

REAL EMBEDDING AND EQUIVARIANT ETA FORMS

BO LIU

ABSTRACT. In [BZ93], Bismut and Zhang establish a mod \mathbb{Z} embedding formula of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer reduced eta invariants. In this paper, we explain the hidden mod \mathbb{Z} term as a spectral flow and extend this embedding formula to the equivariant family case. In this case, the spectral flow is generalized to the equivariant chern character of some equivariant Dai-Zhang higher spectral flow.

Keywords: Equivariant eta form; index theory and fixed point theory; higher spectral flow; direct image.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58J20, 58J28, 58J30, 58J35.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. Equivariant eta forms	4
1.1. Clifford algebras	4
1.2. Bismut superconnection	5
1.3. Equivariant eta forms	7
1.4. Functoriality	12
2. Embedding of equivariant eta forms	14
2.1. Embedding of submersions	14
2.2. Embedding of the geometric families	16
2.3. Atiyah-Hirzebruch direct image	17
2.4. Main result	18
3. Proof of Theorem 2.7	21
3.1. Embedding of equivariant eta invariants	21
3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.7	28
References	32

0. INTRODUCTION

Let $i : Y \rightarrow X$ be an embedding between two odd dimensional closed oriented spin manifolds. For any Hermitian vector bundle μ over Y carrying a Hermitian connection, under a natural assumption, Bismut and Zhang [BZ93] establish a mod \mathbb{Z} formula, expressing the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer reduced eta invariant [APS75] of certain direct image of μ over X , through the reduced eta invariant of the bundle μ over Y , up to some geometric Chern-Simons current.

In this paper, we explain the hidden mod \mathbb{Z} term as a spectral flow in Bismut-Zhang embedding formula and extend this embedding formula to the equivariant family case. In this case, the spectral flow is generalized to the equivariant chern character of some equivariant Dai-Zhang higher spectral flow [DZ98].

The main motivation of this generalization is to look for a general Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem in the equivariant differential K-theory, which is already established in many important cases [FL10, BuS09, BuS13]. Roughly speaking, the differential K-theory is the smooth version of the arithmetic K-theory in Arakelov geometry. Our main result here is expected to play the same role in the equivariant differential K-theory of the Bunke-Schick model [BuS09, BuS13, Liu16] as the Bismut-Lebeau embedding formula [BL92] does in the proof of Arithmetic Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem in Arakelov geometry.

In this paper, we use the language of the Clifford modules.

Let $\pi : W \rightarrow B$ be a smooth submersion of smooth oriented manifolds with closed fibres Y . Let $TY = TW/B$ be the relative tangent bundle to the fibres Y . Let $T_\pi^H W$ be a horizontal subbundle of TW . Let g^{TY} be a Riemannian metric on TY . Let $C(TY)$ be the Clifford algebra bundle of (TY, g^{TY}) and $(\mathcal{E}, h^\mathcal{E})$ be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded self-adjoint $C(TY)$ -module with Clifford connection $\nabla^\mathcal{E}$ (cf. (1.18) and (1.22)). Let G be a compact Lie group which acts on W and B such that for any $g \in G$, $\pi \circ g = g \circ \pi$. We assume that the action of G preserves the horizontal bundle and the orientation of TY and could be lifted on \mathcal{E} such that it is compatible with the Clifford action and the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. We assume that g^{TY} , $h^\mathcal{E}$, $\nabla^\mathcal{E}$ are G -invariant. For any $g \in G$, if the group action is trivial on B , the equivariant Bismut-Cheeger eta form $\tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}) \in \Omega^*(B, \mathbb{C})/\text{Im } d$ is defined in Definition 1.4 up to exact forms with respect to the equivariant geometric family $\mathcal{F} = (W, \mathcal{E}, T_\pi^H W, g^{TY}, h^\mathcal{E}, \nabla^\mathcal{E})$ (cf. Definition 1.1) over B and a perturbation operator \mathcal{A} (cf. Definition 1.3). Remark that if B is a point and $\dim Y$ is odd, then the equivariant eta form here degenerates to the canonical equivariant reduced eta invariant by taking a special perturbation operator [Liu16, Remark 2.20].

Let $i : W \rightarrow V$ be an equivariant embedding of smooth G -equivariant oriented manifolds with even codimension. Let $\pi_V : V \rightarrow B$ be a G -equivariant submersion with closed fibres X , whose restriction $\pi_W : W \rightarrow B$ is an equivariant submersion with closed fibres Y . We assume that G acts on B trivially and the normal bundle $N_{Y/X}$ to Y in X has an equivariant Spin^c structure.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & W \\ i \downarrow & & i \downarrow \searrow \pi_W \\ X & \longrightarrow & V \xrightarrow{\pi_V} B. \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_Y = (W, \mathcal{E}_Y, T_{\pi_W}^H W, g^{TY}, h^{\mathcal{E}_Y}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_Y})$ and $\mathcal{F}_X = (V, \mathcal{E}_X, T_{\pi_V}^H V, g^{TX}, h^{\mathcal{E}_X}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_X})$ be two equivariant geometric families over B such that $(T_{\pi_W}^H W, g^{TY})$ and $(T_{\pi_V}^H V, g^{TX})$ satisfy the totally geodesic condition (2.11).

We state our main result of this paper as follows.

Theorem 0.1. *Assume that the equivariant geometric families \mathcal{F}_Y and \mathcal{F}_X satisfy the fundamental assumption (2.13) and (2.15). Let \mathcal{A}_Y and \mathcal{A}_X be the perturbation operators with respect to \mathcal{F}_Y and \mathcal{F}_X . Then for any compact submanifold K of B , there exists $T_0 > 2$ such that for any $T \geq T_0$, modulo exact forms, over K , we have*

$$(0.1) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_X, \mathcal{A}_X) = \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{A}_Y) + \int_{X^g} \widehat{A}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X) \\ + \text{ch}_g(\text{sf}_G\{D(\mathcal{F}_X) + \mathcal{A}_X, D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}\}).$$

Here $\gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X)$ is the equivariant Bismut-Zhang current defined in Definition 2.3. The last term in (0.1) is the equivariant chern character of the equivariant Dai-Zhang higher spectral flow which explains the mod \mathbb{Z} term in the original Bismut-Zhang embedding formula.

The proof of our main result here is highly related to the analytical localization technique developed in [BL92, B95, B97, BM04]. Thanks to the functoriality of equivariant eta forms proved in [Liu16, Liu17], we only need to prove the embedding formula when B is a point and $\dim X$ is odd.

Note that in [Z05, FXZ09], the authors give another proof of the Bismut-Zhang embedding formula without using the analytical localization technique. It is interesting to ask whether there is another proof of our main result here from that line.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we summarize the definition and the properties of equivariant eta forms in [Liu16] using the language of Clifford modules. In Section 2, we state our main result. In this section, we also discuss an application on the equivariant Atiyah-Hirzebruch direct image. In Section 3, we prove our main result in two parts. In Section 3.1, we prove Theorem 0.1 when the base space is a point using some intermediary results along the lines of [BZ93], the proof of which rely on almost identical arguments of [BZ93, B95]. In Sections 3.2, we explain how to use the functoriality to reduce Theorem 0.1 to the case in Section 3.1.

To simplify the notations, we use the Einstein summation convention in this paper.

In the whole paper, we use the superconnection formalism of Quillen [Q85].

For a fibre bundle $\pi : V \rightarrow B$, we will often use the integration of the differential forms along the oriented fibres X in this paper. Since the fibres may be odd dimensional, we must make precise our sign conventions. Let α be a differential form on V which in local coordinates is given by

$$(0.2) \quad \alpha = f \cdot \pi^* dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \pi^* dy^q \wedge dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n,$$

where $\{dy^p\}$ and $\{dx^i\}$ are the local frames of T^*B and T^*X , respectively. We set

$$(0.3) \quad \int_X \alpha = dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^q \cdot \int_X f dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n.$$

1. EQUIVARIANT ETA FORMS

In this section, we summarize the definition and the properties of equivariant eta forms in [Liu16, Liu17] using the language of Clifford modules. Note that locally all manifolds are spin. The proofs of them are the same as in the spin case. In Section 1.1, we recall elementary results on Clifford algebras. In Section 1.2, we describe the geometry of the fibration and recall the Bismut superconnection. In Section 1.3, we define the equivariant eta form and state the anomaly formula. In Section 1.4, we explain the functoriality of the equivariant eta forms.

1.1. Clifford algebras. Let E^n be an oriented Euclidean vector space, such that $\dim E^n = n$, with orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Let $C(E^n)$ be the complex Clifford algebra of E^n defined by the relations

$$(1.1) \quad e_i e_j + e_j e_i = -2\delta_{ij}.$$

Sometimes, we also denote by $c(e)$ the element of $C(E^n)$ corresponding to $e \in E^n$.

If $e \in E^n$, let $e^* \in (E^n)^*$ correspond to e by the scalar product of E^n . The exterior algebra $\Lambda(E^n)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a module of $C(E^n)$ defined by

$$(1.2) \quad c(e)\alpha = e^* \wedge \alpha - \iota_e \alpha$$

for any $\alpha \in \Lambda(E^n)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. The map $a \mapsto c(a) \cdot 1$, $a \in C(E^n)$, induces an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$(1.3) \quad \sigma : C(E^n) \rightarrow \Lambda(E^n)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}.$$

If n is even, up to isomorphism, $C(E^n)$ has a unique irreducible module, $\mathcal{S}(E^n)$, which is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded. We denote this \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of the spinor by τ . Moreover, there are isomorphisms of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebras

$$(1.4) \quad C(E^n) \simeq \text{End}(\mathcal{S}(E^n)) \simeq \mathcal{S}(E^n) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(E^n)^*.$$

We consider the group Spin_n^c as a multiplicative subgroup of the group of units of $C(E^n)$. For the definition and the properties of the group Spin_n^c , see [LM89, Appendix D]. Note that $\mathcal{S}(E^n)$ is also an irreducible representation of Spin_n^c induced by the Clifford action.

Let F^m be another oriented Euclidean vector space such that $\dim F^m = m$, with orthonormal basis $\{f_p\}_{1 \leq p \leq m}$. Then as Clifford algebras,

$$(1.5) \quad c(F^m \oplus E^n) \simeq c(F^m) \widehat{\otimes} c(E^n).$$

Moreover, We have $\mathcal{S}(F^m \oplus E^n) \simeq \mathcal{S}(F^m) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(E^n)$.

If one of m and n is even, we simply assume m is even, the spinor $\mathcal{S}(F^m \oplus E^n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}(F^m) \otimes \mathcal{S}(E^n)$ with the Clifford actions

$$(1.6) \quad c(f_p) \widehat{\otimes} 1 \mapsto c(f_p) \otimes 1, \quad 1 \widehat{\otimes} c(e_i) \mapsto \tau \otimes c(e_i).$$

If m and n are both odd, let

$$(1.7) \quad \Gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The spinor $\mathcal{S}(F^m \oplus E^n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}(F^m) \otimes \mathcal{S}(E^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ with Clifford actions

$$(1.8) \quad c(f_p) \widehat{\otimes} 1 \mapsto c(f_p) \otimes 1 \otimes \Gamma_1, \quad 1 \widehat{\otimes} c(e_i) \mapsto 1 \otimes c(e_i) \otimes \Gamma_2.$$

The \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of $\mathcal{S}(F^m \oplus E^n)$ under this isomorphism is

$$(1.9) \quad \text{Id}_{\mathcal{S}(F^m)} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{S}(E^n)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let τ^Λ be the canonical \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of $\Lambda(F^m)$. Then $\Lambda(F^m) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(E^n)$ could be regarded as $\Lambda(F^m) \otimes \mathcal{S}(E^n)$ with

$$(1.10) \quad \alpha \widehat{\otimes} 1 \mapsto \alpha \otimes 1 \quad 1 \widehat{\otimes} c(e_i) \mapsto \tau^\Lambda \otimes c(e_i)$$

for $\alpha \in \Lambda(F^m)$. Indeed,

$$(1.11) \quad (\alpha \otimes 1)(\tau^\Lambda \otimes c(e_i)) = -(\tau^\Lambda \otimes c(e_i))(\alpha \otimes 1).$$

We abbreviate $c(f_p) \widehat{\otimes} 1$, $\alpha \widehat{\otimes} 1$, $1 \widehat{\otimes} c(e_i)$ by $c(f_p)$, α , $c(e_i)$. Then our notation here is the same as the usual one in [B86]. The purpose of writing in this way is to explain the extension of some fibrewise operators in the following sections to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded setting clearly.

1.2. Bismut superconnection. Let $\pi : W \rightarrow B$ be a smooth submersion of smooth oriented manifolds with closed fibres Y . We assume that B is connected. Remark that W here is not assumed to be connected.

Let $TY = TW/B$ be the relative tangent bundle to the fibres Y . Then TY is orientable. Let $T_\pi^H W$ be a horizontal subbundle of TW such that

$$(1.12) \quad TW = T_\pi^H W \oplus TY.$$

The splitting (1.12) gives an identification

$$(1.13) \quad T_\pi^H W \cong \pi^* TB.$$

If there is no ambiguity, we will omit the subscript π in $T_\pi^H W$.

Let g^{TY} , g^{TB} be Riemannian metrics on TY , TB . We equip $TW = T^H W \oplus TY$ with the Riemannian metric

$$(1.14) \quad g^{TW} = \pi^* g^{TB} \oplus g^{TY}.$$

Let ∇^{TW} , ∇^{TB} be the Levi-Civita connections on (W, g^{TW}) , (B, g^{TB}) . Let P^{TY} be the projection $P^{TY} : TW = T^H W \oplus TY \rightarrow TY$. Set

$$(1.15) \quad \nabla^{TY} = P^{TY} \nabla^{TW} P^{TY}.$$

Then ∇^{TY} is a Euclidean connection on TY .

Let $\nabla^{TB, TY}$ be the connection on $TW = T^H W \oplus TY$ defined by

$$(1.16) \quad \nabla^{TB, TY} = \pi^* \nabla^{TB} \oplus \nabla^{TY}.$$

Then $\nabla^{TB, TY}$ preserves the metric g^{TW} in (1.14).

