

HECKE MODULE STRUCTURE ON FIRST AND TOP PRO- p -IWAHORI COHOMOLOGY

KAROL KOZIOL

ABSTRACT. Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime number, G a split connected reductive group defined over a p -adic field, and I_1 a choice of pro- p -Iwahori subgroup. Let C be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p and \mathcal{H} the pro- p -Iwahori–Hecke algebra over C associated to I_1 . In this note, we compute the action of \mathcal{H} on $H^1(I_1, C)$ and $H^{\text{top}}(I_1, C)$ when the root system of G is irreducible. We also give some partial results in the general case.

1. INTRODUCTION

The mod- p Local Langlands correspondence is now well understood for the group $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, thanks to the work of Breuil, Colmez, Emerton, Kisin, Paškūnas, and others (see [Bre10] and the references therein). Unfortunately, the smooth mod- p representation theory of p -adic reductive groups is still quite mysterious outside of the $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ case (and other small examples), mainly due to the lack of an explicit description of the so-called supersingular representations. This constitutes a major hindrance to formulating a mod- p Local Langlands correspondence for general groups.

One potential remedy for these problems is obtained by passing to the derived setting. Assume $p \geq 5$, let G denote (the group of rational points of) a split connected reductive group over a p -adic field F , I_1 a pro- p -Iwahori subgroup of G , which we assume to be torsion-free, and C an algebraically closed field of characteristic p . Then Schneider has shown in [Sch15] that the (unbounded) derived category of smooth G -representations over C is equivalent to the (unbounded) derived category of differential graded \mathcal{H}^\bullet -modules, where \mathcal{H}^\bullet is the derived Hecke algebra over C with respect to I_1 . The equivalence is given by taking a complex π^\bullet of smooth G -representations to the complex $\text{R}\Gamma(I_1, \pi^\bullet)$, which has a natural action of \mathcal{H}^\bullet . One hopes that this equivalence will play a role in the burgeoning mod- p Langlands program; see [Har16].

Regrettably, the functor inducing the derived equivalence above is challenging to compute explicitly. We can try to shed some light on this difficulty by looking at the associated cohomology modules. Taking π^\bullet to be a single G -representation π concentrated in degree 0, the space $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(I_1, \pi)$ becomes a graded module over the cohomology algebra $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(\mathcal{H}^\bullet)$. This cohomology algebra has been studied in the case when $G = \text{SL}_2(F)$ by Ollivier–Schneider in [OS18]. In this note, we focus instead on the cohomology module $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(I_1, \pi)$ in the easiest case when π is equal to the trivial representation of G , and attempt to understand (part of) the action of $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(\mathcal{H}^\bullet)$ thereupon. In a sequel to this note, we will examine the case where π is a principal series representation.

We now state more precisely the contents of this note. Our goal will be to compute the action of the degree 0 part $H^0(\mathcal{H}^\bullet)$ of the cohomology algebra, which is naturally isomorphic to the classical pro- p -Iwahori–Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H} := \text{End}_G(\text{c-ind}_{I_1}^G(C))$. We further restrict our attention to computing the action of \mathcal{H} on the first and top cohomology spaces, i.e., the spaces $H^1(I_1, C)$ and $H^{\text{top}}(I_1, C)$. After recalling the basic notation and necessary facts about the algebra \mathcal{H} and its modules in Sections 2 and 3, we carry out in Sections 4 and 5 some preliminary calculations for the action of \mathcal{H} on I_1 -cohomology. We then apply the results obtained to $H^1(I_1, C)$ in Section 6 and arrive at the following. For a precise and more detailed statement, see Theorem 6.4.

Theorem (Theorem 6.4). *Suppose that the root system of G is irreducible, and let χ_{triv} denote the trivial character of \mathcal{H} (described in Subsection 3.2 below).*

(a) *If $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and the root system of G is of type A_1 , then we have an isomorphism of \mathcal{H} -modules*

$$H^1(I_1, C) \cong \chi_{\text{triv}}^{\oplus a} \oplus \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{\alpha}),$$

where α is the unique positive root of G , and $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{\alpha})$ is the simple \mathcal{H} -module given by $\text{Ind}_B^G(\bar{\alpha})^{I_1}$.

(b) *If $F \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ or the root system of G is not of type A_1 , then we have an isomorphism of \mathcal{H} -modules*

$$H^1(I_1, C) \cong \chi_{\text{triv}}^{\oplus a} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}/\Omega} \bigoplus_{r=0}^{f-1} \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha, r},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha, r}$ is a simple supersingular \mathcal{H} -module.

The unexplained notation is as follows: we let T denote a fixed maximal torus of G , and B a Borel subgroup containing T . We denote by Π_{aff} the set of simple affine roots of G , and Ω the subgroup of length 0 elements in the extended affine Weyl group of G . Note that Ω acts on Π_{aff} . Further, a is an integer determined by the torus T , $\bar{\alpha}$ is the composition of α with the mod- p cyclotomic character, and f is the degree of the residue field of F over \mathbb{F}_p .

We remark that the conclusion of the theorem above holds without the hypothesis that I_1 is torsion-free. It is also interesting to note that supersingular \mathcal{H} -modules are absent from $H^1(I_1, C)$ if and only if $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and the root system of G is of type A_1 . This mirrors the following expectation (for groups with irreducible root system): there exists an (underived) equivalence between the category of smooth G -representations generated by their I_1 -invariant vectors and the category of \mathcal{H} -modules if and only if $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and the root system of G is of type A_1 (cf. [Oll09], [Koz16]). When the root system of G is not irreducible, we give some partial qualitative results about $H^1(I_1, C)$ in Theorem 6.5.

Finally, we apply the calculations of Section 5 to compute the top cohomology $H^{\text{top}}(I_1, C)$, and to show the \mathcal{H} -action is compatible with Poincaré duality.

Theorem (Theorems 7.1 and 7.2). *Suppose that the root system of G is irreducible. We then have isomorphisms of \mathcal{H} -modules*

$$H^{\text{top}}(I_1, C) \cong \chi_{\text{triv}}$$

and

$$H^i(I_1, C)^* \cong H^{\text{top}-i}(I_1, C),$$

where $0 \leq i \leq \text{top}$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Florian Herzig for several useful conversations, and the anonymous referee for some helpful suggestions. During the preparation of this article, funding was provided by NSF grant DMS-1400779 and an EPDI fellowship.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Basic Notation. Let $p \geq 5$ denote a fixed prime number. Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , with ring of integers \mathfrak{o} , maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} , uniformizer ϖ and residue field k_F . We denote by $q = p^f$ the order of the residue field k_F . Given an element $x \in k_F$, we denote by $[x] \in \mathfrak{o}$ its Teichmüller lift. Conversely, if $y \in \mathfrak{o}$, we denote by $\overline{y} \in k_F$ its image in the residue field.

If \mathbf{H} is an algebraic group over F , we denote by H the group $\mathbf{H}(F)$ of F -points.

Let \mathbf{G} denote a split, connected, reductive group over F . We let \mathbf{Z} denote the connected center of G . Fix a split maximal torus \mathbf{T} , and let

$$\langle -, - \rangle : X^*(\mathbf{T}) \times X_*(\mathbf{T}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

denote the natural perfect pairing between characters and cocharacters. We define a homomorphism $\nu : T \longrightarrow X_*(\mathbf{T})$ by the condition

$$\langle \chi, \nu(t) \rangle = -\text{val}(\chi(t))$$

for all $\chi \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ and $t \in T$, and where $\text{val} : F^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the normalized valuation. Given $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$, we have $\nu(\lambda(\varpi^{-1})) = \lambda$.

In the standard apartment corresponding to \mathbf{T} of the semisimple Bruhat–Tits building of \mathbf{G} , we fix a chamber \mathcal{C} and a hyperspecial vertex x_0 such that $x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. We let \mathcal{P}_{x_0} (resp. I) denote the parahoric subgroup corresponding to x_0 (resp. \mathcal{C}). We also define I_1 to be the pro- p -Sylow subgroup of I . The group I (resp. I_1) is the *Iwahori subgroup* (resp. *pro- p -Iwahori subgroup*) of G . We will later assume that I_1 is torsion-free. We have $\ker(\nu) = T \cap \mathcal{P}_{x_0} = T \cap I =: T_0$, which is equal to the maximal compact subgroup of T . Furthermore, we set $T_1 := T \cap I_1$; this is the maximal pro- p subgroup of T_0 . Then T_0/T_1 identifies with the group of k_F -points of \mathbf{T} , and we denote this group by $\mathbf{T}(k_F)$ (note that the assumption that \mathbf{G} is split ensures \mathbf{T} has a model over \mathfrak{o}).

Denote the root system of \mathbf{G} with respect to \mathbf{T} by $\Phi \subset X^*(\mathbf{T})$. We will later restrict to the case where Φ is irreducible. We denote by $\Phi^\vee \subset X_*(\mathbf{T})$ the coroot system, and given $\alpha \in \Phi$ we denote by $\alpha^\vee \in \Phi^\vee$ the associated coroot. For a root $\alpha \in \Phi$, we let \mathbf{U}_α denote the associated root subgroup. We fix a set of simple roots Π , and let $\Phi = \Phi^+ \sqcup \Phi^-$ be the decomposition defined by Π . We let $\text{ht} : \Phi \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ denote the length function on Φ with respect to Π . Let \mathbf{B} denote the Borel subgroup corresponding to Π . Further, we let \mathbf{U} denote the unipotent radical of \mathbf{B} , so that \mathbf{U} is generated by \mathbf{U}_α for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T} \ltimes \mathbf{U}$.

2.2. Weyl groups. Let $W_0 := N_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})/\mathbf{T} = N_G(T)/T$ denote the Weyl group of \mathbf{G} . For $\alpha \in \Phi$, we let $s_\alpha \in W_0$ denote the corresponding reflection (and note that $s_{-\alpha} = s_\alpha$). Then the Coxeter group W_0 is generated by $\{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$. We let $\ell : W_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ denote the length function with respect to the set of simple reflections $\{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$. For $\alpha \in \Phi$, the reflection s_α acts on $\chi \in X^*(\mathbf{T})$ (resp. $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$) by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha(\chi) &= \chi - \langle \chi, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha \\ (\text{resp. } s_\alpha(\lambda) &= \lambda - \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \alpha^\vee). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we set

$$\Lambda := T/T_0, \quad W := N_G(T)/T_0.$$

Note that the homomorphism $\nu : T \rightarrow X_*(\mathbf{T})$ factors through Λ , and identifies Λ with $X_*(\mathbf{T})$. These groups fit into an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow W \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow 1,$$

and the group W acts on the standard apartment $X_*(\mathbf{T})/X_*(\mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ (see [SS97, Section I.1]). Since x_0 is hyperspecial we have $W_0 \cong (N_G(T) \cap \mathcal{P}_{x_0})/(T \cap \mathcal{P}_{x_0})$, which gives a section to the surjection $W \rightarrow W_0$. We will always view W_0 as the subgroup of W fixing x_0 via this section. This gives the decomposition

$$W \cong W_0 \rtimes \Lambda.$$

The length function ℓ on W_0 extends to W (see [Vig16, Corollary 5.10]).

The set of affine roots is defined as $\Phi \times \mathbb{Z}$, with the element (α, ℓ) taking the value $\alpha(\lambda) + \ell$ on $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})/X_*(\mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. We view Φ as a subset of $\Phi \times \mathbb{Z}$ via the identification $\Phi \cong \Phi \times \{0\}$. **We assume that x_0 and \mathcal{C} are chosen so that every element of Φ takes the value 0 on x_0 and every element of Φ^+ is positive on \mathcal{C} .** We let

$$\Pi_{\text{aff}} := \Pi \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^d \{(-\alpha_0^{(i)}, 1)\}$$

denote the set of simple affine roots, where $\{\alpha_0^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^d$ is the set of highest roots of the irreducible components of Φ .

