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## Supplementary Methods

## 1. Details of ecosystem function measurement in the Jena Experiment and BioDIV

Jena and BioDIV plant aboveground biomass In Jena, aboveground plant biomass was harvested biannually (late May and late August), just prior to mowing. Here, we used only the first harvest, which represents peak standing biomass in most years, from years 2006-2015. All vegetation was clipped at 3 cm above ground in up to four rectangles of $0.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ per plot with the location of these rectangles being randomly assigned each year. For BioDIV, aboveground peak plant biomass was harvested annually in August by clipping $0.1 \mathrm{~m} \times 6 \mathrm{~m}$ strips (see above) each year from 2006-2015. For both studies, harvested target-species biomass was sorted into individual species, dried to constant weight at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 48 h and weighed. Target plant community biomass was then calculated as the sum of the biomass of the individual sown species $\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}\right)$.

Jena and BioDIV aboveground plant biomass C:N ratio In Jena, the combined target species material from the spring biomass harvest (May) was shredded (Analysenmühle, Kinematica, Littau, Switzerland). A subsample of the shredded material was milled to fine powder in a ball-mill (mixer mill MM2000 Retsch, Haan, Germany) and 5-10 mg was used for CN analysis with an elemental analyzer. C and N content were calculated as percentage elemental concentration of dry material and $\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{N}$ ratios as the ratio between those percentages for years 2007-2012.

In BioDIV, two strips of $0.1 \mathrm{~m} \times 6 \mathrm{~m}$ were clipped, typically in late July or early August with clip strip locations rotated each year. Unsorted biomass was air-dried at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dried biomass samples were ground (standard Thomas Wiley mill) and the resulting sample homogenized. A sub-sample was
re-ground in a Wiley Mini-Mill, stored in glass scintillation vials and re-dried prior to lab analysis. Percent C and N content in dry matter were determined using an elemental analyzer (NA1500, CarloErba Instruments or ECS 4010, COSTECH Analytical Technologies Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) at University of Minnesota or at the Ecosystems Analysis Lab, University of Nebraska, Lincoln. Ratios of dry mass elemental content were then calculated from these results for year 2006.

BioDIVsoil organic C Soil C samples were taken at all BioDIV plots during summer 2006 at $0-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ depth on nine sites per plot ${ }^{1}$. Samples were sieved to remove roots, combined for each plot, mixed and ground. Subsequently, soil samples were dried at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 days. For each plot, two soil samples were analysed for total C by combustion and gas chromatography (Costech Analytical ECS 4010 instrument, Costech Analytical Technologies Inc., Valencia, CA). Because of the acidic sandy soil at the BioDIV experiment, soil organic C can be considered a close approximation of total C here. We used the average of the two measurements of C in $\%$ total carbon of dry weight.

Jena soil organic C Soil organic C in the Jena "main" experiment was determined in 2008, 2011 and 2014. Using a split-tube sampler ( 4.8 cm diameter), three soil cores per plot were taken to a depth of 30 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Soil cores were segmented into 5 cm depth sections and pooled per depth sections and plot. Soil was then dried, sieved and milled. Subsequently, total C was determined by combustion with an elemental analyzer at $1,150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Elementaranalysator vario Max CN, Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Because of the calcareous bedrock, Jena soil contains high proportions of inorganic carbon (e.g., in 2014, the proportion of inorganic Cwas on average $39 \%$ and ranged from 18 $\%$ to $73 \%$ ). To account for this, inorganic C concentration was measured after oxidative removal of organic C for 16 h at $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a muffle furnace. Finally, organic C concentration was calculated as the
difference between total and inorganic C for each 5 -cm-layer ${ }^{2}$ and we averaged over the two uppermost layers to get organic $C$ content for $0-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ depth. Subsequently, we averaged over the three samples to get soil organic C content per plot in $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ soil for each year.

Jena and BioDIV root biomass In Jena, standing root biomass was sampled down to 40 cm depth in all plots in June 2011 and 2014. On each plot, three cores of 3.5 cm diameter were taken and immediately stored at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until further handling. The total sample was washed to determine root biomass. Bulk samples were carefully washed by hand over a sieve of 0.5 mm mesh size. Remaining soil particles and stones were removed with tweezers. Roots were dried at $60-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and weighed subsequently ${ }^{3}$. Unit: $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$

In BioDIV, root biomass was sampled in 2010 after aboveground biomass clipping by collecting three 5 cm diameter $\times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ depth cores per clipped strip ${ }^{1}$. Roots were washed free of soil, sorted from other organic material, dried and weighed. Unit: $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$

Jena herbivory rate In Jena, invertebrate herbivory rates were assessed as proportional damage for every plant species $\times$ plot-combination. Herbivory rates of individual plant species were used to calculate community herbivory rates based on four different types of invertebrate herbivory: chewing, rasping, sap sucking and leaf mining. Samples of the Jena biomass harvest were used after sorting to species. For a maximum of 20 randomly chosen leaves per plant species, damage area was estimated in $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ as total value of the four damage types and total leaf area of every leaf was measured with an area meter (LI-3000C Area Meter equipped with a LI3050C transparent belt conveyor accessory, LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, USA). For details on the methods used see ${ }^{4}$. Here, we used percentage herbivory of the target species community from the late harvest, as this was available for three years from 2010-

Jena soil microbial biomass C Soil sampling and measurement of basal and substrate-induced microbial respiration with an oxygen-consumption apparatus was done on each plot in September 2010 ${ }^{5}$. Oxygen consumption of soil microorganisms in a fresh-soil equivalent to 3.5 g dry weight was measured at $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Substrate-induced respiration was determined by adding D-glucose to saturate catabolic enzymes of microorganisms according to preliminary studies ( $4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ dry soil solved in 400 $\mu 1$ deionized water; ${ }^{6,7}$ ). Maximum initial respiratory response ( $\mu 1 \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ dry soil $\mathrm{h}^{-1}$ ) was calculated as mean of the lowest three oxygen consumption values within the first 10 h after glucose addition. Microbial biomass C ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ dry soil) was calculated as $38 \times$ maximum initial respiratory response as suggested by preliminary studies ${ }^{8}$. Previous work has shown that the 2010 microbial biomass data are representative for long-term plant diversity effects ${ }^{7}$.

Jena phosphatase activity Nine soil cores (diam. $2 \mathrm{~cm}, 0-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ depth) were combined to one composite sample per plot to assess phosphatase activity in $2013{ }^{9}$. Because of the alkaline pH of the soil, we measured alkaline phosphomonoesterase activity (phosphatase activity) according to the assay by ${ }^{10}$. For each soil sample, one replicate and one blank value were included. One gram of field moist soil was mixed with toluene, modified universal buffer (MUB) and p-nitrophenylphosphate (pNP), and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. Subsequently, we added $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ and NaOH . To blanks, pNP was added after incubation. The solution was filtered through P-free filters (MN 619 G ¼, Macherey-Nagel GmbH \& Co. KG, Düren, Germany). Directly after filtration, pNP concentrations $\left[\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right]$ were measured at 400 nm with a spectrophotometer (PU 8675 VIS spectrophotometer, Philips GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). The soil moisture was determined gravimetrically, i.e. by weighing before and after drying
at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to convert phosphatase activities to dry matter ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{pNP} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ).

Jena pollinator abundance In 2010 and 2012, hymenopterans were sampled by suction sampling using a modified commercial vacuum cleaner (Kärcher A2500, Kärcher GmbH, Winnenden, Germany). In each year, within each plot, two random subplots of 0.75 mx 0.75 m were chosen, covered with a gauze-coated cage of the same size, and arthropods within cages were sampled. The sampling was carried out between 9 a.m. and $4 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. within two 4 -day sampling periods. The overall abundance of hymenopterans across the two samples per plot was used as a proxy of pollinator abundance and thus potential for pollination on each plot in the respective year. Unit: number of individuals

## 2. Processing TRY and other plant-trait data to generate species-level values

For each of the geographical species subsets, TRY trait data were processed separately following a standardized protocol: i) Removal of duplicate observations (e.g. duplicate entries of leaf mass from the same individual). ii) Removal of non-open data and removal of data obtained from outside the respective target continents. iii) Calculation of outliers for each trait-species combination (trait mean +/- 1.96 SD as outlier definition). iv) Removal of observations with TRY ErrorRisk $>4$. v) Averaging over trait-species values per TRY dataset. vi) Removal of TRY datasets with more than $5 \%$ of values identified as outliers. vii) Averaging over trait-species mean values of the remaining datasets. For the US species, TRY data was combined with additional trait data collected in naturally occurring polycultures at Cedar Creek (personal communication with J.A. Catford ${ }^{11}$ now available on TRY as dataset 354, P.B. Reich, J. Cavender-Bares). These Cedar Creek trait averages per dataset were included into the averaging process at step v). Finally, trait values of synonyms and accepted species names were averaged and assigned to the accepted plant-species names where necessary.

