

# JORDAN PROPERTIES OF AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF CERTAIN OPEN ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

TATIANA BANDMAN AND YURI G. ZARHIN

ABSTRACT. Let  $W$  be a quasiprojective variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Assume that  $W$  is birational to a product of a smooth projective variety  $A$  and the projective line. We prove that if  $A$  contains no rational curves then the automorphism group  $G := \text{Aut}(W)$  of  $W$  is Jordan. That means that there is a positive integer  $J = J(W)$  such that every finite subgroup  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $G$  contains a commutative subgroup  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is normal in  $\mathcal{B}$  and the index  $[\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{A}] \leq J$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. All varieties, if not indicated otherwise, are irreducible, algebraic, and defined over  $k$ . If  $X$  is an algebraic variety over  $k$  then we write  $\text{Aut}(X)$  for its group of (biregular) automorphisms and  $\text{Bir}(X)$  for its group of birational automorphisms. As usual,  $\mathbb{P}^n$  stands for the  $n$ -dimensional projective space and  $\mathbb{A}^n$  ( $\mathbb{A}_{x_1, \dots, x_n}^n$ ) for the  $n$ -dimensional affine space (with coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , respectively).

The definition of a Jordan group was introduced in [Po1].

**Definition 1.1.** A group  $\mathcal{G}$  is called **Jordan** [Po1] if there exists a positive integer  $J$  that enjoys the following property. Every finite subgroup  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  contains a commutative subgroup  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is normal in  $\mathcal{B}$  and the index  $[\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{A}] \leq J$ . Such a smallest  $J$  is called the Jordan index of  $\mathcal{G}$  and denoted by  $J_{\mathcal{G}}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $G$  be a group.

- (a)  $G$  is called *bounded* [Po2, PS1] if there is a positive integer  $C = C_G$  such that the order of every finite subgroup of  $G$  does not exceed  $C$ .
- (b)  $G$  is called *quasi-bounded* if there is a nonnegative integer  $a := a(G)$  such that each finite abelian subgroup of  $G$  is generated by at most  $A$  elements.
- (c)  $G$  is called *strongly Jordan* [PS2, BZ2] if it is Jordan and quasi-bounded.

**Remark 1.3.** (i) If

$$\{0\} \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow \{0\}$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J50, 14E07, 14J27, 14L30, 14J30, 14K05.

The second named author is partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#246625 to Yuri Zarhin). Part of this work was done in May-June 2016 during his stay at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, whose hospitality and support are gratefully acknowledged.

is a short exact sequence of groups and both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are bounded (resp. quasi-bounded) then one may easily check that  $G$  is also bounded (resp. quasi-bounded). Indeed, let  $H$  be a finite (resp. finite abelian) subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $H_2$  be the image of  $H$  in  $G_2$  and  $H_1$  the intersection of  $H$  and the kernel of  $G \rightarrow G_2$ . Then  $H$  sits in the short exact sequence

$$\{0\} \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow H_2 \rightarrow \{0\}$$

where  $H_i$  is a finite (resp. finite abelian) subgroup of  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are bounded then the order of  $H$  does not exceed  $C_{G_1}C_{G_2}$ , i.e.,  $G$  is also bounded. If both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are quasi-bounded then  $H$  is generated by, at most,  $a(G_1) + a(G_2)$  elements [MZ, Lemma 2.3].

- (ii) If both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Jordan then  $G$  does *not* have to be Jordan.
- (iii) Clearly, every subgroup of a (strongly) Jordan group is also (strongly) Jordan.

The group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is bounded by Minkowski's Theorem ([Ser, Sect. 9.1]). The classical theorem of Jordan ([CR, Sect. 36], [Ser, Sect. 9.2],[MuTu]) asserts that  $\mathrm{GL}(n, k)$  is strongly Jordan. An example of a non Jordan group is given by  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$  where  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is the algebraic closure of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $n \geq 2$ .

We refer the reader to [Po2] for references and survey on this topic.

Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety over  $k$ . It is known that  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  is Jordan if either  $\dim(X) \leq 2$  [Po1, BZ1] or  $X$  is projective [MZ]. It is also known ([PS1] combined with [Bir]), that if  $X$  is an irreducible variety then  $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$  is Jordan if either  $q(X) = 0$  or  $X$  is not uniruled (in particular, Cremona groups  $\mathrm{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^N)$  and groups  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{A}^N)$  are Jordan).

On the other hand,  $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$  is *not* Jordan if  $X$  is birational to a product  $A \times \mathbb{P}^n$  where  $n \geq 1$  and  $A$  is a positive-dimensional abelian variety over  $k$  [Za1].

Since  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ , it is Jordan whenever  $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$  is Jordan. But  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  may be Jordan when  $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$  is not. To the best of our knowledge, there is no example of an algebraic variety with non-Jordan automorphisms group. The aim of this paper is to prove the Jordan property of the group  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  for open subsets of certain uniruled varieties.

**Definition 1.4.** We call a smooth projective variety  $A$  *rigid* if it is irreducible and contains no rational curves.

We prove the following

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $W$  be an irreducible quasiprojective variety that is birational to a product  $A \times \mathbb{P}^1$  where  $A$  is a smooth rigid projective variety. Then  $\mathrm{Aut}(W)$  is strongly Jordan.*

The case of  $\dim(W) = 2$ ,  $\dim(A) = 1$  was done in [Po1, Za2, BZ1].

The case of  $\dim(W) = 3$  was studied in [Za1, PS0, PS1, BZ2, PS2]. Here is the final answer for  $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$  [PS2]. Let  $X$  be a threefold. Then  $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$  is not Jordan if

and only if either  $X$  is birational to  $E \times \mathbb{P}^2$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve, or  $X$  is birational to  $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , where  $S$  is one of the following:

**Case 1.** An abelian surface;

**Case 2.** A bielliptic surface;

**Case 3.** A surface with Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(S) = 1$  such that the Jacobian fibration of the pluricanonical fibration is locally trivial in Zariski topology.

Thus, Theorem 1.5 leads to the following

**Corollary 1.6.** *Assume that  $W$  is a quasiprojective irreducible variety of dimension  $d \leq 3$ . Assume that  $W$  is not birational to  $E \times \mathbb{P}^2$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve. Then  $\text{Aut}(W)$  is Jordan.*

**Remark 1.7.** Let  $W$  be a (nonempty) irreducible algebraic variety over  $k$  and  $W^{\text{ns}} \subset W$  the open dense (sub)set of its nonsingular points. Then  $u(W^{\text{ns}}) \subset W^{\text{ns}}$  for each  $u \in \text{Aut}(W)$ . This gives rise to the natural group homomorphism  $\text{Aut}(W) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(W^{\text{ns}})$ , which is injective, since  $W^{\text{ns}}$  is dense in  $W$  in Zariski topology. This implies that in the course of the proof of Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6 we may assume that  $W$  is *smooth*.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains notation and auxiliary results about fiberwise automorphisms of fibered varieties. In Section 3 we discuss automorphism groups of varieties that are birational to a product  $A \times \mathbb{P}^1$  where  $A$  is a smooth rigid projective variety. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6

**1.1. Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to Shulim Kaliman, Michel Brion, and Vladimir Berkovich for helpful discussions. Our thanks go to the referees, whose comments helped to improve the exposition.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

If  $X$  is an irreducible algebraic variety over  $k$  then

- We write  $k[X]$  for the ring of regular functions on  $X$  and  $k(X)$  for its field of rational functions. In this case one may view  $\text{Bir}(X)$  as the group of all  $k$ -linear automorphisms of  $k(X)$  and  $\text{Aut}(X)$  as a certain subgroup of  $\text{Bir}(X)$ . We write  $\text{id}_X$  for the identity automorphism of  $X$ , which may be viewed as the identity element of groups  $\text{Aut}(X)$  and  $\text{Bir}(X)$ .
- By points of  $X$  (unless otherwise stated) we always mean  $k$ -points. A *general point* means a point of an open dense subset of  $X$ .
- If  $X$  is smooth then  $K_X$  and  $q(X)$  stand for the canonical class of  $X$  and irregularity  $h^{0,1}(X)$  of  $X$ , respectively.
- $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  stand for fields of complex numbers, the rationals, and ring of integers, respectively.
- If  $F$  is a field then we write  $\overline{F}$  for its algebraic closure.