We will use boldface Greek letters to denote generic affine roots. Given an affine root $\alpha = (\alpha, \ell) \in \Phi \times \mathbb{Z}$, we let $s_{\alpha} \in W$ denote the reflection in the affine hyperplane $\{\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})/X_*(\mathbf{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} : \alpha(\lambda) + \ell = 0\}$. We define the affine Weyl group $W_{\text{aff}} \subset W$ to be the subgroup generated by the set $\{s_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}}$. The group W_{aff} is a Coxeter group (with respect to the generators $\{s_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}}$), and the restriction of ℓ from W to W_{aff} agrees with the length function of W_{aff} as a Coxeter group. We also define Ω as the subgroup of elements of W stabilizing \mathcal{C} ; equivalently, Ω is the subgroup of length 0 elements of W . It is a finitely generated abelian group. This gives the decomposition

$$W \cong W_{\text{aff}} \rtimes \Omega.$$

The group W acts on the affine roots, and the subgroup Ω stabilizes the subset Π_{aff} .

We now set

$$\widetilde{W} := N_G(T)/T_1.$$

Given any subset X of W , we let \widetilde{X} denote its preimage in \widetilde{W} under the natural projection $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$, so that \widetilde{X} is an extension of X by $\mathbf{T}(k_F)$. The length function ℓ on W inflates to \widetilde{W} via the projection, and similarly the homomorphism ν on Λ inflates to $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. For typographical reasons we write \widetilde{X}_{\square} as opposed to \widetilde{X}_{\square} if the symbol X has some decoration \square . Given some element $w \in W$ we often let $\widehat{w} \in \widetilde{W}$ denote a specified choice of lift. Furthermore, given $w \in \widetilde{W}$, we let \bar{w} denote the image of w in W_0 via the projections $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow W \rightarrow W_0$.

2.3. Lifts of Weyl group elements. Let \mathbf{G}_{x_0} denote the Bruhat–Tits \mathfrak{o} -group scheme with generic fiber \mathbf{G} associated to the point x_0 . Since the group \mathbf{G} is split, we have a Chevalley system for \mathbf{G} . In particular, this means that for every $\alpha \in \Phi$, we have a homomorphism of \mathfrak{o} -group schemes (cf. [BT84, Section 3.2])

$$\varphi_{\alpha} : \mathbf{SL}_{2/\mathfrak{o}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{x_0}.$$

We normalize φ_{α} as in [Jan03, Section II.1.3]. We define u_{α} to be the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\alpha} : \mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{o}} &\rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{x_0} \\ x &\mapsto \varphi_{\alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now define two sets of lifts of affine reflections. Given a root $\alpha \in \Phi$, define

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\alpha} := \varphi_{\alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in N_G(T).$$

(These elements are denoted n_{α} in [Spr09].) We have

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\alpha}^2 = \alpha^{\vee}(-1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{s}_{\alpha}^{-1} = \mathfrak{s}_{-\alpha} = \alpha^{\vee}(-1)\mathfrak{s}_{\alpha}.$$

On the other hand, given an affine root $\alpha = (\alpha, \ell) \in \Phi \times \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\varphi_{\alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) := \varphi_{\alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & \varpi^{\ell} b \\ \varpi^{-\ell} c & d \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

and

$$u_{\alpha}(x) := u_{\alpha}(\varpi^{\ell} x).$$

Then, for every $\alpha = (\alpha, \ell) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$, we define

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{\alpha} := \varphi_{\alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in N_G(T).$$

When $\alpha = (\alpha, 0) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$, we simply write \widehat{s}_α . Note that

$$\widehat{s}_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varpi^\ell \\ -\varpi^{-\ell} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \alpha^\vee(\varpi^\ell) \mathfrak{s}_\alpha = \mathfrak{s}_\alpha \alpha^\vee(\varpi^{-\ell}).$$

In particular, if $\alpha \in \Pi$, then $\widehat{s}_\alpha = \mathfrak{s}_\alpha$.

Given $w \in W_0$ with reduced expression $w = s_{\alpha_1} \cdots s_{\alpha_k}$, $\alpha_i \in \Pi$, we define

$$(1) \quad \widehat{w} := \widehat{s}_{\alpha_1} \cdots \widehat{s}_{\alpha_k} = \mathfrak{s}_{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{s}_{\alpha_k} \in N_G(T),$$

which is a lift of w . By [Spr09, Propositions 8.3.3 and 9.3.2], this expression is well-defined. Note that if $\alpha \in \Phi \setminus \Pi$, then \widehat{s}_α (computed using (1)) is not necessarily equal to \mathfrak{s}_α .

2.4. Structure constants. Let us fix once and for all a total order on Φ . For two roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ with $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$, we have

$$(2) \quad [u_\alpha(x), u_\beta(y)] := u_\alpha(x)u_\beta(y)u_\alpha(x)^{-1}u_\beta(y)^{-1} = \prod_{\substack{i,j>0 \\ i\alpha+j\beta \in \Phi}} u_{i\alpha+j\beta}(c_{\alpha,\beta;i,j}x^i y^j),$$

where $c_{\alpha,\beta;i,j}$ are some constants, and where the product is taken with respect to the fixed total order Φ . We also define constants $d_{\alpha,\beta}$ by

$$\mathfrak{s}_\alpha u_\beta(x) \mathfrak{s}_\alpha^{-1} = u_{s_\alpha(\beta)}(d_{\alpha,\beta}x).$$

Since the root morphisms u_α come from a Chevalley system and we assume $p \geq 5$, we have $c_{\alpha,\beta;i,j}, d_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ for all choices of $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, $i, j > 0$ for which the constants are nonzero. More precisely, the constants satisfy $c_{\alpha,\beta;i,j} \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \mathfrak{o}^\times$ and $d_{\alpha,\beta} = \pm 1$ ([BT84, Section 3.2]). More generally, if $w \in W_0$ and $\beta \in \Phi$, we define constants $d_{w,\beta}$ by the condition

$$\widehat{w} u_\beta(x) \widehat{w}^{-1} = u_{w(\beta)}(d_{w,\beta}x).$$

Using a reduced expression for w , we see that $d_{w,\beta} = \pm 1$ and $d_{w,\beta} = d_{w,-\beta}$ ([Spr09, Lemma 9.2.2(ii)]).

2.5. Miscellany.

2.5.1. We record a decomposition for future use. Let $a, d \in \mathfrak{o}^\times, b, c \in \mathfrak{o}$. Then, in $\text{SL}_2(F)$, we have the following Iwahori decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \varpi c & d \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & bd^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi cd^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi ca^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ba^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the homomorphism φ_α for $\alpha = (\alpha, \ell) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$ gives

$$(3) \quad \varphi_\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \varpi c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = u_\alpha(bd^{-1}) \alpha^\vee(d^{-1}) u_{-\alpha}(\varpi cd^{-1})$$

$$(4) \quad = u_{-\alpha}(\varpi ca^{-1}) \alpha^\vee(a) u_\alpha(ba^{-1}).$$

2.5.2. We fix for the remainder of the article an algebraically closed field C of characteristic p . This will serve as the field of coefficients for all representations and modules appearing. We fix once and for all an embedding $k_F \hookrightarrow C$, and view k_F as a subfield of C . To simplify notation, we will identify the groups of square roots of unity $\mu_2(F), \mu_2(k_F)$, and $\mu_2(C)$.

2.5.3. Given $\alpha \in \Phi$, we define a smooth character $\overline{\alpha} : T \rightarrow k_F^\times \hookrightarrow C^\times$ by

$$t \mapsto \overline{\varpi^{-\text{val}(\alpha(t))} \alpha(t)}.$$

In particular, the character $\overline{\alpha}$ restricted to T_0 is given by

$$t_0 \mapsto \overline{\alpha(t_0)},$$

and therefore does not depend on the choice of uniformizer.

2.5.4. Finally, if A is some set, $a, b \in A$, and $A' \subset A$, we define

$$\mathbf{1}_{A'}(a) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in A', \\ 0 & \text{if } a \notin A', \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{a,b} := \mathbf{1}_{\{b\}}(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \neq b. \end{cases}$$

3. HECKE ALGEBRAS

We review some facts related to pro- p -Iwahori–Hecke algebras.

3.1. **Definitions.** We let \mathcal{H} denote the pro- p -Iwahori–Hecke algebra of G with respect to I_1 over C :

$$\mathcal{H} := \text{End}_G(\text{c-ind}_{I_1}^G(C)),$$

where C denotes the trivial I_1 -module over C (see [Vig16] for details). Using the adjunction isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \text{Hom}_{I_1}(C, \text{c-ind}_{I_1}^G(C)) = \text{c-ind}_{I_1}^G(C)^{I_1},$$

we view \mathcal{H} as the convolution algebra of compactly supported, C -valued, I_1 -biinvariant functions on G . Given $g \in G$, we let T_g denote characteristic function of $I_1 g I_1$. If $w \in \widetilde{W}$ and $\dot{w} \in N_G(T)$ is a lift of w , then the double coset $I_1 \dot{w} I_1$ does not depend on the choice of lift, and we will therefore write T_w for $T_{\dot{w}}$. The set $\{T_w\}_{w \in \widetilde{W}}$ then gives a basis of \mathcal{H} .

The elements of \mathcal{H} satisfy braid relations and quadratic relations (see [Vig16]). We will not need their precise definition here, but we only remark that they imply that \mathcal{H} is generated (as an algebra) by $\{T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}}\}_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}}$ and $\{T_w\}_{w \in \widetilde{\Omega}}$.

Finally, we define \mathcal{H}_{aff} to be the C -vector subspace of \mathcal{H} which is spanned by $\{T_w\}_{w \in \widetilde{W}_{\text{aff}}}$. By the braid and quadratic relations, this forms a subalgebra of \mathcal{H} , called the *affine pro- p -Iwahori–Hecke algebra*. The algebra \mathcal{H}_{aff} is generated by $\{T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}}\}_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}}$ and $\{T_{t_0}\}_{t_0 \in \mathbf{T}(k_F)}$. If $\Phi = \Phi^{(1)} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Phi^{(d)}$ is the decomposition of Φ into irreducible components, we get a corresponding decomposition of simple affine roots $\Pi_{\text{aff}} = \Pi_{\text{aff}}^{(1)} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Pi_{\text{aff}}^{(d)}$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, the subalgebras of \mathcal{H}_{aff} generated by $\{T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}}\}_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}^{(i)}}$ and $\{T_{t_0}\}_{t_0 \in \mathbf{T}(k_F)}$ are called the *irreducible components* of \mathcal{H}_{aff} .

3.2. **Supersingular \mathcal{H} -modules.** We first recall two characters of \mathcal{H} .

Definition 3.1. (a) The *trivial and sign characters* $\chi_{\text{triv}}, \chi_{\text{sign}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow C$ are defined by

$$\chi_{\text{triv}}(T_w) = q^{\ell(w)} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\text{sign}}(T_w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)},$$

respectively (using the convention that $0^0 = 1$).

(b) Given any subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{H} (e.g., \mathcal{H}_{aff} , etc.), we define the *trivial and sign characters of \mathcal{A}* to be the restrictions of χ_{triv} and χ_{sign} to \mathcal{A} .

(c) Given a character $\xi : \mathbf{T}(k_F) \rightarrow C^\times$ which satisfies $\xi \circ \alpha^\vee([x]) = 1$ for every $\alpha \in \Pi$ and every $x \in k_F^\times$, we define the *twists of χ_{triv} and χ_{sign} by ξ* to be the characters of \mathcal{H}_{aff} defined by

$$\begin{cases} (\xi \otimes \chi_{\text{triv}})(T_{t_0}) = \xi(t_0)^{-1} & \text{if } t_0 \in \mathbf{T}(k_F), \\ (\xi \otimes \chi_{\text{triv}})(T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}}) = 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} (\xi \otimes \chi_{\text{sign}})(T_{t_0}) = \xi(t_0)^{-1} & \text{if } t_0 \in \mathbf{T}(k_F), \\ (\xi \otimes \chi_{\text{sign}})(T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}}) = -1 & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}. \end{cases}$$

We now move on to the notion of supersingularity. We will not give the precise definition here, but simply content ourselves with the following equivalent formulation (cf. [Vig17, Corollary 6.13(2) and Theorem 6.15]). Recall that a supersingular character of \mathcal{H}_{aff} is one whose restriction to each irreducible component of \mathcal{H}_{aff} is different from a twist of the trivial or sign character. Then a simple right \mathcal{H} -module \mathfrak{m} is supersingular if and only if the restriction of \mathfrak{m} to \mathcal{H}_{aff} contains a supersingular character.

