

A QUANTUM VERSION OF THE ALGEBRA OF DISTRIBUTIONS OF SL_2

IVÁN ANGIOÑO

ABSTRACT. Let λ be a primitive root of unity of order ℓ . We introduce a family of finite-dimensional algebras $\{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ over the complex numbers, such that $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,M}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ if $N < M$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -cleft extension.

The simple $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules $(\mathcal{L}_N(p))_{0 \leq p < \ell N + 1}$ are highest weight modules, which admit a tensor product decomposition: the first factor is a simple $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module and the second factor is a simple $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module. This factorization resembles the corresponding Steinberg decomposition, and the family of algebras resembles the presentation of algebra of distributions of SL_2 as a filtration by finite-dimensional subalgebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

A difficult question regarding the simple modules over a simple, simply connected algebraic group G over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic \mathbb{k} is to find an explicit formula for their characters. A formula involving the action of the corresponding affine Weyl group was proposed by Lusztig [L1] in 1980. Subsequently this formula was shown to hold in large characteristic by the combined efforts of Kazhdan-Lusztig, Kashiwara-Tanisaki, Lusztig and Andersen-Jantzen-Soergel. More recently Williamson [W1] found many counter-examples to the expected bounds in this conjecture. A different approach to character formula with emphasis in the Steinberg decomposition for algebraic groups is given in [L4].

Around 1990 Lusztig started to study quantum groups $U_\lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ at a primitive root of unity λ of order ℓ in order to have algebras over the complex numbers whose representation theory resembles those of simply connected semisimple algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields of positive characteristic. In particular he conjectured a similar formula for the character of simple modules [L2], which holds in this case by a hard proof of Kazhdan-Lusztig. A remarkable fact about $U_\lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ is that it fits into a Hopf algebra extension of the corresponding small quantum group $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ by the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$; each simple module satisfies a kind of Steinberg decomposition: it is written as a tensor product of a simple module of $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ with a simple module $U(\mathfrak{g})$, viewed as $U_\lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ -module via a (kind of) Frobenius map.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L17, 16T05, 20G15. Work partially supported by CONICET, Secyt (UNC), the MathAmSud project GR2HOPF and ANPCyT (Foncyt), partially done during a visit to the MPI (Bonn), supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

A fundamental difference, however, between the representation theory of the algebraic group and the corresponding quantum group at a root of unity is the form of the Steinberg (resp. Lusztig) tensor product theorem: for the algebraic group the theorem involves an arbitrary number of iterations of the Frobenius twist, whereas for the quantum group only one Frobenius twist occurs. It has been proposed by Soergel and Lusztig that there might exist analogues of the quantum group which parallel to a greater and greater extent the representation theory of the algebraic group. Such an object has the potential to deepen our understanding of the representation theory of algebraic groups [W2].

The purpose of this paper is to propose such an object for \mathfrak{sl}_2 . More precisely, we introduce a family of finite dimensional algebras $\{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ over the complex numbers to mimic the filtration of the algebra of distributions of SL_2 as a filtration by finite-dimensional subalgebras. This filtration is deeply motivated by the approach proposed in [L4]. The main objective is to find a \mathbb{C} -algebra whose representation category *behaves* as that of simple, simply connected algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields of positive characteristic, even more similar than $U_\lambda(\mathfrak{g})$.

- ◊ Each algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is presented by generators and relations; the relations in Definition 3.2 resemble those defining finite dimensional subalgebras of the algebra of distributions of SL_2 [T1].
- ◊ The first step corresponds to the small quantum group: $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq \mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. If $M < N$, then $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,M}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, and at the same time there exists a surjective map $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,M}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, see Lemma 3.4. Thus there exists a surjective map $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, a kind of *Frobenius map*.
- ◊ For the algebra of distributions, there exist extensions of Hopf algebras between consecutive terms of a filtration by (finite dimensional) Hopf subalgebras, see Proposition 2.6. In this case, $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -cleft extension for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, see Proposition 3.8.
- ◊ Each $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ admits a *triangular decomposition* into a positive, a zero and a negative part, see Proposition 3.9. Reasonably each simple module is a *highest weight module*, see Proposition 4.5.
- ◊ Each simple $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module admits a *Steinberg decomposition* as the tensor product of a simple $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module and a simple $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module as stated in Theorem 4.10.

The next step is to define families of algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{g})$ for any semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} [An]. This will be the content of a forthcoming paper, where the first step includes the definition of *Lusztig's isomorphisms at level N* : that is, to consider Lusztig's isomorphisms for small quantum groups, which induce maps for $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence \mathfrak{sl}_2 is a key step to prove the existence of a PBW basis labeled by the roots of \mathfrak{g} . As the simple modules of these algebras satisfy a Steinberg tensor product decomposition, we hope to attack the modular case from the approach established by Lusztig in [L4].

1.1. Notation. Let H be a Hopf algebra with counit ϵ and antipode \mathcal{S} . H^+ is the augmentation ideal, i.-e. the kernel of ϵ . The left adjoint action of H on itself is $\text{Ad}(a)b = a_1 b \mathcal{S}(a_2)$, $a, b \in H$. A Hopf subalgebra A is (left) normal if it

is stable by the (left) adjoint action. Given $\pi : H \rightarrow K$ a Hopf algebra map, $H^{\text{co}\pi} = \{h \in H : (\text{id} \otimes \pi)\Delta(h) = h \otimes 1\}$, ${}^{\text{co}\pi}H = \{h \in H : (\pi \otimes \text{id})\Delta(h) = 1 \otimes h\}$, are the sets of left, respectively right, coinvariant elements.

Let A be a right H -comodule algebra; that is, an H -comodule such that the coaction map $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ is an algebra map. Let

$$B := A^{\text{co}H} = \{a \in A : \rho(h) = a \otimes 1\},$$

the subalgebra of coinvariant elements. Then $B \subset A$ is a cleft extension if there exists an H -colinear convolution-invertible map $\gamma : H \rightarrow A$; we refer to [Mo, Section 7] for more information.

A sequence of Hopf algebra maps

$$\mathbb{k} \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\iota} C \xrightarrow{\pi} B \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}$$

is *exact* [A, AD] if the following conditions hold:

$$\iota \text{ is injective, } \quad \pi \text{ is surjective, } \quad \ker \pi = C\iota(A^+), \quad \iota(A) = C^{\text{co}\pi}.$$

2. ALGEBRAS OF DISTRIBUTIONS OF REDUCTIVE GROUPS

Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field, $p = \text{char } \mathbb{k}$. Let G be a simply connected semisimple algebraic group with Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq \theta}$, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$. Following [J, Chapter 7] we recall some definitions concerning algebraic groups. Then we give some results which illustrate those results we want to mimic for the quantum counterpart studied later.

2.1. The algebra of distributions. Let $I_e = \{f \in \mathbb{k}[G] \mid f(e) = 0\}$. A *distribution* on G (with support on e) of order $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is a linear map $\mu : \mathbb{k}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ such that $\mu|_{I_e^{n+1}} \equiv 0$. Let $\text{Dist}_n G$ be the set of all distributions of order n , which is a \mathbb{k} -vector space. Now

$$\text{Dist } G = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \text{Dist}_n G = \{\mu \in \mathbb{k}[G]^* \mid \mu|_{I_e^{n+1}} \equiv 0 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is the set of all distributions, which is a $\mathbb{k}[G]$ -module. Then $\text{Dist } G$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathbb{k}[G]^*$, called the *algebra of distributions* (or the *hyperalgebra*) of G . As algebra and coalgebra, it is filtered:

$$\text{Dist}_m G \cdot \text{Dist}_n G \subset \text{Dist}_{m+n} G \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We describe now two basic examples. We refer to [J, 7.8] for more details.

