Divisibility questions in commutative algebraic groups

Laura Paladino*

Abstract

Let k be a number field, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over k and let p be a prime number. Let $\mathcal{A}[p]$ denote the p -torsion subgroup of $\mathcal{A}$. We give some sufficient conditions for the local-global divisibility by p in $\mathcal{A}$ and the triviality of the Tate-Shafarevich group $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[p])$. When $\mathcal{A}$ is a principally polarized abelian variety, those conditions imply that the elements of the Tate-Shafarevich group $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A})$ are divisible by p in the Weil-Châtelet group $H^1(k, \mathcal{A})$ and the local-global principle for divisibility by p holds in $H^r(k, \mathcal{A})$, for all $r \geq 0$.

1 Introduction

We consider two local-global problems, strongly related, that recently arose as generalizations of some classical questions. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over a number field k . Let $\bar{k}$ be the algebraic closure of k and let M_k be the set of places v of k . For every positive integer q , we denote by $\mathcal{A}[q]$ the q -torsion subgroup of $\mathcal{A}$ and by $k(\mathcal{A}[q])$ the number field obtained by adding to k the coordinates of the q -torsion points of $\mathcal{A}$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{A}[q] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n$, for some positive integer n depending only on $\mathcal{A}$. The Galois group $G := \text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[q])/k)$ is then isomorphic to the image of the representation of the absolute Galois group $G_k := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ in the general linear group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$. The behaviour of the G -module $\mathcal{A}[q]$ is related to the answer to the following question, known as *Local-Global Divisibility Problem* in commutative algebraic groups.

Problem 1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over a number field k . Let $P \in \mathcal{A}(k)$ and let q be a positive integer. Assume that for all but finitely many valuations $v \in k$, there exists $D_v \in \mathcal{A}(k_v)$ such that $P = qD_v$. Is it possible to conclude that there exists $D \in \mathcal{A}(k)$ such that $P = qD$?*

*Partially supported by Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica F. Severi with grant “Assegno di ricerca Ing. Giorgio Schirillo”; partially supported by Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn

This problem was stated in 2001 by Dvornicich and Zannier and its formulation was motivated by a particular case of the famous Hasse Principle on quadratic forms and by the Grunwald-Wang Theorem (see [14], [15] and [13]).

It is well-known that the vanishing of $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q])$ is a sufficient condition for the local-global divisibility by q (see [14]). Anyway, this condition is not necessary and the obstruction to the local-global principle for divisibility by q in $\mathcal{A}$ is given by a subgroup of $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q])$, denoted by $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q])$ (see Section 2 for further details), that contains an isomorphic copy of the Tate-Shafarevic group $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[q])$. In fact in [37] Sansuc introduced a modified Tate-Shafarevich group $\text{III}_{\omega}(k, \mathcal{A}[p])$, which contains $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[p])$ and it is isomorphic to $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q])$ by Lemma 1.2 of the same paper.

Clearly a solution to Problem 1 for all powers p^l of prime numbers p is sufficient to get an answer for all integers q , by the unique factorization in $\mathbb{Z}$ and Bézout's identity.

In the case of elliptic curves the problem has been widely studied since 2001. The answer is affirmative when q is a prime p (see [14] and [44]), for every k . For all powers 2^n , with $n \geq 2$ there are explicit counterexamples over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [11], [15], [29], [17]) and for 3^n , with $n \geq 2$ there are explicit counterexamples both over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [11]) and over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ (see [29], [31]). For all powers of a prime $p \geq 5$ the answer is affirmative over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [33]). Moreover if k does not contain the field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$, where ζ_p is a p -th root of the unity, the local-global divisibility holds for all powers of every prime $p > (3^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2} + 1)^2$ (see [32]).

An answer to the local-global divisibility by an odd prime number p in the algebraic tori, has been given in [20]. The answer is positive in every torus of dimension $n < 3(p-1)$. On the contrary for all $n \geq 3(p-1)$ there are counterexamples.

This last result in particular shows that if $n \geq 3$, then even the local-global divisibility by p may fail. So we are sure that *the local-global divisibility by p does not hold in general*. This is also underlined by Dvornicich and Zannier in [14, §3], for $n \geq 3$. They construct some examples of subgroups of $\text{GL}_n(q)$, with $n \in \{3, 4\}$, and show that the local-global divisibility by p fails in $\mathcal{A}$ over k if $\text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k)$ has a representation in $\text{GL}_n(q)$, whose image is one of their examples (see also Subsection 3.2 for further details). A priori their example for $n = 4$ can appear as a counterexample to the local-global divisibility by p even in abelian varieties. Anyway, they have no evidence that their examples really realize representations of some Galois group $\text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k)$ and then the situation is not clear yet (see also [34]).

For abelian varieties, some sufficient conditions to have the local-global divisibility by p^n , for every $n \geq 1$ appear in [18] and in [19]. Anyway, for a general abelian variety $\mathcal{A}$, one of the conditions is $H^1(\text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. So the question about the divisibility by p remain in fact open, since, as stated above, the vanishing of $H^1(\text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k), \mathcal{A}[p])$ widely assures the local-global divisibility by p . Let ζ_p be a p -th root of the unity. Only in the case of principally polarized abelian varieties defined over number fields k linearly disjoint from $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, the condition $H^1(\text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ is replaced by some conditions concerning all the fields $k(P)$ generated by the coordinates of a point P , as P varies in $\mathcal{A}[p]$ (see [18, Theorem 3]).

In the end there are no criteria to establish the validity of the local-global divisibility by p in a general abelian variety, as well as in a general commutative algebraic group $\mathcal{A}$.

Here we prove that, excluding some particular cases when p is small with respect to n , the strongest obstruction to the validity of the Hasse principle for divisibility by p is essentially the reducibility of $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -module. In particular if $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is irreducible as a N -module, for every subnormal subgroup N (see Definition 1.2 below) of $\text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k)$, not contained in its center, then we get an affirmative answer for the divisibility by all $p > \frac{n}{2} + 1$, for every n . We will call such a module a very strongly irreducible one, in accordance with the well-know definition of strongly irreducible module, that we are going to recall (see [4, Definition 1.1]).

Definition 1.1. We say that an irreducible Γ -module M is *strongly irreducible* if M is an irreducible N -module, for every normal subgroup $N \leq \Gamma$, not contained in the center $Z(\Gamma)$.

We recall the definition of subnormal subgroup (see [36, §6, pag.150] and see also [35]) and then we state the definition of very strongly irreducibility, that concerns subnormal subgroups of Γ and not only normal subgroups of Γ .

Definition 1.2. A subgroup N_0 of a group Γ is called a subnormal group if there exists a normal series

$$N_0 \leq N_1 \leq \dots \leq N_j \leq \Gamma,$$

where j is a positive integer (in other words $N_j \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ and $N_i \trianglelefteq N_{i+1}$, for every $0 \leq i \leq j-1$).

Definition 1.3. We say that an irreducible Γ -module M is *very strongly irreducible* if M is an irreducible N -module, for every subnormal subgroup $N \leq \Gamma$, not contained in the center $Z(\Gamma)$.

We prove the following statement.

Theorem 1.4. *Let p be a prime number. Let k be a number field and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over k , with $\mathcal{A}[p] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$. Assume that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a very strongly irreducible G_k -module or a direct sum of very strongly irreducible G_k -modules. If $p > \frac{n}{2} + 1$, then the local-global divisibility by p holds in $\mathcal{A}$ over k and $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.*

There are evidences that the bound $p > n/2 + 1$ appearing in the statement of Theorem 1.4 could be sharp in many cases. At the end of the paper we will show a bound which is likely sharp in all cases (see Remark 3.16 and Theorem 3.17). We have not presented this bound in the statement of Theorem 1.4, with the aim of giving a simpler and more elegant bound for each n .

By the proof of Theorem 1.4, we will also deduce the following results.

Corollary 1.5. *Let p be a prime number. Let k be a number field and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over k , where $\mathcal{A}[p] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$. Let $p \geq n + 1$. If the absolute Galois group G_k acts on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as a subgroup of an extraspecial group, then $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.*

Corollary 1.6. *Let p be a prime number. Let k be a number field and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over k , where $\mathcal{A}[p] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$. Let $p > \max \left\{ 63, \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1 \right)^2 \right\}$. If the absolute Galois group G_k acts on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as a subgroup of a group of Lie type in cross characteristic, then $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.*

The triviality of $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$ is assured by a deep theorem proved by Nori (see [28, Theorem E]) in many cases, i.e. whenever G_k acts semisimply on $\mathcal{A}[p] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ and p is greater than a constant $c(n)$, depending only on n . Anyway the constant is not explicit. In our statement, in the cases when G_k acts on $\mathcal{A}[p] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ as a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p)$ isomorphic to a subgroup of an almost simple group in cross characteristic or

an extraspecial group, we can respectively give explicit bounds $p > \max \left\{ 63, \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1 \right)^2 \right\}$ and $p > n + 1$ to get the triviality of that first cohomology group.

In the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian variety, with dual $\mathcal{A}^\vee$, the triviality of $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[p]^\vee)$ implies $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq pH^r(k, \mathcal{A})$, for every positive integer r (see [12, Theorem 2.1]). When $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^\vee$ are isomorphic (i.e. when $\mathcal{A}$ is principally polarized), then the vanishing of $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[p])$ itself implies $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}) \subseteq pH^r(k, \mathcal{A})$, for all $r \geq 1$. Such an inclusion is a sufficient and necessary condition to have an affirmative answer to the following second and more general local-global problem.

Problem 2. *Let A be a commutative algebraic group defined over a number field k . Let q be a positive integer and let $\sigma \in H^r(k, \mathcal{A})$. Assume that for all $v \in M_k$ there exists $\tau_v \in H^r(k_v, \mathcal{A})$ such that $q\tau_v = \sigma$. Can we conclude that there exists $\tau \in H^r(k, \mathcal{A})$, such that $q\tau = \sigma$?*

Problem 2 was firstly considered by Cassels for $r = 1$ in the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ (see [5, Problem 1.3]). In particular Cassels questioned if the elements of the Tate-Shafarevich group $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{E})$ were divisible by p^l in the Weil-Châtelet group $H^1(k, \mathcal{E})$, for all l . Tate produced soon an affirmative answer for divisibility by p (see [6]).

Proposition 1.7 (Tate, 1962). *Problem 2 has an affirmative answer when $r = 1$, $\mathcal{A}$ is an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ and $q = p$ is a prime number.*

The question for powers p^l , with $l \geq 2$ remained open for decades. The mentioned affirmative results to Problem 1 in elliptic curves imply an affirmative answer to Problem 2, since the proofs show the triviality of the corresponding Tate-Shafarevich group. So Cassels' question has an affirmative answer for all $p \geq 5$ in elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ and for all $p > (3^{[k:\mathbb{Q}]/2} + 1)^2$ in elliptic curves over k . On the contrary, for powers of $p \in \{2, 3\}$ the answer is negative over $\mathbb{Q}$ by [12].

The problem was afterwards considered for abelian varieties by Bašmakov (see [2]) and lately by Çiperiani and Stix, who gave some sufficient conditions for a positive answer (see [8]). One of their conditions is again the vanishing of $H^1(\text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k), \mathcal{A}[p])$, so in particular the question for divisibility by p is still open. In [11] Creutz also proved that for every prime p , there exists an abelian variety A defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ such that $\text{III}(k, A) \not\subseteq pH^1(k, A)$. Thus in abelian varieties of dimension strictly greater than 1, even the local-global divisibility by p may fail for Problem 2, as well as for Problem 1. As a consequence of Theorem 1.4, we have the following statement.

Corollary 1.8. *Let p be a prime number. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian variety principally polarized of dimension g . Assume that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a very strongly irreducible G_k -module or a direct sum of very strongly irreducible G_k -modules and $p > \frac{n}{2} + 1$, then the local-global divisibility by p holds in $H^r(k, \mathcal{A})$, for all $r \geq 0$.*

Corollary 1.8 can be considered a generalization of Tate's Proposition 1.7 to all commutative algebraic groups.

About the structure of this paper, a few preliminary known results in the theory of groups and in local-global divisibility are stated in the next section. Then we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.4. We firstly show the validity of the local-global divisibility by p and the triviality of $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[p])$ in some particular cases, i.e., when the image of the representation of G_k in $\text{GL}_n(p)$ is an extension of one of its normal subgroups with trivial local cohomology (see Lemma 3.2) or when it is a whole classical group (see Lemma 3.10) or when $\mathcal{A}[p]$ has a tensor product decomposition (see Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.7). Then we show that Theorem 1.4 holds for $n \in \{2, 3\}$. At the end we give a proof of Theorem 1.4 for a general n and we deduce Corollary 1.5 and Corollary 1.6.

2 Preliminary results

We recall some known results about local-global divisibility and about group theory, that will be useful in the following.

We keep the notation introduced in Section 1. Thus k denotes a number field and $\mathcal{A}$ denotes a commutative algebraic group, defined over k . From now on let $q := p^l$, where p is a prime number and l is a positive integer. As introduced before, the q -torsion subgroup of $\mathcal{A}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}[q]$ and the number field generated over k by the coordinates of the points in $\mathcal{A}[q]$ will be denoted by $F := k(\mathcal{A}[q])$. The q -torsion subgroup $\mathcal{A}[q]$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a G_k -module, where G_k denotes the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$. We have $\mathcal{A}[q] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n$, for a certain n depending only on $\mathcal{A}$. Thus G_k acts over $\mathcal{A}[q]$ as a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ isomorphic to $G = \text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[q])/k)$. We still denote by G the representation of G_k in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$. If $q = p$ is a prime number, in particular $G \leq \text{GL}_n(p)$. When $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian variety of dimension g , we have $n = 2g$.

In [14] Dvornicich and Zannier proved that the answer to the local-global question for divisibility by q of points in $\mathcal{A}(k)$ is linked to the behaviour of a subgroup of $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q])$

named *first local cohomology group* and defined as follows (see [14, §2]).

Definition 2.1. A cocycle $\{Z_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G}$ representing a class in $H^1(G, \mathcal{G}[q])$ satisfies the *local conditions* if, for every $\sigma \in G$, there exists $A_\sigma \in \mathcal{G}[q]$ such that $Z_\sigma = (\sigma - 1)A_\sigma$. The subgroup of $H^1(G, \mathcal{G}[q])$ formed by all the classes of the cocycles satisfying the local conditions is the *first local cohomology group* $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{G}[q])$. Equivalently

$$H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q]) := \bigcap_{C \in \Omega} \ker(H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q]) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_v} H^1(C, \mathcal{A}[q])),$$

where Ω is the set of all cyclic subgroups C of G and res_v , as usual, denotes the restriction map.

