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#### Abstract

Let $p$ be an odd prime. We construct a non-abelian extension $\Gamma$ of $S^{1}$ by $\mathbf{Z} / p \times \mathbf{Z} / p$, and prove that any finite subgroup of $\Gamma$ acts freely and smoothly on $S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$. In particular, for each odd prime $p$ we obtain free smooth actions of infinitely many non-metacyclic rank two $p$-groups on $S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$. These results arise from a general approach to the existence problem for finite group actions on products of equidimensional spheres.


## Introduction

Conner [14] and Heller [18] proved that any finite group $G$ acting freely on a product of two spheres must have rank $G \leqq 2$. In other words, the maximal rank of an elementary abelian subgroup of $G$ is at most two. However, if both spheres have the same dimension then there are additional restrictions: the alternating group $A_{4}$ of order 12 has rank two, but does not admit such an action (see Oliver [31]). It was observed by Adem-Smith [3], p. 423] that $A_{4}$ is a subgroup of every rank two simple group, so all these are ruled out.

Question. What group theoretic conditions characterize the rank two finite groups which can act freely and smoothly on $S^{n} \times S^{n}$, for some $n \geqq 1$ ?

The work of G. Lewis [27] shows that for every prime $p$, the $p$-Sylow subgroup of a finite group $G$ which acts freely on $S^{n} \times S^{n}$ is abelian unless $n=2 p r-1$ for some $r \geq 1$. Lewis also points out [27, p. 538] that metacyclic groups act freely and smoothly on some $S^{n} \times S^{n}$, but the existence of a free action by any other non-abelian $p$-group, for $p$ odd, has been a long-standing open question. In this paper we provide a general approach to this problem, and construct an infinite family of new examples for each odd prime in the minimal dimension.

For each odd prime $p$, let $\Gamma$ be the Lie group given by the following presentation

$$
\Gamma=\left\langle a, b, z \mid z \in S^{1}, a^{p}=b^{p}=[a, z]=[b, z]=1,[a, b]=\omega\right\rangle
$$

where $\omega=e^{2 \pi i / p} \in S^{1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. This is a non-abelian central extension of $\mathbf{Z} / p \times \mathbf{Z} / p$ by $S^{1}$.
Theorem A. Let $p$ be an odd prime, and let $G$ be a finite subgroup of $\Gamma$. Then $G$ acts freely and smoothly on $S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$.

The finite subgroups of $\Gamma$ which surject onto the quotient $\mathbf{Z} / p \times \mathbf{Z} / p$ are direct products $G=C \times P(k)$, where $C$ is a finite cyclic group of order prime to $p$, and

$$
P(k)=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{p}=b^{p}=c^{p^{k-2}}=[a, c]=[b, c]=1,[a, b]=c^{p^{k-3}}\right\rangle
$$

is a rank two $p$-group of order $p^{k}, k \geq 3$. We therefore obtain infinitely many actions of non-metacyclic $p$-groups on $S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$ for each prime $p$.

An important special case is the extraspecial $p$-group $G_{p}=P(3)$ of order $p^{3}$ and exponent $p$. Our existence result contradicts claims made in 4], 5], 37, and [41] that $G_{p}$-actions do not exist (for cohomological reasons) on any product of equidimensional spheres. It was later shown by Benson and Carlson [7] that such actions could not be ruled out for any prime $p$ by cohomological methods. Moreover for $p=3$, in [17], we gave an explicit construction of a free smooth action of $\Gamma$ (and in particular $G_{3}$ ) on $S^{5} \times S^{5}$. This construction provides an alternate proof of Theorem A for $p=3$.

More generally, rank two finite $p$-groups were classified by Blackburn [8] (see also [26]). Consider the additional family, extending the groups $P(k)$ :

$$
B(k, \epsilon)=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{p}=b^{p}=c^{p^{k-2}}=[b, c]=1,[a, c]=b,[a, b]=c^{\epsilon p^{k-3}}\right\rangle
$$

where $k \geq 4$, and $\epsilon$ is 1 or a quadratic non-residue $\bmod p$. Here is Blackburn's list of the rank two $p$-groups $G$ with order $p^{k}$, and $p>3$ (the classification for $p=3$ is more complicated):
I) $G$ is a metacyclic $p$-group.
II) $G=P(k)$, for $k \geq 3$.
III) $G=B(k, \epsilon)$, for $k \geq 4$.

We now know that groups of types I and II do act freely on a product of equidimensional spheres in the minimal dimension. Is this the complete answer ?

Conjecture. Let $p>3$ be an odd prime. If $G$ is a rank two $p$-group $G$ which acts freely and smoothly on $S^{2 p r-1} \times S^{2 p r-1}, r \geq 1$, then $G$ is metacyclic or $G$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma$.

If this conjecture is true, then we would know all the possible $p$-Sylow subgroups $(p>3)$ for finite groups acting freely on products of equidimensional spheres. This would be an important step forward in understanding the general problem.

We remark that in order to handle groups of composite order, it is necessary to establish the existence of free actions of $p$-groups in higher dimensions $S^{2 p r-1} \times S^{2 p r-1}, r>1$. In [17], we discussed this existence problem specifically for $p=3, r=2$, and showed that all odd order subgroups of $S U(3)$, including the extraspecial 3 -group $G_{3}$ and the type III group $B(4,-1)$, can act freely and smoothly on $S^{11} \times S^{11}$. In particular, we are suggesting that existence results for $p=3$ will be qualitatively different than those for $p>3$.

We can expect an even more complicated structure for the 2-Sylow subgroup of a finite group acting freely on some $S^{n} \times S^{n}$, since this is already the case for free actions on $S^{n}$. We can take products of periodic groups $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ and obtain a variety of actions of nonmetacyclic groups on $S^{n} \times S^{n}$ (see [16] for the existence of these examples, generalizing the results of Stein [34]). Here the 2-groups are all metabelian, so one might hope that this
is the correct restriction on the 2-Sylow subgroup. However, there are non-metabelian 2-groups which are subgroups of $S p(2)$, hence by generalizing the notion of fixity in [2] to quaternionic fixity, one can construct free actions of these non-metabelian 2-groups on $S^{7} \times S^{7}$ (see [38]).

Remark. Every rank two finite $p$-group (for $p$ odd) admits a free smooth action on some product $S^{n} \times S^{m}, m \geqq n$ (see [2] for $p>3$, [38] for $p=3$ ). The survey article by A. Adem [1] describes recent progress on the existence problem in this setting for general finite groups (see also [20]). In most cases, construction of the actions requires $m>n$.

We will always assume that our actions on $S^{n} \times S^{n}$ are homologically trivial and $n$ is odd. For free actions of odd $p$-groups this follows from the Lefschetz fixed point theorem.
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## 1. An overview of the proof

Given a group $G$, and two cohomology classes $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in H^{n+1}(G ; \mathbf{Z})$, we can construct an associated space $B_{G}$ as the total space of the induced fibration

where $B G$ denotes the classifying space of $G$. If we also have a stable oriented bundle $\nu_{G}: B_{G} \rightarrow B S O$, then we can consider the bordism groups

$$
\Omega_{k}\left(B_{G}, \nu_{G}\right)
$$

defined as in [35, Chap. II]. The objects are commutative diagrams

where $M^{k}$ is a closed, smooth $k$-dimensional manifold with stable normal bundle $\nu_{M}$, $f: M \rightarrow B_{G}$ is a reference map, and $b: \nu_{M} \rightarrow \nu_{G}$ is a stable bundle map covering $f$. The bordism relation is the obvious one consistent with the normal data and reference maps.

Our general strategy is to use the space $B_{G}$ as a model for the $n$-type of the orbit space of a possible free $G$-action on $S^{n} \times S^{n}$, and $\nu_{G}$ as a candidate for its stable normal bundle. For $G$ finite, the actual orbit space (a closed $2 n$-dimensional manifold) is obtained by surgery on a representative of a suitable bordism element in $\Omega_{2 n}\left(B_{G}, \nu_{G}\right)$. This approach to the problem follows the general outline of Kreck's "modified surgery" program (see [23]).

We will carry out this strategy uniformly to prove Theorem A. We may restrict our attention to the finite subgroups $G \subset \Gamma$ which surject onto $\mathbf{Z} / p \times \mathbf{Z} / p$. Then, by construction, the induced map on classifying spaces gives a circle bundle

$$
S^{1} \rightarrow B_{G} \rightarrow B_{\Gamma}
$$

Let $n=2 p-1$. We select appropriate data $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right)$ for $\Gamma$, and then define the data for each $G \subset \Gamma$ by restriction. We study $B_{\Gamma}$ and the bordism groups $\Omega_{2 n-1}\left(B_{\Gamma}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right)$ to carry out the following steps.
(i) We construct a non-empty subset $T_{\Gamma} \subseteq H_{2 n-1}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$, depending on the data $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right)$, consisting entirely of primitive elements of infinite order.
(ii) For each $\gamma \in T_{\Gamma}$, we show that there is a bordism element $[N, c] \in \Omega_{2 n-1}\left(B_{\Gamma}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right)$ whose image $c_{*}[N]=\gamma \in T_{\Gamma}$ under the Hurewicz map $\Omega_{2 n-1}\left(B_{\Gamma}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow H_{2 n}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. One of the key points is that the cohomology of the groups $\Gamma$ is much simpler than that of its finite subgroups (see Leary [24] for $\Gamma$, and Lewis [28] for the extra-special $p$-groups), so the computations of Steps (i) and (ii) are best done over $\Gamma$.

Now for each finite subgroup $G \subset \Gamma$ as above, define $T_{G}$ as the image of $T_{\Gamma}$ under the $S^{1}$-bundle transfer

$$
\text { trf : } H_{2 n-1}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{2 n}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

induced by the fibration of classifying spaces. The subset $T_{G}$ will contain the images of fundamental classes of the possible free $G$-actions on $S^{n} \times S^{n}$. For each $\gamma_{G}=\operatorname{trf}(\gamma) \in T_{G}$, we have a bordism element $[M, f] \in \Omega_{2 n}\left(B_{G}, \nu_{G}\right)$, where $M$ is the total space of the pulledback $S^{1}$-bundle over $[N, c]$ with $c_{*}[N]=\gamma$. We then show that we can obtain $\widetilde{M}=S^{n} \times S^{n}$ by surgery on $[M, f]$ within its bordism class.

## 2. Representations and cohomology of $\Gamma$

$\S 2 \mathrm{~A}$. Some subgroups of $\Gamma$. For each odd prime $p$, the Lie group $\Gamma$ is a central extension

$$
1 \rightarrow S^{1} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow Q_{p} \rightarrow 1
$$

where $Q_{p}=\mathbf{Z} / p \times \mathbf{Z} / p$. We fix the presentation for $\Gamma$ given in the Introduction, with generators $\langle a, b\rangle$ for $Q_{p}$. For any finite subgroup $G \subset \Gamma$ which surjects onto $Q_{p}$, we have a commutative diagram of central extensions

where the centre $Z(G)=\langle c\rangle \subset G$ is a finite cyclic group. Now we list some subgroups of $\Gamma$ which will be important in our calculations.

Definition 2.1. Let $d_{t}=a b^{t}$ if $0 \leq t \leq p-1, d_{p}=b$, and define $D_{t}=\left\langle d_{t}\right\rangle$, for $0 \leq t \leq p$. Let $\Sigma_{t}=\left\langle d_{t}, S^{1}\right\rangle$ denote the subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by $d_{t}$ and $S^{1}$ for $0 \leq t \leq p$.

We will usually write $\Sigma$ instead of $\Sigma_{p}$ for the subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by $b$ and $S^{1}$.

