Disentangling lattice and electronic contributions to the metal–insulator transition from bulk vs. layer confined $RNiO_3$

Alexandru B. Georgescu¹, Oleg E. Peil², Ankit S. Disa³, Antoine Georges^{1,4,5,6}, and Andrew J. Millis^{1,7}

¹Center for Computational Quantum Physics, Flatiron Institute, 162 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10010, USA; ²Materials Center Leoben, Leoben, Austria; ³Max Planck Institute for the Structure and Dynamics of Matter, Hamburg, Germany; ⁴Collège de France, 11 place Marcelin Berthelot, 75005 Paris, France; ⁵Centre de Physique Théorique Ecole Polytechnique, CNRS, Universite Paris-Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau, France; ⁶Department of Quantum Matter Physics, University of Geneva, 24 Quai Ernest-Ansermet, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland; ⁷Columbia University, NYC, NY, United States

This manuscript was compiled on August 9, 2019

In complex oxide materials, changes in electronic properties are often associated with changes in crystal structure, raising the question of the relative roles of the electronic and lattice effects in driving the metal-insulator transition. This paper presents a combined theoretical and experimental analysis of the dependence of the metalinsulator transition of NdNiO3 on crystal structure, specifically comparing properties of bulk materials to one and two layer samples of NdNiO₃ grown between multiple electronically inert NdAlO₃ counterlayers in a superlattice. The comparison amplifies and validates a theoretical approach developed in previous papers and disentangles the electronic and lattice contributions, through an independent variation of each. In bulk NdNiO3 the correlations are not strong enough to drive a metal-insulator transition by themselves: a lattice distortion is required. Ultra-thin films exhibit two additional electronic effects and one lattice-related effect. The electronic effects are quantum confinement, leading to dimensional reduction of the electronic Hamiltonian, and an increase in electronic bandwidth due to counterlayer induced bond angle changes. We find that the confinement effect is much more important. The lattice effect is an increase in stiffness due to the cost of propagation of the lattice disproportionation into the confining material.

transition metal oxide | metal-insulator transition | heterostructure | epitaxial constraint | structural modulation | layer confinement

Introduction:. Metal insulator transitions (MIT) in correlated electron materials typically involve changes in both the electronic and atomic structure. The relative importance of the two effects has been the subject of extensive discussion(1–8). In this paper, using a recently developed theoretical approach (3, 8), we argue that comparison of few-layer and bulk materials yields considerable insight into the relative importance of electronic and lattice contributions, essentially because these are affected by heterostructuring in opposite ways. We disentangle these effects by independently changing each. Motivated by recent experimental (9–25) and theoretical (8, 13, 26–36) results, we focus here on the rare earth nickelate family of materials. The concepts, formalism and findings are applicable to wide classes of materials.

The rare earth nickelates have chemical formula $RNiO_3$ (R is a rare earth metal of the lanthanide rare earth series). In bulk, at high T, they are metallic and form an orthorhombic Pbnm structure (except for R=La for which the structure is rhombohedral) that is a distorted ABO₃ cubic perovskite in which the Ni ions are equivalent up to a rotation and translation. For all R except for La, the bulk materials undergo a metal to insulator transition (MIT) as T is decreased. The



Fig. 1. : Heterostructuring NdNiO₃ with NdAlO₃: structural distortions are represented as motion (not to scale) of oxygen ions (red circles) away from center of Ni-Ni and Ni-Al bonds; note that the distortions do not propagate significantly into the Al layers are are of reduced amplitudes along the Ni-Al bonds. The different colors of the Ni atoms represent the electronic disproportionation. The kinetic energy of the Ni e_g electrons is reduced by confinement, as electrons are not allowed to hop through the insulating NAO layers ($|t_{\rm L}|, |t_{\rm H}|$), while $|t_{\rm H}| < |t_{\rm L}|$ due to propagation of bond angles from NdAlO₃.

Significance Statement

Our combined theoretical and experimental study of bulk and heterostructured forms of a correlated electron material leads to new insights into the metal-insulator transition. Comparison of single layer, bilayer and very thick samples validates a combined ab-initio/many-body theoretical approach and enables a clear disentangling of electronic and lattice contributions to the transition by independently changing each. Analysis of the lattice relaxations associated with the metal-insulator transition highlights the importance of the elastic properties of and propagation of distortions into the electronically inert counterlayer, defining a new control parameter for tuning electronic properties. Counterlayer induced bond angle changes and electronic confinement provide separate tuning parameters, with bond angle changes found to be a much less effective tuning parameter.