Let Σ be the subset of M_k containing all the places v of K , that are unramified in F . Thus Σ contains all but finitely many places v of k . For every $v \in \Sigma$, we denote by G_v the Galois group $\text{Gal}(F_w/k_v)$, where w is a place of F extending v . By the Čebotarev's Density Theorem $\text{Gal}(F_w/k_v)$ varies among all $C \in \Omega$ as v varies in Σ . So we have

$$H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q]) = \bigcap_{v \in \Sigma} \ker(H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q]) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_v} H^1(G_v, \mathcal{A}[q])). \quad (2.1)$$

By [37, Lemma 1.2] this group is isomorphic to a group containing the Tate-Shafarevich group

$$\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[q]) := \bigcap_{v \in M_k} \ker(H^1(k, \mathcal{A}[q]) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_v} H^1(k_v, \mathcal{A}[q])).$$

In particular, the vanishing of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q])$ assures the triviality of $\text{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[q])$, that is a sufficient condition to get an affirmative answer to Problem 2, for $r = 0$, and in many cases for all $r \geq 0$ (see [12, Theorem 2.1] and Section 1). Furthermore the triviality of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q])$ is a sufficient condition for an affirmative answer to Problem 1 by [14, Proposition 2.1].

Remark 2.2. Observe that if G is cyclic, then $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q]) = 0$.

The vanishing of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q])$ is strongly related to the behaviour of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_p, \mathcal{A}[q])$, where G_p is the p -Sylow subgroup of G (see [14]).

Lemma 2.3 (Dvornicich, Zannier). *Let G_p be a p -Sylow subgroup of G . An element of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[q])$ is zero if and only if its restriction to $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_p, \mathcal{A}[q])$ is zero.*

In our proofs of Theorem 1.4, a crucial tool is the use of Aschbacher's Theorem on the classification of maximal subgroups of $\text{GL}_n(q)$ (see [1]). Aschbacher proved that the maximal subgroups of $\text{GL}_n(q)$ could be divided into 9 specific classes, that we denote by $\mathcal{C}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 9$ (by $\mathcal{C}_9$ we denote a class that was originally called $\mathcal{S}$ by Aschbacher). For a big n , it is a very hard open problem to find the maximal subgroups of $\text{GL}_n(q)$ of type $\mathcal{C}_9$. We have an explicit list of such groups only for $n \leq 12$ (see [3]). On the contrary, the maximal subgroups of $\text{GL}_n(q)$ of geometric type (i.e. of class $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $1 \leq i \leq 8$) have been described for every n (see [25]). We recall some notations in group theory and then we resume the description of the maximal subgroups of $\text{GL}_n(q)$ of geometric type in the following Table 1 (see [25, Table 1.2.A, § 3.5 and § 4.6]).

Notation 1. Let n, l be positive integers, let p be a prime number and let $q = p^l$. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_q$ the finite field with q elements. Let ω_q be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. We use the standard notations for the special linear group $\text{SL}_n(q)$, the projective special linear group $\text{PSL}_n(q)$, the unitary group $\text{U}_n(q)$, the projective unitary group $\text{PU}_n(q)$, the symplectic group $\text{Sp}_n(q)$, the projective symplectic group $\text{PSp}_n(q)$, the symmetric group S_n and the alternating group A_n . By C_n we denote a cyclic group of order n and by p^{1+2n} an extraspecial group of order p^{1+2n} . Furthermore, if n is odd or both n and q are even, then we denote by $\text{O}_n(q)$ the orthogonal group and by $\text{SO}_n(q)$ the special orthogonal group. If n is even and q is odd we denote by (see [3])

$\text{GO}_n^+(q)$ the stabilizer of the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear antidiagonal form $(1, \dots, 1)$;

$\text{SO}_n^+(q)$ the subgroup of $\text{GO}_n^+(q)$ formed by the matrices with determinant 1;

$\text{GO}_n^-(q)$ the stabilizer of non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form I_n , when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and the stabilizer of non-degenerate symmetric bilinear diagonal form $(\omega_q, 1, \dots, 1)$, when $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $q \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$;

$\text{SO}_n^-(q)$ the subgroup of $\text{GO}_n^-(q)$ formed by the matrices with determinant 1.

For n even and $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$, we denote by $\Omega_n^\epsilon(q)$ the subgroup of index 2 of O_n^ϵ , obtained as the kernel of the spinor norm.

Notation 2. Let A, B be two groups. We denote by

$A \rtimes B$, the semidirect product of A with B (where $A \trianglelefteq A \rtimes B$);

$A \circ B$, the central product of A and B ;

$A \wr B$, the wreath product of A and B ;

$A.B$, a group Γ that is an extension of its normal subgroup A with the group B (then $B \simeq \Gamma/A$), in the case when we do not know if it is a split extension or not;

$A \cdot B$, a group Γ that is non-split extension of its normal subgroup A with the group B (then $B \simeq \Gamma/A$);

$A : B$, a group Γ that is a split extension of its normal subgroup A with the group B (then $B \simeq \Gamma/A$ and $\Gamma \simeq A \rtimes B$).

type	description	structure
$\mathcal{C}_1$	stabilizers of totally singular or nonsingular subspaces	maximal parabolic group
$\mathcal{C}_2$	stabilizers of direct sum decompositions $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r V_i$, with each V_i of dimension t	$\mathrm{GL}_t(q) \wr S_r, n = rt$
$\mathcal{C}_3$	stabilizers of extension fields of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of prime index r	$\mathrm{GL}_t(q^r).C_r, n = rt, r$ prime
$\mathcal{C}_4$	stabilizers of tensor product decompositions $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$	$\mathrm{GL}_t(q) \circ \mathrm{GL}_r(q), n = rt$
$\mathcal{C}_5$	stabilizers of subfields of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of prime index r	$\mathrm{GL}_n(q_0), q = q_0^r, r$ prime
$\mathcal{C}_6$	normalizers of symplectic-type r -groups (r prime) in absolutely irreducible representations	$(C_{q-1} \circ r^{1+2t}).\mathrm{Sp}_{2t}(r), n = r^t, r$ prime, $r \neq p$
$\mathcal{C}_7$	stabilizers of tensor product decompositions $V = \bigotimes_{i=1}^t V_i, \dim(V_i) = r$	$\underbrace{(\mathrm{GL}_r(q) \circ \dots \circ \mathrm{GL}_r(q))}_t . S_t, n = r^t$
$\mathcal{C}_8$	classical subgroups	$\mathrm{Sp}_n(q), n$ even $\mathrm{O}_n^\epsilon(q), q$ odd $\mathrm{U}_n(q^{\frac{1}{2}}), q$ a square

Table 1: Maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ of geometric types

Although we generally do not know explicitly the maximal subgroups of type $\mathcal{C}_9$, by Aschbacher's Theorem, we have such a characterization of them:

“if Γ is a maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ and $Z(\Gamma)$ denotes its center, then for some nonabelian simple group T , the group $\Gamma/Z(\Gamma)$ is almost simple with socle T ; in this

case the normal subgroup $Z(\Gamma)T$ acts absolutely irreducibly, preserves no nondegenerate classical form, is not a subfield group, and does not contain $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$.”

We will use this description in our proof of Theorem 1.4. Furthermore, for very small integers n there are a few subsequent and more explicit versions of Aschbacher’s Theorem, that describe exactly the maximal subgroups of class $\mathcal{C}_9$. To prove Theorem 1.4 we will use the classification of the maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ appearing in [3], for $n \leq 12$.

From now on we will say that a subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ (respectively of $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$) is of class $\mathcal{C}_i$ or of type $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $1 \leq i \leq 9$, if G is contained in a maximal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ (respectively of $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$) of class $\mathcal{C}_i$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The proof of Theorem 1.4 follows by the proof of the next slightly more general statement, with the only difference in the hypotheses that we assume $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(p^m)$, for some positive integer m (instead of simply $\mathrm{GL}_n(p)$). This more general assumption considering powers of p in lieu of p will be especially useful when G is of type $\mathcal{C}_3$ and it is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_t(p^r).C_r$, with $n = tr$, for some prime number r .

Theorem 3.1. *Let p be a prime number. Let k be a number field and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over k . Assume that $G = \mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(p^m)$, for some positive integers n, m . If $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a very strongly irreducible G -module or a direct sum of very strongly irreducible G -modules and $p > \frac{n}{2} + 1$, then the local-global divisibility by p holds in $\mathcal{A}$ over k and $\mathrm{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.*

When $n = 2$, $m = 1$ and $p \neq 2$, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 follows immediately by Chevalley’s Theorem on the classification of the commutative algebraic groups in characteristic 0 (see for example [38]), combined with the mentioned results in [14] and in [20]. Anyway, when $m > 1$, or when $m = 1$, $p = 2$ and $\mathcal{A}$ an algebraic torus, there are no similar results in the literature, even for $n = 2$. Thus, we will give a proof for the more general case when $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(p^m)$, with $m \geq 1$, for $n = 2$ too. That will be a part of the base of the induction for the general case. In fact, for $n = 2$ we can prove a stronger result than Theorem 3.1, since it suffices to assume that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible G -module (or a direct sum of irreducible G -modules), as we will see in Subsection 3.1 (have a look at Proposition 3.13).

We firstly prove a very useful lemma. In fact it covers many cases when G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(p^m)$, which acts irreducibly on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ and it is an extension of a nontrivial normal subgroup S of its with trivial local cohomology. Observe that here the hypothesis of irreducibility (and not very strongly irreducibility) is sufficient. Of course every statement proved for an irreducible G -module, holds for a very strongly irreducible G -module too (as well as for a strongly irreducible G -module).

Lemma 3.2. *Let p be a prime number and n, m positive integers. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over a number field k . Assume that $G = \mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k)$ acts irreducibly on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(p^m)$, which is an extension $S.J$, where S is a nontrivial normal subgroup of G . If $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, then $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.*

Proof. Consider the inflation-restriction exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(J, \mathcal{A}[p]^S) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p])^J. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $\{Z_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G}$ be a cocycle representing a class Z in $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$. Since the restriction map sends $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$ to $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p])$ and $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, then Z is in the kernel of the restriction, that coincides with the image of the inflation by the exactness of the sequence. By assumption $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible G -module, then it has no nontrivial proper G -submodules. We are going to show that $\mathcal{A}[p]^S$ is a G -submodule of $\mathcal{A}[p]$. Let $W \in \mathcal{A}[p]^S$ and $\sigma \in G$. We have $\sigma(W) \in \mathcal{A}[p]^S$ if and only if $\rho\sigma(W) = \sigma(W)$, for all $\rho \in S$, if and only if $\sigma^{-1}\rho\sigma(W) = W$, for all $\rho \in S$. Since S is a normal subgroup of G , then $\sigma^{-1}\rho\sigma \in S$, for all $\rho \in S$ and $\sigma \in G$. Thus $\sigma^{-1}\rho\sigma(W) = W$, for all $\rho \in S$ and $\mathcal{A}[p]^S$ is a G -submodule of $\mathcal{A}[p]$. Owing to $\mathcal{A}[p]$ being irreducible, we have that $\mathcal{A}[p]^S$ is trivial or that $\mathcal{A}[p]^S = G$. If $\mathcal{A}[p]^S$ is trivial, then $H^1(J, \mathcal{A}[p]^S) = 0$, implying $Z = 0$ and $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. If $\mathcal{A}[p]^S = G$, then S is trivial and we have a contradiction with our assumption. Then $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]^S) = 0$. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Observe that the conclusion of Lemma 3.2 hold as well if S is trivial, when $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(J, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. In fact, if S is trivial, then $G = J$. In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we will often apply Lemma 3.2 when G is a subgroup of $S.C_t$, for some positive integer t . In this case if S is trivial, then G is a subgroup of $J = C_t$. Since $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(C_t, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, then $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ in any case.

The hypothesis that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible G -module in the statement of Lemma 3.2 can be replaced by the hypothesis that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible S -module. In fact if $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is

an irreducible S -module, then in particular it is an irreducible G -module (if $\mathcal{A}[p]$ has a nontrivial G -submodule V , then V is also a nontrivial S -submodule of its).

Corollary 3.4. *Let p be a prime number and let n, m be positive integers. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over a number field k . Assume that $G = \text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$ that is an extension $S.J$, where S is a nontrivial normal subgroup of G , acting irreducibly on $\mathcal{A}[p]$. If $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, then $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.*

With the next corollary we observe that the hypothesis about the irreducibility of $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as an S -module in Corollary 3.4 can be replaced by the hypothesis of the existence of a certain particular element in S .

Corollary 3.5. *Let p be a prime number and let n, m be positive integers. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over a number field k . Assume that $G = \text{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$ that is an extension $S.J$, with S nontrivial. If $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ and there exist $\rho \in S$ such that $\rho - 1$ is invertible, then $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.*

Proof. Obviously $\mathcal{A}[p]^S$ is an S -module. By using (3.1) and the argument in Lemma 3.2, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{A}[p]^S$ is a trivial S -module and then $H_{\text{loc}}^1(J, \mathcal{A}[p]^S) = 0$. This follows from the fact that $\rho - 1$ is invertible and it fixes no element in $\mathcal{A}[p]^S$. $\square$

The next Lemma will be very useful in the case when $\mathcal{A}[p] \simeq (\mathbb{F}_p)^n$ has a tensor product decomposition $V_1 \otimes V_2$, where V_1, V_2 are vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_p$, with dimension respectively t and r , where $rt = n$. Observe that this case does not occur when n is a prime.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}[p] = V_1 \otimes V_2$, where V_1, V_2 are vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, with dimension respectively t and r . Assume that $G = G_t \circ G_r$ acts on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as a subgroup of $\text{GL}_t(p^m) \circ \text{GL}_r(p^m)$ and that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a strongly irreducible G -module. Assume that $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_t, V_1) = 0$ and $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_r, V_2) = 0$. Then $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.*