Remark 2.2. Since the subgroup $S^{1} \subset \Gamma$ is central, any continuous group automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ induces an automorphism $\bar{\phi} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{p}\right)=G L_{2}(p)$. In Section 6, we will use the fact that the image of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ contains the subgroup $S L_{2}(p) \subset G L_{2}(p)$. More explicitly, for each matrix $A \in S L_{2}(p)$, we can define an automorphism $\phi_{A} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ such that $\bar{\phi}_{A}=A$ as follows. Any element in $\Gamma$ can be written as $a^{r} b^{s} z$ for unique $r, s \in \mathbf{Z} / p$ and $z \in S^{1}$. Given a matrix $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22}\end{array}\right)$ in $S L_{2}(p)$ we can define $\phi_{A}(a)=a^{r_{11}} b^{r_{12}}$, $\phi_{A}(b)=a^{r_{21}} b^{r_{22}}$ and $\phi_{A}(z)=z$. By construction, $\bar{\phi}_{A}=A$.
§2B. Representations of $\Gamma$ and some of its subgroups. First, we define a 1 dimensional representation

$$
\Phi_{t}: \Gamma \rightarrow U(1), \quad \text { for } 0 \leq t \leq p
$$

so that $\operatorname{ker} \Phi_{t}=\Sigma_{p-t}$ and $\Phi_{t}\left(d_{t}\right)=e^{2 \pi i / p}$. For any subgroup $G \subset \Gamma$ and $0 \leq t \leq p$ we define a 1-dimensional representation of $G$ by the formula:

$$
\Phi_{t, G}=\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\Gamma}\left(\Phi_{t}\right): G \rightarrow U(1), \quad 0 \leq t \leq p .
$$

Second, we define a 1-dimensional representation $\Phi_{t}^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma_{t}$ by setting:

$$
\Phi_{t}^{\prime}: \Sigma_{t} \rightarrow U(1), \quad 0 \leq t \leq p
$$

where $\Phi_{t}^{\prime}\left(d_{t}\right)=1$ and $\Phi_{t}^{\prime}(z)=z$ for $z$ in $S^{1}$. For any subgroup $G \subset \Sigma_{t}$ we define a 1-dimensional representation of $G$ by the formula:

$$
\Phi_{t, G}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\Sigma_{t}}\left(\Phi_{t}^{\prime}\right): G \rightarrow U(1), \quad 0 \leq t \leq p
$$

Finally, we define a $p$-dimensional irreducible representation $\Psi$ of $\Gamma$ as follows:

$$
\Psi=\operatorname{Ind}_{\Sigma}^{\Gamma}\left(\Phi_{p}^{\prime}\right): \Gamma \rightarrow S U(p)
$$

and for any subgroup $G \subset \Gamma$ we define:

$$
\Psi_{G}=\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\Gamma}(\Psi): G \rightarrow S U(p)
$$

by restriction, as a $p$-dimensional representation of $G$.
$\S 2 \mathrm{C}$. Cohomology of $\Gamma$ and some of its subgroups. We will use the notations and results of Leary [24] for the integral cohomology ring of $\Gamma$.

Theorem 2.3 ([24, Theorem 2]). $H^{*}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})$ is generated by elements $\alpha, \beta, \sigma_{1}, \chi_{2}, \ldots$, $\chi_{p-1}, \zeta$, with

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\alpha)=\operatorname{deg}(\beta)=2, \quad \operatorname{deg}(\zeta)=2 p, \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(\chi_{i}\right)=2 i
$$

subject to some relations.
In the statement of Theorem 2.3, the elements $\alpha=\Phi_{0}: \Gamma \rightarrow U(1)$ and $\beta=\Phi_{p}: \Gamma \rightarrow U(1)$, by considering $H^{2}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, S^{1}\right)$, and $\zeta$ is the $p^{\text {th }}$ Chern class of the $p$-dimensional irreducible representation $\Psi$ of $\Gamma$. The $\bmod p$ cohomology ring of $\Gamma$ is also given by Leary:

Theorem 2.4 ([25, Theorem 2]). $H^{*}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$ is generated by elements $y, y^{\prime}, x, x^{\prime}$, $c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{p-1}, z$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}(y) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=1, \\
\operatorname{deg}(z) & =2 p, \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg}(x)=\operatorname{deg}\left(c_{i}\right)=2 i
\end{aligned}
$$

subject to some relations.
Let $\pi_{*}$ stand for the projection map from $H^{*}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})$ to $H^{*}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$, and $\delta_{p}$ for the Bockstein from $H^{*}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$ to $H^{*+1}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})$ then $\delta_{p}(y)=\alpha, \delta_{p}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\beta, \pi_{*}(\alpha)=x$, $\pi_{*}(\beta)=x^{\prime}, \pi_{*}\left(\chi_{i}\right)=c_{i}$, and $\pi_{*}(\zeta)=z$. Here are some facts about the cohomology of certain subgroups.

Remark 2.5. Considering $H^{2}(B G, \mathbf{Z})=\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, S^{1}\right)$
(1) $H^{*}\left(B S^{1} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=\mathbf{Z}[\tau]$ where $\tau=\Phi_{t, S^{1}}^{\prime}$. So $\tau$ is the identity map on $S^{1}$.
(2) $H^{*}\left(B \Sigma_{t} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=\mathbf{Z}\left[\tau^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \mid p v^{\prime}=0\right]$ where $\tau^{\prime}=\Phi_{t}^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}=\Phi_{t, \Sigma_{t}}$
(3) $H^{*}\left(B \Sigma_{t}, \mathbf{Z} / p\right)=\mathbf{F}_{p}[\bar{\tau}] \otimes\left(\Lambda(u) \otimes \mathbf{F}_{p}[v]\right)$ where $\bar{\tau}$ and $v$ are $\bmod p$ reductions of $\tau^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ respectively and $\beta(u)=v$.

We calculate some restriction maps:

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{\Sigma_{t}}^{\Gamma}(\alpha)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
v^{\prime} & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq p-1, \\
0 & \text { if } t=p
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Res}_{\Sigma_{t}}^{\Gamma}(\beta)= \begin{cases}t v^{\prime} & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq p-1 \\
v^{\prime} & \text { if } t=p\end{cases}\right.
$$

The property

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{\Sigma_{t}}^{\Gamma}\left(\alpha^{p}-\alpha^{p-1} \beta+\beta^{p}\right)=\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p},
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq p$, shows that this element is a good candidate for a $k$-invariant.

## 3. The $(2 p-1)$-type $B_{\Gamma}$ and the bundle data

We now construct the space $B_{\Gamma}$ needed as a model for the ( $2 p-1$ )-type of the quotient space of our action. Then we construct a bundle $\nu_{\Gamma}$ over this space $B_{\Gamma}$ which will pullback to the normal bundle of the quotient space of this action.
$\S 3 \mathbf{A}$. Definition of $B_{\Gamma}$. We fix the element

$$
k=\theta_{1} \oplus \theta_{2}=\zeta \oplus\left(\alpha^{p}-\alpha^{p-1} \beta+\beta^{p}\right) \in H^{2 p}(\Gamma ; \mathbf{Z}) \oplus H^{2 p}(\Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})
$$

For any subgroup $G \subset \Gamma$ define

$$
k_{G}=\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\Gamma}(k) \in H^{2 p}(G ; \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}),
$$

and define $\pi_{G}$ as the fibration classified by $k_{G}$ :


Note that the natural map $B G \rightarrow B \Gamma$, induced by the inclusion, gives a diagram

which is a pull-back square.
$\S 3 B$. The bundle data over $B_{\Gamma}$. For any subgroup $G \subseteq \Gamma$ we will define two bundles $\varpi_{G}$ and $\xi_{G}$ over $B G$, which will pull back by the classifying map to the stable tangent and normal bundle respectively of the quotient of a possible $G$-action on $S^{n} \times S^{n}$. The pullbacks of these bundles over $B G$ to bundles over $B_{G}$ will be denoted by $\tau_{G}$ and $\nu_{G}$ respectively.
(1) Tangent bundles: We have the representations $\Psi_{G}: G \rightarrow S U(p)$ and $\Phi_{t, G}: G \rightarrow$ $U(1)$. Let $\psi_{G}$ denote the $p$-dimensional complex vector bundle classified by

$$
\psi_{G}=B \Psi_{G}: B G \rightarrow B S U(p),
$$

and let $\phi_{t, G}$ denote the complex line bundle classified by

$$
\phi_{t, G}=B \Phi_{t, G}: B G \rightarrow B U(1)=B S^{1} .
$$

We define a $3 p$-dimensional complex vector bundle $\varpi_{G}$ on $B G$ by the Whitney sum

$$
\varpi_{G}=\psi_{G} \oplus \phi_{0, G}^{\oplus p} \oplus \phi_{p, G}^{\oplus p}
$$

and use the same notation for the stable vector bundle $\varpi_{G}: B G \rightarrow B S O$. We now identify our candidate $\tau_{G}$ for the stable tangent bundle.

Definition 3.1. Let $\tau_{G}$ denote the stable vector bundle on $B_{G}$ classified by the composition

$$
\tau_{G}: B_{G} \xrightarrow{\pi_{G}} B G \xrightarrow{w_{G}} B S O .
$$

(2) Normal bundles: First we show that there is an stable inverse of the vector bundle $\varpi_{G}$ over $B G$, when restricted to a finite skeleton of $B G$.

Lemma 3.2. For any subgroup $G \subseteq \Gamma$, there exists a stable bundle $\xi_{G}: B G \rightarrow B S O$, such that $\xi_{G} \oplus \varpi_{G}=\varepsilon$, the trivial bundle, when restricted to the $(4 p-1)$-skeleton of $B G$.

Proof. Take $N=4 p-1$ and let $\left.\varpi_{\Gamma}\right|_{B \Gamma^{(N)}}$ denote the pull-back of $\varpi_{\Gamma}$ to $B \Gamma^{(N)}$, the $N$-th skeleton of $B \Gamma$, by the inclusion map of $B \Gamma^{(N)}$ in $B \Gamma$. Then there exists a vector bundle $\xi_{\Gamma}$ over $B_{\Gamma}^{(N)}$ such that the bundle $\xi_{\Gamma} \oplus\left(\left.\varpi_{\Gamma}\right|_{\left.B \Gamma^{(N)}\right)}\right)$ is trivial over $B \Gamma^{(N)}$, since $B \Gamma^{(N)}$ is a finite CW-complex. Stably this vector bundle is classified by a map $\xi_{\Gamma}: B \Gamma^{(N)} \rightarrow B U$ and there is no obstruction to extending this classifying map to a map $B \Gamma \rightarrow B U$, as the obstructions to doing so lie in the cohomology groups

$$
H^{*+1}\left(B \Gamma, B \Gamma^{(N)} ; \pi_{*}(B U)\right)=0 .
$$

We will use the same notation $\xi_{\Gamma}$ to denote the stable vector bundle classified by any extension map $B \Gamma \rightarrow B U \rightarrow B S O$. We then define

$$
\xi_{G}: B G \rightarrow B S O
$$

by composition with the map $B G \rightarrow B \Gamma$ induced by $G \subseteq \Gamma$.
We now identify our candidate $\nu_{G}$ for the stable normal bundle.
Definition 3.3. Let $\nu_{G}$ denote the stable vector bundle on $B_{G}$ classified by the composition

$$
\nu_{G}: B_{G} \xrightarrow{\pi_{G}} B G \xrightarrow{\xi_{G}} B S O .
$$

§3C. Characteristic classes. We will now calculate some characteristic classes for the bundles $\varpi_{\Sigma_{t}}$ and $\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}$ over $B \Sigma_{t}$. The total Chern class of a bundle $\xi$ will be denoted $c(\xi)$. See [30, p. 228] for the definition of the $\bmod p$ Wu classes $q_{k}(\xi) \in H^{2(p-1) k}(B ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$.

Lemma 3.4. The total Chern class of $\varpi_{\Sigma_{t}}$ is

$$
\mathrm{c}\left(\varpi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=\mathrm{c}\left(\psi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \mathrm{c}\left(\phi_{0, \Sigma_{t}}^{\oplus p} \oplus \phi_{p, \Sigma_{t}}^{\oplus p}\right)
$$

where
(1) $\mathrm{c}\left(\psi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=1-\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}+\left(\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p}-\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1} \tau^{\prime}\right)$
(2) $c\left(\phi_{0, \Sigma_{t}}^{\oplus p} \oplus \phi_{p, \Sigma_{t}}^{\oplus p}\right)=1+(1+t)\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p}+t\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2 p}$

Proof. Given two 1-dimensional representation $\Phi: G \rightarrow S^{1}$ and $\Phi^{\prime}: G \rightarrow S^{1}$ and a natural number $k$, we will write $\Phi^{k}(g)=(\Phi(g))^{k}$ and $\left(\Phi \Phi^{\prime}\right)(g)=\Phi(g) \Phi^{\prime}(g)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\Psi_{\Sigma_{t}}=\Phi_{t}^{\prime} \oplus \Phi_{t, \Sigma_{t}} \Phi_{t}^{\prime} \oplus \Phi_{t, \Sigma_{t}}^{2} \Phi_{t}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Phi_{t, \Sigma_{t}}^{p-1} \Phi_{t}^{\prime}
$$

Hence the total Chern class of $\psi_{\Sigma_{t}}$ is

$$
\left(1+\tau^{\prime}\right)\left(1+v^{\prime}+\tau^{\prime}\right)\left(1+2 v^{\prime}+\tau^{\prime}\right) \ldots\left(1+(p-1) v^{\prime}+\tau^{\prime}\right)=1-\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}+\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p}-\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1} \tau^{\prime}
$$ since $p v^{\prime}=0$.

We have $\mathrm{c}\left(\phi_{0, \Sigma_{t}}^{\oplus p}\right)=\left(1+v^{\prime}\right)^{p}$ when $0 \leq t \leq p-1(1$ when $t=p)$, and $\mathrm{c}\left(\phi_{p, \Sigma_{t}}^{\oplus p}\right)=\left(1+t v^{\prime}\right)^{p}$ when $0 \leq t \leq p-1$ (but $\left(1+v^{\prime}\right)^{p}$ when $\left.t=p\right)$. Hence the total Chern class of $\phi_{0, \Sigma_{t}}^{\oplus p} \oplus \phi_{p, \Sigma_{t}}^{\oplus p}$ is equal to

$$
\left(1+v^{\prime}\right)^{p}\left(1+t v^{\prime}\right)^{p}=\left(1+\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p}\right)\left(1+\left(t v^{\prime}\right)^{p}\right)=\left(1+(1+t)\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p}+t\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2 p}\right)
$$

when $0 \leq t \leq p-1$ and it is equal to

$$
\left(1+v^{\prime}\right)^{p}=\left(1+\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p}\right)=\left(1+(1+t)\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p}+t\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2 p}\right)
$$

when $t=p$.
Now we will calculate the total Chern class of the bundle over $B \Sigma_{t}$ that pulls backs to the normal bundle.

