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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a closed $m$-dimensional spin manifold which admits a metric of positive scalar curvature and let $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)$ be the space of all such metrics. For any $g \in \mathcal{R}^{+}(X)$, Hitchin used the KO-valued $\alpha$-invariant to define a homomorphism $A_{n-1}: \pi_{n-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X), g\right) \rightarrow K O_{m+n}$. He then showed that $A_{0} \neq 0$ if $m=8 k$ or $8 k+1$ and that $A_{1} \neq 0$ if $m=8 k-1$ or $8 k$.

In this paper we use Hitchin's methods and extend these results by proving that $$
A_{8 j+1-m} \neq 0
$$ whenever $m \geq 7$ and $8 j-m \geq 0$. The new input are elements with non-trivial $\alpha$-invariant deep down in the Gromoll filtration of the group $\Gamma^{n+1}=\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)\right)$. We show that $\alpha\left(\Gamma_{8 j-5}^{8 j+2}\right) \neq\{0\}$ for $j \geq 1$. This information about elements existing deep in the Gromoll filtration is the second main new result of this note.


## 1 Introduction

Let $n$ be greater than 4 , let $\Theta_{n+1}$ denote the group of homotopy $(n+1)$-spheres and let $\Gamma^{n+1}=\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)\right)$ denote the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of the $n$-disc which are the identity near the boundary. There is the standard isomorphism $\Sigma: \Gamma^{n+1} \cong \Theta_{n+1}$, due to Smale and Cerf [6, 26]. Moreover, for all $0<i \leq j$ there are homomorphisms

$$
\lambda_{i, j}^{n}: \pi_{j}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n-j}, \partial\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j-i}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n-j+i}, \partial\right)\right)
$$

[^0]The definitions of $\Sigma$ and $\lambda_{i, j}^{n}$ are recalled in Section 2.1.
We denote $\lambda:=\lambda_{i, i}^{n}$. In $\boxed{8}$, Abschnitt 1] Gromoll defined the group

$$
\Gamma_{i+1}^{n+1}:=\lambda\left(\pi_{i}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n-i}, \partial\right)\right) \subset \Gamma^{n+1}\right.
$$

and the corresponding filtration

$$
0=\Gamma_{n-2}^{n+1} \subset \Gamma_{n-3}^{n+1} \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma_{3}^{n+1} \subset \Gamma_{2}^{n+1}=\Gamma^{n+1}
$$

We say that $f \in \Gamma^{n+1}$ has Gromoll filtration $i$ if $f \in \Gamma_{i}^{n+1} \backslash \Gamma_{i+1}^{n+1}$. The identity $\Gamma^{n+1}=\Gamma_{2}^{n+1}$ is due to Cerf [6], as pointed out in [2]. The equality $\Gamma_{n-2}^{n+1}=0$ follows from Hatcher's proof 10] of the Smale Conjecture.

Starting with Novikov 22], authors have used the homomorphisms $\lambda_{i, j}^{n}$ to explore the homotopy type of $\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)$. For example, [5, Theorem 7.4] shows that there is an infinite sequence $\left\{\left(p_{i}, k_{i}, m_{i}\right)\right\}$ of integer triples with $p_{i}$ odd primes, $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} m_{i} / k_{i}=0$ and

$$
\pi_{k_{i}}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{m_{i}}, \partial\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z} / p_{i} \neq 0
$$

Later, Hitchin [12, Section 4.4] used the homomorphisms $\lambda_{i, j}^{n}$ to investigate the homotopy type of the space of positive scalar curvature metrics on a closed manifold. In this paper we extend the results of [5] and [12, Section 4.4].

Hitchin's main tool is the $\alpha$-invariant, the KO-valued index of the real Dirac operator of a closed spin manifold. Since an exotic sphere carries a unique spin structure, we get an induced homomorphism

$$
\alpha: \Gamma^{m+1} \cong \Theta_{m+1} \rightarrow K O_{m+1}
$$

Our first main result shows that the Gromoll filtration of some ( $8 k+2$ )-dimensional exotic spheres with non-trivial $\alpha$-invariant is quite deep.
1.1 Theorem. For all $j \geq 1$ there is an element $f_{j} \in \pi_{8 j-6}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{7}, \partial\right)\right)$ such that $\alpha\left(\lambda\left(f_{j}\right)\right) \neq 0$ and $2 f_{j}=0$. Hence $\alpha\left(\Gamma_{8 j-5}^{8 j+2}\right) \neq\{0\}$ and for all $0 \leq i \leq 8 j-6$, $\lambda_{i, 8 j-6}^{8 j+1}\left(f_{j}\right) \in \pi_{8 j-6-i}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{7+i}, \partial\right)\right)$ is a non-trivial element of order 2.

### 1.1 Positive scalar curvature

Let $X$ be a closed spin manifold of dimension $m$ and let $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)$ denote the space of positive scalar curvature metrics on $X$. The Lichnerowicz formula entails that the first obstruction to the existence of a positive scalar curvature metric on $X$ is the index of the Dirac operator defined by its spin structure. This is an element $\operatorname{ind}(X) \in K O_{m}$ which gives rise to a ring homomorphism

$$
\alpha: \Omega_{*}^{\mathrm{spin}} \rightarrow K O_{*}, \quad[X] \mapsto \operatorname{ind}(X) .
$$

When $X$ is simply connected of dimesion $\geq 5$, Stolz 27] proved that $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X) \neq \phi$ if and only if $\alpha(X)=0$. In general, the question of whether $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X) \neq \phi$ is a deep problem which remains open, see for example 24, 25.

