

Weighted projective lines as fine moduli spaces of quiver representations

Tarig Abdelgadir and Kazushi Ueda

Abstract

We describe weighted projective lines in the sense of Geigle and Lenzing by a moduli problem on the canonical algebra of Ringel. We then go on to study generators of the derived categories of coherent sheaves on the total spaces of their canonical bundles, and show that they are rarely tilting. We also give a moduli construction for these total spaces for weighted projective lines with three orbifold points.

1 Introduction

Weighted projective lines in the sense of Geigle and Lenzing [GL87] are one-dimensional smooth rational Deligne-Mumford stacks without generic stabilizers. They have fascinating connections with many branches of mathematics, such as representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras, singularity theory, hypergeometric functions, and automorphic forms. The reader can consult the review article [Len11] and references therein for more on such interactions.

Let Q be the quiver

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & v_{1,1} & \xrightarrow{a_{1,1}} & v_{1,2} & \xrightarrow{a_{1,2}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{a_{1,p_1-2}} & v_{1,p_1-1} & & \\
 & \nearrow^{a_{1,0}} & & & & & & & & \searrow_{a_{1,p_1-1}} & \\
 v_0 & & v_{2,1} & \xrightarrow{a_{2,1}} & v_{2,2} & \xrightarrow{a_{2,2}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{a_{2,p_2-2}} & v_{2,p_2-1} & & v_1 \\
 & \nearrow_{a_{2,0}} & & & & & & & & \nearrow_{a_{2,p_2-1}} & \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \\
 & \searrow_{a_{n,0}} & & & & & & & & \nearrow_{a_{n,p_n-1}} & \\
 & & v_{n,1} & \xrightarrow{a_{n,1}} & v_{n,2} & \xrightarrow{a_{n,2}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{a_{n,p_n-2}} & v_{n,p_n-1} & &
 \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

and $I \subset \mathbb{C}Q$ be the ideal of relations generated by

$$a_{i,p_i-1} \cdots a_{i,0} - a_{2,p_2-1} \cdots a_{2,0} + \lambda_i a_{1,p_1-1} \cdots a_{1,0}, \quad i = 3, \dots, n. \tag{1.2}$$

The path algebra $A := \mathbb{C}Q/I$ with relations is the canonical algebra introduced by Ringel [Rin84]. By [GL87, Proposition 4.1], there is a tilting object $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N E_i$ in $D^b \text{coh } \mathbb{X}$

obtained as the direct sum of line bundles $(E_i)_{i=1}^n$, whose endomorphism algebra is isomorphic to A ;

$$A \cong \text{End } E. \quad (1.3)$$

Then Morita theory for derived categories [Bon89, Ric89] gives an equivalence

$$D^b \text{ coh } \mathbb{X} \cong D^b \text{ mod } A \quad (1.4)$$

of triangulated categories. Let Q_0 and Q_1 be the set of vertices and arrows of the quiver Q , and \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} be the free abelian group generated by the symbols \mathbf{e}_i for $\mathbf{i} \in Q_0$. Consider the natural homomorphism

$$\text{pic} : \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} \rightarrow \text{Pic } \mathbb{X} \quad (1.5)$$

sending \mathbf{e}_i to the line bundle corresponding to $\mathbf{i} \in Q_0$. The kernel of pic will be denoted by

$$K := \text{Ker}(\text{pic}). \quad (1.6)$$

In Section 3, we give an adaptation of the notion of *refinements* from [Abd12] to the current non-toric setting, and define the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\theta(Q, I, K; \mathbf{d})$ of K -refined representations of the quiver (Q, I) with relations. Here \mathbf{d} is the dimension vector and θ is the stability parameter. The first main result in this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *For a suitable choice ϑ of a stability parameter, there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{X} \cong \mathcal{M}_\vartheta(Q, I, K; \mathbf{1})$ of stacks. The pull-back of the tautological bundle on $\mathcal{M}_\vartheta(Q, I, K; \mathbf{1})$ by this isomorphism is isomorphic to E .*

A weighted projective line \mathbb{X} is called *spherical*, *Euclidean*, or *hyperbolic* if the orbifold Euler characteristic

$$\chi_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{X}) = 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)$$

is positive, zero, or negative respectively. Spherical weighted projective lines naturally correspond to simply-laced Dynkin diagrams as follows:

type	A_{p+q}	D_{n+2}	E_6	E_7	E_8
weight	$(1, p, q)$	$(2, 2, n)$	$(2, 3, 3)$	$(2, 3, 4)$	$(2, 3, 5)$