3.3. **Hecke action on cohomology.** We now discuss Hecke actions on (continuous) group cohomology. For a reference, see [Lee09], [KPS81], and [RW70].

Let $g \in G$, and let us write

$$(5) \quad I_1 g I_1 = \bigsqcup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} I_1 g x$$

where \mathcal{X} is some finite index set. Given $h \in I_1$, we have $g_x h \in I_1 g I_1$, so we may write

$$g_x h = \xi_x(h) g_{x(h)}$$

for some $\xi_x(h) \in I_1$ and $x(h) \in \mathcal{X}$ (we suppress the dependence on g from notation). If $h, h' \in I_1$, we have the cocycle conditions

$$(6) \quad x(hh') = x(h)(h'), \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_x(hh') = \xi_x(h)\xi_{x(h)}(h').$$

Suppose now that we have a smooth G -representation π and an inhomogeneous cocycle $f \in Z^i(I_1, \pi)$. We define another cocycle $f \cdot T_g$ by

$$(7) \quad (f \cdot T_g)(h_1, \dots, h_i) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} g_x^{-1} \cdot f(\xi_x(h_1), \xi_{x(h_1)}(h_2), \xi_{x(h_1 h_2)}(h_3), \dots, \xi_{x(h_1 \dots h_{i-1})}(h_i)).$$

In particular, if g normalizes I_1 , this reduces to

$$(f \cdot T_g)(h_1, \dots, h_i) = g^{-1} \cdot f(gh_1 g^{-1}, gh_2 g^{-1}, \dots, gh_i g^{-1}).$$

By passing to cohomology, equation (7) gives an well-defined action of \mathcal{H} on $H^i(I_1, \pi)$.

More functorially, the action of the operator T_g on $H^i(I_1, \pi)$ is given by the composition

$$(8) \quad H^i(I_1, \pi) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{I_1 \cap g I_1 g^{-1}}^{I_1}} H^i(I_1 \cap g I_1 g^{-1}, \pi) \xrightarrow{g_*^{-1}} H^i(I_1 \cap g^{-1} I_1 g, \pi) \xrightarrow{\text{cor}_{I_1 \cap g^{-1} I_1 g}^{I_1}} H^i(I_1, \pi),$$

where the first map is the restriction from I_1 to $I_1 \cap g I_1 g^{-1}$, the second map is the conjugation by g^{-1} , and the third map is the corestriction from $I_1 \cap g^{-1} I_1 g$ to I_1 .

In this note, we will be concerned with the case where $\pi = C$, the trivial one-dimensional representation of G over C . It follows easily from the definitions that $H^0(I_1, C) \cong \chi_{\text{triv}}$; we will examine the higher cohomology groups in the sections below.

4. CALCULATIONS FOR HECKE ACTION

In this section we calculate the maps $\xi_x(h)$ and $x(h)$ which appear in the action of \mathcal{H} on cohomology. Since \mathcal{H} is generated by $\{T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}}\}_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}}$ and $\{T_\omega\}_{\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}}$, and the action of T_ω is given by the simplified version of equation (7), it suffices to understand $\xi_x(h)$ and $x(h)$ when $g = \widehat{s_\alpha}$.

Taking $g = \widehat{s_\alpha}$ in the decomposition (5) gives

$$I_1 \widehat{s_\alpha} I_1 = \bigsqcup_{x \in k_F} I_1 \widehat{s_\alpha} u_\alpha([x]).$$

Therefore, our index set \mathcal{X} is k_F , and for $x \in k_F$, we have $g_x = \widehat{s_\alpha} u_\alpha([x])$. Since I_1 is generated by T_1 and $u_\beta(\mathfrak{o})$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^+ \times \{0\} \sqcup \Phi^- \times \{1\}$, by equations (6) it suffices to understand $\xi_x(t), \xi_x(u_\beta(y)), x(t)$, and $x(u_\beta(y))$, where $t \in T_1, \beta \in \Phi^+ \times \{0\} \sqcup \Phi^- \times \{1\}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{o}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\alpha = (\alpha, \ell) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}, \beta = (\beta, m) \in \Phi^+ \times \{0\} \sqcup \Phi^- \times \{1\}$ and $x \in k_F, y \in \mathfrak{o}$. We have:*

(a) *if $\alpha = \beta$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} x(u_\alpha(y)) &= x + \overline{y}, \\ \xi_x(u_\alpha(y)) &= u_{-\alpha}([x + \overline{y}] - [x] - y); \end{aligned}$$

(b) *if $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\alpha \neq -\beta$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} x(u_\beta(y)) &= x, \\ \xi_x(u_\beta(y)) &= \left(\prod_{\substack{i, j > 0 \\ i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi}} u_{s_\alpha(i\alpha + j\beta)} \left(d_{\alpha, i\alpha + j\beta} c_{\alpha, \beta; i, j} \varpi^{-i\ell + jm - j\ell\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle} [x]^i y^j \right) \right) \cdot u_{s_\alpha(\beta)} \left(d_{\alpha, \beta} \varpi^{m - \ell\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle} y \right); \end{aligned}$$

(c) *if $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\alpha = -\beta$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} x(u_\beta(y)) &= x, \\ \xi_x(u_\beta(y)) &= u_\alpha(-\varpi y \nu^{-1}) \alpha^\vee(\nu^{-1}) u_\beta([x]^2 y \nu^{-1}) \\ &= u_\beta([x]^2 y \nu'^{-1}) \alpha^\vee(\nu') u_\alpha(-\varpi y \nu'^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu := 1 + \varpi[x]y$ and $\nu' := 1 - \varpi[x]y$;

(d) *for $t \in T_1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x, \\ \xi_x(t) &= t^{s_\alpha} u_{-\alpha}((1 - \alpha(t)^{-1})[x]), \end{aligned}$$

where $t^{s_\alpha} := \widehat{s_\alpha} t \widehat{s_\alpha}^{-1}$.

Proof. (a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{s_\alpha} u_\alpha([x] + y) &= \varphi_\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [x] + y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \varphi_\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ [x + \overline{y}] - [x] - y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [x + \overline{y}] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= u_{-\alpha}([x + \overline{y}] - [x] - y) \widehat{s_\alpha} u_\alpha([x + \overline{y}]). \end{aligned}$$

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{s_{\alpha}}u_{\alpha}([x])u_{\beta}(y) &= s_{\alpha}\alpha^{\vee}(\varpi)^{-\ell}u_{\alpha}(\varpi^{\ell}[x])u_{\beta}(\varpi^m y) \\
&\stackrel{(2)}{=} s_{\alpha}\alpha^{\vee}(\varpi)^{-\ell} \left(\prod_{\substack{i,j>0 \\ i\alpha+j\beta\in\Phi}} u_{i\alpha+j\beta} \left(c_{\alpha,\beta;i,j} \varpi^{i\ell+jm} [x]^i y^j \right) \right) u_{\beta}(\varpi^m y) u_{\alpha}(\varpi^{\ell}[x]) \\
&= s_{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\substack{i,j>0 \\ i\alpha+j\beta\in\Phi}} u_{i\alpha+j\beta} \left(c_{\alpha,\beta;i,j} \varpi^{-i\ell+jm-j\ell\langle\beta,\alpha^{\vee}\rangle} [x]^i y^j \right) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot u_{\beta} \left(\varpi^{m-\ell\langle\beta,\alpha^{\vee}\rangle} y \right) \alpha^{\vee}(\varpi)^{-\ell} u_{\alpha}(\varpi^{\ell}[x]) \\
&= \left(\prod_{\substack{i,j>0 \\ i\alpha+j\beta\in\Phi}} u_{s_{\alpha}(i\alpha+j\beta)} \left(d_{\alpha,i\alpha+j\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta;i,j} \varpi^{-i\ell+jm-j\ell\langle\beta,\alpha^{\vee}\rangle} [x]^i y^j \right) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot u_{s_{\alpha}(\beta)} \left(d_{\alpha,\beta} \varpi^{m-\ell\langle\beta,\alpha^{\vee}\rangle} y \right) \widehat{s_{\alpha}}u_{\alpha}([x]).
\end{aligned}$$

(c) By assumption, we may write $\beta = (-\alpha, 1 - \ell)$. Set $\nu := 1 + \varpi[x]y$, $\nu' := 1 - \varpi[x]y$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{s_{\alpha}}u_{\alpha}([x])u_{\beta}(y) &= \varphi_{\alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [x] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi y & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= \varphi_{\alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \nu' & -\varpi y \\ \varpi[x]^2 y & \nu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [x] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&\stackrel{(3)}{=} u_{\alpha}(-\varpi y \nu^{-1}) \alpha^{\vee}(\nu^{-1}) u_{-\alpha}(\varpi[x]^2 y \nu^{-1}) \widehat{s_{\alpha}}u_{\alpha}([x]) \\
&\stackrel{(4)}{=} u_{-\alpha}(\varpi[x]^2 y \nu'^{-1}) \alpha^{\vee}(\nu') u_{\alpha}(-\varpi y \nu'^{-1}) \widehat{s_{\alpha}}u_{\alpha}([x]).
\end{aligned}$$

(d) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{s_{\alpha}}u_{\alpha}([x])t &= t^{s_{\alpha}} \widehat{s_{\alpha}}u_{\alpha}(\alpha(t)^{-1}x) \\
&= t^{s_{\alpha}} \widehat{s_{\alpha}}u_{\alpha}((\alpha(t)^{-1} - 1)[x]) u_{\alpha}([x]) \\
&= t^{s_{\alpha}} u_{-\alpha}((1 - \alpha(t)^{-1})[x]) \widehat{s_{\alpha}}u_{\alpha}([x]).
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. CALCULATION OF FRATTINI QUOTIENTS

In this section we calculate Frattini quotients of some subgroups appearing in the sequel. For a profinite group J , we let $[J, J]$ denote the closed subgroup generated by all commutators, and let $J^{\text{ab}} = J/[J, J]$ denote the abelianization. Recall that we may write the pro- p -Iwahori subgroup I_1 in terms of generators as

$$I_1 = \langle T_1, u_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{o}), u_{\beta}(\mathfrak{p}) : \alpha \in \Phi^+, \beta \in \Phi^- \rangle.$$

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$I_1^{\text{ab}} = \overline{T}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}} u_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}),$$

where $\overline{T}_1 := T_1 / \langle \alpha^{\vee}(1 + \mathfrak{p}) \rangle_{\alpha \in \Phi}$.

Remark 5.2. See also [OS18, Section 3.8] and [CR18].

Proof. By working one irreducible component of Φ at a time, it suffices to prove the lemma when Φ is irreducible. Let α_0 denote the highest root of Φ , and define J as the closed subgroup of I_1 given by

$$\left\langle \gamma^{\vee}(1 + \mathfrak{p}), u_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{o}), u_{\beta}(\mathfrak{p}), u_{-\alpha_0}(\mathfrak{p}^2) : \begin{array}{l} \diamond \gamma \in \Phi, \\ \diamond 1 < \text{ht}(\alpha) \leq \text{ht}(\alpha_0) \\ \diamond \text{ht}(-\alpha_0) < \text{ht}(\beta) \leq -1 \text{ or } \text{ht}(\beta) = 1. \end{array} \right\rangle$$

We will prove that $J = [I_1, I_1]$.

(a) Take $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, $y \in \mathfrak{o}$ and $t \in T_1$. We have

$$[t, u_{\alpha}(y)] = u_{\alpha}((\alpha(t) - 1)y) \in u_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Since $p \geq 5$, taking $t = \alpha^{\vee}(x)$ for $x \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}$, $x \neq 1$, gives $\alpha(t) - 1 = x^2 - 1 \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$. Thus, we obtain $u_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{p}) \subset [I_1, I_1]$. Similarly, taking $\alpha \in \Phi^-$ and $y \in \mathfrak{p}$, we have $u_{\alpha}(\mathfrak{p}^2) \subset [I_1, I_1]$.

