

# ON DIVISIBLE WEIGHTED DYNKIN DIAGRAMS AND REACHABLE ELEMENTS

DMITRI I. PANYUSHEV

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a connected simple algebraic group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $e \in \mathfrak{g}$  a nilpotent element. By the Morozov-Jacobson theorem, there is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple containing  $e$ , say  $\{e, h, f\}$ . The semisimple element  $h \in \mathfrak{g}$  is called a *characteristic* of  $e$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  be the weighted Dynkin diagram of (the  $G$ -orbit of)  $e$ . As is well known, the numbers occurring in this diagram belong to the set  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  (see Section 1 for details.). Suppose that  $e$  is *even*, which means that "1" does not occur in  $\mathcal{D}(e)$ . Then one may formally divide  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  by 2, i.e., replace all "2" in  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  with "1". The resulting diagram, denoted  $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(e)$ , still looks like a weighted Dynkin diagram, and we are interested in the following situation:

- Both  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(e)$  are weighted Dynkin diagrams; *equivalently*,
- Both  $h$  and  $h/2$  are characteristics of nilpotent elements.

If such a division produces another nilpotent element, then one may expect that the corresponding orbits have some interesting properties.

**Definition 1.** A weighted Dynkin diagram  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  or the corresponding nilpotent  $G$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O} = G \cdot e$  is said to be *divisible* if  $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(e)$  is again a weighted Dynkin diagram. For a divisible  $\mathcal{D}(e)$ , the pair of orbits corresponding to  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(e)$  is called a *friendly pair*.

The orbit corresponding to  $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(e)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}^{(2)}$ , and we write  $e^{(2)}$  for an element of  $\mathcal{O}^{(2)}$  with characteristic  $h/2$ . Our goal is to classify the friendly pairs of nilpotent orbits for all simple Lie algebras and explore some of their properties. Write  $\mathfrak{g}^x$  for the centraliser of  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

In Section 2, we prove that  $\dim \mathfrak{g}^{e^{(2)}} = \dim \text{Ker}(\text{ad } e)^2 = \dim \mathfrak{g}^e + \dim \mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e$  is the nilpotent radical of  $\mathfrak{g}^e$ ; we also note that if  $e$  is divisible, then the *Dynkin index* of the simple 3-dimensional subalgebra  $\text{span}\{e, h, f\}$  is divisible by 4. For the classical Lie algebras, we characterise the partitions corresponding to the divisible orbits (Theorem 3.1) and provide an explicit construction of  $e^{(2)}$  via the Jordan normal form of  $e$ . For instance, if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$  or  $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ , then the partition  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$  of  $\dim V$  gives rise to a divisible orbit if and only if all  $\lambda_i$  are odd. Furthermore, if  $SL(V) \cdot e \subset \mathfrak{sl}(V)$  is divisible, then one can take  $e^{(2)} = e^2$ , which explains our notation. For the exceptional Lie algebras, we merely

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14L30, 17B08, 22E46.

provide a list of friendly pairs (Table 1). Let  $\mathfrak{l}$  be a minimal Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  meeting  $G \cdot e$ . Using our classification, we prove that  $L \cdot e$  is divisible (in  $\mathfrak{l}$ ) if and only if  $G \cdot e$  is divisible (Theorem 3.2).

For a divisible  $\mathcal{O} = G \cdot e$ , we assume that  $[h, e^{(2)}] = 4e^{(2)}$ . The pair  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}^{(2)})$  is said to be *very friendly*, if  $e^{(2)}$  can additionally be chosen such that  $[e, e^{(2)}] = 0$ . In Section 5, we prove that all friendly pairs in the classical algebras are very friendly, whereas for the exceptional algebras there is only one exception (for  $\mathfrak{g}$  of type  $F_4$ ).

The two nonzero nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  represent the simplest example of a friendly pair. Motivated by this observation, we say that two nilpotent orbits  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  form an  $A_2$ -pair, if there is a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_3 \subset \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_3$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_3$ ) is the principal (resp. minimal) nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ . Such an orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  is called a *low- $A_2$  orbit*. Every  $A_2$ -pair is friendly (with  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  divisible and  $\mathcal{O} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{(2)}$ ), but not vice versa. For  $e \in \mathcal{O}$ , we have  $e \in [\mathfrak{g}^e, \mathfrak{g}^e]$  (because this holds inside  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ ). Nilpotent elements (orbits) with this property are said to be *reachable*. They have already been studied in [3, 7]. Let  $\mathfrak{g}^e = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}^e(i)$  be the grading of  $\mathfrak{g}^e$  determined by a characteristic of  $e$ . For  $e$  lying in a low- $A_2$  orbit, we prove that  $\mathfrak{g}^e$  is generated by the Levi subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}^e(0)$  and two elements in  $\mathfrak{g}^e(1) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e$  (Theorem 4.4). In particular,  $\mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e \subset [\mathfrak{g}^e, \mathfrak{g}^e]$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e$  is generated by the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}^e(1)$ . The latter provides a partial answer to [7, Question 4.6], see also Section 4. Theorem 4.4 can be regarded as an application (in case of  $G = SL_3$ ) of a general result that describes the structure of the space of  $(U, U)$ -invariants for any simple  $G$ -module [8, Theorem 1.6]. Here  $U$  is a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$ . For  $\mathfrak{g}$  exceptional, we derive the list of  $A_2$ -pairs from results of Dynkin [2].

**Acknowledgements.** This work was completed during my stay at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik (Bonn). I am grateful to this institution for the warm hospitality and support.

## 1. $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -TRIPLES AND CENTRALISERS

We collect some basic facts on  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triples, associated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradings, and centralisers of nilpotent elements.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra with a fixed triangular decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}_- \oplus \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_+$  and  $\Delta$  the root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ . The roots of  $\mathfrak{u}_+$  are positive. Write  $\Delta_+$  (resp.  $\Pi$ ) for the set of positive (resp. simple) roots;  $\theta$  is the highest root in  $\Delta_+$ . For  $\gamma \in \Delta$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma$  is the corresponding root space. The Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}$ , and the induced bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{Q}^*$  is denoted by  $(\ , \ )$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $G^x$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^x$  denote its centralisers in  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be the cone of nilpotent elements. By the Morozov-Jacobson theorem each nonzero element  $e \in \mathcal{N}$  can be included in an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $\{e, h, f\}$  (i.e.,  $[e, f] = h$ ,  $[h, e] = 2e$ ,  $[h, f] = -2f$ ). The semisimple element  $h$ , which is called a

characteristic of  $e$ , determines the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(i),$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}(i) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = ix\}$ . Set  $\mathfrak{g}(\geq j) = \bigoplus_{i \geq j} \mathfrak{g}(i)$ . The orbit  $G \cdot h$  contains a unique element  $h_+$  such that  $h_+ \in \mathfrak{t}$  and  $\alpha(h_+) \geq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Pi$ . The Dynkin diagram of  $\mathfrak{g}$  equipped with the numerical marks  $\alpha(h_+)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Pi$ , at the corresponding nodes is called the *weighted Dynkin diagram* of (the  $G$ -orbit of)  $e$ , denoted  $\mathcal{D}(e)$ . It is known that

- (a) [2, Theorem 8.2]  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triples  $\{e, h, f\}$  and  $\{e', h', f'\}$  are  $G$ -conjugate if and only if  $h$  and  $h'$  are  $G$ -conjugate if and only if  $\mathcal{D}(e) = \mathcal{D}(e')$ ;
- (b) [2, Theorem 8.3]  $\alpha(h_+) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ;
- (c) [4, Corollary 3.7]  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triples  $\{e, h, f\}$  and  $\{e', h', f'\}$  are  $G$ -conjugate if and only if  $e$  and  $e'$  are  $G$ -conjugate.