²To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ageorgescuflatirioninstitute.org

transition is first order and the low T phase has a P2₁/n structure with two fundamentally inequivalent Ni sites characterized by an electronic charge disproportionation ΔN and a lattice distortion Q, both defined more precisely below. The relative roles of the two has been the subject of debate. The issue has typically been addressed by calculations (typically performed at fixed crystal structure) and experiments on a specific material or on members of a family of materials, and has not been resolved. Similar issues arise in many other transition metal oxide materials.

Recent experiments (9) report that in NdNiO₃/NdAlO₃ (NNO/NAO) superlattices in which one or two monolayers of NNO are separated by many layers of the wide-gap insulator NAO, the MIT occurs at a much higher temperature than in the bulk, while the X-ray signatures of the lattice distortion are much less pronounced in the superlattices than in bulk. These experiments suggest that heterostructuring affects electronic and lattice properties differently and thus that a comparative examination of the two material forms can help disentangle the relative importance of electronic and lattice contributions to the metal-insulator transition. In this paper we theoretically investigate the differences between bulk NdNiO₃ and superlattice NdNiO₃/NdAlO₃ materials using a theoretical approach previously applied to bulk nickelates (5, 8, 27, 29, 31-33, 37-39) and to ruthenates (3).

In figure 1 we represent the main phenomenology that we disentangle in this paper, as exemplified on the bilayer NNO. Namely, the structural distortions in the material become inhomogenous due to the presence of the NdAlO₃ counterlayer and the absence of a driving force on the interfacial oxygen from the aluminum atom. In our effective model, this leads to an increased effective stiffnes of the bond disproportionation mode as the same force from the nickel atoms leads to a lower average oxygen displacement. On the electronic structure, the layer confinement of the material leads to suppressed hopping along the z direction, while the propagation of bond angles from NAO to NNO leads to a small increase of the Ni-Ni hopping in-plane as compared to the out-of-plane Ni-Ni hopping in the bilayer.

Energy. Central to our discussion is an expression for the energy difference ΔE between the insulating and metallic phases as a function of lattice distortion Q and charge disproportionation $\Delta N(3, 8)$:

$$\Delta E(Q,\Delta N) = \frac{kQ^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}gQ\Delta N + E_{el}(\Delta N) \qquad [1]$$

The first term is the elastic energy cost of establishing the lattice distortion, the middle term is the leading symmetry allowed coupling between the structural and electronic order parameters, and the final term is the energy associated with the electronic transition. The three control parameters are thus k, g and the combination of interaction parameters and bandwidths that determines $E_{el}(\Delta N)$. This energy formalism is general and can be applied in the context of DFT, DFT+U, DFT+DMFT and other formalisms. As the first term is meant to include all but the contribution of the correlated electrons, the value of k is independent of formalism and can be obtained by interpolation from multiple structures with varying Q from DFT alone. The lattice distortion Q leads to an on-site (Peierls) potential difference between the two inequivalent sites $\Delta_S = gQ$ (33), which is defined as the difference between the average of the on-site energies of the extended e_g orbitals. This defines the second term in the energy formalism, characterized by a coupling between the electronic and lattice degrees of freedom. Finally, $E_{el}(\Delta N)$ is the energy of the correlated electrons alone and depends explicitly on the approach we use to solve the correlated problem.

To quantify the lattice distortion Q, we define the average bond disproportionation between two octahedra:

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} (l_{LB}^{(i)} - l_{SB}^{(i)})^2}{6}}$$
[2]

where $l^{(i)}$ are the lengths of the Ni-O bonds and LB and SB correspond to the Long Bond and Short Bond octahedra, respectively. Within our DFT+DMFT formalism, we define the electronic disproportionation ΔN as:

$$\Delta N = N_{HF} - N_{LF} \tag{3}$$

with HF = Higher Filling and LF=Lower Filling. These densities are the occupancies of the e_g antibonding orbitals in our low energy model, and are simply obtained as the trace of the local density matrix on each site. When there is structural disproportionation, HF corresponds to LB and LF corresponds to SB. The occupancy of the two sites is defined within a model describing the Wannier low-energy antibonding e_g bands as defined in the supplement.

A more detailed description of the process by which we fix and determine the control parameters is given in the Appendix; here we summarize the findings and give physical interpretations.