Set

$$(1.17) \quad S = \nabla^{TW} - \nabla^{TB, TY}.$$

Then S is a 1-form on W with values in antisymmetric elements of $\text{End}(TW)$. By [B86, Theorem 1.9], we know that ∇^{TY} and the $(3,0)$ -tensor $g^{TW}(S(\cdot), \cdot)$ only depend on $(T^H W, g^{TY})$.

Let $C(TY)$ be the Clifford algebra bundle of (TY, g^{TY}) , whose fibre at $x \in W$ is the Clifford algebra $C(T_x Y)$ of the Euclidean vector space $(T_x Y, g^{T_x Y})$. A \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded self-adjoint $C(TY)$ -module,

$$(1.18) \quad \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_+ \oplus \mathcal{E}_-,$$

is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector bundle equipped with a Hermitian metric $h^\mathcal{E}$ preserving the splitting (1.18) and a fiberwise Clifford multiplication c of $C(TY)$ such that the action c restricted to TY is skew-adjoint on $(\mathcal{E}, h^\mathcal{E})$. Let $\tau^\mathcal{E}$ be the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of \mathcal{E} which is ± 1 on \mathcal{E}_\pm .

For a locally oriented orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_n of TY , we define the chirality operator on \mathcal{E} by

$$(1.19) \quad \Gamma = \begin{cases} (\sqrt{-1})^{n/2} c(e_1) \cdots c(e_n), & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ \text{Id}_\mathcal{E}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that our definition here is different from [BGV, Lemma 3.17] when n is odd. Then Γ does not depend on the choice of the basis and is globally defined. We note that $\Gamma^2 = 1$ and $[\tau^\mathcal{E}, \Gamma] = 0$. Set

$$(1.20) \quad \tau^{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}} = \tau^\mathcal{E} \cdot \Gamma.$$

Then $(\tau^{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}})^2 = 1$.

Locally, we could write

$$(1.21) \quad \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S}_0(TY) \widehat{\otimes} \xi_\pm,$$

where $\mathcal{S}_0(TY)$ is the spinor bundle for the (possibly non-existent) spin structure of TY and ξ_\pm is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector bundle. Then Γ , $\tau^{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}}$ and $\tau^\mathcal{E}$ correspond to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -gradings of $\mathcal{S}_0(TY)$, ξ_\pm and $\mathcal{S}_0(TY) \widehat{\otimes} \xi_\pm$.

Let $\nabla^\mathcal{E}$ be a Clifford connection on \mathcal{E} associated with ∇^{TY} , that is, $\nabla^\mathcal{E}$ preserves $h^\mathcal{E}$ and the splitting (1.18) and for any $U \in TW$, $Z \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, TY)$,

$$(1.22) \quad [\nabla_U^\mathcal{E}, c(Z)] = c(\nabla_U^{TY} Z).$$

Let G be a compact Lie group which acts on W and B such that for any $g \in G$, $\pi \circ g = g \circ \pi$. We assume that the action of G preserves the splitting (1.12) and the orientation of TY and could be lifted on \mathcal{E} such that it is compatible with the Clifford action and preserves the splitting (1.18). We assume that g^{TY} , $h^\mathcal{E}$, $\nabla^\mathcal{E}$ are G -invariant.

Definition 1.1. (Compare with [BuS09, Definition 2.2], [Liu16, Definition 1.1]) An equivariant geometric family \mathcal{F} over B is a family of G -equivariant geometric data

$$(1.23) \quad \mathcal{F} = (W, \mathcal{E}, T^H W, g^{TY}, h^\mathcal{E}, \nabla^\mathcal{E})$$

described as above. We call the equivariant geometric family \mathcal{F} is even (resp. odd) if for any connected component of fibres, the dimension of it is even (resp. odd).

Let $D(\mathcal{F})$ be the fiberwise Dirac operator

$$(1.24) \quad D(\mathcal{F}) = c(e_i)\nabla_{e_i}^{\mathcal{E}}$$

associated with the equivariant geometric family \mathcal{F} . Then the G -action commutes with $D(\mathcal{F})$.

For $b \in B$, let \mathcal{E}_b be the set of smooth sections over Y_b of \mathcal{E}_b . As in [B86], we will regard \mathcal{E} as an infinite dimensional fibre bundle over B . If $V \in TB$, let $V^H \in T^HW$ be its horizontal lift in T^HW so that $\pi_*V^H = V$. For any $V \in TB$, $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(B, \mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, \mathcal{E})$, by [BF86, Proposition 1.4], the connection

$$(1.25) \quad \nabla_V^{\mathcal{E}, u} s := \nabla_{V^H}^{\mathcal{E}} s - \frac{1}{2} \langle S(e_i)e_i, V^H \rangle s$$

preserves the L^2 -product on \mathcal{E} . Let $\{f_p\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of TB and $\{f^p\}$ be its dual. We denote by $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}, u} = f^p \wedge \nabla_{f_p}^{\mathcal{E}, u}$. Let T be the torsion of $\nabla^{TB, TY}$. We denote by $c(T) = \frac{1}{2} c(T(f_p^H, f_q^H)) f^p \wedge f^q \wedge \cdot$. By [B86, (3.18)], the rescaled Bismut superconnection $\mathbb{B}_u : \mathcal{C}^\infty(B, \Lambda(T^*B) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(B, \Lambda(T^*B) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E})$ is defined by

$$(1.26) \quad \mathbb{B}_u = \sqrt{u}D(\mathcal{F}) + \nabla^{\mathcal{E}, u} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{u}}c(T).$$

Obviously, the Bismut superconnection \mathbb{B}_u commutes with the G -action. Furthermore, \mathbb{B}_u^2 is a 2-order elliptic differential operator along the fibres Y . Let $\exp(-\mathbb{B}_u^2)$ be the family of heat operators associated with the fiberwise elliptic operator \mathbb{B}_u^2 . From [BGV, Theorem 9.51], we know that $\exp(-\mathbb{B}_u^2)$ is a smooth family of smoothing operators.

Let P be a section of $\Lambda(T^*B) \widehat{\otimes} \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$. Set

$$(1.27) \quad \text{Tr}_s[P] := \text{Tr}[\tau^{\mathcal{E}} P].$$

Here the trace operator on the right hand side of (1.27) only acts on \mathcal{E} and takes values in $\Lambda(T^*B)$. We denote by $\text{Tr}_s^{\text{odd/even}}[P]$ the part of $\text{Tr}_s[P]$ which takes values in odd or even forms. We use the convention that if $\omega \in \Lambda(T^*B)$,

$$(1.28) \quad \text{Tr}_s[\omega P] = \omega \text{Tr}_s[P].$$

It is compatible with the sign convention (0.3). Set

$$(1.29) \quad \widetilde{\text{Tr}}[P] = \begin{cases} \text{Tr}_s[P], & \text{if } \dim Y \text{ is even;} \\ \text{Tr}_s^{\text{odd}}[P], & \text{if } \dim Y \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

1.3. Equivariant eta forms. In this subsection, we state the definition and the anomaly formula of equivariant eta forms in the language of Clifford modules.

We assume that G acts trivially on B .

Take $g \in G$ and set $W^g = \{x \in W : gx = x\}$. Then W^g is a submanifold of W and $\pi|_{W^g} : W^g \rightarrow B$ is a fibre bundle with closed fibres Y^g . Let $N_{W^g/W}$ denote the normal bundle of W^g in W , then $N_{W^g/W} = TY/TY^g$. We also denote it by $N_{Y^g/Y}$.

We denote the differential of g by dg which gives a bundle isometry $dg : N_{Y^g/Y} \rightarrow N_{Y^g/Y}$. Since g lies in a compact abelian Lie group, we know that there is an orthonormal decomposition of smooth vector bundles over W^g

$$(1.30) \quad TY|_{W^g} = TY^g \oplus N_{Y^g/Y} = TY^g \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < \theta \leq \pi} N(\theta),$$

where $dg|_{N(\pi)} = -\text{id}$ and for each θ , $0 < \theta < \pi$, $N(\theta)$ is a complex vector bundle on which dg acts by multiplication by $e^{i\theta}$. Since g preserves the orientation of TY and $\det(dg|_{N(\pi)}) = 1$, by the property of isometry, $\dim N(\pi)$ is even. So the normal bundle $N_{Y^g/Y}$ is even dimensional.

Observe that if $N(\pi) = 0$ or if TY has a G -equivariant Spin^c structure, then TY^g is canonically oriented (cf. [BGV, Proposition 6.14], [Liu17, Proposition 2.1]). In general, TY^g is not necessarily oriented. For simplicity, in this paper we assume that TY^g is oriented. In this case $N(\pi)$ is oriented. We fix an orientation of TY^g which is induced by the orientations of N_θ and TY .

We remark here that if the fixed point sets are not oriented, we could also get the formulas in this paper in the sense of Berezin integral as in [BGV, Theorem 6.16].

Let E be an equivariant real Euclidean vector bundle over W . We could get the decomposition of real vector bundles over W^g in the same way as (1.30),

$$(1.31) \quad E|_{W^g} = \bigoplus_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} E(\theta).$$

Here we also denote $E(0)$ by E^g .

Let ∇^E be an equivariant Euclidean connection on E . Then it preserves the decomposition (1.31). Let ∇^{E^g} and $\nabla^{E(\theta)}$ be the corresponding induced connections on E^g and $E(\theta)$, and let R^{E^g} and $R^{E(\theta)}$ be the corresponding curvatures.

Set

$$(1.32) \quad \hat{\mathbb{A}}_g(E, \nabla^E) = \det^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} R^{E^g}}{\sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4\pi} R^{E^g} \right)} \right) \cdot \prod_{0 < \theta \leq \pi} \left(\sqrt{-1}^{\frac{1}{2} \dim E(\theta)} \det^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - g \exp \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^{E(\theta)} \right) \right) \right)^{-1}.$$

Let $\text{End}_{C(TY)}(\mathcal{E})$ be the set of endomorphisms of \mathcal{E} commuting with the Clifford action. Then it is a vector bundle over W . As in [BGV, Definition 3.28], for any $a \in \text{End}_{C(TY)}(\mathcal{E})$, we define the relative trace $\text{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}/S} : \text{End}_{C(TY)}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(1.33) \quad \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}/S}[a] = \begin{cases} 2^{-n/2} \text{Tr}_s[\Gamma a], & \text{if } n = \dim Y \text{ is even;} \\ 2^{-(n-1)/2} \text{Tr}_s[a], & \text{if } n = \dim Y \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let $R^\mathcal{E}$ be the curvature of $\nabla^\mathcal{E}$. Let

$$(1.34) \quad R^{\mathcal{E}/S} := R^\mathcal{E} - \frac{1}{4} \langle R^{TY} e_i, e_j \rangle c(e_i) c(e_j) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, \pi^* \Lambda(T^*B) \otimes \text{End}_{C(TY)}(\mathcal{E}))$$

be the twisting curvature of the $C(TY)$ -module \mathcal{E} as in [BGV, Proposition 3.43].

By [BGV, Lemma 6.10], along W^g , the action of $g \in G$ on \mathcal{E} may be identified with a section $g^\mathcal{E}$ of $C(N_{Y^g/Y}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_{C(TY)}(\mathcal{E})$. Let $\dim N_{Y^g/Y} = \ell_1$. Under the isomorphism (1.3), $\sigma(g^\mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W^g, (\Lambda N_{Y^g/Y}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_{C(TY)}(\mathcal{E}))$. Since we assume that $N_{Y^g/Y}$ is oriented, pairing with the volume form, we could get the highest degree coefficient $\sigma_{\ell_1}(g^\mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W^g, \text{End}_{C(TY)}(\mathcal{E}))$ of $\sigma(g^\mathcal{E})$.

Then we could define the localized relative Chern character $\text{ch}_g(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^\mathcal{E}) \in \Omega^*(W^g, \mathbb{C})$ in the same way as [BGV, Definition 6.13] by

$$(1.35) \quad \text{ch}_g(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^\mathcal{E}) := \frac{2^{\ell_1/2}}{\det^{1/2}(1 - g|_{N_{Y^g/Y}})} \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}} \left[\sigma_{\ell_1}(g^\mathcal{E}) \exp \left(-\frac{R^{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}}|_{W^g}}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right) \right].$$

Note that if TY has an equivariant spin structure, the localized relative Chern character here is just the usual equivariant Chern character.

Recall that if B is compact, the equivariant K -group $K_G^0(B)$ is the Grothendieck group of the equivalent classes of the equivariant vector bundles over B . Let $\iota : B \rightarrow B \times S^1$ be a G -equivariant inclusion map. It is well known that if the G -action on S^1 is trivial,

$$(1.36) \quad K_G^1(B) \simeq \ker(\iota^* : K_G^0(B \times S^1) \rightarrow K_G^0(B)).$$

For $x \in K_G^0(B)$, $g \in G$, the classical equivariant Chern character map sends x to $\text{ch}_g(x) \in H^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{C})$. By (1.36), for $x \in K_G^1(B)$, we can regard x as an element $x' \in K_G^0(B \times S^1)$. The odd equivariant Chern character map

$$(1.37) \quad \text{ch}_g : K_G^1(B) \longrightarrow H^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{C})$$

is defined by (cf. [Liu17, (2.52)])

$$(1.38) \quad \text{ch}_g(x) := \int_{S^1} \text{ch}_g(x').$$

We adopt the sign notation in the integral as in (0.3).

Furthermore, the classical construction of Atiyah-Singer assigns to each equivariant geometric family \mathcal{F} its equivariant (analytic) index $\text{ind}(D(\mathcal{F})) \in K_G^*(B)$ [AS69, ASIV] ($*$ = 0 or 1 corresponds to \mathcal{F} is even or odd).