- Let  $X, Y, T$  be irreducible varieties,  $p : X \rightarrow T$ ,  $q : Y \rightarrow T$  morphisms. We say that a rational map  $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$  is  $p, q$ -fiberwise if there exists morphism  $g_f : T \rightarrow T$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ p \downarrow & & q \downarrow \\ T & \xrightarrow{g_f} & T \end{array}.$$

- If  $X = Y$ ,  $p = q$ ,  $f \in \text{Bir}(X)$ , then we say that  $f$  is  $p$ -fiberwise and denote by  $\text{Bir}_p(X)$  the group of all  $p$ -fiberwise birational automorphisms of  $X$ . We write  $\text{Aut}_p(X)$  for the intersection of  $\text{Bir}_p(X)$  and  $\text{Aut}(X)$  in  $\text{Bir}(X)$ , which is the group of all  $p$ -fiberwise automorphisms of  $X$ .
- Recall that if a smooth projective variety  $A$  is rigid, then any rational map from a smooth variety to  $A$  is a morphism ([De, Corollary 1.44]). In particular,  $\text{Bir}(A) = \text{Aut}(A)$ . Abelian varieties and bielliptic surfaces are rigid.

We start with an auxiliary

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $U, V$  are smooth irreducible quasiprojective varieties endowed by a surjective morphism  $p : U \rightarrow V$  such that the fiber  $P_v := p^{-1}(v)$  is projective and irreducible for every point  $v \in V$ . Assume that  $C \subset U$  is a closed subset and that  $C \cap P_v$  is a finite set for every point  $v \in V$ . Assume that  $f \in \text{Aut}_p(U \setminus C)$ .*

*Then  $f \in \text{Aut}_p(U)$ .*

**Remark 2.2.** In loose language this Lemma asserts that every fiberwise automorphism  $f \in \text{Aut}(U \setminus C)$  may be extended to an automorphism of  $U$  if  $C$  has only “ $p$ -horizontal” components over  $V$ .

*Proof.* Take any smooth projective closure  $\overline{V}$  of  $V$  and choose such a smooth projective closure  $\overline{U}$  of  $U$  that the rational extension  $\overline{p} : \overline{U} \rightarrow \overline{V}$  of  $p$  is a morphism. Since all the fibers of  $p$  are projective and irreducible, we have  $\overline{p}^{-1}(V) = U$ ,  $\overline{p}^{-1}(\overline{V} \setminus V) = \overline{U} \setminus U$  and  $\overline{p}|_U = p$  (see, for example, [MO, Section 2.6]). Let  $\overline{f} : \overline{U} \dashrightarrow \overline{U}$  be the rational extension of  $f$ . Let  $(\tilde{U}', \tilde{f}, \pi)$  be a resolution of indeterminacy of  $\overline{f}$ . Let  $\overline{g}_f \in \text{Bir}(\overline{V})$  be an extension of  $g_f$ .

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U}' & & \\ \pi \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{f} & \\ \overline{U} & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} \overline{U} & , \\ \overline{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow \overline{p} \\ \overline{V} & \xrightarrow{\overline{g}_f} \overline{V} & \end{array}$$

Since  $g_f$  is an automorphism of  $V$ , we have

$$\tilde{U} := (\bar{p} \circ \pi)^{-1}(V) = (\bar{p} \circ \tilde{f})^{-1}(V) = \pi^{-1}(U)$$

and we may restrict the maps  $\pi$ ,  $\tilde{f}$ ,  $\bar{f}$ ,  $\bar{p}$ ,  $\bar{g}_f$  to quasiprojective varieties  $\tilde{U}$ ,  $U$ , and  $V$  and obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{U} & \\ & \pi \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{f}|_{\tilde{U}} \\ & U & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}|_U} U \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ & V & \xrightarrow{g_f} V \end{array},$$

Here

- $\pi$  and  $\tilde{f} := \tilde{f}|_{\tilde{U}}$  are morphisms;
- $f := \bar{f}|_U \in \text{Aut}(U \setminus C) \cap \text{Bir}(U)$ ;
- $\pi$  is an isomorphism of  $U_1 := \pi^{-1}(U \setminus C)$  to  $U \setminus C$ .

We have to show that  $f$  is defined at all points of  $C$ . For this, we need to check that  $\tilde{f}(\pi^{-1}(c))$  is a point for every point  $c \in C$ . Since  $\pi$  and  $\tilde{f}$  are birational morphisms, the sets  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(a)$  and  $\pi^{-1}(a)$  are connected for every point  $a \in U$  by the Zariski Main Theorem (see [Mu1], Chapter III, §9). Take  $a \in U \setminus C$ . Then  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(a)$  contains an isolated point  $\pi^{-1}(f^{-1}(a)) \in U_1$ , which (by the Zariski Main Theorem) is the only connected component of  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(a)$ . Thus  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(U \setminus C) = U_1$ ,  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(C) = \pi^{-1}(C)$ , or  $\tilde{f}(\pi^{-1}(C)) = C$ ,  $\tilde{f}(U_1) = U \setminus C$ . Hence for every point  $c \in C$  we have

$$\tilde{f}(\pi^{-1}(c)) \subset C \cap P_{g_f(p(v))}$$

and the latter is a finite set. Since  $\tilde{f}(\pi^{-1}(c))$  has to be irreducible, it is a single point. Thus  $f = \tilde{f} \circ \pi^{-1}$  is defined at every point of  $U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that a group  $G$  sits in the short exact sequence*

$$\{0\} \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow \{0\}.$$

*Suppose that one of the following two condition holds.*

- (1)  $G_1$  is bounded and  $G_2$  is strongly Jordan.
- (2)  $G_1$  is strongly Jordan and  $G_2$  is bounded.

*Then  $G$  is strongly Jordan.*

*Proof.* Suppose (1) holds. Then a lemma of Anton Klyachko [BZ2, Lemma 2.1] implies that  $G$  is strongly Jordan.

Suppose (2) holds. Then both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are quasi-bounded. By Remark 1.3,  $G$  is also quasi-bounded. It follows from [MZ, Lemma 2.3(1)] that  $G$  is Jordan. This implies that  $G$  is strongly Jordan.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a projective variety. It is actually proven in [MZ] that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is strongly Jordan (not just Jordan): the assertion follows readily from the combination of [MZ, Theorem 1.4] and [MZ, Lemma 2.5].