In a previous work on bulk perovskites, the structural stiffness parameter k was found to vary only slightly as the rare earth ion was changed (8). We find that heterostructuring has a stronger effect, with k increasing from k=15.86eV/Å² for bulk NNO, to 17.71eV/Å² for the bilayer structure and 20.18eV/Å² for the monolayer. The fundamental difference between bulk and layered systems appears at the interface between the two components of the heterostructure. A schematic of the bond disproportionation mode in the bilayer as obtained from DFT+U structural relaxations is shown in Fig. 2. The essential point is that the lattice distortion propagates a short distance into the counter-layer, and the stiffness to this intertwined layer-counterlayer distortion is larger than for the nickelate material alone.

We represent the bond disproportionation mode and its propagation in Fig 2 for a particular Q for the bilayer. This structure is obtained through a DFT+U relaxation of a $(NNO)_2/(NAO)_2$ heterostructure, using a U=4eV and a c(2x2)unit cell in the xy plane, imposing ferromagnetic order on the system. This results in two pairs of inequivalent NiO₆ octahedra. The average bond disproportionation Q for this relaxed structure is Q=0.078Å. This is slightly smaller than the bond disproportionation obtained from a relaxation within DFT+U with U=4eV for a bulk 20 atom unit cell for which we obtain Q=0.081Å and smaller than the disproportionation Q=0.087Å similarly obtained for the monolayer. Further details on the calculations and structures and the estimates of the displacements along the Ni-Ni and Ni-Al directions as pictured in the figure can be found in the supplemental information.

The structural disproportionation of the bilaver octahedra is inhomogeneous: the in-plane NiO₆ bonds show disproportionation of about the same amplitude we would expect in the bulk. The interfacial bonds are less disproportionated as the driving force on the apical oxygen atom comes only from the Ni, the disproportionation is lower. We can then estimate the relative stiffness of the Al-O bond relative to the Ni-O bonds from the relative displacements in a simple elastic spring model, to approximately 86% of the stiffness of the Ni-O bonds. However, the additional energy cost per octahedron due to propagation in the NAO (or, equivalently, that NdAlO₃ favors a state with no bond disproportionation) leads to a higher effective stiffness per octahedron. Finally, the out-of-plane bonds between nickelate layers in the bilayer structure disproportionate even more than the in-plane bonds, likely to compensate for the decreased interfacial disproportionation. The analysis is almost identical for the monolayer, with the exception of the nickelate inter-layer out of plane bonds which do not exist.



Fig. 2. Schematic of the bond disproportionation modes in the NNO bilayer (NNO₂/NAO₂) and its propagation into nearby NAO layers, projected on the Ni-Ni and Ni-Al direction, as discussed in the main text for a bilayer structure with the average Q=0.078 Å- similar to the Q of the experimental bulk low T structure.

The structural disproportionation Q leads to an on-site potential difference between the inequivalent Ni sites. As the oxygen atoms are closer to one Ni atom than the other, this leads to a difference in electrostatic potential. Within the context of our extended e_g Wannier orbitals, this can be read from the resulting Wannier Hamiltonian as the difference between the average on-site energy between the two inequivalent Ni sites:

$$\Delta_S = \bar{\epsilon}_{LF} - \bar{\epsilon}_{HF} \tag{4}$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}_{LF}$ is the average on-site energy of the e_g orbitals on the Ni with a lower filling and $\bar{\epsilon}_{HF}$ the average for the higher filling octahedron. By analyzing multiple structures with varying amounts of structural disproportionation Q, we find that the difference in on-site potential Δ_S is linear in Q, and takes the form $\Delta_S = gQ$, with g a parameter we can determine, in agreement with previous work (8). From interpolating Δ_S versus Q within DFT from multiple structures with varying Q, we can obtain a bare coupling g^{DFT} . As the on-site electrostatic potential difference Δ_S has to be adjusted for double counting when performing a DMFT calculation (8, 32)(part of the on-site potential comes from Hartree interactions that appear both in DFT and DMFT), the coupling has to be adjusted within DFT+DMFT as well: $g = g^{DFT}(1 + (U - \frac{5}{3}J)\chi_0)$. The value of g^{DFT} is relatively constant between the bulk and heterostructured materials, and has been previously shown to be constant throughout the RNiO₃ family with $\chi_0 = \frac{\partial \Delta N}{\partial \Delta_S}$ the electronic susceptibility as extracted from DFT. However, as χ_0 is related to the inverse of the bandwidth (the occupancy changes more for the same on-site shift if the bands are narrower), the g across the materials changes slightly depending on the choice of U,J.