For $\alpha \in \Omega^j(B)$, set

$$(1.39) \quad \psi_B(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \cdot \alpha, & \text{if } j \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \right)^{\frac{j-1}{2}} \cdot \alpha, & \text{if } j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2. [Liu17, Theorem 2.2] *For any $u > 0$ and $g \in G$, the differential form $\psi_B \widetilde{\text{Tr}}[g \exp(-\mathbb{B}_u^2)] \in \Omega^*(B, \mathbb{C})$ is closed and its cohomology class is independent of u . As $u \rightarrow 0$,*

$$(1.40) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \psi_B \widetilde{\text{Tr}}[g \exp(-\mathbb{B}_u^2)] = \int_{Y^g} \widehat{A}_g(TY, \nabla^{TY}) \text{ch}_g(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^\mathcal{E}).$$

If B is compact, the differential form $\psi_B \widetilde{\text{Tr}}[g \exp(-\mathbb{B}_u^2)]$ represents $\text{ch}_g(\text{ind}(D(\mathcal{F})))$.

Definition 1.3. [Liu16, Definition 2.10] A perturbation operator with respect to $D(\mathcal{F})$, denoted by \mathcal{A} , is defined to be a smooth family of G -equivariant bounded

self-adjoint pseudodifferential operators on \mathcal{E} along the fibres such that it commutes (resp. anti-commutes) with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of \mathcal{E} when the fibres are odd (resp. even) dimensional, and $D(\mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{A}$ is invertible.

Remark that from [Liu16, Proposition 2.3], if B is compact and at least one component of the fibres has the non-zero dimension, then there exists a perturbation operator with respect to $D(\mathcal{F})$ if and only if $\text{ind}(D(\mathcal{F})) = 0 \in K_G^*(B)$.

In the followings, we always assume that there exists a perturbation operator with respect to $D(\mathcal{F})$ on \mathcal{F} .

For $\alpha \in \Lambda(T^*(\mathbb{R} \times B))$, we can expand α in the form

$$(1.41) \quad \alpha(u) = du \wedge \alpha_0(u) + \alpha_1(u), \quad \alpha_0(u), \alpha_1(u) \in \Lambda(T^*B).$$

Set

$$(1.42) \quad [\alpha(u)]^{du} := \alpha_0(u).$$

Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a cut-off function such that

$$(1.43) \quad \chi(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u \leq 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } u \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a perturbation operator with respect to $D(\mathcal{F})$. Then \mathcal{A} could be extended to $1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(B, \pi^* \Lambda(T^*B) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E})$ as in (1.10). Explicitly, the extended perturbation operator $1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ which acts along the fibres Y on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(B, \pi^* \Lambda(T^*B) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E})$ is considered as $\tau^\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(B, \pi^* \Lambda(T^*B) \otimes \mathcal{E})$ and $\alpha \widehat{\otimes} 1 \mapsto \alpha \otimes 1$. Then as in (1.11), we have

$$(1.44) \quad (\alpha \widehat{\otimes} 1)(1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) = -(1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})(\alpha \widehat{\otimes} 1).$$

We usually abbreviate $1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ by \mathcal{A} when there is no confusion. Set

$$(1.45) \quad \mathbb{B}'_u = \mathbb{B}_u + \sqrt{u} \chi(\sqrt{u}) \mathcal{A}.$$

Definition 1.4. [Liu16, Definition 2.11] For any $g \in G$, modulo exact forms, the equivariant Bismut-Cheeger eta form with perturbation operator \mathcal{A} is defined by

$$(1.46) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}) := - \int_0^\infty \left\{ \psi_{\mathbb{R} \times B} \widehat{\text{Tr}} \left[g \exp \left(- \left(\mathbb{B}'_u + du \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)^2 \right) \right] \right\}^{du} du \\ \in \Omega^*(B, \mathbb{C}) / \text{Im } d.$$

As in (1.11) and (1.44), we adopt the convention that du anti-commutes with \mathcal{A} and $c(v)$ for any $v \in TY$.

From the discussion in [Liu16, Section 2.3], the equivariant eta form with perturbation in Definition 1.4 is well defined and does not depend on the cut-off function. Moreover, since we assume that Y^g is oriented, we have (cf. [Liu16, (2.44)])

$$(1.47) \quad d^B \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}) = \int_{Y^g} \widehat{A}_g(TY, \nabla^{TY}) \text{ch}_g(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^\mathcal{E}).$$

Remark 1.5. After changing the variable, we have

$$(1.48) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}) = - \int_0^\infty \left\{ \psi_{\mathbb{R} \times B} \widetilde{\text{Tr}} \left[g \exp \left(- \left(\mathbb{B}'_{u^2} + du \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)^2 \right) \right] \right\}^{du} du.$$

We will often use this formula as the definition of the equivariant eta form.

Explicitly,

$$(1.49) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}) = \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \psi_B \text{Tr}_s^{\text{even}} \left[g \frac{\partial \mathbb{B}'_{u^2}}{\partial u} \exp(-(\mathbb{B}'_{u^2})^2) \right] du \\ \quad \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{C}) / \text{Im } d, \text{ if } \mathcal{F} \text{ is odd;} \\ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{-1}} \psi_B \text{Tr}_s \left[g \frac{\partial \mathbb{B}'_{u^2}}{\partial u} \exp(-(\mathbb{B}'_{u^2})^2) \right] du \\ \quad \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{C}) / \text{Im } d, \text{ if } \mathcal{F} \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

From [Liu16, Remark 2.20], when B is a point, $\dim Y$ is odd, letting $\mathcal{A} = P_{\ker D(\mathcal{F}_Y)}$ be the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of $D(\mathcal{F}_Y)$, the equivariant eta form $\tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A})$ is just the equivariant reduced eta invariant defined in [D78]. Note that from (1.49), if B is a point and $\dim Y$ is even, we have $\tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for any perturbation operator \mathcal{A} .

Let $\mathcal{F} = (W, \mathcal{E}, T^H W, g^{TY}, h^\mathcal{E}, \nabla^\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{F}' = (W, \mathcal{E}, T^H W, g'^{TY}, h'^\mathcal{E}, \nabla'^\mathcal{E})$ be two equivariant geometric families over B . Let

$$\left(\widetilde{\hat{\mathbb{A}}}_g \cdot \widetilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) (\nabla^{TY}, \nabla'^{TY}, \nabla^\mathcal{E}, \nabla'^\mathcal{E}) \in \Omega^*(W^g, \mathbb{C}) / \text{Im } d$$

be the Chern-Simons form (cf. [MM07, Appendix B]) such that

$$(1.50) \quad d \left(\widetilde{\hat{\mathbb{A}}}_g \cdot \widetilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) (\nabla^{TY}, \nabla'^{TY}, \nabla^\mathcal{E}, \nabla'^\mathcal{E}) \\ = \hat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TY, \nabla'^{TY}) \text{ch}_g(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}, \nabla'^\mathcal{E}) - \hat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TY, \nabla^{TY}) \text{ch}_g(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^\mathcal{E}).$$

When B is compact, let $\text{sf}_G\{(D(\mathcal{F}') + \mathcal{A}', P'), (D(\mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{A}, P)\} \in K_G^*(B)$, which we often simply denote by $\text{sf}_G\{D(\mathcal{F}') + \mathcal{A}', D(\mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{A}\}$, be the equivariant Dai-Zhang higher spectral flow defined in [Liu16, Definition 2.5, 2.6], where P, P' are the orthonormal projections onto the eigenspaces of positive eigenvalues with respect to $D(\mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{A}, D(\mathcal{F}') + \mathcal{A}'$ respectively. If B is a point and $\dim Y$ is odd, it is just the canonical equivariant spectral flow.

The following anomaly formula is proved in [Liu16, Theorem 2.17] and [Liu17, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$ be perturbation operators with respect to $D(\mathcal{F}), D(\mathcal{F}')$ respectively. For any $g \in G$, modulo exact forms, we have*

(a) *if B is compact, then*

$$(1.51) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{A}') - \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}) = \int_{Y^g} \left(\widetilde{\hat{\mathbb{A}}}_g \cdot \widetilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) (\nabla^{TY}, \nabla'^{TY}, \nabla^\mathcal{E}, \nabla'^\mathcal{E}) \\ + \text{ch}_g(\text{sf}_G\{D(\mathcal{F}') + \mathcal{A}', D(\mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{A}\});$$

(b) if B is noncompact and there exists a smooth path $(\mathcal{F}_s, \mathcal{A}_s)$, $s \in [0, 1]$, connecting $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A})$ and $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{A}')$ such that for any $s \in [0, 1]$, \mathcal{A}_s is the perturbation operator of $D(\mathcal{F}_s)$, then

$$(1.52) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{A}') - \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{A}) = \int_{Y_g} \left(\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_g \cdot \tilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) \left(\nabla^{TY}, \nabla'^{TY}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla'^{\mathcal{E}} \right).$$

1.4. Functoriality. Let $\pi_M : U \rightarrow W$ be a G -equivariant submersion of smooth manifolds with closed oriented fibres M . Let $(\mathcal{E}_M, h^{\mathcal{E}_M})$ be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded self-adjoint equivariant $C(TM)$ -module. Let

$$(1.53) \quad \mathcal{F}_M = (U, \mathcal{E}_M, T_{\pi_M}^H U, g^{TM}, h^{\mathcal{E}_M}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_M})$$

be a G -equivariant geometric family over W . Then $\pi_Z := \pi \circ \pi_M : U \rightarrow B$ is a G -equivariant submersion with closed fibres Z , the orientation of which is the composition of the orientations of Y and M . Then we have the diagram of submersions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & U \\ & & \downarrow & \searrow \pi_M & \searrow \pi_Z \\ & & Y & \longrightarrow & W \longrightarrow B. \end{array}$$

Set $T_{\pi_M}^H Z := T_{\pi_M}^H U \cap TZ$. Then we have the splitting of smooth vector bundles over U ,

$$(1.54) \quad TZ = T_{\pi_M}^H Z \oplus TM,$$

and

$$(1.55) \quad T_{\pi_M}^H Z \cong \pi_M^* TY.$$

Take the geometric data $(T_{\pi_Z}^H U, g_T^{TZ})$ of π_Z such that $T_{\pi_Z}^H U \subset T_{\pi_M}^H U$,

$$(1.56) \quad g_T^{TZ} = \pi_M^* g^{TY} \oplus \frac{1}{T^2} g^{TM}$$

and $g^{TZ} = g_1^{TZ}$. We denote the Clifford algebra bundle with respect to g_T^{TZ} by $C_T(TZ)$ and the corresponding 1-form in (1.17) by S_T .

Let $\{e_i\}, \{f_p\}$ be local orthonormal frames of TM, TY with respect to g^{TM}, g^{TY} respectively. Now $\{Te_i\}$ is a local orthonormal frame of TM with respect to the rescaled metric $T^{-2}g^{TM}$. Let f_p^H be the horizontal lift of f_p with respect to (1.54). Now we define a Clifford algebra homomorphism

$$(1.57) \quad G_T : (C_T(TZ), g_T^{TZ}) \rightarrow (C(TZ), g^{TZ})$$

by $G_T(c_T(f_p^H)) = c(f_p^H)$ and $G_T(c_T(Te_i)) = c(e_i)$. Under this homomorphism,

$$(1.58) \quad \mathcal{E}_Z := \pi_M^* \mathcal{E}_Y \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_M$$

with induced Hermitian metric $h^{\mathcal{E}_Z}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded self-adjoint equivariant $C_T(TZ)$ -module. .

Let

$$(1.59) \quad {}^0\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_Z} := \pi_M^* \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_Y} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_M}.$$

Then it is a Clifford connection on \mathcal{E}_Z associated with

$$(1.60) \quad \nabla^{TY, TM} := \pi_M^* \nabla^{TY} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{TM}.$$

Now, we denote the Levi-Civita connection on TZ with respect to g_T^{TZ} by ∇_T^{TZ} . Then we could calculate that

$$(1.61) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_T^{\mathcal{E}_Z} := & \ ^0\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_Z} + \frac{1}{2} \langle S_T T e_i, f_p^H \rangle_{Tc_T} (T e_i) c(f_p^H) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \langle S_T f_p^H, f_q^H \rangle_{Tc} (f_p^H) c(f_q^H) \end{aligned}$$

is a Clifford connection associated with ∇_T^{TZ} , where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_T = g_T^{TZ}(\cdot, \cdot)$ (cf. [Liu17, (4.3)]). Thus we get a rescaled equivariant geometric family

$$(1.62) \quad \mathcal{F}_{Z, T} := (U, \mathcal{E}_Z, T_{\pi_Z}^H U, g_T^{TZ}, h^{\mathcal{E}_Z}, \nabla_T^{\mathcal{E}_Z})$$

over B . We write $\mathcal{F}_Z = \mathcal{F}_{Z, 1}$.

Let \mathcal{A}_M be a perturbation operator with respect to $D(\mathcal{F}_M)$. Then \mathcal{A}_M could be extended to $1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_M$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \pi_Z^* \Lambda(T^* B) \widehat{\otimes} \pi_M^* \mathcal{E}_Y \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_M)$ in the same way as $D(\mathcal{F}_M)$.

Explicitly, if $\dim Y$ is even, the extended perturbation operator $1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_M$ which acts along the fibres M on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \pi_Z^* \Lambda(T^* B) \widehat{\otimes} \pi_M^* \mathcal{E}_Y \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_M)$ is considered as $\tau^\Lambda \otimes \tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_M$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \pi_Z^* \Lambda(T^* B) \otimes \pi_M^* \mathcal{E}_Y \otimes \mathcal{E}_M)$ and $\alpha \widehat{\otimes} 1 \widehat{\otimes} 1 \mapsto \alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1$, $1 \widehat{\otimes} c(f_p) \widehat{\otimes} 1 \mapsto \tau^\Lambda \otimes c(f_p) \otimes 1$.

If $\dim Y$ is odd and $\dim M$ is even, $1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_M$ is considered as $\tau^\Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_M$ and $\alpha \widehat{\otimes} 1 \widehat{\otimes} 1 \mapsto \alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1$, $1 \widehat{\otimes} c(f_p) \widehat{\otimes} 1 \mapsto \tau^\Lambda \otimes c(f_p) \otimes \tau$.

If $\dim Y$ and $\dim M$ are odd, $1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_M$ is considered as $\tau^\Lambda \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_M \otimes \Gamma_2$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \pi_Z^* \Lambda(T^* B) \otimes \pi_M^* \mathcal{E}_Y \otimes \mathcal{E}_M \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\alpha \widehat{\otimes} 1 \widehat{\otimes} 1 \mapsto \alpha \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \text{Id}$, $1 \widehat{\otimes} c(f_p) \widehat{\otimes} 1 \mapsto \tau^\Lambda \otimes c(f_p) \otimes 1 \otimes \Gamma_1$.