Example 2.1. Let $G = G_a$ (the additive group, which is the spectrum of $\mathbb{k}[t]$). For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we set $\gamma_n \in \mathbb{k}[G_a]^*$ as the function such that $\gamma_n(t^m) = \delta_{n,m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a basis of $\text{Dist } G_a$, and

$$\gamma_m \gamma_n = \binom{m+n}{m} \gamma_{m+n}, \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

In particular, $\gamma_1^p = p! \gamma_p = 0$.

Example 2.2. Let $G = G_m$ (the multiplicative group, which is the spectrum of $\mathbb{k}[t, t^{-1}]$). For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we set $\varpi_n \in \mathbb{k}[G_a]^*$ as the function such that $\varpi_n((t-1)^m) = \delta_{n,m}$ for all $m \leq n$, $\varpi_n(I_e^{n+1}) = 0$. Then $(\varpi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a basis of $\text{Dist } G_m$, and the multiplication satisfies that

$$\varpi_m \varpi_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \frac{(m+n-i)!}{(m-i)!(n-i)!} \varpi_{m+n-1}, \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

By [T1] the algebra $\text{Dist } G$ is presented by generators $H_i^{(n)}, X_i^{(n)}, Y_i^{(n)}, 1 \leq i \leq \theta, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where $H_i^{(0)} = X_i^{(0)} = Y_i^{(0)} = 1$, and relations

- (1) $H_i(t)H_i(u) = H_i(t+u+tu),$
- (2) $H_i(t)H_j(u) = H_j(u)H_i(t),$
- (3) $X_i(t)X_i(u) = X_i(t+u),$
- (4) $Y_i(t)Y_i(u) = Y_i(t+u),$
- (5) $X_i(t)Y_i(u) = Y_i\left(\frac{u}{1+tu}\right)H_i(tu)X_i\left(\frac{t}{1+tu}\right),$
- (6) $X_i(t)Y_j(u) = Y_j(u)X_i(t),$
- (7) $H_i(t)X_j(u) = X_j((1+t)^{a_{ij}}u)H_i(t),$
- (8) $H_i(t)Y_j(u) = Y_j((1+t)^{-a_{ij}}u)H_i(t),$
- (9) $\text{ad}\left(X_i^{(n)}\right)\left(X_j^{(m)}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k X_i^{(n-k)} X_j^{(m)} X_i^{(k)} = 0, \quad n > -ma_{ij},$
- (10) $\text{ad}\left(Y_i^{(n)}\right)\left(Y_j^{(m)}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k Y_i^{(n-k)} Y_j^{(m)} Y_i^{(k)} = 0, \quad n > -ma_{ij}.$

for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq \theta$, where we consider the following elements of $\text{Dist } G[[t]]$:

$$H_i(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n H_i^{(n)}, \quad X_i(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n X_i^{(n)}, \quad Y_i(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n Y_i^{(n)}.$$

From (2) we have $H_i^{(m)} H_j^{(n)} = H_j^{(n)} H_i^{(m)}$ for $i \neq j$, and from (1),

$$(11) \quad H_i^{(m)} H_i^{(n)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \binom{m+n-\ell}{m} \binom{m}{\ell} H_i^{(m+n-\ell)}.$$

From (3) and (4),

$$(12) \quad X_i^{(m)} X_i^{(n)} = \binom{m+n}{m} X_i^{(m+n)}, \quad Y_i^{(m)} Y_i^{(n)} = \binom{m+n}{m} Y_i^{(m+n)}.$$

From these formulas, the $H_i^{(n)}$'s generate a copy of $\text{Dist } G_m$, while the $X_i^{(n)}$'s, respectively the $Y_i^{(n)}$'s, generate a copy of $\text{Dist } G_a$, see Examples 2.1 and 2.2.

From (6) we have $X_i^{(m)}Y_j^{(n)} = Y_j^{(n)}X_i^{(m)}$ for $i \neq j$, and from (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,m} t^n u^m X_i^{(n)} Y_i^{(m)} &= \sum_{a,b,c} \frac{u^{a+b} t^{b+c}}{(1+tu)^{a+c}} Y_i^{(a)} H_i^{(b)} X_i^{(c)} \\ &= \sum_{a,b,c,d} (-1)^d \binom{a+c+d}{d} u^{a+b+d} t^{b+c+d} Y_i^{(a)} H_i^{(b)} X_i^{(c)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(13) \quad X_i^{(n)} Y_i^{(m)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{\ell-k} \binom{m+n-\ell-k}{\ell-k} Y_i^{(m-\ell)} H_i^{(k)} X_i^{(n-\ell)}.$$

A particular case of this formula is the following

$$(14) \quad [X_i^{(p^n)}, Y_i^{(p^m)}] = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\min\{p^m, p^n\}} Y_i^{(p^m-\ell)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell+k}{\ell-k} H_i^{(k)} \right) X_i^{(p^n-\ell)}.$$

From (7) and (8) we have

$$(15) \quad [H_i^{(p^m)}, X_j^{(p^n)}] = \delta_{n,m} a_{ij} X_i^{(p^n)}, \quad [H_i^{(p^m)}, Y_j^{(p^n)}] = -\delta_{n,m} a_{ij} Y_i^{(p^n)}.$$

2.2. Hopf algebra extensions from $\text{Dist } G$. Let $\mathcal{D}_n G := \text{Dist}_{p^n} G$. As a consequence of these formulas we have the following result.

Lemma 2.3. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{D}_n G$ is a normal Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{n+1} G$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{D}_{n+1} G$ is generated as an algebra by $X_i^{(p^k)}, Y_i^{(p^k)}, H_i^{(p^k)}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, so it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{D}_n G$ is stable by the adjoint action of $X_i^{(p^n)}, Y_i^{(p^n)}, H_i^{(p^n)}$ since the remaining generators belong to $\mathcal{D}_n G$, and $\mathcal{D}_n G$ is a Hopf subalgebra.

As $X_i^{(p^n)}$ is primitive, $\text{Ad } X_i^{(p^n)} = \text{ad } X_i^{(p^n)}$. If $m < n$, then $\text{ad}(X_i^{(p^n)})Y_i^{(p^m)}, \text{ad}(X_i^{(p^n)})H_i^{(p^m)} \in \mathcal{D}_n G$, and $\text{ad}(X_i^{(p^n)})X_i^{(p^m)} = 0$. Let $j \neq i$. Note that $\text{ad}(X_i^{(p^n)})X_j^{(p^m)} = \text{ad}(X_i^{(p^n)})Y_j^{(p^m)} = 0$ since they commute, and from (15), $\text{ad}(X_i^{(p^n)})H_j^{(p^m)} = 0$. Therefore $\text{ad}(X_i^{(p^n)})\mathcal{D}_n G \subset \mathcal{D}_n G$. Analogous computations show that $\text{ad}(Y_i^{(p^n)})\mathcal{D}_n G, \text{ad}(H_i^{(p^n)})\mathcal{D}_n G \subset \mathcal{D}_n G$. \square

Now define $\pi_k : \mathcal{D}_{k+1} G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1 G = U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g})$ as follows

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_k(X_i^{(n)}) &= \begin{cases} X_i^{(n')}, & \text{if } n = p^k n', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \pi_k(H_i^{(n)}) &= \begin{cases} H_i^{(n')}, & \text{if } n = p^k n', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \pi_k(Y_i^{(n)}) &= \begin{cases} Y_i^{(n')}, & \text{if } n = p^k n', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4. Let $n < p^{k+1}$, $0 < t < p$. If p^k does not divide n , then $\binom{p^k t}{n} = 0$, otherwise $n = p^k n'$ and $\binom{p^k t}{n} = \binom{t}{n'}$ by Lucas' Theorem.

Lemma 2.5. π_k is a surjective Hopf algebra map.