Electronic Structure. The dominant effect of the layering in the case of the heterostructures is electronic confinement: electron hopping is confined to be in-plane only for the NNO monolayer, and confined between the two layers for the bilayer. While the bulk orbitals have a bandwidth of 2.6eV, the $3z^2$ r^{2} orbital for the monolayer has a bandwidth of 1.85eV and for the bilayer 2.15eV. Two other, more minor effects appear as well. Similar to previous work (40), the bond angles from the NAO propagate into the NNO leading to straighter inplane bond angles and slightly higher in-plane bandwidths in the heterostructures than for bulk NNO. This leads to a x^2-y^2 bandwidth of 2.72eV for the monolayer and 2.68eV for the bilayer. Previous work has shown that one can use the bond angles of the counterlayers as a control parameter to tune the metal-insulator transition temperature in nickelate heterostructures (40-42).

For a lower number of layers as in this work however, the electronic confinement dominates and leads to an increased tendency to disproportionate. A third effect of heterostructuring on the electronic structure is that of the crystal-field splitting induced by the inequivalence of the bonds and the relative ionicity of the material. Finally, within the e_g Wannier picture, the monolayer also shows a crystal field splitting set to 0 for U=2.1eV and found that the critical J for the spontaneous (Q=0) MIT transition line is the same as with the crystal-field splitting set to the DFT relaxed value, within an accuracy of J=0.01eV, thus showing a negligible effect (see Appendix).

The simplest way to quantify the effect of the change in bandwidth is by comparing the static electronic response to an on-site field in our e_g tight-binding model, χ_0 as defined previously. By reading off $\Delta {\rm N}$ versus Δ_S from multiple structures with varying amounts of structural disproportionation, we obtain: $\chi_0^{bulk}=1.16/eV,~\chi_0^{bilayer}=1.25/eV,~\chi_0^{monolayer}=1.39/eV.$ The result of electronic confinement can be clearly seen

The result of electronic confinement can be clearly seen in Figure 4 in the curves showing ΔN as a function of Q. As a response to the same structural disproportionation Q, for the same U,J parameters the monolayer is always more electronically disproportionated than the bilayer, which is always more electronically disproportionated than the bulk material ($\Delta N_{monolayer} > \Delta N_{bilayer} > \Delta N_{bulk}$). Further, there is a range of U, J parameters (which the middle plot in the figure samples) for which the heterostructures can be insulating, even in the absence of any structural disproportionation (Q=0)).

We have then found three main effects of heterostructuring on the electronic structure. The effect of layer confinement strongly lowers the kinetic energy of the electrons and favors an insulating state, with its effect primarily on the $3z^2$ -r²orbital.



Fig. 3. Projected density of states of low-energy e_g Wannier bands for GGA-relaxed structures for bulk (top), bilayer (middle) and monolayer (bottom) structures. Dotted horizontal lines show approximate cutoff for determining bandwidths mentioned in main text. Confinement greatly reduces the bandwidth of the $3z^2 - r^2$ orbital, however the bond angle propagation leads to a slightly wider bandwidth of the $x^2 - y^2$ orbital.

The bond angle propagation leads to a small effect in the opposite direction, primarily on the x^2-y^2 band. Finally, the crystal field splitting is only significant in the monolayer, however it does not affect the electronic transition.

Equilibrium bond and electronic disproportionation from total energy model. We now turn to determining equilibrium points in the energy functional from equation 1. Stationarity of ΔE with respect to variations in ΔN and Q implies the two equilibrium conditions:

$$0 = kQ - \frac{1}{2}g\Delta N$$
[5]

and:

$$0 = -\frac{1}{2}gQ + \frac{\partial E_{el}(\Delta N)}{\partial \Delta N}$$
 [6]

Equation 5 gives Q as a function of ΔN However, its meaning is very simple: for a particular value of the electronic disproportionation ΔN one can obtain the equilibirum structural displacement Q of the oxygen atoms as a result of the resulting electrostatic forces. Equation 6 gives ΔN as a function of Q, as obtained via the density functional plus dynamical mean field theory (DFT+DMFT) method. Combining the two, we have an equation of state (8):

$$\frac{2k}{g}Q = \Delta N[Q]$$
^[7]

In practical terms we can use this equation in a very simple manner: using the stiffness k and coupling g obtained from the interpolation from DFT calculations and adjusting g for double counting, we can obtain the equilibrium Q for a particular Δ N as $Q=g\Delta N/2k$. Separately, we obtain the equilibrium ΔN as a function of Q from explicity DFT+DMFT calculations rather than from equation 7. The effect of Q is simulated by applying on-site terms to the Q=0 Hamiltonian, namely $\Delta_S/2$ to simulate the short bond octahedron and $-\Delta_S/2$ the long bond octahedron, where Δ_S is obtained from Q simply by multiplying $\Delta_S = gQ$. Single-shot DMFT calculations are then performed on the resulting Hamiltonian to obtain ΔN . The intersection of the functions $\Delta N[Q]$ and $Q[\Delta N]$ then determine equilibrium solutions for the material.

