.26454.354563.44$ ,  
 $17234355.24344252.33.42$ ,  $17234555.264256476.3657.63$ ,  $17232452.26454.354564.4463$ ,  $172355.264266.365675.45572$ ,  
 $172335562.2635264.3546.43$ ,  $1722343562.2534255.3564.56$ ,  $1723542526.2632465.445.546$ ,  $172234254.2532.3443.4253$ ,  
 $1723245.26342526.34454.4366$ ,  $17234445362.263435465.32$ ,  $172334565.265577.34426.4456$ ,  $172345568.265266.36778.4685$ ,  
 $1723252.264356.354566.43565$ ,  $17234357.26326774.344473$ ,  $172324552.26425563.35.64$ ,  $17233245.24345562.344565.44$ ,  
 $172433.23342546.4453.66$ ,  $1723445467.263425266.354576$ ,  $1724347536.2333546677.33$ ,  $172732.3543565.4452.5462$ ,  
 $17232457.26456772.35574.54$ ,  $1722344252.253344453.52$ ,  $1722324653.2533452.32.52$ ,  $17234255.26342566.355677.43$ ,  
 $17234567.265677.367584.4683$ ,  $17273242.35425367.435.53$ ,  $1723456672.264265.36575.446$ ,  $1722324253.25342.3454.43$ ,  
 $1724455.26325266.3543677$ ,  $172232453.2532.3344.4254$ ,  $172334255.2433.3342.4352$ ,  $172732.3543577.446576.62$ ,  $17234352.265562.3663.4462$ ,  
 $172566.263264.3434.445262$ ,  $17244572.2632566.3543675$ ,  $1723346567.2432456657.346.75$ ,  $172324462.2643.35536.5464$ ,  
 $17244526.263264.3543.5562$ ,  $1727325.35445266.43526.52$ ,  $1723345363.26345363.354256.4$ ,  $172232465564.253.344.5263$ ,  
 $172237572.2547.6566275.64$ ,  $172455662.263256577.35.42$ ,  $172234546.253425264.3556.446$ ,  $1723334262.2434455.566.64$ ,  
 $172354.26324252.445562.65$ ,  $1724653.26325265.355262.4$ ,  $17246567.26326572.354673.567$ ,  $1723334.2432576.324466.42$ ,  
 $172342536.263547.35465.44676$ ,  $1724355.26325266.3543677.4$ ,  $17244546.26325264.354362.5$ ,  $1723556.263242.455263.5463$ ,  
 $17223245.25356.344265.4462$ ,  $1723324.2432456.3342567.43$ ,  $17244556.263252657.3543676$ ,  $17243546.26325363.354363.4$ ,  
 $1723563.2632452.46562.5462$ ,  $17236445.263435662.6573.74$ ,  $17223453.25334526.46526.65$ ,  $172233562.25334.34852.5465$ ,  
 $172334356.24326777.3445.43$ ,  $172735.36425672.45637.6474$ ,  $17223425.253242.344253.453$ ,  $1722456.253345262.34464.55$ ,  
 $17223435266.2532.3443.4556$ ,  $17232453.263425266.346.52$ ,  $17223452.253345362.46565.5$ ,  $17235.263245262.46563.5462$ ,  
 $17273.36435272.445567.6674$ ,  $172234256.253556.354657.44576$ ,  $17232455.264263.35526.5463$ ,  $17273246.3543566.435377.53$ ,  
 $1727362.3642562.455262.546$ ,  $172232476.25356576.3567.54$ ,  $172334262.243256.344565.44$ ,  $1722374.2545265.456276.557$ ,  
 $1727346.36456375.455267.54627$ ,  $172734.36536473.466373.547$ ,  $1726.2374536.466473.546274$ ,  $172345.263245263.3445264.53$ ,  
 $1724324354.2332445367.33$ ,  $17234567.26456778.367482.45784$ ,  $172345567.263245647.34556275.4$ ,  $17232454.2635264.34425.4463$ ,  
 $1723556.2434564.3343562.43$ ,  $172233426.2533454.3435366.4$ ,  $17234452.26324536.345266.42$ ,  $172234526.25345363.35456.44562$ ,  