In the next Proposition we consider the group  $\text{Aut}_p(X)$  where  $p : W \rightarrow A$  is a morphism from a smooth quasiprojective variety  $W$  with projective fibers and  $A$  is a smooth rigid projective variety.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a smooth rigid projective variety of positive dimension. Let  $X$  be a smooth irreducible projective variety and  $p : X \rightarrow A$  a morphism such that the generic fiber (and, hence, the fiber over a general point  $a \in A$ ) is connected. Let  $S \subsetneq A$  be a closed subset of  $A$ . Put  $Z = p^{-1}(S)$  and  $W = X \setminus Z$ . Then the group  $H = \text{Aut}_p(W)$  is strongly Jordan.*

**Remark 2.6.** Let  $A_r$  be the set of all points  $a \in A \setminus S$  such that the fiber  $p^{-1}(a)$  is smooth (hence, irreducible). Then  $W_r := p^{-1}(A_r)$  is evidently  $H$ -invariant and  $H$  is embedded in  $\text{Aut}(W_r)$ . Thus while proving the Proposition we may assume that for every point  $a \notin S$  the fiber  $p^{-1}(a)$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* If  $S = \emptyset$  then  $W = X$  is projective and the desired result follows from results of [MZ] (and Remark 2.4). Thus we assume that  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Then

- We denote by  $G(A) := \text{Aut}(A)$  be the group of automorphisms of  $A$ .
- We denote by  $G(S) \subset G(A)$  the subgroup of all elements  $g \in G(A)$  such that  $g(S) = S$ ;
- The identity component  $G(A)_0$  of  $G(A)$  is a connected algebraic group ([Mat, Corollary 2]) ;
- The intersection  $G_S = G(S) \cap G(A)_0$  is a closed subgroup of  $G(A)_0$ , because  $S$  is a closed subset of  $A$ ;
- The identity component  $G_0$  of  $G_S$  is a closed subgroup in  $G_S$ , thus it is a connected algebraic group, and has finite index in  $G_S$ ;
- The factor group  $G(S)/(G_S)$  is bounded ([MZ, Lemma 2.5]);
- Hence, the group  $G(S)/G_0$  is bounded;
- Since  $G_0$  acts on a non-uniruled projective variety  $A$ , it contains no non-trivial connected linear algebraic subgroup (otherwise, the open dense subset of  $A$  would be covered by rational orbits). Thus it is isomorphic to an abelian variety by the Chevalley's Theorem ([C]).

By definition, for every automorphism  $f \in \text{Aut}_p(W)$  there is  $g_f \in \text{Aut}(A \setminus S)$  that may be included into the following commutative diagram :

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\ p \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ A \setminus S & \xrightarrow{g_f} & A \setminus S \end{array}$$

Hence, the group  $H = \text{Aut}_p(W)$  sits in the following exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_i \rightarrow H \rightarrow H_a \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$-H_i = \{f \in H \mid g_f = \text{id}_A\}$  is a subgroup of the automorphism group of the generic fiber  $\mathcal{W}_p$  of  $p$ ;

$-H_a = \{g \in \text{Aut}(A \setminus S) \mid g = g_f \text{ for some } f \in H\}$ .

Note that we have

- $H_a \subset G(S)$ , since  $\text{Bir}(A) = \text{Aut}(A)$ ;
- Every  $g \in H_a \subset G(S)$  moves a  $G_0$ -orbit (in  $A$ ) to a  $G_0$ -orbit, since  $G_0$  is a closed normal subgroup of  $G(S)$ ;
- The orbit  $G_0(z)$  of a point  $z \notin S$  is a projective subset of  $A$ , since  $G_0$  is an abelian variety;
- The orbit  $G_0(z)$  of a point  $z \notin S$  does not meet  $S$ . Hence, if  $z \notin S$  then  $p^{-1}(G_0(z)) \cap Z = p^{-1}(G_0(z) \cap S) = \emptyset$ , i.e  $p^{-1}(G_0(z))$  is a closed irreducible projective subset of  $W$ . Indeed it is a fibration with irreducible projective fibers over a projective orbit  $G_0(z)$  ([Sh, Chapter 1, n.6.3, Theorem 8]).

By a theorem of M. Rosenlicht [Ros], there exist a dense open  $G_0$ -invariant subset  $U \subset A$ , a quasiprojective variety  $V$  and a morphism  $\pi : U \rightarrow V$  such that a fiber  $\pi^{-1}(v)$  is precisely an orbit of  $G_0$  for every  $v \in V$ . That means that  $V$  is a geometric quotient of  $U$  by the  $G_0$ -action. Since  $S$  is  $G_0$ -invariant, we may assume that  $U \subset A \setminus S$ . Since every  $g \in H_a \subset G(S)$  moves a  $G_0$ -orbit (in  $A$ ) to a  $G_0$ -orbit, the map  $h_g := \pi \circ g \circ \pi^{-1} : V \rightarrow V$  is defined at every points of  $V$ , hence is a morphism (see [It, Lemma 10.7 on pp. 314–315]). Moreover, the following diagram commutes.

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\ p \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{g_f} & U \\ \pi \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{h_{g_f}} & V \end{array}$$

Let  $\tau = \pi \circ p$ . We have  $f \in \text{Aut}_\tau(W)$ . Since the general fiber  $T_v = \tau^{-1}(v)$ ,  $v \in V$  is a projective irreducible variety, the generic fiber  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\tau$  is projective and irreducible as well ([EGA, Proposition 9.7.8.]).

Moreover, we have the following exact sequence of groups

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_T \rightarrow H \rightarrow H_V \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$-H_T = \{f \in H \mid h_{g_f} = \text{id}_V\}$ ;  $-H_V = \{h \in \text{Aut}(V) \mid h = h_{g_f} \text{ for some } f \in H\}$ . (In particular case of  $G_0 = \{\text{id}_A\}$  we have  $V = A \setminus S$ ,  $\pi = \text{id}_A$ ,  $h_{g_f} = g_f$ , and  $H_V = H_a \subset G_S$ .) The group  $H_T \subset \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$  is strongly Jordan, according to [MZ, Theorem 1.4, Lemma 2.5] (and Remark 2.4). The group  $H_V$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G(S)/G_0$ , hence is bounded. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3,  $H$  is strongly Jordan.  $\square$

### 3. ADMISSIBLE TRIPLES AND RELATED EXACT SEQUENCES

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $A$  be a  $n$ -dimensional irreducible smooth rigid projective variety (e.g, an abelian variety or a product of curves of positive genus). We write  $\mathcal{K}$  for  $k(A)$ .

Let us define an *A-admissible triple* as a triple  $(X, \phi, Z)$  that consists of a smooth irreducible projective variety  $X$ , a birational isomorphism  $\phi : X \dashrightarrow A \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and a closed subset  $Z \subsetneq X$ . We denote by  $W$  the open subset

$$W = X \setminus Z \subset X.$$

We will freely use the following notation and properties of admissible  $A$ -triples.

- a:** Let  $p_A : A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow A$  be the projection map on the first factor. Then the composition  $p := p_A \circ \phi : X \rightarrow A$  is a morphism, since  $A$  is rigid. We say that  $p$  is induced by  $\phi$ .
- b:** Since  $X$  is birational to  $A \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , there is an open non-empty subset  $B \subset A$  such that  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism between  $X_B = p^{-1}(B)$  and  $B \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . (This follows from the fact that indeterminacy locus of  $\phi$  has codimension  $\leq 2$  in  $X$ , thus it is mapped by  $p$  into a proper closed subset of  $A$ .)

Moreover,  $\phi$  is  $p, p_A$ -fiberwise: the following diagram commutes.

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_B & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B \times \mathbb{P}^1 \\ p \downarrow & & p_A \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{id} & B \end{array} ;$$

- c:** It follows from (6) that the general fiber  $P_x := p^{-1}(x)$  (i.e. fiber over a point  $x$  of a certain open dense subset of  $A$ ) is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ;
- d:** Let us put:
  - $r(Z)$  - the number of irreducible over  $\mathcal{K}$  components of  $Z$  that are mapped dominantly onto  $A$ ; we will call such components "horizontal";
  - $m(Z)$ - the degree of the restriction of  $p$  to  $Z$ , i.e the number of  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ -points in  $p^{-1}(a)$  for a general point  $a \in A$ .