Table 1.1: Spherical weights

When \mathbb{X} is spherical, the spectrum $Y_0 = \text{Spec } R$ of the anti-canonical ring

$$R = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-k\omega_{\mathbb{X}})),$$

is isomorphic to the corresponding Kleinian singularity [GL91, Proposition 8.5]. The total space \mathbb{K} of the canonical bundle of \mathbb{X} has orbifold singularities of types $\frac{1}{p_i}(1, -1)$ at the

i -th orbifold points in the zero-section $\mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{K}$, so that the coarse moduli space K of \mathbb{K} is a partial resolution of Y with three simple singularities of types A_{p_1-1} , A_{p_2-1} and A_{p_3-1} . The minimal resolution Y of K is the minimal resolution of Y_0 , and the exceptional divisor is a tree of (-2) -curves where three chains of (-2) -curves consisting of $p_1 - 1$, $p_2 - 1$ and $p_3 - 1$ rational curves is connected to the (-2) -curve obtained as the strict transform of the zero-section in K . One has a derived equivalence

$$D^b \text{coh } \mathbb{K} \cong D^b \text{coh } Y$$

by Kapranov and Vasserot [KV00]. The pull-back $\tilde{E} = \pi^*E$ of the tilting object E by the natural projection $\pi : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a generator of $D^b \text{coh } \mathbb{K}$. Recall that \tilde{E} is said to be *acyclic* if $\text{Hom}^i(\tilde{E}, \tilde{E}) = 0$ for any $i \neq 0$. We prove the following in Section 4 by a case-by-case analysis through Figure 1.1:

Theorem 1.2. *\tilde{E} is acyclic if and only if \mathbb{X} is spherical of type A .*

The endomorphism dg algebra $\text{end } \tilde{E}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the 2-Calabi-Yau completion (or the derived 2-preprojective algebra)

$$\text{end } \tilde{E} \cong \Pi_2(\text{End } E)$$

of $\text{End } E$ in the sense of Keller [Kel11, 4.1], and one has an equivalence

$$D^b \text{coh } \mathbb{K} \cong D^b \text{mod } \Pi_2(\text{End } E)$$

of triangulated categories [Bal, Seg08]. Theorem 1.2 shows that $\text{end } \tilde{E}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\text{End } \tilde{E}$ if and only if \mathbb{X} is spherical of type A .

Let $\overline{G} \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite Kleinian group, so that the quotient stack $\mathbb{X} = [\mathbb{P}^1/\overline{G}]$ is a spherical weighted projective line. The dg algebra $\text{end } \tilde{E}$ is derived-equivalent to the crossed-product algebra $\mathbb{C}[x, y] \rtimes G$, where G is the inverse image of \overline{G} by the projection $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$. The crossed product algebra $\mathbb{C}[x, y] \rtimes G$ in turn is Morita-equivalent to the path algebra with relations of the *McKay quiver* of G . Kronheimer's construction [Kro89] (cf. also [Nak99, Section 4]) gives a fine moduli interpretation of the crepant resolution Y of \mathbb{C}^2/G in terms of *framed* representations of the McKay quiver.

Let \mathbb{X} be a weighted projective line with at most three orbifold points and $\pi : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be the canonical bundle. Let further (\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}) be the quiver with relations describing the endomorphism algebra of the vector bundle $\tilde{E} := \pi^*E$ on \mathbb{K} . In Section 6, we introduce the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_\theta^{\text{fr}}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}; \mathbf{1})$ of a *framed refined representation* of (\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}) of dimension vector $\mathbf{1}$. Unlike the construction of Kronheimer, we frame arrows instead of vertices. Although $\mathbb{C}\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$ is not derived-equivalent to \mathbb{K} , we can show the following:

Theorem 1.3. *For a suitable choice ϑ of a stability parameter, there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_\vartheta^{\text{fr}}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}; \mathbf{1}) \cong \mathbb{K}$ of stacks sending the tautological bundle to \tilde{E} .*

It is an interesting problem to give a fine moduli interpretation of the quotient stack $[\mathbb{C}^2/G]$ in terms of quiver representations. Although Theorem 1.3 falls short of this goal, it gives a fine moduli interpretation of \mathbb{K} which sits between Y and $[\mathbb{C}^2/G]$.

Acknowledgment : This work was initiated at Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, and completed at Korea Institute for Advanced Study. We thank both institutes for hospitality and nice research environment. We also thank the anonymous referee for suggesting a number of improvements.

2 Weighted projective lines after Geigle and Lenzing

Let \mathbb{X} be a smooth rational Deligne-Mumford stack of dimension one without generic stabilizer. Such a stack is called a *weighted projective line* by Geigle and Lenzing. We recall some basic results from [GL87] in this section.