 $172345264.264252.36563.4452$ ,  $172425564.263256377.354.445$ ,  $1722354.25324667.455376.546$ ,  $17242576.26326475.35627.457$ ,  
 $172232465.253453.3455362.45$ ,  $1723455637.26456274.365672.456$ ,  $17246.263256.3546276.565787$ ,  $17234557.264267.365272.5474$ ,  
 $1723435467.263266.34446.53$ ,  $172232452.25324252.33.53$ ,  $172243556.25335264.34462$ ,  $1722374.25452668.45676.55786$ ,  
 $1723425264.26454.365637.4476$ ,  $172234252.253345.5564.63$ ,  $1727356.36425627.466472.5574$ ,  $1723464.263245.344253.435363$ ,  
 $17274573.26556274.466487.56$ ,  $17232425265.263435.3442546.6$ ,  $17234462.2634262.354526.5562$ ,  $1724353.2334352.334252.42$ ,  
 $17232452647.2655675.354627.45$ ,  $1723446.2632426.3435462.45363$ ,  $17233367.2642574.36526.45572$ ,  $17223567.2534266.35578.4586$ ,  
 $1724324354.233245367.33.43$ ,  $1722324453.253435465.34.62$ ,  $17245683.263266.354778.45583$ ,  $1723445262.26436375.3667.5567$ ,  
 $1723467.263526373.35463.55673$ ,  $172364.26342564.44526276.5467$ ,  $1722324362.254452.35565.54$ ,  $172533452.22324255.3242.42$ ,  
 $172546526372.22324556475.3$ ,  $1723245.263242564.335363.53$ ,  $17234566.263245376.345536787.4$ ,  $172456.233542567.3245647.55675$ ,  
 $17244526.26325264.355362.43$ ,  $1723345362.265463.3442.4462$ ,  $1723455627.26346473.35526.4573$ ,  $1725435264.2236435363.34.52$ ,  
 $17273627.36425272.4565.5574$ ,  $17234536.2645264.3656774.45573$ ,  $172342546.26453675.36637.44627$ ,  $172445462.26325265.354377.5$ ,  
 $172456.2632657.35462758.455786$ ,  $172436435365.2334.4352.5262$ ,  $1723455.26352637.3546373.45673$ ,  $1724253.263265.35452.445262$ ,  
 $172355365.26324356277.44.53$ ,  $17223243.253445.345265.5462$ ,  $17234563.2632452637.3445676.54$ ,  $172345573.265267.367484.4683$ ,  
 $1723435362.26435262.365263.4$ ,  $17247567.2632536373.35536373$ ,  $1723345263.264253.3445264.43$ ,  $1723425567.2636672.354572.44572$ ,  
 $1723567.263445475.4527287.5$ ,  $1723445264.263454672.3546275.45$ ,  $17233.24324352.3445462.43565$ ,  $17245537.26326672.3542674.54$ ,  
 $1724256.2632677.355782.4585$ ,  $172735.364256472.456373.5572$ ,  $17234536372.263263.354575.4556$ ,  $1722355.2532477.566278.6586$ ,  
 $172235536.253246575.465672.53$ ,  $1723452675.263452627.355367.4563$ ,  $172344546.2635676.3542687.445267$ ,  $1723253.26425262.354563.44562$ ,  
 $1723456.253426574.3556273.44$ ,  $172235463.25324564.455276.547$ ,  $172344678.26425574.35527386.4$ ,  $172232445667.254356476.355.54$ ,  
 $1723244563.264356276.356275.55$ ,  $172324466.263425376.344546787$ ,  $17224566.253346672.3442575.43$ ,  $17243577.263256375.3564787.44$ ,  
 $172235546.253245372.46674.657$ ,  $172435462.263253647.3543676.4$ ,  $172343564.265675.36637286.448$ ,  $172445462.263253647.3542676.4$ ,  
 $172236452647.25343653676.52.62$ ,  $17234252672.2654627.3664.45574$ ,  $1723243562.26324546.3345264.42$ ,  $17245556.263256273.3542573.647$ ,  
 $172245536.2533454673.346474.46$ ,  $1723746.2645362786.54676897.64$ ,  $1723245263.2635362.34425.44562$ ,  $1723456.263266.34425675.447287$ ,