Lemma 3.5. The total Chern class of $\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}$ is

$$
\mathrm{c}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=1+\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}+\text { higher terms }
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 we know that the total Chern class of $\varpi_{\Sigma_{t}}$ is

$$
\mathrm{c}\left(\varpi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=1-\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}+\text { higher terms }
$$

By the construction of $\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}$, we know that $\xi_{\Sigma_{t}} \oplus \varpi_{\Sigma_{t}}$ is a trivial bundle over $B \Sigma_{t}^{(4 p-1)}$, and the result follows.

For the rest of this section set $r=\frac{p-1}{2}$.
Lemma 3.6. The first few Pontrjagin classes of the bundle $\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}$ are as follows

$$
p_{k}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } k=0 \\ 0 & \text { if } 0<k<r \\ (-1)^{r} 2\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1} & \text { if } k=r\end{cases}
$$

Proof. This is direct calculation given Lemma 3.5 and the fact that

$$
p_{k}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=\mathrm{c}_{k}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)^{2}-2 \mathrm{c}_{k-1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{k+1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)+-\cdots \mp 2 \mathrm{c}_{1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \mathrm{c}_{2 k-1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \pm 2 \mathrm{c}_{2 k}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

The main result of this section is the following:
Lemma 3.7. $q_{1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=v^{p-1} \in H^{2(p-1)}\left(B \Sigma_{t} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)$
Proof. Let $\left\{K_{n}\right\}$ be the multiplicative sequence belonging to the polynomial $f(t)=1+t^{r}$. A result of Wu shows (see Theorem 19.7 in [30]) that

$$
q_{1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=K_{r}\left(p_{1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right), \ldots, p_{r}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)\right) \bmod p
$$

By Lemma 3.6 we know that $p_{1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right), \ldots, p_{r-1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$ are all zero, hence we are only interested in the coefficient of $x_{r}$ in the polynomial $K_{r}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$. By Problem 19-B in [30] this coefficient is equal to $s_{r}(0,0, \ldots, 0,1)=(-1)^{r+1} r$ (see [30, p. 188]) Hence we have

$$
q_{1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=(-1)^{r+1} r \bar{p}_{r}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=(-1)^{r+1} r(-1)^{r} 2 v^{p-1}=(-1)(p-1) v^{p-1}=v^{p-1}
$$

where $\bar{p}_{r}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$ denotes the $\bmod p$ reduction of $p_{r}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$.

## 4. Smooth models $M_{t}$ and $N_{t}$

Here we construct free smooth actions of the subgroups $\Sigma_{t}$ and $D_{t}$ on $S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$ and $S^{4 p-3}$ respectively, with the right bundle data. These provide models for covering spaces of the actions we are trying to construct.
$\S 4 \mathrm{~A}$. Construction of the examples $M_{t}$ and $N_{t}$. Given an $m$-dimensional representation $\Phi: G \rightarrow U(m)$ of a group $G$, we have an induced $G$-action on $\mathbb{C}^{m}$, and the space $S(\Phi)=S^{2 m-1}$ will be the $G$-equivariant unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$. We now construct two main examples.
(1) For $G=\Sigma_{t}$ and $t \in\{0, \ldots, p\}$, define

$$
M_{t}=\left(S\left(\Psi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \times S\left(\left(\Phi_{t, \Sigma_{t}}\right)^{\oplus p}\right) / \Sigma_{t}=\left(S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}\right) / \Sigma_{t}\right.
$$

(2) For $G=D_{t}$ and $t \in\{0, \ldots, p\}$, define

$$
N_{t}=S\left(\Phi_{t, D_{t}} \oplus \Phi_{t, D_{t}}^{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Phi_{t, D_{t}}^{p-1} \oplus\left(\Phi_{t, D_{t}}\right)^{\oplus p}\right) / D_{t}=S^{4 p-3} / D_{t}
$$

where, for a 1-dimensional representation $\Phi$, we set $\Phi^{k}$ to be the $k^{t h}$ power of $\Phi$ induced by the multiplication in $S^{1}$. In other words, if $\Phi(v)=\lambda v$ then we set $\Phi^{k}(v)=\lambda^{k} v$.
$\S 4$ B. The $(2 p-1)$-type of $M_{t}$. Let $X^{[n]}$ denote the $n$-type of a space $X$.
Lemma 4.1. $M_{t}^{[2 p-2]} \simeq B \Sigma_{t}$ and the composition $M_{t} \xrightarrow{i_{2 p-2}} M_{t}^{[2 p-2]} \xrightarrow{\simeq} B \Sigma_{t}$ is homotopy equivalent to the classifying map $c_{t}: M_{t} \rightarrow B \Sigma_{t}$.
Proof. This follows as $S\left(\Psi_{\Sigma},\left(\Phi_{t, \Sigma_{t}}\right)^{\oplus p}\right)=S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$ is $(2 p-2)$-connected and the action of $\Sigma_{t}$ on $S\left(\Psi_{\Sigma_{t}},\left(\Phi_{t, \Sigma_{t}}\right)^{\oplus p}\right)$ is free.

Lemma 4.2. $M_{t}^{[2 p-1]} \simeq B_{\Sigma_{t}}$.
Proof. Let $c_{p}(\Phi)$ denote the $p^{\text {th }}$ Chern class of a representation $\Phi$ then

$$
k_{2 p-1}\left(M_{t}\right)=\mathrm{c}_{p}\left(\Psi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \oplus \mathrm{c}_{p}\left(\left(\Phi_{t, \Sigma_{t}}\right)^{\oplus p}\right)=\operatorname{Res}_{\Sigma_{t}}^{\Gamma}(\zeta) \oplus \operatorname{Res}_{\Sigma_{t}}^{\Gamma}\left(\alpha^{p}-\alpha^{p-1} \beta+\beta^{p}\right)=k_{\Sigma_{t}}
$$

Hence the results follows by Lemma 4.1.

## $\S 4 \mathrm{C}$. The tangent bundle of $M_{t}$.

Lemma 4.3. The tangent bundle of $M_{t}$ is stably equivalent to the pull-back of $\tau_{\Sigma_{t}}$ : $B_{\Sigma_{t}} \rightarrow$ BSO (see Definition 3.1).

Proof. The tangent bundle $T\left(M_{t}\right)$ of $M_{t}$ clearly fits into the following pull-back diagram

where $\varepsilon$ is a trivial bundle over $M_{t}$ and the action of $\Sigma_{t}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{p} \times \mathbb{C}^{p}$ is given by $\Psi_{\Sigma_{t}}$ and $\left(\Phi_{t, \Sigma_{t}}\right)^{\oplus p}$ respectively. Hence we have $c_{t}^{*}([\pi])=c_{t}^{*}\left(\left[\psi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right]+p\left[\phi_{t, \Sigma_{t}}\right]\right)$ in complex $K$-theory $\widetilde{K}\left(M_{t}\right)$. However, $M_{t}$ fits into the following pull-back diagram:

where $L_{t}=S\left(\Phi_{t, D_{t}}^{\oplus p}\right) / D_{t}$ and the action of $D_{t}$ on $\mathbf{C P}^{p-1}$ is induced by action of $D_{t}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{p}$ given by $\Psi_{D_{t}}$. Hence $\widetilde{K}\left(M_{t}\right)$ is a $\widetilde{K}\left(L_{t}\right)$-module by Proposition 2.13 in Chapter IV in [22] and the exponent of $\widetilde{K}\left(L_{t}\right)$ is $p$ (see Theorem 2 in [21]). Hence the exponent of $\widetilde{K}\left(M_{t}\right)$ is $p$ and $c_{t}^{*}([\pi])=c_{t}^{*}\left(\left[\psi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right]\right)=c_{t}^{*}\left(\left[\varpi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right]\right)$. This means the tangent bundle of $M_{t}$ is stably equivalent to the pull-back of the bundle $\varpi_{\Sigma_{t}}$ over $B \Sigma_{t}$ by the classifying map. However, by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we know that the classifying map is homotopy equivalent
to the compositon $M_{t} \xrightarrow{i_{2 p-1}} M_{t}^{[2 p-1]} \xrightarrow{\simeq} B_{\Sigma_{t}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\Sigma_{t}}} B \Sigma_{t}$. Hence, the tangent bundle of $M_{t}$ is stably equivalent to the pull-back of $\tau_{\Sigma_{t}}$.

## $\S 4 \mathrm{D}$. The tangent bundle of $N_{t}$.

Lemma 4.4. The tangent bundle of $N_{t}$ is stably equivalent to the pull-back of $\varpi_{D_{t}}: B D_{t} \rightarrow$ $B S O$.

Proof. The tangent bundle $T\left(N_{t}\right)$ of $N_{t}$ clearly fits into the following pull-back diagram

where $\varepsilon$ is a trivial bundle over $N_{t}$ and the action of $D_{t}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2 p-1}$ is given by $\Phi_{t, D_{t}} \oplus$ $\Phi_{t, D_{t}}^{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Phi_{t, D_{t}}^{p-1} \oplus\left(\Phi_{t, D_{t}}\right)^{\oplus p}$, where $\Phi^{k}(g)=(\Phi(g))^{k}$ for a 1-dimensional representation $\Phi: G \rightarrow S^{1}$. Now it is easy to see that

$$
\varpi_{D_{t}}=1 \oplus \Phi_{t, D_{t}} \oplus \Phi_{t, D_{t}}^{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Phi_{t, D_{t}}^{p-1} \oplus\left(\Phi_{t, D_{t}}\right)^{\oplus p}
$$

Hence it is clear that the tangent bundle of $N_{t}$ is the stably equivalent to the pull-back of $\varpi_{D_{t}}$.

## 5. The image of the fundamental class

In this section we define a subset $T_{\Gamma} \subset H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$, which will turn out to contain the images of all the possible fundamental classes for our actions. To show that this set is non-empty, and consists of primitive elements of infinite order, we need to compute some cohomology groups of $B_{G}, G \subset \Gamma$. To carry out these computations, we will use the cohomology Serre spectral sequence of the fibration $K \longrightarrow B_{G} \xrightarrow{\pi_{G}} B G$, where $K=$ $K(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}, 2 p-1)$.
$\S 5 A$. Definition of $\gamma_{S^{1}}, \gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}$ and $T_{\Gamma}$. First note that the universal cover of $M_{t}$ is $\mathbf{C P}{ }^{p-1} \times$ $S^{2 p-1}$, for $0 \leq t \leq p$, and the universal cover of $B_{\Sigma_{t}}$ is $B_{S^{1}}$. Hence, we can assume that we have the following pull-back diagram where the map $c$ does not depend on $t$.


We define an element $\gamma_{S^{1}}$ in $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ as the image of the fundamental class of $\mathbf{C P}{ }^{p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$ under the map $c$ defined in the above diagram. In other words

$$
\gamma_{S^{1}}=c_{*}\left[\mathbf{C P}^{p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}\right] \in H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

Similarly, we define $\gamma_{\Sigma_{t}} \in H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right), 0 \leq t \leq p$, as the image of the fundamental class of $M_{t}$. In other words

$$
\gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}=\left(c_{t}\right)_{*}\left[M_{t}\right] \in H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

Definition 5.1. We define

$$
T_{\Gamma}=\left\{\gamma \in H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \mid p \cdot\left(\operatorname{tr}(\gamma)-\gamma_{S^{1}}\right)=0\right\}
$$

where tr: $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ denotes the transfer map.
One of our main tasks will be to show that this subset $T_{\Gamma}$ is non-empty !
$\S 5 \mathrm{~B}$. The (co)homology of $K$. Let $A$ be an abelian group. We will write

$$
{ }_{(p)} A:=A /\langle\text { torsion prime to } p\rangle \text {. }
$$

The map

$$
P^{1}: H^{i}(K ; \mathbf{Z} / p) \rightarrow H^{i+2(p-1)}(K ; \mathbf{Z} / p)
$$

is the first $\bmod p$ Steenrod operation and the map

$$
\delta: H^{i}(K ; \mathbf{Z} / p) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(K ; \mathbf{Z})
$$

is the Bockstein homomorphism. The following lemma gives the cohomology groups of $K$ in the range we need.
Lemma 5.2. Denote $H^{2 p-1}(K ; \mathbf{Z})=\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle=\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ and let $\bar{z}_{1}$ and $\bar{z}_{2}$ be the $\bmod p$ reductions of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ respectively. We have
(1) $H^{i}(K ; A)$ is a torsion group for $2 p \leq i \leq 4 p-3$.
(2) ${ }_{(p)} H^{i}(K ; A)=0$ for $2 p \leq i \leq 4 p-4$.
(3) ${ }_{(p)} H^{4 p-3}(K ; \mathbf{Z})=0$.
(4) ${ }_{(p)} H^{4 p-2}(K ; \mathbf{Z})=\left\langle z_{1} \cup z_{2}, \delta\left(P^{1}\left(\bar{z}_{1}\right)\right), \delta\left(P^{1}\left(\bar{z}_{2}\right)\right)\right\rangle=\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p$.
(5) $H^{4 p-1}(K ; \mathbf{Z})$ has no $p$-torsion.