We write the orbifold points on \mathbb{X} as $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$. The absence of generic stabilizer implies that the stabilizer group Γ_i at \mathbf{x}_i is a cyclic group for any $i = 1, \dots, n$, and we write its order as p_i . Locally around \mathbf{x}_i , one can take an orbifold chart $[U_i/\Gamma_i] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{X}$, where U_i is an open subscheme of an affine line $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[u]$ and a generator of Γ_i acts linearly on u by a primitive p_i -th root of unity. The Picard group of \mathbb{X} is generated by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{x}_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{x}_i)$ is the dual of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-\vec{x}_i)$ defined as the kernel of the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}_i}$ to the skyscraper sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}_i} = [(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[u]/(u))/\Gamma_i]$;

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-\vec{x}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x}_i} \rightarrow 0.$$

Define $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{c})$ as the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(x)$, which does not depend on the choice of a point $x \in \mathbb{X} \setminus \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$. One has relations

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(p_i \vec{x}_i) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{c}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and the Picard group of \mathbb{X} is given by

$$L := \text{Pic } \mathbb{X} = \mathbb{Z}\vec{x}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\vec{x}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}\vec{c}/(p_1\vec{x}_1 - \vec{c}, \dots, p_n\vec{x}_n - \vec{c}).$$

Choose a global coordinate on the coarse moduli space $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ so that the images $z_1, \dots, z_n \in X$ of $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{X}$ are given in this coordinate by $\lambda_1 = \infty, \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3 = 1$, and $\lambda_4, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Then the *total coordinate ring* (also known as the *Cox ring*) of \mathbb{X} is the L -graded ring given by

$$S_{\mathbb{X}} = \bigoplus_{\vec{k} \in L} H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{k})) = k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] / (X_i^{p_i} - X_2^{p_2} + \lambda_i X_1^{p_1})_{i=2}^n, \quad (2.1)$$

such that $\deg X_i = \vec{x}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and \mathbb{X} is recovered as the quotient stack

$$\mathbb{X} = [(\text{Spec } S_{\mathbb{X}} \setminus \mathbf{0})/G] \quad (2.2)$$

where G is the affine algebraic group $G = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[L]$. The graded ring $S_{\mathbb{X}}$ is Gorenstein with parameter $\vec{\omega} = (n-2) - \sum_{i=1}^n \vec{x}_i$, and Serre duality on \mathbb{X} is given by

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{\omega}))^\vee$$

for any coherent sheaves \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} .

Recall that an object F in the derived category $D^b \text{coh } Y$ of a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack Y *classically generates* $D^b \text{coh } Y$ if $D^b \text{coh } Y$ is the smallest thick triangulated subcategory of $D^b(Y)$ containing F . The object F is *acyclic* if $\text{Hom}(F, F[i]) = 0$ for any $i \neq 0$. The object E is called a *tilting object* if it is an acyclic object which classically generates $D^b \text{coh } Y$. The direct sum $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N E_i$ of the sequence

$$(E_1, \dots, E_N) = (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(\vec{x}_1), \dots, \mathcal{O}((p_1-1)\vec{x}_1), \mathcal{O}(\vec{x}_2), \dots, \mathcal{O}((p_2-1)\vec{x}_2), \dots, \mathcal{O}(\vec{x}_n), \dots, \mathcal{O}((p_n-1)\vec{x}_n), \mathcal{O}(\vec{c})) \quad (2.3)$$

of line bundles on \mathbb{X} is a tilting object in $D^b \text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ by [GL87, Proposition 4.1]. The endomorphism algebra $\text{End } E$ is isomorphic to the path algebra A of the quiver (Q, I) with relations defined in (1.1) and (1.2). The line bundles (E_1, \dots, E_N) on \mathbb{X} correspond to the vertices $(v_0, v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,p_1-1}, \dots, v_{n,1}, \dots, v_{n,p_n-1}, v_1)$ in such a way that the isomorphism (1.3) sends the idempotent associated with the vertex to the identity morphism of the corresponding line bundle.

3 The moduli space of refined representations

Let B be the subset of \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} consisting of $\mathbf{b}_{i,j} := \mathbf{e}_{i,j+1} - \mathbf{e}_{i,j} - \mathbf{e}_{i,1} + \mathbf{e}_0$ and $\mathbf{b}_0 := \mathbf{e}_0$. This set forms a basis of the group K defined in (1.6). We regard $b \in B$ as an element of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}, \mathbb{Z})$ by the isomorphism $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$ coming from the standard basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i \in Q_0}$ of \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} . The following notion of *refinements* is an adaptation from [Abd12]:

Definition 3.1. A K -refined representation of (Q, I) is a finite-dimensional representation $((W_i)_{i \in Q_0}, (w_a)_{a \in Q_1})$ of Q satisfying the relations I together with non-zero elements

$$f_{i,j} \in \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(W_0, W_{i,1}), \text{Hom}(W_{i,j}, W_{i,j+1})), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, p_i - 1,$$

satisfying

$$f_{i,j}(w_{i,0}) = w_{i,j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, p_i - 1, \quad (3.1)$$

and a non-zero element $g \in W_0$.

Note that the vector space $\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(W_0, W_{i,1}), \text{Hom}(W_{i,j}, W_{i,j+1}))$ containing $f_{i,j}$ can be written as $W^{\mathbf{b}_{i,j}} := W_{i,j+1} \otimes W_{i,j}^\vee \otimes W_{i,1}^\vee \otimes W_0$. Although Definition 3.1 is given in terms of the basis B of K , one can easily see that the notion of refined representation is independent of the choice of B and depends only on K . The arrows $a_{i,j}$ in the quiver Q naturally correspond to elements of the Cox ring $S_{\mathbb{X}}$ of \mathbb{X} , and the role of the elements $f_{i,j} \in W^{\otimes \mathbf{b}_{i,j}}$ is to fix isomorphisms between arrows corresponding to the same elements of $S_{\mathbb{X}}$. On the other hand, the non-zero element $g \in W_0$ rigidifies the automorphism given by an overall scalar multiplication.