Proof. First we have to check that π_k is well defined; i.e. that the map defined from the free algebra on generators $X_i^{(n)}$, $Y_i^{(n)}$ and $H_i^{(n)}$ annihilates the defining relations. We check easily that for all $i \neq j$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\pi_k(H_i^{(m)} H_j^{(n)} - H_j^{(n)} H_i^{(m)}) = \pi_k(X_i^{(m)} Y_j^{(n)} - Y_j^{(n)} X_i^{(m)}) = 0.$$

For (11), π_k annihilates both sides of the equation if p^k does not divide m since either $\pi_k(H_i^{(m+n-\ell)}) = 0$ or else $\binom{m+n-\ell}{m} = 0$. Now set $m = p^k m'$, $n = p^k n'$

$$\begin{aligned} H_i^{(m)} H_i^{(n)} &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \binom{m+n-\ell}{m} \binom{m}{\ell} H_i^{(m+n-\ell)} \\ &= \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\min\{m',n'\}} \binom{p^k(m'+n'-\ell')}{p^k m'} \binom{p^k m'}{p^k \ell'} H_i^{(p^k(m'+n'-\ell'))} \\ &= \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\min\{m',n'\}} \binom{m'+n'-\ell'}{m'} \binom{m'}{\ell'} H_i^{(p^k(m'+n'-\ell'))}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\binom{m}{\ell} = 0$ when p^k does not divide ℓ , so π_k applies (11) to 0.

For (12), if p^k does not divide $m+n$, then both sides of the equality are annihilated by π_k . If p^k divides $m+n$ but does not divide m , then again π_k annihilates both sides of (12) since $\binom{m+n}{m} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Finally, if p^k divides m and n , then $m = p^k m'$, $n = p^k n'$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k\left(X_i^{(m)} X_i^{(n)} - \binom{m+n}{m} X_i^{(m+n)}\right) &= X_i^{(m')} X_i^{(n')} - \binom{p^k(m'+n')}{p^k m'} X_i^{(m'+n')} \\ &= X_i^{(m')} X_i^{(n')} - \binom{m'+n'}{m'} X_i^{(m'+n')} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for the $Y_i^{(m)}$'s is analogous.

Notice that $\pi_k(X_i^{(m)} Y_j^{(n)} - Y_j^{(n)} X_i^{(m)}) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. For (13), it is enough to verify that (14) is annihilated since $X_i^{(M)}$, $Y_i^{(N)}$ can be written as products of $X_i^{(p^m)}$, $Y_j^{(p^n)}$. If either $m < k$ or else $n < k$, then π_k annihilates both sides of the equality. Let $m = n = k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k\left([X_i^{(p^k)}, Y_i^{(p^k)}] - \sum_{\ell=1}^{p^k} Y_i^{(p^k-\ell)} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell+t}{\ell-t} H_i^{(t)}\right) X_i^{(p^k-\ell)}\right) \\ = [X_i, Y_i] - H_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now π_k annihilates both equations of (15) by direct computation.

For (9), π_k annihilates the left hand side if p^k does not divide either m or else n . If $m = p^k m'$, $n = p^k n'$ with $n > -m a_{ij}$, then $n' > -m' a_{ij}$ and

$$\pi_k\left(\text{ad}\left(X_i^{(n)}\right)\left(X_j^{(m)}\right)\right) = \text{ad}\left(X_i^{(n')}\right)\left(X_j^{(m')}\right) = 0.$$

Finally (10) follows analogously. Hence π_k is an algebra map.

To see that π_k is a Hopf algebra map, it remains to prove that π_k is a coalgebra map. But it follows since the elements $X_i^{(p^j)}, Y_i^{(p^j)}, H_i^{(p^j)}, 0 \leq j \leq k$, which are primitive elements and generate $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}G$ as an algebra, are applied to primitive elements of \mathcal{D}_1G . \square

The map π_k fits in an exact sequence of Hopf algebras.

Proposition 2.6. *The sequence of Hopf algebras*

$$(17) \quad \mathbb{k} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_kG \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{k+1}G \xrightarrow{\pi_k} \mathcal{D}_1G \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}$$

is exact.

Proof. By Lemmas (2.3) and (2.5), it remains to prove that

- $\ker \pi_k = \mathcal{D}_{k+1}G(\mathcal{D}_kG)^+$, and
- $\mathcal{D}_kG = \mathcal{D}_{k+1}G^{\text{co } \pi_k} = \{x \in \mathcal{D}_{k+1}G : (\text{id} \otimes \pi_k)\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1\}$.

Note that $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}G(\mathcal{D}_kG)^+ \subseteq \ker \pi_k$ since $(\mathcal{D}_kG)^+$ is spanned by $X_i^{(k)}, Y_i^{(k)}, H_i^{(k)}, 1 \leq k \leq p^{n-1}$; the equality follows because both subspaces have the same dimension, $\dim \mathcal{D}_{k+1}G - \dim \mathcal{D}_kG$. Now $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}G^{\text{co } \pi_k} \supseteq \mathcal{D}_kG$, and the equality follows by [T2, Theorem 3.4]. \square

2.3. Steinberg decomposition for simple modules. The purpose of this section is to introduce the Steinberg’s tensor product Theorem. We will prove an analogous result for our quantum version of algebra of distributions of SL_2 . In order to state this result, we fix some notation [J].

Let $T \leq G$ be a maximal split torus and $X = X(T)$ be the group of characters of T . R is the associated root system, S is a fixed basis of R and R^+ is the set of positive roots corresponding to S . For each $\alpha \in R$, let α^\vee be the associated coroot, and $\langle \beta, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ denotes the natural pairing, with the normalization $\langle \alpha, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 2$ for all $\alpha \in S$.

We consider the following subsets of X :

$X_+ = \{\lambda \in X \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in R^+\}$, the set of dominant weights,

$X_r = \{\lambda \in X_+ \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < p^r, \forall \alpha \in S\}$, the set of r -restricted weights, $r \geq 1$.

Recall that the assignment $\lambda \mapsto L(\lambda)$ establishes a bijection between X^+ and the simple G -modules up to isomorphism.

Let $B \leq G$ be the Borel subgroup containing T corresponding to $R^- = -R^+$. Given $\lambda \in X_+$ and M a (rational) G -module, we set

- $M_\lambda = \{m \in M \mid t.m = \lambda(t)m \text{ for all } t \in T\}$ is the λ -weight space;
- $\nabla(\lambda) = \text{ind}_B^G(\lambda)$, the costandard module of highest weight λ ;
- $L(\lambda) = \text{soc}_G \nabla(\lambda)$, the simple module with highest weight λ .

Let $\mathcal{F} : G \rightarrow G$ be the Frobenius morphism: it arises from the map $\mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}, x \mapsto x^p$. Then $M^{[r]}$ is the G -module over the underlying additive group M with G -action obtained up to compose the original G -action with \mathcal{F}^r .

Theorem 2.7. [J, Proposition II.3.16] *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in X_r, \mu \in X_+$. Then*

$$L(\lambda + p^r \mu) \simeq L(\lambda) \otimes L(\mu)^{[r]}.$$

3. SOME CLEFT EXTENSIONS OF $u_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

We introduce the algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and prove some properties about their algebra structure which mimic §2.

3.1. q -numbers. We use the following q -numbers as in [L3],

$$(18) \quad [m]_\lambda := \frac{\lambda^m - \lambda^{-m}}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}}, \quad [m]_\lambda! = (m)_\lambda(m-1)_\lambda \dots (1)_\lambda,$$

$$(19) \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_\lambda := \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{m-j+1} - \lambda^{-m+j-1}}{\lambda^j - \lambda^{-j}}, \quad 0 \leq n < \ell.$$

Let λ a primitive root of unity of order ℓ ; we assume that $\ell > 1$ is odd.

