

One-loop quantum gravity from the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ spinning particle

Fiorenzo Bastianelli,^{a,b,c} Roberto Bonezzi,^d Olindo Corradini^{e,b,c} and Emanuele Latini^{f,b}

^a*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna,
Via Irnerio 46, I-40126 Bologna, Italy*

^b*INFN, Sezione di Bologna,
Via Irnerio 46, I-40126 Bologna, Italy*

^c*Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert-Einstein-Institut,
Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Golm, Germany*

^d*Institute for Physics, Humboldt University Berlin,
Zum Großen Windkanal 6, D-12489 Berlin, Germany*

^e*Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Informatiche e Matematiche,
Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia,
Via Campi 213/A, I-41125 Modena, Italy*

^f*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna,
Piazza di Porta S. Donato 5, I-40126 Bologna, Italy*

E-mail: fiorenzo.bastianelli@bo.infn.it,
roberto.bonezzi@physik.hu-berlin.de, olindo.corradini@unimore.it,
emanuele.latini@unibo.it

ABSTRACT: We construct a spinning particle that reproduces the propagation of the graviton on those curved backgrounds which solve the Einstein equations, with or without cosmological constant, i.e. Einstein manifolds. It is obtained by modifying the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric spinning particle by relaxing the gauging of the full $SO(4)$ R -symmetry group to a parabolic subgroup, and selecting suitable Chern-Simons couplings on the worldline. We test it by computing the correct one-loop divergencies of quantum gravity in $D = 4$.

KEYWORDS: BRST Quantization, Models of Quantum Gravity, Sigma Models

ARXIV EPRINT: [1909.05750](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.05750)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Anatomy of degrees of freedom	2
2.1	Warm up: $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and p -forms	3
2.2	$\mathcal{N} = 4$: gravitons and NS-NS spectrum	5
3	One loop gravity effective action	9
4	Conclusions	12

1 Introduction

Quantum gravity has undoubtedly been a topic of major interest in theoretical physics. Here we wish to study the graviton using a worldline approach. Some benefits of a first-quantized description are well known in the history of string theory: some properties, like the relation between gauge and gravitational amplitudes, T-duality and so on are quite transparent from the worldsheet description of the string, but pretty much hidden in terms of the target space effective field theory. Similar considerations apply to standard quantum field theories as well: despite the great success of covariant perturbation theory for electrodynamics, the use of lagrangian Feynman rules to compute even simple QCD processes becomes soon intractable. Moreover, the relative simplicity of tree-level gluon scattering amplitudes, and their relation to graviton amplitudes (most naively stated as “gravity is Yang-Mills squared”) are completely obscure in the standard lagrangian treatment of Yang-Mills and gravity. Therefore, our aim in the present paper is to continue developing a worldline description of perturbative quantum gravity, that is able to capture these features in a more transparent way. For instance, the worldline field content of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ spinning particle, that is the relevant model for gravity, consists of two copies (in the fermionic sector) of the worldline variables of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ model, relevant for Yang-Mills. Similarly, the graviton three-point function was easily shown to exhibit the double copy structure as compared to Yang-Mills [1].

However, the search of a worldline description for the graviton has met several obstructions along the years. Free spinning particle models based on worldline supersymmetry were suggested and constructed in [2–4], and contained the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric spinning particle that describes a massless point particle of spin 2 in $D = 4$ flat spacetime dimensions. These models, which are based on $O(N)$ -extended worldline supersymmetry, were found to enjoy conformal invariance [5, 6], but their coupling to curved backgrounds seemed to invalidate the first class algebra that is at the basis of the models. Couplings to (A)dS [7] and conformally flat spaces [8, 9] were later found to be viable, but the problem remained

how to use them as worldline models for describing perturbative quantum gravity. A first attempt to construct a worldline representation of the graviton was made in [10]. A much more elegant approach has been proposed recently in [1], building on the BRST construction that proved to be successful in the description of particles of spin 1 with the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric spinning model [11].

The definition of the path integral on the circle (that is related to QFT 1PI one-loop amplitudes) poses, in particular, some conceptual issues. The main step forward in constructing consistent worldline descriptions of Yang-Mills [11] and gravity [1] was to realize that the corresponding BRST systems are consistent *only* upon a suitable truncation of the Hilbert space. In the case of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spinning particle this has an immediate field theoretic explanation, as p -forms admit Yang-Mills interactions only for $p = 1$. Similarly, the minimal constraint in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case is $U(1) \times U(1)$, that leaves the massless NS-NS spectrum of closed string theory, and fits with the field theory result of [12]. While this is not a problem for the BRST cohomology itself, nor for tree level amplitudes, one has to find the correct way to implement the projection at one-loop level, since in principle all the unwanted states propagate in the loop. Moreover, the path integral on the circle is usually derived from gauge fixing a worldline action with local (super)symmetries, based on a first class constraint algebra. In the presence of a non-trivial gravitational background, the constraint algebra is obstructed and not first class anymore. A more appropriate way of thinking about the model is thus to consider it as a genuine BRST system from the start, regardless of being derived from a gauge invariant classical predecessor. Our main goal here will be to determine the measure on the moduli space implementing the correct projection on the pure gravity contribution, thereby defining the path integral on the circle.

Thus, we study again the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ spinning particle from this new perspective. First we consider the version defined by gauging the whole extended supersymmetry algebra of the worldline. In particular, we analyze the path integral on the loop (a worldline with the topology of a circle), constructed in [13], and dissect it to see how the gauge symmetries project the full Hilbert space to the one of the spin 2 particle, which remains as the only propagating degree of freedom. Studying the role played by the measure on the moduli space, left over by the gauge-fixing, allows us to modify the measure to achieve an improved projection. The latter has the virtue of working in any spacetime dimensions, allowing also more general couplings to curved backgrounds. This modification of the measure on moduli space is interpreted as due to the gauging of a parabolic subgroup of the $SO(4)$ R -symmetry group, supplemented by appropriate Chern-Simons couplings on the worldline. The model is eventually tested on curved backgrounds, where it is found to reproduce the correct results for the diverging part of the graviton one-loop effective action [14, 15].

2 Anatomy of degrees of freedom

We are going to review the quantization on the circle of the free $\mathcal{N} = 4$ spinning particle with various gaugings of the $SO(4)$ R -symmetry algebra. In particular, we focus on the precise way the path integral extracts the physical degrees of freedom from the Hilbert space. This serves as a guiding principle for the quantization of the corresponding non-

linear sigma model which couples a spin 2 particle (the graviton) to background gravity. Then, we shall use it to compute terms in the graviton one-loop effective action in arbitrary dimensions, checking in particular that it produces the expected gauge invariant (BRST invariant) result in four dimensions.

2.1 Warm up: $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and p -forms

Before analyzing the case of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ spinning particle, relevant for gravity, we shall review the quantization on the circle of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ particle, describing differential forms [16, 17]. In particular, we wish to display how the gauging of worldline supersymmetries extracts the physical degrees of freedom in a covariant way. It occurs in a way that is directly related to the BV treatment of gauge theories in target space. This fact is maybe not surprising, since the BRST wavefunction of the particle contains the full BV spacetime spectrum, and the first-quantized BRST operator serves as BRST-BV differential in target space [18–21].

The action for the free $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spinning particle in phase space reads

$$S = \int dt [p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + i \bar{\psi}^\mu \dot{\psi}_\mu - e p^2 - i\chi \bar{\psi} \cdot p - i\bar{\chi} \psi \cdot p - a(\psi \cdot \bar{\psi} - q)] \quad (2.1)$$

where the one-dimensional supergravity multiplet $(e, \chi, \bar{\chi}, a)$ gauges worldline reparametrizations, supersymmetries and U(1) R -symmetry, respectively. The spacetime coordinates $x^\mu(t)$ and momenta $p_\mu(t)$ constitute bosonic phase space variables, while $\psi^\mu(t)$ and $\bar{\psi}^\mu(t)$ are worldline fermions analogous to the RNS fermions of the spinning string. A dot “.” indicates contraction over the spacetime indices μ, ν, \dots . In order to project on p -form gauge fields we have included a Chern-Simons coupling¹ $q = p + 1 - \frac{D}{2}$, that converts the classical constraint $(\psi \cdot \bar{\psi} - q)$ into the operatorial constraint $(\hat{N}_\psi - (p + 1))$ [17]. The contribution from the ghosts will then shift the eigenvalue $p + 1$ to p for the p -form gauge field.

The euclidean action is obtained by a Wick-rotation, and in configuration space it becomes

$$S = \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{4e} (\dot{x}^\mu - \chi \bar{\psi}^\mu - \bar{\chi} \psi^\mu)^2 + \bar{\psi}^\mu (\partial_\tau + ia) \psi_\mu + iq a \right] \quad (2.2)$$

where we have Wick-rotated the gauge field $a \rightarrow -ia$ to maintain the U(1) group compact. On the circle we gauge fix the supergravity multiplet as $(e, \chi, \bar{\chi}, a) \rightarrow (T, 0, 0, \theta)$. The nontrivial part of the ghost action contains a system of bosonic superghosts and is given by

$$S_{\text{gh}} = \int d\tau [\bar{\beta}(\partial_\tau + i\theta)\gamma + \beta(\partial_\tau - i\theta)\bar{\gamma}] . \quad (2.3)$$

The partition function (multiplied by $-\frac{1}{2}$ it corresponds to the QFT effective action) is then given by

$$Z_p = \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} Z_p(T) , \quad Z_p(T) := \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{P}} Dx \int_{\mathbb{A}} D\bar{\psi} D\psi \int_{\mathbb{A}} D\bar{\beta} D\gamma D\beta D\bar{\gamma} e^{-S_{\text{gf}} - S_{\text{gh}}} \quad (2.4)$$

¹We use a symmetric (Weyl) ordering of the quantum operators, e.g. $\psi \cdot \bar{\psi} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi \cdot \bar{\psi} - \bar{\psi} \cdot \psi) \rightarrow \hat{N}_\psi - \frac{D}{2}$, where $\hat{N}_\psi = \psi \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\psi}}$ is the ψ -number operator. This matches with the path integral regularization we use.

where S_{gf} denotes the gauge fixed version of (2.2), and the subscripts on the functional integrals denote periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions. In order to unveil the spacetime gauge structure, it is instructive to recast the density $Z_p(T)$ in operator terms as

$$Z_p(T) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \left(2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{-2} \text{Tr} \left[e^{-T\hat{H}} e^{i\theta(\hat{N}_\psi - p - 1)} \right] \quad (2.5)$$

where $\hat{H} = \hat{p}^2$ for the free theory. The trace is over the Hilbert space consisting of differential forms of arbitrary degree contained in the ψ Taylor coefficients of the wavefunctions $\omega(x, \psi)$. The factor of $(2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2})^{-2}$ comes from the path integral over the SUSY ghosts, and is responsible for the spacetime gauge structure, as we shall briefly review. To proceed further, let us notice that the Hilbert space can be decomposed as a direct sum according to the form degree, i.e. the eigenvalues of \hat{N}_ψ , as $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^D \mathcal{H}_n$. Accordingly, the trace can be decomposed as well, and we shall denote the trace restricted to \mathcal{H}_n by

$$t_n(T) := \text{Tr}_n \left[e^{-T\hat{H}} \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

For the free particle one has $t_n(T) = \binom{D}{n} \frac{1}{(4\pi T)^{D/2}}$, where the T -factor corresponds to the free particle position while the binomial simply counts the number of independent components of an antisymmetric tensor of rank n . Now one can use the Wilson line variable $z := e^{i\theta}$ and find

$$Z_p(T) = \oint_{\gamma^-} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \frac{1}{(z+1)^2} \sum_{n=0}^D t_n(T) z^{n-p} = \sum_{k=0}^p (-)^k (k+1) t_{p-k}(T) \quad (2.7)$$

where we have slightly deformed the contour $|z| = 1$ to exclude the pole in $z = -1$. The above result coincides with the decomposition one would obtain from the BV action in field theory: the contribution for $k = 0$ is from the gauge p -form, $k = 1$ is from the $(p - 1)$ -form ghost, $k = 2$ from the first ghost for ghost, and so on. It is clear, from the way the expansion is extracted from the above formula, that $\frac{z}{(z+1)^2}$ is the crucial factor for obtaining the correct residues and, as anticipated, it comes from the superghosts.² In order to treat the SUSY ghost sector in a similar footing to the ψ “matter” sector, we now rewrite the same density by undoing the path integral over the $\beta\gamma$ -system:

$$Z_p(T) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \text{Tr}_{\text{BRST}} \left[e^{-T\hat{H}} e^{i\theta(\hat{\mathcal{N}} - p)} (-)^{\hat{N}_\gamma + \hat{N}_\beta} \right] = \text{Tr}_{\text{BRST}} \left[e^{-T\hat{H}} \delta(\hat{\mathcal{N}} - p) (-)^{\hat{N}_\gamma + \hat{N}_\beta} \right] \quad (2.8)$$

where the symbol $\delta(\hat{\mathcal{N}} - p)$ is a Kronecker delta that selects the contribution from sectors with occupation number $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ equals to p . Here $\hat{\mathcal{N}} := \psi^\mu \bar{\psi}_\mu + \gamma \bar{\beta} - \beta \bar{\gamma}$ and we observe that on the BRST vacuum annihilated by $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ it also takes the form $\hat{\mathcal{N}} = N_\psi + N_\gamma + N_\beta$. Finally, the trace is over the BRST Hilbert space³ with vacuum annihilated by $\bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{\gamma}$. We notice that the alternating signs appearing in (2.8) are due to the antiperiodic boundary conditions in the bosonic ghost path integral, and have the spacetime interpretation of

²This should be expected, since in the light-cone formulation the local supersymmetries explicitly remove the unphysical polarizations.

³This coincides with the treatment given in [11] for spin one.

assigning negative contributions to the effective action (and so to the degrees of freedom) of fields with odd ghost number. To exemplify the above, let us consider the case of $p = 2$: the BRST wavefunction at $\hat{\mathcal{N}} = 2$ is given by⁴

$$B_{\mu\nu} \psi^\mu \psi^\nu + \lambda_\mu \psi^\mu \beta + \lambda_\mu^* \psi^\mu \gamma + \phi \gamma \beta + \lambda \beta^2 + \lambda^* \gamma^2 \quad (2.9)$$

where $B_{\mu\nu}$ is the two-form gauge field, $(\lambda_\mu, \lambda_\mu^*)$ are the ghost-antighost vectors, ϕ is an auxiliary scalar, and (λ, λ^*) is the ghost for ghost paired with its canonical conjugate. This gives immediately

$$Z_2(T) = t_2(T) - 2t_1(T) + 3t_0(T) = \frac{1}{(4\pi T)^{D/2}} \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{2} \quad (2.10)$$

that corresponds to the physical transverse degrees of freedom of a two-form.

2.2 $\mathcal{N} = 4$: gravitons and NS-NS spectrum

We turn now to the same analysis for the case of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ spinning particle, relevant for gravity, with various gaugings of the R -symmetry group $\text{SO}(4)$. The corresponding phase space action reads

$$S = \int dt [p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + i \bar{\psi}^{i\mu} \dot{\psi}_{i\mu} - e p^2 - i \chi_i \bar{\psi}^i \cdot p - i \bar{\chi}^i \psi_i \cdot p - a^r J_r] \quad (2.11)$$

where $i = 1, 2$ is a $\text{U}(2)$ index and J_r denotes the subset of $\text{SO}(4)$ generators being gauged — we keep manifest only the symmetry $\text{U}(2) \subset \text{SO}(4)$. The states in the Hilbert space correspond to bi-forms, interpreted as gauge fields:

$$\omega(x, \psi_i) = \sum_{m,n=0}^D \omega_{\mu[m]|\nu[n]}(x) \psi_1^{\mu_1} \dots \psi_1^{\mu_m} \psi_2^{\nu_1} \dots \psi_2^{\nu_n} \sim \bigoplus_{m,n} m \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \otimes n \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} . \quad (2.12)$$

Being interested in gravity we will always project the above spectrum to the subspace $m = n = 1$, with the graviton to be identified with the symmetric and traceless component of $\omega_{\mu|\nu}$. In this respect, the most economical choice is to gauge the $\text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1)$ subgroup generated by

$$\hat{N}_i := \hat{\psi}_i \cdot \hat{\bar{\psi}}^i, \quad \text{index } i \text{ not summed}, \quad (2.13)$$

where we used hats to stress that the above expression refers to operators in that given order. In this case one can add two independent Chern-Simons couplings q_i , that we choose as $q_i = 2 - \frac{D}{2}$ in order to project on the gravity sector. The spectrum consists of a graviton, a two-form and a scalar, coinciding with the massless NS-NS sector of closed strings. On the circle, the two gauge fields a_i give rise to the angular moduli (θ, ϕ) and, similarly to the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case, we obtain

$$Z_{\text{U}(1) \times \text{U}(1)}(T) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \left(2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{-2} \left(2 \cos \frac{\phi}{2} \right)^{-2} \text{Tr} \left[e^{-T\hat{H}} e^{i\theta(\hat{N}_1 - 2) + i\phi(\hat{N}_2 - 2)} \right] . \quad (2.14)$$

⁴Prior to integrating the (b, c) reparametrization ghosts, the BRST Hilbert space is doubled by the presence of c in the wavefunction. This corresponds to the full BV spectrum in spacetime, with all the antifields included. Here, having already integrated out the (b, c) system, the wavefunction corresponds to taking Siegel's gauge $b\Psi = 0$.

The Hilbert space, and so the trace, has an obvious double grading according to the eigenvalues of \hat{N}_i . Thus we define

$$t_{m,n}(T) := \text{Tr}_{m,n} \left[e^{-T\hat{H}} \right], \quad (2.15)$$

allowing to write

$$Z_{\text{U}(1)\times\text{U}(1)}(T) = \oint_{\gamma^-} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \oint_{\gamma^-} \frac{dw}{2\pi iw} \frac{z}{(z+1)^2} \frac{w}{(w+1)^2} \sum_{n,m=0}^D t_{n,m}(T) z^{n-2} w^{m-2} \quad (2.16)$$

where the Wilson line variables are given by $z := e^{i\theta}$ and $w := e^{i\phi}$. The contour integrals are easily performed, yielding⁵

$$Z_{\text{U}(1)\times\text{U}(1)} = t_{1,1} - 2t_{1,0} - 2t_{0,1} + 4t_{0,0}. \quad (2.17)$$

In the free theory one has $t_{n,m}(T) = t_{m,n}(T) = \frac{1}{(4\pi T)^{D/2}} \binom{D}{n} \binom{D}{m}$, thus giving the number of degrees of freedom $\text{Dof}_{\text{U}(1)\times\text{U}(1)} = (D-2)^2$ that corresponds to the transverse polarizations of the tensor $\omega_{\mu\nu}$. The above partition function can be decomposed into its irreducible spacetime components:

$$Z_{\text{U}(1)\times\text{U}(1)} = t_{1,1} - 4t_{1,0} + 4t_{0,0} = [t_{1,1} - t_{2,0} - 2t_{1,0}] + [t_{2,0} - 2t_{1,0} + 3t_{0,0}] + t_{0,0} = Z_h + Z_B + Z_\phi \quad (2.18)$$

namely the spin two graviton, the two-form and a scalar. The corresponding degrees of freedom decompose accordingly as

$$\text{Dof}_{\text{U}(1)\times\text{U}(1)} = (D-2)^2 = \frac{D(D-3)}{2} + \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{2} + 1 \quad (2.19)$$

and coincide with the transverse polarizations of the (traceless) graviton h_{ij} , two-form B_{ij} and scalar ϕ . In the following we will be interested in gauging larger subgroups of $\text{SO}(4)$ in order to project out the two-form and/or the scalar field from the spectrum. In particular we will now analyze how the maximal gauging of the entire R -symmetry group, that was studied in [13] for general \mathcal{N} , extracts the graviton degrees of freedom. When the entire $\text{SO}(4)$ group is gauged there is no room for Chern-Simons couplings. This fixes the Young diagram of the spacetime gauge field to have $\frac{D-2}{2}$ rows, thus yielding a graviton only in $D=4$. Restricting to four dimensions, the partition function is given by (see [13] for the derivation)

$$Z_{\text{SO}(4)}(T) = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \left(2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{-2} \left(2 \cos \frac{\phi}{2} \right)^{-2} \left(2i \sin \frac{\theta+\phi}{2} \right)^2 \left(2i \sin \frac{\theta-\phi}{2} \right)^2 \\ \times \text{Tr} \left[e^{-T\hat{H}} e^{i\theta(\hat{N}_1-2) + i\phi(\hat{N}_2-2)} \right]. \quad (2.20)$$

In the above expression the cosine factors, as in the previous case, come from the path integral over the SUSY ghosts. The sine factors result instead from the path integral of the non-abelian ghosts of $\text{SO}(4)$. In particular, the factor containing the difference of the

⁵For brevity we omit the dependence on T .

angles corresponds to the $U(2)$ subgroup generated by $J_i^j := \psi_i \cdot \bar{\psi}^j$, while the other factor, depending on the sum of the angles, is related to the gauging of $\bar{K}^{ij} := \bar{\psi}^i \cdot \bar{\psi}^j$ (trace operator) and $K_{ij} := \psi_i \cdot \psi_j$ (insertion of the metric). In terms of Wilson line variables we have

$$Z_{\text{SO}(4)}(T) = \frac{1}{4} \oint_{\gamma^-} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \oint_{\gamma^-} \frac{dw}{2\pi iw} \frac{z}{(z+1)^2} \frac{w}{(w+1)^2} p(z, w) \sum_{n,m=0}^D t_{n,m}(T) z^{n-2} w^{m-2}, \quad (2.21)$$

where the function

$$p(z, w) = \frac{(zw-1)^2(z-w)^2}{z^2w^2} = 4 - 2zw - 2\left(\frac{z}{w} + \frac{w}{z}\right) - \frac{2}{zw} + z^2 + w^2 + \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{w^2} \quad (2.22)$$

contains all the dependence on the non-abelian gauging. We shall now compute the contributions to (2.21) of the various components of $p(z, w)$ in order to see how the projection on the graviton is achieved

$$\begin{aligned} 4 &\rightarrow t_{1,1} - 4t_{1,0} + 4t_{0,0} \equiv Z_{U(1) \times U(1)} \\ -2zw &\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}t_{0,0} \equiv -\frac{1}{2}Z_\phi \\ -2\left(\frac{z}{w} + \frac{w}{z}\right) &\rightarrow -(t_{2,0} - 2t_{1,0} + 3t_{0,0}) \equiv -Z_B \\ -\frac{2}{zw} &\rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(t_{2,2} + 4t_{1,1} + 9t_{0,0} - 4t_{2,1} + 6t_{2,0} - 12t_{1,0}) \equiv -\frac{1}{2}Z_{A_{2,2}} \\ z^2 + w^2 &\rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{w^2} &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(t_{3,1} - 2t_{2,1} + 3t_{1,1} - 4t_{0,1}) - \frac{1}{2}(t_{3,0} - 2t_{2,0} + 3t_{1,0} - 4t_{0,0}) \equiv \frac{1}{2}Z_{A_{3,1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where the arrows mean that the given monomial yields the corresponding contribution upon integration over the moduli z and w .

The first three contributions are clear from what we have discussed so far. One can see, for instance, that the third contribution removes the two-form from the reducible partition function $Z_{U(1) \times U(1)}$, while the second contribution removes only “half” of the scalar field. To explain the interpretation of the second half of (2.23), let us introduce (p, q) gauge bi-forms. By a gauge bi-form $A_{p,q}$ we denote the tensor field

$$A_{p,q}(x, \psi_i) = A_{\mu[p]|\nu[q]}(x) \psi_1^{\mu_1} \dots \psi_1^{\mu_p} \psi_2^{\nu_1} \dots \psi_2^{\nu_q} \sim p \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \otimes q \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.24)$$

with gauge symmetries

$$\delta A_{p,q} = d_1 \lambda_{p-1,q} + d_2 \xi_{p,q-1}, \quad d_i := \psi_i^\mu \partial_\mu \quad (2.25)$$

and gauge invariant curvature $F_{p+1,q+1} = d_1 d_2 A_{p,q}$. The corresponding partition function can be obtained from the previous case of $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauging by modifying the Chern-

Simons couplings to $q_1 = p + 1 - \frac{D}{2}$ and $q_2 = q + 1 - \frac{D}{2}$, yielding

$$Z_{A_{p,q}} = \sum_{k,l=0}^{p,q} (-)^{k+l} (k+1)(l+1) t_{p-k,q-l}, \quad (2.26)$$

that justifies the identifications made in (2.23). Let us now consider the contribution of $Z_{A_{2,2}}$ to $Z_{\text{SO}(4)}$. By performing a double Hodge dualization of the field $A_{2,2}$ we can see that it is dual to a scalar (recalling that we are in four dimensions):

$$A_{2,2} \xrightarrow{\text{curvature}} F_{3,3} \xrightarrow{\text{Hodge dual}} \tilde{F}_{1,1} \xrightarrow{\text{potential}} \tilde{A}_{0,0} \equiv \tilde{\phi}. \quad (2.27)$$

Similarly, one can see that $A_{3,1}$ is a non-propagating field

$$A_{3,1} \xrightarrow{\text{curvature}} F_{4,2} \xrightarrow{\text{Hodge dual}} \tilde{F}_{0,2} \xrightarrow{\text{potential}} \emptyset \quad (2.28)$$

with zero degrees of freedom. We can now put together the results of (2.23) and, using the decomposition (2.18), obtain

$$Z_{\text{SO}(4)} = Z_h + \frac{1}{2} (Z_\phi - Z_{\tilde{\phi}}) + \frac{1}{2} Z_{A_{3,1}}. \quad (2.29)$$

We can thus conclude that the effective action generated by the full $\text{SO}(4)$ gauging corresponds to the graviton plus topological terms. The latter vanish in the free theory, corresponding to zero degrees of freedom, but do contribute to the effective action on non-trivial backgrounds. Our goal is to find a modified one-loop measure for the path integral that projects exactly onto the graviton state. In the measure (2.21) the factors of $\frac{z}{(z+1)^2} \frac{w}{(w+1)^2}$ and $p(z, w)$ play very different roles: as we have previously mentioned, the former corresponds to the gauging of worldline supersymmetries, and is responsible of the spacetime gauge symmetry that ensures unitarity. The latter factor, related to the gauging of the R -symmetries, performs algebraic projections on the spectrum and is the only one that we will modify. To begin with, we notice that the $\text{SO}(4)$ projector (2.22) has the manifest symmetry $p(z, w) = p(1/z, 1/w)$ and can be written as

$$p(z, w) = P(z, w) + P(1/z, 1/w), \quad P(z, w) = 2 - \left(\frac{z}{w} + \frac{w}{z} \right) - 2zw + z^2 + w^2. \quad (2.30)$$

By looking at the decomposition (2.23), it is clear that the unwanted contributions from dual fields come from the term $P(1/z, 1/w)$, that indeed entails double Hodge dualization. We will thus propose to use $2P(z, w)$ instead of $p(z, w)$ as an ansatz for the graviton projector:

$$Z_{\text{grav}}(T) = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{\gamma^-} \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \oint_{\gamma^-} \frac{dw}{2\pi iw} \frac{z}{(z+1)^2} \frac{w}{(w+1)^2} P(z, w) \text{Tr} \left[e^{-T\hat{H}} z^{\hat{N}_1-2} w^{\hat{N}_2-2} \right]. \quad (2.31)$$

This ansatz for the projector can be related to a different gauging of the R -symmetry algebra. First, notice that $P(z, w)$ can be written in factorized form as

$$P(z, w) = \frac{(zw-1)(z-w)^2}{zw}, \quad \text{or} \quad P(\theta, \phi) = 2i \sin \frac{\theta+\phi}{2} \left(2i \sin \frac{\theta-\phi}{2} \right)^2 \exp i \left(\frac{\theta+\phi}{2} \right). \quad (2.32)$$

We now consider the case of gauging the parabolic subalgebra of $so(4)$ consisting of the $u(2)$ subalgebra, generated by J_i^j , plus the trace \bar{K}^{ij} . We are thus excluding, compared to the maximal gauging, the insertion of the metric K_{ij} , which causes in the measure the depletion of one sine factor, yielding

$$P_{\text{parab}}(\theta, \phi) = 2i \sin \frac{\theta + \phi}{2} \left(2i \sin \frac{\theta - \phi}{2} \right)^2, \quad (2.33)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\theta, \phi) = \exp i \left(\frac{\theta + \phi}{2} \right) P_{\text{parab}}(\theta, \phi). \quad (2.34)$$

The extra exponential factor can be accounted for, since the parabolic gauging allows for a Chern-Simons term proportional to the diagonal $U(1)$:

$$S_{\text{CS}} = iq \int d\tau a_i^i \xrightarrow{\text{gauge fix}} iq(\theta + \phi). \quad (2.35)$$

By an appropriate choice of q it is possible to reproduce the graviton projector $P(\theta, \phi)$ and also to go to arbitrary dimensions. In fact, recall that the shift in the number operators in (2.21) is in general $\hat{N}_i - \frac{D}{2}$. By choosing the Chern-Simons coupling as

$$q = -\frac{D-3}{2} = 2 - \frac{D}{2} - \frac{1}{2},$$

the $\frac{1}{2}$ part produces $P(\theta, \phi)$ from $P_{\text{parab}}(\theta, \phi)$, while the rest modifies the shifts $\hat{N}_i - \frac{D}{2}$ to $\hat{N}_i - 2$ in arbitrary dimensions.

3 One loop gravity effective action

In the present section we apply the method described above to represent the one-loop effective action for pure Einstein-Hilbert gravity, and compute it in a local expansion up to quadratic orders in the curvature.⁶ The starting point is the $SO(4)$ -extended locally supersymmetric spinning particle, whose phase-space action corresponds to the curved deformation of action (2.11). It was previously studied in [22], where a suitable BRST gauge fixing, involving the whole R -symmetry group, was analyzed.

In the present approach, we instead consider the gauge fixing of the parabolic subgroup discussed above, which corresponds to the phase space action

$$S[z, E; g] = \int dt \left[p_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + i \bar{\psi}^i \dot{\psi}_i - e H - i \chi_i \bar{\psi}^i \cdot \pi - i \bar{\chi}^i \psi_i \cdot \pi - \frac{1}{2} a_{ij} \bar{K}^{ij} - a_i^j (J_j^i - iq \delta_j^i) \right], \quad (3.1)$$

where $z = (x, p, \psi, \bar{\psi})$, $E = (e, \chi_i, \bar{\chi}^i, a_{ij}, a_i^j)$, and

$$\pi_\mu = p_\mu - \omega_{\mu ab} \psi_i^a \bar{\psi}^{ib} \quad (3.2)$$

$$H = g^{\mu\nu} \pi_\mu \pi_\nu - R_{abcd} \psi_i^a \bar{\psi}^{ib} \psi_j^c \bar{\psi}^{jd}. \quad (3.3)$$

⁶For massless particles the local expansion of the one-loop effective action is not permitted, except for identifying the divergences which indeed are local. Here we consider precisely those terms.

The one-loop effective action thus corresponds to the circle path integral of the previous action. The antiperiodic gravitini $\chi_i, \bar{\chi}^i$ are again gauge-fixed to zero, whereas the einbein gets gauge-fixed to its modulus, T , which is interpreted as the Schwinger proper-time. The gauge fields of the parabolic subgroup are fixed to the two angles of the associated Cartan torus, with the Faddeev-Popov determinant given by the expression in (2.33). Thus, in euclidean configuration space we have

$$\Gamma[g] = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} Z(T), \quad (3.4)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Z(T) = & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \left(2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{-2} \left(2 \cos \frac{\phi}{2}\right)^{-2} P_{\text{parab}}(\theta, \phi) e^{-iq(\theta+\phi)} \\ & \times \int_P \mathcal{D}x \int_A D\bar{\psi} D\psi \exp \left\{ - \int_0^1 d\tau \left[\frac{1}{4T} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu + \bar{\psi}^{ia} (D_\tau \delta_i^j - \Lambda_i^j) \psi_{ja} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - TR_{abcd} \psi^a \cdot \bar{\psi}^b \psi^c \cdot \bar{\psi}^d + 2T V_{\text{im}} \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\Lambda_i^j = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix}$, D_τ is the covariant derivative in the multispinor representation of the Lorentz group, and the scalar potential $V_{\text{im}} = -\frac{D+2}{8(D-1)}R$ is an order \hbar^2 improvement term, generated at the quantum level from the anticommutator of the supersymmetry generators. The constraints are not first class, but the expression (3.4) coincides with the one coming from the BRST system of ref. [1], considered as the starting point for the path integral. The BRST system, and thus the path integral, is only consistent (upon projection) on Einstein manifolds: $R_{\mu\nu} = \lambda g_{\mu\nu}$, which is the class of allowed backgrounds [1].

The above curved space particle path integral involves a nonlinear sigma model action, whose perturbative (short time) evaluation needs a careful regularization. This is well studied (see ref. [23] for a review), even in models with extended supersymmetries [24]. In particular, the use of worldline dimensional regularization implies the inclusion of a counterterm potential which, for $\mathcal{N} = 4$, is $V_{\text{CT}} = \frac{1}{8}R$ and, together with the improvement term, it produces the final potential

$$V = V_{\text{CT}} + V_{\text{im}} = \left(\frac{1}{8} - \frac{D+2}{8(D-1)} \right) R = -\frac{3}{8(D-1)} R =: \omega R. \quad (3.6)$$

With these prescriptions, and with the Feynman rules described in [22], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z(T) = & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} \left(2 \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{D-2} \left(2 \cos \frac{\phi}{2}\right)^{D-2} P_{\text{parab}}(\theta, \phi) e^{iq(\theta+\phi)} \\ & \times \int d^D x \frac{\sqrt{g}}{(4\pi T)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle \\ =: & \int d^D x \frac{\sqrt{g}}{(4\pi T)^{\frac{D}{2}}} \langle\langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle &= 1 - T \left(-\frac{5}{12} + 2\omega + \frac{1}{4} \left(\cos^{-2} \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^{-2} \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \right) R \\
 &+ T^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{5}{12} + 2\omega + \frac{1}{4} \left(\cos^{-2} \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^{-2} \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \right)^2 R^2 \right. \\
 &\quad + \left(-\frac{1}{180} - \frac{1}{8} \left(\cos^{-4} \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^{-4} \frac{\phi}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \left(\cos^{-2} \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^{-2} \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \right) R_{ab}^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{180} - \frac{1}{32} \left(\cos^{-4} \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^{-4} \frac{\phi}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{48} \left(\cos^{-2} \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^{-2} \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. + \frac{1}{16} \left(\cos^{-2} \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^{-2} \frac{\phi}{2} \right)^2 \right) R_{abcd}^2 \\
 &\quad \left. - \left(-\frac{9}{120} + \frac{\omega}{3} + \frac{1}{24} \left(\cos^{-2} \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^{-2} \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \right) \nabla^2 R + O(T^3) \right\}, \quad (3.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

and $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle$ is the modular integral of the path integral average. As above we find it convenient to rewrite the modular integrals in terms of complex variables rather than angles. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle\langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi iz} \oint \frac{dw}{2\pi iw} \frac{(1+z)^{D-2} (1+w)^{D-2} (zw-1)(z-w)^2}{z^2 w^2 zw} \\
 &\times \left\{ 1 - T \left(-\frac{5}{12} + 2\omega + \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{w}{(1+w)^2} \right) R \right. \\
 &\quad + T^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{5}{12} + 2\omega + \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{w}{(1+w)^2} \right)^2 R^2 \right. \\
 &\quad + \left(-\frac{1}{180} - 2 \left(\frac{z^2}{(1+z)^4} + \frac{w^2}{(1+w)^4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{w}{(1+w)^2} \right) \right) R_{ab}^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{180} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z^2}{(1+z)^4} + \frac{w^2}{(1+w)^4} \right) - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{w}{(1+w)^2} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. + \left(\frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{w}{(1+w)^2} \right)^2 \right) R_{abcd}^2 \\
 &\quad \left. - \left(-\frac{9}{120} + \frac{\omega}{3} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{z}{(1+z)^2} + \frac{w}{(1+w)^2} \right) \right) \nabla^2 R + O(T^3) \right] \left. \right\}, \quad (3.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

which, after performing the contour integrals, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle\langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle\rangle &= \frac{D(D-3)}{2} \left\{ 1 + TR \frac{5D^2 - 35D + 12}{12(D-1)(D-3)} \right. \\
 &\quad + T^2 \left[R^2 \frac{25D^4 - 275D^3 + 232D^2 - 432D + 288}{288D(D-1)^2(D-3)} - R_{ab}^2 \frac{D^2 - 183D - 720}{180D(D-3)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - R_{abcd}^2 \frac{D^2 - 33D + 540}{180D(D-3)} + \nabla^2 R \frac{9D^2 - 61D + 22}{480(D-1)(D-3)} \right] + O(T^3) \left. \right\}. \quad (3.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

For $D = 4$ it reduces to

$$\langle\langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle\rangle = \left\{ 2 - \frac{8}{3} T R + T^2 \left[-\frac{31}{18} R^2 + \frac{359}{90} R_{ab}^2 + \frac{53}{45} R_{abcd}^2 - \frac{13}{30} \nabla^2 R \right] + O(T^3) \right\}, \quad (3.11)$$

that on Einstein manifolds, coincides with the expression found in ref. [10], namely

$$\langle\langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle\rangle = \left\{ 2 - \frac{8}{3} T R + T^2 \left[-\frac{29}{40} R^2 + \frac{53}{45} R_{abcd}^2 \right] + O(T^3) \right\}, \quad (3.12)$$

where R_{abcd}^2 can be identified with the four-dimensional Euler density of Einstein manifolds. The different powers of T , once inserted into (3.7) and (3.4), give rise to the quartic, quadratic and logarithmic divergences of the graviton one-loop effective action. In spacetime dimensional regularization the first two terms are invisible, and the logarithmic divergence vanishes for null cosmological constant, thus reproducing the famous result by 't Hooft and Veltman [14]. With a cosmological constant, the logarithmic divergence coincides with the one found in [15]. More generally, all these divergences reproduce those computed in [10].

The on-shell expression given in eq. (3.12) is gauge independent, and it is a benchmark for any correct calculation in perturbative quantum gravity. For completeness, we also report the same result for a graviton on a D -dimensional Einstein manifold

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle e^{-S_{\text{int}}} \rangle\rangle &= \frac{D(D-3)}{2} + TR \frac{D(5D^2 - 35D + 12)}{24(D-1)} \\ &+ T^2 \left[R^2 \frac{125D^5 - 1383D^4 + 2640D^3 + 664D^2 - 8616D + 5760}{2880D(D-1)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - R_{abcd}^2 \frac{D^2 - 33D + 540}{360} \right] + O(T^3), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where the first term gives just the number of its degrees of freedom.

4 Conclusions

We have constructed a modified $\mathcal{N} = 4$ spinning particle that is able to describe the pure graviton on Einstein spaces. It is identified by gauging a parabolic subgroup of the $\text{SO}(4)$ R -symmetry group of the particle, and adding suitable Chern-Simons couplings on the worldline. The gauging of parabolic subgroups have already been used in worldline models for higher spin particles, as in ref. [25]. They give rise to worldline actions that are not real, but which do not seem to produce any pathology in the spacetime interpretation of the theory, in that the sole purpose of the R -symmetry gauging is to perform algebraic projections on the spacetime spectrum to achieve different degrees of reducibility. Similarly, the use of Chern-Simons couplings on the worldline helps to insert projectors in the Hilbert space, so to leave a desired subsector containing the physical states. They appeared in the worldline description of differential forms [17, 26, 27].

Our model has been able to reproduce the correct divergences of one-loop quantum gravity. Together with the BRST construction of ref. [1], few working tools are now available to address quantum gravity from a worldline perspective.

We stress again that the model developed in this paper can be naively obtained by gauging the worldline supersymmetries, together with appropriate subalgebras of the R -symmetry. However, the classical supersymmetry algebra is broken by the background curvature, and the action has to be seen as a quantum BRST model, whose consistency is guaranteed by the truncation of the Hilbert space. On the reduced Hilbert space the BRST charge is nilpotent only when the background is on-shell according to Einstein's equation [1], showing that the “prediction” of target space equations of motion from quantum consistency of the first-quantized theory is not a peculiarity of string theory, as already confirmed by the earlier work of ref. [11].

It would be useful to extend further these constructions and find more applications of worldline methods to quantum gravity, for instance extending the present description to non-commutative spaces [28]. An immediate generalization of the present model consists in weakening the R -symmetry constraint to $U(1) \times U(1)$, thereby letting all the massless NS-NS particles circulate in the loop. Then coupling the model to a background B -field and dilaton [29] would allow to obtain the one-loop effective action for the whole NS-NS massless sector of string theory.

An alternative to the present formulation which employs fermionic oscillators is to use the $Sp(2)$ particle with bosonic oscillators. The advantage, once a consistent BRST system is found, would be to easily treat all spins at once, by just modifying a suitable Chern-Simons coupling. This should also reproduce the well known no-go results for the minimal coupling of higher spin fields to gravity [30, 31].

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] R. Bonezzi, A. Meyer and I. Sachs, *Einstein gravity from the $N = 4$ spinning particle*, *JHEP* **10** (2018) 025 [[arXiv:1807.07989](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.07989)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] F.A. Berezin and M.S. Marinov, *Particle spin dynamics as the Grassmann variant of classical mechanics*, *Annals Phys.* **104** (1977) 336 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] V.D. Gershun and V.I. Tkach, *Classical and quantum dynamics of particles with arbitrary spin*, *JETP Lett.* **29** (1979) 288 [*Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **29** (1979) 320] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] P.S. Howe, S. Penati, M. Pernici and P.K. Townsend, *Wave equations for arbitrary spin from quantization of the extended supersymmetric spinning particle*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 215** (1988) 555 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] W. Siegel, *Conformal invariance of extended spinning particle mechanics*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 3** (1988) 2713 [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [6] W. Siegel, *All free conformal representations in all dimensions*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **4** (1989) 2015 [INSPIRE].
- [7] S.M. Kuzenko and Z.V. Yarevskaya, *Conformal invariance, N extended supersymmetry and massless spinning particles in anti-de Sitter space*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **11** (1996) 1653 [[hep-th/9512115](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [8] F. Bastianelli, O. Corradini and E. Latini, *Spinning particles and higher spin fields on $(A)dS$ backgrounds*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 054 [[arXiv:0810.0188](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [9] O. Corradini, *Half-integer higher spin fields in $(A)dS$ from spinning particle models*, *JHEP* **09** (2010) 113 [[arXiv:1006.4452](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [10] F. Bastianelli and R. Bonezzi, *One-loop quantum gravity from a worldline viewpoint*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 016 [[arXiv:1304.7135](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [11] P. Dai, Y.-T. Huang and W. Siegel, *Worldgraph approach to Yang-Mills amplitudes from $N = 2$ spinning particle*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 027 [[arXiv:0807.0391](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [12] X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger and S. Cnockaert, *No self-interaction for two-column massless fields*, *J. Math. Phys.* **46** (2005) 012303 [[hep-th/0407102](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [13] F. Bastianelli, O. Corradini and E. Latini, *Higher spin fields from a worldline perspective*, *JHEP* **02** (2007) 072 [[hep-th/0701055](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [14] G. 't Hooft and M.J.G. Veltman, *One loop divergencies in the theory of gravitation*, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Theor.* **A 20** (1974) 69 [INSPIRE].
- [15] S.M. Christensen and M.J. Duff, *Quantizing gravity with a cosmological constant*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **170** (1980) 480 [INSPIRE].
- [16] P.S. Howe, S. Penati, M. Pernici and P.K. Townsend, *A particle mechanics description of antisymmetric tensor fields*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **6** (1989) 1125 [INSPIRE].
- [17] F. Bastianelli, P. Benincasa and S. Giombi, *Worldline approach to vector and antisymmetric tensor fields*, *JHEP* **04** (2005) 010 [[hep-th/0503155](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [18] W. Siegel, *Relation between Batalin-Vilkovisky and first quantized style BRST*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **4** (1989) 3705 [INSPIRE].
- [19] G. Barnich and M. Grigoriev, *Hamiltonian BRST and Batalin-Vilkovisky formalisms for second quantization of gauge theories*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **254** (2005) 581 [[hep-th/0310083](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [20] G. Barnich, M. Grigoriev, A. Semikhatov and I. Tipunin, *Parent field theory and unfolding in BRST first-quantized terms*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **260** (2005) 147 [[hep-th/0406192](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [21] G. Barnich and M. Grigoriev, *Parent form for higher spin fields on anti-de Sitter space*, *JHEP* **08** (2006) 013 [[hep-th/0602166](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [22] F. Bastianelli, R. Bonezzi, O. Corradini and E. Latini, *Effective action for higher spin fields on $(A)dS$ backgrounds*, *JHEP* **12** (2012) 113 [[arXiv:1210.4649](#)] [INSPIRE].
- [23] F. Bastianelli and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, *Path integrals and anomalies in curved space*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K. (2006) [INSPIRE].
- [24] F. Bastianelli, R. Bonezzi, O. Corradini and E. Latini, *Extended SUSY quantum mechanics: transition amplitudes and path integrals*, *JHEP* **06** (2011) 023 [[arXiv:1103.3993](#)] [INSPIRE].

- [25] F. Bastianelli, O. Corradini and A. Waldron, *Detours and paths: BRST complexes and worldline formalism*, *JHEP* **05** (2009) 017 [[arXiv:0902.0530](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] F. Bastianelli and R. Bonezzi, *Quantum theory of massless $(p, 0)$ -forms*, *JHEP* **09** (2011) 018 [[arXiv:1107.3661](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] F. Bastianelli, R. Bonezzi and C. Iazeolla, *Quantum theories of (p, q) -forms*, *JHEP* **08** (2012) 045 [[arXiv:1204.5954](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] R. Bonezzi, O. Corradini, S.A. Franchino Vinas and P.A.G. Pisani, *Worldline approach to noncommutative field theory*, *J. Phys. A* **45** (2012) 405401 [[arXiv:1204.1013](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] R. Bonezzi, A. Meyer and I. Sachs, to appear.
- [30] C. Aragone and S. Deser, *Consistency problems of hypergravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **86** (1979) 161 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] E.S. Fradkin and M.A. Vasiliev, *On the gravitational interaction of massless higher spin fields*, *Phys. Lett. B* **189** (1987) 89 [[INSPIRE](#)].