Definition 3.2. For a filtration

$$\mathbf{W}_\bullet = (0 \subsetneq \mathbf{W}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathbf{W}_{k-1} \subsetneq \mathbf{W}_k = \mathbf{W}).$$

of representations of Q and an element $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}, \mathbb{Z})$, we set

$$\theta(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta(\dim \mathbf{W}_j)$$

where $\dim \mathbf{W}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$. The filtration \mathbf{W}_\bullet is said to be *proper* if at least one term is a nonzero proper subspace of \mathbf{W} . A refined representation \mathbf{W} of dimension vector $\mathbf{1}$ is θ -stable if $\theta(\mathbf{W}) = 0$ and $\theta(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) > 0$ for every proper filtration \mathbf{W}_\bullet of refined representations satisfying $b(\mathbf{W}_\bullet) = 0$ for all $b \in B$.

A stack can be defined either as a category or as a 2-functor. The first point of view is technically simpler due to the lack of need to introduce the notion of 2-categories, and the second point of view is amenable to generalization to higher and derived stacks.

From the first point of view, our moduli problem can be formulated as follows:

Definition 3.3. The category $\mathcal{M}_\theta = \mathcal{M}_\theta(Q, I, K; \mathbf{1})$ of flat families of θ -stable K -refined representations of (Q, I) with dimension vector $\mathbf{1}$ is defined as follows:

- An object is a collection $\mathcal{W} = (S, (\mathcal{W}_i)_{i \in Q_0}, (w_a)_{a \in Q_1}, (f_{i,j})_{i,j}, g)$ of
 - a scheme S ,
 - invertible \mathcal{O}_S -modules \mathcal{W}_i for each $i \in Q_0$,
 - morphisms $w_a : \mathcal{W}_{s(a)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{t(a)}$ of \mathcal{O}_S -modules satisfying the relations (1.2) for each $a \in Q_1$,
 - non-zero morphisms $f_{i,j} : \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_{i,1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{W}_{i,j}, \mathcal{W}_{i,j+1})$ of \mathcal{O}_S -modules for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 0, \dots, p_i - 1$ such that $H^0(f_{i,j})$ satisfy the relations (3.1), and
 - an isomorphism $g : \mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W}_0$

such that the refined representation determined by the above data is θ -stable at every point $s \in S$.

- A morphism from a family \mathcal{W} to another family \mathcal{W}' is a morphism $\varphi : S \rightarrow S'$ of schemes together with isomorphisms

$$\gamma_i : \mathcal{W}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^* \mathcal{W}'_i$$

of \mathcal{O}_S -modules for all $i \in Q_0$ satisfying

- $\gamma_{t(a)} \circ w_a \circ \gamma_{s(a)}^{-1} = \varphi^* w'_a$ for all $a \in Q_1$,
- $\gamma_{i,j} \circ f_{i,j} = \varphi^* f'_{i,j}$ for all i, j , and
- $\gamma_0 \circ g = \varphi^* g'$,

where $\gamma_{i,j} : \mathcal{W}_0 \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i,1}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i,j}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_{i,j+1} \rightarrow \varphi^* \mathcal{W}'_0 \otimes \varphi^* \mathcal{W}'_{i,1}{}^\vee \otimes \varphi^* \mathcal{W}'_{i,j}{}^\vee \otimes \varphi^* \mathcal{W}'_{i,j+1}$ is the morphism induced by γ_i .

The forgetful functor $p : \mathcal{M}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{S}ch$ sending \mathcal{W} to S makes \mathcal{M}_θ into a category fibered in groupoid.

From the second point of view, the moduli problem is formulated in terms of a 2-functor $\mathcal{M}_\theta : \mathcal{S}ch \rightarrow \mathcal{G}rpd$ from the category of schemes (considered as a 2-category by regarding each scheme as a category with one object and one morphism) to the 2-category of groupoids, which sends a scheme S to the groupoid consisting of families over S .

We will take the first point of view in this paper, and prove Theorem 1.1 along the traditional path of first rigidifying the moduli problem and then quotienting out by equivalences.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By choosing a basis of W_i for every $i \in Q_0$, a refined representation is represented by a point in the subvariety Z of

$$\mathcal{R}(Q, K) := \prod_{a \in Q_1} \text{End}(\mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{b \in B} \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{A}^{Q_1} \times \mathbb{G}_m^B$$

cut-out by the relations (1.2) and (3.1). Two elements of Z define isomorphic refined representations if and only if they lie in the same orbit of the group $\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}$ under the change-of-basis action.