Let  $G(0)$  (resp.  $P$ ) denote the connected subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(0)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}(\geq 0)$ ). Set  $K = G^e \cap G(0)$ . The following facts on the structure of centralisers  $G^e \subset G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^e \subset \mathfrak{g}$  are standard, see [9, ch. III, § 4] or [1, Ch. 3].

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $\{e, h, f\}$  be an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. Then*

- (i)  $K = G^e \cap G^f$ , and it is a maximal reductive subgroup in both  $G^e$  and  $G^f$ ;  $G^e \subset P$ ;
- (ii) the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^e$  is non-negatively graded:  $\mathfrak{g}^e = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathfrak{g}^e(i)$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}^e(i) = \mathfrak{g}^e \cap \mathfrak{g}(i)$ . Here  $\mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e := \mathfrak{g}^e(\geq 1)$  is the nilpotent radical and  $\mathfrak{g}_{red}^e := \mathfrak{g}^e(0)$  is a Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}^e$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{ad } e : \mathfrak{g}(i-2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(i)$  is injective for  $i \leq 1$  and surjective for  $i \geq 1$ ;
- (iv)  $(\text{ad } e)^i : \mathfrak{g}(-i) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(i)$  is one-to-one;
- (v)  $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = \dim \mathfrak{g}(0) + \dim \mathfrak{g}(1)$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e = \dim \mathfrak{g}(1) + \dim \mathfrak{g}(2)$ .

The *height* of  $e \in \mathcal{N}$ , denoted  $\tilde{ht}(e)$ , is the maximal integer  $m$  such that  $(\text{ad } e)^m \neq 0$ . By Proposition 1.1(iii), we also have  $\tilde{ht}(e) = \max\{i \mid \mathfrak{g}(i) \neq 0\}$ . If  $e$  is even, then  $\tilde{ht}(e)$  is even, but the converse is not true. If  $l_\alpha = \alpha(h_+)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Pi$ , are the numerical marks of  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  and  $\theta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \alpha$ , then

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{ht}(e) = \theta(h_+) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} l_\alpha n_\alpha.$$

**Warning.** We will consider two notions of height: the above height of  $e \in \mathcal{N}$  and the usual height of a root  $\nu \in \Delta$ , denoted  $\text{ht}(\nu)$ .

## 2. FIRST PROPERTIES OF DIVISIBLE ORBITS

We fix an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $\{e, h, f\}$  containing  $e \in \mathcal{N}$  and work with the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Recall that  $e$  is *even* if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}(i) = 0$  for  $i$  odd. Then the integer  $\tilde{ht}(e)$  is also even. If  $\mathcal{O} = G \cdot e$  is divisible, then the orbit corresponding to  $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(e)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}^{(2)}$

and we write  $e^{(2)}$  for an element of  $\mathcal{O}^{(2)}$  with characteristic  $h/2$ . That is, we assume that  $e^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{g}(4)$  and there is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple of the form  $\{e^{(2)}, h/2, f^{(2)}\}$ . By a result of Vinberg,  $G(0)$  has finitely many orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}(i)$  for each  $i \neq 0$ . Our first observation is

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose that  $e \in \mathcal{N}$  is even.*

1. *Let  $\mathcal{O}'$  be the dense  $G(0)$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}(4)$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  is divisible if and only if  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}^x(0), h) = 0$  for some (=any)  $x \in \mathcal{O}'$ . In this case, any element of  $\mathcal{O}'$  can be taken as  $e^{(2)}$ .*

2. *For any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}(4)$ , one has  $\tilde{h}t(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}t(e)$ . If  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  is divisible, then  $\tilde{h}t(e^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}t(e)$ .*

*Proof.* 1. The condition  $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}^x(0), h) = 0$  is equivalent to that  $h \in \text{Im}(\text{ad } x)$ . The rest is clear.

2. The first assertion is obvious; the second follows from (1.1).  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* Using the ‘‘support method’’ for nilpotent elements [12, § 5], we can prove that if  $G \cdot e$  is not divisible and  $h'$  is a characteristic of a nonzero  $x \in \mathfrak{g}(4)$ , then  $\|h'\| < \frac{1}{2}\|h\|$ . Still, it can happen that  $\tilde{h}t(x) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}t(e)$  for a generic  $x \in \mathfrak{g}(4)$ . (For instance, consider the non-divisible even orbit  $\mathbf{A}_2 + 3\mathbf{A}_1$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{E}_7$ . Here  $\tilde{h}t(e) = 4$  and, obviously,  $\tilde{h}t(x) \geq 2$  for all nonzero  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ .)

**Proposition 2.3.** 1) *For any nonzero  $e \in \mathcal{N}$ , we have*

$$\dim \text{Ker}(\text{ad } e)^2 = \dim \mathfrak{g}(0) + 2 \dim \mathfrak{g}(1) + \dim \mathfrak{g}(2) = \dim \mathfrak{g}^e + \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^e.$$

2) *If  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  is divisible, then*

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}^{e^{(2)}} = \dim \text{Ker}(\text{ad } e)^2 = \dim \mathfrak{g}^e + \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^e.$$

*In particular,  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^e$  is even.*

*Proof.* 1) This follows from Proposition 1.1(iii)–(v).

2) Now  $e$  is even, hence  $\mathfrak{g}(1) = 0$ . Let  $\{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading determined by  $h/2$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(i) = \mathfrak{g}(2i)$ . Then  $\dim \mathfrak{g}^{e^{(2)}} = \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(0) + \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(1) = \dim \mathfrak{g}(0) + \dim \mathfrak{g}(2)$  by virtue of Proposition 1.1(v). Since the dimension of all centralisers has the same parity,  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^e$  is even.  $\square$

One may refine these necessary conditions using  $\mathbb{N}$ -gradings of centralisers. We assume that each centraliser is equipped with the ‘‘natural’’  $\mathbb{N}$ -grading, i.e., those determined by its own characteristic.

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  is divisible, then*

(a)  $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e(2i) + \dim \mathfrak{g}^e(2i+2) = \dim \mathfrak{g}^{e^{(2)}}(i)$  for all  $i \geq 0$  and

(b)  $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e(4j-2) + \dim \mathfrak{g}^e(4j)$  is even for all  $j \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* For  $i \geq 0$ , there are the surjective mappings:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}(2i) &\xrightarrow{\text{ad } e} \dim \mathfrak{g}(2i+2) \xrightarrow{\text{ad } e} \dim \mathfrak{g}(2i+4), \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(i) &= \mathfrak{g}(2i) \xrightarrow{\text{ad } e^{(2)}} \dim \mathfrak{g}(2i+4) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(i+2). \end{aligned}$$

This yields (a). (Recall that the grading of  $\mathfrak{g}^{e^{(2)}}$  is determined by  $h/2$ .) It is well known that, for any  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{g}^x(i)$  is even whenever  $i$  is odd. (E.g. this readily follows from [6, Prop. 1.2].) Applying this to  $x = e^{(2)}$  with  $i = 2j - 1$ , we get (b).  $\square$

Since  $\mathfrak{g}^e(2) \neq 0$ , applying the proposition with  $i = 1$  shows that  $\mathfrak{g}^{e^{(2)}}(1) \neq 0$ .

*Remark 2.5.* In [2, § 2], Dynkin defined the *index* of a simple subalgebra of a simple Lie algebra, which is always a nonnegative integer. Let  $\text{ind}(e)$  denote the index of the subalgebra generated by  $\{e, h, f\}$ . It is easily seen that if  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  is divisible, then  $\text{ind}(e) = 4 \text{ind}(e^{(2)})$ , i.e.,  $\text{ind}(e)/4 \in \mathbb{N}$ . (The proof essentially boils down to the equality  $\mathcal{K}(h, h)/\mathcal{K}(h/2, h/2) = 4$ .) It is worth noting that  $\text{ind}(e)$  can be odd for an even nilpotent element  $e$ . Hence the condition that  $\text{ind}(e)/4 \in \mathbb{N}$  is not vacuous.