Proof. See results of Cartan [12], [13].
We will also need some information about the homology of $K$.
Lemma 5.3. We have
(1) $H_{2 p-1}(K ; \mathbf{Z})=\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$,
(2) $H_{2 p-1}(K, ; \mathbf{Z} / p)=\mathbf{Z} / p \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p$,
(3) ${ }_{(p)} H_{i}(K ; A)$ is 0 for $2 p-1<i<4 p-3$, and
(4) ${ }_{(p)} H_{4 p-3}(K ; \mathbf{Z})=\mathbf{Z} / p \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p$.

Proof. See results of Cartan [12, [13].
$\S 5 \mathrm{C}$. The (co)homology spectral sequences. For any subgroup $G \subset \Gamma$, let $R$ be a ring and

$$
\left\{E_{n, m}^{r}(G, R), d^{r}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{E_{r}^{n, m}(G, R), d_{r}\right\}
$$

be the homology and the cohomology Serre spectral sequences (respectively) of the fibration

$$
K \longrightarrow B_{G} \xrightarrow{\pi_{G}} B G
$$

where $K=K(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}, 2 p-1)$. The second page of these spectral sequence is given by:

$$
E_{n, m}^{2}(G, R)=H_{n}\left(B G ; H_{m}(K ; R)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad E_{2}^{n, m}(G, R)=H^{n}\left(B G ; H^{m}(K ; R)\right),
$$

and they converge to $H_{*}\left(B_{G} ; R\right)$ and to $H^{*}\left(B_{G} ; R\right)$ respectively. The filtration $F_{*} H_{*}\left(B_{G} ; R\right)$ of $H_{*}\left(B_{G} ; R\right)$ is given by

$$
F_{n} H_{n+m}\left(B_{G} ; R\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left\{H_{n+m}\left(B_{G}^{\{n\}} ; R\right) \xrightarrow{\left(i_{n}\right)_{*}} H_{n+m}\left(B_{G} ; R\right)\right\}
$$

and the filtration $F^{*} H^{*}\left(B_{G} ; R\right)$ of $H^{*}\left(B_{G} ; R\right)$ is given by

$$
F^{n} H^{n+m}\left(B_{G} ; R\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left\{H^{n+m}\left(B_{G} ; R\right) \xrightarrow{\left(i_{n}\right)^{*}} H^{n+m}\left(B_{G}^{\{n-1\}} ; R\right)\right\}
$$

respectively, where

$$
B_{G}^{\{n\}}=\pi_{G}^{-1}\left(B G^{(n)}\right) .
$$

When $R=\mathbf{Z}$, we will write $\left\{E_{n, m}^{r}(G), d_{r}\right\}$ and $\left\{E_{r}^{n, m}(G), d_{r}\right\}$ instead of $\left\{E_{n, m}^{r}(G, \mathbf{Z}), d_{r}\right\}$ and $\left\{E_{r}^{n, m}(G, \mathbf{Z}), d_{r}\right\}$ respectively. The cohomology groups for

$$
E_{2}^{*, 0}(G, R)=H^{*}(B G ; R)
$$

are given in Theorem 2.3, Theorem [2.4, and Remark 2.5, and the calculation of

$$
E_{2}^{0, *}(G, R)=H^{*}(K ; R)
$$

is given in Lemma 5.2,
$\S 5$ D. Definition of $z_{G}, z_{G}^{\prime}$, and $Z_{G}$. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $\Gamma$ which contains $S^{1}$. We have

$$
E_{2 p}^{0,2 p-1}(G)=H^{2 p-1}(K ; \mathbf{Z})=\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle
$$

and we can assume that
$d_{2 p}\left(z_{1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\zeta & \text { if } G=\Gamma, \\ \left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p}-\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1} \tau^{\prime} & \text { if } G=\Sigma_{t}, \\ \tau^{p} & \text { if } G=S^{1}\end{array}\right.$ and $d_{2 p}\left(z_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\alpha^{p}-\alpha^{p-1} \beta+\beta^{p} & \text { if } G=\Gamma, \\ \left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p} & \text { if } G=\Sigma_{t}, \\ 0 & \text { if } G=S^{1}\end{cases}$
where $d_{2 p}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $d_{2 p}\left(z_{2}\right)$ are in $E_{2 p}^{2 p, 0}(G)=H^{2 p}(B G ; \mathbf{Z})$. Hence there exists $z_{G}$ in $H^{2 p-1}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ such that

$$
H^{2 p-1}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=\left\langle z_{G}\right\rangle=\mathbf{Z} \quad \text { and } \quad i^{*}\left(z_{G}\right)= \begin{cases}p z_{2} & \text { if } G=\Gamma \\ p z_{2} & \text { if } G=\Sigma_{t} \\ z_{2} & \text { if } G=S^{1}\end{cases}
$$

where $i: K \rightarrow B_{G}$ is the inclusion map. Moreover we have

$$
H^{2 p-2}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=H^{2 p-2}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)= \begin{cases}\left\langle\alpha^{p-1}, \alpha^{p-2} \beta, \ldots, \beta^{p-1}, \chi_{p-1}\right\rangle & \text { if } G=\Gamma \\ \left\langle\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1},\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-2} \tau^{\prime}, \ldots,\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}\right\rangle & \text { if } G=\Sigma_{t} \\ \left\langle\tau^{p-1}\right\rangle & \text { if } G=S^{1}\end{cases}
$$

Define $z_{G}^{\prime}$ in $H^{2 p-2}\left(B_{G}, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ as follows

$$
z_{G}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\left(\pi_{\Gamma}\right)^{*}\left(\chi_{p-1}\right) & \text { if } G=\Gamma, \\ \left(\pi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)^{*}\left(\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}\right) & \text { if } G=\Sigma_{t}, \\ \left(\pi_{S^{1}}\right)^{*}\left(\tau^{p-1}\right) & \text { if } G=S^{1}\end{cases}
$$

where $\pi_{G}: B_{G} \rightarrow B G$. Note that $z_{G}^{\prime}$ is a primitive element and generates a $\mathbf{Z}$ component in $H^{2 p-2}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$, where

$$
H^{2 p-2}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)= \begin{cases}(\mathbf{Z} / p)^{\oplus p} \oplus \mathbf{Z} & \text { if } G=\Gamma \\ (\mathbf{Z} / p)^{\oplus p} \oplus \mathbf{Z} & \text { if } G=\Sigma_{t} \\ \mathbf{Z} & \text { if } G=S^{1}\end{cases}
$$

Define the cohomology fundamental class $Z_{G}$ as follows:

$$
Z_{G}=z_{G} \cup z_{G}^{\prime} \in H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{G}, \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

The reason for this definition will become clear after Lemma 5.4. In the spectral sequence for $H^{*}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right), G=\Sigma_{t}$, the cohomology fundamental class of the manifold $M_{t}$ lies in the term $E_{\infty}^{2 p-2,2 p-1}(G)$. In the formula for this term we see the elements $z_{G}$ and $z_{G}^{\prime}$ described above.
$\S 5 E$. Transfers and duality. In this section we will see the duality between $Z_{S^{1}}, Z_{\Sigma_{t}}$, and $Z_{\Gamma}$ and $\gamma_{S^{1}}, \gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}$, and elements in $T_{\Gamma}$ respectively. We will consider the $p$-fold covering maps

$$
B_{\Sigma_{t}} \rightarrow B_{\Gamma}, \quad B_{S^{1}} \rightarrow B_{\Sigma_{t}}, \quad B \Sigma_{t} \rightarrow B \Gamma, \text { and } \quad B S^{1} \rightarrow B \Sigma_{t}
$$

We will write $\pi^{*}$ and $\pi_{*}$ to denote the natural maps induced in cohomology and homology respectively, and just write tr for the transfer maps both in cohomology and homology. We have

$$
\pi^{*}\left(z_{\Sigma_{t}}^{\prime}\right)=z_{S^{1}}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(z_{S^{1}}\right)=z_{\Sigma_{t}}
$$

and

$$
\pi^{*}\left(z_{\Gamma}\right)=z_{\Sigma_{t}} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(z_{\Sigma_{t}}^{\prime}\right)=z_{\Gamma}^{\prime}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(Z_{S^{1}}\right)=Z_{\Sigma_{t}} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(Z_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=Z_{\Gamma}
$$

Note that $\mathbf{C P}^{p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$ is the universal covering of $M_{t}$ and we have the following pullback diagram.


Hence we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=\gamma_{S^{1}}
$$

Considering the map $c: \mathbf{C P}^{p-1} \times S^{2 p-1} \rightarrow B_{S^{1}}$ we have

$$
c^{*}\left(Z_{S^{1}}\right)=A \times B
$$

where $A$ is the cohomology fundamental class of $\mathbf{C P}^{p-1}$ and $B$ is the cohomology fundamental class of $S^{2 p-1}$. This proves that $Z_{S^{1}}$ is a primitive element in $H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. Moreover

$$
\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}, \gamma_{S^{1}}\right\rangle=\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}, c_{*}\left(\left[\mathbf{C P}^{p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}\right]\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle A \times B,\left[\mathbf{C P}^{p-1}\right] \times\left[S^{2 p-1}\right]\right\rangle=1
$$

Hence we have

$$
\left\langle Z_{\Sigma_{t}}, \gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{tr}\left(Z_{S^{1}}\right), \gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}\right\rangle=\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}, \operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)\right\rangle=1
$$

and $Z_{\Sigma_{t}}$ is a primitive element in $H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. Moreover $\gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}$, and $\gamma_{S^{1}}$ are primitive elements in $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ and $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ respectively. Hence our main calculation is the following: Given $\gamma \in T_{\Gamma}$, we have

$$
\left\langle Z_{\Gamma}, \gamma\right\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{tr}\left(Z_{S^{1}}, \gamma\right\rangle=\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}, \operatorname{tr}(\gamma)\right\rangle=\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}, \gamma_{S^{1}}\right\rangle=1\right.
$$

since $\operatorname{tr}(\gamma)-\gamma_{S^{1}}$ is a torsion element.

## §5F. Some spectral sequence calculations.

Lemma 5.4. For $G=S^{1}, \Sigma_{t}$, or $\Gamma$, the differential $d_{2 p}: E_{2 p}^{2 p-2,2 p-1}(G) \rightarrow E_{2 p}^{4 p-2,0}(G)$ is surjective and its kernel is given as follows:

$$
E_{\infty}^{2 p-2,2 p-1}(G)= \begin{cases}\left\langle p z_{2} \cdot \chi_{p-1}\right\rangle & \text { if } G=\Gamma \\ \left\langle p z_{2} \cdot\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}\right\rangle & \text { if } G=\Sigma_{t}, \\ \left\langle z_{2} \cdot \tau^{p-1}\right\rangle & \text { if } G=S^{1}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We have $E_{2 p}^{2 p-2,2 p-1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\left\langle z_{1} \cdot \tau^{p-1}, z_{2} \cdot \tau^{p-1}\right\rangle=\mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2}$ and $E_{2 p}^{4 p-2,0}\left(S^{1}\right)=\left\langle\tau^{2 p-1}\right\rangle=\mathbf{Z}$. So result follows for $G=S^{1}$ because $d_{2 p}\left(z_{1} \cdot \tau^{p-1}\right)=\tau^{2 p-1}$ spans $E_{2 p}^{4 p-2,0}\left(S^{1}\right)$. We have

$$
E_{2 p}^{2 p-2,2 p-1}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)=(\mathbf{Z} / p)^{\oplus 2 p} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2}
$$

given by

$$
\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1},\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-2} \tau^{\prime}, \ldots,\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}\right\rangle .
$$

and we have

$$
E_{2 p}^{4 p-2,0}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)=(\mathbf{Z} / p)^{\oplus 2 p+1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}
$$

given by

$$
\left\langle\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2 p-1},\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2 p-2} \tau^{\prime}, \ldots,\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{2 p-1}\right\rangle .
$$

The map

$$
d_{2 p}: E_{2 p}^{2 p-2,2 p-1}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) \rightarrow E_{2 p}^{4 p-2,0}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)
$$

is surjective because the following list of images of $d_{2 p}$ will span $E_{2 p}^{4 p-2,0}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)$ considered as above:

- $d_{2 p}\left(z_{2} \cdot\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{s}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p-1-s}\right)=\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p+s}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p-1-s}$ for $0 \leq s \leq p-1$
- $d_{2 p}\left(z_{1} \cdot\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{s}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p-1-s}\right)=\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{s}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{2 p-1-s}+\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{p-1+s}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p-s}$ for $0 \leq s \leq p-1$

This means we have

$$
E_{2 p+1}^{2 p-2,2 p-1}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)=\left\langle p z_{2} \cdot\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}\right\rangle
$$

and the results follows for $G=\Sigma_{t}$, since ker $d_{2 p}=\left\langle p z_{2} \cdot\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}\right\rangle$. The proof for $G=\Gamma$ is left to reader as it will not be used in this paper.