Given a family \mathcal{W} of refined representations, one can choose a sufficiently small open subset U around each point $s \in S$ on the base scheme such that the invertible sheaves \mathcal{W}_i are trivial. By choosing a trivialization, one obtains a morphism from U to Z . Although these morphisms do not necessarily glue together to give a morphism $S \rightarrow Z$, the failure of the gluing comes from the action of $\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}$, so that one can replace S with a principal $\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}$ -bundle T , and obtain a morphism $T \rightarrow Z$. This shows that the category $\mathcal{M}(Q, I, K; \mathbf{1})$ of flat families of not necessarily stable refined representations of dimension vector $\mathbf{1}$ is a stack isomorphic to the quotient stack $[Z/\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}]$.

Define $\vartheta \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}, \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\vartheta(\mathbf{W}) := -|Q_0| \dim W_0 + \sum_{i \in Q_0} \dim W_i \quad (3.2)$$

and let Z^s denote the open subvariety of ϑ -stable refined representations of (Q, I) . Then one has an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_\vartheta(Q, I, K; \mathbf{1}) \cong [Z^s/\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}] \quad (3.3)$$

of stacks. Note that every point in $Z \subset \mathcal{R}(Q, K)$ lies in the orbit of a point whose \mathbb{G}_m^B -component is given by $(1, \dots, 1)$, and the unstable locus consists just of the origin $\mathbf{0} \in Z$. Then the relations (1.2) give

$$Z^s \cap (\mathbb{A}^{Q_1} \times (1, \dots, 1)) \cong \text{Spec}(S_{\mathbb{X}}) \setminus \mathbf{0}.$$

Let H be the subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}$ fixing the \mathbb{G}_m^B -component, so that

$$[Z^s/\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}] \cong [(\text{Spec } S_{\mathbb{X}} \setminus \mathbf{0})/H]. \quad (3.4)$$

The group of characters of the subgroup $H \subset \mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}$ is given by \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}/K , which is isomorphic to $\text{Pic } \mathbb{X}$ by the definition (1.6) of K . This shows that H is isomorphic to G ;

$$H \cong G := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[L]. \quad (3.5)$$

By combining (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (2.2), one obtains an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_\vartheta(Q, I, K; \mathbf{1}) \cong \mathbb{X}$$

of stacks.

The tautological line bundles are given by the images of the standard basis elements of \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} under the map $\text{pic} : \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} \rightarrow \text{Pic } \mathbb{X}$. This gives the line bundles (2.3) by definition, and Theorem 1.1 is proved. \square

Remark 3.4. The group $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}, \mathbb{Z})$ containing the stability parameter ϑ can naturally be identified with the group of characters of $\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}$, and one can show that the stability condition comes from the geometric invariant theory just as in [Abd12, Theorem 3.5].

4 A tilting bundle on the canonical bundle

Let \mathbb{K} be the total space of the canonical bundle of \mathbb{X} , and $\pi : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be the natural projection. The canonical bundle of \mathbb{K} is trivial by the adjunction formula. Let $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N E_i$ be the tilting object given in (2.3), and set $\tilde{E} = \pi^* E$.

Lemma 4.1. \tilde{E} classically generates $D^b \text{coh } \mathbb{K}$.

Proof. Recall that for a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{X} , the unbounded derived category $D(\text{Qcoh } \mathfrak{X})$ of quasi-coherent sheaves is compactly generated, and the full subcategory consisting of compact objects is equivalent to the bounded derived category $D^b \text{coh } \mathfrak{X}$. The proof for a quasi-compact, quasi-separated schemes can be found in [BvdB03, Theorem 3.1.1], and a much more general result for perfect stacks is given in [BZFN10]. It follows that an object F of $D^b \text{coh } \mathfrak{X}$ classically generates $D^b \text{coh } \mathfrak{X}$ if and only if it generates $D(\text{Qcoh } \mathfrak{X})$ in the sense that the right orthogonal F^\perp consists of zero objects (Ravenel and Neeman [Nee92], cf. also [BvdB03, Theorem 2.1.2]).

Now let M be an object of $D(\text{Qcoh } \mathbb{K})$ right orthogonal to \tilde{E} in the sense that $\text{Hom}(\tilde{E}, M[i]) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The standard adjunction shows

$$\text{Hom}(\tilde{E}, M[i]) = \text{Hom}(\pi^* E, M[i]) = \text{Hom}(E, \pi_* M[i]),$$

which implies $\pi_* M \cong 0$. This implies $M \cong 0$ since π is an affine morphism. This shows that \tilde{E} generates $D(\text{Qcoh } \mathbb{K})$, and hence classically generates $D^b \text{coh } \mathbb{K}$. \square

Now we prove Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^1(\pi^* E_i, \pi^* E_j) &\cong \text{Ext}^1(E_i, \pi_* \pi^* E_j) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}^1 \left(E_i, \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} E_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-k\vec{\omega}) \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H^1(E_i^\vee \otimes E_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-k\vec{\omega})) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H^0(E_i \otimes E_j^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}((k+1)\vec{\omega}))^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

By considering the case $i = j$, one obtains $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}((k+1)\vec{\omega})) = 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ as a necessary condition for acyclicity. It is easy to see that this condition is satisfied if and only if \mathbb{X} is spherical.