We abbreviate $\alpha \widehat{\otimes} 1 \widehat{\otimes} 1$, $1 \widehat{\otimes} c(f_p) \widehat{\otimes} 1$ by α , $c(f_p)$. Then

$$(1.63) \quad \alpha \cdot 1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_M = -1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_M \cdot \alpha, \quad c(f_p) \cdot 1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_M = -1 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_M \cdot c(f_p).$$

In [Liu16, Lemma 2.15], we prove that for any compact submanifold K of B , there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that for $T \geq T_0$, $1 \widehat{\otimes} T \mathcal{A}_M$ is a perturbation operator with respect to $D(\mathcal{F}_{Z, T})$ over K .

The following theorem is the Clifford module version of [Liu16, Lemma 2.16], which is related to [M02, Theorem 3.1], [BuM04, Theorem 5.11] and [Liu17, Theorem 3.4].

Theorem 1.7. *For any compact submanifold K of B , there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that for $T \geq T_0$, modulo exact forms, over K , we have*

$$(1.64) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_{Z, T}, 1 \widehat{\otimes} T \mathcal{A}_M) = & \int_{Y^g} \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TY, \nabla^{TY}) \text{ch}_g(\mathcal{E}_Y / \mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_Y}) \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_M, \mathcal{A}_M) \\ & - \int_{Z^g} \left(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_g \cdot \widetilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) \left(\nabla_T^{TZ}, \nabla^{TY, TM}, \nabla_T^{\mathcal{E}_Z}, \ ^0\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_Z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

2. EMBEDDING OF EQUIVARIANT ETA FORMS

In this section, we state our main result and the application in equivariant Atiyah-Hirzebruch direct image. In Section 2.1, we describe the geometry of the embedding of submersions. In Section 2.2, we explain the equivariant family version of the fundamental assumption. In Section 2.3, we introduce the equivariant Atiyah-Hirzebruch direct image. In Section 2.4, we state our main result.

2.1. Embedding of submersions. In this subsection, we introduce the embedding of submersions, the setting of which is the same as [B97, Section 1] and [BM04].

Let $i : W \rightarrow V$ be an embedding of smooth oriented manifolds. Let $\pi_V : V \rightarrow B$ be a submersion of smooth oriented manifolds with closed fibres X , whose restriction $\pi_W : W \rightarrow B$ is a smooth submersion with closed fibres Y .

Thus, we have the diagram of maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & W \\ i \downarrow & & \searrow \pi_W \\ X & \longrightarrow & V \xrightarrow{\pi_V} B. \end{array}$$

In general, B, V, W are not connected. We simply assume that B and V are connected. For any connected component W_α of W , we assume that $\dim V - \dim W_\alpha$ is even. To simplify the notations, we usually denote the connected component by W when there is no confusion.

Let $TX = TV/B, TY = TW/B$ be the relative tangent bundles to the fibres X, Y . Let $T^H V$ be a smooth subbundle of TV such that

$$(2.1) \quad TV = T^H V \oplus TX.$$

Let $\tilde{N}_{Y/X}$ be a smooth subbundle of $TX|_W$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad TX|_W = TY \oplus \tilde{N}_{Y/X}.$$

Let $N_{W/V}$ be the normal bundle to W in V , which we usually denote by $N_{Y/X}$. Clearly,

$$(2.3) \quad T^H V \simeq \pi_V^* TB, \quad \tilde{N}_{Y/X} \simeq N_{Y/X}.$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$(2.4) \quad TV|_W = T^H V|_W \oplus TY \oplus \tilde{N}_{Y/X}.$$

By (2.4), there is a well-defined morphism

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{TW}{TY} \rightarrow T^H V|_W \oplus \tilde{N}_{Y/X}$$

and this morphism maps TW/TY into a subbundle of TW . Let $T^H W$ be the subbundle of TW which is the image of TW/TY by the morphism (2.5). Clearly,

$$(2.6) \quad TW = T^H W \oplus TY.$$

In general, the subbundle $T^H W$ is not equal to $T^H V|_W$.

Let g^{TV} be a metric on TV . Let g^{TW} be the induced metric on TW . Let g^{TX} , g^{TY} be the induced metrics on TX , TY . Note that even if g^{TV} is of the type as in (1.14), in general, g^{TW} is not of this type.

We identify $N_{Y/X}$ with the orthogonal bundle $\tilde{N}_{Y/X}$ to TY in $TX|_W$ with respect to $g^{TX}|_W$. Let $g^{N_{Y/X}}$ be the induced metric on $N_{Y/X}$. On W , we have

$$(2.7) \quad TX|_W = TY \oplus N_{Y/X}.$$

To the pairs $(T_{\pi_V}^H V, g^{TX})$ and $(T_{\pi_W}^H W, g^{TY})$, we can associate the objects that we construct in (1.15) and (1.17). In particular, TX , TY are now equipped with connections ∇^{TX} , ∇^{TY} which preserve the metrics g^{TX} , g^{TY} respectively.

Let P^{TY} , $P^{N_{Y/X}}$ be the orthogonal projections $TX|_W \rightarrow TY$, $TX|_W \rightarrow N_{Y/X}$. By [B97, Theorem 1.9], we have

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla^{TY} = P^{TY} \nabla^{TX}|_Y.$$

Let

$$(2.9) \quad \nabla^{N_{Y/X}} = P^{N_{Y/X}} \nabla^{TX}$$

be the connection on $N_{Y/X}$. Then $\nabla^{N_{Y/X}}$ preserves the metric $g^{N_{Y/X}}$. Put

$$(2.10) \quad \nabla^{TY, N_{Y/X}} = \nabla^{TY} \oplus \nabla^{N_{Y/X}}.$$

Then $\nabla^{TY, N_{Y/X}}$ is a Euclidean connection on $TX|_W = TY \oplus N_{Y/X}$.

Let G be a compact Lie group. We assume that W , V and B are G -manifolds and the G -action commutes with the embedding and π_V . Obviously, the group action commutes with π_W . We assume that G acts trivially on B . We assume that the group action preserve the splittings (2.1) and (2.6) and all metrics and connections are G -invariant.

Let W^g , V^g be the fixed point sets of W , V for $g \in G$. Then $\pi_W|_{W^g} : W^g \rightarrow B$ and $\pi_V|_{V^g} : V^g \rightarrow B$ are submersions with closed fibres Y^g and X^g . We assume that TY^g and TX^g are all oriented and the orientations are compatible with those of TY , TX and the normal bundles as in the arguments at the beginning of Section 1.3.

Remark 2.1. (cf. [B97, Section 7.5]) Given G -equivariant pair $(T_{\pi_W}^H W, g^{TY})$, we could take metrics g^{TB} and g^{TW} on TB and TW such that $g^{TW} = \pi_W^* g^{TB} \oplus g^{TY}$. Let g^N be a G -invariant metric on $N_{Y/X}$. Let ∇^N be a G -invariant Euclidean connection on $(N_{Y/X}, g^N)$ and $T^H N$ be the horizontal subbundle associated with the fibration $\pi_N : N_{Y/X} \rightarrow W$ and ∇^N . We take $g^{TN} = \pi_N^* g^{TW} \oplus g^N$ for $TN = T^H N \oplus N$. Since W intersects X orthogonally, we could take horizontal subbundle $T_{\pi_V}^H V$ over V such that $T_{\pi_V}^H V|_W = T_{\pi_W}^H W$. By using the partition of unity argument, we could construct G -invariant metrics g^{TX} , g^{TV} on TX , TV such that $g^{TV} = \pi_V^* g^{TB} \oplus g^{TX}$ and W is a totally geodesic submanifold of V . In this case, for any $b \in B$, the fibre Y_b is a totally geodesic submanifold of X_b . It means that $\nabla^{TX}|_W = \nabla^{TY, N_{Y/X}}$.

By Remark 2.1, in this paper, we will always assume that the pairs $(T_{\pi_W}^H W, g^{TY})$ and $(T_{\pi_V}^H V, g^{TX})$ satisfy the conditions that

$$(2.11) \quad T_{\pi_V}^H V|_W = T_{\pi_W}^H W, \quad \nabla^{TX}|_W = \nabla^{TY, N_{Y/X}}.$$

2.2. Embedding of the geometric families. In this subsection, we state our assumptions on the embedding of the geometric families, which is the equivariant family case of the assumptions in [BZ93, Section 1 b)].

Let $\mathcal{F}_Y := (W, \mathcal{E}_Y, T_{\pi_W}^H W, g^{TY}, h^{\mathcal{E}_Y}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_Y})$ and $\mathcal{F}_X := (V, \mathcal{E}_X, T_{\pi_V}^H V, g^{TX}, h^{\mathcal{E}_X}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_X})$ be two equivariant geometric families over B such that the pairs $(T_{\pi_W}^H W, g^{TY})$ and $(T_{\pi_V}^H V, g^{TX})$ satisfy (2.11). For simplicity, we assume that $\tau^{\mathcal{E}_Y/S} \equiv 1$ on \mathcal{E}_Y .

Assume that $(N_{Y/X}, g^{N_{Y/X}})$ has an equivariant Spin^c structure. Then there exists an equivariant complex line bundle L_N (cf. [LM89, Appendix D]) such that $w_2(N_{Y/X}) = c_1(L_N) \bmod 2$, where w_2 is the second Stiefel-Whitney class and c_1 is the first Chern class. Let $\mathcal{S}(N_{Y/X}, L_N)$ be the spinor bundle for L_N which locally may be written as

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{S}(N_{Y/X}, L_N) = \mathcal{S}_0(N_{Y/X}) \otimes L_N^{1/2},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_0(N_{Y/X})$ is the spinor bundle for the (possibly non-existent) spin structure on $N_{Y/X}$ and $L_N^{1/2}$ is the (possibly non-existent) square root of L_N . Then the G -action on $N_{Y/X}$ and L_N lift to $\mathcal{S}(N_{Y/X}, L_N)$. For simplicity, we usually simply denote the spinor bundle by \mathcal{S}_N .

Let h^L be a G -invariant Hermitian metric on L_N . Let ∇^L be a G -invariant Hermitian connection on (L_N, h^L) . Let $h^{\mathcal{S}_N}$ be the equivariant Hermitian metric on \mathcal{S}_N induced by $g^{N_{Y/X}}$ and h^L . Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{S}_N}$ be the equivariant Hermitian connection on \mathcal{S}_N induced by $\nabla^{N_{Y/X}}$ and ∇^L .

From (1.20), the bundle $\text{End}_{C(TX)}(\mathcal{E}_X)$ is naturally \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded with respect to $\tau^{\mathcal{E}_X/S}$. Let \mathcal{V} be a smooth self-adjoint section of $\text{End}_{C(TX)}(\mathcal{E}_X)$ such that it exchanges this \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading and commutes with the G -action. Then \mathcal{V} could be extended on $\pi_V^* \Lambda(T^*B) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_X$ in the same way as the perturbation operator \mathcal{A} in (1.44).

We assume that on $V \setminus W$, \mathcal{V} is invertible, and that on W , $\ker \mathcal{V}$ has locally constant nonzero dimension, so that $\ker \mathcal{V}$ is a nonzero smooth \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded G -equivariant vector subbundle of $\mathcal{E}_X|_W$. Let $h^{\ker \mathcal{V}}$ be the metric on $\ker \mathcal{V}$ induced by the metric $h^{\mathcal{E}_X|_W}$. Let $P^{\ker \mathcal{V}}$ be the orthogonal projection operator from $\mathcal{E}_X|_W$ to $\ker \mathcal{V}$.

For $y \in W$, $U \in T_y X$, let $\partial_U \mathcal{V}(y)$ be the derivative of \mathcal{V} with respect to U in any given smooth trivialization of \mathcal{E}_X near $y \in W$. One then verifies that $P^{\ker \mathcal{V}} \partial_U \mathcal{V}(y) P^{\ker \mathcal{V}}$ does not depend on the trivialization, and only depends on the image Z of $U \in T_y X$ in $N_{Y/X}$. From now on, we will write $\dot{\partial}_Z(\mathcal{V})(y)$ instead of $P^{\ker \mathcal{V}} \partial_U \mathcal{V}(y) P^{\ker \mathcal{V}}$. Then one verifies that $\dot{\partial}_Z(\mathcal{V})(y)$ is a self-adjoint element of $\text{End}(\ker \mathcal{V})$ and exchanges the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading.

If $Z \in N_{Y/X}$, let $\tilde{c}(Z) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S}_N^*)$ be the transpose of $c(Z)$ acting on \mathcal{S}_N .

Denote by $N_{\mathbb{C}}^* = N_{Y/X}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Since $L_N \otimes L_N^*$ is an equivariant trivial bundle, we have $\Lambda(N_{\mathbb{C}}^*) \simeq \mathcal{S}_N \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_N^*$. We equip $\Lambda(N_{\mathbb{C}}^*) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_Y$ with the induced metric $h^{\Lambda(N_{\mathbb{C}}^*) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_Y}$. For $Z \in N_{Y/X}$, $\tilde{c}(Z)$ acts on $\mathcal{S}_N \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_N^* \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_Y$ like $1 \otimes \tilde{c}(Z) \otimes 1$.