## Supplementary Information on sensitivity analyses I.

To test how robust our results are to key methodological decisions in our analysis, we performed a number of sensitivity analyses. Specifically, we tested how methodological decisions regarding the PCA analysis of comparing plant-community properties affected the number and identity of experimental plots selected as realistic. These methodological choices include the selection of community properties entering the PCA, the method of calculating multidimensional overlap, and a set of other methodological details such as, for example, the number of PCA axes used for calculating multidimensional overlap or the choice of real-world datasets (see below). If not noted otherwise, we used the 12 vif-selected community properties and the convex-hull volume method to calculate intersections (main analysis) for both the German and US comparison. The different analyses are described below. Please refer to Supplementary Tables S2 and S3 below for a comparison of selected realistic plots for the main analysis and the sensitivity analyses presented here.

We assessed the impact of using different subsets of community properties in the PCAs by re-running our analysis with the following subsets: i) all 21 community properties available ("All21"), ii) the 12 vif-selected community properties ("Full12", main analysis), iii) four subsets defined by excluding one class of community properties (taxonomic, phylogenetic, functional diversity, or CWM functional traits; called "Allbuttax", "Allbutphyl", "Allbutfun", and "AllbutCWM"), and iv) all 21 properties except the 5 properties showing the biggest differences between experimental and real-world datasets in the two regions ("remove5", sensitivity A), respectively. Supplementary Table 1 below summarizes which community properties are used in which analysis.

To test what impact the methodology used for calculating multidimensional overlap had on our findings, we combined the above-described community-property subsets with three overlap calculation methods: i) three-dimensional convex hull volumes (main analysis), ii) three-dimensional
hypervolumes, and iii) two-dimensional $95 \%$ confidence interval ellipses (see main text methods for details).

Performing all possible combinations of subsets and methods would lead to an unmanageable number of results and, given the relative robustness of our results to most methodological decisions, seems unnecessary. Hence, we present results for a selection of combinations. For two community-property subsets, namely the All21 and the Full12 subsets, all three overlap calculation methods were run. For the remaining subsets, only the convex hull volume method was used. The resulting overlap (realistic plots) is presented for all these combinations in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3. Alternative versions of Fig. 1 (PCA and overlap calculation) are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1 for all above-described combinations. Alternative versions of Fig. 2 (BEF) relationships are shown in Supplementary Fig. 3 for all combinations of the All21 and Full12 subsets and the three overlap calculation methods and additionally for the species-abundance based NMDS, for the USA dataset.

Below, we provide additional details for these analyses and succinctly summarize their results.
Full12: Here, we used the same community property subset as in the main analysis (see Supplementary Table 1), but tested two additional overlap calculation methods. For the Jena Experiment, this resulted in 24 and 21 plots to be chosen as realistic (instead of 23 ) with 91 and $87 \%$ of overlap with the main analysis realistic subset for the "hyper" and "ellipse" method, respectively (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1). For BioDIV, 109 and 133 plots were deemed realistic, with 87 and $96 \%$ overlap with the main analysis realistic subset, for the "hyper" and "ellipse" methods, respectively (Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1). For the BEF relationships (Supplementary Fig. 3), these changes resulted in a slight increase of the number of relationships that changed significantly after subsetting (confidence intervals not containing each other's slope estimate) from the unconstrained to the constrained dataset ( 3 and 4 BEF relationships compared to 2 in the main analysis
for "hyper" and "ellipse", respectively).

All 21: Here, instead of removing community properties based on the vif-based selection of multicollinear variables, all 21 community properties entered the PCA's. For the Jena Experiment, this resulted in 33,32 , and 34 of $82(40,39$, and $41 \%)$ plots to be selected as realistic which included 91 , 96 , and $96 \%$ of the plots selected as realistic in the main analysis for the "chull", "hyper", and "ellipse" methods, respectively. For BioDIV, 121, 104, and 136 of 159 (76, 65, and $86 \%$ ) were selected as realistic, containing 95,85 , and $91 \%$ of the main analysis realistic plots for the "chull", "hyper", and "ellipse" methods, respectively. For the BEF relationships, these slight changes translated into 2,1 and 1 BEF relationships changing significantly between the unconstrained and the constrained dataset (compared to 2 changes in the main analysis) for the "chull", "hyper", and "ellipse" methods, respectively (see Supplementary Fig. 3 for details). Given this very strong similarity to the main analysis, we conclude that using all 21 community properties would not change our conclusions. Thus, we stick to choosing community properties based on the vif selection to reduce multicollinearity among variables entering the PCA.


#### Abstract

Allbuttax: Here, we used all community properties except for the taxonomic diversity properties (see Supplementary Table 1). For the Jena Experiment, 44 instead of 23 of 82 plots were deemed realistic, including all plots chosen as realistic in the main analysis. For BioDIV, 122 instead of 121 of 159 plots were deemed realistic, including $94 \%$ of the ones chosen as realistic in the main analysis.


Allbutphyl: Here, we used all community properties except for the phylogenetic diversity properties (see Supplementary Table 1). For the Jena Experiment, 41 instead of 23 of 82 plots were deemed
realistic, including all plots chosen as realistic in the main analysis. For BioDIV, 125 instead of 121 of 159 plots were deemed realistic, including $96 \%$ of the ones chosen as realistic in the main analysis.


#### Abstract

Allbutfun: Here, we used all community properties except for the functional diversity properties (see Supplementary Table 1). For the Jena Experiment, 30 instead of 23 of 82 plots were deemed realistic, including $96 \%$ of the plots chosen as realistic in the main analysis. For BioDIV, 124 instead of 121 of 159 plots were deemed realistic, including $96 \%$ of the ones chosen as realistic in the main analysis.


AllbutCWM: Here, we used all community properties except for the CWM functional traits (see Supplementary Table 1). For the Jena Experiment, 19 instead of 23 of 82 plots were deemed realistic, including $83 \%$ of the plots chosen as realistic in the main analysis. For BioDIV, 126 instead of 121 of 159 plots were deemed realistic, including $90 \%$ of the ones chosen as realistic in the main analysis.

Below, we describe the additional sensitivity analyses (A-F) for which results are shown in Supplementary Table 1, but not in Supplementary Fig. 1 and Fig. 3.

A: Remove only 5 community properties: Here, we removed community properties based on the proportion of biodiversity experiment communities falling within the real-world range of those properties (see Supplementary Tables S4 and S5). As the similarity of biodiversity experiment and realworld communities regarding these properties differed between the German and US dataset, we removed different variables for these two data sets. For each dataset, we removed the 5 community properties with the lowest proportion of biodiversity experiment communities falling within the realworld range for these properties. For the German PCA, we removed SEve, S, PD, FRic, and MNTD.

For the US PCA; we removed LDMC, FEve, SLA, leaf N, and FRic. For the Jena Experiment, this resulted in 49 of $82(60 \%)$ plots to be selected as realistic with all of the main analysis realistic plots included in this subset. For BioDIV, 112 of $159(70 \%)$ were selected as realistic, containing $83 \%$ of the main analysis realistic plots. Given this very strong overlap with the main analysis, we conclude that using this subset of community properties would not change our conclusions. Thus, we stick to choosing community properties based on the vif selection to reduce multicollinearity among variables entering the PCA.

B: Change number of axes in PCA used for convex hull intersection: In the main analysis, we used the first three PCA axes for 3-dimensional convex hull and hypervolumes to determine the intersection between biodiversity experiment and real-world communities in multidimensional space (see Extended Data Fig. 6 for an overview of the variance explained by all PCA axes). Here, we changed this number of axes to the number of axes explaining above-average variance. All axes explaining more than $1 / 12$ (above average) of the total variance were included. For the German dataset, these were the first five axes, explaining a summed total of $73 \%$ of the total variance (compared to the first three axes explaining $53 \%$ ). When using these five axes, 19 instead of 23 (of 82, $23 \%$ ) Jena Experiment plots were selected as realistic (Supplementary Table 2 and 3), containing $83 \%$ of the plots selected as realistic in the main analysis. For the US dataset, it was the first four axes, together explaining $64 \%$ of the total variance (compared to the first three axes explaining $55 \%$ ). Due to an R "geometry" error in the convex hull calculation when using four axes in the US dataset (most likely some PCA points too close to each other), the intersection could not be calculated. This was probably caused by a few communities being too similar (nearly coincident points) in these four axes. The error did not occur at three axes and is more likely to occur at 4-D and more according to the package documentation. Given
the broadly comparable number and identity of realistic plots between the 2-D ellipse, 3-D convex hull and 3-D hypervolume intersection calculation (see Supplementary Table 3), we assume that adding the fourth dimension (explaining $9 \%$ of the total variance) to the convex hull is unlikely to heavily influence the selection of realistic plots for BioDIV. Overall, although changing the number of axes changed the number and identity of selected realistic plots, there is still a strong overlap of realistic plots selected by this sensitivity analysis and the main analysis, so we conclude that, qualitatively, our results would not change.