Now we need q -binomial numbers associated to the ℓ -expansion. Set

$$(20) \quad \begin{Bmatrix} m \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda := \prod_{i \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} m_i \\ n_i \end{bmatrix}_\lambda, \quad m = \sum_{i \geq 0} m_i \ell^i, \quad n = \sum_{i \geq 0} n_i \ell^i, \quad 0 \leq m_i, n_i < \ell.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $m, n, p \geq 0$. Then*

$$(21) \quad \begin{Bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda = \begin{Bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda,$$

$$(22) \quad \begin{Bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda \begin{Bmatrix} m+n+p \\ p \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda = \begin{Bmatrix} n+p \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda \begin{Bmatrix} m+n+p \\ m \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda.$$

Proof. For (21), if $m_i + n_i < \ell$ for all i , then $(m+n)_i = m_i + n_i$ and

$$\begin{Bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} m_i + n_i \\ n_i \end{bmatrix}_\lambda = \begin{Bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda.$$

Otherwise there exists $i \geq 0$ such that $m_i + n_i \geq \ell$, we assume i is minimal with this property. Thus $(m+n)_i = m_i + n_i - \ell < m_i, n_i$, and both sides are 0.

For (22), if $m_i + n_i + p_i < \ell$ for all i , then $(m+n+p)_i = m_i + n_i + p_i$ and

$$\begin{Bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda \begin{Bmatrix} m+n+p \\ p \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 0} \frac{[m_i + n_i + p_i]_\lambda!}{[m_i]_\lambda! [n_i]_\lambda! [p_i]_\lambda!} = \begin{Bmatrix} n+p \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda \begin{Bmatrix} m+n+p \\ m \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda.$$

Otherwise there exists $i \geq 0$ such that $m_i + n_i + p_i \geq \ell$, we assume i is minimal with this property.

- If $m_i + n_i, n_i + p_i \geq \ell$, then $\begin{Bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda = \begin{Bmatrix} n+p \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda = 0$ since $(m+n)_i = m_i + n_i - \ell < n_i$, $(n+p)_i = n_i + p_i - \ell < n_i$.
- If $m_i + n_i \geq \ell > n_i + p_i$, then $\begin{Bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda = \begin{Bmatrix} m+n+p \\ m \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda = 0$ since $(m+n)_i = m_i + n_i - \ell < n_i$, $(n+p)_i = n_i + p_i$, $(m+n+p)_i = m_i + n_i + p_i - \ell < m_i$.
- Finally, if $m_i + n_i, n_i + p_i < \ell$, then $\begin{Bmatrix} m+n+p \\ p \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda = \begin{Bmatrix} m+n+p \\ m \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda = 0$.

In all the cases, both sides of (22) are 0. \square

3.2. The Hopf algebra $u_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Throughout this work $u_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ denotes the algebra presented by generators E, K, F , and relations

$$(23) \quad K^\ell = 1, \quad KF = \lambda^{-2} FK,$$

$$(24) \quad E^\ell = F^\ell = 0, \quad KE = \lambda^2 EK, \quad EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}}.$$

It is slightly different from the small quantum group appearing in [L2]. Then $u_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a Hopf algebra with coproduct:

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K, \quad \Delta(E) = E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E, \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F.$$

Let $u_\lambda^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, respectively $u_\lambda^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $u_\lambda^-(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, be the subalgebra spanned by E , respectively K, F . Then $u_\lambda^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ while $u_\lambda^\pm(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}[x]/\langle x^\ell \rangle$. The multiplication induces a linear isomorphism

$$u_\lambda^-(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes u_\lambda^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes u_\lambda^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq u_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2).$$

Thus $\{F^a K^b E^c | 0 \leq a, b, c < \ell\}$ is a basis of $u_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $\dim u_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \ell^3$. To simplify the notation in forthcoming computations, let

$$E^{(a)} = \frac{E^a}{[a]_\lambda!}, \quad F^{(a)} = \frac{F^a}{[a]_\lambda!}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} K; s \\ a \end{bmatrix}_\lambda = \prod_{j=1}^a \frac{\lambda^{s-j+1} K - \lambda^{-s+j-1} K^{-1}}{\lambda^j - \lambda^{-j}}.$$

By direct computation,

$$(25) \quad E^{(m)} F^{(n)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} F^{(n-i)} \begin{bmatrix} K; 2i - m - n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_\lambda E^{(m-i)}, \quad 0 \leq m, n < \ell.$$

Let $u_\lambda^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ be the subalgebra spanned by E and K . For each $0 \leq z < \ell$ the 1-dimensional representation \mathbb{k}_z of $u_\lambda^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq \mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ given by $K \mapsto \lambda^z$ can be extended to $u_\lambda^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ by $E \mapsto 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}(z) = u_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes_{u_\lambda^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)} \mathbb{k}_z$: it is a $u_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module with basis $v_j := F^{(j)} \otimes 1$, $0 \leq j < \ell$, such that for all $0 \leq m, n < \ell$

$$(26) \quad F^{(m)} \cdot v_n = \begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_\lambda v_{m+n}, \quad K \cdot v_n = \lambda^{z-2n} v_n,$$

$$(27) \quad E^{(m)} \cdot v_n = \begin{bmatrix} z+m-n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_\lambda v_{n-m}.$$

Here $v_n = 0$ if either $n < 0$ or $n \geq \ell$. Each module $\mathcal{M}(z)$ has a maximal proper submodule $\mathcal{N}(z)$. The quotient $\mathcal{L}(z) = \mathcal{M}(z)/\mathcal{N}(z)$ is simple, and has dimension $z + 1$: indeed $(v_i)_{0 \leq i \leq z}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{L}(z)$. Moreover, the family $\{\mathcal{L}(z)\}_{0 \leq z < \ell}$ is a set of representatives of the classes of simple modules up to isomorphism.

3.3. The cleft extensions $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We mimic the definition by generators and relations of the algebra of distributions, but in a *quantized* context.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ be the algebra defined by generators $E^{[i]}, F^{[i]}, K^{[i]}$, $0 \leq i \leq N$ and relations

$$(28) \quad K^{[i]} K^{[j]} = K^{[j]} K^{[i]}, \quad \left(K^{[i]}\right)^\ell = 1;$$

$$(29) \quad K^{[i]}E^{[j]} = \lambda^{2\delta_{ij}}E^{[j]}K^{[i]}, \quad K^{[i]}F^{[j]} = \lambda^{-2\delta_{ij}}F^{[j]}K^{[i]},$$

$$(30) \quad E^{[i]}E^{[j]} = E^{[j]}E^{[i]}, \quad F^{[i]}F^{[j]} = F^{[j]}F^{[i]},$$

$$(31) \quad \left(E^{[i]}\right)^\ell = \left(F^{[i]}\right)^\ell = 0; \quad E^{[i]}F^{[j]} = F^{[j]}E^{[i]}, \quad j \neq i;$$

$$(32) \quad E^{[j]}F^{[j]} = \sum_{t=0}^{\ell^j} F^{(\ell^j-t)} \begin{Bmatrix} K; 2t - 2\ell^j \\ t \end{Bmatrix} E^{(\ell^j-t)}.$$

Here, $K^{[-i]} := (K^{[i]})^{-1}$; for $m = \sum_{i=0}^N m_i \ell^i$, $s = \sum_{i=0}^N s_i \ell^i$, $t = \sum_{i=0}^N t_i \ell^i$, $0 \leq m_i, s_i, t_i < \ell$,

$$E^{(m)} := \prod_{i=0}^N \frac{(E^{[i]})^{m_i}}{[m_i]_\lambda!}, \quad \begin{Bmatrix} K; s \\ t \end{Bmatrix} = \prod_{i=0}^N \begin{Bmatrix} K^{[i]}; s_i \\ t_i \end{Bmatrix}_\lambda, \quad F^{(m)} := \prod_{i=0}^N \frac{(F^{[i]})^{m_i}}{[m_i]_\lambda!}.$$

Remark 3.3. $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded, with

$$\deg E^{[i]} = -\deg F^{[i]} = \ell^i, \quad \deg K^{[i]} = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N.$$

Lemma 3.4. *For each pair $M < N$, there exists a surjective algebra map $\pi_{M,N} : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,M}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ such that*

$$\pi_N(X^{[i]}) = \begin{cases} X^{[i-N+M]}, & i \geq N - M, \\ 0 & i < N - M, \end{cases} \quad X \in \{E, F, K\}.$$

In particular, there exists a surjective algebra map $\pi_N : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$,

$$\pi_N(E^{[i]}) = \delta_{iN}E, \quad \pi_N(F^{[i]}) = \delta_{iN}F, \quad \pi_N(K^{[i]}) = K^{\delta_{iN}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N.$$

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^-(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, be the subalgebras generated by $E^{[i]}$, resp. $F^{[i]}$, $K^{[i]}$, $0 \leq i \leq N$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^{\leq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, be the subalgebras generated by $E^{[i]}$ and $K^{[i]}$, resp. $F^{[i]}$ and $K^{[i]}$.