Lemma 5.5. $H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}\right\rangle \oplus A$ where $A$ is a torsion group with no p-torsion.

Proof. By Lemma 5.4

$$
F^{2 p-1} H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
E_{\infty}^{2 p-2,2 p-1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\left\langle z_{2} \cdot \tau^{p-1}\right\rangle
$$

It is clear that

$$
Z_{S^{1}} \in F^{2 p-2} H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

and represents the following generator of the quotient

$$
z_{2} \cdot \tau^{p-1} \in E_{\infty}^{2 p-2,2 p-1}\left(S^{1}\right)=F^{2 p-2} H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) / F^{2 p-1} H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

Hence $F^{2 p-2} H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}\right\rangle=\mathbf{Z}$, and the fact $Z_{S^{1}}$ is a primitive element tells us that

$$
H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}\right\rangle \oplus A
$$

where $A$ is an abelian group. Now by Lemma 5.2, we see that $E_{\infty}^{i, 4 p-3-i}\left(S^{1}\right)$ is a torsion group with no $p$-torsion for $0 \leq i \leq 2 p-3$. Hence $A$ is a torsion group with no $p$ torsion.

Lemma 5.6. For $G=S^{1}$ or $\Sigma_{t}$, we have ${ }_{(p)} H^{4 p-2}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=\mathbf{Z} / p \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p$.
Proof. Note that $H^{2 p-1}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=0$ hence by Lemma5.4 and Lemma 5.2, one can see that $E_{\infty}^{r, 4 p-2-r}(G)$ has no $p$-torsion for $1 \leq r \leq 4 p-2$, and the $p$-torsion part of $E_{\infty}^{0,4 p-2}(G)$ is $\mathbf{Z} / p \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p$.

Lemma 5.7. The p-torsion subgroup of $\left.H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)$ is contained in the image of the natural map $\left.i_{*}: H_{4 p-3}(K ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)$.

Proof. By duality and Lemma 5.4 we see that the torsion part of $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ ) is equal to $F_{2 p-3} H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. Hence the results follows from Lemma 5.3.
Lemma 5.8. The natural map $i_{*}: H_{2 p-1}(K ; \mathbf{Z} / p) \rightarrow H_{2 p-1}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)$ is zero.
Proof. It is clear that $i^{*}: H^{2 p-1}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right) \rightarrow H^{2 p-1}(K ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$ is zero. Because both $\bar{z}_{1}$ and $\bar{z}_{2}$ in

$$
E_{2}^{0,2 p-1}\left(\Sigma_{t}, \mathbf{Z} / p\right)=H^{2 p-1}(K ; \mathbf{Z} / p)=\left\langle\bar{z}_{1}, \bar{z}_{2}\right\rangle=\mathbf{Z} / p \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p
$$

trangresses to nonzero elements in $E_{2}^{2 p, 0}\left(\Sigma_{t}, \mathbf{Z} / p\right)$.
§5G. The subset $T_{\Gamma}$ is non-empty. Let tr: $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ denote the transfer map.

Lemma 5.9. Let $\gamma^{\prime}$ in $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ be an element such that $\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}, \operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=1$. Then there exists an integer $N_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ such that $p\left(1-p^{2} N_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\gamma_{S^{1}}\right)=0$.
Proof. First note that $\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}, \operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\gamma_{S^{1}}\right\rangle=0$ since $\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}, \gamma_{S^{1}}\right\rangle=1$. Hence $\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\gamma_{S^{1}}$ is a torsion element, by the Universal Coefficient Theorem and Lemma 5.5. Hence it is enough to prove that the order of $p \cdot\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\gamma_{S^{1}}\right)$ is relatively prime to $p$. But this is clear as the $p$-torsion of part of $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is same as the $p$-torsion part of $H^{4 p-2}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$, which is $\mathbf{Z} / p \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p$ by Lemma 5.5.

Theorem 5.10. The set $T_{\Gamma}$ is not empty. Any $\gamma \in T_{\Gamma}$ is a primitive element of infinite order in $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$.
Proof. Let tr denote the (co)homology transfer associated to the covering map $B_{S^{1}} \xrightarrow{\pi} B_{\Gamma}$. We know that $\operatorname{tr}\left(Z_{S^{1}}\right)=Z_{\Gamma}$ and $Z_{\Gamma}$ is a primitive element in $H^{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. Hence by the Universal Coefficient Theorem there exists a primitive element $\gamma^{\prime}$ in $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$ is a primitive element in $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ and

$$
\left\langle Z_{\Gamma}, \gamma^{\prime}\right\rangle=1 \text { and }\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}, \operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=1
$$

Take $N_{\gamma^{\prime}}$ as in Lemma 5.9, Define

$$
\gamma_{\Gamma}=\gamma^{\prime}-N_{\gamma^{\prime}}(\pi)_{*}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\gamma_{S^{1}}\right)
$$

Then $\gamma_{\Gamma}$ is in $T_{\Gamma}$, because by Lemma 5.9 we have
$p \cdot\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma_{\Gamma}\right)-\gamma_{S^{1}}\right)=p\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}-N_{\gamma^{\prime}}(\pi)_{*}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\gamma_{S^{1}}\right)\right)-\gamma_{S^{1}}\right)=p\left(1-p^{2} N_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)-\gamma_{S^{1}}\right)=0$
Now, take any $\gamma$ in $T_{\Gamma}$. Suppose that $\gamma=r \gamma_{1}$, for some $\gamma_{1}$ in $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. Then we would have $p \cdot\left(\gamma_{S^{1}}-r \cdot \operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)=0$. But $\left\langle Z_{S^{1}}, \gamma_{S^{1}}\right\rangle=1$. Hence $r= \pm 1$.
Proposition 5.11. Let tr: $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ denote the transfer map. Then any $\gamma$ in $T_{\Gamma}$ satisfies the following equation $p\left(\operatorname{tr}(\gamma)-\gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=0$.
Proof. For any $\gamma$ in $T_{\Gamma}$ the image of $p \cdot\left(\operatorname{tr}(\gamma)-\gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$ under the transfer map from $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ to $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is 0 , by definition of $T_{\Gamma}$ and the fact that $\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=$ $\gamma_{S^{1}}$. Note that the kernel of the above transfer map is included in the $p$-torsion part of $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$, as $B_{S^{1}} \rightarrow B_{\Sigma_{t}}$ is $p$-covering. By Lemma 5.5, the $p$-torsion part of $H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is $\mathbf{Z} / p \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p$ (which has exponent $p$ ). This proves the result.

## 6. The construction of the bordism element

The next step in our argument is to study the bordism groups $\Omega_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right)$ of our normal $(2 p-1)$-type. The main result of this section is Theorem 6.9, which proves that the image of the Hurewicz map

$$
\Omega_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma,}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)
$$

contains the non-empty subset $T_{\Gamma}$ (see Definition 5.1). The main difficulty in computing the bordism groups is dealing with $p$-torsion. We will primarily use the James spectral sequence (a variant of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence) associated to the fibration

$$
* \longrightarrow B_{\Gamma} \longrightarrow B_{\Gamma}
$$

with $E^{2}$-term

$$
E_{n, m}^{2}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right)=H_{n}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \Omega_{m}^{f r}(*)\right)
$$

where the coefficients $\Omega_{m}^{f r}(*)=\pi_{m}^{S}$ are the stable homotopy groups of spheres. In our range, the $p$-torsion in $\pi_{m}^{S}$ occurs only for $\pi_{2 p-3}^{S}$ and $\pi_{4 p-5}^{S}$, where the $p$-primary part is $\mathbf{Z} / p$ (see [33, p. 5] and Example 6.3). This means that, after localizing at $p$, there are only two possibly non-zero differentials with source at the ( $4 p-3,0$ )-position, namely $d_{2 p-2}$ and $d_{4 p-4}$. To show that these differentials are in fact both zero, and to prove that
all other differentials starting at the $(4 p-3,0)$-position also vanish on $T_{\Gamma}$, we use two techniques:
(i) For the differentials $d^{r}$ with $2 \leq r \leq 4 p-5$, and $d^{4 p-3}$, we compare the James spectral sequence for $\Omega_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma,}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right)$ to the ones for $\Omega_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}}, \nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$ via transfer, and use naturality.
(ii) For the differential $d^{4 p-4}$ we compare the James spectral sequence for $\Omega_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right)$ to the James spectral sequences for the fibrations $B \Sigma_{t} \rightarrow B \Gamma \rightarrow B\left(\Gamma / \Sigma_{t}\right)$, and use naturality again.
In carrying out the second step, we will need to use the Adams spectral sequence to prove that the natural map from the $p$-component of $\Omega_{4 p-5}^{f r}(*)$ to $\Omega_{4 p-5}\left(B \Sigma_{t}, \xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$ is injective (see Theorem 6.5).
$\S 6 \mathbf{A}$. The James Spectral Sequence. Let $\left\{E_{n, m}^{r}(\nu)\right\}$ denote the James spectral sequence (see [36]) associated to a vector bundle $\nu$ over a base space $B$ and the fibration

$$
* \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow B
$$

and denote the differentials of this spectral sequence by $d^{r}$. We know that the second page is given by

$$
E_{n, m}^{2}(\nu)=H_{n}\left(B, \Omega_{m}^{f r}(*)\right)
$$

and the spectral sequence converges to

$$
E_{n, m}^{\infty}(\nu)=F_{n} \Omega_{n+m}(B, \nu) / F_{n-1} \Omega_{n+m}(B, \nu)
$$

where $B^{(n)}$ stands for the $n^{\text {th }}$ skeleton of $B$ and

$$
F_{n} \Omega_{n+m}(B, \nu)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\Omega_{n+m}\left(B^{(n)},\left.\nu\right|_{B^{(n)}}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{n+m}(B, \nu)\right)
$$

For $0 \leq t \leq p$, let

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{t}: E_{n, m}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow E_{n, m}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

denote the transfer map.
$\S 6$ B. Calculation of $d^{r}$ when $2 \leq r \leq 4 p-5$. Here we employ our first technique. We first need some information about the James spectral sequences for $\Omega_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t},} \nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$.
Lemma 6.1. For $2 \leq r \leq 4 p-5$, the differential

$$
d^{r}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \rightarrow E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

is zero on $\operatorname{tr}_{t}\left(T_{\Gamma}\right)$, where $T_{\Gamma}$ is considered as subgroup of $E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right)$.
Proof. Assume $2 \leq r \leq 4 p-5$. By Proposition 5.11, and the fact that $d^{r}\left(\gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=0$, it is enough to show that $d^{r}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \rightarrow E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$ is zero on the $p$-torsion subgroup $I_{t}$ of $E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. Let $I$ be the $p$-torsion part of $E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\left.\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right|_{K}\right)=$ $H_{4 p-3}(K ; \mathbf{Z})$. By Lemma 5.7. we have

$$
I_{t}=\operatorname{Im}\left\{i_{*}: I \rightarrow H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right\}
$$

We consider the cases (i) $2 \leq r \leq 2 p-3$, (ii) $r=2 p-2$, and (iii) $2 p-1 \leq r \leq 4 p-5$ separately.