## C: Use all available non-experiment plots as real world rather than just the most

methodologically comparable: In the main analysis, we used only a subset of the available real-world datasets to identify realistic biodiversity experiment plots in the intersection calculations. This decision was made because the vegetation survey methodology of some real-world datasets differed quite substantially from the methodology in the biodiversity experiments (transects, subplots, very different vegetation survey area). Here, we tested the impact of using all available real-world datasets (see Figure 1 a and e) in the intersection calculation on the selection of realistic experimental plots. For the Jena Experiment, this resulted in 42 of $82(52 \%)$ plots to be selected as realistic with all of the main analysis realistic plots included in this subset. For BioDIV, 122 of 159 (77 \%) were selected as realistic, containing all of the main analysis realistic plots. Looking at Figure 1 a and e, these numbers could have been expected given that, in the German PCA, for example the Jena invasion communities are shifted towards the real-world communities relative to the Jena Experiment main communities. In the US comparison, however, most the additional real-world communities (Old field succession chronosequence and Oak savannah communities) do not extend further towards the real-world communities than the Fertilization 1 and 2 communities already used in the main analysis. Given this
very strong overlap with the main analysis, we conclude that using all real-world data sets available would not change our conclusions. Thus, we stick to only using the most comparable datasets in our main analysis.

D: Change overlap criterion, i.e. explore number of years in intersection for each plot: In the main analysis, we selected biodiversity experiment plots as realistic if their plant communities fell in the PCA-based intersection of experiment and real-world data in at least one year. Here, we show for how many years which of the "realistic" plots had plant communities falling within the intersection.

For the Jena Experiment, 6 of 23 realistic plots were included in all years, all but three plots were at least included in more than one year. For BioDIV, 74 of 122 realistic plots were included in all years, and another 21 plots were included in all but one year. All other plots were included in fewer years. This indicates that, for BioDIV, changing the criteria for being defined realistic (e.g. having at least 50 $\%$ of the annual communities in the intersection) would not change the conclusions a lot. In Jena, however, choosing e.g. $50 \%$ of annual communities as a threshold would lead to only very few plots being selected as realistic. The vast majority of main-analysis realistic plots are not only included in only a single year. Consequently, even the most realistic Jena Experiment plots are only real-world comparable in a subset of all years considered here. However, these are the most real-world comparable subset of the Jena Experiment plots. Our aim was to remove the most unrealistic plots from each experiment and then compare BEf relationships. While it is interesting to see how the two biodiversity experiments differ in their relation to the real-world communities, comparing BEF relationships is only really possible if there are enough plots for each BEF model to fit a relatively reliable model. We conclude that choosing a different threshold here would reduce the number of realistic plots, but mainly impact our analysis by not providing enough data points to fit the Jena BEF relationships for the subset
of realistic plots only.

## E: Calculate realistic plots using species-abundance NMDS instead of community-property

PCA's: In the main analysis, selection of realistic experimental plots is always based on the community-property PCA's. Here, we test how the selection of realistic plots changes when using the first three axes of a species-abundance based NMDS (function "metaMDS" in R package "vegan" ${ }^{12}$ ). As visualized in the NMDS biplots of Supplementary Figure 2, the plant community composition of biodiversity experiments and real-world systems differs far more in the German than in the US comparison. In the US comparison, there is a good overlap in plant community NMDS space, resulting in 53 of the 159 plots ( $33 \%$ ) to be selected as realistic, containing $43 \%$ of plots selected as realistic based on the community property PCA. In the US comparison, the biodiversity experiment and realworld plots are all situated in a relatively small area, thus we expect some similarity in species composition even though the management of these sites differs. Constraining data to only those 53 plots led to two of the four US BEF relationships changing significantly from the unconstrained to the constrained dataset, but in both cases, the slopes of the realistic subsets were higher (see Supplementary Fig. 3), thus suggesting that experiments might underestimate BEF relationships for certain functions and thus strengthening our conclusion that experimental findings are generally robust. In the German comparison, the Jena Experiment community NMDS space contains the real-world plots, but, interestingly, very few Jena Experiment plots actually fall within the real-world NMDS space (see Supplementary Figure 2). As such, only 2 of the Jena Experiment plots ( $2 \%$ ) would be selected as realistic based on this methodology. This indeed is a very low percentage of realistic sites, but this is not unexpected. The German real-world plots are spread out across three geographically distant regions across Germany with a strong gradient of land-use intensity and the Jena Experiment
was established in a single old field site with (obviously and intentionally) narrow environmental gradients and plant species being selected from a deliberately regional species pool fitting for the given environmental and geographical context. Consequently, we never expected the plant communities themselves to be very similar in their composition. This is exactly the reason why our analysis is based on comparing the properties of these plant communities irrespective of the identity of species involved.

## F: Only Germany: Use only real-world plots with management similar to Jena Experiment: In the

 main analysis, we compare plant communities from biodiversity experiments to those of real-world plots covering a broad gradient of land-use intensities, especially in the German dataset (Biodiversity Exploratories). In addition to visualizing the different land-use intensity and its components (mowing, grazing, fertilization) in an alternative version of Figure 1 (Supplementary Figure 5), we tested the impact of using only Biodiversity Exploratories plots with land use roughly comparable to the JenaExperiment for the German comparison. The Jena Experiment plots are mown twice a year and neither fertilized nor grazed. Specifically, we removed all Biodiversity Exploratories plots that are grazed or fertilized and those that are never mown, leaving only the mown (but neither grazed nor fertilized) plots in the analysis. This procedure resulted in only 10 Exploratories plots left in the dataset ( 9 plots from the North-East region Schorfheide-Chorin and one plot from the South-West region Schwäbische Alb). Expectedly, this resulted in only a very small subset of the Jena Experiment plots being selected as realistic, namely 10 of 82 plots ( $12 \%$ ), containing $43 \%$ of the originally selected realistic plots. The aim of our study was to compare the biodiversity experiments to real-world grasslands in the same countries. These real-world grasslands are managed differently than the biodiversity experiments. Still, we find experimental plots with plant communities comparable in their properties to those of the realworld plots. While it would be interesting to compare the Jena Experiment to real-world plotsundergoing similar land use, this is not feasible with our dataset and we thus stick to using all real world datasets sampled in a relatively comparable manner to the biodiversity experiments.

Please note that, for the US data, when leaving all 21 or keeping 16 (removing the five most different) community properties in the PCA, results of the intersection calculation should be taken with caution due to a slight onset of horseshoe-like patterns in the PCA. We take this as another reason to stick to our vif-based selection of community properties to enter the PCA for the main analysis.

Supplementary Table 1．Community properties used in the different main and sensitivity subsets． Column＂Class＂specifies which class of community properties a given property belongs to（taxonomic， phylogenetic，and functional diversity，and CWM functional traits）．＂Full 12 vif＂is the vif－selected subset used in the main analysis．The other subsets are used in sensitivity analyses．

| $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\sim}$ | T $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{=}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{~}$ $\vdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 菏 } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{*}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 总 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 荷 } \\ & \text { • } \\ & \text { 耍 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{3}{3} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $C$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fun | FRic | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| fun | FEve | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| fun | FDiv | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| fun | FDis | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| fun | RaoQ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CWM | leaf＿area | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| CWM | SLA | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| CWM | leaf＿drymass | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| CWM | LDMC | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| CWM | leaf＿N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| CWM | leaf＿P | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| CWM | height | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| CWM | seedmass | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| tax | S | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| tax | H | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| tax | D1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| tax | D2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| tax | SEve | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| phyl | PD | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| phyl | MPD | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| phyl | MNTD | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

German All21 (three methods):


322 German Allbuttax (only chull):


323 German Allbutphyl (only chull):





324 German Allbutfun (only chull):


German AllbutCWM (only chull):


US Full12 (three methods):



328
US Allbuttax (only chull):






US Allbutfun (only chull):



331 US AllbutCWM (only chull):





Supplementary Table 2. Jena Experiment plots included in the different sensitivity overlap scenarios vs. all experimental plots. Jena Experiment plots with their sown diversity (sown_div), number of functional groups (num_fg) and their selection as realistic plots (1) based on various sensitivity analyses described in Supplementary Information on sensitivity analyses I and Supplementary Table 1. The selection of "realistic" plots is compared to the main analysis (Full12_chull). Note that column D shows the number of years with communities falling inside the intersection of 3-D convex hull volumes ( $13=$ all years). The last four rows show the number of chosen realistic plots (sum), the percentage of total Jena Experiment plots (82) chosen as realistic (percent_tot), if there are more plots selected as realistic than in the main analysis (yes, same, or no), and the proportion of realistic plots chosen in the main analysis (Full12_chull) also selected in each sensitivity analysis (percent_12vif). Plots are sorted by sown diversity levels.


