

TWISTED EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY OF COMPACT LIE GROUP ACTIONS WITH MAXIMAL RANK ISOTROPY

ALEJANDRO ADEM, JOSÉ CANTARERO, AND JOSÉ MANUEL GÓMEZ

ABSTRACT. We consider twisted equivariant K–theory for actions of a compact Lie group G on a space X where all the isotropy subgroups are connected and of maximal rank. We show that the associated rational spectral sequence à la Segal has a simple E_2 –term expressible as invariants under the Weyl group of G . Namely, if T is a maximal torus of G , they are invariants of the $\pi_1(X^T)$ –equivariant Bredon cohomology of the universal cover of X^T with suitable coefficients. In the case of the inertia stack ΛY this term can be expressed using the cohomology of Y^T and algebraic invariants associated to the Lie group and the twisting. A number of calculations are provided. In particular, we recover the rational Verlinde algebra when $Y = \{*\}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G denote a compact Lie group with torsion–free fundamental group acting on a space X such that all the isotropy subgroups are connected and contain a maximal torus for G , i.e., they have maximal rank. Let $T \subset G$ denote a maximal torus with Weyl group $W = N_G(T)/T$. In [1] it was shown that if the fixed–point set X^T has the homotopy type of a finite W –CW complex, then the rationalized complex equivariant K–theory of X is a free module over the representation ring of G of rank equal to $\sum_{i \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(X^T; \mathbb{Q})$. Moreover, assuming that every isotropy subgroup has torsion–free fundamental group, it was shown that if ΛX denotes the *inertia stack* for the G –space X , then $K_G^*(\Lambda X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a free module over $R(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of rank equal to $2^r (\sum_{i \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(X^T; \mathbb{Q}))$, where r denotes the rank of the group G . The proofs of these results are based on the collapse over \mathbb{Q} of a spectral sequence arising from a skeletal filtration of X which was first introduced by Segal [20].

Based on this, a natural question arises: given a similar hypothesis of maximal rank isotropy and a suitable twisting P , under what conditions can we use analogous spectral sequence methods to effectively compute ${}^P K_G^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ or ${}^P K_G^*(\Lambda X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$? In this paper we provide a partial affirmative answer to this question.

Theorem A. *Suppose G is a compact Lie group acting on a space X with connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups and with a fixed point x_0 . Let $p: P \rightarrow X$ be a G –equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ –bundle whose restriction to x_0 is trivial. Assume that X^T is a*

The first author was partially supported by NSERC. The second author was partially supported by CONACYT–SEP grant 242186. The third author acknowledges and thanks the financial support provided by the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics and by COLCIENCIAS through grant numbers FP44842–617–2014 and FP44842–013–2018 of the Fondo Nacional de Financiamiento para la Ciencia, la Tecnología y la Innovación.

W -CW complex of finite type. Then there is a spectral sequence with E_2 -term given by

$$E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H_{\pi_1(X^T)}^p(\widetilde{X^T}; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}})^W & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

converging to ${}^P K_G^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Here $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the coefficient system for Bredon cohomology defined on the orbit category of $\pi_1(X^T)$ by $\pi_1(X^T)/V \mapsto (R(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^V$ and $\widetilde{X^T}$ denotes the universal cover of X^T . This coefficient system is induced by an action of $\pi_1(X^T)$ on $R(T)$ that is constructed in Proposition 3.2 using the G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle $p: P \rightarrow X$.

The case of the inertia stack is especially interesting since $\Lambda(\{*\}) \cong G$ with the conjugation action. In particular, any G -space X is equipped with a G -equivariant map $\Lambda X \rightarrow G$. The isomorphism classes of G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundles over a G -space Y are in bijective correspondence with $H_G^3(Y; \mathbb{Z})$. When G is a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group, it is well known that $H_G^3(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. After fixing a generator, pullback with respect to the G -equivariant map $\Lambda X \rightarrow G$ determines a G -equivariant $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle $Q_n \rightarrow \Lambda X$ for each integer n . In this case we obtain the following.

Theorem B. *Let G be a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group of rank equal to r and $n \neq 0$ an integer. Suppose that X is a compact G -CW complex such that G_x is a connected subgroup of maximal rank that has torsion free fundamental group for every $x \in X$ and that there is a point fixed by the action of G . Then the E_2 -term in the spectral sequence computing ${}^{Q_n} K_G^*(\Lambda X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is given by*

$$E_2^{p+r,q} = \begin{cases} [H^p(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (R(T)^{sgn} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/J_n)]^W & \text{if } p \geq 0 \text{ and } q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In the above theorem $R(T)^{sgn} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ denotes $R(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ with the action of W given by

$$w \bullet x = (-1)^{\ell(w)} w \cdot x,$$

where $w \cdot x$ denotes the usual action of W on $R(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $\ell(w)$ denotes the length of w . Also, if $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ denotes a set of simple roots in the corresponding root system, then J_n denotes the ideal in $R(T)^{sgn}$ generated by the elements $\theta_{\alpha_i}^{nd_i} - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, where θ_{α_i} denotes the global root associated to α_i and d_i is an integer. (See Section 4 for the details).

For the particular case of $X = \{*\}$ the previous theorem shows that the E_2 -term in the spectral sequence computing ${}^{Q_n} K_G^p(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is such that $E_2^{r,q} = (R(T)^{sgn} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/J_n)^W$ for q even and 0 in other cases. Therefore the spectral sequence collapses at the E_2 -term for trivial reasons in this case. Moreover, if $k \geq 0$ is an integer such that $k = n - h^\vee$, where h^\vee is the dual Coxeter number of the group G , then we show that $(R(T)^{sgn} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/J_n)^W$ can be identified with the rational Verlinde algebra $V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} := (R(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q})/I_k$ at level $k = n - h^\vee$. In particular, when p has the same parity as the rank of the group, we conclude that ${}^{Q_n} K_G^p(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic as a module over $R(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ to the Verlinde algebra $V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ at level $k = n - h^\vee$, and we recover the celebrated result due to Freed–Hopkins–Teleman (see [14, Theorem 1]). We are also able to provide a complete calculation for the inertia space $\Lambda \mathbb{S}^g$, where \mathbb{S}^g denotes the one point compactification of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (see Subsection 5.2). We observe that

in these examples the spectral sequence collapses; we provide a number of other examples where this also holds, leading us to formulate:

Conjecture. Under the hypotheses of Theorem B, the spectral sequence for computing $Q_n K_G^*(\Lambda X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ always collapses at the E_2 level.

This paper is organized as follows: in §2 we provide a detailed definition of twisted equivariant K-theory; in §3 we define the spectral sequence à la Segal that will be used in our calculations; in §4 we discuss facts from root systems and cohomology that are required to provide a description of the E_2 -term in the applications; in §5 we apply our approach to inertia spaces, providing a number of explicit examples; and finally in §6 we provide an appendix on the Verlinde algebra where we explain how it can be identified in terms of the invariants from our calculations. We are grateful to the referee for providing very helpful comments and suggestions.

2. DEFINITION OF TWISTED EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY

In this section we briefly review the definition of twisted equivariant K-theory that we will use throughout this article. We remark that in this work all spaces in sight are G -CW complexes unless otherwise specified and G denotes a compact Lie group.

We start by recalling the definition of G -equivariant principal Π -bundles.

Definition 2.1. Suppose that G is a compact Lie group and let Π be a topological group. A G -equivariant principal Π -bundle consists of a G -equivariant map $p: E \rightarrow X$, where G is acting on the left on both E and X , together with a right action of Π on E that commutes with the left G -action in such a way that the map $p: E \rightarrow X$ is a principal Π -bundle.

Suppose that X is a G -space. Let U_x be a G_x -invariant open neighborhood of x . Recall that U_x is called a slice through x if the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu: G \times_{G_x} U_x &\rightarrow X \\ [g, y] &\mapsto gy \end{aligned}$$

is a homeomorphism onto GU_x . In this case we can identify equivariantly the tube GU_x with $G \times_{G_x} U_x$. As in [17] the G -equivariant principal Π -bundles that we work with will satisfy the following local triviality condition.

Definition 2.2. A G -equivariant principal Π -bundle $p: E \rightarrow X$ is G -locally trivial if for every $x \in X$ we can find a G_x -invariant open slice U_x through x and a local trivialization $\varphi_x: p^{-1}(GU_x) \rightarrow G \times_{G_x} (U_x \times \Pi)$ of p as a principal Π -bundle which is also G -equivariant. Here the action of G_x on $U_x \times \Pi$ is given by:

$$h \cdot (y, \sigma) := (hy, \gamma_x(h)\sigma)$$

for a fixed continuous homomorphism $\gamma_x: G_x \rightarrow \Pi$.

In the above definition the homomorphism γ_x is called a local representation and it is well defined up to conjugation. Such local representations can be constructed directly from the bundle $p: E \rightarrow X$ as follows. Assume that $x \in X$ and fix an element $e_x \in E_x$. For every $g \in G_x$ we can write $g \cdot e_x = e_x \cdot \gamma_x(g)$ for a unique $\gamma_x(g) \in \Pi$. The assignment $g \mapsto \gamma_x(g)$

defines a local representation and is independent of the choice of e_x up to conjugation. Such local representations play a key role in twisted equivariant K-theory as we shall see later on.

In this work we are mainly interested in the particular case where $\Pi = PU(\mathcal{H})$ for a suitable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , as such bundles can be used to twist equivariant K-theory. Fix a compact Lie group G acting continuously on a space X . Let \mathcal{H} be a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Denote by $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})$ the space of self-adjoint degree one Fredholm operators $F: \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ such that $F^2 - I$ is a compact operator with the topology described in [3, Definition 3.2]. The group $PU(\mathcal{H})$ endowed with the compact-open topology acts continuously on $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})$ by conjugation and we have a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 1.$$

If $K \subset G$ is a closed subgroup and $\gamma: K \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ is a homomorphism, via pullback we obtain a compact Lie group $\tilde{K} := \gamma^*U(\mathcal{H})$ that fits into a central extension making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K} & \longrightarrow & K \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \tilde{\gamma} & & \downarrow \gamma \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}^1 & \longrightarrow & U(\mathcal{H}) & \longrightarrow & PU(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

Using the homomorphism $\tilde{\gamma}: \tilde{K} \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$, we can see \mathcal{H} as a \tilde{K} -representation in such a way that the central circle \mathbb{S}^1 acts by multiplication of scalars. We say that γ is a stable homomorphism if \mathcal{H} contains infinitely many copies of all the irreducible representations of \tilde{K} on which \mathbb{S}^1 acts by multiplication of scalars. Following [4, Definition 3.1] we have the next definition.

Definition 2.3. A G -stable principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle is a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle $p: P \rightarrow X$ that is G -locally trivial and such that for every $x \in X$ the local representation $\gamma_x: G_x \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ is a stable homomorphism.

Given a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle $p: P \rightarrow X$ we can associate to it a G -equivariant bundle of Fredholm operators $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(P)$ over X defined by

$$\pi: \text{Fred}^{(0)}(P) := P \times_{PU(\mathcal{H})} \text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow X.$$

The bundle $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(P)$ has a basepoint in each fiber. For each positive integer n , let $\text{Fred}^{(-n)}(P)$ be the fiberwise n -th loop space of $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(P)$.

Definition 2.4. Suppose that $p: P \rightarrow X$ is a G -stable G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle and $n \geq 0$ is an integer. The $(-n)$ -th P -twisted G -equivariant K-theory of X , denoted by ${}^P K_G^{-n}(X)$, is defined to be the group of G -equivariant homotopy classes of G -equivariant sections of $\pi: \text{Fred}^{(-n)}(P) \rightarrow X$.

Since there is a fiberwise G -homotopy equivalence $\text{Fred}^{(-n)}(P) \rightarrow \text{Fred}^{(-n-2)}(P)$, we can extend this definition to positive integers in a natural way.

Example 2.5. Suppose that G is a compact Lie group and that $X = \{*\}$ is a point. Let $P \rightarrow \{*\}$ be a G -stable G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle. Fix some element $e \in P =$

$PU(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\gamma: G \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ be the local representation obtained using the element e as explained above. The homomorphism γ determines the G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle P up to isomorphism. In this case the associated bundle of Fredholm operators

$$\text{Fred}^{(0)}(P) := P \times_{PU(\mathcal{H})} \text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H}) \cong PU(\mathcal{H}) \times_{PU(\mathcal{H})} \text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})$$

can be identified with $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})$. With this identification the action of G on $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})$ is obtained via the homomorphism $\gamma: G \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ and the conjugation action of $PU(\mathcal{H})$ on $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})$. Also, a G -equivariant section of the bundle $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(P) \rightarrow \{*\}$ corresponds precisely to an element in $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})^G$. By definition ${}^P K_G^0(\{*\})$ corresponds to the group $\pi_0(\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})^G)$.

Let \tilde{G} be the pullback of $U(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ along γ so that we have a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\tau} G \rightarrow 1.$$

The group \tilde{G} comes equipped with a homomorphism $\tilde{\gamma}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ that covers γ . As explained before, \mathcal{H} is a \tilde{G} representation in such a way that the central circle \mathbb{S}^1 acts by multiplication of scalars. With this action the group \tilde{G} acts on $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})$ in such a way that the central circle \mathbb{S}^1 acts trivially. Moreover, the space $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})^{\tilde{G}}$ is equivalent to the space $\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})^G$. Since the homomorphism γ is stable the group $\pi_0(\text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})^G)$ can be identified with the Grothendieck group of all complex representations of \tilde{G} on which the central \mathbb{S}^1 acts by multiplication of scalars. This is by definition the τ -twisted complex representation ring of G that we denote by $R^\tau(G)$. We conclude that ${}^P K_G^0(\{*\}) \cong R^\tau(G)$.

In this case we also have that $\text{Fred}^{(1)}(P)$ is G -homotopy equivalent to $\Omega \text{Fred}^{(0)}(\mathcal{H})$, from here we see ${}^P K_G^1(\{*\})$ as a direct summand of $K_{\tilde{G}}^1(\{*\})$, which is known to vanish. Hence ${}^P K_G^1(\{*\}) = 0$.