Case (i). The group $E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{2}\left(\left.\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right|_{K}\right)$ is $p$-torsion free for $2 \leq r \leq 2 p-3$, it follows that the differential

$$
d^{r}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\left.\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right|_{K}\right) \rightarrow E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{r}\left(\left.\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right|_{K}\right)
$$

is zero on $I$. Hence the differential

$$
d^{r}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \rightarrow E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

is zero on $I_{t}$, for $2 \leq r \leq 2 p-3$.
Case (ii). Next we observe that the map $i_{*}: E_{2 p-1,2 p-3}^{2}\left(\left.\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right|_{K}\right) \rightarrow E_{2 p-1,2 p-3}^{2}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$, restricted to $p$-torsion, is just the natural map $i_{*}: H_{2 p-1}(K ; \mathbf{Z} / p) \rightarrow H_{2 p-1}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)$, which is zero by Lemma 5.8 Hence, the differential

$$
d^{2 p-2}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{2 p-2}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \rightarrow E_{2 p-1,2 p-3}^{2 p-2}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

is zero on $I_{t}$ by naturality.
Case (iii). Finally, we note that $I_{t}$ is all $p$-torsion, but for $2 p-1 \leq r \leq 4 p-5$, the group $E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{2}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$ is $p$-torsion free. Hence, for $2 p-1 \leq r \leq 4 p-5$, the differential

$$
d^{r}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \rightarrow E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

is zero on $I_{t}$.
Lemma 6.2. For $2 \leq r \leq 4 p-5$, the differential

$$
d^{r}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right)
$$

is zero on $T_{\Gamma}$, where $T_{\Gamma}$ is considered as a subgroup of $E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right)$.
Proof. Assume $2 \leq r \leq 4 p-5$. By Lemma 6.1 we know that

$$
d^{r}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \rightarrow E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

is zero for all $t \in\{0,1, \ldots, p\}$. Hence it is enough to show that

$$
\bigoplus_{t} \operatorname{tr}_{t}: E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{r}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{t} E_{4 p-3-r, r-1}^{r}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)
$$

is injective. The map $\operatorname{tr}_{0}$ is clearly injective for $r \neq 2 p-2$ because the $p$-component of $\Omega_{r-1}^{*}(*)$ is 0 and $B_{\Sigma_{t}} \rightarrow B_{\Gamma}$ is a $p$-covering map. Hence $\bigoplus_{t} \operatorname{tr}_{t}$ is injective for $r \neq 2 p-2$. Now we know that $\widetilde{B_{\Gamma}}$ and $\widetilde{B_{\Sigma_{t}}}$ are $2 p-2$ connected. Hence for $r=2 p-2$ the map $\operatorname{tr}_{t}$ is the usual transfer map $H_{2 p-1}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z} / p) \rightarrow H_{2 p-1}\left(B \Sigma_{t} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)$. Hence, it is enough to show that the map

$$
\bigoplus_{t} \operatorname{tr}_{t}: H_{2 p-1}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z} / p) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{t} H_{2 p-1}\left(B \Sigma_{t} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)
$$

is injective. Dually, this is equivalent to showing that

$$
\bigoplus_{t} \operatorname{tr}_{t}: \bigoplus_{t} H^{2 p-1}\left(B \Sigma_{t} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right) \rightarrow H^{2 p-1}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z} / p)
$$

is surjective. By Theorem 2.4, we know that

$$
H^{2 p-1}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z} / p)=\left\langle x^{p-1} y, x^{p-2} x^{\prime} y, \ldots,\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{p-1} y,\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{p-1} y^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

Under the Bockstein homomorphism, this can be identified with

$$
V_{p+1}=\left\langle\alpha^{p}, \alpha^{p-1} \beta, \ldots, \alpha \beta^{p-1}, \beta^{p}\right\rangle \subseteq H^{2 p}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})
$$

and this identifcation is natural with respect to the action of the automorphisms Aut( $\Gamma$ ) acting through the induced map $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma) \rightarrow G L_{2}(p)$. In the statements of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 of [25], Leary gives explicit formulas for the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ on the generators of the cohomology rings $H^{*}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^{*}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$. The point is that these cohomology generators are pulled back from the quotient group $\mathbf{Z} / p \times \mathbf{Z} / p$.

Hence the action of the automorphisms $\phi_{A} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$, defined in Remark 2.2 for all $A \in S L_{2}(p)$, gives the standard $S L_{2}(p)$-action on $V_{p+1}$. This module $V_{p+1}$ is known to be an indecomposable $S L_{2}(p)$-module (see [15, 5.7]), and there is a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow V_{2} \rightarrow V_{p+1} \rightarrow V_{p-1} \rightarrow 0
$$

of $S L_{2}(p)$-modules, where $V_{2}=\left\langle\alpha^{p}, \beta^{p}\right\rangle$ has dimension 2 and $V_{p-1}$ is irreducible.
Now the image of the map $\bigoplus_{t} \operatorname{tr}_{t}$ is invariant under all automorphisms of the group $\Gamma$. Hence it is enough to show that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\bigoplus_{t} \operatorname{tr}_{t}\right)$ projects non-trivially into $V_{p-1}$. However, the calculations of [25, p. 67] show that

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{p}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{\Sigma_{p}}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \cdot \bar{\tau}^{p-1}\right)=y^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{tr}_{p}\left(\bar{\tau}^{p-1}\right)=y^{\prime}\left(c_{p-1}+x^{p-1}\right)=-\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{p-1} y^{\prime}+y^{\prime} x^{p-1} .
$$

After applying the Bockstein, this shows that the element $\beta^{p}-\beta \alpha^{p-1}$ is contained in the image of the transfer. Since this element is not contained in the submodule $V_{2}$, we are done.

The remaining possibly non-zero differentials are $d^{4 p-4}$ and $d^{4 p-3}$. The first one is handled by comparison with the fibrations

$$
B \Sigma_{t} \rightarrow B \Gamma \rightarrow B\left(\Gamma / \Sigma_{t}\right)
$$

but first we must show that the induced map on coefficients at the $(0,4 p-3)$-position is injective on the $p$-component. For this we use the Adams spectral sequence.
$\S 6 \mathrm{C}$. The Adams spectral sequence. Let $X$ be a connective spectrum of finite type. We will write

$$
X=\left\{X_{n}, i_{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}
$$

where each $X_{n}$ is a space with a basepoint and $i_{n}: \Sigma X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ is a basepoint preserving map. We will denote the Adams spectral sequnce for $X$ as follows:

$$
\left\{E_{r}^{n, m}(X), d_{r}\right\}
$$

The second page of this spectral sequence is given by

$$
E_{2}^{n, m}(X)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}_{p}}^{n, m}\left(H^{*}(X ; \mathbf{Z} / p), \mathbf{Z} / p\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ is the mod- $p$ Steenrod algebra and $H^{*}(X ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$ is considered as an $\mathcal{A}_{p}$-module. The differentials of this spectral sequence are as follows:

$$
d_{r}: E_{r}^{n, m} \rightarrow E_{r}^{n+r, m+r-1}
$$

for $r \geq 2$, and it converges to

$$
{ }_{(p)} \pi_{*}^{S}(X)=\pi_{*}^{S}(X) /\langle\text { torsion prime to } p\rangle
$$

with the filtration

$$
\cdots \subseteq F^{2, *+2}(X) \subseteq F^{1, *+1}(X) \subseteq F^{0, *}(X)={ }_{(p)} \pi_{*}^{S}(X)
$$

defined by:

$$
F^{n, m}(X)={ }_{(p)} \operatorname{Im}\left\{\pi_{m}^{S}\left(X_{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{m-n}^{S}(X)\right\}
$$

In other words,

$$
E_{\infty}^{n, m}(X)=F^{n, m}(X) / F^{n+1, m+1}(X)
$$

Example 6.3. Take an $\mathcal{A}_{p}$-free resolution $F_{*}^{\mathbb{S}}$ of the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{S}$

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{3}} F_{2}^{\mathbb{S}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} F_{1}^{\mathbb{S}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} F_{0}^{\mathbb{S}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} H^{*}(\mathbb{S} ; \mathbf{Z} / p)
$$

with the following properties:

- We have $\iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}$ in $F_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}$, such that $\partial_{0}\left(\iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)$ is a generator of $H^{*}(\mathbb{S} ; \mathbf{Z} / p)=\mathbf{Z} / p$.
- We have $\alpha_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}$ and $\alpha_{2 p-3}^{\mathbb{S}}$ in $F_{1}^{\mathbb{S}}$, such that $\partial_{1}\left(\alpha_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)=\beta\left(\iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)$ and $\partial_{1}\left(\alpha_{2 p-3}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)=P^{1}\left(\iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)$ because $H^{i}(\mathbb{S} ; \mathbf{Z} / p)=0$ for $i \geq 1$.
- We have $\beta_{4 p-5}^{\mathbb{S}}$ in $F_{2}^{\mathbb{S}}$, where $\partial_{2}\left(\beta_{4 p-5}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)=P^{2}\left(\alpha_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)-P^{1} \beta\left(\alpha_{2 p-3}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)+2 \beta P^{1}\left(\alpha_{2 p-3}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)$ because

$$
P^{2}\left(\beta\left(\iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)\right)-P^{1} \beta\left(P^{1}\left(\iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)\right)+2 \beta P^{1}\left(P^{1}\left(\iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

In the Adams spectral sequence that converges to the $p$-component of $\pi_{*}^{S}(\mathbb{S})=\Omega_{*}^{f r}(*)$, the element $\beta_{4 p-5}^{\mathbb{S}}$ must survive to the $E^{\infty}$-term as there are no possible differentials. Hence we have the following:
$(1){ }_{(p)} \Omega_{2 p-3}^{f r}(*)=\mathbf{Z} / p=\left\langle\alpha_{2 p-3}^{\mathbb{S}}\right\rangle$
$(2){ }_{(p)}^{\Omega_{4 p-5}^{f r}(*)}=\mathbf{Z} / p=\left\langle\beta_{4 p-5}^{\mathbb{S}}\right\rangle$
$\S 6$ D. Cohomology of the Thom spectrum associated to $\xi_{G}$. Now take any $G \subseteq \Gamma$ and let $M \xi_{G}$ denote the Thom spectrum associated to the bundle $\xi_{G}$. Since the bundle $\xi_{G}$ is fixed, for a given $G$, we will shorten the notation by writing $M G=M \xi_{G}$. As in the previous section, we will denote an $\mathcal{A}_{p}$-free resolution of $H^{*}(M G ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$ as follows:

$$
\ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} F_{1}^{M G} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} F_{0}^{M G} \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} H^{*}(M G ; \mathbf{Z} / p)
$$

It is clear that, to understand these resolutions we must first understand the $\mathcal{A}_{p}$-module structure on the cohomology $H^{*}(M G ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$ of these spectra. Let $U_{G} \in H^{0}(M G ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$ denote the Thom class of the Thom spectrum $M G$. Then we can write

$$
H^{*}(M G ; \mathbf{Z} / p)=U_{G} \cdot H^{*}(B G ; \mathbf{Z} / p)
$$

Moreover, for $G=S^{1}$ we will write

$$
H^{*}\left(B S^{1} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)=\mathbf{F}_{p}[\bar{\tau}]
$$

and for $G=D_{t}$ we have

$$
H^{*}\left(B D_{t} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)=\left(\Lambda(u) \otimes \mathbf{F}_{p}[v]\right)
$$

Hence for $G=\Sigma_{t}$ we can consider

$$
H^{*}\left(B \Sigma_{t} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)=H^{*}\left(B D_{t} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right) \otimes H^{*}\left(B S^{1} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)=\left(\Lambda(u) \otimes \mathbf{F}_{p}[v]\right) \otimes \mathbf{F}_{p}[\bar{\tau}]
$$

Lemma 6.4. For $G=S^{1}, D_{t}$, or $\Sigma_{t}$ we have

$$
\beta\left(U_{G}\right)=0, \quad P^{1} \beta\left(U_{G}\right)=0, \quad \beta P^{1}\left(U_{G}\right)=0, \quad \beta P^{1} \beta\left(U_{G}\right)=0, \quad P^{2}\left(U_{G}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
P^{1}\left(U_{G}\right)= \begin{cases}U_{\Sigma_{t}} v^{p-1} & \text { if } G=\Sigma_{t} \\ U_{D_{t}} v^{p-1} & \text { if } G=D_{t} \\ 0 & \text { if } G=S^{1}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The Thom class $U_{G}$ is the mod $p$ reduction of an integral cohomology class, so $\beta\left(U_{G}\right)=0$. By Lemma 3.7, $q_{1}\left(\xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=v^{p-1}$. Since $P^{1}\left(U_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=U_{\Sigma_{t}} v^{p-1}$, we obtain

$$
P^{1}\left(U_{S^{1}}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad P^{1}\left(U_{D_{t}}\right)=U_{D_{t}} v^{p-1}
$$

by restriction to $H^{*}\left(B D_{t} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)$ and $H^{*}\left(B S^{1} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)$. For $G=D_{t}$ or $\Sigma_{t}$ we have

$$
\beta P^{1}\left(U_{G}\right)=\beta\left(U_{G} v^{p-1}\right)=\beta(U) v^{p-1}+U \beta\left(v^{p-1}\right)=0+0=0
$$

and it is clear that $\beta P^{1}\left(U_{S^{1}}\right)=0$. By the Adem relations we have $P^{2}\left(U_{G}\right)=2 P^{1} P^{1}\left(U_{G}\right)$. Hence for $G=D_{t}$ or $\Sigma_{t}$ we have

$$
\left.P^{2}\left(U_{G}\right)=2 P^{1}\left(U_{G} v^{p-1}\right)=2\left(P^{1}\left(U_{G}\right) v^{p-1}\right)+U_{G} P^{1}\left(v^{p-1}\right)\right)=2\left(U_{G} v^{2 p-2}-U_{G} v^{2 p-2}\right)=0
$$

and it is clear that $P^{2}\left(U_{S^{1}}\right)=0$.
$\S \mathbf{6 E}$. Calculation of $d^{4 p-4}$. The inclusion of a point induces a natural map from $\Omega_{4 p-5}^{f r}(*)$ to $\Omega_{4 p-5}\left(B \Sigma_{t}, \xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$ for each of the subgroups $\Sigma_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq p$.
Theorem 6.5. The natural map $\Omega_{4 p-5}^{f r}(*) \rightarrow \Omega_{4 p-5}\left(B \Sigma_{t}, \xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$ is injective on the $p$ component.
Proof. The generator of ${ }_{(p)} \Omega_{4 p-5}^{f r}(*)$ is represented by the class $\beta_{4 p-5}^{\mathbb{S}}$ defined above. We will show that this element maps non-trivially in the Adams spectral sequence. Denote the elements of $H^{*}\left(M \Sigma_{t} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right), H^{*}\left(M S^{1} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)$, and $H^{*}\left(M D_{t} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right)$ as in Section $\wp 6 \mathbf{D}$. It is straightforward to check the following:

- We have $\iota_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}$ and $\iota_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}$ in $F_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}$ such that

$$
\partial_{0}\left(\iota_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)=U_{\Sigma_{t}} \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{0}\left(\iota_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)=U_{\Sigma_{t}} u v^{(p-2)} .
$$

- We have $\alpha_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}$ and $\alpha_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}$ in $F_{1}^{M \Sigma_{t}}$ such that

$$
\partial_{1}\left(\alpha_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)=\beta\left(\iota_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right) \text { and } \partial_{1}\left(\alpha_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)=P^{1}\left(\iota_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)-\beta\left(\iota_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

because $\beta\left(U_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=0$ and $P^{1}\left(U_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)-\beta\left(U u v^{(p-2)}\right)=0$.