Remark 3.5. (a) There exists an algebra antiautomorphism ϕ_N of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ such that $\phi_N(E^{[i]}) = F^{[i]}$, $\phi_N(F^{[i]}) = E^{[i]}$, $\phi_N(K^{[i]}) = K^{[i]}$, $0 \leq i \leq N$.

(b) There exists an algebra map $\iota_N : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ which identifies the corresponding generators. Clearly, $\phi_N \circ \iota_N = \iota_N \circ \phi_{N-1}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $z = \sum_{i=0}^N z_i \ell^i$, $0 \leq z_i < \ell$. There exists a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module $M(z)$ with basis $(v_t)_{0 \leq t \leq \ell^{N+1}-1}$ such that*

$$(33) \quad E^{[i]} \cdot v_t = \begin{cases} [z_i + 1 - t_i]_\lambda v_{t-\ell^i}, & t_i > 0, \\ 0, & t_i = 0; \end{cases} \quad K^{[i]} \cdot v_t = \lambda^{z_i - 2t_i} v_t,$$

$$(34) \quad F^{[i]} \cdot v_t = [t_i + 1]_\lambda v_{t+\ell^i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N.$$

Proof. We simply check that $E^{[i]}, F^{[i]}, K^{[i]} \in \text{End } M(z)$, $0 \leq i \leq N$, satisfy relations (28)–(32). First equation of (28) holds since $K^{[i]}K^{[j]} \cdot v_t = \lambda^{z_i+z_j-2t_i-2t_j} v_t$,

while the second follows since $\lambda^\ell = 1$. For the first relation in (29), both sides annihilate v_t if $t_j = 0$; for $t_j \neq 0$, $(t - \ell^j)_i = t_i - \delta_{ij}$, so

$$K^{[i]}E^{[j]} \cdot v_t = [z_j + 1 - t_j]_\lambda \lambda^{z_i - 2(t - \ell^j)_i} v_{t - \ell^j} = \lambda^{2\delta_{ij}} E^{[j]}K^{[i]} \cdot v_t.$$

For the second relation, both sides annihilate v_t if $t_j = \ell - 1$; for $t_j < \ell - 1$,

$$K^{[i]}F^{[j]} \cdot v_t = [t_j + 1]_\lambda \lambda^{z_i - 2(t + \ell^j)_i} v_{t + \ell^j} = \lambda^{-2\delta_{ij}} F^{[j]}K^{[i]} \cdot v_t,$$

since $(t + \ell^j)_i = t_i + \delta_{ij}$. For the first equation in (30), if $t_i t_j \neq 0$, $i \neq j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E^{[i]}E^{[j]} \cdot v_t &= [z_j - t_j + 1]_\lambda [z_i - (t - \ell^j)_i + 1]_\lambda v_{t - \ell^i - \ell^j} \\ &= [z_j - t_j + 1]_\lambda [z_i - t_i + 1]_\lambda v_{t - \ell^i - \ell^j} = E^{[j]}E^{[i]} \cdot v_t, \end{aligned}$$

while for $t_i t_j = 0$, both sides are 0. The second equation follows similarly.

For the first part of (31), $(E^{[i]})^{t_i+1} \cdot v_t = (F^{[i]})^{\ell-t_i} \cdot v_t = 0$, so $(E^{[i]})^\ell$, $(F^{[i]})^\ell$ are 0 as operators on $M(z)$. For the second equality, fix $i \neq j$. If $t_i = 0$, then either $(t + \ell^j)_i = t_i$ or else $t_j = \ell - 1$; in any case, $E^{[i]}F^{[j]} \cdot v_t = 0 = F^{[j]}E^{[i]} \cdot v_t$. If $t_j = \ell - 1$, then again both sides are 0. Finally set $t_i \neq 0$, $t_j \neq \ell - 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{[i]}F^{[j]} \cdot v_t &= [t_j + 1]_\lambda [z_i - (t + \ell^j)_i + 1]_\lambda v_{t - \ell^i + \ell^j} \\ &= [(t - \ell^i)_j + 1]_\lambda [z_i - t_i + 1]_\lambda v_{t - \ell^i + \ell^j} = F^{[j]}E^{[i]} \cdot v_t, \end{aligned}$$

It remains to consider (32), which can be written as

$$(35) \quad E^{[j]}F^{[j]} - F^{[j]}E^{[j]} - \frac{K^{[j]} - K^{[-j]}}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell^j-1} F^{(\ell^j-s)} \left\{ \begin{matrix} K; 2s \\ s \end{matrix} \right\} E^{(\ell^j-s)}.$$

If $1 \leq s \leq \ell^j - 1$, then there exists $i < j$ such that $s_i \neq 0$. If $t_i \geq \ell - s_i$, then

$$\left[\begin{matrix} K^{[i]}; 2s_i \\ s_i \end{matrix} \right]_\lambda (E^{[i]})^{\ell-s_i} \cdot v_t = \prod_{k=1}^{\ell-s_i} [z_i - t_i + k]_\lambda \prod_{k=1}^{s_i} [z_i - t_i + k]_\lambda v_{t - (\ell-s_i)\ell^i} = 0.$$

If $t_i < \ell - s_i$, then $(E^{[i]})^{\ell-s_i} \cdot v_t = 0$. In any case, $\left\{ \begin{matrix} K; 2s \\ s \end{matrix} \right\} E^{(\ell^j-s)} \cdot v_t = 0$, so the right-hand side of (35) acts by 0 on each v_t . For the left-hand side,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(E^{[j]}F^{[j]} - F^{[j]}E^{[j]} - \frac{K^{[j]} - K^{[-j]}}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} \right) \cdot v_t \\ &= ([t_j + 1]_\lambda [z_j - t_j]_\lambda - [z_j - t_j + 1]_\lambda [t_j]_\lambda - [z_j - 2t_j]_\lambda) v_t = 0, \end{aligned}$$

when $t_j \neq 0, \ell - 1$. If $t_j = 0$, then

$$\left(E^{[j]}F^{[j]} - F^{[j]}E^{[j]} - \frac{K^{[j]} - K^{[-j]}}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} \right) \cdot v_t = E^{[j]} \cdot v_{t+\ell^j} - 0 - [z_j]_\lambda v_t = 0,$$

and finally if $t_j = \ell - 1$, then

$$\left(E^{[j]}F^{[j]} - F^{[j]}E^{[j]} - \frac{K^{[j]} - K^{[-j]}}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} \right) \cdot v_t = -[z_j + 2]_\lambda (F^{[j]} \cdot v_{t-\ell^j} + v_t) = 0.$$

In any case, the left-hand side of (35) also acts by 0 on each v_t . \square

Lemma 3.7. *There exists an algebra map $\rho_N : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_N(E^{[i]}) &= 1 \otimes E^{[i]}, \quad i < N, & \rho_N(E^{[N]}) &= E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E^{[N]}, \\ \rho_N(F^{[i]}) &= 1 \otimes F^{[i]}, \quad i < N, & \rho_N(F^{[N]}) &= F \otimes K^{[-N]} + 1 \otimes F^{[N]}, \\ \rho_N(K^{[i]}) &= 1 \otimes K^{[i]}, \quad i < N, & \rho_N(K^{[N]}) &= K \otimes K^{[N]}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a left $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -comodule algebra with this map.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{F} be the free algebra generated by $E^{[i]}$, $F^{[i]}$, $K^{[i]}$, and $\tilde{\rho}_N : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ the map defined on the generators as ρ_N . We check that $\tilde{\rho}_N$ annihilates each defining relation of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ so it induces the algebra map ρ_N . For each relation \mathbf{r} involving only generators $E^{[i]}$, $F^{[i]}$, $K^{[i]}$, $0 \leq i < N$ we have that $\tilde{\rho}_N(\mathbf{r}) = 1 \otimes \mathbf{r} = 0$, so we consider those relations involving at least one of the generators $E^{[N]}$, $F^{[N]}$, $K^{[N]}$.