Suppose now that G is connected. Fix $T \subset G$ a maximal torus and let $W = N_G(T)/T$ be the corresponding Weyl group. Consider the central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{\tau} G \rightarrow 1$$

associated to the bundle $P \rightarrow \{*\}$ as above. Let $\tilde{T} = \tau^{-1}(T)$, a maximal torus in \tilde{G} . The space \tilde{T} also fits into a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{T} \xrightarrow{\tau} T \rightarrow 1.$$

The Weyl group of \tilde{G} can be identified with W and thus W acts naturally on $R^\tau(T)$. In a similar way as in the case of untwisted K-theory we have a natural isomorphism $R^\tau(G) \cong R^\tau(T)^W$. Therefore when G is a compact, connected Lie group we have a natural isomorphism

$${}^P K_G^0(\{*\}) \cong R^\tau(T)^W.$$

In a similar way as was done in [3, Proposition 6.3] we can associate to each G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle $p: P \rightarrow X$ an equivariant cohomology class $\eta_P \in H_G^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$. This assignment defines a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundles over X and cohomology classes in $H_G^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

3. A TWISTED SPECTRAL SEQUENCE FOR ACTIONS WITH MAXIMAL RANK ISOTROPY

In this section we study a spectral sequence for twisted equivariant K-theory analogous to the classical Segal spectral sequence [20] for equivariant K-theory associated to an appropriate covering. It is a formal consequence of [18, Theorem 22.4.4]. Variations on this spectral sequence appear in [4], [10], [11] and [13].

We will show that in the particular case when we have actions of compact Lie groups with the property that all the isotropy groups are connected, of maximal rank, the E_2 -term can be succinctly described using Bredon cohomology with suitable coefficients, in a manner analogous to what holds in the untwisted case (see [1]).

We start by setting up some notation that will be used throughout this section. Fix a compact, connected Lie group G and T a maximal torus in G . Let $W = N_G(T)/T$ be the corresponding Weyl group. We will assume that G acts continuously on a space X with connected maximal rank subgroups. This means that for every $x \in X$ the isotropy subgroup G_x is connected and contains a maximal torus in G . With these hypotheses the action of G on X induces an action of $W = N_G(T)/T$ on X^T by passing to the T -fixed points. Our main goal is to compute the E_2 -term in a spectral sequence for twisted equivariant K-theory in terms of the W -action on X^T . We will assume that X^T is a W -CW complex. By [1, Theorem 2.2] this is equivalent to assuming that X is a G -CW complex. Furthermore we will assume that there is a point $x_0 \in X$ fixed by the G -action and that X^T is path-connected although this last condition can be removed with obvious modifications.

Let $p: P \rightarrow X$ be a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle that we assume G -stable from now on. We also assume that the restriction of $p: P \rightarrow X$ over the base point x_0 is trivial. If the group G is such that $\pi_1(G)$ is torsion-free then this condition holds for any bundle as $H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for such groups. Since p is G -locally trivial, for every $x \in X$ we can find a G_x -invariant open slice U_x through x and a trivialization

$$\varphi_x: p^{-1}(GU_x) \rightarrow G \times_{G_x} (U_x \times PU(\mathcal{H}))$$

of p as a principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle which is also G -equivariant via the local representation $\gamma_x: G_x \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$. Since the bundle P is assumed to be G -stable then the local representations γ_x is injective for all $x \in X$. Let $\tilde{G}_x = \gamma_x^*U(\mathcal{H})$. In this way we get a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{G}_x \xrightarrow{\tau_x} G_x \rightarrow 1$$

for every $x \in X$. Notice that these central extensions depend on the choices made above and this family of central extensions does not necessarily vary continuously as x moves in X^T .

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that G is a compact connected Lie group that acts on a G -CW complex X with connected maximal rank isotropy and that $p: P \rightarrow X$ is a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle that is G -stable. Associated to p there is a locally trivial bundle $q: L \rightarrow X^T$ such that the fiber over x can be identified with a maximal torus of \tilde{G}_x . Furthermore, there is an action of W on L such that q is a W -equivariant map.*

Proof: For each $x \in X^T$, fix a G_x -invariant open slice U_x through x in X , a local representation $\gamma_x: G_x \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ and a G -equivariant trivialization

$$\varphi_x: p^{-1}(GU_x) \rightarrow G \times_{G_x} (U_x \times PU(\mathcal{H})).$$

We write elements in $G \times_{G_x} (U_x \times PU(\mathcal{H}))$ in the form $[g, (z, \sigma)]$, where $g \in G$, $z \in U_x$ and $\sigma \in PU(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore in $G \times_{G_x} (U_x \times PU(\mathcal{H}))$ we have $[g, (z, \sigma)] = [gh^{-1}, (hz, \gamma_x(h)\sigma)]$ for all $h \in G_x$. If $x, y \in X^T$ are such that $U_x \cap U_y \neq \emptyset$, let $\rho_{x,y}: U_x \cap U_y \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ be the transition function defined by the equation

$$\varphi_x(\varphi_y^{-1}[g, (z, \sigma)]) = [g, (z, \rho_{x,y}(z)\sigma)]$$

for all $z \in U_x \cap U_y$, all $g \in G$ and all $\sigma \in PU(\mathcal{H})$. The transition functions and the local representations are compatible in the sense that

$$(1) \quad \gamma_y(t) = \rho_{x,y}(tz)^{-1} \gamma_x(t) \rho_{x,y}(z)$$

for all $z \in U_x \cap U_y$ and all $t \in T$. Given $x \in X^T$ define $V_x := U_x \cap X^T$. By [16, Theorem 1.1] the Weyl group of G_x is W_x so that each V_x is W_x -invariant open set in X^T . Moreover, as the action of G on X has connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups, using [16, Theorem 2.1] we see that V_x is a W_x -invariant slice through x in X^T and $(GU_x) \cap X^T$ can be identified W -equivariantly with $W \times_{W_x} V_x$.

On the other hand, notice that for each $x \in X^T$, the group $\tilde{T}_x = (\gamma_x)_|U(\mathcal{H})$ is a maximal torus in \tilde{G}_x . Recall that

$$\tilde{T}_x = (\gamma_x)_|U(\mathcal{H}) = \{(t, u) \in T \times U(\mathcal{H}) \mid \gamma_x(t) = \pi(u)\},$$

where $\pi: U(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the canonical map. Next we construct an action of W_x on \tilde{T}_x by automorphisms. Let $n \in N_{G_x}(T)$ and $(t, u) \in \tilde{T}_x$ so that $\gamma_x(t) = \pi(u)$. We define

$$n \cdot (t, u) := (ntn^{-1}, \gamma_x(n)u\gamma_x(n)^{-1}) \in \tilde{T}_x.$$

The above assignment is well defined because

$$\pi(\gamma_x(n)u\gamma_x(n)^{-1}) = \gamma_x(n)\pi(u)\gamma_x(n)^{-1} = \gamma_x(n)\gamma_x(t)\gamma_x(n)^{-1} = \gamma_x(ntn^{-1})$$

so that $n \cdot (t, u) \in \tilde{T}_x$. It is easy to see that this defines a continuous action of $N_{G_x}(T)$ on \tilde{T}_x by group automorphisms. Moreover, for every $n \in N_{G_x}(T)$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{T}_x & \xrightarrow{\tau_x} & T \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow n \cdot & & \downarrow c_n \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{T}_x & \xrightarrow{\tau_x} & T \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

In the above diagram c_n denotes the map given by $c_n(t) = ntn^{-1}$ for all $t \in T$. Since the group of automorphisms of \tilde{T}_x is discrete and T is path-connected, this action factors through an action of $W_x = N_{G_x}(T)/T$ on \tilde{T}_x . This is also an action by group automorphisms and which fits into an analogous commutative diagram.

Using this action we can consider the space $W \times_{W_x} (V_x \times \tilde{T}_x)$, where W_x acts on $V_x \times \tilde{T}_x$ by the assignment $w \cdot (z, t, u) = (wz, wt, \gamma_x(n)u\gamma_x(n)^{-1})$. Here $n \in N_{G_x}(T)$ is any element such that $w = [n]$. We denote elements in $W \times_{W_x} (V_x \times \tilde{T}_x)$ in the form $[w, (z, t, u)]$ with $w \in W$, $z \in V_x$ and $(t, u) \in \tilde{T}_x$. Suppose now that $z \in V_x \cap V_y$ and assume that $(z, t, u) \in V_x \times \tilde{T}_x$. We claim that $(z, t, \rho_{x,y}(z)^{-1}u\rho_{x,y}(z)) \in V_y \times \tilde{T}_y$. Indeed, using (1) we have

$$\pi(\rho_{x,y}(z)^{-1}u\rho_{x,y}(z)) = \rho_{x,y}(z)^{-1}\pi(u)\rho_{x,y}(z) = \rho_{x,y}(z)^{-1}\gamma_x(t)\rho_{x,y}(z) = \gamma_y(t).$$

With this in mind we define

$$L = \left(\prod_{x \in X^T} W \times_{W_x} (V_x \times \tilde{T}_x) \right) / \sim.$$

Here if $[w, (z, t, u)] \in W \times_{W_x} (V_x \times \tilde{T}_x)$ we define

$$[w, (z, t, u)] \sim [w, (z, t, \rho_{x,y}(z)^{-1} u \rho_{x,y}(z))] \in W \times_{W_y} (V_y \times \tilde{T}_y).$$

This is well defined by the above comment. We denote by $\llbracket w, (z, t, u) \rrbracket$ the equivalence class in L of the element $[w, (z, t, u)]$. With this definition the map $q: L \rightarrow X^T$ given by $q(\llbracket w, (z, t, u) \rrbracket) = wz$ is a locally trivial bundle.

To finish, we endow L with an action of W . Suppose that $[w, (z, t, u)] \in W \times_{W_x} (V_x \times \tilde{T}_x)$ and that $w' \in W$. Then $[w'w, (z, t, u)] \in W \times_{W_x} (V_x \times \tilde{T}_x)$ and we define

$$w' \cdot \llbracket w, (z, t, u) \rrbracket = \llbracket w'w, (z, t, u) \rrbracket.$$

It is straightforward to check that this defines a continuous action of W on L and that $q: L \rightarrow X^T$ is a W -equivariant map. \square

For the next proposition, note that any one-dimensional complex representation of T is a unit in the ring $R(T)$, hence multiplication by such a representation determines an automorphism of $R(T)$. Under tensoring of representations, the set $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$ of one-dimensional complex representations of T is a subgroup of the group of units $R(T)^\times$.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that $p: P \rightarrow X$ is G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle such that the restriction of $p: P \rightarrow X$ to x_0 is trivial. Then $p: P \rightarrow X$ induces an action of $\pi_1(X^T)$ on $R(T)$ given by a W -equivariant homomorphism*

$$\phi_P: \pi_1(X^T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1).$$

Proof: Let $q: L \rightarrow X^T$ be the bundle constructed in Proposition 3.1. Thus for every $x \in X^T$ we have a local representation $\gamma_x: G_x \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ together with central extensions

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{G}_x \xrightarrow{\tau_x} G_x \rightarrow 1$$

in such a way that $\tilde{T}_x = q^{-1}(x)$ is a maximal torus in \tilde{G}_x . The space \tilde{T}_x fits into a central extension of the form

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{T}_x \xrightarrow{\tau_x} T \rightarrow 1.$$

For every $x \in X^T$ the above central extension is trivializable as $H^3(BT; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. All possible identifications of \tilde{T}_x with $T \times \mathbb{S}^1$ can be used to construct an action of $\pi_1(X^T)$ on $R(T)$ via holonomy. To see this notice that associated to the bundle L we have a covering space $r: L^{\text{aut}} \rightarrow X^T$ whose fiber over x is the set of automorphisms of central extensions from \tilde{T}_x to \tilde{T}_x . Let $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow X^T$ be any loop in X^T based at x_0 . Via holonomy associated to α there is an isomorphism of central extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{T}_{x_0} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{x_0}} & T \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_\alpha & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}^1 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{T}_{x_0} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{x_0}} & T \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

This isomorphism only depends on the class $[\alpha] \in \pi_1(X^T)$.

The action of W on L constructed in Proposition 3.1 induces an action of W on L^{aut} . Namely, if $w \in W$ and φ is an automorphism of the central extension \widetilde{T}_x , we consider $w \cdot \varphi = w\varphi w^{-1}$. The map r is W -equivariant with respect to this action, hence $\Phi_{w\alpha} = w\Phi_\alpha w^{-1}$ for any $w \in W$. In other words, the assignment $[\alpha] \mapsto \Phi_\alpha$ is W -equivariant with W acting by conjugation on the group of automorphisms of the central extension \widetilde{T}_{x_0} . Observe that the group of automorphisms of the central extension \widetilde{T}_{x_0} can be identified W -equivariantly with the group $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$ as the restriction of p over x_0 is assumed to be trivial. Thus the above assignment defines a W -equivariant group homomorphism

$$\phi_P: \pi_1(X^T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1). \quad \square$$

The homomorphism $\phi_P: \pi_1(X^T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$ associated to a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle $p: P \rightarrow X$ constructed in the previous proposition is a key part in the calculation of the spectral sequence for twisted equivariant K-theory as we shall see below. On the other hand, notice that $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1) \cong H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z})$ as an abelian group. Therefore the homomorphism ϕ_P associated to the bundle $p: P \rightarrow X$ induces a group homomorphism $\bar{\phi}_P: H_1(X^T; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1) \cong H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z})$. Using the universal coefficient theorem we can identify $\bar{\phi}_P$ with an element in $H^1(X^T; H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z})) \cong H^1(X^T; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z})$ which by abuse of notation we denote also by ϕ_P . On the other hand, let

$$h_T: H_G^3(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X^T; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z})$$

be the composite of the restriction map to T -fixed points

$$r_T: H_G^3(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_T^3(X^T; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^3(X^T \times BT; \mathbb{Z})$$

with the projection map

$$\pi_T: H^3(X^T \times BT; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^3(X^T; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^1(X^T; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X^T; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Using arguments similar to those used in [19, Section 2.2] we can deduce the next proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\eta_P \in H_G^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be the cohomology class corresponding to the bundle $p: P \rightarrow X$. Then the element $h_T(\eta_P) \in H^1(X^T; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^2(BT; \mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to ϕ_P .*

Suppose that G acts on a space X with connected maximal rank isotropy and that there is a point $x_0 \in X$ fixed by G that we take as the base point. Let $\pi: \widetilde{X}^T \rightarrow X^T$ be the universal cover of X^T . Then the group $\pi_1(X^T) := \pi_1(X^T, x_0)$ acts on \widetilde{X}^T by deck transformations. Fix a point $\tilde{x}_0 \in \pi^{-1}(x_0)$. For every $w \in W$, there is a unique map $\tilde{w}: \widetilde{X}^T \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^T$ that is a lifting of the action map $w: X^T \rightarrow X^T$ and that satisfies $\tilde{w}(\tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{x}_0$. Such a map exists and is unique by the lifting theorem for covering spaces. This assignment defines an action of W on \widetilde{X}^T in such a way that the map $\pi: \widetilde{X}^T \rightarrow X^T$ is W -equivariant. On the other hand, observe that since the G -action leaves x_0 fixed, then W also fixes x_0 and therefore W acts on $\pi_1(X^T)$ by automorphisms under the assignment $w \cdot [\alpha] = [w \cdot \alpha]$. If we identify $\pi_1(X^T)$ with the group of deck transformations on \widetilde{X}^T , this action corresponds to the conjugation action of W on the group of deck transformations.