$172455627^3 \cdot 2632647^3 \cdot 35457 \cdot 456$ ,  $1722342578 \cdot 25343678^3 \cdot 354778^3 \cdot 4$ ,  $172234562 \cdot 25345267 \cdot 324564 \cdot 45476$ ,  $17232454 \cdot 26453637 \cdot 3546374 \cdot 44672$ ,  
 $172234527^3 \cdot 253454 \cdot 354564 \cdot 446374$ ,  $172334435263 \cdot 244556477 \cdot 3 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 3$ ,  $1723747 \cdot 2645627^5 \cdot 4556387 \cdot 54627$ ,  $172344673 \cdot 2643567 \cdot 36562 \cdot 54637^3$ ,  
 $1724656^2 \cdot 2632637^2 \cdot 354567^3 \cdot 5672$ ,  $172232556 \cdot 253425657 \cdot 34425676 \cdot 43$ ,  $1723357 \cdot 24334365 \cdot 33426277 \cdot 42$ ,  $1724256 \cdot 2632657 \cdot 3556758 \cdot 45678^5$ ,  
 $17234526^5 \cdot 264456768 \cdot 3442546786$ ,  $17234664 \cdot 2645627582 \cdot 365672 \cdot 5585$ ,  $172324547^2 \cdot 2645265 \cdot 3545627 \cdot 4474$ ,  $172332446^5 \cdot 24343536277 \cdot 34 \cdot 54$ ,  
 $172233425^2 \cdot 2534565 \cdot 355262 \cdot 53$ ,  $17223543 \cdot 2532445 \cdot 566274 \cdot 6573$ ,  $172345 \cdot 2435565 \cdot 3242526277 \cdot 54$ ,  $17234566 \cdot 26325276 \cdot 344252 \cdot 445267$ ,  
 $172425678 \cdot 263267 \cdot 354758^2 \cdot 445784$ ,  $17223435362 \cdot 253435262 \cdot 3545263$ ,  $1725565 \cdot 26326274 \cdot 354372 \cdot 44527$ ,  $17223245363 \cdot 2535464 \cdot 344277 \cdot 44$ ,  
 $17273627 \cdot 3642526374 \cdot 4562 \cdot 5572$ ,  $17242557^2 \cdot 263252637 \cdot 3564 \cdot 4574$ ,  $172335363 \cdot 26425262 \cdot 3445262 \cdot 44$ ,  $17223244536 \cdot 2532435463 \cdot 3366 \cdot 62$ ,  
 $172234462 \cdot 2542556 \cdot 33425264 \cdot 42$ ,  $17232468^2 \cdot 2657 \cdot 3546275 \cdot 657285$ ,  $17223245 \cdot 254252657 \cdot 3556276 \cdot 54$ ,  $172425672 \cdot 26326578^5 \cdot 355748 \cdot 4568$ ,  
 $17243 \cdot 233425^3 \cdot 33425363 \cdot 43564$ ,  $172235426 \cdot 253242564 \cdot 435362 \cdot 53$ ,  $172425762 \cdot 26326476 \cdot 35426787 \cdot 43$ ,  $172324255 \cdot 26526475 \cdot 3546272 \cdot 446$ ,  
 $1724566^2 \cdot 2632657^2 \cdot 3542573 \cdot 4472$ ,  $17223526 \cdot 2533462 \cdot 334353 \cdot 435264$ ,  $1723456276 \cdot 2634254787 \cdot 35356597$ ,  $172425264 \cdot 253356 \cdot 344252 \cdot 44526^2$ ,  
 $1727344 \cdot 3643562758 \cdot 56637286 \cdot 62$ ,  $172343526 \cdot 26536472 \cdot 366275 \cdot 4452$ ,  $1723452637 \cdot 26425267 \cdot 365637 \cdot 445274$ ,  $172244536 \cdot 253345637 \cdot 33436276 \cdot 6$ ,  
 $17273 \cdot 36435637^2 \cdot 4453675 \cdot 536387$ ,  $17234536^2 \cdot 26345274 \cdot 354563 \cdot 445273$ ,  $17223456 \cdot 253243657^2 \cdot 344352675$ ,  
 $17242526^2 \cdot 26325^2 \cdot 354526^2 \cdot 44563$ ,  $1723244627^2 \cdot 264265 \cdot 3545274 \cdot 557$ ,  $1723454627 \cdot 26325647 \cdot 344252674 \cdot 447$ ,  
 $172345527 \cdot 26425364 \cdot 36526374 \cdot 72$ ,  $1723452 \cdot 26325262 \cdot 34425262 \cdot 44563$ ,  $1724563 \cdot 26326274 \cdot 354257 \cdot 44627^2$ ,  $1723342565 \cdot 26355267^5 \cdot 334357^2 \cdot 42$ ,  