*Remark 2.6.* Let  $S$  be a connected semisimple subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{s}$ . Clearly, if  $e \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathfrak{s}$  and the orbit  $S \cdot e$  is divisible, then so is  $G \cdot e$ . But the converse is not always true. The simplest (counter)example is guaranteed by Morozov and Jacobson: any nonzero nilpotent element is included in  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , but the nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  is not divisible.

### 3. CLASSIFICATION OF DIVISIBLE ORBITS

**3.1. The classical cases.** Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(V)$  a classical simple Lie algebra, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ , or  $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ , or  $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ . In the last two cases,  $V$  is endowed with a bilinear non-degenerate form  $\Phi$ , which is symmetric or skew-symmetric, respectively. It is customary to represent the nilpotent orbits (elements) by partitions of  $\dim V$ , and our criterion for  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  to be divisible is given in terms of partitions.

Recall that  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is a partition of  $N$  if  $\sum \lambda_i = N$  and  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n > 0$ . For  $e \in \mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}(V)$ , let  $\lambda[e]$  denote the corresponding partition of  $N = \dim V$ . If  $\lambda[e] = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , then we can decompose  $V$  into a sum of cyclic  $e$ -modules (Jordan blocks):

$$(3.1) \quad V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V[i],$$

where  $\dim V[i] = \lambda_i$ . For all classical Lie algebras, the explicit formulae for  $\tilde{h}t(e)$  in terms of  $\lambda[e]$  are given in [6]. We recall them below.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $e \in \mathfrak{g}(V)$  be a nilpotent element with partition  $\lambda[e] = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ .*

- (i) *Suppose  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$  or  $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  is divisible if and only if all  $\lambda_i$  are odd.*
- (ii) *Suppose  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  is divisible if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- all  $\lambda_i$  are odd.
  - if  $\lambda_{2k+1} = 4l + 3$ , then  $\lambda_{2k+2} = 4l + 3$  as well;
  - if  $\lambda_{2k+1} = 4l + 1 > 1$ , then  $\lambda_{2k+2} = 4l + 1$  or  $4l - 1$ .
- (There is no further conditions if  $\lambda_{2k+1} = 1$ .)

In all cases,  $\lambda[e^{(2)}]$  is obtained by the following procedure: each odd part  $\lambda_i = 2l + 1 \geq 3$  is replaced with two parts  $l + 1$  and  $l$ . The resulting collection of parts determines the required partition.

*Proof.* For all classical Lie algebras,  $e$  is even if and only if all the parts of  $\lambda[e]$  have the same parity.

1) The proof for  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$  is quite simple. By [6, Theorem 2.3],  $\tilde{ht}(e) = 2(\lambda_1 - 1)$ ; in particular, the height of any nilpotent element is even. If  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  is divisible, then  $e$  is even and all parts of  $\lambda[e]$  have the same parity. Since  $\tilde{ht}(e^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{ht}(e)$  should also be even,  $\lambda_1$  must be odd.

Conversely, if all  $\lambda_i$ 's are odd, then we set  $e^{(2)} := e^2$ , the usual matrix power. First, it is easily seen that  $[h/2, e^2] = 2e^2$  whenever  $[h, e] = 2e$ ; second, one readily verifies that  $h/2 \in \text{Im}(\text{ad}(e^2))$  if and only if all  $\lambda$ 's are odd. (This can be done for each Jordan block  $V[i]$  separately.) Thus,  $h/2$  is a characteristic of  $e^2$ . Finally, under the passage  $e \mapsto e^2$ , every Jordan block of size  $2k + 1$  is replaced with two blocks of size  $k + 1$  and  $k$ .

2) For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$ , the partitions  $\lambda[e]$  are characterized by the property that each part of odd size occurs an even number of times. Since  $\tilde{ht}(e)$  is given by the same formula as in 1), the necessity is obtained analogously.

Conversely, suppose that all  $\lambda_i$ 's are odd. We cannot merely take  $e^{(2)} = e^2$ , since  $e^2 \notin \mathfrak{sp}(V)$ . However, the procedure can slightly be adjusted. In our setting, each part of  $\lambda[e]$  occurs an even number of times and hence  $\dim V[2i-1] = \dim V[2i]$ . Since  $\dim V[i]$  is odd for all  $i$ , the skew-symmetric form  $\Phi$  vanishes on every  $V[i]$ . However, one can arrange the decomposition (3.1) such that, for each pair of indices  $(2i-1, 2i)$ ,  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate on  $V[2i-1] \oplus V[2i]$ . Then it suffices to define  $e^{(2)}$  separately on each sum of this form. That is, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\lambda[e] = (2l+1, 2l+1)$  and  $V = V[1] \oplus V[2]$ . Now, define  $e^{(2)}$  as follows:

$$e^{(2)}|_{V[1]} = e^2 \quad \text{and} \quad e^{(2)}|_{V[2]} = -e^2.$$

A straightforward verification shows that  $e^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{sp}(V)$  and  $h/2$  is a characteristic of  $e^{(2)}$ .

3) For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$ , the partitions  $\lambda[e]$  are characterized by the property that each part of even size occurs an even number of times. Here we have [6, Theorem 2.3]:

$$\tilde{ht}(e) = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - 2, & \text{if } \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 - 1 \\ 2\lambda_1 - 4, & \text{if } \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1 - 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular, either  $\tilde{ht}(e)$  is even or  $\tilde{ht}(e) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

• Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  is divisible. If  $\lambda_1$  is even, then all parts are even, i.e.,  $\lambda[e]$  is a *very even* partition, and we are in the type **D** case. Associated to a very even partition, one has two nilpotent orbits whose weighted Dynkin diagrams differ only at the “very end”.

Namely,  $\mathcal{D}_1 = * \cdots * \begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2 = * \cdots * \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix}$ . If such a  $\mathcal{D}_i$  were divisible, then  $* \cdots * \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$  would be a weighted Dynkin diagram, too. But this is impossible, because the sum of two last marks is always even in the **D**-case [9, IV-2.32]. Hence all  $\lambda_i$  must be odd.

For  $\lambda_i = 2m_i + 1$ , the  $h$ -eigenvalues on  $V[i]$  are  $\{2m_i, 2m_i - 2, \dots, -2m_i\}$  and hence the  $(h/2)$ -eigenvalues are  $\{m_i, m_i - 1, \dots, -m_i\}$ . If  $h/2$  is again the semisimple element of an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple, then the resulting set of eigenvalues on  $V$  corresponds to the Jordan normal form, where each block of size  $2m_i + 1$  is replaced with two blocks of sizes  $m_i + 1$  and  $m_i$ . (The structure of  $V$  as  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module is fully determined by the eigenvalues of the semisimple element.) Hence, the Jordan normal form of  $e^{(2)}$  is uniquely determined by that of  $e$ . However, the resulting partition must be “orthogonal”, which leads precisely to the remaining conditions in (ii). Indeed, suppose that  $\lambda_1 = 4m + 3$ . This yields parts  $(2m + 2, 2m + 1)$  in  $\lambda[e^{(2)}]$ . Since part  $2m + 2$  should occur an even number of times, we must have  $\lambda_2 = 4m + 3$ . For  $\lambda_1 = 4m + 1$  with  $m > 0$ , we obtain parts  $(2m + 1, 2m)$  in  $\lambda[e^{(2)}]$ . Since part  $2m$  must occur an even number of times, we must have  $\lambda_2 \in \{4m + 1, 4m - 1\}$ . Then splitting away the subspace  $V[1] \oplus V[2]$ , we argue by induction.