- We also have $\alpha_{4 p-5}^{M \Sigma_{t}}$ in $F_{1}^{M \Sigma_{t}}$ such that

$$
\partial_{1}\left(\alpha_{4 p-5}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)=P^{1} \beta\left(\iota_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

because $P^{1}\left(\beta\left(U_{\Sigma_{t}} u v^{(p-2)}\right)\right)=0$.

- We have $\beta_{4 p-5}^{M \Sigma_{t}}$ in $F_{2}^{M \Sigma_{t}}$ such that

$$
\partial_{2}\left(\beta_{4 p-5}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)=P^{2}\left(\alpha_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)-P^{1} \beta\left(\alpha_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)+2 \beta P^{1}\left(\alpha_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)+2 \beta\left(\alpha_{4 p-5}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

because
$P^{2}\left(\beta\left(\iota_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)\right)-P^{1} \beta\left(P^{1}\left(\iota_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)-\beta\left(\iota_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)\right)+2 \beta P^{1}\left(P^{1}\left(\iota_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)-\beta\left(\iota_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)\right)+2 \beta P^{1} \beta\left(\iota_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)=0$.
Now we define a part of the chain map $F_{*}^{M \Sigma_{t}} \rightarrow F_{*}^{\mathbb{S}}$. We send

$$
\iota_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}} \mapsto \iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}} \quad \text { and } \quad \iota_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}} \mapsto 0
$$

Since $\beta\left(\iota_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right) \mapsto \beta\left(\iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)$ and $P^{1}\left(\iota_{0}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right)-\beta\left(\iota_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}}\right) \mapsto P^{1}\left(\iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)$ we must have

$$
\alpha_{2 p-3}^{M \Sigma_{t}} \mapsto \alpha_{2 p-3}^{\mathbb{S}} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{4 p-5}^{M \Sigma_{t}} \mapsto 0
$$

Finally, we can send

$$
\beta_{4 p-5}^{M \Sigma_{t}} \mapsto \beta_{4 p-5}^{\mathbb{S}}
$$

and this definition proves the Theorem, as there are no differentials in this range.
Remark 6.6. A similar technique can be used to prove that the natural map $\Omega_{10}^{f r}(*) \rightarrow$ $\Omega_{10}\left(B S^{1}, \xi_{S^{1}}\right)$ is injective on the 3 -component. One constructs a chain map $F_{*}^{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow F_{*}^{M S^{1}}$ in degrees $\leq 11$, whose composite with the chain map induced by the natural map $H^{*}\left(M S^{1} ; \mathbf{Z} / p\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(\mathbb{S} ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$ is chain homotopic to the identity. The element $\beta_{10}^{\mathbb{S}}$ generating the 3-component of $\pi_{10}^{S}$ arises from $P^{2}\left(\alpha_{3}^{\mathbb{S}}\right)$ and the Adem relation $P^{2} P^{1} \iota_{0}^{\mathbb{S}}=0$.
Lemma 6.7. $d^{4 p-4}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{4 p-4}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow E_{1,4 p-5}^{4 p-4}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right)$ is zero.
Proof. We consider the fibration

$$
B \Sigma_{t} \longrightarrow B \Gamma \longrightarrow B\left(\Gamma / \Sigma_{t}\right)
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq p$. This fibration induces a James spectral sequence $E_{*, *}^{*}(t)$ with differential denoted by $d_{t}^{*}$ so that the second page is given by

$$
E_{n, m}^{2}(t)=H_{n}\left(\Gamma / \Sigma_{t}, \Omega_{m}\left(B \Sigma_{t}, \xi_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)\right)
$$

and the spectral sequence converges to $\Omega_{*}\left(B \Gamma, \xi_{\Gamma}\right)$. Moreover, we have a natural map $E_{*, *}^{4 p-4}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow E_{*, *}^{4 p-4}(t)$ due to the following map of fibrations.


Theorem 6.5 (applied for $t=0$ and $t=p)$, and the detection of $H_{1}(\mathbf{Z} / p \times \mathbf{Z} / p ; \mathbf{Z} / p)$ by cyclic quotients, shows that the following sum of two of these natural maps is injective

$$
E_{1,4 p-5}^{4 p-4}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow E_{1,4 p-5}^{4 p-4}(0) \oplus E_{1,4 p-5}^{4 p-4}(p) .
$$

However, the differential $d_{t}^{4 p-4}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{4 p-4}(t) \rightarrow E_{1,4 p-5}^{4 p-4}(t)$ is zero for both $t=0$ and $t=p$, since the element $N_{p-t} \rightarrow B D_{p-t} \rightarrow B \Gamma$, for $t=0, p$ (defined in Section $\S 4 \mathrm{~A}$ ) is non-zero in $\Omega_{4 p-3}\left(B \Gamma, \xi_{\Gamma}\right)$. This is because $\left[N_{p-t}\right] \in H_{4 p-3}\left(B D_{p-t} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is non-zero, and the inclusion $D_{p-t} \subset \Gamma$ is split on homology by projection to $\Gamma / \Sigma_{t} \cong D_{p-t}$.
$\S 6 F$. Calculation of $d^{4 p-3}$. The last differential doesn't involve $p$-torsion in the target, and can be handled by one more transfer argument.
Lemma 6.8. $d^{4 p-3}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{4 p-3}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow E_{0,4 p-4}^{4 p-4}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right)$ is zero on $T_{\Gamma}$ where $T_{\Gamma}$ is considered as a subgroup of $E_{4 p-3,0}^{4 p-3}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right)$.
Proof. By Lemma 6.7 and the transfer map $\operatorname{tr}_{t}$ we see that the differential

$$
d^{4 p-4}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{4 p-4}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \rightarrow E_{1,4 p-5}^{4 p-4}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

is zero on $\operatorname{tr}_{t}\left(T_{\Gamma}\right)$ where $T_{\Gamma}$ is considered as subgroup of $E_{4 p-3,0}^{4 p-4}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right)$. Now the differential

$$
d^{4 p-3}: E_{4 p-3,0}^{4 p-3}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right) \rightarrow E_{0,4 p-4}^{4 p-4}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)
$$

has to be zero on $\gamma_{\Sigma_{t}}$ and on the $p$-torsion group $\operatorname{Im}\left\{H_{4 p-3}(K) \rightarrow H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)\right\}$, by Proposition 5.11 and the fact that $E_{0,4 p-4}^{4 p-4}\left(\nu_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)$ is $p$-torsion free: this term is a quotient of $H_{0}\left(B_{\Sigma_{t}} ; \Omega_{4 p-4}^{f r}(*)\right) \cong \pi_{4 p-4}^{S}$, which has no $p$-torsion. Hence the result follows.

We have now proved the main result of this section.
Theorem 6.9. The subset $T_{\Gamma} \neq \emptyset$ is contained in the image of the Hurewicz map $\Omega_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow H_{4 p-3}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$.

Proof. Lemma6.2, Lemma 6.7 and Lemma 6.8 shows that all the differentials going out of $E_{4 p-3,0}^{r}\left(\nu_{\Gamma}\right)$ in the James spectral sequence for $\nu_{\Gamma}$ are zero on $T_{\Gamma}$ and the result follows.

## 7. Surgery on the bordism element

In this section we fix an odd prime $p$, the integer $n=2 p-1$, and assume that $G$ is a finite subgroup of $\Gamma$ that maps surjectively onto the quotient $Q_{p}$ of $\Gamma$ by $S^{1}$. We have now completed the first two steps in the proof of Theorem A. We have shown that there is a non-empty subset $T_{\Gamma}$ consisting of primitive elements of infinite order in $H_{2 n-1}\left(B_{\Gamma}\right)$, and that this subset is contained in the the image of the Hurewicz map $\Omega_{2 n-1}\left(B_{\Gamma}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow H_{2 n-1}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$. We now define the subset

$$
T_{G}=\left\{\operatorname{trf}(\gamma) \in H_{2 n}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \mid \gamma \in T_{\Gamma}\right\},
$$

where trf: $H_{2 n-1}\left(B_{\Gamma} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{2 n}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ denotes the $S^{1}$-bundle transfer induced by the fibration $S^{1} \rightarrow B_{G} \rightarrow B_{\Gamma}$. Now fix

$$
\gamma_{G} \in T_{G}
$$

By definition $\gamma_{G}=\operatorname{trf}(\gamma)$, for some $\gamma \in T_{\Gamma}$, so we can pull back the $S^{1}$-bundle over a manifold (provided by Theorem 6.9) whose fundamental class represents $\gamma$ under the bordism Hurewicz map. Hence we have a bordism class

$$
\left[M^{2 n}, f\right] \in \Omega_{2 n}\left(B_{G}, \nu_{G}\right) \text { such that } \gamma_{G}=f_{*}[M]
$$

Surgery will be used to improve the manifold $M$ within its bordism class. Our first remark is that we may assume $f$ is an $n$-equivalence (see [23, Cor. 1, p. 719]). In particular, $\pi_{1}(M)=G$, and $\pi_{i}(M)=0$ for $2 \leq i<n$. In addition, the map $f_{*}: \pi_{n}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(B_{G}\right)$ is surjective. We need to determine the structure of $\pi_{n}(M)$ as a $\mathbf{Z} G$-module. First by applying the construction of [7, p. 230] to the chain complex $C\left(\widetilde{B_{G}}\right)$ we get two $\mathbf{Z} G$-chain
complexes $C\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ and $C\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ as in [7] (see Cor. 4.5 and Remark 3, p. 231), and investigated further in [6], with the following properties:
(i) We have $\theta_{1}=\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\Gamma}(\zeta)$ and $\theta_{2}=\operatorname{Res}_{G}^{\Gamma}\left(\alpha^{p}-\alpha^{p-1} \beta+\beta^{p}\right)$.
(ii) There is a $\mathbf{Z} G$-chain map

$$
\psi_{i}: C_{*}\left(\widetilde{B_{G}}\right) \longrightarrow C\left(\theta_{i}\right), \quad \text { for } i=1,2
$$

(iii) $H_{*}\left(C\left(\theta_{i}\right) ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=H_{*}\left(S^{n} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ and $H^{*}\left(C\left(\theta_{i}\right) ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=H^{*}\left(S^{n} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$, for $i=1,2$.
(iv) There exists $\left[C\left(\theta_{i}\right)\right]$ a generator of $H^{n}\left(C\left(\theta_{i}\right) ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=\mathbf{Z}$ such that

$$
\left(\psi_{i}\right)^{*}\left(\left[C\left(\theta_{i}\right)\right]\right)=z_{i}, \quad i=1,2
$$

where $H^{n}\left(\widetilde{B_{G}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \cong H^{n}(K ; \mathbf{Z})=\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$.
(v) All the modules in the chain complex

$$
D_{*}=C\left(\theta_{1}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} C\left(\theta_{2}\right)
$$

are finitely-generated projective $\mathbf{Z} G$-modules.
We will compare this complex to the complex $C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} C\left(\theta_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 7.1. The modules $C_{i}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} C_{j}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ are finitely-generated, projective $\mathbf{Z} G$ modules.
Proof. The module $C_{j}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ is free for $j<n$ and $C_{i}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right)$ is free for $i>2$. For $i \leq 2$, $C_{i}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right)$ is a direct sum of free modules and modules of the form $\mathbf{Z}\left[G / D_{t}\right]$ for some $t$. Hence it is enough to show that $\mathbf{Z}\left[G / D_{t}\right] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} C_{n}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ is a projective module for each $t$. We will use the criterion of [11, VI,8.10]: projectivity follows from cohomological triviality. There is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow L_{\theta_{2}} \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\theta_{2}} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
0 \rightarrow L_{\theta_{2}} \rightarrow F_{n} \rightarrow C_{n}\left(\theta_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $F_{n}$ is a free $\mathbf{Z} G$-module. Therefore, it is enough to show that the cohomology groups

$$
\widehat{H}^{q}\left(G ; \mathbf{Z}\left[G / D_{t}\right] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} L_{\theta_{2}}\right)=0, \quad \text { for large } q \in \mathbf{Z} .
$$

But we have an isomorphism (using the complete Ext-theory)

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathbf{Z} G}^{q}\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[G / D_{t}\right], L_{\theta_{2}}\right) \cong \widehat{H}^{q}\left(G ; \mathbf{Z}\left[G / D_{t}\right] \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} L_{\theta_{2}}\right)
$$

by [11, III,2.2]. Now the long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathbf{Z} G}^{q}\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[G / D_{t}\right], L_{\theta_{2}}\right) \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathbf{Z} G}^{q}\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[G / D_{t}\right], \Omega^{n+1} \mathbf{Z}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Ext}}_{\mathbf{Z} G}^{q}\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[G / D_{t}\right], \mathbf{Z}\right) \\
& \widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathbf{Z} G}^{q-n-1}\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[G / D_{t}\right], \mathbf{Z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

combined with Shapiro's Lemma [11, III,6.2]

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathbf{Z} G}^{q}\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[G / D_{t}\right], \mathbf{Z}\right)=\widetilde{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathbf{Z} D_{t}}^{q}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})
$$

and the fact that $\operatorname{Res}_{D_{t}}^{G}\left(\theta_{2}\right) \in H^{n+1}\left(D_{t} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is a generator, completes the proof.
Lemma 7.2. $D_{*}$ is chain homotopy equivalent to a finite free $\mathbf{Z} G$-chain complex
Proof. As in [7] we have the following pushout diagram

where the lower row is the chain complex $C\left(\theta_{1}\right)$.
If one extends the identity on $\mathbf{Z}$ 's on the right hand side of the diagram below to a chain map $C_{*}(\widetilde{B G}) \rightarrow C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right)$ then the map on the left hand side $\Omega^{n+1} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ must also represent $\theta_{1}$ in $H^{n+1}(B G)$.