- (b) If $\text{ht}(\alpha_0) > 1$ (that is, if Φ is not of type A_1), we may choose $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$ such that $\alpha + \beta = \alpha_0$. Taking $x, y \in \mathfrak{o}$, equation (2) now gives

$$[u_\alpha(x), u_\beta(y)] = u_{\alpha_0}(c_{\alpha, \beta; 1, 1}xy)$$

which implies that $u_{\alpha_0}(\mathfrak{o}) \subset [I_1, I_1]$ since $c_{\alpha, \beta; 1, 1} \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$. Using equation (2) and descending induction on height we obtain $u_\alpha(\mathfrak{o}) \subset [I_1, I_1]$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ with $1 < \text{ht}(\alpha) \leq \text{ht}(\alpha_0)$.

Assume again that the root system Φ is not of type A_1 , and suppose $\text{ht}(\alpha) = -1$. By the assumption on the root system, there exists $\beta \in \Pi$ such that $\alpha - \beta \in \Phi^-$. Taking $x \in \mathfrak{p}, y \in \mathfrak{o}$, we get

$$[u_{\alpha-\beta}(x), u_\beta(y)] = \prod_{\substack{i, j > 0 \\ i(\alpha-\beta) + j\beta \in \Phi}} u_{i(\alpha-\beta) + j\beta}(c_{\alpha-\beta, \beta; i, j}x^i y^j).$$

When $(i, j) = (1, 1)$, we get the term $u_\alpha(c_{\alpha-\beta, \beta; 1, 1}xy) \in u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p})$. If (i, j) is any other pair of integers appearing in the product, we must have $i \geq 2$, and therefore $u_{i(\alpha-\beta) + j\beta}(c_{\alpha-\beta, \beta; i, j}x^i y^j) \in u_{i(\alpha-\beta) + j\beta}(\mathfrak{p}^2) \subset [I_1, I_1]$ (by part (a)). We conclude that $u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}) \subset [I_1, I_1]$. Proceeding again by descending induction and using the same considerations as above, we obtain $u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}) \subset [I_1, I_1]$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^-$ with $\text{ht}(-\alpha_0) < \text{ht}(\alpha) \leq -1$.

- (c) We have, for $x \in \mathfrak{p}, y \in \mathfrak{o}$ and $\alpha \in \Phi^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} [u_{-\alpha}(x), u_\alpha(y)] &= \varphi_\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 - xy & xy^2 \\ -x^2y & (1 + xy)^2 - xy \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} u_{-\alpha}(-x^2y(1 - xy)^{-1})\alpha^\vee(1 - xy)u_\alpha(xy^2(1 - xy)^{-1}) \\ &\in [I_1, I_1]\alpha^\vee(1 - xy)[I_1, I_1]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we see that $\alpha^\vee(1 + \mathfrak{p}) \subset [I_1, I_1]$.

Points (a)–(c) imply that $J \subset [I_1, I_1]$, and that J is normal in I_1 . Moreover, the commutator formula (2) shows that I_1/J is abelian, and equal to

$$\overline{T}_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}} u_\alpha(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}).$$

This gives $[I_1, I_1] \subset J$. □

Corollary 5.3. *The Frattini quotient of I_1 is isomorphic to*

$$\overline{T}_1/\overline{T}_1^p \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}} u_\alpha(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}).$$

For $\alpha = (\alpha, \ell) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$ and $0 \leq r \leq f - 1$, we define $\eta_{\alpha, r} : I_1 \rightarrow C$ to be the homomorphism

$$\eta_{\alpha, r} \left(t \prod_{\beta \in \Phi^-} u_\beta(\varpi x_\beta) \prod_{\gamma \in \Phi^+} u_\gamma(x_\gamma) \right) = \overline{x_\alpha}^{-pr},$$

where $t \in T_1, x_\beta, x_\gamma \in \mathfrak{o}$.

Corollary 5.4. *As vector spaces, we have*

$$H^1(I_1, C) = \text{Hom}^{\text{cts}}(I_1, C) = \text{Hom}^{\text{cts}}(\overline{T}_1, C) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}} \bigoplus_{r=0}^{f-1} C\eta_{\alpha, r}.$$

We now consider deeper congruence subgroups of I_1 . For $m \geq 1$, let I_m denote the open compact subgroup

$$I_m := \langle \lambda(1 + \mathfrak{p}^m), u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}), u_\beta(\mathfrak{p}^m) : \lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T}), \alpha \in \Phi^+, \beta \in \Phi^- \rangle.$$

By [SS97, Section I.2], I_m is normal in I_1 .

Lemma 5.5. *Let $e := [F : \mathbb{Q}_p]/f$ denote the absolute ramification index of F . If $m > e$, then the Frattini quotient of I_m is equal to*

$$I_m/I_{m+e} = T_m/T_{m+e} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}/\mathfrak{p}^{m+e-1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi^-} u_\beta(\mathfrak{p}^m/\mathfrak{p}^{m+e}),$$

where $T_n := \langle \lambda(1 + \mathfrak{p}^n) : \lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T}) \rangle$.

Proof. We show that the subgroup $I_m^p[I_m, I_m]$ is equal to I_{m+e} . First, by [Ser79, XIV, Proposition 9], and the definition of ramification index, we have $(1 + \mathfrak{p}^m)^p = 1 + \mathfrak{p}^{m+e}$ and $p\mathfrak{p}^n = \mathfrak{p}^{n+e}$ for $n \geq 0$. Hence, for $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T}), \alpha \in \Phi^+$ and $\beta \in \Phi^-$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad \lambda(1 + \mathfrak{p}^{m+e}) &= \lambda((1 + \mathfrak{p}^m)^p) = \lambda(1 + \mathfrak{p}^m)^p \in I_m^p[I_m, I_m], \\ u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^{m+e-1}) &= u_\alpha(p\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}) = u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1})^p \in I_m^p[I_m, I_m], \\ u_\beta(\mathfrak{p}^{m+e}) &= u_\beta(p\mathfrak{p}^m) = u_\beta(\mathfrak{p}^m)^p \in I_m^p[I_m, I_m]. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $I_{m+e} \subset I_m^p[I_m, I_m]$.

We now have the following three points.

(a) Take $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$, $x \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^m$, and $y \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-1}$. We have

$$[\lambda(x), u_\alpha(y)] = u_\alpha((x^{(\alpha, \lambda)} - 1)y),$$

and the valuation of $(x^{(\alpha, \lambda)} - 1)y$ is greater than or equal to $2m - 1 > m + e - 1$. Hence $[\lambda(x), u_\alpha(y)] \in I_{m+e}$. Similarly, we have $[\lambda(x), u_\alpha(y)] \in I_{m+e}$ if $\alpha \in \Phi^-$, $y \in \mathfrak{p}^m$.

(b) Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-1}$, and suppose $\alpha \neq \beta$. By equation (2), we have

$$[u_\alpha(x), u_\beta(y)] = \prod_{\substack{i, j > 0 \\ i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi}} u_{i\alpha + j\beta}(c_{\alpha, \beta; i, j} x^i y^j).$$

Every root $i\alpha + j\beta$ appearing above lies in Φ^+ , and every element $c_{\alpha, \beta; i, j} x^i y^j$ has valuation which is greater than or equal to $2m - 2 \geq m + e - 1$, so $[u_\alpha(x), u_\beta(y)] \in I_{m+e}$. Similar considerations show that $[u_\alpha(x), u_\beta(y)] \in I_{m+e}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$, $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$ and x, y having the appropriate valuations.

(c) Now let $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ and $x \in \mathfrak{p}^m$, $y \in \mathfrak{p}^{m-1}$. As before, we have

$$[u_{-\alpha}(x), u_\alpha(y)] = u_{-\alpha}(-x^2 y (1 - xy)^{-1}) \alpha^\vee (1 - xy) u_\alpha(xy^2 (1 - xy)^{-1}).$$

Examining the valuations of the right-hand side shows $[u_{-\alpha}(x), u_\alpha(y)] \in I_{m+e}$.

Points (a)–(c) imply that I_m/I_{m+e} is abelian, and the equations (9) imply it is p -torsion. Therefore, we obtain $I_m^p[I_m, I_m] \subset I_{m+e}$. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Suppose that $m > e$ and I_m is torsion-free. Then I_m is a uniform pro- p group.*

Proof. The dimension of I_m as a p -adic manifold is $[F : \mathbb{Q}_p] \dim(\mathbf{G})$. On the other hand, the size of a minimal generating set for I_m is equal to the \mathbb{F}_p -dimension of the $(\mathbb{F}_p$ -dual of the) Frattini quotient, which by Lemma 5.5 is equal to

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}((1 + \mathfrak{p}^m)/(1 + \mathfrak{p}^{m+e})) \dim(\mathbf{T}) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathfrak{p}^m/\mathfrak{p}^{m+e}) |\Phi| = ef \dim(\mathbf{G}) = [F : \mathbb{Q}_p] \dim(\mathbf{G}).$$

By [KS14, Proposition 1.10 and Remark 1.11] this implies I_m is uniform. \square

6. FIRST COHOMOLOGY

We assume henceforth that Φ is irreducible.

We now begin to compute the action of \mathcal{H} on higher pro- p -Iwahori cohomology. In this section, we compute the Hecke action on $H^1(I_1, C)$. By forgetting the \mathcal{H} -module structure, we have an isomorphism of vector spaces $H^1(I_1, C) = Z^1(I_1, C) \cong \text{Hom}^{\text{cts}}(I_1, C)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\eta \in H^1(I_1, C) = \text{Hom}^{\text{cts}}(I_1, C)$, and let $\alpha = (\alpha, \ell) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$. If*

$$\eta \cdot T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}} \neq 0,$$

then $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and Φ is of type A_1 . In this case, $\eta \cdot T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}}$ is supported on $u_\alpha(\mathfrak{o})$, and

$$(\eta \cdot T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}})(u_\alpha(y)) = \eta(u_{\alpha^*}(-y)),$$

where $\alpha^ := (-\alpha, 1 - \ell) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$.*

Proof. To determine $\eta \cdot T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}}$, it suffices to know its values on T_1 and the root subgroups $u_\beta(\mathfrak{o})$ for $\beta \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$. By equation (7), we have

$$(\eta \cdot T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}})(h) = \sum_{x \in k_F} \eta(\xi_x(h)).$$

If $t \in T_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta \cdot T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}})(t) &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 4.1(d)}}{=} \sum_{x \in k_F} \eta(t^{s_\alpha} u_{-\alpha}((1 - \alpha(t)^{-1})[x])) \\ &= \sum_{x \in k_F} \eta(t^{s_\alpha}) + \eta(u_{-\alpha}((1 - \alpha(t)^{-1})[x])) \\ &= \eta \left(u_{-\alpha} \left((1 - \alpha(t)^{-1}) \sum_{x \in k_F} [x] \right) \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\beta = (\beta, m) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{o}$.

(a) If $\beta = \alpha$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\eta \cdot \mathbb{T}_{\widehat{s_\alpha}})(u_\beta(y)) &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 4.1(a)}}{=} \sum_{x \in k_F} \eta(u_{-\alpha}([x + \bar{y}] - [x] - y)) \\
&= \eta \left(u_{-\alpha} \left(\sum_{x \in k_F} [x + \bar{y}] - [x] - y \right) \right) \\
&= \eta(u_{-\alpha}(-qy)) \\
&= \delta_{F, \mathbb{Q}_p} \eta(u_{\alpha^*}(-y)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha^* := (-\alpha, 1 - \ell)$ is an affine root (which is an element of Π_{aff} if and only if Φ is of type A_1). For the last equality, note that $\text{val}(q) = [F : \mathbb{Q}_p]$.