If $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X) \neq \emptyset$ we equip it with the $C^{\infty}$-topology and go on to investigate this topological space. Note that $\operatorname{Diff}(X)$ acts on $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)$ via pull-back of metrics and so fixing $g$ defines a map $T: \operatorname{Diff}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{+}(X), h \mapsto h^{*} g$. Moreover, fixing
$D^{m} \subset X$ defines an inclusion $i: \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{m}, \partial\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}(X)$ via extension by the identity.

Hitchin observed in his thesis [12, Theorem 4.7] that sometimes non-zero elements in $\pi_{*}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{m}, \partial\right)\right)$ yield, via the induced action of $\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{m}, \partial\right)$ on $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)$, non-zero elements in $\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right):=\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X), g\right)$. More precisely, Hitchin 12, Proposition 4.6] (see Section 2.5), defines a homomorphism

$$
A_{n-1}: \pi_{n-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right) \rightarrow K O_{m+n}
$$

and shows that the composition

$$
C_{n-1}: \pi_{n-1}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{m}, \partial\right)\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \pi_{n-1}(\operatorname{Diff}(X)) \xrightarrow{T_{*}} \pi_{n-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right) \xrightarrow{A_{n-1}} K O_{m+n}
$$

is non-trivial for $n=1$ and $m=8 k, 8 k+1$ and for $n=2$ and $n=8 k-1,8 k$.
Hitchin's method exploited the at the time known facts that $\alpha\left(\Gamma_{1}^{8 j+1}\right) \neq\{0\}$ and $\alpha\left(\Gamma_{2}^{8 j+2}\right) \neq\{0\}$. With our refined knowledge about the non-zero images $\alpha\left(\Gamma_{8 j-5}^{8 j+2}\right)$, we obtain the following corollary using the same method as Hitchin.
1.2 Corollary. Let $X$ be a spin manifold of dimension $m \geq 7$ with $g \in \mathcal{R}^{+}(X)$ and let $f_{j}$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Then for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $8 j+1-m \geq 0$, $C_{8 j+1-m}\left(\lambda_{m-7,8 j-6}^{8 j+1}\left(f_{j}\right)\right) \neq 0 \in K O_{8 j+2}$. In particular, the homomorphism

$$
A_{8 j+1-m}: \pi_{8 j+1-m}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right) \rightarrow K O_{8 j+2}
$$

is a split surjection and for all such $(X, g)$ the graded group $\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right)$ contains non-trivial two-torsion in infinitely many degrees.

To our knowledge, these examples and those of [9] are the first examples where $\pi_{k}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right)$ is shown to be non-trivial when $k>1$. In contrast to [9], Corollary 1.2 also shows that $\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right)$ is non-trivial in infinitely many degrees. However, note that by construction the elements of $\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right)$ found in Corollary 1.2 vanish under the action of $\operatorname{Diff}(X)$, i.e. in $\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X) / \operatorname{Diff}(X)\right)$. In contrast to this in [9] the first examples of elements $x \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right)$ which remain non-trivial by pullback with arbitrary families in $\operatorname{Diff}(X)$ are constructed for arbitrarily large $k$. That $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X) / \operatorname{Diff}(X)$ often has infinitely many components is already proved in $3,18,23$.

## 2 The Gromoll filtration of Hitchin spheres

In this Section we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. Section 2.1 recalls methods from smoothing theory which give a second definition of the Gromoll filtration. Section 2.2 reviews the Kervaire-Milnor analysis of the group of homotopy spheres. Section 2.3 recalls results of Adams from stable homotopy theory and their relation to the $K O$-index theory due to Milnor. Section 2.4 shows how non-trivial compositions in the stable homotopy groups of spheres lead to non-zero elements deeper in the Gromoll filtration and so proves Theorem 1.1.

### 2.1 The groups $\Theta_{n+1}, \Gamma^{n+1}$ and $\pi_{n+1}(P L / O)$

Let $n \geq 5$. Recall that $\Theta_{n+1}$ is the group of oriented diffeomorphism classes of homotopy $(n+1)$-spheres, that by definition $\Gamma^{n+1}=\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)\right)$ and
recall also the space $P L / O$ which will be defined below. In this subsection we review the three fundamental isomorphisms $\Sigma, \Psi$ and $M_{*}$ appearing the following diagram:


We then prove that the diagram commutes: a point which seems to have been implicit in the literature.

Given a mapping class $f \in \Gamma^{n+1}$ we may build a homotopy $(n+1)$-sphere $\Sigma_{f}$ by first extending $f$ by the identity map to a diffeomorphism $\bar{f}: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ and then setting $\Sigma_{f}:=D^{n+1} \cup_{\bar{f}} D^{n+1}$. In this way we obtain the map, which is well known to be a homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma: \Gamma^{n+1} \rightarrow \Theta_{n+1}, \quad f \mapsto \Sigma_{f} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [26] $\Sigma$ is onto and by [6] $\Sigma$ is injective.
Next let $O_{k}$ and $P L_{k}$ denote the $k$-dimensional orthogonal group and the group of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of $k$-dimensional Euclidean space fixing the origin and let $O:=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} O_{k}$ and $P L:=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} P L_{k}$ denote the corresponding stable groups. There are inclusions $O_{k} \rightarrow P L_{k}$ with quotients $P L_{k} / O_{k}$ and we obtain the space $P L / O=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(P L_{k} / O_{k}\right)$ along with stablisiation maps $S: P L_{k} / O_{k} \rightarrow P L / O$. The fundamental theorem of smoothing theory applied to the $(n+1)$-sphere 11, 17, (see also 15, Theorem 7.3]) states that there is an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{n+1}: \Theta_{n+1} \cong \pi_{n+1}(P L / O) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A third fundamental result is due to Morlet (unpublished) and Burghelea and Lashof $[5$, Theorems 4.4, 4.6].
2.3 Theorem ([5] Theorem 4.4). There is a homotopy equivalence of commutative $H$-spaces