 $172376 \cdot 2643576 \cdot 4452648^5 \cdot 546278^2$ ,  $172736 \cdot 364252627^2 \cdot 455637^3 \cdot 5467^2$ ,  $1724637 \cdot 263254627^3 \cdot 35452627^3 \cdot 5$ ,  
 $172364 \cdot 26352627^3 \cdot 465627^2 \cdot 54637^2$ ,  $1723453627^3 \cdot 2642526 \cdot 3664 \cdot 445274$ ,  $1723425363 \cdot 26353647^3 \cdot 35457^2 \cdot 447^2$ ,  
 $17232453637 \cdot 2642637^3 \cdot 355263^3 \cdot 45$ ,  $17242557 \cdot 26325267^3 \cdot 354647 \cdot 44627^2$ ,  $17242566 \cdot 26325637^5 \cdot 3546787 \cdot 446^2$ ,  
 $1724536578 \cdot 263257584 \cdot 3545268^2 \cdot 45567$ ,  $1722346 \cdot 25325657^2 \cdot 3546758^3 \cdot 5684$ ,  $17234562 \cdot 2632526 \cdot 3442526^2 \cdot 44526^2$ ,  
 $17234547^3 \cdot 2632526^4 \cdot 34425637 \cdot 4473$ ,  $1723243526^2 \cdot 26342637 \cdot 3445376 \cdot 42$ ,  $1723425468 \cdot 263353675 \cdot 3546378^5 \cdot 446278$ ,  
 $17234536 \cdot 264252627 \cdot 36647^2 \cdot 445274$ ,  $17242536473 \cdot 263252674 \cdot 3545 \cdot 44562$ ,  $17234264748 \cdot 2632457386 \cdot 34446 \cdot 566^2$ ,  
 $172456276 \cdot 2632557869 \cdot 3542565806 \cdot 4$ ,  $1723568^2 \cdot 2634264 \cdot 35456274 \cdot 467385$ ,  $172425362 \cdot 26325647^2 \cdot 3545267 \cdot 44574$ ,  
 $1722342556^2 \cdot 25324363 \cdot 344252 \cdot 62$ ,  $172732 \cdot 35435657^2 \cdot 4452627^3 \cdot 547^2$ ,  $1723563 \cdot 264263748 \cdot 3656728^3 \cdot 4578^3$ ,  
 $172343526^5 \cdot 2643536758 \cdot 365262786 \cdot 4$ ,  $17235628 \cdot 264263738 \cdot 365748 \cdot 456284$ ,  $17224557 \cdot 253365 \cdot 34426274 \cdot 44527^2$ ,  
 $172324754 \cdot 2652657286 \cdot 3556275897$ ,  $17223245463 \cdot 253536475 \cdot 3442 \cdot 447^2$ ,  $17235628 \cdot 264264728^3 \cdot 366748^2 \cdot 45578$ ,  
 $172456379 \cdot 263255786 \cdot 35452647896 \cdot 4$ ,  $1723254627^2 \cdot 26425637^3 \cdot 3545627 \cdot 4457$ ,  $17235673 \cdot 263426378^5 \cdot 355637 \cdot 456728^2$ ,  
 $172334526^5 \cdot 26425277 \cdot 34536287 \cdot 44$ ,  $17232556^2 \cdot 264252627 \cdot 356374 \cdot 457^2$ ,  $172354637 \cdot 26342658^2 \cdot 354538^5 \cdot 44637$ ,  
 $1723455673 \cdot 26425637 \cdot 3656378^2 \cdot 44628^5$ ,  $172324526^2 \cdot 2645363 \cdot 35526274 \cdot 4573$ ,  $1724254627^3 \cdot 26325637 \cdot 355273 \cdot 456^2$ ,  
 $17232445648^3 \cdot 263435637^3 \cdot 34557484$ ,  $172254627 \cdot 25334647^2 \cdot 344252674 \cdot 445$ ,  $172236628 \cdot 2534265738 \cdot 453738^5 \cdot 547$ ,  
 $1724256627^2 \cdot 2632658^2 \cdot 355748 \cdot 45784$ ,  $1723465 \cdot 26324627^2 \cdot 3452637^2 \cdot 546273$ ,  $17244536759 \cdot 26325467869 \cdot 354265789^5 \cdot 4$ ,  
 $17223767 \cdot 25435627 \cdot 4452627^3 \cdot 54627^2$ ,  $17237 \cdot 264452627 \cdot 435263758 \cdot 5362786$ ,  $172345463 \cdot 2634562748 \cdot 355262728 \cdot 4578^5$ ,  
 $1724254657^2 \cdot 2632527586 \cdot 3545 \cdot 44628$ ,  $1723245^3 \cdot 2635427 \cdot 3442637^3 \cdot 446273$ ,  $17245265 \cdot 26325676 \cdot 354257869 \cdot 44536896$ ,  