| B2A17 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B2A21 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A04 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 |
| B3A05 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A07 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A20 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A06 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A08 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A10 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A16 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A04 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A13 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A19 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A21 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A01 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A06 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A09 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A16 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A03 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A11 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A13 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A23 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A04 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A07 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A11 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A22 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A05 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A07 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A16 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A17 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A02 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A08 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A19 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A20 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A02 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A08 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A19 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A21 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A14 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A15 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| B4A21 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B1A08 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A09 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B1A18 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A04 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A05 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B2A15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A01 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A06 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B3A17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A03 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A09 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B4A13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sum |  |  | 23 | 24 | 21 | 33 | 32 | 34 | 44 | 41 | 30 | 19 | 49 | 19 | 42 | 23 | 2 | 10 |
| percent_tot |  |  | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.4 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 0.5 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.6 | 0.23 | 0.51 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.12 |
| more |  |  | same | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | no | yes | same | no | no |
| percent_12vif |  |  |  | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1 | 1 | 0.96 | 0.83 | 1 | 0.83 | 1 | 1 | 0.09 | 0.43 |

Supplementary Table 3. BioDIV plots included in the different sensitivity overlap scenarios vs. all experimental plots. BioDIV plots with their sown diversity (sown_div), number of functional groups (num_fg) and their selection as realistic plots (1) based on various sensitivity analyses described in Supplementary Information on sensitivity analyses I and Supplementary Table 1. The selection of "realistic" plots is compared to the main analysis (Full12_chull). Note that column D shows the number of years with communities falling inside the intersection of 3-D convex hull volumes ( $19=$ all years). The last four rows show the number of chosen realistic plots (sum), the percentage of total BioDIV plots (159) chosen as realistic (percent_tot), if there are more plots selected as realistic than in the main analysis (yes, same, or no), and the proportion of realistic plots chosen in the main analysis (Full12_chull) also selected in each sensitivity analysis (percent_12vif). Plots are sorted by sown diversity levels.

| $\frac{0}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $m$ 2 3 3 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 27 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 30 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 34 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 35 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 46 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 68 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 82 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 89 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 107 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 108 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 136 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 156 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 160 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 164 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 169 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 174 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 186 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 202 | 16 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 220 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 227 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 235 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 239 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 242 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 1 |


| 253 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 257 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 273 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 299 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 301 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 318 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 328 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 329 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 331 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 336 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 339 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 1 |
| 12 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 15 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 22 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 50 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 57 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 67 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 74 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 0 |
| 81 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 98 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 104 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 111 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 115 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| 118 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 0 |
| 130 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 146 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 170 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 177 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 178 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 206 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 208 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 210 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 213 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 232 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 266 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 283 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 292 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 293 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 303 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 1 |


| 307 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 313 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 24 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 26 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 33 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 44 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 45 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 53 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 58 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| 62 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 0 |
| 70 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 93 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 110 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 133 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 138 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 139 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 149 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 176 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 190 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 199 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 |
| 201 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 223 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 1 |
| 225 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 229 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 1 |
| 233 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 286 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 287 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 302 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 0 |
| 325 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 0 |
| 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 0 |
| 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 0 |
| 32 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 |
| 56 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 0 |
| 73 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| 75 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 117 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 125 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 127 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 |  |
| 157 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 165 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 168 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 171 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 |  |
| 175 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 189 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 193 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 197 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 |  |
| 211 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 |  |
| 224 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 234 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 236 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 |  |
| 259 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 |  |
| 278 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 300 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| 135 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 137 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 142 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 153 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 |
| 163 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 167 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 230 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 |
| 237 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 |
| 256 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 |
| 265 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 267 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 268 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 280 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 282 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 290 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 308 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| 333 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 338 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 |
| sum |  |  | 122 | 109 | 133 | 121 | 104 | 136 | 122 | 125 | 124 | 126 | 112 | 122 | 122 | 53 |
| percent_tot |  |  | 0.77 | 0.69 | 0.84 | 0.76 | 0.65 | 0.86 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.79 | 0.7 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.33 |
| more |  |  | same | no | yes | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes | same | no |
| percent_12vif |  |  |  | 0.87 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.9 | 0.83 | 1 | 1 | 0.43 |

Supplementary Table 4. T-test results for differences between German experimental and real-world plots. Welsh t-tests with unequal variances. Full set of 21 community properties averaged across all years per plot for Jena Experiment ( 82 plots) and combined real-world data (German real world: 150 plots, Jena real world: 14 plots). T-statistic, degrees of freedom (df), experimental (Exp) data mean and real world (RW) data mean are rounded to two, p-values to 5 decimal places. The last column gives the proportion of Jena plots falling within the community property range of the real-world plots.

| model | t_statistic | df | mean_Exp |  | mean_RW | p_value |  | in_rw_range |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRic | -19.04 | 192.57 | 2.74 | 12.84 | 0 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| FEve | -5.57 | 83.98 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0 | 0.5 |  |  |  |
| FDiv | -5.34 | 85.44 | 0.46 | 0.68 | 0 | 0.59 |  |  |  |
| FDis | -8.5 | 88.07 | 1.18 | 1.95 | 0 | 0.56 |  |  |  |
| RaoQ | -9.51 | 105.73 | 2.39 | 4.61 | 0 | 0.55 |  |  |  |
| leaf_area | 1 | 106.43 | 1820.3 | 1648.03 | 0.31776 | 0.82 |  |  |  |
| SLA | -4.54 | 140.03 | 24.26 | 26.44 | 0.00001 | 0.94 |  |  |  |
| leaf_drymass | 2.12 | 93.49 | 61.03 | 48.28 | 0.03662 | 0.82 |  |  |  |
| LDMC | -5.27 | 98.28 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.61 |  |  |  |
| leaf_N | 1.31 | 96.71 | 25.66 | 24.81 | 0.19315 | 0.74 |  |  |  |
| leaf_P | -1.44 | 109.38 | 2.19 | 2.26 | 0.15242 | 0.93 |  |  |  |
| height | -2.36 | 115.5 | 0.45 | 0.5 | 0.01988 | 0.76 |  |  |  |
| Seedmass | 3.95 | 84.7 | 2.76 | 1.52 | 0.00016 | 0.72 |  |  |  |
| S | -18.8 | 195.11 | 6.45 | 28.05 | 0 | 0.11 |  |  |  |
| H | -14.22 | 99.02 | 0.97 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.43 |  |  |  |
| D1 | -10.9 | 84.47 | 0.46 | 0.83 | 0 | 0.51 |  |  |  |
| D2 | -10.17 | 133.06 | 3.23 | 7.42 | 0 | 0.51 |  |  |  |
| SEve | 16.35 | 86.69 | 0.65 | 0.27 | 0 | 0.05 |  |  |  |
| PD | -20.34 | 163.66 | 720.24 | 1704.8 | 0 | 0.12 |  |  |  |
| MPD | -8.5 | 101.21 | 105.59 | 188.92 | 0 | 0.66 |  |  |  |
| MNTD | 6.62 | 82.65 | 109.29 | 31.2 | 0 | 0.29 |  |  |  |

360 Supplementary Table 5. T-test results for differences between US experimental and real-world plots.
361 Welsh t-tests with unequal variances. Full set of 21 community properties averaged across all years per plot for BioDIV ( 159 plots) and combined real-world data (Fertilization $1 \& 2 ; 207$ and 162 plots, respectively). T-statistic, degrees of freedom (df), experimental (Exp) data mean and real world (RW) data mean are rounded to two, p -values to 5 decimal places. The last column gives the proportion of BioDIV plots falling within the community property range of the real-world plots.

| model | t_statistic df |  | mean_Exp | mean_RW | p_value | in_rw_range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRic | -7.59 | 243.07 | 1.4 | 2.62 | 0 | 0.51 |
| FEve | -2.73 | 176.06 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.00693 | 0.28 |
| FDiv | -8.42 | 182.59 | 0.37 | 0.63 | 0 | 0.59 |
| FDis | 0.79 | 187.27 | 1.02 | 0.97 | 0.43191 | 0.59 |
| RaoQ | 3.46 | 182.45 | 2.22 | 1.61 | 0.00068 | 0.6 |
| leaf_area | 8.82 | 176.29 | 1429.56 | 724.02 | 0 | 0.77 |
| SLA | -8.01 | 204.34 | 14.77 | 16.5 | 0 | 0.4 |
| leaf_drymass | 10 | 193.36 | 74.23 | 45.67 | 0 | 0.73 |
| LDMC | -2.57 | 169.1 | 0.3 | 0.32 | 0.01105 | 0.22 |
| leaf_N | -4.9 | 237.85 | 16.97 | 18.77 | 0 | 0.5 |
| leaf_P | -6.68 | 248.46 | 1.55 | 1.71 | 0 | 0.94 |
| height | 3.37 | 193.75 | 0.77 | 0.7 | 0.0009 | 0.56 |
| seedmass | 5.21 | 169.51 | 3.88 | 2.18 | 0 | 0.82 |
| S | -12.12 | 291.42 | 4.03 | 7.85 | 0 | 0.76 |
| H | -4.21 | 222.01 | 0.72 | 0.96 | 0.00004 | 0.73 |
| D1 | -4.18 | 215.21 | 0.36 | 0.47 | 0.00004 | 0.7 |
| D2 | -1.83 | 226.06 | 2.23 | 2.46 | 0.06831 | 0.71 |
| SEve | 18.02 | 188.98 | 0.71 | 0.38 | 0 | 0.8 |
| PD | -13.45 | 338.91 | 605.02 | 861.86 | 0 | 0.67 |
| MPD | -0.3 | 239.47 | 92.55 | 94.68 | 0.76228 | 0.68 |
| MNTD | 4.71 | 169.23 | 97.1 | 50.95 | 0.00001 | 0.6 |



Supplementary Figure 2. NMDS biplots of species-abundance data for German and US dataset. First two axes of 3-dimensional NMDS for German (upper panel) and US (lower panel) speciesabundance data as calculated with function "metaMDS" and plotted with function "biplot" in R package vegan ${ }^{12}$. Note that, for the US comparison, communities with $100 \%$ relative abundance of Elymus smithii are not shown in this biplot since they show extreme values in the first axis.