If \mathbb{X} is spherical of type A , then one has $\vec{\omega} = -\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2$, and one can easily see that

$$H^0(E_i \otimes E_j^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}((k+1)\vec{\omega})) = 0$$

for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. If \mathbb{X} is spherical of type D_{n+2} , then one has

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{O}(\vec{c}) \otimes \mathcal{O}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(2\vec{\omega})) &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(3\vec{c} - 2\vec{x}_1 - 2\vec{x}_2 - 2\vec{x}_3)) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}((n-2)\vec{x}_3)) \\ &= \mathbb{C} \cdot X_3^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that \tilde{E} is not acyclic. Similarly, one has $H^0(\mathcal{O}(\vec{c}) \otimes \mathcal{O}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(6\vec{\omega})) \neq 0$ if \mathbb{X} is spherical of type E_6 , E_7 and E_8 .

For $\text{Ext}^2(\pi^*E_i, \pi^*E_j)$ we have

$$\text{Ext}^2(\pi^*E_i, \pi^*E_j) \cong \text{Ext}^2(E_i, \pi_*\pi^*E_j) \cong \text{Ext}^2\left(E_i, \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} E_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-k\vec{\omega})\right) = 0.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

5 Quivers for the canonical bundle

The endomorphism algebra $\text{End } \tilde{E}$ can be described as the path algebra $\mathbb{C}\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$ of a quiver (\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}) with relations. In this section, we describe a method to calculate the underlying quiver \tilde{Q} . Note that $\mathbb{C}\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$ is just an algebra and not a dg algebra, so that it is not quasi-isomorphic to the endomorphism dg algebra $\text{end } \tilde{E}$ if \tilde{E} is not acyclic.

Since π^*E_i and π^*E_j are line bundles, any element of $\text{Hom}(\pi^*E_i, \pi^*E_j) \subset \text{End } \tilde{E}$ comes from an element of the Cox ring $S_{\mathbb{K}} := \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic } \mathbb{K}} H^0(\mathcal{L})$, and one can label each arrow of \tilde{Q} with an element of $S_{\mathbb{K}}$.

Note that $S_{\mathbb{K}}$ is isomorphic to $S_{\mathbb{X}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ where t is the tautological section of $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{\omega})$, and \mathbb{K} is recovered as the quotient stack

$$\mathbb{K} = [(\text{Spec } S_{\mathbb{X}} \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]]/G \quad (5.1)$$

just as in (2.1). Here $G = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[L]$ acts on $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]$ by the character $\vec{\omega} \in L$.

To obtain \tilde{Q} from Q , one first replaces the vertices E_i with π^*E_i while keeping the arrows fixed. Then for each primitive element of

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{X}}(E_i, E_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-k\vec{\omega})) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\pi^*E_i, \pi^*E_j)$$

corresponding to an element


$$a \in H^0(E_i^\vee \otimes E_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-k\vec{\omega})) \subset S_{\mathbb{X}},$$

we add an arrow from the vertex π^*E_i to the vertex π^*E_j of this quiver, which is labeled by $a \cdot t^k \in S_{\mathbb{K}}$.

As an example, let us first consider the case of $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_{2,3,4}$. We will write x_1 , x_2 and x_3 as x , y and z respectively. The quiver Q in this case is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \mathcal{O}(\vec{x}) & & \\
 & & & & \nearrow & & \\
 \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\vec{z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(2\vec{z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(3\vec{z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(\vec{c}), \\
 & & & & \searrow & & \\
 & & & & \mathcal{O}(\vec{y}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(2\vec{y}) & &
 \end{array} \quad (5.2)$$

and the underlying quiver \tilde{Q} for $\text{End } \tilde{K}$ is given by




For example, the arrow $\textcircled{1} \xrightarrow{t^4} \textcircled{0}$ from the vertex $\textcircled{1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(\vec{y})$ to the vertex $\textcircled{0} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ comes from

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{y}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-4\vec{\omega})) &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-\vec{y} + 4(\vec{x} + \vec{y} + \vec{z} - \vec{c}))) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(4\vec{x} + 3\vec{y} + 4\vec{z} - 4\vec{c})) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(2\vec{c} + \vec{c} + \vec{c} - 4\vec{c})) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}), \end{aligned}$$

and other new arrows are computed similarly.