 $172347627 \cdot 2652637286 \cdot 36627^2 \cdot 55728$ ,  $172435978^5 \cdot 26325667896 \cdot 354367589A7 \cdot 4$ ,  $172334546 \cdot 2432453637^3 \cdot 34426374 \cdot 4^3$ ,  
 $1724253657 \cdot 26326275 \cdot 3545287 \cdot 44527$ ,  $1722345264 \cdot 25345377 \cdot 3552638^5 \cdot 458^2$ ,  $17223445^2 \cdot 2534557^3 \cdot 35462748^2 \cdot 465^8$ ,  
 $17234526^2 \cdot 264252627^2 \cdot 365637 \cdot 445274$ ,  $17235467^2 \cdot 26426478^3 \cdot 365274 \cdot 4556284$ ,  $17235456373879 \cdot 263255647496A7 \cdot 4 \cdot 5$ ,  
 $172234526 \cdot 25324526^2 \cdot 3442562 \cdot 435262$ ,  $1722354674 \cdot 2534256486 \cdot 355262 \cdot 45738$ ,  $172425567 \cdot 2632526478^2 \cdot 3562758 \cdot 4584$ ,  
 $1723453637 \cdot 2633672 \cdot 3442562 \cdot 44637^2$ ,  $172354627^3 \cdot 2634564784 \cdot 35425728 \cdot 4456782$ ,  $172235667 \cdot 25324648^2 \cdot 3442575 \cdot 446278^5$ ,  
 $172324253638 \cdot 26335676 \cdot 3442563786 \cdot 43$ ,  $172324253673 \cdot 263425264 \cdot 3443526274$ ,  $17245673 \cdot 263264784 \cdot 35426728^2 \cdot 4456278$ ,  
 $172445673 \cdot 26325363738^2 \cdot 35436378^5$ ,  $172235364 \cdot 253246375 \cdot 3442527^2 \cdot 445^2$ ,  $172345627^3 \cdot 26345658 \cdot 32435274 \cdot 425386$ ,  
 $1724526689^2 \cdot 26325778 \cdot 354258^5 \cdot 445369^5$ ,  $172345627 \cdot 264563738^5 \cdot 3662728297 \cdot 457$ ,  $172425363 \cdot 2632526^2 \cdot 35452627^2 \cdot 447^5$ ,  
 $1724255678^2 \cdot 2632526^3 \cdot 356374 \cdot 45728^5$ ,  $17232452637^3 \cdot 26453627^2 \cdot 35527^2 \cdot 456^2$ ,  $1724536478 \cdot 26325676 \cdot 3542628^9 \cdot 445389^6$ ,  
 $1727374 \cdot 4 \cdot 6537859^2 \cdot 54647829^5 \cdot 6 \cdot 6^3$ ,  $1724536678 \cdot 2632567^5 \cdot 3427859^2 \cdot 445389^5$ ,  $172353637^2 \cdot 264256759 \cdot 365268 \cdot 4556389^6$ ,  
 $172335367 \cdot 2432447684 \cdot 3445478397 \cdot 42$ ,  $1724257682 \cdot 26326374 \cdot 3563728^3 \cdot 4578^2$ ,  $17245367^2 \cdot 2632566728^2 \cdot 35425738^5 \cdot 5^2$ ,  
 $1725628 \cdot 2632647^3 \cdot 35437284 \cdot 4456728^2$ ,  $1722324553637^5 \cdot 25334254647287 \cdot 3^2$ ,  $1724252647^2 \cdot 26325267^2 \cdot 354527^3 \cdot 4456^2$ ,  
 $1723426578 \cdot 2645474829 \cdot 36567284 \cdot 4452696$ ,  $1722353658^2 \cdot 253425267^3 \cdot 355274 \cdot 4568^5$ ,  $172345574 \cdot 26325637389 \cdot 344256869 \cdot 44639^5$ ,  
 $172234263728^2 \cdot 253453674 \cdot 3545638^5 \cdot 4457$ ,  $172425568 \cdot 263263738 \cdot 35456738^2 \cdot 4456278^3$ ,  $172243578 \cdot 25336748^5 \cdot 344479A \cdot 66728A6$ ,  
 $172245658^3 \cdot 2534678 \cdot 344257^3 \cdot 445268^3$ ,  $17253435463738^5 \cdot 2235445364748297 \cdot 3$ ,  $17243536^2 \cdot 253352647^3 \cdot 344252674 \cdot 42$ ,  
 $172355268^2 \cdot 26436278^2 \cdot 365673 \cdot 4456278^3$ ,  $1723453679 \cdot 26453738^2 \cdot 3674829^3 \cdot 455839^3$ ,  $172235567 \cdot 25324526728^4 \cdot 3442647 \cdot 446738^3$ ,  