**e:** The generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}_p$  of  $p$  is isomorphic to the projective line  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{K}}^1$  over  $\mathcal{K}$ ; the generic fiber  $\mathcal{W}_p$  of the restriction  $p|_W \rightarrow A$  (of  $p$  to  $W$ ) is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{K}}^1 \setminus M$ , where  $M$  is a finite set that is defined over  $\mathcal{K}$  and consists of  $m(Z)$  points that are defined over a finite algebraic extension of  $\mathcal{K}$ . In other words, the  $\mathcal{K}$ -variety  $\mathcal{W}_p$  is isomorphic to the projective line over  $\mathcal{K}$  with  $m(Z)$  punctures. In particular, the group  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{W}_p)$  is finite if  $m(Z) > 2$ . On the other hand,  $r(Z)$  is the number of Galois orbits in the set of punctures. In particular,

$$1 \leq r(Z) \leq m(Z) \text{ or } 0 = r(Z) = m(Z).$$

**f:** We may choose  $B$  in such a way that  $Z_B := Z \cap X_B$  meets every fiber  $P_b$ ,  $b \in B$ , at precisely  $m(Z)$   $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ -points. In particular,  $Z_B$  is a finite cover of  $B$ .

**g:** Every birational map  $f \in \text{Bir}(X)$  is  $p$ -fiberwise : we denote by  $g_f \in \text{Bir}(A)$  the corresponding automorphism  $g_f : A \dashrightarrow A$  (see [BZ2]). Since  $A$  is rigid,  $g_f$  actually belongs to  $\text{Aut}(A)$ . This implies that

$$\text{Aut}(W) = \text{Aut}_p(W).$$

(Here  $p$  denotes the restriction of  $p : X \rightarrow A$  to  $W \subset X$ .)

**h:** Let us consider the subgroups

$$H_i = \{f \in \text{Aut}(W) \mid g_f = \text{id}_A\} \subset \text{Aut}(W)$$

and

$$H_a = \{g \in \text{Aut}(A) \mid g = g_f \text{ for some } f \in \text{Aut}(W)\} \subset \text{Aut}(A).$$

We have the following short exact sequence of groups.

$$(7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow H_i \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(W) \longrightarrow H_a \longrightarrow 0$$

**j:** Group  $H_i$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{W}_p)$ . Thus it is Jordan; it is finite if  $m(Z) > 2$ .

**Remark 3.1.** Let  $W$  be a smooth quasiprojective irreducible variety that is birational to  $A \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Then there is an  $A$ -admissible triple  $(X, \phi, Z)$  such that  $X \setminus Z$  is biregular to  $W$ . Indeed, one may take as  $X$  any smooth projective closure of  $W$  and put  $Z = X \setminus W$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that  $A$  is an irreducible smooth projective variety that is not uniruled. (E.g.,  $A$  is rigid). Then  $\text{Bir}(A \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  is quasi-bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $p_A : A \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow A$  be the projection map. Its generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}$  is the projective line  $\mathbb{P}_{k(A)}^1$  over  $k(A)$ . Each  $u \in \text{Bir}(A \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  is a  $p_A$ -fiberwise, see [BZ2, Lemma 3.4 and Cor. 3.6]. By [BZ2, Cor. 3.6],  $\text{Bir}(A \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  sits in an exact sequence

$$\{0\} \rightarrow \text{Bir}_{k(A)}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Bir}(A \times \mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \text{Bir}(A).$$

Actually,  $\text{Bir}(A \times \mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \text{Bir}(A)$  is surjective, because one may lift any birational automorphism of  $A$  to a birational automorphism of  $A \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . On the other hand,

since  $\mathcal{X}$  is the projective line,  $\text{Bir}_{k(A)}(\mathcal{X})$  is the projective linear group  $\text{PGL}(2, k(A))$ . This gives us a short exact sequence

$$(8) \quad \{0\} \rightarrow \text{PGL}(2, k(A)) \rightarrow \text{Bir}(A \times \mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \text{Bir}(A) \rightarrow \{0\}.$$

The theorem of Jordan implies that the linear group  $\text{PGL}(2, k(A))$  is strongly Jordan. In particular, it is quasi-bounded. On the other hand, since  $A$  is *not* uniruled,  $\text{Bir}(A)$  is also quasi-bounded ([PS1, Remark 6.9], [BZ2, Proof of Cor. 3.8 on p. 236]). It follows from (8) and Remark 1.3 that  $\text{Bir}(A \times \mathbb{P}^1)$  is also quasi-bounded.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that  $m(Z) = 2$  and  $r(Z) = 1$ . Let  $\text{Tor}(H_i)$  be the set of all elements of  $H_i$  of finite order. Then the following conditions hold.*

- (i)  $\text{Tor}(H_i)$  consists of, at most, 4 elements.
- (ii) every element of finite order in  $H_i$  has order 1 or 2.
- (iii) Every finite subgroup of  $H_i$  is abelian and its order divides 4 while its exponent divides 2.

*Proof.* Let  $(u_0 : u_1)$  be homogeneous coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{K}}^1$ . Since  $m(Z) = 2$ , and  $Z$  has only one irreducible component  $Z_1$  over  $\mathcal{K}$ , we may assume that  $Z_1$  is defined by equation  $(u_0 - \mu_1 u_1)(u_0 - \mu_2 u_1) = 0$ , where  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are distinct elements of a quadratic extension  $\mathcal{K}_2$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  that are conjugate over  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Every automorphism  $f \in \text{Tor}(H_i)$  of  $\mathcal{W}_p$  may be extended uniquely to a periodic automorphism  $\bar{f}$  of  $\mathcal{X}_p \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{K}}^1$ . The 2-element subset

$$\{\mu_1, \mu_2\} \subset \mathbb{A}^1(\mathcal{K}_2) \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{K}_2)$$

is  $\bar{f}$ -invariant for all  $f \in H_i$ . This means that either  $\bar{f}$  leaves invariant both  $\mu_i$  or permutes them.

Put  $z = \frac{(u_0 - \mu_1 u_1)}{(u_0 - \mu_2 u_1)} \in \mathcal{K}_2(A)$ . The extension  $\bar{f}$  leaves the set  $\{z = 0\} \cup \{z = \infty\}$  invariant for all  $f \in H_i$ . Thus,  $\bar{f}(z) = \lambda z$  or  $\bar{f}(z) = \frac{\lambda}{z}$  for suitable nonzero  $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}_2$ . In both cases  $(\bar{f})^2(z) := (\bar{f} \circ \bar{f})(z) = \lambda^2 z$ , This implies that  $\lambda$  is a *root of unity* if  $\bar{f}$  is *periodic*, i.e., if  $f \in \text{Tor}(H_i)$ ; in particular  $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$ . Suppose that  $\bar{f}(u_0 : u_1) = (u'_0 : u'_1)$ . Then one may easily check that either (in the former case)

$$(9) \quad \frac{(u'_0 - \mu_1 u'_1)}{(u'_0 - \mu_2 u'_1)} = \lambda \frac{(u_0 - \mu_1 u_1)}{(u_0 - \mu_2 u_1)}$$

or (in the latter case)

$$(10) \quad \frac{(u'_0 - \mu_1 u'_1)}{(u'_0 - \mu_2 u'_1)} = \lambda \frac{(u_0 - \mu_2 u_1)}{(u_0 - \mu_1 u_1)}.$$

In order for these maps to be defined over  $\mathcal{K}$  the matrices (respectively)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 - \lambda \mu_2 & \mu_1 \mu_2 (\lambda - 1) \\ (1 - \lambda) & \lambda \mu_1 - \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 - \lambda \mu_2 & \lambda \mu_2^2 - \mu_1^2 \\ (1 - \lambda) & \lambda \mu_2 - \mu_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

should be defined (up to multiplication by a nonzero element of  $\mathcal{K}_2$ ) over  $\mathcal{K}$  as well. Since  $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$ , it may happen only if  $\lambda = \pm 1$ . This implies that  $(\bar{f})^2 : z \mapsto \lambda^2 z$  is the

identity map, i.e., the order of  $\bar{f}$  is either 1 or 2. In addition, there are, at most, four elements in  $\text{Tor}(H_i)$ . Namely, (written in  $z$ -coordinate)

$$\bar{f}(z) = z, \bar{f}(z) = -z, \bar{f}(z) = \frac{1}{z}, \bar{f}(z) = -\frac{1}{z}.$$

□

One may see Lemma 3.3 in a more general way. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a field of characteristic zero that contains all roots of unity. Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer.