As another example, the quiver \tilde{Q} for the Euclidean weighted projective line $\mathbb{X}_{3,3,3}$ is shown (without labels) as follows:



For example, the arrow from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(\vec{x})$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(2\vec{y})$ is labeled as $t \cdot z$ and comes from

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{x}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(2\vec{y} - \vec{\omega})) &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-\vec{x} + 2\vec{y} + (\vec{x} + \vec{y} + \vec{z} - \vec{c}))) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(3\vec{y} + \vec{z} - \vec{c})) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{c} + \vec{z} - \vec{c})) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{z})), \end{aligned}$$

the arrow from \mathcal{O} to itself is labeled as t^3 and comes from

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(3\vec{\omega})) = H^0(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{C},$$

and similarly for all other new arrows. Note that one has $3\vec{\omega} = 0$ in this case.

Remark 5.1. The quivers Q and \tilde{Q} are different when $n = 3$, since one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{x}_i), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}((p_j - 1)\vec{x}_j - \vec{\omega})) &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-\vec{x}_i + (p_j - 1)\vec{x}_j + (\vec{x}_i + \vec{x}_j + \vec{x}_\ell - \vec{c}))) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{x}_\ell)) \end{aligned}$$

for mutually distinct (i, j, ℓ) . Therefore one has a ‘new’ arrow labeled tx_ℓ from $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{x}_i)$ to $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}((p_j - 1)\vec{x}_j)$.

However, if $n \geq 4$, then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{c} - \vec{\omega})) &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{c} + (\vec{x}_1 + \cdots + \vec{x}_n - (n - 2)\vec{c}))) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(\vec{x}_1 + \cdots + \vec{x}_n - (n - 3)\vec{c})) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathrm{Ext}^\ell(E_i, E_j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}}(-k\vec{\omega}))$ vanishes similarly for any i, j, ℓ and positive k , so the quiver \tilde{Q} coincides with Q , and the relation \tilde{I} coincides with I .

6 The canonical bundle as a fine moduli space

Let \mathbb{X} be a weighted projective line with at most three orbifold points, so that the quiver \tilde{Q} has the same set of vertices as Q and a different set of arrows from Q as explained in Remark 5.1. The Cox ring $S_{\mathbb{X}}$ is graded by $\mathrm{Pic} \mathbb{K}$, which is isomorphic to $L := \mathrm{Pic} \mathbb{X}$ by the pull-back morphism $\pi^* : \mathrm{Pic} \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic} \mathbb{K}$. Each arrow of \tilde{Q} is naturally labeled by a monomial in the Cox ring as in (5.3), and one can define a *framed refined representation* of (\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}) as follows:

Definition 6.1. A *framed refined representation* of (\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}) of dimension vector $\mathbf{1}$ consists of

- a representation $((W_i)_{i \in Q_0}, (w_a)_{a \in \tilde{Q}_1})$ of the quiver \tilde{Q} satisfying the relations \tilde{I} of dimension vector $\mathbf{1}$,
- one-dimensional vector spaces V_x, V_y, V_z, V_t ,
- elements $v_x \in V_x, v_y \in V_y, v_z \in V_z, v_t \in V_t$,
- non-zero linear maps $f_a : V_a \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(W_{s(a)}, W_{t(a)})$ for each $a \in \tilde{Q}_1$, and
- a non-zero element $g \in W_0$

satisfying

$$f_a(v_a) = w_a \tag{6.1}$$

for all $a \in \tilde{Q}_1$.

Here, the vector space V_a is defined as $V_x^{\otimes a_x} \otimes V_y^{\otimes a_y} \otimes V_z^{\otimes a_z} \otimes V_t^{\otimes a_t}$ when the arrow a corresponds to the monomial $x^{a_x} y^{a_y} z^{a_z} t^{a_t}$ in $S_{\mathbb{X}}$, and the element $v_a \in V_a$ is defined similarly as $v_x^{\otimes a_x} \otimes v_y^{\otimes a_y} \otimes v_z^{\otimes a_z} \otimes v_t^{\otimes a_t}$. Note that unlike the construction of Kronheimer [Kro89], we frame arrows instead of vertices. The category $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{fr}}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}; \mathbf{1})$ of flat families of framed refined representations of dimension vector $\mathbf{1}$ is defined just the same way as in Definition 3.3.

Now we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By choosing bases of W_i and V_i , a refined representation is represented by a point in the subvariety \tilde{Z} of

$$\mathbb{A}^{\tilde{Q}_1} \times \mathbb{A}^4 \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\tilde{Q}_1} \times \mathbb{G}_m$$

consisting of $((w_a)_a, (v_i)_i, (f_a)_a, g)$ satisfying the relations \tilde{I} and (6.1). Two elements of \tilde{Z} define isomorphic representations if they lie in the same orbit of the group $\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}$ under the change-of-basis action. This shows that the category $\mathcal{M}^{\text{fr}}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}; \mathbf{1})$ is a stack isomorphic to $[\tilde{Z}/\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}]$.