(b) If $\beta \neq \alpha$ and $\beta \neq -\alpha$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\eta \cdot \mathbb{T}_{\widehat{s_\alpha}})(u_\beta(y)) &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 4.1(b)}}{=} \sum_{x \in k_F} \eta \left(\prod_{\substack{i, j > 0 \\ i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi}} u_{s_\alpha(i\alpha + j\beta)} \left(d_{\alpha, i\alpha + j\beta} c_{\alpha, \beta; i, j} \varpi^{-i\ell + jm - j\ell \langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle} [x]^i y^j \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot u_{s_\alpha(\beta)} \left(d_{\alpha, \beta} \varpi^{m - \ell \langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle} y \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{x \in k_F} \sum_{\substack{i, j > 0 \\ i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi}} \eta \left(u_{s_\alpha(i\alpha + j\beta)} \left(d_{\alpha, i\alpha + j\beta} c_{\alpha, \beta; i, j} \varpi^{-i\ell + jm - j\ell \langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle} [x]^i y^j \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i, j > 0 \\ i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi}} \eta \left(u_{s_\alpha(i\alpha + j\beta)} \left(d_{\alpha, i\alpha + j\beta} c_{\alpha, \beta; i, j} \varpi^{-i\ell + jm - j\ell \langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle} y^j \sum_{x \in k_F} [x]^i \right) \right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the fact that $x \mapsto [x]^i$ is a nontrivial character (note that $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $p \geq 5$).

(c) Finally, assume that $\beta \neq \alpha$ and $\beta = -\alpha$, so that $\beta = \alpha^* = (-\alpha, 1 - \ell)$. For $x \in k_F$, set $\nu_x := 1 + \varpi[x]y$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\eta \cdot \mathbb{T}_{\widehat{s_\alpha}})(u_\beta(y)) &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 4.1(c)}}{=} \sum_{x \in k_F} \eta(u_\alpha(-\varpi y \nu_x^{-1}) \alpha^\vee(\nu_x^{-1}) u_\beta([x]^2 y \nu_x^{-1})) \\
&= \sum_{x \in k_F} \eta(u_\beta([x]^2 y)) \\
&= \eta \left(u_\beta \left(y \sum_{x \in k_F} [x]^2 \right) \right) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where again the last equality follows from the fact that $x \mapsto [x]^2$ is a nontrivial character for $p \geq 5$. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\eta \in \text{Hom}^{\text{cts}}(\overline{T}_1, C) \subset H^1(I_1, C)$ and $\omega \in \widetilde{\Omega}$. Then*

$$\eta \cdot \mathbb{T}_\omega = \eta.$$

Proof. Since ω normalizes I_1 , the simplified version of equation (7) gives

$$(\eta \cdot \mathbb{T}_\omega)(h) = \eta(\omega h \omega^{-1})$$

for $h \in I_1$. If $h \in U_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Phi$, then $\omega h \omega^{-1} \in U_{\bar{\omega}(\alpha)}$, which implies $\eta \cdot \mathbb{T}_\omega$ is supported in T_1 . Taking $h = t \in T_1$ and writing $\bar{\omega}$ as a product of simple reflections, we see that

$$\omega t \omega^{-1} = \bar{\omega} t \bar{\omega}^{-1} = t t',$$

where $t' \in \langle \alpha^\vee(1 + \mathfrak{p}) \rangle_{\alpha \in \Phi}$. Therefore

$$(\eta \cdot \mathbb{T}_\omega)(t) = \eta(t t') = \eta(t),$$

which gives the claim. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\alpha = (\alpha, \ell) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$ and $0 \leq r \leq f - 1$.*

(a) *If $t_0 \in T_0$, then*

$$\eta_{\alpha, r} \cdot \mathbb{T}_{t_0} = \bar{\alpha}(t_0)^{p^r} \cdot \eta_{\alpha, r}.$$

(b) If $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and $\omega\hat{\omega}^{-1} = \lambda(\varpi)$ for some $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$, then

$$\eta_{\alpha,r} \cdot T_\omega = d_{\tilde{\omega},\tilde{\omega}^{-1}(\alpha)} \cdot \eta_{\omega^{-1}(\alpha),r}.$$

Proof. Given an arbitrary $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$, the simplified version of equation (7) gives

$$(\eta_{\alpha,r} \cdot T_\omega)(h) = \eta_{\alpha,r}(\omega h \omega^{-1})$$

for $h \in I_1$. If $h \in T_1$, then $\omega h \omega^{-1} \in T_1$, which implies $\eta_{\alpha,r} \cdot T_\omega$ takes the value 0 on T_1 . The image of ω in W acts on Π_{aff} , and therefore $\eta_{\alpha,r} \cdot T_\omega$ is supported on $u_{\omega^{-1}(\alpha)}(\mathfrak{o})$.

(a) Taking $\omega = t_0 \in T_0$ and $y \in \mathfrak{o}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_{\alpha,r} \cdot T_{t_0})(u_\alpha(y)) &= \eta_{\alpha,r}(t_0 u_\alpha(y) t_0^{-1}) \\ &= \eta_{\alpha,r}(u_\alpha(\alpha(t_0)y)) \\ &= \bar{\alpha}(t_0)^{p^r} \eta_{\alpha,r}(u_\alpha(y)). \end{aligned}$$

(b) If $\omega\hat{\omega}^{-1} = \lambda(\varpi)$ for some $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$ and $y \in \mathfrak{o}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_{\alpha,r} \cdot T_\omega)(u_{\omega^{-1}(\alpha)}(y)) &= \eta_{\alpha,r}(\lambda(\varpi)\hat{\omega}u_{\omega^{-1}(\alpha)}(y)\hat{\omega}^{-1}\lambda(\varpi)^{-1}) \\ &= \eta_{\alpha,r}(u_\alpha(d_{\tilde{\omega},\tilde{\omega}^{-1}(\alpha)}y)) \\ &= d_{\tilde{\omega},\tilde{\omega}^{-1}(\alpha)} \cdot \eta_{\omega^{-1}(\alpha),r}(u_{\omega^{-1}(\alpha)}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

(for the last step, recall that $d_{\tilde{\omega},\tilde{\omega}^{-1}(\alpha)} = \pm 1$).

□

Theorem 6.4. Set $a := \dim_C(\text{Hom}^{\text{cts}}(\bar{T}_1, C))$.

(a) If $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and Φ is of type A_1 , then we have an isomorphism of \mathcal{H} -modules

$$H^1(I_1, C) \cong \chi_{\text{triv}}^{\oplus a} \oplus \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{\alpha}),$$

where $\Pi = \{\alpha\}$, and where $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{\alpha})$ is the simple \mathcal{H} -module given by $\text{Ind}_B^G(\bar{\alpha})^{I_1}$ (cf. [OV17, Proposition 4.4]).

(b) If $F \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ or Φ is not of type A_1 , then we have an isomorphism of \mathcal{H} -modules

$$H^1(I_1, C) \cong \chi_{\text{triv}}^{\oplus a} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}/\Omega} \bigoplus_{r=0}^{f-1} \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha,r},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha,r}$ is a simple supersingular \mathcal{H} -module, of dimension equal to the size of the orbit of α under Ω . Furthermore, all elements $T_{\bar{\beta}}$ for $\beta \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$ act by 0 on $\mathfrak{m}_{\alpha,r}$, while the elements T_{t_0} for $t_0 \in T_0$ act semisimply with eigenvalues $\bar{\alpha}(\tilde{\omega}t_0\tilde{\omega}^{-1})^{p^r}$, as ω ranges over $\tilde{\Omega}$ modulo the stabilizer of α .

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.4 and the results of this section. More precisely, Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2 show that the homomorphisms coming from \bar{T}_1 all give the trivial \mathcal{H} -character. When $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and Φ is of type A_1 , Lemmas 6.1 and 6.3 give the action of \mathcal{H} on $\text{span}\{\eta_{(\alpha,0),0}, \eta_{(-\alpha,1),0}\}$ and the proof of Proposition 5.5 of [Koz17] shows that

$$\text{span}\{\eta_{(\alpha,0),0}, \eta_{(-\alpha,1),0}\} \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{\alpha})$$

as \mathcal{H} -modules. On the other hand, if $F \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ or Φ is not of type A_1 , then by Lemmas 6.1 and 6.3(a) the C -vector space spanned by $\eta_{\alpha,r}$, for $\alpha = (\alpha, \ell) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$ and $0 \leq r \leq f-1$, is stable by \mathcal{H}_{aff} . Moreover, it cannot be equal to a twist of the trivial or sign character (since all $T_{\bar{\beta}}$ act by 0 on $\eta_{\alpha,r}$, and $\eta_{\alpha,r} \cdot T_{\alpha^\vee([x])} = x^{2p^r} \cdot \eta_{\alpha,r} \neq \eta_{\alpha,r}$ for $x \in k_F^\times$). Therefore the \mathcal{H} -module generated by $\eta_{\alpha,r}$ is supersingular, and spanned by $\{\eta_{\beta,r}\}$ where β is in the orbit of α under Ω . If the characters $\bar{\beta}$ for $\beta \in \Pi \sqcup \{-\alpha_0\}$ are all distinct, then the \mathcal{H} -module generated by $\eta_{\alpha,r}$ is simple. This happens if Φ is not of type A_1 , or if $q \geq 7$. The remaining case (Φ of type A_1 and $q = 5$) is easily dealt with by direct calculation. □

Theorem 6.5. Suppose $p \nmid |\pi_1(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Z})|$, assume the root system Φ is arbitrary, and write $\Phi = \Phi^{(1)} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Phi^{(d)}$ in terms of irreducible components. Assume further that either (1) $F \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$; or (2) Φ does not contain an A_1 component. Then $H^d(I_1, C)$ contains a supersingular \mathcal{H} -module, and $H^n(I_1, C)$ contains no supersingular subquotients for $0 \leq n < d$.

Proof. We proceed in three steps.

- (a) Suppose first that \mathbf{G} is semisimple and simply connected. Then \mathbf{G} factors as a direct product $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{G}^{(d)}$, where $\mathbf{G}^{(i)}$ corresponds to the root system $\Phi^{(i)}$, and consequently we have a factorization

$$I_1 = I_1^{(1)} \times \cdots \times I_1^{(d)}.$$

Moreover, the algebra \mathcal{H} is equal to \mathcal{H}_{aff} , and decomposes as a direct product $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes_C \cdots \otimes_C \mathcal{H}^{(d)}$, where we may identify $\mathcal{H}^{(i)}$ as the subalgebra of functions with support in $G^{(i)}I_1$. In particular, the subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{H}^{(i)}$ and $\{\mathbb{T}_{t_0}\}_{t_0 \in \mathcal{T}_0}$ is an irreducible component of \mathcal{H} (as defined in Subsection 3.1).

The tracing through the arguments in [NSW08, Section 2.4, Exercise 7] shows that the isomorphism in the Künneth formula is given by the cross product:

$$(10) \quad \bigoplus_{i_1 + \cdots + i_d = n} \mathrm{H}^{i_1}(I_1^{(1)}, C) \otimes_C \cdots \otimes_C \mathrm{H}^{i_d}(I_1^{(d)}, C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^n(I_1, C)$$

$$[f^{(1)}] \otimes \cdots \otimes [f^{(d)}] \mapsto [f^{(1)} \times \cdots \times f^{(d)}]$$

where, for $[f^{(1)}] \otimes \cdots \otimes [f^{(d)}] \in \mathrm{H}^{i_1}(I_1^{(1)}, C) \otimes_C \cdots \otimes_C \mathrm{H}^{i_d}(I_1^{(d)}, C)$, the cocycle representing the cross product is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & (f^{(1)} \times f^{(2)} \times \cdots \times f^{(d)}) \left((g_1^{(1)}, \dots, g_1^{(d)}), (g_2^{(1)}, \dots, g_2^{(d)}), \dots, (g_n^{(1)}, \dots, g_n^{(d)}) \right) \\ &= f^{(1)} \left(g_1^{(1)}, \dots, g_{i_1}^{(1)} \right) \cdot f^{(2)} \left(g_{i_1+1}^{(2)}, \dots, g_{i_1+i_2}^{(2)} \right) \cdots f^{(d)} \left(g_{i_1+\cdots+i_{d-1}+1}^{(d)}, \dots, g_{i_1+\cdots+i_d}^{(d)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_j^{(i)} \in I_1^{(i)}$. Hence, using the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \otimes_C \cdots \otimes_C \mathcal{H}^{(d)}$, we see that the isomorphism in equation (10) is actually \mathcal{H} -equivariant.