• Conversely, suppose that  $\lambda[e]$  satisfies all conditions in (ii). Then the total number of parts that are greater than 1 is even. If, say,  $\lambda_{2k} > 1$  and  $\lambda_{2k+1} = 1$ , then we split  $V$  into the direct sum of spaces  $V_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k + 1$ , where  $V_j := V[2j - 1] \oplus V[2j]$  for  $j \leq k$  and  $V_{k+1}$  is the sum all Jordan blocks of size 1. In other words,  $V_{k+1} \subset V$  is the fixed-point subspace of the algebra  $\langle e, h, f \rangle$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\Phi|_{V_j}$  is non-degenerate for all  $j$ . We shouldn’t do anything with  $V_{k+1}$ , and all other  $V_j$  can be treated separately. Therefore, we may assume that  $k = 1$ . Now, there are two possibilities.

(a) If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ , then we can argue as for  $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ . Since  $\dim V[1] = \dim V[2]$ , it can be arranged that both  $V[1]$  and  $V[2]$  are isotropic with respect to  $\Phi$ . Then we set

$$e^{(2)}|_{V[1]} = e^2 \quad \text{and} \quad e^{(2)}|_{V[2]} = -e^2.$$

A straightforward verification shows that  $e^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$  and  $h/2$  is a characteristic of  $e^{(2)}$ .

(b) Assume that  $\lambda_1 = 4m + 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 4m - 1$ . This is the most interesting case, because now  $e^{(2)}$  will not preserve the Jordan blocks of  $e$ . Here  $\Phi$  is non-degenerate on both  $V[1]$  and  $V[2]$ . Let  $\{v_i \mid i = 1, \dots, 4m + 1\}$  be a basis for  $V[1]$  and  $\{w_i \mid i = 2, \dots, 4m\}$  a basis for  $V[2]$ . Without loss of generality, one may assume that  $e(v_i) = v_{i+1}$ ,  $e(w_i) = w_{i+1}$ ,

$\Phi(v_i, v_{4m+2-j}) = (-1)^{i-1} \delta_{i,j}$ , and  $\Phi(w_j, w_{4m-i}) = (-1)^j \delta_{i,j}$ . Define  $e^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  as follows:

$$e^{(2)} : \begin{cases} v_1 \mapsto -w_3 \mapsto v_5 \mapsto \dots \mapsto -w_{4m-1} \mapsto v_{4m+1} \mapsto 0 \\ v_2 \mapsto -w_4 \mapsto v_6 \mapsto \dots \mapsto -w_{4m} \mapsto 0 \\ w_2 \mapsto -v_4 \mapsto w_6 \mapsto \dots \mapsto -v_{4m} \mapsto 0 \\ v_3 \mapsto -w_5 \mapsto v_7 \mapsto \dots \mapsto -w_{4m-3} \mapsto v_{4m-1} \mapsto 0 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\lambda[e^{(2)}] = (2m+1, 2m, 2m, 2m-1)$ . It is not hard to check that  $e^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$  and  $h/2$  is a characteristic of  $e^{(2)}$ .  $\square$

**Warning.** For a divisible  $e \in \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ , one can take  $e^{(2)} = e^2$ . However, this procedure may not simultaneously apply to  $f$ . Given  $e^2$  and  $h/2$ , the last element of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple is uniquely determined, but it is not necessarily a multiple of  $f^2$ . It is instructive to consider a regular nilpotent  $e \in \mathfrak{sl}_5$ .

**3.2. The exceptional cases.** If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is exceptional, then one can merely browse the list of the weighted Dynkin diagrams and pick the suitable pairs among them. The output is presented below. We use the standard notation for nilpotent orbits in the exceptional Lie algebras that goes back to Dynkin and Bala–Carter (see e.g. [1, Ch. 8]). The meaning of the first and last columns is explained in Section 4.

Table 1: The friendly pairs and divisible Dynkin diagrams in the exceptional algebras

	reachable	$G \cdot e^{(2)}$	$G \cdot e$	$\mathcal{D}(e)$	$\mathbf{A}_2$ -pair
$\mathbf{E}_6$	+	$\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{A}_2$	$0-0-0-0-0$   2	+
	+	$2\mathbf{A}_1$	$2\mathbf{A}_2$	$2-0-0-0-2$   0	+
	+	$3\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_4(a_1)$	$0-0-2-0-0$   0	+
	+	$\mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{A}_4$	$2-0-0-0-2$   2	+
	+	$2\mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{E}_6(a_3)$	$2-0-2-0-2$   0	+
	-	$\mathbf{A}_4 + \mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{E}_6(a_1)$	$2-2-0-2-2$   2	-
$\mathbf{E}_7$	+	$\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{A}_2$	$0-0-0-0-0-2$   0	+
	+	$2\mathbf{A}_1$	$2\mathbf{A}_2$	$0-2-0-0-0-0$   0	+



The friendly pairs, cont.

	reachable	$G \cdot e^{(2)}$	$G \cdot e$	$\mathcal{D}(e)$	$\mathbf{A}_2$ -pair
	+	$(3\mathbf{A}_1)'$	$\mathbf{D}_4(a_1)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-0-0-0-2-0 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	+	$\mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{A}_4$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-2-0-0-0-2 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	+	$\mathbf{A}_2 + 2\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{A}_4 + \mathbf{A}_2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-0-0-2-0-0 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	+	$2\mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{E}_6(a_3)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-2-0-0-2-0 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	-	$\mathbf{A}_3 + \mathbf{A}_2$	$\mathbf{A}_6$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-2-0-2-0-0 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	-
	+	$\mathbf{A}_4 + \mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{E}_6(a_1)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-2-0-2-0-2 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
$\mathbf{E}_8$	+	$\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{A}_2$	$\begin{array}{c} 2-0-0-0-0-0-0 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	+	$2\mathbf{A}_1$	$2\mathbf{A}_2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-0-0-0-0-0-2 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	+	$3\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_4(a_1)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-2-0-0-0-0-0 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	+	$4\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_4(a_1) + \mathbf{A}_2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-0-0-0-0-0-0 \\   \\ 2 \end{array}$	+
	+	$\mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{A}_4$	$\begin{array}{c} 2-0-0-0-0-0-2 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	+	$\mathbf{A}_2 + 2\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{A}_4 + \mathbf{A}_2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-0-2-0-0-0-0 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	+	$2\mathbf{A}_2 + \mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{E}_6(a_3)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-2-0-0-0-0-2 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	+	$2\mathbf{A}_2 + 2\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{E}_8(a_7)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-0-0-2-0-0-0 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	-	$\mathbf{A}_3 + \mathbf{A}_2$	$\mathbf{A}_6$	$\begin{array}{c} 0-0-2-0-0-0-2 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	-
	+	$\mathbf{A}_4 + \mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{E}_6(a_1)$	$\begin{array}{c} 2-0-2-0-0-0-2 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	+
	+	$\mathbf{A}_4 + 2\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{E}_8(b_6)$	$\begin{array}{c} 2-0-0-0-2-0-0 \\   \\ 0 \end{array}$	-

The friendly pairs, cont.

	reachable	$G \cdot e^{(2)}$	$G \cdot e$	$\mathcal{D}(e)$	$\mathbf{A}_2$ -pair
	+	$\mathbf{A}_4 + \mathbf{A}_3$	$\mathbf{E}_8(a_6)$	0-2-0-0-2-0-0   0	-
	-	$\mathbf{D}_7(a_2)$	$\mathbf{E}_8(a_4)$	2-0-2-0-2-0-2   0	-
$\mathbf{F}_4$	+	$\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{A}_2$	0-0 $\Leftarrow$ 0-2	+
	+	$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$	$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_2$	2-0 $\Leftarrow$ 0-0	+
	+	$\mathbf{A}_1 + \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_1$	$\mathbf{F}_4(a_3)$	0-0 $\Leftarrow$ 2-0	+
	-	$\mathbf{A}_1 + \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_2$	$\mathbf{F}_4(a_2)$	2-0 $\Leftarrow$ 2-0	-
$\mathbf{G}_2$	+	$\mathbf{A}_1$	$\mathbf{G}_2(a_1)$	0 $\Leftarrow$ 2	+