 $17242536^2 \cdot 263252627^2 \cdot 354637^3 \cdot 44527^2$ ,  $17245627^2 \cdot 2632563738^2 \cdot 35425678^4 \cdot 4468$ ,  $172454647 \cdot 263262738^4 \cdot 35425728 \cdot 4452678^2$ ,  
 $172242546^3 \cdot 253353627^5 \cdot 3444627286 \cdot 48$ ,  $17247694A \cdot 26325678^5 \cdot 45 \cdot 35567829^3B7$ ,  $1725562728^2 \cdot 263265728 \cdot 35437^3 \cdot 445284$ ,  
 $172454637 \cdot 263263728^3 \cdot 3545738^2 \cdot 445678^2$ ,  $1723547 \cdot 26325748294 \cdot 566278^3 \cdot 6572829^3$ ,  $172455267287 \cdot 26325463797 \cdot 354256374 \cdot 47$ ,  
 $172343536728^2 \cdot 263254678^2 \cdot 34446478^3$ ,  $172546378^2 \cdot 26326374 \cdot 3543728^5 \cdot 4453697$ ,  $17245367 \cdot 26325748294 \cdot 354527849 \cdot 4557289^2$ ,  
 $17234627589 \cdot 2645486 \cdot 35456494 \cdot 44526729^2$ ,  $17253647^2 \cdot 263263748 \cdot 3543789^2 \cdot 445489^5$ ,  $1723252637 \cdot 243435262758 \cdot 3445362786 \cdot 4^3$ ,

$17^{2345^36^5}.2^63^25^26^27^49.3^44^27^38^6.4^45^289^6$ ,  $17^{2234^567^29}.2^53456^47^48.3^546^28^59^4.5^6789^2A^7$ ,  $17^{235^36^57^2}.2^64^37^38^5.3^65^268^29^6.4^45^267^29$ ,  
 $17^{237^8^2}.2^64^25^267^49^2.4^556^48^49.5^46^27^389^4$ ,  $17^{2235^46^48}.2^534^26^37^28.3^545^27^28^3.4^45^7^38^2$ ,  $17^{2345^56^38}.2^635^26^27^28^2.3^546^27^28^2.4^57^38^2$ ,  
 $17^{234^56^39}.2^6345^26^48^3.3^545^27^48^2.5^37^38^29^6$ ,  $17^{2345^57^289}.2^63^26^4789^4.3^44^2567^48.4^456^28^49^2$ ,  
 $17^{235^6789^2}.2^63456^37^28.3^54^26^278^49.4^46^27^389^4$ ,  $17^{245^36^27^39}.2^63^26^48^5.3^54^257^49^5.4^45^368^29$ ,  $17^{235^47^6}.A.2^64^36^38^5A.3^65^268^29^5.4^456^379^2A^5$ ,  
 $17^{2345^4689^5}.2^63456^37^39A^5.3^55^267^489.4^56^28^5A^2$ ,  $17^{245^36^589}.2^63^26^27^48^29.3^54^257^28^4.4^45^379^5$ ,  $17^{23^35^56^4}.2^64^25^26^27^38^5.3^44^267^48^29^7.4^3$ ,  
 $17^{2^2345^57^38^5}.2^53^24^356^48^29^6.3^44^356^37^49A^7$ ,  $17^{235^46^37^29}.2^64^256^27^5A^3.3^6568^69^2.4^55689^4A^4$ ,  $17^{2^25^56^27^3}.2^53^346^27^38.3^44^25^268^4.4^46^278^2$ ,  
 $17^{234^25^267^38^2}.2^645^467^29^5.3^656^27^289.4^46^38^49$ ,  $17^{245^77}.2^63^26^47^28^3.3^546^27^28^29^5.4^567^28^29^2$ ,  
 $17^{24^25^267^58}.2^63^25^26^28^6.3^545^2679^6A.4^456^379A^6$ ,  $17^{2^25^36^69}.2^53^3467^48^2.3^44^25^278^59.4^45^27^29^5$ ,  
 $17^{23^24^25^36^27^4}.2^634^256^47^38^29.3^45^368^59^6.4^3$ ,  $17^{25^46^378}.2^63^26^37^38^29.3^54^37^38^39^2.4^45^3689^4$ ,  
 $17^{23^545^6}.2^63^246779^2.4^556^28^39^2A^6.6^48^49^3AB^7$ ,  $17^{23^24^256^27^49}.2^645^36^378^5.3^55^26^27^28^29^6.4^45$ ,  
 $17^{23^25^37^5}.2^64^25^2678^59.3^545^26^279^6A.4^46^48^2A^6$ ,  $17^{2^2345^37^7}.2^53^25^48^29^7B.3^44^26^5A^7.4^46^28^5B^6$ ,  
 $17^{2345^26^27^59}.2^645^3678^6A^2.3^656^39^6AB^3.4^55678A^4B^4$ ,  $17^{23^245^36^578}.2^634^356^27^28^49^2.3^44^25^37^48^29^4.49$ ,  
 $17^{2^33^346^37^78^2}.2^43^35^68^59^2A^5.3^45^56^49^5A^2B^7.4$ ,  $17^{25^46^479}.2^63^26^37^38^2.3^54^37^28^39^3.4^45^378^29^3$ ,  