Taking $i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_d = 1$ gives an injection

$$\mathrm{H}^1(I_1^{(1)}, C) \otimes_C \cdots \otimes_C \mathrm{H}^1(I_1^{(d)}, C) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^d(I_1, C).$$

By Theorem 6.4 and the assumptions of the present theorem, the left-hand side contains a tensor product of supersingular characters of each $\mathcal{H}^{(i)}$. Therefore, the restriction to each irreducible component of \mathcal{H} of this tensor product is a supersingular module, and thus $\mathrm{H}^d(I_1, C)$ contains a supersingular \mathcal{H} -module.

If $0 \leq n < d$, then any d -tuple (i_1, \dots, i_d) which satisfies $i_1 + \cdots + i_d = n$ must have some $i_j = 0$. The restriction to $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$ of the module

$$\mathrm{H}^{i_1}(I_1^{(1)}, C) \otimes_C \cdots \otimes_C \mathrm{H}^0(I_1^{(j)}, C) \otimes_C \cdots \otimes_C \mathrm{H}^{i_d}(I_1^{(d)}, C) \cong \mathrm{H}^{i_1}(I_1^{(1)}, C) \otimes_C \cdots \otimes_C \chi_{\text{triv}}^{(j)} \otimes_C \cdots \otimes_C \mathrm{H}^{i_d}(I_1^{(d)}, C)$$

is a direct sum of trivial characters of $\mathcal{H}^{(j)}$, and therefore the tensor product cannot contain a supersingular \mathcal{H} -module. Using equation (10), we get that $\mathrm{H}^n(I_1, C)$ contains no supersingular subquotients if $0 \leq n < d$.

- (b) Suppose next that \mathbf{G} has simply connected derived subgroup \mathbf{G}^{der} . Then $\mathbf{T}^{\text{der}} := \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}} \cap \mathbf{T}$ is a maximal torus of \mathbf{G}^{der} and $I_1^{\text{der}} := G^{\text{der}} \cap I_1$ is a pro- p -Iwahori subgroup of G^{der} . We let T_1^{der} (resp. Z_1) denote the maximal pro- p subgroup of T^{der} (resp. of the connected center Z).

Let n' denote the order of $\pi_1(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Z}) = X_*(\mathbf{T})/(X_*(\mathbf{T}^{\text{der}}) \oplus X_*(\mathbf{Z}))$. Then given any $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$, we may write $n'\lambda = \lambda^{\text{der}} + \lambda^{\mathbf{Z}}$ with $\lambda^{\text{der}} \in X_*(\mathbf{T}^{\text{der}})$ and $\lambda^{\mathbf{Z}} \in X_*(\mathbf{Z})$. Since $p \nmid n'$, the map $x \mapsto x^{n'}$ gives an automorphism of $1 + \mathfrak{p}$, which implies

$$\lambda(x) = \lambda(x^{1/n'})^{n'} = (n'\lambda)(x^{1/n'}) = (\lambda^{\text{der}} + \lambda^{\mathbf{Z}})(x^{1/n'}) = \lambda^{\text{der}}(x^{1/n'})\lambda^{\mathbf{Z}}(x^{1/n'})$$

for $x \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}$. Since T_1 is generated by elements of the form $\lambda(x)$ for $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$ and $x \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}$, the above equation shows

$$T_1 = T_1^{\text{der}} Z_1,$$

and the Iwahori decomposition implies that the multiplication map

$$Z_1 \times I_1^{\text{der}} \longrightarrow I_1$$

is surjective. Its kernel is equal to the finite p -group $Z_1 \cap I_1^{\text{der}}$, which is a subgroup of the finite group $\mathbf{Z} \cap \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}$ (we identify algebraic groups with their groups of rational points over an algebraic closure of F). However, \mathbf{G}^{der} is the simply connected cover of the semisimple group \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Z} , and therefore the kernel $\mathbf{Z} \cap \mathbf{G}^{\text{der}}$ of the surjection $\mathbf{G}^{\text{der}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Z}$ is equal to $\pi_1(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Z})$. Since $Z_1 \cap I_1^{\text{der}}$ is a p -group contained in $\pi_1(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Z})$, and the order of the latter group is prime to p , we conclude that $Z_1 \cap I_1^{\text{der}} = 1$, and therefore

$$Z_1 \times I_1^{\text{der}} \cong I_1.$$

Now let \mathcal{H}^{der} denote the pro- p -Iwahori-Hecke algebra of G^{der} with respect to I_1^{der} . We have an injection of algebras $\mathcal{H}^{\text{der}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ([Koz16, Proposition 4.3]), sending the characteristic function of I_1^{der} to the characteristic

function of $I_1 g I_1$ for $g \in G^{\text{der}}$. Using this injection, we have a chain of subalgebras $\mathcal{H}^{\text{der}} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}} \subset \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, using the decomposition $I_1 \cong Z_1 \times I_1^{\text{der}}$ and the Künneth formula above, we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=n} \mathbb{H}^i(Z_1, C) \otimes_C \mathbb{H}^j(I_1^{\text{der}}, C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^n(I_1, C)$$

which is \mathcal{H}^{der} -equivariant (where \mathcal{H}^{der} acts on the left-hand side on $\mathbb{H}^j(I_1^{\text{der}}, C)$, and on the right-hand side via the injection $\mathcal{H}^{\text{der}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$). It is straightforward to check that a simple \mathcal{H} -module is supersingular if and only if its restriction to \mathcal{H}^{der} contains a supersingular \mathcal{H}^{der} -character (cf. Subsection 3.2). Therefore, by part (a) we see that $\mathbb{H}^d(I_1, C)$ contains a supersingular \mathcal{H} -module, while $\mathbb{H}^n(I_1, C)$ contains no supersingular subquotients if $0 \leq n < d$.

- (c) Finally, let \mathbf{G} be arbitrary, and let $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ denote a z -extension of \mathbf{G} . This means that $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is a split connected reductive group with simply connected derived subgroup, which fits into a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Z}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{G}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{G} \longrightarrow 1$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}$ is a central torus. This gives the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \tilde{Z}_1 \longrightarrow \tilde{I}_1 \longrightarrow I_1 \longrightarrow 1,$$

where \tilde{Z}_1 is the maximal pro- p subgroup of $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}$, and \tilde{I}_1 is the pro- p -Iwahori subgroup defined by the same chamber as I_1 (note that we may identify the semisimple Bruhat–Tits buildings of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ and \mathbf{G} ; see [HV15, Section 3.5(ii)]). We let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the pro- p -Iwahori–Hecke algebra of \tilde{G} with respect to \tilde{I}_1 , and let $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_z$ denote the characteristic function of $\tilde{I}_1 g \tilde{I}_1$. Taking the quotient of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by the two-sided ideal generated by $(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_z - 1)_{z \in \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}}$ gives an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} / (\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_z - 1)_{z \in \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}} \cong \mathcal{H}.$$

The short exact sequence above gives rise to a Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathbb{H}^i(I_1, \mathbb{H}^j(\tilde{Z}_1, C)) \implies \mathbb{H}^{i+j}(\tilde{I}_1, C),$$

which is \mathcal{H} -equivariant (the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ acts on the right-hand side and the elements $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_z, z \in \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}$ act trivially, so the action descends to \mathcal{H}). Since \tilde{Z}_1 is central, the group I_1 acts trivially on $\mathbb{H}^j(\tilde{Z}_1, C)$, and therefore the spectral sequence above becomes

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathbb{H}^i(I_1, C) \otimes_C \mathbb{H}^j(\tilde{Z}_1, C) \implies \mathbb{H}^{i+j}(\tilde{I}_1, C).$$

Since $\mathbb{H}^0(I_1, C)$ is the trivial character of \mathcal{H} , it is nonsupersingular. Suppose that $\mathbb{H}^i(I_1, C)$ has no supersingular subquotients for $0 \leq i \leq n-1 < d-1$. Then the same is true of the \mathcal{H} -module $E_2^{i,j}$ for all j . The \mathcal{H} -module $E_\infty^{n,0}$ is the quotient of $E_2^{n,0} \cong \mathbb{H}^n(I_1, C)$ by various nonsupersingular subquotients of $E_2^{i,j}$ with $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. On the other hand, $E_\infty^{n,0}$ is a submodule of $\mathbb{H}^n(\tilde{I}_1, C)$, which has no supersingular subquotients by part (b). We conclude that $\mathbb{H}^n(I_1, C)$ has no supersingular subquotients for all $0 \leq n < d$. Using this fact, the filtration on $\mathbb{H}^d(\tilde{I}_1, C)$ coming from the spectral sequence, and that $\mathbb{H}^d(\tilde{I}_1, C)$ contains a supersingular module, one obtains that $\mathbb{H}^d(I_1, C)$ contains a supersingular \mathcal{H} -module. \square

Remark 6.6. If the assumptions of the above theorem are not met, then it may happen that $\mathbb{H}^n(I_1, C)$ has no supersingular subquotients for all n . Consider the following example. Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{SL}_2$ over \mathbb{Q}_p , and I_1 the “upper triangular mod- p ” pro- p -Iwahori subgroup of $G = \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Since $p \geq 5$, the group I_1 is torsion-free, and it is therefore a Poincaré group of dimension 3 ([Ser02, Section 4.5, Remark 3]). Write $\Pi = \{\alpha\}$; we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^0(I_1, C) &\cong \chi_{\text{triv}}, \\ \mathbb{H}^1(I_1, C) &\cong \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{\alpha}), \\ \mathbb{H}^2(I_1, C) &\cong \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{\alpha}), \\ \mathbb{H}^3(I_1, C) &\cong \chi_{\text{triv}}, \\ \mathbb{H}^n(I_1, C) &\cong 0 \text{ for } n \geq 4. \end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism follows from the remark in Subsection 3.3, the second from Theorem 6.4, and the last two from Theorem 7.1 below and the definition of Poincaré groups (and the universal coefficient theorem).

We sketch a proof of the isomorphism $\mathbb{H}^2(I_1, C) \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\bar{\alpha})$. By the universal coefficient theorem, it suffices to prove the claim with C replaced by \mathbb{F}_p . Let α^* denote the affine root $(-\alpha, 1)$. Then $\widehat{s_\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\widehat{s_{\alpha^*}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -p^{-1} \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and we set $K_1 := I_1 \cap \widehat{s_\alpha} I_1 \widehat{s_\alpha}^{-1}$ and $K_1^* := I_1 \cap \widehat{s_{\alpha^*}} I_1 \widehat{s_{\alpha^*}}^{-1}$. We then have

$$K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p & p\mathbb{Z}_p \\ p\mathbb{Z}_p & 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix} \cap \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \text{ and } K_1^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^2\mathbb{Z}_p & 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \end{pmatrix} \cap \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

and both K_1 and K_1^* are uniform pro- p groups. Consequently, [SW00, Theorem 5.1.5] implies that the cohomology algebra of K_1 (resp. K_1^*) is an exterior algebra on $H^1(K_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$ (resp. $H^1(K_1^*, \mathbb{F}_p)$). We have $H^1(K_1, \mathbb{F}_p) = \text{Hom}^{\text{cts}}(K_1, \mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p \eta_u \oplus \mathbb{F}_p \eta_d \oplus \mathbb{F}_p \eta_l$, where for $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1+a & b \\ c & 1+d \end{pmatrix}$, $a, b, c, d \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, we define

$$\eta_u(g) = \overline{p^{-1}b}, \quad \eta_d(g) = \overline{p^{-1}a}, \quad \eta_l(g) = \overline{p^{-1}c}$$

(we have a similar description of $H^1(K_1^*, \mathbb{F}_p)$, with basis $\{\eta_u^*, \eta_d^*, \eta_l^*\}$). Now set $\kappa_1 := \text{cor}_{K_1}^{I_1}(\eta_u \smile \eta_d)$, $\kappa_2 := \text{cor}_{K_1^*}^{I_1}(\eta_l^* \smile \eta_d^*) \in H^2(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Note that these elements are nonzero: by the projection formula, we have $\kappa_1 \smile \eta_{(-\alpha, 1), 0} = \text{cor}_{K_1}^{I_1}(\eta_u \smile \eta_d \smile \eta_l) \neq 0$, since $\eta_u \smile \eta_d \smile \eta_l$ is a basis for $H^3(K_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and the corestriction gives an isomorphism $\text{cor}_{K_1}^{I_1} : H^3(K_1, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^3(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$ (and similarly for K_1^* ; cf. [Ser02, Proof of Proposition 30]). Moreover, since I_1, K_1 and K_1^* are normalized by T_0 , the conjugation action commutes with corestriction and cup product, and we see that T_0 acts on κ_1 (resp. κ_2) by $t_{0,*}^{-1}\kappa_1 = \overline{\alpha}(t_0) \cdot \kappa_1$ (resp. $t_{0,*}^{-1}\kappa_2 = \overline{\alpha}(t_0)^{-1} \cdot \kappa_2$).