Proof. We firstly recall some basic facts. Let $x \in V_1$ and $y \in V_2$. Let $\text{GL}(V_i)$ be the group of the linear transformations of V_i , for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\text{GL}(V)$ the group of the linear transformations of $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$. As in [25, §4.4], for every $\sigma \in \text{GL}(V_1)$ and $\tau \in \text{GL}(V_2)$

we denote by $\sigma \otimes \tau$ the map acting on elements $x \otimes y \in V$ as $(\sigma \otimes \tau)(x \otimes y) = \sigma(x) \otimes \tau(y)$ and then acting on the whole V , with the action extended by linearity. The group $\mathrm{GL}(V_1) \otimes \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$ is formed by the maps $\sigma \otimes \tau$, with $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}(V_1)$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{GL}(V_2)$. We recall that $\mathrm{GL}_t(p^m) \circ \mathrm{GL}_r(p^m) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \otimes \mathrm{GL}(V_2) \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$ by [25, §4.4]. A central product Γ of two groups is a quotient of their direct product by a subgroup of its center. Then every subgroup of Γ is a central product of two groups too (where one of the two groups or both can be trivial). In particular, if $G = G_t \circ G_r$, then G_t is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_t(p^m)$ acting on V_1 and G_r is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_r(p^m)$ acting on V_2 (see also [25, §4.4]). We denote by $\overline{G_t}$ and $\overline{G_r}$ the images of G_t and respectively G_r in the quotient $G = G_t \circ G_r$ of the direct product $G_t \times G_r$. Observe that in particular $\overline{G_t}$ is a quotient of G_t by a subgroup Z_1 of its center and $\overline{G_r}$ is a quotient of G_r by a subgroup Z_2 of its center, such that $Z_1 \simeq Z_2$. Being $\overline{G_t}$ a normal subgroup of G , we can use the inflation-restriction exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\overline{G_t}, \mathcal{A}[p]^{\overline{G_r}}) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) \rightarrow H^1(\overline{G_r}, \mathcal{A}[p])^{\overline{G_t}} \rightarrow H^2(\overline{G_t}, \mathcal{A}[p]^{\overline{G_r}}). \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\overline{G_r}$ is a normal subgroup of G , with the same argument used in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have that $\mathcal{A}[p]^{\overline{G_r}}$ is a G -submodule of $\mathcal{A}[p]$. Being $\mathcal{A}[p]$ a strongly irreducible G -module, then $\mathcal{A}[p]^{\overline{G_r}}$ is the whole $\mathcal{A}[p]$ or it is trivial. If $\mathcal{A}[p]^{\overline{G_r}} = \mathcal{A}[p]$, then $\overline{G_r}$ is trivial. We have $G = G_t \otimes \langle I_r \rangle \simeq \overline{G_t}$. Observe that in particular G acts on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as $\overline{G_t}$ and $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(\overline{G_t}, \mathcal{A}[p]) \simeq H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$. Let $Z_{\sigma \otimes I_r}$ be a cocycle representing a class in $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$, such that

$$Z_{\sigma \otimes I_r} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma,1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\sigma,tr} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $1 \leq j \leq r$. We define r cocycles $Z_{\sigma,j}$ representing r classes in $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G_t, V_1)$, by setting

$$Z_{\sigma,j} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma,j} \\ x_{\sigma,r+j} \\ x_{\sigma,2r+j} \\ \vdots \\ x_{\sigma,(t-1)r+j} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

For the reader's convenience, we firstly show that the cocycles $Z_{\sigma,j}$, $1 \leq r \leq t$ are well defined and satisfy the local conditions when $t = r = 2$. Assume that $Z_{\sigma \otimes I_2}$ is a cocycle

representing a class in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$, where $\sigma \in G_t$. We have

$$Z_{\sigma \otimes I_2} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma,1} \\ x_{\sigma,2} \\ x_{\sigma,3} \\ x_{\sigma,4} \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $x_{\sigma,1}, x_{\sigma,2}, x_{\sigma,3}, x_{\sigma,4} \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1} & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & \beta_{2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\beta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, for every $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. Then

$$\sigma \otimes I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1} & 0 & \beta_{1,2} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{1,1} & 0 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 0 & \beta_{2,2} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{2,1} & 0 & \beta_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\sigma \otimes I_2 - I_2 \otimes I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1} - 1 & 0 & \beta_{1,2} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{1,1} - 1 & 0 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 0 & \beta_{2,2} - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{2,1} & 0 & \beta_{2,2} - 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $Z_{\sigma \otimes I_2}$ satisfies the local conditions, then there exist $y_{\sigma,1}, y_{\sigma,2}, y_{\sigma,3}, y_{\sigma,4} \in \mathbb{F}_p$, depending on σ , such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1} - 1 & 0 & \beta_{1,2} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{1,1} - 1 & 0 & \beta_{1,2} \\ \beta_{2,1} & 0 & \beta_{2,2} - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{2,1} & 0 & \beta_{2,2} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{\sigma,1} \\ y_{\sigma,2} \\ y_{\sigma,3} \\ y_{\sigma,4} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma,1} \\ x_{\sigma,2} \\ x_{\sigma,3} \\ x_{\sigma,4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\beta_{1,1} - 1)y_{\sigma,1} + \beta_{1,2}y_{\sigma,3} \\ (\beta_{1,1} - 1)y_{\sigma,2} + \beta_{1,2}y_{\sigma,4} \\ \beta_{2,1}y_{\sigma,1} + (\beta_{2,2} - 1)y_{\sigma,3} \\ \beta_{2,1}y_{\sigma,2} + (\beta_{2,2} - 1)y_{\sigma,4} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma,1} \\ x_{\sigma,2} \\ x_{\sigma,3} \\ x_{\sigma,4} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

We define

$$Z_{\sigma,1} := \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma,1} \\ x_{\sigma,3} \end{pmatrix} \quad Z_{\sigma,2} := \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma,2} \\ x_{\sigma,4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are going to show that $Z_{\sigma,1}, Z_{\sigma,2}$ are cocycles of G_t with values in V_1 , representing two classes in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_t, V_1)$. Let $\tau \in G_t$, such that

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1,1} & \gamma_{1,2} \\ \gamma_{2,1} & \gamma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\gamma_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_p$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. Then

$$\sigma\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1}\gamma_{1,1} + \beta_{1,2}\gamma_{2,1} & \beta_{1,1}\gamma_{1,2} + \beta_{1,2}\gamma_{2,2} \\ \beta_{2,1}\gamma_{1,1} + \beta_{2,2}\gamma_{2,1} & \beta_{2,1}\gamma_{1,2} + \beta_{2,2}\gamma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\sigma\tau \otimes I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1}\gamma_{1,1} + \beta_{1,2}\gamma_{2,1} & 0 & \beta_{1,1}\gamma_{1,2} + \beta_{1,2}\gamma_{2,2} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{1,1}\gamma_{1,1} + \beta_{1,2}\gamma_{2,1} & 0 & \beta_{1,1}\gamma_{1,2} + \beta_{1,2}\gamma_{2,2} \\ \beta_{2,1}\gamma_{1,1} + \beta_{2,2}\gamma_{2,1} & 0 & \beta_{2,1}\gamma_{1,2} + \beta_{2,2}\gamma_{2,2} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{2,1}\gamma_{1,1} + \beta_{2,2}\gamma_{2,1} & 0 & \beta_{2,1}\gamma_{1,2} + \beta_{2,2}\gamma_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let

$$Z_{\sigma\tau \otimes I_2} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma\tau,1} \\ x_{\sigma\tau,2} \\ x_{\sigma\tau,3} \\ x_{\sigma\tau,4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have that $Z_{\sigma\tau \otimes I_2} = Z_{(\sigma \otimes I_2)(\tau \otimes I_2)} = Z_{(\sigma \otimes I_2)} + (\sigma \otimes I_2)Z_{(\tau \otimes I_2)}$, i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma\tau,1} \\ x_{\sigma\tau,2} \\ x_{\sigma\tau,3} \\ x_{\sigma\tau,4} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma,1} \\ x_{\sigma,2} \\ x_{\sigma,3} \\ x_{\sigma,4} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1}x_{\tau,1} + \beta_{1,2}x_{\tau,3} \\ \beta_{1,1}x_{\tau,2} + \beta_{1,2}x_{\tau,4} \\ \beta_{2,1}x_{\tau,1} + \beta_{2,2}x_{\tau,3} \\ \beta_{2,1}x_{\tau,2} + \beta_{2,2}x_{\tau,4} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.6)$$

By 3.6, in particular we deduce $Z_{\sigma\tau,1} = Z_{\sigma,1} + \sigma(Z_{\tau,1})$ (by considering the 1st and the 3rd rows in (3.6)) and $Z_{\sigma\tau,2} = Z_{\sigma,2} + (\sigma)Z_{\tau,2}$ (by considering the 2nd and the 4th rows in (3.6)). Moreover by (3.5) we have

$$Z_{\sigma,1} = (\sigma - 1) \begin{pmatrix} y_{\sigma,1} \\ y_{\sigma,3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\sigma,2} = (\sigma - 1) \begin{pmatrix} y_{\sigma,2} \\ y_{\sigma,4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $Z_{\sigma,1}$ and $Z_{\sigma,2}$ satisfy the local conditions. Being $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_t, V_1) = 0$, then there exist $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ that do not depend on σ such that

$$Z_{\sigma,1} = (\sigma - 1) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\sigma,2} = (\sigma - 1) \begin{pmatrix} y_2 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We deduce

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\beta_{1,1} - 1)y_1 + \beta_{1,2}y_3 \\ (\beta_{1,1} - 1)y_2 + \beta_{1,2}y_4 \\ \beta_{2,1}y_1 + (\beta_{2,2} - 1)y_3 \\ \beta_{2,1}y_2 + (\beta_{2,2} - 1)y_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{\sigma,1} \\ x_{\sigma,2} \\ x_{\sigma,3} \\ x_{\sigma,4} \end{pmatrix},$$

implying $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. For general t and r we may apply the same argument. Let $Z_{\sigma \otimes I_r}$ as in (3.3) and let $Z_{\sigma,j}$ as in (3.4), for every $1 \leq j \leq r$. If $\sigma \in G_t$, such that

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1} & \cdots & \beta_{1,r} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \beta_{t,1} & \cdots & \beta_{t,r} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\beta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}_p$, for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, then

$$\sigma \otimes I_r = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} \beta_{1,1}I_r & \cdots & \beta_{1,r}I_r \\ \hline \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline \beta_{t,1}I_r & \cdots & \beta_{t,r}I_r \end{array} \right).$$

With the same calculations showed when $t = r = 2$, one easily verifies that $Z_{\sigma,j} \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_t, V_1)$, for every $1 \leq j \leq r$. Thus $Z_{\sigma,j}$ is a coboundary, for every $1 \leq j \leq r$. By proceeding as in the case when $t = r = 2$, this implies that $Z_{\sigma \otimes I_r}$ is a coboundary too. We deduce $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.

If $\mathcal{A}[p]^{\overline{G_r}} = 0$, then $H^1(\overline{G_t}, \mathcal{A}[p]^{\overline{G_r}})$ and $H^2(\overline{G_t}, \mathcal{A}[p]^{\overline{G_r}})$ are both trivial. From the inflation-restriction exact sequence (3.2), we have $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) \simeq H^1(\overline{G_r}, \mathcal{A}[p])^{\overline{G_t}}$. In particular $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $H^1(\overline{G_r}, \mathcal{A}[p])$. By identifying an element $\rho \in \overline{G_r}$ with $\text{Id}_t \otimes \rho$, we can proceed as above to get $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\overline{G_r}, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, by using $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_r, V_2) = 0$. This implies $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. $\square$

With a very similar proof, we have the following result about the first local cohomology group of a group G acting on a tensor product in the way described by Steinberg's Tensor Product Theorem (see [40]), with the only difference that here the representation of G is not projective.

Lemma 3.7. *Let t, r be positive integers. Let $V = \bigotimes_{i=1}^t V_i$, where, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$, V_i is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ of the same dimension r . Let $\mathfrak{f} : x \mapsto x^p$ be the Frobenius map of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. For every $\sigma_i \in \text{GL}(V_i)$ and every integer $0 \leq j \leq m$, let $\mathfrak{f}^j(\sigma_i)$ denote the*

matrix obtained by raising the entries of σ_i to their p^j -th powers. In particular $\mathfrak{f}^0(\sigma) = \sigma$. Let $\rho \in S_t$. Assume that G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ formed by elements $\mathfrak{f}^{j_1}(\sigma_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{f}^{j_t}(\sigma_t)$ acting on $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_t \in V$ in the following way $(\mathfrak{f}^{j_1}(\sigma_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{f}^{j_t}(\sigma_t))(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_t) = (\mathfrak{f}^{j_1}(\sigma_1))(v_{\rho(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathfrak{f}^{j_t}(\sigma_t))(v_{\rho(t)})$, where $0 \leq j_i \leq m$, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. Consider the action of G extended by linearity to the whole V . For a fixed i , let G_i be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V_i)$ formed by the maps σ_i (appearing in the i -th position) as above. Assume that V is a strongly irreducible G -module. If $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G_i, V_i) = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, then $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, V) = 0$.

Proof. When $t = 2$, we can use the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.6. Here the only differences are that the entries $\beta_{i,j}$ in σ are now raised to their p^j -th powers, for some $0 \leq j \leq m$ and the $x_{\sigma,i}$, with $1 \leq i \leq n$, are permuted. Then we can use induction to get the conclusion for $t > 2$. $\square$

Observe that Lemma 3.7 holds when $\rho = \mathrm{Id}$ too. Moreover Lemma 3.7 holds when $j_i = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ (this happens in particular when $m = 1$, as, for instance, when $V = \mathcal{A}[p] = (\mathbb{F}_p)^n$). For completeness, we state explicitly the particular case when $\mathcal{A}[p] = (\mathbb{F}_p)^n$, and $\rho = \mathrm{Id}$, since we will use it in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}[p] = \bigotimes_{i=1}^t V_i$, where V_i is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$ of the same dimension r , for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. Assume that G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ formed by elements $\sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_t$ acting on $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_t \in \mathcal{A}[p]$ in the following way $(\sigma_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_t)(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_t) = \sigma_1(v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_t(v_t)$. Consider the action of G extended by linearity to the whole $\mathcal{A}[p]$. For a fixed i , let G_i be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V_i)$ formed by the maps σ_i (appearing in the i -th position) as above. Assume that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a strongly irreducible G -module. If $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G_i, V_i) = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, then $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.*

Remark 3.9. Note that Lemma 3.6, Lemma 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 hold as well when $G \leq \mathrm{PGL}(V)$, instead of $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Thus the conclusion of Lemma 3.7 and Corollary 3.8 hold as well in the case of a group G acting on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as prescribed by Steinberg's Tensor Product Theorem (see [40]).

The next step is to study the triviality of $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$, when G is one of the whole classical groups $\mathrm{SL}_t(p^m)$, $\mathrm{Sp}_{2t}(p^m)$, $\mathcal{O}_t(p^m)$, $\mathcal{O}_{2t}^\epsilon(p^m)$, with $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$, or $\mathrm{U}_t(p^m)$, for some positive integer t .