371 Supplementary Table 6. T-test results for differences between realistic and unrealistic plots for the Jena Experiment. Welsh t-tests with unequal variances. Realistic plots were calculated based on the 12 vif-selected community properties and the convex hull volume method. All properties were averaged across all available years per plot ( 23 realistic and 59 unrealistic plots). T-statistic, degrees of freedom (df), means of realistic (real) and unrealistic communities (unreal) are rounded to two, p -values to four decimal places.

| model | t_statistic | df | mean_real |  | mean_unreal ${ }^{2}$ p_value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| FRic | 8.25 | 24.88 | 7.32 | 0.96 | 0 |  |
| FEve | 6.46 | 74.97 | 0.54 | 0.27 | 0 |  |
| FDiv | 7.82 | 66.62 | 0.75 | 0.34 | 0 |  |
| FDis | 9.61 | 77.96 | 1.98 | 0.87 | 0 |  |
| RaoQ | 9.22 | 55.01 | 4.49 | 1.58 | 0 |  |
| leaf_area | 0.13 | 75.23 | 1842.7 | 1811.57 | 0.8971 |  |
| SLA | 0.63 | 49.5 | 24.64 | 24.11 | 0.5334 |  |
| leaf_drymass | -0.55 | 78.6 | 57.26 | 62.5 | 0.5845 |  |
| LDMC | 1.75 | 42.61 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.0865 |  |
| leaf_N | 0.3 | 78.09 | 25.88 | 25.58 | 0.7679 |  |
| leaf_P | 2.24 | 77.01 | 2.31 | 2.14 | 0.0281 |  |
| height | 1.87 | 61.58 | 0.5 | 0.42 | 0.0663 |  |
| seedmass | -0.35 | 79.48 | 2.64 | 2.81 | 0.7303 |  |
| S | 5.66 | 22.7 | 15.18 | 3.05 | 0 |  |
| H | 9.17 | 34.51 | 1.92 | 0.6 | 0 |  |
| D1 | 9.9 | 72.8 | 0.78 | 0.34 | 0 |  |
| D2 | 5.06 | 22.72 | 6.65 | 1.9 | 0 |  |
| SEve | -10.33 | 78.5 | 0.45 | 0.74 | 0 |  |
| PD | 5.7 | 25.31 | 1093.58 | 574.71 | 0 |  |
| MPD | 5.47 | 38.3 | 176.42 | 77.97 | 0 |  |
| MNTD | -3.56 | 77.95 | 64.82 | 126.63 | 0.0006 |  |
| sown diversity | 4.77 | 22.34 | 21.74 | 3.46 | 0.0001 |  |
| no funct groups | 3.54 | 36.95 | 2.83 | 1.85 | 0.0011 |  |

Supplementary Table 7. T-test results for differences between realistic and unrealistic plots for BioDIV. Welsh t-tests with unequal variances. Realistic plots were calculated based on the full set of community properties and the convex hull volume method. All properties were averaged across all available years per plot ( 122 realistic and 37 unrealistic plots). T-statistic, degrees of freedom (df), means of realistic (real) and unrealistic communities (unreal) are rounded to two, p -values to four decimal places.

| model | t_statistic | mean_real |  | mean_unreal | p_value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRic | 10.7 | 121 | 1.82 | 0 | 0 |
| FEve | 14.65 | 121 | 0.38 | 0 | 0 |
| FDiv | 14.71 | 121 | 0.48 | 0 | 0 |
| FDis | 9.44 | 92.96 | 1.26 | 0.22 | 0 |
| RaoQ | 7.92 | 95.01 | 2.75 | 0.49 | 0 |
| leaf_area | -0.11 | 44.52 | 1423.77 | 1448.65 | 0.9168 |
| SLA | 1.04 | 46.22 | 14.91 | 14.3 | 0.3043 |
| leaf_drymass | -1.27 | 46.88 | 71.95 | 81.76 | 0.2119 |
| LDMC | -0.66 | 44.55 | 0.3 | 0.31 | 0.5149 |
| leaf_N | 1.2 | 42.28 | 17.27 | 15.99 | 0.2366 |
| leaf_P | 1.42 | 46.02 | 1.57 | 1.48 | 0.1633 |
| height | -1.81 | 40.78 | 0.75 | 0.87 | 0.0773 |
| seedmass | 0.15 | 41.7 | 3.92 | 3.76 | 0.8815 |
| S | 11.53 | 130.41 | 4.87 | 1.25 | 0 |
| H | 12.76 | 155.85 | 0.91 | 0.09 | 0 |
| D1 | 12.7 | 145.19 | 0.45 | 0.06 | 0 |
| D2 | 11.23 | 133.74 | 2.58 | 1.09 | 0 |
| SEve | -10.43 | 102.79 | 0.64 | 0.92 | 0 |
| PD | 12.13 | 154.37 | 660.65 | 421.61 | 0 |
| MPD | 10.42 | 111.91 | 115.44 | 17.08 | 0 |
| MNTD | 1.95 | 55.87 | 107.8 | 61.82 | 0.056 |
| sown diversity | 11.51 | 137.13 | 7.81 | 1.65 | 0 |
| no funct groups | 10.95 | 122.28 | 3.49 | 1.54 | 0 |

Supplementary Figure 3. Alternative versions of Fig. 2 based on alternative intersection scenarios (community- property subsets and overlap calculation methodology). Panels a-h Jena Experiment, panels i-l BioDIV (see main text Fig. 2). 6 different versions: 3 methods (chull, hyper, ellipse) and 2 community property subsets (Full 12 vif selected and All 21 community properties; see Supplementary Table 1 for details on included community properties) plus one USA-only version based on overlap from species-abundance based NMDS with the chull method. Note that convex hull method with 12 vif-selected properties is main text Fig. 2.
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Supplementary Table 8. Constraining-related change in functioning at maximum species richness. For each of the 12 BEF relationships from the Jena Experiment (J) and BioDIV presented in Fig. 2, the table shows the constraining-related percentage change in the model-predicted function variable at maximum species richness (the proportional difference in the un-transformed function value at the right-hand tip of the black and red lines in Fig. 2). The average absolute percentage function change is 10.3\% (SE: 4\%).
function $\quad \%$ change in predicted functioning

J_biomass -3.9
J_plantCN -18.75
J_soilorgC -0.46
J_rootbiomass -20.24
J_herbivory 46.24
J_micBMC -7.8
J_phosphatase -8.17
J_pollinators 9.74
BioDIV_biomass 3.78
BioDIV_plantCN 3.59
BioDIV_soilC 0.39
BioDIV_rootbiomass -0.05

## Supplementary Information on sensitivity analyses II

We performed two very similar sensitivity analyses testing if changes in BEF relationships from being significant (all communities) to non-significant (realistic plots only) were likely caused by the related reduction in sample size or the reduced species richness gradient of the constrained Jena plots or if a randomly-selected reduced number of plots was still likely to result in a significant relationship. Therefore, for each of the four BEF relationships found to switch significance (Jena soil organic C (ac), root biomass (d-f), soil microbial biomass C (g-i) and phosphatase activity ( $\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{l}$ ) ), we repeatedly ( 500 times), randomly selected 23 Jena plots and re-ran the model testing for the BEF relationship and saving the slope estimates and p-values (Supplementary Fig. S4a, fully random choice of plots). Supplementary Fig. 4 shows the distribution of these 500 random-selection slopes (boxplots in first column and orange lines in middle column) in comparison to the unconstrained (all plots, red lines) and constrained (PCA-selection based realistic plots only, black dashed lines) slopes from Fig. 2. Dotted black lines indicate zero slopes. The right column shows the frequency of positive significant, positive insignificant, negative insignificant and negative significant relationships obtained by the 500 random subsets of 23 plots with the black bar highlighting the PCA-based realistic result from Fig. 2.

In a second version of this analysis, we restricted the random choice of Jena plots to only draw from the 42 plots within the species richness range of the realistic plots in the main analysis (S equal to or larger than 3.7). Here, we repeated the random draw only 100 times. Otherwise, the analysis and figure are identical.