The following assertion is an easy application of Kummer theory [La, Chapter VI, Section 8].

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $u$  be a matrix in  $\text{GL}(n, \mathcal{K})$ , whose image  $\bar{u}$  in  $\text{PGL}(n, \mathcal{K})$  has finite order. Suppose that  $u$  has an eigenvalue that does not belong to  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then there is a positive integer  $d$  such that  $d \mid n$  and all eigenvalues of  $u^d$  lie in  $\mathcal{K}$ . In addition, if  $n$  is a prime then  $\bar{u}$  has order  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We know that there are a positive integer  $m$  and a nonzero element  $a \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $u^m = a \cdot \mathbf{1}_n$  where  $\mathbf{1}_n$  is the identity square matrix of size  $n$ . Clearly, the order of  $\bar{u}$  is strictly greater than 1 and divides  $n$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be an eigenvalue of  $u$  that does not belong to  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $\alpha^m = a$ . Let us consider the finite algebraic field extension  $\mathcal{K}' = \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  and denote by  $d$  its degree  $[\mathcal{K}' : \mathcal{K}]$ . Clearly,  $d > 1$ . The Kummer theory tells us that  $\mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{K}$  is a cyclic extension and  $d \mid m$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{K}$  is Galois and its Galois group  $G$  is cyclic of order  $d$ . If  $\beta$  is another eigenvalue of  $u$  then

$$\beta^m = a = \alpha^m$$

and therefore the ratio  $\beta/\alpha$  is an  $m$ th root of unity and therefore lies in  $\mathcal{K}$ . This implies that

$$\mathcal{K}(\beta) = \mathcal{K}(\alpha) = \mathcal{K}';$$

in particular, none of eigenvalues of  $u$  lies in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Recall that the cardinality of  $G$  coincides with  $d$ . Since  $\beta$  generates  $\mathcal{K}'$  over  $\mathcal{K}$ , the set  $\{\sigma(\beta) \mid \sigma \in G\}$  consists of  $d$  distinct elements, each of which is an eigenvalue of  $u$  and has the same multiplicity. Since the spectrum of  $u$  is a disjoint union of  $G$ -orbits,  $d$  divides  $n$ .

Take an element  $\tau$  of (abelian group)  $G$ . Then  $\tau(\beta) = \zeta\beta$  where  $\zeta$  is a root of unity that lies in  $\mathcal{K}$ . The norms of conjugate  $\beta$  and  $\tau(\beta)$  (with respect to  $\mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{K}$ ) do coincide. This means that

$$\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\beta) = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\tau\beta) = \zeta^d \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\beta).$$

It follows that

$$\zeta^d = 1, \tau(\beta^d) = (\tau\beta)^d = \beta^d$$

for all  $\tau \in G$ . This implies that  $\beta^d \in \mathcal{K}$  for all eigenvalues  $\beta$  of  $u$  and therefore all eigenvalues of  $u^d$  lie in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Now assume that  $n$  is a prime. Then  $d = n$  and counting arguments imply that the spectrum of  $u$  consists of exactly one  $G$ -orbit say,  $G\beta$ . Then all the eigenvalues of  $u^n = u^d$  coincide with

$$\beta^n = \beta^d \in \mathcal{K}.$$

This implies that  $u^n$  is a scalar and therefore the order of  $\bar{u}$  divides  $n$ . One has only to recall that this order is greater than 1 and  $n$  is a prime.  $\square$

The next lemmas show that the case  $m(Z) \leq 2$  may be reduced to the case  $m(Z) = 0$ .

To this end we find an open  $H_a$ -invariant subset  $\tilde{B} \subset A$  of  $A$  such that  $\tilde{W} = p^{-1}(\tilde{B})$  is a complement of exactly two (respectively 1) "horizontal" components. Namely, we build a rank two vector bundle  $E$  over  $\tilde{B}$  such that  $\tilde{W}$  appears to be isomorphic to the complement  $Y \setminus D$  of two (respectively, one) disjoint sections in  $Y := P(E)$ .

More precisely, we are going to build the following chain of maps and inclusions of smooth irreducible quasiprojective varieties

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} X & \hookrightarrow & W & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{W} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & (Y \setminus D) & \hookrightarrow & Y & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ A & = & A & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{B} & = & \tilde{B} & = & \tilde{B} & \hookrightarrow & A \end{array},$$

such that:

- $\tilde{B}$  is an open dense subset of  $A$  invariant under the  $H_a$ -action;
- $\tilde{W} = p^{-1}(\tilde{B}) \subset W$  is invariant under the action of  $\text{Aut}(W)$ ;
- $\Psi$  is an isomorphism;
- every fiber of  $\pi : Y \rightarrow \tilde{B}$  is projective;
- $D$  is a closed subset of  $Y$  that meets every fiber of  $\pi$  at no more than two points;
- $\tilde{X}$  is projective;
- $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{X} \setminus Y) = A \setminus \tilde{B}$ .

According to Lemma 2.1,  $\text{Aut}(Y \setminus D) \subset \text{Aut}(Y)$ . Thus, instead of  $\text{Aut}(W)$  we may study  $\text{Aut}(Y)$  where  $Y$  is fibered over  $\tilde{B} \subset A$  with projective fibers (hence  $m(\tilde{X} \setminus Y) = 0$ ).

The building of this construction is done in the following Lemmas.

**Lemma 3.5.** *If  $(X, \phi, Z)$  is an  $A$ -admissible triple,  $W := X \setminus Z$  and  $m(Z) = 1$  then there exists an  $A$ -admissible triple  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{Z})$  with  $m(\tilde{Z}) = 0$  and a group embedding  $\text{Aut}(W) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{W})$ , where  $\tilde{W} := \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(w_0 : w_1)$  be homogeneous coordinates in  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . We may choose them in such a way that  $\phi(Z_B) = B \times \{(0 : 1)\}$ .

Let

- $W_B = W \cap X_B = X_B \setminus Z_B$ ;
- $B_g = g(B)$  for an automorphism  $g \in H_a$ ;

- $W_g = W \cap p^{-1}(B_g)$ ;
- $\tilde{B} = \cup B_g, g \in H_a$ ;
- $\tilde{W} = p^{-1}(\tilde{B}) = \cup W_g, g \in H_a$ .

We have  $\phi(W_B) = B \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{w_0 = 0\})$ . Thus, the rational function  $t = w_1/w_0$  is defined on  $\phi(W_B)$  and the rational function  $\tau = \phi^*(t)$  is defined on  $W_B$ . It establishes an isomorphism of the fiber  $p^{-1}(b) \cap W$  with  $\mathbb{A}_t^1$  if  $b$  is a point of  $B$ .