For (28), $\tilde{\rho}_N((K^{[N]})^\ell) = K^\ell \otimes (K^{[N]})^\ell = 1 \otimes 1$ and for $i < N$,

$$\tilde{\rho}_N(K^{[i]}K^{[N]} - K^{[N]}K^{[i]}) = K \otimes (K^{[i]}K^{[N]} - K^{[N]}K^{[i]}) = 0.$$

For (29) and (30), if $i < N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_N(K^{[i]}E^{[N]} - E^{[N]}K^{[i]}) &= K \otimes (K^{[i]}E^{[N]} - E^{[N]}K^{[i]}) = 0, \\ \tilde{\rho}_N(K^{[N]}E^{[i]} - E^{[i]}K^{[N]}) &= K \otimes (K^{[N]}E^{[i]} - E^{[i]}K^{[N]}) = 0, \\ \tilde{\rho}_N(K^{[N]}E^{[N]} - \lambda^2 E^{[N]}K^{[N]}) &= (KE - \lambda^2 EK) \otimes K^{[N]} \\ &\quad + K^2 \otimes (K^{[N]}E^{[N]} - \lambda^2 E^{[N]}K^{[N]}) = 0, \\ \tilde{\rho}_N(E^{[i]}E^{[N]} - E^{[N]}E^{[i]}) &= K \otimes (E^{[i]}E^{[N]} - E^{[N]}E^{[i]}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The formulas with F in place of E follow analogously. For (31),

$$\tilde{\rho}_N((E^{[N]})^\ell) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell \\ j \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda E^{\ell-j} K^j \otimes (E^{[N]})^j = 0,$$

and analogously $\tilde{\rho}_N((F^{[N]})^\ell) = 0$. Finally, for (32) set \mathbf{r}_N as the difference between the two sides of this equation, see also (35). By direct computation,

$$\tilde{\rho}_N(\mathbf{r}_N) = K \otimes \mathbf{r}_N + \left(EF - FE - \frac{K - K^{-1}}{\lambda - \lambda^{-1}} \right) \otimes K^{[-N]} = 0.$$

Then ρ_N is a well defined algebra map, and gives a left $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -coaction. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let ρ_N as above. Then $\iota_N(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)) = {}^{\text{co}}\rho_N \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, and $\iota_N(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -cleft extension.*

Proof. Let $\gamma : \mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ be the linear map such that

$$(36) \quad \gamma(F^{(a)}K^bE^{(c)}) = \frac{(F^{[N]})^a}{[a]_\lambda!} (K^{[N]})^b \frac{(E^{[N]})^c}{[c]_\lambda!}, \quad 0 \leq a, b, c < \ell.$$

By direct computation,

$$(\text{id} \otimes \gamma) \circ \Delta(F^{(a)}K^bE^{(c)}) = \sum_{i,j} F^{(a-i)}K^{b+i+j}E^{(c-j)} \otimes \frac{(F^{[N]})^i}{[i]_\lambda!} (K^{[N]})^b \frac{(E^{[N]})^j}{[j]_\lambda!}$$

$$= \rho \circ \gamma(F^a K^b E^c),$$

so γ is map of $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -comodules. We claim that γ is convolution invertible. By [Mo, Lemma 5.2.10], it is enough to restrict γ to the coradical of $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, that is, to $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Now $\kappa : \mathfrak{u}_\lambda^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $\kappa(K^b) = (K^{[N]})^{-b}$, $0 \leq b < \ell$ is the inverse of $\gamma|_{\mathfrak{u}_\lambda^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ and the claim follows.

Let $B_N := \{F^{(m)}K^{(n)}E^{(p)} | 0 \leq m, n, p < \ell^{N+1}\}$. We claim that $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is spanned by B_N ¹. Let I be the subspace spanned by B_N . Note that I is a left ideal, since it is stable by left multiplication by $F^{[n]}$, $K^{[n]}$ and $E^{[n]}$ by (28)-(32). Thus $I = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ since $1 \in I$, so $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is spanned by B_N . As

$$F^{(m)}K^{(n)}E^{(p)} = F^{(m')}K^{(n')}E^{(p')} \frac{(F^{[N]})^{m_N}}{[m_N]_\lambda!} (K^{[N]})^{n_N} \frac{(E^{[p_N]})^c}{[p_N]_\lambda!},$$

where $0 \leq m' = m - m_N \ell^N$, $n' = n - n_N \ell^N$, $p' = p - p_N \ell^N < \ell^N$, and $F^{(m')}K^{(n')}E^{(p')} \in \iota_N(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$, we have that

$$\dim \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \leq \dim \iota_N(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)) \ell^3.$$

As we have a cleft extension, $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq^{\text{co}\rho_N} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$; using this fact and that $\iota_N(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)) \subset^{\text{co}\rho_N} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ since ι_N sends each generator of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ to a coinvariant element, we have that

$$\dim \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \dim^{\text{co}\rho_N} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \ell^3 \geq \dim \iota_N(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)) \ell^3.$$

Hence $\dim^{\text{co}\rho_N} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) = \dim \iota_N(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2))$, which means that these two subalgebras of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ coincide. \square

Proposition 3.9. (a) *There exist algebra isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2) &\simeq \mathbb{k}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{N+1}, & \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) &\simeq \left(\mathfrak{u}_\lambda^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\right)^{N+1}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^\pm(\mathfrak{sl}_2) &\simeq \left(\mathfrak{u}_\lambda^\pm(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\right)^{N+1}, & \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^{\leq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) &\simeq \left(\mathfrak{u}_\lambda^{\leq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\right)^{N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) $B_N := \{F^{(m)}K^{(n)}E^{(p)} | 0 \leq m, n, p < \ell^{N+1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

(c) *The multiplication induces a linear isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^-(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2).$$

Proof. The algebra $(\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2))^{N+1}$ is generated by $\mathbf{E}_i, \mathbf{F}_i, \mathbf{K}_i$, $0 \leq i \leq N$, where each 3-uple $\mathbf{E}_i, \mathbf{F}_i, \mathbf{K}_i$ satisfy (23), (24), and generators with different subindex commute. There are algebra maps $\Phi^\ddagger : \left(\mathfrak{u}_\lambda^\ddagger(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\right)^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^\ddagger(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $\ddagger \in \{\pm, 0, \geq 0, \leq 0\}$, where $\mathbf{E}_i \mapsto E^{[i]}$, $\mathbf{F}_i \mapsto F^{[i]}$, $\mathbf{K}_i \mapsto K^{[i]}$, depending on each case.

For $0 \leq z < \ell^{N+1}$, let $\Psi_z : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End } M(z)$ be the algebra map of Lemma 3.6. Notice that $\Psi_z \Phi^-$ is injective, and then Φ^- is so; thus $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^-(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq (\mathfrak{u}_\lambda^-(\mathfrak{sl}_2))^{N+1}$. The map $\Phi^0 : \mathbb{k}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, $\alpha_i \mapsto K_i$ is surjective. The action of $\mathbb{k}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{N+1}$ over v_0 is given by character $K_i \mapsto \lambda^{z_i}$. Thus $\mathbb{k}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{N+1} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. From here we derive that $\Phi^{\leq 0}$ is also an isomorphism. The remaining isomorphisms in (a) follow by using the antiautomorphism ϕ .