$$
M_{n}: \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right) \simeq \Omega^{n+1}\left(P L_{n} / O_{n}\right)
$$

such that the composition

$$
\pi_{0} \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right) \xrightarrow{M_{n *}} \pi_{0} \Omega^{n+1}\left(P L_{n} / O_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{S_{*}^{*}} \pi_{0} \Omega^{n+1}(P L / O)=\pi_{n+1}(P L / O)
$$

yields an isomorphism

$$
M_{*}: \Gamma^{n+1} \cong \pi_{n+1}(P L / O)
$$

Here $S_{*}$ is induced by the stabilisation map $\Omega^{n+1}\left(P L_{n} / O_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}(P L / O)$.
To give the alternative description of the Gromoll filtration, we use the homomorphisms

$$
\lambda_{i, j}^{n}: \pi_{j}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n-j}, \partial\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{j-i}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n-j+i}, \partial\right)\right)
$$

from the introduction. Here we represent $a \in \pi_{j}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n-j}, \partial\right)\right)$ by a map

$$
a:[0,1]^{j} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}\left([0,1]^{n-j},[0,1]^{n-j}\right)
$$

such that the value of $a$ is the identity map near the boundary of $[0,1]^{j}$ and such that each $a(x)$ is a diffeomorphism which restricts to the identity near the boundary of $[0,1]^{n-j}$. The class $\lambda_{i, j}^{n}(a)$ is then represented by the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i, j}^{n}(a):[0,1]^{j-i} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}\left([0,1]^{n-j} \times[0,1]^{i},[0,1]^{n-j} \times[0,1]^{i}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda_{i, j}^{n}(a)(x)(t, y)=(a(x, y)(t), y)$. Indeed the formula (2.4) implies that if we use $\Omega$ to denote the space of differentiable loops, then there are maps

$$
\Lambda_{i, j}^{n}: \Omega^{j} \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n-j}, \partial\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{j-1} \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n-j+i}, \partial\right)
$$

which induce the homomorphisms $\lambda_{i, j}^{n}$.
2.5 Lemma (c.f. [1, Theorem 1.3]). Let $i_{n}: P L_{n} / O_{n} \rightarrow P L_{n+1} / O_{n+1}$ be the canonical inclusion and let $\Omega M_{n}$ be the map of smooth loop spaces induced by $M_{n}$ and assume $n \geq 4$. Then the following diagram is homotopy commutative.


Proof. The corresponding statement for $n \neq 4$ with $P L_{n}$ replaced by $T o p_{n}$ is given in [14. Theorem 1.3] where Burghela considers the map $h_{n}: \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right) \rightarrow$ $\Omega^{n+1}\left(\operatorname{Top}_{n} / O_{n}\right)$. And indeed Burghelea remarks [4, p.9] that the analagous versions of his results hold when $T o p_{n}$ is replaced by $P L_{n}$.

We give a somewhat indirect argument based on the work of Kirby and Siebenmann which deduces the commutativity of the diagram above from \#, Theorem 1.3]. By definition the map $h_{n}$ factors through $M_{n}$ and the canonaical map $\pi_{n}: P L_{n} / O_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Top}_{n} / O_{n}:$

$$
h_{N}=\pi_{n} \circ M_{n}: \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{n+1}\left(P L_{n} / O_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{n+1}\left(\operatorname{Top}_{n} / O_{n}\right)
$$

Now there is a fibration sequence

$$
\Omega^{n+1}\left(P L_{n} / O_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}\left(\operatorname{Top}_{n} / O_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}\left(\operatorname{Top}_{n} / P L_{n}\right)
$$

and for $n \geq 5$ there is, by [14, Essay V, 5.0 (1)], a homotopy equivalence

$$
\operatorname{Top}_{n} / P L_{n} \simeq K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,3)
$$

Hence the space $\Omega^{n+1}\left(T o p_{n} / P L_{n}\right)$ is contractible and the map $\pi_{n}$ above is a homotopy equivalence. It follows that the commutativity of Burghelea's diagram [4. Theorem 1.3] entails the commutativity of the diagram above.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3 and 4 , Theorem 1.3] is the following alternative definition of the Gromoll filtration.
2.6 Corollary. $\Gamma_{k+1}^{n+1}=M_{*}^{-1} S_{*}\left(\pi_{n+1}\left(P L_{n-k} / O_{n-k}\right)\right)$.

The following lemma is presumably well known and in particular is implicit in [5]. Since we could not find a reference, we give a proof.
2.7 Lemma. $M_{*}=(\Psi \circ \Sigma): \Gamma^{n+1} \xlongequal{\cong} \pi_{n+1}(P L / O)$.

Proof. We use the description of $\Psi: \Theta_{n+1} \cong \pi_{n+1}(P L / O)$ given in 19, proof of Theorem 6.48]. Given an exotic sphere $\Sigma_{f}$ obtained from a diffeomorphism $f \in$ $\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)$, take the PL-homeomorphism $u: \Sigma_{f} \cong S^{n+1}$ to the standard sphere coming from the Alexander trick. There is an associated "derivative" map between the PL-microbundles of $\Sigma_{f}$ and $S^{n+1}$. Using the smooth structures, these PL-bundles are induced from the smooth tangent bundles which are of course vector bundles. Pulling back with $u$ to $S^{n+1}$, we then have two $O_{n+1^{-}}$ structures on the same $P L_{n+1}$-bundle over $S^{n+1}$, and the difference of the lifts of structure group gives a pointed map $S^{n+1} \rightarrow P L_{n+1} / O_{n+1}$. By stabilization we get an element of $\pi_{n+1}(P L / O)$, which is by definition $\Psi\left(\Sigma_{f}\right)$.

