

# Quantum $L_\infty$ Algebras and the Homological Perturbation Lemma

Martin Doubek and Branislav Jurčo

*Mathematical Institute, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University,  
Prague 186 75, Czech Republic*

Ján Pulmann

*Section of Mathematics, University of Geneva, Switzerland*

*Dedicated to the memory of Martin Doubek.*

## Abstract

Quantum  $L_\infty$  algebras are a generalization of  $L_\infty$  algebras with a scalar product and with operations corresponding to higher genus graphs. We construct a minimal model of a given quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra via the homological perturbation lemma and show that it's given by a Feynman diagram expansion, computing the effective action in the finite-dimensional Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism. We also construct a homotopy between the original and this effective quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra.

## 1 Introduction

Quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra on a graded vector space  $V$  is given by a sequence of symmetric maps  $\lambda_n^g : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$  and an odd symplectic form  $\omega : V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ , satisfying some conditions. The map  $\lambda_1^0 : V \rightarrow V$  squares to zero, so that we can consider its homology  $H$ . In this paper, we describe how to transfer the rest of the maps  $\lambda_n^g$  to a new quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra on  $H$ .

One way to transfer the quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra is to use the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$ , the space of functions on  $V$ , which is induced by the odd symplectic form  $\omega$ . The quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra on  $V$  can be encoded into an *action*  $S \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  which solves the *quantum master equation*

$$\Delta e^{S/\hbar} = 0.$$

Here,  $\Delta$  is the Batalin-Vilkovisky Laplacian, a second order differential operator on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$ . One can then define the effective action by integrating over the complement of  $H$  in  $V$ , obtaining a function on  $H$

$$e^{W/\hbar} = \int_{H^c} e^{S/\hbar}.$$

It is a simple consequence of the properties of the path integral that the resulting  $W$  again solves the quantum master equation on  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ . This approach has already been used in a similar context, either by directly defining  $W$  as a diagram expansion [2, 8, 11, 24] or by defining the path integral [5].

---

The last two authors are fully responsible for all the mistakes found in this paper.

In this paper, we will instead use the homological perturbation lemma, or HPL. Input data to HPL are two vector spaces with a choice of a deformation retract between them. For us, it will be maps

$$\mathbb{k} \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} (V, \lambda_1^0) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{i} \end{array} (H, 0)$$

satisfying some axioms. This data induce a similar retract between  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ . Then, we can interpret the Batalin-Vilkovisky Laplacian  $\hbar\Delta$  as a perturbation to the differential  $\lambda_0^1$ . The HPL then transfers the perturbation to  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  and gives formulas for a new deformation retract. We will show that the perturbed projection map  $P_1 : \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$  is given by a path integral and thus can be used to define an effective action. Moreover, from the HPL one can easily extract an explicit homotopy between the original and the effective action.

The homological perturbation lemma was discovered by Brown [6], with similar formulas appearing already in work by Shih [30]. The same result was then later published by Gugenheim [15], for other notable references see also Huebschmann [17] and Lambe, Stasheff [21]. The connection of the HPL and the path integral appears in the literature as well, see the section 5 of this paper for a more detailed review.

Carlo Albert presented a work very similar to this paper at a Cargese conference in 2009 [1]. There, he explained that one can see a scalar BV path integral as the HPL, but the work was never published.

In a future work, we would like to extend the HPL approach to minimal models of algebras over Feynman transforms of modular operads and over cobar constructions of properads, e.g. the  $IBL_\infty$  algebras [26, 27].

## 1.1 Organization of the paper

In the section 2, we start by introducing the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism, serving as a heuristic for the path integral defined by the HPL. We also define quantum  $L_\infty$  algebras and explain their relation to the solutions of the quantum master equation.

In section 3, we recall the homological perturbation lemma and we construct a deformation retract between  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ .

In section 4, we apply the HPL to the constructed deformation retract and show that we obtain a quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra on  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ . We also define a homotopy of solutions of quantum master equation and show that the effective action  $W$  is homotopic to the original action  $S$ .

In section 5, we describe the relation of this paper to the mentioned works [2, 5, 8, 11, 24] in more detail.

The appendix A proves that solutions to quantum master equation give quantum  $L_\infty$  algebras and vice versa.

## 1.2 Notation and conventions

For us, the field  $\mathbb{k}$  is always  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . All of the graded vector spaces are degree-wise finite-dimensional. We use a cohomological convention, with the differential of degree 1. For  $F$  an element of a graded vector space, we denote by  $|F|$  its degree. The suspension operator is defined by  $(\uparrow V)_{i+1} = V_i$ , desuspension is given by  $(\downarrow V)_{i-1} = V_i$  and  $(\mathbf{r}V)_i = V_{-i}$ . To shorten formulas, we sometimes use the Einstein summation convention.

## 2 Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism and quantum $L_\infty$ algebras

Batalin-Vilkovisky (or BV) formalism [3] was developed in quantum field theory as a tool to manipulate ill-defined path integrals. Later, a geometric interpretation was given by Schwarz [29]. We start this section by reviewing its properties, which will serve as a heuristic for working with the homological perturbation lemma.

Given a gauge theory, with fields (including ghosts)  $\phi^i$ , one introduces antifield  $\phi_i^\dagger$  for each field and extends the action  $S[\phi]$  to  $S[\phi, \phi^\dagger]$  such that  $S[\phi, \phi^\dagger = 0] = S[\phi]$ . The statistics of an antifield is opposite to that of a corresponding field, so one has an odd pairing on the space of fields and antifields. The space of fields is a Lagrangian subspace of this total space.

The path integral of  $\exp(iS[\phi]/\hbar)$  over fields is then generalized to a path integral over any Lagrangian subspace, with a hope that it is more amenable to a perturbative expansion. For the result to make sense, the BV path integral needs to be invariant under (at least small) changes of the Lagrangian subspace. This turns out to be true for  $\Delta$ -closed functionals, where  $\Delta$  is a so-called BV Laplacian, defined using the odd pairing

$$\Delta = \pm \frac{\delta_R}{\delta\phi^i} \frac{\delta_L}{\delta\phi_i^\dagger}.$$

This is a second order differential operator which squares to zero. Thus, we will require that the weight  $\exp(iS[\phi, \phi^\dagger]/\hbar)$  is  $\Delta$ -closed, which should be understood as a generalization of a gauge-invariance of  $S$ .

The BV Laplacian induces a bracket on the space of functionals, defined by a formula

$$\Delta(FG) = (\Delta F)G + (-1)^{|F|}F\Delta G + (-1)^{|F|}\{F, G\}. \quad (1)$$

A simple calculation using this formula shows that

$$\Delta e^{iS/\hbar} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \Delta S + \frac{i}{2\hbar} \{S, S\} \right) e^{iS/\hbar},$$

i.e. the condition that  $e^{iS/\hbar}$  is  $\Delta$ -closed can be equivalently stated as

$$2i\hbar\Delta S - \{S, S\} = 0,$$

which is the well-known *quantum master equation*.

In the following, will drop the factor  $i$  in the exponent to simplify the formulas, i.e. we will take a weight  $e^{S/\hbar}$ . Then, the master equation becomes

$$2\hbar\Delta S + \{S, S\} = 0.$$

Let us now denote by  $V$  the space of fields and antifields and assume that it decomposes into  $V = V' \oplus V''$  such that  $\Delta$  also decomposes as  $\Delta = \Delta' + \Delta''$  (this amounts to  $V'$  and  $V''$  being symplectic w.r.t. the odd pairing). Then we can integrate out the fields in  $V''$  by choosing a Lagrangian subspace  $L'' \subset V''$ , thus obtaining a functional of the fields  $V'$  only. If we apply this to  $e^{S/\hbar}$ , we can define a *effective action*  $W$  by

$$e^{W/\hbar} \equiv \int_{L''} e^{S/\hbar}.$$

Note that this action will depend on the choice of  $L''$ , since  $e^{S/\hbar}$  is not  $\Delta''$ -closed in general.

This effective action satisfies the master equation in the BV algebra on  $\mathcal{F}(V')$ , which can be easily proven

$$\Delta' e^{W/\hbar} = \Delta' \int_{L''} e^{S/\hbar} = \int_{L''} \Delta' e^{S/\hbar} = \int_{L''} (\Delta - \Delta'') e^{S/\hbar} = 0.$$

Here, we moved  $\Delta'$  under the integral because  $\Delta'$  and the integral act on different variables. The last equality holds because  $\Delta e^{S/\hbar} = 0$  by master equation and  $\int_{L''} \Delta''(\dots) = 0$  follows from integration by parts, if  $e^{S/\hbar}$  vanishes at infinity.

We can also use this path integral to define an *effective observable*, a morphism which takes functionals on  $V$  to functionals on  $V'$ . Let  $S_{\text{free}}$  be the classical, quadratic part of the action, i.e. a kinetic term, which determines the propagator. We will assume that  $\Delta e^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar} = 0$  and define the effective observable as

$$F \mapsto \int_{L''} F e^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar}.$$

For us, it will be important that this is a chain map between  $\hbar\Delta + \{S_{\text{free}}, -\}$  and  $\hbar\Delta'$ . This can be demonstrated by

$$\hbar\Delta' \int_{L''} F e^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar} = \int_{L''} \hbar\Delta' (F e^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar}) = \int_{L''} \hbar\Delta (F e^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar}).$$

Now we use the fact that for any degree 0 functional  $A$ , the map  $F \mapsto e^{-A/\hbar} \hbar \Delta(F e^{A/\hbar})$  squares to zero. Moreover, if  $A$  solves the quantum master equation, we have from equation (1)

$$e^{-A/\hbar} \hbar \Delta(F e^{A/\hbar}) = \hbar \Delta F + e^{-A/\hbar} \hbar \{e^{A/\hbar}, F\} = \hbar \Delta F + \{A, F\},$$

where we used that the bracket is a derivation in both of its arguments. Thus, we get

$$\hbar \Delta' \int_{L''} F e^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar} = \int_{L''} (\hbar \Delta F + \{S_{\text{free}}, F\}) e^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar}.$$

Note that the effective action  $e^{W/\hbar}$  can be computed as an effective observable of  $e^{(S-S_{\text{free}})/\hbar}$ .

We will also use a *normalized effective observable*, which is defined by

$$F \mapsto e^{-W/\hbar} \int_{L''} F e^{S/\hbar}.$$

It also intertwines two differentials, this time  $\hbar \Delta + \{S, -\}$  and  $\hbar \Delta' + \{W, -\}'$ . Here,  $\{, \}'$  is the BV bracket coming from  $\Delta'$ .

## 2.1 Finite-dimensional BV formalism

We will now describe the mathematical framework we will use. Instead of the infinite-dimensional space of fields and antifields, we will take, as a model, a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space which is finite-dimensional in every degree.

**Definition 1.** A **BV algebra** is a graded commutative associative algebra on graded vector space  $\mathcal{F}$  with a bracket  $\{, \} : \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  of degree 1 that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\} &= -(-1)^{(|F|+1)(|G|+1)} \{G, F\}, \\ \{F, \{G, H\}\} &= \{\{F, G\}, H\} + (-1)^{(|F|+1)(|G|+1)} \{G, \{F, H\}\}, \\ \{F, GH\} &= \{F, G\}H + (-1)^{(|F|+1)|G|} G\{F, H\} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and a square zero operator  $\Delta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  of degree 1 such that

$$\Delta(FG) = (\Delta F)G + (-1)^{|F|} F\Delta G + (-1)^{|F|} \{F, G\}. \quad (3)$$

For algebras with unit 1, we will require  $\Delta(1) = 0$ .

Since the bracket can be defined using  $\Delta$ , one can define a BV algebra only using  $\Delta$ . The Poisson and Jacobi identities of the bracket are then encoded in the so-called seven-term identity, which is a version of Leibniz identity for second-order differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(FGH) &= \Delta(FG)H + (-1)^{|G||H|} \Delta(FH)G + (-1)^{|F|(|G|+|H|)} \Delta(GH)F \\ &\quad - \Delta(F)GH - (-1)^{|F|} F\Delta(G)H - (-1)^{|F|+|G|} FG\Delta(H). \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we will also use a compatibility between  $\Delta$  and  $\{, \}$  which can be derived from  $\Delta^2(FG) = 0$

$$\Delta\{F, G\} = \{\Delta F, G\} + (-1)^{|F|+1} \{F, \Delta G\}. \quad (4)$$

Our main example of a BV algebra will be an algebra of functions on an odd symplectic vector space.