Recall that, for every orbit  $\mathcal{O} = G \cdot e \subset \mathcal{N}$ , any two minimal Levi subalgebras meeting  $G \cdot e$  are  $G$ -conjugate [1, Theorem 8.1.1]. If  $\mathfrak{l}$  is such a minimal Levi subalgebra and  $e \in \mathfrak{l}$ , then the notation of Table 1 represents the Cartan type of  $\mathfrak{l}$ , with some additional data (like  $(a_i)$  or  $(b_i)$ ) if the orbit  $L \cdot e$  in  $\mathfrak{l}$  is not regular. (See [1, 8.4] for the details.) If  $\mathfrak{g}$  itself is the minimal Levi subalgebra meeting  $\mathcal{O}$ , then  $\mathcal{O}$  is called *distinguished*. This is equivalent to that  $\mathfrak{g}_{red}^e = \{0\}$  for  $e \in \mathcal{O}$ . For instance, the third row for  $\mathbf{E}_6$  contains the divisible orbit  $G \cdot e$  denoted by  $\mathbf{D}_4(a_1)$ . This means that a minimal Levi subalgebra,  $\mathfrak{l}$ , meeting  $G \cdot e$  is of type  $\mathbf{D}_4$  and the intersection  $\mathfrak{l} \cap G \cdot e$  is the distinguished  $SO_8$ -orbit, which is called  $\mathbf{D}_4(a_1)$ . In fact, it is the subregular nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{so}_8$ , and its partition is  $(5, 3)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{l}$  be a minimal Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing  $e$ . Then  $G \cdot e$  is divisible if and only if  $L \cdot e$  is.*

*Proof.* We have only to prove that if  $G \cdot e$  is divisible, then so is  $L \cdot e$ . In other words, if  $G \cdot e$  is divisible, then  $G \cdot e^{(2)} \cap \mathfrak{l} \neq \emptyset$ . Our case-by-case proof is based on the previous classification. I hope there is a better proof.

1.  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ . If  $\lambda[e] = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , then  $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$  is of type  $\mathbf{A}_{\lambda_1-1} + \dots + \mathbf{A}_{\lambda_n-1}$ , and the component of  $e$  in each summand is a regular nilpotent element there. By Theorem 3.1(i), the regular nilpotent orbit in  $\mathbf{A}_m$  is divisible if and only if  $m$  is even.

2.  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(V)$ . If  $G \cdot e$  is divisible, then  $\lambda[e] = (\nu_1^{2k_1}, \dots, \nu_m^{2k_m})$ , where  $\nu_1 > \dots > \nu_m > 0$  and all  $\nu_i$  are odd. Here  $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$  is of type  $k_1 \mathbf{A}_{\nu_1-1} + \dots + k_m \mathbf{A}_{\nu_m-1}$ , and the rest is the same as in part 1.

3.  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(V)$ . Recall that  $e \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$  is distinguished if and only if all parts of  $\lambda[e]$  are different (and hence odd). Suppose  $G \cdot e$  is divisible, i.e.,  $\lambda[e]$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1(ii). Then  $\lambda[e]$  may have repeating odd parts. Each pair of equal parts in  $\lambda[e]$  determines a summand of type  $\mathbf{A}_{\lambda_i-1}$  in  $\mathfrak{l}$ , and the projection of  $e$  to this summand is regular nilpotent. Discarding all pairs of equal parts (if any), we get a partition of the

form  $(4l_1 + 1, 4l_1 - 1, \dots, 4l_m + 1, 4l_m - 1, (1))$ , where  $l_1 > l_2 > \dots > l_m > 0$  and the last "1" is optional (it occurs if and only if  $\dim V$  is odd). The remaining partition represents a (distinguished) divisible orbit in  $\mathfrak{so}(V') \subset \mathfrak{so}(V)$ . Note that  $\dim V - \dim V'$  is even, hence  $\mathfrak{so}(V')$  is the derived algebra of a Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(V)$ .

4. For  $\mathfrak{g}$  exceptional, it suffices to understand information encoded in column " $G \cdot e$ " in Table 1 (see explanations above). For instance, the last divisible orbit for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{E}_7$  is called  $\mathbf{E}_6(a_1)$ . This means that  $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$  is of type  $\mathbf{E}_6$  and the corresponding distinguished  $\mathbf{E}_6$ -orbit is  $\mathbf{E}_6(a_1)$ . Now, the last item in the  $\mathbf{E}_6$ -part of the table shows that this orbit is also divisible. If  $[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]$  is of classical type, then one should again use Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* Since  $\dim \mathfrak{g}(2) > \dim \mathfrak{g}(4)$ , we have  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{red}^e < \dim \mathfrak{g}_{red}^{e^{(2)}}$ . Moreover,  $e^{(2)} = e^2$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)^e \subset \mathfrak{sl}(V)^{e^{(2)}}$  and  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)_{red}^e \subset \mathfrak{sl}(V)_{red}^{e^{(2)}}$ . This does not mean, however, that the inclusion  $\mathfrak{g}_{red}^e \subset \mathfrak{g}_{red}^{e^{(2)}}$  always holds for a suitable choice of  $e^{(2)}$ . For instance, for the divisible orbit  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_2$  in  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{F}_4$ , one has  $\mathfrak{g}_{red}^e = \mathbf{G}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{red}^{e^{(2)}} = \mathbf{A}_3$ . Recall that a minimal Levi subalgebra  $\mathfrak{l}$  meeting  $G \cdot e$  is obtained as follows: If  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}^e(0)$ , then  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{h})$  [1, Ch. 8]. Consequently, Theorem 3.2 is equivalent to the assertion that a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}^e(0) = \mathfrak{g}_{red}^e$  is contained in a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}^{e^{(2)}}(0) = \mathfrak{g}_{red}^{e^{(2)}}$ . This also implies that  $\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g}_{red}^e) < \text{rk}(\mathfrak{g}_{red}^{e^{(2)}})$ .

#### 4. $\mathbf{A}_2$ -PAIRS OF ORBITS AND REACHABLE ELEMENTS

In this section, an interesting class of friendly pairs is studied.

**Definition 2.** A pair of nilpotent orbits  $(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{O})$  is said to be an  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pair, if there is a simple subalgebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_3 \subset \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_3$  is the regular nilpotent orbit and  $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_3$  is the minimal nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}$ ) is called an *upper- $\mathbf{A}_2$*  (resp. *low- $\mathbf{A}_2$* ) orbit.

The property of being an  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pair imposes strong constraints on both orbits, so that there are only a few  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pairs in simple Lie algebras.

We say that  $e \in \mathcal{N}$  (or the orbit  $G \cdot e$ ) is *reachable*, if  $e \in [\mathfrak{g}^e, \mathfrak{g}^e]$ . This property was first considered in [3], where such nilpotent elements are called "compact". Some further results are obtained in [7].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \mathcal{O})$  be an  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pair. Then it is a friendly pair (i.e.,  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  is divisible and  $\mathcal{O} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{(2)}$ ) and  $\mathcal{O}$  is reachable.*

*Proof.* The required properties obviously hold for two orbits in  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ . This implies the assertion for orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

Reachable nilpotent elements (orbits) have some intriguing properties that are not fully understood yet. For instance, explicit classification shows that  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{N}$  is reachable if and only if  $\text{codim}_{\overline{\mathcal{O}}}(\overline{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}) \geq 4$  [3]. It is a challenging task to find an *a priori* relationship

between two such different properties. In [7, 4.6], we posed the following question:

( $\diamond$ ) *Is it true that if  $e \in \mathcal{N}$  is reachable, then  $\mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e$  is generated as Lie algebra by  $\mathfrak{g}^e(1)$ ?*

This was proved for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$  [7, Theorem 4.5]. Below, we prove a stronger assertion for reachable orbits occurring as low- $\mathbf{A}_2$  orbits (Theorem 4.4). To this end, we need some notation and results on  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ .

Fix a triangular decomposition  $\mathfrak{u}_- \oplus \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \theta$  be the positive roots of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  and  $e_1, e_2, e = e_\theta$  the corresponding root vectors in  $\mathfrak{u}$ . Then  $\tilde{e} = e_1 + e_2$  is a regular nilpotent element and  $\tilde{e}^{(2)} = e$ . Let  $h \in \mathfrak{t}$  be the characteristic of  $\tilde{e}$  (and hence  $h/2$  is a characteristic of  $e$ ). Let  $U$  be the maximal unipotent subgroup of  $SL_3$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{u}$  and  $U_\theta$  the root subgroup corresponding to  $\theta$ . Then  $U/U_\theta \simeq (\mathbb{G}_a)^2$  is commutative.

Let  $\varpi_i$  be the fundamental weight corresponding to  $\alpha_i$ . The simple  $SL_3$ -module with highest weight  $a\varpi_1 + b\varpi_2$  ( $a, b \geq 0$ ) is denoted by  $R(a, b)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$  be the  $T$ -weights of  $R(1, 0)$  such that  $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$  and  $\alpha_2 = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3$ .

As is well known,  $SL_3/U$  is quasi-affine and  $\mathbb{k}[SL_3/U]$  is a *model algebra*, i.e., each finite-dimensional simple  $SL_3$ -module occurs exactly once in it. Set  $X := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[SL_3/U])$ . It is an affine  $SL_3$ -variety containing  $SL_3/U$  as a dense open subset. One can explicitly realise  $X$  as a subvariety in  $R(1, 0) \oplus R(0, 1)$ , the sum of the fundamental representations. (This is also true for an arbitrary semisimple  $G$  in place of  $SL_3$  [11].) Since  $\dim X = 5$ , it is a hypersurface in  $R(1, 0) \oplus R(0, 1)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the simple three-dimensional subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  containing  $e$  and  $h/2$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** (i)  $\mathbb{k}[SL_3/U]^{U_\theta}$  is a polynomial algebra of Krull dimension 4 whose free generators can be explicitly described.

(ii) For any  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,  $R(a, b)^{U_\theta}$  is a cyclic  $U/U_\theta$ -module of dimension  $(a+1)(b+1)$ . More precisely, there is a unique (up to a multiple) cyclic vector that is a  $T$ -eigenvector.

(iii) The branching rule  $\mathfrak{sl}_3 \downarrow \mathfrak{a}$  is given by the formula (for  $a \geq b$ )

$$R(a, b)|_{\mathfrak{a}} = R_0 \oplus 2R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (b+1)R_b \oplus \cdots \oplus (b+1)R_a \oplus bR_{a+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{a+b},$$

where  $R_n$  is the simple  $\mathfrak{a}$ -module of dimension  $n+1$ . The cyclic vector from (ii) lies in the unique 1-dimensional submodule  $R_0 \subset R(a, b)$ .

**Remark.** Parts (i) and (ii) are particular instances of a general assertion, which is valid for all semisimple  $G$  in place of  $SL_3$  and the derived group  $(U, U)$  in place of  $U_\theta$  [8, Theorems 1.6, 1.8]. For reader's convenience, we give a self-contained proof in the  $SL_3$ -case.

*Proof.* (i) Choose the functions  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  (resp.  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$ ) such that they form a  $T$ -weight basis for  $R(1, 0) \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$  (resp.  $R(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$ ). Assume that the weight of  $x_i$  is  $\varepsilon_i$  and the weight of  $\xi_i$  is  $-\varepsilon_i$ . Then  $x_1, \dots, \xi_3$  generate  $\mathbb{k}[X] = \mathbb{k}[SL_3/U]$  modulo a relation of

the form  $\sum_1^3 a_i x_i \xi_i = 0$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{k}^\times$ . It follows from the previous description that  $x_1, x_2, \xi_2, \xi_3$  are  $U_\theta$ -invariant. Thus,

$$\mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, \xi_2, \xi_3] \subset \mathbb{k}[X]^{U_\theta}$$

and both algebras have Krull dimension  $4 = \dim X - \dim U_\theta$ . As the left-hand side algebra is algebraically closed in  $\mathbb{k}[X]$ , they must be equal.

(ii) The vector space decomposition  $\mathbb{k}[X] = \bigoplus_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}^2} R(a, b)$  is actually a bi-grading, and it induces the bi-grading

$$\mathbb{k}[X]^{U_\theta} = \bigoplus_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}^2} R(a, b)^{U_\theta}.$$

Since  $x_1, x_2 \in R(1, 0)$  and  $\xi_2, \xi_3 \in R(0, 1)$  are free generators of  $\mathbb{k}[X]^{U_\theta}$ , the monomials  $\{m(i, j) := x_1^i x_2^{a-i} \xi_2^{b-j} \xi_3^j \mid 0 \leq i \leq a, 0 \leq j \leq b\}$  form a basis for  $R(a, b)^{U_\theta}$ . It is convenient to think of this set of monomials as a rectangular array of shape  $(a+1) \times (b+1)$ .

The root vectors  $e_1, e_2$  form a basis for  $\text{Lie}(U/U_\theta)$ . Their action on generators of  $\mathbb{k}[X]^{U_\theta}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(x_2) &= x_1, \quad e_1(x_1) = 0; \quad e_1(\xi_2) = 0, \quad e_1(\xi_3) = 0, \\ e_2(\xi_2) &= \xi_3, \quad e_2(\xi_3) = 0; \quad e_2(x_1) = 0, \quad e_2(x_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $e_1$  (resp.  $e_2$ ) acts along the columns (resp. rows) of that array. Namely,

$$e_1 \cdot m(i, j) = \begin{cases} m(i+1, j), & i < a, \\ 0, & i = a. \end{cases}; \quad e_2 \cdot m(i, j) = \begin{cases} m(i, j+1), & j < b, \\ 0, & j = b. \end{cases}.$$

Thus, the  $T$ -eigenvector  $m(0, 0) = x_2^a \xi_2^b$  is the cyclic vector in the  $U/U_\theta$ -module  $R(a, b)^{U_\theta}$ .

(iii) The monomials  $x_1^i x_2^{a-i} \xi_2^{b-j} \xi_3^j$  are the highest weight vectors of all simple  $\mathfrak{a}$ -modules in  $R(a, b)$ . We have  $[h/2, x_2] = [h/2, \xi_2] = 0$ ,  $[h/2, x_1] = x_1$ , and  $[h/2, \xi_3] = \xi_3$ . Consequently, the  $k$ -eigenspace of  $h/2$  is the span of monomials  $x_1^i x_2^{a-i} \xi_2^{b-j} \xi_3^j$  with  $i + j = k$ . Counting the number of such monomials yields the coefficient of  $R_k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq a + b$ , in the branching rule. We also see that the cyclic vector  $x_2^a \xi_2^b$  is the only  $\mathfrak{a}$ -invariant in  $R(a, b)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.* Here is another way to prove that  $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{k}[SL_3/U]^{U_\theta}$  is a polynomial algebra. Since both  $U$  and  $U^\theta$  are unipotent, the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is factorial. Let  $T \subset SL_3$  be a maximal torus normalising  $U$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{A}$  admits an effective action of  $T \times T$  (via left and right translations). As  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$  is four-dimensional, it is a factorial affine toric variety. Therefore it is an affine space.

Now, we return to  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pairs of orbits in an arbitrary simple algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a low- $\mathbf{A}_2$  orbit and  $e \in \mathcal{O}$ . Then there are elements  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathfrak{g}^e(1)$  such that*

$$(\spadesuit) \quad \mathfrak{g}^e(i) = [\mathfrak{g}^e(i-1), e_1] + [\mathfrak{g}^e(i-1), e_2] \text{ for each } i \geq 1.$$

Consequently,  $\mathfrak{g}^e$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{g}^e(0)$ ,  $e_1$ , and  $e_2$ ;  $\mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{g}^e(1)$ ;  $\mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e \subset [\mathfrak{g}^e, \mathfrak{g}^e]$ .