On the other hand, the map $M_{*}: \pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(P L / O)$ from is defined (after we strip off the technicalities associated to the use of simplicial methods) by first looking at the loop $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow P L\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)$ obtained by applying the Alexander trick to $f$, with induced path $\bar{\gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow P L\left(D^{n}, \partial\right) / \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)$. The latter corresponds to the inverse in the boundary map of the fibration

$$
P L\left(D^{n}, \partial\right) \rightarrow B \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)=P L\left(D^{n}, \partial\right) / \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)
$$

compare [5, proof of Theorem 4.2]. The path of PL-derivatives $t \mapsto D\left(\gamma_{t}\right)$ gives, as above by comparing the pullbacks of the vector bundle structure on the PLmicrobundle of $D^{n}$ to the standard vector bundle structure, a loop of maps from $\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)$ to $P L_{n} / O_{n}$, i.e. a map $S^{n+1} \rightarrow P L_{n} / O_{n}$. By 5 , proof of 4.2 and Section 1], its stabilization represents $M_{*}(\psi) \in \pi_{n+1}(P L / O)$.

Observe that the family of PL-homeomorphisms $D^{n} \rightarrow D^{n}$ just constructed, extended by the identity over a "second hemisphere", patch together to the PL-homeomorphism between the homotopy sphere $\Sigma_{f}$ and $S^{n+1}$ used in the definition of $\Psi \circ \Sigma$. Moreover, if we stabilize the family of differentials by the identity of the vertical direction, we obtain the differential of that PLhomeomomorphism. Finally, the underlying vector bundle structures on the PL-microbundles patch together and stabilize to the vector bundle structures on the PL-microbundles of $\Sigma_{f}$ and $S^{n+1}$ encountered above. It follows that the stable comparison maps $S^{n+1} \rightarrow P L / O$ coincide, i.e. $M_{*}=\Psi \circ \Sigma$.
2.8 Remark. It is interesting to observe that $\Psi \circ \Sigma$ factors by construction through $\pi_{n+1}\left(P L_{n+1} / O_{n+1}\right)$, whereas $M_{*}$ even factors through $\pi_{n+1}\left(P L_{n} / O_{n}\right)$.

### 2.2 Homotopy spheres

In this subsection we review a number of important isomorphisms used to study the group of homotopy spheres $\Theta_{n+1}$. More information and proofs can be found in 19, 6.6] and [16, Appendix]. Let $G:=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G(k)$ denote the stable group of homotopy self-equivalences of spheres, let $\pi_{i}^{S}$ denote the $i$ th stable stem and let $\Omega_{i}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ denote $i$-dimensional framed bordism group. We have isomorphisms

$$
\pi_{i}(G) \cong \pi_{i}^{S} \cong \Omega_{i}^{\mathrm{fr}}
$$

where the first isomorphism may be found in 20, Corollary 3.8] and the second is the Pontrjagin-Thom isomorphism.

The canonical map $O \rightarrow G$ induces the stable $J$-homomorphism on homotopy groups $J_{i}: \pi_{i}(O) \rightarrow \pi_{i}(G)$. The group $\operatorname{im}\left(J_{i}\right) \subset \pi_{i}(G)$ is a cyclic summand and the group $\operatorname{coker}\left(J_{i}\right)$ maps isomorphically onto the torsion subgroup of $\pi_{i}(G / O)$ under the canonical map $q: G \rightarrow G / O$. Moreover there is an isomorphism $\pi_{i}(G / O) \cong \Omega_{i}^{\text {alm }}$ where $\Omega_{*}^{\text {alm }}$ denotes almost framed bordism (cycles are manifolds with a chosen base point and a framing of the stable normal bundle on the complement of this base point).
2.9 Theorem (13, Section 4]). For $n \geq 4$ the abelian group $\Theta_{n+1}$ is finite and lies in an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow b P_{n+2} \longrightarrow \Theta_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \operatorname{coker}\left(J_{n+1}\right)
$$

where $b P_{n+2}$ is the finite cyclic subgroup of homotopy spheres bounding parallelizable manifolds. By [133, Theorem 6.6], $\Phi$ is surjective if $n$ is odd.
2.10 Proposition. The canonical map $p: P L / O \rightarrow G / O$ satisfies

$$
q_{*} \circ \Phi=p_{*} \circ \Psi: \Theta_{n+1} \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(G / O)
$$

Proof. The statement follows from the commutativity of the squares

which is explained in 19, Theorem 6.48]. The homomorphism $\Phi$ is geometrically defined as the composition of the upper right homomorphism, the isomorphism $\Omega_{n+1}^{\text {alm }} \cong \pi_{n+1}(G / O)$ and the inverse of the isomorphism induced by $q_{*}$ from $\operatorname{coker}\left(J_{n+1}\right)$ to the torsion subgroup of $\pi_{n+1}(G / O)$.

### 2.3 The $\alpha$-invariant

Recall from 12, []Section 4.2] that the $\alpha$-invariant is the ring homomorphism $\alpha: \Omega_{*}^{\text {Spin }} \rightarrow K O_{*}$ which associates to a spin bordism class the KO-valued index of the Dirac operator of a representative spin manifold. We also write $\alpha$ for the corresponding invariant on framed bordism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha: \Omega_{*}^{\mathrm{fr}} \rightarrow \Omega_{*}^{\mathrm{Spin}} \rightarrow K O_{*} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the Pontrjagin-Thom isomorphism $\Omega_{*}^{\mathrm{fr}} \cong \pi_{*}^{S}$ the $\alpha$-invariant has the following interpretation as Adams' d-invariant [1], Section 7], $d_{\mathbb{R}}: \pi_{*}^{S} \rightarrow K O_{*}$, which was used already in [12, p. 44], compare [21, Section 3].
2.12 Lemma. Under the Pontryagin-Thom isomorphism $\Omega_{*}^{\mathrm{fr}} \cong \pi_{*}^{S}$ the $\alpha$-invariant $\alpha: \Omega_{8 j+1}^{\mathrm{fr}} \rightarrow K O_{8 j+1}$ may be identified with $d_{\mathbb{R}}: \pi_{8 j+1}^{S} \rightarrow K O_{8 j+1}$.