Supplementary Fig. 4 shows that black dashed lines and the results of the PCA-based realistic subset divert relatively strongly from the 500 random-selection results. Specifically, the PCA-based realistic subset resulted in strikingly shallower slopes than the random choices and non-significantly positive or even negative relationships while a big part of the random subsets resulted in significant positive or at least non-significantly positive relationships. As such, our PCA-based selection of realistic plots is highly non-random in comparison to the random-selection of plots, thus indicating that our methodology is successful in finding a subset of plots based on prior knowledge (realistic plots based on the multidimensional, multivariate comparison of communities) and does not simply create a random subset of plots. Furthermore, these results show that, for these four Jena soil processes, experiment-derived BEF relationships might not be as important or strong in real-world systems, at least as long as plant communities in those real-world systems deviate from those in experiments, e.g. in their species richness gradients. Future developments of real-world plant communities due to global change drivers and increasing anthropogenic pressure might change this conclusion by rendering less diverse communities realistic, thus aligning the species richness gradients of biodiversity experiments and related real-world systems and increasing the slope of the BEF relationships.

Interestingly, Supplementary Fig. 5 shows that, when restricting the species richness gradient of randomly drawn realistic plots to being comparable to the realistic plots chosen in the main analysis, the picture changes. The vast majority of random slopes is now not significant anymore, as can be seen from the barplots in the right-hand column. This shows that the change from significant to nonsignificant BEF relationships is not caused by the reduced sample size but primarily driven by the truncated species-richness gradient in more real-world comparable biodiversity experiment data subsets.


443 Supplementary Figure 4. Random selection sensitivity analysis for Fig. 2 relationships turning insignificant. 500 random draws of Jena plots and the respective BEF slopes for four selected functions.


Supplementary Figure 5. Random selection sensitivity analysis for Fig. 2 relationships turning insignificant. 100 restricted random draws of Jena plots and the respective BEF slopes for four selected functions, random draws confined to the species richness gradient also covered by the realistic Jena plots in the main analysis (equal to or larger than 3.7 species).

Supplementary Table 9. Differences between range in function for unconstrained and constrained data underlying the BEF relationships in Fig. 2. Values are presented for unconstrained (uncon) and constrained (con) datasets of Jena (J) and BioDIV BEF relationships. Constraining was done using the 12 vif-selected community properties and the convex hull method. Ranges were calculated based maximum and minimum function performance in unconstrained and constrained datasets. Range changes were calculated as the proportion of unconstrained functioning still covered by constrained functioning. Changes are caused by the removal of unrealistic plots which alters the distribution of function values for a given species richness level, but also by the reduction of the species-richness gradient that is caused by the removal of plots. The across-year species-richness gradient in Jena changed from 1-35.2 species (unconstrained) to 3.7-35.2 species (constrained). The BioDIV species richness gradient was $1-11.1$ species and did not change from unconstrained to constrained datasets.

| model_name | uncon_range | con_range | range_change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| J_biomass | 22 | 9.7 | 0.44 |
| J_plantCN | 34.59 | 24.33 | 0.7 |
| J_soilorgC | 1.54 | 1.26 | 0.82 |
| J_rootbiomass | 1.06 | 0.66 | 0.63 |
| J_herbivory | 1.72 | 1.05 | 0.61 |
| J_micBMC | 800.54 | 624.56 | 0.78 |
| J_phosphatase | 1159.23 | 956.63 | 0.83 |
| J_pollinators | 1.1 | 0.82 | 0.74 |
| BioDIV_biomass | 2.91 | 2.38 | 0.82 |
| BioDIV_plantCN | 38.04 | 34.59 | 0.91 |
| BioDIV_soilC | 0.62 | 0.62 | 1 |
| BioDIV_rootbiomass | 1952.87 | 1952.87 | 1 |
| Jena_avg |  |  | 0.69 |
| BioDIV_avg |  |  | 0.93 |
| Overall_avg |  |  | 0.77 |

Supplementary Table 10. Drivers of ecosystem functioning in all vs. realistic communities of biodiversity experiments. In order to assess how the importance of function drivers changes, we analysed relative importance of community properties in explaining functions for the complete set of biodiversity experiment plots and realistic-only plots. As functions, we chose plant aboveground biomass and soil organic carbon as they cover different types of functions (unlike e.g. plant aboveground and root biomass) and are available for both experiments. For each of these functions (response), in each of the two experiments (experiment), we compared the relative importance of 8 selected community properties (predictor), two taxonomic diversity metrics, two functional diversity metrics, two phylogenetic diversity metrics and two community weighted mean functional traits (S, SEve, FRic, FEve, PD, MNTD, seed mass, SLA). These pairs of community properties (from each of the community property types (pred_type): tax, phyl, funct, CWM) were selected based on relatively low correlation within the type of properties.
For each function, we set up a full model with the function variable as the response and the 8 selected community properties as predictors (simple linear model, no interactions, just additive effects). All variables were standardized to zero mean and unit variance before model runs to compare relative importance. Subsequently, we used the function "dredge" in R package "MuMIn" to compute models with all possible variable combinations and rank them by AICc. We then used the function
"importance" from the same R package to extract the summed akaike weight of all models that each variable was present in as a predictor (weight_all). This procedure was repeated for the constrained dataset to obtain predictor importance in the constrained (realistic plots only) datasets (weight_con; based on the main-text analysis using convex hull volume and the vif-selected variable set for the PCA's). Finally, for each of the eight predictors of both functions in both experiments, we calculated the absolute difference in variable importance between the analyses with all plots and realistic plots only (abs_change).

| experiment | response | predictor | pred_type | weight_all | weight_con |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jena | biomass | seedmass | CWM_trait | 1 | 0.99 | -0.01 |
| Jena | biomass | SEve | taxonomic | 0.9 | 0.91 | 0.01 |
| Jena | biomass | S | taxonomic | 0.9 | 0.99 | 0.09 |
| Jena | biomass | FEve | functional | 0.46 | 0.1 | -0.36 |
| Jena | biomass | PD | phylogenetic | 0.32 | 0.79 | 0.47 |
| Jena | biomass | MNTD | phylogenetic | 0.28 | 0.17 | -0.11 |
| Jena | biomass | FRic | functional | 0.25 | 0.3 | 0.05 |
| Jena | biomass | SLA | CWM_trait | 0.25 | 0.59 | 0.34 |
| Jena | soilorgC | SEve | taxonomic | 0.6 | 0.2 | -0.4 |
| Jena | soilorgC | FRic | functional | 0.56 | 0.24 | -0.32 |
| Jena | soilorgC | PD | phylogenetic | 0.33 | 0.2 | -0.13 |
| Jena | soilorgC | S | taxonomic | 0.32 | 0.19 | -0.13 |
| Jena | soilorgC | FEve | functional | 0.29 | 0.19 | -0.1 |
| Jena | soilorgC | seedmass | CWM_trait | 0.29 | 0.46 | 0.17 |
| Jena | soilorgC | MNTD | phylogenetic | 0.27 | 0.26 | -0.01 |


| Jena | soilorgC | SLA | CWM_trait | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| BioDIV | biomass | FRic | functional | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0 |
| BioDIV | biomass | MNTD | phylogenetic | 0.88 | 0.75 | -0.13 |
| BioDIV | biomass | PD | phylogenetic | 0.58 | 0.62 | 0.04 |
| BioDIV | biomass | S | taxonomic | 0.42 | 0.39 | -0.03 |
| BioDIV | biomass | seedmass | CWM_trait | 0.32 | 0.28 | -0.04 |
| BioDIV | biomass | FEve | functional | 0.31 | 0.28 | -0.03 |
| BioDIV | biomass | SEve | taxonomic | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.01 |
| BioDIV | biomass | SLA | CWM_trait | 0.26 | 0.3 | 0.04 |
| BioDIV | soilC | SEve | taxonomic | 0.79 | 0.88 | 0.09 |
| BioDIV | soilC | seedmass | CWM_trait | 0.73 | 0.25 | -0.48 |
| BioDIV | soilC | PD | phylogenetic | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.02 |
| BioDIV | soilC | FEve | functional | 0.43 | 0.37 | -0.06 |
| BioDIV | soilC | S | taxonomic | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.01 |
| BioDIV | soilC | FRic | functional | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0 |
| BioDIV | soilC | SLA | CWM_trait | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0 |
| BioDIV | soilC | MNTD | phylogenetic | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0 |

Supplementary Table 11. Correlation coefficients for CWM's versus functional, phylogenetic metrics and evenness, German dataset. Pearson correlation coefficients for Jena Experiment (upper part) and combined German real world community properties (lower part). Bold values are mean absolute correlation coefficients for the columns, the overall mean is the absolute mean across all column averages.