**Definition 2.** For a graded vector space  $V$ , an **odd symplectic form** of degree  $-1$  is a nondegenerate graded-antisymmetric bilinear map  $\omega : V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ . A vector space equipped with such form is called an **odd symplectic vector space**.

If the graded vector space has a differential  $Q$  such that<sup>1</sup>

$$\omega(1 \otimes Q + Q \otimes 1) = 0,$$

we call such vector space a **dg symplectic vector space**.

<sup>1</sup>This compatibility ensures that the homology of  $Q$  will inherit a symplectic structure from  $V$ .

To define the space of functions on  $V$ , we recall the definition of a dual.

**Definition 3.** For  $V$  a graded vector space, the **graded dual**  $V^*$  is defined as  $(V^*)_i = (V_{-i})^*$ .

Let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a map of graded vector spaces. Its **transpose**  $f^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$  is defined by

$$f^*(\alpha) \equiv (-1)^{|f||\alpha|} \alpha \circ f$$

for  $\alpha \in W^*$ . Note that this implies  $(fg)^* = (-1)^{|f||g|} g^* f^*$ .

Let  $\{e_i\}$  be a basis of a graded vector space  $V$ . The basis  $\{\phi^i\}$  is dual to  $\{e_i\}$  iff

$$\phi^i(e_j) = \delta_j^i.$$

**Definition 4.** The **space of formal functions** on  $V$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(V) \equiv \prod_{g \geq 0, n \geq 0} (V^*)^{\odot n} \otimes \mathbb{k} \hbar^g.$$

We take a product over all nonnegative symmetric powers of  $V^*$  and all non-negative powers of  $\hbar$ . In other words, we work with formal power series in elements of  $V^*$  and in  $\hbar$ . By convention,  $V^{\odot 0} = \mathbb{k}$ . The commutative product on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  is the  $\hbar$ -linear extension of the product on the space of symmetric powers of  $V^*$ .

We will define the BV algebra structure on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  in coordinates. Choosing a basis  $e_i$  of  $V$ , we get a matrix

$$\omega_{ij} = \omega(e_i, e_j).$$

The BV differential  $\Delta : \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$  is defined using  $\omega^{ij}$ , the inverse of  $\omega_{ij}$ , as

$$\Delta F \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|\phi^i|} \omega^{ij} \frac{\partial_L^2 F}{\partial \phi^i \partial \phi^j},$$

where  $\phi^i \in V^*$  is the dual basis of  $e_i$ . The corresponding bracket is

$$\{F, G\} \equiv \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial_R F}{\partial \phi^i} \omega^{ij} \frac{\partial_L G}{\partial \phi^j}.$$

The partial derivatives are graded and  $\hbar$ -linear.

The BV operator has a beautiful geometrical origin, due to Schwarz [29] and Khudaverdian [20]. There, it is the divergence operator of Hamiltonian vector fields, with respect to some chosen volume form. In our case, we have a canonical (up to a constant multiple) choice, given by the vector space structure on the graded manifold  $V$ . Then, the BV operator is defined by

$$\Delta(F) dV = (-1)^{|F|} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\{F, -\}} dV, \quad (5)$$

where  $dV$  is a volume form induced by the coordinates on  $V$  (see [20, eq. 2.1 and eq. 2.7]). We will also need the transformation property of  $\Delta$  with respect to a symplectic diffeomorphism  $\Phi$

$$\Phi_* \circ \hbar \Delta \circ \Phi^* = \hbar \Delta + \frac{1}{2} \{ \log \text{Ber}(\partial \Phi), - \}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\text{Ber}$  is the graded version of determinant [20, eq. 2.11].

Instead of volume forms, we will use semidensities, which are a more fundamental object. For us, they will be just objects of the form  $F d^{\frac{1}{2}} V$  with  $F \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ , which transform with a factor equal to the square root of the Berezinian. We will write formally

$$\Delta(F) d^{\frac{1}{2}} V = (-1)^{|F|} \mathcal{L}_{\{F, -\}} d^{\frac{1}{2}} V. \quad (7)$$

**Remark 1.** The transformation property of  $\Delta$  can be now seen as a simple compatibility of the Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}$  with (symplectic) diffeomorphisms. Indeed, applying  $\Phi_* \circ \mathcal{L}_{\{F, -\}} = \mathcal{L}_{\{\Phi_*(F), -\}} \circ \Phi_*$  on  $dV$ , we get exactly the equation (6)

## 2.2 Existence of $e^{S/\hbar}$

**Remark 2.** This subsection explains how to define the quantum master equation rigorously, mainly dealing with issues concerning the powers of  $\hbar$ . The important parts are the formulas from lemmas 1 and 2, and formulas at the end of this section, the rest is not very enlightening.

Of course, the exponential  $e^{S/\hbar}$  is not an element of  $\mathcal{F}(V)$ , since it contains arbitrary negative powers of  $\hbar$ .

**Definition 5.** Allowing all the powers of  $\hbar$ , we get a space

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{arbitrary}}(V) \equiv \prod_{g \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0} (V^*)^{\odot n} \otimes \mathbb{k}\hbar^g.$$

For a homogeneous vector in  $(V^*)^{\odot n} \otimes \mathbb{k}\hbar^g$ , let us call the number  $n$  the **polynomial degree** and the number  $g$  the **genus**.

It is not possible to multiply any two elements of  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{arbitrary}}(V)$ , but we can single out a subspace of elements that are closed under multiplication

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{finite}}(V) \equiv \left\{ v \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{arbitrary}}(V) \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{the component } (v)_n \text{ of } v \text{ of polynomial degree } n \\ n \text{ has a lower bound on genus, for each } n. \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Elements  $F, G$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{finite}}(V)$  can be multiplied since, to the polynomial degree  $n$  and genus  $g$  of  $FG$ , only a finite number of components of  $F$  and  $G$  contribute. The BV algebra structure can be defined here by the same formulas as for  $\mathcal{F}(V)$ .

To avoid discussing exponentials of constant terms, we will ignore them for now. Denoting the subspace of  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{finite}}(V)$  with no constant part as  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{finite, n.c.}}(V)$ , the exponential of  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{finite, n.c.}}(V)$  is

$$e^A = 1 + A + \frac{1}{2!}A^2 + \dots$$

This exponential (or any power series) is well defined, since only the first  $k+1$  terms can contribute to the polynomial degree  $k$  of the result. Thus,  $e^A$  is finite and we can consider the quantum master equation.

**Lemma 1.** If  $S$  is a degree 0 element of  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{finite, n.c.}}(V)$ , then

$$\Delta e^{S/\hbar} = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} e^{S/\hbar} \left( \hbar \Delta S + \frac{1}{2} \{S, S\} \right).$$

*Proof.* It is a simple consequence of equation (3) that  $\Delta S^n = nS^{n-1} \Delta S + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \{S, S\} S^{n-2}$ . Thus, for a power series  $f(S) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n S^n$ , we have

$$\Delta(f(S)) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n \left( nS^{n-1} \Delta S + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \{S, S\} S^{n-2} \right) = f'(S) \Delta S + \frac{1}{2} f''(S) \{S, S\}.$$

□

The next result we will need is the twisting of  $\Delta$  by  $e^{A/\hbar}$ .

**Lemma 2.** For  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{finite, n.c.}}(V)$  of degree 0 and  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{finite}}(V)$ , the following identity holds

$$e^{-A/\hbar} \hbar \Delta \left( F e^{A/\hbar} \right) = \hbar \Delta F + \{A, F\} + \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left( \hbar \Delta A + \frac{1}{2} \{A, A\} \right) F. \quad (8)$$

Moreover, if we denote the **twisted** BV Laplacian as

$$T_A(F) \equiv \hbar \Delta F + \{A, F\},$$

then  $T_A^2 = 0$  iff  $A$  solves the quantum master equation.

*Proof.* The first equation is an immediate consequence of the equation (3). The square of  $T_A$  can be written as

$$(T_A)^2(F) = \hbar\Delta\{A, F\} + \{A, \hbar\Delta F + \{A, F\}\} = \{\hbar\Delta A + \frac{1}{2}\{A, A\}, F\},$$

where we used equation (4) and the identity  $2\{A, \{A, F\}\} = \{\{A, A\}, F\}$ , which follows from the Jacobi identity (2). However, since  $\omega$  is non-degenerate, this means that  $\hbar\Delta A + \frac{1}{2}\{A, A\}$  is an odd constant, which can only be 0.  $\square$

Note that it is also possible to twist step by step. Take  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{finite, n.c.}}(V)$  such that  $A$  satisfies the master equation. Then we can twist  $\hbar\Delta + \{A, -\}$  by  $B$ , which will satisfy

$$e^{-B/\hbar}T_A(Fe^{B/\hbar}) = T_{A+B}(F)$$

iff  $A + B$  satisfies the quantum master equation

$$\frac{1}{\hbar}e^{-B/\hbar}(\hbar\Delta + \{A, -\})e^{B/\hbar} = \hbar\Delta B + \{A, B\} + \frac{1}{2}\{B, B\} = 0.$$

We finish by introducing the **weight grading** of Braun and Maunder [5].

**Definition 6.** The *weight* of an element  $v \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{arbitrary}}(V)$  of polynomial degree  $n$  and genus  $g$  is  $w = 2g + n$ . The space  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V) \equiv \prod_{w \geq 1} \left( \bigoplus_{2g+n=w} \hbar^g(V^*)^{\odot n} \right),$$

i.e. elements of positive weight with only finitely many elements of each weight.

Since the multiplication is of weight zero, the space  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$  is closed under multiplication. Moreover, it is also closed under taking arbitrary power series without a constant coefficient. Note that  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$  contains components of polynomial degree 0.

The weight grading is useful because it is preserved by  $\hbar\Delta$  and consequently also by the path integral. In other words, we will show that a path integral of an element of  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$  is again a well-defined element here, and it makes sense to talk about its logarithm.

Equipped with these notions, we can put some conditions on the action  $S \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ . Let us decompose it to the part of polynomial degree 2 and genus 0, called  $S_{\text{free}}$ , and the rest  $S_{\text{int}} = S - S_{\text{free}}$ . The part  $S_{\text{free}}$  has weight 2. **In the following, we will assume that  $S_{\text{int}}/\hbar$  is an element of  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$ , i.e.  $S_{\text{int}}$  is in weight 3 and more.** For  $S_{\text{int}} \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ , this means it starts in polynomial degree 3 for genus 0 and in polynomial degree 1 in genus 1. Since the constant part of  $S_{\text{int}}/\hbar$  is in weight 1 or more, all the expressions in the lemmas 1 and 2 are well defined and we can apply the lemmas to  $S$ . Thus, we have the master equation for  $S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{int}}$

$$\hbar\Delta(S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{int}}) + \{S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{int}}, S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{int}}\} = 0.$$

If  $S$  solves the quantum master equation, then the quadratic, genus 0 part of the quantum master equation is just  $\{S_{\text{free}}, S_{\text{free}}\} = 0$ , which means that  $\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}$  squares to 0. Moreover, since  $S_{\text{free}}$  is of degree 0,  $\Delta S_{\text{free}}$  is a constant of degree 1, i.e. zero. Thus,  $S_{\text{free}}$  is also a solution of the master equation. Following the remark after lemma 2, this means that we have a differential

$$T_{S_{\text{free}}} = \hbar\Delta + \{S_{\text{free}}, -\},$$

which can be twisted to the full differential

$$e^{-S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}T_{S_{\text{free}}}(Fe^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}) = \hbar\Delta F + \{S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{int}}, F\}.$$

The master equation then reduces to

$$T_{S_{\text{free}}}(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}) = e^{-S_{\text{free}}/\hbar}\hbar\Delta e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_{\text{int}})/\hbar} = 0, \quad (9)$$

or equivalently,

$$\hbar\Delta S_{\text{int}} + \{S_{\text{free}}, S_{\text{int}}\} + \frac{1}{2}\{S_{\text{int}}, S_{\text{int}}\} = 0.$$

### 2.3 Zwiebach's closed string field theory

The first appearance of a quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra was in the correlation functions of the closed string field theory of Zwiebach [31]. On the graded Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}$ , he defines, for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $g \geq 0$ , *string functions* as graded symmetric multilinear maps into  $\mathbb{C}$

$$\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}_g \in \mathbb{C},$$

where the  $B_i$  are elements of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}$ . This string product has a total degree equal to  $2n$ , i.e. it is equal to 0 if the total degree of its arguments is different from  $-2n$ .