Recall that $K O_{*}$ satisfies Bott periodicity of period 8 with Bott generator $\beta \in K O_{8} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. By [1], Theorems 7.18 and 12.13], for all $k \geq 1$ there are (not uniquely defined) Adams' elements $\mu_{8 k+1} \in \pi_{8 k+1}^{S}=\Omega_{8 k+1}^{\mathrm{fr}}$ satisfying

$$
\alpha\left(\mu_{8 k+1}\right)=\alpha(\eta) \beta^{k} \neq 0 \in K O_{8 k+1}
$$

where $\eta \in \pi_{1}^{S}$ generates the 1 -stem and $\alpha(\eta)$ generates $K O_{1}$. Since $\alpha$ is a ring homomorphism we see that $\alpha\left(\eta \mu_{8 k+1}\right)=\alpha\left(\eta^{2}\right) \beta^{k} \neq 0 \in K O_{8 k+2}$, and combining Lemma 2.12 with [1] Proposition 12.14] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\mu_{8 j+1} \cdot \mu_{8 k+1}\right)=\alpha\left(\eta^{2}\right) \beta^{j+k} \neq 0 \in K O_{8(j+k)+2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that an element $x \in \pi_{j}^{S}=\lim _{k} \pi_{j+k}\left(S^{k}\right)$ is said to live on $S^{k}$ if there is $x_{k} \in \pi_{j+k}\left(S^{k}\right)$ which maps to $x$ under the canonical homomorphism.

The next crucial property of the elements $\mu_{8 k+1}$ is that (at least if we make suitable choices here) they all live on $S^{4}$.
2.14 Lemma. For suitable choices, the (not uniquely defined) homotopy class $\mu_{8 j+1} \in \pi_{8 j+1}^{S}$ lives on the 5 -sphere and moreover there is $\mu_{8 j+1,5} \in \pi_{8 j+5}\left(S^{5}\right)$ with $2 \mu_{8 j+1,5}=0$. It follows that there is a corresponding homotopy class $\mu_{8 j+1,9} \in \pi_{8 j+10}\left(S^{9}\right)$ of order 2 .

Proof. The statement follows by carefully inspecting Adams' construction of the homotopy class $\mu_{8 j+1} \in \pi_{8 j+1}^{S}$, involving Toda brackets.

Let us recall that, given homotopy classes of maps $u: S^{a} \rightarrow S^{b}, v: S^{b} \rightarrow S^{c}$ and $w: S^{c} \rightarrow S^{d}$ such that $[v \circ u]=0$ and $[w \circ v]=0$, there is a set $\{w, v, u\}$ of homotopy classes of maps $S^{a+1} \rightarrow S^{c}$, the Toda brackets of $w, v, u$, a kind of secondary composition. The elements of the set depend on choices of nullhomotopies for $v \circ u$ and $w \circ v$, and indeed (for $a \geq 1$ ) $\{w, v, u\}$ is a coset of $[E u] \circ \pi_{b+1}\left(S^{c}\right)+\pi_{a+1}\left(S^{b}\right) \circ[w] \in \pi_{a+1}\left(S^{c}\right)$, where $E$ denotes suspension.

Now, for the construction of the $\mu_{8 j+1,5}$ on starts with a homotopy class $\alpha_{1}: S^{k+7} \rightarrow S^{k}$ of order 2 such that $\{2, \alpha, 2\}$ contains 0 . Here 2 stands for the self map of the sphere of degree 2 .

One then chooses inductively $\alpha_{s}: S^{k+8 s-1} \rightarrow S^{k}$ to be any element in the Toda bracket $\left\{\alpha_{s-1}, 2, \alpha\right\}$. For notational simplicity we write $\alpha$ also instead of the appropriate suspension of it. Note that in this proof we follow Adams and use ' $\alpha_{s}^{\prime}$ to refer to a certain homotopy class. This should not be confused with the $\alpha$-invariant of (2.11).

For the induction to work we have to show that $\left[2 \alpha_{s}\right]=0 \in \pi_{k+8 s-1}\left(S^{k}\right)$. For this we use 28, Proposition 1.2 IV)]: $\left\{\alpha_{s-1}, 2, \alpha\right\} 2=\alpha_{s-1} \circ\{2, \alpha, 2\}=0$. The latter follows because by our induction hypothesis $\left[\alpha_{s-1} \circ 2\right]=0$ and $\{2, \alpha, 2\}$ contains by assumption only multiples of 2 .

Finally, we define $\mu_{8 j+1, k-1}$ as any element in the Toda bracket $\left\{\eta_{, k-1}, 2, \alpha_{j}\right\}$. Here, we let $\eta_{, n}: S^{n+1} \rightarrow S^{n}$ represent (for $n \geq 3$ ) the generator of $\pi_{n+1}\left(S^{n}\right) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z} / 2$.