| Jena | FEve | FDiv | FDis | RaoQ | SEve | MPD | MNTD |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| leaf_area | -0.02 | -0.03 | 0.02 | 0.07 | -0.01 | 0 | 0.12 |  |  |
| SLA | 0 | -0.09 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.09 | -0.02 |  |  |
| leaf_drymass | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.1 | 0.08 | -0.12 | 0.08 | 0.23 |  |  |
| LDMC | 0 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.06 | -0.07 | -0.08 | -0.15 |  |  |
| leaf_N | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.13 | -0.09 | 0.05 | -0.03 |  |  |
| leaf_P | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.21 | -0.16 | 0.18 | 0.02 |  |  |
| height | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.1 | -0.08 | -0.08 | -0.12 |  |  |
| seedmass | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | -0.1 | -0.13 |  |  |
| avg_abs | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |  |  |
| overall mean | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| German RW | FEve | FDiv | FDis | RaoQ | SEve | MPD | MNTD |  |  |
| leaf_area | -0.08 | -0.09 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.12 |  |  |
| SLA | -0.21 | -0.21 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.14 | -0.13 | 0.03 |  |  |
| leaf_drymass | -0.03 | 0.12 | 0.52 | 0.57 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.18 |  |  |
| LDMC | 0.1 | -0.09 | -0.23 | -0.2 | -0.25 | -0.5 | -0.06 |  |  |
| leaf_N | -0.15 | -0.05 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.05 |  |  |
| leaf_P | -0.19 | -0.24 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.06 | -0.16 | 0.03 |  |  |
| height | -0.03 | -0.22 | -0.04 | 0.05 | -0.07 | -0.5 | -0.07 |  |  |
| seedmass | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.08 |  |  |
| avg_abs | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8}$ |  |  |
| overall mean | $\mathbf{0 . 1 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

490 Supplementary Table 12. Correlation coefficients for CWM's versus functional, phylogenetic metrics and evenness, US dataset. Pearson correlation coefficients for BioDIV (upper part) and combined US real world community properties (lower part). Bold values are mean absolute correlation coefficients for the columns, the overall mean is the absolute mean across all column averages.

|  | BioDIV | FEve | FDiv | FDis | RaoQ | SEve | MPD |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | MNTD


|  | FEve | FDiv | FDis | RaoQ | SEve | MPD | MNTD |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| USA RW | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.5 | 0.6 | -0.07 | 0.38 | 0.12 |
| leaf_area | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.14 | -0.21 | 0.33 | 0.23 |
| SLA | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.51 | 0.65 | -0.04 | 0.32 | 0.1 |
| leaf_drymass | -0.11 | -0.06 | -0.27 | -0.23 | 0.06 | -0.33 | -0.13 |
| LDMC | -0.18 | -0.36 | -0.29 | -0.2 | 0.18 | -0.2 | 0.13 |
| leaf_N | 0.13 | -0.03 | 0.33 | 0.29 | -0.01 | 0.48 | 0.38 |
| leaf_P | -0.23 | -0.18 | -0.41 | -0.28 | 0.08 | -0.55 | -0.24 |
| height | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.29 | 0.36 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| seedmass | $\mathbf{0 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| avg_abs | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Supplementary Figure 6. Alternative versions of Fig. 1a showing Exploratories land-use intensity gradients. PCA biplot of Jena Experiment (orange dots and ellipse) and Biodiversity Exploratories communities (gray shaded dots, blue circle) showing land-use intensity (LUI), mowing, grazing and fertilization intensity of Exploratories plots. Land use intensity indices have been standardized across years 2008-2015 and across all three Exploratories regions ${ }^{13}$. Gray shades from light to dark depict increasing land-use intensity using categorical variables calculated based on the quantiles of the different land-use data.


501 Supplementary Figure 7. Cover versus vegetation survey size scaling sensitivity check for Biodiversity Exploratories (German real world). Here, 16 to $9 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, the latter being the vegetation survey area of the Jena main and Jena real world plots. For this figure, species were sorted into lifeforms using the R package "TR8" ${ }^{14}$ and information from The Ecological Flora Database ${ }^{15}$.


Supplementary Figure 8. Cover versus vegetation survey size scaling sensitivity check for Biodiversity Exploratories (German real world). Here, 16 to $4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, the latter resembling the vegetation survey area of the Jena invasion plots. For this figure, species were sorted into lifeforms using the R package "TR8" ${ }^{14}$ and information from The Ecological Flora Database ${ }^{15}$.

Supplementary Figure 9. Phylogenetic backbone tree (one example of the 50 replicates).
510 Overall 664 species. 132 species ( $19.9 \%$, pink dots) that were not present in the backbone phylogeny 511 used to build this tree were randomly inserted into their genera (see methods for details).


512 Supplementary Table 13. TRY references for plant species trait data from TRY ${ }^{16}$ requests 2968 and

| Region | TRY_Dataset | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GER | Altitudinal Vicariants Spain | 39 |
| GER | ArtDeco Database | 92 |
| GER | BASECO: a floristic and ecological database of Mediterranean French flora | 100 |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{110}$ |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | 28 |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | 29 |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | 30 |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{1}$ |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | 18 |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{23}$ |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{24}$ |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | 25 |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{26}$ |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{27}$ |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{37}$ |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{38}$ |
| GER | BiolFlor Database | 39 |
| GER | BROT Plant Trait Database | 40 |
| GER | BROT Plant Trait Database | ${ }^{41}$ |
| GER | Cedar Creek prairie plants (leaf, seed, dispersule, height, plant, root) | unpub. |
| GER | Climbing plants trait dataset | 90 |
| GER | Ecological Flora of the British Isles | ${ }^{24}$ |
| GER | Functional traits explaining variation in plant life history strategies | ${ }^{47}$ |
| GER | GLOPNET - Global Plant Trait Network Database | 91 |
| GER | GLOPNET - Global Plant Trait Network Database | 53 |
| GER | Grassland Plant Trait Database | ${ }^{54}$ |
| GER | Grassland Plant Trait Database | 55 |
| GER | Harze Trait Intravar: SLA, LDMC and Plant Height for Calcareous Grassland Species in South Belgium | unpub. |
| GER | Herbs Water Relations on Soil Moisture Gradients | 56 |
| GER | Hydrophytes Traits Database | ${ }^{93}$ |
| GER | Italian Alps Plant Traits Database | 94 |
| GER | Italian Alps Plant Traits Database | ${ }^{95}$ |


| GER | KEW Seed Information Database (SID) | ${ }^{58}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GER | KEW Seed Information Database (SID) | 59 |
| GER | Leaf Allometry Dataset | ${ }^{96}$ |
| GER | Leaf Allometry Dataset | ${ }^{97}$ |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | ${ }^{98}$ |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | 99 |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | 101 |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | 102 |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | ${ }^{103}$ |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | 104 |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | 105 |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | 106 |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | 107 |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | 108 |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | 109 |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | unpub. |
| GER | Leaf and Whole Plant Traits Database | ${ }^{111}$ |
| GER | Leaf Area, Dry Mass and SLA Dataset | unpub. |
| GER | Leaf Economic Traits Across Varying Environmental Conditions | 61 |
| GER | Leaf N-Retention Database | ${ }^{62}$ |
| GER | Leaf Physiology Database | ${ }^{112}$ |
| GER | Leaf Physiology Database | unpub. |
| GER | Leaf Structure and Economic Spectrum | ${ }^{66}$ |
| GER | Leaf Structure and Economic Spectrum | 67 |
| GER | Leaf Structure and Economic Spectrum | ${ }^{88}$ |
| GER | Leaf Structure, Venation and Economic Spectrum | 69 |
| GER | Leaf Structure, Venation and Economic Spectrum | 70 |
| GER | Leaf Structure, Venation and Economic Spectrum | ${ }^{60}$ |
| GER | Leaf Structure, Venation and Economic Spectrum | 71 |
| GER | Leaf traits from Baltic Island species | ${ }^{113}$ |
| GER | Leaf Traits in Central Apennines Beech Forests | ${ }^{114}$ |
| GER | Northern mixed-grass prairie species traits - Wyoming, USA | unpub. |
| GER | Nutrient Resorption Efficiency Database | 115 |
| GER | Nutrient Resorption Efficiency Database | ${ }^{116}$ |


| GER | Photosynthesis Traits Worldwide | ${ }^{14}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GER | PLANTATT - Attributes of British and Irish Plants | 117 |
| GER | Plant Coastal Dune Traits (France, Aquitaine) | unpub. |
| GER | Plant Traits of Acidic Grasslands in Central Spain | ${ }^{118}$ |
| GER | Plant traits of grassland species | 31 |
| GER | Reich-Oleksyn Global Leaf N, P Database | ${ }^{51}$ |
| GER | Reproductive Allocation | 32 |
| GER | Seed Information Database (SID) Seed Mass 2010 | 33 |
| GER | Sheffield \& Spain Woody Database | 119 |
| GER | Sheffield \& Spain Woody Database | 120 |
| GER | Sheffield \& Spain Woody Database | ${ }^{121}$ |
| GER | Sheffield \& Spain Woody Database | 122 |
| GER | Specific leaf area responses to environmental gradients through space and time | 123 |
| GER | The LEDA Traitbase | ${ }^{34}$ |
| GER | The Netherlands Plant Traits Database | ${ }^{124}$ |
| GER | The Netherlands Plant Traits Database | ${ }^{125}$ |
| GER | The VISTA Plant Trait Database | ${ }^{35}$ |
| GER | The VISTA Plant Trait Database | ${ }^{36}$ |
| GER | The VISTA Plant Trait Database | ${ }^{37}$ |
| GER | The VISTA Plant Trait Database | 38 |
| GER | The Xylem/Phloem Database | 126 |
| GER | The Xylem/Phloem Database | ${ }^{127}$ |
| GER | Traits of the Hungarian flora | ${ }^{128}$ |
| GER | UV-B Radiation Sensitivity of Hieracium Pilosella | ${ }^{129}$ |
| GER | Wetland Dunes Database | ${ }^{130}$ |
| GER | Wetland Dunes Database | 131 |
| GER | Wetland Dunes Database | unpub. |
| GER | Wetland Dunes Database | ${ }^{132}$ |
| GER | Wetland Dunes Database | 133 |
| GER | Whole Plant Hydraulic Conductance | ${ }^{134}$ |
| USA | ArtDeco Database | 135 |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | 110 |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{19}$ |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | 20 |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{1}$ |