There is also an inner product on  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}$  denoted as  $\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ . It is nondegenerate, symmetric and has degree  $-5$ . Zwiebach then chooses two bases of this Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}$ ,  $\Phi_s$  and  $\Phi^r$ , such that  $\langle \Phi_s, \Phi^r \rangle = (-1)^{|\Phi^r|} \delta_s^r$ . These can be used to define the *string products*  $[\dots]_g$  as

$$[B_1, \dots, B_n]_g = \sum_t (-1)^{|\Phi^t|} \Phi^t \{ \Phi^t, B_1, \dots, B_n \}_g. \quad (10)$$

The inverse of this relation is given by

$$\{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n\}_g = \langle B_0, [B_1, \dots, B_n]_g \rangle.$$

These string products then have a degree  $3 - 2n$  and are graded symmetric. Moreover, as a consequence of the symmetry of the string functions, the products satisfy an additional property: M. Markl expresses this by saying that element [22, eq. 7]

$$\sum_s \Phi_s \otimes [\Phi^s, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}]_g \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}^{\otimes 2}$$

is antisymmetric with respect to the symmetry morphism  $\sigma_{21} : B_1 \otimes B_2 \mapsto (-1)^{|B_1||B_2|} B_2 \otimes B_1$ .

Zwiebach then proves that these string products satisfy the *main identity*

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g \\ k+l=n}} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Unsh}(k,l)} \tilde{\epsilon}(\sigma) [B_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, B_{\sigma(k)}, [B_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, B_{\sigma(n)}]_{g_2}]_{g_1} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s (-1)^{|\Phi^s|} [\Phi_s, \Phi^s, B_1, \dots, B_n]_{g-1},$$

which should be satisfied for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $g \geq 0$ , and where  $[\dots]_{-1}$  is equal to 0. The sum over  $\sigma$  is over all *unshuffles*, permutations of  $n$  elements such that  $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(k)$  and  $\sigma(k+1) < \dots < \sigma(n)$ . The sign  $\tilde{\epsilon}(\sigma)$  is given by permuting  $(Q, B_1, \dots, B_n)$  to  $(B_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, B_{\sigma(k)}, Q, B_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, B_{\sigma(n)})$ , where  $Q$  is a symbol of degree 1.

We will only work with a *uncurved* case, where 0-bracket  $[\ ]_0 : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}$  is equal to 0. This implies, choosing  $g = 0$  and  $n = 1$  in the main identity, that  $[[B]_0]_0 = 0$ , i.e.  $[-]_0 : \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}$  is a differential. The  $g = 0$  bracket with one input is usually denoted by  $Q \equiv [-]_0$ .

This algebraic structure of the string products, the inner product and the main identity was later called a *loop homotopy Lie algebra* by Markl in [22]. His convention comes from Lie algebras, so after appropriate shifts, the string products become antisymmetric and the  $g = 0$  part of the structure is just a (cyclic)  $L_\infty$  algebra on a vector space  $U \equiv \mathbf{r}(\downarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}})$ . He then shows that the loop homotopy Lie algebras can be viewed as algebras over a Feynman transform of a modular operad  $\text{Mod}(\text{Com})$ , generalizing the cobar construction of  $L_\infty$  algebras.

### 2.4 Quantum master equation and quantum $L_\infty$ algebras

We would like to show that an action satisfying the master equation is equivalent to a loop homotopy Lie algebra. To start, we look at a twice-shifted vector space  $V \equiv \downarrow \downarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}$ . Here, the form  $\langle, \rangle$  induced from  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rel}}$  has degree  $-1$  and is symmetric, but, if we define

$$\omega(v_1, v_2) \equiv (-1)^{|v_1|} \langle \uparrow \uparrow v_1, \uparrow \uparrow v_2 \rangle,$$

the form  $\omega$  is antisymmetric of degree  $-1$  and we can use this  $\omega$  to define a BV algebra on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$ .

The shifted operations

$$s_n^g(v_1, \dots, v_n) \equiv \{\uparrow\uparrow v_1, \dots, \uparrow\uparrow v_n\}_g$$

and

$$\lambda_n^g(v_1, \dots, v_n) \equiv \downarrow\downarrow[\uparrow\uparrow v_1, \dots, \uparrow\uparrow v_n]_g.$$

are graded symmetric and have degrees 0 and 1, respectively. They are related by

$$s_{n+1}^g(v_0, \dots, v_n) = (-1)^{|v_0|} \omega(v_0, \lambda_n^g(v_1, \dots, v_n)). \quad (11)$$

Moreover, the invariance  $\langle QA, B \rangle = (-1)^{|A|} \langle A, QB \rangle$  [31, eq. 2.63], where  $Q(A) = [A]_0$  is the differential, translates to

$$\omega(Qv_1, v_2) + (-1)^{|v_1|} \omega(v_1, Qv_2) = 0,$$

which means that  $(V, \omega, Q)$  is a dg symplectic vector space, where now  $Q \equiv \lambda_1^0$ .

If the basis  $\Phi_s$  from equation (10) is chosen such that  $e_s = \downarrow\downarrow \Phi_s$ , then the basis  $e^r \equiv \uparrow\uparrow \Phi^r$  satisfies  $e^s = \sum_r e_r \omega^{rs}$  and  $\omega(e_s, e^r) = \delta_s^r$ . The main identity translates to

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g \\ k+l=n}} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Unsh}(k,l)} \tilde{\epsilon}(\sigma) \lambda_{k+1}^{g_1}(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)}, \lambda_l^{g_2}(v_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)})) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s} (-1)^{|e_s|} \omega^{rs} \lambda_{n+2}^{g-1}(e_s, e_r, v_1, \dots, v_n). \quad (12)$$

We can see the graded symmetric functions  $s_n^g$  as elements of  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  by choosing an isomorphism

$$s_n^g \mapsto \bar{s}_n^g \equiv \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} s_n^g(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) \phi^{i_1} \dots \phi^{i_n}, \quad (13)$$

which is in [31] written using the *string field*  $\Phi = \sum_i e_i \phi^i \in V \otimes V^*$

$$\bar{s}_n^g = s_n^g(\Phi, \dots, \Phi).$$

Using this isomorphism, we can define the action

$$S = \sum_{n \geq 2, g \geq 0} \hbar^g \frac{\bar{s}_n^g}{n!} \in \mathcal{F}(V).$$

Note that this action is of the form we assumed in the section 2.2, i.e. it has a part  $S_{\text{free}} = \bar{s}_2^0/2$  and the remainder, which is at least in weight 3. Now, we can translate the main identity to an equation for  $S$ .

**Lemma 3.** *The operations  $\lambda_n^g$  satisfy the main identity (12) iff the action  $S$  satisfies the quantum master equation.*

See the appendix A for a proof.

We can now state the definition of a quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra, which is, thanks to this lemma, equivalent to the notions of Zwiebach [31] and Markl [22].

**Definition 7.** *A **quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra**, also called **loop homotopy Lie algebra**, on a symplectic vector space  $V$ , is given by element  $S \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  that satisfies the quantum master equation. We require that the genus 0 part of  $S$  is at least quadratic and genus 1 part at least linear.*

Note that the constant terms of  $S$  do not appear in the quantum master equation, nor in the main identity.

### 3 Minimal model

The main identity (12) taken for  $g = 0$  and  $n = 1, 2$  tells us that  $Q \equiv \lambda_1^0$  is a differential and a derivative of the symmetric map  $\lambda_2^0$ . After a suitable shift (see [22]),  $\lambda_2^0$  becomes an antisymmetric bracket whose failure to satisfy the Jacobi identity is equal to

$$Q(\lambda_3^0) \equiv Q \circ \lambda_3^0 - \lambda_3^0 \circ (1 \otimes 1 \otimes Q + 1 \otimes Q \otimes 1 + Q \otimes 1 \otimes 1).$$

Taken together, this means that on  $H$ , the homology of  $V$  w.r.t  $Q$ , the map  $\lambda_2^0$  is a Lie bracket. The task of finding a *minimal model* is to encode the higher operations from  $V$  to  $H$  as well, introducing a quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra on  $H$  compatible with the one on  $V$ . This makes sense because, thanks to the compatibility  $\omega(1 \otimes Q + Q \otimes 1) = 0$ , the homology  $H$  inherits a symplectic structure and thus we have a BV algebra structure on  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ . Minimal model of a quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra is therefore given by an action  $W \in \mathcal{F}(H)$  satisfying the quantum master equation  $2\hbar\Delta'W + \{W, W\}' = 0$ .

For  $L_\infty$  algebras, one requires that there is a quasi-isomorphism connecting the original algebra and the minimal model. In our case, we also have the odd symplectic structure, but requiring that we obtain a symplectomorphism is a very restrictive notion (this is what Kajiuura defines as a minimal model [19, Definition 2.13]). We give a partial answer in sections 4.3 and 4.4, using the notion of homotopy of solution of the quantum master equation.

### 3.1 Homological perturbation lemma

Our aim is to define a path integral using the homological perturbation lemma, or HPL. We start by reviewing HPL, the standard reference is a paper by Crainic [12].

**Definition 8.** A *standard situation (SS)* is a pair  $(V, Q)$  and  $(W, e)$  of dg vector spaces, a pair  $p$  and  $i$  of their morphisms and a homotopy  $k$  between  $ip$  and  $1_V$

$$k \circlearrowleft (V, Q) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{i} \end{array} (W, e)$$

that satisfy the following:

$$\begin{aligned} Q^2 = 0, \quad e^2 = 0, \quad |Q| = |e| = 1, \\ pQ = ep, \quad |p| = 0, \\ ie = Qi, \quad |i| = 0, \\ ip - 1_V = Qk + kQ, \quad |k| = -1. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 9.** A *deformation retract (DR)* is an SS such that

$$pi = 1_W.$$

**Definition 10.** A *special deformation retract (SDR)* is a DR such that the following *annihilation conditions* are met:

$$pk = 0, \quad ki = 0, \quad k^2 = 0.$$

With this conditions,  $ip$ ,  $-kd$  and  $-Qk$  are three projectors such the direct sum of their images gives the whole space  $V$ .

If we have this standard situation, we can try to perturb the differential on  $V$  to a new one, requiring that it still squares to zero. The perturbation lemma then gives explicit formulas for a perturbed standard situation.

**Theorem 1** (Perturbation lemma). *Consider an SS as above:*

$$k \circlearrowleft (V, Q) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{i} \end{array} (W, e) \tag{14}$$

A *perturbation*  $\delta : V \rightarrow V$  of the differential  $Q$  is a linear degree 1 map such that

$$(Q + \delta)^2 = 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\delta^2 + \delta Q + Q\delta = 0.$$

Let  $\delta$  be a perturbation of  $Q$  which is small in the sense that

$$(1 - \delta k)^{-1} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\delta k)^i$$

is a well defined linear map  $V \rightarrow V$ .

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}
Q' &\equiv Q + \delta, \\
e' &\equiv e + p(1 - \delta k)^{-1} \delta i = e + p \delta (1 - k \delta)^{-1} i, \\
p' &\equiv p + p(1 - \delta k)^{-1} \delta k = p(1 - \delta k)^{-1}, \\
i' &\equiv i + k(1 - \delta k)^{-1} \delta i = (1 - k \delta)^{-1} i, \\
k' &\equiv k + k(1 - \delta k)^{-1} \delta k = k(1 - \delta k)^{-1}, \\
\kappa' \circlearrowleft (V, Q') &\xrightarrow[p']{i'} (W, e').
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Then:

1. (15) is an SS.
2. If  $p$  is a quasi-isomorphism (equivalently:  $p$  induces surjective map on cohomology, or  $i$  is a quasi-isomorphism, or  $i$  induces an injective map on cohomology), then  $p'$  is a quasi-isomorphism (equivalently:  $p'$  induces surjective map on cohomology, or  $i'$  is a quasi-isomorphism, or  $i'$  induces an injective map on cohomology).
3. If (14) is a DR, then (15) is a DR iff

$$p(Ak^2A + Ak + kA)i = 0,$$

where  $A \equiv (1 - \delta k)^{-1} \delta$ .

4. If (14) is an SDR, then (15) is an SDR.

*Proof.* See [12]. □

### 3.2 Hodge decomposition

To construct a special deformation retract between  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ , we start with a decomposition of the vector space  $V$ , compatible with the odd symplectic structure. The existence of such decomposition is a standard result, see e.g. Chuang and Lazarev [9, prop. 2.5, theorem 2.7].

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $(V, Q, \omega)$  be a dg symplectic vector space such that  $|\omega| = -1$ . In particular,*

$$\omega(Q \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Then there is a decomposition (called Hodge decomposition)

$$V = H \oplus B \oplus C,$$

where<sup>2</sup>  $B \equiv \text{Im } Q$ ,  $C$  is a linear complement of  $\text{Ker } Q$ , and  $H$  is any linear complement of  $B$  inside  $\text{Ker } Q$ , such that both  $\omega|_H$  and  $\omega|_{B \oplus C}$  are degree  $-1$  symplectic forms and  $B$  and  $C$  are Lagrangian subspaces, i.e.  $\omega|_B = 0$  and  $\omega|_C = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be any linear complement of  $\text{Im } Q$  inside  $\text{Ker } Q$ . For a subspace  $W$  of  $V$  denote

$$W^\omega \equiv \{v \in V \mid \forall w \in W \ \omega(v, w) = 0\}$$

its symplectic complement. By Lemma 2.7 of [23], any subspace  $W$  satisfies  $\dim W + \dim(W^\omega) = \dim V$  and if  $W$  is coisotropic, then  $W/W^\omega$  has a natural symplectic structure. We apply this for  $W = \text{Ker } Q$ . First, (16) implies that  $(\text{Ker } Q)^\omega \supset B$ , Hence  $(\text{Ker } Q)^\omega = B$  by dimension reasons. Thus  $\text{Ker } Q/B = \text{Ker } Q/(\text{Ker } Q)^\omega$  has a natural symplectic structure. The isomorphism  $H \cong (H \oplus B)/B = \text{Ker } Q/B$  preserves the symplectic structures. Thus  $\omega|_H$  is nondegenerate.

Now consider  $H^\omega$ . The above implies that  $H \cap H^\omega = 0$  and thus

$$V = H \oplus H^\omega$$

---

<sup>2</sup> $B$  is for boundaries.

and  $\omega|_{H^\omega}$  is nondegenerate. The equation (16) implies that  $B \subset H^\omega$ . We wish to verify that  $B$  is Lagrangian inside  $H^\omega$ . Let  $D$  be any complement of  $B$  inside  $H^\omega$ . Then  $Q|_D : D \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism, since  $D \cap \text{Ker } Q = 0$ . This implies that  $\dim B = \dim H^\omega/2$ . So it suffices to verify that  $B$  is coisotropic in  $H^\omega$ . But (16) implies that  $B \subset B^\omega \cap H^\omega$ . Hence  $B$  is Lagrangian inside  $H^\omega$ . By Lemma 2.6 of [23], every Lagrangian subspace has a Lagrangian complement. Let  $C$  be such complement of  $B$  inside  $H^\omega$ .  $\square$

Observe that  $H^\bullet(V, Q) \cong H$  and  $Q$  (more precisely  $Q|_C$ ) is an isomorphism  $C \cong B$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let*

$$k(x) \equiv \begin{cases} -Q|_C^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in C, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Let  $p : V \rightarrow H$  be given by

$$p(x) \equiv \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in H, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin H. \end{cases}$$

Let  $i : H \rightarrow V$  be the inclusion. Then

$$(H \oplus B \oplus C) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{k} \\ \xleftarrow{i} \end{array} (H, 0)$$

is an SDR.

Let us choose three bases of these components:  $\{a_i\}$  for  $H$ ,  $\{b_j\}$  for  $B$  and  $\{c_k\}$  for  $C$ . The differential  $Q$  thus takes  $c$  to  $b$  and is equal to zero on  $a$  or  $b$ . The dual vector space  $V^*$  is also decomposed into  $H^* \oplus B^* \oplus C^*$ , which have bases  $\alpha^i$ ,  $\beta^i$  and  $\gamma^i$ . The dual of the differential  $Q$  then takes  $\beta$  to  $\gamma$ .

In the basis  $(\{a_i\}, \{b_j\}, \{c_k\})$ , the symplectic form  $\omega$  decomposes to  $\omega'_{ij} = \omega(a_i, a_j)$  and  $\omega''_{ij} = \omega(b_i, c_j)$ . The matrices for  $\omega$  and its inverse then look like

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega'' \\ 0 & -\omega'' & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (\omega')^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(\omega'')^{-1} \\ 0 & (\omega'')^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The BV algebra structure on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  thus decomposes as  $\Delta = \Delta' + \Delta''$ , where

$$\Delta' = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|\alpha^i|} (\omega')^{ij} \frac{\partial_L^2}{\partial \alpha^i \partial \alpha^j}, \quad \Delta'' = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|\gamma^i|} (\omega'')^{ij} \frac{\partial_L^2}{\partial \gamma^i \partial \beta^j},$$

where  $\omega'_{ij} (\omega')^{jk} = \delta_i^k$  and similarly for  $\omega''$ . The bracket decomposes as well,  $\{, \} = \{, \}' + \{, \}''$ .

### 3.3 General setting

Now we would like to extend this SDR on  $V$  to an SDR between  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(H)$ . There are now *two* closely related differentials on  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$ : the one induced by  $Q$ , and the bracket  $\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}$ . In the end, we want to use a dg vector space  $(\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V), \{S_{\text{free}}, -\})$ , so we need to show that  $\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}$  is compatible with the choice of decomposition  $V = H \oplus B \oplus C$ .

**Lemma 6.** *The differential  $\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}$ , restricted to a map  $V^* \rightarrow V^*$ , is equal to*

$$\{S_{\text{free}}, \phi^i\} = -\phi^i \circ Q,$$

therefore it is an isomorphism  $B^* \rightarrow C^*$  and restricts to zero on  $C^*$  and  $H^*$ .

*Proof.* Let us evaluate

$$\{S_{\text{free}}, \phi^i\}(e_k) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_R \bar{s}_2^0}{\partial \phi^a} \omega^{ab} \frac{\partial_L \phi^i}{\partial \phi^b} \right) (e_k).$$

Now we use equation (26) and the definition of  $s_2^0$

$$\{S_{\text{free}}, \phi^i\}(e_k) = s_2^0(e_k, e_a) \omega^{ab} \delta_b^i = (-1)^{|e_k||e_a|+|e_a|} \omega(e_a, Q(e_k)) \omega^{ai}.$$

Since  $s_2^0$  is of degree 0, we have  $|e_k| = -|e_a|$  and the sign disappears.

$$\{S_{\text{free}}, \phi^i\}(e_k) = \omega(e_a, Q(e_k))\omega^{a_i} = \omega(e_a, e_m)\omega^{a_i}\phi^m(Q(e_k)) = -\phi^i(Q(e_k)).$$

Note that

$$s_2^0 = -\omega''_{ki}Q_j^k\gamma^j\gamma^i,$$

where  $\omega''_{ki} = \omega(b_k, c_i)$  □

Using this formula, we can define the homotopy  $K$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$  as an inverse to  $\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}$  on  $V^*$ , extended by a (normalized) Leibniz rule.

**Lemma 7.** *Given a decomposition as in lemma 5, there is a deformation retract*

$$K \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \left( \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V), \{S_{\text{free}}, -\} \right) \xleftarrow{P} \left( \mathcal{F}_{w+}(H), 0 \right) \\ \leftarrow I \end{array}$$

such that in a basis where  $Q(c_i) = Q_i^k b_k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \{S_{\text{free}}, -\} &= -\gamma^i Q_i^k \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \beta^k}, \\ K(x) &= \frac{1}{\#\beta+\gamma} \beta^k (Q^{-1})_k^i \frac{\partial_L x}{\partial \gamma^i}, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V), \\ I &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (p^*)^{\otimes n}, \quad P = \sum_{n \geq 0} (i^*)^{\otimes n}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Here,  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are bases of  $H^*, B^*$  and  $C^*$  and the symbol  $\#\beta+\gamma$  denotes, for a monomial  $x$ , the number of occurrences of variables  $\beta^i$  and  $\gamma^i$  in  $x$ . When the number is zero, the operator  $K$  is defined to be zero. The projector  $P$  and inclusion  $I$  are identities on constant (polynomial weight zero) terms and  $i^*, p^*$  are duals of  $i, p$  of Lemma 5. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} i^*(\alpha^i) &= \alpha^i, \quad i^*(\beta^j) = 0 = i^*(\gamma^k), \\ p^*(\alpha^i) &= \alpha^i. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The only non-trivial identity the special deformation retract has to satisfy is  $IP - 1 = [\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}, K]$ . For simplicity, let us choose a basis where  $-Q_i^k$  is the identity matrix and denote  $K_0 = \#\beta+\gamma K = -\beta^k \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \gamma^k}$  the unnormalized homotopy operator. Let us compute

$$\begin{aligned} [\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}, K_0] &= \left[ \gamma^i \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \beta^i}, -\beta^k \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \gamma^k} \right] \\ &= -\gamma^i \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \gamma^i} - (-1)^{|\beta^i|+|\gamma^i||\beta^k|+1+|\gamma^i||\gamma^k|} \beta^i \delta_i^k \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \beta^k} = -\gamma^i \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \gamma^i} - \beta^k \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \beta^k}. \end{aligned}$$

This operator, applied on a monomial, will multiply it by minus the number of variables  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$ . Since  $\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}$  commutes with  $\#\beta+\gamma$ , the commutator  $[\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}, K]$  is then minus the identity on monomials with  $\#\beta+\gamma \neq 0$  and zero otherwise. This is, however, exactly  $IP - 1$ . □

**Remark 3.** *Given an SDR as in (14), the process of inducing an SDR on tensor powers is often called the tensor trick, and goes back at least to Eilenberg and Mac Lane [13, section 12]. Their formula for the homotopy is, after symmetrization,*

$$K \equiv \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \sigma \cdot \left( 1^{\otimes(n-i)} \otimes k^* \otimes (ip)^{\otimes(i-1)} \right),$$

and since  $ip$  is a projector, this gives  $k^*$  extended as a derivative, with a combinatorial factor. One can then check that this factor is equal to  $1/\#\beta+\gamma$ . To get a homotopy for the differential  $\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}$ , one needs to introduce a sign as in lemma 6.

Let us also remark that an analogous retract can be defined on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  by the same formulas.

## 4 Transfer

### 4.1 The two perturbations

Recall that we decomposed the action  $S \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  as

$$S = S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{int}},$$

where  $S_{\text{free}}$  is concentrated in genus 0 and quadratic in variables of  $V^*$ , while  $S_{\text{int}}$  is at least cubic in  $V^*$  in genus 0, linear in genus 1, and there are no restrictions in higher genera. Since  $S$  satisfies the quantum master equation, we have a differential

$$T_S = \{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta + \{S_{\text{int}}, -\}.$$

Consider the SDR of Lemma 7

$$K \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ (\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V), \{S_{\text{free}}, -\}) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{P} \\ \xleftarrow{I} \end{array} (\mathcal{F}_{w+}(H), 0) \quad (18)$$

There are two perturbations of  $\{S_{\text{free}}, -\}$  we will consider:

- A perturbation  $\delta_1 \equiv \hbar\Delta$ . The perturbed differential squares to zero since  $S_0$  solves the quantum master equation – see section 2.2 for details. This perturbation will correspond to the unnormalized path integral.
- The perturbation  $\delta_2 \equiv \hbar\Delta + \{S_{\text{int}}, -\}$ . This perturbation corresponds to a normalized path integral, with weight  $S$ .

#### 4.1.1 Perturbation by $\hbar\Delta$

Consider the SDR (18) and take

$$\delta_1 \equiv \hbar\Delta,$$

as a perturbation. Let's denote the corresponding perturbed maps with subscript 1, e.g.

$$E_1 = P(1 - \delta_1 K)^{-1} \delta_1 I = P(1 - \hbar\Delta K)^{-1} \hbar\Delta I = \hbar P \Delta I = \hbar \Delta',$$

since  $K \Delta I = 0$ , which follows easily from the explicit formula (17) for  $K$ . The other maps are

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= K + K \hbar\Delta K + K \hbar\Delta K \hbar\Delta K + \dots = K + K \hbar\Delta'' K + K \hbar\Delta'' K \hbar\Delta'' K + \dots, \\ I_1 &= I + K \hbar\Delta I + K \hbar\Delta K \hbar\Delta I + \dots = I, \\ P_1 &= P + P \hbar\Delta K + P \hbar\Delta K \hbar\Delta K + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the simplification in  $K_1$  is because  $\Delta'$  anticommutes with  $K$  and  $K^2 = 0$ . All these maps are weight 0 and the series converge since  $\Delta$  always decreases the polynomial degree by 2.

**Definition 11.** The *effective action*  $W \in \mathcal{F}_{w+}(H)$  is defined by

$$e^{W/\hbar} \equiv P_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}) = P(1 - \hbar\Delta K)^{-1} e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}.$$

The *path integral* is a map  $Z : \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{w+}(H)$  defined by

$$Z(f) \equiv (P_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}))^{-1} P_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} f) = e^{-W/\hbar} P(1 - \hbar\Delta K)^{-1} (e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} f).$$

**Remark 4.** Here, we have the issue of the constant 1 in the expansion of  $\exp(X) = 1 + X + \dots$ . In the definition of  $W$ , 1 is annihilated by everything but the first term in  $P_1$ , i.e.  $P_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar})$  starts with 1, and we can take the logarithm.

For the definition of the path integral  $Z(f)$ , if we take  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$ , then also  $f e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} \in \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$  and it also makes sense to multiply by the inverse of  $P_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar})$ , again because  $P_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar})$  starts with 1. Thus,  $Z(f)$  is again in  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$

**Theorem 2.** *The effective action  $W$  is an element of  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ , i.e. it contains only nonnegative powers of  $\hbar$ . Moreover,  $W$  satisfies the master equation on  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ :*

$$\hbar\Delta'W + \frac{1}{2}\{W, W\}' = 0.$$

*Proof.* The first part is proven by expressing  $P_1(F)$ , for  $F \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ , as a Feynman expansion. We begin by noting that every  $K$  in the expansion of  $P_1$  adds one variable  $\beta$ . Since  $\Delta$  can remove at most one  $\beta$  and the leftmost  $P$  is zero on anything with  $\beta$ , the only nonzero terms of  $P_1(F)$  are those where

- $F$  itself has no variables  $\beta$  and
- all  $\Delta$  remove one  $\beta$ , i.e. only terms with  $\Delta''$ .

We can thus write

$$P_1 = P + P\hbar\Delta''K + P\hbar\Delta''K\hbar\Delta''K + \dots$$

Now, let this act on a monomial with zero variables  $\beta$  and  $2n$  variables  $\gamma$ . Each term  $\Delta''K$  removes two  $\gamma$ s, so the total numerical factor coming from the normalization of  $K$  is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2n} \frac{1}{2n-2} \cdots \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2^n n!}.$$

Since  $\Delta''$  must always remove  $\beta$  in order to have nonzero contribution, in  $P_1$  we get a repeated application of quadratic differential operator

$$\partial_P \equiv \left[ (-1)^{|\gamma^i|} (\omega'')^{ij} \frac{\partial_L^2}{\partial \gamma^i \partial \beta^j}, \beta^k (Q^{-1})_k^l \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \gamma^l} \right] = (-1)^{|\gamma^i|} (\omega'')^{ij} (Q^{-1})_j^l \frac{\partial_L^2}{\partial \gamma^i \partial \gamma^l}.$$

Together with the normalization, we see that we can write  $P_1$  as

$$P_1 = P \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\hbar\partial_P\right),$$

which is by standard arguments a sum over graphs, ending with legs with variables  $\alpha$  due to the projection  $P$  (see e.g. Lemma 3.4.1 of [11, chapter 2.]).

The effective action

$$W = \hbar \log P[\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\hbar\partial_P\right) \exp(S_{\text{int}}/\hbar)]$$

thus contains, by the Lemma 3.4.1 of loc. cit., only nonnegative powers of  $\hbar$ .

To show that  $W$  is a solution to the quantum master equation, we use the fact that the perturbed map  $P_1$  is again a chain map

$$P_1(\{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta) = E_1 P_1 = \hbar\Delta' P_1,$$

and evaluate this on  $e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}$ . Using the formula (9), we get that the left hand side is zero, while the right hand side is equal to  $\hbar\Delta' e^{W/\hbar}$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 Perturbation by $\hbar\Delta + \{S_{\text{int}}, -\}$

We defined the map  $Z$ , the normalized path integral, as a map  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{w+}(H)$ . We want to show that it's also a map  $\mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$  and relate it to the perturbation lemma. To do this, we consider the other perturbation from section 4.1

$$\delta_2 = \hbar\Delta + \{S_{\text{int}}, -\}.$$

The perturbation lemma then gives the following maps

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= K + K\delta_2 K + K\delta_2 K\delta_2 K + \dots, \\ I_2 &= I + K\delta_2 I + K\delta_2 K\delta_2 I + \dots, \\ P_2 &= P + P\delta_2 K + P\delta_2 K\delta_2 K + \dots, \\ E_2 &= P\delta_2 I + P\delta_2 K\delta_2 I + P\delta_2 K\delta_2 K\delta_2 I + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\delta_2 = \hbar\Delta + \{S_{\text{int}}, -\}$  never decreases the weight. To see that the series converge, note first that any of the above, applied on monomial  $x$ , will give a finite contribution to any fixed weight. Because for a general element  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$ , there are only finitely many elements of weight smaller or equal to some number, the perturbed operators are well defined.

A similar argument works when we take  $F \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *The map  $Z$  is equal to  $P_2$ , i.e.*

$$Z(f) \equiv e^{-W/\hbar} P_1(f e^{S/\hbar}) = P_2(f).$$

Thus, considering the perturbation  $\delta_2$  of a deformation retract taken on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  instead of  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(H)$ , we get that  $Z$  is a map  $\mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$ .

To prove the theorem, will need two simple results.

**Lemma 8.**  *$Z(f) = 0$  and  $P_1(f) = 0$  if  $f$  is a monomial with at least one  $\beta$ .*

*Proof.* We used this fact already in the proof of theorem 2: Observe that every nonzero monomial of  $\Delta K(x)$  has at least as many  $\beta$ 's as  $x$  for arbitrary monomial  $x \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ . Since  $e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} f$  has at least one  $\beta$ , then so does  $P(1 - \hbar\Delta K)^{-1}(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} f) = P \sum_{n \geq 0} (\hbar\Delta K)^n (e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} f)$ , and hence vanishes because  $\beta$ 's are killed by  $P$ .

The proof of  $P_1(f) = 0$  is completely analogous.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.**  *$Z(Ig) = g$  whenever  $g \in \mathcal{F}(H)$ .*

*Proof.* Again, every nonzero monomial of  $\Delta K(x)$  has at least as many  $\beta$ 's as  $x$  for arbitrary monomial  $x \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ . The nonzero monomials of  $P(\Delta K)^n(x)$  are only those where every  $\beta$  added by  $K$  is removed by some  $\Delta$ . Since the number of  $K$ 's and  $\Delta$ 's are equal, every  $\Delta = \Delta' + \Delta''$  has to act only as  $\Delta''$ . Thus

$$P(\Delta K)^n (e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} I(g)) = P(\Delta'' K)^n (e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} I(g)) = P[(\Delta'' K)^n e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} I(g)],$$

where the last holds because  $I(g)$  has no variables  $\beta$  or  $\gamma$ , so  $\Delta'' K$  does not act on it and it does not affect the normalization of  $K$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z(Ig) &= e^{-W/\hbar} \sum_{n \geq 0} P \left( (\hbar\Delta K)^n e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} I(g) \right) = \\ &= (e^{-W/\hbar} P(1 - \hbar\Delta K)^{-1} e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}) \cdot P I(g) = e^{-W/\hbar} e^{W/\hbar} g = g. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

*Proof of the theorem 3.* Let's evaluate

$$I_2 P_2 - 1 = K_2 D_2 + D_2 K_2$$

on  $f \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  and apply  $Z$  on both sides. This gives

$$Z I_2 P_2(f) - Z(f) = Z K_2 D_2(f) + Z D_2 K_2(f).$$

Here,  $Z K_2 D_2(f) = 0$  since  $K$  adds one  $\beta$ , and hence  $K_2 = K(1 - \hbar\Delta K - \{S_{\text{int}}, K\})^{-1}$  too, adds  $\beta$ , and the result is annihilated by  $Z$  due to Lemma 8.

Moreover,  $Z I_2 P_2(f) = Z I P_2(f)$  since  $I_2 = I + K(1 - \delta_2 K)^{-1} \delta_2 I$  and we use the same argument about adding  $\beta$  by  $K$  and Lemma 8. Using Lemma 9, we then have

$$P_2(f) - Z(f) = Z D_2 K_2(f). \quad (19)$$

To deal with the RHS, we study the expression  $Z D_2(f)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Z D_2(f) &= e^{-W/\hbar} P_1[(Qf + \hbar\Delta f + \{S_{\text{int}}, f\}) e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}] \\ &= e^{-W/\hbar} P_1[(Q + \hbar\Delta)(f e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar})] \\ &= e^{-W/\hbar} \hbar\Delta' P_1[f e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}], \\ &= e^{-W/\hbar} \hbar\Delta'(e^{W/\hbar} Z(f)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K_2$  always adds at least one  $\beta$ , we have  $Z D_2 K_2(f) \propto \hbar\Delta'(e^{W/\hbar} Z(K_2(f))) = 0$  by lemma 8. Equation (19) thus gives  $Z(f) = P'(f)$ .  $\square$

Since the perturbation by  $\delta_2$  can be obtained from perturbation by  $\delta_1$  by twisting with  $e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}$ , we expect that the perturbed differential  $E_2$  on  $\mathcal{F}(H)$  is a twist of  $E_1 = \hbar\Delta'$ , as in beginning of section 2.

**Theorem 4.**

$$E_2 = \hbar\Delta' + \{W, -\}'.$$

*Proof.* In the proof of theorem 3, we showed that

$$ZD_2(f) = e^{-W/\hbar}\hbar\Delta'(e^{W/\hbar}Z(f)) = (\hbar\Delta' + \{W, -\}')Z(f).$$

Since  $Z = P_2$ , by the perturbation lemma we have

$$ZD_2 = P_2D_2 = E_2P_2$$

and so

$$E_2P_2 = (\hbar\Delta' + \{W, -\}')P_2.$$

This finishes the proof, since  $P_2$  is surjective: by perturbation lemma,  $I_2$  is its right inverse.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** *This theorem gives another formula for  $W$ : In the expansion  $E_2 = P\delta_2I + P\delta_2K\delta_2I + P\delta_2K\delta_2K\delta_2I$ , the rightmost  $\delta_2$  can only act by primed  $\Delta$  and bracket and all the other must act by double-primed  $\Delta$  and  $\{, \}$ , to remove  $\beta$  that is added by  $K$ . The operator  $E_2$  is thus equal to  $\hbar\Delta' + X$ , where  $X$  is a vector field. The condition  $(E_2)^2 = 0$  implies the vector field  $X$  is integrable to the form  $\{W, -\}'$ , where*

$$W = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\#\alpha} \circ P \circ (\delta_2 \circ K)^k \circ \#_{\alpha}(S).$$

Here,  $\#_{\alpha}$  multiplies a monomial by the number of variables  $\alpha$  in it. This approach was used in J.P.'s diploma thesis [28].

### 4.3 Homotopies

We will begin by introducing homotopies of quantum  $L_{\infty}$  algebras, following [5, 8]. Then, we will see that the perturbation lemma directly gives a homotopy between the original and the effective action.

Homotopy between two solutions of quantum master equation should interpolate between them. To talk about time dependence, we tensor our space  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$  with the cdga  $\Omega([0, 1])$ , the de Rham complex of an interval.

**Definition 12.** *By  $\Omega([0, 1])$ , we mean the algebra of smooth differential forms on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . Elements of this algebra can be written as  $f(t) + g(t)dt$ , the differential  $d_{\text{dR}}$  sends such element to  $\partial_t f(t)dt$ .*

*The tensor product  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V) \otimes \Omega([0, 1])$  is defined as*

$$\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V) \otimes \Omega([0, 1]) \equiv \prod_{w \geq 1} \left[ \left( \bigoplus_{2g+n=w} \hbar^g (V^*)^{\odot n} \right) \otimes \Omega([0, 1]) \right],$$

*i.e. in each weight, we have coefficients given by differential forms. Since  $\mathbb{k}$  is reals or complex numbers, we can always set  $t$  to a number between 0 and 1.*

**Remark 6.** *Taking exponentials and logarithms of elements of  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V) \otimes \Omega([0, 1])$  is a well defined operation, since there are only finitely many contributions to each weight. Thus, in each weight, we sum finite number of finite powers of smooth functions of  $t$ .*

*We will also define a convex combination as follows*

$$e^{A(t)/\hbar} = (1-t)e^{S_0/\hbar} + te^{S_1/\hbar}.$$

*Here,  $A(t)$  is again well defined, because the right hand side starts with 1 and then contains terms in higher weight which are smooth (linear in fact) in  $t$ .*

A solution of the QME is given by  $e^{S/\hbar}$  closed under  $\{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta$ . We will thus define homotopy as a degree zero element of  $\mathcal{F}_{w+}(V) \otimes \Omega([0, 1])$ , closed under the differential  $\{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta + d_{\text{dR}}$ .

**Definition 13.** We say that  $e^{(A(t)+B(t)dt)/\hbar} \in \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V) \otimes \Omega([0, 1])$  is a **homotopy** between  $A(0)$  and  $A(1)$  if  $A(t)$  is of degree 0,  $B(t)$  is of degree -1 and

$$(\{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta + d_{\text{dR}}) \left( e^{(A(t)+B(t)dt)/\hbar} \right) = 0. \quad (20)$$

This is equivalent to saying that  $A(t)$  solves the quantum master equation for every  $t$  and that

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} + \{S_{\text{free}}, B(t)\} + \{A(t), B(t)\} + \hbar\Delta B(t) = 0. \quad (21)$$

Costello shows in section 10.1 of [11, chapter 5.] that such homotopy is equivalently given by a symplectic diffeomorphism  $\Phi = \Phi(1) : V \rightarrow V$  given by flow of the vector field  $X(t) = -\{B(t), -\}$ .

There is also another characterization of homotopy, related to the Moser lemma, which says that  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  are homotopic iff there the difference  $e^{S_0/\hbar} - e^{S_1/\hbar}$  is  $(\{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta)$  exact.

**Theorem 5.** Let us take two actions  $S_0, S_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$ . Then the following three claims are equivalent:

1. There exists  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$  such that  $e^{S_0/\hbar} - e^{S_1/\hbar} = (\{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta)F$
2. There exists a homotopy in the sense of definition 13 connecting  $S_0$  and  $S_1$
3. There is a symplectic diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $V$ , of the form  $1 + (\text{terms of positive weight})$ , such that

$$e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_0)/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V = \Phi^*(e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_1)/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V). \quad (22)$$

*Proof.* The equivalence of the second and the third claim is from Costello, we will briefly repeat the argument. To show 2.  $\implies$  3., let us define a half-density

$$\mu(t) = e^{(S_{\text{free}}+A(t))/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V$$

and denote by  $\Phi_t$  the flow of the time-dependent field  $X(t) = \{-B(t), -\}$ , i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t^* = \Phi_t^* \mathcal{L}_{X(t)}.$$

The time-derivative of  $\Phi_t^*(\mu(t))$  is then proportional  $\frac{d}{dt}A(t) - \{B(t), S_{\text{free}}\} - \{B(t), A(t)\} + \hbar\Delta B(t)$ , which is zero by equation (21). Setting  $t = 1$ , one gets  $\Phi_1^*(\mu(1)) = \mu(0)$ , which is the claim 3. We note that  $X(t)$  is even and increases weight, so  $\Phi_t$  is well defined and of the form  $1 + (\text{terms of positive weight})$ .

For the opposite implication, define a flow  $\Phi_t = \exp(t \log(\Phi))$ . Its tangent vector field is symplectic and thus Hamiltonian, since we are in a flat space. We define  $\{-B(t), -\}$  to be this vector field. The action  $A(t)$  is defined by

$$\mu(t) \equiv e^{(S_{\text{free}}+A(t))/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V \equiv (\Phi_t^{-1})^*(e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_0)/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V).$$

From the equation  $\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t^*(\mu(t)) = 0$ , we obtain the equation (21).

The implication 2.  $\implies$  1. is simple, since the equation (20) says that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{A(t)/\hbar} = -(\{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta)(e^{A(t)/\hbar} B(t)/\hbar),$$

i.e. the change of  $e^{A(t)/\hbar}$  is  $(\hbar\Delta + \{S_{\text{free}}, -\})$ -exact.

Finally, to show 1.  $\implies$  3., we define

$$e^{A(t)/\hbar} \equiv (1-t)e^{S_0/\hbar} + te^{S_1/\hbar}$$

and consider a half-density  $\mu(t) \equiv e^{(S_{\text{free}}+A(t))/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V$ . Now, let us compute the time derivative of  $\mu(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mu}(t) &= (e^{S_1/\hbar} - e^{S_0/\hbar})e^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V = -(\{S_{\text{free}}, F\} + \hbar\Delta F)e^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V \\ &= -(\hbar\Delta + \{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \{A(t), -\})(Fe^{-A(t)/\hbar})\mu(t),\end{aligned}$$

where we used the formula (8) and the fact that  $A(t)$  also satisfies the QME. Last step is using the following version of equation (7)

$$(\hbar\Delta f + \{G, f\})e^{G/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V = (-1)^{|f|} \hbar \mathcal{L}_{\{f, -\}}(e^{G/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V), \quad (23)$$

for  $G \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  which is a solution of the quantum master equation. Using this, we can write the time derivative of  $\mu(t)$  as

$$\dot{\mu}(t) = -\mathcal{L}_{\{-\hbar Fe^{-A(t)/\hbar}, -\}}\mu(t),$$

i.e.  $\mu(t)$  is given by a  $\mu(t) = (\Phi_t)_*\mu(0)$ , where  $\Phi_t$  is the flow of a vector field  $\hbar\{-Fe^{-A(t)/\hbar}, -\}$ . For  $t = 1$ , we get exactly the claim 3. The homotopy in the sense of definition 13 is explicitly given by

$$e^{A(t)/\hbar} + Fdt.$$

□

**Remark 7.** *The first condition of theorem 5 can be rewritten as*

$$e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_0)/\hbar} - e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_1)/\hbar} = \hbar\Delta(Fe^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar}).$$

*Multiplying with the volume form  $dV$  and using  $2\Delta f dV = (-1)^{|f|} \mathcal{L}_{\{f, -\}} dV$  we can write*

$$e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_0)/\hbar} dV - e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_1)/\hbar} dV = -\frac{\hbar}{2} d\left(i_{\{Fe^{S_{\text{free}}/\hbar}, -\}} dV\right).$$

*The above equation then just says that  $e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_0)/\hbar} dV$  and  $e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_1)/\hbar} dV$  lie in the same homology class. Thus, the fact that these volume forms are connected by a homotopy is a (graded version) of the Moser lemma [25].*

**Remark 8.** *From this theorem, one can easily see that homotopic solutions of QME on  $V$  integrate to homotopic effective actions: if  $e^{S_0/\hbar} - e^{S_1/\hbar} = (\{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta)F$ , the difference of effective actions is given by  $P_1(\{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta)F = \hbar\Delta' P_1(F)$ , which gives the homotopy in  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ . Similarly, one can show that two actions which give the same effective actions (up to a  $\hbar\Delta'$ -exact term) are homotopic.*

#### 4.3.1 Constructing a homotopy between $e^{W/\hbar}$ and $e^{S/\hbar}$

Now, we would like to find a homotopy between the original and the effective action. Recall that from the SDR obtained after perturbation by  $\hbar\Delta$ , we have

$$I_1 P_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}) - e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} = Q_1 K_1 e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} + K_1 Q_1 e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}.$$

Remembering that  $Q_1 = \{S_{\text{free}}, -\} + \hbar\Delta$ ,  $I_1 P_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}) = e^{I(W)/\hbar}$  and that  $e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}$  is  $Q_1$ -closed, we obtain

$$e^{I(W)/\hbar} - e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar} = Q_1 K_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}).$$

Now we can use the theorem 5 to find a homotopy between these two solutions of QME: the flow between these two actions is given by a vector field

$$X(t) = -\hbar\{e^{-A(t)/\hbar} K_1 e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}, -\}.$$

**Remark 9.** *This amounts to a special choice of  $F = K_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar})$ . It is however, a natural one: out of all possible such  $F$ , it is the one that satisfies  $P_1(F) = 0$  and  $K_1(F) = 0$ . In other words, because  $1 = I_1 P_1 - K_1 Q_1 - Q_1 K_1$ , we chose the  $F$  that is in the image of the projector  $-K_1 Q_1$ .*

One can, for example, integrate this flow using the Magnus expansion, which will give us an answer in the form  $\Phi_t = \exp(\{M(t), -\})$ , for degree -1 element  $M(t) \in \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V) \otimes \Omega([0, 1])$  (see section 3.4.1 in [4]). The first term of the expansion is

$$M(t) = \frac{1}{e^{W/\hbar} - e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}} \hbar \log \left[ 1 + (e^{(W-S_{\text{int}})/\hbar} - 1)t \right] K_1(e^{S_{\text{int}}/\hbar}) + \dots$$

**Remark 10.** *This linear interpolation works for any standard situation: there is a chain map  $V \rightarrow V \otimes \Omega([0, 1])$ , given by*

$$v \mapsto (1-t)v + t ip(v) - (-1)^{|v|} k(v) dt,$$

where we use the notation from definition 8. This map therefore gives a homotopy between  $v$  and  $ip(v)$  for every closed  $v$ .

## 4.4 Morphisms

The correct notion of morphisms of quantum  $L_\infty$ -algebras should come from Lagrangian correspondences (see [16, remark 2.4.6]). However, we can define a more restrictive notion, a Poisson map preserving the differentials  $T_S$ .

**Definition 14.** *Given two symplectic vector spaces  $(U, \omega_U)$ ,  $(V, \omega_V)$  and solutions of master equation  $S_U \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ ,  $S_V \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ , we say that a (possibly not linear) map  $\Phi : U \rightarrow V$  is a **quantum  $L_\infty$ -morphism** if*

$$\Phi_*(\omega_U^{-1}) = \omega_V^{-1}$$

i.e. if it's a Poisson map, and if

$$\Phi^* \circ T_{S_V} = T_{S_U} \circ \Phi^*,$$

i.e.

$$\Phi^* \circ (\hbar \Delta_V f + \{S_V, f\}_V) = \hbar \Delta_U \Phi^* f + \{S_U, \Phi^* f\}_U, \quad (24)$$

for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ .

Note that since  $\Phi$  is a Poisson map, we have  $\dim U \geq \dim V$ . Moreover, the difference

$$\Phi^* \circ \Delta_V - \Delta_U \circ \Phi^*$$

is a first order differential operator. Expressing it from equation 24, we have

**Lemma 10.** *If  $\Phi : U \rightarrow V$  is a quantum  $L_\infty$ -morphism, then*

$$\Phi^* \circ \Delta_V - \Delta_U \circ \Phi^* = \{S_U - \Phi^* S_V, -\}_U \circ \Phi^*.$$

Let us now show how this definition relates to the homotopy in the sense of 13. Let  $\Phi_t$  be a flow, coming from a homotopy between  $A(0)$  and  $A(1)$ .

**Lemma 11.** *The flow  $\Phi_t$  satisfies the following equation*

$$\Phi_t^* \circ T_{S_{\text{free}}+A(t)} = T_{S_{\text{free}}+A(0)} \circ \Phi_t^*. \quad (25)$$

For  $t = 1$ , we thus have a quantum  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $(V, \omega, S_{\text{free}} + A(0)) \rightarrow (V, \omega, S_{\text{free}} + A(1))$ .

*Proof.* Let us evaluate the equation (25) on  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{w+}(V)$ , multiply both sides by  $\mu(0) = e^{(S_{\text{free}}+A(0))/\hbar} \mathbf{d}^{\frac{1}{2}} V$  and use the equation (23). The right hand side is simply equal to

$$(-1)^{|F|} \hbar \mathcal{L}_{\{\Phi^*(t)(F), -\}} \mu(0).$$

On the left hand side, we use  $\Phi_t^* \mu(t) = \mu(0)$  to get

$$\Phi^*(t)(T_{S_{\text{free}}+A(t)}(F)\mu(t)) = \Phi^*(t)(\mathcal{L}_{\{F, -\}}\mu(t))$$

and we just use the following property of the Lie derivative:  $\Phi^* \circ \mathcal{L}_V = \mathcal{L}_{\Phi^*(V)} \circ \Phi^*$ .  $\square$

Therefore, a homotopy in the sense of the definition 13 gives a quantum  $L_\infty$  isomorphism. To go the other way, we want to show that given a quantum  $L_\infty$  isomorphism  $\Phi : (V, \omega, S_{\text{free}} + S_0) \rightarrow (V, \omega, S_{\text{free}} + S_1)$ , the equation (22) is true.

However, we know from equation (6) and the lemma 10 that

$$\{S_{\text{free}} + S_1 - \Phi_*(S_{\text{free}} + S_0), -\} = \frac{1}{2}\{\log \text{Ber}(\partial\Phi), -\}.$$

Thus, if we add a suitable constant to one of the actions, we get the logarithm of the equation (22). This indeterminacy is not surprising, since the definition of a quantum  $L_\infty$ -morphism only involves derivatives of actions.

#### 4.4.1 Minimal model

In the previous section, we have constructed a symplectic diffeomorphism  $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$  which satisfies

$$e^{(S_{\text{free}}+I(W))/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V = \Phi^*(e^{(S_{\text{free}}+S_{\text{int}})/\hbar} d^{\frac{1}{2}}V).$$

This morphism  $\Phi$  thus splits the action  $S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{int}}$  into  $S_{\text{free}}$ , related to the differential, and  $I(W)$ , coming from the homology. Moreover,  $I(W)$  has no quadratic genus 0 terms (they would have weight 2): that's why we call it an effective action. Thus, we have what is usually called a *decomposition theorem* (see [26, section III]), for quantum  $L_\infty$ -algebras.

Because this  $\Phi_t$  connects  $A(0) = I(W)$  and  $A(1) = S_{\text{int}}$ , we have from lemma 11 that  $\Phi_1$  is a quantum  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $(V, \omega, S_{\text{free}} + I(W)) \rightarrow (V, \omega, S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{int}})$ .

Now, recall that  $p : V \rightarrow H$  pulls back to the map  $I$ , i.e.  $I(W) = p^*(W)$ . Moreover, this  $p$  is a Poisson map, since  $\{I(G_1), I(G_2)\} = I(\{G_1, G_2\}')$  for  $G_i \in \mathcal{F}(H)$  and also satisfies the second condition (25), since

$$T_{S_{\text{free}}+I(W)}(I(G)) = \hbar\Delta I(G) + \{S_{\text{free}} + I(W), I(G)\} = I(\hbar\Delta'G + \{W, G\}'),$$

or

$$T_{S_{\text{free}}+I(W)} \circ p^* = p^* \circ T'_W,$$

i.e. we have a quantum  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $p : (V, \omega, S_{\text{free}} + I(W)) \rightarrow (H, \omega_H, W)$ . Thus, composing with the inverse of the morphism  $\Phi$ , we have a quantum  $L_\infty$ -morphism

$$p \circ \Phi^{-1} : (V, \omega, S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{int}}) \rightarrow (H, \omega_H, W).$$

## 5 Related works

The connection of homological perturbation lemma and path integrals is known among experts. It appears most explicitly in a lecture by Carlo Albert [1], but see also remarks by Costello [11, Chapter 5, section 2.7], Cattaneo, Mnev and Reshetikhin [7, Theorem 8.1], a paper by Gwilliam and Johnson-Freyd [18, remark in section 3]. The most detailed reference is an example worked out by Gwilliam in his thesis [16, section 2.5], see also the respective subsection. In this section, we explain how our work fits with their.

### 5.1 Kajiuira

Kajiuira [19] considers a classical, associative case, the *cyclic  $A_\infty$ -algebra*. He proves a decomposition theorem, constructing a *cyclic  $A_\infty$ -isomorphism* between the original algebra and a direct sum of a minimal and a linear contractible  $A_\infty$ -algebras. The linear contractible algebra contains only the differential and the minimal one has a zero differential, but contains all the higher brackets of the minimal model. The minimal model is constructed iteratively (reminiscing the homological perturbation lemma), giving sums over trees as a result. Our decomposition of the action and the homotopy between  $S_{\text{free}} + S_{\text{int}}$  and  $S_{\text{free}} + W$  is an analogue of this construction in the quantum BV formalism.

## 5.2 Mnev

Mnev [24] defines an effective action using the path integral in the BV formalism. He also shows that small deformations of the Hodge decomposition change the effective action by a *canonical transformation*  $W \rightarrow W + \{W, R\} + \hbar\Delta R$ , which is an infinitesimal version of the usual homotopy from definition 13.

Mnev also interprets the action as an algebra. His BF theory is constructed from a dgla  $V_0$  by setting  $V = V_0[1] \oplus V_0^*[-2]$ , since  $V$  then has a canonical odd symplectic structure (the pairing is then of degree 1 in his convention). The dgla is extended onto  $V$ , the classical master equation is true and  $\hbar\Delta S = 0$  iff the original dgla is *unimodular* (the supertrace of the adjoint representation is zero). Because of this special structure of  $V$  (considered also by Barannikov, in the associative case), the Feynman diagrams of the expansion are oriented and there is only a trivalent vertex, with two incoming and one outgoing edge. In this case, graphs can only have up to one loop, which means that the effective action has only zeroth and first powers of  $\hbar$ .

Mnev calls this first-order action a quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra, but it has later been called *unimodular  $L_\infty$  algebra* in a related work of Granåker [14], who interprets the effective action as a minimal model.

## 5.3 Costello & Gwilliam

In the finite-dimensional case, Costello's propagator  $P(0, \infty)$  (see [10, section 6.5] or [11, chapter 2, sections 3,4]) is again equal to our propagator. However, Costello defines the Feynman diagrams without the projection and for general propagator  $P(\varepsilon, L)$ , which in our case would not work – the exponential  $\exp(\hbar\partial_P)$  in 2 can be reconstructed only if we apply the projection. It would be interesting to see whether one can modify the HPL input data to obtain exponentials generally.

Gwilliam in his thesis [16] gives an example of how the HPL gives the Feynman expansion when perturbing by  $\hbar\Delta$ , as well as constructing the perturbation retract on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$ . This is identical to our theorem 2 and the preceding construction.

## 5.4 Chuang & Lazarev, Braun & Maunder

Chuang and Lazarev [8] obtain a minimal model and the homotopy equivalence for any modular operad. The minimal model is given by a sum over all stable graphs, with propagators given by homotopy  $s$  and the form on  $V$ . In future, we would like to understand the relation of their approach to homotopy to ours.

Braun and Maunder [5] define the path integral explicitly and use it to compute the effective action. They then prove that the effective action again solves a quantum master equation (and hence defines a quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra). Moreover, they show that the homotopy classes of quantum  $L_\infty$  algebras on  $V$  and its homology are in bijection and that (in our language)  $I(W)$  is homotopic to  $S_{\text{int}}$ .

Their path integral coincides with our map  $P_1$ , which can be seen from the Wick lemma [5, Theorem A.6]: the integral of a monomial is given by a sum over all possible pairings. The propagator is given by the inverse of  $\sigma = \langle -, d- \rangle$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is their odd symplectic form and  $d$  is the differential. This is, up to sign conventions, the propagator in our theorem 2.

## 5.5 Münster & Sachs

Münster and Sachs prove a decomposition theorem in [26] for quantum  $L_\infty$  algebras, again by defining it by the Feynman expansion. Their loop homotopy algebra is the same as our quantum  $L_\infty$  algebra, but they work in a category of  $IBL_\infty$ -algebras, which is bigger. They also describe a flow between two quantum  $L_\infty$  algebras and use it to show the uniqueness of closed string field theory. This argument, in our language, is contained in remark 8.

## 5.6 Barannikov

In [2, section 4.], Barannikov gives a general formula for transferring solutions of QME, for any modular operad. For the modular extension of the  $L_\infty$  operad, these correspond to the formulas from theorem 2. Specifically, the propagator is a composition of the dual scalar product and the homotopy.

The sum in [2] is over *stable* graphs, i.e. graphs for which every vertex  $v$  has an assigned number  $b(v)$  and  $2b(v) + n(v) - 2 > 0$ , where  $n(v)$  is the number of edges adjacent to the vertex. In the graph sum,  $b(v)$  corresponds to the power of  $\hbar$  and  $n(v)$  to the polynomial degree, so the condition  $2b(v) + n(v) - 2 > 0$  means we consider only vertices with *weight grading* bigger than 2, which is our condition on  $S_{\text{int}}$ .

## A Equivalence of the definitions of quantum $L_\infty$ algebras

Let us denote  $\text{SymFun}^n(V)$  the space of graded symmetric functions on the vector space  $V$ , taking  $n$  arguments. Then the equation<sup>3</sup> (13) gives an isomorphism  $\text{SymFun}^n(V) \rightarrow (V^*)^{\odot n}$

$$s_n^g \mapsto \bar{s}_n^g \equiv \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} s_n^g(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) \phi^{i_1} \dots \phi^{i_n}.$$

The inverse to this relation is given by

$$\overline{\phi^1 \dots \phi^n}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon(\sigma) \prod_i \phi^i(v_{\sigma(i)}),$$

where the sum is over all permutations of  $n$  elements and the sign is given by permuting graded elements  $v_i$ . Note the factorial factor and that there is no Koszul sign for passing  $\phi$  and  $v$ .

There is a naturally defined differentiation and multiplication on  $\mathcal{F}(V)$ . Transferring it via this isomorphism, we get following formulas for differentiating symmetric functions of  $n$  arguments

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_L \bar{s}}{\partial \phi^i}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) &= n s(e_i, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \\ \frac{\partial_R \bar{s}}{\partial \phi^i}(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) &= n s(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, e_i) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

and for multiplication of functions with  $k$  and  $l$  arguments, respectively

$$\overline{\bar{s}_1 \cdot \bar{s}_2}(v_1, \dots, v_{k+l}) = \frac{1}{(k+l)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) s_1(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)}) s_2(v_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k+l)}).$$

This can also be rewritten as a sum over unshuffles

$$\overline{\bar{s}_1 \cdot \bar{s}_2}(v_1, \dots, v_{k+l}) = \frac{k! l!}{(k+l)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Unsh}(l, k)} \varepsilon(\sigma) s_1(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)}) s_2(v_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k+l)}).$$

**Lemma 12.** *The operations  $\lambda_n^g$  satisfy the main identity (12) iff the action  $S$  satisfies the quantum master equation.*

This calculation is similar to Zwiebach's proof at [31, section 4.4].

*Proof.* We start from the master equation. Looking at a term with  $\hbar^g$  and  $n+1$  polynomial degree of QME, we get

$$\frac{2}{(n+3)!} \Delta \bar{s}_{n+3}^{g-1} + \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g \\ n_1+n_2=n+3}} \frac{1}{n_1! n_2!} \{ \bar{s}_{n_1}^{g_1}, \bar{s}_{n_2}^{g_2} \} = 0.$$

Explicitly using formulas for the BV algebra operations, we get

$$\frac{1}{(n+3)!} \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|\phi^i| |\omega^{ij}|} \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \phi^i} \frac{\partial_L}{\partial \phi^j} \bar{s}_{n+3}^{g-1} + \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g \\ n_1+n_2=n+3}} \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{n_1! n_2!} \frac{\partial_R \bar{s}_{n_1}^{g_1}}{\partial \phi^i} \omega^{ij} \frac{\partial_L \bar{s}_{n_2}^{g_2}}{\partial \phi^j} = 0.$$

<sup>3</sup>There is a choice of where to put the normalization factor and signs. This should be the convention Zwiebach uses – compare our equation for derivatives (26) with [31, eq. 4.73].

Evaluating this (via the isomorphism (13)) on vectors  $v_0, \dots, v_n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{(n+3)!} \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|\phi^i|} \omega^{ij} s_{n+3}^{g-1}(e_j, e_i, v_0, \dots, v_n) + \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g \\ n_1+n_2=n+3}} \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1! n_2!} \frac{(n_1-1)!(n_2-1)!}{(n_1+n_2-2)!} \times \\ & \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ \sigma \in \text{Unsh}(n_1-1, n_2-1)}} s_{n_1}^{g_1}(v_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n_1-2)}, e_i) \omega^{ij} s_{n_2}^{g_2}(e_j, v_{\sigma(n_1-1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Here, the unshuffles permute  $(n+1)$  vectors  $v_0, \dots, v_n$ . In the first term, we put  $v_0$  as a first argument and express  $s$  via  $\lambda$  using equation (11) to get

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{|e_i|} \omega^{ij} \omega(v_0, \lambda_{n+2}^{g-1}(e_j, e_i, v_1, \dots, v_n)).$$

In the second term, we again use equation (11) and cancel the first  $\omega$  with its inverse  $\omega^{ij}$ . Writing only the sum over  $i, j$ , this is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j} s_{n_1}^{g_1}(v_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n_1-2)}, e_i) \omega^{ij} s_{n_2}^{g_2}(e_j, v_{\sigma(n_1-1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = \\ & \sum_{i,j} \omega(e_i, \lambda_{n_1-1}^{g_1}(v_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n_1-2)})) \omega^{ij} s_{n_2}^{g_2}(e_j, v_{\sigma(n_1-1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = \\ & \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda^k \omega_{ik} \omega^{ij} s_{n_2}^{g_2}(e_j, v_{\sigma(n_1-1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = \\ & -s_{n_2}^{g_2}(\lambda_{n_1-1}^{g_1}(v_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n_1-2)}), v_{\sigma(n_1-1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)}), \end{aligned}$$

where we decomposed  $\lambda_{n_1-1}^{g_1}(v_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n_1-2)}) = \sum_k \lambda^k e_k$ . This can be written in a more symmetric form as

$$(-1)^{|v_{\sigma(0)}| + \dots + |v_{\sigma(n_1-2)}|} \omega(\lambda_{n_1-1}^{g_1}(v_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n_1-2)}), \lambda_{n_2-1}^{g_2}(v_{\sigma(n_1-1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n)})).$$

Recall that the sum over unshuffles goes through permutations such that the indices of the vectors in both  $\lambda$ 's are increasing. Therefore,  $v_0$  can only be the first argument of  $\lambda_{n_1-1}^{g_1}$  or the first argument of  $\lambda_{n_2-1}^{g_2}$ . The second term of (27) is therefore decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{g_1+g_2=g \\ n_1+n_2=n+3}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \text{Unsh}(n_1-1, n_2-1) \\ \sigma(0)=0}} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|v_0|+|A|} \omega(\lambda_{n_1-1}^{g_1}(v_0, v_A), \lambda_{n_2-1}^{g_2}(v_B)) + \\ & \sum_{\substack{g'_1+g'_2=g \\ n'_1+n'_2=n+3}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma' \in \text{Unsh}(n'_1-1, n'_2-1) \\ \sigma'(n'_1-1)=0}} \epsilon(\sigma') (-1)^{|A'|} \omega(\lambda_{n'_1-1}^{g'_1}(v_{A'}), \lambda_{n'_2-1}^{g'_2}(v_0, v_{B'})). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the symbols like  $v_X$  denote the sequences of vectors, e.g. the  $v_A$  is the sequence of vectors  $v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(n_1-2)}$ . By  $|X|$  we mean a total degree of these vectors, e.g.  $|A| = |v_{\sigma(1)}| + \dots + |v_{\sigma(n_1-2)}|$ .