*Proof.* Take an  $\mathfrak{sl}_3 \subset \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\{e, h, f\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_3$ ,  $e = e_\theta \in \mathfrak{sl}_3$  is a highest weight vector and  $e_1, e_2$  are simple root elements, as above. To prove  $(\spadesuit)$ , we decompose  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a sum of simple  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -modules,  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_i R(a_i, b_i)$ . We have the distinguished submodule  $\mathfrak{sl}_3 \simeq R(1, 1) \subset \mathfrak{g}$  with elements  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathfrak{g}^e(1) \cap \mathfrak{sl}_3$  and  $e \in \mathfrak{g}^e(2) \cap \mathfrak{sl}_3$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}^e = \bigoplus_i R(a_i, b_i)^e$ , it suffices to check  $(\spadesuit)$  for each  $R(a_i, b_i)$  separately. That is, we have to prove that

$$R(a_i, b_i)^e(i) = [R(a_i, b_i)^e(i-1), e_1] + [R(a_i, b_i)^e(i-1), e_2] \text{ for each } i \geq 1.$$

By Theorem 4.2(ii),(iii), every  $R(a, b)^{U_\theta} = R(a, b)^e$  contains a  $U/U_\theta$ -cyclic weight vector that actually lies in  $R(a_i, b_i)^a = R(a_i, b_i)^e(0)$ , This is exactly what we need.  $\square$

In view of this theorem and question  $(\diamond)$  about  $\mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e$  for reachable elements, it is desirable to know what reachable orbits are low- $\mathbf{A}_2$  orbits. In [2, Table 25], Dynkin pointed out all simple subalgebras of rank  $> 1$  in the exceptional algebras; in particular, the subalgebras of type  $\mathbf{A}_2$ . (There are few errors in that Table, which are corrected by Minchenko [5, 2.2].) From this one easily deduces the list of  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pairs. In the last column of Table 1, we point out the  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pairs among all friendly pairs and thereby the low- $\mathbf{A}_2$  orbits in the exceptional algebras.

All reachable orbits among the orbits  $G \cdot e^{(2)}$  are indicated in the first column of Table 1. However, this does not exhaust all reachable orbits in the exceptional algebras. There are also reachable orbits that are not included in a friendly pair, Altogether, there still remain seven reachable orbits for  $\mathbf{E}_8$  and one orbit for each of  $\mathbf{E}_6$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_7$ , and  $\mathbf{F}_4$  that are not low- $\mathbf{A}_2$  orbits.

*Remark 4.5.* One can say that  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{N}$  is a low- $\mathbf{C}_2$  orbit if there is a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{sp}_4 \simeq \mathfrak{so}_5 \subset \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathfrak{sp}_4$  is a minimal nilpotent orbit of  $\mathfrak{sp}_4$ . Such an orbit is not necessarily included in a friendly pair, but it is always reachable. There is an analogue of Theorem 4.4 for the low- $\mathbf{C}_2$  orbits that can be derived from a description of the algebra  $\mathbb{k}[Sp_4/U]^{U_\theta}$  and the spaces  $R(a, b)^{U_\theta}$  for all simple  $Sp_4$ -modules  $R(a, b)$ . Note that here  $U_\theta \neq (U, U)$ , hence this is not related to [8]. However, the proof becomes much more involved, because  $\mathbb{k}[Sp_4/U]^{U_\theta}$  appears to be a hypersurface and we need an explicit description of the unique relation. In the exceptional algebras, there are only two low- $\mathbf{C}_2$  orbits that are not low- $\mathbf{A}_2$  orbits (use again Dynkin's table!). These are orbits  $\mathbf{A}_3 + 2\mathbf{A}_1$  and  $\mathbf{A}_2 + 3\mathbf{A}_1$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{E}_8$ . In view of such limited applicability, we do not include the proofs in this note.

In the classical algebras,  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pairs correspond to representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$  (all, orthogonal, and symplectic, respectively). But this correspondence is not bijective and it is not clear how to get a description of the corresponding partitions.

Theorem 4.4, Remark 4.5, and similar results for classical Lie algebras (see below) strongly support the following

**Conjecture 4.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a simple Lie algebra. If  $e \in \mathcal{N}$  is reachable, then (a)  $\mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{g}^e(1)$  and (b)  $\mathfrak{g}_{nil}^e \subset [\mathfrak{g}^e, \mathfrak{g}^e]$ .*

For  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ , this is proved in [7, Theorem 4.5]. (Although property (b) is not stated there, the argument actually proves both properties.) The case of  $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$  and  $\mathfrak{so}(V)$  is considered in [13]. Practically, we have only eight unclear cases in exceptional Lie algebras. No doubt, this can be verified using GAP. But the challenge is, of course, to find a conceptual proof.

## 5. VERY FRIENDLY PAIRS OF ORBITS

For a divisible orbit  $\mathcal{O} = G \cdot e$  and an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $\{e, h, f\}$ , we agree to choose  $e^{(2)}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}(4)$ , i.e.,  $[h, e^{(2)}] = 4e^{(2)}$ .

**Definition 3.** A friendly pair  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}^{(2)})$  is said to be *very friendly*, if  $[e, e^{(2)}] = 0$  for a suitable choice of  $e^{(2)} \in \mathfrak{g}(4)$ , i.e., if  $\mathcal{O}^{(2)} \cap \mathfrak{g}^e(4) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a classical Lie algebra, then all friendly pairs are very friendly.*

*Proof.* The elements  $e^{(2)}$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.1 commute with  $e$ . □

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $(G \cdot e, G \cdot e^{(2)})$  is an  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pair, then it is very friendly.*

*Proof.* The property of being very friendly holds inside  $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ . □

This again shows that it is helpful to know the  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pairs among pairs of orbits in Table 1.

**Theorem 5.3.** *If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an exceptional Lie algebra and  $\mathcal{D}(e)$  is divisible, then  $(G \cdot e, G \cdot e^{(2)})$  is very friendly, with only one exception— $G \cdot e$  being the orbit  $\mathbf{F}_4(a_2)$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{F}_4$ .*

*Proof.* 1°. Let us prove that all the pairs in Table 1 are very friendly, except the last pair for  $\mathbf{F}_4$ . To this end, we employ the following technique:

- Combining Remark 2.6 and Lemma 5.1 shows that if  $G \cdot e$  is divisible orbit,  $e$  lies in a classical subalgebra  $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , and  $S \cdot e$  is divisible, then the pair in question is very friendly. By Theorem 3.2, this applies to all orbits  $G \cdot e$  in Table 1 whose name is a (sum of) classical Cartan type(s).

- Even if a divisible orbit's name is an exceptional Cartan type, this orbit still can meet a regular<sup>1</sup> classical subalgebra that is not a Levi subalgebra. To see this, one has to use Dynkin's tables [2, Tables 16-20], namely the column "minimal including regular subalgebras". For instance, the divisible  $\mathbf{E}_8$ -orbit denoted nowadays by  $\mathbf{E}_8(b_6)$  has the label

<sup>1</sup>A subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called *regular* if it is normalised by a Cartan subalgebra

$\mathbf{D}_8(a_3)$  in [2, Table 20], which means that it is generated by a certain distinguished orbit in  $\mathbf{D}_8 = \mathfrak{so}_{16}$ ; actually, by the orbit corresponding to the partition (9, 7). By Theorem 3.1(ii), this  $SO_{16}$ -orbit is divisible. Hence the corresponding pair of  $\mathbf{E}_8$ -orbits is very friendly. Similarly, the divisible  $\mathbf{E}_8$ -orbit denoted nowadays by  $\mathbf{E}_8(a_6)$  has also the label  $\mathbf{A}_8$ . This means that it is generated by the principal nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{sl}_9$ , which is divisible. Such an argument also applies to the orbits  $\mathbf{G}_2(a_1)$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_4(a_3)$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_8(a_7)$ .