¹In Proposition 3.9 we shall prove that B_N is indeed a basis of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

For (b), we have to prove that B_N is linearly independent since we have proved that $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is spanned by B_N in the proof of Proposition 3.8. We invoke Diamond Lemma [B, Theorem 1.2]. Indeed, the lexicographical order for words written with letters $\{F^{[i]}, K^{[i]}, E^{[i]}\}_{0 \leq i \leq N}$ such that

$$F^{[0]} < \dots < F^{[N]} < K^{[0]} < \dots < K^{[N]} < E^{[0]} < \dots < E^{[N]}$$

is *compatible* (in the notation of loc. cit.) with the reduction system. Each element of B_N is *irreducible*, so B_N is contained in a basis of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Thus B_N is a linearly independent set. Finally (c) follows (a) and (b). \square

Definition 3.10. By Proposition 3.9 (b) each ι_N is injective. Hence we may consider $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Moreover we can consider the inclusions $\iota_{M,N} : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,M}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ for $M \leq N$, where

$$\iota_{N,N} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}, \quad \iota_{M,N} = \iota_M \iota_{M+1} \dots \iota_{N-1} \text{ for } M < N.$$

Then we define

$$(37) \quad \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) := \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2).$$

4. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL IRREDUCIBLE $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -MODULES

Next we study simple modules for the algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We prove that they are highest weight modules as we can expect, and obtain a decomposition related with the inclusion $\iota_{N-1,N} : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and the *Frobenius map* $\pi_N : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The tensor product decomposition can be seen as an analogous of Steinberg decomposition, c.f. Theorem 2.7.

4.1. Highest weight modules. Now we mimic what is done for simple modules of quantum groups, e. g. [L2, §6 & 7]. For the sake of completeness we include the proofs.

Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module. As $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is the group algebra of \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ}^{N+1} , V decomposes as the direct sum of eigenspaces: each $K^{[i]}$ acts by a scalar λ^{p_i} , $0 \leq p_i < \ell$. Hence we may encode the data saying that $V = \bigoplus_{0 \leq p < \ell^{N+1}} V_p$, where

$$(38) \quad V_p := \{v \in V \mid K^{[i]} \cdot v = \lambda^{p_i} v \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq N\}, \quad p = \sum_{i=0}^N p_i \ell^i.$$

Definition 4.1. We say that $v \in V$ is a *primitive vector* of weight p if $v \in V_p$ and $E^{[i]} \cdot v = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq N$. V is called a *highest weight module* if it is generated (as $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module) by a primitive vector v , which is called a *highest weight vector*; its weight p is called a *highest weight*.

Given $0 \leq p < \ell^{N+1}$, let \mathbb{k}_p be the 1-dimensional representation of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq \left(\mathfrak{u}_{\lambda}^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\right)^{N+1}$ such that $K^{[i]} \cdot 1 = \lambda^{p_i}$ and $E^{[i]} \cdot 1 = 0$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_N(p) = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}^{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)} \mathbb{k}_p \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,N}^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)} \mathbb{k}_p.$$

Notice that $v_0 := 1 \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{M}_N(p)$ is a primitive vector, and moreover $\mathcal{M}_N(p)$ is a highest weight module with highest weight p .

Remark 4.2. Let $v_t = F^{(t)}v_0 \in \mathcal{M}_N(p)$. Then $(v_t)_{0 \leq t < \ell^{N+1}}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{M}_N(p)$, and $\mathcal{M}_N(p)$ is isomorphic to the module $M(p)$ in Lemma 3.6. Moreover the action on the basis $(v_t)_{0 \leq t < \ell^{N+1}}$ is given by formulas (33) and (34).

Indeed there is a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -linear map $\mathbb{k}_p \rightarrow M(p)$ such that $1 \mapsto v_0$; it induces a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -linear map $\mathcal{M}_N(p) \rightarrow M(p)$, which is surjective by direct computation, and both modules have dimension ℓ^{N+1} .

Remark 4.3. Let V a highest weight module of weight p . Then each proper submodule is contained in $\bigoplus_{t \neq p} V_p$; hence V has a maximal proper submodule \widehat{V} and V/\widehat{V} is a simple $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module, and at the same time a highest weight module of highest weight p .

Definition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{L}_N(p) := \mathcal{M}_N(p)/\widehat{\mathcal{M}_N(p)}$; that is, the simple highest weight module obtained as a quotient of $\mathcal{M}_N(p)$.

Proposition 4.5. (a) *Let $0 \leq p < \ell^{N+1}$. Then*

$$\{v \in \mathcal{L}_N(p) \mid E^{[i]}v = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq N\} = \mathbb{k}v_0.$$

(b) *There exists a bijection between $\{p \mid 0 \leq p < \ell^{N+1}\}$ and the finite-dimensional simple modules of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ given by $p \mapsto \mathcal{L}_N(p)$.*

Proof. (a) Let $v \in \mathcal{L}_N(p) - 0$ be such that $E^{[i]}v = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq N$. We may assume that v has weight t for some $0 \leq t < \ell^{N+1}$, since $E^{[i]}$ applies each eigenspace of the $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ to another. Thus $v = av_n$ for some $a \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ and some $0 \leq n < \ell^{N+1}$, since each 1-dimensional summand in the decomposition $\mathcal{M}_N(p) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq n < \ell^{N+1}} \mathbb{k}v_n$ corresponds to a different eigenspace for the action of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \simeq \mathbb{k}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{N+1}$. As $\mathcal{L}_N(p)$ is simple, $\mathcal{L}_N(p) = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)v$, but

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)v = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}^{\leq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)v = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}^{\leq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)v_n \subseteq \bigoplus_{n \leq m < \ell^{N+1}} \mathbb{k}v_m.$$

Hence $n = p$ and the claim follows.

(b) Let \mathcal{L} be a simple $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module. As a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module, $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus \mathcal{L}_t$. We pick $v \in \mathcal{L}_t - 0$. We may assume that $E^{[i]}v = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq N$. Indeed, if $E^{[j]}v = 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, i-1$ but $E^{[i]}v \neq 0$, let $n \geq 0$ be such that $w := (E^{[i]})^n v \neq 0$, $(E^{[i]})^{n+1}v = 0$. Then $n < \ell$ since $(E^{[i]})^\ell = 0$, and w satisfies $E^{[j]}w = 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, i$ since $E^{[j]}E^{[i]} = E^{[i]}E^{[j]}$.

Now there exists a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}^{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -linear map $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{k}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, $1 \mapsto v$, which induces a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -linear map $\phi : \mathcal{M}_N(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that $1 \mapsto v$. As \mathcal{L} is simple, $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)v = \mathcal{L}$, so ϕ is surjective. Hence $\ker \phi \neq 0$ is a proper submodule of $\mathcal{M}_N(t)$ and $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{M}_N(t)/\ker \phi$ is simple. Thus $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{L}_N(t)$.

By (a), $\mathcal{L}_N(p) \not\simeq \mathcal{L}_N(t)$ if $p \neq t$, and the claim follows. \square

4.2. A tensor product decomposition.

Proposition 4.6. (a) *Let $0 \leq p < \ell^N$. Then*

$$(39) \quad E^{[N]} \cdot v = F^{[N]} \cdot v = 0, \quad K^{[N]} \cdot v = v, \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{L}_N(p).$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_N(p) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{N-1}(p)$ as $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules.

- (b) Reciprocally $\mathcal{L}_{N-1}(p)$ may be endowed of an $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -action by extending the $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -action via (39), and $\mathcal{L}_{N-1}(p) \simeq \mathcal{L}_N(p)$ as $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules.

Proof. (a) By the first equation of (33), $E^{[i]}v_{\ell^N} = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq N$, so $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)v_{\ell^N} = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}^{\leq 0}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)v_{\ell^N} = \bigoplus_{n \geq \ell^N} \mathbb{K}v_n$ is a proper submodule of $\mathcal{M}_N(p)$. Hence $v_n = 0$ in $\mathcal{L}_N(p)$ for all $n \geq \ell^N$, and $\mathcal{L}_N(p)$ is spanned by (the image of) $(v_m)_{0 \leq m < \ell^N}$. Thus (39) follows by this fact and (33)-(34).