The $\Delta N(Q)$ relation is shown in Figure 4 as large symbols connected by lines for U = 2.1 eV and three J values. For the smallest J value neither the bulk nor the superlattice materials show a spontaneous disproportionation at Q = 0; for small Q there is a regime in which the disproportionation is linear in Q and the solution remains metallic. Above a particular Q there is a very rapid crossover to an insulating solution with a ΔN which is large and only weakly dependent on Q. In the insulating regime the monolayer has a larger disproportionation than the bulk, with the bilayer in between. For an intermediate J, the monolayer and bilayer exhibit a spontaneous disproportionation at Q = 0 but the bulk material exhibits a Q-driven first order transition. At the larger J all three systems spontaneously distort at Q = 0.

Also shown in Figure 4 are straight lines corresponding to the $Q(\Delta N)$ relation from equation 5. The intersection of these lines with the DMFT $\Delta N(Q)$ curves defines the actual values of ΔN and Q. We see from the relative positions of the intersections that $Q_{monolayer} < Q_{bilayer} < Q_{bulk}$ and $\Delta N_{monolayer} > \Delta N_{bilayer} > \Delta N_{bulk}$. From an electronic point of view the monolayer and bilayer are more disproportionated (ΔN is larger) as ΔN does not depend strongly on Q, however the higher stiffness of the heterostructures leads to a lower Q. Further, as shown in the middle figure in 2, there is a range of U,J for which the heterostructures will stay insulating even at a very small Q while the bulk becomes metallic.

The relative roles of the lattice and electronic structure are easily disentangled from the above. First, the electronic disproportionation has a first order transition, followed by a very slowly varying ΔN in the insulating phase. Assuming ΔN is nearly constant in the insulating phase $\Delta N \approx N_{insulating}$, Q is then set by optimizing the structure Q[ΔN] in equation [4] as approximately $Q \approx \frac{g \Delta N_{insulating}}{2k}$. This allows the seemingly paradoxical solutions with the amplitude of Q and ΔN showing opposite trends between the bulk and heterostructure. If we assume that the experimentally obtained metal-insulator transition temperature is more strongly correlated to ΔN than Q, while the XAS spectra splitting is more strongly correlated with Q, we can thus explain the seemingly paradoxical results in previous work (9).

One of the signatures associated with the bond disproportionated phase of the RNO nickelates is an increased peakprepeak splitting of the XAS Ni L_3 edge, which in the monolayer and bilayer were found to be in between the values of the



Fig. 4. ΔN versus bond disproportionation Q within DFT+DMFT for bulk, bilayer and monolayer structures as well as Q versus ΔN lines from the total energy model calculation in different areas of the phase space as determined by equation 5 and equation 6 via DFT+DMFT as described in the main text. The thick circles mark the intersections that respect the equation of state 7. The panels marked with A,B,C correspond to the points marked with stars in the phase diagram in Fig. S1 in the supplemental information.

bulk disproportionated and undisproportionated structures throughout the insulating temperature range scanned. Consistent with this result, we find that the predicted value of the structural disproportionation of the monolayer is lower than the bulk, with the bilayer in between the two. Further, XAS integration of the monolayer in-plane and out-of-plane Ni L₃ edge has found an orbital polarization of 8% favoring the $3z^2-r^2$ orbital. Within our insulating solutions we find that orbital polarization is strongly suppressed (<2%) however we consistently find that the long bond site has an orbital polarization of 5-8% in a direction consistent with experiment, while the LB site is orbitally polarized of about the same magnitude but in the opposite direction. This suggests that the XAS spectra may sample primarily the LB, however further theoretical and experimental work is needed.

Conclusion and Outlook. Using a combination of DFT+DMFT and many-body theory we have elucidated the relative importance of lattice and electronic effects in heterostructured materials. We have found that the higher lattice energy cost in the heterostructured materials decreases the structural signatures of the symmetry-broken phase within the correlated material going through an MIT but that the distortion associated with it can propagate into the epitaxial

layer. We have found that, as the effect of interactions is increased in a layer-confined structure, electronic disproportionation can be higher despite lower structural distortions in a heterostructure. Through comparison with experiment (9), our study suggests that the electronic disproportionation is more likely to be correlated to the metal insulator transition temperature than the structural disproportionation, which is suppressed by the higher structural stiffness of the material. At the same time, our work suggests that the structural disproportionation is more strongly connected to the XAS splitting observed experimentally, likely via the induced on-site electrostatic potential difference.