Fundamental assumption: Let $\pi_N : N_{Y/X} \rightarrow W$ be the projection. Over the total space $N_{Y/X}$, we have the equivariant identification

$$(2.13) \quad \left(\pi_N^* \ker \mathcal{V}, \pi_N^* h^{\ker \mathcal{V}}, \dot{\partial}_Z(\mathcal{V})(y) \right) \\ \simeq \left(\pi_N^*(\Lambda(N_{\mathbb{C}}^*) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_Y), \pi_N^* h^{\Lambda(N_{\mathbb{C}}^*) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_Y}, \sqrt{-1} \tilde{c}(Z) \right).$$

Let $\nabla^{\ker \mathcal{V}}$ be the equivariant Hermitian connection on $\ker \mathcal{V}$,

$$(2.14) \quad \nabla^{\ker \mathcal{V}} = P^{\ker \mathcal{V}} \nabla_{\mathcal{E}_X|_W} P^{\ker \mathcal{V}}.$$

We make the assumption that under the identification (2.13),

$$(2.15) \quad \nabla^{\ker \mathcal{V}} = \nabla^{\Lambda(N_{\mathbb{C}}^*) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_Y}.$$

2.3. Atiyah-Hirzebruch direct image. In this subsection, we introduce an important example of the embedding of equivariant geometric families satisfying the fundamental assumption: the equivariant version of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch direct image [AH59, FXZ09]. We assume that the base space B is compact and adopt the notations and the assumptions in Section 2.1 in this subsection.

We further assume that TY and TX have equivariant Spin^c structures. Then there exist equivariant complex line bundles L_Y and L_X over W and V such that $w_2(TY) = c_1(L_Y) \pmod{2}$ and $w_2(TX) = c_1(L_X) \pmod{2}$. Then from the splitting (2.7), the equivariant vector bundle $N_{Y/X}$ over W has an equivariant Spin^c structure with associated equivariant line bundle $L_N := L_X \otimes L_Y^{-1}$. Let h^{L_Y}, h^{L_X} be G -invariant Hermitian metrics on L_Y, L_X and $\nabla^{L_Y}, \nabla^{L_X}$ be G -invariant Hermitian connections on $(L_Y, h^{L_Y}), (L_X, h^{L_X})$. Let h^{L_N} and ∇^{L_N} be metric and connection on L_N induced by h^{L_Y}, h^{L_X} and $\nabla^{L_Y}, \nabla^{L_X}$. Let $\mathcal{S}(TY, L_Y), \mathcal{S}(TX, L_X)$ and $\mathcal{S}(N_{Y/X}, L_N)$ be the spinor bundles for $(TY, L_Y), (TX, L_X)$ and $(N_{Y/X}, L_N)$, which we will simply denote by $\mathcal{S}_Y, \mathcal{S}_X$ and \mathcal{S}_N . Then these spinors are G -equivariant vector bundles. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}_X|_W = \mathcal{S}_Y \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_N$. Since $\dim N_{Y/X} = \dim V - \dim W$ is even, the spinor \mathcal{S}_N is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded.

Let $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1, \dots, k}$ be the connected components of W . Let (μ, h^μ) be a G -equivariant Hermitian vector bundle over W with a G -invariant Hermitian connection ∇^μ . In the followings, we will describe a geometric realization of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch direct image $i![\mu] \in \widetilde{K}_G^0(V)$ as in [AH59, FXZ09]. We denote by μ_α the restriction of μ on W_α .

For any $r > 0$, set $N_{\alpha, r} := \{Z \in N_{Y_\alpha/X} : |Z| < r\}$. Then there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the map $(y, Z) \in N_{Y_\alpha/X} \rightarrow \exp_y^V(Z)$ is a diffeomorphism of $N_{\alpha, 2\varepsilon_0}$ on an open G -equivariant tubular neighbourhood of W_α in V for any α . Without confusion we will also regard $N_{\alpha, 2\varepsilon_0}$ as the open G -equivariant tubular neighbourhood of W in V . We choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough such that for any $1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq k$, $N_{\alpha, 2\varepsilon_0} \cap N_{\beta, 2\varepsilon_0} = \emptyset$.

Let $\pi_\alpha : N_{Y_\alpha/X} \rightarrow W_\alpha$ denote the projection of the normal bundle $N_{Y_\alpha/X}$ over W_α . For $Z \in N_{Y_\alpha/X}$, let $\tilde{c}(Z) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha}^*)$ be the transpose of $c(Z)$ acting on \mathcal{S}_{N_α} . Let $\pi_\alpha^*(\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha}^*)$ be the pull back bundle of $\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha}^*$ over $N_{Y_\alpha/X}$. For any $Z \in N_{Y_\alpha/X}$ with $Z \neq 0$, $\tilde{c}(Z) : \pi_\alpha^*(\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \pm}^*)|_Z \rightarrow \pi_\alpha^*(\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \mp}^*)|_Z$ is an equivariant isomorphisms at Z .

From the equivariant Serre-Swan theorem [S68, Proposition 2.4], there exists a G -equivariant Hermitian vector bundle (E_α, h^{E_α}) such that $\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, -}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha \oplus E_\alpha$ is a G -equivariant trivial complex vector bundle over W_α . Then

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{c}(Z) \oplus \pi_\alpha^* \text{Id}_{E_\alpha} : \pi_\alpha^*(\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, +}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha \oplus E_\alpha) \rightarrow \pi_\alpha^*(\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, -}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha \oplus E_\alpha)$$

induces a G -equivariant isomorphism between two equivariant trivial vector bundles over $N_{\alpha, 2\varepsilon_0} \setminus W_\alpha$.

By adding the equivariant trivial bundles, we could assume that for any $1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq k$, $\dim(\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \pm}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha \oplus E_\alpha) = \dim(\mathcal{S}_{N_\beta, \pm}^* \otimes \mu_\beta \oplus E_\beta)$. Clearly, $\{\pi_\alpha^*(\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \pm}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha \oplus E_\alpha)|_{\partial N_{\alpha, 2\varepsilon_0}}\}_{\alpha=1, \dots, k}$ extend smoothly to two equivariant trivial complex vector bundles over $V \setminus \cup_{1 \leq \alpha \leq k} N_{\alpha, 2\varepsilon_0}$.

In summary, what we get is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hermitian vector bundle (ξ, h^ξ) such that

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_\pm|_{N_{\alpha, \varepsilon_0}} &= \pi_\alpha^*(\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \pm}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha \oplus E_\alpha)|_{N_{\alpha, \varepsilon_0}}, \\ h^{\xi_\pm}|_{N_{\alpha, \varepsilon_0}} &= \pi_\alpha^* \left(h^{\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \pm}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha \oplus E_\alpha} \right) \Big|_{N_{\alpha, \varepsilon_0}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $h^{\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \pm}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha}$ is the equivariant Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \pm}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha$ induced by g^{N_α} , $h^{L_{N_\alpha}}$ and h^{μ_α} . Let ∇^{E_α} be a G -invariant Hermitian connection on (E_α, h^{E_α}) . We can also get a G -invariant \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Hermitian connection $\nabla^\xi = \nabla^{\xi_+} \oplus \nabla^{\xi_-}$ on $\xi = \xi_+ \oplus \xi_-$ over V such that

$$(2.18) \quad \nabla^{\xi_\pm}|_{N_{\alpha, \varepsilon_0}} = \pi_\alpha^* \left(\nabla^{\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \pm}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha \oplus E_\alpha} \right),$$

where $\nabla^{\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \pm}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha}$ is the equivariant Hermitian connection on $\mathcal{S}_{N_\alpha, \pm}^* \otimes \mu_\alpha$ induced by ∇^{N_α} , $\nabla^{L_{N_\alpha}}$ and ∇^{μ_α} .

It is easy to see that there exists an equivariant self-adjoint automorphism \mathcal{V} of $\mathcal{S}_X \widehat{\otimes} \xi$, which exchanges the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of ξ , such that

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{V}|_{N_{\alpha, \varepsilon_0}} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{S}_X} \widehat{\otimes} (\sqrt{-1} \tilde{c}(Z) \oplus \pi^* \text{Id}_{E_\alpha}).$$

From the construction above, we could see that \mathcal{V} is invertible on $V \setminus W$ and

$$(2.20) \quad (\ker \mathcal{V})|_W = \mathcal{S}_X|_W \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_N^* \otimes \mu = \mathcal{S}_Y \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_N \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_N^* \otimes \mu = \mathcal{S}_Y \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda(N_C^*) \otimes \mu$$

is an equivariant vector bundle over W . Let $P^{\ker \mathcal{V}}$ be the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{S}_X \widehat{\otimes} \xi|_W$ onto $\ker \mathcal{V}$ and $\nabla^{\ker \mathcal{V}} = P^{\ker \mathcal{V}} \nabla^{\mathcal{S}_X \widehat{\otimes} \xi}|_W P^{\ker \mathcal{V}}$. From (2.11), we have

$$(2.21) \quad \nabla^{\ker \mathcal{V}} = \nabla^{\mathcal{S}_Y \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda(N_C^*) \otimes \mu}.$$

Here $[\xi_+] - [\xi_-] \in \widetilde{K}_G^0(V)$ is an equivariant version of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch direct image $i![\mu]$ in [AH59]. In this construction, let $\mathcal{E}_Y = \mathcal{S}_Y \otimes \mu$ and $\mathcal{E}_{X, \pm} = \mathcal{S}_X \widehat{\otimes} \xi_\pm$. Then it satisfies all assumptions in Section 2.2.

2.4. Main result. In this subsection, we state our main result.

Let \mathcal{F}_Y and \mathcal{F}_X be the equivariant geometric families satisfying the assumptions in Section 2.2.

For $T \geq 0$, let $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_X, T}$ be the superconnection on \mathcal{E}_X by

$$(2.22) \quad \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_X, T} = \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_X} + \sqrt{T} \mathcal{V}.$$

Let $R_T^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}}$ be the twisting curvature of $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_X, T}$ as in (1.34). Let $\dim(N_{X^g/X}) = \ell_2$. For $T > 0$, by [Q85] and (1.33), we have the equivariant version of [BZ93, (1.17)]:

$$(2.23) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}} \left[\sigma_{\ell_2}(g^{\mathcal{E}_X}) \exp \left(-R_T^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}}|_{V^g} \right) \right] \\ = -d \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}} \left[\sigma_{\ell_2}(g^{\mathcal{E}_X}) \frac{\mathcal{V}|_{V^g}}{2\sqrt{T}} \exp \left(-R_T^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}}|_{V^g} \right) \right].$$

Recall that ψ is the operator defined in (1.39). The proof of the following theorem is the same as those of [B95, Theorem 6.3] and [BZ93, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 2.2. *For any compact set $K \subset V^g$, there exists $C > 0$, such that if $\omega \in \Omega^*(V^g)$ has support in K ,*

$$(2.24) \quad \left| \int_{X^g} \omega \cdot \frac{2^{\ell_2/2}}{\det^{1/2}(1-g|_{N_{X^g/X}})} \psi_{V^g} \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}} \left[\sigma_{\ell_2}(g^{\mathcal{E}_X}) \exp \left(-R_T^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}}|_{V^g} \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. - \int_{Y^g} \omega \cdot \widehat{A}_g^{-1}(N_{Y/X}, \nabla^{N_{Y/X}}) \text{ch}_g(\mathcal{E}_Y/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_Y}) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}} \|\omega\|_{\mathcal{C}^1(K)},$$

and

$$(2.25) \quad \left| \int_{X^g} \omega \cdot \psi_{V^g} \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}} \left[\sigma_{\ell_2}(g^{\mathcal{E}_X}) \frac{\mathcal{V}|_{V^g}}{2\sqrt{T}} \exp \left(-R_T^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}}|_{V^g} \right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{C}{T^{3/2}} \|\omega\|_{\mathcal{C}^1(K)}.$$

Now we could extend the Bismut-Zhang current in [BZ93, Definition 1.3] to the equivariant case.

Definition 2.3. The equivariant Bismut-Zhang current $\gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X)$ over V^g is defined by

$$(2.26) \quad \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \frac{2^{\ell_2/2}}{\det^{1/2}(1-g|_{N_{X^g/X}})} \\ \cdot \int_0^\infty \psi_{V^g} \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}} \left[\sigma_{\ell_2}(g^{\mathcal{E}_X}) \mathcal{V}|_{V^g} \exp \left(-R_T^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}}|_{V^g} \right) \right] \frac{dT}{2\sqrt{T}}.$$

By Theorem 2.2, the current $\gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X)$ is well-defined.

Let δ_{W^g} be the current of integration over the submanifold W^g in V^g . By integrating (2.23) and using Theorem 2.2, we have the following equivariant extension of [BZ93, Theorem 1.4].

Theorem 2.4. *The following equation of currents holds*

$$(2.27) \quad d\gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X) = \text{ch}_g(\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_X}) \\ - \widehat{A}_g^{-1}(N_{Y/X}, \nabla^{N_{Y/X}}) \text{ch}_g(\mathcal{E}_Y/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_Y}) \delta_{W^g}.$$

Remark 2.5. Similarly as in [BZ93], the wave front set $\text{WF}(\gamma_g^X)$ of the current γ_g^X is included in N_{W^g/V^g}^* and $\gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X)$ is a locally integrable current.

Proposition 2.6. *Let \mathcal{A}_Y be a perturbation operator with respect to $D(\mathcal{F}_Y)$. Then there exists a family of bounded pseudodifferential operator $\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ on \mathcal{F}_X , depending continuously on $T \geq 1$, such that the norm of $\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ is the same as that of \mathcal{A}_Y for*

any $T \geq 1$ and for any compact submanifold K of B , there exists $T_0 \geq 1$ such that $T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ is the perturbation operator with respect to $D(\mathcal{F}_X)$ over K for $T \geq T_0$.

Proof. Following the arguments in [BL92, Section 8, 9] and [BZ93, Section 4b)] word by word, we could construct a smooth family of equivariant isometric embeddings

$$(2.28) \quad J_{T,b} : L^2(Y_b, \mathcal{E}_Y|_{Y_b}) \rightarrow L^2(X_b, \mathcal{E}_X|_{X_b})$$

for $b \in B$, as in [B97, Definition 9.12].