Lemma 3.10. *Let $p > 3$.*

- 1) *Assume that G is one of the groups $\mathrm{SL}_t(p^m)$, $\mathcal{O}_{2t}^\epsilon(p^m)$, with $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$, $\mathcal{O}_t(p^m)$, or $\mathrm{U}_t(p^m)$, for some positive integer t . Assume that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a strongly irreducible G -module. Then $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p > 2$.*
- 2) *Assume that $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2t}(p^m)$, for some positive integer t . Assume that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a strongly irreducible G -module. Then $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p > t + 1$.*

Proof. Let S be the quotient by scalar matrices of the classical matrix group G . In particular S is a classical Chevalley group. We have $S = G/Z(G)$, where $Z(G)$ is the center of G . Since no scalar matrix has order dividing p , for every $p > 2$, then the p -Sylow subgroup of $Z(G)$ is trivial and $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, by Lemma 2.3. If $Z(G)$ is nontrivial, then we are in the situation described in Corollary 3.5 and $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. If $Z(G)$ is trivial, then $G = S$. We will prove $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. It is well-known that the group S is a simple group (see [43]) and it is also called a group of Lie type. Assume first that S is neither a projective symplectic group $\mathrm{PSp}_{2t}(p^m)$, nor a projective unitary group $\mathrm{PU}_t(p^m)$. By [9] (see in particular Table (4.5) at page 186) and [10] (see in particular Table (4.3) at page 193), the cohomology group $H^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p])$ is trivial, for all $p > 3$, when t is the possible minimal degree of the representation of S and when t is the dimension of the Lie algebra with automorphism group S (in this case S has a natural representation in dimension t and this often coincides with the representation of S with minimal degree). If the representation of S is neither the minimal nor the natural one, then we can proceed as follows. Let $L(\lambda)$ denote the irreducible S -module of highest weight λ . In [41, Theorem 1.2.3] the authors prove that for all $p > 3$ the first cohomology group $H^1(S, L(\lambda))$ is trivial, when λ is a fundamental dominant weight (or it is less than a fundamental dominant weight). In particular, we have $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(S, L(\lambda)) = 0$. In 1950 Chevalley proved that whenever M is an irreducible S -module, then $M = L(\lambda)$, for some dominant weight λ (see [21] and [7]). In particular, since we are assuming that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is irreducible, then $\mathcal{A}[p] = L(\lambda)$, for some dominant weight λ . In addition, every dominant weight is a positive integer linear combination of fundamental dominant weights, and it is well-known in the theory of Lie groups that this implies a decomposition $L(\lambda) = \otimes_{i=1}^s L(\omega_i)$, where s is a positive integer ($s \leq n$) and ω_i is a fundamental dominant weight, for every $1 \leq i \leq s$. Thus $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) \simeq H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(S, \otimes_{i=1}^s L(\omega_i))$, for certain fundamental dominant weights ω_i . If S preserves the tensor product decomposition $\otimes_{i=1}^s L(\omega_i)$, as in the case

of a group of class $\mathcal{C}_4$ (see [25, §4.4]), then S acts on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as described in Lemma 3.6. More generally the group S can act on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as prescribed by Steingberg's Tensor Product Theorem (see [40]), i.e. in the way described in Lemma 3.7 (see also Remark 3.9). We have $S = \otimes_{i=1}^s S_i$, with S_i acting on $L(\omega_i)$, for every $1 \leq i \leq s$. In all those cases, since Theorem 1.2.3 in [41] assures the triviality of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S_i, L(\omega_i))$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, then the triviality of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p])$ follows by the mentioned lemmas. Now assume that $S = \text{PU}_t(p^m)$, for some positive integer t . Then the p -Sylow subgroups of S coincides with the p -Sylow subgroups of $\text{PSL}_t(p^m)$. Since we have proved $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\text{PSL}_t(p^m), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p > 3$, then $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\text{PU}_t(p^m), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p > 3$ again, by Lemma 2.3. If S is a projective symplectic group $\text{PSp}_n(p^l)$, then, for $p > 3$ the cohomology $H^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p])$ is trivial again, when $2t$ is the possible minimal degree of the representation of S and when $2t$ is the dimension of the Lie algebra with automorphism group S , by [9] (see again Table (4.5) at page 186) and [10] (see Table (4.3) at page 193). On the contrary if the representation of S is neither the minimal nor the natural one, then it is not true in general that the cohomology $H^1(S, L(\lambda))$ vanishes. As above, let $L(\lambda)$ denote the irreducible S -module of highest weight λ and assume $L(\lambda) = \otimes_{i=1}^s L(\omega_i)$, where s is a positive integer and ω_i is a fundamental weight, for every $1 \leq i \leq s$. Again $S = \otimes_{i=1}^s S_i$, with S_i acting on $L(\omega_i)$, for every $1 \leq i \leq s$. Observe that since $L(\omega_i)$ is a vector subspace of $L(\lambda)$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, in particular $s \leq 2t$ and $i \leq 2t$. Let $t+1 = b_0 + b_1p + b_2p^2 + \dots + b_jp^j$, where j is a positive integer and $b_h \in \mathbb{F}_p$, for $0 \leq h \leq j$. Then the mentioned Theorem 1.2.3 in [41] implies $H^1(S_i, L(\omega_i)) = 0$, for every $i \neq 2b_hp^h$. On the other hand, when $i = 2b_hp^h$, then $H^1(S_i, L(\omega_i)) \neq 0$. Observe that if $p > t+1$, then $t+1 = b_0$ and $2b_0 = 2(t+1) = 2t+2 > 2t$. Thus $H^1(S_i, L(\omega_i)) = 0$, for every i , and we may repeat the arguments used above for projective special linear groups and projective orthogonal groups to get $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. $\square$

The next remark, will allow us to deal with subgroups of $\text{SL}_n(p^m)$, instead of $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$.

Remark 3.11. Let G be a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$ and let $\tilde{G} := G \cap \text{SL}_n(p^m)$. Since $|\text{GL}_n(p^m)| = (p^m - 1)|\text{SL}_n(p^m)|$, then the p -Sylow subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$ coincides with the p -Sylow subgroup of $\text{SL}_n(p^m)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p^m]) = 0$ if and only if $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{A}[p^m]) = 0$. Moreover $\text{SL}_n(p^m)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$ and then, if $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a very strongly irreducible G -module, then $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a very strongly irreducible $\tilde{G}$ -module too. Therefore from now on we assume $G \leq \text{SL}_n(p^m)$, without loss of generality.

Observe that when $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible G -module, the vanishing of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{A}[p^m])$

implies the vanishing of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p^m])$ by Lemma 3.2 (and Remark 3.3). In fact $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$ is an extension of $\text{SL}_n(p^m)$ by a cyclic group.

From now on we will often assume, without loss of generality, that G is a proper subgroup of $\text{SL}_n(p^m)$.

For $n \in \{2, 3\}$ we give a proof of Theorem 3.1 based on a case by case analysis of the possible maximal subgroups of $\text{SL}_n(p^m)$. Then we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1.4 for a general n .

3.1 The case when $n = 2$

In this section we consider algebraic groups $\mathcal{A}$ such that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(p^m)$, for some positive integer m . In particular this is the case when $\mathcal{A}[p] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$. As stated above if $m = 1$ and $p \neq 2$, then the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 follows immediately by Chevalley's Theorem on the classification of the commutative algebraic groups in characteristic 0 (see for example [38]), combined with the mentioned results in [14] and [20]. Anyway, when $m > 1$, or $m = 1$, $p = 2$ and $\mathcal{A}$ an algebraic torus, there are no similar results in the literature. Thus, here we give a proof for the more general case when $G \leq \text{GL}_2(p^m)$, with $m \geq 1$. We use the classification of the maximal subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(q)$ appearing in [3], for $q = p^m$, that we partially recall in the next lemma.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $q = p^m$, where p is a prime number and m is a positive integer. The maximal subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(q)$ of type $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $2 \leq i \leq 9$ are*

- (a) *a subgroup of type $\mathcal{C}_2$, the generalized quaternion group $Q_{2(q-1)}$ of order $2(q-1)$, with q odd, $q \neq 5$;*
- (b) *a subgroup of type $\mathcal{C}_2$, the dihedral group $D_{2(q-1)}$ of order $2(q-1)$, with q even;*
- (c) *a subgroup of type $\mathcal{C}_3$, the generalized quaternion group $Q_{2(q+1)}$, of order $2(q+1)$, for q odd;*
- (d) *a subgroup of type $\mathcal{C}_3$, the dihedral group $D_{2(q+1)}$ of order $2(q+1)$, for q even;*
- (e) *a subgroup of type $\mathcal{C}_5$, the group $\text{SL}_2(q_0).C_2$, with $q = q_0^2$;*
- (f) *subgroup of type $\mathcal{C}_5$, the group $\text{SL}_2(q_0)$, with $q = q_0^r$, for q odd, r an odd prime;*

- (g) subgroup of type C_5 , the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(q_0)$, with $q = q_0^r$, for q even, $q_0 \neq 2$, r prime;
- (h) a group of type C_6 , the group $2^{1+2}.S_3$, for $q = p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$;
- (i) a group of type C_6 , the group $2^{1+2} : C_3$, for $q = p \equiv \pm 3, 5, \pm 11, \pm 13, \pm 19 \pmod{40}$;
- (j) a group of type C_9 , the group C_2A_5 , $q = p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{10}$ or $q = p^2$, with $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{10}$.

Indeed, for $n = 2$ we are going to prove the following stronger result than Theorem 3.1, with the assumption that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible G -module or a direct sum of irreducible G -modules.

Proposition 3.13. *Let p be a prime number. Let k be a number field and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over k . Assume that $G = \mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(p^m)$, for some positive integer m . If $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible G_k -module or a direct sum of irreducible G_k -modules, then the local-global divisibility by p holds in $\mathcal{A}$ over k and $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.*

Proof. As already noticed in [32], for every group Γ and every direct sum of two Γ -modules M_1 and M_2 , one has $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(\Gamma, M_1 \times M_2) \simeq H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(\Gamma, M_1) \oplus H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(\Gamma, M_2)$. Thus $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \cdot)$ is an additive functor and it suffices to prove the statement when $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible G_k -module, to get an answer even in the case when $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a direct sum of irreducible G_k -modules. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that G is not of class C_1 and it is isomorphic to one of the subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(p^m)$ listed in Lemma 3.12. In cases (a) (resp. (c)), G is a subgroup of the generalized quaternion group $Q_{2(q-1)}$ (resp. $Q_{2(q+1)}$). The group $Q_{2(q-1)}$ (resp. $Q_{2(q+1)}$) is an extension $C_2.C_{q-1}$ (resp. $C_2.C_{q+1}$) of a cyclic group of order 2, with a cyclic group of order $q-1$ (resp. $q+1$). Then G is cyclic or it is an extension of two cyclic groups. Since the local cohomology of a cyclic group is trivial, then by Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.3, we have $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ (recall that we are assuming that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible G -module. In cases (b), (d), (h), (i) and (j), for every $p \geq 2$, the p -Sylow subgroup of G is either trivial or cyclic (recall that cases (h), (i) and (j) may occur only if $p \neq 2$). By Lemma 2.3, we have $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. Suppose that we are in case (e). For every $p > 2$, the p -Sylow subgroup G_p of G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q_0)$, where $q = q_0^2$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q_0)$. If $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(q_0)$, then $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, by Lemma 3.10. Assume that G is a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q_0)$. If G is still of type C_5 , then G is isomorphic

to a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q_1)$, where $q_0 = q_1^2$. Again, if $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(q_1)$, then by Lemma 3.10, we have $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. We may assume that G is a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q_1)$ and so on. Since m is finite, at a certain point we will find that either G is of type $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $i \neq 5$, or G is trivial. If G is of type $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $i \neq 5$, because of our assumption that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a very strongly irreducible G -module, then G is isomorphic to one of the subgroups listed in cases (a), (b), (c), (d), (h), (i) and (j). Thus $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, as above. If G is trivial, then $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ too. The same arguments, combined with Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.3, give $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for $p = 2$ too. Cases (f) and (g) are similar to case (e), being G_p a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(q_0)$, with $q = q_0^r$, with r a prime. $\square$

In particular we have proved Theorem 3.1 for $n = 2$.

3.2 The case when $n = 3$

In this section we consider algebraic groups $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{A}[p] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^3$. As mentioned in Section 1, in [14] Dvornicich and Zannier underline that the answer in this case is not obvious. In fact, they show an example in which $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$, where Γ is a subgroup of the p -Sylow subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_3(p)$ of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & \lambda a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*.$$

Anyway, they have no evidence that this group is really the p -Sylow subgroup of a Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k, \mathcal{A}[p])$. Even in the case when Γ would be the p -Sylow subgroup of a certain Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(k(\mathcal{A}[p])/k, \mathcal{A}[p])$, we could get no information about the algebraic group $\mathcal{A}$ for which the local-global divisibility fails. Here we prove Theorem 3.1 for $n = 3$.

We use the classification of the maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_3(q)$ and of $\mathrm{SU}_3(q)$ appearing in [3].

Lemma 3.14. *Let $q = p^m$, where p is a prime number and m is a positive integer. Let $d := \gcd(q - 1, 3)$. The maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_3(q)$ of type $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $3 \leq i \leq 9$ are*

- (a) *a group of type $\mathcal{C}_2$, the group $C_{q-1}^2 : S_3$, for $q \geq 5$;*
- (b) *a group of type $\mathcal{C}_3$, the group $C_h : C_3$, where $h = q^2 + q + 1$;*

- (c) a group of type C_5 , the group $\mathrm{SL}_3(q_0).C_s$, where $s := \gcd\left(\frac{q-1}{p-1}, 3\right)$ and $q = q_0^r$, r prime.
- (d) a group of type C_6 , the group $3_+^{1+2} : Q_8.C_s$, where $s = \frac{\gcd(q-1, 9)}{3}$, $q = p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and the extraspecial group 3_+^{1+2} is the p -Sylow subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_3(p)$;
- (e) a group of type C_8 , the group $\mathrm{SO}_3(q) \times C_d$, with q odd;
- (f) a group of type C_8 , the group $\mathrm{SU}_3(q_0) \times C_t$, where $t := \gcd(p-1, 3)$ and $q = q_0^2$;
- (g) a group of type C_9 , the group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7) \times C_d$, for $q = p \equiv 1, 2, 4 \pmod{7}$, $q \neq 2$;
- (h) a group of type C_9 , the group $C_3 : A_6$, of order $9 \cdot 5!$, for $q = p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{15}$ or $q = p^2$, with $p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$, $p \neq 3$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $q = p^m$, where p is a prime number and m is a positive integer. Let $d := \gcd(q-1, 3)$. The maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{SU}_3(q)$ of type C_i , with $3 \leq i \leq 9$ are*

- (e.1) a group of type C_2 , the group $C_{q-1}^2 : S_3$, for $q \geq 5$;
- (e.2) a group of type C_3 , the group $C_h : C_3$, where $h = q^2 + q + 1$, $q \neq 3$;
- (e.3) a group of type C_5 , the group $\mathrm{SU}_3(q_0).C_s$, where $s := \gcd\left(\frac{q+1}{q-1}, 3\right)$ and $q = q_0^r$, r prime;
- (e.4) a group of type C_8 , the group $\mathrm{SO}_3(q) \times C_d$, q odd and $q \geq 7$;
- (e.5) a group of type C_6 , the group $3_+^{1+2} : Q_8.C_s$, where $s = \frac{\gcd(q+1, 9)}{3}$, the extraspecial group 3_+^{1+2} is the p -Sylow subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_3(p)$, $q = 5$ or $q = p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $q \geq 11$;
- (e.6) a group of type C_9 , the group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7) \times C_d$, $q = p \equiv 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}$;
- (e.7) a group of type C_9 , the group $C_3 : A_6$, for $q = p \equiv 11, 14 \pmod{15}$;
- (e.8) a group of type C_9 , the group $C_3 : A_6 C_2$, (where here C_2 is a known specific quotient of A_6), for $q = p = 5$;
- (e.9) a group of type C_9 , the group $C_3 : A_7$, of order $9 \cdot 7 \cdot 5!$, for $q = p = 5$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 for $n = 3$. As in the case when $n = 2$, since $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \cdot)$ is an additive functor, we assume without loss of generality that G is not of class $\mathcal{C}_1$ and then it is a subgroup of the groups listed in Lemma 3.14. We are going to show that $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p \geq 3$. In cases (a), (b) and (d) the p -Sylow subgroup of G is either trivial or cyclic, for all p (recall that case (a) occur only for $p \geq 5$ and case (a) occur only for $q = p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$). Therefore $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. Assume that we are in case (g). Since this case may occur only for $p \neq 3$, then the p -Sylow subgroup of G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{PSL}_2(7)$. Therefore, for every $p \geq 2$, the p -Sylow subgroup of G is either trivial or cyclic and $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ (recall that this case does not hold when $p = 2$ too). Assume that we are in case (h). If $p \geq 3$, then the p -Sylow subgroup of G is trivial or cyclic again (observe that this case does not happen when $p = 3$). Assume that we are in case (c). For every $p \neq 3$, the p -Sylow subgroup of G is a subgroup of $\text{SL}_3(q_0)$. Since $\text{SL}_3(q_0)$ is a normal subgroup of G and $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a very strongly irreducible G -module, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $G \subseteq \text{SL}_3(q_0)$. If $G = \text{SL}_3(q_0)$, then $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, by Lemma 3.10. If G is trivial, then $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ too. So, suppose that G is a non-trivial proper subgroup of $\text{SL}_3(q_0)$. If G is still of type $\mathcal{C}_5$ in $\text{SL}_3(q_0)$, then G is contained in $\text{SL}_3(q_1).C_{s_1}$, where $q_0 = q_1^2$ and $s_1 = \frac{\gcd(q_0-1, 9)}{3}$. Again, we may assume that G is strictly contained in $\text{SL}_3(q_1)$ and so on. Since q is finite, after a finite number of steps we find that G is of type $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $i \neq 5$. We have $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, by the arguments used for the subgroups of classes $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $i \neq 5$. Thus $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ too. If $p = 3$, we can use the same argument as for $p \neq 3$, combined with Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.3 (by the hypothesis of the very strongly irreducibility of $\mathcal{A}[p]$). Assume that we are in case (e). Again, for all p , the p -Sylow subgroup G_p of G is contained in $\text{SO}_3(q)$. Since $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a very strongly irreducible G -module, by Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.3 we may assume without loss of generality that G is contained in $\text{SO}_3(q)$. If $G = \text{SO}_3(q)$, then $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, by Lemma 3.10. Assume that G is strictly contained in $\text{SO}_3(q)$. The group $\text{SO}_3(q)$ is isomorphic to $\text{SL}_2(q)$ (see [3, Proposition 1.10.1]). In the proof of Theorem 3.1 for $n = 2$, we have seen that G is a group extension of a cyclic group with another cyclic group or it is a whole special linear group or its p -Sylow subgroup G_p is either trivial or cyclic. In all cases the first cohomology group $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$ vanishes. Suppose that we are in case (f). By Lemma 3.2 and the assumption that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is very strongly irreducible, we may assume without loss of generality that $G \leq \text{SU}_3(q)$. If $G = \text{SU}_3(q)$, then we get the triviality of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$, by Lemma 3.10. Assume that G

is strictly contained in $SU_3(q)$. Thus we use Lemma 3.15. There are only four cases in which the subgroups of SU_3 are different from the ones listed in Lemma 3.14, i.e. cases **(e.3)**, **(e.5)**, **(e.8)** and **(e.9)**. For all p , in cases **(e.5)**, **(e.8)** and **(e.9)**, the p -Sylow subgroup is either trivial or cyclic. Therefore $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. We can treat case **(e.3)** in the same way as case **(c)**. We have proved that for every possible G , if $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a very strongly irreducible G -module and $p \geq 3$, then the local-global divisibility by p holds in $\mathcal{A}$ over k . $\square$

3.3 General Case

To prove Theorem 3.1 for every n , we use the description of the subgroups of $GL_n(q)$ of geometric type shown in Table 1. For some classes of groups we also use induction, having already proved the statement for $n \leq 3$. Moreover we use the description of subgroups of $GL_n(q)$ of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ given in Aschbacher's Theorem and recalled in Section 2. When the p -Sylow subgroup of G is isomorphic to C_p^2 , there are known counterexample to the local-global divisibility (see the mentioned [14], [15], [30]) and even when the p -Sylow subgroup of G is isomorphic to C_p^3 there are counterexamples (see [29]). In various parts of the proof we will show that for $p > n/2 + 1$, the p -Sylow subgroup of G is either trivial or cyclic (which does not hold for $p \leq n/2 + 1$).

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Since we have already proved the statement for $n \in \{2, 3\}$, we assume $n \geq 4$. If $p > n/2 + 1$, then in particular $p > 3$. Suppose that for every integer $n' < n$, the local-global divisibility by p holds in commutative algebraic groups $\mathcal{A}$ over k when $G \leq GL_{n'}(q)$ acts very strongly irreducibly on $\mathcal{A}[p] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{n'}$ and $p > \frac{n'}{2} + 1$. As in the cases when $n = 2$ and $n = 3$, since $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \cdot)$ is an additive functor, we may assume, without loss of generality, that G is not of class $\mathcal{C}_1$.

Part i. Subgroups of geometric type

Class $\mathcal{C}_2$

Assume that G is of class $\mathcal{C}_2$. In this case $\mathcal{A}[p] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_i$, with each A_i of dimension t and $G = (G_1 \times \dots \times G_r) \wr S_r$, where G_i is a subgroup of $GL_t(q)$ acting on A_i and $tr = n$. In this situation $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is irreducible, but not very strongly irreducible (nor

strongly irreducible). By our assumptions, then $\mathcal{A}[p]$ has to be a direct sum of very strongly irreducible G -modules. In particular A_i is a very strongly irreducible G_i -module for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Observe that the greatest r that we can have is $r = n$ itself. But in this case $t = 1$ and $\mathcal{A}[p] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$, with each A_i of dimension 1. The group G is then a subgroup of $C_{q-1}^n \cdot S_n$. If $p > n/2 + 1$, then $2p > n + 2$ and $p^2 \nmid n!$. Thus the p -Sylow subgroup G_p of G is either trivial or cyclic and $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ by Lemma 2.3. Let $r < n$. Observe that in particular we have $r \leq n/2$. If $p > r$, then the p -Sylow subgroup of G is contained in $G_1 \times \dots \times G_r$. Being $r \leq n/2$, then $p > r$ implies $p > n/2 + 1$. Observe that when $r < n$, then $t < n$ too (in fact we have $t \leq n/2$). By induction, for all $p > t/2 + 1$, we have $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_i, A_i) = 0$, for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \cdot)$ is an additive functor, then by induction we get that $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for $p > \max \left\{ r, \frac{t}{2} + 1 \right\} > \frac{n}{2} + 1$.

Class $\mathcal{C}_3$

Suppose that G is of type $\mathcal{C}_3$. In this situation the G -module $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is considered as a vector space over a field extension $\tilde{F}$ of $\mathbb{F}_p$ with degree r , where r is a prime number dividing n . The p -torsion subgroup $\mathcal{A}[p]$ has dimension $t := n/r$ as a vector space over $\tilde{F}$ (see [25, §5.3 and Table 2.1.A]) and G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_t(p^{mr}) \cdot C_r$. If $r = n$ (this in particular happens if n is a prime), then the only possible subgroup of class $\mathcal{C}_3$ is $\text{GL}_1(p^{mn}) \cdot C_n$. Since the cardinality of $\text{GL}_1(p^{mn})$ is $\frac{p^{mn}-1}{p-1}$, then, for every p , the p -Sylow subgroup of G is either trivial or cyclic and $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. If $r < n$, then $1 < r \leq n/2$. Since $1 < t \leq n/2$ too, we use induction (recall that here $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is considered as a vector space of dimension $t < n$ over $\tilde{F}$) and Lemma 3.2 together with Remark 3.3 to get $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for every $p > n/4 + 1$ (i.e. in particular for every $p > n/2 + 1$).

Class $\mathcal{C}_4$

Suppose that G is of type $\mathcal{C}_4$. The group G is isomorphic to a subgroup of a central product $\text{GL}_t(p^m) \circ \text{GL}_r(p^m)$ acting on a tensor product $V_1 \otimes V_2 = \mathcal{A}[p]$, where $rt = n$ and V_1, V_2 are vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_p$, with dimension respectively t and r . We are in the situation described in Lemma 3.6. Thus let $G = G_t \circ G_r$, with G_t acting on V_1 and G_r acting on V_2 (see also [25, §4.4]). Observe that V_1 itself is a very strongly irreducible G_r -module (in fact if V_1 has a proper G_r -submodule, then $\mathcal{A}[p]$ has a proper G_r -submodule too). Since $r < n$, by induction $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_r, V_1) = 0$, for every $p > r/2 + 1$. In the same way, since $t < n$, then by induction, for all $p > t/2 + 1$, we have $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_t, V_2) = 0$ (again

V_2 is a very strongly irreducible G_t -module). Observe that $r \leq n/2$ and $t \leq n/2$. Thus $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_1, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ and $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_2, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for every $p > n/4 + 1$ (in particular for every $p > n/2 + 1$), implying $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, by Lemma 3.6.

Class $\mathcal{C}_5$

If G is of class $\mathcal{C}_5$, then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^t)$, where $m = tr$, with t a positive integer and r a prime. Observe that this case does not occur when $m = 1$. If G is the whole group $\text{GL}_n(p^t)$, then by Remark 3.11 and by Lemma 3.10, we have $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. If G is trivial, then $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$ is trivial too. Suppose that G is a proper non-trivial subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^t)$. If G is still of class $\mathcal{C}_5$, then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^{t_2})$, for some positive integer t_2 , such that $t = r_2 t_2$, with r_2 prime. If $G = \text{GL}_n(p^{t_2})$, we have again $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, by Remark 3.11 and Lemma 3.10. Then we may assume that G is a proper subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^{t_2})$ and so on. Since m is finite and we are assuming that G is not trivial, then G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^{t_j})$ (for some positive integer t_j dividing m) of class $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $i \neq 5$. We may then repeat the arguments used (or that we will use) for other classes $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $i \notin \{1, 5\}$, to get $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.

Class $\mathcal{C}_6$

Suppose that G is of class $\mathcal{C}_6$, i.e. G lies in the normalizer of an extraspecial group. This may happen only when $n = r^t$, with r a prime different from p and t a positive integer. We have that G is a subgroup of $(C_{q-1} \circ r^{1+2t}).\text{Sp}_{2t}(r)$ (see [25, §3.5]). To ease notation we denote by H the normal subgroup $C_{q-1} \circ r^{1+2t}$. Let $G' := G \cap H$. Owing to $p \neq r$, the p -Sylow subgroup G'_p of G' is trivial. Then the p -Sylow subgroup G_p of G is isomorphic to the p -Sylow subgroup of G/G' that is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Sp}_{2t}(r)$. Since $p \neq r$, then p divides the cardinality $|\text{Sp}_{2t}(r)|$ if and only if p divides $\prod_{i=1}^t (r^{2i} - 1) = (r - 1)(r + 1) \dots (r^{t-1} - 1)(r^{t-1} + 1)(r^t - 1)(r^t + 1)$. Observe that $r^{t-1} = r^t/r \leq n/2$. If $p \neq 2$, then $r^{t-1} = n/r < n/2$ and $r^{t-1} + 1 < n/2 + 1$. If $p > n/2 + 1$, then p divides no factor in the product $\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} (r^{2i} - 1)$. Thus p could divide only the factors $r^t - 1$ and $r^t + 1$. If $p \mid r^t - 1$ and $p \mid r^t + 1$, then $p \mid 2r^t$ and this is a contradiction for every odd primes $p \neq r$. So for all $p \neq 2$, if $p \mid (r^i + 1)$, then $p \nmid (r^i - 1)$ and the other way around. The greatest factor of $(r - 1)(r + 1) \dots (r^{t-1} - 1)(r^{t-1} + 1)(r^t - 1)(r^t + 1)$ is $r^t + 1 = n + 1$. If $p > n/2 + 1$, then $p^2 > n^2/4 + n + 1 > n + 1$, for all n . Thus if

$p > n/2 + 1$, we have that $p^2 \nmid |\mathrm{Sp}_{2t}(r)|$ and the p -Sylow subgroup of G is either trivial or cyclic. Consequently $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.

Class $\mathcal{C}_7$

Suppose that G is of class $\mathcal{C}_7$. This case occurs only when $n = r^t$, where r is a prime and $t > 1$. The group G is the stabilizer of a tensor product decomposition $\bigotimes_{i=1}^t V_i$, with $n = r^t$, $t \geq 2$ and $\dim(V_i) = r$, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. Thus G is a subgroup of $(\underbrace{\mathrm{GL}_r(q) \circ \dots \circ \mathrm{GL}_r(q)}_t) \cdot S_t$. The situation is similar to the one described in Lemma 3.7 (with $j_0 = 0$, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$), but here we can have more than one permutation of the V_i 's. If $p > t$, then the p -Sylow subgroup of G is contained in $G' = G \cap (\underbrace{\mathrm{GL}_r(q) \circ \dots \circ \mathrm{GL}_r(q)}_t)$. Observe that $G' = G_1 \circ \dots \circ G_t$, where $G_i \leq \mathrm{GL}_r(t)$ is a normal subgroup of G , acting on V_i , for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. Being $\mathcal{A}[p]$ a very strongly irreducible G -module, we have that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is also a very strongly irreducible G_i -module, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. Furthermore V_i is a very strongly irreducible G_i -module (in fact if V_i has a proper G_i -submodule, then $\mathcal{A}[p]$ has a proper G_i -submodule). Observe that G' acts on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as described in Corollary 3.8. Since G_i acts on V_i , then we use induction on r and we have $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G_i, V_i) = 0$, for all $p > \frac{r}{2} + 1$, for every $1 \leq i \leq t$. By Corollary 3.8 we have $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G', \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p > \max\{t, \frac{r}{2} + 1\}$. Obviously $r < n$ and $t \leq n/2$, being $t = \log_r(n)$. Then if we take $p > n/2 + 1$, we still have that the p -Sylow subgroup of G is contained in G' and $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G', \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. This implies $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for every $p > n/2 + 1$, by Lemma 2.3.

Class $\mathcal{C}_8$

Suppose that G is of class $\mathcal{C}_8$. If n is even, then G is contained either in the group $\mathrm{Sp}_n(p^m)$, or in a group $\mathrm{O}_n^\epsilon(p^m)$, for some $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$, or in the group $U_n(p^{\frac{m}{2}})$, with m even too. If n is odd, then G is contained either in $\mathrm{O}_n(p^m)$, or in $U_n(p^{\frac{m}{2}})$ (with m even). Observe that for symplectic groups $\mathrm{Sp}_n(p^m)$, we have $n = 2t$, for some positive integer t and then $n/2 + 1 = t + 1$. If G is one of the whole mentioned classical groups, then we may apply Lemma 3.10 to get $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. Assume that G is strictly contained in one of those classical groups. Aschbacher's theorem holds for unitary, symplectic and orthogonal groups too and the maximal subgroups of those classical groups are still divided in the same 9 classes (see [25]). From the classification of the maximal subgroups

of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(p^m)$, $\mathrm{O}_n(p^m)$, $\mathrm{O}_n^\epsilon(p^m)$ and $U_n(p^{\frac{m}{2}})$ of class $\mathcal{C}_i$, $i \neq 9$ appearing in [25, Table 3.5B, Table 3.5C, Table 3.5D and Table 3.5E], we have that $\mathrm{O}_n(p^m)$, $\mathrm{O}_n^\epsilon(p^m)$ and $U_n(p^{\frac{m}{2}})$ do not contain groups of class $\mathcal{C}_8$ and that the subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(p^m)$ of class $\mathcal{C}_8$ are $\mathrm{O}_n^\epsilon(p^m)$ themselves. Since we are assuming that G is strictly contained in one of those three groups, then it is a subgroup of class $\mathcal{C}_i$, for some $i \neq 8$. By repeating the arguments used for the maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ of class $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $i \neq 8$ (see Part *ii* below for class $\mathcal{C}_9$), for the maximal subgroups of symplectic, orthogonal and unitary groups, we get the conclusion.

Part ii. Subgroups of class $\mathcal{C}_9$

Suppose that G is of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ and let $Z(G)$ be its center. By the description of the subgroups of class $\mathcal{C}_9$, recalled in Section 2, the group $G/Z(G)$ is almost simple. Assume that the p -Sylow subgroup $Z(G)_p$ of $Z(G)$ is nontrivial. We recall that one of the p -Sylow subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(p^m)$ is the subgroup $\mathfrak{U}_n$ of the upper triangular matrices with all entries on the principal diagonal equal to 1. Let $M_1 \in Z(G)_p$. All the p -Sylow subgroups of G are conjugate, then there exist $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(p^l)$ and $M_2 \in \mathfrak{U}_n$ such that $M_1 = gM_2g^{-1}$. This is equivalent to $gM_1g^{-1} = M_2$ and since M_1 commutes with all $g \in G$, then $M_1 = M_2$. Thus M_1 is in upper triangular form too. Now let $h \in G$. Again, since M_1 is in the center of G , then $hM_1 = M_1h$. This implies that h itself should be in triangular form, which is a contradiction with our assumption that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible G -module. Therefore $Z(G)_p$ is trivial implying $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. It is well-known that the restriction map

$$H^1(Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p]) \rightarrow H^1(Z(G)_p, \mathcal{A}[p])$$

is injective on the p -primary part of $H^1(Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p])$ (see for example [39, Theorem 4, Chap. IX, §2]). Since $\mathcal{A}[p] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ is a p -group, the p -primary part of $H^1(Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p])$ is the whole group. Then $H^1(Z(G)_p, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ implies $H^1(Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. By the inflation-restriction exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G/Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p]^{Z(G)}) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) \rightarrow H^1(Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p])^{G/Z(G)},$$

we have $H^1(G/Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p]^{Z(G)}) \simeq H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$ and, in particular $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G/Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p]^{Z(G)}) \simeq H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$. So it suffices to prove $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G/Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p]^{Z(G)}) = 0$. Since $Z(G)$ is a normal subgroup of G , with the same argument used in the proof of Lemma 3.10, we have that $\mathcal{A}[p]^{Z(G)}$ is a G -submodule of $\mathcal{A}[p]$. Being $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is an irreducible G -module, we

deduce that $\mathcal{A}[p]^{Z(G)}$ is either trivial or it is the whole $\mathcal{A}[p]$. In the first case obviously $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G/Z(G), \mathcal{A}[p]^{Z(G)}) = 0$. If $\mathcal{A}[p]^{Z(G)} = \mathcal{A}[p]$, then we have to prove that $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\tilde{G}, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, where $\tilde{G} = G/Z(G)$ is an almost simple group. To ease notation, we will prove $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, whenever G is an almost simple group. In this case G contains a simple group S and it is contained in the automorphism group of S

$$S \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(S). \quad (3.7)$$

In particular, if G is a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$, then S is a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$ too. So we will consider the possible simple groups S contained in $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$, for a certain n . Observe that S is not a cyclic group by (3.7). The classification of the finite simple groups is well-known, as well as the list of their automorphisms groups. One of the most complete references in the literature is Wilson's book on finite simple groups [43]. Following that text, we will divide the simple groups in four classes: alternating groups, sporadic groups, classical groups and exceptional groups. We will consider the twisted exceptional group (i.e. the Ree groups, the Suzuki groups, the group ${}^3D_4(q)$ and the group ${}^2E_6(q)$) among the exceptional groups. We will also call groups of Lie type the classical and the exceptional groups.

Alternating groups

Assume that S is an alternating group A_N , for some positive integer N . Since the cardinality of the outer automorphism group of A_N divides 4 for all N , then we may assume without loss of generality that $G = S$. By [25, Proposition 5.3.7 (i)], we have that the minimal degree for a representation of A_N in $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$, for $n \geq 9$, is $N - 2$, i.e. $n \geq N - 2$. Then $N \leq n + 2$. Since $|A_{n+2}| = \frac{(n+2)!}{2}$, if $p > n/2 + 1$, then $p^2 \nmid |A_{n+2}|$. In particular p^2 does not divide the cardinality of every possible subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(p^m)$ of type C_9 isomorphic to A_N , for every positive integer N (for $n \geq 9$). We have $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p > n/2 + 1$, $n \geq 9$. We will treat the case when $n \leq 8$ in Part *iii.* below, showing that for all n the bound $p > n/2 + 1$ is indeed sufficient for the triviality of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$.

Sporadic groups

Assume that S is a sporadic group. Then $p = 13$ is the greatest prime number such that p^2 could eventually divide its cardinality (this is the case of the Monster group).

Furthermore, for every sporadic group, the outer automorphism group is either trivial or cyclic of order 2. Then for $p > 13$, we have $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. If $n \geq 24$, the bound $p > n/2 + 1$ covers the case of sporadic groups too, as well as the cases of the groups of geometric type and of the alternating groups. We will see in Part *iii.* below that the same bound $p > n/2 + 1$ assures the triviality of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$, when G is a sporadic group, for all $n \leq 23$.

Groups of Lie type

Now assume that S is neither alternating, nor sporadic. If $p > 3$, then the automorphisms of the field $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, generated by the Frobenius map $f : x \mapsto x^p$, are the only automorphisms of S , whose order can be divided by p (see for instance [43]). The Frobenius automorphisms form a group of outer automorphisms of S isomorphic to C_m . Then we have outer automorphisms of S with order divided by p if and only if $p \mid m$ and we may assume $G \simeq S.C_m$. Being $\mathcal{A}[p]$ very strongly irreducible, the vanishing of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p])$ implies the vanishing of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$, by Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.3. So it suffices to prove $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all groups S of Lie type, whenever $p > n/2 + 1$. We are going to consider two distinct situations: when the characteristic of the field of definition of S is different from p (the so-called cross characteristic case in the literature) and when the characteristic of the field of definition of S is equal to p (the so-called defining characteristic case).

Cross characteristic case

If the characteristic of the field of definition of S is different from p , then we have an explicit lower bound for the degrees of the representations of S , as one can see in [25, Table 5.3.A, pag. 188] (see also [26] and [24]). For instance, if S is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(r^\alpha)$, for some odd prime r and some positive integer α , then $n \geq \frac{r^\alpha - 1}{2}$ (by [25, Table 5.3.A, pag. 188]). In this case $r^\alpha \leq 2n + 1$. Being $r \neq p$, if a prime p does not divide $(r^\alpha + 1)(r^\alpha - 1)$, then p does not divide the cardinality of $\text{PSL}_2(r^\alpha)$. Observe that every odd prime $p \neq r$ that divides $r^\alpha + 1$ does not divide $r^\alpha - 1$ and the other way around (as in the case of groups of class C_6). Moreover $r^\alpha + 1 \leq 2n + 2$. Suppose $p > n/2 + 1$. Then $p^2 > n^2/4 + n + 1$. We have $n^2/4 + n + 1 > 2n + 2$, for all $n > 4$. Therefore the p -Sylow subgroup of S is either trivial or cyclic and $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for

every $n > 4$. We will treat the case when $n = 4$ in Part *iii.* below.

If S is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}_2(2^\alpha)$, the bound for n is $n \geq 2^\alpha - 1$ (see again [25, Table 5.3.A, pag. 188]). With analogous arguments as for odd primes r , if $p > n/2 + 1$, then p^2 does not divide $(2^\alpha + 1)(2^\alpha - 1)$, for all $n \geq 4$ and $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.

Now suppose $S = \text{PSL}_t(r^\alpha)$, for some $t \leq n$ and $r \neq p$. The bound for n is $n \geq (r^\alpha)^{t-1} - 1$ [25, Table 5.3.A, pag. 188]. Then $(r^\alpha)^{t-1} \leq n + 1$, implying $t - 1 \leq \log_{r^\alpha}(n + 1)$. Observe that $n - 1 > \log_{r^\alpha}(n + 1)$, for all $n \geq 4$. Thus $t \leq n - 1$. On the other hand, the bound $n \geq (r^\alpha)^{t-1} - 1$ implies $r^\alpha \leq \sqrt[t-1]{n+1}$. As above, since $p \neq r$, if $p \nmid \prod_{i=2}^t ((r^\alpha)^i - 1)$, then p does not divide the cardinality of $\text{PSL}_t(r^\alpha)$. Let $p > n/2 + 1$. Since $n \geq (r^\alpha)^{t-1} - 1$, we have $p > \frac{n}{2} + 1 \geq \frac{(r^\alpha)^{t-1} - 1}{2} + 1$. Observe that $\frac{(r^\alpha)^{t-1} - 1}{2} + 1 > (r^\alpha)^i - 1$, for all $i \leq t - 2$. Therefore all $p > n/2 + 1$ do not divide each factor in the product $\prod_{i=2}^{t-2} ((r^\alpha)^i - 1)$. Consider the last two factors $(r^\alpha)^{t-1} - 1$ and $(r^\alpha)^t - 1$. If $p \mid (r^\alpha)^{t-1} - 1$ and $p \mid (r^\alpha)^t - 1$, then $p \mid (r^\alpha)^{t-1}(r^\alpha - 1)$. Since $p \neq r$ and we have proved $p > r^\alpha - 1$, then p divides at most one factor in the product $\prod_{i=2}^t ((r^\alpha)^i - 1)$. By $r^\alpha \leq \sqrt[t-1]{n+1}$, we have $(r^\alpha)^{t-1} - 1 \leq \sqrt[t-1]{(n+1)^{t-1}} - 1 = n$. If $p > n/2 + 1$, then $p^2 > \frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1 > n > \frac{((r^\alpha)^{t-1} - 1)^2}{4} + (r^\alpha)^{t-1}$. One can easily verify that $\frac{((r^\alpha)^{t-1} - 1)^2}{4} + (r^\alpha)^{t-1} \geq (r^\alpha)^t - 1$, for all r when $t > 3$ and for all $r^\alpha \geq 4$ when $t = 3$. In these cases $p^2 \nmid |\text{PSL}_t(r^\alpha)|$ and then the p -Sylow subgroup of S is either trivial or cyclic, implying $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. We are left with the cases when $t = 3$ and $r \leq 3$. If $r = 2$, we have $\prod_{i=2}^t ((r^\alpha)^i - 1) = (2^2 - 1)(2^3 - 1) = 3 \cdot 7$. Again, for every $p \neq 2$, the p -Sylow subgroup of S is either trivial or cyclic, implying $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. If $r = 3$, then $\prod_{i=2}^t ((r^\alpha)^i - 1) = (3^2 - 1)(3^3 - 1) = 2^4 \cdot 13$. As above $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p \neq 2$.

Now suppose $S = \text{PU}_t(r^\alpha)$, for some $t \leq n$ and $r \neq p$. To ease notation, from now on let $r^\alpha = w$. When n is odd, the bound is $n \geq w \frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{w + 1}$, and, when n is even, the bound is $n \geq \frac{w^t - 1}{w + 1}$ [25, Table 5.3.A, pag. 188]. Suppose that n is odd. Observe that $\frac{w}{w+1} \geq \frac{2}{3}$, for every w . If a prime p does not divide $\prod_{i=2}^t (w^i - (-1)^i)$, then it does not divide the cardinality of $S = \text{PU}_t(w)$. Let $p > n/2 + 1$. Owing to $n \geq w \frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{w + 1}$ and $\frac{w}{w+1} \geq \frac{2}{3}$, we get $p > \frac{n}{2} + 1 \geq \frac{w}{2} \frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{w + 1} + 1 \geq \frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{3} + 1$. Observe that $\frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{3} + 1 \geq w^{t-3} + 1$, for all w and all $t \geq 3$. Thus, in particular $\frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{3} + 1 \geq w^{t-3} - (-1)^{t-3}$, for all w and all $t \geq 3$. We consider separately the cases when $t \neq 2$ and $t = 2$. Assume first that $t \neq 2$. We have observed that p does not divide the product $\prod_{i=2}^{t-3} (w^i - (-1)^i)$. We are left with the factors $w^i - (-1)^i$, for

$t - 2 \leq i \leq t$. Suppose that p divides both $w^{t-1} - (-1)^{t-1}$ and $w^t - (-1)^t$. Then p divides $w^{t-1} - (-1)^{t-1} + w^t - (-1)^t = w^{t-1} + w^t = w^{t-1}(1 + w)$. Being $p \neq r$, then $p \nmid w$ and $p \mid 1 + w$. In particular $p \leq 1 + w$, i.e. $w \geq p - 1$. Thus $p > \frac{n}{2} + 1 \geq \frac{w}{2} \frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{w + 1} + 1 \geq \frac{p-1}{2} \frac{(p-1)^{t-1} - 1}{p} + 1$, if and only if $p - 1 > \frac{p-1}{2} \frac{(p-1)^{t-1} - 1}{p}$, if and only if $\frac{(p-1)^{t-1} - 1}{2p} < 1$. Since $t \neq 2$ this is a contradiction (recall $p > 3$). In the same way one can prove that p cannot divide both $w^{t-2} - (-1)^{t-2}$ and $w^{t-1} - (-1)^{t-1}$. Suppose that p divides both $w^{t-2} - (-1)^{t-2}$ and $w^t - (-1)^t$. Then p divides $w^t - (-1)^t - (w^{t-2} - (-1)^{t-2}) = w^t - w^{t-2} = w^{t-2}(w^2 - 1) = w^{t-2}(w + 1)(w - 1)$. Being $p \neq r$, then either p divides $w + 1$ or p divides $w - 1$. In both cases $p \leq 1 + w$ and we have already shown that this is a contradiction. Thus every $p > n/2 + 1$ cannot divide more than one factor in the product $\prod_{i=t-2}^t ((w)^i - (-1)^i)$ and in particular p could divide at most one of the factors with $t - 2 \leq i \leq t$. We are going to prove that p^2 divides no factor in that product. We have $w^i - (-1)^i \leq w^t + 1$, for each $t - 2 \leq i \leq t$. Since $n \geq w \frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{w + 1}$, then $p > n/2 + 1$ implies $p^2 > n^2/4 + n + 1 \geq \frac{1}{4} \left(w \frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{w + 1} \right)^2 + w \frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{w + 1} + 1$. One can easily verifies that $\frac{1}{4} \left(w \frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{w + 1} \right)^2 + w \frac{w^{t-1} - 1}{w + 1} + 1 \geq w^t + 1$, for all w , when $t \geq 4$ and for all $w \geq 7$, when $t = 3$. In these cases p^2 does not divide the cardinality of $\text{PU}_t(w)$ and the p -Sylow subgroup of S is either trivial or cyclic, implying $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. We are left with the groups $\text{PU}_3(2)$, $\text{PU}_3(3)$, $\text{PU}_3(4)$ and $\text{PU}_3(5)$. By computing their cardinalities, one easily verifies that the p -Sylow subgroup of S is trivial or cyclic, for all $p > 3$. Thus $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. Assume that $t = 2$, then $\prod_{i=2}^t ((w)^i - (-1)^i) = w^2 - 1 = (w - 1)(w + 1)$. Since $p \neq r$ and $p \neq 2$, then p divides at most one factor in the product. Moreover, the bound for n is $n \geq w \frac{w - 1}{w + 1}$. Thus $p > n/2 + 1$ implies $p^2 > \frac{w^2 (w - 1)^2}{4 (w + 1)^2} + w \frac{w - 1}{w + 1} + 1$. $\frac{w^2 (w - 1)^2}{4 (w + 1)^2} + w \frac{w - 1}{w + 1} + 1 \geq w + 1$ if and only if $\frac{w (w - 1)^2}{4 (w + 1)^2} + \frac{w - 1}{w + 1} \geq 0$ and this is obviously true for all w . So $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. If n is even the bound is $n \geq \frac{w^t - 1}{w + 1}$. With reasonings very much akin to the ones used for odd n , one can get $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.

The other minimal bounds for n appearing in [25, Table 5.3.A, pag. 188], when S is a classical group in cross characteristic, are very similar to the ones already discussed. So, again with arguments that are very much akin to the ones already shown when S is the projective special linear group or the projective unitary group, one can verify that $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p > n/2 + 1$, whenever S is a classical group of Lie type in cross characteristic.

Assume that S is the exceptional group $E_8(w)$. In this case the lower bound for the dimension of the representation is $n \geq w^{27}(w^2 - 1)$ (again [25, Table 5.3.A, pag. 188]). Thus $w^{27} \leq \frac{n}{w^2 - 1} \leq \frac{n}{3}$, i.e. $w \leq \sqrt[27]{\frac{n}{3}}$. A prime $p \neq r$ divides the cardinality of $E_8(w)$ if and only if it divides the product $\prod_{i=0}^3(w^{6i+2} - 1) \prod_{i=2}^5(w^{6i} - 1)$. We have $\prod_{i=0}^3(w^{6i+2} - 1) \prod_{i=2}^5(w^{6i} - 1)$. Let $p > n/2 + 1$. Then $p > \frac{w^{27}(w^2 - 1)}{2} + 1$. Observe that $\frac{w^{27}(w^2 - 1)}{2} + 1 \geq w^{24} - 1$. Then p can divide only the greatest factor $w^{30} - 1$ in the product $\prod_{i=0}^3(w^{6i+2} - 1) \prod_{i=2}^5(w^{6i} - 1)$. Since $w \leq \sqrt[27]{\frac{n}{3}}$, we have $w^{30} - 1 \leq \sqrt[27]{(\frac{n}{3})^{30}} - 1$. As above, if $p > n/2 + 1$, then $p^2 > n^2/4 + n + 1$. We want to show that $\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1 \geq \sqrt[27]{(\frac{n}{3})^{30}} - 1$, for all $n \geq 4$. The inequality $\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 1 \geq \sqrt[27]{(\frac{n}{3})^{30}} - 1$ is equivalent to $(\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 2)^{27} \geq (\frac{n}{3})^{30}$. Clearly $(\frac{n^2}{4} + n + 2)^{27} - (\frac{n}{3})^{30} \geq 0$, for all $n \geq 4$. Thus $p^2 > \sqrt[27]{(\frac{n}{3})^{30}} - 1 \geq w^{30} - 1$. We have again that the p -Sylow subgroup of S is either trivial or cyclic and $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. In similar ways, using the bounds in [25, Table 5.3.A, pag. 188], one sees that the assumption $p > \frac{n}{4} + 1$ is always sufficient to get the conclusion $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, when S is an exceptional group of Lie type in cross characteristic.

Defining characteristic case

Assume that the characteristic of the field of definition of S is p . We have that S is a classical group of Lie type (i.e. a projective classic matrix group), with dimension $t < n$ (where $t = 2h$, for some positive integer h , when $S = \text{PSp}_t(q)$ is a projective symplectic group or S is a projective orthogonal group of type $+$ or $-$), or an exceptional group of Lie type (see [25, Table 5.4.C, pag. 200]). If S is a classical group of Lie type of dimension $t < n$, we have already showed in the proof of Lemma 3.10 that $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p > n/2 + 1$. Assume that S is an exceptional group of Lie type. By [9] (see in particular Table (4.5) at page 186) and [10] (see in particular Table (4.3) at page 193), the cohomology group $H^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p])$ is trivial, for all $p > 3$, when n is the possible minimal degree of the representation of S and when n is the dimension of the Lie algebra with automorphism group S (in this case S has a natural representation in dimension n and this often coincides with the representation of S with minimal degree). If the representation of S is neither the minimal nor the natural one, then we can proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.10. Here we repeat the same arguments used in that proof for the reader's convenience, since some of the bounds for p are different for the exceptional groups. We first consider the groups S that are not twisted. Let $L(\lambda)$ denote the

irreducible G -module of highest weight λ . In [41, Theorem 1.2.3] the authors prove that the first cohomology group $H^1(S, L(\lambda))$ is trivial, for all $p > 3$, when S is one of the groups $E_6(q)$ or $G_2(q)$ and λ is a fundamental dominant weight (or it is less than a fundamental dominant weight). In [41, Theorem 1.2.3] the authors also prove that $H^1(S, L(\lambda))$ is trivial, for all $p > 31$, when $S = E_8(q)$ and λ is a fundamental dominant weight (or it is less than a fundamental dominant weight). If $p > n/2 + 1$ with $p < 31$, then $n < 2p - 2 < 60$. Since the minimal degree for the representation of $E_8(q)$ is 248 (see [25, Table 5.4.C, pag. 200]), then $H^1(S, L(\lambda))$ is trivial, for all $p > n/2 + 1$. If S is not one of the groups $E_6(q)$, $G_2(q)$ and $E_8(q)$ in [41, Theorem 1.2.3] there are other bounds for p (similar to $p > 31$ when $S = E_8(q)$) to have the triviality of $H^1(S, L(\lambda))$, with λ a fundamental dominant weight (or less than a fundamental dominant weight). With very easy computations, akin to that for $S = E_8(q)$, one sees that $H^1(S, L(\lambda))$ is trivial, for all $p > n/2 + 1$, when S is every possible exceptional group of Lie type, not twisted, and λ is a fundamental dominant weight (or it is less than a fundamental dominant weight). As mentioned above, since we are assuming that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is irreducible, then $\mathcal{A}[p] = L(\lambda)$, for some dominant weight λ (see [21] and [7]). Again we have a decomposition $L(\lambda) = \otimes_{i=1}^s L(\omega_i)$, where s is a positive integer and ω_i is a fundamental dominant weight, for every $1 \leq i \leq s$. Thus $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p]) \simeq H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \otimes_{i=1}^s L(\omega_i))$, for certain fundamental weights ω_i and the group S acts on a tensor product decomposition. In particular S acts on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ in the same way as the subgroups of class $\mathcal{C}_4$ (see [25, §4.4]) or more generally the group S acts on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as prescribed by Steingberg's Tensor Product Theorem (see [40]). The same situation happened in a part of the proof of Lemma 3.10. We have $S = \otimes_{i=1}^s S_i$, with S_i acting on $L(\omega_i)$, for every i . Since the mentioned Theorem 1.2.3 in [41] assures the triviality of $H^1(S_i, L(\omega_i))$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, by applying Lemma 3.7 (and Remark 3.9), one deduces the triviality of $H_{\text{loc}}^1(S, \mathcal{A}[p])$. We have to prove the same conclusion for twisted groups of Lie type. If we assume that $p > 3$, then we have neither Suzuki groups nor Ree groups in the defining characteristic (see [43] for further details). We are left with groups ${}^2E_6(q)$ and ${}^3D_4(q)$. The group ${}^2E_6(q)$ is a subgroup of $E_6(q^2)$ modulo scalars (see [43, 4.11]). We may apply Shapiro's Lemma (see for instance [27, Theorem 4.19] or [42, Lemma 6.3.2 and Lemma 6.3.4]) to get

$$H^1\left(E_6(q^2), \text{Ind}_{{}^2E_6(q)}^{E_6(q^2)} \mathcal{A}[p]\right) \simeq H^1({}^2E_6(q), \mathcal{A}[p]),$$

with $\text{Ind}_{{}^2E_6(q)}^{E_6(q^2)}$ denoting the induced G -module $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \sigma_i(\mathcal{A}[p])$, where $s := [E_6(q^2) : {}^2E_6(q)]$.

$E_6(q)$ is the index of ${}^2E_6(q)$ in $E_6(q^2)$ (see [27, Definition 4.18]), σ_i varies in a system of left coset representatives of H in G and $\sigma_i(\mathcal{A}[p])$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}[p]$, for every $1 \leq i \leq s$. Being $H^1(G, -)$ and additive functor for every group G , we get

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^s H^1(E_6(q^2), \sigma_i(\mathcal{A}[p])) \simeq H^1({}^2E_6(q), \mathcal{A}[p]).$$

We have already proved that $H_{\text{loc}}^1(E_6(q^2), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, under the assumption that $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is irreducible. Therefore $H_{\text{loc}}^1(E_6(q^2), \sigma_i(\mathcal{A}[p])) = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, and $H_{\text{loc}}^1({}^2E_6(q), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. The group ${}^3D_4(q)$ is a subgroup of $\Omega_8^+(q^3)$. The cited results in [9] and in [41] hold for $\Omega_8^+(q^3)$. Then we may apply all the arguments as above to get $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega_8^+(q^3), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ and deduce $H_{\text{loc}}^1({}^3D_4(q), \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, by Shapiro's Lemma.

Part iii. The cases when $4 \leq n \leq 23$

In accordance with Part *i.*, the bound $p > n/2 + 1$ is sufficient, whenever G is a subgroup of class $\mathcal{C}_i$, with $1 \leq i \leq 8$. In Part *ii.* we showed that for all $n > 4$ the bound $p > n/2 + 1$ is sufficient, whenever G is a subgroup of class $\mathcal{C}_9$, which is neither an alternating group, nor a sporadic group. In addition, If G is an alternating group or a sporadic group, we have proved that the same bound works respectively for all $n \geq 9$ and for all $n \geq 24$. Thus for $n = 4$ we are going to control case by case that the bound $p > n/2 + 1$ is sufficient for groups of class $\mathcal{C}_9$; in addition for $5 \leq n \leq 8$ and $5 \leq n \leq 23$ we are going to control that the same bound works respectively for alternating groups and sporadic groups.

$n = 4$

Let $d := \gcd(q - 1, 4)$. By the classification of the maximal subgroups of $\text{SL}_4(q)$ appearing in [3, Table 8.9, pag. 381], we have the following maximal subgroups of class $\mathcal{C}_9$.

- (a) the group A_7 , only if $q = p = 2$;
- (b) the group $C_d \circ C_2 \cdot \text{PSL}_2(7)$, for $q = p \equiv 1, 2, 4 \pmod{7}$, $p \neq 2$;
- (c) the group $C_d \circ C_2 \cdot A_7$, for $q = p \equiv 1, 2, 4 \pmod{7}$, $p \neq 2$;
- (d) the group $C_d \circ C_2 \cdot \text{U}_4(2)$, for $q = p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.

In cases **(a)**, **(b)**, **(c)** and **(d)** the p -Sylow subgroups of G is either trivial or cyclic for all $p \geq 3$. Then we get the conclusion.

$n = 5$

We have to prove $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p > 3$. By the classification of the maximal subgroups of $\text{SL}_5(q)$ appearing in [3, Table 8.19, pag. 386], among the groups of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ there are no alternating groups and the only sporadic group is the Mathieu group M_{11} (only if $q = 3$). Since M_{11} has cardinality $2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, then for every $p \geq 5$, the p -Sylow subgroup of G is either trivial or cyclic. So the bound $p > 3$ works.

$n = 6$

We have to prove $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p > 3$. The maximal subgroups of $\text{SL}_6(q)$ of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ concerning the cases of alternating groups or sporadic groups are the ones appearing in the following list (see [3, Table 8.25, pag. 389])

- (a) a group of type $\mathcal{C}_9$, the group $C_2 \times C_3 A_6.C_2$;
- (b) a group of type $\mathcal{C}_9$, the group $C_2 \times C_3 A_6$;
- (c) a group of type $\mathcal{C}_9$, the group $C_6 A_6$;
- (d) a group of type $\mathcal{C}_9$, the group $C_6 A_7$;
- (e) a group of type $\mathcal{C}_9$, the group $C_2 M_{12}$.

Some of the cases in the list can occur only under certain conditions of q . Since the proof does not depend on those conditions, we avoided to write them, to ease the notation.

One easily verifies that in all cases **(a)**, **(b)**, **(c)**, **(d)** and **(e)**, the p -Sylow subgroup of G is either trivial or cyclic, for all $p > 3$. Thus $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for every $p \geq 5$.

$n = 7$ and $n = 8$

In accordance with [3, Table 8.36, pag. 395] and [3, Table 8.45, pag. 399] for all q there are neither alternating groups nor sporadic groups that are maximal subgroups respectively of $\text{SL}_7(q)$ and $\text{SL}_8(q)$.

$n = 9$

In accordance with [3, Table 8.55, pag. 406] there are no maximal subgroups of $\text{SL}_9(q)$ of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ corresponding to the case of sporadic groups.