 $17^{2^2345^467^38^4}.2^53^24^356^478^39^2.3^44^35^26^27^39^5$ ,  $17^{2345^36^7}.2^645^27^59^4A^2.3^65^72^8^49A^4B.4^558^39^2AB^6$ ,  
 $17^{25^46^27^38^2}A.2^63^26^48^5.3^54^37^49^4A.4^45^369^3A^5$ ,  $17^{2^235^26^37^3}.2^534^25^267^38^29^2.3^55^378^59.4^56^39^4$ ,  
 $17^{23^24^25^26^47^49}.2^63^25^467^38^6A.3^34^356^289^6A^6.4^2$ ,  $17^{2^235^26^27^2}.2^534^25^26^278^3.3^5456^378^2.4^45^27^38^2$ ,  
 $17^{2^235^578^29^2}.2^534^26^47^29.3^55^267^38^3.4^56^278^29^4$ ,  $17^{25^76^7}.2^63^27^69^2A^5.3^54^38^49^2B^7.4^478^39^3A^2C^7$ ,  
 $17^{235^36^27^38^2}.2^64^36^278^49^3.3^65^37^289^4A.4^456^37A^6$ ,  $17^{2^64^27^68}.23^756^28^59^6.4^55^26789A^2B^6.5^46^3A^5BC^7$ ,  
 $17^{2345^26^378A^4}.2^635^367^38^29.3^5456^38^39^3.4^557^389^3A^3$ ,  $17^{24^45^36^278^49A}.2^63^25^36^27^49^5A.3^54^256^37^28^39A^5.4$ ,  
 $17^{2345^26^27^39}.2^63^25^26^278^39.3^44^25^267^289^4.4^456^278^39$ ,  $17^{234^68^3}A.2^645^26^37^289^3.3^656^27^39^3A^3.5^46^27^28^39A^3$ ,  
 $17^{2^34^26^579^5}.2^43^5567^69A^3BC.3^24^58^79ABC^5D.5^66A^3B^5CD^6$ ,  $17^{2345^36^278^4}.2^64^25^26^278^3A^3.3^65^27^49^3A.4^46^379^4A^3$ ,  
 $17^{245^36^278^4}.2^63^256^37^289^4.3^545^27^49^3A^3.4^556^28^2A^4$ ,  $17^{2345^27^59}.2^63^25^26^28^5A.3^44^25^26^28^29^5.4^456^37^29A^6$ ,  
 $17^{2^33^64^556^57^78^59^6}AB^4C.2^434^45^66^28^29A^6B^3C^6D^7E^7$ ,  $17^{24^25^26^27^68}.2^63^25^26^278^6B.3^54^39^7A^4.4^25^36^3A^3B^6$ ,  
 $17^{2345^367^289^2}.2^63^25^26^27^29^2.3^44^25^27^38^3.4^46^48^39^3$ ,  $17^{24^57^38^29^2}A.2^63^25^26^38^29^3A.3^54^26^378^29^2.5^567^38A^5$ ,  
 $17^{2^23^24^26^57^39^4}.2^53^245^467^38^3A^6.3^34^25^3678^49^3AB^7.4^2$ ,  $17^{235^47^289^6}A.2^63^246^48^29A^4B^2C.3^44^25^27^5AB^5.4^456^38^4AC^6$ ,  
 $17^{2^24^46^38A^6}D.2^53^346^47^3AB^6.3^44^25^27^48^3BC^6.5^58^39^7CD^6$ ,  $17^{24^25^36^28^39^2}B.2^63^25^26^39^5AB.3^545^26^27^3A^5.4^47^48^4AB^5$ ,  
 $17^{245^36^3789^3}.2^63^256^27^38^2A^4.3^545^27^38^29^2.4^556^28^29^2A^3$ ,  $17^{2345^48^49^2}AB.2^6345^26^27^29^4B.3^5456^27^389A^4.4^46^37^28^2A^2B^5$ ,  
 $17^{234^57869C}.2^63^245^37^29^3A^3C.3^445^36^2789^2A^3B^3.56^57^39AB^4C^5$ ,  $17^{23^2456^27^38^39^2}2^63^5367^28^39^2A.3^44^36^39^4A^4B.4^35^367^28A^2B^6$ ,  
 $17^{23^25^37^28^39^2}AB.2^64^26^59^4A^2B^2.3^545^27^5A^4.4^45^26^28^49B^4$ ,  $17^{2^35^26^38^29^4}.2^434^456^27^289A^5.3^34^356^27^38A^2B^6.4^35^37^28^39^2BC^7$ ,  
 $17^{2^435679^5}AB^4.2^33^44^26^478A^5BC^4.3^24^56^27^38^29BC^3D^5.56^28^49ABD^2E^7$ ,  
 $17^{234^36^27^29^4}A^2.2^634^26^37^28^2A^3B^2C.3^54^25^27^28^29AB^4C^2.5^56^278^39^2ABC^4$ ,

## References

- [BCS97] Peter Bürgisser, Michael Clausen, and M. Amin Shokrollahi. *Algebraic complexity theory*, volume 315 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. With the collaboration of Thomas Lickteig.
- [BHI17] Peter Bürgisser, Jesko Hüttenhain, and Christian Ikenmeyer. Permanent versus determinant: not via saturations. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 145(3):1247–1258, 2017.