This is because the class $\zeta \in H^{2 p}(B \Gamma ; \mathbf{Z})$ is the unique cohomology class $u$ in this dimension such that $\operatorname{Res}_{D_{t}}^{\Gamma}(u)=0$ for $0 \leq t \leq p$, and $\operatorname{Res}_{S^{1}}^{\Gamma}(u)=\tau^{p}$. On the other hand, by construction each subgroup $D_{t} \cong \mathbf{Z} / p$ is an isotropy subgroup of the action on $S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)$. The fixed-point complex $C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)^{D_{t}}\right)$ has the homology of an odd-dimensional sphere (of lower dimension). Therefore, after restriction to $D_{t}$ we can lift the identity on $\mathbf{Z}$ using

$$
C_{0}(\widetilde{B G})=\mathbf{Z} G \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbf{Z} \subseteq C_{0}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)^{D_{t}}\right) \subset C_{0}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right)
$$

and this lifting extends to the zero map $\Omega^{n+1} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$.
Notice that these diagrams provide the translation between equivalence classes of multiple extensions and cohomology classes, as described in [29, III, 6.4]. Since $C\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ and $C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right)$ considered as $n$-fold extensions from $\mathbf{Z}$ to $\mathbf{Z}$ both represent the same cohomology class, there is a chain map

$$
C\left(\theta_{1}\right) \rightarrow C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right)
$$

which induces a cohomology isomorphism. Hence by the Kunneth formula we have a cohomology isomorphism

$$
C\left(\theta_{1}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} C\left(\theta_{2}\right) \rightarrow C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} C\left(\theta_{2}\right)
$$

where all the modules in $C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right) \otimes C\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ are projective. Therefore we have a chain homotopy equivalence of finitely-generated projective $\mathbf{Z} G$-chain complexes

$$
D_{*} \rightarrow C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} C\left(\theta_{2}\right) .
$$

However, in the chain complex $C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right) \otimes C\left(\theta_{2}\right)$, all possibly non-free-modules projective modules have the form $\operatorname{Ind}_{D_{t}}^{G}\left(C_{i}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)^{D_{t}}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} C_{n}\left(\theta_{2}\right)$. Since the Euler characteristic $\chi\left(C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)^{D_{t}}\right)\right)=0$, the finiteness obstruction of $C_{*}\left(S\left(\Psi_{G}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}} C\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ vanishes.
Lemma 7.3. Under the transfer $\operatorname{tr}: H_{2 n}\left(B_{G} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{2 n}\left(\widetilde{B_{G}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$, the class $\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma_{G}\right)$ corresponds to the standard hyperbolic form

$$
\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{Z})=\left(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z},\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

on $\pi_{n}\left(B_{G}\right)=\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ under the identification $H_{2 n}\left(\widetilde{B_{G}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) /$ Tors $\cong \Gamma(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z})$ with Whitehead's $\Gamma$-functor.

Proof. Let $d=|G| / p^{2}$ denote the order of the centre of $G$. We have a commutative diagram

where $Q=\mathbf{Z} / p \times \mathbf{Z} / p$ and $\widetilde{B_{G}}=K(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}, n)$. This gives a commutative square

relating the $S^{1}$-bundle transfers and the universal covering transfers. The $p$-torsion subgroup of $H_{2 n-1}\left(B_{S^{1}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ maps to zero under the $S^{1}$-bundle transfer, since $H_{2 n}(K ; \mathbf{Z})$ has no $p$-torsion, by Lemma 5.2. Therefore $\operatorname{tr}\left(\gamma_{G}\right)=\operatorname{trf}\left(\gamma_{S^{1}}\right)$ is just the image of the fundamental class of $S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$ in $H_{2 n}\left(\widetilde{B_{G}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=H_{2 n}(K ; \mathbf{Z})$. But $H_{2 n}(K ; \mathbf{Z}) / T$ ors $=\mathbf{Z}$ can be naturally identified with $\Gamma(\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z})=\mathbf{Z}$, and under this identification the fundamental class of $S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$ corresponds to a generator, represented by the hyperbolic plane.

Theorem 7.4. The equivariant intersection form of $M$ is in the following form

$$
\left(\pi_{n}(M), s_{M}\right) \cong \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{Z}) \perp(F, \lambda)
$$

where $(F, \lambda)$ is a non-singular skew-hermitian form on a finitely-generated free $\mathbf{Z} G$-module.

Proof. Let $\psi: C_{*}(\widetilde{M}) \rightarrow D_{*}$ be the following composition

$$
C_{*}(\widetilde{M}) \rightarrow C_{*}\left(\widetilde{B_{G}}\right) \xrightarrow{\Delta} C_{*}\left(\widetilde{B_{G}}\right) \otimes C_{*}\left(\widetilde{B_{G}}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi_{1} \otimes \psi_{2}} D_{*}
$$

where $\Delta$ denotes the diagonal map. First note that $\psi_{*}: H_{i}(\widetilde{M}) \rightarrow H_{i}\left(D_{*}\right)$ is clearly surjective for $i<2 n$. Assume $\psi_{*}([\widetilde{M}])=[D]$ then

$$
1=\left\langle z_{1} \cup z_{2}, f_{*}([\widetilde{M}])\right\rangle=\left\langle\left[C\left(\theta_{1}\right)\right] \otimes\left[C\left(\theta_{2}\right)\right],[D]\right\rangle
$$

by the Kunneth formula. Hence $\psi_{*}$ is also is surjective for $i \geq 2 n$. As the image of the fundamental class $[M]$ of maps to a generator of $H_{2 n}\left(D_{*}\right)$. Hence the homology of the mapping cone $H_{i}(\psi)$ is zero for $i \neq n$, and $H_{n}(\psi)=P$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathbf{Z} G$-module. But $P$ is stably free by Lemma 7.2. Hence $\pi_{n}(M)=\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus P$ where $P$ is a finitely generated. By stabilizing $M$ with connected sums of copies of $S^{2 p-1} \times S^{2 p-1}$ we may assume that $\pi_{n}(M)=\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \oplus F$, where $F$ is a finitely-generated free $\mathbf{Z} G$-module.

To show the splitting of the equivariant intersection form $\left(\pi_{n}(M), s_{M}\right)$ we consider the relation

$$
\left\langle f^{*}\left(z_{1}\right) \cup f^{*}\left(z_{2}\right),[\widetilde{M}]\right\rangle=\left\langle z_{1} \cup z_{2}, f_{*}[\widetilde{M}]\right\rangle
$$

where $z_{1}, z_{2}$ are a symplectic basis for the form on $\pi_{n}\left(B_{G}\right)$. Therefore, by Lemma 7.3, the $\operatorname{map} f^{*}: H^{n}\left(\widetilde{B_{G}} ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{n}(\widetilde{M} ; \mathbf{Z})$ gives an isometric embedding of the hyperbolic form $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{Z})$ into $s_{M}$. Any such isometric embedding splits (see [19, Lemma 1.4]). Hence the result follows.

We next observe that the equivariant intersection form $\left(\pi_{n}(M), s_{M}\right)$ has a quadratic refinement $\mu: \pi_{n}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} G /\{\nu+\bar{\nu}\}$, in the sense of [40, Theorem 5.2]. This follows because the universal covering $\widetilde{M}$ has stably trivial normal bundle (use the BrowderLivesay quadratic map [9, Lemma 4.5, 4.6] for the elements of order two in $G$ ). We therefore obtain an element $(F, \lambda, \mu)$ of the surgery obstruction group (see [40, p. 49] for the essential definitions). The Arf invariant of this form is the Arf invariant of the associated form $\epsilon_{*}(F, \lambda, \mu)$, where $\epsilon: \mathbf{Z} G \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is the augmentation map. This invariant factors through

$$
\Omega_{2 n}\left(B_{G}, \nu_{G}\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{2 n}\left(B_{\Gamma}, \nu_{\Gamma}\right) \xrightarrow{A r f} \mathbf{Z} / 2
$$

and hence is zero for our bordism element. We also need to check the discriminant of this form.

Lemma 7.5. We obtain an element

$$
(F, \lambda, \mu) \in L_{2 n}^{\prime}(\mathbf{Z} G)
$$

of the weakly-simple surgery obstruction group.
Proof. A non-singular, skew-hermitian quadratic form $(F, \lambda, \mu)$ represents an element in $L_{2 n}^{\prime}(\mathbf{Z} G)$ provided that its discriminant lies in $\operatorname{ker}(\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Z} G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Q} G))$. But the equivariant symmetric Poincaré chain complex $\left(C(M), \varphi_{0}\right)$ is chain equivalent, after tensoring with the rationals $\mathbf{Q}$, to the rational homology (see [32, §4]). Therefore the image of the discriminant of $\left(\pi_{n}(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q}, s_{M}\right)$ equals the image of the torsion of $\varphi_{0}$, which vanishes
in $\mathrm{Wh}(\mathbf{Q} G)$ because closed manifolds have simple Poincaré duality (see [40, Theorem 2.1]).

The proof of Theorem $A$. Suppose that $p$ is an odd prime. We now have a representative $[M, f]$ for our bordism element in $\Omega_{2 n}\left(B_{G}, \nu_{G}\right)$ whose equivariant intersection form $\left(\pi_{n}(M), s_{M}\right)$ contains $(F, \lambda, \mu)$ as described above. However, an element in the surgery obstruction group $L^{\prime}{ }_{2 n}(\mathbf{Z} G)$ is zero provided that its multisignature and ordinary Arf invariant both vanish This is a result of Bak and Wall for groups of odd order (see [39, Cor. 2.4.3]), and for odd order groups direct product with cyclic groups we apply [39, Theorem 2.4.2 and Cor. 3.3.3]. The multisignature invariant is trivial since $M$ is a closed manifold [40, 13B]. The ordinary Arf invariant of the universal covering $\widetilde{M}$ vanishes since $2 n=4 p-2$ is not of the form $2^{k}-2$ (a famous result of Browder [10]). We can now do surgery on the classifying map $f: M \rightarrow B_{G}$ respecting the bordism class in $\Omega_{2 n}\left(B_{G}, \nu_{G}\right)$ to obtain a representative $[M, f]$ which has $\widetilde{M}=S^{n} \times S^{n} \# \Sigma$, where $\Sigma$ is a homotopy $2 n$-sphere. Since the $p$-primary component of Cok $J$ starts in dimension $2 p(p-1)-2$ (see [33, p. 5]) we can eliminate this homotopy sphere by equivariant connected sum unless $p=3$.

In case $p=3$, we use Remark 6.6 to show that $\widetilde{M}=S^{5} \times S^{5}$. The bordism element $[\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{f}] \in \Omega_{10}^{f r}(K)$ vanishes in $\Omega_{10}\left(B_{S^{1}}, \nu_{S^{1}}\right)$ by the Gysin sequence in bordism. But the difference element $[\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{f}]-\left[S^{5} \times S^{5}, i_{5}\right] \in \Omega_{10}^{f r}(*)$. Since $\Omega_{10}^{f r}(*)$ injects on the 3 -component into $\Omega_{10}\left(B S^{1}, \xi_{S^{1}}\right)$, it follows that the order of the difference element is not divisible by 3. Thus in all cases we can obtain $\widetilde{M}=S^{n} \times S^{n}$. This completes the proof of Theorem A.
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