- Finally, in view of Lemma 5.2, all  $\mathbf{A}_2$ -pairs are very friendly.

After all these considerations, only three divisible orbits left:  $\mathbf{F}_4(a_2)$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{F}_4$ ;  $\mathbf{E}_6(a_1)$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{E}_6$ ;  $\mathbf{E}_8(a_4)$  for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{E}_8$ . In the last two cases, we can show via direct bulky considerations that the pairs are very friendly, while the first case represents the only non-very friendly pair. Below, we consider in details this bad case.

2°. In this part of the proof,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a simple Lie algebra of type  $\mathbf{F}_4$ . The orbit  $\mathbf{F}_4(a_2)$  is distinguished and  $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e(4) = 1$ . Therefore, it suffice to test a non-zero element of  $\mathfrak{g}^e(4)$ . We will prove that the height of such a non-zero element is strictly less than  $\tilde{h}t(e)/2$ . The numbering of the simple roots of simple Lie algebras follows [10], and the  $i$ -th fundamental weight is denoted by  $\varpi_i$ .

There is an involutory automorphism  $\vartheta$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ , such that the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  is of type  $\mathbf{C}_3 + \mathbf{A}_1$ . If  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is the regular nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ , then  $G \cdot \mathcal{O}_0$  is the orbit  $\mathbf{F}_4(a_2)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This can be verified as follows. The  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is isomorphic to  $R(\varpi_3) \otimes R_1$ . (Here  $R(\varpi_3)$  is a 14-dimensional  $\mathbf{C}_3$ -module and  $R_1$  is the standard two-dimensional  $\mathbf{A}_1$ -module.) Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a principal  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Decomposing  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  as  $\mathfrak{a}$ -modules, one obtains

$$(5.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = 2R_2 + R_6 + R_{10} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = R_2 + R_4 + R_8 + R_{10},$$

where  $R_n$  stands for the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{a}$ -module. From (5.1), it follows that if  $e$  is a nonzero nilpotent element of  $\mathfrak{a}$ , then  $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = 8$ . Hence  $\dim G \cdot e = 44$  and it is the orbit  $\mathbf{F}_4(a_2)$ , as claimed. (The algebra of type  $\mathbf{F}_4$  has a unique nilpotent orbit of dimension 44.) By (5.1), the unique 5-dimensional simple  $\mathfrak{a}$ -module  $R_4$  occurs in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . This means that, for  $e \in \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ , the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}^e(4)$  lies in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . To get a precise description of  $\mathfrak{g}(4) \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$ , we use an explicit model of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  inside  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  be a common Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4$  be the simple roots of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ . Then  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  are the simple roots of  $\mathbf{C}_3$  and  $\theta = 2\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4$  is the simple root of  $\mathbf{A}_1$ . (Note that  $\theta$  is the highest root for  $\mathfrak{g}$ .) The roots of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  are those having the coefficient of  $\alpha_4$  equal to  $\pm 1$ .

We assume that  $e = e_{\alpha_1} + e_{\alpha_2} + e_{\alpha_3} + e_{\theta}$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{t}$  is the standard characteristic of  $e \in \mathcal{O}_0$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_i(h) = 2$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $\theta(h) = 2$ . Then  $\alpha_4(h) = -8$ . Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{g}$  determined by  $h$ . Using the above values  $\alpha_i(h)$ , one easily finds that  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}(4)) = 3$  and the corresponding roots are

$$\nu_1 = \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \quad \nu_2 = 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \quad \nu_3 = -\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_4.$$



That is, the 1-dimensional subspace  $\mathfrak{g}^e(4)$  lies in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu_1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\nu_2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\nu_3}$ . Next, the list of roots of  $\mathbf{F}_4$  shows that  $\text{ad } e$  takes  $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu_1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\nu_2}$  to the 1-dimensional space  $\mathfrak{g}_\mu$ , where  $\mu = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{g}^e(4)$  must belong to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\nu_1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\nu_2}$ . Since  $\text{ht}(\nu_1) = \text{ht}(\nu_2) = 7$  and  $-11 \leq \text{ht}(\gamma) \leq 11$  for any  $\gamma \in \Delta(\mathbf{F}_4)$ , we see that  $\tilde{\text{ht}}(x) \leq 3$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\nu_1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\nu_2}$ . Since  $\tilde{\text{ht}}(e) = 10$ , and hence  $\tilde{\text{ht}}(e^{(2)}) = 5$ , the orbit  $G \cdot e^{(2)}$  cannot meet the 1-dimensional subspace  $\mathfrak{g}^e(4) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\nu_1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\nu_2}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] D.H. COLLINGWOOD and W. MCGOVERN. “Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras”, New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1993.
- [2] Е.Б. ДЫНКИН. Полупростые подалгебры полупростых алгебр Ли, *Матем. Сборник* т.30, №2 (1952), 349–462 (Russian). English translation: E.B. DYNKIN. Semisimple subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, II Ser., 6 (1957), 111–244.
- [3] A.G. ELASHVILI and G. GRÉLAUD. Classification des éléments nilpotents compacts des algèbres de Lie simples. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, t.317, Série I (1993), p.445–447.
- [4] B. KOSTANT. The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group, *Amer. J. Math.* 81(1959), 973–1032.
- [5] А.Н. МИНЧЕНКО. Полупростые подалгебры особых алгебр Ли, *Труды Моск. Матем. Общества* 67 (2006), 256–293 (Russian). English translation: A.N. MINCHENKO. The semisimple subalgebras of exceptional Lie algebras, *Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc.* 2006, 225–259 (2006).
- [6] D. PANYUSHEV. On spherical nilpotent orbits and beyond, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 49(1999), 1453–1476.
- [7] D. PANYUSHEV. On reachable elements and the boundary of nilpotent orbits in simple Lie algebras, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 128(2004), 859–870.
- [8] D. PANYUSHEV. Actions of the derived group of a maximal unipotent subgroup on  $G$ -varieties, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, 2010, no. 4 (2010), 674–700.
- [9] T.A. SPRINGER and R. STEINBERG. Conjugacy Classes, In: “Seminar on algebraic and related finite groups”, Lecture Notes Math., Berlin: Springer, 131(1970), 167–266.
- [10] Э.Б. ВИНБЕРГ, А.Л. ОНИЩИК. Семинар по группам Ли и алгебраическим группам. Москва: “Наука” 1988 (Russian). English translation: A.L. ONISHCHIK and E.B. VINBERG. “Lie groups and algebraic groups”, Berlin: Springer, 1990.
- [11] Э.Б. ВИНБЕРГ, В.Л. ПОПОВ. Об одном классе аффинных квазиоднородных многообразий, *Изв. АН СССР. Сер. Матем.*, 36(1972), 749–764 (Russian). English translation: E.B. VINBERG and V.L. POPOV. On a class of quasihomogeneous affine varieties, *Math. USSR Izv.*, 6(1972), 743–758.
- [12] Э.Б. ВИНБЕРГ, В.Л. ПОПОВ. “Теория Инвариантов”, В кн.: Современные проблемы математики. Фундаментальные направления, т. 55, стр. 137–309. Москва: ВИНИТИ 1989 (Russian). English translation: V.L. POPOV and E.B. VINBERG. “Invariant theory”, In: *Algebraic Geometry IV* (Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 55, pp. 123–284) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1994.
- [13] О. ЯКИМОВА. On the derived algebra of a centraliser, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, to appear, arXiv:1003.0602 [math.RT].

INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITY OF MOSCOW, BOL'SHOI VLASEVSKII PER. 11, 119002 MOSCOW, RUSSIA  
 INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATION TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS, B. KARETNYI PER. 19, MOSCOW 127994

E-mail address: panyush@mccme.ru

URL: <http://www.mccme.ru/~panyush>