These general results can be used both to understand other similar heterostructures (for example LaNiO₃/LaAlO₃) as well as to design new materials. Our analysis of the bond disproportionation mode on the interfacial structure in this class of materials as well as in related classes of materials (vanadates, manganites etc) can be studied both theoretically and experimentally. The combination of bond angles, confinement and relative structural stiffness can be used to fine tune metalinsulator transition temperatures. Based on the methodology in this work and previous work (3, 8), future work involving DFT+DMFT, DFT+U studies and model calculations, can address the relative roles of lattice and electronic disproportionation.

Methods. For our calculations, we use structures obtained from fully relaxed DFT+U calculations (43) and impose 0% strain relative to the theoretical DFT bulk NNO lattice constant on the heterostructures. We use Quantum Espresso, ultrasoft pseudopotentials, either from the GBRV or generated using the Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudopotential generator as described in previous work (43–47) and benchmark our results against experimental bulk structures. The disproportionated structures have two inequivalent Ni sites, one with relatively long Ni-O bonds ('LB') and one with relatively short Ni-O bonds ('SB'). We define the structural order Q as:

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} (l_{LB}^{(i)} - l_{SB}^{(i)})^2}{6}}$$
[8]

where $l^{(i)}$ are the lengths of the Ni-O bonds.

For each structure we then perform a self consistent DFT calculation and fit the bands arising from the frontier e_g orbitals using maximally localized Wannier functions as implemented in Wannier90 (48, 49). Bands for representative structures near the Fermi level and their Wannier fits are shown in Figure 3. The parameter g in equation 5 is defined in terms of the on-site energy difference $\Delta_S = gQ$ entering our DMFT calculations. In our one-shot DMFT, g is corrected from the DFT value by a double counting term (8, 32), so $g = g^{DFT}(1 + (U - \frac{5}{3}J)\chi_0)$.

The Wannier fits define a low energy tight binding model to which we add standard Slater-Kanamori interactions and solve using dynamical mean field theory (using the triqs library(50), ct-hyb solver(51) and dfttools (52) interface) with the two inequivalent Ni treated as different embedded atoms.

The parameter k is the stiffness to lattice distortions at fixed ΔN . We argue, following (3, 8) that since the stiffness comes from the full electronic structure at fixed ΔN , the frontier orbitals play a relatively minor role and for the purpose of calculating k may be treated at the DFT level. We therefore obtain k from the dependence of the DFT energy on Q $\frac{\partial E_{DFT}}{\partial Q} = cQ$. However in the DFT calculations ΔN is relaxed at each Q. Referring to equation 5 we have on the DFT level (and noting the stationarity with respect to ΔN):

$$cQ = \frac{\partial E_{DFT}}{\partial Q} = kQ - \frac{1}{2}g^{DFT}\Delta N^{DFT}(Q)$$
[9]

In the linear response regime, which accurately describes the DFT results for all structures we considered, we find:

$$\frac{cQ^2}{2} = E_{DFT}(Q) = \left(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(g^{DFT})^2\chi_0\right)Q^2 \qquad [10]$$

We can then extract c from the energy of continuously varying structures with different Q. Combining this with knowledge of g^{DFT} and χ_0 as described below we can then obtain the stiffness k. The parameter g^{DFT} is defined in terms of the average on site energy Δ_S^{DFT} obtained from our Wannier fits to DFT band structures as $g^{DFT} = \frac{\Delta_S^{DFT}}{Q}$ and $\Delta N(Q)$ is obtained from the occupancy difference of the Wannier orbitals and is found to be linear in $Q, \Delta N = \chi_0 g^{DFT} Q$. This relation defines the on-site susceptibility χ_0 . g^{DFT} can be read off from the on-site energy difference and is nearly identical for all three materials, namely 2.89ev/Å for bulk and 2.972ev/Å for the bilayer and 2.962ev/Å for the monolayer. This means that a similar movement of the ions leads to a similar change of electrostatic potential, which is something we would expect as the local environment is similar.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Sohrab Ismail-Beigi, Jean-Marc Triscone, Hugo U.R. Strand, Manuel Zingl, Alexander Hampel and Claude Ederer for helpful conversations and Nick Carriero and the Scientific Computing Core division of the Flatiron Institute for invaluable technical assistance. The Flatiron Institute is a division of the Simons Foundation. AG acknowledges the support of the European Research Council (ERC-319286-QMAC). OEP acknowledges funding from Forschungsförderungsgesellschaft (FFG) COMET program IC-MPPE (project No 859480)

References.