With this action in mind, we can construct the semi-direct product $\widetilde{W} := \pi_1(X^T) \rtimes W$. We write elements in \widetilde{W} in the form (a, w) with $a \in \pi_1(X^T)$ and $w \in W$. We have an induced action of \widetilde{W} on $\widetilde{X^T}$. Explicitly, if $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{X^T}$ and $(a, w) \in \widetilde{W}$ then

$$(a, w) \cdot \tilde{x} := a \cdot (w \cdot \tilde{x}).$$

Moreover, if we let $\pi_1(X^T)$ act trivially on X^T then the action of \widetilde{W} on X^T is such that the projection map $\pi: \widetilde{X^T} \rightarrow X^T$ is \widetilde{W} -equivariant.

Assume that $x \in X^T$ is a point and let $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{X^T}$ be any point such that $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x$. Suppose that $(a, w) \in \widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}$; that is, $(a, w) \cdot \tilde{x} = a \cdot (w \cdot \tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}$. Applying π to the previous equation we obtain $wx = x$ and thus $w \in W_x$. In addition, a is a deck transformation that satisfies $a \cdot (w \cdot \tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}$. Since deck transformations are uniquely determined by their values at a point we conclude that for every $w \in W_x$ there is a unique element $a \in \pi_1(X^T)$ such that $(a, w) \in \widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}$. If $w \in W_x$ we denote by $a_w \in \pi_1(X^T)$ the unique element such that $(a_w, w) \in \widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}$. It can be seen that the assignment $w \mapsto a_w$ defines a cocycle on W_x with values on $\pi_1(X^T)$.

Next we want to study a spectral sequence à la Segal computing ${}^P K_G^*(X)$ for a G -stable G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle $p: P \rightarrow X$. In the context of twisted equivariant K-theory, the spectral sequence is easier to describe using G -invariant open covers of X .

Definition 3.4. Let X be a G -space. We say that a G -invariant open subspace U of X is a contractible slice if there exists $x \in U$ such that the inclusion map $Gx \hookrightarrow U$ is a G -homotopy equivalence.

Definition 3.5. A G -equivariant good cover of a G -space X is a cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of X by G -invariant open subsets such that the following two conditions hold:

- \mathcal{I} is a well ordered set.
- For every sequence $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p$ of elements in \mathcal{I} , if $U_{i_1, \dots, i_p} := U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_p}$ is nonempty, then it is a contractible slice.

These covers are also called contractible slice covers. Note that in particular, whenever U_{i_1, \dots, i_p} is nonempty, there exists some element $x_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \in U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ such that the inclusion map $Gx_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \hookrightarrow U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ is a G -homotopy equivalence and therefore $U_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \simeq G/G_{x_{i_1, \dots, i_p}}$.

When G is a compact Lie group and X is a G -ANR, the existence of G -equivariant good covers is guaranteed by the work in [2]. In particular, G -equivariant good covers exist for finite dimensional G -CW complexes when G is a compact Lie group.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that G acts on X with connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between G -equivariant good covers on X and \widetilde{W} -equivariant good covers on $\widetilde{X^T}$.*

Proof: Suppose that $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a G -equivariant. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, the set $U_i^T := U_i \cap X^T$ is open in X^T and W -invariant. By assumption, for each sequence $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p$ of elements in \mathcal{I} with U_{i_1, \dots, i_p} nonempty we can find an element $x_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \in U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ such that the inclusion map $Gx_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \hookrightarrow U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ is a G -homotopy equivalence. Since $G_{x_{i_1, \dots, i_p}}$ is a subgroup of maximal rank and all maximal tori in G are conjugate, then after replacing x_{i_1, \dots, i_p} with

gx_{i_1, \dots, i_p} for a suitable g , we may assume without loss of generality that $x_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \in X^T$. Then $x_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \in U_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \cap X^T = U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^T$. Moreover, the G -homotopy equivalence $Gx_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \hookrightarrow U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ induces a W -homotopy equivalence $Wx_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \hookrightarrow U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^T$ after passing to T -fixed points. Thus $\mathcal{U}^T = \{U_i^T\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a W -equivariant good cover of X^T .

Conversely, if $\mathcal{U}^T = \{U_i^T\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a W -equivariant good cover of X^T then for every $i \in I$ we can define $U_i = \cup_{g \in G} gU_i^T$. Then $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an open cover of X by G -invariant sets. For each sequence $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p$ of elements in \mathcal{I} with U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^T nonempty, the W -homotopy equivalence $Wx_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \hookrightarrow U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^T$ induces a G -homotopy equivalence $Gx_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \hookrightarrow U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ by [16, Theorem 2.1]. This shows that G -equivariant good covers on X are in one-to-one correspondence with W -equivariant good covers on X^T .

Suppose now that $\mathcal{U}^T = \{U_i^T\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a W -equivariant good cover on X^T . For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ define $\tilde{U}_i = \pi^{-1}(U_i^T)$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \{\tilde{U}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an open cover of \tilde{X}^T by \tilde{W} -invariant sets. Fix a sequence $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p$ of elements in \mathcal{I} with U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^T nonempty so that there is a W -homotopy equivalence $Wx_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \hookrightarrow U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^T$ for some x_{i_1, \dots, i_p} . Fix $\tilde{x}_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \in \tilde{U}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ with $\pi(\tilde{x}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}) = x_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$. Notice that the restriction map $\pi|_{\tilde{U}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}}: \tilde{U}_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \rightarrow U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^T$ is a covering space. Using the lifting property we can lift the W -homotopy equivalence $Wx_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \hookrightarrow U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^T$ to a \tilde{W} -equivariant homotopy equivalence $\tilde{W}\tilde{x}_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \hookrightarrow \tilde{U}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ proving that $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is a \tilde{W} -equivariant good cover on \tilde{X}^T .

Conversely, if $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \{\tilde{U}_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a \tilde{W} -equivariant good cover on \tilde{X}^T , then it is easy to see that we can get a W -equivariant good cover on X^T by defining $U_i^T = \tilde{U}_i / \pi_1(X^T)$. Thus W -equivariant good covers on X^T are in one-to-one correspondence with \tilde{W} -equivariant good covers on \tilde{X}^T and the lemma follows. \square

Suppose now that X is a G -space for which we can find a G -equivariant good open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $p: P \rightarrow X$ is a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -principal bundle. If $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p$ is a sequence of elements in \mathcal{I} we denote by P_{i_1, \dots, i_p} the restriction of P to U_{i_1, \dots, i_p} . Associated to the cover \mathcal{U} we have a spectral sequence à la Segal that is constructed in a similar way as in [4, Section 4]. The E_1 -term in this spectral sequence is given by

$$(2) \quad E_1^{p,q} = \prod_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p} P_{i_1, \dots, i_p} K_G^q(U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}).$$

The differential $d_1: E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}$ is given by the alternating sum of the different restriction maps

$$P_{i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_{p+1}} K_G^q(U_{i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_{p+1}}) \rightarrow P_{i_1, \dots, i_{p+1}} K_G^q(U_{i_1, \dots, i_{p+1}})$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq p+1$. Here we use the usual convention that \hat{i}_j means that the index i_j is removed. Our next goal is to identify the E_2 -term of this spectral sequence with a suitable Bredon cohomology group of \tilde{X}^T .

Recall that if the restriction of p to x_0 is trivial, then by Proposition 3.2 associated to the bundle $p: P \rightarrow X$ we have an action of $\pi_1(X^T)$ on $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$ that is W -equivariant. Therefore this action can be extended to an action of $\tilde{W} = \pi_1(X^T) \rtimes W$ on $R(T)$. We now

consider the coefficient system

$$\mathcal{R} := H^0(-; R(T)).$$

defined on the orbit category of \widetilde{W} . Explicitly the value of this coefficient system at an orbit of the form $\widetilde{W}/\widetilde{W}_i$ are

$$\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{W}/\widetilde{W}_i) = R(T)^{\widetilde{W}_i}.$$

Note that \mathcal{R} can also be seen as a functor from the orbit category of \widetilde{W} to the category of $R(G)$ -modules.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that $p: P \rightarrow X$ is a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle that is G -stable and such that its restriction to base point x_0 is trivial. Assume that U is a contractible slice and let $\widetilde{U} = \pi^{-1}(U \cap X^T)$. Then for every even integer q there is an isomorphism of $R(G)$ -modules*

$$\psi_U: {}^{P_U}K_G^q(U) \rightarrow H_{\widetilde{W}}^0(\widetilde{U}; \mathcal{R}).$$

Moreover, if U and V are two G -equivariant slices with $U \subset V$ the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^{P_V}K_G^q(V) & \xrightarrow{\psi_V} & H_{\widetilde{W}}^0(\widetilde{V}; \mathcal{R}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}^{P_U}K_G^q(U) & \xrightarrow{\psi_U} & H_{\widetilde{W}}^0(\widetilde{U}; \mathcal{R}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the restriction maps.

Proof: Suppose that U is a contractible slice. Then we can find some $x \in U$ such that the inclusion map $Gx \hookrightarrow U$ is a G -homotopy equivalence. After replacing x with gx for a suitable g , we may assume that $x \in X^T$ so that $x \in U \cap X^T$. Let P_x be the restriction of P to the orbit Gx . Notice that the inclusion map $Gx \hookrightarrow U$ induces an isomorphism

$${}^{P_U}K_G^0(U) \cong {}^{P_x}K_G^0(Gx) \cong R^{\tau_x}(G_x).$$

Here τ_x denotes the central extension of the group G_x defined by the local representation $\gamma_x: G_x \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ used in the construction of L and $R^{\tau_x}(G_x)$ denotes the τ_x -twisted representation ring of G_x . As in the case of untwisted K-theory, the inclusion map $T \subset G_x$ induces an isomorphism

$$R^{\tau_x}(G_x) \cong R^{\tau_x}(T)^{W_x}.$$

In the above equation by abuse of notation we also denote by τ_x the central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \widetilde{T}_x \xrightarrow{\tau_x} T \rightarrow 1.$$

Note that $\widetilde{U} = \pi^{-1}(U \cap X^T)$ is a \widetilde{W} -invariant open set in \widetilde{X}^T . Let \tilde{x} be any point in \widetilde{X}^T such that $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x$. Thus $\tilde{x} \in \widetilde{U}$ and the inclusion map $\widetilde{W}\tilde{x} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{U}$ is a \widetilde{W} -equivariant homotopy equivalence. Let $\tilde{\beta}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^T$ be any path in \widetilde{X}^T from \tilde{x}_0 to \tilde{x} , where \tilde{x}_0 is the base point in \widetilde{X}^T . Such a path exists and is unique up to path homotopy since \widetilde{X}^T is simply connected. Let $\beta = \pi \circ \tilde{\beta}$. Notice that β is a path in X^T from x_0 to x and by definition $\tilde{\beta}$ is the unique lifting of β to \widetilde{X}^T such that $\tilde{\beta}(0) = \tilde{x}_0$. The path β induces an isomorphism of central

extensions $\Phi_\beta: \widetilde{T}_{x_0} \rightarrow \widetilde{T}_x$. Moreover, this isomorphism only depends on the path-homotopy class of β . Therefore β induces an isomorphism

$$\Phi_\beta: R^{\tau_x}(T) \rightarrow R^{\tau_{x_0}}(T)$$

On the other hand, fix a trivialization $\widetilde{T}_{x_0} \cong T \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Thus we have an identification $R^{\tau_{x_0}}(T) = R(T)$. We show next that Φ_β induces an isomorphism

$$(3) \quad \Phi_\beta: R^{\tau_x}(T)^{W_x} \rightarrow R(T)^{\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}}.$$

For this we give $R^{\tau_x}(T)$ an action of $\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}$ as follows. Elements in $\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}$ are pairs of the form (a_w, w) with $w \in W_x$ and $a_w \in \pi_1(X^T)$. We let (a_w, w) act on $p \in R^{\tau_x}(T)$ by the assignment

$$(a_w, w) \cdot p = w \cdot p;$$

that is, the part corresponding to $\pi_1(X^T)$ acts trivially on $R^{\tau_x}(T)$. With this action we clearly have $R^{\tau_x}(T)^{W_x} = R^{\tau_x}(T)^{\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}}$. To prove (3) it suffices to prove that the map Φ_β is $\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}$ -equivariant. To see this fix an element $(a_w, w) \in \widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}$ so that $w \in W_x$ and $a_w \in \pi_1(X^T)$. We need to prove that

$$\Phi_\beta(w \cdot p) = \Phi_\beta((a_w, w) \cdot p) = (a_w, w) \cdot \Phi_\beta(p)$$

for every $p \in R^{\tau_x}(T)$. Let $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow X^T$ be a loop based at x_0 such that $a_w = [\alpha] \in \pi_1(X^T)$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^T$ be the unique lifting of α to \widetilde{X}^T such that $\tilde{\alpha}(0) = \tilde{x}_0$. Let $D_{a_w}: \widetilde{X}^T \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^T$ be the deck transformation that corresponds to a_w . Since $(a_w, w) \in \widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}$ we have

$$(a_w, w) \cdot \tilde{x} = a_w \cdot (w \cdot \tilde{x}) = D_{a_w}(w \cdot \tilde{x}) = \tilde{x};$$

that is, $w \cdot \tilde{x} = D_{a_w^{-1}}(\tilde{x})$. Consider the paths $w \cdot \tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} * (D_{a_w^{-1}} \circ \tilde{\beta})$. These two paths start at \tilde{x}_0 and end at $w \cdot \tilde{x}$. Since \widetilde{X}^T is simply-connected, these paths are path-homotopic, i.e., $w \cdot \tilde{\beta} \simeq \tilde{\alpha} * (D_{a_w^{-1}} \circ \tilde{\beta})$. After composing these paths with the projection map π we obtain $w \cdot \beta \simeq \alpha * \beta$ and this in turn implies that $\Phi_{w \cdot \beta} = \Phi_\beta \circ \Phi_\alpha$. On the other hand, recall that the action of W is compatible with the isomorphism Φ_β . This means that $\Phi_{w \cdot \beta} = w \Phi_\beta(w^{-1})$. This together with the fact that $\Phi_{w \cdot \beta} = \Phi_\beta \circ \Phi_\alpha$ show that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{T}_{x_0} & \xrightarrow{w} & \widetilde{T}_{x_0} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\alpha} & \widetilde{T}_{x_0} \\ \Phi_\beta \downarrow & & \Phi_{w \cdot \beta} \downarrow & & \Phi_\beta \downarrow \\ \widetilde{T}_x & \xrightarrow{w} & \widetilde{T}_x & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \widetilde{T}_x. \end{array}$$