Let $\mathbb{E}_{T,b}$ be the image of $L^2(Y_b, \mathcal{E}_Y|_{Y_b})$ in $L^2(X_b, \mathcal{E}_X|_{X_b})$ by $J_{T,b}$. Let $\mathbb{E}_{T,b}^\perp$ be the orthogonal space to $\mathbb{E}_{T,b}$ in $L^2(X_b, \mathcal{E}_X|_{X_b})$. Since $J_{T,b}$ is an isometric embedding, $J_{T,b} : L^2(Y_b, \mathcal{E}_Y|_{Y_b}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{T,b}$ is invertible. We extend the domain of $J_{T,b}^{-1}$ to $L^2(X_b, \mathcal{E}_X|_{X_b})$ such that it vanishes on $\mathbb{E}_{T,b}^\perp$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{T,Y} = \{\mathcal{A}_{T,Y,b}\}_{b \in B}$ be the family of bounded pseudodifferential operators

$$(2.29) \quad \mathcal{A}_{T,Y,b} := J_{T,b} \mathcal{A}_{Y,b} J_{T,b}^{-1} : L^2(X_b, \mathcal{E}_X|_{X_b}) \rightarrow L^2(X_b, \mathcal{E}_X|_{X_b}).$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ is a smooth family of equivariant self-adjoint operators. From the definition of the perturbation operator \mathcal{A}_Y , we see that $\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ commutes (resp. anti-commutes) with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $\tau^\mathcal{E}$ of \mathcal{E}_X when the fibres are odd (resp. even) dimensional. Since J_T is isometric, the L^2 -norm of $\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ is the same as that of \mathcal{A}_Y .

Since J_T is continuous with respect to T , so is the operator $\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$. We only need to prove that $D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ over K is invertible for T large enough.

Over a compact submanifold K of B , the same estimates of $D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V}$ as [BL92, Theorem 9.8, 9.10, 9.11] hold. Since $D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \mathcal{A}_Y$ is invertible, the arguments in [BL92, Section 9], in which we replace $D(\mathcal{F}_Y)$ and $D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V}$ by $D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \mathcal{A}_Y$ and $D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$, imply that there exists $T_0 \geq 1$, depending on K , such that for any $T \geq T_0$, $D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ is invertible. Moreover, the absolutely value of the spectrum of $D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ has a uniformly positive lower bound for $T \geq T_0$.

The proof of our proposition is completed. \square

Now we state our main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.7. *Let \mathcal{A}_Y and \mathcal{A}_X be the perturbation operators with respect to $D(\mathcal{F}_Y)$ and $D(\mathcal{F}_X)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ be the operator in Proposition 2.6 with respect to $D(\mathcal{F}_X)$. Then for any compact submanifold K of B , there exists $T_0 > 2$ such that for any $T \geq T_0$, modulo exact forms, over K , we have*

$$(2.30) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_X, \mathcal{A}_X) = \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{A}_Y) + \int_{X^g} \widehat{\Delta}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X) \\ + \text{ch}_g(\text{sf}_G\{D(\mathcal{F}_X) + \mathcal{A}_X, D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}\}).$$

Observe that since we only need to prove (2.30) over a compact submanifold, in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we may assume that B is compact.

Assume that the base space is a point and TY, TX have equivariant Spin structure. Then there exist equivariant complex vector bundles μ and ξ_\pm such that $\mathcal{E}_Y = \mathcal{S}_Y \otimes \mu$ and $\mathcal{E}_{X,\pm} = \mathcal{S}_X \widehat{\otimes} \xi_\pm$. The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.7.

Corollary 2.8. *There exists $x \in R(G)$, the representation ring of G , such that*

$$(2.31) \quad \bar{\eta}_g(X, \xi_+) - \bar{\eta}_g(X, \xi_-) = \bar{\eta}_g(Y, \mu) + \int_{X^g} \widehat{A}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X) + \chi_g(x).$$

Here x could be written as an equivariant spectral flow, $\chi_g(x)$ is the character of g on x and $\bar{\eta}_g$ is the equivariant reduced eta invariant.

When $g = 1$, Corollary 2.8 is the modification of the Bismut-Zhang embedding formula by expressing the $\text{mod } \mathbb{Z}$ term as a spectral flow. Note that in [DZ00, Theorem 4.1], the authors give an index interpretation of the $\text{mod } \mathbb{Z}$ term of the embedding formula when the manifolds are the boundaries. It is also interesting to find the equivariant family extension of that formula.

Corollary 2.9. *Let X be an odd-dimensional closed G -equivariant Spin^c manifold. For $g \in G$, let (μ, h^μ) be an equivariant Hermitian vector bundle over X^g with a G -invariant Hermitian connection ∇^μ . Then there exist a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded equivariant Hermitian vector bundle (ξ, h^ξ) over X with a G -invariant Hermitian connection ∇^ξ and $x \in R(G)$, such that*

$$(2.32) \quad \bar{\eta}_g(X, \xi_+) - \bar{\eta}_g(X, \xi_-) = \bar{\eta}_g(X^g, \mu) + \chi_g(x).$$

Proof. Note that X^g is naturally totally geodesic in X . Take (ξ, h^ξ, ∇^ξ) as the equivariant Atiyah-Hirzebruch direct image of (μ, h^μ, ∇^μ) as in Section 2.3. We only need to notice that $\mathcal{V}|_{X^g} = 0$ in this case. It implies that $\gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_{X^g}, \mathcal{F}_X) = 0$. \square

Remark 2.10. Note that in [FL10], the authors establish an index theorem for differential K-theory. The key analytical tool is the Bismut-Zhang embedding formula of the reduced eta invariants in [BZ93]. Using Corollary 2.8, the index theorem there could be extended to the equivariant case whenever the equivariant differential K-theory is well-defined. Using Theorem 2.7, we can also get the compatibility of the push-forward map in equivariant differential K-theory along the proper submersion and the embedding under the model of Bunck-Schick [BuS09, BuS13, Liu16]. We will study these in the subsequent paper.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.7

In this section, we prove our main result Theorem 2.7. In Section 3.1, we prove Theorem 2.7 when the base space is a point using some intermediary results along the lines of [BZ93], the proof of which rely on almost identical arguments of [B95, BZ93]. In Sections 3.2, we explain how to use the functoriality to reduce Theorem 2.7 to the case in Section 3.1.

3.1. Embedding of equivariant eta invariants. In this subsection, we will prove our main result when B is a point and $\dim X$ is odd. Recall that in (2.11), we already assume that Y is totally geodesic in X .

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that B is a point and $\dim X$ is odd. Then there exists $T_0 > 2$ such that for any $T \geq T_0$, we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_X, \mathcal{A}_X) &= \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{A}_Y) + \int_{X^g} \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X) \\ &\quad + \text{ch}_g(\text{sf}_G\{D(\mathcal{F}_X) + \mathcal{A}_X, D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(3.2) \quad D_{u,T} = \sqrt{u}(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \chi(\sqrt{u})((1 - \chi(T))\mathcal{A}_X + \chi(T)\mathcal{A}_{T,Y})),$$

where χ is the cut-off function defined in (1.43). Let

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{B}_{u^2,T} = D_{u^2,T} + dT \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial T} + du \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

Definition 3.2. We define $\beta_g = du \wedge \beta_g^u + dT \wedge \beta_g^T$ to be the part of $\pi^{-1/2} \text{Tr}_s[g \exp(-\mathcal{B}_{u^2,T}^2)]$ of degree one with respect to the coordinates (T, u) , with functions $\beta_g^u, \beta_g^T : \mathbb{R}_{+,T} \times \mathbb{R}_{+,u} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

From (3.3), we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_g^u(T, u) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Tr}_s \left[g \frac{\partial D_{u^2,T}}{\partial u} \exp(-D_{u^2,T}^2) \right], \\ \beta_g^T(T, u) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Tr}_s \left[g \frac{\partial D_{u^2,T}}{\partial T} \exp(-D_{u^2,T}^2) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

When $0 < u < 1$, $\chi(u) = 0$. In this case,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_g^u(T, u) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Tr}_s [g(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V}) \exp(-u^2(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V})^2)], \\ \beta_g^T(T, u) &= -\frac{u}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Tr}_s [g\mathcal{V} \exp(-u^2(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V})^2)]. \end{aligned}$$


From (1.49),

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_X, \mathcal{A}_X) = - \int_0^{+\infty} \beta_g^u(0, u) du.$$

As in [BZ93, Theorem 3.4] (see also [Liu17, Proposition 4.2]), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \left(du \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + dT \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right) \beta_g = 0.$$

Let T_0 be the constant in Proposition 2.6. Take ε, A, T_1 , $0 < \varepsilon < 1 \leq A < \infty$, $T_0 \leq T_1 < \infty$. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\varepsilon, A, T_1}$ be the oriented contour in $\mathbb{R}_{+,T} \times \mathbb{R}_{+,u}$.



The contour Γ is made of four oriented pieces $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_4$ indicated in the above picture. For $1 \leq k \leq 4$, set $I_k^0 = \int_{\Gamma_k} \beta_g$. Then by Stocks' formula and (3.7),

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^4 I_k^0 = \int_{\partial \mathcal{U}} \beta_g = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left(du \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + dT \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right) \beta_g = 0.$$

For any $g \in G$, set

$$(3.9) \quad \beta_g^Y(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \text{Tr} \left[g \exp \left(- \left(u(D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \chi(u)\mathcal{A}_Y) + du \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)^2 \right) \right]^{du}.$$

Then by Definition 1.4,

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{A}_Y) = - \int_0^{+\infty} \beta_g^Y(u) du.$$

We now establish some estimates of β_g .

Theorem 3.3. *i) For any $u > 0$, we have*

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \beta_g^u(T, u) = \beta_g^Y(u).$$

ii) For $0 < u_1 < u_2$ fixed, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for $u \in [u_1, u_2]$, $T \geq 2$, we have

$$(3.12) \quad |\beta_g^u(T, u)| \leq C.$$

iii) We have the following identity:

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \int_2^\infty \beta_g^u(T, u) du = \int_2^\infty \beta_g^Y(u) du.$$

Proof. If P is an operator, let $\text{Spec}(P)$ be the spectrum of P . From the proof of Proposition 2.6, there exist $T_0 \geq 1$, $c > 0$, such that for $T \geq T_0$,

$$(3.14) \quad \text{Spec}(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}) \cap [-c, c] = \emptyset.$$

Recall that \mathbb{E}_T^0 is the image of J_T defined in (2.28). For $\delta \in [0, 1]$, we write $D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \delta\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ in matrix form with respect to the splitting by $\mathbb{E}_T^0 \oplus \mathbb{E}_T^{0,\perp}$,

$$(3.15) \quad D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \delta\mathcal{A}_{T,Y} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{T,1} + \delta\mathcal{A}_{T,Y} & A_{T,2} \\ A_{T,3} & A_{T,4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By [BL92, Theorem 9.8] and (2.29), as $T \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$(3.16) \quad J_T^{-1}(A_{T,1} + \delta \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})J_T = D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta \mathcal{A}_Y + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right).$$

Set

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{T} := \{\delta \in [0, 1] : D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta \mathcal{A}_Y \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

Then \mathcal{T} is a closed subset of $[0, 1]$.

We firstly assume that \mathcal{T} is not empty. Fix $\delta_0 \in \mathcal{T}$. There exists $C(\delta_0) > 0$ such that

$$(3.18) \quad \text{Spec}(D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta_0 \mathcal{A}_Y) \cap [-2C(\delta_0), 2C(\delta_0)] = \{0\}.$$

Since the eigenvalues are continuous with respect to δ , there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, such that when $\delta \in (\delta_0 - \varepsilon, \delta_0 + \varepsilon)$,

$$(3.19) \quad \text{Spec}(D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta \mathcal{A}_Y) \cap [-C(\delta_0), C(\delta_0)] \subset (-C(\delta_0)/4, C(\delta_0)/4)$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Spec}(D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta \mathcal{A}_Y) \cap (-\infty, -C(\delta_0)] \cup [C(\delta_0), +\infty) \\ \subset (-\infty, -7C(\delta_0)/4) \cup (7C(\delta_0)/4, +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Then following the same process in [BL92, Section 9] and [BZ93, Section 4 b)] by replacing $D(\mathcal{F}_Y)$ and $D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V}$ by $D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta \mathcal{A}_Y$ and $D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \delta \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$, for $\alpha > 0$ fixed, when T is large enough, there exists $C > 0$, such that for any $\delta \in (\delta_0 - \varepsilon, \delta_0 + \varepsilon)$,

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\text{Tr}_s [g(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \delta \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}) \exp(-\alpha(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \delta \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})^2)] \\ & \quad - \text{Tr} [g(D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta \mathcal{A}_Y) \exp(-\alpha(D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta \mathcal{A}_Y)^2)]| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}, \\ & |\text{Tr}_s [g \mathcal{A}_{T,Y} \exp(-\alpha(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \delta \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})^2)] \\ & \quad - \text{Tr} [g \mathcal{A}_Y \exp(-\alpha(D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta \mathcal{A}_Y)^2)]| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{T} is compact, there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{T} in $[0, 1]$ such that (3.21) hold uniformly for $\delta \in \mathcal{U}$. For $\delta \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{U}$, there is a uniformly lower positive bound of the absolute value of the spectrum of $D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta \mathcal{A}_Y$. So the process of [BL92, Section 9] also works. It means that (3.21) hold uniformly for $\delta \in [0, 1]$.

If $\mathcal{T} = \emptyset$, it means that there is a uniformly lower positive bound of the absolute value of the spectrum of $D(\mathcal{F}_Y) + \delta \mathcal{A}_Y$ for $\delta \in [0, 1]$. Thus (3.21) holds uniformly.

In summary, for $\alpha > 0$ fixed, when T is large enough, there exists $C > 0$, such that for any $\delta \in [0, 1]$, (3.21) holds.

Therefore, from Definition 3.2, (3.2), (3.3) and (3.9), we get the proof of Theorem 3.3 i) and ii).

For $u \geq 2$ and $T \geq T_0$, from Definition 3.2, (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$(3.22) \quad \beta_g^u(T, u) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \operatorname{Tr}_s [g(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}) \exp(-u^2(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})^2)].$$

From [BGV, Proposition 2.37], (3.14) and (3.22), there exists $C_T > 0$, depending on $T \geq T_0$, such that for u large enough,

$$(3.23) \quad |\beta_g^u(T, u)| \leq C_T \exp(-cu^2).$$

From the first inequality of (3.21) for $\delta = 1$, we see that C_T in (3.23) is uniformly bounded. Thus iii) follows from i) and the dominated convergence theorem.