Let ϑ be the stability parameter given in (3.2), which defines a stability of framed refined representations of (\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}) just the same way as in Definition 3.2. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\vartheta^{\text{fr}}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}; \mathbf{1})$ of ϑ -stable framed refined representations of (\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}) of dimension vector $\mathbf{1}$ is an open substack of $\mathcal{M}^{\text{fr}}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}; \mathbf{1})$, which is isomorphic to the quotient stack $[\tilde{Z}^s/\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}]$ of the subvariety $\tilde{Z}^s \subset \tilde{Z}$ consisting of ϑ -stable framed representations;

$$\mathcal{M}_\vartheta^{\text{fr}}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I}; \mathbf{1}) \cong [\tilde{Z}^s/\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}]. \quad (6.2)$$

Every point in \tilde{Z} lies in the orbit of a point whose $\mathbb{G}_m^{\tilde{Q}_1} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -component is given by $(1, \dots, 1)$. Such a point is determined by its \mathbb{A}^4 -component, since the $\mathbb{A}^{\tilde{Q}_1}$ -component is determined from the \mathbb{A}^4 -component by (6.1). A point with the \mathbb{A}^4 -component (v_x, v_y, v_z, v_t) satisfies the relations \tilde{I} if and only if the relation

$$v_x^{p_1} - v_y^{p_2} + v_z^{p_3} = 0$$

is satisfied. This shows that

$$\tilde{Z} \cap \left(\mathbb{A}^{\tilde{Q}_1} \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{A}^4 \times \{(1, \dots, 1)\} \right) \cong \text{Spec } S_{\mathbb{K}}. \quad (6.3)$$

The characters of the subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_m^{Q_0}$ fixing the $\mathbb{G}_m^{\tilde{Q}_1} \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -component is given by $\mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}/K \cong L$ just as in the case of Q . Unstable locus consists of

$$\mathbf{0} \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t] \subset \text{Spec } S_{\mathbb{X}} \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t] = \text{Spec } S_{\mathbb{K}}, \quad (6.4)$$

so that the moduli space is given by

$$[(\text{Spec } S_{\mathbb{X}} \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t)]/G. \quad (6.5)$$

Now (5.1) shows that the moduli space is isomorphic to \mathbb{K} , and the tautological line bundles are given by $\pi^* E_i$. \square

References

- [Abd12] Tarig M. H. Abdelgadir, *Quivers of sections on toric orbifolds*, Journal of Algebra **349** (2012), no. 1, 128–149.
- [Bal] Matthew Robert Ballard, *Sheaves on local Calabi-Yau varieties*, arXiv:0801.3499.

- [Bon89] A. I. Bondal, *Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **53** (1989), no. 1, 25–44. MR MR992977 (90i:14017)
- [BvdB03] A. Bondal and M. van den Bergh, *Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry*, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), no. 1, 1–36, 258. MR 1996800 (2004h:18009)
- [BZFN10] David Ben-Zvi, John Francis, and David Nadler, *Integral transforms and Drinfeld centers in derived algebraic geometry*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 4, 909–966. MR 2669705 (2011j:14023)
- [GL87] Werner Geigle and Helmut Lenzing, *A class of weighted projective curves arising in representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles (Lambrecht, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1273, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 265–297. MR MR915180 (89b:14049)
- [GL91] ———, *Perpendicular categories with applications to representations and sheaves*, J. Algebra **144** (1991), no. 2, 273–343. MR MR1140607 (93b:16011)
- [Kel11] Bernhard Keller, *Deformed Calabi-Yau completions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **654** (2011), 125–180, With an appendix by Michel Van den Bergh. MR 2795754
- [Kro89] P. B. Kronheimer, *The construction of ALE spaces as hyper-Kähler quotients*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), no. 3, 665–683. MR 992334 (90d:53055)
- [KV00] M. Kapranov and E. Vasserot, *Kleinian singularities, derived categories and Hall algebras*, Math. Ann. **316** (2000), no. 3, 565–576. MR MR1752785 (2001h:14012)
- [Len11] Helmut Lenzing, *Weighted projective lines and applications*, Representations of algebras and related topics, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011, pp. 153–187. MR 2931898
- [Nak99] Hiraku Nakajima, *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, University Lecture Series, vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR 1711344 (2001b:14007)
- [Nee92] Amnon Neeman, *The connection between the K-theory localization theorem of Thomason, Trobaugh and Yao and the smashing subcategories of Bousfield and Ravenel*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **25** (1992), no. 5, 547–566. MR 1191736 (93k:18015)
- [Ric89] Jeremy Rickard, *Morita theory for derived categories*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **39** (1989), no. 3, 436–456. MR MR1002456 (91b:18012)
- [Rin84] Claus Michael Ringel, *Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1099, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. MR 774589 (87f:16027)

[Seg08] Ed Segal, *The A_∞ deformation theory of a point and the derived categories of local Calabi-Yaus*, *J. Algebra* **320** (2008), no. 8, 3232–3268. MR MR2450725 (2009k:16016)

Tarig Abdelgadir

Mathematics Section, The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics,
Strada Costiera 11, I - 34151 Trieste, Italy.

e-mail address : tarig.m.h.abdelgadir@gmail.com

Kazushi Ueda

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Machikaneyama
1-1, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-0043, Japan.

e-mail address : kazushi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp