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h
APC/C ${ }^{\text {Cdh1 }}$
Present all K D1 D2 A KD1 KD2 KA D1D2 D1A D2A


i $\mathrm{APC} / \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{MCC}}$

Cdk2cycA2Cks2 |  | WT |  |  |  |  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{D} 1$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.18 | 0.48 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.18 | 0.48 | 1 | 2 | no substrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |


j $\mathrm{APC} / \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cdc} 20}$
Cdk2cycA2Cks2


Supplementary Figure 1 | The resistance of cyclin A2 to MCC-imposed inhibition in vitro is concentration-dependent. a Ubiquitination assay without addition of the Cdk1/2 inhibitor iii showed almost no APC/C activity. b, c Cyclin A2 and cyclin B1 in complex with Cdk2-Cks2 (b) or alone (c) are substrates of APC/C ${ }^{C d h 1}$ and APC/C ${ }^{C d c 20}$. d In contrast to cyclin B1, cyclin A2 was efficiently ubiquitinated in the presence of the MCC, but only when it was in complex with Cdk2-Cks2. e Control assay shows that in the absence of the APC/C, no ubiquitination ladder could be detected. $\mathbf{f}$ The resistance of cyclin A2 to MCC-imposed inhibition is concentration dependent. In addition, cyclin A2 showed a higher level of ubiquitination by APC/CCdc20 at low concentration and acts as an inhibitor at high concentration. $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ The KEN box plays a dominant role in cyclin A2 ubiquitination by APC/C ${ }^{\text {Can } 1}$, augmented by the D2 box. The combination of the KEN box and D2 box is sufficient to restore cyclin A2 ubiquitination by $A P C / C^{C a n 1}$ approaching the same level as wild-type cyclin A 2 (compare lanes 1 and 7 ). The Western-blot was quantified to show the effect of individual mutations, with error bars indicating standard deviation. The APC/C activity towards cyclin A2 mutants is normalized to ubiquitination of wild-type cyclin A2 and significance is calculated using unpaired Student's t-test (indicated with stars, Supplementary Table 2). i, j A titration of wild-type cyclin A2 and the 4 D 1 mutant revealed that wild-type cyclin A2 was more efficient at overcoming MCC-imposed inhibition at low concentration (0.18-0.48 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ), indicating a role of the D 1 box in cyclin A 2 ubiquitination by $A P C / C^{\mathrm{MCC}}$ ( $\mathbf{i}$ ). This result is unique to $A P C / C^{\mathrm{MCC}}$, as for $A P C / C^{C d c 20}$ a higher level of ubiquitination was observed for the $\Delta \mathrm{D} 1$ mutant throughout the titration ( j ). Ubiquitination reactions were analysed by Western blotting with an anti-His antibody to detect the His-tag of the ubiquitin-modified substrates. Control gels showing the unmodified substrate for representative reactions are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.


Supplementary Figure 2 | Control assays of cyclin A2 mutants. a-c Ubiquitination assays using APC/C ${ }^{\text {Cdh1 } 1}$ (a), APC/C $C^{C d c 20}$ (b) and APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$ (c) were performed for each cyclin A2 mutant. The modified substrates were detected by anti-His antibody against the His-tag on ubiquitin, while anti-cyclin A2 antibody detects the unmodified substrate. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

d
Unperturbed mitosis

e
SAC arrest


Supplementary Figure 3 | In vivo analysis of eGFP-cyclin A2 mutants. a Immunoblot analysis of HEK293 Flpln TRex cells expressing eGFP-cyclin A2 mutants after the addition of doxycycline. The expression was induced 48h before harvesting the cells and the concentrations of doxycycline are indicated. The membrane was blotted against cyclin A2, which detects both the endogenous protein as well as the eGFP-tagged constructs, and against actin as the loading control. $\mathbf{b}$ The duration of mitosis from NEBD to anaphase was measured for all cells analysed by live cell imaging. The expression of different eGFP-cyclin A2 mutants did not influence the time the cells spend in mitosis, except for $\triangle \mathrm{D} 1 \mathrm{D} 2$ and $\Delta$ all. These two mutants are not targeted by the APC/C for degradation and therefore delay anaphase. Significance is calculated using a simple ANOVA test followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test (Supplementary Table 2). c Exemplary still images from time courses between NEBD and anaphase of eGFP-cyclin A2 destruction in HEK cells of wild-type cyclin A2, $\triangle$ KD1A and $\Delta$ all mutants. The chromosomes are coloured in magenta and cyclin A2 in green, with the outline of the cells marked with dashed yellow lines. Time is given as hh:mm. Scale bar $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Degradation of cyclin A2 was delayed to anaphase if only the D2 box was present ( $\triangle$ KD1A) and it was completely inhibited if all degrons are mutated ( $\Delta$ all). The remaining degrons in cyclin A2 after the mutation are labelled in dark red. The complete time courses are shown in Supplementary Movies 3-5. d, e Degradation rate of the eGFP-cyclin A2 mutants was calculated during unperturbed mitosis (d) and SAC arrest (e). For each cell a linear regression over the linear part of the normalized degradation curve was performed. The slope of the regression line directly corresponds to the degradation of eGFP-cyclin A2 given as percent degradation per minute [\%/min]. Significance is calculated by a simple ANOVA test followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test (indicated with stars, Supplementary Table 2). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
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 a Purified APC/C ${ }^{\triangle A p c 1-300 s-C d c 20-C d k 2-c y c l i n A 2-C k s 2 ~ t e r n a r y ~ c o m p l e x ~ o n ~ S D S-P A G E . ~ B a n d s ~ f o r ~ i n d i v i d u a l ~ A P C / C ~ s u b u n i t s ~}$ as well as Cdc20 and Cdk2-cyclinA2-Cks2 are indicated. A crosslinking condition using 1 mM BS3 on ice for 30 min was used. Crosslinked complex was purified by size-exclusion chromatography before grid preparation. b A typical cryo-EM
 detector in electron counting mode, representative of 8,264 micrographs collected. c Gallery of two-dimensional averages
 Purified APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$ and APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$-Cdk2-cyclinA2-Cks2 complexes on SDS-PAGE. e Gallery of two-dimensional averages of APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$-Cdk2-cyclinA2-Cks showing different views; representative of 100 two-dimensional averages. f Gold-standard Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC) curves of all APC/C reconstructions in this paper. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.


Supplementary Figure 5 | Three-dimensional classification scheme of APC/C ${ }^{\Delta A p c 1-300 s-C d c 20-C d k 2-c y c l i n A 2-C k s 2 . ~}$ The initial particles after 2-dimensional classification were 3D-refined (a) and divided into eight classes by the 3-dimensional (3D) classification module using fine-angle search in RELION. Good particles including the apo (APC/C alone), ternary and hybrid (lacking Apc1 ${ }^{\text {WD40 }}$ ) states were combined. Following local CTF correction in Gctf and 3D-refinement (b), a soft mask was applied on Cdc20 WD40-D box-Apc10 to perform particle subtraction and focused 3D-classification. Four out of the six classes contained densities for $\mathrm{Cdc} 20^{\mathrm{wD} 40}$ and were pooled for particle polishing to correct beam-induced particle motions. Multiple rounds of masking on Cdc20 WD40-D box-Apc10, focused 3D-classification and refinement were performed to separate different states of Cdc20. Two major classes containing Cdc20 WD40 and densities at the D-box binding site were obtained (c). The D1 box class contains a long-kinked loop density at the D-box binding site (d), whereas the D2 box class showed a canonical C-shaped density (f), with a large movement of Cdc20wD40 relative to the D1 box class (h). Although the resolution of the APC/C in both classes extends to $3 \AA$, the resolution of the D-box binding site is limited to 4-5 $\AA(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{g})$. The class 3 in (c) (cyan) also contains the D1 box with strong densities for the ABBA motif and KEN box. However, there is a rotational movement of Cdc20 wD40 relative to the D1 box class (salmon), preventing merging the particles from the two classes.
a


C $\mathrm{APC} / \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{Cdc} 20}$


b

d
e

Ratio of the MCC in open-closed conformation
Particle numbers

| Particle numbers |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Open | Closed | Ratio |
| APC/C | MCC | 27418 | 50268 |
| APC/C | $0.545: 1$ |  |  |
|  | 184059 | 81207 | $2.267: 1$ |

## Supplementary Figure 6 | Control experiments and cryo-EM reconstruction of APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$-Cdk2-cyclinA2-Cks2.

a The fractions of size exclusion chromatography of Cdk2-cyclinA2 ${ }^{\triangle A B B A}-C k s 2$ with APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$. Cdk2-cyclinA2 $2^{\triangle A B B A}-C k s 2$ could bind to APC/C ${ }^{\text {McC }}$, but at a reduced level in comparison with wild-type cyclin A2. b A biotinylated peptide of cyclin A2 ABBA motif could efficiently pull-down wild-type Cdc20, whereas ABBA motif-binding pocket mutations with I235S and Y279E or I235S and V295K severely reduced association of the peptide (compare lanes 7 to 8-9). Mutations with I235S and V295K did not allow formation of the MCC. c In contrast to cyclin A2, mutation of the ABBA-motif binding pocket on $\mathrm{Cdc} 20^{\mathrm{M}}$ reduced MCC-imposed inhibition of securin ubiquitination (lanes 9-12). d Disruption of the hydrophobic interactions within the D-box binding site (L176A) on Cdc20 ${ }^{M}$ did not influence the MCC-imposed inhibition on securin ubiquitination (lanes 7-9). e Comparison of the ratio between the open-closed APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$ states in both cryo-EM reconstructions of $A P C / C^{\text {MCC }}$ and $A P C / C^{\text {MCC }}-C d k 2-c y c l i n A 2-C k s 2$. In the presence of Cdk2-cyclinA2-Cks2, a four-fold increase of the open state APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$ was observed. The closed (cyan) and open (magenta) APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$ states of the
 sponding to the MCC highlighted with dashed lines. f Mutation of the putative KEN box in cyclin B1 had no influences on its ubiquitination by APC/C ${ }^{C d c 20}$ and APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$, except for a small reduction for APC/C ${ }^{C d h 1}$. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

## Supplementary Table 1 | Statistics of cryo-EM reconstructions obtained in this paper.

Percentages in parenthesis indicate the proportion of good particles used for the final reconstruction.

|  | APC/C ${ }^{\triangle A p c 1-300 s}$ _Cdc20-Cdk2-cyclinA2-Cks2 D1 box class (EMDB-4465) <br> (PDB 6Q6G) | APC/C ${ }^{\Delta A p c 1-300 s}$ _Cdc20-Cdk2-cyclinA2-Cks2 D2 box class (EMDB-4466) (PDB 6Q6H) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data collection and processing |  |  |
| Magnification | 130,000 | 130,000 |
| Voltage (kV) | 300 | 300 |
| Detector | Gatan K2 electron counting | Gatan K2 electron counting |
| Electron exposure (e-/Å2) | $\sim 28$ | $\sim 28$ |
| Defocus range ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 0.5-3.0 | 0.5-3.0 |
| Pixel size (Å) | 1.047 | 1.047 |
| Symmetry imposed | C1 | C1 |
| Initial particle images (no.) | 925,790 | 925,790 |
| Final particle images (no.) | 176,826 | 117,044 |
| Map resolution (Å) | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| FSC threshold | 0.143 | 0.143 |
| Map resolution range ( $\AA$ ) | 2.5-7 | 2.5-7 |
| Refinement |  |  |
| Initial model used (PDB code) | 4G04 | 4G04 |
| Map sharpening $B$ factor ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | -30 | -30 |
| Model composition |  |  |
| Non-hydrogen atoms | 68,134 | 67,945 |
| Protein residues | 8,576 | 8,550 |
| $B$ factors ( $\AA^{2}$ ) |  |  |
| Protein | 175.97 | 155.76 |
| R.m.s. deviations |  |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 0.844 | 0.868 |
| Validation |  |  |
| MolProbity score | 1.63 | 1.64 |
| Clashscore | 6.14 | 5.94 |
| Poor rotamers (\%) | 0.22 | 0.25 |
| Ramachandran plot |  |  |
| Favored (\%) | 95.83 | 95.55 |
| Allowed (\%) | 4.16 | 4.45 |
| Disallowed (\%) | 0.01 | 0.00 |


|  | APC/C ${ }^{\triangle A p c 1-300 s}$ _Cdc20-Cdk2cyclinA2 ${ }^{\Delta \mathrm{D} 1}$-Cks2 <br> (EMDB-4467) | APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$-Cdc20-Cdk2-cyclinA2-Cks2 open MCC (EMDB-4463) | APC/C ${ }^{\text {MCC }}$-Cdc20-Cdk2-cyclinA2-Cks2 closed MCC (EMDB-4464) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data collection and processing |  |  |  |
| Magnification | 105,000 | 93,000 | 93,000 |
| Voltage (kV) | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Detector | Gatan K2 electron counting | FEI Falcon III integration | FEI Falcon III intergration |
| Electron exposure (e-lí ${ }^{2}$ ) | ~28 | $\sim 28$ | $\sim 28$ |
| Defocus range ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 0.5-3.0 | 2.0-4.0 | 2.0-4.0 |
| Pixel size (Å) | 1.1 | 1.06 | 1.06 |
| Symmetry imposed | C1 | C1 | C1 |
| Initial particle images (no.) | 323,236 | 864,389 | 864,389 |
| Final particle images (no.) | 61,415 | 184,059 | 81,207 |
| Map resolution (Å) | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 |
| FSC threshold | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 |
| Map resolution range ( $\AA$ ) | 3-8 | 3-10 | 3-10 |

## Supplementary Table 2 | Statistical analysis of ubiquitination assays and fluorescence intensities.

 Details of the statistical analysis performed in this paper with $p$-values and significance.Fig. 1c APC/C-Cdh1 Unpaired t-test dK (D1D2A present) vs. wt dD1 (KD2A present) vs. wt dD2 (KD1A present) vs. wt dA (KD1D2 present) vs. wt dAll vs. wt

| Significant? | Summary | Adjusted P Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | n** | $<0,0001$ |
| No | ns | 0,2663 |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0055 |
| No | ns | 0,3233 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |

Fig. 1e APC/C-Cdc20
Unpaired t-test
dK (D1D2A present) vs. wt dD1 (KD2A present) vs. wt dD2 (KD1A present) vs. wt dA (KD1D2 present) vs. wt dAll vs. wt

Fig. 1g APC/C-MCC
Unpaired t-test
dK (D1D2A present) vs. wt dD1 (KD2A present) vs. wt dD2 (KD1A present) vs. wt dA (KD1D2 present) vs. wt dAll vs. wt

| Significant? | Summary | Adjusted P Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,0347 |
| No | ns | 0,4323 |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,0154 |
| No | ns | 0,0807 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |

Fig. 3c APC/C-Cdc20
Unpaired t-test dKD1A (D2 present) vs. wt dKD1D2mutA vs. wt dAll vs. wt

Fig. 5b APC/C-Cdc20
Unpaired t-test dD1D2A (K present) vs. wt dKD2A (D1 present) vs. wt dKD1A (D2 present) vs. wt dKD1D2 (A present) vs. wt dD2A (KD1 present) vs. wt dD1A (KD2 present) vs. wt dD1D2 (KA present) vs. wt dKA (D1D2 present) vs. wt dKD2 (D1A present) vs. wt dKD1 (D2A present) vs. wt

| Significant? | Summary <br> Yes | Adjusted P Value <br> $<0,0001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,0113 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* * *$ | 0,0002 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0098 |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,0402 |
| No | ns | 0,1342 |

Fig. 5d APC/C-MCC
Unpaired t-test
dD1D2A (K present) vs. wt dKD2A (D1 present) vs. wt dKD1A (D2 present) vs. wt dKD1D2 (A present) vs. wt dD2A (KD1 present) vs. wt

| Significant? | Summary | Adjusted P Value <br> Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ye* | $<0,0001$ |  |
| Yes | $* * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0017 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* * *$ | 0,0003 |

dD1A (KD2 present) vs. wt dD1D2 (KA present) vs. wt dKA (D1D2 present) vs. wt dKD2 (D1A present) vs. wt dKD1 (D2A present) vs. wt

| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0053 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | ** | 0,0026 |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,014 |
| No | ns | 0,1182 |

Fig. 6f ABBA pocket mutant Unpaired t-test wt ( 60 nM vs. 0 nM MCC) wt ( 120 nM vs. 0 nM MCC) Cdc20-A (60 nM vs. 0 nM MCC)
Cdc20-A (120 nM vs. 0 nM MCC) Cdc20-M (60 nM vs. 0 nM MCC)
Cdc20-M (120 nM vs. 0 nM MCC)

| Significant? | Summary | Adjusted P Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | ns | 0,2069 |
| No | ns | 0,0577 |
| No | ns | 0,1321 |
| No | ns | 0,1406 |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0086 |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,0337 |

Fig. 6h Dbox pocket mutant
Unpaired t-test
Significant?
Summary
djusted P Value
wt ( 60 nM vs. 0 nM MCC) wt (120 nM vs. 0 nM MCC) Cdc20-A ( 60 nM vs. 0 nM MCC) Cdc20-A ( 120 nM vs. 0 nM MCC) Cdc20-M (60 nM vs. 0 nM MCC) Cdc20-M (120 nM vs. 0 nM MCC)

No
No
No
No
No
Yes

| Significant? | Summary | Adjusted P Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $* * *$ | 0,0007 |
| Yes | $* * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0034 |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,0458 |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0084 |
| No | ns | 0,4226 |

Supplementary Fig. 1h APC/C-Cdh1 Unpaired t-test
dD1D2A (K present) vs. wt dKD2A (D1 present) vs. wt dKD1A (D2 present) vs. wt dKD1D2 (A present) vs. wt dD2A (KD1 present) vs. wt dD1A (KD2 present) vs. wt dD1D2 (KA present) vs. wt dKA (D1D2 present) vs. wt dKD2 (D1A present) vs. wt dKD1 (D2A present) vs. wt

Supplementary Fig. 3b
Dunnett's multiple comparisons test wt vs. dK (D1D2A present) wt vs. dD1 (KD2A present) wt vs. dD2 (KD1A present) wt vs. dA (KD1D2 present) wt vs. dKD1 (D2A present) wt vs. dKD2 (D1A present)

| Significant? | Summary <br> Yes* | Adjusted P Value <br> $<0,0001$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0012 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* * *$ | 0,0002 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0,0001$ |
| Yes | $* * *$ | 0,0002 |

Mitotic timing

| Significant? | Summary | Adjusted P Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | ns | 0,9991 |
| No | ns | 0,9842 |
| No | ns | 0,9994 |
| No | ns | 0,8998 |
| No | ns | 0,9958 |
| No | ns | 0,8709 |

wt vs. dKA (D1D2 present) wt vs. dD1D2 (KA present) wt vs. dD1A (KD2 present) wt vs. dD2A (KD1 present) wt vs. dKD1A (D2 present) wt vs. dAll

## Supplementary Fig. 3d

Dunnett's multiple comparisons test wt vs. dK (D1D2A present) wt vs. dD1 (KD2A present) wt vs. dD2 (KD1A present) wt vs. dA (KD1D2 present) wt vs. dKD1 (D2A present) wt vs. dKD2 (D1A present) wt vs. dKA (D1D2 present) wt vs. dD1D2 (KA present) wt vs. dD1A (KD2 present) wt vs. dD2A (KD1 present) wt vs. dKD1A (D2 present) wt vs. dAll

## Supplementary Fig. 3e

Dunnett's multiple comparisons test wt vs. dK (D1D2A present) wt vs. dD1 (KD2A present) wt vs. dD2 (KD1A present) wt vs. dA (KD1D2 present) wt vs. dKD1 (D2A present) wt vs. dKD2 (D1A present) wt vs. dKA (D1D2 present) wt vs. dD1D2 (KA present) wt vs. dD1A (KD2 present) wt vs. dD2A (KD1 present) wt vs. dKD1A (D2 present) wt vs. dAll
ns

| No | ns | 0,9929 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0.0001$ |
| No | ns | $>0.9999$ |
| No | ns | $>0.9999$ |
| No | ns | 0,9991 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0.0001$ |


| Degradation rate mitosis |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Significant? | Summary | Adjusted P Value |
| No | ns | 0,9994 |
| No | ns | 0,7952 |
| No | ns | 0,3594 |
| No | ns | 0,7939 |
| No | ns | 0,3398 |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0095 |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,0213 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0.0001$ |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,0224 |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,0288 |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0016 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0.0001$ |

Degradation rate SAC

| Significant? | Summary | Adjusted P Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | ns | 0,093 |
| No | ns | 0,0887 |
| No | ns | 0,0986 |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0081 |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0071 |
| Yes | $*$ | 0,048 |
| Yes | $* * *$ | 0,0002 |
| Yes | $* * *$ | 0,0001 |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0036 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0.0001$ |
| Yes | $* *$ | 0,0019 |
| Yes | $* * * *$ | $<0.0001$ |

not significant