$n = 10$

Let $d := \gcd(q-1, 10)$. The maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_{10}(q)$ of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ corresponding to the case of sporadic groups are (see [3, Table 8.61, pag. 410])

- (d) $C_d \circ C_2; M_{12}$ (where M_{12} is the Mathieu group of order $2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$), for $q = p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$;
- (e) $C_d \circ C_2; M_{12}.C_2$, for $q = p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$;
- (f) $C_d \circ C_2; M_{22}$ (where M_{22} is the Mathieu group of order $2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$), for $q = p \equiv 11, 15, 23 \pmod{28}$;
- (g) $C_d \circ C_2; M_{22}.C_2$, for $q = p \equiv 1, 9, 25 \pmod{28}$;

Observe that $d|10$, but $d \neq 5$, when $p = 5$. Then, in all the cases (a), (b), (c) and (d), for all $p \geq 5$, the p -Sylow subgroup G_p of G is isomorphic to a subgroup of one of the groups M_{12} and M_{22} , that have cardinalities respectively $2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ and $2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$. Thus G_p is either trivial or cyclic, for all $p \geq 5$. In particular we have $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$, for all $p \geq 7$, as required.

$11 \leq n \leq 23$

In [22], the authors list all the possible subgroups of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ of $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$, for every $n \leq 250$, excluding the groups of Lie type in the defining characteristic (see also [23]). In particular they show all possible maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ corresponding to the case of sporadic groups. Proceeding as for $n \leq 10$, by analyzing the tables in [22], one sees that even when $11 \leq n \leq 23$ the first local cohomology group $H_{\mathrm{loc}}^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$ is trivial for all $p > n/2 + 1$.

We can conclude, that for all $n \geq 2$, when $p > \frac{n}{2} + 1$ the local-global divisibility by p holds in $\mathcal{A}$ over k . $\square$

About the bound for p we can make the following considerations.

Remark 3.16. A likely sharp bound. Looking at our proofs for $n \in \{2, 3\}$ and $n \geq 4$ and looking at the tables listing all the maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ for all $4 \leq n \leq 12$ in [3] and the tables listing all the maximal subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_n(q)$ except the groups of Lie type in the defining characteristic in [22], one sees that the bound $p > n/2 + 1$ is probably sharp in many cases. In fact, for $p \leq n/2 + 1$, the p -Sylow subgroup of G could be a direct

product of two cyclic groups C_p (look for examples at the 3-Sylow subgroup of A_7 , when $n = 4$, or at the 3-Sylow subgroup M_{11} when $n = 5$, and so on). When the p -Sylow subgroup G_p of G is isomorphic to C_p^2 , the local-global divisibility may fail as in the mentioned examples produced in [14], [16] and in [29], [30], [31]. In principle one could rise those examples to similar ones for all n . So the local-global principle for divisibility by p could fail for $p \leq n/2 + 1$, when $G_p \simeq C_p^2$. It is also interesting that the bound $p > n/2 + 1$ is what we need to apply [41, Theorem 1.2.3] in the proof of Lemma 3.10. In fact (as already stated for groups of class $\mathcal{C}_8$ that are symplectic groups), when $n = 2t$, then $n/2 + 1$ is exactly equal to the $t + 1$ appearing in that proof. This gives an evidence that the bound $n/2 + 1$ should be necessary in many cases. In fact that bound appears independently both in the part of the proof of our Theorem 1.4 concerning Lie groups in cross characteristic and in the mentioned Theorem 1.2.3 in [41]. Anyway for some n , the bound $p > n/2 + 1$ is not sharp; for instance for $n = 10$, with a bit of computation one can see that the bound $p \geq 5$ is sufficient to get the conclusion of Theorem 1.4. In those cases G_p is trivial or cyclic even for some $p \leq n/2 + 1$. We will now replace the bound $p > n/2 + 1$ with a bound $p > p_n$, that is probably sharp, where p_n is a prime less or equal than $n/2 + 1$, depending only on n , for every n . Indeed, we will have that the p_n -Sylow subgroup of G can be isomorphic to $C_{p_n}^2$ and the local-global principle for divisibility by p_n can fail. We cannot prove that the bound p_n is really sharp, only because we cannot prove that there exists a commutative algebraic group $\mathcal{A}$ with a prescribed p_n -torsion subgroup $\mathcal{A}[p_n]$ such that G_{p_n} is exactly a group for which the principle fails. We can only deduce from the proof of Theorem 1.4 that the group G_{p_n} *could* be isomorphic to $C_{p_n}^2$ and that this surely does not happen when $p > p_n$. By eventually changing the field of definition k , it is likely that we can have $G_{p_n} \simeq C_{p_n}^2$. This still does not assure that $H_{\text{loc}}^1(G_{p_n}, \mathcal{A}[p_n]) = 0$. But among so many commutative algebraic groups $\mathcal{A}$ and number fields k , for each n , we expect that this happens for at least one of them, as in the case when $n = 2$ for elliptic curves. We are going to give a new version of Theorem 1.4 with such a bound p_n .

For every n , let ρ_n be the smallest prime such that, for all $p > \rho_n$ the square p^2 divides no cardinalities of the maximal subgroups of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ of $\text{GL}_n(q)$, excluding the groups of Lie type in the defining characteristic. Moreover let $2t_n$ be the greatest dimension of a projective symplectic group which is a subgroup of class $\mathcal{C}_9$ of $\text{SL}_n(p^m)$ in the defining characteristic case. In addition, when $n = r^t$, for some prime r and some positive integer

t , let $\mathfrak{p}_n$ be the smallest prime such that for all $p > \mathfrak{p}_n$, the square p^2 does not divide $\prod_{i=1}^t (r^{2i} - 1)$. Observe that then p^2 divides no cardinalities of the subgroups of class $\mathcal{C}_6$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$. If n is not a power of a prime, there are no subgroups of class $\mathcal{C}_6$ in $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$, so set $\mathfrak{p}_n = 1$ in that case. It is then clear from the proof of Theorem 3.1, that we can give a new version of Theorem 1.4 as follows.

Theorem 3.17. *Let p be a prime number. Let k be a number field and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative algebraic group defined over k , with $\mathcal{A}[p] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$. For every n , there exists a prime p_n , depending only on n , such that if $p > p_n$ and $\mathcal{A}[p]$ is a very strongly irreducible G_k -module or a direct sum of very strongly irreducible G_k -modules, then the local-global divisibility by p holds in $\mathcal{A}$ over k and $\mathrm{III}(k, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. Moreover $p_n = \max\{p_d, t_n + 1, \mathfrak{p}_n, \rho_n\}$, where d is the greatest divisor of n .*

We now proceed with the proofs of the corollaries stated in Section 1, that can be quickly deduced from the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.5 By the proof of Theorem 3.1 Part *i.*, concerning subgroups of class $\mathcal{C}_6$, one easily deduces that for $p > n + 1$, the p -Sylow subgroup G_p of G is trivial. Thus $H^1(G_p, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. It is well-known that the restriction map

$$H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) \rightarrow H^1(G_p, \mathcal{A}[p])$$

is injective on the p -primary part of $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$ (see for example [39, Theorem 4, Chap. IX, §2]). Since $\mathcal{A}[p] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ is a p -group, the p -primary part of $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p])$ is the whole group. Then $H^1(G_p, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$ implies $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.6 In the proof of Theorem 3.1 Part *ii.*, we showed that if $p > n/2 + 1$, then p^2 does not divide the cardinality of any subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(p^m)$, which is a group of Lie type in cross characteristic, except a few cases when $n = 3$ and $r^\alpha \leq 5$. If $n = 3$ and $r^\alpha \leq 5$, then every $p > 63$ does not divide the cardinality of any group of this type. So, if $p > \max\left\{63, \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)^2\right\}$, then p does not divide the cardinality of any subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(p^m)$, which is a group of Lie type in cross characteristic and $H^1(G_p, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. As in the proof of Corollary 1.5, this implies $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$. $\square$

Remark 3.18. In the same way as Corollary 1.6 and Corollary 1.5 one sees that

- a) if $p > n + 2$ and the absolute Galois group G_k acts on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as a subgroup of an alternating group, then $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.
- b) if $p > 13$ and the absolute Galois group G_k acts on $\mathcal{A}[p]$ as a subgroup of a sporadic group, then $H^1(G, \mathcal{A}[p]) = 0$.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to John van Bon, Gabriele Ranieri and Jacob Stix for useful discussions. I wrote a part of this paper at the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn. I would like to warmly thank all people there for their kind hospitality and for the excellent work conditions. I am also grateful to Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica “F. Severi” that partially supported this research with grant “Assegno di ricerca Ing. Giorgio Schirillo”. Finally, I would like to thank the anonymous referee for his precious and constructive remarks, that allow me to improve the paper and shorten the proofs of Lemma 3.2 and its corollaries.

References

- [1] ASCHBACHER, *On the maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups*, Invent. Math., **76** (1984), 469-514.
- [2] BAŠMAKOV M. I., *The cohomology of abelian varieties over a number field*, Russian Math. Surveys., **27** (1972) (English Translation), 25-70.
- [3] BRAY J. N., HOLT D. F., RONEY-DOUGAL C. M., *The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [4] BRAUER R., *On finite projective groups*, 63-82, in [*Contributions to Algebra: A Collection of Papers Dedicated to Ellis Kolchin* edited by Bass H., Cassidy P. J., Kovacic J., Academic Press, New York, 1977].
- [5] CASSELS J. W. S., *Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. III. The Tate-Šafarevič and Selmer groups.*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **12** (1962), 259-296.
- [6] CASSELS J. W. S., *Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. IV. Proof of the Hauptvermutung.*, J. reine angew. Math. **211** (1962), 95-112.

- [7] CHEVALLEY C., *Les poides dominants*, Séminaire Claude Chevalley, Tome 2 (1956-58), exp. no. 19.
- [8] ÇIPERIANI M., STIX J., *Weil-Châtelet divisible elements in Tate-Shafarevich groups II: On a question of Cassels.*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **700** (2015), 175-207.
- [9] CLINE E., PARSHALL B., SCOTT L., *Cohomology of finite groups of Lie type, I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **45** (1975), 169-191.
- [10] CLINE E., PARSHALL B., SCOTT L., *Cohomology of finite groups of Lie type, II*, J. Algebra **45** no. 1 (1977), 182-198.
- [11] CREUTZ B., *Locally trivial torsors that are not Weil-Châtelet divisible*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **45** (2013), 935-942.
- [12] CREUTZ B., *On the local-global principle for divisibility in the cohomology of elliptic curves*, Math. Res. Lett., **23** no. 2 (2016), 377-387.
- [13] DVORNICICH R., PALADINO L., *Local-global questions for divisibility in commutative algebraic groups*, arXiv:1706.03726.
- [14] DVORNICICH R., ZANNIER U., *Local-global divisibility of rational points in some commutative algebraic groups*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **129** (2001), 317-338.
- [15] DVORNICICH R., ZANNIER U., *An analogue for elliptic curves of the Grunwald-Wang example*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **338** (2004), 47-50.
- [16] DVORNICICH R., ZANNIER U., *On local-global principle for the divisibility of a rational point by a positive integer*, Bull. Lon. Math. Soc., **39** (2007), 27-34.
- [17] GILLIBERT F., RANIERI G., *On the local-global divisibility of torsion points on elliptic curves and GL_2 -type varieties*, J. Number Theory, **174** (2017), 202-220.
- [18] GILLIBERT F., RANIERI G., *On the local-global divisibility over abelian varieties*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, **68** no. 2 (2018), 847-873.
- [19] GILLIBERT F., RANIERI G., *On the local-global divisibility over GL_2 -type varieties* <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1703.06235.pdf>

- [20] ILLENGO M., *Cohomology of integer matrices and local-global divisibility on the torus*, Le Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, **20** (2008), 327-334.
- [21] HISS G., *Finite groups of Lie type and their representations* in: C. M. Campbell, M. R. Quick, E. F. Robertson, C. M. Roney-Dougal, G. C. Smith and G. Traustason (Eds.), *Groups St Andrews 2009 in Bath*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011, pp. 1-40.
- [22] HISS G., MALLE G., *Low-dimensional representations of quasi-simple groups*, LMS J. Comput. Math. **4** (2001), 22-63.
- [23] HISS G., MALLE G., *Corrigenda: Low-dimensional representations of quasi-simple groups*, Corrigenda: "Low-dimensional representations of quasi-simple groups" [LMS J. Comput. Math. **4** (2001), 22-63; MR1835851]. LMS J. Comput. Math. **5** (2002), 95-126.
- [24] HOFFMAN C., *Cross characteristic projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups*, Journal of Algebra, **229** (2000), 666-677.
- [25] KLEIDMAN P. B., LIEBECK M. W., *The subgroups structure of the finite classical groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [26] LANDAZURI V., *On the minimal degree of projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups*, Journal of Algebra, **32** (1974), 418-443.
- [27] NEUKIRCH J., *Class Field Theory-The Bonn Lectures- Edited by Alexander Schmidt*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [28] NORI M. V., *On subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$* , Invent. Math., **88** (1987), 257-275.
- [29] PALADINO L., *Local-global divisibility by 4 in elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$* , Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata, **189** no. 1 (2010), 17-23.
- [30] PALADINO L., *Elliptic curves with $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E}[3]) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ and counterexamples to local-global divisibility by 9*, Le Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, **22** no. 1 (2010), 138-160.
- [31] PALADINO L., *On counterexamples to local-global divisibility in commutative algebraic groups*, Acta Arithmetica, **148** no. 1 (2011), 21-29.

- [32] PALADINO L., RANIERI G., VIADA E., *On Local-Global Divisibility by p^n in elliptic curves*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, **44** no. 5 (2012), 789-802.
- [33] PALADINO L., RANIERI G., VIADA E., *On minimal set for counterexamples to the local-global principle*, Journal of Algebra, **415** (2014), 290-304.
- [34] RANIERI G., *Counterexamples to the local-global divisibility over elliptic curves*, Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata, **197** no. 4 (2018), 1215-1225.
- [35] ROBINSON D.J.S., *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [36] ROTMAN J.J., *Introduction to the Theory of Groups*, 4th ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [37] SANSUC J.-J., *Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres. (French) [The Brauer group and arithmetic of linear algebraic groups on a number field]*, J. Reine Angew. Math. , **327** (1981), 12-80.
- [38] SERRE J.-P., *Algebraic groups and class fields*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1988.
- [39] SERRE J.-P., *Local fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [40] STEINBERG, *Representation of algebraic groups*, Nagoya Math. J. **22** (1963), 33-56.
- [41] UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA VIGRE ALGEBRA GROUP, *First cohomology for finite groups of lie type: Simple modules with small dominant weights*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **365** no. 2 (2013), 1025-1050.
- [42] WEIBEL C. A., *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [43] WILSON R. A., *The finite simple groups*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2009.
- [44] WONG S., *Power residues on abelian variety*, Manuscripta Math., no. **102** (2000), 129-137.

Laura Paladino

Department of Mathematics

University of Pisa

Largo Bruno Pontecorvo, 5

56127 Pisa

Italy

e-mail addresses: laura.paladino@dm.unipi.it, paladino@mat.unical.it