| USA | BiolFlor Database | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{18}$ |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | 23 |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | 24 |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | 25 |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | 26 |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | ${ }^{27}$ |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | 28 |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | 29 |
| USA | BiolFlor Database | 30 |
| USA | BROT Plant Trait Database | $\beta 1$ |
| USA | BROT Plant Trait Database | 32 |
| USA | California Coastal Grassland Database | 33 |
| USA | Cedar Creek Savanna SLA, C, N Database | 17 |
| USA | Cold Tolerance, Seed Size and Height of North American Forest Tree Species | unpub. |
| USA | ECOCRAFT | ${ }^{34}$ |
| USA | ECOCRAFT | 35 |
| USA | ECOCRAFT | ${ }^{36}$ |
| USA | Floridian Leaf Traits Database | 37 |
| USA | Functional traits explaining variation in plant life history strategies | 38 |
| USA | Functional Traits of Graminoids in Semi-Arid Steppes Database | 39 |
| USA | Functional Traits of Graminoids in Semi-Arid Steppes Database | 40 |
| USA | Global 15N Database | ${ }^{41}$ |
| USA | Global A, N, P, SLA Database | ${ }^{42}$ |
| USA | GLOPNET - Global Plant Trait Network Database | ${ }^{43}$ |
| USA | GLOPNET - Global Plant Trait Network Database | ${ }^{44}$ |
| USA | Grassland Plant Trait Database | 45 |
| USA | Grassland Plant Trait Database | ${ }^{46}$ |
| USA | Herbs Water Relations on Soil Moisture Gradients | ${ }^{47}$ |
| USA | Jasper Ridge leaf chemistry data | 48 |
| USA | KEW Seed Information Database (SID) | 49 |
| USA | KEW Seed Information Database (SID) | 50 |
| USA | Leaf Area, Dry Mass and SLA Dataset | unpub. |
| USA | Leaf economics spectrum and venation networks in Populus tremuloides | 51 |
| USA | Leaf Economic Traits Across Varying Environmental Conditions | 52 |
| USA | Leaf N-Retention Database | 53 |
| USA | Leaf Photosynthesis and Nitrogen at Oak Ridge Dataset | 54 |
| USA | Leaf Structure and Chemistry | 55 |


| USA | Leaf Structure and Chemistry | ${ }^{56}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USA | Leaf Structure and Economics Spectrum | 57 |
| USA | Leaf Structure and Economics Spectrum | ${ }^{58}$ |
| USA | Leaf Structure and Economics Spectrum | 59 |
| USA | Leaf Structure, Venation and Economic Spectrum | ${ }^{50}$ |
| USA | Leaf Structure, Venation and Economic Spectrum | ${ }^{61}$ |
| USA | Leaf Structure, Venation and Economic Spectrum | 51 |
| USA | Leaf Structure, Venation and Economic Spectrum | 62 |
| USA | Midwestern and Southern US Herbaceous Species Trait Database | unpub. |
| USA | New York Old Field Plant Traits Database | ${ }^{63}$ |
| USA | Northern mixed-grass prairie species traits - Wyoming, USA | unpub. |
| USA | Old fields of Eastern US (Siefert Data) | ${ }^{64}$ |
| USA | Photosynthesis and Leaf Characteristics Database | unpub. |
| USA | Photosynthesis Traits Worldwide | ${ }^{65}$ |
| USA | Plant Traits for Pinus and Juniperus Forests in Arizona | ${ }^{66}$ |
| USA | Plant Traits for Pinus and Juniperus Forests in Arizona | ${ }^{67}$ |
| USA | Plant Traits of Canadian Forests | ${ }^{88}$ |
| USA | Plant Traits of Canadian Forests | ${ }^{78}$ |
| USA | Plant Traits of Canadian Forests | 79 |
| USA | Plant Traits of Canadian Forests | 30 |
| USA | Plant traits of grassland species | ${ }^{31}$ |
| USA | Reich-Oleksyn Global Leaf N, P Database | 51 |
| USA | Reproductive Allocation | ${ }^{32}$ |
| USA | Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory WSR/gradient plant traits | unpub. |
| USA | Seed Information Database (SID) Seed Mass 2010 | ${ }^{33}$ |
| USA | The LEDA Traitbase | ${ }^{34}$ |
| USA | The VISTA Plant Trait Database | ${ }^{35}$ |
| USA | The VISTA Plant Trait Database | ${ }^{36}$ |
| USA | The VISTA Plant Trait Database | ${ }^{87}$ |
| USA | The VISTA Plant Trait Database | ${ }^{38}$ |
| USA | Tundra Plant Traits Database | unpub. |

517 Supplementary Figure 10. PCA of plant species and their traits for German and US comparison.

Germany:



Supplementary Table 14. Percentage cover of species with trait information for the German and US datasets. For each region (Germany = GER and USA = US) and each of the eight functional traits used in the analysis, the table shows the percentage of total cover occupied by species with available, unimputed trait information across all plots and all datasets of the respective region. As an example, the first row shows that in the German dataset, $97.6 \%$ of the total cover across all plots and all datasets was occupied by species we had original (TRY or personal communication) trait data for. After genusbased inference and imputation of trait data to fill all gaps, $2 \%$ of species in the German dataset ( 8 of 373 species) and $8 \%$ of species in the US dataset ( 23 of 291 species) had identical trait information across all traits.

| region | trait | summed cover $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| GER | leaf_area | 97.6 |
| GER | SLA | 89.7 |
| GER | leaf_drymass | 61.6 |
| GER | LDMC | 65.0 |
| GER | leaf_N | 85.2 |
| GER | leaf_P | 78.3 |
| GER | height | 99.9 |
| GER | seedmass | 99.0 |
| US | leaf_area | 99.0 |
| US | SLA | 98.4 |
| US | leaf_drymass | 99.5 |
| US | LDMC | 97.7 |
| US | leaf_N | 92.7 |
| US | leaf_P | 73.2 |
| US | height | 99.4 |
| US | seedmass | 99.4 |

Supplementary Table 15. Species with altered trait values to avoid Gower dissimilarity zeros. Species are sorted by region (GER=Germany, US=USA) and by the percentage shift that their trait values were subject to. In two cases in the US dataset, there were three same-genus species with identical trait values and here two of them needed different shifts in order to obtain non-zero Gower dissimilarity values.

| GER, 0.001\% shift up | US 0.001\% shift up | US 0.002\% shift up |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Acinos arvensis | Achillea sp | Antennaria sp |
| Arabidopsis thaliana | Agrostis sp | Tradescantia sp |
| Chenopodium sp | Allium stellatum |  |
| Clinopodium acinos | Antennaria plantaginifolia |  |
| Echinochloa crus-galli | Calamagrostis sp |  |
| Epilobium sp | Echinacea serotina |  |
| Listera ovata | Euphorbia geyeri |  |
| Mentha aquatica | Galium sp |  |
| Sesleria albicans | Gnaphalium sp |  |
| Orobanche caryophyllacea | Melilotus sp |  |
| Rubus sp | Parthenocissus inserta |  |
| Rumex thyrsiflorus | Polygala sp |  |
| Poa angustifolia | Polygonatum sp |  |
| Potentilla neumanniana | Rhus sp |  |
| Veronica spicata | Rumex sp |  |
|  | Salix humilis |  |
|  | Solidago altissima |  |
|  | Stachys sp |  |
|  | Taraxacum sp |  |
|  | Tradescantia bracteata |  |

Supplementary Table 16. Correlation coefficients for 21 plant community properties for the German dataset. Pearson correlation coefficients and color code (see legend) for all 21 properties (upper diagram) and the subset of 12 community properties retained after stepwise removal due to variance inflation factors above 3 (lower diagram). Diagrams were created using the "corrplot" package ${ }^{136}$ in R.


Supplementary Table 17. Correlation coefficients for 21 plant community properties for the US dataset. Pearson correlation coefficients and color code (see legend) for all 21 properties (upper diagram) and the subset of 12 community properties retained after stepwise removal due to variance inflation factors above 3 (lower diagram). Diagrams were created using the "corrplot" package ${ }^{136}$ in R.
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