- Park H, Millis A, Marianetti C (2014) Total energy calculations using DFT+ DMFT: computing the pressure phase diagram of the rare earth nickelates. *Physical Review B* 89:245133.
- Park H, Millis AJ, Marianetti CA (2014) Computing total energies in complex materials using charge self-consistent DFT+DMFT. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* 90(23):1–17.
- Han Q, Millis A (2018) Lattice Energetics and Correlation-Driven Metal-Insulator Transitions: The Case of Ca2RuO4. *Physical Review Letters* 121(6):67601.
- Haule K, Pascut GL (2016) Forces for structural optimizations in correlated materials within a DFT+embedded DMFT functional approach. *Physical Review B* 94(19):1–16.
- Haule K, Pascut GL (2017) Mott transition and magnetism in rare earth nickelates and its fingerprint on the x-ray scattering. *Nature Scientific Reports* (7):10375.
- Mandal S, Zhang P, Ismail-Beigi S, Haule K (2017) How Correlated is the FeSe/SrTiO3 System? *Physical Review Letters* 119(6):1–6.
- Amadon BA (2012) A self-consistent DFT+DMFT scheme in the projector augmented wave method: Applications to cerium, Ce2O3and Pu2O3with the Hubbard i solver and comparison to DFT+U. *Journal of Physics Condensed Matter* 24(7).
- Peil OE, Hampel A, Ederer C, Georges A (2018) Mechanism and Control Parameters of the Metal-Insulator Transition in Nickelates. (arXiv: 1809.03720).
- Disa AS, et al. (2017) Control of hidden ground-state order in NdNiO3 Superlattices. *Physical Review Materials* 1(2):024410.
- Catalano S, et al. (2018) Rare-earth nickelates RNiO3: Thin films and heterostructures. Reports on Progress in Physics 81(4):046501.
- 11. Ismail-Beigi S, Walker FJ, Disa AS, Rabe KM, Ahn CH (2017) Picoscale materials engineering.
- Boris AV, et al. (2011) Dimensionality Control of Electronic Phase Transitions in Nickel-Oxide Superlattices. Science Reports 332(May):937–940.
- Kumah DP, et al. (2014) Tuning the structure of nickelates to achieve two-dimensional electron conduction. Advanced Materials 26(12):1935–1940.
- Middey S, et al. (2018) Disentangled Cooperative Orderings in Artificial Rare-Earth Nickelates. *Physical Review Letters* 120(15):156801.
- Gray AX, et al. (2011) Insulating state of ultrathin epitaxial LaNiO3 thin films detected by hard x-ray photoemission. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* 84(7):1–6.