In the above diagram the arrows labeled with w represent the maps given by the action by w . The commutativity of the previous diagram means precisely that Φ_β is $\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}$ -equivariant. The above shows that for every G -equivariant slice U there is an isomorphism of $R(G)$ -modules

$$\psi_U: {}^{PU}K_G^0(U) \cong R^{\tau_x}(T)^{W_x} \rightarrow R(T)^{\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}} \cong H_{\widetilde{W}}^0(\tilde{U}; \mathcal{R}).$$

The isomorphism $\psi_U: {}^{PU}K_G^0(U) \rightarrow H_{\widetilde{W}}^0(\tilde{U}; \mathcal{R})$ constructed above does not depend on the choice of $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{U}$. To see this, suppose that $\tilde{x}_1 \in \tilde{U}$ is another element such that $\pi(\tilde{x}_1) = \pi(\tilde{x}) = x$. Let $\tilde{\beta}_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow \widetilde{X}^T$ be a path in \widetilde{X}^T from \tilde{x}_0 to \tilde{x}_1 and $\beta_1 = \pi \circ \tilde{\beta}_1$. Since $\pi: \widetilde{X}^T \rightarrow$

X^T is the universal cover and $\pi(\tilde{x}_1) = \pi(\tilde{x})$ we can find a unique deck transformation D such that $D(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}_1$. If we identify $\pi_1(X^T)$ with the group of deck transformations as usual, then the element $v := (D, 1) \in \widetilde{W}$ is such that $v \cdot \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}_1$. As $v \cdot \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}_1$ we have $\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}_1} = v\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}v^{-1}$ and the action of v on $R(T)$ induces an isomorphism

$$v: R(T)^{\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}} \rightarrow R(T)^{\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}_1}}.$$

Furthermore we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}^{P_U}K_G^0(U) \cong R^{\tau_x}(T)^{W_x} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\beta} & R(T)^{\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}}} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{\widetilde{W}}^0(\tilde{U}; \mathcal{R}) \\ & \searrow \Phi_{\beta_1} & \downarrow v & \nearrow \cong & \\ & & R(T)^{\widetilde{W}_{\tilde{x}_1}} & & \end{array}$$

This proves that ψ_U does not depend on the choice of the element \tilde{x} . Finally, if U and V are two G -equivariant slices with $U \subset V$ it can easily be seen that the following diagram commutes

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} {}^{P_V}K_G^0(V) & \xrightarrow{\psi_V} & H_{\widetilde{W}}^0(\tilde{V}; \mathcal{R}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}^{P_U}K_G^0(U) & \xrightarrow{\psi_U} & H_{\widetilde{W}}^0(\tilde{U}; \mathcal{R}). \end{array}$$

In the above diagram the vertical arrows are the corresponding restriction maps. The case $q \neq 0$ follows by composing with the natural periodicity isomorphisms. \square

Using the previous theorem we can prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose G is a compact Lie group acting on a space X with connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups and with a fixed point x_0 . Let $p: P \rightarrow X$ be a G -stable G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle that is trivial over x_0 . Assume that X^T admits a W -equivariant good cover. Then there is a spectral sequence with E_2 -term given by*

$$E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H_{\widetilde{W}}^p(\widetilde{X}^T; \mathcal{R}) & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

which converges to ${}^P K_G^*(X)$.

Proof: Since we are assuming that X^T has a W -equivariant good cover we can find a G -equivariant good cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ on X by Lemma 3.6. By [18, Theorem 22.4.4], associated to this cover we have a spectral sequence whose $E_1^{p,q}$ -term is given by equation (2). For every sequence of elements $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p$ in \mathcal{I} with U_{i_1, \dots, i_p} nonempty we have a G -homotopy equivalence $U_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \simeq G/G_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$. This implies

$${}^{P_{i_1, \dots, i_p}}K_G^q(U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}) \cong {}^{P_{i_1, \dots, i_p}}K_{G_{i_1, \dots, i_p}}^q(\{*\}) = 0$$

for q odd. This trivially implies that $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for odd values of q . Assume now that q is even. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ be the associated \widetilde{W} -equivariant good cover of \widetilde{X}^T given by Lemma 3.6. For

any sequence $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p$ of elements in \mathcal{I} the map $\psi_{U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}}$ constructed in Theorem 3.7 provides an isomorphism of $R(G)$ -modules

$$\psi_{U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}} : P_{i_1, \dots, i_p} K_G^q(U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}) \rightarrow H_{\widetilde{W}}^0(\widetilde{U}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}; \mathcal{R}).$$

The naturality of these isomorphism with respect to inclusions between contractible slices implies that the different maps $\psi_{U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}}$ define an isomorphism between the cochain complex $E_1^{*,q}$ in the spectral sequence and the cochain complex $\{D_{\widetilde{U}}^p = H_{\widetilde{W}}^0(\widetilde{U}_{i_1, \dots, i_p}; \mathcal{R})\}_p$ whose cohomology computes $H_{\widetilde{W}}^p(\widetilde{X}^T; \mathcal{R})$. In particular, this shows that for every even integer q we have an isomorphism of $R(G)$ -modules

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong H_{\widetilde{W}}^p(\widetilde{X}^T; \mathcal{R}). \quad \square$$

Next we study the rational coefficients analogue of the spectral sequence studied above for a G -space with maximal rank isotropy subgroups. To start assume that Y is a W -CW complex of finite type (we are mainly interested in the case where $Y = X^T$ for a G -CW complex X on which G acts with connected maximal rank isotropy). Assume that Y has a base point y_0 that is fixed under the action of W . Therefore W acts on $\pi_1(Y) := \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ by automorphisms and we can form the semi-direct product $\widetilde{W} := \pi_1(Y) \rtimes W$. Let $\pi: \widetilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be the universal cover of Y . Then $\pi_1(Y)$ acts on \widetilde{Y} via deck transformations and as above we can lift the action of W on Y to obtain an action of \widetilde{W} on \widetilde{Y} . Fix a W -equivariant homomorphism $\phi: \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$. Using this homomorphism we can obtain an action of \widetilde{W} on $R(T)$ defined in the same way as in the case of $Y = X^T$. With this action we can define a new coefficient system $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as follows.

For any compact Lie group H denote by $R(H)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the complex representation ring of H with rational coefficients; that is $R(H)_{\mathbb{Q}} := R(H) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Then the value of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ at an orbit of the form $\widetilde{W}/\widetilde{W}_i$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\widetilde{W}/\widetilde{W}_i) = R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\widetilde{W}_i}.$$

We can regard $\pi_1(Y)$ as a normal subgroup of \widetilde{W} and in this way we can restrict the coefficient system $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to obtain a coefficient system defined on the orbit category of $\pi_1(Y)$.

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose that G is a compact connected Lie group. Let Y be a W -CW complex of finite type. For every W -equivariant homomorphism $\phi: \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$ there is an isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules*

$$H_{\widetilde{W}}^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong H_{\pi_1(Y)}^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}})^W.$$

Proof: To start notice that the coefficient system $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is induced by the \widetilde{W} -module $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then as pointed out in [7, I. 9] there is an isomorphism of cochain complexes

$$C_{\widetilde{W}}^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{W}]}(C_*(\widetilde{Y}), R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

and in particular $H_{\widetilde{W}}^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong H^*(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{W}]}(C_*(\widetilde{Y}), R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$. Define the cochain complex $D^* = \text{Hom}(C_*(\widetilde{Y}), R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}})$. This cochain complex has a linear action of \widetilde{W} defined by

$(w \cdot f)(x) = wf(w^{-1}x)$. Under this action we have an isomorphism of cochain complexes

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{W}]}(C_*(\widetilde{Y}), R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = (D^*)^{\widetilde{W}}$$

and thus $H_{\widetilde{W}}^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong H^*((D^*)^{\widetilde{W}})$. On the other hand, define the cochain complex $E^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(Y)]}(C_*(\widetilde{Y}), R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}})$. This cochain complex is defined precisely to obtain $H_{\pi_1(Y)}^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathcal{R}_{\widetilde{W}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(E^*)$. We can see $\pi_1(Y)$ as a normal subgroup of \widetilde{W} and we have $\widetilde{W}/\pi_1(Y) = W$. Also, by definition $(D^*)^{\pi_1(Y)} = E^*$. Notice that we have a natural isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules

$$(D^*)^{\widetilde{W}} \cong [(D^*)^{\pi_1(Y)}]^W \cong (E^*)^W.$$

We conclude that there is a natural isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules

$$H_{\widetilde{W}}^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = H^*((D^*)^{\widetilde{W}}) \cong H^*((E^*)^W).$$

Consider now $H^*(W; E^*)$; as usual, there are two spectral sequences computing this group cohomology with coefficients in a cochain complex. On the one hand, we have

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(W; H^q(E^*)) \implies H^{p+q}(W; E^*).$$

Since E^* is a cochain complex over \mathbb{Q} and W is a finite group it follows that

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(W; H^q(E^*)) \cong \begin{cases} H^q(E^*)^W & \text{if } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } p > 0. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we have a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(W; E^p) \implies H^{p+q}(W; E^*).$$

with the differential d_1 induced by the differential of the cochain complex E^* . In this case

$$H^q(W; E^p) \cong \begin{cases} (E^p)^W & \text{if } q = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus the E_2 -term of this spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H^p((E^*)^W) & \text{if } q = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

Both of these spectral sequences trivially collapse on the E_2 -term without extension problems and both converge to $H^*(W; E^*)$. It follows that there is an isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ modules

$$(5) \quad H_{\widetilde{W}}^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}) = H^*((E^*)^W) \cong H^*(E^*)^W = H_{\pi_1(Y)}^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}})^W. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.10. *Suppose G is a compact Lie group acting on space X with connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups and with a fixed point x_0 . Let $p: P \rightarrow X$ be a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle that is trivial over x_0 . Assume that X^T is a W -CW complex of finite type that admits a W -equivariant good cover. Then there is a spectral sequence with E_2 -term given by*

$$E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H_{\pi_1(X^T)}^p(\widetilde{X}^T; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}})^W & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

which converges to ${}^P K_G^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Take the G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle $p: P \rightarrow X$ and let $\phi: \pi_1(X^T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$ be the homomorphism provided by Proposition 3.2. This way we can construct the coefficient system $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as explained above. Now let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be the corresponding G -equivariant good cover on X given by Lemma 3.6. As above, associated to this cover we have a spectral sequence whose $E_1^{p,q}$ -term is given by

$$E_1^{p,q} = \prod_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p} {}^P_{i_1, \dots, i_p} K_G^q(U_{i_1, \dots, i_p}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

The differential $d_1: E_1^{p,q} \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}$ is given by the alternating sum of the corresponding restriction maps. Since X is a G -CW complex of finite type, this spectral sequence converges to ${}^P K_G^{p+q}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. When q is odd, we have $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ in the same way as with integer coefficients. When q is even, proceeding in the same way as in Theorem 3.8 we see that the E_2 -term in this spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\widetilde{W}}^p(\widetilde{X}^T; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Using Theorem 3.9 we obtain an isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules.

$$H_{\widetilde{W}}^*(\widetilde{X}^T; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong H_{\pi_1(X^T)}^*(\widetilde{X}^T; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}})^W. \quad \square$$

Remark 3.11. Suppose that the cohomology class $\eta_P \in H_G^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$ associated to $p: P \rightarrow X$ is trivial. In this case the homomorphism $\phi: \pi_1(X^T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$ corresponding to p is also trivial. Therefore we have a natural isomorphism

$$H_{\pi_1(X^T)}^p(\widetilde{X}^T; \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}})^W \cong H^p(X^T; R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}})^W$$

and the latter is isomorphic to $H^*(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes R(G)$ as a module over $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by [1, Theorem 4.3]. In this case the spectral sequence collapses at the E_2 -term without extension problems by [1, Theorem 5.4].