- [BI08] Peter Bürgisser and Christian Ikenmeyer. The complexity of computing Kronecker coefficients. In *FPSAC 2008, Valparaiso-Viña del Mar, Chile, DMTCS proc. AJ*, pages 357–368, 2008.
- [BI11] Peter Bürgisser and Christian Ikenmeyer. Geometric complexity theory and tensor rank. *Proceedings 43rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing 2011*, pages 509–518, 2011.

- [BI13] Peter Bürgisser and Christian Ikenmeyer. Explicit lower bounds via geometric complexity theory. *Proceedings 45th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing 2013*, pages 141–150, 2013.
- [BI18] Markus Bläser and Christian Ikenmeyer. Introduction to geometric complexity theory. lecture notes, summer 2017 at Saarland University, version July 25, 2018, <http://people.mpi-inf.mpg.de/~cikenmey/teaching/summer17/introtogct/gct.pdf>, 2018.
- [BIP19] Peter Bürgisser, Christian Ikenmeyer, and Greta Panova. No occurrence obstructions in geometric complexity theory. *Journal of the AMS*, 32:163–193, 2019. An earlier version was presented at the IEEE 57th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS) 2016 in New Brunswick, New Jersey.
- [BOR09] Emmanuel Briand, Rosa Orellana, and Mercedes Rosas. Reduced Kronecker coefficients and counter-examples to Mulmuley’s strong saturation conjecture SH. *Computational Complexity*, 18:577–600, 2009.
- [CIM16] Man-Wai Cheung, Christian Ikenmeyer, and Sevak Mkrtchyan. Symmetrizing tableaux and the 5th case of the Foulkes conjecture. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 80(3):833–843, 2016.
- [Ful97] W. Fulton. *Young tableaux*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [Gua18] Yonghui Guan. Brill’s equations as a  $GL(V)$ -module. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 548:273 – 292, 2018.
- [GW09] Roe Goodman and Nolan R. Wallach. *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*, volume 255 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [Her54] Charles Hermite. Sur la théorie des fonctions homogenes à deux indéterminées. *Cambridge and Dublin Mathematical Journal*, 9:172–217, 1854.
- [Ike12] Christian Ikenmeyer. *Geometric Complexity Theory, Tensor Rank, and Littlewood-Richardson Coefficients*. PhD thesis, Institute of Mathematics, University of Paderborn, 2012.
- [Ike18] Christian Ikenmeyer. Gct and symmetries. , lecture notes, version from January 29, 2018, 2018.
- [IP17] Christian Ikenmeyer and Greta Panova. Rectangular Kronecker coefficients and plethysms in geometric complexity theory. *Advances in Mathematics*, 319:40–66, 2017. An earlier version was presented at the IEEE 57th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS) 2016 in New Brunswick, New Jersey.
- [KL14] Harlan Kadish and J. M. Landsberg. Padded polynomials, their cousins, and geometric complexity theory. *Communications in Algebra*, 42(5):2171–2180, 2014.
- [Kra85] Hanspeter Kraft. *Geometrische Methoden in der Invariantentheorie*. Friedr. Vieweg und Sohn Verlagsgesellschaft, Braunschweig, 1985.
- [Lan11] Joseph Landsberg. *Tensors: Geometry and Applications*, volume 128 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2011.
- [Lan17] J. M. Landsberg. *Geometry and Complexity Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2017.

- [Mac95] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications.
- [MS01] K.D. Mulmuley and M. Sohoni. Geometric Complexity Theory. I. An approach to the P vs. NP and related problems. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 31(2):496–526 (electronic), 2001.
- [MS08] K.D. Mulmuley and M. Sohoni. Geometric Complexity Theory. II. Towards explicit obstructions for embeddings among class varieties. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 38(3):1175–1206, 2008.
- [Mum95] D. Mumford. *Algebraic geometry. I: Complex projective varieties*. Classics in mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1976 edition in Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 221.
- [Nar06] Hariharan Narayanan. On the complexity of computing Kostka numbers and Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 24(3):347–354, 2006.
- [PP13] Igor Pak and Greta Panova. Strict unimodality of  $q$ -binomial coefficients. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 351(11-12):415–418, 2013.
- [Pro07] Claudio Procesi. *Lie groups*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2007. An approach through invariants and representations.
- [Sap17] Ramprasad Saptharishi. A survey of lower bounds in arithmetic circuit complexity. <https://github.com/dasarpmar/lowerbounds-survey>, version 3.1.4, 2017.
- [Sta99] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, volume 62 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin.
- [Sta00] Richard P. Stanley. Positivity problems and conjectures in algebraic combinatorics. In *Mathematics: frontiers and perspectives*, pages 295–319. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [Sta11] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. second edition.
- [Stu08] Bernd Sturmfels. *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*. Texts & Monographs in Symbolic Computation. Springer, 2008.