- Stemmer S, Millis AJ (2013) Quantum confinement in oxide quantum wells. MRS Bulletin 38(12):1032–1039.
- Phillips PJ, et al. (2017) Experimental verification of orbital engineering at the atomic scale: Charge transfer and symmetry breaking in nickelate heterostructures. *Physical Review B* 95(20):205131.
- Zhang JY, Kim H, Mikheev E, Hauser AJ, Stemmer S (2016) Key role of lattice symmetry in the metal-insulator transition of NdNiO3films. *Scientific Reports* 6(November 2015):23652.
- Shamblin J, et al. (2018) Experimental evidence for bipolaron condensation as a mechanism for the metal-insulator transition in rare-earth nickelates. *Nature Communications* 9:86.
- Meyers D, et al. (2016) Pure electronic metal-insulator transition at the interface of complex oxides. Scientific Reports 6:27934.
- Först M, et al. (2017) Multiple Supersonic Phase Fronts Launched at a Complex-Oxide Heterointerface. *Physical Review Letters* 118(2):027401.
- Forst M, et al. (2015) Spatially resolved ultrafast magnetic dynamics initiated at a complex oxide heterointerface. *Nature Materials* 14(9):883–888.
- Medarde M, Lacorre P, Conder K, Fauth F, Furrer A (1998) Giant [^]{16}O-[^]{18}O Isotope Effect on the Metal-Insulator Transition of RNiO_{3} Perovskites (R=Rare Earth). *Physical Review Letters* 80:2397–2400.
- Hu W, Catalano S, Gibert M, Triscone JM, Cavalleri A (2016) Broadband terahertz spectroscopy of the insulator-metal transition driven by coherent lattice deformation at the SmNi O3/LaAl O3 interface. *Physical Review B* 93(16):161107(R).
- Caviglia AD, et al. (2013) Photoinduced melting of magnetic order in the correlated electron insulator NdNiO3. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* 88(22):220401(R).
- Guzman-Verri G, Brierley R, Littlewood P (2017) Elastic interactions and control of the Mott transition. arXiv:1701.02318.
- Mandal B, et al. (2017) The driving force for charge ordering in rare earth nickelates. arxiV: 1701.06819.
- Park H, Millis AJ, Marianetti CA (2016) Influence of quantum confinement and strain on orbital polarization of four-layer LaNiO3 superlattices: A DFT+DMFT study. *Physical Review B* 93(23):1–10.
- Ruppen J, et al. (2015) Optical spectroscopy and the nature of the insulating state of rare-earth nickelates. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* 92(15):155145.
- Peil OE, Ferrero M, Georges A (2014) Orbital polarization in strained LaNiO3: Structural distortions and correlation effects. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* 90(4):045128.
- Han MJ, Wang X, Marianetti CA, Millis AJ (2011) Dynamical mean-field theory of nickelate superlattices. *Physical Review Letters* 107(20):206804.
- Seth P, et al. (2017) Renormalization of effective interactions in a negative charge transfer insulator. *Physical Review B* 96(20):205139.
- Subedi A, Peil OE, Georges A (2015) Low-energy description of the metal-insulator transition in the rare-earth nickelates. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* 91(7):1–16.
- Strand HUR (2014) Valence-skipping and negative- U in the d -band from repulsive local Coulomb interaction. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* 90(15):155108.
- Blanca-Romero A, Pentcheva R (2011) Confinement-induced metal-to-insulator transition in strained LaNiO 3/LaAIO3 superlattices. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* 84(19):195450.
- Janson O, Held K (2018) inite-temperature phase diagram of (111) nickelate bilayers. *Physical review B* 98:115118.
- Park H, Millis AJ, Marianetti Ca (2012) Site-selective Mott transition in rare-earth-element nickelates. *Physical Review Letters* 109(15):156402.
- Mercy A, Bieder J, Iniguez J, Ghosez P (2017) Structurally triggered metal-insulator transition in rare-earth nickelates. *Nature Communications* 8:1677.
- Johnston S, Mukherjee A, Elfimov I, Berciu M, Sawatzky GA (2014) Charge disproportionation without charge transfer in the rare-earth-element nickelates as a possible mechanism for the metal-insulator transition. *Physical Review Letters* 112(10):106404.
- Liao Z, et al. (2018) Metal-insulator-transition engineering by modulation tilt-control in perovskite nickelates for room temperature optical switching. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (September):201807457.
- Chang SH, et al. (2011) Thickness-dependent structural phase transition of strained Sr-RuO3ultrathin films: The role of octahedral tilt. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* 84(10):104101.
- Gao R, et al. (2016) Interfacial Octahedral Rotation Mismatch Control of the Symmetry and Properties of SrRuO3. ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces 8(23):14871–14878.
- Anisimov VI, Aryasetiawan F, Liechtenstein A (1997) First-principles calculations of the electronic structure and spectra of strongly correlated systems: the LDA + U method. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* 9:767–808.
- Giannozzi P, et al. (2009) Quantum ESPRESSO: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* 21:395502.
- Vanderbilt D (1990) Soft Self-Consistent Pseudopotentials in a generalized eigenvalue formalism. *Physical review B* 41(11):7892–7895.
- Garrity KF, Bennett JW, Rabe KM, Vanderbilt D (2014) Pseudopotentials for high-throughput DFT calculations. *Computational Materials Science* 81:446–452.
- Chen H, et al. (2014) Reversible Modulation of Orbital Occupations via an Interface- Induced Polar State in Metallic Manganites. *Nano. Lett* 14(9):4965–4970.
- Mostofi AA, et al. (2014) An updated version of wannier90: A tool for obtaining maximallylocalised Wannier functions. *Computer Physics Communications* 185(8):2309–2310.
- Marzari N, Mostofi AA, Yates JR, Souza I, Vanderbilt D (2012) Maximally localized Wannier functions: Theory and applications. *Reviews of Modern Physics* 84(4):1419–1475.
- Parcollet O, et al. (2015) TRIQS: A toolbox for research on interacting quantum systems. Computer Physics Communications 196(196):398–415.

- Seth P, Krivenko I, Ferrero M, Parcollet O (2016) TRIQS/CTHYB: A continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo hybridisation expansion solver for quantum impurity problems. *Computer Physics Communications* 200:274–284.
- Aichhorn M, et al. (2016) TRIQS/DETTools: A TRIQS application for ab initio calculations of correlated materials. *Computer Physics Communications* 204:200–208.