It suffices to compute the actions of $T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}}$ and $T_{\widehat{s_{\alpha^*}}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 \cdot T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}} &= \text{cor}_{K_1}^{I_1} \circ \widehat{s_{\alpha^*}}^{-1} \circ \text{res}_{K_1}^{I_1} \circ \text{cor}_{K_1}^{I_1}(\eta_u \smile \eta_d) \\ &= \text{cor}_{K_1}^{I_1} \circ \widehat{s_{\alpha^*}}^{-1} \circ \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} u_\alpha([x])_* \right) (\eta_u \smile \eta_d) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $u_\alpha([x])_*(\eta_u \smile \eta_d) = \eta_u \smile \eta_d - x \cdot \eta_u \smile \eta_l - x^2 \cdot \eta_d \smile \eta_l$ and $p \geq 5$. Computing on the level of cocycles gives $\text{res}_{K_1}^{I_1} \circ \text{cor}_{K_1}^{I_1}(\eta_u \smile \eta_d) = \eta_u^* \smile \eta_d^*$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1 \cdot T_{\widehat{s_{\alpha^*}}} &= \text{cor}_{K_1^*}^{I_1} \circ \widehat{s_{\alpha^*}}^{-1} \circ \text{res}_{K_1^*}^{I_1} \circ \text{cor}_{K_1}^{I_1}(\eta_u \smile \eta_d) \\ &= \text{cor}_{K_1^*}^{I_1} \circ \widehat{s_{\alpha^*}}^{-1}(\eta_u^* \smile \eta_d^*) \\ &= \text{cor}_{K_1^*}^{I_1}((- \eta_l^*) \smile (- \eta_d^*)) \\ &= \kappa_2. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we have $\kappa_2 \cdot T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}} = \kappa_1$ and $\kappa_2 \cdot T_{\widehat{s_{\alpha^*}}} = 0$. This shows that κ_1 and κ_2 are linearly independent, and thus form a basis for $H^2(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$ (recall that by the definition of Poincaré groups, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^2(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H^1(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)) = 2$). Comparing the above calculations with the action of \mathcal{H} on $H^1(I_1, C)$ gives the claim.

Let us remark that there are several ways of proving the isomorphism $H^2(I_1, C) \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\overline{\alpha})$: (1) Using the equivalence of categories between $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representations and \mathcal{H} -modules, we could employ same techniques as in [Paš13, Proposition 10.1]; (2) The Bockstein map $\beta : H^1(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^2(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an injective map between vector spaces of the same dimension, which is furthermore equivariant for the operators T_w ; (3) By applying Theorem 7.2, we have $H^2(I_1, C) \cong H^1(I_1, C)^* \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\overline{\alpha})^* \cong \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{H}_T}^{\mathcal{H}}(\overline{\alpha})$, where the last isomorphism follows from [Abe17, Theorem 4.9].

Remark 6.7. For a slightly less trivial example of the above phenomenon, let $\mathbf{G}^{(1)}$ denote any split connected reductive group over \mathbb{Q}_p , and $I_1^{(1)}$ a pro- p -Iwahori subgroup of $G^{(1)} = \mathbf{G}^{(1)}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. If we consider the group $\mathbf{SL}_2 \times \mathbf{G}^{(1)}$, then by the Künneth formula we have

$$\bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i(I_1, C) \otimes_C H^j(I_1^{(1)}, C) \cong H^n(I_1 \times I_1^{(1)}, C),$$

and the isomorphism is equivariant for the pro- p -Iwahori–Hecke algebra of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times G^{(1)}$ with respect to $I_1 \times I_1^{(1)}$. Since the first tensor factor on the left-hand side is never supersingular by the remark above, we see that $H^n(I_1 \times I_1^{(1)}, C)$ contains no supersingular subquotients for all n .

7. TOP COHOMOLOGY AND DUALITY

We continue to assume Φ is irreducible, and assume in this section that I_1 is torsion-free. By [Ser02, Section 4.5], this assumption guarantees that the cohomological dimension of I_1 is finite, equal to $[F : \mathbb{Q}_p] \dim(\mathbf{G})$, the dimension of I_1 as a p -adic manifold.

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose I_1 is torsion-free, and let $n := [F : \mathbb{Q}_p] \dim(\mathbf{G})$ denote the cohomological dimension of I_1 . We then have an isomorphism of \mathcal{H} -modules*

$$H^n(I_1, C) \cong \chi_{\text{triv}}.$$

Proof. By the universal coefficient theorem, it suffices to prove $H^n(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is isomorphic to the trivial character of \mathcal{H} over \mathbb{F}_p . Since I_1 is a Poincaré group of dimension n , $H^n(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a one-dimensional \mathbb{F}_p -vector space.

Suppose first that $w \in \widetilde{W}$ with $\ell(w) > 0$. Since $[I_1 : I_1 \cap wI_1w^{-1}] = q^{\ell(w)}$, the subgroup $I_1 \cap wI_1w^{-1}$ is a proper subgroup of I_1 . Therefore, by [Ser02, Section 4.5, Exercise 5], the restriction map $\text{res}_{I_1 \cap wI_1w^{-1}}^{I_1} : H^n(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^n(I_1 \cap wI_1w^{-1}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is the zero map. By equation (8), the element T_w acts by 0 on $H^n(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

We next compute the action of $T_\omega, \omega \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ on $H^n(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$, which, by equation (8), is given by $\omega_*^{-1} : H^n(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^n(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$. We use the following reduction. Let e denote the absolute ramification index of F , and let $m > e$. Since I_m is an open subgroup of I_1 , the corestriction map $\text{cor}_{I_m}^{I_1} : H^n(I_m, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^n(I_1, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an isomorphism ([Ser02, Proof of Proposition 30]). Since ω normalizes I_1 , it also normalizes I_m ([SS97, p. 114]), and the map ω_*^{-1} commutes with $\text{cor}_{I_m}^{I_1}$. Therefore, it suffices to compute the action of ω_*^{-1} on $H^n(I_m, \mathbb{F}_p)$. By Corollary 5.6, I_m is a uniform pro- p group, and [SW00, Theorem 5.1.5] implies that we have an isomorphism of algebras

$$\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H^i(I_m, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{F}_p}^i H^1(I_m, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

(the left-hand side equipped with the cup product, the right-hand side with the wedge product). In particular, $H^n(I_m, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \bigwedge^n H^1(I_m, \mathbb{F}_p)$, and in order to compute the action of ω_*^{-1} on $H^n(I_m, \mathbb{F}_p)$ it suffices to compute the determinant of ω_*^{-1} on $H^1(I_m, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Finally, by dualizing, it suffices to compute the determinant of conjugation by ω on the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space I_m/I_{m+e} (cf. Lemma 5.5).

Suppose that $t_0 \in T_0$. If $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, then conjugation by t_0 acts on $u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}/\mathfrak{p}^{m+e-1})$ as multiplication by $\alpha(t_0)$ on $\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}/\mathfrak{p}^{m+e-1}$. The \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}/\mathfrak{p}^{m+e-1}$ has a filtration

$$0 \subset \mathfrak{p}^{m+e-2}/\mathfrak{p}^{m-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{p}^m/\mathfrak{p}^{m+e-1} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{m-1}/\mathfrak{p}^{m+e-1}$$

which is stable by multiplication by $\alpha(t_0)$. Therefore, the determinant of multiplication by $\alpha(t_0)$ on $\mathfrak{p}^{m-1}/\mathfrak{p}^{m+e-1}$ is equal to

$$\prod_{i=m-1}^{m+e-2} \det_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\alpha(t_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}^i/\mathfrak{p}^{i+1}}) = N_{k_F/\mathbb{F}_p}(\overline{\alpha(t_0)})^e.$$

The same argument works for $\beta \in \Phi^-$. Since conjugation by t_0 acts trivially on T_m/T_{m+e} , we see that

$$\det_{\mathbb{F}_p}(t_0|_{I_m/I_{m+e}}) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi} N_{k_F/\mathbb{F}_p}(\overline{\alpha(t_0)})^e = 1,$$

by symmetry of Φ .

Now let $\omega \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ be arbitrary. Adjusting ω by an element of T_0 , we may assume that $\omega \widehat{\omega}^{-1} = \lambda(\varpi)$ for some $\lambda \in X_*(\mathbf{T})$. We choose an \mathbb{F}_p -basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{ef}$ for $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^e$. Let $\alpha \in \Phi$, and suppose that $\{\alpha, \bar{\omega}(\alpha), \dots, \bar{\omega}^{a-1}(\alpha)\}$ is the orbit of α under $\bar{\omega}$. Since $\omega u_\beta(\varpi^{m+c_\beta} x_i) \omega^{-1} = u_{\bar{\omega}(\beta)}(\varpi^{m+c_{\bar{\omega}(\beta)}} d_{\bar{\omega}, \beta} x_i)$, where $c_\beta := -\mathbf{1}_{\Phi^+}(\beta)$ and $d_{\bar{\omega}, \beta} = \pm 1$, it follows that the space

$$(11) \quad \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left\{ u_\alpha(\varpi^{m+c_\alpha} x_i), u_{\bar{\omega}(\alpha)}(\varpi^{m+c_{\bar{\omega}(\alpha)}} x_i), \dots, u_{\bar{\omega}^{a-1}(\alpha)}(\varpi^{m+c_{\bar{\omega}^{a-1}(\alpha)}} x_i) \right\}$$

is stable by conjugation by ω . Further, the determinant of ω on this space is equal to $(-1)^{a-1} d_{\bar{\omega}, \alpha} d_{\bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}(\alpha)} \cdots d_{\bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}^{a-1}(\alpha)}$. If the orbit of $-\alpha$ under $\bar{\omega}$ is disjoint from the orbit of α , then the determinant of ω on the direct sum of the spaces (11) associated to α and $-\alpha$ is equal to

$$(-1)^{a-1} d_{\bar{\omega}, \alpha} d_{\bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}(\alpha)} \cdots d_{\bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}^{a-1}(\alpha)} \cdot (-1)^{a-1} d_{\bar{\omega}, -\alpha} d_{\bar{\omega}, -\bar{\omega}(\alpha)} \cdots d_{\bar{\omega}, -\bar{\omega}^{a-1}(\alpha)} = 1,$$

since $d_{w, \beta} = d_{w, -\beta}$. On the other hand, if $-\alpha$ is contained in the orbit of α under $\bar{\omega}$, then we have $-\alpha = \bar{\omega}^{a'}(\alpha)$ for some a' , and the orbit of α is equal to

$$\left\{ \alpha, \bar{\omega}(\alpha), \dots, \bar{\omega}^{a'-1}(\alpha), -\alpha, -\bar{\omega}(\alpha), \dots, -\bar{\omega}^{a'-1}(\alpha) \right\}.$$

Thus, the determinant of ω on the subspace (11) is equal to

$$(-1)^{2a'-1} d_{\bar{\omega}, \alpha} \cdots d_{\bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}^{a'-1}(\alpha)} d_{\bar{\omega}, -\alpha} \cdots d_{\bar{\omega}, -\bar{\omega}^{a'-1}(\alpha)} = -1$$

(again using that $d_{w, \beta} = d_{w, -\beta}$). Finally, under the isomorphism $T_m/T_{m+e} \cong X_*(\mathbf{T}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (1 + \mathfrak{p}^m)/(1 + \mathfrak{p}^{m+e})$, the conjugation action of ω on T_m/T_{m+e} becomes the conjugation action of ω on $X_*(\mathbf{T})$, and therefore the determinant of ω on T_m/T_{m+e} is $(-1)^{ef\ell(\bar{\omega})}$. Combining all of these facts, we have

$$\det_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\omega|_{I_m/I_{m+e}}) = (-1)^{(N+\ell(\bar{\omega}))ef},$$

where N is the number of orbits of $\bar{\omega}$ in Φ which are stable by $\alpha \mapsto -\alpha$. A straightforward exercise (using, for example, the tables in [IM65, Section 1.8]) shows that $N + \ell(\bar{\omega})$ is always even, and therefore

$$\det_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\omega|_{I_m/I_{m+e}}) = 1.$$

□

Finally, we examine how the \mathcal{H} -modules $H^i(I_1, C)$ behave under duality. We continue to suppose that Φ is irreducible. For a right \mathcal{H} -module \mathfrak{m} , we let \mathfrak{m}^* denote the dual space $\text{Hom}_C(\mathfrak{m}, C)$, which we endow with a right action of \mathcal{H} via $(f \cdot T_g)(m) = f(m \cdot T_{g^{-1}})$.