The proof of our theorem is completed. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let T_0 be the constant in Proposition 2.6. When $u \rightarrow +\infty$, we have*

$$(3.24) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{T_0} \beta_g^T(T, u) dT = \operatorname{ch}_g(\operatorname{sf}_G\{D(\mathcal{F}_X) + \mathcal{A}_X, D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T_0\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T_0,Y}\}).$$

and

$$(3.25) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{T_0}^{\infty} \beta_g^T(T, u) dT = 0.$$

Proof. Set

$$(3.26) \quad D'_{u,T} = \sqrt{u}(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + \chi(\sqrt{u})(T\mathcal{V} + ((1 - \chi(T))\mathcal{A}_X + \chi(T)\mathcal{A}_{T,Y})))$$

and

$$(3.27) \quad \beta_g^T(T, u)' = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \operatorname{Tr}_s \left[g \frac{\partial D'_{u^2,T}}{\partial T} \exp(-(D'_{u^2,T})^2) \right].$$

Note that when $u > 2$,

$$(3.28) \quad \beta_g^T(T, u)' = \beta_g^T(T, u).$$

The proof of the anomaly formula Theorem 1.6 (cf. [Liu16, Theorem 2.17]) show that

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{T_0} \beta_g^T(T, u) dT &= \lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{T_0} \beta_g^T(T, u)' dT \\ &= \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_X, \mathcal{A}_X) - \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_X, T_0\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T_0,Y}) \\ &= \operatorname{ch}_g(\operatorname{sf}_G\{D(\mathcal{F}_X) + \mathcal{A}_X, D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T_0\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T_0,Y}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ is invertible for $T \geq T_0$, the proof of (3.25) is the same as [Liu16, Theorem 2.22]. In fact, as in [Liu17, (6.8)], for $u' > 0$ fixed, there exist $C > 0$, $T' \geq T_0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for $u \geq u'$ and $T \geq T'$, we have

$$(3.30) \quad |\beta_g^T(T, u)| \leq \frac{C}{T^{1+\delta}} \exp(-cu^2).$$

The proof of Theorem 3.4 is completed. \square

Theorem 3.5. *i) For any $u \in (0, 1]$, there exist $C > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for T large enough, we have*

$$(3.31) \quad |\beta_g^T(T, u)| \leq \frac{C}{T^{1+\delta}}.$$

ii) There exist $C > 0$, $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ such that for $u \in (0, 1]$, $0 \leq T \leq u^{-1}$,

$$(3.32) \quad \left| u^{-1} \beta_g^T \left(\frac{T}{u}, u \right) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \frac{2^{\ell_2/2}}{\det^{1/2}(1 - g|_{N_{X^g/X}})} \int_{X^g} \hat{\mathbf{A}}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \right. \\ \left. \cdot \psi_{X^g} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}} \left[\sigma_{\ell_2}(g^{\mathcal{E}_X}) \mathcal{V}|_{X^g} \exp \left(-R_{T^2}^{\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{S}}|_{X^g} \right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{C(u(1+T))^\gamma}{\sup\{T, 1\}}.$$

iii) For any $T > 0$,

$$(3.33) \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} u^{-2} \beta_g^T(T/u^2, u) = 0.$$

iv) There exist $C > 0$, $\delta \in (0, 1]$ such that for $u \in (0, 1]$, $T \geq 1$,

$$(3.34) \quad |u^{-2} \beta_g^T(T/u^2, u)| \leq \frac{C}{T^{1+\delta}}.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that i) follows directly from (3.30).

Note that in this theorem, $u \in (0, 1]$. By (3.5), the perturbation operator does not appear. So the proof of ii), iii), iv) here are totally the same as that of [BZ93, Theorem 3.10-3.12] except for replacing the reference of [BL92] there by the corresponding reference of [B95].

Remark that the setting of this paper uses the language of Clifford modules, not the spin case in the references. However, there is no additional difficulty for this differences. The reason is that in each proof of Theorem 3.5 ii), iii), iv), we localize the problem first. Locally, all manifolds are spin.

The proof of Theorem 3.5 is completed. \square

Now we use the estimates in Theorem 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. From (3.8), we know that

$$(3.35) \quad \int_\varepsilon^A \beta_g^u(T_1, u) du - \int_0^{T_1} \beta_g^T(T, A) dT - \int_\varepsilon^A \beta_g^u(0, u) du + \int_0^{T_1} \beta_g^T(T, \varepsilon) dT \\ = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 = 0.$$

We take the limits $A \rightarrow +\infty$, $T_1 \rightarrow +\infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the indicated order. Let I_j^k , $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ denote the value of the part I_j after the k th limit.

From Theorem 3.3, (3.10) and the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$(3.36) \quad I_1^3 = -\tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{A}_Y).$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 3.4, we get

$$(3.37) \quad I_2^3 = -\operatorname{ch}_g(\operatorname{sf}_G\{D(\mathcal{F}_X) + \mathcal{A}_X, D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T_0\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T_0, Y}\}).$$

From (3.6), we obtain that

$$(3.38) \quad I_3^3 = \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_X, \mathcal{A}_X).$$

Finally, we calculate the last part. By definition,

$$(3.39) \quad I_4^0 = \int_0^{T_1} \beta_g^T(T, \varepsilon) dT.$$

As $A \rightarrow +\infty$, I_4^0 remains constant and equal to I_4^1 . As $T_1 \rightarrow +\infty$, by Theorem 3.5 i),

$$(3.40) \quad I_4^2 = \int_0^{+\infty} \beta_g^T(T, \varepsilon) dT = \int_0^{+\infty} \varepsilon^{-1} \beta_g^T(T/\varepsilon, \varepsilon) dT.$$

Set

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1 &= \int_0^1 \varepsilon^{-1} \beta_g^T(T/\varepsilon, \varepsilon) dT, \\ K_2 &= \int_\varepsilon^1 \varepsilon^{-2} \beta_g^T(T/\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon) dT, \\ K_3 &= \int_1^{+\infty} \varepsilon^{-2} \beta_g^T(T/\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon) dT. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$(3.42) \quad I_4^2 = K_1 + K_2 + K_3.$$

To simplify the notation, we denote by

$$(3.43) \quad \mathcal{D}(T) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{-1}} \frac{2^{\ell_2/2}}{\det^{1/2}(1 - g|_{N_{X^g/X}})} \cdot \psi_{X^g} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathcal{E}^X/\mathcal{S}} \left[\sigma_{\ell_2}(g^{\mathcal{E}^X}) \mathcal{V}|_{X^g} \exp\left(-R_{T^2}^{\mathcal{E}^X/\mathcal{S}}|_{X^g}\right) \right].$$

Then by Definition 2.3, after changing the variable, we have

$$(3.44) \quad \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{D}(T) dT.$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by Theorem 3.5 ii),

$$(3.45) \quad K_1 \rightarrow - \int_{X^g} \hat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \cdot \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}(T) dT.$$

We write K_2 in the form

$$(3.46) \quad \begin{aligned} K_2 &= \int_\varepsilon^1 \frac{T}{\varepsilon} \left\{ \varepsilon^{-1} \beta_g^T(T/\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon) + \int_{X^g} \hat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \mathcal{D}(T/\varepsilon) \right\} \frac{dT}{T} \\ &\quad - \int_{X^g} \hat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \int_1^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mathcal{D}(T) dT. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.5 ii), there exist $C > 0$, $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon \leq T \leq 1$

$$(3.47) \quad \left| \frac{T}{\varepsilon} \left\{ \varepsilon^{-1} \beta_g^T(T/\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon) + \int_{X^g} \widehat{A}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \mathcal{D}(T/\varepsilon) \right\} \right| \leq C \left(\varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{T}{\varepsilon} \right) \right)^\gamma \leq C(2T)^\gamma.$$

Using Theorem 3.5 iii), (2.25), (3.47) and the dominated convergence theorem, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$(3.48) \quad K_2 \rightarrow - \int_{X^g} \widehat{A}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \int_1^{+\infty} \mathcal{D}(T) dT.$$

Using Theorem 3.5 iii), iv) and the dominated convergence theorem, we see that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$(3.49) \quad K_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

Combining Definition 2.3, (3.42), (3.45), (3.48) and (3.49), we see that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$(3.50) \quad I_4^3 = - \int_{X^g} \widehat{A}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X).$$

Thus (3.1) follows from (3.35)-(3.38) and (3.50).

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.7. In this subsection, we use the functoriality of the equivariant eta forms Theorem 1.7 to reduce Theorem 2.7 to the case when the base manifold is a point¹. Recall that we may assume that B is compact.

Lemma 3.6. *There exist a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded self-adjoint $C(TB)$ -module $(\mathcal{E}_B, h^{\mathcal{E}_B})$ and a positive integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that*

$$\widehat{A}(TB, \nabla^{TB}) \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_B/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B}) - q$$

is an exact form for any Euclidean connection ∇^{TB} and Clifford connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B}$.

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{E}_0, h^{\mathcal{E}_0})$ be a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded self-adjoint $C(TB)$ -module. Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_0}$ be a Clifford connection on $(\mathcal{E}_0, h^{\mathcal{E}_0})$. Then since the G -action is trivial on B , from the definition of the \widehat{A} -genus and (1.35), there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$(3.51) \quad \widehat{A}(TB, \nabla^{TB}) \cdot \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_0}) = m + \alpha,$$

where $\alpha \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(B)$ is a closed form and $\deg \alpha \geq 2$. Since α is nilpotent,

$$(3.52) \quad \{\widehat{A}(TB, \nabla^{TB}) \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_0})\}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\alpha^k}{m^{k+1}}$$

is a closed well-defined even differential form over B . From the isomorphism

$$(3.53) \quad \text{ch} : K^0(B) \otimes \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R}),$$

¹The author thanks Prof. Xiaonan Ma for pointing out this simplification, which is related to a remark in [B97, Section 7.5]

there exist non-zero real number $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and virtual complex vector bundle $E = E_+ - E_-$, such that $q^{-1} \text{ch}([E]) = [\{\widehat{\text{A}}(TB, \nabla^{TB}) \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_0})\}^{-1}]$. Let ∇^E be a connection on E . Let $\mathcal{E}_B = \mathcal{E}_0 \widehat{\otimes} E$ and $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B} = \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_0} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^E$. Then $\text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_B/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B}) = \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_0}) \text{ch}(E, \nabla^E)$. So we have

$$[\widehat{\text{A}}(TB, \nabla^{TB}) \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_B/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B})] = q \in H^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R}).$$

From (3.51), we have $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

The proof of Lemma 3.6 is completed. \square

Let $(\mathcal{E}_B, h^{\mathcal{E}_B})$ be the $C(TB)$ -module in Lemma 3.6. Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B}$ be a Clifford connection on $(\mathcal{E}_B, h^{\mathcal{E}_B})$. Thus

$$(3.54) \quad \mathcal{F}_V = (V, \pi_V^* \mathcal{E}_B \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_X, \pi_V^* g^{TB} \oplus g^{TX}, \pi_V^* h^{\mathcal{E}_B} \otimes h^{\mathcal{E}_X}, \pi_V^* \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_X})$$

is an equivariant geometric family over a point in B . Let

$$(3.55) \quad \mathcal{F}_{V,t} = (V, \pi_V^* \mathcal{E}_B \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_X, g_t^{TV}, \pi_V^* h^{\mathcal{E}_B} \otimes h^{\mathcal{E}_X}, \nabla_t^{\mathcal{E}_V})$$

be the rescaled equivariant geometric family over a point constructed in the same way as in (1.62).

Lemma 3.7. *There exist $t_0 > 0$ and $T' \geq 1$, such that for any $t \geq t_0$ and $T \geq T'$, the operator $D(\mathcal{F}_{V,t}) + tT\mathcal{V} + t\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ is invertible .*

Proof. Let f_1, \dots, f_l be a locally orthonormal basis of TB . Let f_p^H be the horizontal lift of f_p on $T^H V$. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a locally orthonormal basis of TX . Set

$$(3.56) \quad D_t^B = c(f_p) \nabla_{f_p}^{\mathcal{E}_X, u} + \frac{1}{8t} \langle [f_p^H, f_q^H], e_i \rangle c(e_i) c(f_p) c(f_q).$$

By [Liu17, (5.6)], we have

$$(3.57) \quad D(\mathcal{F}_{V,t}) + tT\mathcal{V} + t\mathcal{A}_{T,Y} = t(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}) + D_t^B.$$

From Proposition 2.6, since B is compact, there exist $c > 0$ and $T' > 0$, such that for any $s \in \Lambda(T^*B) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_X$, $T \geq T'$,

$$(3.58) \quad \|(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})s\|_0^2 \geq c^2 \|s\|_0^2.$$

Let

$$(3.59) \quad R_{t,T} := t[D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y}, D_t^B] + D_t^{B;2}.$$

We have

$$(3.60) \quad (D(\mathcal{F}_{V,t}) + tT\mathcal{V} + t\mathcal{A}_{T,Y})^2 = t^2(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})^2 + R_{t,T}.$$

Let $|\cdot|_{T,1}$ be the norm defined in the same way as [B97, Definition 9.13]. In particular,

$$(3.61) \quad \|s\|_0 \leq |s|_{T,1}.$$

Note that the perturbation operator $\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $T \geq 1$. From the arguments in the proof of [B97, Theorem 9.14], we could obtain that there exist $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$, such that for $T \geq 1, t \geq 1, s \in \Lambda(T^*B) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_X$,

$$(3.62) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})s\|_0^2 &\geq C_1|s|_{T,1}^2 - C_2\|s\|_0^2, \\ |\langle R_{t,T}s, s \rangle_0| &\leq C_3 t \|s\|_0 \cdot |s|_{T,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $\alpha = c^2/(c^2 + 2C_2)$. By (3.58)-(3.62), for $T \geq T', t \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.63) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(D(\mathcal{F}_{V,t}) + tT\mathcal{V} + t\mathcal{A}_{T,Y})s\|_0^2 &= |\langle t^2(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})^2s + R_{t,T}s, s \rangle_0| \\ &\geq (1-\alpha)t^2\|(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})s\|_0^2 + \alpha t^2\|(D(\mathcal{F}_X) + T\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})s\|_0^2 - |\langle R_{t,T}s, s \rangle_0| \\ &\geq (1-\alpha)c^2t^2\|s\|_0^2 + \alpha C_1 t^2 |s|_{T,1}^2 - \alpha C_2 t^2 \|s\|_0^2 - C_3 t \|s\|_0 \cdot |s|_{T,1} \\ &\geq \alpha C_2 t^2 \|s\|_0^2 + t(\alpha C_1 t - C_3) |s|_{T,1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Take $t_0 = \max\{2C_3/\alpha C_1, 1\}$. For any $t \geq t_0, T \geq T'$, there exists $C > 0$, such that

$$(3.64) \quad \|(D(\mathcal{F}_{V,t}) + tT\mathcal{V} + t\mathcal{A}_{T,Y})s\|_0^2 \geq Ct^2 \|s\|_0^2.$$

Since $D(\mathcal{F}_{V,t}) + tT\mathcal{V} + t\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ is self-adjoint, by (3.64), it is surjective. Thus $D(\mathcal{F}_{V,t}) + tT\mathcal{V} + t\mathcal{A}_{T,Y}$ is invertible.