To see that $\mu_{8 j+1, k-1}$ is of order 2 we need some preparation:
If for $a \in \pi_{k+s}\left(S^{k}\right)$ we have that $\{2, a, 2\}=2 \pi_{k+s+1}\left(S^{k}\right) \subset \pi_{k+s+1}\left(S^{k}\right)$, then for arbitrary $x: S^{r} \rightarrow S^{k+s}$ and $y: S^{k} \rightarrow S^{b}$ also $\{2, a, 2 x\} \subset 2 \pi_{r+1}\left(S^{k}\right)$ and $\{2 y, a, 2\} \subset 2 \pi_{k+s+1}\left(S^{b}\right)$. Note that $\{2, a, 2 x\}$ is a coset of $2 \pi_{r+1}\left(S^{k}\right)+$ $\pi_{k+s+1}\left(S^{r}\right) \circ 2 E x \subset 2 \pi_{r+1}\left(S^{k}\right)$ so it suffices to show that $0 \in\{2, a, 2 x\}$, and
similarly for $\{2 y, a, 2\}$. Now the module property 28 , Proposition 1.2 IV] implies $0=0 \circ x \in\{2, a, 2\} \circ x \subset\{2, a, 2 x\}$, and in the same way $0 \in\{2, a, 2 y\}$.

Now we show by induction that $\left\{2, \alpha_{s}, 2\right\}$ consists of the multiples of 2 . By assumption this is true for $s=1$. For the induction, we apply the Leibniz rule [28, Proposition 1.5] which says that $\left\{2, \alpha_{s}, 2\right\}=\left\{2,\left\{\alpha_{s-1}, 2, \alpha\right\}, 2\right\}$ (which is a coset of the multiples of 2 ) is congruent to the set

$$
\left\{\left\{2, \alpha_{s-1}, 2\right\}, \alpha, 2\right\}+\left\{2, \alpha_{s-1},\{2, \alpha, 2\}\right\}
$$

By the induction hypothesis and the above consideration, both these iterated Toda brackets only contain multiples of 2 , and so $\left\{2, \alpha_{s}, 2\right\}$ must be the coset of 0 of the multiples of 2 .

Finally, using again 28, Proposition 1.2]

$$
2 \mu_{8 j+1, k-1} \in\left\{\eta_{, k-1}, 2, \alpha_{j}\right\} 2=\eta_{, k-1} \circ\left\{2, \alpha_{j}, 2\right\} \subset \eta_{, k-1} \circ 2 \pi_{k+8 j}\left(S^{k}\right)=0
$$

because $2 \eta_{, k-1}=0$ as long as $k \geq 4$.
Finally, we follow literally one of the proofs Adams gives to show that $\alpha\left(\mu_{8 j+1}\right)$ is non-trivial. This uses the fact, estabilished in [1], p. 68] that for the relevant dimension $\alpha$ coincides with Adams' homomorphism $e_{\mathbb{C}}$ (both considered to be maps to $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z})$. To compute $e_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mu_{8 j+1}\right)$ one can inductively apply [1]. Theorem 11.1]. This theorem states that $e_{\mathbb{C}}\{x, 2, y\}=2 e_{\mathbb{C}}(x) e_{\mathbb{C}}(y)$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. Finally, one only has to use that $e_{\mathbb{C}}(\eta)=1 / 2$ and $e_{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha)=1 / 2$, which is established in [1, proof of Theorem 12.13].

For the choice of $\alpha_{1}$ we follow again [1] , proof of Theorem 12.13] which uses corresponding results of Toda. Indeed, in [28, Lemma 5.13] Toda checks that the element $\sigma^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \pi_{5+7}\left(S^{5}\right)$ of order 2 stabilizes to the element of order 2 in $\pi_{7}^{S}$. Moreover, with $E$ still denoting the suspension, Toda shows in [28, Corollary 3.7] that $\left\{2, E \sigma^{\prime \prime \prime}, 2\right\} \ni E \sigma^{\prime \prime \prime} \eta_{, 13}=2 \sigma^{\prime \prime} \eta_{, 13}=0$ since $\eta_{, 13}$ has order 2 . Therefore, an appropriate choice is $\alpha_{1}:=E\left(\sigma^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=2 \sigma^{\prime \prime} \in \pi_{6+7}\left(S^{6}\right)$. Here $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ is Toda's notation for an element of order 4 in $\left(\pi_{6+7}\left(S^{6}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 60 \mathbb{Z}\right.$.
2.15 Remark. On the face of it, our construction of $\alpha_{s}$ and therefore $\mu_{8 j+1}$ is slightly more general than Adams' construction which does seem not allow for arbitrary elements in the Toda brackets involved in the inductive construction. Note, however that we have to use unstable Toda brackets, which means that the same construction, starting with larger $k$, might give rise to more elements in $\pi_{8 j+1}^{s}$ which do not live on the 5 -sphere.
2.16 Remark. Another proof of the existence of $\mu_{8 j+1,5}$ comes from (7] where Curtis calculated the sphere of origin for many examples using the the Adams spectral sequence and the restricted lower central series spectral sequence. In fact Curtis shows that elements of non-trivial $d$-invariant live on $S^{3}$. We gave an independent proof to avoid the task of checking how the notations from (7] match with those of [1] and to show that there is a $\mu_{8 j+1,5}$ of order two.