By (39), $W \subset \mathcal{L}_N(p)$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -submodule if and only if W is a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -submodule. Hence $\mathcal{L}_N(p)$ is simple as $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module and the last statement follows.

(b) We have to check all the defining relations (28)-(32) of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Those not involving $E^{[N]}$, $F^{[N]}$, $K^{[N]}$ follow since $\mathcal{L}_{N-1}(p)$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module, and relations $E^{[N]}$, $F^{[N]}$, $K^{[N]}$ follow easily except (32) for $j = N$. It is equivalent to (35), whose left-hand side acts by 0 on each v_t . For the right-hand side, if $1 \leq s \leq \ell^N - 1$, then there exists $i < N$ such that $s_i \neq 0$, and as in the proof of Lemma 3.6, $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} K; 2s \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right\} E^{(\ell^j - s)} \cdot v_t = 0$, so the right-hand side of (35) acts by 0 on each v_t . Now $\mathcal{L}_{N-1}(p)$ is a highest weight module as $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module, with highest weight p , and simple at the same time, so $\mathcal{L}_{N-1}(p) \simeq \mathcal{L}_N(p)$ as $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules. \square

Remark 4.7. Thanks to the algebra map $\pi_N : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, every $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module is canonically a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module. In particular each simple $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module $\mathcal{L}(p)$, $0 \leq p < \ell$, is a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $p = p_N \ell^N$, $0 \leq p_N < \ell$. Then $\mathcal{L}_N(p) \simeq \mathcal{L}(p_N)$.*

Proof. As π_N is surjective, W is a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{L}(p_N)$ if and only if W is a $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -submodule. Thus $\mathcal{L}(p_N)$ is a simple $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module. Now

$$E^{[i]}v_0 = 0, \quad K^{[i]}v_0 = \lambda^{p_N \delta_{iN}} v_0, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq i \leq N.$$

Hence $v_0 \in \mathcal{L}(p_N) - 0$ is a highest weight vector of weight $p = p_N \ell^N$ and the Lemma follows by Proposition 4.5 \square

Remark 4.9. Recall that $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is an $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -comodule algebra, so the category of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules is a module category over the category of $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules: Given a $\mathfrak{u}_\lambda(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module \mathcal{M} and a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module \mathcal{N} , $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ is naturally a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module via ρ .

Finally we use Remark 4.9 to describe a *tensor product decomposition* of simple $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $p = p_N \ell^N + \widehat{p}$, where $0 \leq \widehat{p} < \ell^N$, $0 \leq p_N < \ell$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}_N(p) \simeq \mathcal{L}(p_N) \otimes \mathcal{L}_N(\widehat{p}) \quad \text{as } \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \text{ - modules.}$$

Proof. Let v'_0, v''_0 be highest weight vectors of $\mathcal{L}(p_N)$, $\mathcal{L}_N(\widehat{p})$, respectively. We denote $L = \mathcal{L}(p_N) \otimes \mathcal{L}_N(\widehat{p})$. As $\mathcal{L}(p_N)$ is generated by $\{v'_t | 0 \leq t < \ell\}$ as in

(26), and $\mathcal{L}_N(\widehat{p})$ is generated by $\{v_t'' = F^{[t]}v_0'' | 0 \leq t < \ell^N\}$, see Proposition 4.6, L is generated by $\{v_t = v_{t_N}' \otimes v_t'' | 0 \leq t = \widehat{t} + t_N \ell^N < \ell^{N+1}\}$. Given $F^{(m)}K^{(n)}E^{(p)} \in B_N$, $0 \leq m, n, p < \ell^{N+1}$, we may write

$$F^{(m)}K^{(n)}E^{(p)} = F^{(m_N \ell^N)}K^{(n_N \ell^N)}E^{(p_N \ell^N)}F^{(m')}K^{(n')}E^{(p')}, \quad 0 \leq m', n', p' < \ell^N.$$

Here, $F^{(m')}K^{(n')}E^{(p')} \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \stackrel{\text{co } \rho_N}{=} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, cf. Proposition 3.8. Thus

$$F^{(m)}K^{(n)}E^{(p)}(y \otimes z) = F^{(m_N)}K^{(n_N)}E^{(p_N)}y \otimes F^{(m')}K^{(n')}E^{(p')}z,$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{L}(p_N)$, $z \in \mathcal{L}_N(\widehat{p})$, where we use (39). From here, $v_0 = v_0' \otimes v_0''$ is a primitive vector, and L is a highest weight module of highest weight p . Thus it suffices to prove that L is simple. Let W be a submodule of L . In particular, W is a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -submodule, so it decomposes as a direct sum of eigenspaces; each v_t , $0 \leq t < \ell^{N+1}$, spans the eigenspace of weight t , so we may assume that $v_t \in W$ for some t . Let t be minimal. Hence

$$0 = E^{[N]}v_t = E v_{t_N}' \otimes v_t'', \quad 0 = E^{[j]}v_t = v_{t_N}' \otimes E^{[j]}v_t'', \quad 0 \leq j < N,$$

so $E v_{t_N}' = 0 = E^{[j]}v_t''$, $0 \leq j < N$. From here, $t_N = \widehat{t} = 0$, and then $W = L$. \square

Remark 4.11. $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is an *augmented algebra* via the map $\epsilon : \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$,

$$(40) \quad \epsilon(E^{[j]}) = \epsilon(F^{[j]}) = 0, \quad \epsilon(K^{[j]}) = 1, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq N.$$

Thence \mathbb{k} is a $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, N}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module and $\mathbb{k} \simeq \mathcal{L}_N(0)$ via ϵ , so $\mathcal{L}_N(p) \simeq \mathcal{L}(p_N) \otimes \mathbb{k}$ if $p = p_N \ell^N$, $0 \leq p_N < \ell$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The main part of this paper was written during my visit to the Max-Planck-Institute in Bonn as an Alexander von Humboldt Fellow. I would like to thank the institute for its excellent working environment and support. I would like to thank especially to Geordie Williamson for all the discussions and the guidance.

REFERENCES

- [A] N. Andruskiewitsch, *Notes on extensions of Hopf algebras*. Canad. J. Math. **48** (1996), 3–42.
- [AD] N. Andruskiewitsch, J. Devoto, *Extensions of Hopf algebras*. Algebra i Analiz **7** (1995), 22–61.
- [An] I. Angiono, *A quantum version of the algebra of distributions of an algebraic group*, in preparation.
- [B] G. Bergman, *The diamond lemma for ring theory*. Adv. Math. **29** (1978), 178–218.
- [J] J. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*. Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **107**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. xiv+576 pp.
- [L1] G. Lusztig, *G. Lusztig, Some problems in the representation theory of finite Chevalley groups*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. vol. **37**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980, 313–317.
- [L2] G. Lusztig, *Modular representations and quantum groups*. Classical groups and related topics (Beijing, 1987), 59–77, Contemp. Math. **82**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

- [L3] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*. Progress in Math. **110**. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993. xii+341 pp.
- [L4] G. Lusztig, *On the character of certain irreducible modular representations*, Represent. Th. **19** (2015), 3–8.
- [Mo] S. Montgomery, *Hopf Algebras and their actions on rings*, CMBS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **82**, 1993.
- [T1] M. Takeuchi, *Generators and relations for the hyperalgebras of reductive groups*. J. Algebra **85** (1983), 197–212.
- [T2] M. Takeuchi, *Quotient spaces for Hopf algebras*. Comm. Algebra **22** (1994), 2503–2523.
- [W1] G. Williamson, *Schubert calculus and torsion explosion*, with an appendix joint with A. Kontorovich and P. J. McNamara, [arXiv:1309.5055](https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.5055).
- [W2] G. Williamson, *Algebraic representations and constructible sheaves*. [arXiv:1610.06261](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.06261)

FAMAF-CIEM (CONICET), UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA.
E-mail address: angiono@famaf.unc.edu.ar