The proof of Lemma 3.7 is completed. \square

Let

$$(3.65) \quad \mathcal{F}_W = (W, \pi_W^* \mathcal{E}_B \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_Y, \pi_W^* g^{TB} \oplus g^{TY}, \pi_W^* h^{\mathcal{E}_B} \otimes h^{\mathcal{E}_Y}, \pi_W^* \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_Y})$$

be the equivariant geometric family over a point in B . Let

$$(3.66) \quad \mathcal{F}_{W,t} = (W, \pi_W^* \mathcal{E}_B \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_Y, g_t^{TW}, \pi_V^* h^{\mathcal{E}_B} \otimes h^{\mathcal{E}_Y}, \nabla_t^{\mathcal{E}_W})$$

be the rescaled equivariant geometric family constructed in the same way as in (1.62) and (3.55).

Firstly, we assume that B is closed. Let t_0 be the constant taking in Lemma 3.7. We may assume that when $t \geq t_0, D(\mathcal{F}_{W,t}) + 1 \widehat{\otimes} t\mathcal{A}_Y$ is invertible by the arguments before Theorem 1.7. By Theorem 1.7 and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$(3.67) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_{W,t_0}, 1 \widehat{\otimes} t_0 \mathcal{A}_Y) &= \int_B \widehat{\mathbb{A}}(TB, \nabla^{TB}) \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_B/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B}) \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{A}_Y) \\ &\quad - \int_{W^g} \left(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_g \cdot \widetilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) \left(\nabla_{t_0}^{TW}, \nabla^{TB, TY}, \nabla_{t_0}^{\mathcal{E}_W}, {}^0\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_W} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.68) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_{V,t_0}, 1 \widehat{\otimes} (t_0 T' \mathcal{V} + t_0 \mathcal{A}_{T',Y})) \\ = \int_B \widehat{\mathbb{A}}(TB, \nabla^{TB}) \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_B/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B}) \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_X, T' \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{A}_{T',Y}) \\ - \int_{V^g} \left(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_g \cdot \widetilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) \left(\nabla_{t_0}^{TV}, \nabla^{TB, TX}, \nabla_{t_0}^{\mathcal{E}_V}, {}^0\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_V} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(3.69) \quad \Delta_B = \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_X, T'\mathcal{V} + A_{T',Y}) - \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{A}_Y) \\ - \int_{X^g} \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X) \in \Omega^*(B, \mathbb{C})/\text{Im}d.$$

From [BGV, (1.17)], Theorem 2.4 and (1.47), we have $d^B \Delta_B = 0$.

Recall that $\mathcal{V} \in \text{End}_{C(TX)}(\mathcal{E}_X)$ satisfies the fundamental assumption (2.13) with respect to \mathcal{F}_Y and \mathcal{F}_X . Let $1\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{V}$ is the extension of \mathcal{V} on $\pi_V^* \mathcal{E}_B \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_X$ in the same way as \mathcal{A} in (1.63). Then $1\widehat{\otimes}\mathcal{V}$ satisfies the fundamental assumption (2.13) with respect to \mathcal{F}_W and \mathcal{F}_V . Furthermore, $1\widehat{\otimes}t\mathcal{V}$ satisfies the fundamental assumption (2.13) with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{W,t}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{V,t}$. Observe that $\gamma_g^V(\mathcal{F}_{W,t}, \mathcal{F}_{V,t})$ does not depend on t . We also denote it by $\gamma_g^V(\mathcal{F}_W, \mathcal{F}_V)$.

From Theorem 3.1, if $\dim V$ is odd, there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$(3.70) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_{V,t_0}, \mathcal{A}_V) = \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_{W,t_0}, 1\widehat{\otimes}t_0\mathcal{A}_Y) + \int_{V^g} \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TX, \nabla_{t_0}^{TX}) \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_W, \mathcal{F}_V) \\ + \text{ch}_g(\text{sf}_G\{D(\mathcal{F}_{V,t_0}) + \mathcal{A}_V, D(\mathcal{F}_{V,t_0}) + 1\widehat{\otimes}(T_0 t_0 \mathcal{V} + t_0 \mathcal{A}_{T_0,Y})\}).$$

We may assume that $T_0 \geq T'$, which is determined in Lemma 3.7. By anomaly formula Theorem 1.6, we have

$$(3.71) \quad \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_{V,t_0}, 1\widehat{\otimes}(T_0 t_0 \mathcal{V} + t_0 \mathcal{A}_{T_0,Y})) = \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_{W,t_0}, 1\widehat{\otimes}t_0\mathcal{A}_Y) \\ + \int_{V^g} \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TV, \nabla_{t_0}^{TV}) \gamma_g^V(\mathcal{F}_W, \mathcal{F}_V).$$

Note that if $\dim V$ is even, (3.71) also holds, because in this case all terms in (3.71) vanish.

From the anomaly formula Theorem 1.6, Lemma 3.7 and (3.71), for $t > t_0$, we have

$$(3.72) \quad \int_{V^g} \left(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_g \cdot \widetilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) \left(\nabla_{t_0}^{TV}, \nabla_t^{TV}, \nabla_{t_0}^{\mathcal{E}_V}, \nabla_t^{\mathcal{E}_V} \right) \\ = \int_{W^g} \left(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_g \cdot \widetilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) \left(\nabla_{t_0}^{TW}, \nabla_t^{TW}, \nabla_{t_0}^{\mathcal{E}_W}, \nabla_t^{\mathcal{E}_W} \right) \\ - \int_{V^g} \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TV, \nabla_{t_0}^{TV}) \gamma_g^V(\mathcal{F}_W, \mathcal{F}_V) \\ + \int_{V^g} \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_g(TV, \nabla_t^{TV}) \gamma_g^V(\mathcal{F}_W, \mathcal{F}_V).$$

Note that locally the manifolds are spin. From [Liu17, Proposition 4.5] and the arguments in [Liu17, Section 5.5], we have

$$(3.73) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_g \cdot \widetilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) \left(\nabla_{t_0}^{TV}, \nabla_t^{TV}, \nabla_{t_0}^{\mathcal{E}_V}, \nabla_t^{\mathcal{E}_V} \right) \\ = \left(\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_g \cdot \widetilde{\text{ch}}_g \right) \left(\nabla_{t_0}^{TV}, \nabla^{TB, TX}, \nabla_{t_0}^{\mathcal{E}_V}, {}^0\nabla^{\mathcal{E}_V} \right)$$

and

$$(3.74) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \widehat{A}_g(TV, \nabla_t^{TV}) = \widehat{A}_g(TV, \nabla^{TB, TX}) \\ = \pi_V^* \widehat{A}(TB, \nabla^{TB}) \cdot \widehat{A}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}).$$

By Definition 2.3, we have

$$(3.75) \quad \gamma_g^V(\mathcal{F}_W, \mathcal{F}_V) = \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_B/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B}) \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y, \mathcal{F}_X).$$

From Lemma 3.6 and (3.67)-(3.75), if B is closed, we have

$$(3.76) \quad \int_B \Delta_B = q^{-1} \cdot \int_B \widehat{A}(TB, \nabla^{TB}) \text{ch}(\mathcal{E}_B/\mathcal{S}, \nabla^{\mathcal{E}_B}) \cdot \Delta_B = 0.$$

In general, B is not necessary closed. Let K be a closed submanifold of B . Let $\mathcal{F}_Y|_K$ and $\mathcal{F}_X|_K$ be the restrictions of \mathcal{F}_Y and \mathcal{F}_X on K . Let $T_0 \geq 1$ be the constant determined in Proposition 2.6 associated with B . Then $(TV + \mathcal{A}_{T,Y})|_K$ is the perturbation operator with respect to $D(\mathcal{F}_X|_K)$ over K for $T \geq T_0$. Set

$$(3.77) \quad \Delta_K = \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_X|_K, (T_0\mathcal{V} + A_{T_0,Y})|_K) - \tilde{\eta}_g(\mathcal{F}_Y|_K, \mathcal{A}_Y|_K) \\ + \int_{X_g} \widehat{A}_g(TX, \nabla^{TX}) \gamma_g^X(\mathcal{F}_Y|_K, \mathcal{F}_X|_K) \in \Omega^*(K, \mathbb{C})/\text{Im}d.$$

From Definition 1.4 and 2.3, we could see that $\int_K \Delta_B = \int_K \Delta_K$.

On the other hand, from (3.76), we have $\int_K \Delta_K = 0$. So for any closed submanifold K of B , we have

$$(3.78) \quad \int_K \Delta_B = 0.$$

From the arguments in [DR73, §21, §22], we obtain that Δ_B is exact on B . Therefore, we obtain Theorem 2.7 from the anomaly formula Theorem 1.6.

The proof of our main result is completed.

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Prof. Xiaonan Ma for many discussions. I would like to thank the Mathematical Institute of Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin and University of California, Santa Barbara, especially Prof. J. Brüning and Xianzhe Dai for financial support and hospitality. Part of the work was done while I was visiting Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques (IHES) and Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (MPIM) which I thank the financial support.

This research has been financially supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No.2017M621404).

REFERENCES

- [AH59] M. F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch. Riemann-Roch theorems for differentiable manifolds. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 65:276–281, 1959.
- [APS75] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. I. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 77:43–69, 1975.
- [AS69] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. Index theory for skew-adjoint Fredholm operators. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 37:5–26, 1969.
- [ASIV] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. IV. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 93:119–138, 1971.

- [BGV] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Grundlehren Text Editions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.
- [B86] J.-M. Bismut. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: two heat equation proofs. *Invent. Math.*, 83(1):91–151, 1986.
- [B95] J.-M. Bismut. Equivariant immersions and Quillen metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, 41(1):53–157, 1995.
- [B97] J.-M. Bismut. Holomorphic families of immersions and higher analytic torsion forms. *Astérisque*, (244):viii+275, 1997.
- [BC89] J.-M. Bismut and J. Cheeger. η -invariants and their adiabatic limits. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2(1):33–70, 1989.
- [BF86] J.-M. Bismut and D. Freed. The analysis of elliptic families. I. Metrics and connections on determinant bundles. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 106(1):159–176, 1986.
- [BL92] J.-M. Bismut and G. Lebeau. Complex immersions and Quillen metrics. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 74:ii+298 pp. 1992.
- [BM04] J.-M. Bismut and X. Ma. Holomorphic immersions and equivariant torsion forms. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 575:189–235, 2004.
- [BZ93] J.-M. Bismut and W. Zhang. Real embeddings and eta invariants. *Math. Ann.*, 295(4):661–684, 1993.
- [BuM04] U. Bunke and X. Ma. Index and secondary index theory for flat bundles with duality. In *Aspects of boundary problems in analysis and geometry*, volume 151 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 265–341. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [BuS09] U. Bunke and T. Schick. Smooth K -theory. *Astérisque*, 328:45–135 (2010), 2009.
- [BuS13] U. Bunke and T. Schick. Differential orbifold K -theory. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 7(4):1027–1104, 2013.
- [D91] X. Dai. Adiabatic limits, nonmultiplicativity of signature, and Leray spectral sequence. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4(2):265–321, 1991.
- [DZ98] X. Dai and W. Zhang. Higher spectral flow. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 157(2):432–469, 1998.
- [DZ00] X. Dai and W. Zhang. Real embeddings and the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for Dirac operators. *Asian J. Math.*, 4(4):775–794, 2000. Loo-Keng Hua: a great mathematician of the twentieth century.
- [DR73] G. de Rham. *Variétés différentiables. Formes, courants, formes harmoniques*. Hermann, Paris, 1973. Troisième édition revue et augmentée, Publications de l’Institut de Mathématique de l’Université de Nancago, III, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1222b.
- [D78] H. Donnelly. Eta invariants for G -spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 27(6):889–918, 1978.
- [FXZ09] H. Feng, G. Xu, and W. Zhang. Real embeddings, η -invariant and Chern-Simons current. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 5(3, Special Issue: In honor of Friedrich Hirzebruch. Part 2):1113–1137, 2009.
- [FL10] D. S. Freed and J. Lott. An index theorem in differential K -theory. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(2):903–966, 2010.
- [LM89] H. B. Lawson and M.-L. Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [Liu16] B. Liu. Equivariant eta forms and equivariant differential K -theory. *arXiv: 1610.02311*, 2016.
- [Liu17] B. Liu. Functoriality of equivariant eta forms. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 11(1):225–307, 2017.
- [M02] X. Ma. Functoriality of real analytic torsion forms. *Israel J. Math.*, 131:1–50, 2002.
- [MM07] X. Ma and G. Marinescu. *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, volume 254 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [Q85] D. Quillen. Superconnections and the Chern character. *Topology*, 24(1):89–95, 1985.
- [S68] G. Segal. Equivariant K -theory. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 34:129–151, 1968.
- [Z01] W. Zhang. *Lectures on Chern-Weil theory and Witten deformations*, volume 4 of *Nankai Tracts in Mathematics*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.

- [Z05] W. Zhang. η -invariant and Chern-Simons current. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 26(1):45–56, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, 500 DONGCHUAN ROAD,
SHANGHAI, 200241 P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: `boliumath@outlook.com`