### 2.4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this subsection we prove our main theorem. Since every homotopy sphere has a unique spin-structure we obtain the $\alpha$-invariant on $\Gamma^{n+1} \cong \Theta_{n+1}$ :

$$
\alpha: \Gamma^{n+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{n+1}^{\mathrm{Spin}} \rightarrow K O_{n+1}
$$

Combining [21, Theorem 2 and its proof], 11, Theorems 7.18 and 12.13] and 2.9 we see that for each $j>1$ there is a homotopy $8 j-7$-sphere $\Sigma_{\mu_{8 j-7}} \in$ $\Theta_{8 j-7}$ representing $\left[\mu_{8 j-7}\right] \in \operatorname{coker}\left(J_{8 j-7}\right)$. In particular we have the equation $\alpha\left(\Sigma_{\mu_{8 j-7}}\right)=\alpha(\eta) \beta^{j-1} \neq 0 \in K O_{8 j-7}$. By Cerf's theorem [6], $\Gamma_{2}^{9}=\Gamma_{1}^{9}$ and so we can find $g \in \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{7}, \partial\right)\right)$ such that $\Sigma(\lambda(g))=\Sigma_{\mu_{9}}$. By (2.13) above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\Sigma_{\mu_{9}} \times \Sigma_{\mu_{8 j-7}}\right)=\alpha\left(\eta^{2}\right) \beta^{j} \neq 0 \in K O_{8 j+2} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall the homotopy equivalence $M: \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{7}, \partial\right) \simeq \Omega^{8}\left(P L_{7} / O_{7}\right)$ of Theorem 2.3 and consider the induced isomorphism

$$
M_{7 *}: \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{7}, \partial\right)\right) \cong \pi_{9}\left(P L_{7} / O_{7}\right)
$$

With $g \in \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{7}, \partial\right)\right)$ as above we have $M_{7 *}(g) \in \pi_{9}\left(P L_{7} / O_{7}\right)$. Now let $\mu_{8 j-7,9} \in \pi_{8 j+2}\left(S^{9}\right)$ be an element of order 2 with $S\left(\mu_{8 j-7,9}\right)=\mu_{8 j-7} \in \pi_{8 j-7}^{S}$ whose existence is proven in Lemma 2.14. The composition

$$
M_{7 *}(g) \circ \mu_{8 j-1,9} \in \pi_{8 j+2}\left(P L_{7} / O_{7}\right)
$$

has order 2 and we define

$$
f_{j}:=M_{7 *}^{-1}\left(M_{7 *}(g) \circ \mu_{8 j-7,9}\right) \in \pi_{8 j-6}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{7}, \partial\right)\right)
$$

so that $\lambda\left(f_{j}\right) \in \Gamma_{8 j-5}^{8 j+2}$. For $\Sigma_{f_{j}}:=\Sigma\left(\lambda\left(f_{j}\right)\right)$ we show below that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\Sigma_{f_{j}}\right)=\alpha\left(\Sigma_{\mu_{9}} \times \Sigma_{\mu_{8 j-7}}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so by (2.17) we have that $\alpha\left(\lambda\left(f_{j}\right)\right)=\alpha\left(\Sigma_{f_{j}}\right)=\alpha\left(\eta^{2}\right) \beta^{j} \neq 0 \in K O_{8 j+2}$ which proves Theorem 1.1.

We prove equation (2.18) using the following diagram where $k=8 j+2$. We obtain the diagram by combining [5, p. 14] and [19, Theorems 6.47, 6.48] and we claim that it commutes:


Using the claimed commutativity of diagram (2.19) let us start in the second row with the pair

$$
\left(M_{7 *}(g), \mu_{8 j-7,9}\right) \in \pi_{9}\left(P L_{7} / O_{7}\right) \times \pi_{8 j+2}\left(S^{9}\right)
$$

Since $\Sigma(\lambda(g))=\Sigma_{\mu_{9}}$, the pair $\left(\mu_{9}, \mu_{8 j-7}\right) \in \pi_{9}^{S} \times \pi_{k-9}^{S}$ maps to the same element in $\pi_{9}(G / O) \times \pi_{k-9}^{S}$ as $\left(M_{7 *}(g), \mu_{8 j-7,9}\right)$. We already checked in Equation (2.17) that $\left(\mu_{9}, \mu_{8 j-7}\right)$ is mapped in the bottom row to $\alpha\left(\eta^{2}\right) \beta^{j} \in K O_{8 j+2}$. Finally, $\Sigma_{f_{j}}$ is obtained from the element $\Sigma \circ \lambda \circ M_{7 *}^{-1}\left(M_{7 *}(g) \circ \mu_{8 j-7,9}\right) \in \Theta_{8 k+2}$ in the top right corner of the diagram. By commutativity, its $\alpha$-invariant is as desired.

Now we prove the commutativity of (2.19). The left part is taken from [5], the identification of the homotopy groups of $P L / O, G / O, G$ with the bordism groups or $\Theta_{k}$ and the corresponding commutativity from [19, Section 6]. The only assertions which are not contained in those two references are the compatibility with $\alpha$, which is clear, and, although implicitly stated in [5], the commutativity of the diagram


This commutativity we have essentially prove in Lemma 2.7, one has additionally only to apply compatibility of the constructions with suspension.
2.20 Remark. The argument above started from the statement $\Sigma^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mu_{9}}\right) \in \Gamma_{2}^{9}$. If one knew that a 9 -dimensional Hitchin sphere $\Sigma \mu_{9}$ had Gromoll filtration $\Gamma_{k}^{9}$ for $2<k \leq 5$ then we could repeat the argument to conclude that $\alpha\left(\Gamma_{8 j-7+k}^{8 j+2}\right) \neq$ 0 . As of writing, it seems that nothing is known about the Gromoll filtration of 9 -dimension Hitchin spheres beyond the Cerf-Hatcher bounds $\Sigma^{-1}\left(\Sigma_{\mu_{9}}\right) \in \Gamma_{2}^{9}$ and $\Gamma_{6}^{9}=\{0\}$.
2.21 Remark. In our construction, we crucially use the ring structure of $K O_{*}$ and the non-triviality of the product of generators in $K O_{8 k+1}$. This means that the interesting elements (with non-trivial $\alpha$-invariant) we obtain are in $\pi_{k}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)\right)$ with $k+n \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$.