Now we claim that these two sums are equal, summand by summand. It is easy to see that the term given by  $g_1, g_2, n_1, n_2$  and  $\sigma$  in the first sum corresponds to the term  $g'_1 = g_2, g'_2 = g_1, n'_1 = n_2, n'_2 = n_1$  and  $\sigma'$  such that  $A' = B$  and  $B' = A$ , we only need to check that the sign are correct.

In the first sum, the sign  $\epsilon(\sigma)$  can be written as  $(-1)^{A \leftrightarrow B}$ , the sign given by commuting the vectors of  $A$  in front of vectors of  $B$ . Together, the sign is

$$(-1)^{A \leftrightarrow B + |v_0| + |A|}.$$

In the second sum, the permutation  $\sigma'$  can be realized as at first permuting  $A'$  in front of  $B'$  and then taking  $v_0$  through  $v_{A'}$ . The sign is then

$$(-1)^{A' \leftrightarrow B' + |v_0| + |A'|}.$$

Looking at a term with  $g'_1 = g_2$  etc., we have  $(-1)^{A' \leftrightarrow B'} = (-1)^{B \leftrightarrow A} = (-1)^{A \leftrightarrow B + |A||B|}$ , the sign is then

$$(-1)^{A \leftrightarrow B + |A||B| + |v_0| + |B| + |B|}.$$

Since  $\omega$  is nonzero only on arguments of total degree 1, we have a relation  $|v_0| + |A| + |B| + 2 = 1$ . Using this fact, we obtain

$$(-1)^{A \leftrightarrow B + |A||B| + |v_0||B| + |B|} = (-1)^{A \leftrightarrow B + (|A| + |v_0| + 1)(1 + |v_0| + |A|)} = (-1)^{A \leftrightarrow B + 1 + |v_0| + |A|},$$

which is opposite of the sign of the corresponding term in the first sum. This sign allows us change the order of  $\lambda$ 's, proving the two sums are equal.

Thus, we have that the second term of master equation is equal to

$$\frac{2}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\substack{g_1 + g_2 = g \\ n_1 + n_2 = n + 3}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \text{Unsh}(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1) \\ \sigma(0) = 0}} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|v_0| + |A|} \omega(\lambda_{n_1 - 1}^{g_1}(v_0, v_A), \lambda_{n_2 - 1}^{g_2}(v_B)).$$

Using  $-\omega(\lambda_n^g(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}), x_n) = s_{n+1}^g(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (-1)^{|x_0|} \omega(x_0, \lambda_n^g(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ , we can rewrite this term as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\substack{g_1 + g_2 = g \\ n_1 + n_2 = n + 3}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \text{Unsh}(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1) \\ \sigma(0) = 0}} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|v_0| + |A| + 1} s_{n_1}^{g_1}(v_0, v_A, \lambda_{n_2 - 1}^{g_2}(v_B)) \\ &= \frac{2}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\substack{g_1 + g_2 = g \\ n_1 + n_2 = n + 3}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \text{Unsh}(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1) \\ \sigma(0) = 0}} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|A| + 1} \omega(v_0, \lambda_{n_1 - 1}^{g_1}(v_A, \lambda_{n_2 - 1}^{g_2}(v_B))). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\omega$  is non-degenerate, the master equation, now in the form  $\omega(v_0, \text{M.I.}) = 0$ , is equivalent to  $\text{M.I.} = 0$ . The expression M.I. is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{M.I.} &= \sum_{\substack{g_1 + g_2 = g \\ n_1 + n_2 = n + 3}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \text{Unsh}(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1) \\ \sigma(0) = 0}} \epsilon(\sigma) (-1)^{|A|} \lambda_{n_1 - 1}^{g_1}(v_A, \lambda_{n_2 - 1}^{g_2}(v_B)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} (-1)^{|e_i| + 1} \omega^{ij} \lambda_{n+2}^{g-1}(e_j, e_i, v_1, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the sum over unshuffles with  $\sigma(0) = 0$  is just sum over regular  $(n_1 - 2, n_2 - 1)$ -unshuffles with  $n_1 - 2 + n_2 - 1 = n$ . Finally, in the second term we have  $|e_i| = |e_j| + 1 \pmod{2}$ , and in the first term, the sign of the permutation  $\epsilon(\sigma)$  together with  $(-1)^{|A|}$  is equal to  $\tilde{\epsilon}(\sigma)$  from equation (12).  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The research of M.D. and B.J. was supported by grant GAČR P201/12/G028. B.J. wants to thank MPIM in Bonn for hospitality. J.P. was supported by NCCR SwissMAP of the Swiss National Science Foundation and had also benefited from a support by the project SVV-260089 of the Charles University. B.J. thanks Martin Markl and Owen Gwilliam for discussions. J.P. would like to thank Florian Naef and Pavol Ševera for numerous discussions and Pavel Mnev for useful pointers.

## References

- [1] Carlo Albert. *Batalin-Vilkovisky Gauge-Fixing via Homological Perturbation Theory*. URL: [http://www-math.unice.fr/~patras/CargeseConference/ACQFT09\\_CarloALBERT.pdf](http://www-math.unice.fr/~patras/CargeseConference/ACQFT09_CarloALBERT.pdf) (visited on 05/13/2016).
- [2] Serguei Barannikov. “Solving the Noncommutative Batalin–Vilkovisky Equation”. In: *Letters in Mathematical Physics* 103.6 (June 2013), pp. 605–628. ISSN: 1573-0530. arXiv: 1004.2253.
- [3] I.A. Batalin and G.A. Vilkovisky. “Gauge algebra and quantization”. In: *Physics Letters B* 102.1 (June 1981), pp. 27–31. ISSN: 03702693. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0370269381902057>.
- [4] S Blanes et al. “The Magnus expansion and some of its applications”. In: *Physics Reports* 470.5-6 (Oct. 2008), pp. 151–238. ISSN: 03701573. arXiv: 0810.5488.

- [5] Christopher Braun and James Maunder. *Minimal models of quantum homotopy Lie algebras via the BV-formalism*. Feb. 2017. arXiv: 1703.00082.
- [6] Ronald Brown. “The twisted Eilenberg-Zilber theorem”. In: *IN ‘SIMPOSIO DI TOPOLOGIA (MESSINA, 1964)’, EDIZIONI ODERISI, GUBBIO* (1965). URL: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.150.8277>.
- [7] Alberto S. Cattaneo, Pavel Mnev, and Nicolai Reshetikhin. *A cellular topological field theory*. Jan. 2017. arXiv: 1701.05874.
- [8] J. Chuang and A. Lazarev. “Feynman diagrams and minimal models for operadic algebras”. In: *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 81.2 (Jan. 2010), pp. 317–337. ISSN: 0024-6107. arXiv: 0802.3507.
- [9] Joseph Chuang and Andrey Lazarev. “Abstract Hodge Decomposition and Minimal Models for Cyclic Algebras”. In: *Letters in Mathematical Physics* 89.1 (Mar. 2009), pp. 33–49. ISSN: 0377-9017. arXiv: 0810.2393.
- [10] Kevin Costello. *Renormalisation and the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism*. June 2007. arXiv: 0706.1533.
- [11] Kevin Costello. *Renormalization and Effective Field Theory*. Mathematical surveys and monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2011. ISBN: 9780821852880.
- [12] Marius Crainic. *On the perturbation lemma, and deformations*. Mar. 2004. arXiv: math/0403266.
- [13] Samuel Eilenberg and Saunders Mac Lane. “On the Groups  $H(\Pi, n)$ ,  $\Gamma$ ”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 58.1 (1953), pp. 55–106. ISSN: 0003486X. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1969820>.
- [14] Johan Granåker. *Unimodular  $L$ -infinity algebras*. Mar. 2008. arXiv: 0803.1763.
- [15] V K A M Gugenheim. “On the chain-complex of a fibration”. In: *Illinois J. Math.* 16.3 (1972), pp. 398–414.
- [16] Owen Gwilliam. “Factorization algebras and free field theories”. 2013. URL: <http://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/gwilliam/thesis.pdf>.
- [17] Johannes Huebschmann. “The homotopy type of  $F\Psi^q$ . The complex and symplectic cases”. In: *Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983)*. Vol. 55. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 487–518.
- [18] Theo Johnson-Freyd. “Homological perturbation theory for nonperturbative integrals”. In: *Letters in Mathematical Physics* 105.11 (June 2012), pp. 1605–1632. ISSN: 0377-9017. arXiv: 1206.5319.
- [19] Hiroshige Kajiura. “Noncommutative homotopy algebras associated with open strings”. In: *Reviews in Mathematical Physics* 19.01 (June 2003), pp. 1–99. ISSN: 0129-055X. arXiv: math/0306332.
- [20] Hovhannes M. Khudaverdian. “Semidensities on Odd Symplectic Supermanifolds”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 247.2 (May 2004), pp. 353–390. ISSN: 1432-0916. arXiv: math/0012256.
- [21] Larry Lambe and Jim Stasheff. “Applications of perturbation theory to iterated fibrations”. In: *Manuscripta Mathematica* 58.3 (Sept. 1987), pp. 363–376. ISSN: 0025-2611. URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF01165893>.
- [22] Martin Markl. “Loop Homotopy Algebras in Closed String Field Theory”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 221.2 (July 2001), pp. 367–384. ISSN: 0010-3616. arXiv: hep-th/9711045.
- [23] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon. *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*. 1998. ISBN: 0198504519.
- [24] Pavel Mnev. *Discrete BF theory*. Sept. 2008. arXiv: 0809.1160.
- [25] Jürgen Moser. “On the volume elements on a manifold”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* (1965), pp. 286–294.
- [26] Korbilian Muenster and Ivo Sachs. “Homotopy Classification of Bosonic String Field Theory”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 330.3 (Aug. 2012), pp. 1227–1262. ISSN: 0010-3616. arXiv: 1208.5626.

- [27] Korbinian Muenster and Ivo Sachs. “Quantum Open-Closed Homotopy Algebra and String Field Theory”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 321.3 (Sept. 2011), pp. 769–801. ISSN: 1432-0916. arXiv: 1109.4101.
- [28] Ján Pulmann. “S-matrix and homological perturbation lemma”. Diploma thesis. Charles University in Prague, 2016. URL: <https://is.cuni.cz/webapps/zzp/detail/161931/?lang=en>.
- [29] Albert Schwarz. “Geometry of Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 155.2 (July 1993), pp. 249–260. ISSN: 0010-3616. arXiv: hep-th/9205088.
- [30] Weishu Shih. “Homologie des espaces fibrés”. In: *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHES* 13 (1962).
- [31] Barton Zwiebach. “Closed string field theory: Quantum action and the Batalin-Vilkovisky master equation”. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 390.1 (June 1993), pp. 33–152. arXiv: hep-th/9206084.