Theorem 7.2. *Suppose I_1 is torsion free, and let $n := [F : \mathbb{Q}_p] \dim(\mathbf{G})$ denote the cohomological dimension of I_1 . For $0 \leq i \leq n$, the cup product induces an isomorphism of \mathcal{H} -modules*

$$H^i(I_1, C)^* \cong H^{n-i}(I_1, C).$$

Proof. The cup product gives a perfect pairing

$$H^i(I_1, C) \otimes_C H^{n-i}(I_1, C) \longrightarrow H^n(I_1, C) \cong C;$$

thus, it suffices to prove that

$$\varphi \cdot T_w \smile \psi = \varphi \smile \psi \cdot T_{w^{-1}}$$

where $\varphi \in H^i(I_1, C)$, $\psi \in H^{n-i}(I_1, C)$, and $w \in \widetilde{W}$. Further, by the braid relations, it suffices to assume that $w = \widehat{s_\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$ or $w = \omega \in \widetilde{\Omega}$.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha, \ell) \in \Pi_{\text{aff}}$, and for $m \geq 1$, define $J_m := I_m \cap \widehat{s_\alpha} I_m \widehat{s_\alpha}^{-1}$, a normal subgroup of J_1 . Since $\widehat{s_\alpha}^2$ normalizes I_m , $\widehat{s_\alpha}$ normalizes J_m . By an argument exactly analogous to the proof of Lemma 5.5, if $m > e$ then the Frattini quotient of J_m is equal to

$$J_m/J_{m+e} = T_m/T_{m+e} \oplus u_\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^{1_\Phi - (\alpha) + m} / \mathfrak{p}^{1_\Phi - (\alpha) + m + e}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi \setminus \{\alpha\}} u_\beta(\mathfrak{p}^{1_\Phi - (\beta) + m - 1} / \mathfrak{p}^{1_\Phi - (\beta) + m + e - 1}).$$

In particular, if $m > e$, then J_m is a uniform pro- p group.

We claim that the conjugation action $\widehat{s_\alpha}^*$ on $H^n(J_1, C)$ is trivial. As in the proof of Theorem 7.1, it suffices to show that the determinant of conjugation by $\widehat{s_\alpha}$ on the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space J_m/J_{m+e} is 1 for some $m > e$. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{ef}$ denote an \mathbb{F}_p -basis for $\mathfrak{o}/p\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^e$. If $\beta \in \Phi \setminus \{\pm\alpha\}$, then we have $\widehat{s_\alpha} u_\beta(\varpi^{m+c_\beta} x_i) \widehat{s_\alpha}^{-1} = u_{s_\alpha(\beta)}(d_{\alpha, \beta} \varpi^{m+c_{s_\alpha(\beta)}} x_i)$, where $c_\beta := -1_{\Phi^+}(\beta)$. Therefore, the space

$$(12) \quad \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \{u_\beta(\varpi^{m+c_\beta} x_i), u_{s_\alpha(\beta)}(\varpi^{m+c_{s_\alpha(\beta)}} x_i)\}$$

is stable by conjugation by $\widehat{s_\alpha}$. The determinant of conjugation on this space is equal to $-d_{\alpha, \beta} d_{\alpha, s_\alpha(\beta)}$ if $s_\alpha(\beta) \neq \beta$, and $d_{\alpha, \beta}$ if $s_\alpha(\beta) = \beta$. This implies that the determinant on the direct sum of the spaces (12) associated to β and $-\beta$ is equal to

$$(-d_{\alpha, \beta} d_{\alpha, s_\alpha(\beta)}) (-d_{\alpha, -\beta} d_{\alpha, -s_\alpha(\beta)}) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad d_{\alpha, \beta} d_{\alpha, -\beta} = 1,$$

according to whether $s_\alpha(\beta) \neq \beta$ or $s_\alpha(\beta) = \beta$ (recall that $d_{\alpha, \beta} = d_{\alpha, -\beta}$). On the other hand, we have $\widehat{s_\alpha} u_\alpha(\varpi^{m+c'_\alpha} x_i) \widehat{s_\alpha}^{-1} = u_{-\alpha}(d_{\alpha, \alpha} \varpi^{m+c'_{-\alpha}} x_i)$, where $c'_\alpha = 1_{\Phi^+}(\alpha)$ and $c'_{-\alpha} = 1_{\Phi^+}(-\alpha) - 1$. Thus, the determinant of conjugation by $\widehat{s_\alpha}$ on the space

$$\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \{u_\alpha(\varpi^{m+c'_\alpha} x_i), u_{-\alpha}(\varpi^{m+c'_{-\alpha}} x_i)\}$$

is $-d_{\alpha, \alpha} d_{\alpha, -\alpha} = -1$. Finally, using the isomorphism $T_m/T_{m+e} \cong X_*(\mathbf{T}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (1 + \mathfrak{p}^m)/(1 + \mathfrak{p}^{m+e})$, we see that the determinant of conjugation by $\widehat{s_\alpha}$ on T_m/T_{m+e} is $(-1)^{\ell(s_\alpha)ef}$. Combining everything, we get

$$\det_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\widehat{s_\alpha}|_{J_m/J_{m+e}}) = (-1)^{(\ell(s_\alpha)+1)ef} = 1.$$

Using the above calculations and the definition of $T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \cdot T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}} \smile \psi &= \text{cor}_{J_1}^{I_1} \circ \widehat{s_\alpha}^{-1} \circ \text{res}_{J_1}^{I_1}(\varphi) \smile \psi \\ &= \text{cor}_{J_1}^{I_1} \left(\widehat{s_\alpha}^{-1} \circ \text{res}_{J_1}^{I_1}(\varphi) \smile \text{res}_{J_1}^{I_1}(\psi) \right) \\ &= \text{cor}_{J_1}^{I_1} \left(\widehat{s_\alpha}^{-1} \left(\text{res}_{J_1}^{I_1}(\varphi) \smile \widehat{s_\alpha} \circ \text{res}_{J_1}^{I_1}(\psi) \right) \right) \\ &= \text{cor}_{J_1}^{I_1} \left(\text{res}_{J_1}^{I_1}(\varphi) \smile \widehat{s_\alpha} \circ \text{res}_{J_1}^{I_1}(\psi) \right) \\ &= \varphi \smile \text{cor}_{J_1}^{I_1} \circ \widehat{s_\alpha} \circ \text{res}_{J_1}^{I_1}(\psi) \\ &= \varphi \smile \psi \cdot T_{\widehat{s_\alpha}^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\omega \in \widetilde{\Omega}$. Using Theorem 7.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \cdot T_\omega \smile \psi &= \omega_*^{-1}(\varphi) \smile \psi \\ &= \omega_*^{-1}(\varphi \smile \omega_*(\psi)) \\ &= \varphi \smile \omega_*(\psi) \\ &= \varphi \smile \psi \cdot T_{\omega^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows. □

REFERENCES

- [Abe17] N. Abe, *Involutions on pro- p -Iwahori Hecke algebras*, ArXiv e-prints (2017).
- [Bre10] Christophe Breuil, *The emerging p -adic Langlands programme*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume II, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2010, pp. 203–230. MR 2827792
- [BT84] F. Bruhat and J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1984), no. 60, 197–376. MR 756316
- [CR18] Christophe Cornut and Jishnu Ray, *Generators of the pro- p Iwahori and Galois representations*, Int. J. Number Theory **14** (2018), no. 1, 37–53. MR 3726241
- [Har16] Michael Harris, *Speculations on the mod p representation theory of p -adic groups*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **25** (2016), no. 2-3, 403–418. MR 3530163
- [HV15] Guy Henniart and Marie-France Vignéras, *A Satake isomorphism for representations modulo p of reductive groups over local fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **701** (2015), 33–75. MR 3331726
- [IM65] N. Iwahori and H. Matsumoto, *On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of p -adic Chevalley groups*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1965), no. 25, 5–48. MR 0185016
- [Jan03] Jens Carsten Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, second ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 107, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR 2015057
- [Koz16] Karol Koziol, *Pro- p -Iwahori Invariants for SL_2 and L -Packets of Hecke Modules*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2016), no. 4, 1090–1125. MR 3493443
- [Koz17] K. Koziol, *The first pro- p -Iwahori cohomology of mod- p principal series for p -adic GL_n* , preprint (2017).
- [KPS81] Michio Kuga, Walter Parry, and Chih Han Sah, *Group cohomology and Hecke operators*, Manifolds and Lie groups (Notre Dame, Ind., 1980), Progr. Math., vol. 14, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1981, pp. 223–266. MR 642860
- [KS14] Benjamin Klopsch and Ilir Snopce, *A characterization of uniform pro- p groups*, Q. J. Math. **65** (2014), no. 4, 1277–1291. MR 3285771
- [Lee09] Min Ho Lee, *Hecke operators on cohomology*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **50** (2009), no. 1, 99–144. MR 2643521
- [NSW08] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. MR 2392026
- [Oll09] Rachel Ollivier, *Le foncteur des invariants sous l'action du pro- p -Iwahori de $GL_2(F)$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **635** (2009), 149–185. MR 2572257 (2011c:22031)
- [OS18] Rachel Ollivier and Peter Schneider, *A canonical torsion theory for pro- p Iwahori–Hecke modules*, Adv. Math. **327** (2018), 52–127. MR 3761991
- [OV17] R. Ollivier and M.-F. Vignéras, *Parabolic induction in characteristic p* , ArXiv e-prints (2017).
- [Paš13] Vytautas Paškūnas, *The image of Colmez's Montreal functor*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **118** (2013), 1–191. MR 3150248
- [RW70] Y. H. Rhie and G. Whaples, *Hecke operators in cohomology of groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **22** (1970), 431–442. MR 0285620
- [Sch15] Peter Schneider, *Smooth representations and Hecke modules in characteristic p* , Pacific J. Math. **279** (2015), no. 1-2, 447–464. MR 3437786
- [Ser79] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 67, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979, Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg. MR 554237
- [Ser02] ———, *Galois cohomology*, english ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author. MR 1867431
- [Spr09] T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, second ed., Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009. MR 2458469 (2009i:20089)
- [SS97] Peter Schneider and Ulrich Stuhler, *Representation theory and sheaves on the Bruhat-Tits building*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1997), no. 85, 97–191. MR 1471867 (98m:22023)
- [SW00] Peter Symonds and Thomas Weigel, *Cohomology of p -adic analytic groups*, New horizons in pro- p groups, Progr. Math., vol. 184, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000, pp. 349–410. MR 1765127
- [Vig16] Marie-France Vignéras, *The pro- p -Iwahori Hecke algebra of a reductive p -adic group I*, Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 4, 693–753. MR 3484112
- [Vig17] Marie-France Vignéras, *The pro- p -Iwahori Hecke algebra of a reductive p -adic group III (spherical Hecke algebras and super-singular modules)*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **16** (2017), no. 3, 571–608. MR 3646282

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, 40 ST. GEORGE STREET, TORONTO, ON M5S 2E4, CANADA
E-mail address: karol@math.toronto.edu