For every  $g \in H_a$  there exists  $f_g \in \text{Aut}(W)$  such that  $g = g_{f_g}$  and  $f_g(W_B) = W_g$ . We define  $\tau_g = \tau \circ f_g^{-1}$ , which is a regular function on  $W_g$ . (Note that apriori the choice of  $f_g$  is not unique. A different choice of  $f_g$  will change  $\tau_g$  by a  $p$ -fiberwise automorphism of  $W_B$ , which becomes a nondegenerate affine transformation of the generic fiber  $\mathcal{W}_p \sim \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{K}}^1$ .)

We introduce the isomorphisms  $\psi_g : W_g \rightarrow B_g \times \mathbb{A}^1$  by  $\psi_g(w) = (p(w), \tau_g(w))$ . Actually,  $\psi_g$  are compositions of the chain of automorphisms

$$W_g \xrightarrow{f_g^{-1}} W_B \xrightarrow{\phi} B \times \mathbb{A}_t^1 \xrightarrow{(g^{-1}, \text{id})} B_g \times \mathbb{A}_t^1.$$

Note that in this chain  $f_g^{-1}$  is  $p$ -fiberwise,  $\phi$  is  $p, p_A$ -fiberwise, and  $(g^{-1}, \text{id})$  is  $p_A$ -fiberwise, thus  $\psi_g$  is  $p, p_A$ -fiberwise. It may be included into the following commutative diagram

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} W_g & \longrightarrow & B_g \times \mathbb{A}_t^1 \\ p \downarrow & & p_A \downarrow \\ B_g & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & B_g \end{array} .$$

If  $g, h \in H_a$  and  $w \in W_g \cap W_h$  then:

- $b = p(w) \in (B_g \cap B_h)$ ;
- functions  $\tau_g$  and  $\tau_h$  provide an isomorphism of the fiber  $P_b = p^{-1}(b) \cap W$  with  $\mathbb{A}_t^1$  hence

$$\tau_g = \tau \circ f_g^{-1} = \tau \circ f_h^{-1} \circ f_h \circ f_g^{-1} = \tau_h \circ f_h \circ f_g^{-1} = \tau_h \alpha + \beta,$$

where  $\alpha := \alpha_{gh}(b), \beta := \beta_{gh}(b)$  are regular in  $B_g \cap B_h$ , constant along  $P_b$ , and  $\alpha_{gh}$  does *not* vanish in  $(B_g \cap B_h)$ ;

- $\Psi_{gh} = \psi_g(w) \circ \psi_h^{-1}$  is a  $p_A$ -fiberwise automorphism of  $(B_g \cap B_h) \times \mathbb{A}^1$  defined by  $\Psi_{gh}(b, \tau_h) = (b, \tau_g) = (b, \alpha_{gh}(b)\tau_h + \beta_{gh}(b))$ ;

It follows that  $\tilde{W}$  is the total body of an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -bundle on  $\tilde{B}$ : the latter is defined by transition functions  $\Psi_{gh}$ .

We define a rank two vector bundle by the following data.

- the covering of  $\tilde{B}$  by the open subsets  $B_g, g \in H_a$ ;
- natural projection  $\pi_E : B_g \times \mathbb{A}_{(u_0, u_1)}^2 \rightarrow B_g$ ;

- transition matrices on  $B_g \cap B_h$

$$M_{gh} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta_{gh} & \alpha_{gh} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The maps

$$\{\bar{\psi}_g(w) : W_g \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E), \bar{\psi}_g(w) = (p(w), (1 : \tau_g(w)))$$

glue together to an isomorphism

$$\Psi : \tilde{W} \cong \mathbb{P}(E) \setminus \{u_0 = 0\}.$$

We denote by  $D$  the divisor (image of the section)  $\{u_0 = 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  and by  $\pi$  the induced by  $\pi_E$  the projection map  $P(E) \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ .

We have  $\text{Aut}(W) \subset \text{Aut}(\tilde{W})$ , since the (sub)set  $\tilde{B} \subset A$  is invariant under the action of  $H_a$ . On the other hand, according to Lemma 2.1,  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{W}) \subset \text{Aut}(Y)$  where  $Y := \mathbb{P}(E)$ . Take any smooth projective closure  $\tilde{X}$  of  $Y$  and extend  $\pi$  to the rational map  $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow A$ . Since  $A$  contains no rational curves,  $\tilde{\pi}$  is a morphism, which is obviously projective. Let  $\tilde{D}$  be the closure of  $D$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . Note that  $\tilde{D} \cap Y = D$ ,  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{B}) = Y$ , and  $\tilde{p}^{-1}(A \setminus \tilde{B}) = \tilde{X} \setminus Y$ , in light of the ‘‘maximality’’ property of projective (and therefore proper) morphism  $\pi$  [MO, Section 2.6, pp. 95–96].

Let  $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{X} \setminus Y$ . Let  $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{X} \dashrightarrow A \times \mathbb{P}^1$  be the rational extension of  $\phi \circ \Psi^{-1} : Y \dashrightarrow A \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Then  $m(\tilde{Z}) = 0$ , and the  $A$ -admissible triple  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{Z})$  is the one we were looking for.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** This Lemma may be derived from general results in [KW] and [Su1], [Su2] but we prefer an explicit construction which we use in the next Lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Assume that a triple  $(X, \phi, Z)$  is  $A$ -admissible,  $m(Z) = 2$  and  $r(Z) = 2$ . Then there exists an  $A$ -admissible triple  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{Z})$  with  $m(\tilde{Z}) = 0$  and a group embedding  $\text{Aut}(W) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{W})$ , where  $\tilde{W} := \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Z_B$  contains two disjoint irreducible over  $\mathcal{K}$  horizontal components we may choose homogeneous coordinates  $(w_0 : w_1)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^1$  in such a way that  $\phi(Z_B) = B \times \{w_0 w_1 = 0\}$ . Thus this is the special case of Lemma 3.5 when (in the notation of Lemma 3.5)  $\tau_g = 0$  whenever  $t = 0$  for all  $g \in H_a$ . Thus this lemma follows from Lemma 3.5. Note that in this case  $\beta_{gh} \equiv 0$  and instead of the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -bundle we have a line bundle.  $\square$

It follows that the case  $m(Z) \leq 2$  may be reduced to the case  $m(Z) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *If a triple  $(X, \phi, Z)$  is  $A$ -admissible,  $W := X \setminus Z$  and  $m(Z) = 0$  then there exists an  $A$ -admissible triple  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{Z})$*

*such that:*

- 1) *There is a group embedding  $\text{Aut}(W) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{W})$  where  $\tilde{W} = \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{Z}$ ;*
- 2) *If  $\tilde{p}$  is the projection map from  $\tilde{X}$  onto  $A$  induced by  $\tilde{\phi}$ , then  $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{p}^{-1}(S)$  for a certain closed subset  $S$  of  $A$ ; in addition, for every point  $b \in B := A \setminus S$  the fiber  $P_b = \tilde{p}^{-1}(b)$  is an irreducible reduced curve isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .*

**Remark 3.9.** In loose words it means that we can add to  $Z$  all the singular fibers of  $p$  without reducing an automorphism group.

*Proof.* Since  $m(Z) = 0$ , we have  $Z_A = p(Z) \neq A$  is a closed subset of  $A$ . Let  $a$  be a point of  $Z_A$ . Then the fiber  $P_a \cap W = P_a \setminus (Z \cap P_a)$  either has a non-projective irreducible component or is empty. Let  $S_s$  be the set of all points  $a \in A \setminus Z_A$  such that  $P_a = p^{-1}(a)$  is singular (namely, has several irreducible components or a non-reduced component). Let  $S := S_s \cup Z_A \subset A$ , i.e,  $B := A \setminus S$  is the set of all points  $a \in A$  such that the fiber  $P_a \subset W$  is a reduced irreducible smooth curve isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Then sets  $B$  and  $S$  are invariant under the action of  $H_a$  (see (7)), thus  $\tilde{W} := p^{-1}(B)$  is invariant under the action of  $\text{Aut}(W)$ , i.e,  $\text{Aut}(W) \subset \text{Aut}(\tilde{W})$ .

Thus, the  $A$ -admissible triple

$$\tilde{X} := X, \tilde{\phi} := \phi, \tilde{Z} := p^{-1}(S)$$

enjoys the desired properties. □

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* By Remark 1.7 we may assume that  $W$  is smooth. When  $W$  is projective, the desired result follows from [MZ]. So, we may assume that quasiprojective  $W$  is *not* projective. By Remark 3.1 we may choose such an  $A$ -admissible  $(X, \phi, Z)$  that  $W = X \setminus Z$ . We use the exact sequence (7).

It is proven in [PS1, Section 6] (see also [BZ2, Corollary 3.8]) that for an irreducible non-uniruled variety  $A$  the group  $\text{Bir}(A)$  (and, hence,  $\text{Aut}(A)$ ) is *strongly* Jordan.

If  $m(Z) > 2$ , then the (sub)group  $H_i$  in the short exact sequence (7) is finite. If  $m(Z) = 2$ , and  $r(Z) = 1$ , then, according to Lemma 3.3,  $H_i$  is bounded. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that in both cases  $\text{Aut}(W)$  is Jordan. (See also [PS1, Lemma 2.8].)

According to Lemma 3.7, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.8, in all other cases one may assume that conditions of Proposition 2.5 are satisfied, hence,  $\text{Aut}(W)$  is strongly Jordan. □

*Proof of Corollary 1.6.* Assume that  $W$  is a quasiprojective irreducible variety of dimension  $d \leq 3$ . The case  $\dim W \leq 2$  was done in [Po1, Za2, BZ1]. Assume that  $W$  is not birational to  $E \times \mathbb{P}^2$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve.

If  $\dim(W) = 3$  and  $\text{Bir}(W)$  is Jordan, then its subgroup  $\text{Aut}(W)$  is also Jordan. If  $\text{Bir}(W)$  is *not* Jordan, then according to [PS2], the variety  $W$  has to be birational to  $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , where  $S$  is a surface that enjoys one of the following three properties.

**Case 1.**  $S$  is an abelian surface. Since  $S$  contains no rational curves, it is rigid. Thus,  $\text{Aut}(W)$  is Jordan by Theorem 1.5.

**Case 2.**  $S$  is bielliptic surface. Since  $S$  contains no rational curves, it is rigid. Thus,  $\text{Aut}(W)$  is Jordan by Theorem 1.5.

**Case 3.**  $S$  is a surface with Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(S) = 1$  such that the Jacobian fibration of the pluricanonical fibration is locally trivial in Zariski topology.

Consider **Case 3**. Further on we assume that  $k = \mathbb{C}$ .

We have to prove that  $S$  is rigid. Since Jacobian fibration of the pluricanonical fibration is locally trivial in Zariski topology, all fibers (even the multiple ones) of the pluricanonical fibration are smooth elliptic curves ([Sh, Chapter VII, section 7, Corollary 2], [C-D, Theorem 5.3.1]).

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume that  $A$  is a smooth irreducible surface endowed with a morphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow C$  such that*

- $C$  is a smooth curve of genus  $g$ ;
- Every fiber  $F_c = \pi^{-1}(c)$ ,  $c \in C$  is a smooth elliptic curve;
- Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(A) = 1$ .
- Morphism  $\pi$  is a pluricanonical fibration, i.e for some  $N$  and every effective divisor  $D \in |NK_A|$  there are positive numbers  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n$  and fibers  $F_1, \dots, F_n$  of  $\pi$  such that  $D = \sum_1^n \nu_i F_i$ .

Then surface  $A$  contains no rational curves.

*Proof.* The surface  $A$  enjoys the following properties:

- Euler characteristics  $e(A) = 0$  ( see [Sh, Chapter IV, section 4, Theorem 6] );
- Since  $K_A^2 = 0$ , we have  $\chi(A) = \chi(A, \mathcal{O}_A) = 0$  ( see [Sh] );
- If fibration  $\pi$  has precisely  $k$  multiple fibers  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  with multiplicities  $m_1, \dots, m_k$ , respectively, then

$$(12) \quad \delta(\pi) := 2g - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_i}\right) > 0;$$

(see [BHPV, Chapter V, proposition 12.5])

- In particular,  $2g - 2 + k > 0$ .
- Since  $\pi$  is a pluricanonical fibration every automorphism  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A)$  is  $\pi$ -fiberwise.
- For every automorphism  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A)$  the subset  $F_{sing} = F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_k$  is invariant since multiple fibers go to multiple fibers;

Let  $B \subset A$  be a rational curve. Since it cannot be contained in a fiber of  $\pi$ , it is mapped by  $\pi$  onto  $C$  with some degree  $m \geq 1$ . Hence  $C$  is rational. Assume that  $B$  intersects  $F_i$  at points  $a_1^i, \dots, a_{n_i}^i$  that are ramification points of restriction  $\tilde{\pi}$  of  $\pi$  onto  $B$ , of orders  $r_{i,1}, \dots, r_{i,n_i}$ , respectively. Then

- $r_{i,1} + \dots + r_{i,n_i} = m$ ,
- $r_{i,j} \geq m_i$   $j = 1, \dots, n_i$ ;
- $n_i \leq \frac{m}{m_i}$ .

Assume that  $\tilde{\pi}$  has also ramification points  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  of orders  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  respectively, (including nodes of  $B$ ) outside  $F_{sing}$ .



By the Hurwitz formula we have

$$(13) \quad 2 = 2m - \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} \sum_{j=1}^{j=n_i} (r_{i,j} - 1) - \sum_{l=1}^{l=r} (p_l - 1) =$$

$$2m - mk + \sum n_i - \nu,$$

where  $\nu := \sum_{l=1}^{l=r} (p_l - 1)$  is a non-negative number.

Thus, dividing by  $m$  we get

$$k - 2 = \frac{1}{m}(-2 + \sum n_i - \nu) \leq \sum \frac{1}{m_i},$$

and

$$\delta(\pi) := -2 + \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_i}\right) = -2 + k - \sum \frac{1}{m_i} \leq 0$$

which contradicts to (12) □

Thus, in **Case 3** surface  $S$  is rigid as well, and  $\text{Aut}(W)$  is Jordan by Theorem 1.5. □

**Remark 4.2.** In the course of the proof of Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6 it suffices to consider the case when the ground field is the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. Indeed, suppose that we know that the Theorems hold true when the ground field is  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $k$  be any algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and an algebraic variety  $W$  over  $k$  satisfies the conditions either of Theorem 1.5 or Corollary 1.6. Let us assume that  $\text{Aut}(W)$  is *not* Jordan. We need to arrive to a contradiction.

The variety  $W$  is defined over a subfield  $k_0$  (of  $k$ ) such that  $k_0$  is finitely generated over the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers, i.e., there is a quasiprojective variety  $W_0$  over  $k_0$  such that  $W = W_0 \times_{k_0} k$ . (Clearly,  $k_0$  is a countable field.) Replacing if necessary  $k_0$  by its finitely generated extension, we may assume that there is a surface  $A_0$  over  $k_0$  and a  $k_0$ -birational map between  $W$  and  $A_0 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Moreover, we may choose  $k_0$  in such a way that

- if  $A$  is bielliptic, the same is valid for  $A_0$  (the bielliptic structure would be defined over  $k_0$ );
- if  $\kappa(A) = 1$ , the same is valid for  $A_0$  (the pluricanonical fibration would be defined over  $k_0$ );
- if a pluricanonical fibration of  $A$  has smooth irreducible elliptic fibers, the same is valid for  $A_0$  (smoothness is preserved under base change [Li, Proposition 3.38, Chapter 4]);
- if  $A$  contains no rational curves the same is valid for  $A_0$ . Indeed, if  $A_0$  contained a rational curve, then for some integer  $d$  one of the irreducible quasiprojective components of the variety  $\text{RatCurves}_d^n(A)$  (see [Ko, Definition 2.11]) would

have a point over  $\mathbb{C}$ . But then it would have a point over  $k$  as well, since  $k$  is algebraically closed.

The non-Jordanness of  $\text{Aut}(W)$  means that there exists an infinite sequence of finite subgroups  $\{G_i \subset \text{Aut}(W)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , whose Jordan indices  $J_{G_i}$  tend to infinity. For each positive  $i$  there is a subfield  $k_i$  of  $k$  that contains  $k_0$  and is finitely generated over  $k_0$ , and such that all automorphisms from  $G_i$  are defined over  $k_i$ . Clearly, all  $k_i$  are countable fields. The compositum of all  $k_i$ 's (in  $k$ ) is countably generated over  $k_0$  and therefore is also a countable field. Let us consider the algebraic closure  $k_{\infty}$  of this compositum in  $k$ . Clearly,  $k_{\infty}$  is an algebraically closed countable subfield of  $k$  that contains all  $k_i$ . Let us consider the quasiprojective variety  $W_{\infty} = W_0 \times_{k_0} k_{\infty}$ . Clearly, there exist group embeddings  $G_i \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{k_{\infty}}(W_{\infty})$  for all positive  $i$ . This implies that  $\text{Aut}_{k_{\infty}}(W_{\infty})$  is *not* Jordan.

Since  $k_{\infty}$  is countable, there is a field embedding  $k_{\infty} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Let us consider the complex quasiprojective variety  $W_{\mathbb{C}} = W_{\infty} \times_{k_{\infty}} \mathbb{C}$ , which is birational to  $A_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{P}^1$  where  $A_{\mathbb{C}} = A \times_{k_0} \mathbb{C}$  is a complex variety meeting conditions of Theorem 1.5. In particular,  $\text{Aut}(W_{\mathbb{C}})$  is Jordan. On the other hand, there is a group embedding  $\text{Aut}(W_{\infty}) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(W_{\mathbb{C}})$ . This implies that  $\text{Aut}(W_{\mathbb{C}})$  is *not* Jordan as well, which gives us the desired contradiction.

#### REFERENCES

- [BHPV] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters, A. van de Ven, *Compact Complex Surfaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [BZ1] T. Bandman, Yu. G. Zarhin, *Jordan groups and algebraic surfaces*. Transformation Groups **20** (2015), 327–334.
- [BZ2] T. Bandman, Yu. G. Zarhin, *Jordan groups, conic bundles and abelian varieties*. Algebraic Geometry **4:2** (2017), 229–246.
- [Bir] C. Birkar, *Singularities of linear systems and boundedness of Fano varieties*. 2016, arXiv:1609.05543.
- [BM] E. Bombieri, D. Mumford, *Enriques' Classification of surfaces in Char.  $p$ , II*. In: Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry (W.L. Baily Jr., T. Shioda, eds.), Cambridge Univ. Press, 1977, pp. 23–43.
- [C] B. Conrad, *A Modern Proof of the Chevalley's Theorem on Algebraic Groups*. J. Ramunajam Math. Soc., **17** (2002), no. 1, 1–18.
- [C-D] F. Cossec, I. Dolgachev, *Enriques surfaces I*. Birkhauser, Berlin, 1989.
- [CR] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*. Wiley, New York, 1962.
- [De] O. Debarre, *Higher-Dimensional Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [Gr1] A. Grothendieck, *Technique de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique IV: les schémas de Hilbert*, Séminaire N. Bourbaki, 1960-1961, exp. 221, 249–276.
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique (rédigés avec la collaboration de J. Dieudonné): IV, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Troisième partie*. Publ. Math. IHES **28** (1966).
- [It] Sh. Itaka, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **76**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982
- [KW] T. Kambayashi, D. Wright, *Flat families of affine lines are affine-line bundles*. Illinois J. Math. **29** (1985), no. 4, 672–681.

- [Ko] J. Kollar, Rational curves on algebraic varieties. *Ergebnisse der Math. 3 Folge* **32**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1996.
- [La] S. Lang, Algebra, 2nd edition. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1993.
- [Li] Q. Liu, Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves. Oxford graduate texts in Mathematics, **6**, New York, 2002.
- [Mat] T. Matsusaka, *Polarized Varieties, Fields of Moduli and generalized Kummer Varieties of Polarized varieties*. *American J. Math.*, **80**, no. 1, 45–82.
- [MO] D. Mumford, T. Oda, Algebraic Geometry II. Texts and Reading in Mathematics, 73, Hindustan Book Agency, Mumbai, 2015.
- [MZ] Sh. Meng, D.-Q. Zhang, *Jordan property for non-linear algebraic groups and projective varieties*. *American J. Math.*, to appear; arXiv: 1507.02230 [math.AG] .
- [Mu1] D. Mumford, The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes. Lecture Notes in Math. vol. **1358**, Springer, 1999.
- [Mu2] D. Mumford, Abelian Varieties, 3rd edition. Hindustan Book Agency, India, Mumbai, 2008.
- [MuTu] I. Mundet i Riera, A. Turull, *Boosting An Analogue of Jordan's Theorem For Finite Groups*. *Adv. Math.* **272** (2015), 820–836.
- [Po1] V.L. Popov, *On the Makar-Limanov, Derksen invariants, and finite automorphism groups of algebraic varieties*, pp. 289–311. In: *Affine algebraic geometry: the Russell Festschrift*. CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes **54**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2011.
- [Po2] V.L. Popov, *Jordan groups and automorphism groups of algebraic varieties*. In: *Automorphisms in Birational and Affine Geometry*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics **79** (2014), 185–213.
- [PS0] Yu. Prokhorov and C. Shramov, *Jordan property for Cremona groups*. *Amer. J. Math.* **138** (2016), no. 2, 403–418.
- [PS1] Yu. Prokhorov and C. Shramov, *Jordan Property for groups of birational Selfmaps*. *Compositio Math.* **150** (2014), 2054–2072.
- [PS2] Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Finite groups of birational selfmaps of threefolds*. arXiv:1611.00789 .
- [Ros] M. Rosenlicht, *A Remark on Quotient Spaces*. *An. Acad. Brasil Ci.*, **35** (1963), 487–489.
- [Sa] F. Sakai, *Kodaira Dimension of Complements of Divisors*, pp.239-259. In: *Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry* (W.L.Baily Jr., T. Shioda, eds.), Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- [Se] F. Serrano, *Divisors of Bielliptic surfaces and Embedding in  $\mathbb{P}^4$* . *Math. Z.* **203** (1990), 527–533.
- [Ser] J.-P. Serre, *Finite groups: an introduction*. International Press, Somerville, MA, 2016.
- [Sh] I.R. Shafarevich et al., Algebraic Surfaces. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **75**, Moscow, 1965; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1967.
- [Su1] H. Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion*. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **14** (1974), 1–28.
- [Su2] H. Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion*. II. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **15** (1975), no. 3, 573–605.
- [Za1] Yu.G. Zarhin, *Theta groups and products of abelian and rational varieties*. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **57:1** (2014), 299–304.
- [Za2] Yu.G. Zarhin, *Jordan groups and elliptic ruled surfaces*. *Transformation Groups* **20** (2015), no. 2, 557–572.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, 5290002, RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL  
*E-mail address:* bandman@macs.biu.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA  
*E-mail address:* zarhin@math.psu.edu