We expect that one can use Toda brackets (of an element in $\pi_{*}\left(P L_{k} / O_{k}\right)$ with elements of $\left.\pi_{*}\left(S^{n}\right)\right)$ to construct such elements in $\pi_{k}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{n}, \partial\right)\right)$ with $k+n \not \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$. This we leave for future work.

### 2.5 Positive scalar curvature metrics: Corollary 1.2

To prove Corollary 1.2 one need only recall the arguments following 12, Proposition 4.6]: Let $X$ be a closed $m$-dimensional spin-manifold $(m \geq 7)$ and let $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)$ be the space of positive scalar curvature metrics on $X$ which we assume to be non-empty. Observe that the group of diffeomorphisms of $X$, Diff $(X)$, acts on $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)$ by composition. In particular, fixing a metric $g \in \mathcal{R}^{+}(X)$, define the map

$$
T: \operatorname{Diff}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{+}(X), \quad h \mapsto h^{*} g
$$

Moreover, by fixing a $k$-disc $D^{m} \subset X$ and extending diffeomorphisms by the identity we obtain a map $i: \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{m}, \partial\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}(X)$.

In 12, Proposition 4.6] Hitchin defines a homomorphism

$$
A_{n-1}: \pi_{n-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right) \rightarrow K O_{m+n}
$$

He shows then that the composite homomorphism

$$
B_{n-1}:=A_{n-1} \circ T_{*}: \pi_{n-1}(\operatorname{Diff}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X), g_{0}\right) \rightarrow K O_{m+n}
$$

assigns to $\phi: S^{n-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}(X)$ the family index of the bundle of spin manifolds $X \rightarrow Z_{\phi} \rightarrow S^{n}$ obtained by the usual clutching construction. Moreover, in [12, Section 4.3, in particular Proposition 4.4] Hitchin shows that if we start with $\phi: S^{n-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{m}, \partial\right)$ then $B\left(i_{*}(\phi)\right)=\alpha\left(\Sigma_{\phi}\right)$, where $\Sigma_{\phi}$ is the exotic $(n+m)$-sphere defined by $\lambda(\phi) \in \Gamma_{n}^{n+m}$.

Fix $j$ with $8 j+1>m \geq 7$. We apply the argument above starting from $f_{j}$ as in Theorem 1.1 and $\bar{\phi}:=\lambda_{m-7,8 j-6}^{8 j+1}\left(f_{j}\right) \in \pi_{8 j+1-m}\left(\operatorname{Diff}\left(D^{m}, \partial\right)\right)$. By Theorem 1.1 we have that $2 \phi=0$ and that $\lambda(\phi) \in \Gamma_{8 j-5}^{8 j+2}$ satisfies $\alpha(\lambda(\phi)) \neq 0$. Pulling back the metric $g$ by $\phi$ we obtain a continuous family of metrics in $\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)$ parameterized by $S^{8 j+1-m}$ and hence the homotopy class $T_{*} i_{*}(\phi) \in$ $\pi_{8 j+1-m}\left(\mathcal{R}^{+}(X)\right)$ of order 2. By 12, Proposition 4.4], $A_{8 j+1-m}\left(T_{*} i_{*}(\phi)\right)=$ $\alpha(\lambda)$ and so generates $K O_{8 j+2} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$. This proves Corollary 1.2.

## A The Gromoll filtration: table of values

We think that our results about the Gromoll filtration and the existence of elements rather deep down with non-trivial $\alpha$-invariant are interesting in their own right. In this appendix we place them in context by assembling some results from the literature about the Gromoll filtration.

| $\Gamma_{2}^{7} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 28$ | $\Gamma_{2}^{7} \neq \Gamma_{3}^{7} \supset 0=\Gamma_{4}^{7}$. The inequality for $\Gamma_{3}^{7} \neq \Gamma_{2}^{7}$ is <br> due to Weiss <br> 14 elements. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Gamma_{2}^{8} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$ | nothing known proved that $\Gamma_{3}^{7}$ has at most |$|$| $\Gamma_{2}^{9} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{3}$ | $\Gamma_{3}^{10} \supset \mathbb{Z} / 2$ by Theorem 1.1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Gamma_{2}^{10} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 6$ | $\Gamma_{3}^{11} \subset \mathbb{Z} / 496$ by 29$]$ |
| $\Gamma_{2}^{11} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 992$ |  |
| $\Gamma_{2}^{12}=0$ | $\Gamma_{2}^{13}=\Gamma_{3}^{13}=\Gamma_{4}^{13}$ by $[2]$ |
| $\Gamma_{2}^{13} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3$ | nothing known |
| $\Gamma_{2}^{14} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\Gamma_{3}^{15} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 4,064$ by $[2,29]$ |
| $\Gamma_{2}^{15} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 8,128$ |  |
| $\Gamma_{2}^{16} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$ | nothing known, conjecturally $\Gamma_{3}^{16}=0$ |
| $\Gamma_{2}^{17} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ | If Remark 2.21 <br> be able to could be implude that $\alpha\left(\Gamma_{9}^{17}\right) \neq 0$ or perted we would <br> even $\alpha\left(\Gamma_{10}^{17}\right) \neq 0$, in particular $\Gamma_{9}^{17}$ or even $\Gamma_{10}^{17}$ <br> would contain $\mathbb{Z} / 2$. |
| $\Gamma_{2}^{18} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 8 \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 2$ | By Theorem $\left[1.1, \alpha\left(\Gamma_{11}^{18}\right) \neq 0 . \quad\right.$ Because $\mathbb{Z} / 8=$ <br> ker $(\alpha), \Gamma_{11}^{18} \supset \mathbb{Z} / 2$. |
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