4. ROOT SYSTEMS, ACTIONS AND COHOMOLOGY

In this section we provide some algebraic background that will be essential for our calculations in twisted equivariant K-theory. We start by setting up some notation. From now on, G will denote a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group of rank r . Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G and denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ its complexification. We fix a maximal torus T in G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} and denote by $W = N_G(T)/T$ the corresponding Weyl group. We are going to see roots as \mathbb{C} -linear functions $\alpha: \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The restriction of a root to \mathfrak{t} is purely imaginary and thus we can also see a root as an \mathbb{R} -linear function $\alpha: \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$. Let $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the Killing form. Since G is assumed to be simple, B is a non-degenerate, negative definite form on \mathfrak{t} . For each root α we can find a unique element $h_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $B(H, h_{\alpha}) = \alpha(H)$ for every $H \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Define $H_{\alpha} = \frac{2h_{\alpha}}{B(h_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha})}$ so that $\alpha(H_{\alpha}) = 2$. If we identify $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}$ canonically with $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^{**}$ then H_{α} corresponds to the element α^{\vee} in the inverse root system. Consider $\exp|_{\mathfrak{t}}: \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow T$, the restriction of the exponential map to \mathfrak{t} and let $\Lambda := \ker(\exp|_{\mathfrak{t}}: \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow T)$ be the unit lattice. For each root $\alpha \in \Phi$ define $K_{\alpha} := 2\pi i H_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{t}$. Since G is simply connected, the vectors $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Phi}$ span the unit lattice by [6, Corollary 1 IX §4 no. 6]. Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be a fixed set of

simple roots and consider the fundamental weights $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\}$ corresponding to the simple roots. These fundamental weights are \mathbb{R} -linear maps $\omega_j: \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega_j(K_{\alpha_k}) = 2\pi i \delta_{kj}$, where as usual δ_{kj} denotes the Kronecker delta function and $1 \leq k, j \leq r$. Since G is simply connected, the set $\{\omega_1/2\pi i, \dots, \omega_r/2\pi i\}$ is a basis for the lattice $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$. Also, by [15, Proposition 8.18] it follows that the vectors $K_{\alpha_1}, \dots, K_{\alpha_r}$ form a basis for the integral lattice Λ . Let α_0 be the highest root. We can write α_0 in the form

$$\alpha_0 = n_1\alpha_1 + n_2\alpha_2 + \dots + n_r\alpha_r$$

for some integers $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r \geq 1$. The number $h = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r + 1$ is the Coxeter number of the group G . On the other hand, we can write K_{α_0} in the form

$$K_{\alpha_0} = n_1^\vee K_{\alpha_1} + n_2^\vee K_{\alpha_2} + \dots + n_r^\vee K_{\alpha_r}$$

for some integers $n_1^\vee, n_2^\vee, \dots, n_r^\vee \geq 1$. The number $h^\vee = n_1^\vee + n_2^\vee + \dots + n_r^\vee + 1$ is the dual Coxeter number of the group G . If $\rho := \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^r \omega_i$, then as $\rho(K_{\alpha_j}) = 2\pi i$ for every $1 \leq j \leq r$ we have

$$\rho(K_{\alpha_0}) = 2\pi i(n_1^\vee + n_2^\vee + \dots + n_r^\vee) = 2\pi i(h^\vee - 1).$$

For every $1 \leq i \leq r$ define $d_i = d_{\alpha_i} := \frac{B(h\alpha_0, h\alpha_i)}{B(h\alpha_i, h\alpha_i)}$. It can be seen that each d_i is an integer such that $n_i = d_i n_i^\vee$. Let $\mathfrak{A}(\Delta)$ be the (closed) Weyl alcove that is contained in the (closed) Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{C}(\Delta)$ determined by Δ and that contains $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$. The alcove $\mathfrak{A}(\Delta)$ is bounded by the hyperplanes with equations $\alpha_j = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $\alpha_0 = 2\pi i$. As a topological space $\mathfrak{A}(\Delta)$ is an r -simplex whose vertices will be labeled by v_0, v_1, \dots, v_r in such a way that $v_0 = 0$ and v_j is the vertex that does not lie in the hyperplane $\alpha_j = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. For every $1 \leq j \leq r$ we have $\alpha_0(v_j) = 2\pi i$ so that

$$\alpha_j(v_j) = \frac{2\pi i}{n_j} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq r$$

and $\alpha_j(v_k) = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq r$ with $k \neq j$. On the other hand, if f is an element in the weight lattice we denote by $\theta_f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ the unique homomorphism of Lie groups whose derivative is f . Notice that the lattice $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with $\Pi = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$ under the following assignment

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma: \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1) \\ \beta &\mapsto \Gamma_\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_\beta: T \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ is the unique homomorphism that satisfies the equation

$$\Gamma_\beta(\exp(tK_\alpha)) = e^{2\pi i t \beta(K_\alpha)}$$

for every real number $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and every root $\alpha \in \Phi$. From now on we will identify $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$ using this isomorphism.

When G is compact, simple and simply connected acting on itself by conjugation, we have $H_G^3(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. By [19, Proposition 3.1] it follows that we can choose a generator $\eta \in H_G^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$ in such a way that the restriction of η to T corresponds to restriction of the basic inner product on \mathfrak{g} to Λ . We fix this choice from now on. Let $p_n: P_n \rightarrow G$ be a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -principal bundle that corresponds to the class $n\eta$. By Proposition 3.2, we

have an associated homomorphism $\phi_n: \Lambda \rightarrow \Pi$. The following proposition provides an explicit expression for ϕ_n in terms of the geometry of G .

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ an integer. The homomorphism $\phi_n: \Lambda \rightarrow \Pi$ corresponding to $p_n: P_n \rightarrow G$ is given by*

$$\phi_n(K_\alpha) = \theta_\alpha^{nd_\alpha}$$

for every $\alpha \in \Phi$. Here d_α is the integer defined by

$$d_\alpha = \frac{B(h_{\alpha_0}, h_{\alpha_0})}{B(h_\alpha, h_\alpha)}.$$

Proof: Let us show first the result for $n = 1$; that is, let us prove the result for a principal bundle $p: P \rightarrow G$ whose class corresponds to $\eta \in H_G^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$. The class η is chosen so that its restriction to T corresponds to restriction of the basic inner product on \mathfrak{g} to Λ . The restriction of this basic inner product defines a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ in such a way that the smallest non-zero element in Λ has length $\sqrt{2}$. With our conventions the smallest element in Λ is precisely K_{α_0} , where as above α_0 is the highest root. Therefore $\langle K_{\alpha_0}, K_{\alpha_0} \rangle = 2$. On the other hand, since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a non-degenerate, W -invariant form on \mathfrak{t} we have that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = cB(\cdot, \cdot)$ for a certain constant c . This is because the Killing form is, up to multiplication by a constant, the only W -invariant non-degenerate form on \mathfrak{t} . Since $\langle K_{\alpha_0}, K_{\alpha_0} \rangle = 2$ we conclude that

$$c = \frac{B(h_{\alpha_0}, h_{\alpha_0})}{2(2\pi i)^2}.$$

Therefore for every $X \in \mathfrak{t}$ and every root α we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X, K_\alpha \rangle &= \frac{B(h_{\alpha_0}, h_{\alpha_0})}{2(2\pi i)^2} B(X, K_\alpha) = \frac{B(h_{\alpha_0}, h_{\alpha_0})}{2(2\pi i)} B(X, H_\alpha) \\ &= \frac{B(h_{\alpha_0}, h_{\alpha_0})}{B(h_\alpha, h_\alpha)} \left(\frac{\alpha(X)}{2\pi i} \right) = d_\alpha \left(\frac{\alpha(X)}{2\pi i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $X \mapsto \langle X, K_\alpha \rangle$ agrees with $\alpha/2\pi i$. With the identification of $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{Hom}(T, S^1)$ given above this homomorphism corresponds precisely to $\theta_\alpha^{d_\alpha}$. This proves that $\phi_1(K_\alpha) = \theta_\alpha^{d_\alpha}$ for every root $\alpha \in \Phi$.

In general, if $p_n: P_n \rightarrow G$ is a principal bundle whose class corresponds to $n\eta \in H_G^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$, then the restriction to T of $n\eta$ corresponds to the restriction of $n\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to Λ , hence the result holds because of the case $n = 1$. \square

In the next section, it will be important to understand the structure of $H_\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{t}, R(T)_\mathbb{Q})$ as a W -module, hence we give a description here in terms of the geometry of the group. For this, let $R(T)^{sgn}$ denote the representation ring $R(T)$ with W -action given as follows: if $w \in W$ and $p \in R(T)^{sgn}$, then

$$w \bullet p = (-1)^{\ell(w)} w \cdot p.$$

Here $\ell(w)$ denotes the length of w relative to the reflections $s_{\alpha_1}, \dots, s_{\alpha_r}$ and $w \cdot p$ denotes the natural action of W on $R(T)$. Also, we denote $R(T)_\mathbb{Q}^{sgn} = R(T)^{sgn} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

On the other hand, let $R := \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ and $M := \mathbb{Z}[\Pi] = R(T)$. We can see M as a module over R via the homomorphism $\phi_n: \Lambda \rightarrow \Pi$. This homomorphism is injective by [19, Proposition

3.1] whenever $n \neq 0$. The lattices Λ and Π have the same rank so that M is a finitely generated R -module. The elements $K_{\alpha_1}, \dots, K_{\alpha_r}$ form a free basis for the lattice Λ . If $x_i := K_{\alpha_i} - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ then the Koszul complex $K_* = K(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ forms a free resolution of \mathbb{Z} seen as a trivial module over R . Recall that in K_* we have generators a_1, \dots, a_r of degree one and for each $0 \leq p \leq r$ the module K_p is a free R -module generated by elements of the form $a_{i_1, \dots, i_p} := a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_p}$, where i_1, \dots, i_p runs through all the sequences of integers satisfying $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq r$. The differential $\partial: K_p \rightarrow K_{p-1}$ is given by the formula

$$\partial(a_{i_1, \dots, i_p}) = \sum_{s=1}^p (-1)^{s-1} x_{i_s} a_{i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_s, \dots, i_p}$$

Let J_n denote the ideal in $R(T)^{sgn}$ generated by $\theta_{\alpha_i}^{nd_i} - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that G is a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group of rank equal to r , and $n \neq 0$ is an integer. If $p \neq r$, we have $H_{\Lambda}^p(\mathfrak{t}, R(T)) = 0$ and for $p = r$ there is a W -equivariant isomorphism*

$$H_{\Lambda}^r(\mathfrak{t}, R(T)) \cong R(T)^{sgn} / J_n.$$

Similarly, with rational coefficients we have $H_{\Lambda}^p(\mathfrak{t}, R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = 0$ for $p \neq r$ and for $p = r$, there is a W -equivariant isomorphism

$$H_{\Lambda}^r(\mathfrak{t}, R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn} / J_n.$$

Proof: Recall that the elements $K_{\alpha_1}, \dots, K_{\alpha_r}$ form a basis for the integral lattice Λ . Therefore \mathfrak{t} has the structure of a Λ -CW complex in such a way that for each sequence of integers $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq r$ we have a p -dimensional Λ -cell e_{i_1, \dots, i_p} corresponding to the sequence $\{K_{\alpha_{i_1}}, \dots, K_{\alpha_{i_p}}\} \subset \Lambda$. If we consider the cellular complex $C_*(\mathfrak{t})$, then the natural action of W on \mathfrak{t} makes $C_*(\mathfrak{t})$ into a free resolution of \mathbb{Z} as a trivial module over $R = \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$, where all the maps in sight are W -equivariant. Moreover, if we identify the element $e_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \in C_p(\mathfrak{t})$ with a_{i_1, \dots, i_p} we obtain an isomorphism of $C_*(\mathfrak{t})$ with the Koszul complex. Via this isomorphism we can give K_* the structure of a W -equivariant chain complex. By definition

$$H_{\Lambda}^*(\mathfrak{t}; R(T)) = H^*(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]}(C_*(\mathfrak{t}), R(T))) \cong H^*(\text{Hom}_R(K_*, M)).$$

Let K^* be the dual of the Koszul complex. Explicitly, $K^p = \bigwedge^p(R^r)$ and the differential $\delta_x: \bigwedge^p(R^r) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{p+1}(R^r)$ is given by $\delta_x(y) = x \wedge y$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in R^r$. We have an isomorphism of cochain complexes $\text{Hom}_R(K_*, M) \cong K^* \otimes_R M$. Also, the elements x_1, \dots, x_r form a regular sequence on M so by [12, Corollary 17.5] it follows that for $p \neq r$

$$H_{\Lambda}^p(\mathfrak{t}; R(T)) \cong H^*(K^* \otimes_R M) = 0.$$

Moreover, for $p = r$ we have an isomorphism of R -modules

$$H_{\Lambda}^r(\mathfrak{t}; R(T)) \cong H^r(K^* \otimes_R M) = M / (x_1, \dots, x_r)M.$$

By Proposition 4.1 we have $\phi_n(K_{\alpha_i}) = \theta_{\alpha_i}^{nd_i}$ and thus we have an isomorphism of R -modules

$$H_{\Lambda}^r(\mathfrak{t}; R(T)) \cong M / (x_1, \dots, x_r)M \cong R(T) / (\theta_{\alpha_1}^{nd_1} - 1, \dots, \theta_{\alpha_r}^{nd_r} - 1).$$

However, the above isomorphism is not W -equivariant. To obtain the correct W -action on $H_\Lambda^r(\mathfrak{t}; R(T))$ we observe that we have a W -equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]}(C_r(\mathfrak{t}), R(T)) \cong R(T)^{sgn}.$$

With this observation we can conclude that we have a W -equivariant isomorphism

$$H_\Lambda^r(\mathfrak{t}; R(T)) \cong H^r(K^* \otimes_R M) = R(T)^{sgn} / J_n.$$

The rational coefficients case is proved in a similar way. \square

5. THE TWISTED EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY OF INERTIA SPACES

Suppose that X is a compact G -CW complex in such a way that the action of G on X has connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups. Consider the inertia space

$$\Lambda X := \{(x, g) | g \cdot x = x\}.$$

The group G acts on ΛX by the assignment $h \cdot (x, g) = (h \cdot x, hgh^{-1})$. Notice that the isotropy subgroup of this action at (x, g) is the centralizer of g in G_x . If we assume that G_x has torsion free fundamental group for every $x \in X$, then the action of G on ΛX also has connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups (see [1, Section 2]). Assume also that $x_0 \in X$ is a point fixed by the G -action, then $(x_0, 1_G) \in \Lambda X$ is also fixed by the G -action. We work under these assumptions from now on.

Since G is compact and simply connected we have $H_G^3(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We fix a generator $\eta \in H_G^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$ as in the previous section and suppose that $p_n: P_n \rightarrow G$ is a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle that corresponds to the class $n\eta \in H_G^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$. Since G is assumed to be simply connected the restriction of the bundle $p_n: P_n \rightarrow G$ to 1_G is trivial. Recall that the bundle $p_n: P_n \rightarrow G$ induces a homomorphism $\phi_n = \phi_{P_n}: \Lambda \rightarrow \Pi = \mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$ that is W -equivariant by Proposition 3.2. Denote by $\pi_2: \Lambda X \rightarrow G$ the projection onto the second component and let $q_n: Q_n \rightarrow \Lambda X$ be the pullback of P_n under π_2 . We are interested in computing ${}^{Q_n}K_G^*(\Lambda X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as a module over $R(G)_\mathbb{Q}$.

As a first step notice that $(\Lambda X)^T = X^T \times T$ and thus $(\widetilde{\Lambda X})^T = \widetilde{X^T} \times \mathfrak{t}$. Also, $\pi_1((\Lambda X)^T) = \pi_1(X^T) \times \Lambda$ and the homomorphism associated to the bundle $q_n: Q_n \rightarrow \Lambda X$ is the map

$$\phi_{Q_n} = \phi_n \circ \pi_2: \pi_1(X^T) \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1).$$

We can see $R(T)$ as a module over $\pi_1(X^T) \times \Lambda$ via this homomorphism. To compute ${}^{Q_n}K_G^*(\Lambda X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ we are going to use the spectral sequence previously described. By Theorem 3.10, the E_2 -term in this spectral sequence is given by

$$(6) \quad E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} H_{\pi_1(X^T) \times \Lambda}^p(\widetilde{X^T} \times \mathfrak{t}; R(T)_\mathbb{Q})^W & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Next we identify $H_{\pi_1(X^T) \times \Lambda}^p(\widetilde{X^T} \times \mathfrak{t}; R(T)_\mathbb{Q})$. Note that as a module over $\pi_1(X^T) \times \Lambda$ there is an isomorphism $R(T)_\mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q} \otimes R(T)_\mathbb{Q}$, where on the right hand side, $\pi_1(X^T) \times \Lambda$ acts trivially on \mathbb{Q} and $\pi_1(X^T) \times \Lambda$ acts on $R(T)_\mathbb{Q}$ by the homomorphism ϕ_{Q_n} . Using a suitable version of

the Künneth theorem and applying Theorem 4.2, we obtain a W -equivariant isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\pi_1(X^T) \times \Lambda}^n(\widetilde{X}^T \times \mathfrak{t}; R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}) &\cong H_{\pi_1(X^T)}^{n-r}(\widetilde{X}^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_{\Lambda}^r(\mathfrak{t}; R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ &\cong H^{n-r}(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_{\Lambda}^r(\mathfrak{t}; R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong H^{n-r}(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n). \end{aligned}$$

The above can be summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group of rank equal to r and $n \neq 0$ an integer. Suppose that X is a compact G -CW complex such that G_x is a connected subgroup of maximal rank that has torsion free fundamental group for every $x \in X$ and that there is a point fixed by the action of G . Then there is a spectral sequence with E_2 -term given by*

$$E_2^{p+r, q} = \begin{cases} [H^p(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)]^W & \text{if } p \geq 0 \text{ and } q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

which converges to ${}^{\mathcal{Q}^n}K_G^*(\Lambda X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 5.2. It seems reasonable to conjecture that with the given hypotheses the above spectral sequence should always collapse at the E_2 -term. We will provide some key examples where this collapse can be verified.

5.1. G acting on itself by conjugation. To begin we consider the case $X = \{*\}$ with the trivial G -action. If G is a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group then the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied trivially. Here the inertia space ΛX is G -homeomorphic to G , where G acts on itself by conjugation. Let $n \neq 0$ and choose $p_n: P_n \rightarrow G$ a G -equivariant principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle that corresponds to the cohomology class $n\eta \in H_G^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$. In this case the bundle $Q_n = \pi_2^*(P_n)$ agrees trivially with P_n . Also $H^p(X^T; \mathbb{Q})$ is trivial for $p \neq 0$ and $H^0(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ with the trivial W -action. By Theorem 5.1, the E_2 -term in the spectral sequence computing ${}^{P_n}K_G^*(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is such that

$$E_2^{r, q} = (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)^W$$

for even values of q and $E_2^{p, q} = 0$ in all other cases. Consider the short exact sequence of W -modules

$$0 \rightarrow J_n \rightarrow R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn} \rightarrow R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Since we are working in characteristic zero and W is a finite group, the exactness of this sequence is preserved at the level of W -invariants; that is, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_n^W \rightarrow (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W \rightarrow (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)^W \rightarrow 0$$

and so $(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)^W \cong (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W/J_n^W$. Consider the element $\delta := \theta_{\rho}^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (\theta_{\alpha} - 1)$, where ρ denotes the half sum of the positive roots. Notice that δ is the denominator in the Weyl character formula. By [5, Proposition 2, VI §3 no. 3] we have that $\delta \in (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W$ and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W &\rightarrow R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ p &\mapsto \frac{p}{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules. Let $L_n = \Psi(J_n^W) \subset R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The map Ψ defines an isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules

$$(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W / J_n^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} / L_n.$$

Therefore the E_2 -term of the spectral sequence is given by

$$E_2^{p,q} = \begin{cases} R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} / L_n & \text{if } p = r \text{ and } q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \text{ in other cases} \end{cases}$$

as a module over $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. In this situation trivially there are no extension problems and we conclude that there is an isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules

$${}^{P_n}K_G^p(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \begin{cases} R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} / L_n & \text{if } p \equiv r \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \equiv r + 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose now that $k \geq 0$ is an integer such that $n = k + h^{\vee}$; that is, $k = n - h^{\vee}$. With this further assumption, we show in Proposition 6.1 that L_n is precisely the rational Verlinde ideal I_k at level $k = n - h^{\vee}$ and so $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} / L_n$ is the rational Verlinde algebra $V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} = R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} / I_k$ at level $k = n - h^{\vee}$. Therefore for $p \equiv r \pmod{2}$ we have that ${}^{P_n}K_G^p(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic as a module over $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to the rational Verlinde algebra $V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} = R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} / I_k$ at level $k = n - h^{\vee}$. This shows that our computations in this particular case agree with the celebrated theorem of Freed, Hopkins, Teleman (see [14, Theorem 1]).

Example 5.3. Suppose that $G = SU(m)$. We provide here an explicit basis for the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn} / J_n)^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} / L_n$ in this case. Let $T \cong (\mathbb{S}^1)^{m-1}$ be the maximal torus consisting of all diagonal matrices in $SU(m)$. In particular, we have $r = m - 1$. The Weyl group $W = \Sigma_m$ acts by permuting the diagonal entries of the elements in T . We can choose $\Delta = \{\alpha_1 = X_1 - X_2, \dots, \alpha_{m-1} = X_{m-1} - X_m\}$ as a set of simple roots. In this case we have

$$R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn} = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m] / (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m = 1),$$

where Σ_m acts by signed permutations. More precisely, if $\sigma \in \Sigma_m$ then

$$\sigma \bullet (x_{i_1}^{a_1} \cdots x_{i_k}^{a_k}) = (-1)^{sgn(\sigma)} x_{\sigma^{-1}(i_1)}^{a_1} \cdots x_{\sigma^{-1}(i_k)}^{a_k}.$$

Suppose that $n \geq m$ is a fixed integer. Then J_n is the ideal in $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}$ generated by

$$\theta_{\alpha_i}^n - 1 = \left(\frac{x_i}{x_{i+1}} \right)^n - 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m - 1.$$

We conclude that

$$R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn} / J_n = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m] / (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m = 1, x_1^n = x_2^n = \cdots = x_m^n),$$

with Σ_m acting by signed permutations. Let $\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ denote the anti-symmetrization operator, that is,

$$\mathcal{A}(p(x_1, \dots, x_m)) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_m} (-1)^{sgn(\sigma)} p(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m)}).$$

In this example the set $\{\mathcal{A}(x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}})\}_{1 \leq i_{m-1} < i_{m-2} < \cdots < i_1 \leq n-1}$ forms a basis as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space for $(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)^{\Sigma_m}$. Thus as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space

$${}^{P_n}K_{SU(m)}^q(SU(m)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}^{\binom{n-1}{m-1}} & \text{if } q \equiv m-1 \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \equiv m \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

One can compare this computation with the general results obtained in [9, Theorem 1.1] and also with the well known description of the Verlinde algebra in terms of weights, see [19, Section 5.3] for instance.

5.2. Inertia sphere. Suppose now that $X = \mathbb{S}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the one point compactification of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . The group G acts on $\mathbb{S}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ via the adjoint representation and fixing the point at infinity. This action has connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups. (See [1, Section 6.2]). Moreover, when G is simple and simply connected, the isotropy subgroup G_v of every $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ has torsion-free fundamental group. To see this, we may assume without loss of generality that v belongs to the Weyl chamber $\mathfrak{C}(\Delta)$. Let $I \subset \Delta$ be the set of simple roots for which $\alpha(v) = 0$. Then $G_v = G_I$ is the maximal rank subgroup of G that contains T and that has I as a set of simple roots. Let Λ_I be the lattice generated by the set $\{K_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$. By [8, Theorem 7.1], we have that $\pi_1(G_I) = \Lambda/\Lambda_I$ is a free abelian group of rank $|\Delta - I|$. We conclude that the action of G on $\Lambda\mathbb{S}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ has connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups and the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. In this example $X^T = \mathbb{S}^t$. Notice that $H^0(\mathbb{S}^t; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ with W acting trivially, $H^r(\mathbb{S}^t; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{sgn}$, where $w \cdot x = (-1)^{\ell(w)}x$ for all $w \in W$ and all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $H^p(\mathbb{S}^t; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for all $p \neq 0, r$. It follows by Theorem 5.1 that the E_2 -term of the spectral sequence computing ${}^{Q_n}K_G^*(\Lambda\mathbb{S}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is given as follows: for q even

$$E_2^{r,q} = [H^0(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)]^W \cong (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W/J_n^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n.$$

Also,

$$E_2^{2r,q} = [H^r(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)]^W \cong (\mathbb{Q}^{sgn} \otimes (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n))^W.$$

Notice that $\mathbb{Q}^{sgn} \otimes R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn} \cong R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a W -module, where on the right hand side W acts by the natural action. Let K_n denote the ideal in $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by $\theta_{\alpha_i}^{nd_i} - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, where W acts on K_n by the natural action. Note that K_n and J_n are equal as sets, but W acts on J_n by signed permutations and on K_n by permutations. It follows that we have an isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules

$$E_2^{2r,q} \cong (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n)^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W.$$

For other values of p and q we have $E_2^{p,q} = 0$. In this case the only possibly non-trivial differential is d_{r-1} for odd values of $r \geq 3$. However, comparing this spectral sequence with the one computing ${}^{P_n}K_G^*(G)$ via the inclusion map $i: * \rightarrow G$ and the projection map $\pi: G \rightarrow *$, we conclude that such differentials must be trivial. Hence

$$E_{\infty}^{p,q} \cong \begin{cases} R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } p = r, \\ R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } p = 2r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

as a module over $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

When r is odd, then trivially there are no extension problems. When r is even, by comparing the spectral sequence computing ${}^{Q_n}K_G^p(\Lambda\mathbb{S}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ with that computing ${}^{P_n}K_G^p(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

\mathbb{Q} , we conclude that there are no extension problems in this case either. As a corollary, the following is obtained.

Corollary 5.4. *Suppose that G is a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group. Let $n \neq 0$ be an integer and let r be the rank of G . Then we have the following isomorphisms $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules:*

(1) For odd values of r

$$\mathbb{Q}_n K_G^p(\Lambda \mathfrak{S}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \begin{cases} R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W & \text{for } p \text{ even,} \\ R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n & \text{for } p \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

(2) For even values of r

$$\mathbb{Q}_n K_G^p(\Lambda \mathfrak{S}^{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \begin{cases} R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W \oplus R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n & \text{for } p \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{for } p \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

As before, if we further assume that $k \geq 0$ is such that $k = n - h^{\vee}$ then we can identify $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n$ with the Verlinde algebra $V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} = R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/I_k$ at level $k = n - h^{\vee}$.

As a particular example let us suppose that $G = SU(m)$ and that $n \geq m$. We already know that in this case

$$R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]/(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m = 1, x_1^n = x_2^n = \cdots x_m^n),$$

with $W = \Sigma_m$ acting by **signed permutations**. Also, $\{\mathcal{A}(x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}})\}_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{m-1} \leq n-1}$ forms a basis for $(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)^{\Sigma_m} \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n$ as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. On the other hand,

$$R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]/(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m = 1, x_1^n = x_2^n = \cdots x_m^n),$$

with $W = \Sigma_m$ acting by **permutations**. If \mathcal{S} denotes the symmetrization operator, that is,

$$\mathcal{S}(p(x_1, \dots, x_m)) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_m} p(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(m)}),$$

then it can be proved that the set $\{\mathcal{S}(x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}})\}_{0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_{m-1} \leq n}$ forms a basis as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space for $(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n)^{\Sigma_m} \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^{\Sigma_m}$.

5.3. Inertia of the commuting variety in \mathfrak{g} . For every integer $m \geq 2$ the commuting variety in \mathfrak{g} is defined to be

$$C_m(\mathfrak{g}) = \{(X_1, \dots, X_m) \in \mathfrak{g}^m \mid [X_i, X_j] = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}.$$

Let $X = C_m(\mathfrak{g})^+$ denote the one point compactification of the commuting variety $C_m(\mathfrak{g})$. The group G acts on $C_m(\mathfrak{g})^+$ via the adjoint representation and fixing the point at infinity. If we assume that G is one of the groups $SU(l)$ or $Sp(l)$ with $l \geq 2$, then the action of G on $C_m(\mathfrak{g})^+$ has connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups and for every $x \in C_m(\mathfrak{g})^+$ the isotropy subgroups G_x have torsion free fundamental groups (See [1, Section 6.4]). Therefore the action of G on $\Lambda(C_m(\mathfrak{g})^+)$ has connected maximal rank isotropy subgroups and the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 are satisfied. In this example $X^T = \mathbb{S}^m$, the one-point compactification of \mathfrak{t}^m , with W acting diagonally. Notice that $H^0(\mathbb{S}^m; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ with W acting trivially, $H^{mr}(\mathbb{S}^m; \mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^{sgn})^{\otimes m}$, where W acts diagonally and by the sign representation

on each factor \mathbb{Q}^{sgn} . Also $H^p(\mathbb{S}^m; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for all $p \neq 0, mr$. By Theorem 5.1, the E_2 -term of the spectral sequence computing ${}^{\mathcal{Q}_n}K_G^*(\Lambda(C_m(\mathfrak{g}))^+) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is given as follows: for q even

$$E_2^{r,q} = [H^0(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)]^W \cong (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W/J_n^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n.$$

Also,

$$E_2^{(m+1)r,q} = [H^{mr}(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)]^W \cong [(\mathbb{Q}^{sgn})^{\otimes m} \otimes R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n]^W.$$

For all other cases $E_2^{p,q} = 0$. To describe this E_2 -term explicitly we need to consider a few cases.

Suppose first that m is even. In this case we have $(\mathbb{Q}^{sgn})^{\otimes m} \cong \mathbb{Q}$ with the trivial W -action. Therefore under this assumption

$$E_2^{(m+1)r,q} \cong (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W/J_n^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n.$$

Since m is even, for dimension reasons there are no nonzero differentials and so the spectral sequence collapses at the E_2 -term. We conclude that in this case

$$E_{\infty}^{p,q} \cong \begin{cases} R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } p = r, (m+1)r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

as a module over $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Assume now that m is odd. With this assumption, we have $(\mathbb{Q}^{sgn})^{\otimes m} \cong \mathbb{Q}^{sgn}$ with the sign W -action. Therefore under this assumption

$$E_2^{(m+1)r,q} \cong (\mathbb{Q}^{sgn} \otimes R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W,$$

where as above K_n denotes the ideal in $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by $\theta_{\alpha_i}^{nd_i} - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. In this case the only possibly non-trivial differential is d_{mr-1} for odd values of r . However, comparing this spectral sequence with the one computing ${}^{P_n}K_G^*(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ it follows that such differentials must be trivial. We conclude that in this case

$$E_{\infty}^{p,q} \cong \begin{cases} R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } p = r, \\ R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } p = (m+1)r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

as a module over $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

In any of the above cases, by comparing the spectral sequence computing ${}^{P_n}K_G^p(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ with that computing ${}^{\mathcal{Q}_n}K_G^p(\Lambda(C_m(\mathfrak{g}))^+) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we see that there are no extension problems thus yielding the following corollary.

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose that G is one of the groups $SU(l)$ or $Sp(l)$ with $l \geq 2$. Let $n \neq 0$ be an integer and let r be the rank of G . Then we have the following isomorphisms of modules over $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$*

(1) *If m is even*

$${}^{\mathcal{Q}_n}K_G^p(\Lambda(C_m(\mathfrak{g}))^+) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \begin{cases} (R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n)^2 & \text{for } p \equiv r \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{for } p+1 \equiv r \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

(2) *If m and r are odd*

$${}^{\mathcal{Q}_n}K_G^p(\Lambda(C_m(\mathfrak{g}))^+) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \begin{cases} R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W & \text{for } p \text{ even,} \\ R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n & \text{for } p \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

(3) If m is odd and r is even

$${}^{\mathbb{Q}^n}K_G^p(\Lambda(C_m(\mathfrak{g})^+)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \begin{cases} R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W \oplus R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n & \text{for } p \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{for } p \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

5.4. Commuting pairs in $SU(2)$. Suppose that $X = G$ acts on itself by conjugation. The inertia space for this action corresponds to $\Lambda X = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, G)$, the space of commuting pairs in G , equipped with the conjugation action of G . Assume that $G = SU(2)$. For this group, the space $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, G)$ is path-connected and the conjugation action has connected maximal rank isotropy by [1, Example 2.4]. All the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 are met for this example so that we can use this theorem to compute ${}^{\mathbb{Q}^n}K_{SU(2)}^*(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, SU(2))) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Let $T \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ be the maximal torus consisting of all diagonal matrices in $SU(2)$. Then $X^T = T \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ with $W = \mathbb{Z}/2 = \langle \tau | \tau^2 = 1 \rangle$ acting by permutation on the diagonal entries of T . For this example $H^0(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ with trivial W -action, $H^1(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{sgn}$, where $\tau \cdot x = -x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $H^p(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $p \neq 0, 1$. Using Theorem 5.1, the E_2 -term of the spectral sequence computing ${}^{\mathbb{Q}^n}K_{SU(2)}^*(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, SU(2))) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is given as follows: for q even

$$E_2^{1,q} = [H^0(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)]^W \cong (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W/J_n^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n,$$

Also,

$$E_2^{2,q} = [H^1(X^T; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)]^W \cong [\mathbb{Q}^{sgn} \otimes (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}/J_n)]^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W.$$

Moreover, $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for all other cases. We conclude that

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong \begin{cases} R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n & \text{if } p = 1 \text{ and } q \text{ is even,} \\ R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The spectral sequence collapses trivially at the E_2 -term without extension problems so that we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.6. *For $G = SU(2)$ and an integer $n \neq 0$ we have*

$${}^{\mathbb{Q}^n}K_{SU(2)}^p(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, SU(2))) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \begin{cases} R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W & \text{if } p \text{ is even,} \\ R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n & \text{if } p \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In this case we can find explicit bases for $R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n$ and $R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W$ as \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces. Suppose for simplicity that $n > 0$. Recall that

$$R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]/(x_1x_2 = 1)$$

with τ permuting x_1 and x_2 . Also, $R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}} = R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W = \mathbb{Q}[\sigma]$ with $\sigma = x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}$. In this example J_n^W is the $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -submodule in $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}$ generated by $x_1^n - x_1^{-n}$. Note that $\delta = x_1 - x_1^{-1}$ so that L_n is the ideal in $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by the element

$$\sigma_{n-1} := \frac{x_1^n - x_1^{-n}}{x_1 - x_1^{-1}} = x_1^{n-1} + x_1^{n-3} + \cdots + x_1^{3-n} + x_1^{1-n}.$$

Moreover, the classes corresponding to the elements

$$1, x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}, \dots, x_1^{n-2} + \frac{1}{x_1^{n-2}}$$

form a free basis for $R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n$. Thus $R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n \cong \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. On the other hand, K_n is the ideal in $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by $x_1^{2n} - 1$. The classes corresponding to the elements

$$1, x_1 + \frac{1}{x_1}, \dots, x_1^{n-1} + \frac{1}{x_1^{n-1}}, x_1^n$$

form a basis for $R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W$ as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. Thus $R(SU(2))_{\mathbb{Q}}/K_n^W \cong \mathbb{Q}^{n+1}$ as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. We conclude that

$$\mathbb{Q}^n K_{SU(2)}^p(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, SU(2))) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}^{n+1} & \text{if } p \text{ is even,} \\ \mathbb{Q}^{n-1} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space

6. APPENDIX: THE VERLINDE ALGEBRA

In this section we recall the definition of the Verlinde algebra and relate it to the computations obtained in the previous section. Suppose that G is a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group of rank r with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Fix a maximal torus T in G and let $W = N_G(T)/T$ be the corresponding Weyl group. Throughout this section we will use the same notation as before.

Let LG denote the loop group of G ; that is, the infinite dimensional group of smooth maps $\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow G$. Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer that corresponds to a level and define $V_k(G)$ to be the group completion of the monoid of positive energy representations of LG at level k . The group $V_k(G)$ equipped with the fusion product becomes a ring that is known as the Verlinde ring. In this section we are interested in the rational version of this ring, namely $V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} := V_k(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ that we will refer to as the rational Verlinde algebra. The algebra $V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ can alternatively be defined as the quotient

$$V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} = R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}/I_k(G),$$

where $I_k(G)$ denotes the rational Verlinde ideal at level k . (See [13, Section 4] [19, Section 4]). The rational Verlinde ideal at level $k \geq 0$ can be defined algebraically as follows. Let us identify $R(G)$ with $R(T)^W = \mathbb{Z}[\Pi]^W$, where as before $\Pi = \mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$. For each $1 \leq j \leq r$, let $\omega_j^* := n_j^{\vee} v_j \in \mathfrak{t}$. The element ω_j^* represents the fundamental weight ω_j in the sense that $\omega_j(X) = 2\pi i \langle X, \omega_j^* \rangle$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{t}$ and every $1 \leq j \leq r$. Recall that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the basic inner product in \mathfrak{t} such that the smallest non-zero element in Λ has length $\sqrt{2}$. Also, let us denote

$$\rho^* := n_1^{\vee} v_1 + \dots + n_r^{\vee} v_r = \omega_1^* + \dots + \omega_r^* \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

Notice that

$$\alpha_j(\omega_k^*) = \begin{cases} (2\pi i)n_j^{\vee}/n_j = 2\pi i/d_j & \text{if } k = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let M_k denote the set of sequences of non-negative integers (m_1, \dots, m_r) that satisfy $m_1 n_1^{\vee} + \dots + m_r n_r^{\vee} \leq k$. With this definition $\{(m_1 + 1)\omega_1^* + \dots + (m_r + 1)\omega_r^*\}_{(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in M_k}$ corresponds to the set of elements in the lattice generated by $\omega_1^*, \dots, \omega_r^*$ that belong to the interior of $n\mathfrak{A}(\Delta)$. Here as before $n = k + h^{\vee}$ and $\mathfrak{A}(\Delta)$ denotes the Weyl alcove corresponding to the

set of simple roots Δ and that contains 0. Equivalently, the set M_k is defined in such a way that the collection $\{(m_1 + 1)\omega_1 + \cdots + (m_r + 1)\omega_r\}_{(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in M_k}$ corresponds to the set of elements in the weight lattice that belong to the interior of $n\mathfrak{A}^*(\Delta)$. Here $\mathfrak{A}^*(\Delta)$ denotes the Weyl alcove in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t}, i\mathbb{R})$ that contains all the elements $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t}, i\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$0 \leq \frac{f(K_{\alpha_0})}{2\pi i} \leq 1.$$

With our notation the rational Verlinde ideal at level k is defined as the vanishing ideal in $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^W$ of the set

$$S_k := \left\{ \exp(t) \in T \mid t = \frac{1}{n} (m_1 \omega_1^* + \cdots + m_r \omega_r^* + \rho^*), \text{ where } (m_1, \dots, m_r) \in M_k \right\}.$$

The goal of this section is to relate the Verlinde algebra with rational coefficients $V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with the quotient $(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W / J_n^W$ that appeared in the computations provided in the previous section. Recall that J_n is the ideal in $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}$ generated by $\theta_{\alpha_i}^{n d_i} - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Also recall that $R(T)^{sgn}$ denotes the representation ring $R(T)$ with the signed permutation action of W . That is, if $w \in W$ and $p \in R(T)^{sgn}$, then $w \bullet p = (-1)^{\ell(w)} w \cdot p$. Consider the anti-symmetrization operator $\mathcal{A}: R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn} \rightarrow (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W$ defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(p) = \sum_{w \in W} w \bullet p = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} w \cdot p.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{A}(p) \in (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W$ for every p and so \mathcal{A} is well defined. On the other hand, consider $\delta := \theta_{\rho}^{-1} \prod_{\alpha > 0, \alpha \in \Phi} (\theta_{\alpha} - 1)$, where ρ denotes the half sum of the positive roots. Notice that δ is the denominator in the Weyl character formula. By [5, Proposition 2, VI §3 no. 3] we have that $\delta \in (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W$ and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W &\rightarrow (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}})^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ p &\mapsto p/\delta \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules. Let $L_n = \Psi(J_n^W) \subset R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The map Ψ defines an isomorphism of $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules

$$(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W / J_n^W \cong R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} / L_n.$$

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that G is a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group. If $k \geq 0$ and $n = k + h^{\vee}$, then the ideal L_n equals the rational Verlinde ideal $I_k(G)$. In particular there is an isomorphism $R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -modules*

$$V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} = R(G)_{\mathbb{Q}} / L_n \cong (R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W / J_n^W.$$

Proof: Let $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in M_k$ and $t = \frac{1}{n} ((m_1 + 1)\omega_1^* + \cdots + (m_r + 1)\omega_r^*)$. Then the element $\exp(t) \in T$ is such that $\delta(\exp(t)) \neq 0$. Therefore, via the isomorphism Ψ , the rational Verlinde ideal can be identified with the vanishing set of S_k in $(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W$. We denote this vanishing set by $\mathcal{W}_k(G)$. To prove the proposition we need to show that $\mathcal{W}_k(G) = J_n^W$. For this we follow the next steps.

Step 1: Let us prove that $J_n^W \subset \mathcal{W}_k(G)$. Since J_n is generated by $\theta_{\alpha_j}^{nd_j} - 1$ it suffices to prove that each $\theta_{\alpha_j}^{nd_j} - 1$ vanishes on S_k . Indeed, suppose that $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in M_k$ and let $t = \frac{1}{n}((m_1 + 1)\omega_1^* + \dots + (m_r + 1)\omega_r^*)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\alpha_j}^{nd_j}(\exp(t)) &= e^{nd_j \alpha_j \left(\frac{1}{n}((m_1+1)\omega_1^* + \dots + (m_r+1)\omega_r^*) \right)} = e^{d_j \alpha_j ((m_1+1)\omega_1^* + \dots + (m_r+1)\omega_r^*)} \\ &= e^{d_j \alpha_j ((m_j+1)\omega_j^*)} = e^{2\pi i(m_j+1)} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\theta_{\alpha_j}^{nd_j} - 1$ vanishes on S_k for all $1 \leq j \leq r$ completing the first step.

Step 2: If f is an element of the weight lattice let us denote by $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_f)$ the class in $(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W / J_n^W$ corresponding to $\mathcal{A}(\theta_f)$. Let $\mathcal{B} := \{\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_{(m_1+1)\omega_1 + \dots + (m_r+1)\omega_r})\}_{(m_1, \dots, m_r) \in M_k}$. As the second step we are going to show that the set \mathcal{B} generates $(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W / J_n^W$ as a vector space over \mathbb{Q} . If this is true, then it follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}((R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W / J_n^W) \leq |M_k|$. On the other hand, it is known that $V_k(G)$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank $|M_k|$, hence $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = |M_k|$. We conclude that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}((R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W / J_n^W) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(V_k(G)_{\mathbb{Q}})$. This together with the fact that $J_n^W \subset \mathcal{W}_k(G)$ proves that $J_n^W = \mathcal{W}_k(G)$ completing the proof of the proposition.

To prove step 2 notice that $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}[\Pi]$ and therefore the elements of the form $\mathcal{A}(\theta_f)$ generate $(R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn})^W$ as a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, where f runs through the weight lattice. Therefore it suffices to show that the classes $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_f)$, for f in the weight lattice, can be generated with the collection \mathcal{B} . To prove this notice that if $w \in W$ then

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{A}(\theta_f) = \pm \mathcal{A}(\theta_{w \cdot f}) \text{ for every element } f \text{ in the weight lattice.}$$

Next we show that $\theta_{\alpha_0}^n - 1$ belongs to J_n . Equivalently, we will show that $\theta_{\alpha_0}^n \equiv 1 \pmod{J_n}$. To see this, recall that $\alpha_0 = n_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + n_r \alpha_r = d_1 n_1^{\vee} \alpha_1 + \dots + d_r n_r^{\vee} \alpha_r$. Therefore $n \alpha_0 = n d_1 n_1^{\vee} \alpha_1 + \dots + n d_r n_r^{\vee} \alpha_r$. Using this and the fact that $\theta_{\alpha_i}^{nd_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{J_n}$ we get

$$(8) \quad \theta_{\alpha_0}^n \equiv \prod_{i=1}^r (\theta_{\alpha_i}^{nd_i})^{n_i^{\vee}} \equiv 1 \pmod{J_n}.$$

On the other hand, given an integer m let $s_{\alpha_0, m}: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t}, i\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t}, i\mathbb{R})$ be the map defined by

$$s_{\alpha_0, m}(f) = f - \left(\frac{f(K_{\alpha_0})}{2\pi i} - m \right) \alpha_0.$$

Geometrically the map $s_{\alpha_0, m}$ corresponds to a reflection in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t}, i\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the hyperplane defined by the equation $f(K_{\alpha_0}) = 2\pi i m$. The reflection $s_{\alpha_0, m}$ preserves the weight lattice. Note that $s_{\alpha_0, 0}$ agrees with the reflection with respect to the hyperplane defined by $\alpha_0 = 0$ so that $s_{\alpha_0, 0} = s_{\alpha_0}$ belongs to the Weyl group. Also, $s_{\alpha_0, mn} = s_{\alpha_0} + mn \alpha_0$ and thus

$$\theta_{s_{\alpha_0, mn}(f)} = \theta_{s_{\alpha_0}(f)} (\theta_{\alpha_0}^{mn} - 1) + \theta_{s_{\alpha_0}(f)}.$$

Using (8) we see that $\theta_{s_{\alpha_0}(f)} \theta_{\alpha_0}^{mn} \equiv \theta_{s_{\alpha_0}(f)} \pmod{J_n}$. This means that $\theta_{s_{\alpha_0}(f)} (\theta_{\alpha_0}^{mn} - 1) \in J_n$ and thus

$$\mathcal{A}(\theta_{s_{\alpha_0, mn}(f)}) - \mathcal{A}(\theta_{s_{\alpha_0}(f)}) = \mathcal{A}(\theta_{s_{\alpha_0}(f)} (\theta_{\alpha_0}^{mn} - 1)) \in J_n^W.$$

On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{A}(\theta_{s_{\alpha_0}(f)}) = -\mathcal{A}(\theta_f)$. Therefore

$$(9) \quad \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_{s_{\alpha_0, mn}(f)}) = -\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_f) \text{ for every element } f \text{ in the weight lattice.}$$

If f is any element in the weight lattice, we can find a unique $f' \in n\mathfrak{A}^*(\Delta)$ such that f is obtained from f' by applying reflections of the form $s_{\alpha_0, mn}$ and acting by elements of the Weyl group. Using (7) and (9) we conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_f) = \pm \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_{f'})$. This proves that if f is any element in the weight lattice we can find a weight $f' \in n\mathfrak{A}^*(\Delta)$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_f) = \pm \overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_{f'})$. To finish the proof we are going to show that if f belongs to any of the walls of $n\mathfrak{A}^*(\Delta)$ then $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_f) = 0$. If f belongs to any of the walls of $n\mathfrak{A}^*(\Delta)$ that contains 0, then f is fixed by a reflection of the form s_α for some simple root α . Let M be a set of representatives of the left cosets of W with respect to the subgroup $\{1, s_\alpha\}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\theta_f) &= \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \theta_{w \cdot f} = \sum_{w \in M} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \theta_{w \cdot f} + \sum_{w \in M} (-1)^{\ell(ws_\alpha)} \theta_{w \cdot (s_\alpha \cdot f)} \\ &= \sum_{w \in M} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \theta_{w \cdot f} - \sum_{w \in M} (-1)^{\ell(w)} \theta_{w \cdot f} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that if f is fixed by a reflection of the form s_α then $\mathcal{A}(\theta_f) = 0$. The only possibility left is that f belongs to the wall in $n\mathfrak{A}^*(\Delta)$ that does not contain 0 so that $f(K_{\alpha_0}) = 2\pi i n$. By Lemma 6.2 below we conclude that in this case $\mathcal{A}(\theta_f) \in J_n^W$ so that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_f) = 0$. This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let G be as in the previous proposition and $n = k + h^\vee$ with $k \geq 0$. If $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{t}, i\mathbb{R})$ is such that $f(K_{\alpha_0}) = 2\pi i n$ then $\mathcal{A}(\theta_f) \in J_n^W$.*

Proof: To prove this lemma we need to consider the following cases:

Case 1: $G = SU(2)$. In this case G is of type A_1 and $h^\vee = 2$. Fix some level $k \geq 0$ and let $n = k + h^\vee = k + 2$. Consider the maximal torus

$$T = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 \end{array} \right] \middle| x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1, x_1 x_2 = 1 \right\}.$$

We have $W = \mathbb{Z}/2 = \{1, \tau\}$ with $\tau^2 = 1$. The element τ acts by permuting the diagonal entries of elements in T . The Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} is given by

$$\mathfrak{t} = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{array} \right] \middle| X_1, X_2 \in i\mathbb{R}, X_1 + X_2 = 0 \right\}.$$

Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1 = X_1 - X_2 = 2X_1\}$ be our choice of simple roots. The fundamental weight in this example is $\omega_1 = X_1$ and the highest weight is $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1$. The representation ring with rational coefficients is $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]/(x_1 x_2 = 1)$ and the action of W on $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ permutes x_1 and x_2 . If $p(x_1, x_2) \in R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}$, then $\tau \bullet p(x_1, x_2) = -p(x_2, x_1)$. In this case J_n is the ideal in $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn}$ generated by $\theta_{\alpha_1}^n - 1 = \frac{x_1^n}{x_2^n} - 1$ so that $x_2^n(\theta_{\alpha_1}^n - 1) = x_1^n - x_2^n \in J_n$. Moreover, $x_1^n - x_2^n \in J_n^W$ as $\tau \bullet (x_1^n - x_2^n) = x_1^n - x_2^n$. The only weight that belongs to the wall $n\mathfrak{A}^*(\Delta)$ defined by the equation $f(K_{\alpha_0}) = 2\pi i n$ is $f = n\omega_1$. Note that $\mathcal{A}(\theta_{n\omega_1}) = x_1^n - x_2^n \in J_n^W$, as we wanted to prove.

Case 2: $G = Sp(r)$ with $r \geq 2$. In this case G is a simple Lie group of type C_r and $h^\vee = r + 1$. Fix some level $k \geq 0$ and let $n = k + h^\vee = k + r + 1$. Consider the maximal torus in G consisting of all diagonal matrices in $Sp(n)$. Here we see $Sp(n)$ as a subgroup of $U(2n)$ in the usual way. Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1 = X_1 - X_2, \dots, \alpha_{r-1} = X_{r-1} - X_r, \alpha_r = 2X_r\}$ be

our choice of simple roots. With this choice of simple roots the highest root is $\alpha_0 = 2X_1 = 2\alpha_1 + \cdots + 2\alpha_{r-1} + \alpha_r$ so that $n_1 = 2, \dots, n_{r-1} = 2, n_r = 1$. Also, $n_1^\vee = n_2^\vee = \cdots = n_r^\vee = 1$ and $d_1 = 2, \dots, d_{r-1} = 2, d_r = 1$. The fundamental weights are

$$\omega_1 = X_1, \omega_2 = X_1 + X_2, \dots, \omega_r = X_1 + \cdots + X_r.$$

In this case J_n is the ideal in $R(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sgn} = \mathbb{Q}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ generated by

$$\theta_{\alpha_1}^{nd_1} - 1 = \frac{x_1^{2n}}{x_2^{2n}} - 1, \dots, \theta_{\alpha_{r-1}}^{nd_{r-1}} - 1 = \frac{x_{r-1}^{2n}}{x_r^{2n}} - 1 \text{ and } \theta_{\alpha_r}^{nd_r} - 1 = x_r^{2n} - 1.$$

Therefore J_n is also generated by $x_1^{2n} - 1, x_2^{2n} - 1, \dots, x_r^{2n} - 1$. Suppose that f is an element in the wall in $n\mathfrak{A}^*(\Delta)$ that does not contain 0. Therefore f can be written in the form $f = s_1\omega_1 + \cdots + s_r\omega_r$, where $s_1, \dots, s_r \geq 0$ are integers such that $s_1 + \cdots + s_r = n = k + h^\vee$. With this notation we have

$$\theta_f = \theta_{\omega_1}^{s_1} \cdots \theta_{\omega_r}^{s_r} = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r},$$

where $a_1 = n = s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_r \geq a_2 = s_2 + \cdots + s_r \geq \cdots \geq a_r = s_r \geq 0$. Note that

$$x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r} - x_1^{-a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r} = x_1^{-a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r} (x_1^{2n} - 1) \in J_n.$$

Thus $\mathcal{A}(x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r}) - \mathcal{A}(x_1^{-a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r}) \in J_n^W$. Also, $x_1^{-a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r} = s_\alpha \cdot x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r}$ with $\alpha = 2X_1$. This implies that

$$\mathcal{A}(x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r}) = -\mathcal{A}(x_1^{-a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r})$$

We conclude that $2\mathcal{A}(x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r}) \in J_n^W$ and thus $\mathcal{A}(x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_r^{a_r}) \in J_n^W$. This finishes the proof in this case.

Case 3: Finally suppose that the group G is not of type A_1 or of type C_r . Under this assumption we can find some $1 \leq j \leq r$ (that we fix from now on) such that

$$2 \frac{B(h_{\alpha_j}, h_{\alpha_0})}{B(h_{\alpha_j}, h_{\alpha_j})} = -1.$$

The existence of such α_j can be verified by inspecting the extended Dynkin diagram associated to the root system of G . For the groups considered in this case we can find some vertex corresponding to a simple root α_j that is connected by a single edge to the vertex corresponding to α_0 . This implies that the angle between h_{α_0} and h_{α_j} is $2\pi/3$ and therefore $2 \frac{B(h_{\alpha_j}, h_{\alpha_0})}{B(h_{\alpha_j}, h_{\alpha_j})} = -1$. Suppose that f is an element in the weight lattice that belongs to the hyperplane defined by the equation $f(K_{\alpha_0}) = 2\pi in$ and let $g = f + nd_j \alpha_j$, which belongs to the weight lattice. The element g is defined in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned} g(K_{\alpha_0}) &= f(K_{\alpha_0}) + nd_j \alpha_j(K_{\alpha_0}) = 2\pi in + 2\pi ind_j \left(2 \frac{B(h_{\alpha_j}, h_{\alpha_0})}{B(h_{\alpha_0}, h_{\alpha_0})} \right) \\ &= 2\pi in + 2\pi in \left(\frac{B(h_{\alpha_0}, h_{\alpha_0})}{B(h_{\alpha_j}, h_{\alpha_j})} \right) \left(2 \frac{B(h_{\alpha_j}, h_{\alpha_0})}{B(h_{\alpha_0}, h_{\alpha_0})} \right) \\ &= 2\pi in + 2\pi in \left(2 \frac{B(h_{\alpha_j}, h_{\alpha_0})}{B(h_{\alpha_j}, h_{\alpha_j})} \right) = 2\pi in - 2\pi in = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let s_{α_0} be the reflection in W that corresponds to the root α_0 . Since $g(K_{\alpha_0}) = 0$ we have that $s_{\alpha_0} \cdot g = g$. By the same argument provided above we conclude that Hence $\mathcal{A}(\theta_g) = 0$. On the other hand, notice that $(\theta_{\alpha_j}^{nd_j} - 1)$ belongs to J_n and so $\mathcal{A}(\theta_f(\theta_{\alpha_j}^{nd_j} - 1)) \in J_n^W$. Since $\theta_g = \theta_f(\theta_{\alpha_j}^{nd_j} - 1) + \theta_f$, we conclude that

$$0 = \mathcal{A}(\theta_g) = \mathcal{A}(\theta_f(\theta_{\alpha_j}^{nd_j} - 1)) + \mathcal{A}(\theta_f)$$

and therefore

$$\mathcal{A}(\theta_f) = -\mathcal{A}(\theta_f(\theta_{\alpha_j}^{nd_j} - 1)) \in J_n^W.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adem and J. M. Gómez. Equivariant K-theory of compact Lie group actions with maximal rank isotropy. *Journal of Topology* 5, (2012), 431–457.
- [2] S. Antonyan and E. Elfvig. The equivariant homotopy type of G -ANR’s for proper actions of locally compact groups. *Algebraic topology—old and new*. Banach Center Publ. 85, (2009), 155–178, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw.
- [3] M. Atiyah and G. Segal. Twisted K -theory. *Ukr. Mat. Visn.* 1, (2004), 3, 287–330.
- [4] N. Bárcenas, J. Espinoza, B. Uribe and M. Velásquez. Segal’s spectral sequence in twisted equivariant K-theory for proper and discrete actions. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* 61, 2018, 1, 121–150.
- [5] N. Bourbaki. Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6, *Elements of Mathematics* (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, xi+300.
- [6] N. Bourbaki. Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 7–9, *Elements of Mathematics* (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005, xii+434.
- [7] G. E. Bredon. *Equivariant cohomology theories*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1967), vi+64 pp.
- [8] T. Bröcker and T. tom Dieck. *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 98 Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985, x+313.
- [9] C. L. Douglas. Fusion rings of loop group representations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 319, 2013, 2, 395–423.
- [10] C. L. Douglas. On the structure of the fusion ideal. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 290 (2009), no. 1, 335–355.
- [11] C. Dwyer. Twisted equivariant K-theory for proper actions of discrete groups. *K-Theory* 38 (2008), no. 2, 95–111.
- [12] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, xvi+785.
- [13] D. S. Freed, M. J. Hopkins and C. Teleman. Loop groups and twisted K -theory I. *Journal of Topology*, 4, (2011), 737–798.
- [14] D. S. Freed, M. J. Hopkins and C. Teleman. Loop groups and twisted K -theory III. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174, (2011), 2, 947–1007.
- [15] B. Hall. *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and representations, an elementary introduction*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 222, Springer, Cham, (2015), xiv+449.
- [16] V. Hauschild. Compact Lie group actions with isotropy subgroups of maximal rank. *Manuscripta Math.*, 34, (1981), no. 2-3, 355–379.
- [17] R. K. Lashof. *Equivariant bundles*. *Illinois J. Math.*, 26(2):257–271, 1982.
- [18] J. P. May and J. Sigurdsson. *Parametrized homotopy theory*. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 132. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [19] E. Meinrenken. On the quantization of conjugacy classes. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 55, (2009) no. 1-2, 33–75.
- [20] G. Segal. *Equivariant K -theory*. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 34, (1968), 129–151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER BC V6T 1Z2,
CANADA

E-mail address: adem@math.ubc.ca

CONSEJO NACIONAL DE CIENCIA Y TECNOLOGÍA, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS,
A.C., UNIDAD MÉRIDA, PARQUE CIENTÍFICO Y TECNOLÓGICO DE YUCATÁN, CARRETERA SIERRA
PAPACAL-CHUBURNÁ PUERTO KM 5.5, SIERRA PAPACAL, MÉRIDA, YUCATÁN CP 97302, MEXICO

E-mail address: cantarero@cimat.mx

ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA, MEDELLÍN, AA 3840, COLOM-
BIA

E-mail address: jmgomez0@unal.edu.co